

FIRSTENERGY CORP
Form 10-Q
October 25, 2018

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2018

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission Registrant; State of Incorporation; I.R.S. Employer
File Number Address; and Telephone Number Identification No.

333-21011 FIRSTENERGY CORP. 34-1843785
(An Ohio Corporation)
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
Telephone (800)736-3402

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Emerging Growth Company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standard provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

CLASS	OUTSTANDING AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2018
FirstEnergy Corp., \$0.10 par value	511,445,350
FirstEnergy Web Site and Other Social Media Sites and Applications	

FirstEnergy's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports and all other documents filed with or furnished to the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are made available free of charge on or through the "Investors" page of FirstEnergy's web site at www.firstenergycorp.com. The public may also read and copy any reports or other information that FirstEnergy files with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the SEC's public reference room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. These documents are also available to the public from commercial document retrieval services and the website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov.

These SEC filings are posted on the web site as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Additionally, FirstEnergy routinely posts additional important information, including press releases, investor presentations and notices of upcoming events under the "Investors" section of FirstEnergy's web site and recognizes FirstEnergy's web site as a channel of distribution to reach public investors and as a means of disclosing material non-public information for complying with disclosure obligations under Regulation FD. Investors may be notified of postings to the web site by signing up for email alerts and RSS feeds on the "Investors" page of FirstEnergy's web site. FirstEnergy also uses Twitter® and Facebook® as additional channels of distribution to reach public investors and as a supplemental means of disclosing material non-public information for complying with its disclosure obligations under Regulation FD. Information contained on FirstEnergy's web site, Twitter® handle or Facebook® page, and any corresponding applications of those sites, shall not be deemed incorporated into, or to be part of, this report.

Forward-Looking Statements: This Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 based on information currently available to management. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These statements include declarations regarding management's intents, beliefs and current expectations. These statements typically contain, but are not limited to, the terms "anticipate," "potential," "expect," "forecast," "target," "will," "intend," "believe," "project," "estimate," "plan" and similar words. Forward-looking statements involve estimates, assumptions, known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements, which may include the following (see Glossary of Terms for definitions of capitalized terms):

- The ability to successfully execute an exit of commodity-based generation that minimizes cash outflows and associated liabilities, including, without limitation, the losses, guarantees, claims and other obligations of FirstEnergy as such relate to the entities previously consolidated into FirstEnergy, including FES and FENOC, which have filed for bankruptcy protection.

- The risks that conditions to the definitive settlement agreement with respect to the FES Bankruptcy may not be met or that the settlement agreement may not be otherwise consummated, and if so, the potential for litigation and payment demands against FirstEnergy by FES, FENOC or their creditors.

- The risks associated with the FES Bankruptcy that could adversely affect FirstEnergy, its liquidity or results of operations.

- The accomplishment of our regulatory and operational goals in connection with our transmission and distribution investment plans.

- Changes in assumptions regarding economic conditions within our territories, assessment of the reliability of our transmission and distribution system, or the availability of capital or other resources supporting identified transmission and distribution investment opportunities.

- The ability to accomplish or realize anticipated benefits from strategic and financial goals, including, but not limited to, our strategy to operate as a fully regulated business and to grow the Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission segments to continue to reduce costs through FE Tomorrow and other initiatives and to improve our credit metrics and strengthen our balance sheet.

- The risks and uncertainties associated with litigation, arbitration, mediation and like proceedings.

- The uncertainties associated with the sale, transfer or deactivation of our remaining commodity-based generating units, including the impact on vendor commitments, and as it relates to the reliability of the transmission grid, the timing thereof.

- The uncertainty of the timing and amounts of the capital expenditures that may arise in connection with any litigation, including NSR litigation, or potential regulatory initiatives or rulemakings.

- Changes in customers' demand for power, including, but not limited to, changes resulting from the implementation of state and federal energy efficiency and peak demand reduction mandates.

- Economic and weather conditions affecting future sales, margins and operations, such as significant weather events, and all associated regulatory events or actions.

- Changes in national and regional economic conditions affecting FirstEnergy and/or our major industrial and commercial customers, and other counterparties with which we do business.

- The impact of labor disruptions by our unionized workforce.

- The risks associated with cyber-attacks and other disruptions to our information technology system that may compromise our generation, transmission and/or distribution services and data security breaches of sensitive data, intellectual property and proprietary or personally identifiable information regarding our business, employees, shareholders, customers, suppliers, business partners and other individuals in our data centers and on our networks.

- The impact of the regulatory process and resulting outcomes on the matters at the federal level and in the various states in which we do business, including, but not limited to, matters related to rates.

The impact of the federal regulatory process on FERC-regulated entities and transactions, in particular FERC regulation of PJM wholesale energy and capacity markets and cost-of-service rates, as well as FERC's compliance and enforcement activity, including compliance and enforcement activity related to NERC's mandatory reliability standards.

• The uncertainties of various cost recovery and cost allocation issues resulting from ATSI's realignment into PJM.

• The ability to comply with applicable state and federal reliability standards and energy efficiency and peak demand reduction mandates.

• Other legislative and regulatory changes, including the federal administration's required review and potential revision of environmental requirements, including, but not limited to, the effects of the EPA's CPP, CCR, and CSAPR programs, including our estimated costs of compliance, CWA waste water effluent limitations for power plants, and CWA 316(b) water intake regulation.

• Changing market conditions that could affect the measurement of certain liabilities and the value of assets held in our pension trusts and other trust funds, and cause us and/or our subsidiaries to make additional contributions sooner, or in amounts that are larger, than currently anticipated.

• The impact of changes to significant accounting policies.

• The impact of any changes in tax laws or regulations, including the Tax Act, or adverse tax audit results or rulings.

• The ability to access the public securities and other capital and credit markets in accordance with our financial plans, the cost of such capital and overall condition of the capital and credit markets affecting us and our subsidiaries.

Actions that may be taken by credit rating agencies that could negatively affect us and/or our subsidiaries' access to financing, increase the costs thereof, LOCs and other financial guarantees, and the impact of these events on the financial condition and liquidity of FE and/or its subsidiaries.

Issues concerning the stability of domestic and foreign financial institutions and counterparties with which we do business.

• The risks and other factors discussed from time to time in our SEC filings, and other similar factors.

Dividends declared from time to time on FE's common stock, and thereby on FE's preferred stock, during any period may in the aggregate vary from prior periods due to circumstances considered by FE's Board of Directors at the time of the actual declarations. A security rating is not a recommendation to buy or hold securities and is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

These forward-looking statements are also qualified by, and should be read together with, the risk factors included in FirstEnergy's filings with the SEC, including but not limited to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which risk factors supersede and replace the risk factors contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K and previous Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and any subsequent Current Reports on Form 8-K. The foregoing review of factors also should not be construed as exhaustive. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all such factors, nor assess the impact of any such factor on FirstEnergy's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. FirstEnergy expressly disclaims any obligation to update or revise, except as required by law, any forward-looking statements contained herein as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Part I. Financial Information	
<u>Glossary of Terms</u>	<u>ii</u>
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>FirstEnergy Corp.</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss)</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>5</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>83</u>
Part II. Other Information	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>96</u>
Item 5. Other Information	<u>96</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>100</u>

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following abbreviations and acronyms are used in this report to identify FirstEnergy Corp. and its current and former subsidiaries:

AE	Allegheny Energy, Inc., a Maryland utility holding company that merged with a subsidiary of FirstEnergy on February 25, 2011, which subsequently merged with and into FE on January 1, 2014
AESC	Allegheny Energy Service Corporation, a subsidiary of FirstEnergy Corp.
AE Supply	Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, an unregulated generation subsidiary of FE
AGC	Allegheny Generating Company, formerly a generation subsidiary of AE Supply that became a subsidiary of MP in May 2018
ATSI	American Transmission Systems, Incorporated, formerly a direct subsidiary of FE that became a subsidiary of FET in April 2012, which owns and operates transmission facilities
BSPC	Bay Shore Power Company
CEI	The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, an Ohio electric utility operating subsidiary
CES	Competitive Energy Services, formerly a reportable operating segment of FirstEnergy
FE	FirstEnergy Corp., a public utility holding company
FENOC	FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, a subsidiary of FE, which operates NG's nuclear generating facilities
FES	FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., together with its consolidated subsidiaries, FG, NG, FE Aircraft Leasing Corp., Norton Energy Storage L.L.C. and FGMUC, which provides unregulated energy-related products and services
FES Debtors	FES and FENOC
FESC	FirstEnergy Service Company, which provides legal, financial and other corporate support services
FET	FirstEnergy Transmission, LLC, formerly known as Allegheny Energy Transmission, LLC, which is the parent of ATSI, TrAIL and MAIT, and has a joint venture in PATH
FEV	FirstEnergy Ventures Corp., which invests in certain unregulated enterprises and business ventures
FG	FirstEnergy Generation, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of FES, which owns and operates non-nuclear generating facilities
FGMUC	FirstEnergy Generation Mansfield Unit 1 Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of FG, which has certain leasehold interests in a portion of Unit 1 at the Bruce Mansfield plant
FirstEnergy	FirstEnergy Corp., together with its consolidated subsidiaries
Global Holding	Global Mining Holding Company, LLC, a joint venture between FEV, WMB Marketing Ventures, LLC and Pinesdale LLC
Global Rail	Global Rail Group, LLC, a subsidiary of Global Holding that owns coal transportation operations near Roundup, Montana
GPU	GPU, Inc., former parent of JCP&L, ME and PN, that merged with FE on November 7, 2001
JCP&L	Jersey Central Power & Light Company, a New Jersey electric utility operating subsidiary
MAIT	Mid-Atlantic Interstate Transmission, LLC, a subsidiary of FET, which owns and operates transmission facilities
ME	Metropolitan Edison Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary
MP	Monongahela Power Company, a West Virginia electric utility operating subsidiary
NG	FirstEnergy Nuclear Generation, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of FES, which owns nuclear generating facilities
OE	Ohio Edison Company, an Ohio electric utility operating subsidiary
Ohio Companies	CEI, OE and TE
PATH	

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

	Potomac-Appalachian Transmission Highline, LLC, a joint venture between FE and a subsidiary of AEP
PATH-Allegheny	PATH Allegheny Transmission Company, LLC
PATH-WV	PATH West Virginia Transmission Company, LLC
PE	The Potomac Edison Company, a Maryland and West Virginia electric utility operating subsidiary
Penn Pennsylvania Companies	Pennsylvania Power Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary of OE
PN	ME, PN, Penn and WP
Signal Peak	Pennsylvania Electric Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary
TE	Signal Peak Energy, LLC, an indirect subsidiary of Global Holding that owns mining operations near Roundup, Montana
TrAIL	The Toledo Edison Company, an Ohio electric utility operating subsidiary
Utilities	Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, a subsidiary of FET, which owns and operates transmission facilities
WP	OE, CEI, TE, Penn, JCP&L, ME, PN, MP, PE and WP
	West Penn Power Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary

The following abbreviations and acronyms are used to identify frequently used terms in this report:

AAA American Arbitration Association

GLOSSARY OF TERMS, Continued

ACE	Affordable Clean Energy
ADIT	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes
AEP	American Electric Power Company, Inc.
AFS	Available-for-sale
AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
ALJ	Administrative Law Judge
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
ARO	Asset Retirement Obligation
ARP	Alternative Revenue Program
ARR	Auction Revenue Right
ASC	Accounting Standard Codification
ASU	Accounting Standards Update
Bankruptcy Court	U.S. Bankruptcy Court in the Northern District of Ohio in Akron
BGS	Basic Generation Service
BNSF	BNSF Railway Company
BRA	PJM Reliability Pricing Model Base Residual Auction
CAA	Clean Air Act
CCR	Coal Combustion Residuals
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CPP	EPA's Clean Power Plan
CSAPR	Cross-State Air Pollution Rule
CSX	CSX Transportation, Inc.
CTA	Consolidated Tax Adjustment
CWA	Clean Water Act
D.C. Circuit	United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
DCR	Delivery Capital Recovery
DMR	Distribution Modernization Rider
DOE	United States Department of Energy
DPM	Distribution Platform Modernization
DR	Demand Response
DSIC	Distribution System Improvement Charge
DSP	Default Service Plan
EDC	Electric Distribution Company
EDCP	Executive Deferred Compensation Plan
EE&C	Energy Efficiency and Conservation
EGS	Electric Generation Supplier
EGU	Electric Generation Units
EKPC	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
ELPC	Environmental Law & Policy Center
EmPOWER Maryland	EmPOWER Maryland Energy Efficiency Act
ENEC	Expanded Net Energy Cost
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
EPS	Earnings per Share
ERO	Electric Reliability Organization

ESP IV	Electric Security Plan IV
ESP IV PPA	Unit Power Agreement entered into on April 1, 2016 by and between the Ohio Companies and FES
Facebook®	Facebook is a registered trademark of Facebook, Inc.
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

iii

GLOSSARY OF TERMS, Continued

FE Tomorrow	FirstEnergy's initiative launched in late 2016 to identify its optimal organizational structure and properly align corporate costs and systems to efficiently support a fully regulated company going forward
FES Bankruptcy	Voluntary petitions for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code with the Bankruptcy Court by the FES Debtors.
Fitch	Fitch Ratings
FMB	First Mortgage Bond
FPA	Federal Power Act
FRR	Fixed Resource Requirement
FTR	Financial Transmission Right
GAAP	Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
HCl	Hydrochloric Acid
ICE	Intercontinental Exchange, Inc.
IIP	Infrastructure Investment Program
IRP	Integrated Resource Plan
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
ISO	Independent System Operator
JCP&L Reliability Plus	JCP&L Reliability Plus Infrastructure Investment Program
kV	Kilovolt
KWH	Kilowatt-hour
LBR	Little Blue Run
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
LOC	Letter of Credit
LS Power	LS Power Equity Partners III, LP
LSE	Load Serving Entity
LTIIPs	Long-Term Infrastructure Improvement Plans
MATS	Mercury and Air Toxics Standards
MDPSC	Maryland Public Service Commission
MGP	Manufactured Gas Plants
MISO	Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
MLP	Master Limited Partnership
mmBTU	Million British Thermal Units
Moody's	Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
MOPR	Minimum Offer Price Rule
MVP	Multi-Value Project
MW	Megawatt
MWH	Megawatt-hour
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NDT	Nuclear Decommissioning Trust
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NJAPA	New Jersey Administrative Procedure Act
NJBPU	New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
NOAC	Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition
NOL	Net Operating Loss
NOPR	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

NOV	Notice of Violation
NOx	Nitrogen Oxide
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NSR	New Source Review
NUG	Non-Utility Generation
NYPSC	New York State Public Service Commission

iv

GLOSSARY OF TERMS, Continued

OCA	Office of Consumer Advocate
OCC	Ohio Consumers' Counsel
OMAEG	Ohio Manufacturers' Association Energy Group
OPEB	Other Post-Employment Benefits
OPIC	Other Paid-in Capital
ORC	Ohio Revised Code
OTTI	Other Than Temporary Impairments
OVEC	Ohio Valley Electric Corporation
PA DEP	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
PCRB	Pollution Control Revenue Bond
PJM	PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
PJM Region	The aggregate of the zones within PJM
PJM Tariff	PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff
PM	Particulate Matter
POLR	Provider of Last Resort
POR	Purchase of Receivables
PPA	Purchase Power Agreement
PPB	Parts Per Billion
PPUC	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
PSA	Power Supply Agreement
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PUCO	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
PURPA	Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REC	Renewable Energy Credit
Regulation FD	Regulation Fair Disclosure promulgated by the SEC
REIT	Real Estate Investment Trust
RFC	ReliabilityFirst Corporation
RFP	Request for Proposal
RGGI	Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
ROE	Return on Equity
RRS	Retail Rate Stability
RSS	Rich Site Summary
RTEP	Regional Transmission Expansion Plan
RTO	Regional Transmission Organization
RWG	Restructuring Working Group
S&P	Standard & Poor's Ratings Service
SB310	Substitute Ohio Senate Bill No. 310
SBC	Societal Benefits Charge
SEC	United States Securities and Exchange Commission
Seventh Circuit	United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit
SIP	State Implementation Plan(s) Under the Clean Air Act
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
Sixth Circuit	United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit
SOS	Standard Offer Service
SPE	Special Purpose Entity

SREC	Solar Renewable Energy Credit
SSO	Standard Service Offer
Tax Act	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, adopted December 22, 2017
TDS	Total Dissolved Solid
TMI-2	Three Mile Island Unit 2

v

GLOSSARY OF TERMS, Continued

TO Transmission Owner

Twitter® Twitter is a registered trademark of Twitter, Inc.

UCC Official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in connection with the FES Bankruptcy

VIE Variable Interest Entity

VSCC Virginia State Corporation Commission

WVDEP West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection

WVPSC Public Service Commission of West Virginia

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM I. Financial Statements

FIRSTENERGY CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
(Unaudited)

(In millions, except per share amounts)	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
REVENUES:				
Distribution services and retail generation	\$2,463	\$2,334	\$6,807	\$6,558
Transmission	341	337	996	968
Other	260	239	748	721
Total revenues ⁽¹⁾	3,064	2,910	8,551	8,247
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Fuel	137	126	404	396
Purchased power	876	774	2,393	2,215
Other operating expenses	739	652	2,363	1,958
Provision for depreciation	283	261	843	765
Amortization (deferral) of regulatory assets, net	67	113	(188)	274
General taxes	252	238	746	703
Impairment of assets	—	13	—	13
Total operating expenses	2,354	2,177	6,561	6,324
OPERATING INCOME	710	733	1,990	1,923
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Miscellaneous income, net	49	19	164	44
Interest expense	(255)	(262)	(858)	(751)
Capitalized financing costs	16	13	47	39
Total other expense	(190)	(230)	(647)	(668)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	520	503	1,343	1,255
INCOME TAXES	133	202	503	483
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	387	301	840	772
Discontinued operations (Note 3) ⁽²⁾	(845)	95	370	3
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(458)	\$396	\$1,210	\$775
INCOME ALLOCATED TO PREFERRED STOCKHOLDERS (Note 4)	54	—	357	—

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ (512)	\$ 396	\$ 853	\$ 775
EARNINGS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK (Note 4):				
Basic - Continuing Operations	\$0.66	\$0.68	\$1.00	\$1.74
Basic - Discontinued Operations	(1.68)	0.21	0.76	0.01
Basic - Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Common Stockholders	\$(1.02)	\$0.89	\$1.76	\$1.75
Diluted - Continuing Operations	\$0.66	\$0.68	\$0.99	\$1.73
Diluted - Discontinued Operations	(1.68)	0.21	0.76	0.01
Diluted - Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Common Stockholders	\$(1.02)	\$0.89	\$1.75	\$1.74
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:				
Basic	503	444	485	444
Diluted	505	446	487	445
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK	\$0.72	\$0.72	\$1.44	\$1.44

(1) Includes excise tax collections of \$104 million and \$102 million in the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$293 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017.

(2) Net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$(354) million and \$37 million for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and income tax benefits of \$(1.3) billion and \$(1) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

FIRSTENERGY CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(Unaudited)

(In millions)	For the Three		For the Nine	
	Months Ended	Months Ended	Months Ended	Months Ended
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2018	2017	2018	2017
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(458)	\$396	\$1,210	\$775
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):				
Pension and OPEB prior service costs	(18)	(19)	(55)	(55)
Amortized losses on derivative hedges	2	4	19	8
Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	—	(6)	(106)	8
Other comprehensive loss	(16)	(21)	(142)	(39)
Income tax benefits on other comprehensive loss	(4)	(9)	(61)	(16)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(12)	(12)	(81)	(23)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$(470)	\$384	\$1,129	\$752

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

FIRSTENERGY CORP.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

(In millions, except share amounts)	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 436	\$ 588
Restricted cash	51	51
Receivables-		
Customers, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$49 in 2018 and 2017	1,317	1,282
Other, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$2 in 2018 and \$1 in 2017	299	170
Materials and supplies, at average cost	240	236
Prepaid taxes and other	236	151
Current assets - discontinued operations	17	632
	2,596	3,110
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:		
In service	38,585	37,113
Less — Accumulated provision for depreciation	10,468	10,011
	28,117	27,102
Construction work in progress	1,290	999
	29,407	28,101
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	—	1,132
INVESTMENTS:		
Nuclear plant decommissioning trusts	822	822
Nuclear fuel disposal trust	253	251
Other	254	255
Investments - discontinued operations	—	1,875
	1,329	3,203
DEFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS:		
Goodwill	5,618	5,618
Regulatory assets	80	40
Other	413	697
Deferred charges and other assets - discontinued operations	—	356
	6,111	6,711
	\$ 39,443	\$ 42,257
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Currently payable long-term debt	\$ 1,128	\$ 558
Short-term borrowings	1,700	300
Accounts payable	997	827
Accounts payable - affiliated companies	107	—
Accrued taxes	529	533
Accrued compensation and benefits	300	257
Collateral	27	39
Other	1,012	621
Current liabilities - discontinued operations	—	978

	5,800	4,113
CAPITALIZATION:		
Stockholders' equity-		
Common stock, \$0.10 par value, authorized 700,000,000 shares - 511,445,350 and 445,334,111 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively	51	44
Preferred stock, \$100 par value, authorized 5,000,000 shares, of which 1,616,000 are designated Series A Convertible Preferred - 704,589 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2018	70	—
Other paid-in capital	11,708	10,001
Accumulated other comprehensive income	61	142
Accumulated deficit	(5,017) (6,262)
Total stockholders' equity	6,873	3,925
Long-term debt and other long-term obligations	16,608	18,687
	23,481	22,612
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	2,427	3,171
Retirement benefits	2,742	3,975
Regulatory liabilities	2,673	2,720
Asset retirement obligations	630	570
Adverse power contract liability	99	130
Other	1,591	1,438
Noncurrent liabilities - discontinued operations	—	3,528
	10,162	15,532
COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 14)	\$ 39,443	\$ 42,257

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

FIRSTENERGY CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(In millions)	2018	2017
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$1,210	\$775
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities-		
Gain on disposal, net of tax (Note 3)	(405)	—
Depreciation and amortization, including nuclear fuel, regulatory assets, net, intangible assets and deferred debt-related costs	1,003	1,307
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits, net	462	453
Impairment of assets and related charges	—	162
Retirement benefits, net of payments	(113)	28
Pension trust contributions	(1,250)	—
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative transactions	(5)	64
Changes in current assets and liabilities-		
Receivables	(254)	73
Materials and supplies	43	(6)
Prepaid taxes and other	(114)	(41)
Accounts payable	125	(22)
Accrued taxes	(125)	(161)
Accrued compensation and benefits	(19)	(54)
Other current liabilities	(140)	13
Collateral, net	(21)	19
Other	161	152
Net cash provided from operating activities	558	2,762
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
New Financing-		
Long-term debt	624	4,050
Short-term borrowings, net	1,400	—
Preferred stock issuance	1,616	—
Common stock issuance	850	—
Redemptions and Repayments-		
Long-term debt	(2,278)	(1,711)
Short-term borrowings, net	—	(2,175)
Make-whole premiums paid on debt redemptions	(89)	—
Preferred stock dividend payments	(52)	—
Common stock dividend payments	(527)	(478)
Other	(21)	(67)
Net cash provided from (used for) financing activities	1,523	(381)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Property additions	(1,942)	(1,847)
Nuclear fuel	—	(156)
Proceeds from asset sales	419	—

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Sales of investment securities held in trusts	736	1,923
Purchases of investment securities held in trusts	(780)	(1,995)
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	(500)	—
Asset removal costs	(171)	(130)
Other	1	(1)
Net cash used for investing activities	(2,237)	(2,206)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	(156)	175
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of period	643	260
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period	\$487	\$435
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Non-cash transaction, beneficial conversion feature (Note 4)	\$296	\$—
Non-cash transaction, deemed dividend preferred stock (Note 4)	\$(296)	\$—

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

FIRSTENERGY CORP.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note Number		Page Number
<u>1</u>	<u>Organization and Basis of Presentation</u>	<u>6</u>
2	Revenue	<u>9</u>
3	Discontinued Operations	<u>12</u>
4	Earnings Per Share of Common Stock	<u>16</u>
5	<u>Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits</u>	<u>18</u>
6	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	<u>19</u>
7	Income Taxes	<u>20</u>
8	Variable Interest Entities	<u>21</u>
9	Fair Value Measurements	<u>23</u>
10	Derivative Instruments	<u>26</u>
11	Capitalization	<u>27</u>
12	Asset Retirement Obligations	<u>28</u>
13	Regulatory Matters	<u>29</u>
14	Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies	<u>36</u>
15	Segment Information	<u>40</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Unless otherwise indicated, defined terms and abbreviations used herein have the meanings set forth in the accompanying Glossary of Terms.

FE was incorporated under Ohio law in 1996. FE's principal business is the holding, directly or indirectly, of all of the outstanding equity of its principal subsidiaries: OE, CEI, TE, Penn (a wholly owned subsidiary of OE), JCP&L, ME, PN, FESC, AE Supply, MP, PE, WP, FET and its principal subsidiaries (ATSI, MAIT and TrAIL), and AESC. In addition, FE holds all of the outstanding equity of other direct subsidiaries including: FirstEnergy Properties, Inc., FEV, FELHC, Inc., GPU Nuclear, Inc., and Allegheny Ventures, Inc.

FE and its subsidiaries are principally involved in the transmission, distribution and generation of electricity. FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies comprise one of the nation's largest investor-owned electric systems, based on serving over six million customers in the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic regions. FirstEnergy's transmission operations include approximately 24,500 miles of lines and two regional transmission operation centers. Additionally, its regulated generation subsidiaries control 3,790 MWs of capacity and AE Supply controls 1,367 MWs of capacity (1,300 MWs related to the Pleasants Power Station).

These interim financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Certain information and disclosures normally included in financial statements and notes prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

FE and its subsidiaries follow GAAP and comply with the related regulations, orders, policies and practices prescribed by the SEC, FERC, and, as applicable, the NRC, the PUCO, the PPUC, the MDPSC, the NYPSC, the WVPSC, the VSCC and the NJBPU. The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make periodic estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The reported results of operations are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for any future period. FE and its subsidiaries have evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through the date the financial statements were issued.

FE and its subsidiaries consolidate all majority-owned subsidiaries over which they exercise control and, when applicable, entities for which they have a controlling financial interest. Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation as appropriate and permitted pursuant to GAAP. FE and its subsidiaries consolidate a VIE when it is determined that it is the primary beneficiary (see Note 8, "Variable Interest Entities"). Investments in affiliates over which FE and its subsidiaries have the ability to exercise significant influence, but do not have a controlling financial interest, follow the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, the interest in the entity is reported as an investment in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the percentage of FE's ownership share of the entity's earnings is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation, as discussed in "New Accounting Pronouncements" and Note 3, "Discontinued Operations."

On March 31, 2018, the FES Debtors announced that, in order to facilitate an orderly financial restructuring, they filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code with the Bankruptcy Court (which is referred to throughout as the FES Bankruptcy). As a result of the bankruptcy filings, FirstEnergy concluded that it no longer had a controlling interest in the FES Debtors as the entities are subject to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and, accordingly, as of March 31, 2018, the FES Debtors were deconsolidated from FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements. Since such time, FE has accounted and will account for its investments in the FES Debtors at fair values of zero. FE concluded that in connection with the disposal, FES and FENOC became discontinued operations. In connection with the disposal, FE recorded a gain on deconsolidation (net of taxes) of approximately \$1.2 billion in the first quarter of 2018. See Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," for additional information.

On April 23, 2018, FirstEnergy and two groups of key FES creditors (collectively, the FES Key Creditor Groups) reached an agreement in principle to resolve certain claims by FirstEnergy against the FES Debtors and all claims by the FES Debtors and their creditors against FirstEnergy. The FES Debtors and the UCC subsequently joined settlement discussions with FirstEnergy and the FES Key Creditor Groups. On August 26, 2018, FirstEnergy, the FES Key Creditor Groups, the FES Debtors and the UCC entered into a definitive settlement agreement which was approved by order of the Bankruptcy Court on September 26, 2018. The settlement agreement includes the following terms, among others:

FE will pay certain pre-petition FES and FENOC employee-related obligations, which include unfunded pension obligations and other employee benefits, and provides for the waiver of all pre-petition claims (other than those claims under the Tax Allocation Agreement for the 2018 tax year) against the FES Debtors related to the FES Debtors and their businesses, including the full borrowings by FES under the \$500 million secured credit facility, the \$200 million credit agreement being used to support surety bonds, the BNSF/CSX rail settlement guarantee, and the FES Debtors' unfunded pension obligations, all of which were previously accounted for in the first quarter of 2018 gain on deconsolidation.

• The full release of all claims against FirstEnergy by the FES Debtors and their creditors.

• A \$225 million cash payment from FirstEnergy.

A \$628 million aggregate principal amount note issuance by FirstEnergy to the FES Debtors, which may be decreased by the amount, if any, of cash paid by FirstEnergy to the FES Debtors under the Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement for the tax benefits related to the sale or deactivation of certain plants.

Transfer of the Pleasants Power Station and related assets to FES or its designee for the benefit of FES' creditors, which resulted in a pre-tax charge of \$43 million in the third quarter of 2018, and a requirement that FE continue to provide FES access to the McElroy's Run CCR Impoundment Facility, which is not being transferred. Prior to transfer and beginning no later than January 1, 2019, FES will acquire the economic interests in Pleasants and AE Supply will operate Pleasants until the transfer. FE will provide certain guarantees for retained environmental liabilities of AE Supply, including the McElroy's Run CCR Impoundment Facility.

FirstEnergy agrees to waive all pre-petition claims related to shared services and credit nine-months of the FES Debtors' shared service costs beginning as of April 1, 2018, in an amount not to exceed \$112.5 million, and FirstEnergy agrees to extend the availability of shared services until no later than June 30, 2020.

• FirstEnergy agrees to fund through its pension plan a pension enhancement, subject to a cap, should FES offer a voluntary enhanced retirement package in 2019 and to offer certain other employee benefits.

FirstEnergy agrees to perform under the Intracompany Tax Allocation Agreement through the FES Debtors' emergence from bankruptcy, at which time FirstEnergy will waive a 2017 overpayment for NOLs of approximately \$71 million, reverse 2018 estimated payments for NOLs of approximately \$88 million and pay the FES Debtors for the use of NOLs in an amount no less than \$66 million (of which approximately \$20 million has been paid through September 30, 2018).

FirstEnergy has determined a loss is probable with respect to the FES Bankruptcy and recorded a pre-tax charge in the third quarter of 2018 of \$1.2 billion within Discontinued Operations, which reflects the current estimate of the commitments and payments under the settlement agreement.

The settlement agreement remains subject to satisfaction of the conditions set forth therein, most notably the issuance of a final order by the Bankruptcy Court approving the plan or plans of reorganization for the FES Debtors that are acceptable to FirstEnergy consistent with the requirements of the settlement agreement. There can be no assurance that such conditions will be satisfied or the settlement agreement will be otherwise consummated, and the actual outcome of this matter may differ materially from the terms of the agreement described herein. FirstEnergy will continue to evaluate the impact of any new factors on the settlement and their relative impact on the financial statements.

In connection with the settlement agreement, FirstEnergy entered into a separation agreement with the FES Debtors to implement the separation of the FES Debtors and their businesses from FirstEnergy. A business separation committee has been established between FirstEnergy and the FES Debtors to review and determine issues that arise in the context of the separation of the FES Debtors' businesses from those of FirstEnergy.

Capitalized Financing Costs

For each of the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, capitalized financing costs on FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) include \$11 million and \$8 million, respectively, of allowance for equity funds used during construction and \$5 million of capitalized interest. For each of the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, capitalized financing costs on FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss)

include \$33 million and \$25 million, respectively, of allowance for equity funds used during construction and \$14 million of capitalized interest.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash primarily relates to the consolidated VIE's discussed in Note 8, "Variable Interest Entities." The cash collected from JCP&L, MP, PE and the Ohio Companies' customers is used to service debt of their respective funding companies.

Goodwill

FirstEnergy evaluates goodwill for impairment annually on July 31 and more frequently if indicators of impairment arise. For 2018, FirstEnergy performed a qualitative assessment of the Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission reporting units' goodwill, assessing economic, industry and market considerations in addition to the reporting units' overall financial performance. Key factors used in the assessment include: growth rates, interest rates, expected capital expenditures, utility sector market performance and other market considerations. It was determined that the fair value of these reporting units was, more likely than not, greater than their carrying value and a quantitative analysis was not necessary.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Pronouncements

ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" (Issued May 2014 and subsequently updated to address implementation questions): The new revenue recognition guidance establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. FirstEnergy evaluated its revenues and the new guidance had immaterial impacts to recognition practices upon adoption on January 1, 2018. As part of the adoption, FirstEnergy elected to apply the new guidance on a modified retrospective basis. FirstEnergy did not record a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings for initially applying the new guidance as no revenue recognition differences were identified in the timing or amount of revenue. In addition, upon adoption, certain immaterial financial statement presentation changes were implemented. See Note 2, "Revenue," for additional information on FirstEnergy's revenues.

ASU 2016-01, "Financial Instruments-Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" (Issued January 2016 and subsequently updated in 2018): ASU 2016-01 primarily affects the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. FirstEnergy adopted this standard on January 1, 2018, and recognizes all gains and losses for equity securities in income with the exception of those that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The NDT equity portfolios of JCP&L, ME and PN will not be impacted as unrealized gains and losses will continue to be offset against regulatory assets or liabilities. As a result of adopting this standard, FirstEnergy recorded a pre-tax cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings of \$115 million on January 1, 2018, representing unrealized gains on equity securities with FES NDTs that were previously recorded to AOCI. Following deconsolidation of the FES Debtors, the adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on FirstEnergy's financial statements as the majority of its equity securities are offset against a regulatory asset or liability.

ASU 2016-18, "Restricted Cash" (Issued November 2016): ASU 2016-18 addresses the presentation of changes in restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. The guidance is required to be applied retrospectively. As a result of adopting this standard, FirstEnergy's statement of cash flows reports changes in the total of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents. Prior periods have been recast to conform to the current year presentation.

ASU 2017-01, "Business Combinations: Clarifying the Definition of a Business" (Issued January 2017): ASU 2017-01 assists entities with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. FirstEnergy adopted ASU 2017-01 on January 1, 2018. The ASU will be applied prospectively to future transactions.

ASU 2017-04, "Goodwill Impairment" (Issued January 2017): ASU 2017-04 simplifies the accounting for goodwill impairment by removing Step 2 of the current test, which requires calculation of a hypothetical purchase price allocation. Under the revised guidance, goodwill impairment will be measured as the amount by which a reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill (currently Step 1 of the two-step impairment test). Entities will continue to have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine if a quantitative impairment test is necessary. FirstEnergy has elected to early adopt ASU 2017-04 as of January 1, 2018, and will apply this standard on a prospective basis.

ASU 2017-07, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits: Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost" (Issued March 2017): ASU 2017-07 requires entities to retrospectively (1) disaggregate the current-service-cost component from the other components of net benefit cost (the other components)

and present it with other current compensation costs for related employees in the income statement and (2) present the other components elsewhere in the income statement and outside of income from operations if such a subtotal is presented. In addition, only service costs are eligible for capitalization on a prospective basis. FirstEnergy adopted ASU 2017-07 on January 1, 2018. Because the non-service cost components of net benefit cost are no longer eligible for capitalization after December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy has recognized these components in income as a result of adopting this standard. FirstEnergy reclassified approximately \$7 million and \$23 million of non-service costs from Other operating expenses to Miscellaneous income, net, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively.

ASU 2018-02, "Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" (Issued February 2018): ASU 2018-02 allows entities to reclassify from AOCI to retained earnings stranded tax effects resulting from the Tax Act. FirstEnergy early adopted this standard during the first quarter of 2018 and has elected to present the change in the period of adoption. Upon adoption, FirstEnergy recorded a \$22 million cumulative effect adjustment for stranded tax effects, such as pension and OPEB prior service costs and losses on derivative hedges, to retained earnings on January 1, 2018, of which \$8 million was related to the FES Debtors.

ASU 2018-05, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118" (Issued March 2018): ASU 2018-05, effective 2018, expands income tax accounting and disclosure guidance to include SAB 118 issued by the SEC in December 2017. SAB 118 provides guidance on accounting for the income tax effects of the Tax Act and among other things allows for a measurement period not to exceed one year for companies to finalize the provisional amounts recorded as of December 31, 2017. See Note 7, "Income taxes," for additional information on FirstEnergy's accounting for the Tax Act.

Recently Issued Pronouncements - The following new authoritative accounting guidance issued by the FASB has not yet been adopted. Unless otherwise indicated, FirstEnergy is currently assessing the impact such guidance may have on its financial statements and disclosures, as well as the potential to early adopt where applicable. FirstEnergy has assessed other FASB issuances of new standards not described below or in the 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K based upon the current expectation that such new standards will not significantly impact FirstEnergy's financial reporting. Below is an update to the discussion of pronouncements contained in the 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ASU 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)" (Issued February 2016 and subsequently updated to address implementation questions): The new guidance will require organizations that lease assets with lease terms of more than 12 months to recognize assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases on their balance sheets as well as new qualitative and quantitative disclosures. FirstEnergy expects an increase in assets and liabilities; however, it is currently assessing the impact, including monitoring utility industry implementation guidance, but expects no impact to results of operations or cash flows. FirstEnergy has developed its complete lease inventory and continues to identify, assess and document technical accounting issues, policy considerations, financial reporting implications and changes to internal controls and processes. In addition, FirstEnergy is in the process of implementing a third-party software tool that will assist with the initial adoption and ongoing compliance. The standard provides a number of transition practical expedients that entities may elect. These include a "package of three" expedients that must be taken together and allow entities to (1) not reassess whether existing contracts contain leases, (2) carryforward the existing lease classification, and (3) not reassess initial direct costs associated with existing leases. A separate practical expedient allows entities to not evaluate land easements under the new guidance at adoption if they were not previously accounted for as leases. Additionally, entities have the option to apply the requirements of the standard in the period of adoption (January 1, 2019) with no restatement of prior periods. FirstEnergy expects to elect all of these practical expedients. The guidance will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. FirstEnergy does not expect to adopt this standard early.

ASU 2018-15, "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other-Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40): Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement That Is a Service Contract" (Issued August 2018): ASU 2018-15 requires implementation costs incurred by customers in cloud computing arrangements to be deferred and recognized over the term of the arrangement, if those costs would be capitalized by the customers in a software licensing arrangement. The guidance will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted.

ASU 2018-14, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits-Defined Benefit Plans-General (Subtopic 715-20): Disclosure Framework-Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Defined Benefit Plans" (Issued August 2018): ASU 2018-14 amends ASC 715 to add, remove, and clarify disclosure requirements related to defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. The guidance is required to be applied on a retrospective basis and will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2020, with early adoption permitted.

ASU 2018-13, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework-Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement" (Issued August 2018): ASU 2018-14 eliminates, adds and modifies certain disclosure requirements for fair value measurements as part of the FASB's disclosure framework project. Entities will no longer be required to disclose the amount of and reasons for transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, but public companies will be required to disclose the range and weighted average used to develop significant unobservable inputs for Level 3 fair value measurements. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019, but entities are permitted to early adopt either the entire standard or only the provisions that eliminate or modify the requirements.

2. REVENUE

FirstEnergy accounts for revenues from contracts with customers under ASC 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which became effective January 1, 2018. As part of the adoption of ASC 606, FirstEnergy applied the new standard on a modified retrospective basis analyzing open contracts as of January 1, 2018. However, no cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings was necessary as no revenue recognition differences were identified when comparing the revenue recognition criteria under ASC 606 to previous requirements.

Revenue from leases, financial instruments, other contractual rights or obligations and other revenues that are not from contracts with customers are outside the scope of the new standard and accounted for under other existing GAAP. FirstEnergy has elected to exclude sales taxes and other similar taxes collected on behalf of third parties from revenue as prescribed in the new standard. As a result, tax collections and remittances within the scope of this election are excluded from recognition in the income statement and instead recorded through the balance sheet, consistent with FirstEnergy's accounting process prior to the adoption of ASC 606. Excise and gross receipts taxes that are assessed on FirstEnergy are not subject to the election and are included in revenue. FirstEnergy has elected the optional invoice practical expedient for most of its revenues and, with the exception of JCP&L transmission, utilizes the optional short-term contract exemption for transmission revenues due to the annual establishment of revenue requirements, which eliminates the need to provide certain revenue disclosures regarding unsatisfied performance obligations. For a qualitative overview of FirstEnergy's performance obligations, see below.

FirstEnergy's revenues are primarily derived from electric service provided by its Utilities and transmission (ATSI, TrAIL and MAIT) subsidiaries.

The following tables represent a disaggregation of revenue from contracts with customers for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, by type of service from each reportable segment:

Revenues by Type of Service	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2018			Total
	Regulated Distribution	Regulated Transmission	Corporate/Other and Reconciling Adjustments ⁽¹⁾	
	(In millions)			
Distribution services ⁽²⁾	\$1,440	\$ —	\$ (22)	\$1,418
Retail generation	1,059	—	(14)	1,045
Wholesale sales ⁽²⁾	133	—	6	139
Transmission ⁽²⁾	—	341	—	341
Other	43	—	—	43
Total revenues from contracts with customers	\$2,675	\$ 341	\$ (30)	\$2,986
ARP	66	—	—	66
Other non-customer revenue	25	5	(18)	12
Total revenues	\$2,766	\$ 346	\$ (48)	\$3,064

⁽¹⁾ Includes eliminations and reconciling adjustments of inter-segment revenues.

⁽²⁾ Includes \$29 million in net reductions to revenue related to amounts subject to refund resulting from the Tax Act (\$27 million at Regulated Distribution and \$2 million at Regulated Transmission).

Revenues by Type of Service	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018			Total
	Regulated Distribution	Regulated Transmission	Corporate/Other and Reconciling Adjustments ⁽¹⁾	
	(In millions)			
Distribution services ⁽²⁾	\$3,949	\$ —	\$ (81)	\$3,868
Retail generation	2,981	—	(42)	2,939
Wholesale sales ⁽²⁾	377	—	16	393
Transmission ⁽²⁾	—	996	—	996
Other	113	—	4	117
Total revenues from contracts with customers	\$7,420	\$ 996	\$ (103)	\$8,313
ARP	190	—	—	190
Other non-customer revenue	84	14	(50)	48
Total revenues	\$7,694	\$ 1,010	\$ (153)	\$8,551

⁽¹⁾ Includes eliminations and reconciling adjustments of inter-segment revenues.

⁽²⁾ Includes \$113 million in reductions to revenue related to amounts subject to refund resulting from the Tax Act (\$109 million at Regulated Distribution and \$4 million at Regulated Transmission).

Other non-customer revenue includes revenue from derivatives of \$4 million and \$18 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively.

Regulated Distribution

The Regulated Distribution segment distributes electricity through FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies and also controls 3,790 MWs of regulated electric generation capacity located primarily in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. Each of the Utilities earns revenue from state-regulated rate tariffs under which it provides distribution services to residential, commercial and industrial customers in its service territory. The Utilities are obligated under the regulated construct to deliver power to customers reliably, as it is needed, which creates an implied monthly contract with the end-use customer. See Note 13, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information on rate recovery mechanisms. Distribution and electric revenues are recognized over time as electricity is distributed and delivered to the customer and the customers consume the electricity immediately as delivery occurs.

Retail generation sales relate to POLR, SOS, SSO and default service requirements in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland, as well as generation sales in West Virginia that are regulated by the WVPSC. Certain of the Utilities have default service obligations to provide power to non-shopping customers who have elected to continue to receive service under regulated retail tariffs. The volume of these sales varies depending on the level of shopping that occurs. Supply plans vary by state and by service territory. Default service for the Ohio Companies, Pennsylvania Companies, JCP&L and PE's Maryland jurisdiction are provided through a competitive procurement process approved by each state's respective commission.

The following table represents a disaggregation of the Regulated Distribution segment revenue from contracts with distribution service and retail generation customers for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, by class:

Revenues by Customer Class	For the	For the
	Three	Nine
	Months	Months
	Ended	Ended
	September	September
	30,	30, 2018
	2018	
	(In millions)	
Residential	\$1,572	\$ 4,290
Commercial	628	1,778
Industrial	276	792
Other	23	70
Total Revenues	\$2,499	\$ 6,930

Wholesale sales primarily consist of generation and capacity sales into the PJM market from FirstEnergy's regulated electric generation capacity and NUGs. Certain of the Utilities may also purchase power from PJM to supply power to their customers. Generally, these power sales from generation and purchases to serve load are netted hourly and reported gross as either revenues or purchased power on the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) based on whether the entity was a net seller or buyer each hour. Capacity revenues are recognized ratably over the PJM planning year at prices cleared in the annual BRA and incremental auctions. Capacity purchases and sales through PJM capacity auctions are reported within revenues on the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). Certain capacity income (bonuses) and charges (penalties) related to the availability of units that have cleared in the auctions are unknown and not recorded in revenue until, and unless, they occur.

The Utilities' distribution customers are metered on a cycle basis. An estimate of unbilled revenues is calculated to recognize electric service provided from the last meter reading through the end of the month. This estimate includes many factors, among which are historical customer usage, load profiles, estimated weather impacts, customer shopping activity and prices in effect for each class of customer. In each accounting period, the Utilities accrue the estimated unbilled amount as revenue and reverses the related prior period estimate. Customer payments vary by state but are generally due within 30 days.

ASC 606 excludes industry-specific accounting guidance for recognizing revenue from ARPs as these programs represent contracts between the utility and its regulators, as opposed to customers. Therefore, revenue from these programs are not within the scope of ASC 606 and regulated utilities are permitted to continue to recognize such revenues in accordance with existing practice but are presented separately from revenue arising from contracts with customers. FirstEnergy currently has ARPs in Ohio, primarily under rider DMR, and in New Jersey.

Regulated Transmission

The Regulated Transmission segment provides transmission infrastructure owned and operated by ATSI, TrAIL, MAIT and certain of FirstEnergy's utilities (JCP&L, MP, PE and WP) to transmit electricity from generation sources to distribution facilities. The segment's revenues are primarily derived from forward-looking formula rates at ATSI, TrAIL and MAIT, as well as stated transmission rates at JCP&L, MP, PE and WP. Both the forward-looking formula and stated rates recover costs that the regulatory agencies determine are permitted to be recovered and provide a return on transmission capital investment. Under forward-looking formula rates, the revenue requirement is updated annually based on a projected rate base and projected costs, which is subject to an annual true-up based on actual costs. Revenue requirements under stated rates are calculated annually by multiplying the highest one-hour peak load in each respective transmission zone by the approved, stated rate in that zone. Revenues and cash receipts for the stand-ready obligation of providing transmission service are recognized ratably over time.

Effective January 1, 2018, JCP&L is subject to a FERC-approved settlement agreement that provides an annual revenue requirement of \$155 million through December 31, 2019 which is recognized ratably as revenue over time.

The following table represents a disaggregation of revenue from contracts with regulated transmission customers for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, by transmission owner:

Revenues from Contracts with Customers by Transmission Asset Owner	For	
	the Three Month Ended September 30, 2018	For the Nine Month Ended September 30, 2018
	(In millions)	
ATSI	\$167	\$ 492
TrAIL	60	183
MAIT	43	106
Other	71	215
Total Revenues	\$341	\$ 996

3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply (including the Pleasants Power Station), representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, are presented as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from the FES Bankruptcy and actions taken as part of the strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation, as discussed below. During the third quarter of 2018, the Pleasants Power Station was reclassified to discontinued operations following its inclusion in the definitive settlement agreement for the benefit of FES' creditors. Prior period results have been reclassified to conform with such presentation as discontinued operations.

FES and FENOC Chapter 11 Filing

As discussed in Note 1, "Organization and Basis of Presentation," on March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC announced the FES Bankruptcy. FirstEnergy concluded that it no longer has a controlling interest in the FES Debtors, as the entities are subject to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and, accordingly, as of March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC were deconsolidated from FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements, and FirstEnergy has accounted and will account for its investments in FES and FENOC at fair values of zero.

By eliminating a significant portion of its competitive generation fleet with the deconsolidation of the FES Debtors, FirstEnergy has concluded the FES Debtors meet the criteria for discontinued operations, as this represents a significant event in management's strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation and transition to a fully regulated company.

FES Borrowings from FE

On March 9, 2018, FES borrowed \$500 million from FE under the secured credit facility, dated as of December 6, 2016, among FES, as Borrower, FG and NG as guarantors, and FE, as lender, which fully utilized the committed line of credit available under the secured credit facility. Following deconsolidation of FES, FE fully reserved for the \$500 million associated with the borrowings under the secured credit facility. Under the terms of the settlement agreement discussed below, FE will release any and all claims against the FES Debtors with respect to the \$500 million borrowed under the secured credit facility.

On March 16, 2018, FES and FENOC withdrew from the unregulated companies' money pool, which included FE, FES and FENOC. As of the date of the withdrawal, the FES Debtors owed FE approximately \$4 million in unsecured borrowings in the aggregate under the money pool. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, FE will reinstate \$88 million for 2018 estimated payments for NOLs applied against the FES Debtor's position in the unregulated companies'

money pool prior to their withdrawal on March 16, 2018, which will increase the amount the FES Debtors owed FE under the money pool to \$92 million. In addition, as of March 31, 2018, AE Supply had a \$102 million outstanding unsecured promissory note owed from FES. Following deconsolidation of FES and FENOC on March 31, 2018, FE fully reserved the initial \$4 million associated with the outstanding unsecured borrowings under the unregulated companies' money pool and the \$102 million associated with the AE Supply unsecured promissory note. In the third quarter of 2018, FE reserved the additional \$88 million that will be reinstated for the FES Debtors under the money pool and, under the terms of the settlement agreement, FirstEnergy will release any and all claims against the FES Debtors with respect to the \$92 million owed under the unregulated money pool and \$102 million unsecured promissory note. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, approximately \$8 million and \$16 million, respectively, of interest was accrued and subsequently reserved.

Services Agreements

Pursuant to the settlement agreement, FirstEnergy entered into an amended and restated shared services agreement with the FES Debtors to extend the availability of Shared Services until no later than June 30, 2020, subject to reductions in services if requested by the FES Debtors. Under the amended shared services agreement, and consistent with the prior shared services agreements, costs are directly billed or assigned at no more than cost. In addition to providing for certain notice requirements and other terms and conditions, the agreement provides for a credit to the FES Debtors in an amount up to \$112.5 million for charges incurred for

services provided under prior shared services agreements and the amended shared services agreement from April 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. As of September 30, 2018, approximately \$110 million has been incurred and credited for shared services provided to the FES Debtors, which has been recognized by FE in loss from discontinued operations.

In addition, on March 16, 2018, FES, FENOC and FESC, entered into the FirstEnergy Solutions Money Pool Agreement in order for FESC to assist FES and FENOC with certain treasury support services under the shared service agreement. FESC is a party to the FirstEnergy Solutions Money Pool Agreement solely in the role as administrator of the money pool arrangement thereunder.

Benefit Obligations

FirstEnergy will retain certain obligations for the FES Debtors' employees for services provided prior to emergence from bankruptcy. The retention of this obligation at March 31, 2018, resulted in a net liability of \$820 million (including EDCP, pension and OPEB) with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations. EDCP, pension and OPEB costs earned by the FES Debtors' employees during bankruptcy are billed under the shared services agreement. Guarantees provided by FE

FE previously guaranteed FG's remaining payments due to CSX and BNSF in connection with the definitive settlement of a dispute regarding a coal transportation agreement. As of March 31, 2018, FE recorded an obligation for this guarantee in other current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations. On April 6, 2018, FE paid the remaining \$72 million owed under the settlement agreement as a result of the FES Bankruptcy. In addition, as of March 31, 2018, FE recorded, and on May 11, 2018, paid a \$58 million obligation for a sale-leaseback indemnity in other current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, FE will release all claims against the FES Debtors with respect to the guaranteed amounts.

Purchase Power

FES at times provides power through affiliated company power sales to meet a portion of the Utilities' POLR and default service requirements and provide power to certain affiliates' facilities. As of September 30, 2018, the Utilities owed FES approximately \$21 million related to these purchases. The terms and conditions of the power purchase agreements are generally consistent with industry practices and other similar third-party arrangements. The Utilities purchased and recognized in continuing operations approximately \$74 million and \$248 million of power from FES for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively.

Tax Allocation Agreement

Until the FES Debtors emerge from bankruptcy, it is expected that the FES Debtors will remain parties to the intercompany income tax allocation agreement with FE and its other subsidiaries, which provides for the allocation of consolidated tax liabilities. Net tax benefits attributable to FE are generally reallocated to the subsidiaries of FirstEnergy that have taxable income. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, FE agreed to waive settlement of the 2017 overpayment made to the FES Debtors and pay a minimum of \$66 million to the FES Debtors for the 2018 tax year (approximately \$20 million in estimated tax payments have been paid through September 30, 2018).

For U.S. federal income taxes, until emergence from bankruptcy, the FES Debtors will continue to be consolidated in FirstEnergy's tax return and taxable income will be determined based on the tax basis of underlying individual net assets. Deferred taxes previously recorded on the inside basis differences may not represent the actual tax consequence for the outside basis difference, causing a recharacterization of an existing consolidated-return net operating loss as a future worthless stock deduction (FirstEnergy currently estimates approximately \$950 million, net of unrecognized tax benefits of \$88 million). The estimated worthless stock deduction is contingent upon the emergence of the FES Debtors from the FES Bankruptcy and such amounts may be materially impacted by future events.

See Note 1, "Organization and Basis of Presentation," for further discussion of the settlement among FirstEnergy, the FES Key Creditor Groups, the FES Debtors and the UCC.

Competitive Generation Asset Sales

FirstEnergy announced in January 2017 that AE Supply and AGC had entered into an asset purchase agreement with a subsidiary of LS Power, as amended and restated in August 2017, to sell four natural gas generating plants, AE Supply's interest in the Buchanan Generating facility and approximately 59% of AGC's interest in Bath County (1,615 MWs of combined capacity), all of which were closed by May 2018. Additionally, as part of the FES Bankruptcy settlement agreement, discussed above, AE Supply will transfer all of its rights, title and interest in the 1,300 MW Pleasants Power Station and related assets to FES for the benefit of FES' creditors, while retaining certain specified liabilities, subject to the terms and conditions of an asset transfer agreement and related ancillary agreements to be negotiated by the parties prior to December 31, 2018. If the transaction is not consummated before January 1, 2019, FES will acquire the economic interests in Pleasants as of January 1, 2019, and AE Supply will operate Pleasants until the transfer.

On March 9, 2018, BSPC and FG entered into an asset purchase agreement with Walleye Power, LLC (formerly Walleye Energy, LLC), for the sale of the Bay Shore Generating Facility, including the 136 MW Bay Shore Unit 1 and other retired coal-fired generating equipment owned by FG. The Bankruptcy Court approved the sale on July 13, 2018, and the transaction was completed on July 31, 2018.

Individually, the AE Supply and BSPC asset sales and planned Pleasants transfer under the settlement agreement did not qualify for reporting as discontinued operations. However, in the aggregate, the asset sales and planned Pleasants transfer were part of management's strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation and, when considered with FES' and FENOC's bankruptcy filings on March 31, 2018, represent a collective elimination of substantially all of FirstEnergy's competitive generation fleet and meet the criteria for discontinued operations.

Summarized Results of Discontinued Operations

Summarized results of discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, were as follows:

(In millions)	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2018		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Revenues	\$83	\$788	\$934	\$2,299
Fuel	(52)	(237)	(269)	(671)
Purchased power	—	(66)	(85)	(189)
Other operating expenses	(24)	(290)	(414)	(1,097)
Provision for depreciation	(18)	(28)	(96)	(80)
General taxes	(4)	(15)	(32)	(74)
Impairment of assets	—	(18)	—	(149)
Other expense, net	(1)	(2)	(82)	(37)
Income (Loss) from discontinued operations, before tax	(16)	132	(44)	2
Income tax expense (benefit) ⁽¹⁾	(5)	37	(9)	(1)
Income (Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(11)	95	(35)	3
Gain (Loss) on disposal of FES and FENOC, net of tax	(834)	—	405	—
Income (Loss) from discontinued operations	\$(845)	\$95	\$370	\$3

⁽¹⁾ In conjunction with the sale of an interest in Bath County, AGC wrote off and recognized as a benefit in discontinued operations in the second quarter of 2018 its excess deferred tax liabilities of \$32 million, created from the Tax Act, since they are not required to be refunded to ratepayers.

The gain (loss) on disposal that was recognized in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, consisted of the following:

(In millions)	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2018	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018
	Removal of investment in FES and FENOC	\$ —
Assumption of benefit obligations retained at FE	—	(820)
Guarantees and credit support provided by FE	—	(139)
Reserve on receivables and allocated Pension/OPEB mark-to-market	—	(914)
Settlement Consideration and Services Credit	(1,183)	(1,183)
Loss on disposal of FES and FENOC, before tax	(1,183)	(863)
Income tax benefit, including estimated worthless stock deduction	349	1,268
Gain (Loss) on disposal of FES and FENOC, net of tax	\$ (834)	\$ 405

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the major classes of assets and liabilities as discontinued operations as of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017:

(In millions)	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Carrying amount of the major classes of assets included in discontinued operations:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 1
Restricted cash	—	3
Receivables	—	202
Materials and supplies	17	227
Prepaid taxes and other	—	199
Total current assets	17	632
Property, plant and equipment	—	1,132
Investments	—	1,875
Other noncurrent assets	—	356
Total noncurrent assets	—	3,363
Total assets included in discontinued operations	\$ 17	\$ 3,995
Carrying amount of the major classes of liabilities included in discontinued operations:		
Currently payable long-term debt	\$ —	\$ 524
Accounts payable	—	200
Accrued taxes	—	38
Accrued compensation and benefits	—	79
Other current liabilities	—	137
Total current liabilities	—	978
Long-term debt and other long-term obligations	—	2,428
Accumulated deferred income taxes ⁽¹⁾	—	(1,812)
Asset retirement obligations	—	1,945
Deferred gain on sale and leaseback transaction	—	723
Other noncurrent liabilities	—	244
Total noncurrent liabilities	—	3,528
Total liabilities included in discontinued operations	\$ —	\$ 4,506

⁽¹⁾ Represents an increase in FirstEnergy's ADIT liability as an ADIT asset was removed upon deconsolidation of FES and FENOC.

FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows combines cash flows from discontinued operations with cash flows from continuing operations within each cash flow statement category. The following table summarizes the major classes of cash flow items as discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017:

(In millions)	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018	2017
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Income from discontinued operations	\$370	\$ 3
Depreciation and amortization, including nuclear fuel, regulatory assets, net, intangible assets and deferred debt-related costs	110	245
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative transactions	(15)	64
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Property additions	(27)	(233)
Nuclear fuel	—	(156)
Sales of investment securities held in trusts	109	834
Purchases of investment securities held in trusts	(122)	(878)

4. EARNINGS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK

The convertible Preferred Stock issued in January 2018 (see Note 11, "Capitalization") is considered participating securities since these shares participate in dividends on Common Stock on an "as-converted" basis. As a result, EPS of Common Stock is computed using the two-class method required for participating securities.

The two-class method uses an earnings allocation formula that treats participating securities as having rights to earnings that otherwise would have been available only to common stockholders. Under the two-class method, net income attributable to common stockholders is derived by subtracting the following from income from continuing operations:

- preferred share dividends,
- deemed dividends for the amortization of the beneficial conversion feature recognized at issuance of the Preferred Stock (if any), and
- an allocation of undistributed earnings between the common shares and the participating securities (convertible Preferred Stock) based on their respective rights to receive dividends.

Net losses are not allocated to the convertible Preferred Stock as they do not have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of FirstEnergy. FirstEnergy allocates undistributed earnings based upon income from continuing operations.

The Preferred Stock includes an embedded conversion option at a price that is below the fair value of the Common Stock on the commitment date. This beneficial conversion feature, which was approximately \$296 million, represents the difference between the fair value per share of the Common Stock and the conversion price, multiplied by the number of common shares issuable upon conversion. The beneficial conversion feature was amortized as a deemed dividend over the period from the issue date to the first allowable conversion date (July 22, 2018) as a charge to OPIC, since FE is in an accumulated deficit position with no retained earnings to declare a dividend. As noted above, for EPS reporting purposes, this beneficial conversion feature will be reflected in net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders as a deemed dividend. The amount amortized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, was approximately \$35 million and \$296 million, respectively.

Basic EPS available to common stockholders is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Participating securities are excluded from basic weighted average ordinary shares outstanding. Diluted EPS available to common stockholders is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including all potentially dilutive common shares, if the effect of such common shares is dilutive.

Diluted EPS reflects the dilutive effect of potential common shares from share-based awards and convertible preferred shares. The dilutive effect of outstanding share-based awards is computed using the treasury stock method, which assumes any proceeds that could be obtained upon the exercise of the award would be used to purchase Common Stock at the average market price for the period. The dilutive effect of the convertible Preferred Stock is computed using the if-converted method, which assumes conversion of the convertible Preferred Stock at the beginning of the period, giving income recognition for the add-back of the preferred share dividends, amortization of beneficial conversion feature, and undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders.

The following table reconciles basic and diluted EPS of common stock:

			For the Nine	
			For the Three	Months
			Months Ended	Ended
			September 30,	September
			30,	30,
Reconciliation of Basic and Diluted EPS of Common Stock	2018	2017	2018	2017
(In millions, except per share amounts)				
EPS of Common Stock				
Income from continuing operations	\$387	\$301	\$840	\$772
Less: Preferred dividends	(19)	—	(61)	—
Less: Amortization of beneficial conversion feature	(35)	—	(296)	—
Less: Undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—
Income from continuing operations available to common stockholders	333	301	483	772
Discontinued operations, net of tax	(845)	95	370	3
Less: Undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—
Income (loss) from discontinued operations available to common stockholders	(845)	95	370	3
Income (loss) available to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$(512)	\$396	\$853	\$775
Share Count information:				
Weighted average number of basic shares outstanding	503	444	485	444
Assumed exercise of dilutive stock options and awards	2	2	2	1
Weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding	505	446	487	445
Income (loss) available to common stockholders, per common share:				
Income from continuing operations, basic	\$0.66	\$0.68	\$1.00	\$1.74
Discontinued operations, basic	(1.68)	0.21	0.76	0.01
Income (loss) available to common stockholders, basic	\$(1.02)	\$0.89	\$1.76	\$1.75
Income from continuing operations, diluted	\$0.66	\$0.68	\$0.99	\$1.73
Discontinued operations, diluted	(1.68)	0.21	0.76	0.01
Income (loss) available to common stockholders, diluted	\$(1.02)	\$0.89	\$1.75	\$1.74

Undistributed earnings were not allocated to participating securities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 as income from continuing operations less dividends declared (common and preferred) and deemed dividends were a net loss.

For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, one million shares from stock options and awards were excluded from the calculation of diluted shares outstanding, as their inclusion would be antidilutive to basic EPS from continuing operations. Also, 26 million shares associated with the assumed conversion of Preferred Stock were excluded, as their inclusion would be antidilutive to basic EPS from continuing operations.

5. PENSION AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The components of the consolidated net periodic costs (credits) for pension and OPEB were as follows:

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Costs (Credits) For the Three Months Ended September 30,	Pension		OPEB	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(In millions)			
Service costs	\$56	\$52	\$1	\$1
Interest costs	93	97	6	7
Expected return on plan assets	(144)	(112)	(7)	(7)
Amortization of prior service costs (credits)	2	2	(20)	(20)
Special termination costs	21	—	6	—
Net periodic costs (credits), including amounts capitalized	\$28	\$39	\$(14)	\$(19)
Net periodic costs (credits), recognized in earnings	\$5	\$30	\$(15)	\$(14)

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Costs (Credits) For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	Pension		OPEB	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(In millions)			
Service costs	\$168	\$156	\$3	\$3
Interest costs	279	291	18	21
Expected return on plan assets	(432)	(336)	(22)	(22)
Amortization of prior service costs (credits)	6	6	(60)	(60)
Special termination costs	21	—	6	—
Net periodic costs (credits), including amounts capitalized	\$42	\$117	\$(55)	\$(58)
Net periodic costs (credits), recognized in earnings	\$(27)	\$89	\$(57)	\$(43)

Amounts in the tables above include FES' and FENOC's share of the net periodic pension and OPEB costs (credits) of \$13 million and \$(10) million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2018. FES' and FENOC's share of the net periodic pension and OPEB costs (credits) were \$16 million and \$(8) million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and \$47 million and \$(24) million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2017. Such amounts are a component of Discontinued Operations in FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). Following FES and FENOC's voluntary bankruptcy filing FE has billed FES and FENOC for their share of pension and OPEB service costs of \$14 million and \$28 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively.

In support of the strategic review to exit competitive generation, management launched the FE Tomorrow initiative to define FirstEnergy's future organization to support its regulated business. FE Tomorrow is intended to align corporate services to efficiently support the regulated operations by ensuring that FirstEnergy has the right talent, organizational and cost structure to achieve our earnings growth targets. As part of the FE Tomorrow initiative, in June and early July 2018, nearly 500 employees in the shared services and utility services and sustainability organizations, which was more than 80% of eligible employees, accepted a voluntary enhanced retirement package, which included severance compensation and a temporary pension enhancement, with most employees expected to depart by December 31, 2018. Such amounts are classified as special termination costs within net periodic pension and OPEB costs (credits). Following adoption of ASU 2017-07, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits: Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost" in 2018, service costs, net of capitalization, continue to be reported within Other operating expenses on the FirstEnergy Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). Non-service costs are reported within Miscellaneous income, net, within Other Income (Expense). Prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation. See Note 1, "Organization and Basis of Presentation," for additional information.

In January 2018, FirstEnergy satisfied its minimum required funding obligations of \$500 million and addressed funding obligations for future years to its qualified pension plan with additional contributions of \$750 million.

6. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The changes in AOCI, net of tax, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, for FirstEnergy are included in the following tables:

	Gains & Losses on Cash Flow Hedges (In millions)	Unrealized Gains on AFS Securities	Defined Benefit Pension & OPEB Plans	Total
AOCI balance as of July 1, 2018	\$(14)	\$ —	\$ 87	\$ 73
Amounts reclassified from AOCI ^{(1) (2)}	2	—	(18)	(16)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	2	—	(18)	(16)
Income tax benefits on other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	(4)	(4)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	2	—	(14)	(12)
AOCI Balance as of September 30, 2018	\$(12)	\$ —	\$ 73	\$ 61
AOCI balance as of July 1, 2017	\$(26)	\$ 61	\$ 128	\$ 163
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	27	—	27
Amounts reclassified from AOCI ^{(1) (2)}	4	(33)	(19)	(48)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	4	(6)	(19)	(21)
Income taxes (benefits) on other comprehensive income (loss)	1	(3)	(7)	(9)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	3	(3)	(12)	(12)
AOCI Balance as of September 30, 2017	\$(23)	\$ 58	\$ 116	\$ 151
	Gains & Losses on Cash Flow Hedges (In millions)	Unrealized Gains on AFS Securities	Defined Benefit Pension & OPEB Plans	Total
AOCI Balance as of January 1, 2018	\$(22)	\$ 67	\$ 97	\$ 142
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	(97)	—	(97)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI ^{(1) (2) (3)}	6	(1)	(55)	(50)
Deconsolidation of FES and FENOC	13	(8)	—	5
Other comprehensive income (loss)	19	(106)	(55)	(142)
Income taxes (benefits) on other comprehensive income (loss)	9	(39)	(31)	(61)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	10	(67)	(24)	(81)
AOCI Balance as of September 30, 2018	\$(12)	\$ —	\$ 73	\$ 61

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

AOCI Balance as of January 1, 2017	\$ (28)	\$ 52	\$ 150	\$ 174
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	63	—	63
Amounts reclassified from AOCI ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾	8	(55)	(55)	(102)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	8	8	(55)	(39)
Income taxes (benefits) on other comprehensive income (loss)	3	2	(21)	(16)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	5	6	(34)	(23)
AOCI Balance as of September 30, 2017	\$ (23)	\$ 58	\$ 116	\$ 151

⁽¹⁾ Amounts in parenthesis represent credits to the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) from AOCI.

⁽²⁾ Components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. See Note 5, "Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits," for additional details.

⁽³⁾ Includes stranded tax amounts reclassified from AOCI in connection with the adoption of ASU 2018-02, "Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income".

The following amounts were reclassified from AOCI for FirstEnergy in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss)
Reclassifications from AOCI ⁽¹⁾	2018	2017	2018 ⁽³⁾	2017	
	(In millions)				
Gains & losses on cash flow hedges					
Long-term debt	\$2	\$4	\$6	\$8	Interest expense
	—	(1)	(1)	(3)	Income taxes
	\$2	\$3	\$5	\$5	Net of tax
Unrealized gains on AFS securities					
Realized gains on sales of securities	\$—	\$(21)	\$(1)	\$(35)	Discontinued Operations
Defined benefit pension and OPEB plans					
Prior-service costs	\$(18)	\$(19)	\$(55)	\$(55)	⁽²⁾
	5	7	14	21	Income taxes
	\$(13)	\$(12)	\$(41)	\$(34)	Net of tax

⁽¹⁾ Amounts in parenthesis represent credits to the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) from AOCI.

⁽²⁾ Components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. See Note 5, "Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits," for additional details.

⁽³⁾ Includes stranded tax amounts reclassified from AOCI in connection with the adoption of ASU 2018-02, "Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income".

7. INCOME TAXES

FirstEnergy's interim effective tax rates reflect the estimated annual effective tax rates for 2018 and 2017. These tax rates are affected by estimated annual permanent items, such as AFUDC equity and other flow-through items, as well as discrete items that may occur in any given period, but are not consistent from period to period.

FirstEnergy's effective tax rate on continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, was 25.6% and 40.2%, respectively. The decrease in effective tax rate is primarily due to the Tax Act that decreased the corporate federal income tax rate from 35% to 21%, which became effective January 1, 2018.

FirstEnergy's effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 was 37.5% and 38.5%, respectively. The decrease in effective tax rate is primarily due to the Tax Act, discussed above, offset by the impact of the legal and financial separation of FES and FENOC from FirstEnergy in the first quarter of 2018. This separation officially eroded the ties between FES, FENOC and other FirstEnergy subsidiaries doing business in West Virginia.

As such, FES and FENOC were removed from the West Virginia unitary group when calculating West Virginia state income taxes, resulting in a \$126 million charge to income tax expense in continuing operations associated with the re-measurement in state deferred taxes. See Note 3, "Discontinued Operations" for other tax matters relating to the FES Bankruptcy that were recognized in discontinued operations.

At December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy recorded provisional income tax amounts in its accounting for certain effects of the provisions of the Tax Act as allowed under SAB 118. In addition, SAB 118 allowed for a measurement period for companies to finalize the provisional amounts recorded as of December 31, 2017, not to exceed one year. During the third quarter of 2018, the IRS provided additional guidance regarding the Tax Act, however, the adjustments to the provisional amounts recorded as of December 31, 2017, were immaterial. FirstEnergy expects to complete its assessment and record any final adjustments to the provisional amounts in the fourth quarter of 2018. FirstEnergy's assessment of accounting for the Tax Act is based upon management's current understanding of the Tax Act. However, it is also expected that further guidance will be issued during the fourth quarter of 2018, which may result in adjustments that could have a material impact to FirstEnergy's future results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

On July 1, 2018, the Governor of New Jersey signed budget legislation that, among other things, enacted unitary combined reporting, imposed a temporary surtax on top of the 9% corporate tax rate, imposed a one-time surtax on certain dividends, required market-based sourcing for sales of services, and selectively adopted certain aspects of the Tax Act. FirstEnergy expects the impact of this legislation to be immaterial to the financial statements.

As of September 30, 2018, it was reasonably possible that approximately \$2 million of unrecognized tax benefits, unrelated to FES and FENOC, may be resolved within the next twelve months as a result of the statute of limitations expiring, none of which would affect FirstEnergy's effective tax rate.

On October 18, 2017, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania affirmed the Commonwealth Court's holding that the state's net loss carryover provision violated the Pennsylvania Uniformity Clause and was unconstitutional. However, the court also opined that the unconstitutional portion of the net loss carryover provision that created the violation may be severed from the statute, enabling the statute to operate as the legislature intended, and on October 30, 2017, the Pennsylvania Governor signed House Bill 542 into law, which, among other things, amended Pennsylvania's limitation on net loss deductions to remove the flat-dollar limitation. On January 4, 2018, the court declined to further hear any arguments related to the matter and, as a result, FirstEnergy withdrew its protective refund claims from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on January 30, 2018. Upon doing so, FirstEnergy reversed a previously recorded unrecognized tax benefit of approximately \$45 million in the first quarter of 2018, none of which impacted FirstEnergy's effective tax rate.

In January 2018, the IRS completed its examination of FirstEnergy's 2016 federal income tax return and issued a Full Acceptance Letter with no changes or adjustments to FirstEnergy's taxable income.

8. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

FirstEnergy performs qualitative analyses based on control and economics to determine whether a variable interest classifies FirstEnergy as the primary beneficiary (a controlling financial interest) of a VIE. An enterprise has a controlling financial interest if it has both power and economic control, such that an entity has: (i) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. FirstEnergy consolidates a VIE when it is determined that it is the primary beneficiary.

In order to evaluate contracts for consolidation treatment and entities for which FirstEnergy has an interest, FirstEnergy aggregates variable interests into categories based on similar risk characteristics and significance.

Consolidated VIEs

VIEs in which FirstEnergy is the primary beneficiary consist of the following (included in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements):

Ohio Securitization - In September 2012, the Ohio Companies created separate, wholly owned limited liability company SPEs which issued phase-in recovery bonds to securitize the recovery of certain all-electric customer heating discounts, fuel and purchased power regulatory assets. The phase-in recovery bonds are payable only from, and secured by, phase-in recovery property owned by the SPEs. The bondholder has no recourse to the general credit of FirstEnergy or any of the Ohio Companies. Each of the Ohio Companies, as servicer of its respective SPE, manages and administers the phase-in recovery property including the billing, collection and remittance of usage-based charges payable by retail electric customers. In the aggregate, the Ohio Companies are entitled to annual servicing fees of \$445 thousand that are recoverable through the usage-based charges. The SPEs are considered VIEs and each one is consolidated into its applicable utility. As of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, \$292 million and \$315 million of the phase-in recovery bonds were outstanding, respectively.

JCP&L Securitization - In August 2006, JCP&L Transition Funding II sold transition bonds to securitize the recovery of deferred costs associated with JCP&L's supply of BGS. JCP&L did not purchase and does not own any of the transition bonds, which are included as long-term debt on FirstEnergy's and JCP&L's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The transition bonds are the sole obligations of JCP&L Transition Funding II and are collateralized by its equity and assets, which consist primarily of bondable transition property. As of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017,

\$45 million and \$56 million of the transition bonds were outstanding, respectively.

MP and PE Environmental Funding Companies - The entities issued bonds, the proceeds of which were used to construct environmental control facilities. The limited liability company SPEs own the irrevocable right to collect non-bypassable environmental control charges from all customers who receive electric delivery service in MP's and PE's West Virginia service territories. Principal and interest owed on the environmental control bonds is secured by, and payable solely from, the proceeds of the environmental control charges. Creditors of FirstEnergy, other than the limited liability company SPEs, have no recourse to any assets or revenues of the special purpose limited liability companies. As of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, \$359 million and \$383 million of the environmental control bonds were outstanding, respectively.

Unconsolidated VIEs

FirstEnergy is not the primary beneficiary of the following VIEs:

Global Holding - FEV holds a 33-1/3% equity ownership in Global Holding, the holding company for a joint venture in the Signal Peak mining and coal transportation operations with coal sales in U.S. and international markets. FEV is not the primary beneficiary of the joint venture, as it does not have control over the significant activities affecting the joint venture's

economic performance. FEV's ownership interest is subject to the equity method of accounting. In 2015, FirstEnergy fully impaired the value of its investment in Global Holding.

As discussed in Note 14, "Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies," FE is the guarantor under Global Holding's \$300 million term loan facility, which matures in March 2020 and has an outstanding principal balance of \$220 million as of September 30, 2018. Failure by Global Holding to meet the terms and conditions under its term loan facility could require FE to be obligated under the provisions of its guarantee, resulting in consolidation of Global Holding by FE.

PATH WV - PATH, a proposed transmission line from West Virginia through Virginia into Maryland which PJM cancelled in 2012, is a series limited liability company that is comprised of multiple series, each of which has separate rights, powers and duties regarding specified property and the series profits and losses associated with such property. A subsidiary of FE owns 100% of the Allegheny Series (PATH-Allegheny) and 50% of the West Virginia Series (PATH-WV), which is a joint venture with a subsidiary of AEP. FirstEnergy is not the primary beneficiary of PATH-WV, as it does not have control over the significant activities affecting the economics of PATH-WV. FirstEnergy's ownership interest in PATH-WV is subject to the equity method of accounting. As of September 30, 2018, the carrying value of the equity method investment was \$17 million.

- Purchase Power Agreements - FirstEnergy evaluated its PPAs and determined that certain NUG entities at its Regulated Distribution segment may be VIEs to the extent that they own a plant that sells substantially all of its output to the applicable utilities and the contract price for power is correlated with the plant's variable costs of production.

FirstEnergy maintains 12 long-term PPAs with NUG entities that were entered into pursuant to PURPA. FirstEnergy was not involved in the creation of, and has no equity or debt invested in, any of these entities. FirstEnergy has determined that for all but one of these NUG entities, it does not have a variable interest, or the entities do not meet the criteria to be considered a VIE. FirstEnergy may hold a variable interest in the remaining one entity; however, it applied the scope exception that exempts enterprises unable to obtain the necessary information to evaluate entities. Because FirstEnergy has no equity or debt interests in the NUG entities, its maximum exposure to loss relates primarily to the above-market costs incurred for power. FirstEnergy expects any above-market costs incurred at its Regulated Distribution segment to be recovered from customers. Purchased power costs related to the contract that may contain a variable interest during the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, were \$27 million and \$29 million, respectively, and \$85 million and \$82 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

FES and FENOC - As a result of the Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing discussed in Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," FE evaluated its investments in FES and FENOC and determined they are VIEs. FE is not the primary beneficiary because it lacks a controlling interest in FES and FENOC, which are subject to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court as of March 31, 2018. The carrying values of the equity investments in FES and FENOC were zero at September 30, 2018.

9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Authoritative accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 measurements and the lowest priority to Level 3 measurements. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy and a description of the valuation techniques are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices for identical instruments in active market

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active market

- Quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active
- Model-derived valuations for which all significant inputs are observable market data

Models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures.

Level 3 - Valuation inputs are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement

FirstEnergy produces a long-term power and capacity price forecast annually with periodic updates as market conditions change. When underlying prices are not observable, prices from the long-term price forecast, which has been reviewed and approved by FirstEnergy's Risk Policy Committee, are used to measure fair value.

FTRs are financial instruments that entitle the holder to a stream of revenues (or charges) based on the hourly day-ahead congestion price differences across transmission paths. FTRs are acquired by FirstEnergy in the annual, monthly and long-term PJM auctions and are initially recorded using the auction clearing price less cost. After initial recognition, FTRs' carrying values are periodically adjusted to fair value using a mark-to-model methodology, which approximates market. The primary inputs into the model, which are generally less observable than objective sources, are the most recent PJM auction clearing prices and the FTRs' remaining hours. The model calculates the fair value by multiplying the most recent auction clearing price by the remaining FTR hours less the prorated FTR cost. Generally, significant increases or decreases in inputs in isolation could result in a higher or lower fair value measurement. See Note 10, "Derivative Instruments," for additional information regarding FirstEnergy's FTRs.

NUG contracts represent PPAs with third-party non-utility generators that are transacted to satisfy certain obligations under PURPA. NUG contract carrying values are recorded at fair value and adjusted periodically using a mark-to-model methodology, which approximates market. The primary unobservable inputs into the model are regional power prices and generation MWH. Pricing for the NUG contracts is a combination of market prices for the current year and next two years based on observable data and internal models using historical trends and market data for the remaining years under contract. The internal models use forecasted energy purchase prices as an input when prices are not defined by the contract. Forecasted market prices are based on ICE quotes and management assumptions. Generation MWH reflects data provided by contractual arrangements and historical trends. The model calculates the fair value by multiplying the prices by the generation MWH. Generally, significant increases or decreases in inputs in isolation could result in a higher or lower fair value measurement.

FirstEnergy primarily applies the market approach for recurring fair value measurements using the best information available. Accordingly, FirstEnergy maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs. There were no changes in valuation methodologies used as of September 30, 2018, from those used as of December 31, 2017. The determination of the fair value measures takes into consideration various factors, including

but not limited to, nonperformance risk, counterparty credit risk and the impact of credit enhancements (such as cash deposits, LOCs and priority interests). The impact of these forms of risk was not significant to the fair value measurements.

Transfers between levels are recognized at the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2018. The following tables set forth FirstEnergy's recurring assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy:

	September 30, 2018				December 31, 2017			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets	(In millions)							
Corporate debt securities	\$—	\$405	\$—	\$405	\$—	\$476	\$—	\$476
Derivative assets - FTRs	—	—	10	10	—	—	3	3
Equity securities ⁽¹⁾	329	—	—	329	297	—	—	297
Foreign government debt securities	—	12	—	12	—	23	—	23
U.S. government debt securities	—	22	—	22	—	21	—	21
U.S. state debt securities	—	251	—	251	—	247	—	247
Other ⁽²⁾	436	106	—	542	588	38	—	626
Total assets	\$765	\$796	\$10	\$1,571	\$885	\$805	\$3	\$1,693
Liabilities								
Derivative liabilities - FTRs	\$—	\$—	\$(1)	\$(1)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Derivative liabilities - NUG contracts ⁽³⁾	—	—	(52)	(52)	—	—	(79)	(79)
Total liabilities	\$—	\$—	\$(53)	\$(53)	\$—	\$—	\$(79)	\$(79)
Net assets (liabilities) ⁽⁴⁾	\$765	\$796	\$(43)	\$1,518	\$885	\$805	\$(76)	\$1,614

(1) NDT funds hold equity portfolios whose performance is benchmarked against the S&P 500 Low Volatility High Dividend Index, S&P 500 Index, MSCI World Index and MSCI AC World IMI Index.

(2) Primarily consists of short-term cash investments.

(3) NUG contracts are subject to regulatory accounting treatment and changes in market values do not impact earnings.

Excludes \$(33) million and \$(11) million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, of

(4) receivables, payables, taxes and accrued income associated with financial instruments reflected within the fair value table.

Rollforward of Level 3 Measurements

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of NUG contracts and FTRs that are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy for the periods ended September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017:

	NUG Contracts ⁽¹⁾			FTRs		
	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Net	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Net
	(In millions)					
January 1, 2017 Balance	\$1	\$ (108)	\$(107)	\$3	\$ (1)	\$2
Unrealized gain (loss)	—	(10)	(10)	1	(1)	—
Purchases	—	—	—	3	—	3
Settlements	(1)	39	38	(4)	2	(2)
December 31, 2017 Balance	\$—	\$ (79)	\$(79)	\$3	\$ —	\$3
Unrealized gain (loss)	—	2	2	7	2	9
Purchases	—	—	—	5	(5)	—
Settlements	—	25	25	(5)	2	(3)
September 30, 2018 Balance	\$—	\$ (52)	\$(52)	\$10	\$ (1)	\$9

(1)NUG contracts are subject to regulatory accounting treatment and changes in market values do not impact earnings.

Level 3 Quantitative Information

The following table provides quantitative information for FTRs and NUG contracts that are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy for the period ended September 30, 2018:

	Fair Value, Net (In millions)	Valuation Technique	Significant Input	Range	Weighted Average	Units
FTRs	\$ 9	Model	RTO auction clearing prices	\$(0.40) to \$8.60	\$1.40	Dollars/MWH
NUG Contracts	\$ (52)	Model	Generation Regional electricity prices	400 to 1,437,000 \$30.60 to \$32.70	293,000 \$31.60	MWH Dollars/MWH

INVESTMENTS

All temporary cash investments purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less are reported as cash equivalents on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at cost, which approximates their fair market value. Investments other than cash and cash equivalents include equity securities, AFS debt securities and other investments. FirstEnergy has no debt securities held for trading purposes.

Generally, unrealized gains and losses on equity securities are recognized in income whereas unrealized gains and losses on AFS debt securities are recognized in AOCI. However, the NDTs of JCP&L, ME and PN are subject to regulatory accounting with all gains and losses on equity and AFS debt securities offset against regulatory assets.

The investment policy for the NDT funds restricts or limits the trusts' ability to hold certain types of assets including private or direct placements, warrants, securities of FirstEnergy, investments in companies owning nuclear power plants, financial derivatives, securities convertible into common stock and securities of the trust funds' custodian or

managers and their parents or subsidiaries.

Nuclear Decommissioning and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Trusts

JCP&L, ME and PN hold debt and equity securities within their respective NDT and nuclear fuel disposal trusts. The debt securities are classified as AFS securities, recognized at fair market value.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost basis, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and fair values of investments held in NDT and nuclear fuel disposal trusts as of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017:

	September 30, 2018 ⁽¹⁾				December 31, 2017 ⁽¹⁾			
	Cost Basis	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Cost Basis	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(In millions)							
Debt securities	\$712	\$ 2	\$ (23)	\$ 691	\$774	\$ 11	\$ (17)	\$ 768
Equity securities	\$286	\$ 42	\$ (1)	\$ 327	\$254	\$ 40	\$ —	\$ 294

⁽¹⁾ Excludes short-term cash investments of \$57 million and \$11 million in 2018 and 2017, respectively

Proceeds from the sale of investments in equity and AFS debt securities, realized gains and losses on those sales and interest and dividend income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2018		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(In millions)			
Sale Proceeds	\$261	\$269	\$627	\$1,089
Realized Gains	3	20	31	70
Realized Losses	(7)	(11)	(34)	(55)
Interest and Dividend Income	11	9	31	28

Other Investments

Other investments include employee benefit trusts, which are primarily invested in corporate-owned life insurance policies, and equity method investments. Other investments were \$254 million and \$255 million as of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, respectively, and are excluded from the amounts reported above.

LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

All borrowings with initial maturities of less than one year are defined as short-term financial instruments under GAAP and are reported as Short-term borrowings on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at cost. Since these borrowings are short-term in nature, FirstEnergy believes that their costs approximate their fair market value. The following table provides the approximate fair value and related carrying amounts of FirstEnergy's long-term debt, which excludes capital lease obligations and net unamortized debt issuance costs, premiums and discounts as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
	(In millions)	
Carrying Value	\$17,796	\$ 19,296
Fair Value	\$18,761	\$ 21,412

The fair values of long-term debt and other long-term obligations reflect the present value of the cash outflows relating to those securities based on the current call price, the yield to maturity or the yield to call, as deemed

appropriate at the end of each respective period. The yields assumed were based on securities with similar characteristics offered by corporations with credit ratings similar to those of FirstEnergy. FirstEnergy classified short-term borrowings, long-term debt and other long-term obligations as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017.

10. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

FirstEnergy is exposed to financial risks resulting from fluctuating interest rates and commodity prices, including prices for electricity, coal and energy transmission. To manage the volatility related to these exposures, FirstEnergy's Risk Policy Committee, comprised of senior management, provides general management oversight for risk management activities throughout FirstEnergy. The Risk Policy Committee is responsible for promoting the effective design and implementation of sound risk management programs and oversees compliance with corporate risk management policies and established risk management practice. FirstEnergy also uses a variety of derivative instruments for risk management purposes including forward contracts, options, futures contracts and swaps.

FirstEnergy accounts for derivative instruments on its Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value (unless they meet the normal purchases and normal sales criteria) as follows:

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded to AOCI with subsequent reclassification to earnings in the period during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings.

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges are recorded as an adjustment to the item being hedged. When fair value hedges are discontinued, the adjustment recorded to the item being hedged is amortized into earnings.

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are not designated in a hedging relationship are recorded in earnings on a mark-to-market basis, unless otherwise noted.

Derivative instruments meeting the normal purchases and normal sales criteria are accounted for under the accrual method of accounting with their effects included in earnings at the time of contract performance.

FirstEnergy has contractual derivative agreements through 2020.

Cash Flow Hedges

FirstEnergy has used forward starting interest rate swap agreements to hedge a portion of the consolidated interest rate risk associated with anticipated issuances of fixed-rate, long-term debt securities of its subsidiaries. These derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges, protecting against the risk of changes in future interest payments resulting from changes in benchmark U.S. Treasury rates between the date of hedge inception and the date of the debt issuance. Total pre-tax unamortized losses included in AOCI associated with prior interest rate cash flow hedges totaled \$16 million and \$22 million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Based on current estimates, approximately \$3 million of these unamortized losses are expected to be amortized to interest expense during the next twelve months.

Refer to Note 6, "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income," for reclassifications from AOCI during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017.

As of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, no commodity or interest rate derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges.

Fair Value Hedges

FirstEnergy has used fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements to hedge a portion of the consolidated interest rate risk associated with the debt portfolio of its subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, no fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements were outstanding.

Unamortized gains included in long-term debt associated with prior fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements totaled \$2 million and \$3 million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

NUGs

As of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy's net liability position under NUG contracts was \$52 million and \$79 million, respectively, representing contracts held at JCP&L and PN. NUG contracts are classified as an adverse power contract liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, there were settlements of \$9 million and \$25 million, and unrealized gains of \$4 million and \$2

million, respectively. Changes in the fair value of NUG contracts are subject to regulatory accounting treatment and do not impact earnings.

FTRs

As of September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy's net asset position associated with FTRs was \$9 million and \$3 million, respectively. FirstEnergy holds FTRs that generally represent an economic hedge of future congestion charges that will be incurred in connection with FirstEnergy's load obligations. FirstEnergy acquires the majority of its FTRs in an annual auction through a self-scheduling process involving the use of ARRs allocated to members of PJM that have load serving obligations. For the three months ended September 30, 2018, there were no settlements and there were unrealized gains of \$9 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2018, there were settlements of \$3 million and unrealized gains of \$9 million.

11. CAPITALIZATION

Stock Issuance

On January 22, 2018, FirstEnergy entered into agreements for the private placement of its equity securities representing an approximately \$2.5 billion investment in FE. FE entered into a Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement (the Preferred SPA) for the private placement of 1,616,000 shares of mandatorily convertible preferred stock, designated as the Series A Convertible Preferred

Stock, par value \$100 per share, representing an investment of nearly \$1.62 billion (\$162 million of mandatorily convertible preferred stock and \$1.46 billion of OPIC). FE also entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement for the private placement of 30,120,482 shares of FE's common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, representing an investment of \$850 million (\$3 million of Common Stock and \$847 million of OPIC).

The Preferred Stock participates in dividends on the Common Stock on an as-converted basis based on the number of shares of Common Stock a holder of Preferred Stock would receive if its shares of Preferred Stock were converted on the dividend record date at the conversion price in effect at that time. Such dividends are paid at the same time that the dividends on Common Stock are paid.

Each share of Preferred Stock is convertible at the option of the holders into a number of shares of Common Stock equal to the \$1,000 liquidation preference, divided by the Conversion Price then in effect. As of September 30, 2018, the Conversion Price in effect remained \$27.42 per share. The Conversion Price is subject to anti-dilution adjustments and adjustments for subdivisions and combinations of the Common Stock, as well as dividends on the Common Stock paid in Common Stock and for certain equity issuances below the Conversion Price then in effect. As of September 30, 2018, 911,411 preferred shares have been converted into 33,238,910 common shares at the option of the holders.

In general, any shares of Preferred Stock outstanding on July 22, 2019, will be automatically converted. Further, the Preferred Stock will automatically convert to Common Stock upon certain events of bankruptcy or liquidation of FE. FE may elect to convert the Preferred Stock if, at any time, fewer than 323,200 shares of Preferred Stock are outstanding. However, no shares of Preferred Stock will be converted prior to January 22, 2020, if such conversion will cause a converting holder to be deemed to beneficially own, together with its affiliates whose holdings would be aggregated with such holder for purposes of Section 13(d) under the Exchange Act, more than 4.9% of the then-outstanding Common Stock. Furthermore, in no event shall the Company issue more than 58,964,222 shares of Common Stock (the Share Cap) in the aggregate upon conversion of the convertible Preferred Stock. From and after the time at which the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock issued upon conversion of the Preferred Stock equals the Share Cap, each holder electing to convert convertible Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the market value of the Common Stock such holder does not receive upon conversion.

The holders of Preferred Stock have limited class voting rights related to the creation of additional securities that are senior or equal with the Preferred Stock, as well as certain reclassifications and amendments that would affect the rights of the holders of Preferred Stock. The holders of Preferred Stock also have the right to approve issuances of securities convertible or exchangeable for Common Stock, subject to certain exceptions for compensation arrangements and bona fide dividend reinvestment or share purchase plans.

Pursuant to the Preferred SPA, FirstEnergy formed an RWG composed of three employees of FirstEnergy and two outside members identified in the Preferred SPA to advise FirstEnergy management regarding FES' restructuring. On September 20, 2018, pursuant to the Preferred SPA, the RWG was terminated in light of the substantial completion of the RWG's role.

12. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

FirstEnergy has recognized applicable legal obligations for AROs and their associated cost, primarily for the decommissioning of the TMI-2 nuclear generating facility and environmental remediation, including reclamation of sludge disposal ponds, closure of coal ash disposal sites, underground and above-ground storage tanks and wastewater treatment lagoons. In addition, FirstEnergy has recognized conditional retirement obligations, primarily for asbestos remediation.

FirstEnergy uses an expected cash flow approach to measure the fair value of the nuclear decommissioning and environmental remediation AROs, considering the expected timing of settlement of the ARO based on the expected

economic useful life of the plants. Conditional retirement obligations associated with tangible long-lived assets are recognized at fair value in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate can be made, even though there may be uncertainty about timing or method of settlement.

The aggregate ARO liabilities for FirstEnergy are approximately \$630 million and \$570 million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

In April 2015, the EPA finalized regulations for the disposal of CCRs (non-hazardous), establishing national standards for landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring and protection procedures and other operational and reporting procedures to assure the safe disposal of CCRs from electric generating plants. On September 13, 2017, the EPA announced that it would reconsider certain provisions of the final regulations. On July 17, 2018, the EPA Administrator signed a final rule extending the deadline for certain CCR facilities to cease disposal and commence closure activities, as well as, establishing less stringent groundwater monitoring and protection requirements. On August 21, 2018, the D.C. Circuit remanded sections of the CCR Rule to the EPA to provide additional safeguards for unlined CCR impoundments that are more protective of human health and the environment, effective October 12, 2018. AE Supply assessed the changes in timing and closure plan requirements associated with the McElroy's Run impoundment site and increased the ARO by approximately \$43 million in the third quarter of 2018.

13. REGULATORY MATTERS

STATE REGULATION

Each of the Utilities' retail rates, conditions of service, issuance of securities and other matters are subject to regulation in the states in which it operates - in Maryland by the MDPSC, in Ohio by the PUCO, in New Jersey by the NJBPU, in Pennsylvania by the PPUC, in West Virginia by the WVPSC and in New York by the NYPS. The transmission operations of PE in Virginia are subject to certain regulations of the VSCC. In addition, under Ohio law, municipalities may regulate rates of a public utility, subject to appeal to the PUCO if not acceptable to the utility. In addition, if any of the FirstEnergy affiliates were to engage in the construction of significant new transmission facilities, depending on the state, they may be required to obtain state regulatory authorization to site, construct and operate the new transmission facility.

Following the adoption of the Tax Act, various state regulatory proceedings have been initiated to investigate the impact of the Tax Act on the Utilities' rates and charges. State proceedings that have arisen are discussed below. The Utilities continue to monitor and investigate the impact of state regulatory proceedings resulting from the Tax Act.

MARYLAND

PE provides SOS pursuant to a combination of settlement agreements, MDPSC orders and regulations, and statutory provisions. SOS supply is competitively procured in the form of rolling contracts of varying lengths through periodic auctions that are overseen by the MDPSC and a third-party monitor. Although settlements with respect to SOS supply for PE customers have expired, service continues in the same manner until changed by order of the MDPSC. PE recovers its costs plus a return for providing SOS.

The Maryland legislature adopted a statute in 2008 codifying the EmPOWER Maryland goals to reduce electric consumption and demand and requiring each electric utility to file a plan every three years. On July 16, 2015, the MDPSC issued an order setting new incremental energy savings goals for 2017 and beyond, beginning with the goal of 0.97% savings achieved under PE's plan for 2016, and increasing 0.2% per year thereafter to reach 2%. The Maryland legislature in April 2017 adopted a statute requiring the same 0.2% per year increase, up to the ultimate goal of 2% annual savings, for the duration of the 2018-2020 and 2021-2023 EmPOWER Maryland program cycles, to the extent the MDPSC determines that cost-effective programs and services are available. PE's approved 2018-2020 EmPOWER Maryland plan continues and expands upon prior years' programs, and adds new programs, for a projected total cost of \$116 million over the three-year period. On December 22, 2017, the MDPSC issued an order approving the 2018-2020 plan with various modifications. PE recovers program costs subject to a five-year amortization. Maryland law only allows for the utility to recover lost distribution revenue attributable to energy efficiency or demand reduction programs through a base rate case proceeding, and to date, such recovery has not been sought or obtained by PE.

On February 27, 2013, the MDPSC issued an order requiring the Maryland electric utilities to submit analyses relating to the costs and benefits of making further system and staffing enhancements in order to attempt to reduce storm outage durations. PE's responsive filings discussed the steps needed to harden the utility's system in order to attempt to achieve various levels of storm response speed described in the February 2013 Order, and projected that it would require approximately \$2.7 billion in infrastructure investments over 15 years to attempt to achieve the quickest level of response for the largest storm projected in the February 2013 Order. On July 1, 2014, the Staff of the MDPSC issued a set of reports that recommended the imposition of extensive additional requirements in the areas of storm response, feeder performance, estimates of restoration times, and regulatory reporting, as well as the imposition of penalties, including customer rebates, for a utility's failure or inability to comply with the escalating standards of storm restoration speed proposed by the Staff of the MDPSC. In addition, the Staff of the MDPSC proposed that

Maryland utilities be required to develop and implement system hardening plans, up to a rate impact cap on cost. The MDPSC conducted a hearing September 15-18, 2014, to consider certain of these matters, and has not yet issued a ruling on any of those matters.

On September 26, 2016, the MDPSC initiated a new proceeding to consider an array of issues relating to electric distribution system design, including matters relating to electric vehicles, distributed energy resources, advanced metering infrastructure, energy storage, system planning, rate design, and impacts on low-income customers. Comments were filed, and a hearing was held in late 2016. On January 31, 2017, the MDPSC issued a notice establishing five working groups to address these issues over the following eighteen months, and also directed the retention of an outside consultant to prepare a report on costs and benefits of distributed solar generation in Maryland. On January 19, 2018, PE filed a joint petition, along with other utility companies, work group stakeholders, and the MDPSC electric vehicle work group leader, to implement a statewide electric vehicle portfolio. If approved, PE will launch an electric vehicle charging infrastructure program on January 1, 2019, offering up to 2,000 rebates for electric vehicle charging equipment to residential customers, and deploying up to 259 chargers at non-residential customer service locations at a projected total cost of \$12 million. PE is proposing to recover program costs subject to a five-year amortization. On February 6, 2018, the MDPSC opened a new proceeding to consider the petition and numerous parties filed comments on the petition on March 27, 2018. The MDPSC held hearings on the petition in May and September, 2018, after which parties filed final comments.

On January 12, 2018, the MDPSC instituted a proceeding to examine the impacts of the Tax Act on the rates and charges of Maryland utilities. PE was required to track and apply regulatory accounting treatment for the impacts beginning January 1, 2018, and submitted a report to the MDPSC on February 15, 2018, estimating that the Tax Act impacts would be approximately \$7 million to \$8 million annually for PE's customers. On August 17, 2018, the Staff of the MDPSC filed a reply to PE's February 15, 2018 filing,

in which reply the Staff recommended that the MDPSC direct PE to reduce base rates by \$6.5 million to reflect reduced federal tax costs pending resolution of PE's upcoming rate case, and that PE further be directed to pay customers a one-time credit for what the Staff estimated were the tax savings to PE through the end of July 2018. On October 5, 2018, the MDPSC issued an order requiring PE to pay a one-time credit for tax savings through September 30, 2018, which PE estimates will be approximately \$5 million, and reserved all other Tax Act impacts to be resolved in the pending rate case.

On August 24, 2018, PE filed a base rate case with the MDPSC, which it supplemented on October 22, 2018, to update the partially forecasted test year with a full twelve months of actual data. The rate case requests an annual increase in base distribution rates of \$19.7 million, plus creation of an Electric Distribution Investment surcharge to fund four enhanced service reliability programs. The increase is \$7.3 million less than it otherwise would have been due to savings resulting from the recent federal tax law changes. The evidentiary hearing will commence on January 22, 2019, and a final order is expected by March 23, 2019.

NEW JERSEY

JCP&L currently provides BGS for retail customers who do not choose a third-party EGS and for customers of third-party EGSs that fail to provide the contracted service. The supply for BGS is comprised of two components, procured through separate, annually held descending clock auctions, the results of which are approved by the NJBPU. One BGS component reflects hourly real time energy prices and is available for larger commercial and industrial customers. The second BGS component provides a fixed price service and is intended for smaller commercial and residential customers. All New Jersey EDCs participate in this competitive BGS procurement process and recover BGS costs directly from customers as a charge separate from base rates.

JCP&L currently operates under rates that were approved by the NJBPU on December 12, 2016, effective as of January 1, 2017. These rates provide an annual increase in operating revenues of approximately \$80 million from those previously in place and are intended to improve service and benefit customers by supporting equipment maintenance, tree trimming, and inspections of lines, poles and substations, while also compensating for other business and operating expenses. In addition, on January 25, 2017, the NJBPU approved the acceleration of the amortization of JCP&L's 2012 major storm expenses that are recovered through the SRC in order for JCP&L to achieve full recovery by December 31, 2019.

Pursuant to the NJBPU's March 26, 2015, final order in JCP&L's 2012 rate case proceeding directing that certain studies be completed, on July 22, 2015, the NJBPU approved the NJBPU staff's recommendation to implement such studies, which included operational and financial components. The independent consultant conducting the review issued a final report on July 27, 2016, recognizing that JCP&L is meeting the NJBPU requirements and making various operational and financial recommendations. The NJBPU issued an Order on August 24, 2016, that accepted the independent consultant's final report and directed JCP&L, the Division of Rate Counsel and other interested parties to address the recommendations.

In an Order issued October 22, 2014, in a generic proceeding to review its policies with respect to the use of a CTA in base rate cases, the NJBPU stated that it would continue to apply its current CTA policy in base rate cases, subject to incorporating the following modifications: (i) calculating savings using a five-year look back from the beginning of the test year; (ii) allocating savings with 75% retained by the company and 25% allocated to rate payers; and (iii) excluding transmission assets of electric distribution companies in the savings calculation. On November 5, 2014, the Division of Rate Counsel appealed the NJBPU Order regarding the generic CTA proceeding to the Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division and JCP&L filed to participate as a respondent in that proceeding supporting the order. On September 18, 2017, the Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division reversed the NJBPU's Order on the basis that the NJBPU's modification of its CTA methodology did not comply with the procedures of the NJAPA.

JCP&L's existing rates are not expected to be impacted by this order. On December 19, 2017, the NJBPU approved the issuance of proposed rules to modify the CTA methodology consistent with its October 22, 2014, Generic Order, which were published in the NJ Register on January 16, 2018, and republished on February 6, 2018, to correct an error. JCP&L filed comments supporting the proposed rulemaking on April 6, 2018.

At the December 19, 2017, NJBPU public meeting, the NJBPU approved its IIP rulemaking. The IIP creates a financial incentive for utilities to accelerate the level of investment needed to promote the timely rehabilitation and replacement of certain non-revenue producing components that enhance reliability, resiliency, and/or safety. On July 13, 2018, JCP&L filed an infrastructure plan, JCP&L Reliability Plus, which proposed to accelerate \$386.8 million of electric distribution infrastructure investment over four years to enhance the reliability and resiliency of its distribution system and reduce the frequency and duration of power outages. JCP&L requested that the NJBPU issue a final order in December 2018. On August 29, 2018, the NJBPU retained the petition for hearing.

On January 31, 2018, the NJBPU instituted a proceeding to examine the impacts of the Tax Act on the rates and charges of New Jersey utilities. The NJBPU ordered New Jersey utilities to: (1) defer on their books the impacts of the Tax Act effective January 1, 2018; (2) to file tariffs effective April 1, 2018, reflecting the rate impacts of changes in current taxes; and (3) to file tariffs effective July 1, 2018, reflecting the rate impacts of changes in deferred taxes. On March 2, 2018, JCP&L filed a petition with the NJBPU, which included proposed tariffs for a base rate reduction of \$28.6 million effective April 1, 2018, and a rider to reflect \$1.3 million in rate impacts of changes in deferred taxes. On March 26, 2018, the NJBPU approved JCP&L's rate reduction effective April 1, 2018, on an interim basis subject to refund, pending the outcome of this proceeding. The NJBPU, however, did not address refunds and other proposed rider tariffs at such time, but may be addressed at a later date.

OHIO

The Ohio Companies currently operate under ESP IV which commenced June 1, 2016 and expires May 31, 2024. The material terms of ESP IV, as approved in the PUCO's Opinion and Order issued on March 31, 2016 and Fifth Entry on Rehearing on October 12, 2016, include Rider DMR, which provides for the Ohio Companies to collect \$132.5 million annually for three years, with the possibility of a two-year extension. Rider DMR will be grossed up for federal income taxes, resulting in an approved amount of approximately \$168 million annually in 2018 and 2019. Revenues from Rider DMR will be excluded from the significantly excessive earnings test for the initial three-year term but the exclusion will be reconsidered upon application for a potential two-year extension. The PUCO set three conditions for continued recovery under Rider DMR: (1) retention of the corporate headquarters and nexus of operations in Akron, Ohio; (2) no change in control of the Ohio Companies; and (3) a demonstration of sufficient progress in the implementation of grid modernization programs approved by the PUCO. ESP IV also continues a base distribution rate freeze through May 31, 2024. In addition, ESP IV continues the supply of power to non-shopping customers at a market-based price set through an auction process.

ESP IV also continues Rider DCR, which supports continued investment related to the distribution system for the benefit of customers, with increased revenue caps of \$30 million per year from June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2019; \$20 million per year from June 1, 2019 through May 31, 2022; and \$15 million per year from June 1, 2022 through May 31, 2024. Other material terms of ESP IV include: (1) the collection of lost distribution revenues associated with energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs; (2) an agreement to file a Grid Modernization Business Plan for PUCO consideration and approval (which filing was made on February 29, 2016, and remains pending); (3) a goal across FirstEnergy to reduce CO₂ emissions by 90% below 2005 levels by 2045; (4) contributions, totaling \$51 million to: (a) fund energy conservation programs, economic development and job retention in the Ohio Companies' service territories; (b) establish a fuel-fund in each of the Ohio Companies' service territories to assist low-income customers; and (c) establish a Customer Advisory Agency to ensure preservation and growth of the competitive market in Ohio; and (5) an agreement to file an application to transition to a straight fixed variable cost recovery mechanism for residential customers' base distribution rates, which filing was made on April 3, 2017, and which the PUCO denied on June 13, 2018.

Several parties, including the Ohio Companies, filed applications for rehearing regarding the Ohio Companies' ESP IV with the PUCO. The Ohio Companies' application for rehearing challenged, among other things, the PUCO's failure to adopt the Ohio Companies' suggested modifications to Rider DMR. The Ohio Companies had previously suggested that a properly designed Rider DMR would be valued at \$558 million annually for eight years, and include an additional amount that recognizes the value of the economic impact of FirstEnergy maintaining its headquarters in Ohio. Other parties' applications for rehearing argued, among other things, that the PUCO's adoption of Rider DMR is not supported by law or sufficient evidence. On August 16, 2017, the PUCO denied all remaining intervenor applications for rehearing, denied the Ohio Companies' challenges to the modifications to Rider DMR and added a third-party monitor to ensure that Rider DMR funds are spent appropriately. On September 15, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application for rehearing of the PUCO's August 16, 2017 ruling on the issues of the third-party monitor and the ROE calculation for advanced metering infrastructure. On October 11, 2017, the PUCO denied the Ohio Companies' application for rehearing on both issues. On October 16, 2017, the Sierra Club and the OMAEG filed notices of appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio appealing various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. On November 16, 2017, the Ohio Companies intervened in the appeal. Additional parties subsequently filed notices of appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio challenging various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. On February 26, 2018, appellants filed their briefs. Briefs of the PUCO and the Ohio Companies were filed on May 29, 2018. On July 9, 2018, appellants filed their reply briefs. On September 26, 2018, the Supreme Court of Ohio denied a July 30, 2018 joint motion filed by the OCC, the NOAC, and the OMAEG to stay the portions of the PUCO's orders and entries under appeal that authorized Rider DMR. Oral argument on the appeals is scheduled for January 9, 2019.

Under ORC 4928.66, the Ohio Companies are required to implement energy efficiency programs that achieve certain annual energy savings and total peak demand reductions. Starting in 2017, ORC 4928.66 requires the energy savings benchmark to increase by 1% and the peak demand reduction benchmark to increase by 0.75% annually thereafter through 2020 and the energy savings benchmark to increase by 2% annually from 2021 through 2027, with a cumulative benchmark of 22.2% by 2027. On April 15, 2016, the Ohio Companies filed an application for approval of their three-year energy efficiency portfolio plans for the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019. The plans as proposed comply with benchmarks contemplated by ORC 4928.66 and provisions of the ESP IV, and include a portfolio of energy efficiency programs targeted to a variety of customer segments, including residential customers, low income customers, small commercial customers, large commercial and industrial customers and governmental entities. On December 9, 2016, the Ohio Companies filed a Stipulation and Recommendation with several parties that contained changes to the plan and a decrease in the plan costs. The Ohio Companies anticipate the cost of the plans will be approximately \$268 million over the life of the portfolio plans and such costs are expected to be recovered through the Ohio Companies' existing rate mechanisms. On November 21, 2017, the PUCO issued an order that approved the filed Stipulation and Recommendation with several modifications, including a cap on the Ohio Companies' collection of program costs and shared savings set at 4% of the Ohio Companies' total sales to customers as reported on 2015 FERC Form 1. On December 21, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application for rehearing challenging the PUCO's modification of the Stipulation and Recommendation to include the 4% cost cap, which was denied by the PUCO on January 10, 2018. On March 12, 2018, the Ohio Companies filed a Notice of Appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio challenging the PUCO's imposition of a 4% cost cap. Various other parties also filed Notices of Appeal challenging various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. The Ohio Companies filed their brief on May 21, 2018. The PUCO filed its brief on July 30, 2018, and the Ohio Companies filed their reply brief on September 10, 2018. Oral argument on the appeals is scheduled for February 20, 2019.

Ohio law requires electric utilities and electric service companies in Ohio to serve part of their load from renewable energy resources measured by an annually increasing percentage amount through 2026, except that in 2014 SB310 froze 2015 and 2016 requirements at the 2014 level (2.5%), pushing back scheduled increases, which resumed in 2017 (3.5%), and increases 1% each year through 2026 (to 12.5%) and shall remain at 12.5% in 2027 and each year thereafter. The Ohio Companies conducted RFPs in 2009, 2010 and 2011 to secure RECs to help meet these renewable energy requirements. In September 2011, the PUCO opened a docket to review the Ohio Companies' alternative energy recovery rider through which the Ohio Companies recover the costs of acquiring these RECs. The PUCO issued an Opinion and Order on August 7, 2013, approving the Ohio Companies' acquisition process and their purchases of RECs to meet statutory mandates in all instances except for certain purchases arising from one auction and directed the Ohio Companies to credit non-shopping customers in the amount of \$43.4 million, plus interest, on the basis that the Ohio Companies did not prove such purchases were prudent. On December 24, 2013, following the denial of their application for rehearing, the Ohio Companies filed a Notice of Appeal and a motion for stay of the PUCO's order with the Supreme Court of Ohio, which was granted. The OCC and the ELPC also filed appeals of the PUCO's order. On January 24, 2018, the Supreme Court of Ohio reversed the PUCO order finding that the order violated the rule against retroactive ratemaking. On February 5, 2018, the OCC and ELPC filed a motion for reconsideration, to which the Ohio Companies responded in opposition on February 15, 2018. On April 25, 2018, the Supreme Court of Ohio denied the motion for reconsideration. As a result, in the second quarter of 2018, the Ohio Companies recognized a pre-tax benefit to earnings (within the Amortization (deferral) of regulatory assets, net line on the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss)) of approximately \$72 million to reverse the liability associated with the PUCO opinion and order.

On December 1, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application with the PUCO for approval of a DPM Plan. The DPM Plan is a portfolio of approximately \$450 million in distribution platform investment projects, which are designed to modernize the Ohio Companies' distribution grid, prepare it for further grid modernization projects, and provide customers with immediate reliability benefits. The Ohio Companies have requested that the PUCO issue an order approving the DPM Plan and associated cost recovery so that the Ohio Companies can expeditiously commence the DPM Plan and customers can begin to realize the associated benefits.

On January 10, 2018, the PUCO opened a case to consider the impacts of the Tax Act and determine the appropriate course of action to pass benefits on to customers. The Ohio Companies, effective January 1, 2018, were required to establish a regulatory liability for the estimated reduction in federal income tax resulting from the Tax Act, and filed comments on February 15, 2018, explaining that customers will save nearly \$40 million annually as a result of updating tariff riders for the tax rate changes and that the Ohio Companies' base distribution rates are not impacted by the Tax Act changes because they are frozen through May 2024. The Ohio Companies filed reply comments on March 7, 2018. On October 24, 2018, the PUCO entered an Order in its investigation into the impacts of the Tax Act on Ohio's utilities directing that by January 1, 2019, all Ohio rate-regulated utility companies, unless ordered otherwise, file applications not for an increase in rates to reflect the impact of the Tax Act on each specific utility's current rates.

PENNSYLVANIA

The Pennsylvania Companies operate under DSPs for the June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2019 delivery period, which provide for the competitive procurement of generation supply for customers who do not choose an alternative EGS or for customers of alternative EGSs that fail to provide the contracted service. Under the DSPs, the supply will be provided by wholesale suppliers through a mix of 12 and 24-month energy contracts, as well as one RFP for 2-year SREC contracts for ME, PN and Penn. The DSPs include modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' POR programs in order to reduce the level of uncollectible expense the Pennsylvania Companies experience associated with alternative EGS charges.

On December 11, 2017, the Pennsylvania Companies filed DSPs for the June 1, 2019 through May 31, 2023 delivery period. Under the 2019-2023 DSPs, the supply is proposed to be provided by wholesale suppliers through a mix of 3, 12 and 24-month energy contracts, as well as two RFPs for 2-year SREC contracts for ME, PN and Penn. The 2019-2023 DSPs as proposed also include modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' POR programs in order to continue their clawback pilot program as a long-term, permanent program. The 2019-2023 DSPs also introduce a retail market enhancement rate mechanism designed to stimulate residential customer shopping, and modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' customer class definitions to allow for the introduction of hourly priced default service to customers at or above 100kW. A hearing was held on April 10, 2018, and the ALJ issued a recommended decision dated May 31, 2018. The decision recommended approval of the Pennsylvania Companies' DSPs as originally proposed with two exceptions: it recommended rejecting the proposed retail market enhancement rate mechanism, and establishing limitations on customer assistance program customers' shopping. Exceptions were filed by two parties on June 28, 2018, to which the Pennsylvania Companies filed reply exceptions on July 9, 2018. On September 4, 2018, the PPUC issued an order approving the Pennsylvania Companies' DSPs and directed a working group to further discuss the implementation of customer assistance program shopping limitations and appropriate scripting for the Pennsylvania Companies' customer referral programs. The Pennsylvania Companies and two other parties filed petitions for reconsideration to that order on the limited issue of timing and scope of the working group discussion related to customer assistance program shopping limitations, which are pending PPUC review at this time.

The Pennsylvania Companies operate under rates that were approved by the PPUC on January 19, 2017, effective as of January 27, 2017. These rates provide annual increases in operating revenues of approximately \$96 million at ME, \$100 million at PN, \$29 million at Penn, and \$66 million at WP, and are intended to benefit customers by modernizing the grid with smart technologies, increasing vegetation management activities, and continuing other customer service enhancements.

Pursuant to Pennsylvania's EE&C legislation in Act 129 of 2008 and PPUC orders, Pennsylvania EDCs implement energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs. On June 19, 2015, the PPUC issued a Phase III Final Implementation Order setting: demand reduction targets, relative to each Pennsylvania Companies' 2007-2008 peak demand (in MW), at 1.8% for ME, 1.7% for Penn, 1.8% for WP, and 0% for PN; and energy consumption reduction targets, as a percentage of each Pennsylvania Companies' historic 2010 forecasts (in MWH), at 4.0% for ME, 3.9% for PN, 3.3% for Penn, and 2.6% for WP. The Pennsylvania Companies' Phase III EE&C plans for the June 2016 through May 2021 period, which were approved in March 2016, with expected costs up to \$390 million, are designed to achieve the targets established in the PPUC's Phase III Final Implementation Order with full recovery through the reconcilable EE&C riders.

Pursuant to Act 11 of 2012, Pennsylvania EDCs may establish a DSIC to recover costs of infrastructure improvements and costs related to highway relocation projects with PPUC approval. Pennsylvania EDCs must file LTIIPs outlining infrastructure improvement plans for PPUC review and approval prior to approval of a DSIC. On February 11, 2016, the PPUC approved LTIIPs for each of the Pennsylvania Companies. On June 14, 2017, the PPUC approved modified LTIIPs for ME, PN and Penn for the remaining years of 2017 through 2020 to provide additional support for reliability and infrastructure investments. The LTIIPs estimated costs for the remaining period of 2018 to 2020 are: WP \$50.1 million; PN \$44.8 million; Penn \$33.2 million; and ME \$51.3 million. On April 10, 2018, the PPUC notified each of the Pennsylvania Companies that the PPUC was initiating a review of the LTIIPs as required by regulation once every five years, and soliciting comments from interested parties. On May 10, 2018, the Pennsylvania Companies each filed comments explaining that their LTIIPs are effective and that changes to the respective LTIIPs are not necessary. No parties other than the Pennsylvania Companies filed comments. On September 20, 2018, the PPUC entered an Order concluding that the Pennsylvania Companies have substantially adhered to the schedules and expenditures outlined in their LTIIPs, but that changes to the LTIIPs as designed are necessary to maintain and improve reliability. The PPUC directed the Pennsylvania Companies to file modified or new LTIIPs within 60 days of the Order; however, on October 17, 2018, the Pennsylvania Companies requested a 60-day extension to file the new or modified LTIIPs.

On February 16, 2016, the Pennsylvania Companies filed riders for PPUC approval for quarterly cost recovery, which were approved by the PPUC on June 9, 2016, and went into effect July 1, 2016, subject to hearings and refund or reallocation among customer classes. On January 19, 2017, in the PPUC's order approving the Pennsylvania Companies' general rate cases, the PPUC added an additional issue to the DSIC proceeding to include whether ADIT should be included in DSIC calculations. On February 2, 2017, the parties to the DSIC proceeding submitted a Joint Settlement to the ALJ that resolved the issues that were pending from the order issued on June 9, 2016. On August 31, 2017, the ALJ issued a decision recommending that the complaint of the Pennsylvania OCA be granted by the PPUC such that the Pennsylvania Companies reflect all federal and state income tax deductions related to DSIC-eligible property in the currently effective DSIC rates. On April 19, 2018, the PPUC approved the Joint Settlement without modification and reversed the ALJ's decision that would have required the Pennsylvania Companies to reflect all federal and state income tax deductions related to DSIC-eligible property in currently effective DSIC rates. On May 21, 2018, the Pennsylvania OCA filed an appeal with the Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court of the PPUC's decision of April 19, 2018. On June 11, 2018, the Pennsylvania Companies filed a Notice of Intervention in the Pennsylvania OCA's appeal to Commonwealth Court.

On February 12, 2018, the PPUC initiated a proceeding to determine the effects of the Tax Act on the tax liability of utilities and the feasibility of reflecting such impacts in rates charged to customers. On March 9, 2018, the Pennsylvania Companies submitted their calculation of the net annual effect of the Tax Act on income tax expense and rate base to be \$37 million for ME, \$40 million for PN, \$9 million for Penn, and \$30 million for WP. The Pennsylvania Companies also filed comments proposing that rates be adjusted to reflect the tax rate changes prospectively from the date of a final PPUC order via a reconcilable rider, with the amount that would otherwise

accrue between January 1, 2018 and the date of a final order being used to invest in the Pennsylvania Companies' infrastructure. On March 15, 2018, the PPUC issued a Temporary Rates Order making the Pennsylvania Companies' rates temporary and subject to refund for six months. On May 17, 2018, the PPUC issued orders directing that the Pennsylvania Companies implement a reconcilable negative surcharge mechanism in order to refund to customers the net effect of the Tax Act for the period July 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018, to be prospectively updated for new rates effective January 1, 2019. The Pennsylvania Companies were also directed to establish a regulatory liability for the net impact of the Tax Act for the period of January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018. On June 14, 2018, the PPUC issued an order revising this directive such that the Pennsylvania Companies must instead establish accounts to track tax savings for the period January 1, 2018, through March 14, 2018, and record regulatory liabilities associated with tax savings for only the period March 15, 2018 through June 30, 2018. The cumulative value of the tracked amounts and the regulatory liability is expected to amount to \$12 million for ME, \$13 million for PN, \$3 million for Penn, and \$10 million for WP. These amounts are expected to be addressed in the Pennsylvania Companies' next available rate proceedings, or independent filings to be made within three years, whichever comes sooner. The Pennsylvania Companies filed voluntary surcharges on June 1, 2018, to adjust rates for the reduced tax rate, which were effective for bills rendered starting July 1, 2018. For the first six-month period, the surcharge is expected to return to customers \$19 million for ME, \$20 million for PN, \$5 million for Penn, and \$15 million for WP.

WEST VIRGINIA

MP and PE provide electric service to all customers through traditional cost-based, regulated utility ratemaking. MP and PE recover net power supply costs, including fuel costs, purchased power costs and related expenses, net of related market sales revenue through the ENEC. MP's and PE's ENEC rate is updated annually.

On August 31, 2018, MP and PE filed a \$100.9 million decrease in their ENEC rates proposed to be effective January 1, 2019, which includes a \$25.6 million annual decrease impact associated with the settlement regarding the impact of the Tax Act on West Virginia rates, as noted below. Additionally, the August 31, 2018 filing includes an elimination of the Energy Efficiency Cost Rate Surcharge effective January 1, 2019, equating to an additional \$2.1 million decrease. The rate decreases represent an approximate 7.2% annual decrease in rates versus those in effect on August 31, 2018. Hearings before the WVPSC are scheduled for November 27 and 28, 2018.

On January 3, 2018, the WVPSC initiated a proceeding to investigate the effects of the Tax Act on the revenue requirements of utilities. MP and PE must track the tax savings resulting from the Tax Act on a monthly basis, effective January 1, 2018. On January 26, 2018, the WVPSC issued an order clarifying that regulatory accounting should be implemented as of January 1, 2018, including the recording of any regulatory liabilities resulting from the Tax Act. MP and PE filed written testimony on May 30, 2018, explaining the impact of the Tax Act on federal income tax and revenue requirements and showing an annual rate impact of \$26.2 million. MP and PE, the Staff of the WVPSC, the WV Consumer Advocate, and a coalition of industrial customers entered into a settlement agreement on August 23, 2018, to have \$25.6 million in rate reductions flow through to customers beginning September 1, 2018, and to defer to the next base rate case (or a separate proceeding if a base rate case is not filed by August 31, 2020) the amount and classification of the excess ADITs resulting from the Tax Act and the issue of whether MP and PE should be required to credit to customers any of the reduced income tax expense occurring between January 1, 2018 and August 31, 2018. The WVPSC approved the settlement on August 24, 2018.

FERC MATTERS

Reliability Matters

Federally-enforceable mandatory reliability standards apply to the bulk electric system and impose certain operating, record-keeping and reporting requirements on the Utilities, AE Supply, ATSI, MAIT and TrAIL. NERC is the ERO designated by FERC to establish and enforce these reliability standards, although NERC has delegated day-to-day implementation and enforcement of these reliability standards to eight regional entities, including RFC. All of FirstEnergy's facilities are located within the RFC region. FirstEnergy actively participates in the NERC and RFC stakeholder processes, and otherwise monitors and manages its companies in response to the ongoing development, implementation and enforcement of the reliability standards implemented and enforced by RFC.

FirstEnergy believes that it is in compliance with all currently-effective and enforceable reliability standards. Nevertheless, in the course of operating its extensive electric utility systems and facilities, FirstEnergy occasionally learns of isolated facts or circumstances that could be interpreted as excursions from the reliability standards. If and when such occurrences are found, FirstEnergy develops information about the occurrence and develops a remedial response to the specific circumstances, including in appropriate cases "self-reporting" an occurrence to RFC. Moreover, it is clear that NERC, RFC and FERC will continue to refine existing reliability standards as well as to develop and adopt new reliability standards. Any inability on FirstEnergy's part to comply with the reliability standards for its bulk electric system could result in the imposition of financial penalties, or obligations to upgrade or build transmission facilities, that could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

PJM Transmission Rates

PJM and its stakeholders have been debating the proper method to allocate costs for a certain class of new transmission facilities since 2005. While FirstEnergy and other parties advocate for a traditional "beneficiary pays" (or usage based) approach, others advocate for "socializing" the costs on a load-ratio share basis, where each customer in the zone would pay based on its total usage of energy within PJM. This question has been the subject of extensive litigation before FERC and the appellate courts, including before the Seventh Circuit. On June 25, 2014, a divided

three-judge panel of the Seventh Circuit ruled that FERC had not quantified the benefits that western PJM utilities would derive from certain new 500 kV or higher lines and thus had not adequately supported its decision to socialize the costs of these lines. The majority found that eastern PJM utilities are the primary beneficiaries of the lines, while western PJM utilities are only incidental beneficiaries, and that, while incidental beneficiaries should pay some share of the costs of the lines, that share should be proportionate to the benefit they derive from the lines, and not on load-ratio share in PJM as a whole. The court remanded the case to FERC, which issued an order setting the issue of cost allocation for hearing and settlement proceedings. On June 15, 2016, various parties, including ATSI and the Utilities, filed a settlement agreement at FERC agreeing to apply a combined usage based/socialization approach to cost allocation for charges to transmission customers in the PJM Region for transmission projects operating at or above 500 kV. For historical transmission costs prior to January 1, 2016, the settlement agreement provides a “black-box” schedule of credits to and payments from customers across PJM’s transmission zones. From January 1, 2016 forward, PJM will collect a charge for the revenue requirement associated with each transmission enhancement through a “50/50” calculation, with 50% based on a load-ratio share and the other 50% solution-based distribution factor (DFAX) hybrid method. On May 31, 2018, FERC approved the settlement agreement as filed, without conditions. As a result of the settlement, FirstEnergy recorded a pre-tax benefit of approximately \$73 million and \$42 million during the second and third quarters, respectively (within the Other operating expenses line on the Consolidated Statement of Income), relating to the amount of refund the Ohio Companies will receive and retain from PJM for the period prior to January 1, 2016. PJM implemented the settlement for transmission service purchased in July 2018 in customer bills beginning in August 2018. FirstEnergy does not expect a material impact from implementation of the settlement agreement going forward. Requests for rehearing or clarification of FERC’s May 31, 2018, orders and related responses remain pending before FERC.

RTO Realignment

On June 1, 2011, ATSI and the ATSI zone transferred from MISO to PJM. While many of the matters involved with the move have been resolved, FERC denied recovery under ATSI's transmission rate for certain charges that collectively can be described as "exit fees" and certain other transmission cost allocation charges totaling approximately \$78.8 million until such time as ATSI submits a cost/benefit analysis demonstrating net benefits to customers from the transfer to PJM. Subsequently, FERC rejected a proposed settlement agreement to resolve the exit fee and transmission cost allocation issues, stating that its action is without prejudice to ATSI submitting a cost/benefit analysis demonstrating that the benefits of the RTO realignment decisions outweigh the exit fee and transmission cost allocation charges. On March 17, 2016, FERC denied FirstEnergy's request for rehearing of FERC's earlier order rejecting the settlement agreement and affirmed its prior ruling that ATSI must submit the cost/benefit analysis.

Separately, FirstEnergy joined certain other PJM TOs in a protest of MISO's proposal to allocate MVP costs to energy transactions that cross MISO's borders into the PJM Region. On July 13, 2016, FERC issued its order finding it appropriate for MISO to assess an MVP usage charge for power withdrawals from MISO to PJM. Various parties, including FirstEnergy and the PJM TOs, requested rehearing or clarification of FERC's order. On September 20, 2018, FERC issued an order denying rehearing and affirming and clarifying its prior decision that MISO may allocate MVP costs to PJM customers for power withdrawals from MISO to PJM as such exports occur.

The outcome of the proceedings that address the remaining open issues related to MVP costs cannot be predicted at this time.

PATH Transmission Project

In 2012, the PJM Board of Managers canceled the PATH project, a proposed transmission line from West Virginia through Virginia and into Maryland. As a result of PJM canceling the project, approximately \$62 million and approximately \$59 million in costs incurred by PATH-Allegheny and PATH-WV, respectively, were reclassified from net property, plant and equipment to a regulatory asset for future recovery. PATH-Allegheny and PATH-WV requested authorization from FERC to recover the costs with a proposed ROE of 10.9% (10.4% base plus 0.5% for RTO membership) from PJM customers over five years. FERC issued an order denying the 0.5% ROE adder for RTO membership and allowing the tariff changes enabling recovery of these costs to become effective on December 1, 2012, subject to hearing and settlement procedures. On January 19, 2017, FERC issued an order reducing the PATH formula rate ROE from 10.4% to 8.11% effective January 19, 2017 and allowing recovery of certain related costs. On February 21, 2017, PATH filed a request for rehearing with FERC seeking recovery of disallowed costs and requesting that the ROE be reset to 10.4% for the entire amortization period. The Edison Electric Institute submitted an amicus curiae request for reconsideration in support of PATH. On March 20, 2017, PATH also submitted a compliance filing implementing the January 19, 2017 order. Certain affected ratepayers challenged the compliance filing, and FERC Staff requested additional information on, and edits to, the compliance filing, as directed by the January 19, 2017 order. PATH responded to comments and Staff's request. FERC orders on PATH's requests for rehearing and compliance filing remain pending.

FERC Actions on Tax Act

On March 15, 2018, FERC took action to address the impact of the Tax Act on FERC-jurisdictional rates, including transmission and electric wholesale rates. FERC directed MP, PE and WP to either submit a joint filing to adjust the transmission rate for the Allegheny Power transmission zone in the PJM Region to address the impact of the Tax Act changes in effective tax rate, or to "show cause" as to why such action is not required. FERC established a refund

effective date of March 21, 2018 for any refunds as a result of the change in tax rate. On May 14, 2018, MP, PE and WP submitted revisions to their joint stated transmission rate to reflect the reduction in the federal corporate income tax rate. The revisions reduce the rate by 6.70%. There were no comments submitted in response to the proposed revisions, and the matter is now before FERC for further action. FERC is not at this time requiring other FirstEnergy FERC-jurisdictional companies to make changes to their transmission or wholesale rates. However, these rates may be affected by a related FERC "Notice of Inquiry" assessing the impact of the Tax Act on certain rate components.

Also, on March 15, 2018, FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry seeking information regarding whether and how FERC should address possible changes to accumulated deferred income taxes and bonus depreciation as a result of the Tax Act. Such possible changes could impact FERC-jurisdictional rates, including wholesale rates. Various entities submitted responses to the Notice of Inquiry. FESC, on behalf of its transmission-owning affiliates, participated in the development of separate comments submitted by Edison Electric Institute and certain PJM TOs. The matter is now before FERC for further action.

PJM Markets: Grid Reliability and Resiliency

On September 28, 2017, the Secretary of Energy released a NOPR requesting FERC to issue rules directing RTOs, including PJM, to incorporate pricing for defined "eligible grid reliability and resiliency resources" into wholesale energy markets. FERC established a docket requesting comments, and issued an order on January 8, 2018 terminating the NOPR proceeding, finding that the NOPR did not satisfy the statutory threshold requirements under the FPA for requiring changes to RTO/ISO tariffs to address resilience concerns. FERC in its order instituted a new administrative proceeding to gather additional information regarding resilience issues. Each RTO/ISO responded to a provided list of questions and various entities submitted comments. The matter is now before FERC for further action. In the event FERC orders resiliency payments in wholesale energy markets, such charges may be levied against

LSEs in the PJM Region, including the Utilities. There is no deadline or requirement for FERC to act in this new proceeding and as such the outcome of the proceeding and its impact on the Utilities, if any, cannot be predicted at this time.

PJM Markets: Capacity Pricing Reform

In March 2016, a number of generation owners filed with FERC a complaint against PJM requesting that FERC expand the MOPR in the PJM Tariff to prevent the alleged artificial suppression of prices in PJM capacity markets by state-subsidized generation. However, FERC took no action at that time. In April 2018, PJM filed with FERC two alternative proposals to modify the PJM Tariff to address concerns that state-authorized subsidies to certain generators within PJM may affect market prices.

On June 29, 2018, FERC issued an order granting in part and denying in part the March 2016 complaint and rejecting both of PJM's April 2018 proposals, agreeing with the complaint that PJM's current MOPR is unjust and unreasonable and finding that none of the proposed solutions to MOPR reform were just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory. FERC established a new FPA Section 206 proceeding to develop a solution to the MOPR construct. FERC's directives in the new proceeding are to revise the MOPR so that it (i) applies to both existing and new resources that receive out-of-market subsidies with very limited exemptions; and (ii) accommodates state policies by allowing a new FRR-like alternative that would remove resources that receive out-of-market subsidies from the capacity market if the unit could be paired with a commensurate amount of load. Resources receiving out-of-market revenues could opt to stay in the capacity market but would be subject to the revised MOPR, or under the FRR-like alternative they could exit the market. FERC established a timeline for comments and expects to issue an order by January 4, 2019, so that the reformed MOPR can be implemented for the 2019 BRA. FERC instituted a refund effective date of July 11, 2018, for the new Section 206 proceeding. On July 30, 2018 FESC, on behalf of the Utilities, submitted a request for clarification or, in the alternative, rehearing of FERC's June 29, 2018 order. Specifically, FESC requested clarification regarding the applicability of FERC's directed MOPR reform to vertically-integrated resources. Various other parties also submitted requests for rehearing or clarification. FERC's order on rehearing remains pending. On October 2, 2018, FESC on behalf of the Utilities submitted comments demonstrating that while MOPR reform may be an interim step, FERC needs to address fundamental flaws in the PJM capacity market.

On August 13, 2018, PJM filed a request for a waiver of certain provisions of the PJM Tariff to delay the 2019 BRA for the 2022/2023 Delivery Year from May 2019 to August 14, 2019 if FERC delays its order in the above Section 206 proceeding as requested by certain parties. PJM also requested waiver of certain deadlines associated with the 2019 BRA, including the posting of planning parameters and submission of a preliminary exception request for deactivating generation resources. FERC issued an order on August 30, 2018 granting the waiver as requested.

Separately, on May 31, 2018, certain merchant generators filed a complaint with FERC against PJM seeking an order finding that PJM's existing MOPR mechanism is unjust and unreasonable, and implementing instead a so-called "Clean" MOPR that would apply to existing and new generation resources of all fuel types and all ownership arrangements, including regulated generation resources such as MP's and JCP&L's existing generation, that receive or have any form of "out-of-market" support, including recovery of generation costs in retail rates. The complainants request a FERC order by May 2019, so that the proposed "Clean" MOPR could be implemented in PJM's 2019 BRA. FESC, on behalf of its affiliates and jointly with EKPC, submitted a protest of the complaint. FESC and EKPC requested FERC reject PJM's proposals, maintain the existing PJM market rules, and direct PJM to develop a holistic solution to the fundamental issues facing its market. Various other entities also submitted protests and comments. FERC did not address the Clean MOPR Complaint in its June 29, 2018 order, which remains pending before FERC. The outcome of FERC's Section 206 proceeding and the Clean MOPR Complaint, and their impact on the Utilities and FirstEnergy's regulated generation sources, if any, cannot be predicted at this time but are not expected to be material.

14. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES

GUARANTEES AND OTHER ASSURANCES

FirstEnergy has various financial and performance guarantees and indemnifications which are issued in the normal course of business. These contracts include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. FirstEnergy enters into these arrangements to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party.

As of September 30, 2018, FirstEnergy's outstanding guarantees and other assurances aggregated approximately \$1.7 billion, consisting of guarantees and assurances on behalf of FES and FENOC (\$352 million), parental guarantees on behalf of its consolidated subsidiaries (\$1 billion), other guarantees (\$220 million) and other assurances (\$141 million). FirstEnergy has also committed to provide certain additional guarantees to the FES Debtors for retained environmental liabilities of AE Supply related to the Pleasants Power Station and McElroy's Run CCR disposal facility as part of the settlement agreement in connection with the FES Bankruptcy.

COLLATERAL AND CONTINGENT-RELATED FEATURES

In the normal course of business, FE and its subsidiaries routinely enter into physical or financially settled contracts for the sale and purchase of electric capacity, energy, fuel, and emission allowances. Certain bilateral agreements and derivative instruments contain provisions that require FE or its subsidiaries to post collateral. This collateral may be posted in the form of cash or credit

support with thresholds contingent upon FE's or its subsidiaries' credit ratings from each of the major credit rating agencies. The collateral and credit support requirements vary by contract and by counterparty. The incremental collateral requirement allows for the offsetting of assets and liabilities with the same counterparty, where the contractual right of offset exists under applicable master netting agreements.

Bilateral agreements and derivative instruments entered into by FE and its subsidiaries have margining provisions that require posting of collateral. Based on AE Supply's power portfolio exposure as of September 30, 2018, AE Supply has posted collateral of \$1 million. The Utilities and FET's subsidiaries have posted collateral of \$10 million.

These credit-risk-related contingent features, or the margining provisions within bilateral agreements, stipulate that if the subsidiary were to be downgraded or lose its investment grade credit rating (based on its senior unsecured debt rating), it would be required to provide additional collateral. Depending on the volume of forward contracts and future price movements, higher amounts for margining, which is the ability to secure additional collateral when needed, could be required. The following table discloses the potential additional credit rating contingent contractual collateral obligations as of September 30, 2018.

Potential Collateral Obligations	AE Supply	Utilities and FET	FE	Total
	(In millions)			
Contractual Obligations for Additional Collateral				
At Current Credit Rating	\$ 1	\$ —	\$—	\$1
Upon Further Downgrade	—	54	—	54
Surety Bonds (Collateralized Amount)	1	60	246	307
Total Exposure from Contractual Obligations	\$ 2	\$ 114	\$246	\$362

Surety Bonds are not tied to a credit rating. Surety Bonds' impact assumes maximum contractual obligations (typical obligations require 30 days to cure). FE provides credit support for FG surety bonds of \$169 million and \$31 million for the benefit of the PA DEP with respect to LBR CCR impoundment closure and post-closure activities and the Hatfield's Ferry CCR disposal site, respectively.

OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

FE is a guarantor under a \$300 million syndicated senior secured term loan facility due March 3, 2020, under which Global Holding's outstanding balance is approximately \$220 million as of September 30, 2018. In addition to FE, Signal Peak, Global Rail, Global Mining Group, LLC and Global Coal Sales Group, LLC, each being a direct or indirect subsidiary of Global Holding, continue to provide their joint and several guarantees of the obligations of Global Holding under the facility.

In connection with the facility, 69.99% of Global Holding's direct and indirect membership interests in Signal Peak, Global Rail and their affiliates along with FEV's and WMB Marketing Ventures, LLC's respective 33-1/3% membership interests in Global Holding, are pledged to the lenders under the current facility as collateral.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Various federal, state and local authorities regulate FirstEnergy with regard to air and water quality and other environmental matters. Pursuant to a March 28, 2017 executive order, the EPA and other federal agencies are to review existing regulations that potentially burden the development or use of domestically produced energy resources and appropriately suspend, revise or rescind those that unduly burden the development of domestic energy resources beyond the degree necessary to protect the public interest or otherwise comply with the law. FirstEnergy cannot

predict the timing or ultimate outcome of any of these reviews or how any future actions taken as a result thereof, in particular with respect to existing environmental regulations, may materially impact its business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Clean Air Act

FirstEnergy complies with SO₂ and NO_x emission reduction requirements under the CAA and SIP(s) by burning lower-sulfur fuel, utilizing combustion controls and post-combustion controls and/or using emission allowances.

CSAPR requires reductions of NO_x and SO₂ emissions in two phases (2015 and 2017), ultimately capping SO₂ emissions in affected states to 2.4 million tons annually and NO_x emissions to 1.2 million tons annually. CSAPR allows trading of NO_x and SO₂ emission allowances between power plants located in the same state and interstate trading of NO_x and SO₂ emission allowances with some restrictions. The D.C. Circuit ordered the EPA on July 28, 2015, to reconsider the CSAPR caps on NO_x and SO₂ emissions from power plants in 13 states, including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia. This follows the 2014 U.S. Supreme Court ruling generally upholding the EPA's regulatory approach under CSAPR, but questioning whether the EPA required upwind states to reduce emissions by more than their contribution to air pollution in downwind states. The EPA issued a CSAPR update rule on September 7, 2016, reducing summertime NO_x emissions from power plants in 22 states in the eastern U.S., including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West

Virginia, beginning in 2017. Various states and other stakeholders appealed the CSAPR update rule to the D.C. Circuit in November and December 2016. On September 6, 2017, the D.C. Circuit rejected the industry's bid for a lengthy pause in the litigation and set a briefing schedule. Depending on the outcome of the appeals, the EPA's reconsideration of the CSAPR update rule and how the EPA and the states ultimately implement CSAPR, the future cost of compliance may be material and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result.

The EPA tightened the primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone from the 2008 standard levels of 75 PPB to 70 PPB on October 1, 2015. The EPA stated the vast majority of U.S. counties will meet the new 70 PPB standard by 2025 due to other federal and state rules and programs but on April 30, 2018, the EPA designated fifty-one areas in twenty-two states as non-attainment; however, FirstEnergy has no power plants operating in those areas. States have roughly three years to develop implementation plans to attain the new 2015 ozone NAAQS. Depending on how the EPA and the states implement the new 2015 ozone NAAQS, the future cost of compliance may be material and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result. In August 2016, the State of Delaware filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that the Harrison generating facility's NO_x emissions significantly contribute to Delaware's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition sought a short-term NO_x emission rate limit of 0.125 lb/mmBTU over an averaging period of no more than 24 hours. In November 2016, the State of Maryland filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that NO_x emissions from 36 EGUs, including Harrison Units 1, 2 and 3 and Pleasants Units 1 and 2, significantly contribute to Maryland's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition sought NO_x emission rate limits for the 36 EGUs by May 1, 2017. On September 14, 2018, the EPA denied both the States of Delaware and Maryland petitions under CAA Section 126. In March 2018, the State of New York filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that NO_x emissions from nine states (including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia) significantly contribute to New York's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition seeks suitable emission rate limits for large stationary sources that are affecting New York's air quality within the three years allowed by CAA Section 126. On May 3, 2018, the EPA extended the time frame for acting on the CAA Section 126 petition by six months to November 9, 2018. FirstEnergy is unable to predict the outcome of these matters or estimate the loss or range of loss.

Climate Change

FirstEnergy has established a goal to reduce CO₂ emissions by 90% below 2005 levels by 2045. There are a number of initiatives to reduce GHG emissions at the state, federal and international level. Certain northeastern states are participating in the RGGI and western states led by California, have implemented programs, primarily cap and trade mechanisms, to control emissions of certain GHGs. Additional policies reducing GHG emissions, such as demand reduction programs, renewable portfolio standards and renewable subsidies have been implemented across the nation.

The EPA released its final "Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases under the Clean Air Act," in December 2009, concluding that concentrations of several key GHGs constitutes an "endangerment" and may be regulated as "air pollutants" under the CAA and mandated measurement and reporting of GHG emissions from certain sources, including electric generating plants. On June 23, 2014, the U.S. Supreme Court decided that CO₂ or other GHG emissions alone cannot trigger permitting requirements under the CAA, but that air emission sources that need PSD permits due to other regulated air pollutants can be required by the EPA to install GHG control technologies. The EPA released its final CPP regulations in August 2015 (which have been stayed by the U.S. Supreme Court), to reduce CO₂ emissions from existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs. The EPA also finalized separate regulations imposing CO₂ emission limits for new, modified, and reconstructed fossil fuel-fired EGUs. Numerous states and private parties filed appeals and motions to stay the CPP with the D.C. Circuit in October 2015. On January 21, 2016, a panel of the D.C. Circuit denied the motions for stay and set an expedited schedule for briefing and argument. On February 9, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court stayed the rule during the pendency of the challenges to the D.C. Circuit and U.S. Supreme Court. On March 28, 2017, an executive order, entitled "Promoting Energy Independence and Economic Growth," instructed the EPA to review the CPP and related rules addressing GHG

emissions and suspend, revise or rescind the rules if appropriate. On October 16, 2017, the EPA issued a proposed rule to repeal the CPP. To replace the CPP, the EPA proposed the ACE rule on August 21, 2018, which would establish emission guidelines for states to develop plans to address GHG emissions from existing coal-fired power plants. Depending on the outcomes of the review pursuant to the executive order, of further appeals and how any final rules are ultimately implemented, the future cost of compliance may be material.

At the international level, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change resulted in the Kyoto Protocol requiring participating countries, which does not include the U.S., to reduce GHGs commencing in 2008 and has been extended through 2020. The Obama Administration submitted in March 2015, a formal pledge for the U.S. to reduce its economy-wide GHG emissions by 26 to 28 percent below 2005 levels by 2025, and in September 2016, joined in adopting the agreement reached on December 12, 2015, at the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change meetings in Paris. The Paris Agreement was ratified by the requisite number of countries (i.e., at least 55 countries representing at least 55% of global GHG emissions) in October 2016 and its non-binding obligations to limit global warming to well below two degrees Celsius became effective on November 4, 2016. On June 1, 2017, the Trump Administration announced that the U.S. would cease all participation in the Paris Agreement. FirstEnergy cannot currently estimate the financial impact of climate change policies, although potential legislative or regulatory programs restricting CO₂ emissions, or litigation alleging damages from GHG emissions, could require material capital and other expenditures or result in changes to its operations.

Clean Water Act

Various water quality regulations, the majority of which are the result of the federal CWA and its amendments, apply to FirstEnergy's facilities. In addition, the states in which FirstEnergy operates have water quality standards applicable to FirstEnergy's operations.

The EPA finalized CWA Section 316(b) regulations in May 2014, requiring cooling water intake structures with an intake velocity greater than 0.5 feet per second to reduce fish impingement when aquatic organisms are pinned against screens or other parts of a cooling water intake system to a 12% annual average and requiring cooling water intake structures exceeding 125 million gallons per day to conduct studies to determine site-specific controls, if any, to reduce entrainment, which occurs when aquatic life is drawn into a facility's cooling water system. Depending on any final action taken by the states with respect to impingement and entrainment, the future capital costs of compliance with these standards may be material.

On September 30, 2015, the EPA finalized new, more stringent effluent limits for the Steam Electric Power Generating category (40 CFR Part 423) for arsenic, mercury, selenium and nitrogen for wastewater from wet scrubber systems and zero discharge of pollutants in ash transport water. The treatment obligations phase-in as permits are renewed on a five-year cycle from 2018 to 2023. The final rule also allows plants to commit to more stringent effluent limits for wet scrubber systems based on evaporative technology and in return have until the end of 2023 to meet the more stringent limits. On April 13, 2017, the EPA granted a Petition for Reconsideration and administratively stayed (effective upon publication in the Federal Register) all deadlines in the effluent limits rule pending a new rulemaking. Also, on September 18, 2017, the EPA postponed certain compliance deadlines for two years. Depending on the outcome of appeals and how any final rules are ultimately implemented, the future costs of compliance with these standards may be substantial and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result.

In October 2009, the WVDEP issued an NPDES water discharge permit for the Fort Martin plant, which imposes TDS, sulfate concentrations and other effluent limitations for heavy metals, as well as temperature limitations. Concurrent with the issuance of the Fort Martin NPDES permit, WVDEP also issued an administrative order setting deadlines for MP to meet certain of the effluent limits that were effective immediately under the terms of the NPDES permit. MP appealed, and a stay of certain conditions of the NPDES permit and order have been granted pending a final decision on the appeal and subject to WVDEP moving to dissolve the stay. The Fort Martin NPDES permit could require an initial capital investment ranging from \$150 million to \$300 million in order to install technology to meet the TDS and sulfate limits, which technology may also meet certain of the other effluent limits. In March 2018, the WVDEP issued a draft NPDES Permit Renewal that, if finalized as proposed, would moot the appeal and reduce the estimated capital investment requirements. MP intends to vigorously pursue these issues but cannot predict the outcome of the appeal or estimate the possible loss or range of loss.

FirstEnergy intends to vigorously defend against the CWA matters described above but, except as indicated above, cannot predict their outcomes or estimate the loss or range of loss.

Regulation of Waste Disposal

Federal and state hazardous waste regulations have been promulgated as a result of the RCRA, as amended, and the Toxic Substances Control Act. Certain CCRs, such as coal ash, were exempted from hazardous waste disposal requirements pending the EPA's evaluation of the need for future regulation.

In April 2015, the EPA finalized regulations for the disposal of CCRs (non-hazardous), establishing national standards for landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring and protection procedures and other operational and reporting procedures to assure the safe disposal of

CCRs from electric generating plants. On September 13, 2017, the EPA announced that it would reconsider certain provisions of the final regulations. On July 17, 2018, the EPA Administrator signed a final rule extending the deadline for certain CCR facilities to cease disposal and commence closure activities, as well as, establishing less stringent groundwater monitoring and protection requirements. On August 21, 2018, the D.C. Circuit remanded sections of the CCR Rule to the EPA to provide additional safeguards for unlined CCR impoundments that are more protective of human health and the environment, effective October 12, 2018. AE Supply assessed the changes in timing and closure plan requirements associated with the McElroy's Run impoundment site and increased the ARO by approximately \$43 million in the third quarter of 2018.

FirstEnergy or its subsidiaries have been named as potentially responsible parties at waste disposal sites, which may require cleanup under the CERCLA. Allegations of disposal of hazardous substances at historical sites and the liability involved are often unsubstantiated and subject to dispute; however, federal law provides that all potentially responsible parties for a particular site may be liable on a joint and several basis. Environmental liabilities that are considered probable have been recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2018, based on estimates of the total costs of cleanup, FirstEnergy's proportionate responsibility for such costs and the financial ability of other unaffiliated entities to pay. Total liabilities of approximately \$115 million have been accrued through September 30, 2018. Included in the total are accrued liabilities of approximately \$78 million for environmental remediation of former manufactured gas plants and gas holder facilities in New Jersey, which are being recovered by JCP&L through a non-bypassable SBC. FE or its subsidiaries could be found potentially responsible for additional amounts or additional sites, but the loss or range of losses cannot be determined or reasonably estimated at this time.

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Nuclear Plant Matters

Under NRC regulations, JCP&L, ME and PN must ensure that adequate funds will be available to decommission their retired nuclear facility, TMI-2. As of September 30, 2018, JCP&L, ME and PN had approximately \$0.8 billion invested in external trusts to be used for the decommissioning and environmental remediation of their retired TMI-2 nuclear generating facility. The values of these NDTs also fluctuate based on market conditions. If the values of the trusts decline by a material amount, the obligation of JCP&L, ME, and PN to fund the trusts may increase. Disruptions in the capital markets and their effects on particular businesses and the economy could also affect the values of the NDTs.

FES Bankruptcy

On March 31, 2018, FES, including its consolidated subsidiaries, FG, NG, FE Aircraft Leasing Corp., Norton Energy Storage L.L.C. and FGMUC, and FENOC filed voluntary petitions for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. See Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," for additional information.

Other Legal Matters

There are various lawsuits, claims (including claims for asbestos exposure) and proceedings related to FirstEnergy's normal business operations pending against FE or its subsidiaries. The loss or range of loss in these matters is not expected to be material to FE or its subsidiaries. The other potentially material items not otherwise discussed above are described under Note 13, "Regulatory Matters."

FirstEnergy accrues legal liabilities only when it concludes that it is probable that it has an obligation for such costs and can reasonably estimate the amount of such costs. In cases where FirstEnergy determines that it is not probable, but reasonably possible that it has a material obligation, it discloses such obligations and the possible loss or range of loss if such estimate can be made. If it were ultimately determined that FE or its subsidiaries have legal liability or are otherwise made subject to liability based on any of the matters referenced above, it could have a material adverse effect on FE's or its subsidiaries' financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

FirstEnergy's reportable segments are as follows: Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission.

On March 31, 2018, as discussed in Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," FirstEnergy deconsolidated FES and FENOC and presented FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply, representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from actions taken as part of the strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation. During the third quarter of 2018, the Pleasants Power Station was also reclassified to discontinued operations following its inclusion in the definitive settlement agreement for the benefit of FES' creditors. The financial information for all periods has been revised to present the discontinued operations within Reconciling Adjustments. The remaining business activities that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment were not material and, as such, have been combined into Corporate/Other for reporting purposes.

The Regulated Distribution segment distributes electricity through FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies, serving approximately six million customers within 65,000 square miles of Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey and New York, and purchases power for its POLR, SOS, SSO and default service requirements

in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland. This segment also controls 3,790 MWs of regulated electric generation capacity located primarily in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. The segment's results reflect the commodity costs of securing electric generation and the deferral and amortization of certain fuel costs.

The Regulated Transmission segment provides transmission infrastructure owned and operated by ATSI, TrAIL, MAIT and certain of FirstEnergy's utilities (JCP&L, MP, PE and WP) to transmit electricity from generation sources to distribution facilities. The segment's revenues are primarily derived from forward-looking formula rates at ATSI, TrAIL, and MAIT as well as stated transmission rates at JCP&L, MP, PE and WP. Both the forward-looking formula and stated rates recover costs that the regulatory agencies determine are permitted to be recovered and provide a return on transmission capital investment. Under forward-looking formula rates, the revenue requirement is updated annually based on a projected rate base and projected costs, which is subject to an annual true-up based on actual costs. The segment's results also reflect the net transmission expenses related to the delivery of electricity on FirstEnergy's transmission facilities.

Corporate support not charged to FE's subsidiaries, interest expense on stand-alone holding company debt, corporate income taxes and other businesses that do not constitute an operating segment are categorized as Corporate/Other for reportable business segment purposes. Additionally, reconciling adjustments for the elimination of inter-segment transactions and discontinued operations are included in Corporate/Other. As of September 30, 2018, Corporate/Other had \$5.35 billion of FE holding company long-term debt and \$1.7 billion in borrowings under its revolving credit facility.

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Financial information for each of FirstEnergy's reportable segments is presented in the tables below.

Segment Financial Information

For the Three Months Ended	Regulated Distribution (In millions)	Regulated Transmission	Corporate/ Other	Reconciling Adjustments	Consolidated
September 30, 2018					
Revenues	\$2,766	\$ 346	\$ 6	\$ (54)	\$ 3,064
Depreciation	202	64	1	16	283
Amortization of regulatory assets, net	65	2	—	—	67
Miscellaneous income (expense), net	34	4	19	(8)	49
Interest expense	127	43	93	(8)	255
Income taxes (benefits)	126	34	(27)	—	133
Income (loss) from continuing operations	416	99	(128)	—	387
Total assets	28,530	10,017	896	—	39,443
Total goodwill	5,004	614	—	—	5,618
Property additions	356	262	5	12	635
September 30, 2017					
Revenues	\$2,609	\$ 341	\$ 10	\$ (50)	\$ 2,910
Depreciation	183	59	2	17	261
Amortization of regulatory assets, net	107	6	—	—	113
Impairment of assets	—	13	—	—	13
Miscellaneous income (expense), net	16	1	15	(13)	19
Interest expense	133	38	104	(13)	262
Income taxes (benefits)	183	49	(30)	—	202
Income (loss) from continuing operations	314	84	(97)	—	301
Total assets	27,866	9,356	938	5,489	43,649
Total goodwill	5,004	614	—	—	5,618
Property additions	286	248	14	45	593
For the Nine Months Ended					
September 30, 2018					
Revenues	\$7,694	\$ 1,010	\$ 28	\$ (181)	\$ 8,551
Depreciation	598	187	6	52	843
Amortization (deferral) of regulatory assets, net	(194)	6	—	—	(188)
Miscellaneous income (expense), net	146	11	34	(27)	164
Interest expense	384	124	377	(27)	858
Income taxes	357	104	42	—	503
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1,115	302	(577)	—	840
Property additions	1,011	836	68	27	1,942
September 30, 2017					
Revenues	\$7,380	\$ 981	\$ 37	\$ (151)	\$ 8,247
Depreciation	540	164	9	52	765
Amortization of regulatory assets, net	263	11	—	—	274
Impairment of assets	—	13	—	—	13

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Miscellaneous income (expense), net	45	1	31	(33)	44
Interest expense	405	116	263	(33)	751
Income taxes (benefits)	442	154	(113)	—	483
Income (loss) from continuing operations	756	264	(248)	—	772
Property additions	854	717	43	233		1,847

41

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FIRSTENERGY CORP.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
FIRSTENERGY'S BUSINESS

FE and its subsidiaries are principally involved in the transmission, distribution and generation of electricity. Its reportable segments are as follows: Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission.

On March 31, 2018, as discussed below, FirstEnergy deconsolidated FES and FENOC and presented FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply, representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from actions taken as part of the strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation. During the third quarter of 2018, the Pleasants Power Station was also reclassified to discontinued operations following its inclusion in the definitive settlement agreement for the benefit of FES' creditors. The financial information for all periods has been revised to present the discontinued operations within Reconciling Adjustments. The remaining business activities that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment were not material and, as such, have been combined into Corporate/Other for reporting purposes.

The Regulated Distribution segment distributes electricity through FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies, serving approximately six million customers within 65,000 square miles of Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey and New York, and purchases power for its POLR, SOS, SSO and default service requirements in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland. This segment also controls 3,790 MWs of regulated electric generation capacity located primarily in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. The segment's results reflect the commodity costs of securing electric generation and the deferral and amortization of certain fuel costs.

The Regulated Transmission segment provides transmission infrastructure owned and operated by ATSI, TrAIL, MAIT and certain of FirstEnergy's utilities (JCP&L, MP, PE and WP) to transmit electricity from generation sources to distribution facilities. The segment's revenues are primarily derived from forward-looking formula rates at ATSI, TrAIL, and MAIT as well as stated transmission rates at certain of JCP&L, MP, PE and WP. Both the forward-looking formula and stated rates recover costs that the regulatory agencies determine are permitted to be recovered and provide a return on transmission capital investment. Under forward-looking formula rates, the revenue requirement is updated annually based on a projected rate base and projected costs, which is subject to an annual true-up based on actual costs. The segment's results also reflect the net transmission expenses related to the delivery of electricity on FirstEnergy's transmission facilities.

Corporate support not charged to FE's subsidiaries, interest expense on stand-alone holding company debt, corporate income taxes and other businesses that do not constitute an operating segment are categorized as Corporate/Other for reportable business segment purposes. Additionally, reconciling adjustments for the elimination of inter-segment transactions and discontinued operations are included in Corporate/Other. As of September 30, 2018, 1,367 MWs of electric generating capacity, representing the Pleasants Power Station (1,300 MWs) and AE Supply's OVEC capacity entitlement (67 MWs), was included in Corporate/Other. As of September 30, 2018, Corporate/Other had \$5.35 billion of FE holding company long-term debt and \$1.7 billion in borrowings under its revolving credit facility. On October 19, 2018, FE and the Utilities and FET and certain of its subsidiaries amended their respective five-year syndicated revolving credit facilities, which provide for aggregate commitments of \$3.5 billion and are available through December 6, 2022. Also on October 19, 2018, FE entered into two separate syndicated term loan credit facilities, the first being a \$1.25 billion 364-day facility, and the second being a \$500 million two-year facility, the proceeds of which were used to reduce short-term debt.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FirstEnergy's strategy is to be a fully regulated utility company, focusing on stable and predictable earnings and cash flow from its regulated business units - Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission - which focus on delivering enhanced customer service and reliability. Together, the Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission businesses are expected to provide stable, predictable earnings and cash flows that support FE's dividend.

The scale and diversity of the ten Utilities that comprise the Regulated Distribution business uniquely position this business for growth through opportunities for additional investment. Since 2015, Regulated Distribution has experienced significant growth through investments that have improved reliability and added operating flexibility to the distribution infrastructure, which provide benefits to the customers and communities those Utilities serve. Based on its current capital plan, which includes \$5.7 to \$6.7 billion in forecasted capital investments through 2021, Regulated Distribution's rate base growth rate is expected to be approximately 5% through 2021. Additionally, this business is exploring other opportunities for growth, including investments in electric system improvement and modernization projects to increase reliability and improve service to customers, as well as exploring opportunities in customer engagement that focus on the electrification of customers' homes and businesses by providing a full range of products and services.

With approximately 24,500 miles in operations, the Regulated Transmission business is the centerpiece of FirstEnergy's regulated investment strategy with approximately 80% of its capital investments recovered under forward-looking formula rates, including ATSI, TrAIL and MAIT. Regulated Transmission has also experienced significant growth as part of its Energizing the Future transmission plan with plans to invest \$4.0 to \$4.8 billion in capital from 2018 to 2021, which is expected to result in Regulated Transmission rate base growth of approximately 11% through 2021.

FirstEnergy believes there are incremental investment opportunities for its existing transmission infrastructure of approximately \$20 billion beyond those identified through 2021, which are expected to strengthen grid and cyber-security and make the transmission system more reliable, robust, secure and resistant to extreme weather events, with improved operational flexibility.

On December 22, 2017, the President signed the Tax Act into law. Substantially all of the provisions of the Tax Act are effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. As discussed below, various state regulatory proceedings have been initiated to investigate the impact of the Tax Act on the Utilities' rates and charges. FirstEnergy continues to work with various state regulatory commissions to determine appropriate changes to customer rates resulting from the Tax Act. Several states have since implemented rate reductions to reflect the impact of the Tax Act, while in the remaining states, FirstEnergy continues to track and apply regulatory accounting treatment for the expected rate impact of changes resulting from the Tax Act. FERC also recently took action to address the impact of the Tax Act on FERC-jurisdictional rates, including transmission and electric wholesale rates. FirstEnergy has reflected the impact of changes to current taxes in its normal update to FERC-jurisdictional transmission rates and will continue to work with FERC regarding whether and how it should address possible changes to transmission and wholesale rates resulting from the Tax Act.

As previously disclosed, on January 22, 2018, FirstEnergy announced a \$2.5 billion equity issuance, which included \$1.62 billion in mandatorily convertible preferred equity with an initial conversion price of \$27.42 per share and \$850 million of common equity issued at \$28.22 per share. The equity investment strengthened the Company's balance sheet, supports the company's transition to a fully regulated utility company and is expected to position FirstEnergy for sustained investment-grade credit metrics. The preferred shares participate in the dividend paid on common stock on an as-converted basis and are non-voting except in certain limited circumstances. The preferred shares contain an

optional conversion right for holders as of July 22, 2018, and will mandatorily convert in July 2019, subject to limited exceptions. Proceeds from the investment were used to reduce holding company debt by \$1.45 billion and fund the company's pension plan by \$750 million, with the remainder used for general corporate purposes. Because of this investment, FirstEnergy does not currently anticipate the need to issue additional equity through at least 2021 outside of its regular stock investment and employee benefit plans. As of September 30, 2018, 911,411 shares of preferred stock have been converted to 33,238,910 shares of common stock at the option of the holders.

On March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy's competitive subsidiary FES and FENOC voluntarily filed petitions under Chapter 11 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. FirstEnergy and its other subsidiaries - including its Utilities and AE Supply - are not part of the filing and are not subject to the Chapter 11 process. The voluntary bankruptcy filings by FES and FENOC represented a significant event in FirstEnergy's previously announced strategy to exit the competitive generation business and become a fully regulated utility company with a stronger balance sheet, solid cash flows and more predictable earnings. As a result of the bankruptcy filings, as of March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC were deconsolidated from FirstEnergy's financial statements. Additionally, the operating results of FES and FENOC, as well as BSPC and a portion of AE Supply (including the Pleasants Power Station) that were subject to completed or pending asset sales, collectively representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that comprised the CES reportable segment, are presented as discontinued operations. Prior periods have been reclassified to conform with such presentation as discontinued operations.

On April 23, 2018, FirstEnergy and the FES Key Creditor Groups reached an agreement in principle to resolve certain claims by FirstEnergy against the FES Debtors and all claims by the FES Debtors and their creditors against FirstEnergy. The FES Debtors and the UCC subsequently joined settlement discussions with FirstEnergy and the FES Key Creditor Groups. On August 26, 2018, FirstEnergy, the FES Key Creditor Groups, the FES Debtors and the UCC entered into a definitive settlement agreement which

was approved by order of the Bankruptcy Court on September 26, 2018. The settlement agreement includes the following terms, among others:

FE will pay certain pre-petition FES and FENOC employee-related obligations, which include unfunded pension obligations and other employee benefits, and provides for the waiver of all pre-petition claims (other than those claims under the Tax Allocation Agreement for the 2018 tax year) against the FES Debtors related to the FES Debtors and their businesses, including the full borrowings by FES under the \$500 million secured credit facility, the \$200 million credit agreement being used to support surety bonds, the BNSF/CSX rail settlement guarantee, and the FES Debtors' unfunded pension obligations, all of which were previously accounted for in the first quarter of 2018 gain on deconsolidation.

• The full release of all claims against FirstEnergy by the FES Debtors and their creditors.

• A \$225 million cash payment from FirstEnergy.

A \$628 million aggregate principal amount note issuance by FirstEnergy to the FES Debtors, which may be decreased by the amount, if any, of cash paid by FirstEnergy to the FES Debtors under the Intercompany Income Tax Allocation Agreement for the tax benefits related to the sale or deactivation of certain plants.

Transfer of the Pleasants Power Station and related assets to FES or its designee for the benefit of FES' creditors, which resulted in a pre-tax charge of \$43 million in the third quarter of 2018, and a requirement that FE continue to provide FES access to the McElroy's Run CCR Impoundment Facility, which is not being transferred. Prior to transfer and beginning no later than January 1, 2019, FES will acquire the economic interests in Pleasants and AE Supply will operate Pleasants until the transfer. FE will provide certain guarantees for retained environmental liabilities of AE Supply, including the McElroy's Run CCR Impoundment Facility.

FirstEnergy agrees to waive all pre-petition claims related to shared services and credit nine-months of the FES Debtors' shared service costs beginning as of April 1, 2018, in an amount not to exceed \$112.5 million, and FirstEnergy agrees to extend the availability of shared services until no later than June 30, 2020.

FirstEnergy agrees to fund through its pension plan a pension enhancement, subject to a cap, should FES offer a voluntary enhanced retirement package in 2019 and to offer certain other employee benefits.

FirstEnergy agrees to perform under the Intracompany Tax Allocation Agreement through the FES Debtors' emergence from bankruptcy, at which time FirstEnergy will waive a 2017 overpayment for NOLs of approximately \$71 million, reverse 2018 estimated payments for NOLs of approximately \$88 million and pay the FES Debtors for the use of NOLs in an amount no less than \$66 million (of which approximately \$20 million has been paid through September 30, 2018).

FirstEnergy has determined a loss is probable with respect to the FES Bankruptcy and recorded a pre-tax charge in the third quarter of 2018 of \$1.2 billion within Discontinued Operations, which reflects the current estimate of the commitments and payments under the settlement agreement.

The settlement agreement remains subject to satisfaction of the conditions set forth therein, most notably the issuance of a final order by the Bankruptcy Court approving the plan or plans of reorganization for the FES Debtors that are acceptable to FirstEnergy consistent with the requirements of the settlement agreement. There can be no assurance that such conditions will be satisfied or the settlement agreement will be otherwise consummated, and the actual outcome of this matter may differ materially from the terms of the agreement described herein. FirstEnergy will continue to evaluate the impact of any new factors on the settlement and their relative impact on the financial statements.

In connection with the settlement agreement, FirstEnergy entered into a separation agreement with the FES Debtors to implement the separation of the FES Debtors and their businesses from FirstEnergy. A business separation committee has been established between FirstEnergy and the FES Debtors to review and determine issues that arise in the context of the separation of the FES Debtors' businesses from those of FirstEnergy.

With the bankruptcy filings of FES and FENOC, and the completed sale of the previously announced competitive Bath hydroelectric station, FirstEnergy's electric generation fleet is now made up of 3,790 MW of regulated generation, including four plants in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. This excludes AE Supply's remaining

competitive generation assets - the 1,300 MW Pleasants Power Station, which will be transferred to FES' creditors under the settlement agreement, and its 67 MW OVEC capacity entitlement.

In support of the strategic review to exit competitive generation, management launched the FE Tomorrow initiative to define FirstEnergy's future organization to support its regulated business. FE Tomorrow is intended to align corporate services to efficiently support the regulated operations by ensuring that FirstEnergy has the right talent, organizational and cost structure to achieve our earnings growth targets. In support of the FE Tomorrow initiative, in June and early July 2018, nearly 500 employees in the shared services and utility services and sustainability organizations, which was more than 80% of eligible employees, accepted a voluntary enhanced retirement package, which included severance compensation and a temporary pension enhancement, with most employees expected to depart by December 31, 2018. Management expects the cost savings resulting from the FE Tomorrow initiative to support the company's growth targets.

FINANCIAL OVERVIEW AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions, except per share amounts)	For the Three Months Ended					For the Nine Months Ended				
	September 30,		Change			September 30,		Change		
	2018	2017				2018	2017			
Revenues	\$3,064	\$2,910	\$154	5 %		\$8,551	\$8,247	\$304	4 %	
Operating expenses	2,354	2,177	177	8 %		6,561	6,324	237	4 %	
Operating income	710	733	(23)	(3) %		1,990	1,923	67	3 %	
Other expenses, net	(190)	(230)	40	(17) %		(647)	(668)	21	(3) %	
Income before income taxes	520	503	17	3 %		1,343	1,255	88	7 %	
Income taxes	133	202	(69)	(34) %		503	483	20	4 %	
Income from continuing operations	387	301	86	29 %		840	772	68	9 %	
Discontinued operations	(845)	95	(940)	NM		370	3	367	NM	
Net income (loss)	\$(458)	\$396	\$(854)	(216) %		\$1,210	\$775	\$435	56 %	

* NM = not meaningful

The financial results discussed below include revenues and expenses from transactions among FirstEnergy's business segments. A reconciliation of segment financial results is provided in Note 15, "Segment Information," of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

On March 31, 2018, as discussed above, FirstEnergy deconsolidated FES and FENOC and presented FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from the FES Bankruptcy and actions taken as part of the strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation. During the third quarter of 2018, the Pleasants Power Station was reclassified to discontinued operations following its inclusion in the definitive settlement agreement for the benefit of FES' creditors. The financial information for all periods has been revised to present the discontinued operations. The remaining business activities that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment were not material and, as such, have been combined into Corporate/Other for reporting purposes.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Summary of Results of Operations — Third Quarter 2018 Compared with Third Quarter 2017

Financial results for FirstEnergy's business segments in the third quarter of 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

Third Quarter 2018 Financial Results	Regulated Distribution	Regulated Transmission	Corporate/Other and Reconciling Adjustments	FirstEnergy Consolidated
	(In millions)			
Revenues:				
External				
Electric	\$2,698	\$ 341	\$ (30)	\$ 3,009
Other	68	5	(18)	55
Total Revenues	2,766	346	(48)	3,064
Operating Expenses:				
Fuel	137	—	—	137
Purchased power	873	—	3	876
Other operating expenses	663	68	8	739
Provision for depreciation	202	64	17	283
Amortization of regulatory assets, net	65	2	—	67
General taxes	197	49	6	252
Total Operating Expenses	2,137	183	34	2,354
Operating Income (Loss)	629	163	(82)	710
Other Income (Expense):				
Miscellaneous income, net	34	4	11	49
Interest expense	(127)	(43)	(85)	(255)
Capitalized financing costs	6	9	1	16
Total Other Expense	(87)	(30)	(73)	(190)
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes (Benefits)	542	133	(155)	520
Income taxes (benefits)	126	34	(27)	133
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	416	99	(128)	387
Discontinued Operations, net of tax	—	—	(845)	(845)
Net Income (Loss)	\$416	\$ 99	\$ (973)	\$ (458)

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Third Quarter 2017 Financial Results	Regulated Distribution	Regulated Transmission	Corporate/Other and Reconciling Adjustments	FirstEnergy Consolidated
	(In millions)			
Revenues:				
External				
Electric	\$2,553	\$ 337	\$ (26)	\$ 2,864
Other	56	4	(14)	46
Total Revenues	2,609	341	(40)	2,910
Operating Expenses:				
Fuel	126	—	—	126
Purchased power	776	—	(2)	774
Other operating expenses	621	55	(24)	652
Provision for depreciation	183	59	19	261
Amortization of regulatory assets, net	107	6	—	113
General taxes	187	45	6	238
Impairment of assets	—	13	—	13
Total Operating Expenses	2,000	178	(1)	2,177
Operating Income (Loss)	609	163	(39)	733
Other Income (Expense):				