ROYAL GOLD INC Form 424B3 September 28, 2007

Table of Contents

Filed pursuant to Section 424(b)(3) Registration No. 333-145213

Prospectus

Proxy Statement

MERGER PROPOSED YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT

The board of directors of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp. (Battle Mountain) has approved a merger agreement that would result in Battle Mountain becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, Inc. (Royal Gold). In the merger, each outstanding share of Battle Mountain common stock would be converted into the right to receive, at the election of each Battle Mountain stockholder, either (i) between 0.0172 and 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock to be determined at closing (Stock Election) or (ii) approximately \$0.55 in cash (Cash Election), in each case assuming 91,563,506 shares of Battle Mountain common stock will be issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. The per share consideration, if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Stock Election, will be based on the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction. If the average price is less than \$29.00, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price of Royal Gold common stock is \$30.18 or above, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,570,507 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0172 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price is greater than or equal to \$29.00 but less than \$30.18, the per share consideration for each share of Battle Mountain common stock would be proportionally adjusted based on the average price of Royal Gold common stock, using \$47,397,901.26 as the aggregate purchase price. The per share consideration if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Cash Election will be based on a maximum of \$50,359,928 as the aggregate purchase price.

The stock consideration and cash consideration payable in the merger are subject to pro rata adjustment based on the number of issued and outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and a potential reduction or holdback of approximately 0.0006 shares of Royal Gold common stock on a per share basis, in the case of a Stock Election, or approximately \$0.017 on a per share basis, in the case of a Cash Election, based on the cost of settling certain Battle Mountain litigation.

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. We cannot complete the transaction unless, among other things, the holders of Battle Mountain common stock vote to approve and adopt the merger agreement. Battle Mountain will hold a special meeting of its stockholders on October 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m., local time at the offices of Clark Wilson LLP, 800-885 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3H1, Canada. Whether or not you plan to attend Battle Mountain s special meeting, please vote by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card to the address on the proxy card, or by submitting your proxy by telephone or Internet, using the procedures in the voting instructions included with the enclosed proxy card.

The Battle Mountain board of directors recommends that the Battle Mountain stockholders vote FOR the approval and adoption of the merger agreement and related items.

The securities offered in this prospectus involve certain risks. For a discussion of risk factors that you should consider in evaluating the offer, see the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 9 of this prospectus.

Royal Gold common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol RGLD and on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol RGL. The last reported sale of Royal Gold common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on September 26, 2007 was \$33.01. Battle Mountain common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol BMGX. The last reported sale of Battle Mountain common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on September 26, 2007 was \$0.55.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this proxy statement/prospectus is September 27, 2007, and it is first being mailed to Battle Mountain stockholders on or about September 28, 2007.

Table of Contents

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORP.

One East Liberty Street Sixth Floor, Suite 9 Reno, Nevada 89504

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

October 24, 2007 10:00 A.M. LOCAL TIME

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORP.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp., a Nevada corporation, will hold a special meeting of stockholders on October 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. local time at the offices of Clark Wilson LLP, 800-885 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3H1, Canada to consider and vote upon the following:

- 1. a proposal to approve and adopt the Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger (the merger agreement) by and among Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp., Royal Gold, Inc. and Royal Battle Mountain Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, Inc., dated July 30, 2007;
- 2. a proposal to approve an adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit solicitation of additional proxies in favor of the above proposal; and
- 3. any other business as may properly come before the special meeting or any adjournment or postponements of the special meeting.

Only holders of record of Battle Mountain common stock on the books of Battle Mountain as of the close of business on September 26, 2007, the record date, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting. The approval and adoption of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Battle Mountain common stock outstanding on the record date.

The accompanying document describes the proposed transaction in more detail. We encourage you to read the entire document carefully, including the merger agreement, which is included as Annex A to the document.

The Battle Mountain board of directors has unanimously approved and adopted the merger agreement and recommends that Battle Mountain stockholders vote FOR approval and adoption of the merger agreement.

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, please submit your proxy with voting instructions as soon as possible. If you hold stock in your name as a stockholder of record, please complete, sign, date and return the accompanying proxy card in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope, or submit your proxy by telephone or Internet, using the procedures in the voting instructions included with the enclosed proxy card. If you hold your shares through a bank or broker, please use the voting instructions you have received from your bank or broker. Submitting your proxy will not prevent you from attending the special meeting and voting in person. Please note, however, that if you hold your shares through a bank or broker, and you wish to vote in person at the special meeting, you must obtain from your bank or broker a proxy issued in your name. You may revoke your proxy by attending the special meeting and voting your shares in person at the special meeting. You may also revoke

your proxy at any time before it is voted by giving written notice of revocation to Computershare Trust Company, N.A. at the address provided with the proxy card at or before the special meeting or by filing a properly executed proxy with a later date.

Battle Mountain stockholders who do not vote in favor of approving the merger agreement and who otherwise comply with the requirements of Nevada law will be entitled to the rights of a dissenting owner. A summary of the applicable Nevada law provision, including the requirements a Battle Mountain stockholder must follow in order to exercise his or her rights of a dissenting owner, is contained in the accompanying proxy statement/prospectus. A copy of the Nevada law provision relating to rights of a dissenting owner is attached as Annex B to the proxy statement/prospectus.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Mark Kucher Chairman and Chief Executive Officer September 27, 2007

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS	111
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER	iv
<u>SUMMARY</u>	1
RISK FACTORS	9
Risks Related to the Combined Company	9
Risks Related to Battle Mountain	12
SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	19
BATTLE MOUNTAIN S SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	20
Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting	20
Purpose of the Special Meeting	20
Record Date and Outstanding Shares	20
Quorum Requirement	21
<u>Votes Required</u>	21
Shares Beneficially Owned as of the Record Date	21
Voting at the Special Meeting	22
<u>Proxies</u>	23
Solicitation of Proxies	23
Other Business	24
Communications by Battle Mountain Stockholders with Battle Mountain	24
SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF ROYAL GOLD	25
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA, COMBINED, CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF ROYAL	
<u>GOLD</u>	27
CERTAIN HISTORICAL AND PRO FORMA PER SHARE DATA	36
COMPARATIVE MARKET PRICE INFORMATION	37
THE MERGER	38
<u>General</u>	38
Background to the Merger	39
Battle Mountain s Reasons for the Merger	43
Royal Gold s Reasons for the Merger	44
<u>Description of Election Procedures</u>	45
<u>Dissenter s Righ</u> ts	45
Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger	47
Certain Effects of the Merger	48
Accounting Treatment for the Merger	48
Resales of Royal Gold Common Stock	48
Exchange Agent	49
Interests of Battle Mountain Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger	49
THE MERGER AGREEMENT	49
General Terms of the Merger Agreement	49
Consideration for Battle Mountain Stockholders	50
Contingent Stock and Cash Arrangement	50
Stock Options, Warrants, Convertible Securities or Other Rights to Purchase Common Stock	51
Exchange of Stock Certificates	51
Representations and Warranties	52

Table of Contents

Conduct of Business Pending Merger	53
Notice of Developments	54
Actions to be Taken to Complete the Merger	54
Conditions to Completion of the Merger	55
Non-Solicitation of Acquisition Proposals	56
<u>Termination</u>	58
Termination Fees and Expenses	59
Governing Law	59
Amendment and Waiver	59
Dissenter s Rights	59
MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS	60
COMPARISON OF STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS	63
RELATIONSHIP WITH BATTLE MOUNTAIN	73
INTERESTS OF CERTAIN PERSONS IN THE MERGER	75
Employment Agreements	75
Stock Options and Warrants	76
Ownership of Battle Mountain Capital Stock by Directors and Officers of Battle Mountain	76
INFORMATION CONCERNING ROYAL GOLD	77
INFORMATION CONCERNING BATTLE MOUNTAIN	77
Description of Business	77
Key Royalty Assets	79
Other Royalty Assets	83
Legal Proceedings	84
Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants	84
Equity Compensation Plan Information	84
Battle Mountain s Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	
for the Year Ended December 31, 2006, the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2007 and Corresponding	
Prior-Year Periods	85
Security Ownership of Beneficial Owners and Management	95
SELLING STOCKHOLDERS	96
Plan of Distribution	97
OTHER MATTERS	98
LEGAL MATTERS	98
<u>EXPERTS</u>	99
INCORPORATION OF DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE	99
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	100
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED AUDITED AND UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF	
BATTLE MOUNTAIN	F-1
ANNEX A Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger	A-1
ANNEX B Nevada Dissenter s Rights Statute	B-1
ii	

Table of Contents

IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

This document, which is sometimes referred to as this proxy statement/prospectus, constitutes a proxy statement of Battle Mountain with respect to the solicitation of proxies for the special meeting of Battle Mountain stockholders to, among other things, approve and adopt the merger agreement and a prospectus of Royal Gold for the shares of Royal Gold common stock that Royal Gold will issue to Battle Mountain stockholders in the merger transaction. As permitted under the rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, this proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about Royal Gold that is contained in documents filed with the SEC and that is not included in or delivered with this proxy statement/prospectus. Battle Mountain stockholders may obtain copies of these documents, without charge, excluding any exhibits to these documents unless the exhibit is specifically incorporated by reference as an exhibit in this proxy statement/prospectus from the website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov, as well as other sources. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 100. You may also obtain copies of these documents, without charge, from Royal Gold and Battle Mountain by writing or calling the applicable department set forth below:

Royal Gold, Inc. 1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: (303) 573-1660 Attn: Investor Relations Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp.
One East Liberty Street, Sixth Floor, Suite 9
Reno, NV 89504
Telephone: (775) 686-6081
Attn: Chief Executive Officer

In order to obtain delivery of these documents prior to Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders you should request the documents no later than October 17, 2007.

References to Royal Gold and Battle Mountain in this proxy statement/prospectus refer to Royal Gold, Inc. and Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp., respectively. Except as otherwise specifically noted, references to shares of Royal Gold common stock, Royal Gold common stock or Royal Gold shares refer to shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of Royal Gold, and references to shares of Battle Mountain common stock, Battle Mountain common stock or Battle Mountain shares refer to shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, of Battle Mountain. Except as otherwise specifically noted, references to we, us, or our refer to both Royal Gold and Battle Mountain.

iii

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER

In the following questions and answers below, we highlight selected information from this proxy statement/prospectus but we have not included all of the information that may be important to you regarding the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. To better understand the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and for a complete description of their legal terms, you should carefully read this entire proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes, as well as the documents that we have incorporated by reference in this document. See Important Note About this Proxy Statement/Prospectus beginning on page iii and Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 100.

O: WHAT IS THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION?

A: Royal Gold has reached an agreement with Battle Mountain to acquire Battle Mountain by merging Royal Battle Mountain, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, with and into Battle Mountain. As a result, Battle Mountain will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold. Holders of Battle Mountain common stock, as of the completion of the merger, will exchange their shares of Battle Mountain common stock for shares of Royal Gold common stock or cash. Battle Mountain stockholders will elect to receive for each share of Battle Mountain common stock either (i) between 0.0172 and 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock, based on the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction or (ii) approximately \$0.55 in cash. Registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) of the Royal Gold common stock delivered to the Battle Mountain stockholders is a condition to the closing of the merger transaction. The merger agreement is included as Annex A to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference. The merger agreement is the legal document that governs the merger.

The merger will become effective on such date and at such time that articles of merger for Battle Mountain and Royal Battle Mountain are filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada, or at such other mutually agreed to time and date. Throughout this proxy statement/prospectus, we will refer to this as the effective time of the merger.

Q: WHEN IS BATTLE MOUNTAIN S SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS?

A: Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders will take place on October 24, 2007, at the time and location specified on the cover page of this document. You will be asked to consider and vote on the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement.

Q: WHAT DO I NEED TO DO NOW?

A: After you have carefully read this entire document, please vote your shares of Battle Mountain common stock. You may do this by completing, signing, dating and mailing the enclosed proxy card, as explained in this proxy statement/prospectus or by submitting your proxy by telephone or through the Internet, as explained in the voting instructions attached to the enclosed proxy card. This will enable your shares to be represented and voted at Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders. If you submit a valid proxy and do not indicate how you want to vote, we will count your proxy as a vote in favor of the proposals described in this document submitted at Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders.

The Battle Mountain board of directors recommends that Battle Mountain s stockholders vote:

FOR the approval and adoption of the merger agreement; and

FOR the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit solicitation of additional proxies in favor of the above proposal.

Q: WHAT STOCKHOLDER VOTES ARE REQUIRED?

A: Battle Mountain stockholders are being asked to approve and adopt the merger agreement. Under Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws, one-third of the Battle Mountain common stock outstanding on the record date, represented in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders. The approval of this proposal, and therefore the consummation of

iv

Table of Contents

the transaction, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock as of September 26, 2007, the record date for the special meeting.

O: WHY IS MY VOTE IMPORTANT?

A: If you do not return your proxy card, submit your proxy by telephone or through the Internet or vote in person at Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders, it will be more difficult for Battle Mountain to obtain the necessary quorum to hold its meeting and the stockholder approval necessary to consummate the proposed transaction. Without the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock, the merger cannot be completed.

Q: IF MY SHARES ARE HELD IN STREET NAME BY MY BROKER, WILL MY BROKER AUTOMATICALLY VOTE MY SHARES FOR ME?

A: No. Your broker will only vote your shares if you provide your broker with voting instructions. You should instruct your broker to vote your shares by following the directions your broker provides to you. Please check the voting instruction form used by your broker to see if it offers telephone or Internet voting. A broker is not permitted to vote on the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement or on the proposal to approve an adjournment of the special meeting without instruction from you. If you do not provide instruction to your broker, a broker non-vote will occur and have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

O: WHY ARE THE TWO COMPANIES PROPOSING TO MERGE?

A: Both Royal Gold s board of directors and Battle Mountain s board of directors considered a number of factors in approving the merger agreement. Among them, Battle Mountain s board of directors considered the relative financial conditions, results of operations and prospects for growth of Battle Mountain and Royal Gold, Battle Mountain s operational and liquidity challenges, Royal Gold s competitive strengths and the concern of Battle Mountain s independent auditors regarding Battle Mountain s ability to continue as a going concern. Royal Gold s board of directors considered that the merger will aid in the expansion and diversification of Royal Gold s portfolio, add current revenue and add another quality royalty in the development stage, among other factors. *See*The Merger Battle Mountain s Reasons for the Merger on page 43 and The Merger Royal Gold s Reasons for the Merger on page 44.

Q: DO I HAVE RIGHTS OF A DISSENTING OWNER?

A: Generally, stockholders of a Nevada corporation have the right to dissent from certain corporate actions in certain circumstances. According to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Section 92A.380(1)(a)(1), these circumstances include consummation of a plan of merger requiring approval of the corporation s stockholders. Stockholders who are entitled to dissent are also entitled to demand payment in the amount of the fair value of their shares. A stockholder will be entitled to relief as a dissenting stockholder if and only if he or she complies strictly with all of the procedural and other requirements of Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500 of NRS. Battle Mountain stockholders should carefully read the detailed discussion of dissenter s rights of holders of Battle Mountain common stock under The Merger Dissenter s Rights beginning on page 45, as well as the full text of the requirements of Nevada law to exercise dissenter s rights, which is attached as Annex B.

Q: DO I GET STOCK OR CASH IN THE MERGER?

A: You may elect to receive Royal Gold common stock or cash in the merger. If you elect to receive Royal Gold common stock (Stock Election), you will receive, on a per share basis, between 0.0172 and 0.0179 shares of

Royal Gold common stock, based on the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction. If you elect to receive cash in the merger (Cash Election), you will receive, on a per share basis, approximately \$0.55 in cash. You must make either the Stock Election or the Cash Election with respect to all of your shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

O: HOW DO I ELECT TO RECEIVE STOCK OR CASH?

A: You will receive a form of election along with a letter of transmittal. All elections for stock consideration or cash consideration must be on the form of election. To make an effective election, you must properly complete and

V

Table of Contents

return the form of election to the exchange agent by the deadline provided in the form of election and follow the other procedures set forth in the form of election. The deadline for receipt by the exchange agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., of your completed form of election is 5:00 P.M. Mountain time on October 18, 2007.

See The Merger Description of Election Procedures on page 45 for more information.

O: WHAT HAPPENS TO MY STOCK IF I DON T MAKE AN ELECTION?

A: If you do not properly complete and return the form of election to the exchange agent by the deadline provided in the form of election, October 18, 2007, you will be deemed to have made the Stock Election and all of your shares of Battle Mountain common stock will be converted into the right to receive Royal Gold common stock at the effective time of the merger. The deadline for receipt by the exchange agent of your completed form of election is 5:00 P.M. Mountain time on October 18, 2007. Royal Gold and the exchange agent will determine whether an election has been properly completed.

O: DO THE STOCK ELECTION AND THE CASH ELECTION HAVE THE SAME VALUE?

A: No. If a Stock Election is made, the stock consideration on a per share basis is calculated based on an aggregate purchase price of \$47,397,901.26 and the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on NASDAQ Global Stock Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction, with a maximum of approximately 1.63 million shares of Royal Gold common stock to be issued in the merger, assuming all Battle Mountain stockholders make a Stock Election. If a Cash Election is made, the per share consideration is based on an aggregate purchase price of \$50,359,928.

The value of the Stock Election will depend upon the trading price of Royal Gold common stock, which may vary above or below the range within which the stock consideration adjusts. For example, if Royal Gold common stock trades at or above \$30.18 during the relevant time period, then the aggregate value of the stock consideration would be higher than \$47,397,901.26. Furthermore, if Royal Gold common stock trades above \$32.07 during the relevant time period, then the value of the stock consideration would exceed the cash consideration. In contrast, if Royal Gold common stock trades below \$29.00, then the aggregate value of the stock consideration would be less than \$47,397,901.26.

Q: WILL BATTLE MOUNTAIN STOCKHOLDERS BE ABLE TO TRADE ROYAL GOLD COMMON STOCK THAT THEY RECEIVE PURSUANT TO THE MERGER?

A: Yes. The Royal Gold common stock issued pursuant to the merger will be registered under the Securities Act and will be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol RGLD and on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol RGL. All shares of Royal Gold common stock that each Battle Mountain stockholder receives in the merger will be freely transferable unless a stockholder is deemed an affiliate of Battle Mountain prior to the merger or an affiliate of Royal Gold following the merger for purposes of the federal securities laws. The registration statement, of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part, filed with the SEC in connection with registration of the Royal Gold common stock to be issued to the Battle Mountain stockholders in the merger will also serve as a registration statement for resale by affiliates of Battle Mountain of those shares of Royal Gold common stock they received in the merger. Those Battle Mountain affiliates will therefore be able to freely sell the shares they receive in the merger so long as this registration statement remains effective. In the event this registration statement cannot be used, the Battle Mountain affiliates may sell subject to the limitations under Rule 145 under the Securities Act. Upon the expiration of the limitations under Rule 145, the Battle Mountain affiliates will be able to freely sell the shares they receive in the merger. For more information on

Battle Mountain affiliates ability to trade Royal Gold common stock received in the merger see The Merger Resales of Royal Gold Common Stock on page 48, Selling Stockholders on page 96 and Plan of Distribution on page 97

Q: WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO MY STOCK CERTIFICATE AND WHERE SHOULD I SEND MY STOCK CERTIFICATE?

A: At the effective time of the merger, your Battle Mountain stock certificate will convert into the right to receive either (i) shares of Royal Gold common stock, if you make a Stock Election or (ii) cash, if you make a Cash

vi

Table of Contents

Election, and you will no longer be a stockholder of Battle Mountain. You will receive written instructions, a form of election and a letter of transmittal. You will use these documents to exchange your shares of Battle Mountain common stock for shares of Royal Gold common stock and cash in lieu of fractional shares of Royal Gold common stock, if you make a Stock Election, or cash, if you make a Cash Election. Each person who submits the necessary documentation is entitled to receive either the stock consideration or the cash consideration to which the stockholder is entitled pursuant to the merger agreement. For more information see The Merger Agreement Exchange of Stock Certificates on page 51.

Q: WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO MY BATTLE MOUNTAIN WARRANTS IN THE MERGER?

A: You should consult your warrant agreement regarding the procedures you must follow in order to exercise your warrants before the closing of the merger. Warrants that are not exercised by the effective time of the merger will be cancelled and terminated for no consideration whatsoever. We anticipate that all warrants will be exercised at or before the closing of the merger. See The Merger Agreement Stock Options, Warrants, Convertible Securities or Other Rights to Purchase Common Stock on page 51.

Q: WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO MY BATTLE MOUNTAIN OPTIONS IN THE MERGER?

A: You should consult your option award agreement regarding the procedures you must follow in order to exercise your options before the closing of the merger. Options that are not exercised by the effective time of the merger will be cancelled and terminated for no consideration whatsoever. We anticipate that, pursuant to the terms of the option award agreements, each outstanding option by virtue of the merger will be cancelled and each holder of options will receive consideration equal to the amount such holder would have received if such holder had effected a cashless exercise of his or her options immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and the shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued upon such cashless exercise were converted into the right to receive Royal Gold common stock or cash in the merger. See The Merger Agreement Stock Options, Warrants, Convertible Securities or Other Rights to Purchase Common Stock on page 51.

O: WILL I BE TAXED ON THE ROYAL GOLD COMMON STOCK OR CASH THAT I RECEIVE?

A: We expect that the merger will be a taxable transaction for United States federal income tax purposes. You will generally recognize gain or loss equal to the amount of cash or the fair market value of Royal Gold common stock you receive, less your adjusted tax basis in the Battle Mountain stock you surrender in the merger. We strongly encourage you to consult your own tax advisor to determine the particular tax consequences to you of the merger. The material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger are described in more detail beginning on page 60.

Q: WHEN DO YOU EXPECT THE MERGER TO BE COMPLETED?

A: Subject to the satisfaction of a limited number of conditions, we currently expect to complete the merger no later than the third business day immediately following the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to closing set forth in the merger agreement.

Q: WHERE CAN I FIND MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ROYAL GOLD AND BATTLE MOUNTAIN?

A: More information about Royal Gold is available from various sources described under Important Note About this Proxy Statement/Prospectus on page iii and Where You Can Find More Information on page 100. Additional information about Royal Gold may be obtained from its Internet website at www.royalgold.com, and additional information about Battle Mountain may be obtained from its Internet website at www.bmegold.com. Royal Gold

and Battle Mountain have included their respective website addresses in this proxy statement/prospectus only as inactive textual references and do not intend them to be an active link to their respective websites. The contents of these websites are not part of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: WHOM SHOULD I CONTACT IF I HAVE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

A: If you have additional questions, please contact the investor relations department at Royal Gold, Inc., 1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000, Denver, CO 80202, phone number (303) 573-1660.

Q: ARE THERE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MERGER?

A: Yes. You should read the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 9.

vii

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

The following summary highlights selected information from this proxy statement/prospectus and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. To better understand the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger, you should carefully read this entire proxy statement/prospectus and the information incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus that has been filed with the SEC. You may obtain the information incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus without charge by following the instructions in Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 100.

The Companies (see page 77 for Royal Gold and page 77 for Battle Mountain)

Royal Gold

Royal Gold, Inc. 1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: (303) 573-1660

Royal Gold, together with its subsidiaries, is engaged in the business of acquiring and managing precious metals royalties. Royalties are passive, non-operating interests in mining projects that provide the right to revenue or production from the project after deducting specified costs, if any. Royal Gold s principal producing mining property interests are as follows:

four royalty interests at the Pipeline Mining Complex located in Nevada and operated by the Cortez Joint Venture, a joint venture between Barrick Gold Corporation (Barrick) (60%) and Kennecott Explorations (Australia) Ltd. (40%), a subsidiary of Rio Tinto plc;

a royalty interest on the Robinson mine, located in eastern Nevada and operated by a subsidiary of Quadra Mining Ltd. (Quadra);

a royalty interest on the SJ Claims, covering portions of the Betze-Post mine, located in Nevada and operated by a subsidiary of Barrick;

a royalty interest on the Leeville Mining Complex, located in Nevada and operated by a subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation (Newmont);

a variable royalty interest on the Troy underground silver and copper mine, located in Montana and operated by Revett Silver Company;

a royalty interest on the Bald Mountain mine, located in Nevada and operated by a subsidiary of Barrick;

a royalty interest on the Mulatos mine, located in Sonora, Mexico, and operated by a subsidiary of Alamos Gold, Inc.; and

a royalty interest on a number of properties in Santa Cruz Province, Argentina, including the Martha silver mine, operated by a subsidiary of Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, Royal Gold generated royalty revenues of approximately \$48.36 million, including approximately \$21.49 million from the Pipeline Mining Complex, representing approximately 44% of its total revenues for that period. In addition, Royal Gold generated royalty revenues of approximately \$12.58 million from the Robinson mine, approximately \$5.46 million from the SJ Claims at the Betze-Post mine, approximately \$2.66 million from the Leeville Mining Complex, approximately \$3.07 million from the Troy mine, approximately \$1.28 million from the Bald Mountain mine, approximately \$1.01 million from the Mulatos mine and approximately \$714,000 from the Martha mine.

1

Table of Contents

Royal Gold also owns the following royalty interests that are currently in development stage and are not yet in production:

Peñasquito: A 2.0% net smelter return (NSR) royalty interest on the Peñasquito project, located in the State of Zacatecas, Mexico and under development by Goldcorp.

Pascua-Lama: There are two royalty interests on the Pascua-Lama project located in Chile and operated by a subsidiary of Barrick:

A sliding-scale NSR royalty on gold derived from the Pascua-Lama project. The sliding-scale NSR royalty ranges from 0.16%, when the average quarterly gold price is \$325 per ounce or less, to 1.08%, when the average quarterly gold price is \$800 per ounce or more; and

A 0.216% fixed-rate copper royalty that applies to Pascua-Lama copper reserves in Chile. This royalty does not take effect until after January 1, 2017.

Taparko: Four royalty interests on the Taparko project are:

TB-GSR1 A production payment equivalent to a 15% gross smelter return (GSR) royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko project until either cumulative production of 804,420 ounces of gold is achieved or until we receive \$35 million in cumulative payments;

TB-GSR2 A production payment equivalent to a GSR sliding-scale royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko project. TB-GSR2 remains in force until the termination of TB-GSR1;

TB-GSR3 A perpetual 2.0% GSR royalty on all gold contained in and produced from the Taparko project after the termination of TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2; and

TB-MR1 A 0.75% milling fee royalty on all gold, subject to annual caps, processed through the Taparko project processing facilities, that is mined from any area outside the Taparko project area.

High River Gold, the operator of the Taparko mine, announced a first gold pour at the Taparko mine on July 17, 2007.

Gold Hill: A sliding-scale NSR royalty and unpatented mining claims on the Gold Hill deposit in Nye County, Nevada, controlled by Round Mountain Gold Corporation, a joint venture between Kinross Gold Corporation, the operator, and Barrick. The sliding-scale ranges from 1.0%, when the gold price is \$350 per ounce or less, to 2.0% when the gold price is above \$350 per ounce. Production on the Gold Hill deposit is expected to commence once permitting is completed and equipment from the Round Mountain pit becomes available.

Royal Gold common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol RGLD and on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol RGL.

Battle Mountain

Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp.
One East Liberty Street, Sixth Floor, Suite 9
Reno, NV 89504

Telephone: (775) 686-6081

Battle Mountain, together with its subsidiaries, is a royalty company engaged in acquiring and managing precious metal royalties. Battle Mountain was previously involved in the business of exploring for precious metals on properties in which it held interests in the State of Nevada, but ceased exploration operations in 2006. Battle Mountain s key royalty assets, including both producing and non-producing mining property interests, are as follows:

a royalty interest on the Williams mine, located in Ontario, Canada and operated by Teck Cominco Ltd. and Homestake Canada Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Barrick;

2

Table of Contents

a royalty interest on the Don Mario mine, located in eastern Bolivia and operated by Orvana Minerals Corp., majority owned by Compania Minera del Sur (Comsur);

a royalty interest on the El Limon mine and La India Project, located in northwestern Nicaragua and owned by Glencairn Gold Corporation; and

a royalty interest on the Dolores project, located in Chihuahua, Mexico, and owned by Minefinders Corporation Ltd.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, Battle Mountain generated royalty revenues of approximately \$2.39 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, Battle Mountain generated royalty revenues of approximately \$1.90 million. Battle Mountain s independent auditors expressed concern over Battle Mountain s ability to continue as a going concern in connection with their audit of Battle Mountain s financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Risks Factors (see page 9)

For a discussion of risks relating to an investment decision regarding the merger, see Risk Factors beginning on page 9.

Battle Mountain s Special Meeting of Stockholders (see page 20)

Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders will be held on October 24, 2007 at the offices of Clark Wilson LLP, 800-855 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3H1, Canada. At the special meeting, stockholders of Battle Mountain will consider and vote upon a proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement and the other proposals described in the notice for the meeting included with this proxy statement/prospectus. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on September 26, 2007, the record date, will be entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Quorum and Vote Required at the Special Meeting

Under Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws, one-third of the Battle Mountain common stock outstanding on the record date, represented in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. The proposal for the approval and adoption of the merger agreement will be approved if holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock as of the record date vote in favor of the proposal.

Shares Beneficially Owned as of the Record Date

Shares owned by Directors and Officers of Battle Mountain. Based on the number of shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued and outstanding as of the record date, September 26, 2007, the directors and executive officers of Battle Mountain and their affiliates, as a group, beneficially own approximately 22,124,192 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, or approximately 25.30% of the outstanding Battle Mountain common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting.

Shares owned by Royal Gold. As of the record date Royal Gold beneficially owns 63,505,014 shares of Battle Mountain common stock (of which 16,189,734 are owned of record) representing approximately 56.38% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock, as a result of the option and support agreements, the irrevocable

proxies given to Royal Gold and bridge finance facility agreement as described further in this proxy statement/prospectus. Royal Gold has agreed to limit its voting with respect to these shares as described further in this section and further in this proxy statement/prospectus.

In anticipation of the merger transaction, on March 5, 2007, Royal Gold obtained a binding support agreement and option to purchase from Mark Kucher, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Battle Mountain, his shares of common stock of Battle Mountain. The support agreement with Mr. Kucher also provides that Mr. Kucher will vote for and support the merger transaction. Royal Gold also obtained irrevocable proxies, dated July 27, 2007 from David Atkinson, Chief Financial Officer of Battle Mountain, and each of the non-employee directors of Battle

3

Table of Contents

Mountain, Robert Connochie, Anthony E. W. Crews, Brian M. Labadie and Christopher E. Herald, to vote in favor of the merger and against any proposal made in opposition to or in competition with the consummation of the merger. As a result of the support agreement with Mr. Kucher and the irrevocable proxies with Messrs. Atkinson, Connochie, Crews, Labadie and Herald, Royal Gold beneficially owns 22,124,192 shares of Battle Mountain common stock or 25.30% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

On March 5, 2007, Royal Gold also obtained a binding support agreement and option to purchase from IAMGOLD its shares of common stock of Battle Mountain, including shares of Battle Mountain common stock that IAMGOLD may acquire upon the conversion of a convertible debenture of Battle Mountain Gold (Canada) Inc., a subsidiary of Battle Mountain. On September 4, 2007, pursuant to the option and support agreement with IAMGOLD, Royal Gold issued 216,642 shares of its common stock to IAMGOLD and its wholly-owned subsidiary Repadre International Corporation (Repadre) in connection with Royal Gold spurchase of 12,102,940 shares of Battle Mountain common stock from IAMGOLD and Repadre and paid \$2,242,082 in cash to IAMGOLD in connection with the purchase of the convertible debenture from IAMGOLD. On September 5, 2007, Royal Gold exercised its option to convert all of the outstanding principal and accrued interest as of September 4, 2007 under the convertible debenture into Battle Mountain common stock, for an aggregate of 4,086,794 shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

On March 28, 2007 Battle Mountain entered into a bridge finance facility agreement with Royal Gold whereby Royal Gold has agreed to make available to Battle Mountain and BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, Battle Mountain s wholly-owned subsidiary, up to \$20 million, which availability was reduced to \$15 million on April 14, 2007 pursuant to the terms of the bridge facility. The bridge facility will mature on June 6, 2008. Outstanding principal, interest and expenses under the bridge facility may be converted at Royal Gold s option into Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price per share of \$0.60 at any time during the term of the bridge facility, provided that Royal Gold provides notice of its election to convert on or before April 4, 2008. As of September 26, 2007, \$15,114,593, representing outstanding principal and accrued interest, is outstanding on the bridge facility. Based on the right to convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest under the bridge facility, Royal Gold beneficially owns approximately 25,190,988 shares of Battle Mountain common stock or 23.17% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

On March 28, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain entered into a Voting Limitation Agreement pursuant to which Royal Gold agreed to limit its voting with respect to Battle Mountain common stock over which it had or could acquire voting power. Generally, Royal Gold agreed that, in the event of a superior proposal as defined in the merger agreement, under certain circumstances, Royal Gold would not vote more than 39.9% of the total number of shares of Battle Mountain common stock entitled to vote in favor of the merger transaction with Royal Gold or in opposition to a competing transaction.

See Relationship with Battle Mountain on page 73 for more information regarding Royal Gold s agreements relating to Battle Mountain.

Unaudited Pro Forma, Combined, Condensed Financial Information of Royal Gold

For a discussion of the unaudited pro forma, combined, condensed financial information of Royal Gold, see Unaudited Pro Forma, Combined, Condensed Financial Information of Royal Gold beginning on page 27.

The Merger (see page 38)

General

At the effective time of the merger, Royal Battle Mountain, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, will merge with and into Battle Mountain. As a result of the merger, the separate corporate existence of Royal Battle Mountain will cease and Battle Mountain will continue as the surviving corporation of the merger and become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold. At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Battle Mountain common stock will be converted into the right to receive, at the election of each Battle Mountain stockholder, either (i) with respect to a Stock Election, between 0.0172 and 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock to be determined at closing or (ii) with respect to a Cash Election, approximately \$0.55 in cash, in each case

4

Table of Contents

assuming 91,563,506 shares of Battle Mountain common stock are issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. The per share consideration, if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Stock Election, will be based on the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction. If the average price is less than \$29.00, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price of Royal Gold common stock is \$30.18 or above, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,570,507 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0172 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price is greater than or equal to \$29.00 but less than \$30.18, the per share consideration for each share of Battle Mountain common stock would be proportionally adjusted based on the average price of Royal Gold common stock, using \$47,397,901.26 as the aggregate purchase price. Royal Gold will not issue fractional shares of Royal Gold common stock in the merger. Instead, Battle Mountain stockholders will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares based on the fair market value of a share of Royal Gold common stock. The per share consideration if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Cash Election will be based on a maximum amount of \$50,359,928 as the aggregate purchase price. See The Merger Agreement Consideration for Battle Mountain Stockholders and The Merger Agreement Contingent Stock and Cash Arrangement beginning on page 50.

The Battle Mountain board of directors recommends that Battle Mountain stockholders vote FOR the approval and adoption of the merger agreement and FOR each of the other proposals described in the notice to the meeting.

Reasons for the Merger

Battle Mountain s board of directors unanimously determined that the merger and the terms of the merger agreement are in the best interests of Battle Mountain and its stockholders and approved and adopted the merger agreement. For a description of the factors on which the Battle Mountain board of directors based their determinations, see The Merger Battle Mountain s Reasons for the Merger beginning on page 43.

Dissenter s Rights

Under NRS, Chapter 92A, Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500, if you do not vote in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, you will be entitled to relief as a dissenting owner if and only if you comply strictly with all of the procedural and other requirements of Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500 of the NRS. Your rights as a dissenting owner are described in the section entitled The Merger Dissenter's Rights beginning on page 45. The summary contained in that section does not purport to be a complete statement of the method of compliance with Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500. The summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500 attached as Annex B.

Accounting Treatment

The merger will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles.

Regulatory Approvals

We are not aware of any material regulatory filings or approvals required prior to completing the merger as described in this proxy statement/prospectus. We intend to make all required filings under the Securities Act and the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), in connection with the merger transaction.

5

Table of Contents

Resales of Common Stock

The registration statement, of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part, filed with the SEC in connection with the registration of Royal Gold common stock to be issued to Battle Mountain stockholders in the merger will also serve as a registration statement for resale by affiliates of Battle Mountain of those shares of Royal Gold common stock received by the affiliates in the merger. Those Battle Mountain affiliates will therefore be able to freely sell the shares they receive in the merger. Royal Gold will make copies of this proxy statement/prospectus available to the affiliates who intend to resell the shares of Royal Gold common stock received by them in the merger and has informed the selling stockholders of the need for delivery of a copy of this proxy statement/prospectus to each purchaser of the resale shares prior to or at the time of any sale of the resale shares offered hereby. Royal Gold has agreed to keep this registration statement for resale effective for a period of one year following the effective time of the merger.

Exchange Agent

Royal Gold will retain Computershare Trust Company, N.A. as exchange agent in connection with the merger.

The Merger Agreement (see page 49)

Under the terms of the merger agreement, Royal Battle Mountain, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, would merge with and into Battle Mountain, with Battle Mountain continuing as the surviving entity. The merger agreement is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A and is incorporated into this proxy statement/prospectus by reference. We encourage you to read the entire merger agreement carefully as it is the legal document that governs the merger.

Consideration for Battle Mountain Stockholders

At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Battle Mountain common stock will be converted into the right to receive, at the election of each Battle Mountain stockholder, either (i) with respect to a Stock Election, between 0.0172 and 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock to be determined at closing or (ii) with respect to a Cash Election, approximately \$0.55 in cash, in each case assuming 91,563,506 shares of Battle Mountain common stock are issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. The per share consideration, if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Stock Election, will be based on the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction. If the average price is less than \$29.00, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price of Royal Gold common stock is \$30.18 or above, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,570,507 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0172 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price is greater than or equal to \$29.00 but less than \$30.18, the per share consideration for each share of Battle Mountain common stock would be proportionally adjusted based on the average price of Royal Gold common stock, using \$47,397,901.26 as the aggregate purchase price. Royal Gold will not issue fractional shares of Royal Gold common stock in the merger. Instead, Battle Mountain stockholders will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares based on the fair market value of a share of Royal Gold common stock. The per share consideration if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Cash Election will be based on a maximum amount of \$50,359,928 as the aggregate purchase price.

Contingent Stock and Cash Arrangement

Battle Mountain is a party to a legal proceeding filed by a certain former officer and director of Battle Mountain seeking to enforce alleged rights to certain shares and options to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock. The settlement of this litigation is a condition precedent to Royal Gold s obligation to complete the transactions contemplated under the merger agreement. The stock consideration and cash consideration payable in the merger are subject to a potential reduction or holdback of approximately 0.0006 shares of Royal Gold common

6

Table of Contents

stock on a per share basis, in the case of a Stock Election, or approximately \$0.017 on a per share basis, in the case of a Cash Election, based on the cost of settling this litigation.

Stock Options, Warrants, Convertible Securities or Other Rights to Purchase Common Stock

At the effective time of the merger, options, warrants, convertible securities and other rights to purchase Battle Mountain common stock will be cancelled and terminated unless exercised prior to the effective time of the merger. We anticipate that all warrants will be exercised pursuant to the terms of the respective warrant agreements at or before the closing of the merger. We anticipate that, pursuant to the terms of the respective option award agreements, each outstanding option by virtue of the merger will be cancelled and each holder of options will receive consideration equal to the amount such holder would have received if such holder had effected a cashless exercise of his or her options immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and the shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued upon such cashless exercise were converted into the right to receive Royal Gold common stock or cash in the merger unless the holder of any such option made an effective Cash Election in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

Conditions to Completion of the Merger

Each of Royal Gold, Royal Battle Mountain and Battle Mountain is required to complete the merger only if specific conditions are satisfied or waived to the extent permitted by applicable law. The following are some conditions to either Royal Gold s, Battle Mountain s or either parties obligations to complete the merger:

absence of legal restrictions enjoining, restraining, prohibiting or making illegal the completion of the merger;

Royal Gold shall have completed its due diligence investigation of Battle Mountain to its satisfaction;

Battle Mountain s stockholders will have approved the merger;

the registration statement, of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part, relating to the shares of Royal Gold common stock to be issued in connection with the merger will have become effective under the Securities Act;

the representations and warranties made by each party in the merger agreement will be true and correct at and as of the date of the closing with the same effect as though such representations and warranties were made at and as of the date of the closing, except in the case where the failure to be true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect;

each party in the merger agreement will have performed or complied in all material respects with its agreements and covenants under the merger agreement;

Battle Mountain will have obtained any required consents from third parties or governmental bodies in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement;

since December 31, 2006, there will have not occurred or be continuing any event, occurrence, revelation or development of a state of circumstances or facts, which individually or in the aggregate, has had or could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on Battle Mountain; and

Royal Gold shall have received a legal opinion from counsel to Battle Mountain satisfactory to Royal Gold.

Termination and Termination Fees

The merger agreement may be terminated, either before or after Battle Mountain s stockholders approval of the merger agreement, under certain circumstances described in The Merger Agreement Termination beginning on page 59. If the merger agreement is terminated for various reasons, Royal Gold or Battle Mountain may have to pay the other party a termination fee of \$1,000,000 or \$2,500,000, depending upon the reason for such termination, plus certain expenses.

7

Table of Contents

Relationship with Battle Mountain (see page 73)

For a summary discussion of existing agreements, ongoing and prior arrangements and transactions between Royal Gold and Battle Mountain, see also the Summary Shares Beneficially Owned as of the Record Date beginning on page 3.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger (see page 75)

You should be aware that a number of directors and officers of Battle Mountain have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, the interests of Battle Mountain stockholders generally, including, among others, the change of control payments in the amounts of up to \$3,288,662 and \$555,968 being made to Mark Kucher, Battle Mountain s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and David Atkinson, Battle Mountain s Chief Financial Officer, respectively, under employment agreements upon the completion of the merger.

Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations (see page 60)

We expect that the merger will be a taxable transaction for United States federal income tax purposes. You will generally recognize gain or loss equal to the amount of cash or the fair market value of Royal Gold common stock you receive, less your adjusted tax basis in the Battle Mountain stock you surrender in the merger.

The discussion of United States federal income tax considerations set forth herein is for general information only and does not purport to be a complete analysis or listing of all potential tax effects that may apply to a holder of Battle Mountain stock. Stockholders of Battle Mountain are strongly urged to consult their tax advisors to determine the particular tax consequences to them of the merger, including the application and effect of federal, state, local, foreign and other tax laws.

Comparison of Rights of Stockholders of Battle Mountain and Stockholders of Royal Gold (see page 63)

If we successfully complete the merger, holders of Battle Mountain common stock who make the Stock Election will become Royal Gold stockholders, and their rights as stockholders will be governed by Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws. There are also differences between the state laws governing Battle Mountain, a Nevada corporation, and Royal Gold, a Delaware corporation.

8

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to the Combined Company

As a result of the merger, Battle Mountain s business will be subject to the following new or increased risks related to the structure of the merger and the combined company. In addition to the risks described below, the combined company will continue to be subject to the risks described in the documents that Royal Gold has filed with the SEC that are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. If any of the risks described below or in the documents incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus actually occur, the business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the combined companies could be materially adversely affected. The risks below should be considered along with the other information included or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus.

The price of Royal Gold common stock could decline following the merger. The trading price of Royal Gold common stock may be affected by factors different than those factors affecting the price of Battle Mountain common stock.

If the merger is completed, holders of Battle Mountain common stock who make the Stock Election will become holders of Royal Gold common stock. The market price of Royal Gold common stock may decline as a result of the merger if the integration of Royal Gold and Battle Mountain is unsuccessful or takes longer than expected, the perceived benefits of the merger are not achieved as rapidly or to the extent anticipated by financial analysts or investors, or the royalty interests acquired in the merger do not produce the revenues expected. Furthermore, the merger agreement does not limit the conduct of Royal Gold s business after the completion of the merger. Consequently, Royal Gold is permitted to engage in activities, such as material acquisitions of assets, royalties or businesses, that could affect the market price of its common stock. The market price of Royal Gold common stock may be affected by factors different from those affecting Battle Mountain common stock.

The number of shares of Royal Gold common stock that holders of Battle Mountain common stock who make the Stock Election will receive in the merger is subject to change.

The number of shares of Royal Gold common stock that the Battle Mountain stockholders will receive for each share of Battle Mountain common stock will depend on the average price of Royal Gold common stock for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date, and ranges from an aggregate of 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock, or 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock, if the average price of Royal Gold common stock is less than \$29.00, to an aggregate of 1,570,507 shares of Royal Gold common stock, or 0.0172 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock, if the average price of Royal Gold common stock is \$30.18 or above. See The Merger Agreement Consideration for Battle Mountain Stockholders on page 50. Within this range, the number of shares of Royal Gold common stock to be issued in the merger is subject to fluctuation, such that as the average price of Royal Gold stock during the five trading day period decreases, more shares of Royal Gold stock will be issued in the merger, and as the average price increases, fewer shares will be issued. Changes in the market price of Royal Gold common stock during the business day prior to, and the day of, the closing will not affect the number of shares to be issued in the merger. Royal Gold and Battle Mountain encourage you to obtain current stock price quotations for Royal Gold common stock from a newspaper, the Internet or your broker.

There is a cap on the aggregate merger consideration that is different for the Stock Election and the Cash Election.

With respect to a Stock Election, the per share stock consideration is based on an aggregate purchase price of \$47,397,901.26 and the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Select Global Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction. If, at the effective time of the merger, for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction, the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock is less than \$29.00, the total number of Royal Gold shares to be issued in the merger will be capped at 1,634,410 shares or 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common

9

Table of Contents

stock per each share of Battle Mountain common stock. Royal Gold will not issue any additional shares of common stock in the merger as a result of the average closing price of Royal Gold common stock falling below \$29.00. With respect to a Cash Election, the per share cash consideration is based on an aggregate purchase price of \$50,359,928. No additional cash will be paid if the price of Royal Gold common stock increases before or after the closing of the merger transaction. Each Battle Mountain stockholder must make a Stock Election or a Cash Election with respect to all of his or her Battle Mountain common stock. There can be no assurance that you will make an election that results in you receiving consideration that has the highest value.

The number of shares of Royal Gold common stock or the amount of cash that holders of Battle Mountain common stock will receive in the merger is subject to a potential reduction or holdback.

Both the stock consideration and the cash consideration payable in the merger is subject to a potential reduction or holdback of approximately 50,000 to 52,000 shares of Royal Gold common stock, or approximately 0.0006 shares of Royal Gold common stock on a per share basis, in the case of a Stock Election and \$1,597,650, or approximately \$0.017 on a per share basis, in the case of a Cash Election, based on the cost of settling certain Battle Mountain litigation in each case assuming 91,563,506 shares of Battle Mountain common stock are issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. If the litigation is settled prior to the effective time of the merger, then there will be a reduction in the number of shares of Royal Gold common stock that are issued or cash paid to Battle Mountain stockholders following the closing date based on the cost of settling the litigation. If the litigation is not settled and Royal Gold elects to waive the condition precedent and complete the merger, then Royal Gold will hold back a portion of the shares of Royal Gold common stock that otherwise would be issuable or a portion of the cash payable following the closing date until such time as such litigation is settled. If the value of the shares of Royal Gold stock or the amount of cash that are subject to the holdback is less than, or equal to, the cost of settling the litigation, then none of such shares of Royal Gold common stock or cash held back will be issued or paid to the former Battle Mountain stockholders. Battle Mountain stockholders will not have a say in the settlement of the litigation and may not receive the shares of Royal Gold common stock or the amount of cash held back at the effective time of the merger.

The board of directors and executive officers of Battle Mountain have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Battle Mountain stockholders.

Battle Mountain stockholders should be aware that some directors and executive officers of Battle Mountain may have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Battle Mountain stockholders. These interests include, among others, the change of control payments of up to \$3,288,662.40 and \$555,968.40 to Mark Kucher, Battle Mountain s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and David Atkinson, Battle Mountain s Chief Financial Officer, respectively, being made under employment agreements upon the completion of the merger. For additional information on the interests that Battle Mountain s board members and executive officers may have in the merger, see Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger beginning on page 75.

Whether or not the merger is completed, the announcement and pendency of the merger could cause disruptions in the businesses of Royal Gold and Battle Mountain, which could have an adverse effect on their respective businesses, financial results and stock prices.

Whether or not the merger is completed, the announcement and pendency of the merger could cause disruptions in the businesses of Royal Gold and Battle Mountain. Specifically, managements—attention has been focused on the merger, which may have diverted managements—attention from the core business of the respective companies and other opportunities that could have been beneficial to the respective companies. These disruptions could be exacerbated by a delay in the completion of the merger or termination of the merger agreement and could have an adverse effect on the business, financial results or stock prices of Royal Gold or Battle Mountain if the merger is not completed or on Royal

Gold if the merger is completed after significant delay.

10

Table of Contents

If the proposed merger is not completed, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain will have incurred substantial costs that may adversely affect Royal Gold s and Battle Mountain s financial results and operations, the prices of Royal Gold common stock and Battle Mountain common stock could be negatively impacted, and there can be no assurance that Battle Mountain will continue to operate its business in the manner in which it is presently operated.

Royal Gold and Battle Mountain have incurred and will continue to incur substantial costs in connection with the proposed merger. These costs are primarily associated with the fees of attorneys, accountants and financial advisors. If the merger is not completed for any reason, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain will have incurred significant costs, including the diversion of management resources, for which they will have received little or no benefit.

In addition, if the merger is not completed, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain may experience negative reactions from the financial markets and Royal Gold s and Battle Mountain s collaborative partners and employees. Each of these factors may adversely affect the trading price of Royal Gold common stock and/or Battle Mountain common stock or Royal Gold s and/or Battle Mountain s financial results and operations. The price of Royal Gold common stock and Battle Mountain common stock may also decline to the extent that the current market price of Royal Gold common stock and Battle Mountain common stock reflects a market assumption that the merger will be completed. In addition, if the merger is not completed, there can be no assurance that Battle Mountain will continue to operate its business in the manner in which it is presently operated. Battle Mountain s lenders have security interests in most of Battle Mountain s assets. If Battle Mountain is unable to pay its debt as it becomes due, its lenders may foreclose on Battle Mountain s assets.

Royal Gold beneficially owns over 50% of Battle Mountain's common stock and could become a controlling stockholder of Battle Mountain.

Royal Gold owns 16,189,834 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, has options to acquire an additional 17,774,192 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, and has the right to convert the outstanding amounts as of September 26, 2007 on a convertible loan to Battle Mountain into approximately an additional 25,190,988 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, giving Royal Gold beneficial ownership of over 50% of Battle Mountain s issued and outstanding common stock as of the record date, September 26, 2007. If Royal Gold exercised its options and conversion rights, Royal Gold would become a controlling stockholder of Battle Mountain and stockholders of Battle Mountain would not be able to affect the outcome of any stockholder vote. As a result, Royal Gold would control all matters affecting Battle Mountain, including the composition of Battle Mountain s board of directors and, through it, determinations with respect to Battle Mountain s business direction and policies, including the appointment and removal of officers, any determinations with respect to the merger of Battle Mountain with it or another entity, or Battle Mountain s acquisition or disposition of assets. Concentration of voting power in Royal Gold could have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control or other business combination that some Battle Mountain stockholders might consider beneficial. Furthermore, the effect of Royal Gold exercising its conversion rights would be to dilute Battle Mountain s stockholders ownership and reduce earnings per share, as well as reduce the per share consideration payable to Battle Mountain stockholders in the merger.

The combined company will operate on a broader geographical scope than either Royal Gold or Battle Mountain has operated individually, and will be exposed to a broader range of political, social and geographical risks than either company has been exposed to on an individual basis.

Royal Gold owns royalty interests in projects in a number of foreign countries, including Argentina, Burkina Faso, Chile, Finland, Mexico and Russia. Battle Mountain also has royalty interests in projects in foreign countries, including Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Canada, Colombia, Honduras, Mexico and Nicaragua. Accordingly, the business of the combined company is subject to the risks normally associated with conducting business in foreign countries,

including controls and currency fluctuations, limitations on repatriation of earnings, foreign taxation, foreign environmental laws and enforcement, expropriation or nationalization of property, labor practices and disputes, and uncertain political and economic environments in a broader geographical scope than either company individually had been previously exposed.

11

Table of Contents

The combined company presence in a broader geographic region will expose the combined company to greater market risks resulting from fluctuating currency exchange rates over a broader geographic region. The combined company will generally be less profitable when the U.S. dollar weakens in relation to the foreign currencies of the countries in which Royal Gold and Battle Mountain have royalty interests that are not paid in US Dollars. Royal Gold does not currently implement currency hedges, but may do so in the future. However, its hedging strategies may not be successful, and any of its un-hedged foreign exchange payments will continue to be subject to market fluctuations.

There can be no assurance that Royal Gold uncovered every item that could have a material adverse effect on the combined company.

Although Royal Gold conducted business, financial and legal due diligence in connection with the proposed merger transaction, there can be no assurance that due diligence will uncover every item, including relating to Battle Mountain's financial statements, that could have a material adverse effect on the combined company. For example, Royal Gold has not been able to confirm each accounting item in Battle Mountain's financial statements. Accordingly, there may be matters involving Battle Mountain and its financial statements that were not identified during Royal Gold's due diligence. In addition, there may also be issues that Royal Gold did identify that may not be resolved prior to the effective time of the merger. Any of these issues, if left undiscovered and unresolved, could materially and adversely affect the combined company s financial condition.

The royalty interests to be acquired in the merger may not produce anticipated royalty revenues and the combined company may not produce anticipated results.

The principal assets of Battle Mountain include royalty interests on a project not yet in production. Royal Gold and Battle Mountain entered into the merger agreement because each believes that the transaction will be beneficial to Royal Gold, Battle Mountain and their respective stockholders based on Battle Mountain s anticipated royalty revenues. The success of the merger is based on Royal Gold s and Battle Mountain s ability to make accurate assumptions regarding the valuation and timing and amount of royalty payments, particularly with respect to royalties on a project not yet in production. If the operator of the project does not bring the project into production and operate in accordance with feasibility studies, the royalty interests acquired in the merger transaction may not yield royalty revenues or sufficient royalty revenues to be profitable. The failure of these projects to produce anticipated royalty revenues may materially and adversely affect the combined company s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Resales of shares of Royal Gold common stock following the transaction and future issuances of equity or equity-linked securities by Royal Gold may cause the market price of shares of Royal Gold common stock to fall.

As of June 30, 2007, Royal Gold had approximately 28,663,756 shares of common stock outstanding and approximately 1,037,906 shares authorized for issuance upon the exercise of outstanding options, the vesting of restricted stock subject to achieving certain performance goals or continued service, or reserved for future issuance under Royal Gold sequity compensation plans. Under the terms of the merger agreement Royal Gold could issue up to approximately 1.63 million shares of common stock in connection with the merger transaction assuming all Battle Mountain stockholders make a Stock Election and Royal Gold s stock price is less than \$29.00. The issuance of the shares in the merger transaction and the sale of additional shares that may become eligible for sale in the public market from time to time upon the exercise of options could have the effect of depressing the market price for shares of Royal Gold common stock.

Risks Related to Battle Mountain

Battle Mountain is currently subject to the risks described below. The risks below should be considered along with the other information included or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus.

There are risks associated with relying on Battle Mountain s historical financial statements.

Battle Mountain was required by the SEC to restate its financial statements for certain accounting and financial reporting matters during the first calendar quarter of 2007. Further, Battle Mountain s auditors included a going

12

Table of Contents

concern emphasis of a matter paragraph in their March 28, 2007 opinion on Battle Mountain s financial statements as of December 31, 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 stating that there were factors that raised substantial doubt as to Battle Mountain s ability to continue as a going concern.

Battle Mountain s auditors previously issued going concern opinions on its financial statements.

In its reports dated March 28, 2007 and March 11, 2006, Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC, expressed an opinion that there is substantial doubt about Battle Mountain s ability to continue as a going concern based on Battle Mountain s history of operating losses since inception and Battle Mountain s dependence on third-party financing. Battle Mountain s financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of that uncertainty. The accompanying financial statements of Battle Mountain were prepared assuming that Battle Mountain will continue as a going concern. In spite of Battle Mountain s recent financing activities, and availability of certain cash resources, its continuation as a going concern will continue to be dependent upon future events, including third party debt and equity financing and revenues generated from its acquired royalty assets. If Battle Mountain is unable to continue as a going concern, investors may lose their entire investment.

Battle Mountain heavily depends on Mark Kucher.

The success of Battle Mountain depends upon the personal efforts and abilities of Mark Kucher. Mark Kucher serves as a director, Chairman of the Board and Battle Mountain s Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to an employment agreement. Mr. Kucher and Battle Mountain may voluntarily terminate the employment agreement at any time. The loss of Mr. Kucher could have a material adverse effect on Battle Mountain s business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, the absence of Mr. Kucher will force Battle Mountain to seek a replacement who may have less experience or who may not understand Battle Mountain s business as well, or Battle Mountain may not be able to find a suitable replacement.

Battle Mountain is involved in an industry that is inherently speculative and risky.

Because of the inherently speculative and risky nature of the mining industry, Battle Mountain could be negatively impacted by many factors in the mining industry, and specifically the mining companies, mining properties and ventures upon which Battle Mountain relies to derive its royalty payments. Such factors may include: political risk in the countries in which the properties are located from which Battle Mountain derives royalty payments, labor disputes at the mine sites at such properties, a decline in the price of gold, significant environmental or regulatory restrictions, insufficient reserves, and natural disasters such as floods or earthquakes, among other factors, and as a result investors could lose their entire investment.

Battle Mountain has had negative cash flows from operations.

Battle Mountain s past and current operations have not been sufficient to fund its cash needs. As a result of this deficiency, Battle Mountain has been dependent on sales of its equity securities and debt financing to meet its cash requirements.

Battle Mountain s operations may not be sufficient to meet its current obligations.

At December 31, 2006, Battle Mountain had a working capital deficiency, primarily related to its entry into short-term financing arrangements used to fund the purchase of certain gold royalty assets. In particular, as of December 31, 2006 Battle Mountain was required to pay off its bridge loan facility of \$4,000,000 plus accrued interest on March 31, 2007. As discussed, Battle Mountain successfully refinanced its bridge loan facility with a portion of the proceeds received from Royal Gold.

As of December 31, 2006, Battle Mountain also had current obligations related to its gold facility of approximately \$1,500,000 (assuming a spot gold price of \$635.70 per ounce). Battle Mountain has only recently commenced operations that generate cash flow. There is no assurance that these operations will be sufficient to meet its current and short-term cash needs. Battle Mountain may need to raise additional capital through debt or equity financing arrangements in the event that:

(a) the prevailing market price for gold decreases;

13

Table of Contents

- (b) anticipated acquisition costs for further royalty assets increase beyond Battle Mountain s expectations; or
- (c) Battle Mountain encounters greater costs associated with general and administrative expenses or offering costs.

The occurrence of any of the aforementioned events could adversely affect Battle Mountain s ability to meet its business plans.

Battle Mountain will depend almost exclusively on outside capital to pay for any further royalty interest acquisitions or to increase its existing royalty interests. Such outside capital may include the sale of additional stock and/or commercial borrowing. Capital may not continue to be available, if necessary, to meet any further acquisition costs or, if the capital is available, that it will be on terms acceptable to Battle Mountain. The issuance of additional equity securities by Battle Mountain would result in a significant dilution in the equity interests of its current stockholders. Obtaining commercial loans, assuming those loans would be available, will increase Battle Mountain s liabilities and future cash commitments.

If Battle Mountain is unable to obtain financing in the amounts and on terms deemed acceptable to it, it may be unable to continue its business and as a result may be required to scale back or cease operations, the result of which would be that its stockholders would lose some or all of their investment.

A decline in the price of Battle Mountain's common stock could affect its ability to raise further working capital and adversely impact its operations.

A prolonged decline in the price of Battle Mountain s common stock could result in a reduction in the liquidity of its common stock and a reduction in its ability to raise capital. Because Battle Mountain s operations have been primarily financed through the sale of equity securities, a decline in the price of its common stock could be especially detrimental to its liquidity and its continued operations. Any reduction in its ability to raise equity capital in the future would force it to reallocate funds from other planned uses and would have a significant negative effect on its business plans and operations, including its ability to acquire further royalty assets and continue its current operations. If Battle Mountain s stock price declines, it may not be able to raise additional capital or generate funds from operations sufficient to meet its obligations.

Battle Mountain has a history of losses and fluctuating operating results.

From inception through December 31, 2006, Battle Mountain has accumulated a comprehensive deficit of \$4,659,840. Battle Mountain s loss from operations for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 was \$2,100,364. There is no assurance that Battle Mountain will operate profitably or will generate positive cash flow in the future. In addition, Battle Mountain s operating results in the future may be subject to significant fluctuations due to many factors not within its control, most important of which is the prevailing market price of gold. If Battle Mountain cannot generate positive cash flows in the future, or raise sufficient financing to continue its operations, Battle Mountain may be forced to scale down or even close its operations.

Battle Mountain has a limited operating history and if Battle Mountain is not successful in continuing to grow its business, then it may have to scale back or even cease its ongoing business operations.

Prior to May 2006, Battle Mountain had no history of revenues from operations and, until recently, had no significant tangible assets. Battle Mountain has yet to generate positive earnings and there can be no assurance that it will ever operate profitably. Battle Mountain has a limited operating history and until recently was considered a development stage company for financial reporting purposes. The success of Battle Mountain is significantly dependent on a

successful acquisition program. Battle Mountain s operations will be subject to all the risks inherent in the establishment of a developing enterprise and the uncertainties arising from the absence of a significant operating history. If Battle Mountain s business plan is not successful, and it is unable to operate profitably, investors may lose some or all of their investment in Battle Mountain.

14

Battle Mountain owns passive interests in mining properties, and it is difficult or impossible for Battle Mountain to ensure properties are operated in its best interest.

All of Battle Mountain s revenue will be derived from royalties on properties operated by third parties. The holder of a royalty interest typically has no executive authority regarding development or operation of a mineral property, therefore, Battle Mountain is not in control of basic decisions regarding development or operation of any of the properties in which its holds a royalty interest, and Battle Mountain has limited or no legal rights to influence those decisions.

Battle Mountain s strategy of having others operate properties in which it retains a royalty or other passive interest puts it generally at risk to the decisions of others regarding all basic operating matters, including permitting, feasibility analysis, mine design and operation, processing, plant and equipment matters, and temporary or permanent suspension of operations, among others. These decisions may be motivated by the best interests of the operator rather than to maximize royalties. Although Battle Mountain attempts to secure contractual rights that will permit it to protect its interests, there can be no assurance that such rights will always be available or sufficient, or that its efforts will be successful in achieving timely or favorable results or in affecting the operations of the properties in which it has royalty interests in ways that would be beneficial to its stockholders.

Decreases in prices of precious metals would reduce Battle Mountain s royalty revenues.

The profitability of precious metals mining operations (and thus the value of Battle Mountain s royalty interests and exploration properties) is directly related to the market price of precious metals. The market price of various precious metals fluctuates widely and is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of any mining company. These factors include industrial and jewelry fabrication demand, expectations with respect to the rate of inflation, the relative strength of the U.S. dollar and other currencies, interest rates, gold sales and loans by central banks, forward sales by gold producers, global or regional political, economic or banking crises, and a number of other factors. If the market price of precious metals should drop, Battle Mountain s royalty revenues would also drop. In addition, if the price of gold drops dramatically, Battle Mountain might not be able to recover its investment in royalty interests or properties. The selections of a royalty investment or of a property for exploration or development, the determination to construct a mine and place it into production, and the dedication of funds necessary to achieve such purposes are decisions that must be made long before the first revenues from production will be received. Price fluctuations between the time that such decisions are made and the commencement of production can have a material adverse effect on the economics of a mine, and can eliminate or have a material adverse impact on the value of royalty interests.

The volatility in the gold price is illustrated by the following table, which sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low prices in U.S. dollars per ounce of gold, based on the London PM fix.

Gold Price Per Ounce (\$)

Year	High					
1997	\$ 367	\$ 283				
1998	313	273				
1999	326	253				
2000	312	263				
2001	293	256				
2002	349	278				
2003	416	320				

2004	454	375
2005	447	411
2006	725	525

15

Table of Contents

Battle Mountain s revenues are subject to operational risks of the mining industry.

Although Battle Mountain is not required to pay operating costs, its financial results are subject to all of the hazards and risks normally associated with developing and operating mining properties. These risks include:

- (a) insufficient ore reserves:
- (b) fluctuations in production costs that may make mining of ore uneconomic;
- (c) declines in the price of gold;
- (d) significant environmental and other regulatory restrictions;
- (e) labor disputes;
- (f) geological problems;
- (g) pit walls or tailings dam failures;
- (h) natural catastrophes such as floods or earthquakes;
- (i) political risks associated with operations in developing countries; and
- (j) the risk of injury to persons, property or the environment.

Operating cost increases can have a negative effect on the value of and income from Battle Mountain s royalty interests, and may cause an operator to curtail, delay or close operations at a mine site.

Estimates of reserves and mineralization by the operators of mines in which Battle Mountain has royalty interests are subject to significant estimates which can change.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating proven and probable reserves and mineralization, including many factors beyond Battle Mountain s control or that of the operators of the mineral properties in which it has a royalty interest. Reserve estimates on Battle Mountain s royalty interests are prepared by the operators of the mining properties, and Battle Mountain does not participate in the preparation of such reports. The estimation of reserves and of other mineralization is a subjective process and the accuracy of any such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production, and the evaluation of mine plans subsequent to the date of any estimate may cause revision of such estimates. The volume and grade of reserves recovered and rates of production may be less than anticipated.

Assumptions about prices are subject to great uncertainty and the gold price has fluctuated widely in the past. Declines in the market price of gold or other precious metals also may render reserves or mineralization containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomic to exploit. Changes in operating and capital costs and other factors including short-term operating factors, such as the need for sequential development of ore bodies and the processing of new or different ore grades, may materially and adversely affect reserves.

Battle Mountain may be unable to acquire additional royalty interests.

Battle Mountain s future success depends upon its ability to acquire royalty interests to replace depleting reserves and to diversify its royalty portfolio. Battle Mountain anticipates that most of its revenues will be derived from royalty

interests that it acquires or finances, rather than through exploration and development of properties. In addition, Battle Mountain faces competition in the acquisition of royalty interests. If Battle Mountain is unable to successfully acquire additional royalties, the reserves on properties currently covered by its royalties will decline as reserves are mined.

The mining industry is subject to significant environmental risks.

Mining is subject to potential risks and liabilities associated with pollution of the environment and the disposal of waste products occurring as a result of mineral exploration and production. Laws and regulations in the United States and abroad intended to ensure the protection of the environment are constantly changing and generally are becoming more restrictive and costly. Insurance against environmental risks (including potential

16

Table of Contents

liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production) is not generally available to the companies within the mining industry, such as the operators of the mines in which Battle Mountain holds a royalty interest, at a reasonable price. If an operator is forced to incur significant costs to comply with environmental regulations or becomes subject to environmental restrictions that limit its ability to continue or expand operations, it could reduce Battle Mountain s royalty revenues. To the extent that Battle Mountain becomes subject to environmental liabilities for the time period during which it was operating properties, the satisfaction of any liabilities would reduce funds otherwise available to it and could have a material adverse effect on Battle Mountain s financial condition and results of operations.

If title to the properties is not properly maintained by the operators, Battle Mountain s royalty revenues may be decreased.

The validity of and title to mining claims and concessions, which constitute a significant portion of the properties on which Battle Mountain holds royalties, is often uncertain and subject to contest. Mining claims and concessions are generally considered subject to greater title risk than real property interests that are owned in fee simple.

Battle Mountain s bylaws contain provisions indemnifying its officers and directors against all costs, charges and expenses incurred by them.

Battle Mountain s bylaws contain provisions with respect to the indemnification of its officers and directors against all costs, charges and expenses, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment, actually and reasonably incurred by him, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment in a civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding to which he is made a party by reason of his being or having been one of Battle Mountain s directors or officers.

Investors interests in Battle Mountain will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share if Battle Mountain issues additional shares or raises funds through the sale of equity securities.

Battle Mountain s organizational documents authorize the issuance of 200,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.001. In the event that Battle Mountain is required to issue any additional shares or enter into private placements to raise financing through the sale of equity securities, investors interests in Battle Mountain will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share depending on the price at which such securities are sold. If Battle Mountain issues any such additional shares, such issuances also will cause a reduction in the proportionate ownership and voting power of all other shareholders. Further, any such issuance may result in a change in control.

Battle Mountain s bylaws do not contain anti-takeover provisions which could result in a change of its management and directors if there is a take-over of Battle Mountain.

Battle Mountain does not currently have a shareholder rights plan or any anti-takeover provisions in its By-laws. Without any anti-takeover provisions, there is no deterrent for a take-over of Battle Mountain, which may result in a change in its management and directors.

Because some of Battle Mountain s directors and officers are residents of Canada, investors may find it difficult to enforce, within the United States, any judgments obtained against these directors and officers.

Some of Battle Mountain s directors and officers are nationals and/or residents of countries other than the United States, and all or a substantial portion of such persons assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to enforce within the United States any judgments obtained against Battle Mountain or its

officers or directors, including judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States or any state thereof.

17

Table of Contents

The market price of Battle Mountain s common stock historically has been volatile.

The market price of Battle Mountain s common stock historically has fluctuated significantly based on, but not limited to, such factors as: general stock market trends, announcements of developments related to its business, actual or anticipated variations in its operating results, its inability to generate revenues, and conditions and trends in the mining industry, including the mineral exploration, development and production segments of such industry.

Battle Mountain s common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. In recent years the stock market in general has experienced extreme price fluctuations that have often been unrelated to the operating performance of the affected companies. Similarly, the market price of Battle Mountain s common stock may fluctuate significantly based upon factors unrelated or disproportionate to its operating performance. These market fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions, such as recessions or interest rates may adversely affect the market price of Battle Mountain s common stock.

Battle Mountain s common stock is subject to the penny stock rules of the SEC which limits the trading in the market of its common stock, makes transactions in its common stock cumbersome and may reduce the value of an investment in its common stock.

The SEC has adopted regulations which generally define penny stock to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Battle Mountain s securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. The term accredited investor refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the SEC which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer s account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer s confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser s written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade Battle Mountain s securities. Battle Mountain believes that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in and limit the marketability of its common stock.

In addition, various state securities laws impose restrictions on transferring penny stocks and as a result, investors in Battle Mountain s common stock may have the ability to sell their shares of common stock impaired.

Battle Mountain has not paid any cash dividends.

Battle Mountain has paid no cash dividends on its common stock to date and it is not anticipated that any cash dividends will be paid to holders of its common stock in the foreseeable future. While Battle Mountain s dividend policy will be based on the operating results and capital needs of the business, it is anticipated that any earnings will be retained to finance the future expansion of Battle Mountain.

NASD sales practice requirements may also limit a stockholder s ability to buy and sell Battle Mountain s common stock.

In addition to the penny stock rules described above, the NASD has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-

18

Table of Contents

institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer s financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, the NASD believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The NASD requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy Battle Mountain s common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell Battle Mountain s stock and have an adverse effect on the market for Battle Mountain s common stock.

Trading in Battle Mountain's common shares on the OTC Bulletin Board is limited and sporadic, making it difficult for stockholders to sell their shares or liquidate their investments.

Battle Mountain s common stock is currently listed for public trading on the OTC Bulletin Board. The trading price of Battle Mountain s common stock has been subject to wide fluctuations. Trading prices of Battle Mountain s common shares may fluctuate in response to a number of factors, many of which will be beyond its control. The stock market has generally experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies with no current business operation. There can be no assurance that trading prices and price earnings ratios previously experienced by Battle Mountain s common shares will be matched or maintained. These broad market and industry factors may adversely affect the market price of Battle Mountain s common stock, regardless of its operating performance.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company s securities, securities class-action litigation has often been instituted. Such litigation, if instituted, could result in substantial costs for Battle Mountain and a diversion of management s attention and resources.

SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This proxy statement/prospectus and the documents incorporated herein by reference contain or may contain certain forward-looking statements and information relating to Royal Gold or Battle Mountain that are based on our beliefs and assumptions as well as information currently available to the management of Royal Gold or Battle Mountain. Additional written or oral forward-looking statements may be made by Royal Gold from time to time in filings with the SEC or otherwise. The words believe, estimate, expect, anticipate, and project and similar expressions are in to identify forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statement is made. These statements are included or incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus. Such forward-looking statements are within the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding projected production and reserves from feasibility studies or received from the operators of Royal Gold s or Battle Mountain s royalty properties. In addition to other factors described elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward-looking statements include, among others:

changes in gold and other metals prices;

the performance of producing royalty properties;

decisions and activities of the operators of royalty properties;

the ability of operators to bring projects into production and operate in accordance with feasibility studies;

unanticipated grade, geological, metallurgical, processing or other problems at royalty properties;

changes in project parameters as plans of the operators are refined;

changes in estimates of reserves and mineralization by the operators of royalty properties;

economic and market conditions;

future financial needs;

foreign, federal or state legislation governing Royal Gold, Battle Mountain or the operators;

19

Table of Contents

the availability of royalties for acquisition or other acquisition opportunities;

Royal Gold s and Battle Mountain s ability to make accurate assumptions regarding the valuation, and timing and amount of royalty payments when making acquisitions; and

risks associated with conducting business in foreign countries, including application of foreign laws to contract disputes, environmental laws, and enforcement and uncertain political and economic environments.

Forward-looking statements inherently are subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified. Future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by or underlying the forward-looking statements. Statements in this proxy statement/prospectus, including those set forth in Risk Factors, describe factors, among others, that could contribute to or cause such differences. Royal Gold and Battle Mountain disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statement made herein. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

BATTLE MOUNTAIN S SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

This section contains information for Battle Mountain stockholders about Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders that Battle Mountain has called to adopt the merger agreement and approve the merger transaction. Together with this document, Battle Mountain is also sending you a notice of the special meeting and a form of proxy that is being solicited by the Battle Mountain board of directors for use at the special meeting. The information and instructions contained in this section are addressed to Battle Mountain stockholders and all references to you in this section should be understood to be addressed to Battle Mountain stockholders.

Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting

This document is being furnished by the Battle Mountain board of directors in connection with the solicitation of proxies from holders of Battle Mountain common stock for use at Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders to be held on October 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. local time at the offices of Clark Wilson LLP, 800-855 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3H1, Canada, and at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Purpose of the Special Meeting

Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders will be held to consider and vote upon:

- 1. a proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement;
- 2. a proposal to approve an adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit solicitation of additional proxies in favor of the above proposal; and
- 3. any other business that may properly come before the special meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Record Date and Outstanding Shares

Battle Mountain s board of directors has fixed the close of business on September 26, 2007 as the record date. Only holders of record of Battle Mountain common stock on the books of Battle Mountain as of the close of business on the record date will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting and any postponements or adjournments of

the special meeting. As of the record date there were 83,528,381 shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote held by approximately 53 stockholders of record. The number of record holders does not include persons whose stock is held in nominee or street name accounts through brokers.

20

Table of Contents

Quorum Requirement

Under Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws, one-third of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock entitled to vote, represented in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. Votes of stockholders of record who are present at the special meeting in person or by proxy, abstentions and broker non-votes (as defined below) are counted as present or represented at the special meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists.

If a quorum is not obtained, or if fewer shares of Battle Mountain common stock are voted in favor of the proposal for the approval and adoption of the merger agreement at the special meeting than the number of shares necessary to approve the proposal, Battle Mountain may seek to adjourn the special meeting to allow additional time for obtaining additional proxies or votes. At any subsequent reconvening of the special meeting, all proxies will be voted in the same manner as those proxies would have been voted at the original convening of the special meeting, except for any proxies that have been effectively revoked or withdrawn before the reconvened special meeting.

References to the Battle Mountain special meeting in this document are to that special meeting as adjourned or postponed.

Votes Required

Each holder of Battle Mountain common stock will be entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each share of Battle Mountain common stock registered in the stockholder s name on the books of Battle Mountain as of the record date on any matter submitted for the vote of Battle Mountain stockholders. The proposal for the approval and adoption of the merger agreement will be approved if holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock as of the record date are voted in favor of the proposal. If the proposal to approve an adjournment of the special meeting to permit the solicitation of additional proxies is presented for a vote, it will be approved, whether or not there is a quorum, if a majority of the Battle Mountain common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting are voted in favor of the adjournment proposal.

With respect to the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal. With respect to the proposal to approve an adjournment of the special meeting to permit the solicitation of additional proxies, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect. If a Battle Mountain stockholder fails to vote on the adjournment proposal, other than by abstention or broker non-vote, this will reduce the total number of shares voting with respect to the proposal and, as a result, the number of affirmative votes required to approve the proposal.

A broker non-vote may occur on a proposal when a broker is not permitted to vote on that proposal without instruction from the beneficial owner of the shares and no instruction is given by the beneficial owner. A broker is not permitted to vote on the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement or on the proposal to approve an adjournment of the special meeting without instruction from the beneficial owner of the Battle Mountain shares held by the broker.

Each of the directors and officers have agreed and/or given Royal Gold an irrevocable proxy to vote in favor of the proposal for the approval and adoption of the merger agreement. See Battle Mountain s Special Meeting of Stockholders Shares Beneficially Owned as of the Record Date below for more information.

Shares Beneficially Owned as of the Record Date

Shares Owned by Directors and Officers of Battle Mountain. Based on the number of shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued and outstanding as of the record date, September 26, 2007, the directors and executive officers

of Battle Mountain and their affiliates, as a group, beneficially own approximately 22,124,192 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, representing approximately 25.30% of the outstanding Battle Mountain common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Battle Mountain s directors and officers have agreed and/or given Royal Gold an irrevocable proxy to vote their shares of Battle Mountain common stock in favor of the approval and adoption of the merger agreement.

21

Table of Contents

Shares Owned by Royal Gold. As of the record date, Royal Gold beneficially owns 63,505,014 shares of Battle Mountain common stock (of which 16,189,734 are owned of record) representing approximately 56.38% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock, as a result of the option and support agreements, the irrevocable proxies given to Royal Gold and bridge finance facility agreement as described further in this proxy statement/prospectus. Royal Gold has agreed to limit its voting with respect to these shares as described further in this section and further in this proxy statement/prospectus.

In anticipation of the merger transaction, on March 5, 2007, Royal Gold obtained a binding support agreement and option to purchase from Mark Kucher, Chairman of Battle Mountain, his shares of common stock of Battle Mountain. The support agreement with Mr. Kucher also provides that Mr. Kucher will vote for and support the merger transaction. Royal Gold also obtained irrevocable proxies, dated June 27, 2007, from David Atkinson, Chief Financial Officer of Battle Mountain, and each of the non-employee directors of Battle Mountain, Robert Connochie, Anthony E.W. Crews, Brian M. Labadie and Christopher E Herald, to vote in favor of the merger and against any proposal made in opposition to or in competition with, the consummation of the merger. As a result of the support agreement with Mr. Kucher, and the irrevocable proxies with Messrs. Atkinson, Connochie, Crews, Labadie and Herald, Royal Gold beneficially owns 22,124,192 shares of Battle Mountain common stock or 25.30% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

Royal Gold also obtained a binding support agreement and option to purchase from IAMGOLD its shares of common stock of Battle Mountain, including shares of Battle Mountain common stock that IAMGOLD may acquire upon the conversion of a convertible debenture of Battle Mountain Gold (Canada) Inc., a subsidiary of Battle Mountain. On September 4, 2007, pursuant to the option and support agreement with IAMGOLD, Royal Gold issued 216,642 shares of its common stock to IAMGOLD and Repadre in connection with Royal Gold s purchase of 12,102,940 shares of Battle Mountain common stock from IAMGOLD and Repadre and paid \$2,242,002 in cash to IAMGOLD in connection with the purchase of the convertible debenture for IAMGOLD. On September 5, 2007, Royal Gold exercised its option to convert all of the outstanding principal and accrued interest as of September 4, 2007 under the convertible debenture into Battle Mountain common stock, for an aggregate of 4,086,794 shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

Battle Mountain has entered into a bridge finance facility agreement with Royal Gold whereby Royal Gold has agreed to make available to Battle Mountain and BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, Battle Mountain s wholly-owned subsidiary, up to \$20 million, which availability was reduced to \$15 million on April 14, 2007 pursuant to the terms of the bridge facility. The bridge facility will mature on June 6, 2008. Outstanding principal, interest and expenses under the bridge facility may be converted at Royal Gold s option into Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price per share of \$0.60 at any time during the term of the bridge facility provided Royal Gold gives notice of its election to convert on or before April 4, 2008. As of September 26, 2007, \$15,114,593, of principal and accrued interest, was outstanding on the bridge facility. Based on the right to convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest under the bridge facility, Royal Gold beneficially owns approximately 25,190,988 shares of Battle Mountain common stock or 23.17% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

On March 28, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain entered into a Voting Limitation Agreement pursuant to which Royal Gold agreed to limit its voting with respect to Battle Mountain common stock over which it had or could acquire voting power. Generally, Royal Gold agreed that, in the event of a superior proposal as defined in the merger agreement, under certain circumstances, Royal Gold would not vote more than 39.9% of the total number of shares of Battle Mountain common stock entitled to vote in favor of the merger transaction with Royal Gold or in opposition to a competing transaction.

See Relationship with Battle Mountain on page 73 for more information regarding Royal Gold s agreements relating to Battle Mountain.

Voting at the Special Meeting

If you are a Battle Mountain stockholder of record on the record date and you attend the special meeting, you may vote in person by completing a ballot at the special meeting even if you already have signed, dated and returned a proxy card or you have submitted a vote by telephone or through the Internet. If your shares are held in the name of

22

Table of Contents

a broker or nominee, you may not vote your shares in person at the special meeting unless you obtain a signed proxy from the record holder giving you the right to vote the shares.

Proxies

Voting instructions are attached to your proxy card. If you properly submit your proxy to Computershare Trust Company, N.A. in time to vote, one of the individuals named as your proxy will vote your shares as you have directed. You may vote for or against any or all of the proposals submitted at Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders or abstain from voting.

1. *How to Vote by Proxy*. If your shares are registered in your name, you may vote by mail using the proxy card attached hereto, mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided. You may also vote by submitting your proxy by telephone or through the Internet by following the telephone or Internet voting instructions that are included with your proxy card.

Only the latest dated proxy received from you, whether by mail, telephone or Internet, will be voted at the special meeting. If you vote by telephone or Internet, please do not mail your proxy form.

If your shares are held in street name (through a broker, bank or other nominee), you may receive a separate voting instruction form with voting instructions, or you may need to contact your broker, bank or other nominee to determine whether you will be able to vote electronically using the telephone or Internet.

A Battle Mountain stockholder whose shares are held in the name of a broker or nominee should follow the instructions provided by that broker or nominee on how to direct the voting of the stockholder s shares.

2. *How Proxies will be Voted.* All Battle Mountain common stock represented by proxies properly executed and received by Computershare Trust Company, N.A. before or at the special meeting will be voted in accordance with the instructions indicated on the proxies. If the proxy is properly completed, signed and returned but no instructions are indicated, the shares will be voted:

FOR the approval and adoption of the merger agreement; and

FOR the approval of an adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit the solicitation of additional proxies in favor of the above proposal.

Battle Mountain common stock represented by a proxy that has been returned with instructions to vote against the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement but which does not include instructions with respect to the adjournment proposal will not be voted in favor of the adjournment proposal.

3. Revoking Your Proxy. You may revoke your proxy before it is voted by:

submitting a new proxy card bearing a later date or submitting a new proxy by telephone or through the Internet;

providing a written notice revoking your proxy to Computershare Trust Company, N.A. before the special meeting; or

attending the special meeting and voting in person.

If you have instructed your broker to vote your shares, you must follow directions you receive from your broker in order to change or revoke your vote.

Solicitation of Proxies

Battle Mountain will pay the expenses incurred in connection with the printing and mailing of this document. Battle Mountain will request banks, brokers and other intermediaries holding Battle Mountain common shares

23

Table of Contents

beneficially owned by others to send this document to, and obtain proxies from, the beneficial owners and will reimburse holders for their reasonable expenses in so doing. Solicitation of proxies by mail may be supplemented by telephone, email and other electronic means, advertisements and personal solicitation by the directors, officers and employees of Battle Mountain. No additional compensation will be paid to directors, officers or employees for such solicitation efforts.

Other Business

The Battle Mountain board of directors currently is not aware of any business to be acted upon at the special meeting other than as described in this document. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the special meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the meeting, in the absence of instructions to the contrary, persons appointed as proxies will have discretion to vote or act on those matters in their best judgment.

Communications by Battle Mountain Stockholders with Battle Mountain

Any written revocation of a proxy or other communications in connection with this document and requests for additional copies of this document or the proxy card should be addressed to Battle Mountain at One East Liberty Street, Sixth Floor, Suite 9, Reno, NV 89504, phone number (775) 686-6081.

24

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF ROYAL GOLD

We are providing the following selected financial information to assist you in analyzing the financial aspects of the merger. The selected Royal Gold financial data set forth below are qualified in their entirety by, and should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements, and related notes contained in the annual, quarterly and other reports filed by Royal Gold with the SEC, which are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 100.

The following table presents selected historical consolidated financial data derived from Royal Gold s consolidated financial statements for each of the five fiscal years in the periods ended June 30, 2003 to June 30, 2007, which have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Royal Gold s independent registered public accountants. The following summary consolidated financial data should be read together with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Royal Gold s consolidated financial statements and related notes and other financial information contained in Royal Gold s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2007 incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus. Royal Gold derived the summary consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 from its audited consolidated financial statements. The audit report related to the audited consolidated financial statements for years ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006 is incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future.

Statement of Operations Data

	For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30,										
		2007		2006		2005		2004		2003	
		Amounts in thousands, except per share data									
Royalty revenues	\$	48,357	\$	28,380	\$	25,302	\$	21,353	\$	15,788	
Cost of operations		3,265		2,288		1,847		1,513		1,347	
General and administrative		5,824		5,022		3,695		2,923		1,966	
Exploration and business											
development		2,493		3,397		1,893		1,392		1,233	
Impairment of mining assets										166	
Depreciation, depletion and											
amortization		8,269		4,261		3,205		3,314		2,855	
Total costs and expenses		19,851		14,968		10,640		9,142		7,567	
Gain on sale of other assets										159	
Operating income		28,506		13,412		14,662		12,211		8,380	
Operating meome		20,500		13,112		14,002		12,211		0,500	
Interest and other income		4,258		3,204		834		442		384	
Gain (loss) on sale of available											
for sale securities						164		23			
Interest and other expense		(1,974)		(165)		(104)		(150)		(127)	
Income before income taxes		30,790		16,451		15,556		12,526		8,637	
		(9,548)		(5,101)		(4,102)		(3,654)		(1,885)	

Current and deferred tax expense Minority interest in income of consolidated subsidiary	(1,522)				
Net income	\$ 19,720	\$ 11,350	\$ 11,454	\$ 8,872	\$ 6,752
Earnings per share Basic	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.34
Diluted	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.33
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Basic Diluted	24,827,319 25,075,086	22,863,784 23,134,034 25	20,875,957 21,070,797	20,760,452 21,110,521	19,795,949 20,231,638

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet Data

	For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 2006 2005 2004 Amounts in thousands								2003
Current assets: Cash and equivalents Royalty receivables Other current assets	\$ 82,842 12,470 371	\$	78,449 5,962 288	\$	48,840 6,601 774	\$	44,801 5,221 1,839	\$	33,486 3,125 113
Total current assets Royalty interests in mineral properties, net Inventory restricted Restricted cash compensating balance Note receivable Battle Mountain Gold Exploration	95,683 215,839 10,612 15,750 14,494		84,699 84,590		56,215 44,817		51,861 40,326		36,724 43,560
Other assets Total assets	\$ 4,271 356,649	\$	2,476 171,765	\$	1,127 102,159	\$	1,027 93,214	\$	620 80,904
Current liabilities Revolving credit facility payable Note payable	4,688 15,750		3,324		2,898		2,441		2,506
Net deferred tax liabilities Other long-term liabilities	5,911 98		6,683 98		7,426 97		7,772 103		3,292 113
Total liabilities Minority interest in subsidiary Stockholders equity	26,447 11,121		10,105		10,421		10,316		5,911
Common stock, \$0.01 par value Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income	289 310,439		238 166,460		213 104,164		210 102,020		209 100,612
(loss) Deferred compensation	458		499		(285) (525)		28		65
Accumulated earnings (deficit) Treasury stock, at cost (229,224 shares)	8,992 (1,097)		(4,440) (1,097)		(10,732) (1,097)		(18,263) (1,097)		(24,796) (1,097)
Total stockholders equity	319,081		161,660		91,738		82,898		74,993
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 356,649	\$	171,765	\$	102,159	\$	93,214	\$	80,904

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA, COMBINED, CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF ROYAL GOLD

The following unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial information as of June 30, 2007 and for the year then ended is presented to show the results of operations and financial position of Royal Gold as if the merger with Battle Mountain had occurred as of July 1, 2006, and as of the balance sheet date, June 30, 2007, as applicable.

This unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial information should be read in conjunction with the selected historical financial information included in this proxy statement/prospectus and the financial statements of Royal Gold and accompanying notes that are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus and the financial statements of Battle Mountain included in this proxy statement/prospectus. You should not rely on the unaudited pro forma combined condensed financial information as an indication of the results of operations or financial position that would have been achieved if the merger with Battle Mountain had taken place on the dates indicated. It should be noted that Battle Mountain has historically reported its financial results on a calendar year basis. Royal Gold management compiled the Battle Mountain historical financial information from Quarterly and Annual Reports filed with the SEC in order to conform them to Royal Gold s June 30 fiscal year presentation.

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Condensed Financial Data of Royal Gold consists of an Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2007 and Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Statements of Operations for the year then ended (collectively, the Pro Forma Statements). The Pro Forma Statements reflect the Battle Mountain acquisition described herein under each of the following two forms of consideration available to shareholders of Battle Mountain: (i) cash consideration up to an aggregate of \$50,359,928, which is equal to approximately \$0.55 per share of Battle Mountain common stock or (ii) between an aggregate of 1,570,507 and 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock, which is equal to 0.0172 or 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock per share of Battle Mountain common stock, in each case assuming 91,563,506 shares of Battle Mountain common stock outstanding at the time of the closing. If the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five day trading period up to and including the second day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger is less than \$29.00, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price of Royal Gold common stock is \$30.18 or above, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,570,507 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0172 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average closing price is greater than or equal to \$29.00 but less than \$30.18, the per share consideration for each share of Battle Mountain common stock would be proportionally adjusted based on the average price of Royal Gold common stock, using \$47,397,901 as the aggregate purchase price. Total consideration ranges from approximately \$57.8 million (assumes 100% stock consideration) to \$67.2 million (assumes 100% cash consideration), including approximately \$14.8 million of cash bridge financing provided and including estimated acquisition costs of approximately \$2.0 million. The actual purchase price may differ based on (i) each Battle Mountain stockholder s election as to whether to receive cash or Royal Gold common stock in the merger and (ii) fluctuations in the price of Royal Gold common stock.

Royal Gold management believes that, on the basis set forth herein, the Pro Forma Statements reflect a reasonable estimate of the Battle Mountain acquisition based on currently available information. The acquisition will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and the allocation of purchase price will be based upon the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Certain of the purchase price allocations reflected in the Pro Forma Statements are preliminary and may be different from the final allocation of the purchase price and such differences may be material.

The following Pro Forma Statements reflect an assumption that all Battle Mountain stockholders elect to receive cash in the merger.

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Condensed Balance Sheet As of June 30, 2007 Assumes 100% Cash Purchase Consideration

		Battle			Unaudited
	Royal Gold	Mountain Gold	Pro Forma	Note	Pro Forma Combined
	Historical	Historical	Adjustments	Reference	Total
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 82,841,861	\$ 1,810,755	\$ (50,359,928) 3,531,251	(2) (3)	\$ 37,823,939
Accounts receivable	12,470,451	766,536			13,236,987
Other current assets	370,907	288,608	(35,611)	(1)	623,904
Total current assets	95,683,219	2,865,899			51,684,830
Royalty Interests	215,839,441	27,028,718	33,846,973	(2)	276,715,132
Inventory-restricted	10,611,562				10,611,562
Restricted cash	15,750,000				15,750,000
Available for sale securities	1,995,041				1,995,041
Deferred tax and other charges		2,521,936	(924,914)	(2)	1,597,022
Note receivable from Battle					
Mountain	14,493,878		329,358	(1)	
			(14,823,236)	(1)	
Other assets	2,276,049	295	(295)	(2)	1,041,069
			(1,234,980)	(2)	
Goodwill			19,927,150	(2)	19,927,150
Total assets	\$ 356,649,190	\$ 32,416,848			\$ 379,321,806
Liabilities and stockholders equity					
Current liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$ 2,342,330	\$ 59,243			\$ 2,401,573
Dividend payable	1,868,594	•			1,868,594
Accrued compensation and	, ,				, ,
expense	344,500	329,725	4,073,630	(4)	4,439,171
1	,	•	(308,684)		, ,
Note Payable to Royal Gold		14,514,552	(14,514,552)	. ,	
Current portion of long term		700 624			700.624
debt	122 102	799,624	765,000	(2)	799,624
Other	133,103	30,757	765,020	(2)	928,880

Total current liabilities Deferred and other tax liabilities	4,688,527 5,910,697	15,733,901	12,724,959	(2)	10,437,842 18,635,656
Convertible debentures Revolving credit facility payable	3,710,077	2,000,000	(2,000,000)	(5)	10,033,030
Note payable	15,750,000	3,904,595			19,654,595
Other long-term liabilities	98,173	3,704,373			98,173
Total Long Term liabilities	21,758,870	5,904,595			38,388,424
Minority interest in subsidiary Stockholders equity	11,120,797				11,120,797
Common stock	288,929	75,970	(75,970)	(6)	288,929
Additional paid-in capital	310,439,112	17,266,683	3,531,251	(3)	310,439,112
-			2,000,000	(5)	
			(22,797,934)	(6)	
Stock subscriptions receivable Accumulated other		(270,000)	270,000	(6)	
comprehensive income (loss)	458,298	(445,087)	445,087	(6)	458,298
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	8,991,529	(5,849,214)	9,922,844	(6)	9,285,276
			329,358	(1)	
			(35,611)	(1)	
			(4,073,630)	(4)	
Treasury stock	(1,096,872)				(1,096,872)
Total stockholders equity	319,080,996	10,778,352			319,374,743
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 356,649,190	\$ 32,416,848			\$ 379,321,806
		28			

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Condensed Statement of Operations For the Year Ended June 30, 2007 Assumes 100% Cash Purchase Consideration

							ι	Inaudited				
]	Royal Gold		Royal Gold		Royal Gold		Battle Mountain Gold	Pro Forma	Note	P	ro Forma
		Historical		Historical	Adjustments	Reference	(Combined				
Royalty revenue Cost of operations General and administrative	\$	48,356,828 3,264,762 5,823,670	\$	3,540,138 2,684,652			\$	51,896,966 3,264,762 8,508,322				
Exploration and business development Depreciation, depletion and		2,493,452						2,493,452				
amortization		8,268,680		1,273,787	1,236,818	(7)		10,779,285				
Total costs and expenses		19,850,564		3,958,439				25,045,821				
Operating income		28,506,264		(418,301)				26,851,145				
Interest and other income		4,257,784			(577,001) (308,684) (278,758)	(8) (1) (1)		3,093,341				
Interest and other expense		(1,973,538)		(2,318,861)	572,505	(1)		(3,719,894)				
Net income before tax		30,790,510		(2,737,162)				26,224,592				
Current tax (expense) benefit Deferred tax benefit Minority interest in income		(10,309,558) 761,293		2,151,429	636,444	(9)		(7,521,685) 761,293				
of subsidiary		(1,521,765)						(1,521,765)				
Net income	\$	19,720,480	\$	(585,733)			\$	17,942,435				
Basic earnings per share Basic weighted average	\$	0.79	\$	(0.01)			\$	0.72				
shares outstanding Diluted earnings per share Diluted weighted average	\$	24,827,319 0.79	\$	65,416,570 (0.01)		(10)	\$	24,827,319 0.72				
shares outstanding		25,075,086		65,416,570				25,075,086				

The following adjustments have been reflected in the Pro Forma Statements (assuming an all cash transaction):

⁽¹⁾ On March 23, 2007, Royal Gold made a \$13.91 million loan to Battle Mountain pursuant to an unsecured one year term non-convertible promissory note. On March 28, 2007, Royal Gold entered into a Bridge Finance Facility Agreement with Battle Mountain and BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, as borrowers, whereby Royal

Gold agreed to make available to the borrowers a bridge facility of up to \$20 million; in April 2007, the maximum availability under the bridge facility was reduced to \$15 million. On July 30, 2007, the bridge facility was amended to mature on June 6, 2008. Interest on advances will accrue at the LIBOR plus 3% per annum.

In connection with the bridge facility, the unsecured one-year term non-convertible promissory note pursuant to which Royal Gold made the \$13.91 million loan to Battle Mountain on March 23, 2007 was superceded by a secured promissory note issued under the bridge facility, with the \$13.91 million loan constituting an advance under the bridge facility. On May 9, 2007, Royal Gold advanced an additional \$600,000 to Battle Mountain pursuant to the bridge facility. Outstanding principal, interest and expenses under the bridge facility are convertible, at Royal Gold s option, into Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price per share of

29

Table of Contents

\$0.60 at any time by giving notice on or before April 4, 2008. This adjustment eliminates the remaining \$329,358 discount on Royal Gold s receivable (created due to the bifurcation of the conversion option) and eliminates the conversion option asset of \$35,611, intercompany bridge facility payable and receivable including related accrued interest. This adjustment also eliminates the income statement effects of the interest income and expense, the bifurcated conversion option and related discount on the note receivable;

(2) To record the \$50,359,928 assumed cash paid as purchase consideration for acquisition based on an assumed June 30, 2007 closing and a \$0.55 per share cash purchase price given 91,563,506 expected Battle Mountain shares outstanding, to record a payable for the estimated remaining transaction costs of approximately \$0.8 million, to eliminate previously deferred acquisition costs of approximately \$1.2 million and to record the preliminary allocation of the purchase price based on the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as follows. Pro forma adjustments were computed as the difference between the estimated fair values and the existing book values where applicable.

Calculation of purchase price:

Total purchase price

Cash consideration	\$ 50,359,928(a)
Bridge financing advanced	14,823,236
Estimated transaction costs	2,000,000
Total purchase price	\$ 67,183,164

Preliminary allocation of purchase price:

Current assets	\$ 6,397,149
Royalty interests in mineral properties	60,875,691
Deferred tax assets	1,597,022
Current liabilities	(111,040)
Deferred and other tax liabilities	(12,724,959)
Gold loan payable	(4,704,219)
Employment agreements payable	(4,073,630)
Goodwill	19,927,150(b)
Goodwill	19,927,130(b)

- (a) Based on the aggregate cash purchase price pursuant to the merger agreement;
- (b) Additional intangible assets such as certain non-compete agreements with an expected value of approximately \$1.9 million that will become effective upon the closing of the merger will be valued apart from goodwill. As the agreements are not yet effective, no amounts have been allocated to these intangible assets;

\$ 67,183,164

(3) To record expected proceeds from the exercise of outstanding Battle Mountain warrants of \$3,531,250 prior to the closing of the merger as the holders of these instruments are economically compelled to exercise prior to the merger due to the in-the-money nature of the instruments;

- (4) To record a payable of \$4,073,630 to officers of Battle Mountain for amounts due as a result of the merger under change of control provisions of existing employment agreements;
- (5) To record the conversion of the \$2,000,000 IAMGOLD debenture prior to the closing of the merger as such conversion is mandated under the merger agreement. In September 2007, Royal Gold acquired this debenture from IAMGOLD and converted the balance into Battle Mountain common stock;
- (6) To eliminate Battle Mountain historical equity balances, including eliminating the stockholders equity effects of the transactions discussed in Notes (3), (4) and (5);
- (7) To record additional depreciation, depletion and amortization on acquired royalty interests based on the units of production method, resulting from the step-up of the carrying value of the royalty interests to fair value in purchase accounting multiplied by the production during the period;

30

Table of Contents

- (8) To eliminate the interest earned on the assumed cash purchase price of \$50,359,928 during the period from the Company s April 2007 equity offering through June 30, 2007;
- (9) To record the tax effects of adjustments (1), (7) and (8) at Royal Gold s estimated 35.38% statutory rate;
- (10) The pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for the year ended June 30, 2007 will depend on the number of shareholders of Battle Mountain who elect to receive Royal Gold common stock instead of cash consideration in the merger. For this period, pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share would range from \$0.69 per share (assuming a 100% stock transaction) to \$0.72 per share (assuming a 100% cash transaction). Pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for this period would decrease from \$0.72 per share to \$0.71 per share if between 35% and 57% of Battle Mountain s shareholders elected to receive Royal Gold common stock; if between 58% and 80% of Battle Mountain s shareholders elected to receive Royal Gold common stock, pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for this period would be reduced to \$0.70 per share; if more than 80% of Battle Mountain s shareholders elected to receive Royal Gold common stock, pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for this period would be reduced to \$0.69 per share.

The preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the acquired identifiable tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities of Battle Mountain was based on an assumed closing date of June 30, 2007 and other currently available information. For purposes of the preliminary purchase price allocation, the acquired Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties have been recorded at their estimated fair values based upon Royal Gold s estimate of the expected future discounted cash flows associated with those assets. The gold loan facility payable was estimated based upon an estimate of 7,230 ounces of gold remaining to be delivered as of the expected closing date utilizing a gold price of approximately \$651 per ounce. The final allocation may change upon actual closing and completion of a full valuation.

31

Table of Contents

The following Pro Forma Statements reflect an assumption that all Battle Mountain stockholders elect to receive Royal Gold common stock in the merger.

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Condensed Balance Sheet As of June 30, 2007 Assumes 100% Stock Purchase Consideration

				D 44				Unaudited		
	J	Royal Gold					Pro Forma	Note		Pro Forma Combined
		Historical		Historical	Adjustments	Reference		Total		
Assets										
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$	82,841,861	\$	1,810,755	3,531,251	(3)	\$	88,183,867		
Accounts receivable Other current assets		12,470,451 370,907		766,536 288,608	(35,611)	(1)		13,236,987 623,904		
Other current assets		370,907		200,000	(33,011)	(1)		023,904		
Total current assets		95,683,219		2,865,899	22 046 072	(2)		102,044,758		
Royalty Interests Inventory-restricted		215,839,441 10,611,562		27,028,718	33,846,973	(2)		276,715,132 10,611,562		
Restricted cash		15,750,000						15,750,000		
Available for sale securities		1,995,041						1,995,041		
Deferred tax and other charges				2,521,936	(924,914)	(2)		1,597,022		
Note receivable from Battle Mountain		14,493,878			329,358	(1)				
Wountain		14,473,070			(14,823,236)	(1)				
Other assets		2,276,049		295	(295)	(2)		1,041,069		
					(1,234,980)	(2)				
Goodwill					10,545,150	(2)		10,545,150		
Total assets	\$	356,649,190	\$	32,416,848			\$	420,299,734		
Liabilities and stockholders										
equity										
Current liabilities Accounts payable	\$	2,342,330	\$	59,243			\$	2,401,573		
Dividend payable	φ	1,868,594	Ψ	39,243			Ψ	1,868,594		
Accrued compensation and		-,,						-,,		
expense		344,500		329,725	4,073,630 (308,684)	(4) (1)		4,439,171		
Note Payable to Royal Gold				14,514,552	(14,514,552)	(1)				
Current portion of long term				700 (24				700.624		
debt Other		133,103		799,624 30,757	765,020	(2)		799,624 928,880		
Other		155,105		30,737	703,020	(2)		920,000		
Total current liabilities		4,688,527		15,733,901				10,437,842		

Deferred and other tax liabilities Convertible debentures Revolving credit facility payable	5,910,697	2,000,000	12,724,959 (2,000,000)	(2) (5)	18,635,656
Note payable Other long-term liabilities	15,750,000 98,173	3,904,595			19,654,595 98,173
Total Long Term liabilities Minority interest in subsidiary Stockholders equity	21,758,870 11,120,797	5,904,595			38,388,424 11,120,797
Common stock	288,929	75,970	(75,970) 16,344	(6) (2)	305,273
Additional paid-in capital	310,439,112	17,266,683	3,531,251 2,000,000 (22,797,934)	(3) (5) (6)	351,400,696
Stock subscriptions receivable Accumulated other		(270,000)	40,961,584 270,000	(2) (6)	
comprehensive income (loss)	458,298	(445,087)	445,087	(6)	458,298
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	8,991,529	(5,849,214)	9,922,844 329,358	(6) (1)	9,285,276
			(35,611) (4,073,630)	(1) (4)	
Treasury stock	(1,096,872)		(4,073,030)	(4)	(1,096,872)
Total stockholders equity	319,080,996	10,778,352			360,352,671
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 356,649,190	\$ 32,416,848			\$ 420,299,734
		32			

Table of Contents

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Condensed Statement of Operations For the Year ended June 30, 2007 Assumes 100% Stock Purchase Consideration

			Battle			1	U naudited
	I	Royal Gold	Mountain Gold	Pro Forma	Note	1	Pro Forma
		Historical	Historical	Adjustments	Reference	(Combined
Royalty revenue Cost of operations General and administrative	\$	48,356,828 3,264,762 5,823,670	\$ 3,540,138 2,684,652			\$	51,896,966 3,264,762 8,508,322
Exploration and business development Depreciation, depletion and		2,493,452					2,493,452
amortization		8,268,680	1,273,787	1,236,818	(7)		10,779,285
Total costs and expenses		19,850,564	3,958,439				25,045,821
Operating income		28,506,264	(418,301)				26,851,145
Interest and other income		4,257,784	, ,	(308,684) (278,758)	(1) (1)		3,670,342
Interest and other expense		(1,973,538)	(2,318,861)	572,505	(1)		(3,719,894)
Net income before tax Current tax expense Deferred tax benefit Minority interest in income of subsidiary		30,790,510 (10,309,558) 761,293 (1,521,765)	(2,737,162) 2,151,429	442,871	(8)		26,801,593 (7,715,258) 761,293 (1,521,765)
Net income	\$	19,720,480	\$ (585,733)			\$	18,325,863
	·					·	
Basic weighted average	\$	0.79	\$ (0.01)	1 (24 410	(0)	\$	0.69
shares outstanding Diluted earnings per share Diluted weighted average	\$	24,827,319 0.79	\$ 65,416,570 (0.01)	1,634,410	(9)	\$	26,461,729 0.69
shares outstanding		25,075,086	65,416,570		(10)		26,709,496
			33				

Table of Contents

The following adjustments have been reflected in the Pro Forma Statements (assuming an all stock transaction):

(1) On March 23, 2007, Royal Gold made a \$13.91 million loan to Battle Mountain pursuant to an unsecured one year term non-convertible promissory note. On March 28, 2007, Royal Gold entered into a Bridge Finance Facility Agreement with Battle Mountain and BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, as borrowers, whereby Royal Gold agreed to make available to the borrowers a bridge facility of up to \$20 million; in April 2007, the maximum availability under the bridge facility was reduced to \$15 million. On July 30, 2007, the bridge facility was amended to mature on June 6, 2008. Interest on advances will accrue at the LIBOR plus 3% per annum.

In connection with the bridge facility, the unsecured one-year term non-convertible promissory note pursuant to which Royal Gold made the \$13.91 million loan to Battle Mountain on March 23, 2007 was superceded by a secured promissory note issued under the bridge facility, with the \$13.91 million loan constituting an advance under the bridge facility. On May 9, 2007, Royal Gold advanced an additional \$600,000 to Battle Mountain pursuant to the bridge facility. Outstanding principal, interest and expenses under the bridge facility are convertible, at Royal Gold s option, into Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price per share of \$0.60 at any time by giving notice on or before April 4, 2008. This adjustment eliminates the remaining \$329,358 discount on Royal Gold s receivable (created due to the bifurcation of the conversion option) and eliminates the conversion option asset of \$35,611, intercompany bridge facility payable and receivable including related accrued interest. This adjustment also eliminates the income statement effects of the interest income and expense, the bifurcated conversion option and related discount on the note receivable;

(2) To record the assumed issuance of 1,634,410 shares (see Note 9) of Royal Gold common stock as purchase consideration for acquisition based on an assumed June 30, 2007 closing, to record a payable for the estimated remaining transaction costs of approximately \$0.8 million, to eliminate previously deferred acquisition costs of approximately \$1.2 million and to record the preliminary allocation of the purchase price (see Note 9) based on the following estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Pro forma adjustments were computed as the difference between the estimated fair values and the existing book values where applicable.

Preliminary allocation of purchase price:

Current assets	\$ 6,397,149
Royalty interests in mineral properties	60,875,691
Deferred tax assets	1,597,022
Current liabilities	(111,040)
Deferred and other tax liabilities	(12,724,959)
Gold loan payable	(4,704,219)
Employment agreements payable	(4,073,630)
Goodwill	10,545,150(a)
Total purchase price	\$ 57,801,164

(a) Additional intangible assets such as certain non-compete agreements with an expected value of approximately \$1.9 million that will become effective upon the closing of the merger will be valued apart from goodwill. As the agreements are not yet effective, no amounts have been allocated to these intangible assets;

- (3) To record expected proceeds from the exercise of outstanding Battle Mountain warrants of \$3,531,250 prior to the closing of the merger as the holders of these instruments are economically compelled to exercise prior to the merger due to the in-the-money nature of the instruments;
- (4) To record a payable of \$4,073,630 to officers of Battle Mountain for amounts due as a result of the merger under change of control provisions of existing employment agreements;
- (5) To record the conversion of the \$2,000,000 IAMGOLD debenture prior to the closing of the merger as such conversion is mandated under the merger agreement. In September 2007, Royal Gold acquired this debenture from IAMGOLD and converted the balance into Battle Mountain common stock;

34

Table of Contents

- (6) To eliminate Battle Mountain historical equity balances, including eliminating the stockholders equity effects of the transactions discussed in Notes (3), (4) and (5);
- (7) To record additional depreciation, depletion and amortization on acquired royalty interests based on the units of production method, resulting from the step-up of the carrying value of the royalty interests to fair value in purchase accounting multiplied by the production during the period, and the related 35.38% tax benefit resulting from the additional expense;
- (8) To record the tax effects of adjustments (1) and (7) at Royal Gold s estimated 35.38% statutory rate;
- (9) Pro-forma shares were computed based on an assumed closing of June 30, 2007 and were computed using the calculated purchase price as follows:

Estimated fair value of stock to be issued Bridge financing advanced Estimated cash transaction costs	\$ 40,977,928 14,823,236 2,000,000
Total purchase price	\$ 57,801,164
Assumed Closing Share Price Pro-forma additional shares	\$ 25.07 1,634,410

Royal Gold shares to be issued are dependent on the average trading price of Royal Gold common stock preceding the closing and ranges from 1,634,410 Royal Gold shares, if the common stock price is less than \$29.00 to 1,570,507 shares if the stock price is at \$30.18 or above. Assumed Closing Share Price for purposes of these Pro Forma Statements is calculated as the lesser of the arithmetic average of the closing price per share of the Royal Gold shares as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five days before and including the date of announcement of the revised merger agreement or, in accordance with EITF 99-12, the first date below \$29 without recovery. The computed Closing Share Price based on the arithmetic average of the closing price per share from July 25, 2007 through July 31, 2007 ranged from a minimum price of \$24.61 to a maximum price of \$25.87 per share.

(10) The pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for the year ended June 30, 2007 will depend on the number of shareholders of Battle Mountain who elect to receive Royal Gold common stock instead of cash consideration in the merger. For this period, pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share would range from \$0.69 per share (assuming a 100% stock transaction) to \$0.72 per share (assuming a 100% cash transaction). Pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for this period would decrease from \$0.72 per share to \$0.71 per share if between 35% and 57% of Battle Mountain s shareholders elected to receive Royal Gold common stock; if between 58% and 80% of Battle Mountain s shareholders elected to receive Royal Gold common stock, pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for this period would be reduced to \$0.70 per share; if more than 80% of Battle Mountain s shareholders elected to receive Royal Gold common stock, pro forma fully-diluted earnings per share for this period would be reduced to \$0.69 per share.

The preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the acquired identifiable tangible and intangible assets and assumed liabilities of Battle Mountain was based on an assumed closing date of June 30, 2007 and other currently available information. The actual purchase price and the number of Royal Gold shares to be issued at the closing of the merger may differ based on fluctuations in Royal Gold common stock price. For purposes of the preliminary

purchase price allocation, the acquired Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties have been recorded at their estimated fair values based upon Royal Gold s estimate of the expected future discounted cash flows associated with those assets. The gold loan facility payable was estimated based upon an estimate of 7,230 ounces of gold remaining to be delivered as of the expected closing date utilizing a gold price of approximately \$651 per ounce. The final allocation may change upon actual closing and completion of a full valuation.

35

Table of Contents

CERTAIN HISTORICAL AND PRO FORMA PER SHARE DATA

Unaudited Comparative per Share Data

In the following tables, we present historical per share data for Royal Gold and Battle Mountain as of June 30, 2007 and for the year then ended, and unaudited pro forma combined per share data for Royal Gold for the year ended June 30, 2007. The pro forma per share data, assumes that the merger had been completed on July 1, 2006, for income statement purposes and on June 30, 2007 for balance sheet purposes.

The unaudited comparative per share data does not purport to be, and you should not rely on it as, indicative of (1) the per share results of operations or book value which would have been achieved if the merger had been completed at the beginning of the period or as of the date indicated, or (2) the per share results of operations or book value which may be achieved in the future.

It is important that when you read this information, you read along with it the separate financial statements and accompanying notes of Royal Gold that are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. It is also important that you read the pro forma combined condensed financial information and accompanying notes that are included in this proxy statement/prospectus beginning on page 27 under Unaudited Pro Forma, Combined, Condensed Financial Information of Royal Gold.

The following table gives effect to both the Royal Gold equity offering and the merger with Battle Mountain on a proforma basis:

	Royal Gold				Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Per Common Share of		Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Per Common Share of		Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Per Equivalent Share of Battle	
	His	storical	His	storical	Royal Gold(1)			Royal Gold(2)	Mountain(2)(3	
Book value per share: As of June 30, 2007	\$	11.04	\$	0.14	\$	11.05	\$	11.80	\$	0.21
Cash dividends per share:	Ψ	11.01	Ψ	0.11	Ψ	11.05	Ψ	11.00	Ψ	0.21
Year ended June 30, 2007 Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations:	\$	0.24	\$		\$	0.24	\$	0.24	\$	0.00
Basic earnings (loss) per share year ended June 30, 2007 Diluted earnings (loss) per share year	\$	0.79	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.72	\$	0.69	\$	0.01
ended June 30, 2007	\$	0.79	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.72	\$	0.69	\$	0.01

The following table assumes an all stock transaction:

- (1) This column assumes 100% cash consideration.
- (2) This column assumes 100% stock consideration.
- (3) Based on an exchange ratio in the merger of 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock in the event of a Stock Election.

36

Table of Contents

COMPARATIVE MARKET PRICE INFORMATION

Royal Gold s common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol RGLD and on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol RGL. Battle Mountain is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol BMGX. The following table sets forth, for each of the quarterly periods indicated, the range of high and low sales prices, in U.S. dollars, of Royal Gold s common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and Battle Mountain s common stock on OTC Bulletin Board.

Royal Gold	Н	ligh	Low	Battle Mountain]	High]	Low
Year Ended June 30, 2005				Year Ended December 31, 2005				
First Quarter	\$	17.11	\$ 12.30	First Quarter	\$	0.75	\$	0.38
Second Quarter		19.03	14.95	Second Quarter		0.88		0.23
Third Quarter		19.95	15.35	Third Quarter		0.52		0.14
Fourth Quarter		20.50	15.99	Fourth Quarter		0.62		0.20
Year Ended June 30, 2006				Year Ended December 31, 2006				
First Quarter	\$	30.20	\$ 18.74	First Quarter	\$	0.49	\$	0.32
Second Quarter		35.69	20.95	Second Quarter		0.91		0.06
Third Quarter		41.66	27.01	Third Quarter		0.95		0.45
Fourth Quarter		37.50	23.00	Fourth Quarter		0.72		0.50
Year Ended June 30, 2007				Year Ended December 31, 2007				
First Quarter	\$	31.82	\$ 25.67	First Quarter	\$	0.58	\$	0.39
Second Quarter		37.50	24.12	Second Quarter		0.53		0.37
				Third Quarter (through				
Third Quarter		36.50	29.31	September 26, 2007)		0.57		0.32
Fourth Quarter		30.87	23.25					
Year Ending June 30, 2008								
First Quarter (through September 26,								
2007)	\$	34.36	\$ 23.83					

The following table presents the closing sales prices, in U.S. dollars, of Royal Gold s common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and Battle Mountain s common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on March 2, 2007, the last trading date prior to the public announcement of Royal Gold s intention to acquire all of the shares of Battle Mountain common stock, April 17, 2007, the last trading date prior to the public announcement of entry into a definitive merger agreement and July 30, 2007, the last trading day prior to the public announcement of the Amended and Restated Merger Agreement.

				Per	Share
	Roy	al Gold	attle untain	Equivalent(1)	
March 2, 2007	\$	31.14	\$ 0.43	\$	0.56
April 17, 2007		30.15	0.47		0.54
July 30, 2007		25.09	0.43		0.45

(1) Based on an exchange ratio in the merger of 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock in the event of a Stock Election.

On June 30, 2007, Royal Gold s fiscal year end, Royal Gold had 28,663,756 shares of its common stock outstanding. The number of common stockholders of record was 730. On September 26, 2007, Battle Mountain had 83,528,381 shares of its common stock outstanding. The number of common stockholders of record was 53.

Royal Gold Dividend Policy

Royal Gold has paid a cash dividend on its common stock for each fiscal year beginning in fiscal year 2000. Royal Gold s board of directors has discretion in determining whether to declare a dividend based on a number of

37

Table of Contents

factors including prevailing gold prices, economic market conditions and funding requirements for future opportunities or operations.

For calendar year 2007, Royal Gold announced an annual dividend of \$0.26 per share of common stock, payable in four quarterly payments of \$0.065 each. The first payment of \$0.065 per share was made on January 19, 2007, to stockholders of record at the close of business on January 5, 2007. The second payment of \$0.065 per share was made on April 20, 2007, to stockholders of record at the close of business on April 5, 2007. The third payment of \$0.065 per share was made on July 20, 2007, to stockholders of record at the close of business on July 6, 2007. The fourth payment of \$0.065 per share is payable on October 19, 2007, to stockholders of record at the close of business on October 5, 2007.

For calendar year 2006, Royal Gold paid an annual dividend of \$0.22 per share of common stock, in four quarterly payments of \$0.055 each. The first payment of \$0.055 per share was made on January 20, 2006, to stockholders of record at the close of business on January 6, 2006. The second payment of \$0.055 per share was made on April 21, 2006, to stockholders of record at the close of business on April 7, 2006. The third payment of \$0.055 was made on July 28, 2006, to stockholders of record at the close of business on July 7, 2006. The fourth payment of \$0.055 was made on October 20, 2006, to stockholders of record at the close of business on October 6, 2006.

For calendar year 2005, Royal Gold paid an annual dividend of \$0.20 per share of common stock, in four quarterly payments of \$0.05 each. The first payment of \$0.05 per share was made on January 21, 2005, to stockholders of record at the close of business on January 7, 2005. The second payment of \$0.05 per share was made on April 22, 2005, to stockholders of record at the close of business on April 8, 2005. The third payment of \$0.05 was made on July 22, 2005, to stockholders of record at the close of business on July 8, 2005. The fourth payment of \$0.05 was made on October 21, 2005, to stockholders of record at the close of business on October 7, 2005.

Royal Gold currently plans to pay a dividend on a calendar year basis, subject to the discretion of the board of directors. However, the board of directors may determine not to declare a dividend based on a number of factors including gold prices, economic and market conditions, and the financial needs to pursue opportunities that might arise in the future.

Battle Mountian Dividend History

Battle Mountain has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock in the past.

THE MERGER

The following discussion describes certain aspects of the merger agreement and the merger. The following description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference. We urge Battle Mountain stockholders to read the merger agreement carefully in its entirety for a more complete understanding of the merger.

We are furnishing this document to Battle Mountain stockholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Battle Mountain board of directors for use at Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting. Approval by Battle Mountain stockholders of the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement is a condition to the completion of the merger.

General

At the effective time of the merger, Royal Battle Mountain, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, will merge with and into Battle Mountain. As a result of the merger, the separate corporate existence of Royal Battle Mountain will cease and Battle Mountain will continue as the surviving corporation of the merger and become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold. At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Battle Mountain common stock will be converted into the right to receive, at the election of each Battle Mountain stockholder, either (i) with respect to a Stock Election, between 0.0172 and 0.0179 of shares of Royal Gold common

38

Table of Contents

stock to be determined at closing or (ii) with respect to a Cash Election, approximately \$0.55 in cash, in each case assuming 91,563,506 shares of Battle Mountain common stock are issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. The per share consideration, if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Stock Election, will be based on the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction. If the average price is less than \$29.00, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price of Royal Gold common stock is \$30.18 or above, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,570,507 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0172 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price is greater than or equal to \$29.00 but less than \$30.18, the per share consideration for each share of Battle Mountain common stock would be proportionally adjusted based on the average price of Royal Gold common stock, using \$47,397,901.26 as the aggregate purchase price. Royal Gold will not issue fractional shares of Royal Gold common stock in the merger. Instead, Battle Mountain stockholders will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares based on the fair market value of a share of Royal Gold common stock. The per share consideration if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Cash Election will be based on a maximum amount of \$50,359,928 as the aggregate purchase price.

The stock consideration and cash consideration payable in the merger are subject to pro rata adjustment based on the number of issued and outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and a potential reduction or holdback of approximately 0.0006 shares of Royal Gold common stock on a per share basis, in the case of a Stock Election, or approximately \$0.017 on a per share basis, in the case of a Cash Election, based on the cost of settling certain Battle Mountain litigation.

The Battle Mountain board of directors recommends that Battle Mountain stockholders vote FOR the approval and adoption of the merger agreement and FOR each of the other proposals described in the notice to the special meeting.

Background to the Merger

Early in calendar 2007, Battle Mountain s board of directors determined that its available cash, royalty income stream and projected proceeds from a planned private placement would be insufficient to repay its obligation under its bridge finance facility prior to the repayment date in late March 2007, and complete its acquisition of a royalty on production from the Dolores project in Mexico (Dolores II Royalty) prior to the deadline for completion of the acquisition in April 2007. Battle Mountain s board of directors instructed management to seek and evaluate financial and strategic alternatives for Battle Mountain, including additional or restructured financing, further equity raises, the sale or merger of the company or the extension of the option on the Dolores II Royalty.

At a meeting of the Royal Gold board of directors on February 15, 2007, the board discussed future business development opportunities. Battle Mountain was identified as a company that owns several desirable royalty interests, that may be experiencing financial constraints on the growth of its business, and that may respond favorably to the suggestion of an acquisition, combination or other transaction.

In mid-February, 2007, Tony Jensen, Royal Gold s President and Chief Executive Officer, contacted Battle Mountain s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mark Kucher, and suggested a meeting to explore whether Battle Mountain might be interested in one or more possible transactions between the companies. A meeting was set for February 21, 2007, in Denver, Colorado. After concluding that transactions benefiting both companies were possible, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain negotiated and entered into a non-disclosure agreement.

On February 21, 2007, after execution of the non-disclosure agreement, several members of Royal Gold s management team met to discuss the prospects for acquiring Battle Mountain, relative to other business opportunities then under consideration. Management concluded that further investigation of the acquisition of Battle Mountain was warranted. Royal Gold retained outside legal advisors, Hogan & Hartson L.L.P., to assist it with the structuring, negotiation and documentation of a possible transaction between Royal Gold and Battle Mountain.

39

Table of Contents

Following execution of the non-disclosure agreement, Mr. Kucher provided Royal Gold further information concerning Battle Mountain, its royalty interests and agreements, certain financial statements, and other information to assist Royal Gold in its evaluation of Battle Mountain.

On February 23, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain met to discuss Royal Gold s proposal to acquire 100% of Battle Mountain s common stock in a stock for stock merger transaction. Royal Gold also presented Mr. Kucher with a proposed option and support agreement. Pursuant to this agreement, Mr. Kucher would grant Royal Gold the option to acquire all of his shares of Battle Mountain and agree to vote all of his shares of Battle Mountain in favor of the merger transaction between Royal Gold and Battle Mountain. As part of the negotiation of the proposal, Mr. Kucher indicated that Battle Mountain would require a bridge loan in an amount sufficient, at a minimum, to repay obligations under its bridge finance facility on or prior to its maturity date and complete its acquisition of the Dolores II Royalty prior to the deadline therefore.

On February 23, 2007, Royal Gold s board of directors held a special meeting with management and Hogan & Hartson present, for the purpose of discussing the possible acquisition of Battle Mountain. Following lengthy discussion, the board authorized management to execute a letter of intent proposing a stock for stock merger transaction and option and support agreements with Mr. Kucher and IAMGOLD Corporation, Battle Mountain s second largest stockholder, and authorized Royal Gold to make a bridge loan to Battle Mountain.

On February 24, 2007, Battle Mountain s board of directors authorized management to execute the letter of intent describing a stock for stock merger transaction with Royal Gold and approved Mr. Kucher s and IAMGOLD s entry into an option and support agreement with Royal Gold.

On February 25, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain discussed the corporate history of Battle Mountain and held a teleconference with Battle Mountain s lender, Macquarie Bank Limited (Macquarie), concerning the possible merger transaction.

On February 26, 2007, Royal Gold s technical personnel organized a team of consultants to conduct technical due diligence, including with respect to each of Battle Mountain s royalty properties, reserve and resource estimates, metallurgy, mining methods, operating and capital costs, environmental matters, permitting requirements and status, and infrastructure, equipment, and manpower requirements and usage. The technical due diligence team conducted an extensive review of the materials provided by Battle Mountain as well as public data and information.

From February 26 through February 28, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain continued negotiation of the letter of intent and negotiated a bridge loan term sheet whereby Royal Gold would provide Battle Mountain approximately \$20 million as a bridge loan to enable Battle Mountain to satisfy its debt obligations to Macquarie and exercise and close on its option to acquire the Dolores II Royalty, as well as other royalty interests. During this time, Royal Gold and Mr. Kucher also continued negotiation of Mr. Kucher s option and support agreement with Royal Gold.

On February 28, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain concluded negotiation of the letter of intent and bridge loan term sheet. On February 28, 2007, Battle Mountain accepted Royal Gold s proposal as described in the letter of intent and bridge loan term sheet.

From February 26 to March 6, 2007, Royal Gold negotiated with IAMGOLD Corporation concerning the possibility of IAMGOLD entering into an option and support agreement similar to the one being negotiated with Mr. Kucher. On March 6, 2007, Royal Gold and IAMGOLD entered into an option and support agreement, effective as of March 5, 2007.

From February 28 to March 5, 2007, Royal Gold continued to negotiate the option and support agreement with Mr. Kucher. On March 5, 2007, Royal Gold and Mr. Kucher entered into the option and support agreement.

On March 6, 2007, Royal Gold presented a draft of the definitive merger agreement and a draft of the bridge loan agreement for Royal Gold s bridge loan to Battle Mountain.

On March 8, 2007, Royal Gold engaged outside legal advisors, Holland & Hart, LLP, to conduct real property and title due diligence regarding Battle Mountain s mineral interests.

On March 9, 2007, Royal Gold presented Battle Mountain with a further due diligence request list.

40

Table of Contents

On March 9, 2007, Royal Gold engaged National Bank Financial to prepare and deliver to Royal Gold s board of directors an opinion as to whether the consideration payable by Royal Gold pursuant to the merger transaction as outlined in the letter of intent was fair, from a financial point of view, to the stockholders of Royal Gold, and later, to provide other financial advisory services in connection with the merger transaction.

On March 12, 2007, Royal Gold engaged an outside accounting firm, Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman, PC, to conduct accounting and tax due diligence concerning Battle Mountain.

On March 13, 2007, the Royal Gold management team met to discuss the preliminary results of the due diligence investigation completed as of that date.

Throughout March 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain negotiated definitive bridge loan documentation for Royal Gold's bridge loan to Battle Mountain, including working with Macquarie and IAMGOLD Corporation to obtain their consent to the terms of the definitive documentation. Also throughout March 2007, Battle Mountain and its outside Canadian, U.S. and Mexican counsel prepared appropriate documentation for the exercise and closing of Battle Mountain's option to acquire the Dolores II Royalty. The closing of the acquisition of the Dolores II Royalty was scheduled for March 28, 2007.

On March 22, 2007, Battle Mountain informed Royal Gold that representatives of the seller of the Dolores II Royalty insisted that the funds required to acquire the Dolores II Royalty be escrowed immediately to give the seller comfort that the closing would be completed on the scheduled March 28, 2007 closing date. Discussions between the business and legal representatives of Royal Gold, Battle Mountain and the seller of the Dolores II Royalty were held concerning Royal Gold s funding of the escrow account until the closing of the acquisition of the Dolores II Royalty.

On March 23, 2007, Royal Gold entered into an Escrow Agreement with Battle Mountain, the seller of the Dolores II Royalty, and Battle Mountain s Canadian outside counsel for the Dolores II Royalty acquisition, as escrow agent, providing for the escrow of \$9,450,000 in anticipation of the Dolores II Royalty acquisition on March 28, 2007. Battle Mountain issued an unsecured promissory note for \$13,914,552.39 to Royal Gold representing the escrow amount for the Dolores II Royalty acquisition as well as an additional \$4,464,552.39 to be paid directly to Macquarie in full and final satisfaction of Battle Mountain s obligations under the bridge finance facility with Macquarie. Royal Gold advanced to Battle Mountain the full amount of the unsecured promissory note that would be superseded and replaced with a secured promissory note under the definitive bridge loan documentation for Royal Gold s bridge loan to Battle Mountain.

On March 26, 2007, Royal Gold s board of directors held a special meeting with management and Hogan & Hartson present to discuss, among other things, Royal Gold s bridge loan to Battle Mountain and the status of the merger discussions, and to consider the terms of the definitive merger agreement and the definitive bridge loan documentation being negotiated. Following the discussions, the board authorized management to finalize the terms of and execute the definitive merger agreement, to register the Royal Gold shares to be issued to Battle Mountain stockholders with the SEC, and to list the Royal Gold common stock to be issued in the merger with the NASDAQ Global Select Market and the Toronto Stock Exchange. The board approved and ratified Royal Gold s entry into the escrow agreement, Royal Gold s advance to Battle Mountain pursuant to an unsecured promissory note and the related transactions. The board also authorized execution of definitive bridge loan documentation and all of the related security and intercreditor agreements.

On March 28, 2007, Royal Gold, Battle Mountain and Battle Mountain s wholly-owned subsidiary, BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, entered into the bridge finance facility agreement as well as other definitive documentation for Royal Gold s bridge loan to Battle Mountain. The unsecured promissory note executed by Battle Mountain on March 23, 2007, for \$13,914,552.39, was cancelled, superseded and replaced by a secured promissory note executed by Battle

Mountain and BMGX (Barbados) Corporation for \$20,000,000, the full amount of the bridge loan. Pursuant to the bridge finance facility agreement, Royal Gold has the right to convert all outstanding amounts under the bridge loan into Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price of \$0.60 per share.

On March 28, 2007, Battle Mountain acquired the Dolores II Royalty at a closing held in Mexico City, Mexico.

41

Table of Contents

Also on March 28, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain entered into a Voting Limitation Agreement pursuant to which Royal Gold agreed to limit its voting with respect to Battle Mountain common stock over which it had or could acquire voting power. Generally, Royal Gold agreed that in the event of a superior proposal, as defined in the merger agreement, under certain circumstances, Royal Gold would not vote more than 39.9% of the total number of shares of Battle Mountain common stock entitled to vote in favor of the merger transaction with Royal Gold or in opposition to a competing transaction. See Relationship with Battle Mountain on page 73 for more information.

From March 28, 2007 to April 16, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain continued to negotiate the terms of the definitive merger agreement. On April 13, 2007, Royal Gold s board of directors held a special meeting with management and Hogan & Hartson present to discuss the status of the merger transaction. Hogan & Hartson provided advice regarding the board of directors fiduciary duties and management s advice and recommendation regarding the merger consideration and the merger agreement and related matters. Royal Gold s board of directors approved the merger agreement and authorized management to execute the same and take other actions in furtherance of the merger transactions.

On April 14, 2007, pursuant to the terms of the bridge finance facility agreement, the availability of Royal Gold s bridge loan to Battle Mountain was reduced from \$20 million to \$15 million.

On April 17, 2007, Battle Mountain s management presented its board of directors with the proposed merger agreement. Clark Wilson LLP provided advice regarding the board of directors fiduciary duties and management s advice and recommendation regarding the merger consideration and the merger agreement and related matters. Battle Mountain s board of directors approved the proposed merger agreement and authorized management to execute the agreement, recommended approval of the merger transaction to Battle Mountain s stockholders, authorized management to prepare and file its preliminary and definitive proxy statement, or other disclosure documents, pursuant to the rules and regulations under the United States securities laws, authorized the acceleration of options and warrants to acquire Battle Mountain common stock held by officers, directors and certain others and authorized the acceleration of the vesting of restricted stock granted to certain officers and directors.

On April 17, 2007, Royal Gold, Battle Mountain and Royal Battle Mountain, Inc., a newly-formed and wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, entered into a merger agreement.

On May 9, 2007, Royal Gold advanced an additional \$600,000 to Battle Mountain in connection with a prepayment by Battle Mountain to Macquarie pursuant to Battle Mountain s gold loan facility with Macquarie.

On June 27, 2007, the management of Battle Mountain expressed concern with the merger consideration based on Royal Gold s then trading stock price.

Between June 27, 2007 and July 19, 2007, Royal Gold, with the assistance of National Bank Financial and Hogan & Hartson, and Battle Mountain negotiated amendments to the merger agreement, primarily relating to an election by Battle Mountain stockholders to accept cash or Royal Gold stock as the merger consideration, obtaining irrevocable proxies supporting the merger from Battle Mountain s management and non-employee directors, and an extension of the maturity date of the bridge facility provided by Royal Gold to Battle Mountain.

On July 23, 2007, Battle Mountain accepted Royal Gold s July 19, 2007 proposal to amend the merger agreement by offering Battle Mountain stockholders an election between receiving Royal Gold common stock or cash in exchange for their Battle Mountain stock, and to amend the bridge facility, in each case subject to a number of conditions, including that each director and officer, other than Mr. Kucher, who already is subject to an option and support agreement, grant Royal Gold an irrevocable proxy to vote in favor of the merger proposal and against any competing proposal.

On July 25, 2007, Royal Gold s board of directors approved the Amended and Restated Merger Agreement and the amendment to the bridge facility, and authorized management to execute the agreements and take other actions in furtherance of the merger transactions.

On July 30, 2007, Battle Mountain s board of directors approved the Amended and Restated Merger Agreement, the amendment to the bridge facility, and the grant of an irrevocable proxy to Royal Gold to vote in favor of the merger agreement and against any competing proposal by Mr. Atkinson and each of the non-employee directors of Battle Mountain.

42

Table of Contents

On July 30, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain entered into an Amended and Restated Merger Agreement and an amendment to the bridge facility. Each of the non-employee directors of Battle Mountain and Mr. Atkinson gave an irrevocable proxy, dated July 27, 2007, to Royal Gold to vote their respective shares of Battle Mountain common stock in favor of the merger agreement and against any competing proposal.

On September 4, 2007, Royal Gold exercised its option to purchase IAMGOLD s beneficially owned shares of Battle Mountain common stock pursuant to the option and support agreement with IAMGOLD and acquired a convertible debenture of Battle Mountain Gold (Canada) Inc., a subsidiary of Battle Mountain, from IAMGOLD. On September 5, 2007, Royal Gold exercised its option to convert all of the outstanding principal and accrued interest as of September 4, 2007 under the convertible debenture into 4,086,794 shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

Battle Mountain s Reasons for the Merger

Battle Mountain s board of directors unanimously determined that the merger and the terms of the merger agreement are in the best interests of Battle Mountain and its stockholders. In evaluating the merger and merger agreement, Battle Mountain s board of directors considered the following factors:

the relative financial condition, results of operations and prospects for growth of Battle Mountain and Royal Gold, including Battle Mountain s operational and liquidity challenges and Royal Gold s competitive strengths;

Battle Mountain s independent auditors concerns regarding Battle Mountain s ability to continue as a going concern;

the value of the Royal Gold common stock or amount of cash to be received by Battle Mountain stockholders depending on each stockholder s election, including the fact that both the stock consideration and cash consideration represented a premium over Battle Mountain s common stock closing price on April 16, 2007, the last trading day prior to the entry into the merger agreement and July 27, 2007, the last trading day prior to the entry into the Amended and Restated Merger Agreement and represented the best economic terms that could be obtained from Royal Gold;

Battle Mountain s stockholders who make the Stock Election would receive Royal Gold common stock, which is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and the Toronto Stock Exchange, giving them greater liquidity than that currently available with Battle Mountain s common stock, which is not listed on a national exchange and is subject to penny stock trading restrictions;

Battle Mountain s difficulty in raising capital through issuance of equity or debt instruments of Battle Mountain:

Battle Mountain s difficulty in acquiring additional royalties;

Battle Mountain s dependence on royalty revenues from properties in developing countries versus Royal Gold s diversified royalty portfolio and royalty revenues from properties in developed and politically stable countries;

Royal Gold s human resources to pursue royalty acquisitions and focus on the growth of the company, compared with Battle Mountain s dependence on two employees;

the combined company will benefit from the savings of corporate overhead expenses, particularly through the consolidation of royalty monitoring activities within Royal Gold s existing management system;

Royal Gold s willingness to lend \$13.9 million to Battle Mountain in order for Battle Mountain to repay maturing debt obligations, timely close on a key royalty acquisition and refinance or repay Battle Mountain s existing debt obligations;

43

Table of Contents

the combined company will have greater financial resources to better position it to compete for new royalty opportunities in a market with vigorous competition, providing Battle Mountain stockholders the benefit of Royal Gold s future growth potential; and

the terms and conditions of the merger agreement.

The above discussion of the information and factors considered by Battle Mountain s board of directors is not exhaustive and does not include all the factors considered by Battle Mountain s board of directors. In evaluating the merger, the members of Battle Mountain s board of directors considered their knowledge of the business, financial condition and prospects of Battle Mountain and the advice of their advisors, including Messrs. Kucher and Atkinson and its outside counsel, Clark Wilson. The members of Battle Mountain s board of directors did not assign relative weights to the foregoing evaluation of the merger, but rather, made their determinations based upon the total mix of information available to them. In addition, individual members of Battle Mountain s board of directors may have given different weights to different factors.

Royal Gold s Reasons for the Merger

A primary feature of Royal Gold s growth strategy has been to pursue strategic acquisitions of high quality royalties. Royal Gold is engaged in a continual review of opportunities to acquire existing royalties, including mergers and asset acquisitions. Royal Gold s decision to pursue a merger with Battle Mountain arose from its ongoing evaluation of the royalty assets of Battle Mountain in connection with its growth strategy.

In evaluating and approving the merger and merger agreement, Royal Gold s board of directors considered the following factors:

the merger will expand and diversify Royal Gold s royalty portfolio and will expand Royal Gold s current revenue generating royalties from eight to twelve;

the potential contribution of the Dolores project, under development in Mexico, and Battle Mountain s interests in producing properties in terms of reserves, revenue and scale, consistent with Royal Gold s growth strategy to obtain a diversified portfolio of currently producing, developing and exploration royalties;

Battle Mountain s portfolio of early stage exploration properties and properties with historic production in which economically exploitable mineralization may be identified, defined and exploited, consistent with Royal Gold s growth strategy to obtain a diversified portfolio of currently producing, developing and exploration royalties;

geographic and political risk diversity of Battle Mountain s assets and the combined assets of the two companies;

the combined company will benefit from the savings of corporate overhead expenses, particularly through the consolidation of royalty monitoring activities within Royal Gold s existing management system;

the combined company will have a larger property asset base to leverage for financing needs;

the combined company will have greater financial resources to better position it to compete for new royalty opportunities in a market with vigorous competition;

the valuation of the assets, liabilities, expected financial results, consideration paid and level of dilution of Royal Gold s stockholders upon the issuance of Royal Gold common stock to Battle Mountain s stockholders; and

that the consideration payable by Royal Gold pursuant to the merger transaction is fair to the stockholders of Royal Gold.

The above discussion of the information and factors considered by Royal Gold s board of directors is not exhaustive and does not include all the factors considered by Royal Gold s board of directors. In evaluating the merger, the members of Royal Gold s board of directors considered their knowledge of the business, financial condition and prospects of Royal Gold and the advice of their advisors, including the members of Royal Gold s

44

Table of Contents

management and its outside counsel, Hogan & Hartson. The members of Royal Gold s board of directors did not assign relative weights to the foregoing evaluation of the merger, but rather, made their determinations based upon the total mix of information available to them. In addition, individual members of Royal Gold s board of directors may have given different weights to different factors.

Description of Election Procedures

Each Battle Mountain stockholder as of the record date will be entitled, with respect to the merger consideration to be received for each share of Battle Mountain common stock held by the stockholder, to elect:

- 1. to receive Royal Gold common stock (the Stock Election);
- 2. to receive cash (the Cash Election); or
- 3. to indicate that the stockholder has no preference as to the receipt of either stock or cash.

If a Battle Mountain stockholder does not make an election, he or she will be deemed to have elected the Stock Election.

Each Battle Mountain stockholder as of the record date will receive a form of election along with a letter of transmittal for certificates representing shares of Battle Mountain common stock. All elections for stock consideration or cash consideration must be made on the form of election. To make an effective election, the Battle Mountain stockholder must, in accordance with the form of election:

- (1) complete properly and return the form of election to the exchange agent by the deadline provided in the form of election, and
- (2) deliver any other required documents by the deadline provided in the form of election.

The deadline for receipt by the exchange agent of the completed form of election is 5:00 p.m. Mountain Time on October 18, 2007. Completed forms of election should be returned to the exchange agent at Computershare Trust Company, N.A., 350 Indiana Street, Suite 800, Golden, Colorado 80401. A Battle Mountain stockholder must make either the Stock Election or Cash Election with respect to all of his or her shares of Battle Mountain common stock held.

If Royal Gold or the exchange agent determines any purported election was not properly made or was received after the election deadline, the purported election will be deemed to be of no force and effect and the Battle Mountain stockholder making the purported election will be deemed to have made the Stock Election.

Battle Mountain stockholders who timely submitted their elections may change their election only upon receiving written consent of Royal Gold.

Battle Mountain stockholders are urged to deliver a properly completed form of election, accompanied by all required documents, no later than October 13, 2007, in order to ensure that their form of election will be received by the election deadline. The deadline for receipt by the exchange agent of the completed form of election is 5:00 p.m. (Mountain Time) on October 18, 2007.

NEITHER BATTLE MOUNTAIN NOR ROYAL GOLD MAKES ANY RECOMMENDATION AS TO WHETHER STOCKHOLDERS SHOULD ELECT TO RECEIVE THE STOCK CONSIDERATION OR

THE CASH CONSIDERATION.

EACH BATTLE MOUNTAIN STOCKHOLDER MUST MAKE HIS OR HER OWN DECISION WITH RESPECT TO THE ELECTION.

Dissenter s Rights

Holders of Battle Mountain capital stock are entitled in certain circumstances to exercise rights of dissenting owners under Chapter 92A, Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). A Battle Mountain stockholder will be entitled to relief as a dissenting stockholder if and only if he or she complies strictly with all of the procedural and other requirements of Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500 of NRS. The following

45

Table of Contents

summary does not purport to be a complete statement of the method of compliance with Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of Sections 92A.300 through 92A.500 attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex B.

Right to Dissent

Stockholders of a Nevada corporation have the right to dissent from certain corporate actions in certain circumstances. According to NRS Section 92A.380(1)(a)(1), these circumstances include consummation of a plan of merger requiring approval of the corporation s stockholders. Stockholders who are entitled to dissent are also entitled to demand payment in the amount of the fair value of their shares.

Requirements To Exercise Rights of A Dissenting Owner

According to NRS Section 92A.420(1), stockholders who wish to assert rights of dissenting owners:

must deliver written notice to Battle Mountain of their intent to demand payment for their capital stock, if the merger is completed, to Battle Mountain at the address set forth under the caption Important Note About this Proxy Statement/Prospectus below BEFORE the vote for approval to the merger is taken; and

must not vote in favor of merger.

Stockholders failing to satisfy these requirements will not be entitled to exercise rights of a dissenting owner under Chapter 92A of the NRS.

In accordance with NRS 92A.430, within ten days after the effective time of the merger, Battle Mountain will send a written dissenters—notice to all stockholders who satisfied these two requirements (written notice of intent to demand payment and not consenting to the merger). The dissenters—notice must include:

- a statement of where dissenting stockholders should send their demand for payment and where and when certificates for capital stock are to be deposited;
- a statement informing the dissenting stockholders whose shares are not represented by certificates to what extent the transfers of the shares will be restricted after the demand for payment is received;
- a form for demanding payment requiring stockholders asserting dissenters—rights to certify whether or not they acquired beneficial ownership of the shares before July 31, 2007, the date when the terms of the merger were announced to the news media and the stockholders;
- a date by which Battle Mountain must receive the demand for payment, which may not be fewer than 30 or more than 60 days after the date the dissenters notice is delivered; and
- a copy of NRS Section 92A.300 through NRS Section 92A.500.

Stockholders wishing to exercise rights of a dissenting owner must thereafter comply with the following requirements of NRS 92A.440:

demand payment;

certify whether they acquired beneficial ownership of the shares before July 31, 2007; and

deposit their certificates in accordance with the terms of the dissenters notice.

Under NRS 92A.440(3), stockholders who fail to demand payment or deposit their certificates where required by the dates set forth in the dissenters—notice will not be entitled to demand payment or receive the fair market value for their shares of capital stock as provided under Nevada law. Instead, such stockholders will receive the same consideration as the stockholders who do not exercise rights of a dissenting owner.

Payment for Dissenting Shares

NRS 92A.460 provides that Battle Mountain is required to pay each dissenter who made a valid demand under Nevada law the amount Battle Mountain estimates to be the fair value of the dissenter s shares of capital stock, plus

46

Table of Contents

accrued interest. Battle Mountain must make such payment thirty days after the receipt of the dissenter s demand for payment. The payment must be accompanied by:

a copy of Battle Mountain s balance sheet for the year ended December 31, 2006, Battle Mountain s statement of income for the year 2006, Battle Mountain s statement of changes in stockholders equity for the year 2006 as well as Battle Mountain s most recent quarterly financial statements;

a statement of Battle Mountain s estimate of the fair value of the dissenter s shares of capital stock;

an explanation of how interest was calculated;

a statement of the dissenter s rights to demand payment under Nevada law of the dissenter s own estimate of the value of the capital stock under Section 92A.480 of the NRS (discussed below); and

a copy of Section 92A.300 through Section 92A.500 of the NRS.

Under NRS 92A.470(1), Battle Mountain may elect to withhold payment from dissenters who became beneficial owners of shares of capital stock on or after July 31, 2007. If payment is withheld in this fashion by Battle Mountain, Battle Mountain must estimate the fair value of the dissenter s shares of capital stock (plus accrued interest) and offer to pay this amount to each dissenter who agrees to accept in full satisfaction of his or her demand. Battle Mountain is required to send this offer to all such dissenters with a statement of Battle Mountain s estimate of the fair value of the dissenter s shares of capital stock, an explanation of how interest was calculated and a statement of the dissenters rights to demand payment under NRS 92A.470(2).

NRS 92A.480(1) provides that a dissenter who believes that the amount paid or offered is less than the full value of his or her shares of capital stock, or that the interest due is incorrectly calculated, may, within 30 days after Battle Mountain made or offered payment for the shares, either (i) notify Battle Mountain in writing of his or her own estimate of the fair value of the shares of capital stock and the amount of interest due and demand payment of the difference between this estimate and any payments made or (ii) reject the offer for payment made by Battle Mountain and demand payment of the fair value of his or her shares and interest due.

NRS 92A.490 provides that if a demand for payment remains unsettled, Battle Mountain must commence a court proceeding within 60 days after receiving a demand, petitioning the court to determine the fair value of the shares of capital stock and accrued interest. All dissenters whose demands remain unsettled would be made a party to such proceeding, which would be conducted in the district court of Washoe county. If Battle Mountain fails to commence such a proceeding, it would be required by NRS 92A.490(1) to pay the amount demanded to each dissenter whose demand remains unsettled. Dissenters would be entitled to a judgment for the amount, if any, by which the court finds the fair value of his shares, plus accrued interest, exceeds the amount paid by Battle Mountain; or the fair value, plus accrued interest, of his after-acquired shares for which Battle Mountain elected to withhold payment pursuant to Section 92.470 of the NRS.

Under Section 92A.490(4) of the NRS, the district court may appoint one or more persons as appraisers to receive evidence and recommend a decision on the question of fair value. The appraisers have the powers described in the order appointing them or in any amendment to such order. In any such court proceeding, the dissenters are entitled to the same discovery rights as parties in other civil proceedings.

Under Section 92A.500 of the NRS, the district court will assess the costs of the proceedings against Battle Mountain, unless the court finds that all or some of the dissenters acted arbitrarily, vexatiously or not in good faith in demanding payment. The district court may also assess against Battle Mountain or the dissenters the fees and expenses of counsel

and experts for the respective parties, in the amount the court finds equitable.

THE REQUIRED RIGHTS OF A DISSENTING OWNER PROCEDURES MUST BE FOLLOWED EXACTLY OR ANY APPRAISAL RIGHTS MAY BE LOST.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger

Neither Royal Gold nor Battle Mountain is aware of any material regulatory filings or approvals required prior to completing the merger as described herein. Royal Gold and Battle Mountain intend to make all required filings under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act in connection with the merger.

47

Table of Contents

Certain Effects of the Merger

Effects on the Market

Following the merger, Battle Mountain common stock will be deregistered with the SEC and will cease to be quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board.

Exchange Act Registration

Shares of Battle Mountain common stock are currently registered under the Exchange Act. Following completion of the merger, Battle Mountain will file a Form 15 with the SEC terminating registration of the shares of Battle Mountain common stock under the Exchange Act. Battle Mountain will no longer file periodic reports with the SEC once the registration of its common stock is terminated.

Accounting Treatment for the Merger

The merger will be accounted for by Royal Gold under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Accordingly, the cost to acquire shares of Battle Mountain common stock and outstanding stock options will be allocated on a pro rata basis to Battle Mountain s assets and liabilities based on their preliminary fair values, with any excess being allocated to goodwill. The determination of asset lives and required purchase accounting adjustments reflected in this proxy statement/prospectus, including the allocation of the purchase price to the assets and liabilities of Battle Mountain based on their respective fair values, is preliminary. See Unaudited Pro Forma, Combined, Condensed Financial Information of Royal Gold beginning on page 27.

Resales of Royal Gold Common Stock

All shares of Royal Gold common stock that Battle Mountain stockholders who make the Stock Election receive in connection with the merger will be freely transferable unless the holder is deemed an affiliate of Battle Mountain prior to the merger or of Royal Gold following the merger for purposes of the federal securities laws. The certificate for such affiliate s shares of Royal Gold common stock will bear an appropriate affiliate stock legend which will be removed by Royal Gold s transfer agent as described below. Shares of Royal Gold common stock held by these affiliates may be sold only pursuant to a registration statement or an exemption under the Securities Act. The registration statement, of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part, filed with the SEC in connection with registration of the Royal Gold common stock to be issued to the Battle Mountain stockholders in the merger will also serve as a registration statement for resale by affiliates of Battle Mountain of those shares of Royal Gold common stock they received in the merger. Those Battle Mountain affiliates, referred to herein as selling stockholders, will therefore be able to freely sell the shares they receive in the merger so long as this registration statement remains effective. In the event this registration statement cannot be used, the Battle Mountain affiliates may sell subject to the limitations under Rule 145 under the Securities Act. Upon the expiration of the limitations under Rule 145, the Battle Mountain affiliates will be able to freely sell the shares they receive in the merger. Royal Gold will make copies of this proxy statement/prospectus available to the affiliates who intend to resell the shares of Royal Gold common stock received by them in the merger and has informed the selling stockholders of the need for delivery of a copy of this proxy statement/prospectus to each purchaser of the resale shares prior to or at the time of any sale of the resale shares offered hereby. Upon receipt by Royal Gold s designated representative of a representation letter in a form reasonably acceptable to Royal Gold from the selling affiliate s securities broker (in the case of shares being sold under the registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus is a part), indicating such selling affiliate s intent to sell a number of shares of Royal Gold common stock in compliance with the representation letter, Royal Gold will deliver to its transfer agent an opinion or letter of instruction enabling the affiliate to sell its shares in the transaction(s) in

accordance with the terms of the representation letter. Royal Gold has agreed to keep this registration statement for resale effective for a period of one year following the effective time of the merger.

48

Table of Contents

Exchange Agent

Royal Gold will retain Computershare Trust Company, N.A. as exchange agent in connection with the merger. Royal Gold has agreed to pay the exchange agent s customary fees for these services in addition to reimbursing the exchange agent for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

Interests of Battle Mountain Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger

Battle Mountain stockholders should be aware that certain executive officers and directors of Battle Mountain have interests in the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Battle Mountain stockholders generally, as described under the section entitled Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger beginning on page 75. Battle Mountain s board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in approving the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following is a summary of selected provisions of the merger agreement. While Battle Mountain and Royal Gold believe this description addresses the material terms of the merger agreement, this summary may not contain all of the information that is important to you. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference herein. The rights and obligations of the parties are governed by the express terms and conditions of the merger agreement and not by this summary or any other information contained in this proxy statement/prospectus. The Battle Mountain stockholders are urged to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety as well as this proxy statement/prospectus.

The representations, warranties and covenants contained in the merger agreement were made only for purposes of such agreement and as of specific dates, were solely for the benefit of the parties to such agreement, and may be subject to limitations agreed to by the contracting parties, including being qualified by disclosures exchanged between the parties in connection with the execution of the merger agreement. The representations and warranties may have been made for the purposes of allocating contractual risk between the parties to the merger agreement instead of establishing these matters as facts, and are subject to standards of materiality applicable to the contracting parties. Investors are not third-party beneficiaries under the merger agreement and should not rely on the representations, warranties and covenants or any descriptions thereof as characterizations of the actual state of facts or condition of Royal Gold or Battle Mountain or any of their respective subsidiaries or any of their future activities.

General Terms of the Merger Agreement

On July 30, 2007, Battle Mountain, Royal Gold and Royal Battle Mountain, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold entered into an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, referred to herein as the merger agreement, whereby Royal Battle Mountain would merge with and into Battle Mountain (the merger). As a result and at the effective time of the merger, the separate corporate existence of Royal Battle Mountain will cease and Battle Mountain will continue as the surviving corporation of the merger and become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold. At the effective time of the merger, all the property, rights, privileges, powers and franchises of Battle Mountain and Royal Battle Mountain will vest in the surviving corporation, and all debts, liabilities and duties of Battle Mountain and Royal Battle Mountain will become the debts, liabilities and duties of the surviving corporation.

The closing of the merger will occur on the third business day after the conditions to completion of the merger contained in the merger agreement are satisfied or waived, unless the parties agree otherwise in writing or the merger agreement has been terminated according to its terms. See The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 55. The merger will become effective upon the filing of properly executed articles of merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada or at such subsequent date and time as is mutually agreed to by the parties and as specified in the articles of merger.

49

Table of Contents

At the effective time of the merger, the articles of incorporation of the surviving corporation will be amended and restated to read the same as the articles of incorporation of Royal Battle Mountain, except that the name of the surviving corporation shall remain as Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp. At the effective time, the bylaws of the surviving corporation will be amended and restated to read the same as the bylaws of Royal Battle Mountain, except that the name of the surviving corporation shall remain as Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp. Also at the effective time, the directors of Royal Battle Mountain will become the directors of the surviving corporation and the officers of Royal Battle Mountain will become the officers of the surviving corporation.

Consideration for Battle Mountain Stockholders

At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Battle Mountain common stock will be converted into the right to receive, at the election of each Battle Mountain stockholder, either (i) with respect to a Stock Election, between 0.0172 and 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock to be determined at closing or (ii) with respect to a Cash Election, approximately \$0.55 in cash, in each case assuming 91,563,506 shares of Battle Mountain common stock are issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. The per share consideration, if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Stock Election, will be based on the average price per share of Royal Gold common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the five trading day period up to and including the second business day preceding (but not including) the closing date of the merger transaction. If the average price is less than \$29.00, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price of Royal Gold common stock is \$30.18 or above, the per share stock consideration will be determined based on an aggregate of 1,570,507 shares of Royal Gold common stock and the holders of shares of Battle Mountain common stock would receive 0.0172 shares of Royal Gold common stock for each share of Battle Mountain common stock. If the average price is greater than or equal to \$29.00 but less than \$30.18, the per share consideration for each share of Battle Mountain common stock would be proportionally adjusted based on the average price of Royal Gold common stock, using \$47,397,901.26 as the aggregate purchase price. Royal Gold will not issue fractional shares of Royal Gold common stock in the merger. Instead, Battle Mountain stockholders will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares based on the fair market value of a share of Royal Gold common stock. The per share consideration if a holder of Battle Mountain common stock makes a Cash Election will be based on a maximum amount of \$50,359,928 as the aggregate purchase price.

Contingent Stock and Cash Arrangement

Battle Mountain is a party to a legal proceeding filed by a certain former officer and director of Battle Mountain seeking to enforce alleged rights to certain shares and options to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock. The settlement of this litigation is a condition precedent to Royal Gold s obligation to complete the transactions contemplated under the merger agreement. The stock consideration and cash consideration payable in the merger are subject to a potential reduction or holdback of approximately 0.0006 shares of Royal Gold common stock on a per share basis, in the case of a Stock Election, or approximately \$0.017 on a per share basis, in the case of a Cash Election, based on the cost of settling this litigation.

If the litigation is settled prior to the effective time of the merger, then there will be a reduction in the amount of cash paid to Battle Mountain stockholders who make the Cash Election and a reduction in the number of shares of Royal Gold common stock issued to Battle Mountain stockholders who make the Stock Election, in the amount of the cost of settling the litigation, in the case of a Cash Election, and by the per share equivalent using the average price of Royal Gold common stock as described above, in the case of a Stock Election.

If the litigation is not settled and Royal Gold elects to waive the condition precedent and complete the merger, then Royal Gold will hold back a portion of the amount of cash or shares of Royal Gold common stock that otherwise would be payable or issuable to the Battle Mountain stockholders until such time as such litigation is settled. The Battle Mountain stockholders will appoint David Atkinson as their representative for purposes of approving any settlement of the litigation following the closing date in connection with the approval of the merger agreement. If the amount of cash or the value of the shares of Royal Gold common stock (as such value is determined under the merger agreement) held back is less than, or equal to, the cost of settling the litigation, then no

50

Table of Contents

part of the cash and none of the shares of common stock will be paid or issued to the former Battle Mountain stockholders. If the amount of cash or the value of the shares of Royal Gold stock held back is greater than the cost of settling the litigation, then a portion of the cash and shares of Royal Gold common stock held back equal to the excess amount of such cash or value of such shares will be paid or issued to the former Battle Mountain stockholders, in accordance with their Cash Election or Stock Election, following the final settlement of the litigation. Cash will be paid in lieu of any fractional shares.

Stock Options, Warrants, Convertible Securities or Other Rights to Purchase Common Stock

At the effective time of the merger, each option to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock then outstanding (including any stock options outstanding under Battle Mountain s 2004-2005 Stock Option Plan), whether or not then exercisable, will, unless exercised prior to the effective time of the merger, be cancelled and terminated. At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding warrant, convertible security or other right to purchase or subscribe for shares of Battle Mountain common stock then outstanding will be cancelled and terminated. Battle Mountain has agreed to take all necessary actions to cancel such options, warrants, convertible securities or other rights pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement.

We anticipate that all outstanding warrants will be exercised at or before the closing of the merger and, pursuant to the terms of the award agreements, and outstanding options by virtue of the merger will be cancelled and each holder of options will receive consideration equal to the amount such holder would have received if such holder had effected a cashless exercise of his or her options immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and the shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued upon such cashless exercise were converted into the right to receive Royal Gold common stock or cash in the merger, unless the holder of any such option made an effective Cash Election in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

Exchange of Stock Certificates

Royal Gold will retain Computershare Trust Company, N.A. as the exchange agent for the merger to handle the exchange of shares of Battle Mountain common stock for the merger consideration. The exchange agent will mail to each holder of record of shares of Battle Mountain common stock both a letter of transmittal and instructions for use in effecting the surrender of Battle Mountain share certificates in exchange for cash, in the event of a Cash Election or the shares of Royal Gold common stock, in the event of a Stock Election.

Upon surrender of Battle Mountain share certificates for cancellation to the exchange agent, together with a duly executed form of election, letter of transmittal and any other required documents and following the effective time of the merger, the holder of such share certificates will be entitled to receive an amount of cash, in the event of a Cash Election, or a certificate representing the number of whole shares of Royal Gold common stock, in the event of a Stock Election, to which the stockholder is entitled under the merger agreement, less the amount of cash, in the event of a Cash Election, or any shares of Royal Gold common stock, in the event of a Stock Election, that may be held back subject to the satisfaction of certain contingent liabilities. Battle Mountain stockholders who make the Stock Election will receive cash in lieu of any fractional shares of Royal Gold common stock. The amount of cash in lieu of fractional shares will be based on the fair market value of Royal Gold common stock as determined by Royal Gold. Until surrendered, each Battle Mountain share certificate will be deemed, at any time after the effective time of the merger, to represent only the right to receive upon such surrender the amount of cash or shares of Royal Gold common stock payable in respect of the shares of Battle Mountain common stock represented by such share certificate. As of the effective time of the merger, there will be no further transfers on Battle Mountain s stock transfer books.

If any share certificates have been lost, stolen or destroyed, upon the making of an affidavit of that fact by the person claiming such share certificate to be lost, stolen or destroyed and entering into an indemnity against any claim that may be made against it with respect to such share certificate, Royal Gold will instruct its exchange agent to mail, in exchange for such lost, stolen or destroyed share certificate, a certificate representing the shares of Royal Gold common stock payable in respect of the shares of Battle Mountain common stock represented by such share certificate.

51

Table of Contents

Representations and Warranties

In the merger agreement, Battle Mountain made customary representations and warranties to Royal Gold, which are subject to materiality and knowledge qualifications in many respects, and expire at the effective time of the merger. These representations and warranties related to, among other things:

qualification and organization;
authority and binding obligations;
corporate records;
no conflict, required filings and consents;
capitalization and owners of shares;
Battle Mountain s reports and financial statements;
absences of certain developments;
litigation;
compliance with laws and permits;
real property;
personal property;
material contracts;
labor and employment;
pension and benefit plans;
taxes and tax matters;
environmental matters;
intellectual property;
insurance;
subsidiaries;
company information;
royalty property operators;

state takeover statutes;

financial advisors; and
no omissions or misstatements.

In the merger agreement, Royal Gold and Royal Battle Mountain made customary representations and warranties to Battle Mountain, which are subject to materiality and knowledge qualifications in many respects, and expire at the effective time of the merger. These representations and warranties related to, among other things:

qualification and organization;
authority and binding obligations;
no conflict, required filings and consents;
litigation;
compliance with laws;
Royal Gold information;

Table of Contents

financial advisors;

validity and issuance of Royal Gold common stock;

Royal Gold s reports and financial statements; and

capitalization.

Conduct of Business Pending Merger

Battle Mountain has agreed that prior to the completion of the merger or the termination of the merger agreement, except with respect to matters approved by Royal Gold in writing, Battle Mountain and its subsidiaries will conduct their businesses only in the ordinary and usual course of normal day-to-day operations and in such a manner that conserves and uses its financial resources and human resources solely to manage its existing business operations and as necessary or appropriate to consummate the merger transaction, use their commercially reasonable efforts to maintain working capital at levels consistent with past practice, pay their debts and taxes when due, properly withhold all taxes, and use their commercially reasonable efforts to preserve the present business operations, organization and goodwill of Battle Mountain and its subsidiaries.

In addition, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, Battle Mountain has agreed that, without Royal Gold s prior written consent, neither Battle Mountain nor any of its subsidiaries will take any of the following actions prior to the completion of the merger or termination of the merger agreement:

declare, set aside, make or pay any dividend or other distribution in respect of the capital stock of Battle Mountain, or repurchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any outstanding shares of the capital stock or other securities of, or other ownership interests in, Battle Mountain;

issue or sell any shares of capital stock or other securities of Battle Mountain, or grant options, warrants, calls or other rights to purchase or otherwise acquire shares of the capital stock or other securities of Battle Mountain:

effect any recapitalization, reclassification or like change in the capitalization of Battle Mountain, except to the extent required by law;

amend the articles of incorporation or bylaws or comparable organizational documents of Battle Mountain;

other than in the ordinary and usual course of normal day-to-day operations or as required by law or contract, (i) increase the annual level of compensation of any employee, (ii) grant any unusual or extraordinary bonus, benefit or other direct or indirect compensation to any employee, (iii) increase the coverage or benefits available under any (or create any new) employee plan, or (iv) enter into any employment, deferred compensation, severance, consulting, non-competition, retention or similar agreement with any employee (or amend any such agreement) to which Battle Mountain is a party or involving any employee except in the ordinary and usual course of normal day-to-day operations;

acquire any material properties or assets, or sell, assign, license, transfer, convey, lease or otherwise dispose of any of the material properties or assets of Battle Mountain or any of its subsidiaries (except pursuant to an existing contract for fair consideration in the ordinary and usual course of normal day-to-day operations, for the purpose of disposing of obsolete or worthless assets or certain permitted acquisitions in accordance with the

merger agreement);

other than in the ordinary and usual course of normal day-to-day operations, cancel or compromise any material debt, or claim or waive or release any material right of Battle Mountain or any of its subsidiaries;

enter into, modify, extend or terminate any labor or collective bargaining agreement;

enter into or agree to enter into any merger or consolidation with any other person, or agreement to acquire the securities of any other person;

53

Table of Contents

incur any indebtedness for borrowed money or issue any debt securities, or assume, guarantee or endorse, or otherwise as an accommodation become responsible for, the obligations of any person, or make any loans or advances, or enter into any hedging arrangements;

except to the extent required by law or generally accepted accounting principles, make any material change to any of its methods of accounting or methods of reporting revenue and expenses or accounting practices;

make any new capital expenditures exceeding \$50,000 in the aggregate (other than certain permitted acquisitions in accordance with the merger agreement);

other than in the ordinary and usual course of normal day-to-day operations, enter into, modify, amend or terminate any material contract;

make, revoke or change any material tax election, or settle or compromise any material federal, state, local or foreign income tax liability;

participate or engage in any transaction that constitutes a reportable transaction as such term is defined in Treasury Regulation Section 1.6011-4(b)(1) or any transaction that constitutes a listed transaction as such term is defined in Treasury Regulation Section 1.6011-4(b)(2);

make any principal payments to the holder of that certain 6% exchangeable secured subordinated debenture of 1212500 Alberta Ltd. due April 25, 2008;

agree to do anything prohibited by the merger agreement; or

take any action that would make, or omit to take any action to prevent, any representation and warranty of Battle Mountain under the merger agreement inaccurate in any respect at, or as of any time prior to, the effective time of the merger.

Notice of Developments

Battle Mountain has agreed to provide to Royal Gold with written reports every second week detailing Battle Mountain s consolidated working capital position calculated in accordance with GAAP. Battle Mountain has also agreed to provide Royal Gold with written reports setting forth the names of any holder of options, warrants or other convertible securities in Battle Mountain that exercises such securities, the number of shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued to such person and proceeds received upon such exercise, within two business days of the date of such exercise.

Actions to be Taken to Complete the Merger

Battle Mountain and Royal Gold have agreed to use their commercially reasonable efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all appropriate action, and do, or cause to be done, all things required under applicable law or otherwise to complete the merger transaction as promptly as practicable, including without limitation:

executing and delivering any additional instruments necessary, proper or advisable to complete the merger;

obtaining any governmental approvals, authorizations, consents, licenses, permits or certificates required to be obtained or made in connection with the merger agreement and the completion of the merger; and

making all necessary filings, and thereafter making any other required submissions, with respect to the merger agreement under any applicable law.

Royal Gold, Royal Battle Mountain and Battle Mountain have further agreed to cooperate with each other in connection with the making of all filings, including providing copies of filings and discussing all reasonable changes, and to furnish to each other all information reasonably required for any application or other filing to be made pursuant to applicable law in connection with the merger.

54

Table of Contents

Conditions to Completion of the Merger

Each of Royal Gold, Royal Battle Mountain and Battle Mountain is required to complete the merger only if specific conditions are satisfied or waived to the extent permitted by applicable law, including the following:

absence of legal restrictions enjoining, restraining, prohibiting or making illegal the completion of the merger;

any waiting period applicable to the merger under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, will have expired or early termination will have been granted;

Battle Mountain s stockholders will have approved the merger; and

this registration statement relating to the shares of Royal Gold common stock to be issued in connection with the merger will have become effective under the Securities Act.

Each of Royal Gold and Royal Battle Mountain is required to complete the merger only if specific conditions are satisfied or waived to the extent permitted by applicable law, including the following:

the representations and warranties made by Battle Mountain in the merger agreement will be true and correct at and as of the date of the closing with the same effect as though such representations and warranties were made at and as of the date of the closing, except in the case where the failure to be true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect;

Battle Mountain will have performed or complied in all material respects with its agreements and covenants under the merger agreement;

Battle Mountain will have obtained any required consents from third parties or governmental bodies in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement;

since December 31, 2006, there will have not occurred or be continuing any event, occurrence, revelation or development of a state of circumstances or facts, which individually or in the aggregate, has had or reasonably may be expected to have a material adverse effect on Battle Mountain;

there shall not have been instituted or pending any action or proceeding by any governmental body or any other person, (i) challenging or seeking to make illegal, to delay materially or otherwise restrain or prohibit the completion of the merger, seeking to obtain material damages or otherwise directly or indirectly relating to the merger, (ii) seeking to restrain or prohibit Royal Gold, Royal Battle Mountain or any of their affiliates ability effectively to exercise full rights of ownership of Battle Mountain, (iii) seeking to compel Royal Gold, its subsidiaries or any of their affiliates to dispose of or hold separate all or any material portion of the business or assets of Battle Mountain, or (iv) that otherwise, in the judgment of Royal Gold, is likely to have a material adverse effect on Battle Mountain or a material adverse effect on Royal Gold;

all regulatory approvals required to consummate the merger shall have been obtained and shall remain in full force and effect and all statutory waiting periods in respect thereof shall have expired or been terminated;

Royal Gold shall have completed its due diligence investigation of Battle Mountain to Royal Gold s satisfaction in its sole judgment;

Royal Gold shall have received a legal opinion from counsel to Battle Mountain satisfactory to Royal Gold;

Royal Gold shall have received from Battle Mountain copies of title opinions covering each of Battle Mountain s and its subsidiary s royalty interests satisfactory to Royal Gold;

Mark Kucher and David Atkinson shall each have delivered to Royal Gold a non-competition agreement, precluding each of them from competing with the business of Royal Gold and its subsidiaries for a period of three years from the later of the closing date of the merger or the date of payout under their respective existing employment agreement;

the board of directors of Royal Gold shall have received an opinion of National Bank Financial Inc. that the payment of the shares of Royal Gold common stock and cash is fair from a financial point of view to Royal Gold;

55

Table of Contents

Battle Mountain shall have entered into a definitive settlement agreement, including appropriate releases of Battle Mountain, its subsidiaries and their successors and assigns, in connection with the settlement of certain litigation;

Battle Mountain shall have received releases from each of its officers and directors:

each option, other convertible security, warrant, option or other right to subscribe for any shares of capital stock or other securities of Battle Mountain or its subsidiaries shall be cancelled and terminated in accordance with the merger agreement;

Battle Mountain shall amend its Federal income tax return filed for the period ending on December 31, 2006, as contemplated under the merger agreement; and

the sum of all payments due Mark Kucher and David Atkinson under the terms of their respective employment agreements with Battle Mountain and in connection with the termination of their employment by Battle Mountain, will not exceed certain specified amounts.

Battle Mountain is required to complete the merger only if specific conditions are satisfied or waived to the extent permitted by applicable law, including the following:

the representations and warranties made by Royal Gold in the merger agreement will be true and correct at and as of the date of the closing with the same effect as though such representations and warranties were made at and as of the date of the closing, except in the case where the failure to be true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the ability of Royal Gold and Royal Battle Mountain to consummate the merger; and

Royal Gold and Royal Battle Mountain will have performed or complied in all material respects with its agreements and covenants under the merger agreement.

Non-Solicitation of Acquisition Proposals

Battle Mountain has agreed that its board of directors would recommend that its stockholders vote or consent in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

Battle Mountain also agreed that it will not, nor will it authorize or permit, directly or indirectly, any officer, trustee, director, employee, investment banker, financial advisor, attorney, broker, finder or other agent, representative or affiliate of Battle Mountain s to (i) initiate, solicit, knowingly encourage or knowingly facilitate, including by way of furnishing nonpublic information or assistance, any inquiries or the making of any proposal or other action that constitutes, or may reasonably be expected to lead to, any competing acquisition proposal, or (ii) enter into discussions or negotiate with any person in furtherance of such inquiries or otherwise with respect to, or to obtain, a competing acquisition proposal. Battle Mountain also agreed to take all actions reasonably necessary to cause its officers, trustees, directors, employees, investment bankers, financial advisors, attorneys, brokers, finders and any other agents, representatives or affiliates to immediately cease any discussions, negotiations or communications with any party or parties with respect to any competing acquisition proposal that is active or pending as of the date of the merger agreement.

The merger agreement further provides that, except as expressly permitted thereunder, neither Battle Mountain s board of directors nor any committee thereof will (i) change its recommendation to its stockholders to vote in favor of the

merger, (ii) approve or recommend, or propose publicly to approve or recommend, any competing acquisition proposal, or (iii) permit Battle Mountain to enter into any competing letter of intent, agreement in principle, acquisition agreement or other similar agreement related to an acquisition proposal.

Battle Mountain has agreed to promptly notify Royal Gold of the relevant details relating to a competing acquisition proposal, including the identity of the parties and all material terms thereof, which Battle Mountain may receive after the date of the merger agreement and will keep Royal Gold informed on a prompt basis as to the status of and any material developments regarding any such proposal.

56

Table of Contents

Following the receipt by Battle Mountain of a competing acquisition proposal that was not solicited, encouraged or facilitated in violation of the merger agreement, but prior to receiving stockholder approval for the merger, the board of directors of Battle Mountain may (directly or through advisors or representatives):

contact such person and its advisors solely for the purpose of clarifying the proposal and any material terms thereof and the conditions to and likelihood of consummation, so as to determine whether the proposal for a competing acquisition proposal is reasonably likely to lead to a superior proposal; and

if the board of directors of Battle Mountain determines in good faith following consultation with its legal and financial advisors that such competing acquisition proposal is reasonably likely to lead to a superior proposal, the board of directors may: (i) furnish non-public information with respect to Battle Mountain to the person who made such proposal subject to certain limitations, (ii) disclose to Battle Mountain s stockholders any information required to be disclosed under applicable law, (iii) participate in negotiations regarding such proposal, and (iv) following receipt of a competing acquisition proposal that constitutes a superior proposal (x) terminate the merger agreement and (y) take any nonappealable, final action that any court of competent jurisdiction orders Battle Mountain to take, but in each case referred to in (i) through (iv) above, only if, after complying with the terms of the merger agreement, the board of directors determines in good faith by a majority vote, after consultation with, and after considering advice from, outside legal counsel to Battle Mountain, that it must take such action in order to comply with its fiduciary duties to Battle Mountain or its stockholders under applicable Nevada law.

The board of directors of Battle Mountain will not take any of the actions referred to in (iii) or (iv) above unless Battle Mountain has provided Royal Gold at least four business days notice and after waiting for at least such four-business day period and taking into account any amendment to the merger agreement entered into or to which Royal Gold irrevocably covenants to enter into and for which all internal approvals of Royal Gold have been obtained since receipt of such notice, the superior proposal remains a superior proposal.

As used in the description above, acquisition proposal means any proposal other than the transaction contemplated herein, offer or inquiry relating to (or any third party indication of interest in), whether in one transaction or a series of related transactions, (a) any sale or other disposition, directly or indirectly, by merger, consolidation, share exchange or any similar transaction, of the business or assets of Battle Mountain representing 10% or more of the consolidated assets of Battle Mountain and its subsidiaries, (b) any issuance, sale or other disposition by Battle Mountain (including by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or any similar transaction) of securities (or options, rights or warrants to purchase, or securities convertible into, such securities) representing 20% or more of the votes associated with the outstanding voting equity securities of Battle Mountain or any of its subsidiaries whose assets, individually or in the aggregate, constitute more than 20% of the consolidated assets of Battle Mountain, (c) any tender offer or exchange offer in which any person or group (as such term is defined under the Exchange Act) would acquire beneficial ownership (as such term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), or the right to acquire beneficial ownership, of 20% or more of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain or its subsidiaries whose assets, individually or in the aggregate, constitute more than 20% of the consolidated assets of Battle Mountain, (d) any recapitalization, restructuring, liquidation, dissolution or other similar type of transaction with respect to Battle Mountain and its subsidiaries whose assets, individually or in the aggregate, constitute more than 20% of the consolidated assets of Battle Mountain, or (e) transaction which is similar in form, substance or purpose to any of the foregoing transactions.

As used in the description above, superior proposal means a bona fide written and publicly announced acquisition proposal that (a) Battle Mountain s board of directors concludes in good faith, after consultation with its financial advisors and legal advisors, taking into account all legal, financial, regulatory, timing, certainty and other aspects of the proposal and the person making the proposal (including any break-up fees, expense reimbursement provisions and conditions to consummation) is more favorable to the Battle Mountain stockholders from a financial point of view,

than the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement (after giving effect to any adjustments to the terms and provisions of the merger agreement proposed by Royal Gold in response to such acquisition proposal), (b) if any cash consideration is payable as part of the superior proposal, that such cash consideration shall be fully financed or reasonably capable of being fully financed promptly, (c) if any consideration as part of the superior proposal is payable in shares of capital stock listed on a national securities exchange or quoted on an inter-

57

Table of Contents

dealer quotation system, then the value of such consideration shall be determined in relation to the value of the shares of Royal Gold common stock to be issued in the merger, and (d) is reasonably likely to receive all required approvals of any governmental body and other person on a timely basis and otherwise reasonably capable of being completed on the terms proposed.

Termination

The merger agreement may be terminated by mutual written consent of Royal Gold and Battle Mountain. In addition, the merger agreement may be terminated by either Battle Mountain or Royal Gold, if:

there is in effect a final, unappealable order of a governmental body restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the merger provided that the terminating party did not initiate or support the proceeding resulting in the order; or

the closing of the merger shall not have occurred by January 31, 2008, provided that the terminating party is not in material default of its obligations under the merger agreement.

Battle Mountain may terminate the merger agreement if:

there has been a breach or failure to perform any covenant or agreement on the part of Royal Gold or Royal Battle Mountain that causes any of the closing conditions to the obligations of Battle Mountain under the merger agreement not to be met and such breach or failure has not been cured, if curable, within 10 business days following receipt by Royal Gold of written notice of such breach describing the extent and nature thereof in reasonable detail:

there has been any event, change, occurrence or circumstance that renders the condition that the representations and warranties made by Royal Gold under the merger agreement be true and correct as of the closing date of the merger incapable of being satisfied by January 31, 2008; or

at any time prior to receiving the stockholder approval for the merger in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, the board of directors authorizes Battle Mountain, subject to complying with the terms of the merger agreement, to terminate the merger agreement in order to enter into a binding, definitive agreement with respect to a superior proposal.

Royal Gold may terminate the merger agreement if:

there has been a breach or failure to perform any covenant or agreement on the part of Battle Mountain that causes any of the closing conditions to the obligations of Royal Gold under the merger agreement not to be met and such breach or failure has not been cured, if curable, within 10 business days following receipt by Battle Mountain of written notice of such breach describing the extent and nature thereof in reasonable detail;

there has been any event, change, occurrence or circumstance that renders the condition that the representations and warranties made by Battle Mountain under the merger agreement be true and correct as of the closing date of the merger incapable of being satisfied by January 31, 2008;

the board of directors of Battle Mountain has (i) endorsed, approved or recommended any competing acquisition proposal in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, other than that contemplated by the merger agreement, (ii) changed its recommendation to Battle Mountain s stockholders to vote in favor of the merger, (iii) resolved to do any of the foregoing, or (iv) failed to reconfirm the recommendation to Battle

Mountain s stockholders to vote in favor of the merger within five business days after Royal Gold requests in writing that it do so; or

either (i) Battle Mountain has entered into a definitive agreement with respect to a competing acquisition proposal, (ii) a tender offer or exchange offer for outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock is commenced (other than by Royal Gold or an affiliate of Royal Gold) and the board of directors of Battle Mountain recommends that its stockholders tender their shares in such tender or exchange offer or, within ten days after such tender or exchange offer, fails to recommend against acceptance of such offer or takes no position with respect to the acceptance thereof, or (iii) for any reason if Battle Mountain fails to either

58

Table of Contents

receive written consents from its stockholders constituting the requisite stockholder approval of the merger by September 30, 2007, or fails to hold the special meeting for such stockholder approval by September 30, 2007.

Termination Fees and Expenses

If the merger agreement is terminated by Royal Gold because (i) Battle Mountain breaches its covenants or agreements under the merger agreement or (ii) there has been any occurrence or circumstance that renders the condition that the representations and warranties made by Battle Mountain under the merger agreement be true and correct as of the closing date incapable of being satisfied by January 31, 2008, then Battle Mountain has agreed to pay Royal Gold a termination fee of \$1,000,000, plus actual and documented out-of-pocket expenses of Royal Gold and its affiliates incurred in connection with the merger. Further, if the merger agreement is terminated or the merger delayed because of Battle Mountain s entertainment of a competing acquisition proposal, Battle Mountain enters into a definitive agreement with respect to a competing acquisition proposal, a tender offer or exchange offer is commenced and Battle Mountain s board of directors supports such offer, or Battle Mountain s acceptance of a superior proposal, then Battle Mountain has agreed to pay Royal Gold a termination fee of \$2,500,000 plus Royal Gold s actual and documented out-of-pocket expenses.

If the merger agreement is terminated by Battle Mountain because (i) Royal Gold or Royal Battle Mountain breaches its covenants or agreements under the merger agreement or (ii) there has been any occurrence or circumstance that renders the condition that the representations and warranties made by Royal Gold under the merger agreement be true and correct as of the closing date incapable of being satisfied by January 31, 2008, then Royal Gold has agreed to pay Battle Mountain a termination fee of \$1,000,000, plus all actual and documented out-of-pocket expenses of Battle Mountain and its affiliates incurred in connection with the merger.

Governing Law

The merger agreement will be governed and construed in accordance with the internal laws of the State of Colorado.

Amendment and Waiver

Any provision of the merger agreement may be amended or waived, if the amendment or waiver is in writing and signed by the party or parties that would have benefited by the provision waived or amended.

Dissenter s Rights

Under the merger agreement and in accordance with Nevada law, any stockholder of Battle Mountain who has not voted his, her or its shares in favor of the merger and who has demanded or may properly demand dissenters—rights in the manner provided by Section 92A.440 under Chapter 92A of the Nevada Revised Statutes will not be converted into a right to receive a proportional share of the Royal Gold shares or cash offered as consideration for the merger unless and until the effective time has occurred and such stockholder becomes ineligible for such dissenters—rights. The merger agreement provides that each dissenting stockholder who becomes entitled to payment for his, her or its shares pursuant to Nevada law will receive payment therefor in accordance with Nevada law; provided, that (i) if any such dissenting stockholder will have failed to establish entitlement to dissenters—rights as provided in Section 92A.440 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, (ii) if any such dissenting stockholder will have effectively withdrawn demand for fair value of such shares or lost the right to fair value and payment for shares under Nevada law, or (iii) if neither any dissenting stockholder nor the surviving corporation shall have filed a petition demanding a determination of the value of all shares held by dissenting stockholders within the time provided under Nevada law, such dissenting stockholder will forfeit the right to fair value of his, her or its shares and each such share shall be treated as if it had been, as of the effective time, converted into a right to receive the applicable portion of the Royal

Gold shares offered as consideration for the merger, without interest thereon, as provided in the merger agreement. Under the merger agreement, Battle Mountain is required to give Royal Gold prompt notice of any demands received by it for fair value of any shares of its common stock, and Royal Gold has the right to participate in all negotiations and proceedings with respect to such demands. Battle Mountain, except with the prior written consent of Royal Gold, is restricted under the merger agreement from making any payment

59

Table of Contents

with respect to, or settling or offering to settle, any such demands, with respect to any dissenting stockholder before the effective time. Please also read The Merger Dissenter's Rights on page 45.

MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following are the material U.S. federal income tax considerations of the merger generally applicable to U.S. holders and non-U.S. holders of Battle Mountain common stock who hold the Battle Mountain common stock as a capital asset. This description does not purport to address the potential tax considerations that may be material to a holder based on his or her particular situation and does not address the tax considerations applicable to holders that may be subject to special tax rules, such as:

financial institutions;
insurance companies;
real estate investment trusts;
regulated investment companies;
grantor trusts;
tax-exempt organizations;
dealers or traders in securities or currencies;
holders that hold Battle Mountain common stock through a partnership or other pass-through entity;
holders that hold Battle Mountain common stock as part of a position in a straddle or as part of a hedging, conversion or integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
U.S. holders that have a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar; or

Moreover, this description does not address any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, locality or foreign jurisdiction, and it does not address any federal consequences other than federal income tax consequences. It does not address the tax consequences of any transaction other than the merger. Holders should consult their tax advisors with respect to the application of the particular federal, state, local or foreign income or other tax consequences of the merger to their particular situation.

holders that actually or constructively own or will own 10 percent or more of our voting stock.

For purposes of this description, a U.S. holder is a beneficial owner of Battle Mountain common stock who for U.S. federal income tax purposes is:

an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States:

a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any State thereof, including the District of Columbia;

an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust if (1) it validly elects to be treated as a United States person for U.S. federal income tax purposes or (2)(a) its administration is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and (b) one or more United States persons have the authority to control all of its substantial decisions.

A non-U.S. holder of Battle Mountain common stock is a holder, other than an entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, that is not a U.S. holder. For purposes of this summary, holder means either a U.S. holder or a non-U.S. holder or both.

BATTLE MOUNTAIN STOCKHOLDERS ARE URGED TO CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISORS AS TO THE SPECIFIC TAX CONSEQUENCES TO THEM OF THE MERGER, INCLUDING THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAX CONSEQUENCES.

60

Table of Contents

It is expected that the merger will be a fully taxable transaction (rather than a tax-free reorganization) for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

U.S. Holders

Assuming that the merger is a fully taxable transaction, the following material United States federal income tax consequences would result:

a holder of Battle Mountain stock will generally recognize gain or loss as a result of the merger equal to the difference, if any, between (a) the cash or the fair market value of the Royal Gold common stock received as merger consideration, and (b) the holder s adjusted tax basis in the Battle Mountain common stock exchanged in the merger.

any gain or loss recognized by a holder of Battle Mountain stock as a result of the merger will generally be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss to the extent the Battle Mountain shares exchanged in the merger were held for more than one year. In the case of a non-corporate holder, the long-term capital gain may be subject to a maximum federal income tax rate of 15%. Short-term capital gains are taxed at ordinary income tax rates. The deductibility of capital losses may be subject to certain limitations.

each holder s tax basis in Royal Gold common stock received in the merger will be the fair market value of the stock at the time of the exchange.

the holding period of the Royal Gold common stock received in the merger will begin at the time of the exchange.

if a Battle Mountain stockholder dissents to the merger and receives solely cash in exchange for such stockholder s Battle Mountain stock, such cash generally should be treated as a distribution in redemption of such stockholder s Battle Mountain stock. The stockholder should recognize gain or loss measured by the difference between the amount of cash received and the adjusted tax basis of the Battle Mountain stock surrendered. However, in certain situations when the stockholder owns Battle Mountain stock directly or indirectly by reason certain attribution rules set forth in the Internal Revenue Code, the cash received could be treated as dividend income to the stockholder not reduced by the stockholder s tax basis in the stockholder s Battle Mountain stock.

Although Royal Gold and Battle Mountain do not expect that the merger will qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, if a very substantial majority of the Battle Mountain stockholders elected to receive Royal Gold common stock in the merger, it is possible that the merger could meet the requirements for such a reorganization. In that event, Battle Mountain stockholders should consult their own tax advisors to determine the tax consequences applicable to them in their particular situation.

The analysis of tax consequences set forth herein is based on assumptions (i) that the statements and facts concerning the merger set forth in the merger agreement, including representations, are true and accurate in all respects, and (ii) that the merger will be completed in accordance with he merger agreement. If any of these assumptions and representations are inaccurate, the tax consequences of the merger could differ from those described in this proxy statement/prospectus. Neither Royal Gold nor Battle Mountain is currently aware of any facts or circumstances that would cause any representations and warranties made by it in connection with the merger agreement to be untrue or incorrect in any material respect.

The description of the tax treatment of the merger set forth above is not binding on the Internal Revenue Service or the courts, and no rulings will be sought from the Internal Revenue Service regarding the tax treatment of the merger. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service will not challenge the conclusions set forth herein or that a court would not sustain such a challenge.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding Payments you receive in the merger may, under certain circumstances, be subject to information reporting and backup withholding at a rate of 28% unless the recipient provides proof of an applicable exemption or furnishes its taxpayer identification number, and otherwise complies with all applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. Any amounts withheld from payments to a Battle Mountain stockholder under the backup withholding rules are not an additional tax and will be allowed as a credit

61

Table of Contents

against the stockholder s U.S. federal income tax liability, provided the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

The above description is not intended to constitute a complete analysis of all tax consequences to a U.S. holder relating to the merger. Tax matters are very complicated, and you should consult your own tax advisor concerning the tax consequences to you, in your particular situation, of the merger, including tax return reporting requirements, the applicability of federal, state, local and foreign tax laws and the effect of any proposed changes in the tax laws.

Non-U.S. Holders

Assuming that the merger is a fully-taxable transaction, any gain recognized by a non-U.S. holder will not be subject to United States federal income tax unless:

such gain is effectively connected with a trade or business in the United States of the non-U.S. holder, and the gain is attributable to a permanent establishment that the holder maintains in the United States if that is required by an applicable income tax treaty as a condition for subjecting the holder to United States taxation on a net income basis;

the holder is an individual who is present in the United States for at least 183 days in the taxable year of the sale, and certain other requirements are met; or

Battle Mountain is a U.S. real property holding corporation at any time within the shorter of the five-year period ending on the date on which the proposed transaction is consummated or such non-U.S. holder sholding period. Generally, a corporation is a U.S. real property holding corporation if the fair market value of its U.S. real property interests, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations, equals or exceeds 50% of the aggregate fair market value of its worldwide real property interests and its other assets used or held for use in a trade or business. Battle Mountain does not believe that it is or has been a U.S. real property holding corporation within the last five years and does not expect to become a U.S. real property holding corporation prior to the date of closing of the merger.

Although Royal Gold and Battle Mountain do not expect that the merger will qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, in the event that a very substantial majority of the Battle Mountain stockholders elect to receive Royal Gold common stock in the merger, it is possible that the merger could meet the requirements of such a reorganization. In that event, non-U.S. holders of Battle Mountain stock should consult their own tax advisors to determine the tax consequences applicable to them in their particular situation:

If the non-U.S. holder under the rules described above is a corporation and is subject to United States federal income tax on the merger, such holder may also, under certain circumstances, be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a rate of 30% or lower if such holder is eligible for the benefits of an income tax treaty that provides for a lower rate.

If a non-U.S. holder in the merger receives a cash payment in exchange for all or some of its Battle Mountain stock that has the effect of a distribution of a dividend for U.S. federal income tax purposes, then such cash payment may be subject to 30% withholding unless (i) such non-U.S. holder is eligible for a reduced tax treat rate with respect to dividend income or (ii) amounts paid to the non-U.S. holder in the merger are effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business, in which case no such withholding will be required and such amounts will be taxed at the same graduated rates applicable to U.S. persons, net of certain deductions and credits. In general, a non-U.S. holder must furnish an IRS Form W-8BEN or IRS Form W-8ECI in order to prove its eligibility for any of the foregoing exemptions or reduced rates.

The rules relating to non-U.S. holders are complex and dependent on the specific factual circumstances particular to each non-U.S. holder. Consequently, each non-U.S. holder should consult its tax advisor as to the U.S. federal income tax consequences relevant to such non-U.S. holder.

62

Table of Contents

COMPARISON OF STOCKHOLDERS RIGHTS

Royal Gold is a Delaware corporation subject to the provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law (Delaware law). Battle Mountain is a Nevada corporation subject to the provisions of the Nevada Revised Statutes (Nevada law). Battle Mountain stockholders, whose rights are currently governed by Nevada law, Battle Mountain s articles of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, who make the Stock Election will become stockholders of Royal Gold, and as such, their rights will be governed by Delaware law, Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws. The material differences between the rights of Royal Gold stockholders and Battle Mountain stockholders are summarized below.

The following summary does not purport to be a complete statement of the rights of either Royal Gold s stockholders or Battle Mountain s stockholders or a complete description of the specific provisions referred to herein. This summary contains a list of the material differences but is not meant to be relied upon as an exhaustive list or a detailed description of the provisions discussed and is qualified in its entirety by reference to Delaware law, Nevada law, Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation, and amended and restated bylaws, and to Battle Mountain s articles of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws. We urge you to read those documents carefully in their entirety. Copies of the applicable governing corporate instruments of Royal Gold are available, without charge, by following the instructions listed under Important Note About This Proxy Statement/Prospectus on page iii and Where You Can Find More Information on page 100.

Royal Gold

Battle Mountain

AUTHORIZED CAPITAL STOCK

Authorized Shares. Royal Gold is authorized under its restated certificate of incorporation to issue 40,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01, and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01. Holders of Royal Gold common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock. Stockholders are not entitled to receive preemptive rights or to exercise cumulative voting.

Authorized Shares. Battle Mountain is authorized under its articles of incorporation to issue 200,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.001. Holders of Battle Mountain common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock. Stockholders are not entitled to receive preemptive rights or to exercise cumulative voting.

NUMBER, ELECTION, VACANCY AND REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS

Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation provides that the board of directors will be divided into three classes, with directors serving staggered three year terms. Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws provide that the number of directors will be not less than three nor more than eight. Currently there are eight directors. Directors are elected by majority voting. In the event there are more nominees than number of director positions, directors are elected by plurality. If an incumbent director is not

Battle Mountain s articles of incorporation provide that the number of directors shall be not less than one nor more than fifteen. Currently there are four directors. Directors are elected by plurality voting. Battle Mountain s articles of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws do not allow for cumulative voting in the election of directors. Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws provide that vacancies on the board of directors will be filled by the affirmative vote of a

re-elected, the director is required to tender his or her resignation to the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee (CN&G Committee). The CN&G may be removed from office at any time, with or without Committee will make a recommendation to the board of directors on whether to accept or reject the resignation. The board will act on the CN&G Committee s recommendation and publicly disclose its decision. Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws do not allow for cumulative voting in the election of directors. Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide that vacancies on the board of directors will be filled by appointment made

majority of the remaining directors. Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws provide that any director cause, by the vote or written consent of the stockholders representing not less than two-thirds of the issued and outstanding capital stock entitled to vote.

63

Table of Contents

Royal Gold

Battle Mountain

by a majority vote of the remaining directors. Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide that directors may be removed in the manner provided by Delaware law, which allows the holders of a majority of the shares then entitled to vote at an election of directors to remove a director on a classified board only for cause.

AMENDMENTS TO CHARTER DOCUMENTS

Generally, under Delaware law a proposed amendment to a corporation s certificate of incorporation requires approval by its board of directors and an affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote on the amendment and a majority of the outstanding stock of each class entitled to vote on the amendment. Delaware law and the Royal Gold restated certificate of incorporation provides that the restated certificate of incorporation can be amended by the vote of the stockholders representing a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote.

Generally, under Nevada law, a proposed amendment to a corporation s articles of incorporation must be proposed by the corporation s board of directors and approved by an affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote on such amendment, and if such amendment would adversely affect the rights of any class or series of shares, the holders of the outstanding shares of such class or series are entitled to vote as a class to approve the amendment (unless the articles of incorporation specifically deny the right to vote on such amendment). Also, under Nevada law, the articles of incorporation may require, in the case of any specified amendments, the vote of a larger proportion of the voting power of stockholders.

AMENDMENTS TO BYLAWS

Under Delaware law, the stockholders entitled to vote have the power to adopt, amend or repeal bylaws. A corporation may also confer, in its certificate of incorporation, that power upon the board of directors. Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation provides that the board of directors is entitled to make, alter or repeal the bylaws of Royal Gold except to the extent that the bylaws otherwise provide. Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws provide that the bylaws may be amended by a resolution adopted by the majority of the entire board of directors.

Under Nevada law, unless otherwise prohibited by any bylaw adopted by the stockholders, directors may adopt, amend or repeal any bylaw, including any bylaw adopted by the stockholders. Nevada law also provides that the articles of incorporation may grant the authority to adopt bylaws exclusively to the directors. Battle Mountain s articles of incorporation provide that the board of directors are authorized to amend the bylaws. The amended and restated bylaws provide that the bylaws may be amended by a majority of the directors present at any meeting of the board of directors at which a quorum is present, in the sole and absolute discretion of the board of directors or by the stockholders, by a majority vote at any meeting of the stockholders.

ACTION BY WRITTEN CONSENT

Under Delaware law, unless otherwise provided in a corporation s certificate of incorporation, any action that may be taken at a meeting of stockholders may be taken without a meeting and without prior notice if a written consent is signed by the holders of the minimum number of votes necessary to authorize the action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote were present and voted. Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws provide the same standard for written consent.

Under Nevada law, any action required or permitted to be taken at a meeting of stockholders may be taken without a meeting if a written consent is signed by the holders of at least the minimum amount of outstanding voting stock required to authorize any such action. The articles of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws of Battle Mountain provide that any action may be taken without a meeting if authorized by the written consent of stockholders holding at least a

64

Table of Contents

Royal Gold

Battle Mountain

majority of the voting power, unless a greater proportion of voting power is required for such action.

NOTICE OF STOCKHOLDER MEETINGS AND ACTIONS

Delaware law and Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws provide that written notice of the date, place, time and, in the case of special meetings, the purpose or purposes of every meeting of stockholders must be given not less than 10 nor more than 60 days before the date of the meeting, either personally or by mail, to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting. Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws further provide that the only matters that may be considered and acted upon at an annual meeting of stockholders are those matters brought before the meeting:

as specified in the notice of meeting given by or at the direction of Royal Gold s board of directors;

as otherwise properly brought before the meeting by or at the direction of Royal Gold s board of directors; or

as otherwise properly brought before the meeting by a stockholder.

Generally, Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws require a stockholder who intends to bring matters before an annual meeting to provide advance notice of such intended action not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the meeting; except if less than 100 days notice was given or public disclosure was made for the meeting, advance notice of the matter is required to be given not less than 10 days after notice or public disclosure of the meeting, and notice as required by the Exchange Act. The notice of the matter generally must contain a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the annual meeting and if regarding the nomination of a director, all information required to be disclosed in solicitation of proxies for election of directors under Schedule 14A of the Exchange Act, the reasons for conducting the business at the annual meeting, the name and record address of such stockholder, the class and number of shares of Royal Gold stock owned by such stockholder, a description of any material interest of the

Nevada law provides that written notice of the time, place and date of every meeting of stockholders must be delivered or mailed not less than 10 nor more than 60 days before the date of the meeting to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting. Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws provide that the notice of stockholders meetings shall be in writing signed by the President or Vice President or the Secretary or the Assistant Secretary or any person designated by the board of directors. The notice shall state the purpose of the meeting, and the time and place where the meeting will be held. The notice must also contain the means of electronic communications, if any, by which stockholders and proxies shall be deemed to be present in person and vote. The notice shall be delivered or mailed not less than 10 nor more than 60 days before the date of the meeting.

Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws provide that proceedings of a meeting of stockholders may be valid even though no notice of a meeting was given, provided all stockholders entitled to vote at any meeting consent either by (1) a writing on the records of the meeting or (2) presence at such meeting and oral consent entered on the minutes or (3) taking part in the deliberations at such meeting without objection. At such meeting, any business may be transacted which is not excepted from the written consent or to which no objection for want of notice is made at the time and provided a quorum was present at such meeting, the proceedings at a meeting irregular for want of notice may be ratified and approved and rendered valid.

Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws provide that nomination notices and stockholder proposals must be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive office of the corporation or mailed and received at the executive offices of the corporation (a) in the case of an annual meeting, 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of

stockholder in such business and whether such stockholder intends to solicit proxies from Royal Gold stockholders.

stockholders; provided that the event that the annual meeting is called for a date that is not within 30 days before or 60 days after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder in order to be timely must be received no later than the close of business on the tenth day following the date on which notice of the date of the annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting was made, whichever first occurs; and (b) in the case of a special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing directors, not later than the close of business on the tenth day

65

Table of Contents

Royal Gold

Battle Mountain

following the day on which notice of the date of the special meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date of the special meeting was made, whichever occurs first.

Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws require the board of directors to designate by resolution at any time within the first nine months following the close of the corporation s fiscal year, the date of the annual meeting of stockholders.

SPECIAL STOCKHOLDER MEETINGS

Under Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws, a special Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws provide meeting of the stockholders may be called at any time by Royal Gold s president or board of directors. Stockholders do not have the right to call special meetings or to bring business before special meetings.

that special meetings of the stockholders may be called by the chairman of the board of directors or the board of directors. The articles of incorporation provide that the president or any other executive officer of the corporation, the board of directors or any member may call special meetings of the stockholders, or by the record holder or holders of at least 10% of all shares entitled to vote at the meeting.

LIMITATION OF PERSONAL LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION OF **DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS**

Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation provides that a director shall not be liable to Royal Gold or to its stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except that a director shall be so liable (i) for breach of the director s duty of loyalty to Royal Gold or its stockholders, (ii) acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or knowing violation of law, (iii) for unlawful payment of dividend or unlawful stock repurchase or redemption as provided under Section 174 of the Delaware law or (iv) for any transaction from which the director received an improper personal benefit.

Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws provide that Royal Gold shall indemnify all of its directors and officers to the full extent permitted by the Delaware Law. Under such provisions, any person who was made or threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed

Under Nevada law, and except as provided in the corporation s articles of incorporation, a director or officer is not individually liable to the corporation or its stockholders for any damages as a result of any act or failure to act in his or her capacity as a director or officer, unless it is proven that such act or failure to act constituted a breach of fiduciary duties as a director or officer; and the breach of those duties involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law. Such provisions, however, will not eliminate a director s or officer s liability to the corporation in the case of a judgment of ouster rendered against a corporation on account of the misconduct of the director or officer, a violation of Nevada state securities laws, or certain other violations of law.

Battle Mountain s articles of incorporation provide the same indemnification provisions reflected above. The

action, suit or proceeding by reason of the fact that he or she is serving as a director or officer of Royal Gold or serving at Royal Gold s request as a director, officer, employee or agent of another entity will be indemnified to the full extent permitted by applicable law against expenses, liability and loss (including attorney s fees) reasonably incurred by such person.

Royal Gold s amended and restated bylaws further provide that Royal Gold will pay the expenses (including

amended and restated bylaws provide that a director who performs his duties in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the corporation and who relies on information, reports and statements, including financial statements of one or more officers or employees whom the director reasonably believes to be reliable and competent in the matters presented, counsel, public accountants or a committee of the board on which he does not serve, duly designated in accordance with the provisions of the articles of incorporation or bylaws within its designated authority, which committee the director reasonably

66

Table of Contents

Royal Gold

attorneys fees) incurred by an officer or director in defending any proceeding in advance of the final disposition of such proceeding upon receipt of an undertaking by such director or officer to repay such amount if it shall ultimately be determined that such person is not entitled to be indemnified.

Royal Gold may indemnify and advance expenses to a person who is not or was not a director or officer, but was an employee or agent, of Royal Gold to the same extent described above.

Royal Gold may purchase insurance on behalf of such person against any liability asserted against and incurred by any person who is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of Royal Gold or serving at Royal Gold s request as such of another entity, whether or not Royal Gold would have the power to indemnify such person against such liability under Delaware law.

Royal Gold entered into indemnification agreements with each of its current officers and directors. The indemnification agreements cover, among other things, any and all expenses, judgments, fines, penalties, and amounts paid in settlement by the director or officer, provide for the advancement of expenses incurred by the director or officer in connection with any proceeding and obligate the director or officer to reimburse Royal Gold for all amounts so advanced if it is subsequently determined, as provided in the indemnification agreements, that the director or officer is not entitled to indemnification.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling Royal Gold pursuant to Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws or any indemnification agreement, Royal Gold has been informed that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed under the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

DIVIDENDS

Under Delaware law, a corporation s board of directors may, subject to any restrictions contained in its certificate of incorporation, declare and pay dividends upon the Except as otherwise provided in the corporation s articles of incorporation, Nevada law authorizes a corporation to make distributions to its stockholders as authorized by

Battle Mountain

believes to merit confidence, shall not have any liability by reason of being or having been a director of the corporation.

shares of its capital stock either out of its surplus or, where there is no surplus, out of its net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the previous fiscal year provided that if the capital of the corporation shall have been diminished to an amount its board of directors; provided, however, the corporation may not make a distribution if after such a distribution (i) the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business, or (ii) unless otherwise specifically provided in the corporation s articles

67

Royal Gold

less than the aggregate amount of the capital represented by issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets, the directors shall not declare and pay out any dividends until the deficiency in the amount of such capital shall have been repaid.

Battle Mountain

of incorporation, the corporation s total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus any amount owed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of distribution, to stockholders with preferential rights superior to those receiving the distribution.

Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws authorize the board of directors to declare and pay dividends on its outstanding shares as provided in the articles of incorporation or by law.

CONVERSION

Holders of Royal Gold common stock have no rights to convert their shares into any other securities.

Holders of Battle Mountain common stock have no rights to convert their shares into any other securities.

STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN

Rights to purchase Royal Gold Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share Series A Preferred Stock) have been distributed to holders of Royal Gold common stock under a rights agreement. A maximum of 500,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock is currently authorized for issuance upon exercise of these rights. The rights agreement provides that attached to each share of Royal Gold common stock is one right that, when exercisable, entitles the holder to purchase one one-thousandth of a share of Royal Gold Series A Preferred Stock at a purchase price of \$175, subject to adjustment. In certain events, including when a person or group becomes the owner of 15% or more of outstanding Royal Gold common stock or when a person or group commences a tender offer or exchange offer for 15% or more of outstanding Royal Gold common stock, the rights become exercisable. Exercise of the rights would entitle the holders of the rights (other than the acquiring person or group) to receive one one-thousandth of a share of Royal Gold Series A Preferred Stock or the Preferred Stock equivalent, or in lieu there of, shares of Royal Gold common stock with a market value equal to two times the exercise price of the rights. At any time after the rights become exercisable, but before the acquiring person or group has obtained 50% or more of outstanding Royal Gold common stock, Royal Gold s board of directors,

Battle Mountain does not have a stockholder rights plan.

under certain circumstances, may exchange the rights for a share of Royal Gold common stock or one one-thousandth of a share of Royal Gold Series A Preferred Stock or the preferred stock equivalent. Accordingly, exercise or exchange of the rights may cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire Royal Gold. The rights may be

68

Royal Gold

redeemed at a price of \$.001 per right at any time until the earlier of the tenth day following an announcement that an individual, corporation or other entity has acquired 15% or more of outstanding Royal Gold common stock or the final expiration date of the rights, which is September 10, 2017, except as otherwise provided in the rights agreement. The rights agreement makes the takeover of Royal Gold much more difficult.

Battle Mountain

VOTING RIGHTS; REQUIRED VOTE FOR AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN ACTIONS

Mergers or Consolidations. Generally, under Delaware law, the approval of a corporation s board of directors and the approval of a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote is required to approve mergers or consolidations. However, unless a corporation s certificate of incorporation provides otherwise, no stockholder vote is required in connection with a merger where:

the corporation s certificate of incorporation is not amended, the shares of the corporation s stock outstanding immediately prior to the merger are to be identical outstanding or treasury shares of the surviving corporation after the merger, and the common stock issuable as a result of the merger does not exceed 20% of the previously outstanding common stock; or

the merger is with a wholly-owned subsidiary of the corporation and certain conditions are met.

Similarly, a sale of all or substantially all of a corporation s assets other than in the ordinary course of business, or a voluntary dissolution of a corporation, requires the approval of a corporation s board of directors and the approval of a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote on the transaction.

Business Combinations. Under Delaware law, a corporation may not engage in any business combination with any interested stockholder. These restrictions will not apply if the corporation s original certificate of incorporation contains a provision expressly electing not to be governed by these provisions or if the corporation s certificate of incorporation or bylaws are amended to contain such a provision or under certain circumstances.

Mergers or Consolidations. Under Nevada law, the consummation of a merger requires the approval of a majority of the board of directors of each corporation and the approval of a majority of the voting power of the stockholders entitled to vote on a merger. Under Nevada law, approval of the stockholders of a Nevada corporation which is a surviving corporation in a merger is not required if:

the articles of the surviving corporation will not differ from its articles before the merger;

immediately after the effective date each stockholder of the surviving corporation will hold the same number of shares as those held by the stockholder immediately prior to the merger, with identical designations, preferences, limitations and relative rights; and

the number of voting or participating shares, as the case may be, outstanding immediately after the merger (either by conversion of other securities or upon exercise of rights or warrants issued pursuant to the merger), plus the number of voting or participating shares issuable as a result of the merger, will not exceed by more than 20% the number of voting or participating shares (adjusted to reflect any share split under the plan of merger) of the surviving corporation outstanding immediately prior to the merger.

Under Nevada law, a sale of all or substantially all of Battle Mountain s assets outside of the regular course of business, or a voluntary dissolution of Battle Mountain, requires the same board of director and stockholder approval thresholds as that for a merger.

Royal Gold has not made such an election and thus, Royal Gold is subject to Section 203 of the Delaware law, an anti-takeover law prohibiting business combinations with any interested stockholder. In general, Section 203

Business Combinations. Sections 78.411 to 78.444 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, inclusive, restrict the ability of a resident domestic corporation to engage in any combination with an interested stockholder for three years after the date the stockholder became an interested stockholder, unless the combination or the purchase of

69

Royal Gold

prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder, unless:

prior to that date, the board of directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder;

upon consummation of the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commenced, excluding for purposes of determining the number of shares of voting stock outstanding (but not the voting stock owned by the interested stockholder) those shares owned by persons who are directors and also officers and by excluding employee stock plans in which employee participants do not have the right to determine confidentially whether shares held subject to the plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer: or

on or subsequent to that date, the business combination is approved by the board of directors of the corporation and authorized at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, and not by written consent, by the affirmative vote of at least 662/3% of the outstanding voting stock that is not owned by the interested stockholder.

A business combination includes mergers, asset sales and first became an interested stockholder, have a class of other transactions resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder. In general, an interested stockholder is any entity or person beneficially owning 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation, or who beneficially owns, or owned within three years of the business combination, 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation and any entity or person affiliated with or controlling or controlled by any of these entities or persons.

Battle Mountain

shares by the interested stockholder that caused such stockholder to become an interested stockholder is approved by the board of directors of the resident domestic corporation before the date the person became an interested stockholder. If the combination was not previously approved, the interested stockholder may effect a combination after the three-year period only if such stockholder receives approval from a majority of the disinterested shares or the offer meets various fair price criteria. For purposes of the foregoing provisions, resident domestic corporation means a Nevada corporation that has 200 or more stockholders and interested stockholder generally means the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10 percent or more of the voting power of then outstanding shares of the resident domestic corporation.

The above provisions do not apply to any combination involving a resident domestic corporation:

whose original articles of incorporation expressly elect not to be governed by Sections 78.411 to 78.444 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, inclusive:

whose original articles of incorporation have been amended pursuant to NRS 78.411 to 78.444 and the combination is a with a person who first became an interested stockholder before the effective date of the amendment:

which does not, as of the date the interested stockholder voting shares registered with the SEC under Section 12 of the Securities Act, unless the corporation s articles of incorporation provide otherwise;

whose articles of incorporation were amended to provide that the corporation is subject to the above provisions and which did not have a class of voting shares registered under Section 12 of the Securities Act on the effective date of such amendment, if the combination is with an interested stockholder who first became an interested stockholder before the effective date of such amendment; or

that amends its articles of incorporation, approved by a majority of the disinterested shares, to expressly elect not to be governed by Sections 78.411 to 78.444 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, inclusive. Such an amendment, however, would not become effective until 18 months after its passage and would apply only to stock acquisitions occurring after the effective

70

Royal Gold

Battle Mountain

date of the amendment. The Battle Mountain articles of incorporation do not exempt Battle Mountain from the restrictions imposed by such provisions of Nevada law.

Control share acquisitions. Sections 78.378 to 78.3793 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, inclusive, provide, in effect, that a person acquiring a controlling interest in an issuing corporation, and those acting in association with such person, obtain only such voting rights in the control shares as are conferred by a resolution of the stockholders (excluding such acquiring and associated persons) approved at a special or annual meeting of stockholders. For purposes of the foregoing provisions, issuing corporation means a corporation organized in Nevada that has 200 or more stockholders of re cord, at least 100 of whom have addresses in Nevada on the corporation s stock ledger, and does business in Nevada directly or through an affiliate, and controlling interest means the ownership of outstanding voting shares enabling the acquiring person to exercise (either directly or in association with others) one-fifth or more but less than one-third, one-third but less than a majority, or a majority or more of the voting power of the issuing corporation in the election of directors. Accordingly, the provisions could require multiple votes with respect to voting rights in share acquisitions effected in separate stages.

The above provisions do not apply to an acquisition of a controlling interest if the articles of incorporation or bylaws of the issuing corporation in effect on the tenth day following the acquisition of such controlling interest provide either specifically or generally that the provisions do not apply to such acquisitions. The provisions are also inapplicable to shares acquired pursuant to a statutory merger (such as the merger) effected pursuant to Nevada law or by operation of law such as inheritance or the enforcement of a judgment or security interest.

Depending on the issuing corporation s articles of incorporation and bylaws in effect on the tenth day following the applicable controlling interest acquisition, the issuing corporation may have rights to redeem the shares so acquired, and its stockholders may have dissenters rights with respect to the approval of voting

rights equivalent to those described under Dissenters Rights below.

Under Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws, Battle Mountain has elected to exempt itself from the Nevada control share acquisition statute.

71

Royal Gold

Battle Mountain

APPRAISAL OR DISSENTER S RIGHTS

Under Delaware law, stockholders of any class or series of stock have the right, in specified circumstances, to dissent from a merger or consolidation by demanding payment in cash for the stockholder s shares equal to the fair value of those shares, as determined by the Delaware Chancery Court in an action timely brought by the corporation or a dissenting stockholder. Delaware law grants these appraisal rights only in the case of mergers or consolidations and not in the case of a sale or transfer of assets or a purchase of assets for stock. Further, no appraisal rights are available for shares of any class or series that is listed on a national securities exchange or designated as a national market system security on an interdealer quotation system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. or held of record by more than 2,000 stockholders, unless the agreement of merger or consolidation requires the holders to accept for their shares anything other than:

shares of stock of the surviving corporation;

shares of stock of another corporation that are either listed above that will result in the stockholder receiving money on a national securities exchange or designated as a national market system security on an interdealer quotation system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. or held of record by more than 2,000 stockholders;

cash in lieu of fractional shares of the stock described in the two preceding clauses; or

any combination of the above.

In addition, appraisal rights are not available to holders of shares of the surviving corporation in specified mergers that do not require the vote of the stockholders of the surviving corporation.

Royal Gold s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws are silent as to dissenters rights.

Under Nevada law, a stockholder of a Nevada corporation, with certain exceptions, has the right to dissent from, and obtain payment of the fair value of his shares in the event of:

consummation of a merger or conversion to which the corporation is a party;

consummation of a plan of exchange to which the corporation is a party as the corporation whose shares will be acquired, if the stockholder s shares are to be acquired in the plan of exchange;

any corporate action taken pursuant to a vote of the stockholders to the extent that the articles of incorporation, bylaws or a resolution of the board of directors provides that voting or non-voting stockholders are entitled to dissent and obtain payment for their shares: and

any corporate action not described in the bullet points or scrip instead of fractional shares.

Under Nevada law, unless a corporation s articles of incorporation provide otherwise, there is no right of dissent with respect to a plan of merger or share exchange, in favor of stockholders of any class or series which, at the record date fixed to determine the stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the meeting at which the plan of merger or exchange is to be acted on, were either registered on a national securities exchange, or included in the national market system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., or held of record by 2,000 or more stockholders, unless the plan of merger or exchange requires the holders to accept for their shares anything other than:

cash, owner s interests or owner s interests and cash in lieu of fractional owner s interests of the surviving or acquiring entity;

any other entity that is either listed on a national securities exchange, included in the national market system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. or held of record by more at least 2,000 stockholders;

any combination of the above.

A stockholder of the surviving corporation does not have

72

Royal Gold

Battle Mountain

a right to dissent with respect to a plan of merger if the plan of merger does not require approval of the stockholders of the surviving corporation.

A stockholder of record of a Nevada corporation may dissent as to less than all the shares registered in his name only if he dissents with respect to all shares beneficially owned by any one person and notifies the corporation in writing of the name and address of each person on whose behalf he asserts dissenters rights. In such event, the stockholder s rights will be determined as if the shares to which he dissented and his other shares were registered in the names of different stockholders.

Battle Mountain s articles of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws are silent as to dissenter s rights.

RELATIONSHIP WITH BATTLE MOUNTAIN

You should be aware of various existing agreements, ongoing and prior arrangements and transactions between Royal Gold and/or its affiliates and Battle Mountain, as described below. Some of these relationships will terminate upon the completion of the merger. For more information on the interests that Battle Mountain s directors and executive officers have in the merger, see Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger on page 75.

As of the record date, September 26, 2007, Royal Gold beneficially owns 63,505,014 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, representing approximately 56.38% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock as of September 26, 2007.

In anticipation of the merger transaction, on March 5, 2007, Royal Gold obtained a binding support agreement and option to purchase from Mark Kucher, Chairman of Battle Mountain, his shares of common stock of Battle Mountain. The support agreement with Mr. Kucher also provides that Mr. Kucher will vote, and grant Royal Gold an irrevocable proxy to vote, his shares of common stock of Battle Mountain (i) in favor of the merger and the merger agreement and (ii) against any action, agreement, transaction or proposal that is made in opposition to, or is in competition or inconsistent with the merger or the merger agreement, relates to a competing transaction or that could otherwise prevent, impede or delay the consummation of the merger. Mr. Kucher also agreed to refrain from initiating, soliciting or encouraging any inquiries or the making of any proposal that constitutes or reasonably may be expected to lead to a competing transaction and to advise Royal Gold of any such inquiries or proposals of which such he becomes aware.

In addition, Mr. Kucher agreed that he will not (i) tender into any tender or exchange offer or otherwise directly or indirectly transfer his Battle Mountain common stock or (ii) grant any proxies with respect to his Battle Mountain common stock, deposit such common stock into a voting trust, enter into a voting agreement with respect to such common stock or otherwise restrict his ability to freely to exercise all of his voting rights with respect to such common stock.

Furthermore, pursuant to the support agreement with Mr. Kucher, Mr. Kucher granted Royal Gold an irrevocable option to purchase his shares of Battle Mountain common stock at an exercise price per share of 0.016925 shares of

Royal Gold common stock. Royal Gold s option to purchase Mr. Kucher s shares will terminate upon the twelve-month anniversary of the support agreement.

Royal Gold also obtained irrevocable proxies, dated July 27, 2007, from David Atkinson, Chief Financial Officer of Battle Mountain, and each of the non-employee directors of Battle Mountain, Robert Connochie, Anthony E. W. Crews, Brian M. Labadie and Christopher E. Herald to vote their respective shares of Battle Mountain common stock in favor of the merger and against any proposal made in opposition to or in competition with, the consummation of the merger. As a result of the support agreement with Mr. Kucher and the irrevocable

73

Table of Contents

proxies with Messrs. Atkinson, Connochie, Crews, Labadie and Herald, Royal Gold beneficially owns 22,124,192 shares of Battle Mountain common stock or 25.30% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

Royal Gold also obtained a binding support agreement and option to purchase from IAMGOLD its shares of common stock of Battle Mountain, including shares of Battle Mountain common stock that IAMGOLD may acquire upon the conversion of a convertible debenture of Battle Mountain Gold (Canada) Inc., a subsidiary of Battle Mountain. The outstanding principal and interest under the debenture is convertible for shares of Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price of \$0.50 per share, subject to adjustment as set forth in the debenture. The support agreement with IAMGOLD also provides that IAMGOLD will vote, and grant Royal Gold an irrevocable proxy to vote, its shares of common stock of Battle Mountain (i) in favor of the merger and the merger agreement and (ii) against any action, agreement, transaction or proposal that is made in opposition to, or is in competition or inconsistent with the merger or the merger agreement, relates to a competing transaction or that could otherwise prevent, impede or delay the consummation of the merger. IAMGOLD also agreed to refrain from initiating, soliciting or encouraging any inquiries or the making of any proposal that constitutes or reasonably may be expected to lead to a competing transaction and to advise Royal Gold of any such inquiries or proposals of which such it becomes aware.

In addition, IAMGOLD agreed that it will not (i) tender into any tender or exchange offer or otherwise directly or indirectly transfer its Battle Mountain common stock or (ii) grant any proxies with respect to its Battle Mountain common stock, deposit such common stock into a voting trust, enter into a voting agreement with respect to such common stock or otherwise restrict its ability to freely to exercise all of its voting rights with respect to such common stock.

Furthermore, pursuant to the support agreement with IAMGOLD, IAMGOLD granted Royal Gold an irrevocable option to purchase its shares of Battle Mountain common stock at an option price per share equal to the greater of (i) 0.016925 shares of Royal Gold common stock, (ii) the per share consideration offered pursuant to a superior proposal to the merger and (iii) the highest price paid by Royal Gold to any other shareholder of Battle Mountain at any time during the ninety days prior to the date that the Battle Mountain stockholders approve the merger and the merger agreement. Royal Gold s option to purchase IAMGOLD s shares will terminate, unless previously exercised, upon the earlier of (i) September 5, 2007, (ii) five business days after Royal Gold is notified of a superior proposal to the merger and (iii) the date on which the support agreement with IAMGOLD terminates.

The voting and transfer restrictions on IAMGOLD will terminate in the event Royal Gold has been notified of a written and publicly announced acquisition proposal that Battle Mountain s board of directors concludes is more favorable to Battle Mountain s stockholders than the merger or a written offer pursuant to which IAMGOLD will receive a per share purchase price at least 7.5% higher than the per share consideration to be paid in the merger, as described herein. On September 4, 2007, pursuant to the option and support agreement with IAMGOLD, Royal Gold issued 216,642 shares of its common stock to IAMGOLD and Repadre in connection with Royal Gold s purchase of 12,102,940 shares of Battle Mountain common stock from IAMGOLD and Repadre and paid \$2,242,082 in cash in to IAMGOLD connection with the purchase of the convertible debenture from IAMGOLD. On September 5, 2007, Royal Gold exercised its option to convert all of the outstanding principal and accrued interest as of September 4, 2007 under the convertible debenture into Battle Mountain common stock, for an aggregate of 4,086,794 shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

On March 23, 2007, Royal Gold made a \$13.91 million loan to Battle Mountain pursuant to an unsecured one year term non-convertible promissory note that accrues interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 3% per annum. On March 28, 2007, Royal Gold entered into a bridge finance facility agreement with Battle Mountain and BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, as borrowers, which was amended on July 30, 2007, whereby Royal Gold agreed to make available to the borrowers a bridge facility of up to \$20 million. On April 14, 2007, pursuant to the terms of the bridge

facility, the maximum availability under the bridge facility was reduced to \$15 million. Outstanding principal, interest and expenses under the bridge facility may be converted at Royal Gold s option into Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price per share of \$0.60 any time during the term of the bridge facility provided that Royal Gold provides notice of its election to convert on or before April 4, 2008. The bridge facility will mature on June 6, 2008. Interest on advances will accrue at the LIBOR plus 3% per annum. To secure their obligations under the bridge facility, the borrowers granted to Royal Gold a security interest in most of their

74

Table of Contents

respective assets, and Battle Mountain has pledged to Royal Gold its equity interests in its subsidiaries. In connection with the bridge facility, the unsecured one-year term non-convertible promissory note pursuant to which Royal Gold made the \$13.91 million loan to Battle Mountain on March 23, 2007 was superseded by a secured promissory note issued under the bridge facility, with the \$13.91 million loan constituting an advance under the bridge facility. On May 9, 2007, Royal Gold advanced an additional \$600,000 to Battle Mountain pursuant to the bridge facility. As of September 26, 2007, \$15,114,593, of principal and accrued interest was outstanding on the bridge facility. Based on the right to convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest under the bridge facility, Royal Gold beneficially owns approximately 25,190,988 shares of Battle Mountain common stock or 23.17% of the outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock.

On March 28, 2007, Royal Gold and Battle Mountain entered into a Voting Limitation Agreement pursuant to which Royal Gold agreed that if definitive documentation for Royal Gold's acquisition of Battle Mountain was executed, then during the period of time commencing upon the termination of the definitive documentation by Battle Mountain in accordance with its terms and the terms of the Voting Limitation Agreement as a result of Battle Mountain's receipt of a superior bona fide acquisition proposal before Battle Mountain's stockholders have approved the acquisition by Royal Gold and ending upon the earlier to occur of the consummation or termination of the transaction underlying the superior proposal, Battle Mountain's acceptance of any proposed modifications to the definitive documentation with Royal Gold such that the proposal previously considered to be superior is no longer superior, or Battle Mountain's receipt of stockholder approval approving the acquisition by Royal Gold, Royal Gold will not vote more than 39.9% of the total number of shares of Battle Mountain common stock entitled to vote in favor of its transaction with Battle Mountain or in opposition to a competing transaction; provided, however that Royal Gold may vote any remaining shares of Battle Mountain common stock in a manner proportionate to the manner in which all common stockholders of Battle Mountain (other than IAMGOLD, Mark Kucher and Royal Gold) vote in respect of such a matter.

INTERESTS OF CERTAIN PERSONS IN THE MERGER

Members of the board of directors and executive officers of Battle Mountain have interests in the merger that are different from, or are in addition to, the interests of Battle Mountain stockholders generally. The Battle Mountain board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in approving the merger agreement and in determining whether to recommend to Battle Mountain s stockholders to vote for the approval and adoption of the merger agreement.

Employment Agreements

Battle Mountain previously entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Kucher and Atkinson. Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Kucher s employment agreement, Mr. Kucher receives an annual salary of \$250,000, eight weeks vacation and certain medical and other benefits. Mr. Kucher is eligible for a performance based bonus based on two and a half times the change in Battle Mountain s market capitalization during the bonus year. Mr. Kucher is also eligible for periodic bonuses based on the completion of significant transactions equal to 2.5% of the transaction value. The merger transaction may constitute a significant transaction for which Mr. Kucher would be eligible to receive a bonus equal to 2.5% of the transaction value of the merger. Mr. Kucher s employment agreement will be terminated, by mutual agreement of the parties, upon the closing of the merger transaction. Such termination will result in a Termination After a Change of Control entitling Mr. Kucher to receive (1) annual salary and vacation pay earned but unpaid to the termination date, (2) a one time severance payment equal to three times Mr. Kucher s salary at the termination date, or approximately \$750,000, (3) a lump sum amount equal to three times Mr. Kucher s average annual bonus for the preceding two years, or approximately \$867,000, (4) accelerated vesting or continued effectiveness for options and restricted stock (zero options or restricted shares affected by acceleration), (5) continued employee benefits for three years, (6) annual bonus for the year of termination prorated to the date of termination, in an amount to be determined, and (7) in connection with the merger transaction, the periodic bonus based on

significant transactions described above. These payments to Mr. Kucher may equal up to \$3,288,662.40, plus accrued salary and vacation and a prorated annual bonus for 2007.

Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Atkinson s employment agreement, Mr. Atkinson receives an annual salary of \$125,000, four weeks vacation and certain other medical and other benefits. Mr. Atkinson received an award of 750,000 shares of restricted Battle Mountain common stock vesting in three equal installments over a three year

75

Table of Contents

period commencing on May 1, 2007. Mr. Atkinson is also eligible for a performance based bonus based on one times the change in Battle Mountain s market capitalization during the bonus year, capped at \$50,000. Mr. Atkinson s employment agreement will be terminated, by mutual agreement of the parties, upon the closing of the merger transaction. Such termination will result in a Termination After a Change of Control entitling Mr. Atkinson to receive (1) annual salary and vacation pay earned but unpaid to the termination date, (2) a one time severance payment equal to three times Mr. Atkinson s salary at the termination date, or approximately \$375,000, (3) a lump sum amount equal to three times Mr. Atkinson s annual bonus for the preceding two years, or approximately \$168,750, (4) accelerated vesting or continued effectiveness for options and restricted stock (500,000 shares of restricted stock accelerated, 250,000 shares of restricted stock not affected by acceleration), (5) continued benefits for three years, and (6) annual bonus for the year of termination prorated to the date of termination in an amount to be determined. These payments to Mr. Atkinson may equal up to \$555,968.40, plus accrued salary and vacation and a prorated annual bonus for 2007.

Stock Options and Warrants

As of the record date, September 26, 2007, options to purchase 3,200,000 shares of Battle Mountain common stock were outstanding and warrants to purchase 7,657,256 shares of Battle Mountain common stock were outstanding.

Under the merger agreement, at the effective time of the merger, each option to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock then outstanding (including any stock options under Battle Mountain s 2004-2005 Stock Option Plan), whether or not exercisable, will, unless exercised prior to the effective time of the merger, be cancelled and terminated. At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding warrant to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock then outstanding will be cancelled and terminated. We anticipate that all warrants will be exercised pursuant to the terms of the respective warrant agreements at or before the closing of the merger. We anticipate that, pursuant to the terms of the respective option award agreements, each outstanding option by virtue of the merger will be cancelled and each holder of options will receive consideration equal to the amount such holder would have received if such holder had effected a cashless exercise of its options immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and the shares of Battle Mountain common stock issued upon such cashless exercise were converted into the right to receive Royal Gold common stock or cash in the merger.

Ownership of Battle Mountain Capital Stock by Directors and Officers of Battle Mountain

Directors. The members of Battle Mountain s board of directors, other than Mr. Kucher, beneficially own in the aggregate 2,100,000 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, including options to purchase 600,000 shares of Battle Mountain common stock granted pursuant to Battle Mountain s 2004-2005 Stock Option Plan. See Information Concerning Battle Mountain Security Ownership of Beneficial Owners and Management beginning on page 95.

Officers. Messrs. Kucher and Atkinson beneficially own 17,774,192 and 1,750,000 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, respectively, including options to purchase 800,000 and 0 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, respectively, granted pursuant to Battle Mountain s 2004-2005 Stock Option Plan and warrants to purchase 2,512,096 and 0 shares of Battle Mountain common stock, respectively. Battle Mountain issued 1,000,000 and 750,000 shares of its common stock to Messrs. Kucher and Atkinson, respectively, after entering into the merger agreement pursuant to board action taken prior to entry into the merger agreement. See Information Concerning Battle Mountain Security Ownership of Beneficial Owners and Management beginning on page 95.

The registration statement, of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part, filed with the SEC in connection with registration of the Royal Gold common stock to be issued to the Battle Mountain stockholders in the merger will also serve as a registration statement for resale by directors and officers of Battle Mountain of those shares of Royal Gold common stock received by them in the merger. See The Merger Resales of Royal Gold Common Stock beginning on page 48 and Selling Stockholders beginning on page 96 for more information.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION CONCERNING ROYAL GOLD

Important business and financial information about Royal Gold is incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. See Incorporation of Documents by Reference beginning on page 99 for more information.

INFORMATION CONCERNING BATTLE MOUNTAIN

Description of Business

General Overview

Battle Mountain is a royalty company engaged in acquiring and managing royalty assets for precious metals. Battle Mountain was previously involved in the business of exploring for precious metals on properties in which it held interests in the state of Nevada. Due to the inability to run this business at a profit and the difficulty in attracting additional capital on terms favorable to existing stockholders, Battle Mountain ceased operation of the exploration business in 2006.

Corporate History

Battle Mountain was incorporated in the state of Nevada on November 30, 2001, under the name Hudson Ventures, Inc. (Hudson Ventures). Between November 2001 and July 2004, the operations of Hudson Ventures were limited to organizational undertakings and the acquisition of several mineral property option agreements that later expired without being exercised. In early 2004, Hudson Ventures ceased all of its operations.

In September 2004, Battle Mountain Gold Exploration, Inc., a Nevada corporation formed earlier that year by Battle Mountain's current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, acquired Hudson Ventures. The transaction was structured as a statutory share exchange, such that following the transaction Battle Mountain Gold Exploration, Inc. was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hudson Ventures. As part of the acquisition, Hudson Ventures changed its name to Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp. Battle Mountain s common stock commenced trading on the OTC Bulletin Board on October 7, 2004 and now trades under the symbol BMGX.

On June 8, 2004, prior to the Hudson Ventures transaction, Battle Mountain Gold Exploration, Inc. entered into a joint venture by becoming a member of Pediment Gold, LLC (Pediment Gold), a Nevada limited liability company created to explore the Nevada great basin physiographic area using a proprietary water chemistry database developed by the joint venture partner. Pediment Gold s operations were focused on northern and central Nevada and identified over thirty areas of gold exploration potential in two specific areas known as the Fletcher Junction Project Area located in Mineral County, Nevada, and the Hot Pots Project Area located in Humboldt County, Nevada.

In June 2006, Battle Mountain divested its membership interest in Pediment Gold to certain related parties to the joint venture partner. In connection with the divestment of Battle Mountain s membership interest in Pediment Gold, Battle Mountain rescinded a transaction involving 7,800,000 previously issued and outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock and Battle Mountain obtained a 1.25% NSR on any future production, if any, from the Hot Pots and Fletcher Junction Exploration Projects. The rescission included 3,000,000 shares that had been previously placed in escrow under the terms of an agreement in which Battle Mountain was to obtain 100% control of Pediment Gold and its water technology. The 7,800,000 shares of Battle Mountain common stock were thereafter cancelled.

Following divestment of the membership interest in Pediment Gold, Battle Mountain was no longer in the business of exploring for precious metals, and its sole business became the acquisition and management of royalty assets.

Battle Mountain s Current Business

On April 25, 2006, Battle Mountain acquired certain precious metal royalties that had previously been held by subsidiaries of IAMGOLD for approximately \$21.85 million, comprised of a combination of cash and securities, including the issuance of 12,000,000 shares of Battle Mountain common stock to IAMGOLD, and the issuance of a \$2.0 million convertible debenture to IAMGOLD (the IAMGOLD Debenture). The IAMGOLD Debenture had a

77

Table of Contents

two year term, bore interest at 6% per annum payable in cash or shares of Battle Mountain common stock, and was exchangeable into shares of Battle Mountain common stock at \$0.50 per share subject to adjustment as set forth in the IAMGOLD Debenture. The IAMGOLD Debenture was converted into Battle Mountain common stock on September 5, 2007, as further described in Battle Mountain s Management s Discussion and Analysis below.

In order to partially finance the cash portion of the acquisition of precious metals royalties from IAMGOLD, Battle Mountain entered into the following two agreements with Macquarie: (i) a gold loan of 11,750 ounces (the Macquarie Gold Loan) requiring specified, periodic redeliveries of gold through May 15, 2010, and (ii) a \$4.0 million bridge loan (the Macquarie Bridge Loan) maturing December 31, 2006 bearing 12% interest per annum. The term of the Macquarie Bridge Loan was subsequently extended to March 31, 2007 by the issuance of 421,053 shares of Battle Mountain common stock to Macquarie.

The precious metals royalties that Battle Mountain acquired from IAMGOLD include:

Property	Ownership	Royalty	Status	Description(1)
Williams Mine	Teck Cominco Limited (50)%, Barrick Gold Corporation (50)%	0.72% NSR	Operating	The Williams Mine is an open-pit and underground operation and, in 2006, produced approximately 290,000 ounces of gold. The mine life is estimated at five years.
El Limon Mine	Glencairn Gold Corporation (95)%, Inversiones Mineras S.A. (5)%	3.0% NSR	Operating	El Limon is a fully mechanized underground mine and produced approximately 34,000 ounces of gold in 2006. The mine life is estimated at five years.
Don Mario Mine	Orvana Minerals Corporation	3.0% NSR	Operating	The Don Mario is an open-pit and underground mine in eastern Bolivia that produced approximately 80,000 ounces of gold in 2006. The mine life is estimated at five years.
Joe Mann Mine	Campbell Resources Incorporated	1.0% NSR on Gold Production	Operating	The Joe Mann Mine produced approximately 14,100 ounces of gold in 2006.
Dolores Project	Minefinders Corporation	1.25% NSR on Gold Production	Operations to begin late 2007	In February 2006, Minefinders received an optimized bankable feasibility study and approved the mine construction on the Dolores project. Mine construction

				is proceeding and the Mine is expected to begin commercial production near the end of calendar 2007. The mine plan estimates a 12 year mine life.
Relief Canyon Mine	Firstgold Incorporated	4.0% NSR	In Permitting	Firstgold is moving towards placing the most promising mining targets into production during 2008.
La India Project	Glencairn Gold Corporation (95)%, Inversiones Mineras S.A. (5)%	3.0% NSR	Resource Development	Located approximately 40 km east of the El Limon property.
Seguenega Property	Orezone Resources Incorporated	3.0% NSR	Resource Development	The Sega Project is an advanced exploration project in northern Burkino Faso.
		78		

Table of Contents

Property	Ownership	Royalty	Status	Description(1)
Marmato Properties	Mineros Nacionales S.A.	5.0% NSR	Exploration	A collection of properties located in the Marmato district, an established mining district in Colombia.
Night Hawk Lake Property	Selkirk Metals Corporation (40)%, East West Resource Corporation (40)%, Canadian Golden Dragon Resources Limited (20)%	2.5% NSR	Exploration	Exploration property in northern Ontario; no exploration activity since 2002.
Lluvia de Oro Mine	Tara Gold Resources	3.0% NSR	Not in operation	Former open-pit mine. Not currently in production.
Vueltas del Rio Mine	Rio Narcea Gold Mines Limited	2.0% NSR	Reclamation	Mining ceased in March 2004.

⁽¹⁾ One Troy ounce (ounce or oz) is equal to 31.1034 grams.

On March 23, 2007, Battle Mountain received a loan from Royal Gold in the amount of \$13.9 million, of which \$4.5 million was paid, on Battle Mountain s behalf, to Macquarie to pay off all outstanding principal and accrued interest on the Macquarie Bridge Loan and the remaining \$9.4 million was placed in escrow. On March 28, 2007, the parties formalized the loan by entering into a bridge finance facility agreement which was subsequently amended on July 30, 2007 (as amended, the facility agreement) pursuant to which Battle Mountain could borrow up to \$20.0 million in bridge financing to satisfy debt obligations and to finance royalty acquisitions. The promissory note issued to Royal Gold in connection with the \$13.9 million loan was superseded by a secured promissory note issued pursuant to the facility agreement, and the loan constituted an advance under the facility agreement. On April 14, 2007, the maximum amount of availability under the facility agreement was reduced to \$15.0 million as Battle Mountain did not acquire an identified royalty interest.

Amounts outstanding under the facility agreement are convertible at any time into Battle Mountain common stock, at \$0.60 per share, at Royal Gold s election provided that Royal Gold gives notice of its election to convert on or before April 4, 2008. The obligations under the facility agreement mature on June 6, 2008. Interest on advances accrues at LIBOR plus 3% per annum. To secure Battle Mountain s obligations under the facility agreement, Battle Mountain and its subsidiary, BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, have granted to Royal Gold security interests in most of their respective assets and Battle Mountain has pledged its equity interests in its subsidiaries.

On March 28, 2007, Battle Mountain used the \$9.4 million previously placed into escrow to complete the acquisition of the 2% NSR royalty on all of the gold and silver production from the Dolores project. This royalty is in addition to Battle Mountain s existing 1.25% NSR on the gold production from the Dolores project.

Battle Mountain expects that substantially all of its revenues will be derived from royalty interests. Battle Mountain does not conduct mining operations at this time, nor does it expect to conduct such operations in the foreseeable future. Since April 2006, Battle Mountain has focused on the integration of its recently acquired royalty interests. As a result of such acquisitions, Battle Mountain s financial results are dependent upon the prevailing price of gold and production from Battle Mountain s royalty properties.

Key Royalty Assets

NOTE: The information and figures concerning the properties, as set forth herein, have been provided by the owners/operators of the respective mining properties and neither Battle Mountain nor Royal Gold has independently verified such information or figures. The operations on and production from the respective properties are subject to all the substantial risks and uncertainties inherent in and attendant to mining activities and the mining industry.

Williams Royalty

Battle Mountain owns a 0.72% NSR royalty on the Williams mine, located 350 kilometres east of Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada, and approximately 35 kilometres east of the town of Marathon. Access to the property is

79

Table of Contents

gained by traveling east on Trans Canada Highway 17, to the Town of Marathon, Ontario. From there, the Williams Mine is located an additional 35 kilometers to the east. The highway lies along the Williams Mine southern claim border.

Geology and Mineralization. The Williams mine is within a lithologically, structurally and metamorphically distinct part of the upper calc-alkaline Heron Bay sequence of the Schreiber-White River greenstone belt. It consists of the B and C zones, two geographically distinct, north dipping pyritic gold deposits differing in size, lithology, structure, abundance and form of contained gold, and attendant metamorphic minerals. The two deposits are hosted by differing stratigraphic sequences which are bounded and offset by a vertically dipping, a northeast striking fault and two vertically dipping, east southeast striking faults. The B zone is a thick lens containing 40% of the remaining gold reserve. The C zone contains 60% of the remaining gold reserve in multiple sub-parallel lenses of irregular, generally narrow, mineralization.

The Williams mine is currently owned and operated equally by Teck Cominco Ltd. and Homestake Canada Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Barrick Gold Corp. The Williams mine has been operating since the fall of 1985. The Williams mine is part of the Hemlo Operations, which also include the David Bell mine. The David Bell mine is not included within Battle Mountain s royalty interest. The mill, located at the Williams mine, processes ore for both the Williams mine and David Bell mine. The mill is currently operating as designed and undergoes regular maintenance.

The Williams mine is primarily an underground operation, with some open pit mining. The property comprising the Williams Mine includes 11 patented mining claims and 6 leased claims. The mine covers a surface area of approximately 270 hectares. The Williams Mine is currently one of the largest gold mines in Canada. The mill started production in 1985 at a rate of 3,000 tonnes per day, and currently operates at a rate of 10,000 tonnes per day. The current mine plan calls for mining operations to continue until 2011. One tonne is equal to approximately 1.1023 tons.

Gold Production from the Williams and David Bell Mines 2000-2006 (1)

Year	Williams Mine (Au oz)	David Bell Mine (Au oz)(1)	Total Production (Au oz)
2001	447,000	168,000	615,000
2002	405,000	130,000	535,000
2003	412,000	124,000	536,000
2004			495,000(2)
2005			460,000(2)
2006			410,000(2)

- (1) Battle Mountain does not own royalty interests on production from the David Bell mine.
- (2) Represents production from both the Williams mine and the David Bell mine. Battle Mountain does not have royalty interests on all the production shown.

The mine operators, Teck Cominco Ltd. and Barrick Gold Corp., stopped reporting individual mine production in 2003. For 2004, 2005 and 2006, only the total production has been reported publicly.

Williams and David Bell Mines Production Statistics 2003-2006 (1)

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 424B3

	2006	2005	2004	2003
Tonnes Milled	3,355,000	3,503,000	3,662,000	3,576,000
Grade (grams/tonne)	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.9
Mill Recovery(%)	94.2	93.7	94.0	95.0
Production (oz)	410,000	460,000	495,000	536,000
Cash costs per oz (US\$)	\$ 465	\$ 336	\$ 266	\$ 239

⁽¹⁾ Represents production from both the Williams mine and the David Bell mine. Battle Mountain does not own royalty interests on production from the David Bell mine. Battle Mountain does not have royalty interests on all the production shown.

Table of Contents

The Williams open pit mine lies immediately above and adjacent to the underground mine, and ore from these two sources and the David Bell mine is treated in the Williams mill. The ore is commingled and the mines are both owned by the same partners. In 2001, the ratio of tonnage milled was 8,322 tonnes per day from the Williams mine and 1,247 tonnes per from the David Bell mine. Battle Mountain does not own royalty interests on production from the David Bell mine.

As of December 31, 2006, proven and probable reserves for the Williams Mine were 15,700,000 tonnes, with a grade of 2.32 grams/tonne (g/t).

Don Mario Royalty

Battle Mountain owns a 3.0% NSR royalty on the Don Mario mine, which is located within the San Juan Canton, of the province of Chiquitos, in eastern Bolivia. Access to the property is by air from the city of Santa Cruz de la Sierra, or by road (460 road kilometers) or by a combination of rail and road. Access to the mine from Santa Cruz is along the main paved east-west Bolivian highway, which connects the capital La Paz, with the country of Brazil. The distance from Santa Cruz to San Jose de Chiquitos is approximately 280 kilometers. From San Jose to the mine is approximately 180 kilometers, which takes approximately five hours, along paved roads to San Juan, and well maintained gravel roads.

The Don Mario mine is owned by Orvana Minerals Corp. (Orvana). On January 11, 2002, Compania Minera del Sur (Comsur), one of the largest privately held mining companies in Bolivia, completed the purchase of a majority interest in Orvana. As part of a subsequent corporate reorganization involving Comsur, all of the common stock of Orvana owned by Comsur was transferred to Fabulosa Mines Limited (Fabulosa). Since January 11, 2002, Fabulosa or its predecessors have been managing and supervising the exploration, development and mining activities carried on at the Don Mario mine. The Don Mario mine consists of two zones, the Lower Mineralized Zone (LMZ) and the Upper Mineralized Zone (UMZ). The LMZ is currently being mined, while the UMZ is the subject of a pre-feasibility study recently completed by NCL S.A. of Santiago Chile.

Geology and Mineralization. The Don Mario mine is hosted in the southern portion of the Archean aged Brazilian Shield. The Brazilian Shield extends from Brazil through Guyana and into Venezuela and hosts some of the larger gold deposits in South America. The Brazilian Shield is comprised of Archean and early to middle Proterozic rocks dominantly consisting of gneisses, migmatites and amphibolites of the Aventura Complex. The property lies within the southeast margin of the Sunsas Mobile Belt of the Brazilain-Bolivian shield, in a region characterized by highly deformed and metamorphosed Lower Proterozoic rocks.

Plant and Equipment. The Don Mario process plant has been in operation since late 2003. The processing plant has a throughput of 230,000 tonnes per year (630 tonnes per day). The mill processed 234,164 and 233,837 tonnes of ore in fiscal 2004 and 2005 respectively. The rock crusher, storage bins and CIL tanks continue to perform as designed.

Exploration. The Don Mario mine has been actively explored by Orvana since 1996, including several periods when joint venture partners completed the work program. Current exploration is focused on the UMZ. Orvana has recently completed a pre-feasibility study on the UMZ, and is moving ahead with the completion of a feasibility study by December 2007 for the UMZ. Results from the pre-feasibility study for the Don Mario mine s UMZ include completion of a mineral reserve estimate for the UMZ deposit, with proven and probable reserves totaling 5.45 million tonnes at average grades of 1.50% copper, 1.42 grams per tonne gold and 46.6 g/t silver. The mineral reserves, according to Orvana Minerals Corp., would support a mine life of seven years at a maximum production rate of 875,000 tonnes per annum. Annual payable metal production would average 33,800 ounces of gold, 1,000,000 ounces of silver and 10,300 tonnes of copper. Project economics were based on \$450 per ounce for gold, \$7.00 per ounce for

silver and \$1.20 per pound for copper. Battle Mountain s 3.0% royalty covers all metals.

The Don Mario mine has been in operation since 2003.

81

LMZ Production Statistics 2004-2006

Item	2006	2005	2004
Tonnes	253,930	233,837	234,166
Grade (g/t)	10.57	10.13	7.44
Recovery (%)	92.8	90.2	86.1
Gold Ounces	80,028	68,759	48,228

As of November 1, 2005, Orvana reported proven and probable reserves for the LMZ of 1,017,504 tonnes, with a grade of 11.27 g/t.

El Limon Royalty

Battle Mountain owns a 3.0% NSR royalty on the El Limon mine and La India Project. The mine is owned by Glencairn Gold Corporation and is currently operating. In addition, the La India project is currently being explored. Royalty payments are received in gold bullion from the El Limon mine. The El Limon mine is located in northwestern Nicaragua, approximately 140 kilometers from the capital Managua. The Pan American highway connects Managua to Leon, approximately 125 kilometers along the paved highway, and from there it is an additional 15 kilometers, to the village of El Limon, on a well maintained all season weather road.

Geology and Mineralization. The El Limon and La India deposits are both examples of low-sulphidation, quartz-adularia, epithermal systems in Nicaragua, and are target types for the El Limon property. The host rocks for the vein and vein breccia structures are the volcanics of the Miocene-Pliocene Coyol Group. The veins are predominantly quartz with lesser amounts of calcite and minor adulara. There is less than 2% pyrite, trace amounts of chalcopyrite, sphalerite, arsenopyrite, gold tellurides and the gold is very fine and relatively uniformly distributed through the ore shoots.

Plant and Equipment. The El Limon mill was constructed as a 1,000 tonnes per day carbon-in-pulp gold recovery plant. The mill productivity is limited by mine production, but has demonstrated that the mill is capable of sustaining a throughput of 1,200 tonnes per day.

Exploration. Since 2004, Glencairn has carried on an exploration program which consists primarily of diamond drilling. The exploration targets are low sulphidation epithermal quartz veins with gold mineralization, and the focus is on exploration and resource definition around the south end of the El Limon vein, and on finding the source for auriferous quartz boulders at Santa-Rosa-Uval. Additional early exploration drilling has been ongoing to test a number of the exploration targets within the El Limon concession.

Since 1941, El Limon has produced nearly three million ounces of gold. Since 2002, the mine has produced an average of 46,593 ounces of gold per year. The mine sold 33,067 ounces of gold in 2006. Based on the current mine plan, the mine life is estimated at five years, not including any future additional resource from the El Limon mine or exploitation of the La India Project. Glencairn has spent approximately \$1,500,000 exploring the El Limon property in 2005 and 2006.

As of December 31, 2006, the proven and probable reserves were 1,178,000 tonnes, with a grade of 5.26 g/t.

Dolores Royalty

Battle Mountain owns a 1.25% NSR royalty on gold production from the Dolores project. On March 28, 2007, Battle Mountain completed the acquisition of an additional 2% NSR royalty on all of the gold and silver production from the Dolores project. Battle Mountain now has total NSR royalties on Dolores of 3.25% on gold and 2% on silver production. The project is owned by Minefinders Corporation Ltd. The Dolores project consists of nine contiguous mineral concessions covering approximately 27,700 hectares that are located in the westernmost part of the State of Chihuahua near the border with the state of Sonora. Access to the mine site is from Guerrero, a small city located along the main east-west paved Mexican highway 110 kilometers west of Chihuahua. From there, the paved road continues northerly 210 kilometers to the town of Madera. The property is reached from Madera by 90 kilometers of very poor gravel and dirt logging roads. Narrow winding dirt roads provide access throughout the area. Access to the property can also be by utilizing the light aircraft landing on a dirt strip located about eight kilometers

82

Table of Contents

from the mine site. Site access is being improved by Minefinders Corporation as part of the project construction activities.

In February 2006, Minefinders Corporation Ltd. received a bankable feasibility study and its board of directors approved the construction of an 18,000 tonnes per day open-pit, heap leach mine, estimated to recover 1.445 million ounces of gold and 53.2 million ounces of silver over a 12 year mine life. Construction of the mine is in process, and commencement of operations is expected near the end of calendar 2007.

Geology and Mineralization. The Dolores project is considered to be a low-sulphidation Ag-Au epithermal deposit typical of the Mexican silver belt. The low-sulphidation vein systems are commonly characterized by their low sulphide contents, quartz-adularia-sericite alteration mineralogy, and lack of extensive wallrock alteration. High-sulphidation vein systems are commonly characterized by sulphur saturation leading to the presence of native sulphur and sulphide minerals, quartz-alunite alteration mineralogy and extensive wallrock alteration. The Mexican silver deposits are usually not at the end member classifications and often fit in the intermediate-sulphidation position.

The Dolores project lies in a geological division known as the Barrancas sub province in the south central portion of the Sierra Madre Occidental geological province. The Sierra Madre Occidental is a linear volcanic belt orientated north north-westerly. It is approximately 1,200 kilometers long, and varies in width between 200 and 300 kilometers. Regionally the belt forms a broad anticlinal structure containing shallow dipping eastern flanks with more steeply dipping units in the west. The entire Sierra Madre Occidental is cut by numerous longitudinal faults. In the east flank of the anticline the faulted down-drops are minor. Along the west flank the down-drop are larger, primarily because of larger vertical displacements along the faults, but also because of the lower elevation of the adjacent Sinaloa portion of the Sonoran Basin and Range province.

Exploration. The Dolores project has been actively explored by Minefinders Corporation since 1993 including several periods when joint venture partners completed the work programs. Such programs included 6 square kilometers of detailed mapping as well as 12 square kilometers of reconnaissance mapping, the collection of approximately 13,000 rock chips at surface, 532 underground samples, 9,882 individual 5.0 meter chip samples as well as 14.9 kilometers of inducted polarization, resistivity surveys, and magnetic surveys. A total of approximately 347 diamond drill holes (95,366m) and 218 RC holes (41,704m) have been completed on the property between 1996 and 2004.

As of December 31, 2006, proven and probable reserves were 100,208,000 tonnes with a grade of 0.76 g/t for gold, and 39.7 g/t for silver.

Other Royalty Assets

The following properties do not currently account for a meaningful part of Battle Mountain s royalty revenues.

Joe Mann Royalty

Battle Mountain owns a 1.0% NSR royalty on gold production from the Joe Mann mine, which is located approximately 550 kilometers north of Montréal, Quebec. The mine is owned by Campbell Resources Inc. and produced 14,100 ounces of gold in 2006. The mine is currently operating. Royalty payments are received in gold bullion from the Joe Mann mine.

Relief Canyon Royalty

Battle Mountain owns a 4.0% NSR royalty on the Relief Canyon mine. The mine is owned by Firstgold Inc. The Relief Canyon mine was previously open-pit, heap leaching operation and is located approximately 110 miles northeast of Reno, Nevada. Based on past exploration by Firstgold, Inc. and others, Firstgold believes the Relief Canyon mine presents the potential for gold bearing ore deposits which will hopefully be validated through further exploration of additional mining claims. Firstgold s operating plan is to place the most promising mining targets into production during 2008, and use the net proceeds from these operations to fund expanded exploration and development of its entire property holdings.

83

Table of Contents

Seguenega Property (Sega)

Battle Mountain owns a 3.0% NSR royalty on the Sega property. The property is owned by Orezone Resources Inc. The property consists of a 124 square kilometer Tiba exploration permit located in the northwest part of Burkina Faso. A resource estimate was completed in March 2006 and showed indicated and inferred mineralization. This is an advanced stage exploration property, with Orezone Resources having spent in excess of \$5.0 million in exploration over the past three years. Orezone Resources has the option to purchase from Battle Mountain up to two thirds of Battle Mountain s royalty interest (i.e. from 3% to 1%) for \$2.0 million, prior to putting the resource into commercial production.

Lluvia De Oro Property

Battle Mountain acquired a 3.0% NSR royalty on the Lluvio de Oro property. The property is believed to be owned by Tara Gold Resources, who may not acknowledge Battle Mountain s royalty interest. This former open-pit gold and silver mine is not currently in production.

Night Hawk Lake Property, Marmato, Hot Pot and Fletcher Junction

Night Hawk Lake Property, Marmato, Hot Pot and Fletcher Junction are all early stage exploration properties.

Legal Proceedings

On September 13, 2006 an action was filed against Battle Mountain and its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mark Kucher, by James E. McKay, a former officer and director of Battle Mountain, in the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada seeking to enforce alleged rights to certain shares of Battle Mountain common stock and options to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock pursuant to a stock option agreement and a stock option plan, and unspecified damages. Battle Mountain has filed an answer to the complaint and asserted a counterclaim against the former officer. A settlement conference was held on May 18, 2007, and the matter was not settled.

The settlement of this litigation is a condition precedent under the merger agreement. Royal Gold may withhold a portion of the shares to be issued and cash paid to the Battle Mountain stockholders under the merger agreement if this litigation is not settled and Royal Gold decides to proceed with the closing. If this litigation is settled prior to the closing date, the number of Royal Gold shares issued and cash paid to the Battle Mountain stockholders under the merger agreement will be reduced. See The Merger Agreement Contingent Stock and Cash Arrangement on page 50.

Changes In And Disagreements With Accountants

There have been no changes of or disagreements with Battle Mountain s principal accountants or independent auditors.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

As at December 31, 2006, Battle Mountain has one compensation plan in place, the 2004 2005 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan. This plan has been approved by Battle Mountain s security holders.

Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options

Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options Number of Securities Remaining Available for Further Issuance

3,200,000 \$ 0.40 300,000

84

Battle Mountain s Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2006, the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2007 and Corresponding Prior-Year Periods

General

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to provide information to assist you in better understanding and evaluating Battle Mountain s financial condition and results of operations. Battle Mountain recommends that you read this MD&A in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus/proxy statement. This MD&A is derived from Battle Mountain s Management s Discussion and Analysis included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and from Battle Mountain s Management s Discussion and Analysis included in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the six month period ended June 30, 2007.

Battle Mountain began its current business operations on April 26, 2006 with the acquisition of the precious metal royalties from IAMGOLD described below. Prior to the completion of the acquisition, the primary line of business was mineral, specifically gold, exploration in the State of Nevada through the joint venture, Pediment Gold LLC. Subsequent to the acquisition, in June 2006, Battle Mountain divested its interest in the joint venture; ceased all exploration activities; and retained a 1.25% net smelter royalty in two project areas known as Hot Pots and Fletcher Junction, and located in Nevada.

The acquisition of IAMGOLD gold royalty assets was completed on April 25, 2006. The acquisition consisted of eleven NSR interests, including four production stage mines (Williams mine, Don Mario mine, El Limon mine and Joe Mann mine), two development stage resources, (Dolores project, Relief Canyon mine) and four exploration stage properties (Seguenaga, Marmato, Night Hawk Lake and Lluvio de Oro) and one mine in reclamation (Vueltas del Rio). The purchase price was \$21,850,000, which was funded from the following sources:

Sources

Gold Facility	\$ 6,907,825
Bridge Facility	\$ 4,000,000
Seller note (subordinated exchangeable debenture)	\$ 2,000,000
Common shares issued to seller	\$ 6,000,000
Common shares issued for cash	\$ 3,499,142
Total Sources of Funds	\$ 22,406,967

Uses

Purchase of Royalty Assets Fees and expenses Working Capital	\$ 21,850,000 \$ 292,254 \$ 264,713
Total Uses of funds	\$ 22,406,967

Note: The above amounts may differ from those used for financial reporting purposes.

Battle Mountain s on-going business plan consists of acquiring existing royalties or assisting in the financing of projects that are in production or near production in exchange for royalty interests. Battle Mountain expects that substantially all of its revenues will be derived from royalty interests. Battle Mountain does not conduct mining operations at this time or expect to in the foreseeable future.

For a discussion of Battle Mountain s key royalty assets please see Information Concerning Battle Mountain Key Royalty Assets beginning on page 79.

Recent production information for the operating mines in which Battle Mountain holds royalty interests.

NOTE: The information and figures concerning the properties, as set forth herein, have been provided by the owners/operators of the respective mining properties and neither Battle Mountain nor Royal Gold has

85

Table of Contents

independently verified such information or figures. The operations on and production from the respective properties are subject to all the substantial risks and uncertainties inherent in and attendant to mining activities and the mining industry.

Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005

Williams Mine. Gold production from the Hemlo operations (which include both the Williams mine and David Bell mine), was reduced in 2006 compared to 2005 as a result of poor ground control conditions, excess ground water in the Williams pit due to heavy rains, and equipment availability. Gold production for 2006 was 410,000 ounces compared to 460,000 for 2005. Cash operating costs increased, in part due to increased mining costs, the effect of lower production and a weaker US dollar. According to Teck Cominco Ltd, during the last quarter of 2006, an agreement was reached with Newmont Mining which granted Hemlo exclusive rights on the nearby Interlake property to explore, develop and mine ores. The Interlake Property is the down-dip extension of the Williams ore zone to the west of the current boundary. An extensive exploration program is planned for 2007 to extend the life of the Williams Underground mine. (Note: production data is for the combined Williams/David Bell operations as the operators do not currently provide separate production data for each mine.)

Don Mario Mine. The Don Mario mine produced 80,028 ounces of gold in 2006 compared to 68,759 ounces in 2005, as operations and grades continue to improve.

El Limon Mine. Gold production at the El Limon mine was approximately, 34,400 ounces in 2006 compared to 39,000 during 2005. According to Glencairn, future production levels will improve as 2006 saw several short term work stoppages and lower grades being mined compared to previous years.

Joe Mann Mine. The Joe Mann mine produced 14,141 ounces of gold in 2006 compared to 25,500 in 2005. Lower ore grades and exploration work reduced the output during 2006.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2006

Battle Mountain s financial results are closely tied to the price of gold and production from the mining properties in which it holds royalty interests. For the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the price of gold averaged \$661 per ounce. Revenues of \$1,053,666 were generated from Battle Mountain s four production stage royalties as follows: El Limon mine, \$236,164; Don Mario mine, \$468,531; Williams mine, \$327,645; and Joe Mann mine, \$21,326. During the comparable period of 2006, Battle Mountain s revenues totaled \$751,764 from the same four producing mines. The increase was attributable to increased production at the Don Mario and El Limon mines, and higher gold prices.

Battle Mountain s net loss for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 decreased to \$1,168,514 from \$2,969,149 for the period ended June 30, 2006. The decrease in net loss was in part a result of significant one time charges in the June 30, 2006 period related to the acquisition of royalty assets. These charges did not occur in the current quarter. Battle Mountain expects to incur net losses for the remainder of 2007.

The decrease in total operating expenses of approximately \$1,283,136 during the three months ended June 30, 2007 from the comparable period of 2006 was primarily related to the one time charges in the quarter ending June 30, 2006, related to the acquisition of royalty assets.

General and administrative expenses during the quarter ended June 30, 2007 increased significantly from the comparable 2006 period due to the inclusion of a non-recurring, non cash expense of \$1,144,544, related to the

issuance of shares to various board members and management as a result of the change of control for the proposed merger with Royal Gold. Battle Mountain expects its operating expenses to continue at approximately the same rate, excluding the non-cash charge above, over the next nine to twelve months, assuming the completion of the merger in 2007. Battle Mountain expects future increases in depreciation expense to be offset by corresponding increases in revenue receipts.

Battle Mountain incurred interest expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 of approximately \$489,388 related to financing agreements Battle Mountain entered into to acquire its royalty interests. Interest expense is

86

Table of Contents

comprised of both cash and non-cash components. Additionally, a portion of interest expense is related to the amortization of the loan issuance costs. During the three months ended June 30, 2007 Battle Mountain amortized approximately \$11,386 in non-cash and cash issuance costs. Battle Mountain expects interest expense to remain at its current level over the next year due to the majority of the issuance costs incurred in 2006 being fully amortized and the full payment of the Macquarie Bridge Financing facility which is off-set by the entry into the bridge facility with Royal Gold as discussed below.

The loss on the gold facility payments of \$231,699 arises as a result of the difference in the gold price on the date of drawdown on the gold facility (April 10, 2006) and the date of the payment of the quarterly repayment. During the quarter, Battle Mountain paid the May 15, 2007, August 15, 2007 and November 15, 2007 gold facility payments, which was required under the new bridge loan facility with Royal Gold. Continued increases in gold prices will cause the associated expense to increase.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2007, Battle Mountain recognized a benefit from income tax, primarily in the United States and Canada, of approximately \$441,640. The benefits are derived from operating loss carry-forwards and settlement of temporary differences in certain revenue and expense items during the quarter. Battle Mountain s management believes the currently recognized deferred tax asset of approximately \$2,434,000 will be utilized prior to expiration and accordingly, has not estimated an offsetting valuation allowance. Further, Battle Mountain s management has analyzed its tax positions and believes they are more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities.

Battle Mountain s comprehensive loss results from market price fluctuations in its assets and liabilities that are denominated in gold bullion and Canadian dollars.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2006

As noted above, the significant increase in revenues for the current six months versus the comparable period of 2006 was primarily related to holding the producing royalties for the entire period.

Battle Mountain s net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased to \$ 1,635,725 from \$3,150,356 for the period ended June 30, 2006. The decrease in net loss was in part a result of significant one time charges in the June 30, 2006 period related to the acquisition of royalty assets. These charges did not occur in the current period. Battle Mountain expects to incur net losses for the remainder of 2007.

The decrease in total operating expenses of approximately \$772,130 during the six months ended June 30, 2007 from the comparable period of 2006 was primarily related to the one time charges in the quarter ending June 30, 2006, related to the acquisition of royalty assets. Battle Mountain expects its operating expenses to continue at approximately the same rate over the next nine to twelve months, assuming the completion of the merger in 2007. Battle Mountain expects future increases in depreciation expense to be offset by corresponding increases in revenue receipts.

Battle Mountain incurred interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 of approximately \$1,113,822 related to financing agreements it entered into to acquire its royalty interests. Interest expense is comprised of both cash and non-cash components. Additionally, a portion of interest expense is related to the amortization of the loan issuance costs. During the six months ended June 30, 2007 Battle Mountain amortized approximately \$275,402 in non-cash and cash issuance costs. Battle Mountain expects interest expense to remain at its current level over the next year due to the majority of the issuance costs incurred in 2006 being fully amortized and the full payment of the Macquarie Bridge Financing facility which is off-set by the entry into the bridge facility with Royal Gold as discussed below.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, Battle Mountain recognized a benefit from income tax, primarily in the United States and Canada, of approximately \$517,000. The benefits are derived from operating loss carry-forwards and settlement of temporary differences in certain revenue and expense items during the quarter. Battle Mountain s management believes the currently recognized deferred tax asset of approximately \$2,434,000 will be utilized prior to expiration and accordingly, has not estimated an offsetting valuation allowance. Further, Battle Mountain s management has analyzed its tax positions and believes they are more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities.

87

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005

On April 26, 2006, Battle Mountain significantly changed its business from mineral exploration, specifically gold in the State of Nevada, to acquiring, developing, and holding royalty interests in mineral properties. Related to the acquisition of Battle Mountain s 12 royalty interests in mineral properties, Battle Mountain incurred significantly increased expenses from prior years including non-recurring legal and accounting fees, capital raising costs, and compensation.

Battle Mountain s royalty interests generated receipts of \$3,192,110 during fiscal 2006 of which \$797,510 was treated as reduction in the purchase price of the royalties and \$2,394,600 was recognized as revenue. The 2006 royalty receipts were generated by the following mines currently in production; Don Mario, \$1,421,823 (\$359,457 treated as purchase price reduction); Williams \$1,078,335 (\$267,859 treated as purchase price reduction); El Limon \$598,671 (\$131,442 treated as purchase price reduction); and Joe Mann \$93,281 (\$38,752 treated as purchase price reduction). From Battle Mountain s inception to the acquisition on April 26, 2006, no revenue was generated from previous exploration activities.

Battle Mountain s royalty receipts generated from the currently producing mines are located outside of the United States and are subject to risks generally associated with the mining industry as noted in the risk factor discussion. Lower levels of mine production will have an adverse effect on Battle Mountain s future financial results.

In December 2006 Battle Mountain entered into an agreement, for \$25,000, to acquire an option to purchase a 2% NSR royalty on Minefinders Corporation Ltd. s Dolores project in Chihuahua, Mexico. The 2% NSR royalty covers both gold and silver production from the Dolores project. On March 28, 2007 Battle Mountain exercised its rights under the agreement and completed the purchase of the Dolores 2% NSR royalty for \$9.45 million. Funds for the acquisition were provided from the facility agreement.

The above Dolores royalty is in addition to Battle Mountain s existing 1.25% net smelter return royalty on gold production from the Dolores project. With both royalties, Battle Mountain will receive 3.25% of all gold production and 2% of all silver production from the Dolores project. The Dolores project is expected to go into production in late 2007 and Battle Mountain is not expecting material revenues from the Dolores royalty until 2008. Battle Mountain is not expecting any of the other exploration or development stage royalties to begin production until 2008.

Revenue and overall financial performance is closely tied to the price of gold which averaged \$619 per ounce during the twelve months ended December 31, 2006.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, Battle Mountain experienced a net loss of \$2,100,364, or \$0.04 per share an increase of approximately 17% from the year ended December 31, 2005 where the net loss was \$1,793,922 or \$0.04 per share. The net loss increase is primarily associated with the acquisition of the royalty assets, specifically related to the current year inclusion of significantly higher depreciable assets (royalty interests in mineral properties) and short and long-term interest bearing debt. The increase in depreciation expense of \$1,314,667 and interest expense of \$1,651,236 accounted for 63% of the overall increase in total expenses of \$4,729,674 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006. The elimination of the deferred tax valuation allowance, related to the acquisition of income generating assets and expectation that Battle Mountain s deferred tax assets will be utilized prior to their expiration, of \$1,593,511 and the recognized gain on the dissolution of partnership interest of \$435,494 partially offset the overall increase in the recognized net loss.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 Battle Mountain incurred \$1,314,865 of depreciation. The increase from the immaterial amount for the same period of 2005 relates to the 2006 acquisition of Battle Mountain s mineral properties. Battle Mountain depreciates currently producing royalty interests using the units of production method

over the life of the mineral property, which is estimated using proven and probable reserves. The remainder of depreciation expense is derived from straight line depreciation of office related equipment. Battle Mountain s depreciation expense for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2007 will likely increase based on the following factors: (i) inclusion of twelve months of activity in 2007 versus 9 months in 2006; and (ii) the successful completion of the additional Dolores 2% NSR royalty acquisition which is expected to be in production by late 2007, subject to fluctuations in the price of gold.

88

Table of Contents

In fiscal year 2006 professional and consulting fees increased by \$1,921,931 or 154% due to several factors. Effective January 1, 2006, Battle Mountain entered into an employment agreement with Mark Kucher, Battle Mountain s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, increasing his overall salary from \$90,000 annually in 2005 to a base salary of \$250,000 annually in 2006; payment of a non-cash bonus in the form of restricted stock and warrants in the amount of \$1,566,943 related to the acquisition of the royalty interests; and an accrued bonus of \$452,956 related to an overall increase in market capitalization. David Atkinson, Battle Mountain s Chief Financial Officer, previously employed as a consultant for the acquisition of Battle Mountain s royalty interests, received total compensation of \$503,431 consisting of \$83,333 of base salary in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement effective May 1, 2006; payment of \$370,098 in the form of restricted stock and warrants while serving as a consultant; and an accrued bonus of \$50,000 related to Battle Mountain s overall increase in market capitalization. In addition to the above increases in officer compensation, legal and accounting fees increased \$164,333 from the same period in 2005 due to the acquisition of Battle Mountain s royalty interests and the filing of Form SB-2 Registration Statement. Battle Mountain expects these fees to remain flat in 2007 as it does not anticipate filing additional registration statements, off-set by fees associated with the proposed merger.

General and administrative expenses increased \$90,043 in fiscal 2006 to \$248,067. This increase is related to withholding taxes in the amount of \$121,676 incurred on royalty receipts from the El Limon Mine Royalty located in Nicaragua. The increase in general and administrative expenses was partially offset by a reduction in office related expenses. General and administrative expenses are expected to fluctuate based on production and corresponding withholding taxes related to the above royalty interests.

Other expenses increased by \$968,312 in the period ended December 31, 2006 from the comparable period of 2005 due to debt financing arrangements related to the acquisition of Battle Mountain s royalty interests. Interest expense, derived from the Macquarie Gold Loan, Macquarie Bridge Loan, and IAMGOLD subordinated convertible debenture (see section entitled Liquidity and Capital Resources below for terms), was \$1,651,236. The expense included \$660,585 of non-cash interest comprised of the amortization of deferred issuances costs paid in common stock, and the scheduled interest payment on the Subordinated Convertible Debenture. Issuance costs incurred to secure all financing arrangements are capitalized and amortized over the life of the arrangement.

In addition to the interest expense, Battle Mountain recognized a loss on the gold loan payments of \$141,791. The recognized loss is comprised of fluctuations in the spot price of gold between the date of the gold loan origination and the payment date.

The overall increase in other expenses was partially off-set by the \$379,912 reduction in financing fees related to warrants issued in 2005. Additionally, Battle Mountain recognized a gain of \$435,494 on the divesture of its joint venture interest. The gain was derived from the increase in the value of the common stock received in exchange for the joint venture interest.

Battle Mountain evaluated the recoverability of deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2006. Due to the acquisition of revenue producing assets in April 2006, management believes that Battle Mountain will utilize the benefits of its deferred tax assets prior to their expiration. The expected recoverability resulted in the recognition of tax benefits of \$1,593,911 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 related to the corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance. Battle Mountain expects the tax benefit recognition to be exclusive to the year ended December 31, 2006.

Battle Mountain s comprehensive loss derived from market price fluctuations in its assets and liabilities that are denominated in gold bullion and Canadian dollars was \$446,351.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2007, Battle Mountain had current assets of \$2,865,899 compared to current liabilities of \$17,733,901. Cash on hand of \$1,810,755 consisted of approximately \$525,184 held in restricted accounts with Battle Mountain s senior lender, with the remaining cash held directly by Battle Mountain. Cash held with Battle Mountain s senior lender is in US dollars, Canadian dollars and gold bullion. Cash held by Battle Mountain is held in both US and Canadian dollars. Under the terms of Battle Mountain s Gold Facility agreement, cash is released

89

Table of Contents

from the accounts to cover previously agreed upon corporate expenses, which are part of Battle Mountain s annual budget.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007 liquidity needs were met from royalty revenues, an advance under the bridge facility with Royal Gold, and exercise of previously outstanding warrants. In April 2007 Battle Mountain received, from escrow, approximately \$915,000 of the remaining proceeds from the private placement entered into in March 2007. A total of \$270,000 remained in escrow as at June 30, 2007. As of August 2, 2007, this amount was transferred from Battle Mountain escrow account to Battle Mountain s US dollar account with Macquarie Bank.

As of December 31, 2006 Battle Mountain had \$275,141 in cash reserves; held in US dollars, Canadian dollars, and gold bullion. Battle Mountain s senior lender has restricted \$266,353 of Battle Mountain s total cash reserves to be periodically released for satisfaction of agreed upon operating expenses, based on the annual budget.

Battle Mountain s accounts receivable of \$591,366 consist entirely of royalties earned but not yet received as of the year end. Battle Mountain has historically collected revenues within 60 days of the applicable period end.

Battle Mountain historically has not met its cash needs from operations. Additionally, its short-term obligations of \$6,497,088 will not be met through its current operations. The Macquarie Gold Loan, IAMGOLD subordinated convertible debenture, and Macquarie Bridge Loan; including accrued interest, accounted for \$5,912,715 of Battle Mountain s short-term obligations. The remaining obligations are primarily comprised of accrued salaries and bonuses.

The following is a description of Battle Mountain s significant outstanding obligations with third parties.

Macquarie Gold Loan. On April 25, 2006 Battle Mountain entered into an 11,750 ounce gold facility agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited. Battle Mountain sold the gold on the open market at \$587.90 per ounce on April 10, 2006 for total proceeds of \$6,907,825. The gold facility calls for Battle Mountain to repay Macquarie Bank Limited in sixteen quarterly installments of 907 ounces beginning May 15, 2006 with a final installment of 488 ounces due on May 15, 2010 for total consideration of 15,000 ounces. Additionally, under the terms of the gold facility, on each gold delivery date, Battle Mountain is required to make an additional and mandatory pre-delivery of gold, determined in accordance with and subject to the following conditions:

- (a) pre-delivery of gold under the agreement, shall only be required on a gold delivery date which:
- (i) immediately follows a financial quarter wherein the London Gold Price was greater than US\$425/ounce for more than 15 days; or
- (ii) occurs when a default or event of default has occurred and is continuing; and
- (b) the amount of gold to be pre-delivered shall be the current gold value of the US Dollar amount which is 50% of free cash flow for such financial quarter;

A mandatory pre-delivery of gold under the agreement is to be applied first against the then final gold delivery and then against the remaining gold deliveries in reverse order to the order in which the gold deliveries are due to be made.

In accordance with Battle Mountain s entry into the bridge facility with Royal Gold, as noted below, Macquarie Bank mandated the delivery 2,721 ounces of gold within 45 days of the execution of bridge facility agreement. The amounts represented the remaining fiscal 2007 quarterly payments originally due in May, August, and November. The required prepayments were completed in May for total consideration of \$1,846,652, of which \$236,550 was classified as

prepaid interest, based on the spot rates on the payment dates.

As of June 30, 2007 approximately 7,230 ounces of gold remain outstanding and is valued at the current spot rate.

The Macquarie Gold Loan is collateralized by the royalty interests in mining properties.

Macquarie Bridge Loan. On April 25, 2006, Battle Mountain entered into a bridge finance facility agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited for \$4,000,000. The bridge finance facility carries a 12% annual interest rate, interest is accrued until maturity, and the loan is due on the earlier of: (i) December 31, 2006; and (ii) within

90

Table of Contents

30 days after receipt of proceeds from a public offering of the shares of company. The facility was extended through March 31, 2007, by the exercise of the one-time extension option by Macquarie Bank Limited. Battle Mountain issued Macquarie Bank Limited 421,053 shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$252,632 related to the exercise of the extension option. On March 23, 2007 Battle Mountain repaid all the amounts outstanding under the facility, which totalled \$4,464,552.

Royal Gold Bridge Finance Facility. On March 28, 2007 Battle Mountain entered into a \$20,000,000 bridge facility agreement with Royal Gold, which availability was subsequently reduced to \$15,000,000 pursuant to the terms of the bridge facility agreement. As of March 28, 2007, Battle Mountain received a total of \$13,914,552 under the bridge facility from Royal Gold. The bridge facility initially had a one year term, carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3% and interest accrues until maturity. Additionally, Royal Gold may convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest into shares of common stock at a fixed conversion price equal to \$0.60 per share.

On May 9, 2007, Battle Mountain borrowed and additional \$600,000 under the bridge facility. These proceeds were used to help fund the required pre-payments on the Gold Facility, as required under the bridge facility agreement. Total principal amount outstanding under the bridge facility as of June 30, 2007 was \$14,514,552.

On July 30, 2007, Battle Mountain entered into an amendment to the bridge facility agreement with Royal Gold and BMGX (Barbados) Corporation. The amendment amends the bridge facility by (i) extending the final maturity date from March 28, 2008 to June 6, 2008 and (ii) specifying that Royal Gold must provide notice on or before April 4, 2008 in the event it elects to convert any or all amounts due to it under the Bridge Loan into Battle Mountain common stock.

IAMGOLD Subordinated Exchangeable Debenture. Battle Mountain entered into a subordinated exchangeable debenture with IAMGOLD (seller of acquired royalty interests in mining properties) for a total of \$2,000,000. The debenture carries an interest rate of 6% per annum and is due on April 25, 2008. Principal and interest payments are due semi-annually and may be paid in cash or in shares of common stock of Battle Mountain. Additionally, IAMGOLD may, at any time within the period, convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest into shares of common stock at a price of \$0.50 per share.

On September 4, 2007, Royal Gold acquired the debenture from IAMGOLD. On September 5, 2007, Royal Gold exercised the conversion rights under the debenture for all of the outstanding principal and accrued interest as of September 4, 2007, equal to \$2,000,000 in principal and \$43,397 in accrued interest. On September 6, 2007, Battle Mountain issued an aggregate of 4,086,794 shares of common stock to Royal Gold in connection with the conversion.

The following table illustrates Battle Mountain s future obligations as of June 30, 2007

Obligation	Current	1-3 Years	4 Years
Gold loan facility(1)	1,066,451	3,959,507	
Bridge loan facility(2)	15,738,129		
Convertible debenture(3)	2,100,000		
Total	18,904,580	3,959,507	

(1) Assumes a spot gold price of \$587.90 per ounce and is payable in gold quarterly. Total remaining obligation is 8,549 ounces.

- (2) Includes interest at LIBOR plus 3% per annum (8.43% at June 30, 2007 and is payable June 6, 2008).
- (3) Includes interest at 6% per annum and the principal may be converted to 4,000,000 shares of company common stock.

Failure to consummate the merger transaction would require Battle Mountain to seek additional debt and/or equity financing. If Battle Mountain is unable to raise additional capital, at terms favorable to Battle Mountain, financial performance will be adversely impacted.

91

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

The financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles used in the United States. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management s application of accounting policies. Battle Mountain believes that understanding the basis and nature of the estimates and assumptions involved with the following aspects of the consolidated financial statements is critical to an understanding of such financial statements.

Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties. Acquired royalty interests in mineral properties include certain properties in the production, development, and exploration stage. Battle Mountain capitalized these royalty interests as tangible assets when they meet the definition of mineral rights in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Boards (FASB) Emerging Issues Task Force Issue (EITF) No. 04-02, Whether Mineral Rights are Tangible or Intangible Assets. At December 31, 2006 all of the royalty interests met the definition of mineral rights and therefore, are capitalized as tangible assets.

Acquisition costs of production stage royalty interests are depleted using the units of production method over the life of the mineral property, which is estimated using proven and probable reserves. Development and exploration stage royalties will be amortized in the same manner once they become production stage assets. The carrying values of all royalty mineral interests are periodically evaluated for impairment. The recoverability of the carrying value of royalty interests in production and development stage mineral properties is evaluated based upon estimated future undiscounted net cash flows from each royalty interest property using estimates of proven and probable reserves. Battle Mountain evaluates the recoverability of the carrying value of royalty interests in exploration stage mineral properties in the event of significant decreases in the price of gold, and whenever new information regarding the mineral properties is obtained from the operator that could affect the future recoverability of royalty interests. Impairments in the carrying value of each property are measured and recorded to the extent that the carrying value in each property exceeds its estimated fair value, which is generally calculated using estimated future discounted cash flows.

Battle Mountain s estimate of gold prices, operator s estimates of proven and probable reserves to the royalty properties, and operator s estimates of operating, capital and reclamation costs are subject to certain risks and uncertainties which may affect the recoverability of Battle Mountain s investment in these royalty interests in mineral properties. Although Battle Mountain has made its best assessment of these factors based on current conditions, it is possible that changes could occur, which could adversely affect the net cash flows expected to be generated from these royalty interests. Battle Mountain believes that no impairment of long-lived assets occurred during the third quarter of 2006 or existed as of September 30, 2006.

Revenue Recognition. Battle Mountain s revenue is generated from its royalty interests in mineral properties. Royalty revenue is recognized in accordance with the terms of the underlying royalty agreements subject to: (i) the pervasive evidence of the existence of the arrangements; (ii) the risks and rewards having been transferred; (iii) the royalty being fixed or determinable; and (iv) the collectibility of the royalty being reasonably assured. For royalty payments received in gold, royalty revenue is recorded at the average spot price of gold for the period in which the royalty was earned.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The carrying value of Battle Mountain s receivables, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, represents their estimated net realizable value.

Battle Mountain evaluates the collectibility of accounts receivable on a historical basis. Battle Mountain records a reserve for bad debts against amounts due to reduce the net recognized receivable to an amount Battle Mountain

believes will be reasonably collected. The reserve is a discretionary amount determined from the analysis of the aging of the accounts receivables and historical experience.

Foreign Currency Translation. Battle Mountain s functional currency and that of its subsidiaries is the applicable local currency in accordance with SFAS No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation. Monetary assets and liabilities of Battle Mountain and its subsidiaries with functional currencies other than the US dollar are translated into US dollars using current rates of exchange at the balance sheet date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated at historical exchange rates. Revenues and expenses are translated at the weighted average exchange rates

92

Table of Contents

in effect for the period in which the items occur. Translation gains or losses are recorded as a separate component of stockholders—equity and transaction gains and losses are included in other income and expenses, net.

Comprehensive Income. Battle Mountain reports comprehensive income and loss and its components in accordance with SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income. Battle Mountain s comprehensive income is comprised of net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, and gold price fluctuations.

Stock-Based Compensation. Battle Mountain has adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as expense over the vesting period.

The estimated fair value of employee stock options was calculated using a lattice pricing model. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because Battle Mountain s employee stock options may have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management s opinion, the existing models may not provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of Battle Mountain s employee stock options.

All options currently outstanding are fully vested with the related expense classified as professional and consulting.

Income Taxes. Battle Mountain provides for income taxes under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes. SFAS No. 109 requires an asset and liability based approach in accounting for income taxes.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recorded to reflect the tax consequences in future years of temporary differences between revenue and expense items for financial statement and income tax purposes. Valuation allowances are provided against assets that are not likely to be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Product Research and Development

Battle Mountain s business plan is focused on a strategy for maximizing returns on the recently acquired gold royalty assets. Since the year ended December 31, 2005, execution of the business plan has largely focused on acquiring these royalty assets.

Purchase of Significant Equipment

Battle Mountain does not intend to purchase any significant equipment over the twelve months ending December 31, 2007 other than office computers and communication equipment as required.

Employees

Currently Battle Mountain s only employees are the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. Battle Mountain does not expect any material changes in the number of employees over the next 12 month period. Battle

Mountain does and will continue to outsource contract employment as needed.

Going Concern

Battle Mountain has suffered recurring losses from operations. The continuation of Battle Mountain as a going concern is dependent upon Battle Mountain s ability to attain and maintain profitable operations and raise additional capital. The financial statements do not include any adjustment relating to the recovery and classification

93

Table of Contents

of recorded asset amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should Battle Mountain discontinue operations.

Historically, due to the uncertainty of Battle Mountain s ability to meet current operating expenses and the capital expenses noted above, in their report on the annual financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006, the independent auditors included an explanatory paragraph regarding concerns about Battle Mountain s ability to continue as a going concern. Battle Mountain s financial statements contain additional note disclosures describing the circumstances that lead to this disclosure by the independent auditors.

The growth of the business is dependent upon Battle Mountain s ability to raise additional financial support. The issuance of additional equity securities could result in a significant dilution in the equity interests of the current stockholders. Obtaining commercial loans, assuming those loans would be available, will increase Battle Mountain s liabilities and future cash commitments.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In March of 2005 the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 04-6, Accounting for Stripping Costs Incurred during Production in the Mining Industry. In the mining industry, companies may be required to remove overburden and other mine waste materials to access mineral deposits. The costs of removing overburden and waste materials are referred to as stripping costs. The EITF reached a consensus that stripping costs incurred during the construction phase of a mine are variable production costs that should be included in the costs of the inventory produced during the period that the stripping costs are incurred. The new treatment will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of the standard may have an impact on Battle Mountain s future results of operations, the amount of which management is unable to determine at this time.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. This Statement replaces APB Opinion No. 20 and SFAS No. 3. APB Opinion No. 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principles be recognized by including the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle in net income of the period of the change. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods—financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. When it is impracticable to determine the period-specific effects of an accounting change on one or more individual prior periods presented, SFAS No. 154 requires that the new accounting principle be applied to the balances of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of the earliest period for which retrospective application is practicable and that a corresponding adjustment be made to the opening balance of retained earnings for that period, rather than being reported in an income statement. The new standard will be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Battle Mountain believes the adoption of new standard will not have a material effect on financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or previously issued financial reports.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of SFAS No. 109. The FASB Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Battle Mountain has preliminarily reviewed the potential effect of the adoption of FIN 48 and is performing a comprehensive analysis on its uncertain tax positions. Tax positions deemed to have a remote likelihood of utilization may have an adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 (SFAS 157), Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 clarifies that fair value is the amount that would be exchanged to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Further, the standard establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands certain disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Battle Mountain does not expect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

94

In September 2006, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108 (SAB 108), Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB 108 provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The SEC staff believes that registrants should quantify errors using both a balance sheet and an income statement approach and evaluate whether either approach results in quantifying a misstatement that, when all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors are considered, is material. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2006. Battle Mountain does not expect that the adoption of SAB 108 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Security Ownership of Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth, as of September 26, 2007, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of Battle Mountain common stock by each stockholder known by Battle Mountain to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of Battle Mountain common stock, as well as by each of Battle Mountain s current directors and executive officers. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated. Beneficial ownership consists of a direct interest in the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class(1)
Mark Kucher	17,774,192(2)	20.46
1725 Knox Road		
Vancouver, BC V6J 1S4		
David Atkinson	1,750,000(3)	2.10
701 5750 Larch Street		
Vancouver, BC V6M 4E2		
Brian Labadie	800,000(4)	*
24138 Fern Crescent		
Maple Ridge, BC V4R 2S1		
Anthony E.W. Crews	800,000(5)	*
1325 Airmotive Way		
Suite 175U		
Reno, NV 89502		
Robert Connochie	500,000	*
164 Conochie Road		
CosCob, CT 06807		
Christopher E. Herald	500,000	*
15095 Foothill Road		
Golden, CO 80401		
All Officers and Directors as a group	22,124,192	25.30
Royal Gold, Inc.	63,505,014(6)	56.38
1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000		
Denver, CO 80202		

^{*} Indicates less than 1%.

- (1) Based on 83,528,381 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of September 26, 2007. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Except as otherwise indicated, Battle Mountain believes that the beneficial owners of the common stock listed above, based on information furnished by such owners, have sole investment and voting power with respect to such shares, subject to community property laws where applicable.
- (2) Includes 3,160,000, 1,000,000, 3,400,000 and 40,000 shares of common stock owned by Bug River Trading Corp. (Bug River), British Swiss Investment Corp. (British Swiss), Warrior Resources Corp. (Warrior), and Mr. Kucher s spouse, respectively. Mark Kucher is a director and stockholder of Bug River, British Swiss,

95

Table of Contents

and Warrior. Also includes an option to purchase 800,000 shares at \$0.40 per share that vested on April 15, 2005, and warrants to purchase up to 2,512,096 shares at \$0.31 per share.

- (3) Includes warrants to purchase 500,000 shares at \$0.31 per share.
- (4) Includes options to purchase 300,000 shares at \$0.40 per share that vested on April 15, 2005.
- (5) Includes options to purchase 300,000 shares at \$0.40 per share that vested on April 15, 2005.
- (6) Includes 17,774,192 shares beneficially owned by Mark Kucher which Royal Gold has a right to acquire pursuant to option and support agreements entered into with Mr. Kucher in connection with the merger transaction. Also includes 4,350,000 shares, including shares underlying options and warrants, held by Messrs. Atkinson, Connochie, Labadie, Crews and Herald, who have granted irrevocable proxies to Royal Gold in connection with the merger transaction. Also includes 25,190,988 shares that Royal Gold may acquire pursuant to a convertible bridge loan facility. Under the terms of the facility, Royal Gold may convert all outstanding principal, interest and expenses into Battle Mountain common stock at a conversion price of \$0.60 per share. As of September 26, 2007, principal and accrued interest in the amount of \$15,114,593 was outstanding.

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

In addition to registering up to 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold s common stock to be issued to Battle Mountain s stockholders as a result of the merger, this proxy statement/prospectus relates to the aggregate resale of up to 396,023 shares of Royal Gold common stock which may be sold from time to time by Royal Gold s selling stockholders. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the resale of Royal Gold s common stock by Royal Gold s selling stockholders. Royal Gold will not receive any proceeds from the resale of its common stock by its selling stockholders.

None of the selling stockholders has held a position or office or had a material relationship with Royal Gold within the past three years other than ownership of Royal Gold s publicly traded common stock of which Royal Gold has no knowledge.

The following table sets forth the name of each selling stockholder and certain other information with respect to such selling stockholder is beneficial ownership of Royal Gold common stock issuable to such selling stockholder in the merger. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. The tabular information shown below assumes that (1) all the outstanding Battle Mountain warrants are exercised during the period from the date of this proxy statement/prospectus to the effective time of the merger, (2) all the outstanding Battle Mountain stock options are exercised by the payment of cash during the period from the date of this proxy statement/prospectus to the effective time of the merger, (3) each of the Battle Mountain stockholders who are selling stockholders makes the Stock Election and (4) all the shares of Royal Gold common stock being offered pursuant to the registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus is a part are sold to third parties. However, because the selling stockholders may offer all or a portion of the shares covered by this proxy statement/prospectus at any time and from time to time hereafter, the exact number of shares that each selling stockholder may retain after completion of the offering cannot be determined at this time. The last two columns of the table below assume that all the shares covered by this proxy statement/prospectus will be sold by the selling stockholders to third parties, that no additional shares are bought or sold by the selling stockholder and that no selling stockholder owns publicly traded shares.

Percentage ownership is based upon 28,663,756 shares of Royal Gold common stock outstanding as of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, plus 1,634,410 shares of Royal Gold common stock issuable in the merger (based on the assumptions described above).

	Shares Beneficially Owned Before the Offering		Number of Shares	Shares Beneficially Owned After the Offering	
Name	Number	Percent	Offered	Number	Percent
Mark Kucher(1) 1725 Knox Road	318,158	1.05	318,158	0	0%
Vancouver, BC V6J 1S4 David Atkinson 701 5750 Larch Street	31,325	*	31,325	0	0%
Vancouver, BC V6M 4E2 Brian Labadie 24138 Fern Crescent	14,320	*	14,320	0	0%
Maple Ridge, BC V4R 2S1 Anthony E.W. Crews 1325 Airmotive Way	14,320	*	14,320	0	0%
Suite 175U Reno, NV 89502 Robert Connochie 164 Conochie Road	8,950	*	8,950	0	0%
CosCob, CT 06807 Christopher E. Herald 15095 Foothill Road Golden, CO 80401	8,950	*	8,950	0	0%

^{*} Indicates less than 1%.

Plan of Distribution

The selling stockholders and their respective successors, including their transferees, pledgees or donees or their successors, may from time to time sell the securities hereby registered directly to purchasers or through underwriters, broker-dealers or agents, who may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling stockholders or the purchasers of the securities. These discounts, concessions or commissions as to any particular underwriter, broker-dealer or agent may be in excess of those customary in the types of transactions involved. The securities hereby registered may be sold in one or more transactions at fixed prices, at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, at prices related directly to the prevailing market prices, at varying prices determined at the time of sale, or at negotiated prices. These sales may be effected in transactions, which may involve crosses or block transactions:

on any national securities exchange or quotation service on which the notes or the common stock may be listed or quoted at the time of sale;

⁽¹⁾ Includes 56,564, 17,900, 60,860 and 716 shares of Royal Gold common stock issuable to Bug River Trading Corp. (Bug River), British Swiss Investment Corp. (British Swiss), Warrior Resources Corp. (Warrior), and Mr. Kucher s spouse, respectively, in the merger. Mark Kucher is a director and stockholder of Bug River, British Swiss, and Warrior.

in the over-the-counter market;

in transactions otherwise than on these exchanges or services or in the over-the-counter market; or

through the writing of options.

The aggregate proceeds to the selling stockholders from the sale of the securities registered hereby by them will be the purchase price of the securities less discounts and commissions, if any. The selling stockholders reserve the right to accept and, together with their agents from time to time, to reject, in whole or in part, any proposed

97

Table of Contents

purchase of securities to be made directly or through agents. Royal Gold will not receive any of the proceeds from this offering. Royal Gold s outstanding common stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and the Toronto Stock Exchange. The selling stockholder and any underwriters, broker-dealers or agents that participate in the sale of the securities registered hereby may be underwriters within the meaning of Section 2(a)(11) of the Securities Act. Any discounts, commissions, concessions or profit they earn on any resale of the shares may be deemed to be underwriting discounts and commissions under the Securities Act. Selling stockholders who are underwriters within the meaning of Section 2(a)(11) of the Securities Act will be subject to the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act and may be subject to statutory liabilities, including, but not limited to, liability under Sections 11, 12 and 17 of the Securities Act and Rule 10b-5 under the Exchange Act. The selling stockholders have acknowledged that they understand their obligations to comply with the provisions of the Exchange Act and the rules thereunder relating to stock manipulation, particularly Regulation M. To the knowledge of Royal Gold, there are currently no plans, arrangements or understandings between any selling stockholders and any underwriter, broker-dealer or agent regarding the sale of the securities registered hereby. The selling stockholders may determine not to sell any, or to sell less than all of, the securities described in this prospectus. In the event this registration statement cannot be used, selling stockholders may sell these securities subject to the limitations under Rule 145 under the Securities Act. Upon expiration of the limitations under Rule 145, selling stockholders will be able to freely sell these securities. To the extent required, the specific securities to be sold, the respective purchase prices and public offering prices, the names of any agent, dealer or underwriter, and any applicable commissions or discounts with respect to a particular offer will be set forth in an accompanying prospectus supplement or, if appropriate, a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. In connection with sales of the common stock or otherwise, the selling stockholders may enter into hedging transactions with broker-dealers, which may in turn engage in short sales of the Royal Gold common stock in the course of hedging positions they assume. The selling stockholders may also sell Royal Gold common stock short and deliver Royal Gold common stock to close out short positions, or loan or pledge common stock to broker-dealers that in turn may sell such securities.

OTHER MATTERS

Battle Mountain does not intend to bring any other matters before Battle Mountain s special meeting of stockholders and has not been informed that any other matters are to be presented by others. Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws provide that the proceedings at a meeting that is irregular for want of notice may be ratified and approved and rendered valid if a quorum at the meeting was present and the irregularity is waived by a writing by all parties having the right to vote at such meeting.

Battle Mountain may hold a 2007 annual meeting only if the merger is not completed. In the event Battle Mountain does hold a 2007 annual meeting, for a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in Battle Mountain s proxy statement relating to Battle Mountain s 2007 annual meeting of stockholders, the proposal must be received at Battle Mountain s principal executive office a reasonable time before Battle Mountain begins to print and send its annual meeting materials. Battle Mountain s amended and restated bylaws require that in order for a stockholder proposal to be submitted to the stockholders, notice of a stockholder proposal must be delivered to Battle Mountain s principal executive office no later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which notice of the date of the annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the date was made, whichever come first. Proposals submitted after this date will be considered untimely and will not be submitted to stockholders.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of Royal Gold common stock offered by this proxy statement/prospectus will be passed upon for Royal Gold by Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.

Table of Contents 206

98

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of Royal Gold, Inc. and management s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of Royal Gold, Inc. (which is included in Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting) incorporated in this proxy statement/prospectus by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2007 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated audited financial statements of Battle Mountain included with this proxy statement/prospectus have been so included in reliance on the report of Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

INCORPORATION OF DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE

The SEC allows Royal Gold to incorporate by reference the documents it files with the SEC into this proxy statement/prospectus, which means that Royal Gold can disclose important information to you by referring you to those documents. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be part of this proxy statement/prospectus, and information in documents that Royal Gold files later with the SEC will automatically update and supersede information in this proxy statement/prospectus. Royal Gold incorporates by reference the documents listed below and any future filings Royal Gold will make with the SEC under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act (except Current Reports on Form 8-K furnished rather than filed under Form 8-K), until the date of the special meeting of the Battle Mountain stockholders:

Royal Gold s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007;

Royal Gold s Current Reports on Form 8-K filed on July 2, 2007, July 24, 2007, July 31, 2007, August 2, 2007, August 9, 2007, August 16, 2007, August 29, 2007, August 31, 2007, September 4, 2007 and September 10, 2007;

Royal Gold s Definitive Proxy Statement filed on Schedule 14A on October 13, 2006; and

Royal Gold s Registration Statement on Form 8-A under the Exchange Act filed on September 12, 1997, together with any amendments thereto.

Royal Gold will provide a copy of the documents it incorporates by reference, at no cost, to any person who receives this proxy statement/prospectus. To request a copy of any or all of these documents, you should write or telephone Royal Gold at: Investor Relations, Royal Gold, Inc., 1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000, Denver, CO 80202, phone number (303) 573-1660.

99

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

Royal Gold has filed with the SEC under the Securities Act a registration statement on Form S-4, of which this proxy statement/prospectus is a part. This proxy statement/prospectus does not contain all of the information contained in the registration statement and the exhibits to the registration statement. We strongly encourage you to read carefully the registration statement and the exhibits to the registration statement. Any statement made in this proxy statement/prospectus concerning the contents of any contract, agreement or other document is only a summary of the actual contract, agreement or other document. If Royal Gold filed any contract, agreement or other document as an exhibit to the registration statement, you should read the exhibit for a more complete understanding of the document or matter involved. Royal Gold files annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy the registration statement and any other document Royal Gold files at the following SEC public reference room:

Judiciary Plaza 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549

You may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room in Washington, DC by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Royal Gold files information electronically with the SEC. Our SEC filings are available from the SEC s Internet site at http://www.sec.gov, which contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically. You may read and copy our SEC filings and other information at the NASDAQ Global Select Market at 1735 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006.

100

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED AUDITED AND UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF BATTLE MOUNTAIN

Battle Mountain s consolidated audited and unaudited financial statements are stated in United States dollars (US\$) and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. For the purpose of these consolidated audited and unaudited financial statements and the accompanying notes only, the Company, us, we, our etc. refers only to Battle Mountain.

The following consolidated audited financial statements are filed as part of this proxy statement/prospectus:

Independent Auditor s Report, dated March 28, 2007 of Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC	F-2
Audited Consolidated Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005	F-3
Audited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the year	
ended December 31, 2005	F-4
Audited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the year	
ended December 31, 2005	F-5
Audited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity for the period from December 31,	
2004 to December 31, 2006	F-7
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8
The following consolidated unaudited financial statements are filed as part of this proxy statement/prospectus:	
Unaudited Balance Sheet as at June 30, 2007	F-24
Unaudited Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007, for the six months ended	
June 30, 2006, for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and for the three months ended June 30, 2006	F-25
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and for the six	
months ended June 30, 2006	F-26
Notes to the Unaudited Interim Financial Statements	F-28
F-1	

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corporation

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corporation as of December 31, 2006, and the related statements of operations, stockholders—equity and comprehensive loss and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corporation as of December 31, 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corporation will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 14 to the financial statements, Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corporation has suffered losses during the periods presented which raises substantial doubt about the company s ability to continue as a going concern. Management s plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 14. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC

Bountiful, Utah March 28, 2007

F-2

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORPORATION

BALANCE SHEET

	2006
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Cash, non-restricted	\$ 8,788
Cash, restricted	266,353
Accounts receivable, net	591,366
Deferred charges	299,972
Total current assets	1,166,479
Property and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,315,249)	18,423,349
Other Assets	
Royalty option	25,000
Deferred charges	110,464
Deferred tax assets	1,771,638
Deposits	295
Total other assets	1,907,397
Total assets	\$ 21,497,225
Current Liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 78,409
Accrued interest payable	459,770
Bridge loan payable	4,000,000
Current portion of notes payable	1,452,945
Related party payables	505,964
Total current liabilities	6,497,088
Long-Term Liabilities	
Convertible Debentures	2,000,000
Gold Loan Payable	4,715,324
Total long-term liabilities	6,715,324
Total liabilities	13,212,412
Commitments and Contingencies	

Commitments and Contingencies

Stockholders Equity

Preferred stock: 10,000,000 shares authorized (\$0.001 par value) none issued

Common stock, \$.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized 64,070,442 shares issue	ed and
outstanding	64,070
Additional paid-in-capital	12,880,583
Accumulated other comprehensive deficit	(446,351)
Accumulated deficit	(4,213,489)
Total stockholders equity	8,284,813
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 21.497.225

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of the Financial Statements

F-3

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORPORATION

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the Years Ended			
	D	ecember 31, 2006		ecember 31, 2005
Royalty Revenue	\$	2,394,600	\$	
Expenses				
Depreciation		(1,314,865)		(198)
Professional and consulting		(3,167,637)		(1,245,706)
General and administrative		(248,067)		(158,024)
Total operating expenses		(4,730,569)		(1,403,928)
Loss from operations		(2,335,969)		(1,403,928)
Other Income (Expense)				
Interest expense		(1,651,236)		
Financing costs				(379,912)
Gain on joint venture dissolution		435,494		
Foreign exchange loss		(773)		
Loss on sale of investments				(10,082)
Loss on gold payment		(141,791)		
Total other expense		(1,358,306)		(389,994)
Loss before benefit/(provision) for income taxes		(3,694,275)		(1,793,922)
Benefit/(provision) for income taxes		1,593,911		
Net loss	\$	(2,100,364)	\$	(1,793,922)
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.04)
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted		57,759,877		41,530,000
Comprehensive Loss				
Net loss per statement of operations	\$	(2,100,364)	\$	(1,793,922)
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(8,326)		
Unrealized loss on gold holdings and commitments		(438,025)		
Comprehensive loss	\$	(2,546,715)	\$	(1,793,922)

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of the Financial Statements

F-4

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORPORATION

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31 2006 2005	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (2,100,364)	\$ (1,793,922)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,314,865	198
Non-cash compensation	1,937,042	913,776
Non-cash interest expense	660,585	
Issuance of stock warrants		379,912
Foreign exchange loss		
Loss on sale of investments		10,082
Gain on joint vesture dissolution		
Change in operating assets and liabilities	(435,494)	
(Increase) in accounts receivable	(591,366)	
(Increase) in deposits		
Increase in deferred service charges	(157,804)	
Decrease (Increase) in prepaid	1,188	(1,188)
Increase in accrued interest	459,770	
Increase in related party payable	631,136	41,63
Increase in deferred tax assets	(1,771,638)	
Increase in accounts payable	49,206	17,448
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,874)	(432,062)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Purchase of property and equipment	(3,320)	(264)
Purchase of royalty rights	(13,077,490)	
Purchase of short term investments		
Proceeds from sale of short term investments		25,718
Investment in joint venture	(98,825)	(734,901)
Net cash used in investing activities	(13,179,635)	(709,447)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Proceeds from issuance of notes payable	6,907,825	
Principal payments on notes payable	(1,203,365)	
Principal payments on related party notes payable		(150,000)
Proceeds from short term notes	4,000,000	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	3,489,119	835,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	13,193,579	685,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	11,070	(456,509)
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	17,457	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	246,614	703,123

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

\$ 275,141

\$ 246,614

F-5

Table of Contents

Supplemental Information and non cash transactions

During the year ended December 31, 2006 the Company paid \$456,276 in interest. The Company paid no interest in 2005.

The Company paid no income taxes during the years ended December 31, 2006, and 2005.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 12,000,000 shares of common stock to IAMGOLD for the purchase of royalty rights.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued \$2,000,000 of unsecured convertible debentures for the purchase of royalty rights.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued the Chairman and CEO 750,000 shares of unregistered, restricted common stock for forgiveness of previously accrued operating payables.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 1,935,000 shares of common stock to a financial institution for loan origination fees.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 102,940 shares of common stock to a financial institution for interest accrued and payable.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 421,053 shares of common stock to a financial institution for extension fees on the Bridge loan facility.

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of the Financial Statements

F-6

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORPORATION

<u>STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE LOS</u>S For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, and 2005

	D., 6, 1			1	Additional			Accumulated Other	Stock		
	Preferred Stock Sharesmount	Common Shares	n Stock Amount		Paid-in Capital	Ac	ccumulated C Deficit	Comprehensive Loss	Subscriptions Receivable	ı	Total Equity
Balance December 31, 2004	\$	40,110,000	\$ 40,110	\$	1,158,198	\$	(319,203)	\$	\$	\$	879,105
anuary 1005 shares ssued for cash t \$0.50 per hare September	1	920,000	920		459,080						460,000
005 issued hares for cash t \$0.25 per hare with ttached varrants xercisable at											
0.25 per hare tock		1,500,000	1,500		753,412				(90,670)		664,242
ubscription eceived Vet loss for he year ended									90,670		90,670
December 31, 2005							(880,146)				(880,146)
Balance December 31, 2005 (as reviously											
eported)	\$	42,530,000	\$ 42,530	\$	2,370,690	\$	(1,199,349)	\$	\$	\$	1,213,871
Net loss as reviously eported							(880,146)				

Table of Contents 218

839,065

(839,065)

	_	9 19.	 3025		•		
ssuance of tock options n April, 2005 ssuance of tock options n December, 1005 Vet loss			74,711	(74,711) (1,793,922)			
Balance December 31, 1005	\$ 42,530,000	\$ 42,530	\$ 3,284,466	\$ (2,113,125)	\$	\$ \$	1,213,871
April 2006 shares ssued for cash t \$0.31 per hare with ttached varrants xercisable at 20.31 per hare April 2006 ssued shares or ompensation t \$0.39 per	11,669,353	11,669	3,427,450				3,439,119
hare with ttached varrants xercisable at 0.31 per hare April 2006 ssued shares or consulting ervices at 0.39 per hare with	2,512,096	2,512	1,856,931				1,859,443
ttached varrants xercisable at 0.31 per hare April 2006 ssued shares or loan rigination ees at \$0.31	500,000	500	369,598				370,098
er share	1,935,000	1,935	597,915				599,850

April 2006

Balance December 31, 1006	\$ 64,070,442	\$ 64,070	\$ 12,880,583	\$ (4,213,489) \$ (446,351) \$	8,284,813
December 31, 1006				(2,100,364)	(2,100,364)
djustment Vet loss for he year ended				(8,326)	
ommitments Foreign urrency				(438,025)	0
hares for onversion of varrants Jnrealized oss on gold olding and	200,000	200	49,800		50,000
hare for loan xtension fees December 006 issued	421,053	421	252,211		252,632
or payment of ccrued nterest December 1006 issued	102,940	103	60,632		60,735
eceived hares and ancelled October 2006 ssued shares	(7,800,000)	(7,800)	(1,686,420)		(1,694,220)
ssued shares or acquisition of royalty nterests at 0.39 per hare une 2006	12,000,000	12,000	4,668,000		4,680,000

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of the Financial Statements

F-7

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Business

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on January 7, 2004 to promote and carry on any lawful business for which a corporation may be incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada.

Prior to the completion of the Company s acquisition of certain royalty interests in mineral properties in April 2006, the Company s primary business was mineral, specifically gold, exploration in the State of Nevada. Based on the Company s previous focus on mineral exploration, since its inception in January 2004, it previously reported its financial information in accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 7 Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises.

Upon completion of its acquisition of certain royalty interests in mineral properties, along with the divesture of its joint venture, Pediment Gold LLC, the Company s primary focus has changed to acquiring and managing precious metal royalties. The acquisition of the royalty interests resulted in the Company no longer being considered exploration stage under SFAS No. 7.

The Company s current and potential future royalty interests, defined as non-operating net revenue rights in mining projects, expect to provide all future revenues. The Company currently does not conduct exploration or mining activities.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of management s estimates. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at fiscal year end, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the fiscal year. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the purpose of the statements of cash flows, all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents. At December 31, 2006 the Company maintained an account denoted in gold with Macquarie Bank Limited, its senior lender.

From time to time the Company maintains amounts on deposit with financial institutions which exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any significant losses in such accounts, nor does management believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk.

RESTRICTED CASH The Company maintains the majority of its cash resources with Macquarie Bank Limited, its senior lender. Restricted cash is denominated in US dollars, Canadian dollars, and gold bullion. In accordance with the Company s loan agreements with Macquarie Bank Limited, restricted cash is periodically released to meet the Company s working capital obligations based on a previously agreed upon annual budget. Additional funds are available on an as needed basis.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of financial instruments held by the Company, which include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate fair value due to their short duration. The carrying amount of the Company s notes payable approximate their fair value based on incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost, less accumulated depreciation. In accordance with SFAS No. 144 *Accounting for Long-Lived Assets*, the Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment. Whenever events or

F-8

Table of Contents

changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets might not be recovered through undiscounted future cash flows, such losses are recognized in the statement of operations.

The cost of property, plant, and equipment is depreciated over the remaining estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from 3 to 5 years. Depreciation is computed using the straight line method for both financial reporting and income tax purposes. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed when incurred, while betterments are capitalized. Gains and losses on the sale of property and equipment are reflected in the statement of operations.

Royalty Interests In Mineral Properties

Acquired royalty interests in mineral properties include certain properties in the production, development, and exploration stage. We capitalize these royalty interests as tangible assets when they meet the definition of mineral rights in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Boards (FASB) Emerging Issues Task Force Issue (EITF) No. 04-02. Whether Mineral Rights are Tangible or Intangible Assets. At December 31, 2006 all of our royalty interests meet the definition of mineral rights and therefore, are capitalized as tangible assets and included as a separate component of property and equipment.

Acquisition costs of production stage royalty interests are depreciated using the units of production method over the life of the mineral property, which is estimated using proven and probable reserves. Development and exploration stage royalties will be depreciated in the same manner once they become production stage assets. The carrying values of all royalty mineral interests are periodically evaluated for impairment. The recoverability of the carrying value of royalty interests in production and development stage mineral properties is evaluated based upon estimated future undiscounted net cash flows from each royalty interest property using estimates of proven and probable reserves. We evaluate the recoverability of the carrying value of royalty interests in exploration stage mineral properties in the event of significant decreases in the price of gold, and whenever new information regarding the mineral properties is obtained from the operator that could affect the future recoverability of our royalty interests. Impairments in the carrying value of each property are measured and recorded to the extent that the carrying value in each property exceeds its estimated fair value, which is generally calculated using estimated future discounted cash flows.

Our estimate of gold prices, operator s estimates of proven and probable reserves to our royalty properties, and operator s estimates of operating, capital and reclamation costs are subject to certain risks and uncertainties which may affect the recoverability of our investment in these royalty interests in mineral properties. Although we have made our best assessment of these factors based on current conditions, it is possible that changes could occur, which could adversely affect the net cash flows expected to be generated from these royalty interests.

The Company believes that no impairment of its royalty interests existed as of December 31, 2006.

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Inc., and its recently incorporated and wholly-owned subsidiaries, BMGX (Barbados) Corporation, and Battle Mountain Gold (Canada) Inc.

The Company s wholly owned subsidiaries hold all the currently producing gold royalty assets. Intercompany transactions and account balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Income Taxes

The Company provides for income taxes under the provisions of SFAS No. 109 *Accounting for Income Taxes* which requires an asset and liability based approach in accounting for income taxes.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recorded to reflect the tax consequences in future years of temporary differences between revenue and expense items for financial statement and income tax purposes. Valuation allowances are provided against assets that are not likely to be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary

F-9

Table of Contents

differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Loss Per Share

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year, plus the common stock equivalents. Common stock equivalents are not included in the diluted loss per share calculation when their effect is antidilutive.

Revenue Recognition

The Company s revenue is generated from its royalty interests in mineral properties. Royalty revenue is recognized in accordance with the terms of the underlying royalty agreements subject to (i) the pervasive evidence of the existence of the arrangements; (ii) the risks and rewards having been transferred; (iii) the royalty being fixed or determinable; and (iv) the collectibility of the royalty being reasonably assured. For royalty payments received in gold, royalty revenue is recorded at the average spot price of gold for the period in which the royalty was earned.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, *Share Based Payment*. Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as expense over the vesting period.

The estimated fair value of employee stock options was calculated using a lattice pricing model. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company s employee stock options may have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management s opinion, the existing models may not provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company s employee stock options.

The Company previously recognized stock based compensation under the provisions of the original SFAS No. 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Payment*. All options issued prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R were vested and the related compensation expense previously recognized and included in professional and consulting expense.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The carrying value of the Company s receivables, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, represents their estimated net realizable value.

The Company evaluates the collectibility of accounts receivable on a historical basis. The Company records a reserve for bad debts against amounts due to reduce the net recognized receivable to an amount the Company believes will be reasonably collected. The reserve is a discretionary amount determined from the analysis of the aging of the accounts receivables and historical experience. Based on our analysis of payment experience, no reserve has been recorded for the period.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of the Company and its subsidiaries is the applicable local currency in accordance with SFAS No. 52, *Foreign Currency Translation*. Monetary assets and liabilities of the Company and its subsidiaries with

functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar are translated into U.S. dollars using current rates of exchange at the balance sheet date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated at historical exchange rates. Revenues and expenses are translated at the weighted average exchange rates in effect for the period in which the items occur. Translation gains or losses are recorded as a separate component of stockholders equity and transaction gains and losses are included in other income and expenses, net.

F-10

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Income

The Company reports comprehensive income and loss and its components in accordance with SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*. The Company s comprehensive income is comprised of net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, and gold price fluctuations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company s current revenue is solely generated from the production stage royalty interests in the El Limon Mine, Williams Mine, Joe Mann Mine, and Don Mario Mine. Material reductions in any or all of the mines would result in significant adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications did not have an impact on previously reported financial position, cash flows, or results of operations.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In March of 2005, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 04-6, *Accounting for Stripping Costs Incurred during Production in the Mining Industry*. In the mining industry, companies may be required to remove overburden and other mine waste materials to access mineral deposits. The costs of removing overburden and waste materials are referred to as stripping costs. The EITF reached a consensus that stripping costs incurred during the construction phase of a mine are variable production costs that should be included in the costs of the inventory produced during the period that the stripping costs are incurred. The new treatment will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of the standard may have an impact on the Company s future results of operations, the amount of which management is unable to determine at this time.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. This Statement replaces APB Opinion No. 20 and SFAS No. 3. APB Opinion No. 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle in net income of the period of the change. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods—financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. When it is impracticable to determine the period-specific effects of an accounting change on one or more individual prior periods presented, this Statement requires that the new accounting principle be applied to the balances of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of the earliest period for which retrospective application is practicable and that a corresponding adjustment be made to the opening balance of retained earnings for that period, rather than being reported in an income statement. The new standard will be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company believes the adoption of a new standard will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or previously issued financial reports.

In February 2006, the FASB Issued SFAS No. 155 Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of SFAS No. 133 and 140 . This Statement resolves issues addressed in Statement 133 Implementation Issue No. D1, Application of Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets. This Statement:

a. Permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation;

b. Clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of Statement 133;

F-11

Table of Contents

- c. Establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation:
- d. Clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives; and
- e. Amends Statement 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument.

The effective date of the Statement is for all financial instruments acquired, issued, or subject to remeasurement occurring after December 31, 2006. The Company does not expect SFAS No. 156 to have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in the foreseeable future.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, which provides an approach to simplify efforts to obtain hedge-like (offset) accounting. This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The Statement (1) requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations; (2) requires that a separately recognized servicing asset or servicing liability be initially measured at fair value, if practicable; (3) permits an entity to choose either the amortization method or the fair value method for subsequent measurement for each class of separately recognized servicing assets or servicing liabilities; (4) permits at initial adoption a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by an entity with recognized servicing rights, provided the securities reclassified offset the entity s exposure to changes in the fair value of the servicing assets or liabilities; and (5) requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the balance sheet and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 is effective for all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, with earlier adoption permitted in certain circumstances. The Statement also describes the manner in which it should be initially applied. The Company does not believe that SFAS No. 156 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of SFAS No. 109. The FASB Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements. The Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The Interpretation also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures, and transition. The Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has preliminarily reviewed the potential effect of the adoption of FIN 48 and is performing a comprehensive analysis on its uncertain tax positions. Tax positions deemed to have a remote likelihood of utilization may have an adverse effect the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. The Statement clarifies that fair value is the amount that would be exchanged to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Further, the standard establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands certain disclosures about fair value measurements. The Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not expect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*. The Bulletin provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The SEC staff believes

F-12

Table of Contents

that registrants should quantify errors using both a balance sheet and an income statement approach and evaluate whether either approach results in quantifying a misstatement that, when all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors are considered, is material. The Bulletin is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that the adoption of SAB 108 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

2. Divestiture of Joint Venture

In accordance with the Company s current focus of acquiring and managing its portfolio of royalty interests in mining properties, the Company divested its interest in the Pediment Gold exploration partnership to our joint venture partner, Nevada Gold Exploration Solutions LLC (NGXS). In exchange for our previous interest in Pediment, we rescinded a transaction involving 7,800,000 previously outstanding restricted shares of our common stock, which were subsequently cancelled, and obtained a 1.25% net smelter royalty on any future production, if any, of the Hot Pots and Fletcher Junction Exploration Projects. The rescission included 3,000,000 shares that had been previously placed in escrow under the terms of an agreement in which the Company was to obtain 100% control of Pediment Gold LLC and its proprietary water technology. The rescission of the Company common stock and forfeiture of its Pediment Gold interest resulted in a gain of \$435,494.

Neither Hot Pots nor the Fletcher Junction Project has any proven or probable reserves at the present time.

3. Related Party Transactions

On April 26, 2006 the CEO received a total of 750,000 shares of Company common stock at \$0.39 per share, the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of issuance, for total consideration of \$292,500. The transaction resulted in the cancellation of previously accrued salary and operating payables.

On April 26, 2006 the CEO received 1,762,096 shares of common stock at \$0.39 per share for total consideration of \$687,217 in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement related to the acquisition of the royalty interests in mineral properties.

The aggregate of 2,512,096 shares of Company common stock issued to the CEO during the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 include additional exercisable warrants of 2,512,096, each for one additional share of common stock at \$0.31 per share expiring on April 12, 2011. The Company recognized \$879,726 of non-cash compensation expense related to issuance of the warrants.

On April 26, 2006 the Company s CFO, previously engaged as a consultant, received 500,000 shares of common stock at \$0.39 per share for total consideration of \$195,000 as compensation for advisory work related to the acquisition of the royalty assets. The shares include additional exercisable warrants of 500,000, each for one additional share of common stock at \$0.31 per share expiring on April 12, 2011. The Company recognized \$175,098 of non-cash compensation expense related to issuance of the warrants.

The Company entered into an employment agreement with its CFO effective May 1, 2006. The initial term of the agreement is three years with a base compensation of \$125,000 per year. In addition, the CFO was granted 750,000 restricted shares of the Company s common stock, which vest equally over the term of the agreement, beginning in May 2007.

At December 31, 2006, related party payables of \$505,964 consist of accrued salary and bonuses payable to the Company s CEO and CFO.

4. Property and Equipment

The following table summarizes the net book value of the components of our property and equipment, including our royalty interests in mineral properties as of December 31, 2006.

Royalty Interest in Mineral Properties as of December 31, 2006 (\$ in 000 s)

	Gross	umulated oreciation	Net
Production stage royalty interests			
Williams mine	\$ 3,441	\$ (535)	\$ 2,906
Don Mario mine	3,101	(489)	2,612
El Limon mine	2,001	(225)	1,776
Joe Mann mine	374	(64)	310
Subtotal	\$ 8,917	\$ (1,313)	\$ 7,604
Development Stage Royaltiess resource			
Dolores resource	\$ 3,597		\$ 3,597
Relief Canyon mine	2,843		2,843
Lluvia Del Oro property	788		788
Subtotal	\$ 7,228		\$ 7,228
Exploration Stage			
Seguenega	\$ 3,587		\$ 3,587
Night Hawk Lake Property			
Marmato			
Hot Pot			
Fletcher Junction			
Subtotal	\$ 3,587		\$ 3,587
Total royalty interests in mineral properties	\$ 19,732	\$ (1,313)	\$ 18,419
Equipment	\$ 6.1	\$ (1.7)	\$ 4.4
Total Property and Equipment	\$ 19,738	\$ (1,315)	\$ 18,423

The Company incurred depreciation expense on property and equipment of \$1,314,865 and \$198 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Discussed below is the status of each of our royalty interests in mineral properties.

Williams Mine We own a 0.72% NSR on the Williams mine which is located 350 kilometres east of Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada. The NSR covers the underground operations and a portion of the open pit operations. The mine is jointly owned and operated by Teck Cominco Ltd. (50%) and Barrick Gold Corporation Ltd. (50%). The mine is currently operating.

Don Mario Mine We own a 3.0% NSR on the Don Mario mine. The mine is owned by Orvana Minerals Corp., and is currently operating. The Don Mario mine is located within the San Juan Canton, of the province of Chiquitos, in eastern Bolivia.

El Limon/La India We own a 3.0% NSR on the El Limon mine and La India resource. The mine is owned by Glencairn Gold Corporation. The El Limon mine is currently operating. The La India resource is currently being explored. The El Limon mine is located in northwestern Nicaragua, approximately 140 kilometers form the capital Managua.

F-14

Table of Contents

Joe Mann Mine We own a 1% NSR on the Joe Mann mine. The mine is owned by Campbell Resources Inc. The mine is currently operating and is located approximately 550 km north of Montral, Quebec.

Dolores Reserve We own a 1.25% NSR on gold production from the Dolores Resource. The resource is owned by Minefinders Corporation Ltd. Construction of the mine is in process, with a start-up date expected in the third quarter of 2007. Dolores is located in Mexico, in the state of Chihuahua.

Relief Canyon Mine We own a 4.0% NSR on the Relief Canyon mine The mine is owned by Firstgold Inc. The mine is expected to restart by 2008 according to the mine operator, Firstgold Inc. The mine is located approximately 110 miles northeast of Reno, Nevada.

Lluvia De Oro We carry our 3.0% NSR interest in the mine as a development stage asset, since the mine was previously in production, is currently on care and maintenance.

Seguenega Property We own a 3.0% NSR in the Sega property. The property is owned by Orezone Resources Inc. Orezone has the option to purchase (buy back) up to two thirds of our royalty interest (i.e. from 3% to 1%) for \$2.0 million, prior to starting production. The property is located in Burkina Faso.

Night Hawk Lake Property, Marmato, Hot Pot and Fletcher Junction are all early stage exploration properties. We will update as needed based on further exploration work by the property owners.

In December 2006 we entered into an agreement, for \$25,000, to acquire an option to purchase a 2% net smelter return royalty on Minefinders Corporation Ltd. s Dolores mine in Chihuahua, Mexico. The 2% net smelter return royalty covers both gold and silver production from the Dolores mine. The acquisition is required to close on or before March 31, 2007 for approximately \$9,450,000. On March 28, 2007 we completed the acquisition.

5. <u>Deferred Charges</u>

Deferred charges consist of issuance costs incurred in connection with securing funds from the Gold loan and Bridge loan facilities (See note 7). The Company amortizes the issuance costs as interest expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the respective loans.

The Company incurred issuance costs totaling \$1,084,722 during the year ended December 31, 2006 of which \$852,482 was paid via the issuance of 2,356,053 shares of common stock.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 the Company amortized \$674,286 of the issuance costs.

6. Operating Lease

The Company leases office space in Reno, Nevada on an annual basis that expired April 30, 2006. On May 1, 2006 the Company renewed the lease on a month to month basis that calls for base monthly payments of \$250 per month and additional incidental charges for shared office and administrative services based on usage. The lease is cancelable with thirty days notice to the lessor.

The Company leases office space in Vancouver, British Columbia on an annual basis that expires on August 31, 2006. The Company renewed the lease on a month to month basis. The lease calls for base monthly payments of \$1,300.

Rent expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$20,773 and \$18,528 respectively.

7. Notes Payable

Gold Facility

In April 2006 the Company entered into an 11,750 ounce gold facility agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited. The Company sold the gold on the open market at \$587.90 per ounce on April 10, 2006 for total proceeds of \$6,907,825. The gold facility calls for the Company to repay Macquarie Bank Limited in sixteen quarterly installments of 907 ounces beginning May 15, 2006 with a final installment of 488 ounces due on May 15, 2010 for total consideration of 15,000 ounces. Additionally, under the terms of the Gold Facility, on each gold delivery date,

F-15

Table of Contents

the Company is required to make an additional and mandatory pre-delivery of gold, determined in accordance with and subject to the following conditions:

- (a) pre-delivery of gold under the agreement, shall only be required on a gold delivery date which:
 - (i) immediately follows a fiscal quarter wherein the London Gold Price was greater than US\$425/Ounce for more than 15 days; or
 - (ii) occurs when a Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing; and
 - (b) the amount of gold to be pre-delivered shall be the current gold value of the US Dollar amount which is 50% of Free Cash Flow for such fiscal quarter;

A mandatory pre-delivery of gold under the agreement is to be applied first against the final gold delivery and then against the remaining gold payments in which deliveries are applied in reverse order to the order in which the gold deliveries are made.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company made required prepayments of 73 ounces on June 5, 2006 and 29 ounces on October 10, 2006.

The facility is collateralized by the acquired royalty interests in mining properties.

Bridge Loan Facility

On April 25, 2006, the Company entered into a bridge loan agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited for \$4,000,000. The bridge loan carries a 12% annual interest rate, interest is accrued until maturity, and the loan is due December 31, 2006. The loan carries a one-time extension option through March 31, 2007.

On December 11, 2006 the Company issued 421,053 shares of common stock for total consideration of \$252,632 to exercise the option to extend the loan through March 31, 2007.

The loan is collateralized by the acquired royalty interests in mining properties which the Company believes will sufficiently cover the debt.

Subordinated Exchangeable Debenture

The Company entered into a subordinated exchangeable debenture with IAMGOLD (seller of acquired royalty interests in mining properties) for a total of \$2,000,000. The debenture carries an interest rate of 6% per annum and is due on April 25, 2008. Principal and interest payments are due semi-annually and may be paid in cash or in shares of common stock of the Company. Additionally, IAMGOLD may, at any time within the period, convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest into shares of common stock.

On October 25, 2006, the Company issued 102,940 restricted shares of common stock for accrued and unpaid interest payable on the 6% Exchangeable Secured Subordinated Debenture due to IAMGOLD (debenture holder) on October 25, 2006 for total consideration of \$60,735. The number of common shares issued was based on the unpaid interest payable, divided by the weighted average trading price of the Company s common shares. The weighted average trading price was calculated by dividing the aggregate dollar trading values of the Company s common shares for the twenty consecutive trading days immediately preceding October 25, 2006, by the aggregate number of common shares traded during that same period.

The following table illustrates the Company s future obligations as of December 31, 2006:

Year	Principal	Interest	Total
2007 2008 2009 2010	5,452,945 3,649,664 1,876,246 725,604	931,636 521,045 256,655 34,346	6,384,581 4,170,709 2,132,901 759,950
Total	11,704,459	1,743,682	13,448,141

F-16

Table of Contents

Note: The above table includes principal and interest on the gold loan facility based on a gold price of \$587.90 per ounce.

At December 31, 2006 the Company recognized an unrealized loss on the carrying amount of its gold loan facility in the amount of \$463,810.

Capital Stock

On December 15, 2006, the Company issued 200,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share for total consideration of \$50,000 related to the exercise of previously issued warrants.

On December 11, 2006, the Company issued 421,053 shares of common stock at \$0.60 per share to Macquarie Bank Limited for total consideration of \$252,632 related to fees incurred for extension of the Bridge Loan Facility until March 31, 2007.

On October 25, 2006, the Company issued 102,940 restricted shares of common stock to IAMGold for payment of accrued interest, in the amount of \$60,735.

On June 30, 2006, the Company cancelled 7,800,000 shares of previously issued restricted common stock, of which 3,000,000 of these shares had been previously placed in escrow and rescinded, related to the divesture of its interest in Pediment Gold LLC.

On April 26, 2006, the Company issued 11,669,353 shares of common stock at \$0.31 per share to accredited investors in a private placement offering. Total proceeds received in the private placement were \$3,439,119 net of fees of \$178,380. Each share has an attached warrant exercisable into one share of common stock at \$0.31 per share expiring on April 12, 2011.

On April 26, 2006, the Company issued 2,512,096 shares of common stock at \$0.39 per share to the CEO for total consideration of \$979,717 related to previously accrued salary, operating payables, and bonuses related to the acquisition of the royalty interests in mineral properties. Each share has an attached warrant exercisable into one share of common stock at \$0.31 per share expiring on April 12, 2011.

On April 26, 2006, the Company issued 500,000 shares of common stock at \$0.39 per share to its CFO (previously in a consulting role) for total consideration of \$195,000 in accordance with the terms of a consulting agreement. Each share has an attached warrant exercisable into one share of common stock at \$0.31 per share expiring on April 12, 2011.

On April 26, 2006, the Company issued 1,935,000 shares of common stock at \$0.31 per share to Macquarie Bank Limited for total consideration of \$599,850 related to the payment of loan origination fees incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

On April 26, 2006, the Company issued 12,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.39 for total consideration of \$4,680,000 related to the purchase of royalty interests in mineral properties from IAMGOLD.

Warrants

Warrants were issued in conjunction with the issuance of common stock. To estimate costs related to the issuance of warrants, we have used a lattice pricing model using a life equal to the maximum contractual life. The warrants expire on various dates through April 2011.

The estimated fair value of warrants, using a lattice pricing model is based on the following assumptions.

	2006	2005
Expected Dividend yield Expected stock price volatility Risk free interest rate Expected life of warrants (years)	\$ -0- 90% 4.90% 5.00	\$ -0- 72% 4.00% 1.75
F-17		

The following table illustrates the warrant activity as of December 31, 2006 and 2005:

	2000 Warrants	W A E	eighted verage xercise Price	200 Warrants	We Av Ex	eighted verage vercise Price
Outstanding, beginning of the year Issued Exercised Expired	1,500,000 14,681,449 (200,000)	\$	0.25 0.31 0.25	1,500,000	\$	0.25
Outstanding, end of the period	15,981,449	\$	0.3051	1,500,000	\$	0.25
Currently exercisable	15,981,449	\$	0.3051	1,500,000	\$	0.25

Stock warrants outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2006 are as follows:

Range of	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise	Average Remaining Contractual Life	Number of Warrants Vested	Weighted Average Exercise
Exercise Price	Outstanding	Price	(Years)	(Exercisable)	Price
\$ 0.25	1,300,000	\$ 0.25	.75	1,300,000	\$ 0.25
\$ 0.31	14,681,449	\$ 0.31	4.50	14,681,449	\$ 0.31

The Company recognized \$1,054,824 of stock based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2006 related to the warrants issued to our CEO and CFO. The Company recognized \$379,912 in financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2005 related to the issuance of warrants to third parties.

10. Stock Option Plan

The Company adopted the 2004-2005 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan. The Plan allows the Company to grant options for a maximum of 3,500,000 shares of common stock. The Plan is effective for ten years.

During April 2005 the Company s board of directors amended the terms of the Plan. The exercise price of all previously granted options was reduced from \$0.99 to \$0.40 and the vesting date was changed from May 31, 2005 to April 15, 2005. As part of the amendment, the previously issued options at \$0.99 were treated as cancelled and reissued at \$0.40 in April, 2005. The amendment of Plan resulted in the Company recognizing an additional \$280,000 of stock-based compensation.

The vesting date for all options granted under the Plan, as amended, was April 15, 2005.

The following table summarizes the activity of the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

	20 Shares	A E	Veighted Everage Exercise Price	20 Shares	V	Veighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, beginning Options cancelled Options exercised Options forfeited Option granted	3,200,000	\$	0.40 0.40 0.40	1,000,000 (1,000,000) 3,200,000	\$	0.99 0.99
Outstanding, ending	3,200,000	\$	0.40	3,200,000	\$	0.40
	F-18					

Table of Contents

The fair value of each option granted is estimated at the date of grant using a lattice option-pricing model with the following assumptions.

	2006	2005
Expected Dividend yield	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Expected stock price volatility	90%	72%
Risk free interest rate	4.90%	4.00%
Expected life of options (years)	3.00	4.18

A summary of the outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2006, is as follows:

			Weighted		
Range of	Number of Options	Weighted Average	Remaining Contractual	Number of Options Vested	Average Exercise
Kange of	Options	Exercise	Life	Options vesteu	LACICISC
Exercise Price	Outstanding	Price	(Years)	(Exercisable)	Price
\$ 0.40	3,200,000	\$ 0.40	3.18	3,200,000	\$ 0.40

The Company recognized \$0 and \$913,776 of stock based compensation expense related to the 2004-2005 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for the periods ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

11. Income Taxes

The Company s current tax benefits for the years ending December 31, 2006 and 2005 of \$1,593,911 and \$0, respectively, consist of the following amounts:

	2006	2005
Current tax:		
USA	\$ 792,438	\$ 382,112
Foreign	459,276	
Deferred tax:		
USA	(397,165)	97,997
Foreign	170,352	
Valuation allowance decrease/ (increase)	569,010	(480,109)
Net tax benefit	\$ 1,593,911	\$

During the year ended December 31, 2006 the Company applied \$1,168,133 of tax loss carryforwards from prior periods to fully off-set the current United States tax payable.

A reconciliation of the expected income tax (benefit) computed using the federal statutory income tax rate to the Company s effective income tax rate is as follows for the years ended December 31, 2006, and 2005:

	2006	2005
Income tax computed at federal statutory tax rate	34%	34%
Foreign tax rates	0.43	
Depletion allowance	1.22	
Non-deductible expenses	(10.81)	(0.01)
Change in valuation allowance	13.74	(33.99)
Total	38.58%	0.00%

F-19

Table of Contents

Significant components of the Company s deferred tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2005 and 2006 consisted of the following:

	2006	2005
Deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 651,345	\$ 589,235
Joint venture exploration costs		(330,869)
Pre-acquisition royalty receipts	102,930	
Deferred finance costs	170,243	
Stock-based compensation	669,324	310,684
Other	69	(40)
Foreign tax credit	177,727	
Valuation Allowance		(569,010)
Total	\$ 1,771,638	\$

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had estimated net operating loss carry forwards for United States and Canadian income tax purposes of approximately \$564,910 and \$1,312,216, respectively. These operating losses expire from 2016 through 2024. The utilization of net operating loss carry forwards may be limited due to the ownership change under the provisions of Internal Revenue Code Section 382 and similar foreign provisions.

In 2005, the deferred income tax assets of the Company were offset by a valuation allowance since management believed the recoverability of the deferred tax asset was not likely. Accordingly, no deferred income tax benefits for the year ended December 31, 2005 were recognized. Based on circumstances existing at December 31, 2006 the Company has re-assessed the need for the valuation allowance. The Company anticipates utilization of the existing deferred tax assets to off-set future taxable income from operations.

12. Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)

Summarized unaudited quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 are noted below (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

		20	006	
	Dec. 31, 2006	Sep. 30, 2006	Jun. 30, 2006	Mar. 31, 2006
Net revenues	\$ 759	\$ 884	\$ 752	\$
Income/(Loss) from operations	\$ (135)	\$ 121	\$ (2,474)	\$ (181)
Net loss	\$ 1,621	\$ (571)	\$ (2,969)	\$ (181)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)
		20	005	
	Dec. 31, 2005	Sep. 30, 2005	Jun. 30, 2005	Mar. 31, 2005

Net revenues	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loss from operations	\$	\$	\$	\$
Net loss	\$ (167)	\$ (446)	\$ (1,009)	\$ (172)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)

F-20

13. Loss per Share

The Company s loss per share of common stock is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding at the financial statement date consisting of the following:

	2006	2005
BASIC LOSS PER SHARE: Net loss Shares outstanding	\$ (2,100,364) 57,759,877	\$ (1,793,922) 41,530,000
Loss per basic share	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)
FULLY DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE: Net loss Shares outstanding	\$ (2,100,364) 57,759,877	\$ (1,793,922) 41,530,000
Loss per basic share	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)

Outstanding instruments convertible into shares of common stock totaling 23,181,449 have not been included in the above calculation as the effects are anti-dilutive.

14. Going Concern

The report of independent auditors on the Company s December 31, 2006 financial statements includes an explanatory paragraph indicating there is substantial doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern. Management has developed a plan to address these issues and allow the Company to continue as a going concern through at least the end of 2007. This plan includes sustaining revenues and reducing operating expenses as necessary. Although the Company believes the plan will be realized, there is no assurance that these events will occur. In the event the Company is unsuccessful, the Company may pursue additional debt or equity financing. If the Company is unable or cannot otherwise raise cash to finance operations, the Company could be forced to significantly reduce its level of operations. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

15. Pro Forma Financial Information

On April 25, 2006 the Company acquired certain royalty interests in mineral properties. The following is pro-forma condensed operating data which portrays the results of operations as if the transaction had occurred at January 1, 2006:

	2006
Net revenue	\$ 3,192,110
Depreciation and amortization	(1,821,441)
Operating income (loss)	(2,045,035)

Other expenses	(1,358,306)
Pro-forma net (loss)	(1,809,430)
Pro-forma net (loss) per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.03)
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted	57,759,877

16. Subsequent Events

On February 28, 2007, we entered into an agreement with Royal Gold, Inc. (Royal Gold) for Royal Gold to acquire 100% of the fully diluted shares of the Company for approximately 1.57 million shares of Royal Gold common stock in a merger transaction. This represents a value of \$0.60 per fully diluted share of the Company, or a 29% premium to the 20-day weighted average trading price of the Company as of Friday, February 23, 2007. The

F-21

Table of Contents

proposal is subject to satisfactory completion of due diligence, definitive documentation, and receipt of a fairness opinion satisfactory to Royal Gold s Board of Directors, among other conditions.

In order to meet our upcoming obligations and the Dolores Resource Royalty acquisition, and in accordance with our proposed merger agreement, we entered into a binding term sheet, as of February 28, 2007, whereas Royal Gold will provide up to \$20 million in convertible bridge financing. The bridge loan is convertible into Battle Mountain common stock at the discretion of Royal Gold at a fixed price of \$0.60 per share.

As of March 28, 2007 we received a total of \$13,914,552 in bridge financing from Royal Gold. The loan has a one year term and carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3%. Subsequent to the receipt of the financing, we closed the acquisition of the Dolores Resource Royalty, on March 28, 2007, for approximately \$9,450,000. Additionally, the proceeds were used to fully pay the principal and accrued interest of \$4,464,552 on the Macquarie bridge financing arrangement due on March 31, 2007.

F-22

Table of Contents

BATTLE MOUNTAIN S QUARTERLY RESULTS FOR QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Battle Mountain prepared the accompanying unaudited interim financial statements of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corporation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. In management s opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods have been reflected in the interim financial statements. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year. All adjustments to the financial statements are of a normal recurring nature. The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

F-23

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORPORATION

BALANCE SHEET

	Ju	ne 30, 2007
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash, non-restricted	\$	1,285,571
Cash, restricted		525,184
Accounts receivable, net		766,536
Deferred charges		47,342
Prepaid interest		236,550
Other current assets		4,716
		1,710
Total current assets		2,865,899
Property and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,184,878)		27,028,718
Other Assets		
Deferred charges		87,692
Deferred tax assets		2,434,244
Deposits		295
		,
Total other assets		2,522,231
Total assets	\$	32,416,848
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities	4	7 0 2 42
Accounts payable	\$	59,243
Interest payable		329,725
Foreign tax payable		30,757
Convertible debentures		2,000,000
Bridge loan payable		14,514,552
Current portion of gold loan payable		799,624
Total current liabilities		17,733,901
Long-Term Liabilities		
Gold loan payable		3,904,595
		, ,
Total long-term liabilities		3,904,595
Total liabilities		21,638,496
Commitments and Contingencies		
Table of Contents		051
Table of Contents		251

Stockholders Equity

Preferred stock: 10,000,000 shares authorized (\$0.001 par value) none issued Common stock, \$.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized 75,970,620 shares issued and outstanding 75,970 Additional paid-in-capital 17,266,683 Stock subscriptions receivable (270,000)Accumulated other comprehensive loss (445,087)Accumulated deficit (5,849,214)Total stockholders equity 10,778,352 Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$ 32,416,848

F-24

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORPORATION

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the Six M June 30, 2007	Iont	hs Ended June 30, 2006	F	June 30, 2007	Mor	ths Ended June 30, 2006
Royalty Revenue	\$ 1,897,302	\$	751,764	\$	1,053,666	\$	751,764
Expenses	(960 621)		(010.700)		(450,022)		(010 (57)
Depreciation	(869,631)		(910,709)		(450,922)		(910,657)
Professional and consulting	(451,180)		(2,291,254)		(243,190)		(2,144,067)
General and administrative	(1,313,958)		(204,936)		(1,248,444)		(170,968)
Total operating expenses	(2,634,769)		(3,406,899)		(1,942,556)		(3,225,692)
Loss from operations	(737,467)		(2,655,135)		(888,890)		(2,473,928)
Other Income (Expense)							
Interest expense	(1,113,822)		(390,916)		(489,388)		(390,916)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	116		(622)		(177)		(622)
Loss on gold payment	(301,586)		(63,199)		(231,699)		(63,199)
S. I. I.	(= -)=)		(,,		(- ,,		(,,
Total other expense	(1,415,292)		(454,737)		(721,264)		(454,737)
Loss before benefit/(provision) for income							
taxes	(2,152,759)		(3,109,872)		(1,610,154)		(2,928,665)
Benefit/(provision) for income taxes	517,034		(40,484)		441,640		(40,484)
Benefit (provision) for meome taxes	217,021		(10,101)		111,010		(10,101)
Net loss	\$ (1,635,725)	\$	(3,150,356)	\$	(1,168,514)	\$	(2,969,149)
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.02)	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.05)
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted	68,944,512		52,068,816		72,655,620		61,607,633
and direct	00,544,512		32,000,010		72,033,020		01,007,033
Comprehensive Loss Net loss per statement of operations	\$ (1,635,725)	\$	(3,150,356)	\$	(1,168,514)	\$	(2,969,149)
Foreign currency translation adjustments,	14021		(2.0.40)		1.4.20.5		(2.040)
net of tax Unrealized loss on gold holdings and	14,031		(3,048)		14,295		(3,048)
commitments, net of tax	(12,767)		(269,763)		116,066		(269,763)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,634,461)	\$	(3,423,167)	\$	(1,038,153)	\$	(3,241,960)
	F-25						
	1 23						

BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION CORPORATION

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Six Mont June	
	2007	2006
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (1,635,725)	\$ (3,150,356)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	869,631	910,709
Non-cash compensation		1,937,042
Expenses paid by issuance of common stock	1,144,544	
Foreign exchange loss		622
Change in operating assets and liabilities		
(Increase) in accounts receivable	(175,170)	(566,690)
(Decrease) Increase in accounts payable	(19,166)	94,544
Decrease (Increase) in deferred service charges	38,852	(63,669)
Decrease in prepaid		1,188
(Decrease) Increase in accrued interest	(130,045)	176,202
(Decrease) Increase in related party payable	(57,724)	125,787
Increase in deferred tax assets	(662,606)	
Increase in foreign tax payable	30,757	40,484
Net cash used in operating activities	(596,652)	(494,137)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Purchase of property and equipment		(3,319)
Purchase of royalty rights	(9,450,000)	(13,052,490)
Proceeds from sale of short term investments		
Investment in joint venture		(98,825)
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,450,000)	(13,154,634)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Proceeds from issuance of related party notes payable	14,514,552	6,907,825
Proceeds from issuance of notes payable		
Principal payments on notes payable	(5,452,945)	(529,999)
Principal payments on related party notes payable		
Proceeds from short term notes		4,000,000
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	2,530,000	3,439,119
Net cash provided by financing activities	11,591,607	13,816,945
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,544,955	168,174
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(9,341)	(5,461)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	275,141	246,614

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

\$ 1,810,755

\$

409,327

F-26

Table of Contents

Supplemental Information and non cash transactions

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006, Battle Mountain paid \$740,103 and \$0, respectively in interest.

Battle Mountain paid no income taxes during the six months ended June 30, 2007, and 2006.

Battle Mountain issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock to its CEO at \$0.45 per share for previously accrued bonus amounts of \$452,956.

F-27

Battle Mountain Notes to the Interim Financial Statements

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

We prepared the accompanying unaudited interim financial statements of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corporation (the Company, we, our, us) in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. In management s opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods have been reflected in the interim financial statements. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year. All adjustments to the financial statements are of a normal recurring nature.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted. Such disclosures are those that would substantially duplicate information contained in our most recent audited financial statements, such as unchanged significant accounting policies. Management presumes that users of the interim statements have read or have access to the audited financial statements and notes thereto, included in the our most recent annual report on Form 10-KSB.

Business

We, together with our subsidiaries, are engaged in the business of acquiring and managing precious metals royalties. Royalties are passive (non-operating) interests in mining projects that provide the right to revenue from the project after deducting specified costs, if any.

We seek to acquire existing royalties or to assist in the financing of projects that are in production or near production in exchange for royalty interests. We expect that substantially all of our revenues are and will be derived from royalty interests. We do not conduct mining operations at this time or expect to in the foreseeable future.

Reclassifications

Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications did not have an impact on previously reported financial position, cash flows, or results of operations.

2. Related Party Transactions

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007 we issued our CEO 1,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.45 per share in complete satisfaction of previously accrued bonus amounts of \$452,956 in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007 we paid our CFO \$50,000 in satisfaction of previously accrued bonus amounts in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007 we issued a total of 2,550,000 shares of common stock at \$0.45 per share to our CFO, CEO, and four other Board Members, in accordance with their respective employment agreements, for a total of \$1,144,544 included in general and administrative expense.

3. Property and Equipment

As of June 30, 2007 we have made the following royalty acquisition:

We acquired an additional 2% gold net smelter royalty and a 2% silver net smelter royalty in the Dolores Reserve; located in Chihuahua, Mexico; for \$9,450,000. The additional acquisition provides us total net smelter royalties of 3.25% and 2.00% for gold and silver production, respectively. The total Dolores Reserve royalty remains in the development stage.

F-28

Battle Mountain Notes to the Interim Financial Statements (Continued)

As of June 30, 2007 we made no changes in our royalty interests classified as production, development, and exploration, respectively. Further, the mine operators have made no significant changes in their estimated 2007 production.

4. Notes Payable

Gold Facility

In April 2006, we entered into an 11,750 ounce gold facility agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited. The facility required quarterly payments of 907 ounces totaling 15,000 ounces with the final payment due on May 15, 2010. The facility also requires certain mandatory pre-payment provisions.

In accordance with our entry into the Bridge Financing Facility with Royal Gold, as noted below, Macquarie Bank mandated the delivery 2,721 ounces of gold within 45 days of the execution of Bridge Loan agreement. The amounts represented the remaining fiscal 2007 quarterly payments originally due in May, August, and November. The required prepayments were completed in May for total consideration of \$1,846,652, of which \$236,550 was classified as prepaid interest, based on the spot rates on the payment dates.

As of June 30, 2007 approximately 7,230 ounces of gold remain outstanding and is valued at the current spot rate. The facility is collateralized by our royalty interests.

Royal Gold Bridge Financing

On March 27, 2007 we entered into a bridge financing agreement with Royal Gold, Inc, whereas Royal Gold will provide up to \$20,000,000 in convertible bridge financing. The loan has a one year term and carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3%. In April 2007 the total financing available under this agreement was reduced to \$15,000,000 by Royal Gold. Additionally, Royal Gold may, at any time during the term of the agreement, convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest into shares of common stock at a conversion price equal to \$0.60 per share.

As of June 30, 2007 the amount outstanding related to the Bridge financing was \$14,514,552 and is collateralized by our royalty interests.

Subordinated Exchangeable Debenture

We entered into a subordinated exchangeable debenture with IAMGOLD Corp. (seller of the majority of our acquired royalty interests in mining properties) for a total of \$2,000,000. The debenture carries an interest rate of 6% per annum and is due on April 25, 2008. Principal and interest payments are due semi-annually and may be paid in cash or in shares of common stock. Additionally, IAMGOLD may, at any time within the period, convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest into shares of common stock at \$0.50 per share.

The following table illustrates our future obligations as of June 30, 2007:

Year	Principal	Interest	Total
2008	17,314,176	1,373,907	18,688,083

2009	1,759,095	373,805	2,132,900
2010	1,692,795	133,606	1,826,401
Total	20,766,066	1,881,318	22,647,384

Note: The above table includes principal and interest on the gold loan facility based on a gold price of \$587.90 per ounce.

F-29

Battle Mountain Notes to the Interim Financial Statements (Continued)

5. Commitments and Contingencies

On April 17, 2007, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Royal Gold, Inc., (Royal Gold) a Delaware corporation and Royal Battle Mountain Inc. (BMG Acquisition), a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold.

Upon consummation of the merger, which has been approved by the board of directors of both our company and Royal Gold, we will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold. Under the Merger Agreement, Royal Gold will issue up to 1,634,410 of its shares of common stock as consideration for all of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock as at the effective time of the Merger. The consideration payable to our shareholders will depend on the average trading price of Royal Gold s common stock preceding the closing, and ranges from 1,634,410 Royal Gold shares of common stock, if Royal Gold s stock price is at \$29.00 or below, to 1,570,507 Royal Gold shares of common stock, if the Royal Gold s stock price is at \$30.18 or above. A proportional adjustment will be made between these two trading prices. On a per share basis, Royal Gold will pay our shareholders between 0.0172 and 0.0179 shares of Royal Gold s common stock. This consideration is also subject to a potential holdback of approximately 50,000 Royal Gold shares, or approximately 0.0006 Royal Gold shares on a per share basis, for contingent liabilities.

The merger is conditioned upon, among other things, approvals by our stockholders, no legal impediment to the merger, the absence of any material adverse effect on our company or Royal Gold, completion of due diligence reviews by both companies, the declaration of effectiveness of a registration statement by the Securities and Exchange Commission and any other necessary regulatory approvals. The Merger Agreement contains certain termination rights for both our company and Royal Gold, and further provides that, upon termination of the Merger Agreement under specified circumstances, either company may be required to pay the other company certain termination fees.

6. Capital Stock

In June 2007, we issued 3,045,000 shares of common stock for cash at \$0.31 per share totaling \$943,950, for the exercise of previously outstanding warrants.

In May 2007 we issued 305,000 shares of common stock for cash at \$0.31 per share totaling \$94,550, for the exercise of previously outstanding warrants.

In May, 2007 we issued 3,550,000 shares of common stock at \$0.45 per share to related parties as noted above in Note 2.

In April 2007 we issued 161,290 shares of common stock for cash at \$0.31 per share totaling \$50,000 for the exercise of previously outstanding warrants.

In April 2007, we issued 500,000 shares of common stock for cash at \$0.25 per share totaling \$125,000 for the exercise of previously outstanding warrants.

In March 2007 we received \$215,500 for the April issuance of 850,000 shares of common stock related to the exercise of previously outstanding warrants of which 800,000 were exercised at \$0.25 per share and 50,000 were exercised at \$0.31 per share.

In March 2007, we issued 600,000 shares of common stock for cash at \$0.31 per share totaling \$186,000 for the exercise of previously outstanding warrants.

In March 2007 we issued 2,888,888 shares of common stock at \$0.45 per share to accredited investors in a private placement offering. Proceeds of the private placement were \$1,185,000, net of fees of \$115,000. As of June 30, 2007 proceeds of \$270,000 were held in escrow and are classified as stock subscriptions receivable.

F-30

Battle Mountain Notes to the Interim Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Warrants

Warrants were issued in conjunction with the issuance of common stock. To estimate costs related to the issuance of warrants, we have used a lattice pricing model using a life equal to the maximum contractual life. The warrants expire on various dates through April 2011.

The estimated fair value of warrants, using a lattice pricing model is based on the following assumptions.