

EXTREME NETWORKS INC
Form 10-Q
February 07, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended January 1, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-25711

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
[State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization]

77-0430270
[I.R.S Employer
Identification No.]

3585 Monroe Street,
Santa Clara, California
[Address of principal executive office]

95051
[Zip Code]

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (408) 579-2800

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: EXTREME NETWORKS INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$.001 par value, outstanding at January 30, 2012 was 93,425,598.

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.
 FORM 10-Q
 QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 1, 2012
 INDEX

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| <u>PART I. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 1, 2012 and July 3, 2011</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 28 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 29 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 31 |
| Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u> | 31 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 31 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 31 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosure</u> | 31 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 31 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 31 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 33 |

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (In thousands, except share and per share amounts)
 (Unaudited)

| | January 1, 2012 | July 3, 2011 |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$49,419 | \$49,972 |
| Short-term investments | 34,250 | 41,357 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,050 at January 1, 2012 and \$1,412 at July 3, 2011 | 39,025 | 33,689 |
| Inventories, net | 21,392 | 21,583 |
| Deferred income taxes | 711 | 681 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets, net | 4,611 | 10,132 |
| Assets held for sale | 17,057 | — |
| Total current assets | 166,465 | 157,414 |
| Property and equipment, net | 24,660 | 41,877 |
| Marketable securities | 62,771 | 55,648 |
| Intangible assets | 4,179 | 4,906 |
| Other assets, net | 10,171 | 11,128 |
| Total assets | \$268,246 | \$270,973 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$16,347 | \$15,092 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 13,022 | 13,723 |
| Restructuring liabilities | 710 | 3,183 |
| Accrued warranty | 2,651 | 2,640 |
| Deferred revenue, net | 31,308 | 29,613 |
| Deferred distributors revenue, net of deferred cost of sales to distributors | 14,491 | 16,552 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 12,276 | 19,050 |
| Total current liabilities | 90,805 | 99,853 |
| Deferred revenue, less current portion | 7,563 | 7,360 |
| Deferred income taxes | 124 | 93 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 974 | 2,381 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 4) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Convertible preferred stock, \$.001 par value, issuable in series, 2,000,000 shares authorized; none issued | — | — |
| Common stock, \$.001 par value, 750,000,000 shares authorized; 133,034,590 and 93,409,285 shares issued and outstanding, respectively, at January 1, 2012 and 132,147,451 and 92,522,146 shares issued and outstanding, respectively, at July 3, 2011 | 133 | 132 |
| Treasury stock, 39,625,305 shares at January 1, 2012 and July 3, 2011 | (149,666) | (149,666) |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 967,438 | 963,565 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,634 | 3,703 |
| Accumulated deficit | (650,759) | (656,448) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 168,780 | 161,286 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$268,246 | \$270,973 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
 (In thousands, except per share amounts)
 (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Net revenues: | | | | |
| Product | \$68,094 | \$70,334 | \$131,307 | \$139,547 |
| Service | 14,718 | 14,797 | 30,399 | 29,421 |
| Total net revenues | 82,812 | 85,131 | 161,706 | 168,968 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | |
| Product | 30,821 | 30,893 | 60,299 | 61,723 |
| Service | 5,723 | 6,257 | 11,603 | 12,428 |
| Total cost of revenues | 36,544 | 37,150 | 71,902 | 74,151 |
| Gross profit: | | | | |
| Product | 37,273 | 39,441 | 71,008 | 77,824 |
| Service | 8,995 | 8,540 | 18,796 | 16,993 |
| Total gross profit | 46,268 | 47,981 | 89,804 | 94,817 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 22,734 | 25,087 | 44,855 | 49,993 |
| Research and development | 11,082 | 12,028 | 23,490 | 24,889 |
| General and administrative | 7,954 | 5,963 | 14,224 | 12,548 |
| Restructuring charge, net of reversal | 437 | — | 1,392 | — |
| Litigation settlement | — | (4,200) | — | (4,200) |
| Total operating expenses | 42,207 | 38,878 | 83,961 | 83,230 |
| Operating income | 4,061 | 9,103 | 5,843 | 11,587 |
| Interest income | 342 | 332 | 635 | 661 |
| Interest expense | (38) | (29) | (75) | (59) |
| Other income (expense) | (39) | 117 | 17 | (158) |
| Income before income taxes | 4,326 | 9,523 | 6,420 | 12,031 |
| Provision for income taxes | 219 | 594 | 731 | 390 |
| Net income | \$4,107 | \$8,929 | \$5,689 | \$11,641 |
| Basic and diluted net income per share: | | | | |
| Net income per share - basic | \$0.04 | \$0.10 | \$0.06 | \$0.13 |
| Net income per share - diluted | \$0.04 | \$0.10 | \$0.06 | \$0.13 |
| Shares used in per share calculation - basic | 93,247 | 90,878 | 92,978 | 90,592 |
| Shares used in per share calculation - diluted | 94,118 | 91,274 | 94,056 | 90,942 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (In thousands)
 (Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$5,689 | \$ 11,641 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Decrease in accrued investment income | 1,539 | 1,479 |
| Depreciation | 2,602 | 3,148 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,030 | 1,031 |
| Change in value / loss on value of UBS option to put securities | — | 2,429 |
| Auction rate securities mark to market, trading gain | — | (2,429) |
| Provision for doubtful accounts receivable, net of reversals | (72) | 30 |
| Excess and obsolete inventory | 474 | (100) |
| Deferred income taxes | (30) | (569) |
| Loss on retirement of assets | — | 109 |
| Stock-based compensation | 3,176 | 2,485 |
| Unrealized foreign exchange gain | (649) | (1,157) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net | | |
| Accounts receivable | (5,263) | (4,793) |
| Inventories | (282) | (2,294) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 6,172 | (4,886) |
| Accounts payable | 828 | (2,434) |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | (701) | 1,447 |
| Restructuring liabilities | (2,449) | (1,677) |
| Accrued warranty | 11 | (359) |
| Deferred revenue, net | 1,898 | 2,943 |
| Deferred distributors revenue, net of cost of sales to distributors | (2,061) | (1,239) |
| Other accrued liabilities | (7,579) | 7,397 |
| Other long-term liabilities | (379) | (2,605) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,954 | 9,597 |
| Cash flows used in investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (2,011) | (2,407) |
| Purchases of investments | (34,015) | (70,147) |
| Proceeds from maturities of investments and marketable securities | 13,889 | 11,800 |
| Proceeds from sales of investments and marketable securities | 18,192 | 45,481 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,945) | (15,273) |
| Cash flows provided by financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 698 | 273 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 698 | 273 |
| Foreign currency effect on cash | (1,260) | 337 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (553) | (5,066) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 49,972 | 51,944 |

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$49,419 | \$ 46,878 |
|--|----------|-----------|

5

Table of Contents

See accompanying notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Extreme Networks, Inc. (referred to as the “Company” or “Extreme Networks”) included herein have been prepared under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted under such rules and regulations. The condensed consolidated balance sheet at July 3, 2011 was derived from audited financial statements as of that date but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. These interim financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented and the financial condition of Extreme Networks at January 1, 2012. The results of operations for the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for fiscal 2012 or any future periods.

Reclassification

The Company revised its previously reported Statement of Cash Flows for the six month period ended December 26, 2010 to reclassify accrued interest income and amortization related to its investments, resulting in an increase of \$2.9 million in cash flows provided by operating activities and an increase of \$2.9 million in cash flows used in investing activities. The Company also made other conforming changes to the Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended December 26, 2010. These revisions had no effect on previously reported Statements of Operations or Stockholders' Equity and were not material to the Company's financial statements taken as a whole.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Principles

Revenue Recognition

The Company derives the majority of its revenue from sales of its networking equipment and from service fees related to maintenance service contracts, professional services, and training for its products. The Company defers recognition of revenue on all sales to its stocking distributors until the distributors sell the product, as evidenced by monthly “sales-out” reports that the distributors provide. The Company generally recognizes product revenue from its value-added resellers, non-stocking distributors and end-user customers at the time of shipment, provided that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price of the product is fixed or determinable, and collection of the sales proceeds is reasonably assured. In instances where the criteria for revenue recognition are not met, revenue is deferred until all criteria have been met. Revenue from service obligations under service contracts is deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the contractual service period. Service contracts typically range from one to two years.

Under the accounting standards for multiple-element revenue arrangements, when the Company's sales arrangements contain multiple elements, such as products, software licenses, maintenance agreements, and/or professional services, the Company determines the standalone selling price for each element based on a selling price hierarchy. Under the selling price hierarchy, the selling price for each deliverable is based on the Company's vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”), which is determined by a substantial majority of the Company's historical standalone sales transactions for a product or service falling within a narrow range. If VSOE is not available due to a lack of standalone sales transactions or lack of pricing within a narrow range, then third party evidence (“TPE”), as determined by the standalone pricing of competitive vendor products in similar markets, is used, if available. TPE typically is difficult to establish due to the proprietary differences of competitive products and difficulty in obtaining reliable competitive standalone pricing information. When neither VSOE nor TPE is available, the Company determines its best estimate of standalone selling price (“ESP”) for a product or service and does so by considering several factors including, but not limited to, the 12-month historical median sales price, sales channels, geography, gross margin objectives, competitive

product pricing, and product lifecycle. In consideration of all relevant pricing factors, the Company applies management judgment to determine the Company's best estimate of selling price through consultation with and formal approval by the Company's management for all products and services for which neither VSOE nor TPE is available. Generally the standalone selling price of services is determined using VSOE and the standalone selling price of other deliverables is determined by using ESP. The Company regularly reviews VSOE, TPE and ESP for all of its products and services and maintains internal controls over the establishment and updates of these estimates.

7

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

Under software revenue recognition accounting standards, the Company continues to recognize revenue for software using the residual method for its sale of standalone software products, including software upgrades, and for the sale of software that is not essential to the functionality of the hardware with which it is sold. After allocation of the relative selling price to each element of the arrangement, the Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the Company's policies for product, software, and service revenue recognition.

Available-for-Sale Securities

The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Holding Gains | Unrealized Holding Losses |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| January 1, 2012 | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$29,766 | \$29,766 | \$— | \$— |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | 89,658 | 89,545 | 141 | (255) |
| U.S. government agency securities | 7,488 | 7,476 | 1 | (13) |
| | \$126,912 | \$126,787 | \$142 | \$(268) |
| Classified as: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$29,766 | \$29,766 | \$— | \$— |
| Short-term investments | 34,207 | 34,250 | 51 | (9) |
| Marketable securities | 62,939 | 62,771 | 91 | (259) |
| | \$126,912 | \$126,787 | \$142 | \$(268) |
| July 3, 2011 | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$30,405 | \$30,405 | \$— | \$— |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | 89,004 | 89,249 | 287 | (43) |
| U.S. government agency securities | 7,746 | 7,756 | 13 | (3) |
| | \$127,155 | \$127,410 | \$300 | \$(46) |
| Classified as: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$30,405 | \$30,405 | \$— | \$— |
| Short-term investments | 41,245 | 41,357 | 114 | (1) |
| Marketable securities | 55,505 | 55,648 | 186 | (45) |
| | \$127,155 | \$127,410 | \$300 | \$(46) |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale investments in debt securities at January 1, 2012, by contractual maturity, were as follows (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|---------------|
| Due in 1 year or less | \$34,207 | \$34,250 |
| Due in 1-2 years | 43,643 | 43,585 |
| Due in 2-5 years | 19,296 | 19,186 |
| Due in more than 5 years | — | — |
| Total investments in available for sale debt securities | \$97,146 | \$97,021 |

The Company considers highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Investments with maturities of greater than three months, but less than one year at the balance sheet date are

8

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

classified as Short Term Investments. Investments with maturities of greater than one year at balance sheet date are classified as Marketable Securities. Except for direct obligations of the United States government, securities issued by agencies of the United States government, and money market funds, the Company diversifies its investments by limiting its holdings with any individual issuer.

Investments include available-for-sale investment-grade debt securities that the Company carries at fair value. The Company accumulates unrealized gains and losses on the Company's available-for-sale debt securities, net of tax, in accumulated other comprehensive income in the stockholders' equity section of its balance sheets. Such an unrealized gain or loss does not reduce net income for the applicable accounting period. If the fair value of an available-for-sale debt instrument is less than its amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment is triggered in circumstances where (1) the Company intends to sell the instrument, (2) it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the instrument before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the instrument (that is, a credit loss exists). If the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the available-for-sale debt instrument before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company recognizes an other-than-temporary impairment in earnings equal to the entire difference between the debt instruments' amortized cost basis and its fair value. For available-for-sale debt instruments that are considered other-than-temporarily impaired due to the existence of a credit loss, if the Company does not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the instrument before recovery of its remaining amortized cost basis (amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss), the Company separates the amount of the impairment into the amount that is credit related and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is the difference between the debt instrument's amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows. The remaining difference between the debt instrument's fair value and the present value of future expected cash flows is due to factors that are not credit related and is recognized in other comprehensive income. As of January 1, 2012 and July 3, 2011, the Company did not have other-than-temporary impairment on its investment securities.

The following table presents the Company's investments' gross unrealized losses and fair values, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| January 1, 2012: | | | | | | |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | \$39,391 | \$(249) | \$7,184 | \$(6) | \$46,575 | \$(255) |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$4,972 | \$(13) | \$— | \$— | \$4,972 | \$(13) |
| | \$44,363 | \$(262) | \$7,184 | \$(6) | \$51,547 | \$(268) |

The Company determines the basis of the cost of a security sold or the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings using the specific identification method. During the three and six months ended January 1, 2012, realized gains or losses recognized on the sale of investments were not significant. As of January 1, 2012, there were twenty-seven out of fifty-one investment securities that had unrealized losses. The unrealized gains / (losses) on the Company's investments were caused by interest rate fluctuations. Substantially all of the Company's available-for-sale investments are investment grade government and corporate debt securities that have maturities of less than 3 years.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company recognizes certain financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table presents the Company's fair value hierarchy for those instruments:

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

| January 1, 2012: | Level 1 | Level 2 (In thousands) | Total |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| Assets | | | |
| Investments: | | | |
| Federal agency notes | \$— | \$7,476 | \$7,476 |
| Money market funds | 29,766 | — | 29,766 |
| Corporate notes/bonds | — | 89,545 | 89,545 |
| Total | 29,766 | 97,021 | 126,787 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | — | 42 | 42 |
| Total | \$— | \$42 | \$42 |
| | | | |
| July 3, 2011: | Level 1 | Level 2 (In thousands) | Total |
| Assets | | | |
| Investments: | | | |
| Federal agency notes | \$— | \$7,756 | \$7,756 |
| Money market funds | 30,405 | — | 30,405 |
| Corporate notes/bonds | — | 89,248 | 89,248 |
| Total | 30,405 | 97,004 | 127,409 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | — | 37 | 37 |
| Total | \$— | \$37 | \$37 |

Level 2 investment valuations are based on inputs such as quoted market prices, dealer quotations or valuations provided by alternative pricing sources supported by observable inputs. These generally include U.S. government and sovereign obligations, most government agency securities, investment-grade corporate bonds, and state, municipal and provincial obligations. As of January 1, 2012 and July 3, 2011, the Company had no assets or liabilities classified within Level 3.

Inventory, Net

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost, on a first-in, first-out basis. The Company reduces the carrying value of inventory based on excess and obsolete inventories which are primarily determined by age of inventory and future demand forecasts. At the point of the loss recognition, a new, lower-cost basis for that inventory is established, and subsequent changes in facts and circumstances do not result in the restoration or increase in that newly established cost basis. Any written down or obsolete inventory subsequently sold has not had a material impact on gross profit for any of the periods disclosed. Inventories at January 1, 2012 and July 3, 2011, respectively, were (in thousands):

| | January 1, 2012 | July 3, 2011 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| Inventory, gross | \$22,503 | \$26,487 |
| Less: Write down for excess and obsolete inventory | 1,111 | 4,904 |
| Inventory, net | \$21,392 | \$21,583 |

Long-lived assets

Long-lived assets include property and equipment, intangible assets, and service inventory which the Company holds to support customers who have purchased service contracts with a hardware replacement element. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such

assets or asset groups may not be recoverable. If such facts and circumstances exist, the Company assesses the recoverability of the long-lived assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flows associated with the related asset or group of assets over their remaining lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairments, if any, are based on the excess of the carrying amount

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

over the fair value of those assets. There was no impairment of long-lived assets during the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010.

On September 23, 2010, the Company entered into an Option Agreement with Trumark Companies LLC (“Trumark”), under which the Company granted Trumark an option (the “Option”) to purchase half of its corporate headquarters campus in Santa Clara, California (First Property), at a price of \$24.0 million. The Option expires on December 18, 2012, provided that Trumark continues to make the required Option payments. As of January 1, 2012, the Company had received \$1.0 million of Option payments from Trumark, which were classified as a deferred gain and included in other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. If Trumark exercises the Option, the closing on this transaction will occur within thirty days after the exercise date. As of January 1, 2012, the assets associated with the proposed transaction were classified as “assets held for sale” on the condensed consolidated balance at a net book value of \$17.1 million, which was the lesser of the fair value (less cost to sell) or carrying amount of the assets. In addition, as of January 1, 2012, the Company ceased recognizing depreciation expense on the assets held for sale.

Intangible assets

The following tables summarize the components of gross and net intangible asset balances (in thousands):

| | Weighted Average Remaining Amortization Period | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
|--------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| January 1, 2012: | | | | |
| Patents | 7.6 years | \$1,800 | \$528 | \$1,272 |
| License Agreements | 6.8 years | 8,443 | 5,630 | 2,813 |
| Other Intangibles | 0.7 years | 324 | 230 | 94 |
| | | \$10,567 | \$6,388 | \$4,179 |

| | Weighted Average Remaining Amortization Period | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
|--------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| July 3, 2011: | | | | |
| Patents | 7.7 years | \$1,800 | \$387 | \$1,413 |
| License Agreements | 6.5 years | 8,140 | 4,788 | 3,352 |
| Other Intangibles | 1.2 years | 324 | 183 | 141 |
| | | \$10,264 | \$5,358 | \$4,906 |

Amortization expense was \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million for the three months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively. Amortization expense was \$1.0 million and \$1.0 million for the six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively. Amortization expense expected to be recorded for each of the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

For the fiscal year ending:

| | |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Remaining in fiscal 2012 | \$703 |
| 2013 | 1,183 |
| 2014 | 552 |
| 2015 | 255 |
| 2016 | 214 |
| Thereafter | 1,272 |

Total \$4,179

Deferred Revenue, Net

Deferred revenue, net represents amounts for (i) deferred services revenue (support arrangements, professional services

11

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

and training), and (ii) deferred product revenue net of the related cost of revenues when the revenue recognition criteria have not been met. Product revenue includes shipments to end-users and value-add resellers. The following table summarizes deferred revenue, net at January 1, 2012 and July 3, 2011, respectively (in thousands):

| | January 1, 2012 | July 3, 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Deferred services | \$37,669 | \$36,025 |
| Deferred product | | |
| Deferred revenue | 1,706 | 1,984 |
| Deferred cost of sales | (504 |) (1,036 |
| Deferred product revenue, net | 1,202 | 948 |
| Balance at end of period | 38,871 | 36,973 |
| Less: current portion | 31,308 | 29,613 |
| Non-current deferred revenue, net | \$7,563 | \$7,360 |

The Company offers renewable support arrangements, including extended warranty contracts, to its customers that range generally from one to two years. Deferred support revenue is included within deferred revenue, net within the deferred services category above. The change in the Company's deferred support revenue balance in relation to these arrangements was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Balance beginning of period | \$35,730 | \$35,417 | \$35,802 | \$36,193 |
| New support arrangements | 15,960 | 18,237 | 30,560 | 31,730 |
| Recognition of support revenue | (14,274 |) (14,782 |) (28,946 |) (29,051 |
| Balance end of period | 37,416 | 38,872 | 37,416 | 38,872 |
| Less current portion | 29,853 | 31,727 | 29,853 | 31,727 |
| Non-current deferred revenue | \$7,563 | \$7,145 | \$7,563 | \$7,145 |
| Deferred Distributors Revenue, Net of Deferred Cost of Sales to Distributors | | | | |

At the time of shipment to distributors, the Company records a trade receivable at the contractual discount to list selling price since there is a legally enforceable obligation from the distributor to pay it currently for product delivered, the Company relieves inventory for the carrying value of goods shipped since legal title has passed to the distributor, and the Company records deferred revenue and deferred cost of sales in "Deferred distributors revenue, net of deferred cost of sales to distributors" in the liability section of its consolidated balance sheets. The following table summarizes deferred distributors revenue, net of deferred cost of sales to distributors at January 1, 2012 and July 3, 2011, respectively (in thousands):

| | January 1, 2012 | July 3, 2011 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| Deferred revenue | \$19,156 | \$22,454 |
| Deferred cost of sales | (4,665 |) (5,902 |
| Total deferred distributors revenue, net of deferred cost of sales to distributors | \$14,491 | \$16,552 |
| Guarantees and Product Warranties | | |

The Company's standard hardware warranty period is typically 12 months from the date of shipment to end-users. For certain access products, the Company offers a lifetime hardware warranty commencing on the date of shipment from the Company and ending five years following the Company's announcement of the end of sale of such product. The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's product warranty liability during the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively (in thousands):

12

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Balance beginning of period | \$2,702 | \$2,794 | \$2,640 | \$3,169 |
| New warranties issued | 1,589 | 1,653 | 3,237 | 2,944 |
| Warranty expenditures | (1,640) | (1,636) | (3,226) | (3,302) |
| Balance end of period | \$2,651 | \$2,811 | \$2,651 | \$2,811 |

In the normal course of business to facilitate sales of the Company's products, the Company indemnifies the Company's resellers and end-user customers with respect to certain matters. The Company has agreed to hold the customer harmless against losses arising from a breach of intellectual property infringement or other claims made against certain parties. These agreements may limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim. It is not possible to estimate the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by the Company under these agreements have not had a material impact on the Company's operating results or financial position.

Other Accrued Liabilities

The following are the components of other accrued liabilities (in thousands):

| | January 1, 2012 | July 3, 2011 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| Accrued income taxes | \$701 | \$897 |
| Accrued general and administrative costs | 2,001 | 5,373 |
| Accrued research and development costs | 1,601 | 1,734 |
| Accrued in-transit inventory and freight | 1,250 | 2,777 |
| Accrued marketing development funds | 2,459 | 2,492 |
| Deferred gain on assets held for sale | 1,002 | — |
| Other accrued liabilities | 3,262 | 5,777 |
| Total | \$12,276 | \$19,050 |

3. Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation recognized in the condensed consolidated financial statements by line item caption is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Cost of product revenue | \$135 | \$41 | \$291 | \$233 |
| Cost of service revenue | 30 | 6 | 144 | 150 |
| Sales and marketing | 323 | 388 | 819 | 960 |
| Research and development | 280 | (119) | 752 | 492 |
| General and administrative | 513 | 60 | 1,170 | 650 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$1,281 | \$376 | \$3,176 | \$2,485 |

During the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, the Company did not capitalize any stock-based compensation expense in inventory, as the amounts were immaterial.

The weighted-average grant-date per share fair value of options granted during the three months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010 were \$1.54 and \$1.39, respectively. The weighted-average estimated per share fair value

of shares purchased under the Company's 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") during the three months ended January 1, 2012

13

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

and December 26, 2010 were \$1.03 and \$0.96, respectively.

The weighted-average grant-date per share fair value of options granted during the six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010 were \$1.66 and \$1.39, respectively. The weighted-average estimated per share fair value of shares purchased under the Company's 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") during the six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010 were \$0.99 and \$0.94, respectively.

The following table summarizes the activity for stock options for the six months ended January 1, 2012:

| | Number of Shares (000's) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$ 000's) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Options outstanding at July 3, 2011 | 9,132 | \$ 4.01 | | |
| Granted | 2,233 | \$ 3.28 | | |
| Exercised | (229) | \$ 2.08 | | \$ 253 |
| Canceled | (1,342) | \$ 5.48 | | |
| Options outstanding at January 1, 2012 | 9,794 | \$ 3.69 | | |
| Exercisable at January 1, 2012 | 5,666 | \$ 4.01 | \$ 4.81 | \$ 852 |
| Vested and expected to vest at January 1, 2012 | 9,330 | \$ 3.71 | \$ 5.40 | \$ 1,019 |

The following table summarizes the activity for restricted stock units ("RSUs") for the six months ended January 1, 2012:

| | Number of Shares (000's) | Weighted- Average Grant- Date Fair Value | Aggregate Fair Market Value (\$ 000's) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Unvested at July 3, 2011 | 1,870 | \$ 2.79 | |
| Granted | 494 | \$ 3.00 | |
| Vested | (558) | \$ 2.89 | \$ 1,616 |
| Canceled | (196) | \$ 3.11 | |
| Unvested at January 1, 2012 | 1,610 | \$ 2.78 | |

The fair value of each option award and ESPP is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model with the weighted average assumptions noted in the following table. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercise and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The expected term of ESPP represents the contractual life of the ESPP purchase period. The risk-free rate based upon the estimated life of the option and ESPP is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Expected volatility is based on both the implied volatilities from traded options on the Company's stock and historical volatility on the Company's stock.

| | Stock Option Plan | | Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | Stock Option Plan | | Employee Stock Purchase Plan | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| | Three Months Ended January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | Three Months Ended January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | Six Months Ended January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | Six Months Ended January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Expected life | 5 yrs | 4 yrs | 0.25 yrs | 0.24 yrs | 5 yrs | 4 yrs | 0.25 yrs | 0.24 yrs |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.00 % | 1.27 % | 0.04 % | 0.18 % | 1.09 % | 1.27 % | 0.04 % | 0.17 % |

Edgar Filing: EXTREME NETWORKS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|
| Volatility | 61 | % | 59 | % | 79 | % | 54 | % | 59 | % | 59 | % | 70 | % | 57 | % |
| Dividend yield | — | % | — | % | — | % | — | % | — | % | — | % | — | % | — | % |

The Company is required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense on a straight-line method for those shares expected to vest.

4. Commitments, Contingencies and Leases

Purchase Commitments

14

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

The Company currently has arrangements with contract manufacturers and suppliers for the manufacture of its products. The arrangements allow them to procure long lead-time component inventory on the Company's behalf based upon a rolling production forecast provided by it. The Company is obligated to the purchase of long lead-time component inventory that its contract manufacturer procures in accordance with the forecast, unless the Company gives notice of order cancellation outside of applicable component lead-times. As of January 1, 2012, the Company had non-cancelable commitments to purchase approximately \$32.0 million of such inventory.

Legal Proceedings

The Company may from time to time be party to litigation arising in the course of its business, including, without limitation, allegations relating to commercial transactions, business relationships or intellectual property rights. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources. Litigation in general, and intellectual property and securities litigation in particular, can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of legal proceedings are difficult to predict.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company records accruals for certain of its outstanding legal proceedings, investigations or claims when it is probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company evaluates, at least on a quarterly basis, developments in legal proceedings, investigations or claims that could affect the amount of any accrual, as well as any developments that would result in a loss contingency to become both probable and reasonably estimable. When a loss contingency is not both probable and reasonably estimable, the Company does not record a loss accrual. However, if the loss (or an additional loss in excess of any prior accrual) is at least a reasonable possibility and material, then the Company would disclose an estimate of the possible loss or range of loss, if such estimate can be made, or disclose that an estimate cannot be made. The assessment whether a loss is probable or a reasonable possibility, and whether the loss or a range of loss is estimable, involves a series of complex judgments about future events. Even if a loss is reasonably possible, the Company may not be able to estimate a range of possible loss, particularly where (i) the damages sought are substantial or indeterminate, (ii) the proceedings are in the early stages, or (iii) the matters involve novel or unsettled legal theories or a large number of parties. In such cases, there is considerable uncertainty regarding the ultimate resolution of such matters, including the amount of any possible loss, fine or penalty. Accordingly, for current proceedings, the Company is currently unable to estimate any reasonably possible loss or range of possible loss. However, an adverse resolution of one or more of such matters could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

Intellectual Property Litigation

On April 20, 2007, the Company filed suit against Enterasys Networks in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin, Civil Action No. 07-C-0229-C. The complaint alleged willful infringement of U.S. Patents Nos. 6,104,700, 6,678,248, and 6,859,438, and sought injunctive relief against Enterasys' continuing sale of infringing goods and monetary damages. Enterasys responded to the complaint on May 30, 2007, and also filed counterclaims alleging infringement of three U.S. patents owned by Enterasys. On April 9, 2008, the Court dismissed Enterasys' counterclaims on one of its patents with prejudice. On May 5, 2008, the Court granted the Company's motion for summary judgment, finding that it does not infringe Enterasys' two remaining patents and dismissing all of Enterasys' remaining counterclaims with prejudice. On May 30, 2008, a jury found that Enterasys infringed all three of the Company's patents and awarded it damages in the amount of \$0.2 million. The Court also ruled in the Company's favor on Enterasys' challenge to the validity of the Company's patents. On October 29, 2008, the Court denied Enterasys' post-trial motion for judgment as a matter of law, and granted Extreme Network's motion for a permanent injunction against Enterasys. The injunction order permanently enjoins Enterasys from manufacturing, using, offering to sell, selling in the U.S. and importing into the U.S. the Enterasys products accused of infringing Extreme Network's three patents. On March 16, 2009, the Court also denied Enterasys' motion for a new trial, but granted Enterasys' motion for a stay of the injunction pending appeal. On April 17, 2009, Enterasys filed its notice of appeal and on May 1, 2009, the Company filed its cross appeal. On September 30, 2010, the U.S. Court of

Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld the jury verdict of infringement by Enterasys of the Company's patents and the Districts Court's summary judgment of non-infringement by the Company of Enterasys' '727 patent. The Federal Circuit reversed the judgment of non-infringement by the Company of Enterasys' '181 patent, holding that the District Court Judge applied an incorrect claim construction and reversed the District Court's denial of the Company's request for attorneys' fees as premature. On November 4, 2011, a jury returned a verdict of non-infringement by the Company of the '181 patent and found the patent to be valid. Post trial motions are pending. The Company intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously, but, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, it cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the matter at this time.

On June 21, 2005, Enterasys filed suit against Extreme and Foundry Networks, Inc. ("Foundry") in the United States

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

District Court for the District of Massachusetts, Civil Action No. 05-11298 DPW. The complaint alleges willful infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,251,205; 5,390,173; 6,128,665; 6,147,995; 6,539,022; and 6,560,236, and seeks: a) a judgment that the Company willfully infringes each of the patents; (b) a permanent injunction from infringement, inducement of infringement and contributory infringement of each of the six patents; (c) damages and a “reasonable royalty” to be determined at trial; (d) treble damages; (e) attorneys' fees, costs and interest; and (f) equitable relief at the Court's discretion. Petitions for reexamination were filed challenging five of the patents at issue to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and a stay of the case was entered. Following the reexamination proceedings, Enterasys withdrew its allegations of infringement as to two of the patents, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,539,022 and 6,560,236. The stay was lifted on May 21, 2010, and the Court held claim construction hearings in December 2010. Fact discovery is ongoing. No trial date has been set. The Company intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously, but, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, it cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the matter at this time.

On October 31, 2011, Chrimar Systems, Inc. dba CMS Technologies, and Chrimar Holding Company filed suit against the Company, Cisco Systems, Inc., Cisco Consumer Products LLC, Cisco-Linksys LLC, Hewlett-Packard Company, 3Com Corporation and Avaya, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Civil Action No. 11-1050 ("the Delaware action"). The complaint alleges infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,457,250. The Delaware action has been stayed pursuant to 28 USC Section 1659(a) pending final determination of the International Trade Commission action described below, based on the fact that the allegations in both cases relate to the same patent. The Company intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously, but, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, it cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the matter at this time.

On November 1, 2011, Chrimar filed a complaint with the International Trade Commission, pursuant to Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, alleging that the Company imports into the United States, sells for importation and/or sells within the United States after importation of products and/or systems infringing U.S. Patent No. 7,457,250 patent, the same patent asserted in the Delaware action. On December 2, 2011, the International Trade Commission instituted an investigation of these allegations ("the ITC action"). The complaint in the ITC action seeks a permanent order excluding from entry into the United States all infringing articles that are manufactured, imported or sold by the Company that infringe U.S. Patent No. 7,457,250. A hearing has been set at the International Trade Commission on August 27-31, 2012. The Company intends to defend the ITC action vigorously, but, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, it cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the matter at this time.

Other Legal Matters

Beginning on July 6, 2001, purported securities fraud class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The cases were consolidated and the litigation is now captioned as *In re Extreme Networks, Inc. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. 01-6143 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.), related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.). The operative amended complaint names us as defendants; six of the Company's present and former officers and/or directors, including its former CEO; and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of its initial public offering and October 1999 secondary offering. The complaint alleges liability under Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statement for the offerings did not disclose that: (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain customers to purchase shares in the offerings in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters; and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at predetermined prices. Similar allegations were made in other lawsuits challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and follow-on offerings conducted in 1999 and 2000. The cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes. The parties to the lawsuits have reached a settlement, which was approved by the Court on October 6, 2009. Extreme Networks is not required to make any cash payments in the settlement. The Court subsequently entered a final judgment of dismissal. Certain objectors appealed the judgment. Subsequently, the District Court ruled that all objectors lacked standing to appeal. One of the objectors appealed that ruling. In January 2012, the Court dismissed the pending appeal and the case has concluded. The Company has no

financial liability associated with the settlement.

Indemnification Obligations

Subject to certain limitations, the Company may be obligated to indemnify its current and former directors, officers and employees. These obligations arise under the terms of its certificate of incorporation, its bylaws, applicable contracts, and Delaware and California law. The obligation to indemnify, where applicable, generally means that the Company is required to pay or reimburse, and in certain circumstances the Company has paid or reimbursed, the individuals' reasonable legal expenses and possibly damages and other liabilities incurred in connection with these matters. It is not possible to estimate the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of these claims. The cost to defend the

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

Company and the named individuals could have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows in the future. Recovery of such costs under its directors and officers insurance coverage is uncertain.

5. Comprehensive Income and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Net income | \$4,107 | \$8,929 | \$5,689 | \$11,641 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on investments: | 63 | (356 | (380 |) 5 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | |
| Beginning balance | 2,588 | 2,214 | 3,449 | 954 |
| Ending balance | 1,760 | 2,305 | 1,760 | 2,305 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments change | (828 |) 91 | (1,689 |) 1,351 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$3,342 | \$8,664 | \$3,620 | \$12,997 |

6. Income Taxes

The Company recorded an income tax provision of \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively. The Company recorded an income tax provision of \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively. The income tax provision for the three months ended January 1, 2012 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes, partially offset by a benefit for the release of an unrecognized tax benefit due to the expiration of the statute of limitations. The income tax provision for the three months ended December 26, 2010 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes. The income tax provision for the six months ended January 1, 2012 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes, partially offset by a benefit for the release of an unrecognized tax benefit due to the expiration of the statute of limitations. The income tax provision for the six months ended December 26, 2010 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes, partially offset by a reversal of previously recorded deferred tax liabilities. The income tax provisions for both fiscal years were calculated based on the results of operations for the three and six month periods ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, and may not reflect the annual effective rate.

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Significant management judgment is required in determining the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against the Company's net deferred tax assets. The Company makes an assessment of the likelihood that its net deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income, and to the extent that recovery is not believed to be likely, a valuation allowance is established.

The Company has a full valuation allowance against its U.S. net deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance was calculated by assessing both negative and positive evidence when measuring the need for a valuation allowance. Evidence, such as operating results during the most recent three year period was given more weight than the Company's expectations of future profitability, which are inherently uncertain. The Company's U.S. losses during those periods represented sufficient negative evidence to require a full valuation allowance against its U.S. federal and state net deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance will be evaluated periodically and can be reversed partially or

totally if business results have sufficiently improved to support realization of the Company's U.S. deferred tax assets. The Company had unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$25.7 million as of January 1, 2012. The future impact of the unrecognized tax benefit of \$25.7 million, if recognized, is as follows: approximately \$0.8 million would affect the effective tax rate, and approximately \$24.9 million would result in adjustments to deferred tax assets and corresponding adjustments to the valuation allowance. It is reasonably possible that the amount of unrealized tax benefits could decrease by approximately \$0.3 million during the next twelve months due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in certain foreign

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

jurisdictions.

Estimated interest and penalties related to the underpayment of income taxes are classified as a component of tax expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and were immaterial for both the three and six month periods ended January 1, 2012. Accrued interest and penalties were approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million as of January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively.

In general, the Company's U.S. federal income tax returns are subject to examination by tax authorities for fiscal years 1998 and beyond. State income tax returns are subject to examination for fiscal years 2001 and beyond.

7. Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period and excludes any dilutive effects of options and stock awards. Diluted net income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares used in the basic earnings per share calculation plus the dilutive effect of options, stock awards and ESPP.

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Net income | \$4,107 | \$ 8,929 | \$5,689 | \$ 11,641 |
| Weighted-average shares used in per share calculation – basic | 93,247 | 90,878 | 92,978 | 90,592 |
| Incremental shares using the treasury stock method: | | | | |
| Stock options | 282 | 361 | 299 | 316 |
| Unvested restricted awards | 471 | 35 | 645 | 34 |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 118 | — | 134 | — |
| Weighted-average share used in per share calculation – diluted | \$94,118 | \$ 91,274 | \$94,056 | \$ 90,942 |
| Net income per share – basic | \$0.04 | \$ 0.10 | \$0.06 | \$ 0.13 |
| Net income per share – diluted | \$0.04 | \$ 0.10 | \$0.06 | \$ 0.13 |

Potentially dilutive common shares from employee incentive plans are determined by applying the treasury stock method to the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, the assumed vesting of outstanding restricted stock units, and the assumed issuance of common stock under the stock purchase plan. Weighted stock options outstanding with an exercise price higher than the Company's average stock price for the periods presented are excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share since the effect of including them would have been anti-dilutive due to the net income position of the Company during the periods presented. For the three and six months ended January 1, 2012, the Company excluded 8.8 million and 8.7 million outstanding weighted average stock options, respectively, from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share. The Company excluded 7.3 million outstanding weighted average stock options from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share in both the three and six months ended December 26, 2010.

8. Restructuring Liabilities

In fiscal 2011, the Company implemented restructuring plans, involving among other things, a reduction of its worldwide workforce. The associated restructuring costs consisted of cash severance and termination benefits. Termination benefits include outplacement services, health insurance coverage, and legal costs. During the three and six months ended January 1, 2012, the Company recognized additional restructuring charges of approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, consisting of cash severance. The Company did not have restructuring charges during the three and six months ended December 26, 2010.

Activity with respect to restructuring liabilities in the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

18

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

| | Three Months Ended January 1, 2012 | Six Months Ended January 1, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,753 | \$ 3,183 |
| Period charges | 637 | 1,628 |
| Period reversals | (200 |) (236 |
| Period payments | (1,480 |) (3,865 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 710 | \$ 710 |

9. Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage exposures to foreign currency. The Company's objective for holding derivatives is to use the most effective methods to minimize the impact of these exposures. The Company does not enter into derivatives for speculative or trading purposes. The Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet as Other Assets, net at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in earnings as Other Income (Expense). The Company enters into foreign exchange forward contracts to mitigate the effect of gains and losses generated by the foreign currency forecasted transactions related to certain operating expenses and remeasurement of certain assets and liabilities denominated in Japanese Yen, the Euro, the Swedish Krona, the Indian Rupee and the British Pound. These derivatives do not qualify as hedges. At January 1, 2012, these forward foreign currency contracts had a notional principal amount of \$16.4 million and an immaterial unrealized loss on foreign exchange contracts. These contracts have maturities of less than 60 days. Changes in the fair value of these foreign exchange forward contracts are offset largely by remeasurement of the underlying assets and liabilities.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses were a \$0.1 million loss for the three months ended January 1, 2012, and a \$0.1 million gain for the three months ended December 26, 2010. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses were an immaterial loss for the six months ended January 1, 2012, and a \$0.2 million loss for the six months ended December 26, 2010.

10. Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Geographic Areas

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision makers with respect to the allocation of resources and performance.

The Company operates in one segment, the development and marketing of network infrastructure equipment. The Company conducts business globally and is managed geographically. Revenue is attributed to a geographical area based on the location of the customers. The Company operates in three geographical areas: Americas, which includes the United States, Canada, Mexico, Central America and South America; EMEA, which includes Europe, Middle East and Africa; and APAC which includes Asia Pacific, South Asia and Japan. Prior to the first quarter of fiscal 2012, South America was included as part of EMEA. Revenue by geographical area (as presented in the table below) for the quarter ended September 26, 2010 has been adjusted to reflect this change.

Information regarding geographic areas is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Net Revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 36,801 | \$ 30,842 | \$ 5,959 | 19.3 % | \$ 70,246 | \$ 62,908 | \$ 7,338 | 11.7 % | |
| Percentage of net revenue | 44.4 | % 36.2 | % | | 43.4 | % 37.2 | % | | |

Edgar Filing: EXTREME NETWORKS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|
| EMEA | 32,428 | 37,191 | (4,763) | (12.8)% | 63,314 | 71,079 | (7,765) | (10.9)% |
| Percentage of net revenue | 39.2 | % 43.7 | % | | 39.2 | % 42.1 | % | |
| APAC | 13,583 | 17,098 | (3,515) | (20.6)% | 28,146 | 34,981 | (6,835) | (19.5)% |
| Percentage of net revenue | 16.4 | % 20.1 | % | | 17.4 | % 20.7 | % | |
| Total net revenues | \$82,812 | \$85,131 | \$(2,319) | (2.7)% | \$161,706 | \$168,968 | \$(7,262) | (4.3)% |

The level of sales to any one customer may vary from period to period; however, the Company expects that significant

Table of Contents

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)—(Continued)

customer concentration will continue for the foreseeable future. One customer accounted for 12.3% of the Company's revenue in the second quarter of fiscal 2012. Three customers accounted for 13.4%, 12.5%, and 10.0% of the Company's revenue in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

Two customers accounted for 11.4% and 12.1% of the Company's revenue in the first six months of fiscal 2012. Three customers accounted for 13.6%, 11.5%, and 11.2% of the Company's revenue in the first six months of fiscal 2011. Substantially all of the Company's assets as of January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010 were attributable to its Americas operations.

11. Subsequent Events

On January 18, 2012, the Company received an additional option payment of \$0.5 million from Trumark in connection with the proposed sale of the First Property (see Note 2, "Long-Lived Assets"), bringing the total option payments received from Trumark to \$1.5 million.

On January 25, 2012, Company entered into new agreement with Trumark, under which it granted Trumark an option to purchase the remaining portion of the Company's corporate campus (Second Property). Under the new agreement, Trumark will have until December 28, 2012 to exercise the option to purchase the Second Property for a total purchase price of \$24.5 million, and in the meantime, Trumark will make certain payments to the Company in order to keep the option in effect. If Trumark exercises the option, the closing on the Second Property transaction will occur within thirty days after such exercise. However, Trumark has the right to extend the closing by up to six months to meet certain city regulatory requirements. The assets associated with the Second Property transaction were considered to be assets held for use and were included in property and equipment, net on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2012.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including the following sections, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including in particular, our expectations regarding market demands, customer requirements and the general economic environment, and future results of operations, and other statements that include words such as "may" "expect" or "believe" . These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. We caution investors that actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain risk factors identified in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in this Report, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the first quarter of fiscal 2012, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011, and other filings we have made with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These risk factors, include, but are not limited to: fluctuations in demand for our products and services; a highly competitive business environment for network switching equipment; our effectiveness in controlling expenses; the possibility that we might experience delays in the development or introduction of new technology and products; customer response to our new technology and products; the timing of any recovery in the global economy; risks related to pending or future litigation; and a dependency on third parties for certain components and for the manufacturing of our products.

Business Overview

We are a leading provider of network infrastructure equipment and services for enterprises, data centers, and service providers. We were incorporated in California in May 1996 and reincorporated in Delaware in March 1999. Our corporate headquarters are located in Santa Clara, California. We develop and sell network infrastructure equipment to our enterprise, data center and telecommunications service provider customers.

We conduct our sales and marketing activities on a worldwide basis through a distribution channel utilizing distributors, resellers and our field sales organization. We primarily sell our products through an ecosystem of channel partners who combine our Ethernet products with their offerings to create compelling information technology solutions for end user customers. We utilize our field sales organization to support our channel partners and to sell direct to end-user customers, including some large global accounts. Our customers include businesses, hospitals, schools, hotels, telecommunications companies and government agencies around the world.

We outsource the majority of our manufacturing and supply chain management operations as part of our strategy to maintain global manufacturing capabilities and to reduce our costs. We conduct quality assurance, manufacturing engineering, document control and test development at our main campus in Santa Clara, California. This approach enables us to reduce fixed costs and to flexibly respond to changes in market demand

The market for network infrastructure equipment is highly competitive and dominated by a few large companies. The current economic climate has further driven consolidation of vendors within the Ethernet networking market and with vendors from adjacent markets, including storage, security, wireless and voice applications. We believe that the underpinning technology for all of these adjacent markets is Ethernet. As a result, independent Ethernet switch vendors are being acquired or merged with larger, adjacent market vendors to enable them to deliver complete and broad solutions. As a result, we believe that, as an independent Ethernet switch vendor, we must provide products that, when combined with the products of our large strategic partners, create compelling solutions for end user customers. Our approach is to focus on the intelligence and automation layer that spans our hardware products and that facilitates end-to-end solutions, as opposed to positioning Extreme Networks as a low-cost-vendor with point products.

We believe that continued success in our marketplace is dependent upon a variety of factors that includes, but is not limited to, our ability to design, develop and distribute new and enhanced products employing leading-edge technology. During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, we began shipping our new Summit X670, our next-generation data center top-of-rack switch. During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, we delivered our BlackDiamond BD-X data center core switch as well as the E4G Cell Site router to initial beta customers; delivered the latest version of our ExtremeXOS operating system, version 12.7, and the latest version of the Ridgeline network and service management platform.

Results of Operations

During the second quarter of fiscal 2012, we achieved the following results:

- Net revenues of \$82.8 million compared to net revenues of \$85.1 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.
- Total gross margin of 56% of net revenues compared to 56% in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.
- Operating income of \$4.1 million compared to operating income of \$9.1 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

Table of Contents

Net income of \$4.1 million compared to net income of \$8.9 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

During the six months ended January 1, 2012, we experienced the following results:

Net revenues of \$161.7 million compared to net revenues of \$169.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011.

Total gross margin of 56% of net revenues compared to 56% in the first six months of fiscal 2011.

Operating income of \$5.8 million compared to operating income of \$11.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011.

Net income of \$5.7 million compared to net income of \$11.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011.

Cash provided by operating activities of \$4.0 million for the six months ending January 1, 2012 compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$9.6 million for the six months ending December 26, 2010.

Cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and marketable securities decreased by \$0.6 million in the six months ended January 1, 2012 to \$146.4 million from \$147.0 million as of July 3, 2011, primarily as a result of cash used in operating activities.

We operate in three regions: Americas, which includes the United States, Canada, Mexico, Central America and South America; EMEA, which includes Europe, Middle East, and Africa; and APAC which includes Asia Pacific, South Asia and Japan. Prior to the first quarter of fiscal 2012, South America was included as part of EMEA. Revenue by geographical area (as presented in the table below) for the three and six months ended December 26, 2010 has been adjusted to reflect this change. The following table presents the total net revenue geographically for the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Net Revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | \$36,801 | \$30,842 | \$5,959 | 19.3 % | \$70,246 | \$62,908 | \$7,338 | 11.7 % | |
| Percentage of net revenue | 44.4 % | 36.2 % | | | 43.4 % | 37.2 % | | | |
| EMEA | 32,428 | 37,191 | (4,763) | (12.8)% | 63,314 | 71,079 | (7,765) | (10.9)% | |
| Percentage of net revenue | 39.2 % | 43.7 % | | | 39.2 % | 42.1 % | | | |
| APAC | 13,583 | 17,098 | (3,515) | (20.6)% | 28,146 | 34,981 | (6,835) | (19.5)% | |
| Percentage of net revenue | 16.4 % | 20.1 % | | | 17.4 % | 20.7 % | | | |
| Total net revenues | \$82,812 | \$85,131 | \$(2,319) | (2.7)% | \$161,706 | \$168,968 | \$(7,262) | (4.3)% | |

The following table presents net product and service revenues for the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Net Revenue: | | | | | | | | | |
| Product | \$68,094 | \$70,334 | \$(2,240) | (3.2)% | \$131,307 | \$139,547 | \$(8,240) | (5.9)% | |
| Percentage of net revenue | 82.2 % | 82.6 % | | | 81.2 % | 82.6 % | | | |
| Service | 14,718 | 14,797 | (79) | (0.5)% | 30,399 | 29,421 | 978 | 3.3 % | |
| Percentage of net revenue | 17.8 % | 17.4 % | | | 18.8 % | 17.4 % | | | |
| Total net revenue | \$82,812 | \$85,131 | \$(2,319) | (2.7)% | \$161,706 | \$168,968 | \$(7,262) | (4.3)% | |

Product revenue decreased by \$2.2 million, or 3% in the three months ended January 1, 2012, and decreased by \$8.2 million or 6% in the six months ended January 1, 2012, compared to the corresponding periods of fiscal 2011. The decrease in product revenue was primarily caused by lower volume, particularly in the EMEA and APAC regions. In the first half of fiscal 2011, product revenue in these geographic regions included significant sales to certain large enterprise customers. We did not experience the same level of sales to this EMEA and APAC customer base in the first two quarters of fiscal 2012, partly due to the inherent long sales cycle and the sporadic timing of sales to these customers, as well as the impact of the challenging

Table of Contents

macroeconomic environment in Europe. The decline in product sales volume in EMEA and APAC was partially offset by continuing product sales growth in the Americas region.

Service revenue was flat in the three months ended January 1, 2012, and increased by \$1.0 million or 3% in the six months ended January 1, 2012, compared to the corresponding periods of fiscal 2011. The increase in service revenue in the first half of fiscal 2012 was primarily due to our continuing stable levels of service contract renewals on our increasing installed base, resulting in higher service revenue from the amortization of contracts initiated in the first six months of fiscal 2012 and in the prior fiscal year.

Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit

The following table presents the gross profit on product and service revenues and the gross profit percentage of product and service revenues for the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Gross profit: | | | | | | | | |
| Product | \$37,273 | \$39,441 | \$(2,168) | (5.5)% | \$71,008 | \$77,824 | \$(6,816) | (8.8)% |
| Percentage of product revenue | 54.7% | 56.1% | | | 54.1% | 55.8% | | |
| Service | 8,995 | 8,540 | 455 | 5.3% | 18,796 | 16,993 | 1,803 | 10.6% |
| Percentage of service revenue | 61.1% | 57.7% | | | 61.8% | 57.8% | | |
| Total gross profit | \$46,268 | \$47,981 | \$(1,713) | (3.6)% | \$89,804 | \$94,817 | \$(5,013) | (5.3)% |
| % of Total Revenue | 55.9% | 56.4% | | | 55.2% | 56.1% | | |

Cost of product revenue includes costs of raw materials, amounts paid to third-party contract manufacturers, costs related to warranty obligations, charges for excess and obsolete inventory, royalties under technology license agreements, and internal costs associated with manufacturing overhead, including management, manufacturing engineering, quality assurance, development of test plans, and document control. We outsource substantially all of our manufacturing and supply chain management operations, and we conduct quality assurance, manufacturing engineering, document control and distribution. Accordingly, a significant portion of our cost of product revenue consists of payments to our primary contract manufacturer, Alpha Networks, located in Hsinchu, Taiwan and Dongguan, China. In addition, we source our wireless product line from Motorola and resell most of the products under the Extreme Networks, Inc. brand.

Product gross profit decreased by \$2.2 million and product gross margin decreased to 55% from 56% in the three months ended January 1, 2012 compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. In the six months ended January 1, 2012, product gross profit decreased by \$6.8 million and product gross margin decreased to 54% from 56%, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The decreases in product gross profit and gross margin were primarily due to the decrease in product revenue in the three and six months ended January 1, 2012 compared to the same periods in fiscal 2011, unfavorable profit impact from product mix shifts, and to a lesser degree, increased sales discounts.

Cost of service revenue consists primarily of labor, overhead, repair and freight costs and the cost of spares used in providing support under customer service contracts. Service gross profit increased by \$0.5 million and \$1.8 million in the three and six months ended January 1, 2012, respectively. The increase in gross profit in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 was primarily due to favorable impact from lower labor and service product costs. The increase in gross profit in the six months ended January 1, 2012 was primarily attributable to the increase in service revenue, combined with lower labor and service inventory costs.

Service gross margin improved to 61% from 58% in the three months ended January 1, 2012, and improved to 62% from 58% in the six months ended January 1, 2012, compared to the corresponding periods of fiscal 2011. The improvement in service gross margin was primarily due to a reduction in labor costs resulting from headcount

reduction and other cost-reduction measures, as well as lower costs of service inventory resulting from product mix shifts.

Operating Expenses

The following table presents operating expenses and operating income (in thousands, except percentages):

23

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Sales and marketing | \$22,734 | \$25,087 | \$(2,353) | (9.4)% | \$44,855 | \$49,993 | \$(5,138) | (10.3)% |
| Research and development | 11,082 | 12,028 | (946) | (7.9)% | 23,490 | 24,889 | (1,399) | (5.6)% |
| General and administrative | 7,954 | 5,963 | 1,991 | 33.4% | 14,224 | 12,548 | 1,676 | 13.4% |
| Restructuring, net | 437 | — | 437 | 100.0% | 1,392 | — | 1,392 | 100.0% |
| Litigation settlement | — | (4,200) | 4,200 | (100.0)% | — | (4,200) | 4,200 | (100.0)% |
| Total operating expenses | \$42,207 | \$38,878 | \$3,329 | 8.6% | \$83,961 | \$83,230 | \$731 | 0.9% |
| Operating income | \$4,061 | \$9,103 | \$(5,042) | (55.4)% | \$5,843 | \$11,587 | \$(5,744) | (49.6)% |

The following table highlights our operating expenses and operating income as a percentage of net revenues:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Sales and marketing | 27.5% | 29.5% | 27.7% | 29.6% |
| Research and development | 13.4% | 14.1% | 14.5% | 14.7% |
| General and administrative | 9.6% | 7.0% | 8.8% | 7.4% |
| Restructuring, net | 0.5% | —% | 0.9% | —% |
| Litigation settlement | —% | (4.9)% | —% | (2.5)% |
| Total operating expenses | 51.0% | 45.7% | 51.9% | 49.3% |
| Operating income | 4.9% | 10.7% | 3.6% | 6.9% |

Sales and Marketing Expenses

Sales and marketing expenses consist of salaries, commissions and related expenses for personnel engaged in marketing and sales functions, as well as trade shows and promotional expenses. Sales and marketing expenses in the three months ended January 1, 2012 decreased by \$2.4 million, or 9%, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses was primarily due to a decrease of \$1.3 million in employee-related expenses. Other significant decreases in sales and marketing expenses in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 included a decrease of \$0.4 million in both commission expense and professional fees, and a decrease of \$0.3 million in both travel and IT expenses.

Sales and marketing expenses for the six months ended January 1, 2012 decreased by \$5.1 million, or 10%, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses was primarily due to a decrease of \$2.4 million in employee-related expenses resulting from headcount reduction and a decrease of \$0.9 million in commission expense due to the combined impact of headcount reduction and lower revenue in the first six months of fiscal 2012 compared to the same period in fiscal 2011. Other decreases in sales and marketing expenses in the six months ended January 1, 2012, primarily reflected the effects of cost-cutting measures, including a decrease of \$0.7 million in both professional services and supplies expenses, and a decrease of \$0.6 million in travel expenses.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and related personnel expenses, consultant fees and prototype expenses related to the design, development, and testing of our products. Research and development expenses for the three months ended January 1, 2012 decreased by \$0.9 million, or 8%, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The decrease in research and development expenses was primarily due to a decrease of \$1.7 million in employee-related costs, partially offset by an increase of \$0.7 million in engineering project expenses.

Research and development expenses for the six months ended January 1, 2012 decreased by \$1.4 million, or 6%, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The decrease in research and development expenses was primarily due to a decrease of \$2.6 million in employee-related and contract labor costs resulting from headcount reduction, and a decrease of \$0.6 million in supplies and equipment costs, partially offset by an increase of \$1.5 million in engineering project expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended January 1, 2012 increased by \$2.0 million, or 33%, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to an increase of \$1.8 million in legal expenses, largely attributable to expenses incurred in connection with the trial of a lawsuit during the second quarter and costs associated with a new lawsuit.

General and administrative expenses for the six months ended January 1, 2012 increased by \$1.7 million, or 13%, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increases of \$1.9 million in legal expenses and \$0.7 million in accounting-related services, partially offset by a net decrease of \$1.3 million in employee-related expenses resulting from headcount reduction.

Restructuring, Net

In fiscal 2011, we implemented restructuring plans, involving among other things, a reduction of our worldwide workforce. The associated restructuring costs primarily consisted of cash severance, termination benefits and asset impairments. During the three and six months ended January 1, 2012, we recognized additional restructuring charges of approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, primarily consisting of cash severance. As of January 1, 2012, we had \$0.7 million of restructuring liabilities remaining, which we anticipate paying by the end of fiscal 2012.

Interest Income

The change in interest income in the three and six months ended January 1, 2012, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011 was insignificant.

Interest Expense

The decrease in interest expense in both the three and six months ended January 1, 2012, compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011 was insignificant.

Other Income / (Expense), Net

Other expense, net increased by approximately \$0.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, and other income, net increased by \$0.2 million in the six months ended January 1, 2012 compared to the corresponding periods of fiscal 2011. The changes in other income and expense primarily resulted from net realized and unrealized gains from the settlement of accounts receivable and accounts payable transactions and from the translation of certain assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies into U.S. dollars.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes

We recorded an income tax provision of \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively. We recorded an income tax provision of \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, respectively. The income tax provision for the three months ended January 1, 2012 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes, partially offset by a benefit for the release of an unrecognized tax benefit due to the expiration of statute of limitations. The income tax benefit for the three months ended December 26, 2010 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes. The income tax provision for the six months ended January 1, 2012 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes, partially offset by a benefit for the release of an unrecognized tax benefit due to the expiration of the statute of limitations. The income tax provision for the six months ended December 26, 2010 consisted primarily of taxes on foreign income and U.S. state income taxes, partially offset by a reversal of previously recorded deferred tax liabilities. The income tax provisions for both fiscal years were calculated based on the results of operations for the three and six month periods ended January 1, 2012 and December 26, 2010, and may not reflect the annual effective rate.

We have provided a full valuation allowance for our U.S. net deferred tax assets after assessing both negative and positive evidence when measuring the need for a valuation allowance. For the current quarter, evidence such as operating losses during the most recent three-year period was given more weight than our expectations of future profitability which are inherently uncertain. Accordingly, we believe that there is sufficient negative evidence to maintain a full valuation allowance against our U.S. federal and state net deferred tax assets. This valuation allowance will be evaluated periodically and can be reversed partially or totally if business results have sufficiently improved to support realization of our U.S. deferred tax assets.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these unaudited

25

Table of Contents

condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, costs and expenses, and related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. In many instances, we could have reasonably used different accounting estimates, and in other instances changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by our management. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our future financial statement presentation, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will be affected.

As discussed in Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 3, 2011, we consider the following accounting policies to be the most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our consolidated financial statements:

Share-Based Compensation

Revenue Recognition

Inventory Valuation

Long Lived Assets

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

Legal Contingencies

There have been no changes to our critical accounting policies since the filing of our last Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Recently Issues Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in GAAP and IFRSs. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") on fair value measurement and is intended to result in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value." The amendments in ASU 2011-04 are effective for public entities for interim and fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2011, and should be applied prospectively. Early adoption is not permitted for public entities. The guidance is limited to enhanced disclosures, and it is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This ASU increases the prominence of other comprehensive income ("OCI") in the financial statements and provides companies two options for presenting OCI, which until now has typically been placed within the statement of shareholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss). One option allows an OCI statement to be included with the net income statement, and together the two will make a statement of total comprehensive income. Alternatively, companies may present an OCI statement separate from the net income statement; however, the two statements will have to appear consecutively within a financial report. This ASU does not affect the types of items that are reported in OCI, nor does it affect the calculation or presentation of earnings per share. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Our adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following summarizes information regarding our cash, investments, and working capital (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | January 1, 2012 | July 3, 2011 |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Cash and cash equivalent | \$49,419 | \$49,972 |
| Short-term investments | 34,250 | 41,357 |
| Marketable securities | 62,771 | 55,648 |
| Total cash and investments | \$146,440 | \$146,977 |
| Working capital | \$75,660 | \$57,561 |

As of January 1, 2012, our principal sources of liquidity consisted of cash, cash equivalents and investments of \$146.4 million and net accounts receivable of \$39.0 million. Historically, our principal uses of cash have consisted of the purchase of finished goods inventory from our contract manufacturers, payroll and other operating expenses related to the development and marketing of our products and purchases of property and equipment. We believe that our \$146.4 million of cash and cash equivalents and investments at January 1, 2012 will be sufficient to fund our operating requirements for at least the next 12 months. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our rate of revenue growth, the expansion of our sales and marketing activities, the timing and extent of our expansion into new territories, the timing of introductions of new products and enhancements to existing products and the continuing market acceptance of our products. In the future, we may seek additional equity or debt financing, however, such additional funds may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all.

Key Components of Cash Flows and Liquidity

A summary of the sources and uses of cash and cash equivalents is as follows (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| | January 1, 2012 | December 26, 2010 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$3,954 | \$9,597 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,945 |) (15,273 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 698 | 273 |
| Foreign currency effect on cash | (1,260 |) 337 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | \$(553 |) \$(5,066 |
| Net Cash Used In Operating Activities | | |

Cash from operations in the first half of fiscal 2012 was \$4.0 million, a decrease of \$5.6 million compared to the corresponding period of fiscal 2011. The decrease in operating cash flow was primarily due a lower net income, partially offset by changes in assets and liabilities. Significant changes in assets and liabilities in the first half of fiscal 2012 included (i) decreases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities primarily due to timing of payments, as well as the impact of non-recurring payments of \$4.7 million in connection with the settlement of lawsuits and cash severance payments of approximately \$3.9 million; (ii) an increase in accounts receivable primarily due to sequential quarterly revenue growth in the first two quarters of fiscal 2012, and timing of cash collections; and (iii) a decrease in deferred revenue primarily attributable to timing of service contract renewals and amortization of contracts initiated in prior periods.

Net Cash Used In Investing Activities

We used \$3.9 million in investing activities in the six months ended January 1, 2012, comprised of \$34.0 million used to purchase investment securities and \$2.0 million used to purchase property and equipment, partially offset by proceeds of \$32.1 million from the sale and maturities of investments.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Cash flow provided by financing activities in the six months ended January 1, 2012 was \$0.7 million, comprised of proceeds from the exercise of stock options and purchases of shares of our common stock under the ESPP, offset by taxes paid on vested and released stock awards.

Contractual Obligations

Non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments represent the purchase of long lead-time component inventory that our contract manufacturers procure in accordance with our forecast. Inventory purchase commitments were \$32.0

million as of

27

Table of Contents

January 1, 2012, an increase of \$6.0 million from \$26.0 million as of July 3, 2011. We did not have material commitments for capital expenditures as of January 1, 2012.

As of January 1, 2012, we had \$0.8 million of income tax liabilities for which we were unable to reasonably estimate the timing of settlement.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as of January 1, 2012.

Capital Resources and Financial Condition

As of January 1, 2012, in addition to \$49.4 million in cash and cash equivalents, we had \$34.3 million invested in short-term and \$62.8 million invested in long-term marketable investments for a total cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and marketable securities of \$146.4 million. We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, marketable securities and future operations will enable us to meet our working capital requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**Interest Rate Sensitivity**

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximize the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. Some of the securities that we have invested in may be subject to market risk. This means that a change in prevailing interest rates may cause the principal amount of the investment to fluctuate. For example, if we hold a security that was issued with a fixed interest rate at the then-prevailing rate and the prevailing interest rate later rises, the principal amount of our investment will probably decline. To minimize this risk, we maintain our portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term investments in a variety of securities, including commercial paper, other non-government debt securities and money market funds. The valuation of our investment portfolio is subject to uncertainties that are difficult to predict. Factors that may impact its valuation include changes to credit ratings of the securities, discount rates and ongoing strength and quality of market credit and liquidity. If the current market conditions deteriorate further, or the anticipated recovery in market values does not occur, we may be required to record impairment charges in future quarters.

The following table presents the amounts of our cash equivalents, short-term investments and marketable securities that are subject to market risk by range of expected maturity and weighted-average interest rates as of January 1, 2012. This table does not include money market funds because those funds are generally not subject to market risk.

| | Maturing in | | | Total | Fair Value |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------|
| | Three months or less | Three months to one year | Greater than one year | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Included in short-term investments | \$12,755 | \$21,495 | — | \$34,250 | \$34,250 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 1.08 | % 1.15 | % — | | |
| Included in marketable securities | — | — | \$62,771 | \$62,771 | \$62,771 |
| Weighted average interest rate | — | — | 0.99 | % | |

The following tables present hypothetical changes in fair value of the financial instruments held at January 1, 2012 that are sensitive to changes in interest rates:

| Unrealized gain given a decrease in interest rate of X bps | Fair value as of October 2, 2011 (In thousands) | Unrealized loss given an increase in interest rate of X bps |
|--|---|---|
| (100 bps) | | 100 bps |
| (50 bps) | | 50 bps |
| \$1,246 | \$97,021 | \$(1,216) |
| \$619 | | \$(612) |

Exchange Rate Sensitivity

Currently, substantially all of our sales and the majority of our expenses are denominated in United States dollars and, as a result, we have experienced no significant foreign exchange gains and losses to date. While we conduct some sales

Table of Contents

transactions and incur certain operating expenses in foreign currencies and expect to continue to do so, we do not anticipate that foreign exchange gains or losses will be significant, in part because of our foreign exchange risk management process discussed below.

Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts

We enter into foreign exchange forward contracts to mitigate the effect of gains and losses generated by the foreign currency forecasted transactions related to certain operating expenses and remeasurement of certain assets and liabilities denominated in Japanese Yen, the Euro, the Swedish Krona, the Indian Rupee and the British Pound. These derivatives do not qualify as hedges. At January 1, 2012, these forward foreign currency contracts had a notional principal amount of \$16.4 million and an immaterial unrealized loss on foreign exchange contracts. These contracts have maturities of less than 60 days. Changes in the fair value of these foreign exchange forward contracts are offset largely by remeasurement of the underlying assets and liabilities. We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in earnings as Other Income (Expense).

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses were a \$0.1 million loss for the three months ended January 1, 2012, and a \$0.1 million gain for the three months ended December 26, 2010. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses were an immaterial loss for the six months ended January 1, 2012, and a \$0.2 million loss for the six months ended December 26, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the Interim Chief Financial Officer ("Interim CFO"), as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and Interim CFO, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on this evaluation, our CEO and Interim CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal control, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Accordingly, even effective internal controls can provide only reasonable assurances with respect to financial statement preparation. Further because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of internal control may vary over time.

We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the period covered by this Report. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment using those criteria, we concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended January 1, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including the CEO and Interim CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Our controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that our control system's objective will be met and our CEO and Interim CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the

reasonable assurance level. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be

Table of Contents

considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Extreme Networks have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of controls in future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Notwithstanding these limitations, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. Our CEO and Interim CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are, in fact, effective at the “reasonable assurance” level.

30

Table of Contents

PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding litigation matters that we deem significant, refer to Part I, Item 3, Legal Proceedings of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011 and Note 4 to our Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report which are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2011, which to our knowledge have not materially changed. Those risks, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results, are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds – Not applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities – Not applicable

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure - Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

The date of our next annual meeting will be March 21, 2012.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Document | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| | | Form | Filing Date | Number | |
| 10.1 | Resignation Agreement and General Release of Claims, dated September 13, 2011, between Extreme Networks, Inc. and Justin DiMacchia. | 8-K | 9/15/2011 | 10.1 | |
| 10.2 | Letter Agreement, dated September 13, 2011, between Extreme Networks, Inc. and James Judson. | 8-K | 9/15/2011 | 10.2 | |
| 10.3 | Offer Letter Agreement, dated September 13, 2011, between Extreme Networks, Inc. and Margaret Echerd. | 8-K | 9/15/2011 | 10.3 | |
| 10.4 | Form of Indemnity Agreement for directors and officers. | 8-K | 10/24/2011 | 99.1 | |
| 10.5 | Option Agreement, dated January 16, 2012, between Extreme Networks, Inc. and Trumark Companies, LLC. | | | | X |
| 10.6 | Amendment to the Option Agreement dated September 17, 2010, between Extreme Networks, Inc. and Trumark Companies, LLC | | | | X |
| 31.1 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer | | | | X |
| 31.2 | Section 302 Certification of Interim Chief Financial Officer | | | | X |
| 32.1 | Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer | | | | X |
| 32.2 | Section 906 Certification of Interim Chief Financial Officer | | | | X |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document.* | | | | |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.* | | | | |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.* | | | | |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.* | | | | |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.* | | | | |

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document*

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended; are deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

32

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.
(Registrant)

/S/ JAMES T. JUDSON
JAMES T. JUDSON
Interim Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
February 7, 2012

33