

TORRENT ENERGY CORP
Form 424B4
February 12, 2007
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 424(b)(4)

SEC FILE NUMBER 333-139618

PROSPECTUS

February 9, 2007

TORRENT ENERGY CORPORATION

10,639,000 Shares of Common Stock of Torrent Energy Corporation

This prospectus relates to the resale by the selling stockholders of up to 10,639,000 shares of common stock of Torrent Energy Corporation, a Colorado Corporation, which may be resold by selling security holders named in this prospectus. This resale of up to 10,639,000 shares of our common stock includes:

- 125,000 shares of our common stock issued to a selling stockholder as consideration for technical services pursuant to a letter agreement dated July 21, 2006;
- 514,000 shares of our common stock sold to certain selling stockholders pursuant to two securities purchase agreements entered into on February 11, 2005; and
- 10,000,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon conversion or redemption of a portion of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock as provided under the investor registration rights agreement dated as of June 28, 2006 between our company and Cornell Capital Partners, LP.

The Series E Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into shares of our common stock at any time by dividing the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock being converted by \$2.50. The holder of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may only convert up to 1,250 Series E Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares in any 30 day period. We may, in our discretion, waive this conversion limitation; and this conversion limitation shall not apply upon the occurrence and continuance of an event of default. The holder of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock also may not convert any Series E Convertible Preferred Stock if the aggregate number of common stock beneficially owned by such holder will exceed 4.99% of our then issued and outstanding common stock following the conversion.

From the first trading day of the month after effectiveness of this registration statement or December 1, 2006, whichever is earlier, we will be required to redeem a certain number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock each month. The number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock we need to redeem is determined by dividing the total number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by the number of months remaining through August 2008. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock exceeds \$2.50 for the five consecutive trading days immediately before a redemption date, then we will not need to make the redemption. As of the date of this registration statement, the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor, Cornell Capital Partners, LP, has temporarily waived the redemption requirement that is required. However, there is no assurance that the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor will continue to waive the redemption requirement.

We may elect to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by cash or through issuance of our common stock. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock in cash, we will need to pay the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed plus a 20% redemption premium. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock through issuance of our common stock, the number of shares of our common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed by \$1.67. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock as of the redemption date falls below \$1.67, we will not be allowed to pay for the redemption through issuance of our common stock.

Edgar Filing: TORRENT ENERGY CORP - Form 424B4

The shares of our common stock and Series E Convertible Preferred Stock were acquired by the selling shareholders directly from us in private offerings that were exempt from registration under the U.S. securities laws. We have been advised by the selling security holders that they may offer to sell all or a portion of their shares of common stock being offered in this prospectus from time to time. The selling stockholders may sell common stock from time to time in the principal market on which the stock is traded at the prevailing market price or in negotiated transactions. The selling stockholders may be deemed underwriters of the shares of common stock which they are

offering. We will not receive any proceeds from the resale of shares of common stock by the selling security holders. However, we have received proceeds from the sale of shares of common stock that are presently outstanding. We will pay the expenses of registering these shares.

Our common stock is registered under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and is listed on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol "TREN". The last reported sales price per share of our common stock as reported by the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board on January 31, 2007, was \$1.21.

Our business is subject to many risks and an investment in our common stock will also involve a high degree of risk. You should invest in our common stock only if you can afford to lose your entire investment. You should carefully consider the various risk factors described beginning on page 7 before investing in our common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this Prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The information in this Prospectus is not complete and may be changed. This Prospectus is included in the Registration Statement that was filed by Torrent Energy Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The selling stockholders may not sell these securities until the registration statement becomes effective. This Prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the sale is not permitted.

Please read this prospectus carefully. You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information.

The date of this prospectus is February 9, 2007.

The following table of contents has been designed to help you find important information contained in this prospectus. We encourage you to read the entire prospectus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PROSPECTUS SUMMARY	4
OUR BUSINESS	4
THE OFFERING	4
RISK FACTORS	5
RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS	5
RISKS RELATING TO OUR SERIES E CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK	9
RISKS RELATING TO OUR COMMON STOCK	9
USE OF PROCEEDS	11
MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	11
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	14
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	15
BUSINESS	26
EMPLOYEES	33
DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES	33
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	34
CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	34
QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK	35
DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS	35
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	38
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	43
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	43
DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES	44
INDEMNIFICATION FOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES	48
PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION	48
PENNY STOCK	49
SELLING STOCKHOLDERS	50
LEGAL MATTERS	51
EXPERTS	51
INTEREST OF NAMED EXPERTS AND COUNSEL	52
AVAILABLE INFORMATION	52
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	53

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary highlights selected information contained in this prospectus. This summary does not contain all the information you should consider before investing in the securities. Before making an investment decision, you should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the "risk factors" section, the financial statements and the secured convertible notes to the financial statements.

OUR BUSINESS

We are an exploration stage company primarily engaged in the exploration of coalbed methane in the Coos Bay region of Oregon and in the Chehalis Basin region of Washington State. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., we hold leases to approximately 116,000 acres of prospective coalbed methane lands in the Coos Bay region. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Cascadia Energy Corp., we are evaluating approximately 130,000 acres in Washington State to commence an exploratory program for possible hydrocarbon deposits. We changed our name from Scarab Systems, Inc. to Torrent Energy Corporation on July 13, 2004 to reflect the change in our business operations towards coalbed methane exploration. The change in our business operations occurred as a result of our entering into a lease purchase and sale agreement, through Methane on April 30, 2004. We were previously a web design and internet application developer.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, we generated no revenue and a net loss of \$5,295,572, as compared to no revenue and a net loss of \$2,701,460 for the year ended March 31, 2005. As a result of recurring losses from operations and working capital deficiency, our auditors, in their report dated June 28, 2006, have expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as going concern.

Our principal offices are located at One SW Columbia Street, Suite 640, Portland, Oregon 97258 and our telephone number is (503) 224-0072. We are a Colorado corporation.

THE OFFERING

Common stock offered by selling stockholders	Up to 10,639,000 shares
Common stock to be outstanding after offering	Up to 44,063,941 shares
Use of Proceeds	We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the common stock.
Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board Symbol	TREN

The above information regarding common stock to be outstanding after the offering is based on 33,424,941 shares of common stock outstanding as of January 31, 2007 and assumes the subsequent conversion or redemption of our issued Series E Convertible Preferred Stock.

To obtain funding for our ongoing operations, we entered into an investment agreement with an accredited investor on June 28, 2006 for the sale of 25,000 shares of our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$25,000,000 pursuant to the terms of the investment agreement, of which \$9,000,000 was received by our company on the closing of the investment agreement, \$8,500,000 was received by our company upon initial filing of this registration statement, and \$7,500,000 will be received by our company when this registration statement is declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Series E Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into common stock at any time by dividing the dollar amount being converted by \$2.50. Based on this conversion price, 10,000,000 shares of our common stock would be issuable upon conversion of all of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock. The holder of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may only convert up to \$1,250,000 of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares in any 30 day period. We may, in our discretion, waive this conversion limitation; and this conversion limitation shall not apply upon the occurrence and continuance of an event of default.

From the first trading day of the month after effectiveness of this registration statement or December 1, 2006, whichever is earlier, we are required to redeem a certain number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock each month. The number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock we need to redeem is determined by dividing the total number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by the number of months remaining through August 2008. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock exceeds \$2.50 for the five consecutive trading days immediately before a redemption date, then we will not need to make the redemption. As of the date of this registration statement, the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor, Cornell Capital Partners, LP, has temporarily waived the redemption requirement that is required. However, there is no assurance that the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor will continue to waive the redemption requirement.

We may elect to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by cash or through issuance of our common stock. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock in cash, we will need to pay the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed plus a 20% redemption premium. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock through issuance of our common stock, the number of shares of our common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed by \$1.67. Based on this conversion price, approximately 14,970,060 shares of our common stock would be issuable if all of our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock are redeemed and paid for through the issuance of our common stock. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock as of the redemption date falls below \$1.67, we will not be allowed to pay for the redemption through issuance of our common stock.

See the "Description of Securities" sections for a complete description of the common stock and the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock.

RISK FACTORS

Much of the information included in this prospectus includes or is based upon estimates, projections or other forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include any projections or estimates made by us and our management in connection with our business operations. These include (i) the potential prospective for coalbed methane and conventional natural gas production in the Coos Bay Basin and the Chehalis Basin, (ii) the potential pipeline capacity in the port of Coos Bay area, and (iii) greater market for natural gas in Coos County and the Pacific Northwest region in general. While these forward-looking statements, and any assumptions upon which they are based, are made in good faith and reflect our current judgment regarding the direction of our business, actual results will almost always vary, sometimes materially, from any estimates, predictions, projections, assumptions, or other future performance suggested herein. We undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of such statements.

Such estimates, projections or other forward-looking statements involve various risks and uncertainties as outlined below. We caution readers of this prospectus that important factors in some cases have affected and, in the future, could materially affect actual results and cause actual results to differ materially from the results expressed in any such estimates, projections or other forward-looking statements. In evaluating us, our business and any investment in our business, readers should carefully consider the following factors.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Our independent auditors have expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, which may hinder our ability to obtain future financing.

In their report dated June 28, 2006, our independent auditors stated that our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006 were prepared assuming that we would continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is an issue raised as a result of recurring losses from operations and working capital deficiency. Our ability to continue as a going concern is subject to our ability to obtain necessary funding from outside sources, including obtaining additional funding from the sale of our securities. Our continued net operating losses increase the difficulty in meeting such goals and there can be no assurances that such funding methods will prove successful.

We have a history of losses that may continue, which may negatively impact our ability to achieve our business objectives.

We have accumulated a deficit of \$8,880,349 to March 31, 2006 and incurred net losses applicable to common shareholders of \$5,295,572 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006; and \$2,701,460 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005. We cannot assure you that we can achieve or sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. Our operations are subject to the risks and competition inherent in the establishment of a business enterprise. There is no assurance that future operations will be profitable. We may not achieve our business objectives and the failure to achieve such goals would have an adverse impact on us.

If we are unable to obtain additional funding our business operations will be harmed and if we do obtain additional financing our then existing shareholders may suffer substantial dilution.

We will require additional funds to sustain and expand our oil and gas exploration activities. We anticipate that we will require up to approximately \$21,000,000 to fund our continued operations for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2007. Additional capital will be required to effectively support our operations and to implement our business strategy. There can be no assurance that financing will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all. The inability to obtain additional capital will restrict our ability to grow and may reduce our ability to continue to conduct business operations. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, we will likely be required to curtail our exploration plans and possibly cease our operations. Any additional equity financing may result in substantial dilution to our then existing shareholders.

We have a limited operating history and if we are not successful in continuing to grow our business, then we may have to scale back or even cease our ongoing business operations.

Our company has a limited operating history in the business of oil and gas exploration and must be considered to be an exploration stage company. We have no history of revenues from operations and have no significant tangible assets. We have yet to generate positive earnings and there is no assurance that we will ever operate profitably. Our company has a limited operating history in the business of oil and gas exploration and must be considered an exploration stage company. Our success is significantly dependent on successful lease acquisition, drilling, completion and production programs. Our operations will be subject to all the risks inherent in the establishment of a developing enterprise and the uncertainties arising from the absence of a significant operating history. We may be unable to locate recoverable reserves or operate on a profitable basis. We are in the exploration stage and potential investors should be aware of the difficulties normally encountered by enterprises in the exploration stage. If our business plan is not successful, and we are not able to operate profitably, investors may lose some or all of their investment in our company.

If we are unable to retain the services of Mr. Carlson and other senior executives or if we are unable to successfully recruit qualified managerial and field personnel having experience in oil and gas exploration, we may not be able to continue our operations.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the continued service of Mr. John Carlson, our president and chief executive officer, and a director. Loss of the services of Mr. Carlson could have a material adverse effect on our growth, revenues, and prospective business. We do not maintain key-man insurance on the life of Mr. Carlson. In addition, in order to successfully implement and manage our business plan, we will be dependent upon, among other things, successfully recruiting qualified managerial and field personnel who have experience in the oil and gas exploration industry. Competition for qualified individuals is intense. There are no assurances that we will be able to find and attract new employees; or to retain existing employees; or to find, attract and retain qualified personnel on acceptable terms.

As our properties are in the exploration and development stage, there is no assurance that we will establish commercially exploitable discoveries on our properties.

Exploration for economic reserves of oil and gas is subject to a number of risk factors. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing oil and/or gas wells. Our properties are in the exploration stage only and are without proven reserves of oil and gas. We may not establish commercially exploitable discoveries on any of our properties; and we may never have profitable operations.

We are unsure about the likelihood that we will discover and establish a profitable production of gas from coal seams in the Coos Bay or Chehalis Basin regions.

Currently, there is no commercial production of coal in the state of Oregon. Additionally, no coalbed methane gas production exists either in Washington or Oregon. Coalbed methane gas only accounts for a small percentage of all natural gas production in the United States. The closest coalbed methane production to the Coos Bay and Chehalis Basin occurs in the state of Wyoming. As a result, it is unlikely that we will discover any significant amount of coalbed methane in the Coos Bay or Chehalis Basins or be able to establish wells that will produce a profitable amount of coalbed methane gas.

Even if we are able to discover commercially exploitable resources on any of the properties on which we hold an interest, we may never achieve profitability or may not receive an adequate return on invested capital because the potential profitability of oil and gas ventures depends upon factors beyond the control of our company.

The potential profitability of oil and gas properties is dependent upon many factors beyond our control. For instance, world prices and markets for oil and gas are unpredictable, highly volatile, potentially subject to governmental fixing, pegging, controls or any combination of these and other factors, and respond to changes in domestic, international, political, social and economic environments. Additionally, due to worldwide economic uncertainty, the availability and cost of funds for production and other expenses have become increasingly difficult, if not impossible, to project. In addition, adverse weather conditions can also hinder drilling operations. These changes and events may materially affect our future financial performance. These factors cannot be accurately predicted and the combination of these factors may result in our company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

Even if we are able to discover and complete a gas well, there can be no assurance the well will become profitable.

We have not yet established a commercially viable coalbed methane gas resource. Even if we are able to do so, a productive well may become uneconomic in the event water or other deleterious substances are encountered which impair or prevent the production of oil and/or gas from the well. In addition, production from any well may be unmarketable if it is impregnated with water or other deleterious substances. In addition, the marketability of oil and gas which may be acquired or discovered will be affected by numerous factors, including the proximity and capacity of oil and gas pipelines and processing equipment, market fluctuations of prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, allowable production and environmental protection, all of which could result in greater expenses than revenue generated by the well.

The oil and gas industry is highly competitive and there is no assurance that we will be successful in acquiring the leases.

The oil and gas industry is intensely competitive. We compete with numerous individuals and companies, including many major oil and gas companies that have substantially greater technical, financial and operational resources. Accordingly, there is a high degree of competition for desirable oil and gas leases, for suitable properties for drilling operations, for necessary drilling equipment, as well as for access to funds. We cannot predict if the necessary funds can be raised or that any projected work will be completed. Our budget anticipates our acquiring additional leases for acreage in both the Coos Bay and Chehalis Basins. This acreage may not become available or, if it is available for leasing, we may not be successful in acquiring clear title to the leases. If we do not acquire the leases, we will not be able to completely fulfill our current business plan. Failure to carry out our business plan may reduce the likelihood of achieving profitable operations and may discourage investors from investing in our company. If these things happen, we may not be able to raise additional funds when we need them and we may have to cease operations.

The marketability of natural resources will be affected by numerous factors beyond our control that may result in us not receiving an adequate return on invested capital to be profitable or viable.

The marketability of natural resources that may be acquired or discovered by us will be affected by numerous factors beyond our control. These factors include market fluctuations in oil and gas pricing and demand, the proximity and capacity of natural resource markets and processing equipment, governmental regulations, land lease tenure, land use, regulation concerning the importing and exporting of oil and gas, and environmental protection regulations. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in us not receiving an adequate return on invested capital to be profitable or viable.

Oil and gas operations are subject to comprehensive regulations that may cause substantial delays or require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated causing an adverse effect on our company.

Oil and gas operations are subject to federal, state, and local laws relating to the protection of the environment, including laws regulating removal of natural resources from the ground and the discharge of materials into the environment. Oil and gas operations are also subject to federal, state, and local laws and regulations that seek to maintain health and safety standards by regulating the design and use of drilling methods and equipment. Various permits from government bodies are required for drilling operations to be conducted; no assurance can be given that such permits will be granted. Environmental standards imposed by federal, state, or local authorities may be changed, and any such changes may have material adverse effects on our activities. Moreover, compliance with such laws may cause substantial delays or require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated, thus causing an adverse effect on our business operations. Additionally, we may be subject to liabilities for pollution or other environmental damages. We believe that our operations comply, in all material respects, with all applicable environmental and health and safety regulations. To date, we have not been required to spend any material amount on compliance with environmental and health and safety regulations. However, we may be required to do so in the future and this may affect our ability to expand or maintain our operations. Our operating partners maintain insurance coverage customary to the industry; however, we are not fully insured against all possible environmental and health and safety risks.

Oil and gas exploration and production activities are subject to certain environmental regulations that may prevent or delay the commencement or continuation of our operations.

In general, our oil and gas exploration and production activities are subject to certain federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental quality and pollution control. Such laws and regulations increase the costs of these activities and may prevent or delay the commencement or continuation of a given operation. Compliance with these laws and regulations has not had a material effect on our operations or financial condition to date. Specifically, we are subject to legislation regarding emissions into the environment, water discharges and storage and disposition of hazardous wastes. In addition, legislation has been enacted which requires well and facility sites to be abandoned and reclaimed to the satisfaction of state authorities. However, such laws and regulations are frequently changed and we are unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance. Generally, environmental requirements do not appear to affect us any differently or to any greater or lesser extent than other companies in the industry. We believe that our operations comply, in all material respects, with all applicable environmental regulations. Our operating partners maintain insurance coverage customary to the industry; however, we are not fully insured against all possible environmental risks.

Exploratory drilling involves many risks and we may become liable for pollution or other liabilities that may have an adverse effect on our financial position.

Drilling operations generally involve a high degree of risk. Hazards such as unusual or unexpected geological formations, power outages, labor disruptions, blow-outs, sour gas leakage, fire, inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labor, and other risks are involved. We may become subject to liability for pollution or hazards against which we cannot adequately insure or against which we may elect not to insure. Incurring any such liability may have a material adverse effect on our financial position and operations.

Risks Relating to Our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock

There are a large number of shares issuable upon conversion or redemption of our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock and the sale of these shares may depress the market price of our common stock.

As of January 31, 2006 we had 33,424,941 shares of common stock issued and outstanding and 17,500 shares of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding. In addition, we may be obligated to issue between 10,000,000 to approximately 14,970,060 shares of our common stock upon conversion or redemption of the outstanding Series E Convertible Preferred Stock. Ten million shares of our common stock, including all of the shares issuable upon conversion or redemption of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may be sold pursuant to this registration statement. Additional shares associated with conversion or redemption of the Series E Convertible Stock may also become available for sale. The sale of these shares may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

The issuance of shares upon conversion or redemption of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may cause immediate and substantial dilution to our existing stockholders.

The issuance of shares upon conversion or redemption of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other stockholders since we may choose to pay for our redemption of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock through issuance of our common stock and the selling stockholders may also choose to convert and sell the full amount issuable on conversion. The upper limit of the number of shares of our common stock that may be issued from conversion or redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock is approximately 14,970,060 shares, which will have the effect of further diluting the proportionate equity interest and voting power of holders of our common stock, including investors in this offering.

The issuance of shares in the event of default under the terms of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may cause immediate and substantial dilution to our existing stockholders.

The issuance of shares upon conversion of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock under circumstances involving specific events of default may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other stockholders. Under certain default circumstances the holders of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may elect conversion to common stock at a conversion price of \$0.50 per share. The upper limit of the number of shares of our common stock that may be issued from conversion of our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock under this circumstance is approximately 50,000,000 shares, which will have the effect of further diluting the proportionate equity interest and voting power of holders of our common stock, including certain investors in this offering.

Redemption of shares for cash under the terms of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may cause us to scale back or cease our operations if we are unable to obtain substitute funding under acceptable terms.

Enforcement of the mandatory redemption requirement under the terms of the Series E Convertible Stock would result in a monthly outflow of more than \$1 million and could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and operations. As of the date of this registration statement, the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor, Cornell Capital Partners, LP, has temporarily waived the redemption requirement that is required. However, there is no assurance that the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor will continue to waive the redemption requirement.

Risks Relating to Our Shares of Common Stock

If we fail to remain current in our reporting requirements, we could be removed from the OTC Bulletin Board which would limit the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and the ability of stockholders to sell their securities in the secondary market.

Companies trading on the OTC Bulletin Board, such as us, must be reporting issuers under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and must be current in their reports under Section 13, in order to maintain price quotation privileges on the OTC Bulletin Board. If we fail to remain current on our reporting

requirements, we could be removed from the OTC Bulletin Board. As a result, the market liquidity for our securities could be severely adversely affected by limiting the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and the ability of stockholders to sell their securities in the secondary market.

Our shares of common stock are subject to the penny stock rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the trading market in our securities is limited, which makes transactions in our shares of common stock cumbersome and may reduce the value of an investment in our shares of common stock.

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15c-9 which establishes the definition of a penny stock, for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require:

that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and

the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must:

obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and

make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form:

sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and

that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.

Generally, brokers may be less willing to execute transactions in securities subject to the penny stock rules. This may make it more difficult for investors to dispose of our shares of common stock and cause a decline in the market value of our shares of common stock.

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

National Association of Securities Dealers Inc. sales practice requirements may also limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our shares of common stock.

In addition to the penny stock rules described above, the National Association of Securities Dealers Inc. has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, the National Association of Securities Dealers Inc. believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The National Association of Securities Dealers Inc. requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our shares of common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our shares of common stock and have an adverse effect on the market for its shares.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The shares of common stock offered hereby are being registered for the account of the selling stockholders named in this prospectus. As a result, all proceeds from the sales of the common stock will go to the selling stockholders and we will not receive any proceeds from the resale of the common stock by the selling stockholders. We will, however, incur all costs associated with this registration statement and prospectus.

MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "TREN". Prior to July 30, 2004, our common stock was quoted under the symbol "SBSY." Prior to March 24, 2003, our common stock was quoted under the symbol "IRVV."

For the periods indicated, the following table sets forth the high and low bid prices per share of common stock. The following quotations obtained from Stockwatch reflect the high and low bids for our shares of common stock based on inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
March 31, 2004	\$0.51	\$0.05
June 30, 2004	\$1.29	\$0.38
September 30, 2004 ⁽¹⁾	\$1.18	\$0.65
December 31, 2004	\$1.37	\$0.72
March 31, 2005	\$1.36	\$0.93
June 30, 2005	\$3.56	\$0.95
September 30, 2005	\$2.58	\$1.56
December 31, 2005	\$2.43	\$1.68
March 31, 2006	\$3.07	\$1.94
June 30, 2006	\$3.93	\$1.62
September 30, 2006	\$2.58	\$1.40
December 31, 2006	\$2.00	\$0.99

Holder

Our shares of common stock are issued in registered form. Computershare Trust Company, Inc., 350 Indiana Street, Suite 800, Golden, CO 80401 (Telephone: 303.262.0600; Facsimile: 303.262.0604) is the registrar and transfer agent for our shares of common stock.

On January 31, 2007, the shareholders list of our shares of common stock showed 130 registered holders of our shares of common stock and 33,424,941 shares of common stock outstanding. The number of record holders was determined from the records of our transfer agent and does not include beneficial owners of shares of common stock whose shares are held in the names of various security brokers, dealers, and registered clearing agencies.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, we paid dividends of \$72,672 on our Series B Preferred Stock, all of which had been accrued during the previous fiscal year. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, we accrued preferred stock dividends of \$308,442 on our Series C Preferred Stock (See Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements); and during the subsequent quarter ended June 30, 2006, we reached agreement with the purchaser of the Series C Preferred Stock to accept shares of common stock in lieu of cash payment of the aggregate accrued dividend due of \$343,712. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends to common stockholders in the foreseeable future. In

addition, any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of the board of directors and will be dependent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, and such other factors as the board of directors deem relevant.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides a summary of the number of options granted under our compensation plans, as well as options granted outside of our compensation plans, the weighted average exercise price and the number of options remaining available for issuance all as of September 30, 2006.

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	Weighted-Average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	N/A	N/A	N/A
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾	200,000 ⁽²⁾	\$0.50	Nil
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ⁽³⁾	2,500,000	\$1.47	Nil
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ⁽⁴⁾	725,000	\$2.78	Nil
Total	3,425,000	\$1.69	Nil

(1) Referring to our 2004 non-qualified stock option plan. Please see the 2004 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan section below.

(2) Of the 800,000 options, 600,000 were granted outside of the non-qualified stock option plan. Please see the 2004 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan section below.

(3) Referring to our 2005 equity incentive plan. Please see the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan section below.

(4) Referring to our 2006 equity incentive plan. Please see the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan section below.

2006 Equity Incentive Plan

On May 10, 2006, our board of directors adopted the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan for our executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors. Under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors may receive awards as described in the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. The purpose of the equity incentive plan is to provide long-term performance incentives to those key employees and consultants of our company and our subsidiaries who are largely responsible for the management, growth and protection of the business of our company and our subsidiaries. A maximum of 1,000,000 shares of our common stock are subject to the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. As of September 30, 2006, 725,000 options with an average exercise price of \$2.78 per share had been granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan to directors and to employees.

2005 Equity Incentive Plan

On March 17, 2005, our board of directors adopted the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan for our executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors. Under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, executives, employees and

outside consultants and advisors may receive awards as described in the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. The purpose of the equity incentive plan is to provide long-term performance incentives to those key employees and consultants of our company and our subsidiaries who are largely responsible for the management, growth and protection of the business of our company and our subsidiaries. A maximum of 2,000,000 shares of our common stock is subject to the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2005, a registration statement on Form S-8 was filed to register the common stock issuable under our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan with an amendment to increase the number of shares under the plan to 2,500,000. As of September 30, 2006, 2,500,000 options with an average exercise price of \$1.47 per share had been granted under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan as further described below.

On April 1, 2005, 1,200,000 stock options were granted to directors and officers under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 1,200,000 shares of our common stock. On April 15, 2005, 300,000 stock options were granted to an officer of our subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.25 per share. On June 2, 2005, 200,000 stock options were granted to consultants under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. On October 15, 2005, 250,000 stock options were granted to a newly hired employee of our subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. On November 22, 2005 40,000 stock options were granted to a consultant under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 40,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. On January 16, 2006, 310,000 stock options were granted to a newly hired officer and to other employees and consultants under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan with an exercise price of \$2.11 per share. In addition, we had previously granted a consultant the option to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.83 per share pursuant to a consulting agreement for public and investor relations with a third party in which 25% of the options vest immediately and 25% vest every quarter thereafter and either party may terminate the investor relations agreement with thirty days written notice. These options were originally granted outside of the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan and subsequently were revised to be included under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. There are currently no further options available for grant under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan.

2004 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan

On February 10, 2004, our board of directors adopted the 2004 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (the 2004 Plan) for our executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors. Under the plan, executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors may receive awards of non-qualified stock options. The purpose of the plan is to provide executives, employees and non-employee consultants and advisors with an increased incentive to make contributions to our company. The aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be granted by our company under the 2004 Plan will not exceed a maximum of 1,800,000 shares of common stock during the period of the plan. The 2004 Plan shall terminate upon the earlier of February 10, 2014 or the issuance of all shares of common stock granted under the plan. The option prices per share are determined by our board of directors when the stock option is granted.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, we granted 1,060,000 stock options to various consultants of our company. Each option entitles the holder to acquire one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share. These options have vesting periods ranging from immediately to over seven months, and expire two years from date of grant.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, we granted a total of 1,340,000 stock options of which 740,000 were under the 2004 Plan and the other 600,000 were outside the plan. Of the total 1,340,000 options, 740,000 stock options were granted to various directors and consultants of our company under the 2004 Plan. Each option entitles the holder to acquire one share of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.10 to \$0.50 per share. Vesting of these options ranged from 100% immediately to 25% immediately and 25% every six months afterward until fully vested 18 months from the date of grant. These options expire five years from the date of grant.

Of the 600,000 stock options granted outside the 2004 Plan, 200,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share and another 200,000 with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share were granted pursuant to a mail distribution agreement with a third party. These options vested immediately and were exercisable until November 1, 2005. These options have a cashless exercise provision whereby the optionee can elect to receive shares of common

- 14 -

stock in lieu of paying cash for the options based on a formula that includes using the average closing prices of the five trading days preceding the exercise date.

The other 200,000 stock options granted outside the 2004 Plan were to a consultant providing public and investor relations services. These options have an exercise price of \$0.83 per share, vested 25% immediately, and 25% every quarter thereafter. Either party may terminate the investor relations agreement with thirty days written notice.

As of September 30, 2006, 200,000 options with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share remained outstanding under the 2004 Plan.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data as of and for the dates indicated have been derived from our consolidated financial statements. You should read the following selected financial data together with our consolidated financial statements and related footnotes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The selected consolidated statement of operations data of our company for the year ended March 31, 2006 and the consolidated balance sheet data of our company as of March 31, 2006 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by Peterson Sullivan PLLC and are included in this prospectus. The selected consolidated statement of operations data of our company for the year ended March 31, 2005 and the consolidated balance sheet data of our company as of March 31, 2005 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP and are included in this prospectus. The selected consolidated statement of operations data of our company for the two year period ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 and the consolidated balance sheet data of our company as of March 31, 2004 and 2003 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by Moore Stephens Ellis Foster Ltd., Chartered Accountants. The selected consolidated statement of operations data of our company for the year ended March 31, 2002 and the consolidated balance sheet data of our company as of March 31, 2002 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by Gerald R. Hendricks & Company, P.C. The selected consolidated statement of operations data of our company for the six months ended September 30, 2006 and the consolidated balance sheet data of our company as of September 30, 2006 are derived from the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus. The unaudited consolidated financial statements include, in our opinion, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. The historical results are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected in the future.

Consolidated Statement of Operations Data	Six Months Ended		Year Ended March 31				
	September 30 2006	2005	2006	2005 ⁽³⁾	2004	2003 ⁽²⁾	2002 ⁽¹⁾
(Dollars in Thousands)							
Sales or Operating Revenue	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$1,719
Loss from Continuing Operations	\$(3,510)	\$(2,177)	\$(4,036)	\$(2,419)	\$(375)	\$(417)	\$(338)
General and Administrative Expenses	\$(3,639)	\$(2,230)	\$4,193	\$2,419	\$412	\$347	\$645
Exploration Costs	\$5,040	\$5,873	\$13,602	\$2,775	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other Income(Expense)	\$129	\$53	\$157	\$1	\$37	\$(70)	\$(44)
Net Loss Applicable to Common Shareholders	\$(4,429)	\$(2,518)	\$(5,296)	\$(2,701)	\$(375)	\$(396)	\$(338)
Basic and Diluted Net Loss per Avg. Shares Outstanding	\$(0.14)	\$(0.11)	\$(0.22)	\$(0.16)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.03)

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data (Dollars in Thousands)	Six Months Ended September 30		Year Ended March 31				
	2006	2005	2006	2005 ⁽³⁾	2004	2003 ⁽²⁾	2002 ⁽¹⁾
Working capital	\$10,430	\$7,216	\$1,152	\$1,969	\$(15)	\$(210)	\$(90)
Total assets	\$34,197	\$18,960	\$19,927	\$5,648	\$13	\$1	\$ -
Long term obligations and redeemable preferred stock	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Cash dividends per common Share	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

(1) We were incorporated under the name of iRV, Inc. on July 17, 2002. We were initially involved in the business of providing services to the e-commerce industry. However, we ceased all activities in the e-commerce industry by the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003.

(2) We changed our name to Scarab Systems, Inc. on March 24, 2003 and acquired all the issued and outstanding shares of Catalyst Technologies, Inc., which was in the web design and Internet application development business.

(3) We changed our business to oil and gas exploration when we first incorporated our subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp. on April 30, 2004. Since that time, we have focused our business operations on exploration of oil and gas.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This registration statement contains forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, potential or continue or the negative or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the risks in the section entitled Risk Factors, that may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results.

Our consolidated financial statements are stated in United States dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

In this registration statement, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars. All references to CDN\$ refer to Canadian dollars.

As used in this annual report, the terms we, us and our mean Torrent Energy Corporation, and our wholly owned subsidiaries, Methane Energy Corp. and Cascadia Energy Corp., unless otherwise indicated.

You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations together with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 and interim consolidated financial statements and the related notes for the periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 which appear elsewhere in this registration statement.

Overview

Until June 22, 2004, when we completed our acquisition of certain oil and gas leases in the Coos Bay region, our business was to provide services to the e-commerce industry. Historically, these services have been comprised of marketing, e-commerce development and the sale and distribution of transaction processing and payment services. Since none of these services were sufficient to provide us with a sustainable foundation, we commenced reviewing opportunities in the resource sector in late fiscal 2004. Accordingly, the accumulated losses of \$883,317 to March 31, 2004 reflect our past activities that have been either discontinued or abandoned.

Our restructuring accelerated from January 1, 2004 to March 31, 2004 (final quarter of fiscal 2004) and was finalized from April 1, 2004 to June 30, 2004 (first quarter of fiscal 2005). We decided to investigate and pursue a number of conventional oil and gas opportunities as well as a number of unconventional (coalbed methane) acquisition candidates. Due diligence on a coalbed methane opportunity was completed in April and May of 2004, resulting in the announcement on May 20, 2004 of the purchase of certain Oregon-based oil and gas lease assets from an independent company. Two private placements from April 1, 2004 to June 30, 2004 (first quarter of fiscal 2005) allowed us to complete the lease acquisitions and to commence leasing additional mineral rights under the land surrounding the existing oil and gas leases. This established a coalbed methane exploration project in Oregon on which to focus.

Additional private placements from July 1, 2004 to September 30, 2004 (second quarter of fiscal 2005) and from January 1, 2005 to March 31, 2005 (fourth quarter of fiscal 2005) allowed us to complete additional lease acquisitions, core hole drilling, marketing and public relations, and pay legal and professional fees related to the Oregon properties. Private placements completed between April 1, 2005 and January 31, 2006 provided sufficient funding to complete a pilot well program on the Oregon prospect from which we plan to obtain production evaluation data and to acquire option rights and lease rights on prospective acreage in the State of Washington. Additional financings will be required to support further leasing activities and related exploratory drilling and testing programs on both our Oregon and Washington prospects.

Land Acquisition

We currently lease approximately 116,000 acres in the Coos Bay Basin of Oregon and 14,964 acres in the Chehalis Basin of Washington with up to an additional 115,280 acres in the Chehalis Basin subject to lease option agreements. Our objective is to achieve a land lease position of approximately 120,000 acres in the Coos Bay Basin and approximately 180,000 acres in the Chehalis Basin by the end of fiscal 2007, although there is no assurance that we can reach these goals.

Results of Operations

The results of operations include the results of Torrent and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Methane Energy Corp. and Cascadia Energy Corp., for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively, and for the years ended March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005. During the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended March 31, 2006, Torrent performed all of the administrative operations while the subsidiaries, Methane Energy Corp. and Cascadia Energy Corp., held the interests in the leases and operated the Coos Bay project and Cedar Creek project, respectively.

Three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to three months ended September 30, 2005

As we have remained in the early stages of development, we have not yet generated any revenues from our operations.

We recorded a net loss of \$2,023,157 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared with a net loss of \$1,172,269 for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Operating expenses increased significantly during the most current three-month period as compared to the same period last year. The most significant operating expense increases during the period included consulting, staff expense, investor relations, stock based compensation, and legal and accounting.

Total operating expenses were \$1,970,026 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared with \$977,210 for the same period one year earlier. Consulting expense increased by \$340,053 from \$209,734 to \$549,787 primarily as a result of investment banking advisory fees paid during the three months ending September 30, 2006. Staff expenses increased by \$222,733 as the Company developed an internal staff to plan and execute its current drilling programs in Coos Bay and Cedar Creek.

Investor relations expense increased to \$215,394 in the current three-month period ending September 30, 2006 compared to \$30,572 during the same period last year. The increase reflects the temporary engagement of an investor relations firm to assist the Company in expanding prospective investor awareness of its activities.

Stock based compensation expense increased from \$441,865 during the three months ended September 30, 2005 to \$633,077 during the most recent period as a result of new option grants occurring during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Legal and accounting expenses rose by \$57,359, from \$72,408 during the three months ended September 30, 2005 to \$129,767 during the most recent three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase is associated with the legal and accounting support for an increased number of regulatory filings related to our financing activities.

Travel expenses associated with our financing activities and expansion of our drilling activities led to expenses of \$82,321 during the most current three month period, versus \$58,758 during the same period last year.

We invested excess cash in term deposits during the three months ended September 30, 2006 resulting in interest revenue of \$116,185. Similar investments during the three months ended September 30, 2005 yielded \$40,798 in interest revenue.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we recorded \$172,638 in dividend accretion of the Series C Stock beneficial conversion feature with an offsetting amount recorded as additional paid-in capital. With conversion of the Series C Stock to common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2006, no similar charge was recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

We accrued \$169,316 for dividends to be paid on the Series E Stock during the three months ended September 30, 2006. In the comparable period one year earlier, we accrued \$63,219 for dividends on the Series C Stock.

Six months ended September 30, 2006 compared to six months ended September 30, 2005

As we have remained in the early stages of development, we have not yet generated any revenues from our operations.

We recorded a net loss of \$4,428,597 for the six months ended September 30, 2006, compared with a net loss of \$2,518,267 for the six months ended September 30, 2005.

Operating expenses rose during the most current six-month period as compared to the same period last year as a result of increased activities supporting our drilling, completion and testing operations. Significant operating expense increases during the period were attributable to growth in consulting, employee costs, and investor relations expenses with an offset from reduced stock based compensation expense.

Total operating expenses were \$3,639,253 for the six months ended September 30, 2006, compared with \$2,230,346 for the same period one year earlier. The significant elements of the year-over-year growth in operating expenses included consulting and staff expenses, which increased to facilitate financing activities during the first six

months and expansion of the Company's operating activities. Consulting expense increased by \$407,326 from \$351,865 to \$759,191 primarily as a result of investment banking advisory fees paid during the six months ending September 30, 2006. Staff expenses increased by \$371,195 as the Company developed an internal staff to plan and execute its current drilling programs in Coos Bay and Cedar Creek.

Stock based compensation expense increased from \$1,308,007 during the six months ended September 30, 2005 to \$1,363,328 during the most recent period as a result of fewer option grants occurring during the six months ended September 30, 2006 as compared with the same period in 2005.

Investor relations expense increased to \$383,261 in the current six months ending September 30, 2006 compared to an expense recovery of \$15,764 during the same period last year. The credit during prior year period was a result of regular investor relations expenses of \$115,405 being netted against a reversal of \$99,641. This reversal related directly to the cancellation of 200,000 stock options that were originally granted during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005.

Legal and accounting expenses rose by \$90,436, from \$135,953 during the six months ended September 30, 2005 to \$226,389 during the most recent six months ended September 30, 2006. The increase is associated with the legal and accounting support for an increased number of regulatory filings related to our financing activities.

Travel expenses associated with our financing efforts and our drilling activities led to expenses of \$175,075 during the most current six-month period, versus \$117,924 during the same period last year. Lease rentals rose to \$101,224 during the six months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to \$60,013 during the same period last year as a result of annual lease payments on our increased acreage holdings coming due during the period.

We invested excess cash in term deposits during the six months ended September 30, 2006 resulting in interest revenue of \$129,051. Similar investments during the six months ended September 30, 2005 yielded \$53,017 in interest revenue.

We recorded \$710,110 in dividend accretion of the Series C Stock beneficial conversion feature with an offsetting amount recorded as additional paid-in capital as compared to \$172,638 during the same period last year. We also accrued \$35,270 and \$173,015, respectively, for dividends on the Series C Stock and the Series E Stock during the six months ended September 30, 2006. We issued 228,714 shares of common stock in payment of the aggregate \$343,712 accrued dividend owed on the Series C Stock.

Year ended March 31, 2006 compared to year ended March 31, 2005

As we have remained in the early stages of development, we have not yet generated any revenues from our operations.

The results of operations include the results of our company and wholly owned subsidiaries, Methane Energy Corp. and Cascadia Energy Corp., for the year ended March 31, 2006 and our company and wholly owned subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., for the year ended March 31, 2005. During the year ended March 31, 2006, our company performed all of the administrative operations while the subsidiaries, Methane Energy Corp. and Cascadia Energy Corp., held the interests in the leases and operated the Coos Bay project and Cedar Creek project, respectively.

Operating expenses increased considerably between the periods due to our increased activity compared to the prior period when we were only beginning to re-establish our operations. During the year ended March 31, 2006, we completed drilling on the initial exploratory wells in the Coos Bay Basin of Oregon and commenced completion and testing operations. The most significant operating expenses increases consisted of consulting fees and payroll expense, lease rentals, insurance, legal and accounting fees, stock-based compensation and travel expenses.

Operating expenses were \$4,193,346 for the year ended March 31, 2006, compared with \$2,419,652 for the year ended March 31, 2005. A significant portion of the increase in expenditures was attributable to stock-based

compensation expenses of \$2,075,422 (2005 - \$701,740) related to the granting of six tranches of stock options during the year ended March 31, 2006.

Consulting fees were \$605,920 for the year ended March 31, 2006, compared with \$288,845 for the previous twelve months. This increase is a result of our expanded operating and funding activities to develop the corporate infrastructure to properly manage and direct our coalbed methane projects. Additionally, we began adding permanent employees to handle both technical and administrative functions for us that added \$91,997 in payroll expense for the year just ended as compared to the previous year. There is now a management team in place to continue the exploration of the Coos Bay project in Methane Energy Corp. and to start planning for the exploration of the Cedar Creek project in Cascadia Energy Corp.

Investor relations expenses were \$163,435 for the year ended March 31, 2006, compared with \$864,375 for the year ended March 31, 2005. A significant portion of the prior period investor relations expenses consists of \$450,000 in fees for a shareholder awareness mail distribution program that has not been renewed. Additionally, the reduced balance for the twelve months ending March 31, 2006 is partially attributable to a reversal of prior year investor relations expenses of \$99,641. This reversal relates directly to the cancellation of 200,000 stock options that were originally granted during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005.

During the twelve months ended March 31, 2006, we paid \$73,254 for lease rentals related to our increasing portfolio of oil and gas leases. During the preceding twelve-month period we had paid a total of \$15,017 in lease rentals.

Legal and accounting costs rose during the period primarily as a result of activities supporting multiple funding initiatives, improving our accounting infrastructure, and compliance with regulatory and audit requirements. As a result, our legal and accounting costs were \$402,127 for the year ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$128,719 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2005.

Travel costs for the year ended March 31, 2006 were \$287,563 as compared to \$86,593 for the same period last year. The additional travel costs were due to the requirements to bring the expertise into Oregon for our drilling program plus the travel required for our funding activities.

We invested excess cash in term deposits during the year ended March 31, 2006 resulting in interest revenue of \$156,799. Similar investments during the twelve months ended March 31, 2005 yielded \$1,027 in interest revenue.

We recorded the remaining \$105,081 for dividend accretion related to the beneficial conversion feature on the Series B Stock during the year ended March 31, 2006. We recorded \$845,763 in value and \$845,763 in accretion, both related to the beneficial conversion feature associated with the Series C Stock that was issued during the year ended March 31, 2006. We also accrued \$308,442 for dividends to be paid on the Series C Stock.

Plan of Operations

Exploration Activities

We are planning to continue an aggressive drilling and exploration program in the Coos Bay Basin. Based on existing raw data, which provided substantial subsurface information, we completed a core drilling program by the end of May 2005 with five core holes drilled at depths ranging from 1,000 to 4,500 feet. Having determined that sufficient gas content levels existed, a pilot well program consisting of seven wells at depths ranging from 3,900 to 5,300 feet was initiated during the year ended March 31, 2006. Preliminary production testing results have been sufficiently promising to justify continuation of the current completion program and to justify an additional drilling program to further delineate the resource potential and economic viability of a development program.

We also plan to accelerate our exploratory activities in the Chehalis Basin area with four stratigraphic wells to be drilled in the latter half of 2006 and early 2007. Retrieval, analysis and testing of core samples obtained from these wells will provide a basis for structuring a plan for a potential resource development program.

We will require additional funds to sustain and expand our oil and gas exploration activities. We anticipate that we will require up to approximately \$21,000,000 to fund our continued operations for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2007. Additional capital will be required to effectively support our operations and to otherwise implement our overall business strategy.

Major expenditures expected for the next 12 months include the following:

Well drilling, completion and testing:	\$16,000,000
Land and leasing expenditures:	1,200,000
Geological and geophysical expenditures:	1,000,000
Operating expenses:	800,000
General and administrative:	2,000,000
Total:	\$21,000,000

The continuation of our business is dependent on obtaining further financing, positive results from exploratory activities, and achieving a profitable level of business. In the event that our properties continue to show promise but we don't have the resources to develop them, we would likely seek partners to assist in that development which would dilute our interest in the property, or we may sell our interest outright. Alternatively, we could seek additional financing which could dilute our existing shareholders' interests. Furthermore, if at any stage we determine that it is not expected that our properties can be commercially developed, we may abandon further development work and our interests in the properties.

There are no assurances that we will be able to obtain further funds required for our continued operations. As noted herein, we are pursuing various financing alternatives to meet our immediate and long-term financial requirements. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available when needed or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain the additional financing on a timely basis, we will be unable to conduct our operations as planned, and we will not be able to meet our other obligations as they become due. In such event, we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease our operations. Further, there can be no assurance that our exploration will result in any commercial findings of oil and gas.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash on hand was \$11,714,876 as of September 30, 2006 compared to \$2,658,719 as of March 31, 2006 and \$2,600,986 as of March 31, 2005. Our working capital surplus was \$10,430,070 as of September 30, 2006 as compared to \$1,151,881 as of March 31, 2006 and \$1,968,953 as of March 31, 2005. During the six months ended September 30, 2006, we received net proceeds of \$16,177,500 from the issuance of our Series E preferred stock. This compares to net proceeds of \$3,444,285 from the issuance of shares of common stock and net proceeds of \$11,552,000 from the issuance of our preferred stock during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, we received net proceeds of \$3,996,204 from common stock issues and \$1,935,000 from preferred stock issues.

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, we expended cash of \$3,765,028 on our Coos Bay and Chehalis Basin projects compared to \$5,873,378 during the comparable period in the prior year. Expenditures on the Coos Bay project for the six months ending September 30, 2006 were \$3,698,551 and included \$156,439 in seismic and lease costs, \$3,329,886 in drilling costs for the pilot well program and \$212,226 for geological and geophysical consulting fees.

During the six months ended September 30, 2006, cash expenditures for our Chehalis Basin project totaled \$66,477 and were comprised of \$47,750 in seismic and lease costs, and \$18,727 in geological and geophysical consulting fees. The Chehalis Basin expenditures are net of the 40% participation by our joint venture partner.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, we expended cash of \$11,435,664 on our Coos Bay and Chehalis Basin projects compared to \$2,319,434 during the year ended March 31, 2005. Expenditures on the Coos Bay project for the year ending March 31, 2006 were \$11,152,801 and included \$522,043 in seismic and lease costs, \$9,997,602 in drilling costs for the pilot well program and \$633,156 for geological and geophysical consulting fees. During the comparative period in the prior year, our expenditures for Coos Bay were \$2,319,434 and included \$300,000 in project acquisition costs, \$499,847 for seismic and lease costs, \$1,262,564 in drilling costs and \$257,023 for geological and geophysical consulting fees.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, cash expenditures for our Chehalis Basin project totaled \$287,738 and included \$224,588 for seismic and lease costs and \$63,150 for geological and geophysical consulting. The Chehalis Basin expenditures are net of the 40% participation by our joint venture partner.

Series B Convertible Preferred Shares

We are authorized to issue up to 5,000 shares of Series B preferred stock, par value \$0.01. On August 27, 2004, we closed a private placement of 2,200 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (Series B Stock) for \$2,200,000 in gross proceeds. Our Series B Stock is non-voting, carry a cumulative dividend rate of 5% per year, and are convertible into shares of common stock at any time by dividing the dollar amount being converted by the lower of \$1.20 or 80% of the lowest volume weighted average trading price per share of our common stock for 10 trading days. The holder of the Series B Stock may only convert up to \$250,000 of Series B Stock into shares of common stock in any 30-day period. We may redeem the Series B Stock by paying 120% of the invested amount together with any unpaid dividends. As a condition of the private placement, we agreed to file a registration statement registering up to 5,000,000 shares of common stock in order to receive all of the proceeds of the private placement.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, 500 Series B convertible preferred shares were converted into 614,358 shares of our common stock at an average price of \$0.83 per share of common stock. In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, all of the remaining 1,700 Series B convertible preferred shares were converted into 1,795,254 shares of our common stock at an average price of \$1.06 per share of common stock. Please refer to Note 10 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Series C Convertible Preferred Shares

We are authorized to issue up to 25,000 shares of Series C preferred stock, par value \$0.01. On July 19, 2005, we closed a private placement of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock (Series C Stock) at \$1,000 per share for 12,500 shares. Our Series C Stock is non-voting, carries a cumulative dividend rate of 5% per year, and is convertible into shares of common stock at any time by dividing the dollar amount being converted by the lower of \$3.00 or 85% of the lowest volume weighted average trading price per share of our common stock for 5 trading days. As a condition of the private placement, we agreed to file a registration statement registering up to 12,500,000 shares of common stock in order to receive all of the proceeds of the private placement. Please refer to Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

During the second fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2005, we completed a \$12,500,000 Series C Stock offering. Under the terms of this financing, we received gross proceeds of \$6,000,000 on closing and an additional \$3,500,000 upon filing a registration statement on Form SB-2 registering the converted shares of common stock for resale. An additional \$3,000,000 in gross proceeds was received upon effectiveness of the registration statement. The registration statement was accepted by the Securities Exchange Commission on January 12, 2006; and on January 13, 2006 our company received \$2,775,000 in proceeds from payment of the final tranche, net of a 7.5% finders fee. These proceeds are being used to fund our Coos Bay program and for general working capital. For more details please review our Form 8-K filing of July 20, 2005 and refer to Note 11 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

The Series C Stock was converted to 7,422,934 shares of our common stock, 2,083,614 of which were issued in fiscal 2006 and 5,339,320 of which were issued subsequent to our 2006 fiscal year end. We also issued 228,714 shares of our common stock to the purchaser of the Series C Stock, who agreed to accept such shares in lieu

of cash in payment of the accrued dividend due on the Series C Stock of \$343,712. The Series C Stock has now been converted in full.

Series E Convertible Preferred Shares

We are authorized to issue up to 25,000 shares of Series E preferred stock, par value \$0.01. On June 28, 2006, we closed a private placement of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock at \$1,000 per share for 25,000 shares. Our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock is non-voting, carries a cumulative dividend rate of 5% per year, and is convertible into shares of common stock at any time by dividing the dollar amount being converted by \$2.50. The holder of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may only convert up to 1,250 Series E Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares in any 30 day period. We may, in our discretion, waive this conversion limitation; and this conversion limitation shall not apply upon the occurrence and continuance of an event of default. We are also required to redeem a certain amount of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock each month starting the month after this registration statement becomes effective or December 1, 2006, whichever is earlier. If the closing trading price of our common stock exceeds \$2.50 for the five consecutive trading days immediately before a redemption date, then we will not need to make the redemption. We may elect to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by cash or through issuance of our common stock. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock in cash, we will need to pay the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed plus a 20% redemption premium. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock through issuance of our common stock, the number of shares of our common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed by \$1.67. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock as of the redemption date falls below \$1.67, we will not be allowed to pay for the redemption through issuance of our common stock. As of the date of this registration statement, the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor, Cornell Capital Partners, LP, has temporarily waived the redemption requirement that is required. However, there is no assurance that the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor will continue to waive the redemption requirement.

As a condition of the private placement, we agreed to file a registration statement registering up to 15,000,000 shares of common stock in order to receive all of the proceeds of the private placement.

Warrants

We issued no warrants during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, we issued warrants attached to a private placement to purchase 1,442,930 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.50 per share exercisable until May 19, 2006. We also issued warrants attached to a private placement to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.55 per share exercisable until July 7, 2006. A total of 1,614,359 warrants were exercised in fiscal 2005. During the year ended March 31, 2006, 100,000 shares of common stock were issued pursuant to the exercise of share purchase warrants for proceeds of \$55,000. As of March 31, 2006, all previously issued warrants had been exercised. Please refer to Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

\$2,500,000 Private Placement

To obtain funding for our ongoing operations, we entered into two stock purchase agreements with two accredited investors on February 11, 2005 for the sale of 2,500,000 shares of our common stock for \$2,500,000. The investors provided us with an aggregate of \$2,500,000 on February 15, 2005, pursuant to the stock purchase agreements. The funds from the sale of the shares of common stock were used for business development purposes, working capital needs, and payment of consulting and legal fees.

\$3,300,000 Private Placement

In July 2005, we closed a private placement with three institutional investors resulting in gross proceeds of \$3,300,000 by issuing 1,650,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share. These placement proceeds were used to fund our pilot well program in Coos Bay, Oregon. For more details please review our Form 8-K filing and refer to Note 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Additional Financing

We will require additional financing in order to complete our stated plan of operations for the next twelve months. We believe that we will require additional financing to carry out our intended objectives during the next twelve months. There can be no assurance, however, that such financing will be available or, if it is available, that we will be able to structure such financing on terms acceptable to us and that it will be sufficient to fund our cash requirements until we can reach a level of profitable operations and positive cash flows. If we are unable to obtain the financing necessary to support our operations, we may be unable to continue as a going concern. We currently have no firm commitments for any additional capital.

The trading price of our shares of common stock and volatility in the United States stock and debt markets could make it more difficult to obtain financing through the issuance of equity or debt securities. Even if we are able to raise the funds required, it is possible that we could incur unexpected costs and expenses, fail to collect significant amounts owed to us, or experience unexpected cash requirements that would force us to seek alternative financing. Further, if we issue additional equity or debt securities, stockholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our shares of common stock. If additional financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we will have to curtail our operations again.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS 157 addresses differences in the definition of fair value and guidance in applying the definition of fair value to the many accounting pronouncements that require fair value measurements. SFAS 157 emphasizes that (1) fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability for sale or transfer and (2) fair value is not entity-specific but based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Finally, SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy of fair value assumptions that distinguishes between independent market participant assumptions and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not expect that SFAS 157 will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

In September 2006, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 108 (SAB 108), *Financial Statements Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*. SAB 108 addresses how a registrant should quantify the effect of an error on the financial statements and concludes that a dual approach should be used to compute the amount of a misstatement. Specifically, the amount should be computed using both the rollover (current year income statement perspective) and iron curtain (year-end balance sheet perspective) methods. The provisions of SAB 108 are effective for the Company beginning April 1, 2007. We do not expect that the implementation of SAB 108 will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

In July 2006, FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, *Accounting For Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. This Interpretation provides guidance for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions, as defined in SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN No. 48 prescribes a threshold condition that a tax position must meet for any of the benefit of an uncertain tax position to be recognized in the financial statements. Guidance is also provided regarding derecognition, classification and disclosure of uncertain tax positions. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006.

We do not expect that this Interpretation will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140*. SFAS No. 155 clarifies certain issues related to definitions of, measurement of, and accounting for derivative instruments. Specifically, SFAS No. 155 permits fair value measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation. It also clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interest that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation. Finally, SFAS No. 155 clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives and amends SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The adoption of SFAS No. 155 will have no impact on our company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20 *Accounting Changes* and SFAS No. 3 *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have no impact on our company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FIN No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*. Under the provisions of FIN 47, the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity while the obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional. Accordingly, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The fair value of a liability for the conditional asset retirement obligation is required to be recognized when incurred - generally upon acquisition, construction, or development and/or through the normal operation of the asset. We have adopted FIN No. 47 as of December 31, 2005. Adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant effect on our 2005 consolidated financial statements, and we do not expect this pronouncement to have a significant effect on our future reported financial position or earnings.

In September 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force issued Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 04-8, *The Effect of Contingently Convertible Instruments on Diluted Earnings per Share*. EITF No. 04-8 provides new guidance on when the dilutive effect of contingently convertible securities with a market price trigger should be included in diluted earnings per share. The new guidance states that these securities should be included in the diluted earnings per share computation regardless of whether the market price trigger has been met. The guidance in EITF 04-8 is effective for all periods ending after December 15, 2004 and would be applied by retrospectively restating previously reported earnings per share. The adoption of EITF No. 04-8 did not have a material impact on our company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an amendment of APB No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. SFAS No. 153 requires exchanges of productive assets to be accounted for at fair value, rather than at carryover basis, unless (1) neither the asset received nor the asset surrendered has a fair value that is determinable within reasonable limits, or (2) the transactions lack commercial substance. SFAS 153 is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of FASB No. 153 did not have a material impact on our company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. SFAS 123(R) establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. This statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee

services in share-based payment transactions. SFAS 123(R) requires that the fair value of such equity instruments be recognized as expense in the historical financial statements as services are performed. Prior to SFAS 123(R), only certain pro-forma disclosures of fair value were required. SFAS 123(R) was effective for our company as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after April 1, 2006. The adoption of FASB No. 123(R) did not have a material impact on our company's consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis. We have accumulated a deficit of \$13,308,946 from inception to September 30, 2006. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to generate profitable operations in the future and/or to obtain the necessary financing to meet our obligations and repay our liabilities arising from normal business operations when they come due. The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with any certainty at this time. We have historically satisfied our working capital needs primarily by issuing equity securities and at September 30, 2006 we had working capital of \$10,430,070, largely as a result of completing a private placement during the six months ended September 30, 2006 that raised net proceeds of \$16,177,500. Management plans to continue to provide for our capital needs by issuing equity securities. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to the amount and classification of assets and liabilities that may be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management makes its best estimate of the ultimate outcome for these items based on the historical trends and other information available when the consolidated financial statements are prepared. Changes in the estimates are recognized in accordance with the accounting rules for the estimate, which is typically in the period when new information becomes available to management. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

Income Taxes

We have adopted SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, which requires us to recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the temporary differences between the consolidated financial statements and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Stock-based Compensation

Effective April 1, 2004, we adopted SFAS No. 123 *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation* as amended by SFAS No. 148 "*Accounting for Stock-based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure*". Effective April 1, 2006, we adopted FAS 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, using the modified prospective approach. We recognize stock-based compensation expense using a fair value based method.

Prior to the adoption of this standard, we applied the disclosure provision of SFAS No. 123 for stock options granted to directors, officers and employees. As permitted by SFAS No. 123, we followed the intrinsic value approach of APB No. 25 *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and the related interpretations. We have a stock option plan that is described more fully in Note 8 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We have adopted SFAS No. 133 *Accounting for Derivative and Hedging Activities*, which requires companies to recognize all derivative contracts as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and to measure them

at fair value. If certain conditions are met, a derivative may be specifically designated as a hedge, the objective of which is to match the timing of gain and loss recognition on the hedging derivative with the recognition of (i) the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk or (ii) the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transaction. For a derivative not designated as a hedging instrument, the gain or loss is recognized in income in the period of change. We have not entered into derivative contracts either to hedge existing risks or for speculative purposes, but we plan to use derivative contracts in the future solely for hedging prices on production.

Long-Lived Assets Impairment

Our long-term assets are reviewed when changes in circumstances require as to whether their carrying value has become impaired, pursuant to guidance established in SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. Management considers assets to be impaired if the carrying value exceeds the future projected cash flows from the related operations, undiscounted and without interest charges. If impairment is deemed to exist, the assets will be written down to fair value, and a loss is recorded as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value. Fair values are determined based on the quoted market values, discounted cash flows or internal and external appraisal, as applicable. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of carrying value or estimated net realizable value.

Asset Retirement Obligations

We recognize a liability for future retirement obligations associated with our oil and gas properties. The estimated fair value of the asset retirement obligation is based on the current cost escalated at an inflation rate and discounted at a credit adjusted risk-free rate. This liability is capitalized as part of the cost of the related asset and amortized over its useful life. The liability accretes until we settle the obligation. As of September 30, 2006, we did not have any asset retirement obligations.

Oil and Gas Properties

We utilize the full cost method to account for our investment in oil and gas properties. Accordingly, all costs associated with acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas reserves, including such costs as leasehold acquisition costs, interest costs relating to unproved properties, geological expenditures and direct internal costs are capitalized into the full cost pool. As of September 30, 2006, we had no properties with proven reserves. When we obtain proven oil and gas reserves, capitalized costs, including estimated future costs to develop the reserves and estimated abandonment costs, net of salvage, will be depleted on the units-of-production method using estimates of proved reserves. Investments in unproved properties and major development projects including capitalized interest, if any, are not amortized until proved reserves associated with the projects can be determined. If the future costs of exploration of unproved properties are determined uneconomical, the amounts of such properties are added to the capitalized cost to be amortized. As of September 30, 2006, all of our oil and gas properties were unproved and were excluded from amortization.

The capitalized costs included in the full cost pool are subject to a ceiling test, which limits such costs to the aggregate of the estimated present value, using an estimated discount rate, of the future net revenues from proved reserves, based on current economic and operating conditions and the estimated value of unproved properties. As of September 30, 2006, none of our unproved oil and gas properties was considered impaired.

BUSINESS

Overview

We are an exploration stage company primarily engaged in the exploration of coalbed methane in the Coos Bay region of Oregon and in the Chehalis Basin region of Washington State. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., we hold leases to approximately 116,000 acres of prospective coalbed methane lands in the Coos Bay region. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Cascadia Energy Corp., we are evaluating 114,964 acres in Washington State to commence an exploratory program for possible hydrocarbon deposits. We

changed our name from Scarab Systems, Inc. to Torrent Energy Corporation on July 13, 2004 to reflect the change in our business operations towards coalbed methane exploration. The change in our business operations occurred as a result of our entering into a lease purchase and sale agreement, through Methane on April 30, 2004. We were previously a web design and internet application developer.

Corporate History

We were formed by the merger of Scarab Systems, Inc., a Nevada corporation, with iRV, Inc., a Colorado corporation, on July 17, 2002. We were initially involved in the business of providing services to the e-commerce industry. However, we ceased all activities in the e-commerce industry by the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003. Scarab Systems, Inc. was a privately owned corporation incorporated on October 8, 2001. Subsequent to completion of the reorganization, Scarab Systems, Inc. transferred all its assets and liabilities to iRV, Inc. The directors and executive officers of iRV, Inc. were subsequently reconstituted. iRV, Inc. changed its name to Scarab Systems, Inc. on March 24, 2003.

On January 30, 2002, we were given two options in fiscal year 2002 to acquire all the issued and outstanding shares of 485017 B.C. Ltd., a British Columbia company doing business as MarketEdge Direct. These options were given to us as security against a subscription receivable of \$337,500 for 675,000 shares of our common stock from the shareholders of MarketEdge Direct. MarketEdge Direct was in the business of providing a wide range of marketing products and services. Effective August 7, 2002, we exercised both of the options and acquired all the issued and outstanding shares of MarketEdge Direct. Due to disappointing financial results of MarketEdge Direct, on March 28, 2003, we entered into an agreement with the former shareholders of MarketEdge Direct to sell MarketEdge Direct back to them. As a result, all the issued and outstanding shares of MarketEdge Direct that we acquired were sold back to the former MarketEdge Direct shareholders for the return to treasury of 540,000 shares of our common stock.

On March 28, 2003, we acquired all the issued and outstanding shares of Catalyst Technologies, Inc., a British Columbia corporation. Catalyst is a Vancouver-based, web design and Internet application developer. Catalyst specializes in the development of web-sites and Internet software design, primarily for the health and nutraceutical industry. The acquisition of Catalyst was treated as a non-material business combination in fiscal year 2003 and we discontinued Catalyst's operations during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 due to a lack of working capital and disappointing financial results.

On April 30, 2004, we incorporated an Oregon subsidiary company named Methane Energy Corp. in anticipation of acquiring oil and gas properties in the State of Oregon. On May 11, 2004, Methane Energy Corp. entered into a lease purchase and sale agreement with GeoTrends-Hampton International, LLC to purchase GeoTrends-Hampton International's undivided working interest in certain oil and gas leases for the Coos Bay Basin prospect located onshore in the Coos Bay Basin of Oregon. To acquire these oil and gas leases, we paid a total of \$300,000 in cash, issued 1,800,000 restricted shares of our common stock in three performance-based tranches, and granted a 4% overriding royalty interest upon production from lands and leases in the Coos Bay project area. The lease purchase and sale agreement closed on June 22, 2004. On closing, we paid \$100,000 in cash and issued 600,000 shares of our common stock. We subsequently paid the remaining \$200,000 cash consideration and have issued an additional 1,200,000 shares of our common stock to satisfy the remaining components of the purchase obligation.

Pursuant to the lease purchase and sale agreement with GeoTrends-Hampton International, LLC, we acquired leases of certain properties in the Coos Bay area of Oregon that are believed to be prospective for oil and gas exploration. Leases were subsequently acquired from the State of Oregon and from private property owners; and as of March 31, 2006, we have amassed approximately 116,000 acres under lease with annual lease rental payments totalling approximately \$100,000. We continue to seek additional lease properties in the Coos Bay area.

As a result of the change in our business focus, we received shareholder approval on July 13, 2004 to change our name from Scarab System, Inc. to Torrent Energy Corporation.

On June 29, 2005, we incorporated a Washington subsidiary company named Cascadia Energy Corp. in anticipation of acquiring oil and gas properties in the State of Washington. Cascadia Energy Corp. executed a lease

option agreement dated August 9, 2005 with Weyerhaeuser Company to lease 100,000 acres that it could select from an overall 365,000 acre block in the Chehalis Basin area in Lewis, Cowlitz and Skamania Counties, Washington. We intend to commence an exploratory work program on that acreage, known as the Cedar Creek Block, searching for possible hydrocarbon deposits. Cascadia Energy Corp. has also been granted a two year first right of refusal on the balance of the Cedar Creek Block. Initial cash consideration for this option was \$100,000 and, on or before the end of the initial first year, Cascadia Energy Corp. is to elect either to undertake a work commitment of \$285,715 pertaining to the full 100,000 acres (proportionately reduced if Cascadia Energy Corp. elects to evaluate less than the entire acreage) or pays Weyerhaeuser \$285,715 in lieu of the work commitment or such lesser amount if less than the full 100,000 acres is chosen to be evaluated, but in no event less than 50,000 acres. In November, 2005, Cascadia Energy Corp. acquired 14,964 acres of leases from the State of Washington directly adjacent or contiguous to Cascadia Energy Corp.'s prospective 100,000 acre block. Annual lease rentals on the State of Washington leases total \$18,705; and lease rentals under the Weyerhaeuser lease option agreement are dependent on the total acreage election to be made by Cascadia Energy Corp.

Cascadia Energy Corp. has also entered into a joint venture agreement dated August 12, 2005 with St. Helens Energy, LLC, a 100% owned subsidiary of Comet Ridge Limited, an Australian coal seam gas explorer listed on the Australian Stock Exchange, and headquartered in Perth, Western Australia. Under this agreement, St. Helens Energy, LLC holds a 40% interest in the new Washington exploration project. Cascadia Energy Corp. serves as operator of the joint venture and St. Helens Energy LLC will actively assist in evaluating the area and developing exploratory leads and prospects.

Other than as set out herein, we have not been involved in any bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceedings, nor have we been a party to any material reclassification, merger, consolidation or purchase or sale of a significant amount of assets not in the ordinary course of our business.

Current Business

We are an exploration stage company engaged in the exploration for coalbed methane in the Coos Bay region of Oregon and in the Chehalis Basin region of Washington State. Through one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Methane Energy Corp., we now hold leases to approximately 116,000 acres of prospective coalbed methane lands in the Coos Bay Basin. Methane Energy Corp. operates the exploration project in the Coos Bay Basin. Through our other wholly-owned subsidiary, Cascadia Energy Corp., we are evaluating 100,000 acres under a private lease option agreement and 14,964 acres under state leases and 15,280 acres of mineral rights interests under a private lease option agreement with Pope Resources LP in Washington State to define an exploratory program for possible hydrocarbon deposits.

Coos Bay Basin Exploration Prospect

The Coos Bay Basin is located along the Pacific coast in southwest Oregon, approximately 200 miles south of the Columbia River and 80 miles north of the California border. The onshore portion of the Coos Bay Basin is elliptical in outline, elongated in a north-south direction and covers over 250 square miles. More than 150,000 acres in the Coos Bay Basin is underlain by the Coos Bay coal field and appears prospective for coalbed methane gas production. The current leasehold position owned by Methane Energy Corp. covers most of the lands believed to be prospective for coalbed methane production in the Coos Bay Basin. Additional leasing, title and curative work continues. Most areas in Coos County are accessible year-round via logging and fire control roads maintained by the county or timber companies. In addition, numerous timber recovery staging areas are present and in many cases can be modified for drill-site locations.

The Coos Bay Basin is basically a structural basin formed by folding and faulting and contains a thick section of coal-bearing sediments. Coal-bearing rocks contained within the Coos Bay Basin form the Coos Bay Coal field. Coal mining from the Coos Bay field began in 1854 and continued through the mid 1950's. Much of the coal was shipped to San Francisco. Since mining activity ended several companies such as Sumitomo, Shell and American Coal Company have done exploratory work and feasibility studies on the Coos Bay Coal Field but no mining operations were conducted. In addition, approximately 20 exploratory oil and/or gas wells have been drilled in the Coos Bay basin over the years from 1914 to 1993. Many of these wells encountered gas shows in the coal seams that were penetrated during drilling.

Coalbeds are contained in both the Lower and Upper Member of the Middle Eocene Coaledo Formation. The coal-bearing sandstones and siltstones of the Middle Eocene Coaledo formation are estimated to form a section up to 6,400 feet thick. Total net coal thickness for the Lower Coaledo Member can range up to 70 feet and over 30 feet for the Upper Coaledo Member. Coos Bay coal rank ranges from subbituminous to high-volatile bituminous, with a heating value of 8,300 to 14,000 British Thermal Units per pound (BTU/LB.), a low sulphur content, and a moderate percentage of ash.

On October 6, 2004, a multi-hole coring program was commenced on the Methane Energy Corp. leases. Coring was needed to collect coal samples so that accurate gas content data could be measured. Cores were collected, desorption work was done on the coals and evaluation completed by mid 2005. To date, natural gas analyses performed on samples from Methane Energy Corp. coal samples and wells indicate that the gas is pipeline quality and that the coals are fully saturated with gas. It is important to note that technically recoverable gas volumes do not necessarily qualify as proved reserves, and we have not recorded any proven reserves at any of our projects at this time.

Drilling and testing programs were then initiated at two pilot sites, Beaver Hill and Radio Hill. A total of seven exploratory wells were drilled. Five exploratory wells were drilled and completed at Beaver Hill, and two exploratory wells were drilled at Radio Hill with one completion. Production and flow testing at the pilot well sites as well as continued development work are currently progressing.

Natural Gas Market

Until 2005, the port of Coos Bay was one of the largest population centers on the west coast not served by natural gas. A project to bring natural gas into the region via a 52-mile, 12-inch pipeline was approved, funded by Coos County and the State of Oregon, and completed in late 2004 with gas sales beginning in early 2005. While the line is owned by Coos County, the local gas distribution company, Northwest Natural Gas, operates the line. Northwest Natural Gas serves Coos County and most of western Oregon. The pipeline and its associated distribution system represent the most likely option for delivery of gas, if produced by Methane Energy Corp. in the future, to market. Estimates of local Coos County market requirements are over 10 million cubic feet of gas per day initially, which represents about 10% of ultimate pipeline capacity. Excess capacity is available for additional gas input.

Coos County is also likely to benefit from new industrial, commercial and residential development as natural gas is now available. Expansion of the market is likely to bring greater demand for and value to natural gas. Because of its west coast location, Coos Bay market prices would be subject to pricing standards of the New York Mercantile Exchange for most of the year. Regional gas pricing hubs are located at Malin and Stanfield, Oregon. The closest pricing point, however, would be the Coos Bay City Gate, where Northwest Natural Gas's retail rates are set and regulated by Oregon's Public Utilities Commission. Seasonal or critical gas demand fluctuations could cause prices to exceed or fall below posted prices on a regular basis.

Exploration Objectives

The Coos Bay Basin is the southernmost of a series of sedimentary basins that are present in western Oregon and Washington west of the Cascade Range. The region containing this series of basins is generally referred to as the Puget-Willamette Trough. These basins contain thick sequences of predominantly non-marine, coal-bearing sedimentary rock sequences that are correlative in age, closely related in genesis, and very similar in many other characteristics. Methane Energy Corp. is primarily targeting natural gas from coal seams of the Coaledo Formation in the Coos Bay Basin. Secondary objectives are natural gas, and possibly oil, trapped in conventional sandstone reservoirs.

Indications of the hydrocarbon potential in the Puget-Willamette Trough are shown by natural gas production at the Mist Field in northwest Oregon, the presence of excellent quality sand reservoir development at the Jackson Prairie Gas Storage Field in southwest Washington, and numerous oil and/or gas shows from historic oil and gas exploration drilling activity.

Chehalis Basin Exploration Prospect

The Chehalis Basin is located about midway between Portland and Seattle in southwest Washington State, approximately 90 miles north of the Columbia River. The Chehalis Basin lies between the western foothills of the Cascade Range and the eastern border of the Coast Ranges.

The Chehalis Basin is a structurally-formed basin that contains and is flanked by a thick section of coal-bearing sediments. The coals are hosted by Lower-Middle-Upper Eocene continental sedimentary rocks. The coal-bearing Eocene sandstone and siltstone section is estimated to be approximately 6,600 feet thick.

The Chehalis Basin is more or less centered within the subbituminous and lignite coal fields of southwestern Washington. Subbituminous and lignite are various types of coal. The Centralia-Chehalis coal district lies to the north and portions of the Morton and Toledo coal fields lie to the east and south, respectively. The Centralia-Chehalis coal district is the largest of the subbituminous and lignite fields of southwestern Washington. At least 13 separate coal seams have been mined or are being mined from the district. Most coal suitable for mining has a subbituminous C rank, contains 14-35 % moisture, 5-25% ash, and has a heating value ranging from 8,300 to 9,500 BTU/LB.

TransAlta currently operates a coal-fired power plant, a gas-fired power plant and a surface coal mine at their Centralia complex. The coal-fired plant produces 1404 megawatts, enough electricity to supply a city the size of Seattle. Eighty-five percent of the coal feed for the power plant is mined at TransAlta's Centralia complex.

Coals in the Chehalis Basin are relatively thick and continuous. These coals contain a methane gas resource. Limited core and desorption work showed gas content ranging from 6 to 86 standard cubic feet per ton in the coal seams. Two seams, the Blue and the Brown each attain thicknesses of about 40 feet. Total net coal typically approaches 75 feet and in places, exceeds 100 feet in thickness. More than 250,000 acres in the Chehalis Basin appears prospective for methane production from the coals. In addition, conventional gas potential is present.

During the 1980's Kerr-McGee conducted a shallow coal exploration drilling program along the southwest flank of the Chehalis Basin. They encountered a number of gas shows associated with both coals and sandstones. One of the show wells was offset by Duncan Oil in 2001 and it flow tested 714 thousand cubic feet per day from a sand zone.

Our subsidiary, Cascadia Energy Corp., currently controls, through lease options and oil and gas leases, approximately 130,000 acres in the Chehalis Basin. Two project areas have been established. The larger of the two, the Cedar Creek Block, is located along the southern and southeastern side of the Chehalis Basin. We are also exploring lease opportunities for additional acres and have identified specific leasehold ownership positions falling within the Chehalis Basin exploration prospect area. Access to virtually all areas in the Cedar Creek Block is excellent year-round via logging and fire control roads maintained by the forest service or the timber industry. Likewise, numerous potential drill-site locations are already constructed as timber recovery staging areas and may be available to be utilized in the initial testing phase of the drilling program. Currently, we have not drilled any exploratory or productive wells on the properties under lease option or oil and gas lease controlled by Cascadia Energy Corp. For the 12 months ending March 31, 2007, we plan to continue regional evaluation and to drill and evaluate 4 widely spaced stratigraphic/information holes spanning the leasehold acreage.

Natural Gas Market

The Cedar Creek project area is located in close proximity to the Interstate 5 corridor that parallels the route of the principal interstate pipeline providing natural gas to utility, commercial and industrial customers in Washington and Oregon. With anticipated declines in Canadian-sourced natural gas, we believe that robust markets will exist for any gas produced from the Chehalis Basin. Because of its west coast location and ready connection to a major interstate pipeline, Chehalis Basin market prices would be subject to pricing standards of the New York Mercantile Exchange for most of the year. Regional gas pricing hubs are located at Malin and Stanfield, Oregon. However, seasonal or critical gas demand fluctuations could cause prices to exceed or fall below posted prices on a regular basis.

Exploration Objectives

The Chehalis Basin is located towards the northern end of a series of sedimentary basins that are present in western Oregon and Washington west of the Cascade Range. The region containing this series of basins is generally referred to as the Puget-Willamette Trough. These basins contain thick sequences of predominantly non-marine, coal-bearing sedimentary rock sequences that are correlative in age, closely related in genesis, and very similar in many characteristics. Cascadia Energy Corp. is primarily targeting natural gas from coal seams of the Cowlitz Formation in the Chehalis Basin. Secondary objectives are natural gas, and possibly oil, trapped in conventional sandstone reservoirs.

Indications of the hydrocarbon potential in the Puget-Willamette Trough are shown by natural gas production at the Mist Field in northwest Oregon, the presence of excellent quality sand reservoir development at the Jackson Prairie Gas Storage Field in southwest Washington, and numerous oil and/or gas shows from historic oil and gas exploration drilling activity.

The Coalbed Methane Industry

During the past two decades, coalbed methane has emerged as a viable source of natural gas compared to the late 1980s when there was no significant production outside of the still dominant San Juan Basin in New Mexico, and the Black Warrior Basin in Alabama. According to data from the U.S. Department of Energy's Energy Information Administration, coalbed methane production totalled 1.72 trillion cubic feet in 2004, an increase of 7.5% over 2003. This production accounted for nearly 9% of the country's total dry-gas output of 19.7 trillion cubic feet. Coalbed methane production currently comes from fifteen basins located in the Rocky Mountain, Mid-Continent and Appalachian regions. Various evaluation, exploration and development projects are underway in at least four other basins, including Coos Bay, and in Alaska. One of the coalbed methane industry's leading information specialists estimates that the number of producing wells nationwide (including those close to achieving production) is approaching 35,000. By comparison, more than 405,000 wells produce natural gas nationwide. However, none of this production of natural gas currently comes from Oregon or Washington. All of the natural gas presently consumed in the Pacific Northwest must be delivered by interstate pipelines from Western Canada and Wyoming.

We believe the success of coalbed methane developments has been largely the result of improved drilling and completion techniques (including horizontal/lateral completions), better hydraulic fracture designs and significant cost reductions as a result of highly dependable gas content and coalbed reservoir performance analysis. Also aiding this sector's growth is the apparent shortage of quality domestic conventional exploration and development projects.

We also believe that a major reason propelling the growth in coalbed methane production is its relatively low finding and development costs. Coalbed methane fields are often found where deeper conventional oil and gas reservoirs have already been developed. Therefore, considerable exploration-cost reducing geologic information is often readily available. This available geological information, combined with comparatively shallow depths of prospective coalbed reservoirs, reduces finding and development costs.

A number of government agencies and industry organizations use various statistical methodologies to estimate the volume of potentially recoverable coalbed methane using currently available technology and specific economic conditions. The Potential Gas Committee, which provides the most frequent assessments of the country's natural gas resource base, estimates technically recoverable coalbed methane resources of 106.5 trillion cubic feet for the Lower 48 States as of year end 2004. This represents approximately 15% of the total estimated in-place coalbed methane resource of 700 trillion cubic feet. It is important to note that technically recoverable gas volumes do not necessarily qualify as proved reserves, and we have not recorded any proved reserves at our projects in Oregon or Washington at this time.

Coalbed Methane

Natural gas normally consists of 80% or more methane with the balance comprising such hydrocarbons as butane, ethane and propane. In some cases it may contain minute quantities of hydrogen sulfide, referred to as sour gas. Coalbed methane is, generally, a sweet gas consisting of 95% methane and thus is normally of pipeline quality. Coalbed methane is considered an unconventional natural gas resource because it does not rely on conventional trapping mechanisms, such as a fault or anticline, or stratigraphic traps. Instead coalbed methane is absorbed or attached to the molecular structure of the coals which is an efficient storage mechanism as coalbed methane coals can contain as much as seven times the amount of gas typically stored in a conventional natural gas reservoir such as sandstone or shale. The absorbed coalbed methane is kept in place as a result of a pressure equilibrium often from the presence of water. Thus the production of coalbed methane in many cases requires the dewatering of the coals to be exploited. This process usually requires the drilling of adjacent wells and sometimes takes 6 to 36 months to complete. Coalbed methane production typically has a low rate of production decline and an economic life typically of 10 to 20 years.

The principal sources of coalbed methane are either biogenic, producing a dry gas which is generated from bacteria in organic matter, typically at depths less than 1,000 feet, or thermogenic, which is a deeper wet gas formed when organic matter is broken down by temperature and pressure.

The three main factors that determine whether or not gas can be economically recovered from coalbeds are: (1) the gas content of the coals; (2) the permeability or flow characteristics of the coals; and (3) the thickness of the coalbeds. Gas content is measured in terms of standard cubic feet per ton and varies widely from 430 standard cubic feet per ton in the deep (2,000 to 3,500 feet) San Juan, New Mexico thermogenic coals, and only 60 standard cubic feet per ton for the shallow (300 to 700 feet deep) Powder River, Wyoming biogenic coals. The San Juan coals are considered to have the industry's highest permeability. Relatively high permeability, which can affect the ability of gas to easily travel to the borehole, is an important factor for the success of coalbed methane wells, but is not absolutely required. The thickness of coalbeds from which coalbed methane is economically produced varies from as little as a few feet in some areas of the gas-rich (300 standard cubic feet) Raton Basin to as much as 75 net feet of coalbed thickness at the relatively gas-poor Powder River.

Competition

Coalbed methane in the United States is produced by several major exploration and production companies and by numerous independents. The majors include BP American and ConocoPhillips in the San Juan Basin and, to a lesser extent, Chevron USA in the Black Warrior Basin. A number of large and mid-size independents, including Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, CMS Energy Corporation, CNX Gas Corporation, Devon Energy Corporation, Dominion Resources, Inc., El Paso Corporation, EnCana Corporation, Energen Corporation, Equitable Resources, Inc., Fidelity Exploration & Production Company, GeoMet Inc., J.M. Huber Corporation, Lance Oil & Gas Corporation, Penn Virginia Corporation, Pennaco Energy Inc., Pioneer Natural Resources Company, The Williams Companies, Inc., XTO Energy Inc. and Yates Petroleum Corporation, have established production in one or more basins. Dozens of smaller independents, many of whom originally began with conventional oil and gas production and operating a small number of wells, have found profitable niches in coalbed methane. Other new entrants to coalbed methane continue to acquire prospective acreage and to conduct test drilling. By virtue of their strategic property holdings, affiliates of several of the country's largest coal mining companies also have become active in coalbed methane, such as Consol Energy Inc., Jim Walter Resources, Inc., Peabody Energy Corporation, USX Corporation and Westmoreland Coal Company.

Government Regulation

Our oil and gas operations are subject to various United States federal, state and local governmental regulations. Matters subject to regulation include drilling and discharge permits for drilling operations, drilling and abandonment bonds, reports concerning operations, the spacing of wells, pooling of properties and taxation. From time to time, regulatory agencies have imposed price controls and limitations on production by restricting the rate of flow of oil and gas wells below actual production capacity in order to conserve supplies of oil and gas. The production, handling, storage, transportation and disposal of oil and gas, by-products thereof, and other substances and materials produced or used in connection with oil and gas operations are also subject to regulation under federal, state, and local laws and regulations relating primarily to conservation and the protection of human health and the environment. To date, expenditures related to complying with these laws, and for remediation of existing

environmental contamination, have not been significant in relation to the results of operations of our company. The requirements imposed by such laws and regulations are frequently changed and subject to interpretation, and we are unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance with these requirements or their effect on our operations.

Research and Development

Our business plan is focused on a strategy for maximizing the long-term exploration and development of our drilling and exploration projects in the Pacific Northwest region. To date, execution of our business plan has largely focused on acquiring prospective coalbed methane leases, acquiring technical data from core hole drilling and from production and reservoir data from our pilot projects. Based on review of this information, we intend to establish a going forward exploration and development program.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 1, 2006, we had sixteen non-union, full time employees, two of which are executives. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

Our principal office is located at One SW Columbia Street, Suite 640, Portland, Oregon 97258 and was leased for a term beginning October 1, 2006 and ending September 30, 2009 at a cost of \$4,400 per month. The office space consists of five offices, a conference room, space for modular workstations and a reception area totaling approximately 2,708 square feet.

In addition, Methane Energy Corp. leases an office at 200 North Adams, Coquille, Oregon, 97423. The lease runs from August 1, 2006 through July 31, 2008 at a cost of \$6,275 per month. The Oregon office is comprised of approximately 3,323 square feet, consisting of twelve offices, a kitchen, and space for modular workstations. We believe that our current office space and facilities are sufficient to meet our present needs and do not anticipate any difficulty securing alternative or additional space, as needed, on terms acceptable to us.

Through our subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., we have leased approximately 116,000 of undeveloped acreage in the Coos Bay Basin as of May 31, 2006. Sixteen thousand acres are leased from Menasha Development Corporation, 29,000 acres from Coos County, 14,000 acres from the State of Oregon, and approximately 57,000 acres from various companies and individual landowners. The total annual lease payments related to the 116,000 acres are approximately \$100,000. These leases typically have a five-year term with an option for an additional five years with renewal conditioned on continued payment of annual lease rentals. In addition, we have granted the landowners royalties, typically averaging 12.5% on gross sales resulting from the leases in addition to the 4% overriding royalty interest to be paid to the project originators.

We have recently completed the initial phases of a pilot well program designed to assess the coalbed methane production capability of the wells in the Coos Bay Basin. The test results will assist our management in determining exploration potential and economic viability of further development plans in the area. We contract for drilling rigs and related services from outside sources. Our coal and water samples are analysed by independent testing labs, then interpreted by analysts such as Sproule Associates Inc. for resource assessment.

Through our subsidiary, Cascadia Energy Corp., we executed a one-year lease option agreement on August 9, 2005 with Weyerhaeuser Company to lease 100,000 acres that we may select from an overall 365,000 acreage block in the Cedar Creek Block of the Chehalis Basin area of Washington State during the one-year option term and also obtained a two-year first right of refusal on the balance of the acreage that we do not select during its initial selection process. Cash consideration of \$100,000 was paid for the lease option of which we paid \$60,000 (See Note 5 to our audited consolidated financial statements). In addition, we may extend the term of this option for an additional year by committing to a work program of \$285,715, pertaining to the full 100,000 acres but proportionally reduced based on the number of acres selected for exploration activity during the initial option period. Alternatively, we may simply execute a lease agreement on the acreage at an annual rental rate of \$1.00 per acre.

We have also acquired through a lease auction 14,964 acres from the State of Washington Land Trust for an initial five-year lease term, which acreage lies directly adjacent or contiguous to our 100,000 Cedar Creek acreage block. This acreage was acquired for aggregate annual lease consideration of \$92,860 and has been included in the Cedar Creek project subject to the joint venture agreement discussed in Note 5 to our audited consolidated financial statements. Our ongoing annual lease rental obligations for acreage held by Cascadia Energy Corp. currently totals \$18,705 with an annual obligation for the Weyerhaeuser acreage of \$1.00 per acre for the actual acreage selected pursuant to our lease option agreement.

On May 9, 2006, Cascadia Energy Corp., entered into an Option to Acquire Oil & Gas Lease with Pope Resources LP. This option provides Cascadia Energy Corp. with the right to earn oil and gas leases covering up to 15,280 acres of mineral rights interests held by Pope Resources LP in Cowlitz and Lewis Counties, Washington, for a purchase price of \$1 per net mineral acre or \$15,280. The initial term of this option is for a period of 18 months ending on November 9, 2007. If Cascadia Energy Corp. expends \$200,000 on operations and activities in the overall Cedar Creek area during the initial term, the initial term shall be extended for an additional year. If the conditions for extension of the initial term are not satisfied, this option will terminate as of the expiration of the initial term and, as additional consideration, Cascadia Energy Corp. will pay Pope Resources LP an additional sum of \$30,560.

We have completed considerable geological and geophysical analysis on our Cedar Creek project acreage and plan to continue exploratory activities. There is no assurance that we will raise sufficient capital to take advantage of our opportunity in Washington State.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings which arise in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business. We are currently not aware of any such legal proceedings or claims that we believe will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Ernst & Young LLP was dismissed on March 28, 2006 as our independent auditors. Ernst & Young LLP's report dated June 3, 2005, on our consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statement of stockholders' equity (deficit) from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2005, consolidated statement of operations for the year ended March 31, 2005 and for October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2005 and consolidated statement of cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2005 and for October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2005, did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, or qualification or modification as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. However Ernst & Young LLP included an explanatory paragraph in their report with respect to uncertainty as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

In connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, and in the subsequent interim periods, there were no disagreements with Ernst & Young LLP on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope and procedures which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Ernst & Young LLP would have caused Ernst & Young LLP to make reference to the matter in their report. Ernst & Young LLP furnished us with a letter addressed to the Commission stating that they agree with the above statements. A copy of that letter, dated April 26, 2006 is filed as Exhibit 16 to our Form 8-K filed on April 27, 2006. We engaged Peterson Sullivan PLLC on March 28, 2006 as our principal accountant to audit our consolidated financial statements. The decision to change accountants was approved by our board of directors.

During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, neither we nor anyone on our behalf consulted with Peterson Sullivan PLLC regarding either the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our consolidated financial statements, nor has Peterson Sullivan PLLC provided to us a written report or oral advice regarding such principles

or audit opinion or any matter that was the subject of a disagreement or reportable events set forth in Item 304(a)(iv) and (v), respectively, of Regulation S-K with our former accountant.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate and Credit Rating Risk

As of March 31, 2006, we had approximately \$2,658,719 in cash, cash equivalents and short term investments, of which approximately \$416,955 was held in our operating accounts and \$2,241,764 was invested in time deposits with 30-day maturities. As of September 30, 2006, we had approximately \$11,714,876 in cash, cash equivalents, and short term investments with approximately \$1,261,268 held in our operating accounts and \$10,453,608 invested in time deposits with maturities no more than 30-days in duration. Based on sensitivity analyses performed on the financial instruments held as of March 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, an immediate 10% change in interest rates is not expected to have a material effect on our near term financial condition or results.

Commodity Price Risk

As of September 30, 2006, we have no coalbed methane gas production. At such time as we do record commercial production volumes of coalbed methane gas, we will be subject to commodity price risk related to the sale of such production. Prospectively, commodity prices received for our production will be based on spot prices applicable to natural gas, which are volatile, unpredictable, and beyond our control. Accordingly until such time as we establish measurable production volumes, our vulnerability to fluctuations in the price of natural gas is negligible.

Exchange Rate Sensitivity

As of September 30, 2006, our suppliers bill us for drilling and other operating costs almost exclusively in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, a 10% change in the U.S./Canadian exchange rate is not expected to have a material effect on our near term financial condition or results.

DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS

Directors and Executive Officers

As of January 31, 2007, our directors and executive officers, their ages, positions held, and duration as such, are as follows:

Name	Position Held with our Company	Age	Date First Elected or Appointed
John D. Carlson	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	52	June 30, 2004
George L. Hampton III	Director	52	August 3, 2004
Curtis Hartzler	Director	60	October 6, 2006
William A. Lansing	Chairman and Director	60	May 2, 2006
Michael Raleigh	Director	50	October 6, 2006
Michael D. Fowler	Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary	63	January 16, 2006

All directors of our company hold office until the next annual meeting of the stockholders or until their successors have been elected and qualified. Currently there are five seats on our board of directors. Currently, each of our non-executive directors receives \$7,500 per quarter for their services and are reimbursed for their expenses in attending meetings. The officers of our company are appointed by our board of directors and serve until their successors are appointed by the board of directors or until their death, resignation or removal from office.

Business Experience

The following is a brief account of the education and business experience of each of our directors, executive officers and key employees during at least the past five years, indicating each person's principal occupation during the period, and the name and principal business of the organization by which he was employed.

John D. Carlson, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

John D. Carlson became president and chief executive officer of our company on January 16, 2006 and has been a director since June 2004. Mr. Carlson has also been chief operating officer of our company's wholly owned subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp. since May 2005 and a director since January 2006. Mr. Carlson was also appointed director of our wholly owned subsidiary, Cascadia Energy Corp. in January 2006.

Mr. Carlson brings to our company over 25 years experience as a registered professional petroleum engineer with work experience ranging from Amoco Canada to Sproule Associates Ltd. to several private and public oil and gas companies in Alberta. Since June 2005, Mr. Carlson has been a director of Triangle Petroleum Corporation. From February 2004 to July 2004, Mr. Carlson was president and a director of Pacific Rodera Energy Inc., a Calgary-based oil and gas exploration and development company. From September 2003 to January 2004, Mr. Carlson was vice president, operations for Pacific Rodera Energy Inc. From September 2001 to December 2003, Mr. Carlson was president of Samson Oil and Gas Inc., a Hobbema, Alberta-based oil and gas exploration and development company where he was also general manager from January 2001 to August 2001. From 1984 to 2000, Mr. Carlson was an associate and senior petroleum engineer for Sproule Associates, Ltd. Mr. Carlson received a bachelor of science degree in civil engineering from the University of Calgary in 1977.

George L. Hampton III, Director

George L. Hampton III has been a director of our company since August 2004. From 1994 to 1997, and from 2000 to present, Mr. Hampton has been a partner of GeoTrends-Hampton International, LLC, a coalbed methane exploration company based out of the Pacific Northwest. From 1998 to May 2005, Mr. Hampton was a member and geologic consultant for Hampton, Waechter & Associates, LLC, a Denver, Colorado-based geological company. Since June 1986, Mr. Hampton has been president and chief geological consultant for Hampton & Associates, Inc., a Denver, Colorado-based coalbed methane exploration company. From April 1998 to April 1999, Mr. Hampton was project manager and senior geologist for Pennaco Energy Corporation, a Denver, Colorado-based coalbed methane exploration company. From 1996 to 1997, Mr. Hampton was chief geologist for Thermal Energy Corporation, a Tulsa, Oklahoma-based coalbed methane exploration company. From 1995 to 2001, Mr. Hampton was founding partner and technical manager of Cairn Point Publishing, Inc., a Denver, Colorado-based publishing company that published International Coal Seam Gas Directory (1996) and The International Coal Seam Gas Report (1997). Mr. Hampton has written and co-written a half-dozen articles on coalbed methane exploration and has provided testimony before several state oil and gas commissions. Mr. Hampton received a bachelor of sciences degree in geology from Brigham Young University in 1977 and a masters degree in geology from Brigham Young University in 1979.

Curtis Hartzler, Director

Curtis Hartzler has been a director of the Company since October 2006. Mr. Hartzler has extensive oil and gas industry experience, having served in an executive capacity for both public and private resource companies in Calgary, Alberta. Since 2005, Mr. Hartzler has served as president of G2 Resources Ltd., a Calgary-based public oil and gas company. From 2003 to 2005, he was the president of Goose River Resources Ltd., also a Calgary-based public oil and gas company. Prior thereto, Mr. Hartzler's experience includes advisory board service with Rosetta Exploration Ltd. from 2001 to 2004 and service as a Director of Epic Oil & Gas Ltd., a public company which held CBM leases in Washington state. He was president of Birchill Resources Ltd., a private oil and gas company from 1991 to 2000. Mr. Hartzler received a degree in mechanical engineering from the University of Calgary in 1972.

William A. Lansing, Director

William A. Lansing has been a director since May 2, 2006 and was appointed chairman of the board of directors in October 2006. Mr. Lansing has extensive experience in the forest products industry in senior executive roles. From 2001 to April 2006, Mr. Lansing served as president, chief executive officer and a director of Menasha Forest Products Corporation. From 1970 to 2001, he was involved with Menasha Corporation, a family-owned multi-product company that is located in 23 states and foreign countries. Mr. Lansing took on various roles at Menasha Corporation including research forester from 1970 to 1973, chief forester from 1973 to 1975, assistant general manager from 1975 to 1978, general manager from 1978 to 1982, vice president and general manager from 1982 to 1995, and president from 1995 to 2001. Mr. Lansing received his bachelor of sciences degree from Humboldt State University in 1967 and a master of finance degree from Yale University in 1970 as well as completed post graduate advanced management programs at Stanford University.

Michael Raleigh, Director

Michael Raleigh has been a director of our Company since October 2006 and has 27 years of experience in the oil and gas industry. Since 2005, Mr. Raleigh has served as managing director of Domain Energy Advisors, LLC, an advisory service providing technical and commercial expertise to independently managed funds investing in private and public small cap exploration and production companies. From 1985 through 2004, Mr. Raleigh was affiliated with Schlumberger Oilfield Services and served in a variety of senior technical advisory roles providing petroleum engineering, management and business development expertise for projects ranging across North and South America, the United Kingdom North Sea, Russia, the Caspian Sea and the Middle East. Mr. Raleigh holds a 1979 bachelor of science degree in chemical engineering from Queen's University in Ontario, Canada and an MBA from the University of Colorado awarded in 1993.

Michael D. Fowler, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary

Michael Fowler became the chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary of our company on January 16, 2006 and has been providing consulting services to our company since September 2005. Mr. Fowler was also appointed chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Methane Energy Corp. and Cascadia Energy Corp., effective January 16, 2006.

Mr. Fowler brings to our company 30 years of senior financial management experience from a variety of companies including Northwest Energy Company, Grand Valley Gas Company, First Interstate Bank of Utah, Pacific West Bank and Tatum LLC. From April 2000 to November 2000, Mr. Fowler served as chief financial officer of Asphalt Exchange Inc., a startup e-commerce operation. From April 2001 to December 2002, he served as chief financial officer of Wellpartner Inc., a mail-order/on-line pharmacy operation. From February 2003 to November 2005, Mr. Fowler acted as consultant to various companies including Vanguard National Mortgage, MILA Financial Inc., Qsent, Inc., United Pacific Mortgage, Inc., Schnitzer Steel Corporation, Portland Teachers Credit Union, Endovascular Instruments, Inc., Apex Construction Systems, Inc. and Swan Island Networks, Inc. From July 2004 to November 2005, Mr. Fowler was part-time chief financial officer for Pacific West Bank, a startup state-chartered bank. Mr. Fowler has more than twelve years of public company, senior financial management experience in various segments of the energy industry. He holds a master of business administration and a bachelor of sciences electrical engineering.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among our directors or officers.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

Our directors, executive officers and control persons have not been involved in any of the following events during the past five years:

1. any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;

2. any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offences);
3. being subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; or
4. being found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our board of directors has determined that it does not have a member of its audit committee that qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 401(e) of Regulation S-B, and is independent as the term is used in Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

We believe that the members of our board of directors are collectively capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. In addition, we believe that retaining an independent director who would qualify as an audit committee financial expert would be overly costly and burdensome and is not warranted in our circumstances given the early stages of our development and the fact that we have not generated any revenues to date.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our chief executive officer and each of our most highly-compensated executive officers whose total annual salary and bonus for the fiscal years ending March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 exceeded \$100,000:

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name & Principal Position	Year	Annual Compensation			Long Term Compensation Awards			All Other Compensation
		Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Other Annual Compensation	Restricted Stock Awards (\$)	Options SARs (#)	Payouts LTIP Payouts (\$)	
John D. Carlson ⁽¹⁾ president and chief executive officer	2006	157,627 ⁽¹⁾	-	10,000 ⁽²⁾	-	200,000 ⁽³⁾	-	-
	2005	-	-	2,500 ⁽²⁾	-	45,000 ⁽⁴⁾	-	-
	2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mark Gustafson ⁽⁵⁾ chairman, and past president, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer	2006	152,261 ⁽⁵⁾	-	5,000 ⁽⁶⁾	-	400,000 ⁽⁷⁾	-	-
	2005	60,990 ⁽⁵⁾	-	2,500 ⁽⁶⁾	-	540,000 ⁽⁸⁾	-	-
	2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michael D. Fowler ⁽⁹⁾ chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary	2006	45,000 ⁽⁹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	5,000 ⁽⁹⁾	-	-	250,000 ⁽⁹⁾	-	-
	2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thomas E. Mills ⁽¹¹⁾ past president, chief executive officer, chief financial officer and secretary	2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2004	-	-	19,804 ⁽¹²⁾	-	-	-	-

- (1) John D. Carlson became our president and chief executive officer on January 16, 2006. On January 16, 2006, we entered into a letter of employment with Mr. Carlson where we agreed to pay him an annual base salary of \$200,000 commencing January 16, 2006. Of the \$157,627 that was paid to Mr. Carlson, \$41,667 relates to

annual salary and \$115,960 relates to payments for consulting services prior to his appointment as president and chief executive officer.

- (2) John D. Carlson was paid a retainer of \$2,500 in fiscal 2005 and \$10,000 in fiscal 2006 as compensation for acting as a director of our company.
- (3) We granted to Mr. Carlson stock options to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock on April 1, 2005 as an incentive for acting as a director of our company. The options are exercisable at a price of \$1.25 per share until April 1, 2010. These options vest over 18 months, as to 25% immediately and 25% every six months until September 17, 2006.
- (4) We granted to Mr. Carlson stock options to purchase up to 45,000 shares of our common stock on August 3, 2004 as an incentive for acting as a director of our company. The options are exercisable at a price of \$0.50 per share until August 3, 2009. These options vest over 18 months, as to 25% immediately and 25% every six months until December 31, 2005.
- (5) Mark Gustafson has been chairman and a director since September 21, 2004. He was also our president, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer from September 21, 2004 to January 16 2006. On December 17, 2004, we entered into a consulting agreement with MGG Consulting (wholly-owned by Mr. Gustafson), to provide for services as our president, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer. We agreed to pay MGG Consulting \$8,000 per month commencing December 1, 2004 unless terminated at any time by either party upon written notice. We then entered into a consulting agreement with MGG Consulting on January 1, 2005 whereby the agreement was revised to pay MGG Consulting the sum of \$1,000 per day commencing January 1, 2005. Effective April 1, 2005, the agreement was again amended to reduce the compensation rate to \$800 per day. Effective January 16, 2006, the compensation rate was restored to \$1,000 per day, which is his current rate of compensation for service as our chairman and director. Mr. Gustafson resigned as our president, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer on January 13, 2006 and resigned as our chairman of the board of directors effective October 6, 2006. Please also refer to Item 12 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions in this annual report.
- (6) Mark Gustafson was paid a retainer of \$2,500 in fiscal 2005 and \$5,000 in fiscal 2006 as compensation for acting as a director of our company.
- (7) We granted to Mr. Gustafson stock options to purchase up to 400,000 shares of our common stock on April 1, 2005 as compensation for services as our president, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer. The options are exercisable at a price of \$1.25 per share until April 1, 2010. These options vest over 18 months, as to 25% immediately and 25% every six months until September 17, 2006.
- (8) We granted to Mark Gustafson stock options to purchase up to 540,000 shares of our common stock on May 7, 2004 as compensation for consulting services provided to our company prior to becoming our president, chief executive officer, acting chief financial officer and a director. The options are exercisable at a price of \$0.10 per share until May 7, 2009. All of these options have been exercised.
- (9) Michael D. Fowler became our chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary on January 16, 2006. Prior to this time, he was acting as a consultant to our company. In December 2005, we entered into a letter of employment with Mr. Fowler where we agreed to pay him an annual base salary of \$180,000 and to pay an annual performance-based bonus of \$20,000. The terms of the letter of employment were approved at our board meeting held on January 13, 2006 where we officially appointed Mr. Fowler as our chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary effective January 16, 2006. In addition, we granted to Mr. Fowler stock options to purchase up to 250,000 shares of our common stock on January 16, 2006 as compensation for services as our chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary. The options are exercisable at a price of \$2.11 per share until January 16, 2011. These options vest over 18 months, as to 25% immediately and 25% every six months until July 16, 2007.
- (10) On August 11, 2005, we entered into a contract with Tatum LLC to provide the services of Michael D. Fowler as a consultant to our company. We paid Tatum LLC \$66,500 for consulting services provided by Mr. Fowler and \$50,000 for a resource placement fee.
- (11) Thomas Mills resigned as our president, chief executive officer, chief financial officer, secretary and a director effective September 21, 2004.

- (12) The other annual compensation represents consulting fees. In February 2004, Mr. Mills released us of all debt resulting from the accrued consulting fees during fiscal years 2003 and 2004.

Option/SAR Grants in Last Fiscal Year

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, we granted the following stock options to our executive officers:

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options/SARS Granted	% of Total Options/SARS Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾	Exercise Price (\$/Share)	Expiration Date
John D. Carlson president and chief executive officer	200,000 ⁽²⁾	8.70%	\$1.25	April 1, 2010
Mark Gustafson, chairman and past president, chief executive officer, acting chief financial officer	400,000 ⁽³⁾	17.39%	\$1.25	April 1, 2010
Michael D. Fowler chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary	250,000 ⁽⁴⁾	10.87%	\$2.11	January 16, 2011

- (1) The total number of options outstanding as at March 31, 2006 was 2,300,000 which was arrived at by calculating the net total number of new options awarded to employees during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006.
- (2) John D. Carlson was granted options to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock as an incentive for acting as a director of our company. These options have not been exercised.
- (3) Mark Gustafson was granted options to purchase 400,000 shares of our common stock as compensation for services as our president, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer in 2005. These options have not been exercised. Mr. Gustafson resigned as our president, chief executive officer and acting chief financial officer on January 13, 2006 and resigned as our chairman of the board of directors effective October 6, 2006.
- (4) Michael D. Fowler was granted options to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock as compensation for services as our chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary. These options have not been exercised.

Aggregate Option/SAR Exercises and Fiscal Year-End Option/SAR Value

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, no stock options were exercised by our executive officers.

Stock Option Plans

2004 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan

On February 10, 2004, our board of directors adopted the 2004 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (the 2004 Plan) for our executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors. Under the plan, executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors may receive awards of non-qualified stock options. The purpose of the plan is to provide executives, employees and non-employee consultants and advisors with an increased incentive to make contributions to our company. The aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be granted by our company under the 2004 Plan will not exceed a maximum of 1,800,000 shares of common stock during the period of the plan. The 2004 Plan shall terminate upon the earlier of February 10, 2014 or the issuance of all shares

of common stock granted under the plan. The option prices per share are determined by our board of directors when the stock option is granted.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, we granted 1,060,000 stock options to various consultants of our company. Each option entitles the holder to acquire one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share. These options have vesting periods ranging from immediately to over seven months, and expire two years from date of grant.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, we granted a total of 1,340,000 stock options of which 740,000 were under the 2004 Plan and the other 600,000 were outside the plan. Of the total 1,340,000 options, 740,000 stock options were granted to various directors and consultants of our company under the 2004 Plan. Each option entitles the holder to acquire one share of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.10 to \$0.50 per share. Vesting of these options ranged from 100% immediately to 25% immediately and 25% every six months afterward until fully vested 18 months from the date of grant. These options expire five years from the date of grant.

Of the 600,000 stock options granted outside the 2004 Plan, 200,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share and another 200,000 with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share were granted pursuant to a mail distribution agreement with a third party. These options vested immediately and were exercisable until November 1, 2005. These options have a cashless exercise provision whereby the optionee can elect to receive shares of common stock in lieu of paying cash for the options based on a formula that includes using the average closing prices of the five trading days preceding the exercise date.

The other 200,000 stock options granted outside the 2004 Plan were to a consultant providing public and investor relations services. These options have an exercise price of \$0.83 per share, vested 25% immediately, and 25% every quarter thereafter. Either party may terminate the investor relations agreement with thirty days written notice.

As of September 30, 2006, 200,000 options with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share remained outstanding under the 2004 Plan.

2005 Equity Incentive Plan

On March 17, 2005, our board of directors adopted the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan for our executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors. Under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors may receive awards as described in the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. The purpose of the equity incentive plan is to provide long-term performance incentives to those key employees and consultants of our company and our subsidiaries who are largely responsible for the management, growth and protection of the business of our company and our subsidiaries. A maximum of 2,000,000 shares of our common stock are subject to the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2005, a registration statement on Form S-8 was filed to register the common stock issuable under our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan with an amendment to increase the number of shares under the plan to 2,500,000. As of September 30, 2006, 2,500,000 options with an average exercise price of \$1.47 per share had been granted under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan as further described below.

On April 1, 2005, 1,200,000 stock options were granted to directors and officers under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 1,200,000 shares of our common stock. On April 15, 2005, 300,000 stock options were granted to an officer of our subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.25 per share. On June 2, 2005, 200,000 stock options were granted to consultants under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. On October 15, 2005, 250,000 stock options were granted to a newly hired employee of our subsidiary, Methane Energy Corp., under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. On November 22, 2005 40,000 stock options were granted to a consultant under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan to purchase 40,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. On January 16, 2006, 310,000 stock options were granted to a newly hired officer and to other employees and consultants under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan with an exercise price of \$2.11 per share. In addition, we had previously granted a consultant the option to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.83 per share pursuant to a consulting agreement for

public and investor relations with a third party in which 25% of the options vest immediately and 25% vest every quarter thereafter and either party may terminate the investor relations agreement with thirty days written notice. These options were originally granted outside of the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan and subsequently were revised to be included under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. There are currently no further options available for grant under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan.

2006 Equity Incentive Plan

On May 10, 2006, our board of directors adopted the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan for our executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors. Under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, executives, employees and outside consultants and advisors may receive awards as described in the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. The purpose of the equity incentive plan is to provide long-term performance incentives to those key employees and consultants of our company and our subsidiaries who are largely responsible for the management, growth and protection of the business of our company and our subsidiaries. A maximum of 1,000,000 shares of our common stock are subject to the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. As of September 30, 2006, 725,000 options with an exercise price of \$2.78 per share had been granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan to director and to employees.

Compensation of Directors

Except as noted below, we have no formal plan for compensating our directors for their service in their capacity as directors, although such directors are expected in the future to receive stock options to purchase shares of common stock as awarded by our board of directors. On December 17, 2004, our board of directors approved a resolution to pay our directors a quarterly retainer of \$2,500 commencing January 1, 2005.

On May 1, 2006, our board of directors approved a resolution to pay only non-executive directors a quarterly retainer of \$5,000 commencing April 1, 2006. On September 29, 2006, our board of directors approved a resolution to pay only non-executive directors a quarterly retainer of \$7,500. Directors are also entitled to reimbursement for reasonable travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attendance at meetings of our board of directors. Our board of directors may award special remuneration to any director undertaking any special services on our behalf other than services ordinarily required of a director.

Employment Agreements

We employed Mark Gustafson as our president, chief executive officer, acting chief financial officer and a director until January 16, 2006 pursuant to a consulting agreement dated December 17, 2004 between our company and MGG Consulting, a company that is wholly-owned by Mr. Gustafson. We agreed to pay MGG Consulting \$8,000 per month commencing December 1, 2004 unless terminated at any time by either party upon written notice. We then entered into a consulting agreement with MGG Consulting on January 1, 2005 whereby the agreement was revised to pay MGG Consulting the sum of \$1,000 per day commencing January 1, 2005. Effective April 1, 2005, the agreement was again amended to reduce the compensation rate to \$800 per day. Effective January 16, 2006, the compensation rate was restored to \$1,000 per day, which was his current rate of compensation for service as our then chairman and director.

Prior to Mr. Gustafson becoming our president, chief executive officer, acting chief financial officer and a director, we had entered into a consulting agreement dated February 16, 2004 with MGG Consulting where we granted 490,000 options to Mr. Gustafson as compensation for acting as a consultant to our company. All of these options have been exercised. We entered into a consulting agreement dated March 24, 2004 with MGG Consulting where we granted 320,000 options to Mr. Gustafson as compensation for acting as a consultant to our company. We also granted to Mr. Gustafson stock options to purchase up to 540,000 shares of our common stock on May 7, 2004 as compensation for consulting services provided to our company. All of these options have been exercised.

We employ both John Carlson, our president and chief executive officer, and Michael Fowler, our chief financial officer, on an at will basis whereby no formal employment agreements exist. Both Mr. Carlson and Mr. Fowler have signed agreements to provide a minimum of 30 days notice in the event they chose to resign their positions.

We have no plans or arrangements in respect of remuneration received or that may be received by our executive officers to compensate such officers in the event of termination of employment as a result of resignation, retirement, change of control or a change of responsibilities following a change of control, where the value of such compensation exceeds \$100,000 per executive officer.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Other than as disclosed below, there have been no transactions, or proposed transactions, which have materially affected or will materially affect us in which any director, executive officer or beneficial holder of more than 10% of the outstanding shares of common stock, or any of their respective relatives, spouses, associates or affiliates, has had or will have any direct or material indirect interest.

We received proceeds of \$80,000 pursuant to a shareholder loan from Ettinger Investment Corp. The loan was to be repaid by January 22, 2005 or we would be required to pay interest at 12% per annum in respect of the loan. If the loan was repaid prior to January 22, 2005, the loan would bear no interest. On January 17, 2005, we repaid the shareholder in full with no interest.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, we incurred consulting fees in the amount of \$60,990. The consulting fees were charged to MGG Consulting, which is wholly-owned by Mark Gustafson, our president, chief executive officer, acting chief financial officer until January 16, 2006. Please refer to Item 10 Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table of this annual report.

During the year ended March 31, 2006, our company recorded \$447,661 in consulting fees to our directors and officers. In addition, we paid our officers and directors \$169,767 for consulting fees relating to our oil and gas leases and \$261,785 for geological and geophysical consulting fees, both of which are included in the costs of the oil and gas properties. During the year ended March 31, 2006, our company also paid directors fees to related parties serving in that capacity, a total of \$25,000.

We have no policy regarding entering into transactions with affiliated parties.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our shares of common stock as of January 31, 2007,

- by each person who is known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our shares of common stock;
- by each of our officers and directors; and
- by all of our officers and directors as a group.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Title of Class	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Owner⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Class⁽²⁾
John D. Carlson Box 13, Site 8, RR #1 Priddis, Alberta, Canada, T0L 1W0	common stock	307,500 ⁽³⁾	0.91%
William A. Lansing 93962 Bridge View Lane North Bend, Oregon, USA, 97459	common stock	155,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0.46%
Michael D. Fowler 17385 Wall Street Lake Oswego, Oregon, USA, 97034	common stock	187,500 ⁽⁵⁾	0.56%

- 44 -

George L. Hampton III 11 Inverness Way South Englewood, Colorado, USA, 80112	common stock	920,000 ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	2.73%
Curtis Hartzler 49 Tuscany Estates Cres. NW Calgary, Alberta, Canada T3L 0B2	common stock	62,500 ⁽⁸⁾	0.19%
Michael Raleigh Suite 550 10000 Memorial Drive Houston, Texas, USA 77024	common stock	62,500 ⁽⁹⁾	0.19%
All Officers and Directors	common stock	1,695,000	4.93%

As a Group (6 persons)

- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants currently exercisable or convertible, or exercisable or convertible within 60 days of December 1, 2006 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such option or warrant but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.
- (2) Percentage based on 33,424,941 shares of common stock outstanding on January 31, 2007 plus additional shares for options above.
- (3) Includes 257,500 options currently exercisable, which includes the exercisable portion of 250,000 options granted on May 10, 2006.
- (4) Includes 150,000 options currently exercisable.
- (5) Includes 187,500 options currently exercisable.
- (6) Mr. Hampton owns 50% of GeoTrends-Hampton International LLC. On August 8, 2005, GeoTrends-Hampton International LLC transferred 450,000 shares of our common stock into the personal name of George L. Hampton III.
- (7) Includes 245,000 options currently exercisable.
- (8) Includes 62,500 options currently exercisable.
- (9) Includes 62,500 options currently exercisable.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES**Common Stock**

We are authorized to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001. As of January 31, 2007, there were 33,424,941 shares of common stock outstanding. Holders of the common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by the stockholders. Holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends, if any, as may be declared by our board of directors out of funds legally available therefor. Upon the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, the holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in all of our assets which are legally available for distribution after payment of all debts and other liabilities and liquidation preference of any outstanding common stock. Holders of common stock have no preemptive, subscription, redemption or conversion rights. The outstanding shares of common stock are validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable.

We have engaged Computershare Trust Company, Inc., 350 Indiana Street, Suite 800, Golden, Colorado, as our independent transfer agent and registrar.

Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 25,000,000 shares of preferred stock, of which 1,055,000 shares of preferred stock have been designated as follows:

Series A Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, par value \$1.50. As of December 1, 2006, there were no shares of Series A Preferred Stock issued and outstanding.

Series B Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 5,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01. As of December 1, 2006, there were no shares of Series B Stock issued and outstanding.

The Series B Stock is entitled to cumulative dividends of 5% per annum and are convertible into our common stock, at the selling stockholders' option and subject to a maximum cap of \$250,000 worth of Series B Stock in any 30 day calendar period, into the number of our shares of common stock equal to the sum of (i) the liquidation amount of the Series B Stock, plus (ii) all accrued but unpaid dividends, which is then divided by the conversion price then in effect. The liquidation amount of the Series B Stock is equal to \$1,000 per share of Series B Stock. The conversion price for the Series B Stock is the lesser of (i) \$1.20 or 80% of the volume weighted average price of the common stock on a principal market for the 10 trading days before but not including the conversion date. In addition, the conversion price of the Series B Stock will be adjusted in the event that we issue common stock at a price below the fixed conversion price, below market price. The conversion price of the Series B Stock may be adjusted in certain circumstances such as if we pay a stock dividend, subdivide or combine outstanding shares of common stock into a greater or lesser number of shares, or take such other actions as would otherwise result in dilution of the selling stockholder's position.

Series C Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 25,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01. As of December 1, 2006, there were no shares of Series C Stock issued and outstanding.

The holders of Series C Stock shall be entitled to receive dividends or distributions on a pro rata basis according to their holdings of shares of Series C Stock in the amount of five percent per year (computed on the basis of a 365-day year and the actual days elapsed). Dividends shall be paid in cash. Dividends shall be cumulative. No cash dividends or distributions shall be declared or paid or set apart for payment on the Common Stock in any calendar year unless cash dividends or distributions on the Series C Stock for such calendar year are likewise declared and paid or set apart for payment. No declared and unpaid dividends shall bear or accrue interest.

With respect to the payment of dividends and other distributions on the capital stock of our company, including distribution of the assets of our company upon liquidation, the Series C Stock shall be senior to the common stock of our company, par value \$0.001 per share, and senior to all other series of Preferred Stock.

Upon any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, whether voluntary or involuntary (collectively, a "Liquidation"), before any distribution or payment shall be made to any of the holders of Common Stock or any series of Preferred Stock, the holders of Series C Stock shall be entitled to receive out of the assets of our company, whether such assets are capital, surplus or earnings, an amount equal to \$1,000 per share of Series C Stock plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, for each share of Series C Stock held by them.

Each share of Series C Stock shall be convertible, at the option of the holder thereof, at any time after the date of issuance of such share, at the office of our company's transfer agent into such number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of Common Stock equal to the sum of (i) the liquidation amount of the Series C Stock plus (ii) all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon, divided by the conversion price. The conversion price shall be equal to the lower of (i) \$3.00 per share (the "Fixed Price"), which shall be adjusted in the event of a subdivision or combination

of shares, or (ii) eighty five percent (85%) of the average of the volume weighted average prices ("VWAP") of the Common Stock for each of the five trading days immediately preceding the date of conversion ("Market Driven Price"). The VWAP shall be determined using price quotations from Bloomberg, LP.

Each share of Series C Stock shall automatically convert into shares of Common Stock at the conversion price then in effect immediately upon the consummation of the occurrence of a stock acquisition, merger, consolidation or reorganization of our company into or with another entity through one or a series of related transactions, or the sale, transfer or lease (but not including a transfer by pledge or mortgage to a bona fide lender) of all or substantially all of the assets of our company.

Each shares of Series C Stock shall automatically convert into shares of Common Stock at the conversion price then in effect immediately upon the second anniversary of the date of issuance of each share.

The holder of the Series C Stock shall only be entitled to convert up to an aggregate of \$950,000 of the Series C Stock in any 30 calendar day period, provided however, that in no event shall the holder of the Series C Stock be entitled to convert more than an aggregate of \$750,000 of the Series C Stock at the market driven price in any 30 calendar day period. Our company, in its discretion, may waive the conversion limitations set forth in the preceding sentence. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the holder of the Series C Stock, in its sole discretion, may convert all of the Series C Stock outstanding and accrued but unpaid dividends thereon into shares of Common Stock.

Series D Convertible Preferred Stock

We were authorized to issue up to 50,000 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01. On September 29, 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the cancellation of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock designation. As of December 1, 2006, there were no shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock authorized, issued or outstanding.

Series E Convertible Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 25,000 shares of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01. During the first fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2006, we closed the initial sales tranche of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock. Under the terms of this financing, we received gross proceeds of \$9,000,000 on closing; and we received an additional \$8,500,000 in August 2006 coincident with the filing a registration statement registering shares of common stock from prospective Series E Stock conversions. An additional \$7,500,000 in gross proceeds will be received upon effectiveness of the registration statement. The proceeds from the Series E Stock sale are being used to fund development of the Company's Oregon project, exploration of the Company's Washington project and for general working capital purposes. For more details please review our Form 8-K filing of June 30, 2006 and refer to Note 12 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements. As of December 1, 2006, there were 17,500 shares of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding.

The holders of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock shall be entitled to receive dividends or distributions on a pro rata basis according to their holdings of shares of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock in the amount of five percent per year (computed on the basis of a 365-day year and the actual days elapsed). Dividends shall be paid in cash. Dividends shall be cumulative. No cash dividends or distributions shall be declared or paid or set apart for payment on the Common Stock in any calendar year unless cash dividends or distributions on the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock for such calendar year are likewise declared and paid or set apart for payment. No declared and unpaid dividends shall bear or accrue interest.

With respect to the payment of dividends and other distributions on the capital stock of our company, including distribution of the assets of our company upon liquidation, the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock shall be senior to the common stock of our company, par value \$0.001 per share, and senior to all other series of Preferred Stock.

Upon any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, whether voluntary or involuntary (collectively, a "Liquidation"), before any distribution or payment shall be made to any of the holders of Common Stock or any series of Preferred Stock, the holders of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock shall be entitled to receive out of the assets of our company, whether such assets are capital, surplus or earnings, an amount equal to \$1,000 per share of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon, for each share of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock held by them.

The Series E Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into common stock at any time by dividing the dollar amount being converted by \$2.50. Based on this conversion price, 10,000,000 shares of our common stock would be issuable upon conversion of all of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock. The holder of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may only convert up to \$1,250,000 of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares in any 30 day period. We may, in our discretion, waive this conversion limitation; and this conversion limitation shall not apply upon the occurrence and continuance of an event of default.

From the first trading day of the month after effectiveness of this registration statement or December 1, 2006, whichever is earlier, we will be required to redeem a certain number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock each month. The number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock we need to redeem is determined by dividing the total number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by the number of months remaining through August 2008. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock exceeds \$2.50 for the five consecutive trading days immediately before a redemption date, then we will not need to make the redemption. . As of the date of this registration statement, the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor, Cornell Capital Partners, LP, has temporarily waived the redemption requirement that is required. However, there is no assurance that the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor will continue to waive the redemption requirement. We may elect to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by cash or through issuance of our common stock. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock in cash, we will need to pay the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed plus a 20% redemption premium. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock through issuance of our common stock, the number of shares of our common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed by \$1.67. Based on this conversion price, approximately 14,970,060 shares of our common stock would be issuable if all of our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock are redeemed and paid for through the issuance of our common stock. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock as of the redemption date falls below \$1.67, we will not be allowed to pay for the redemption through issuance of our common stock.

Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the holder of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock, in its sole discretion, may convert all of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding and accrued but unpaid dividends thereon into shares of Common Stock.

Options

There are 3,425,000 options issued and outstanding, of which 200,000 options were granted pursuant to the 2004 Plan, 2,500,000 were granted pursuant to the 2005 Plan, and 725,000 were granted pursuant to the 2006 Plan.

Warrants

We currently have no unexercised warrants outstanding.

Convertible Securities

We currently have 17,500 shares of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding as more fully described above.

INDEMNIFICATION FOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES

According to our Articles of Incorporation, as amended, and as provided to the fullest extent permitted by Colorado law, our directors or officers shall not be personally liable to us or our shareholders for damages for breach of such director's or officer's fiduciary duty. The effect of this provision of our Articles of Incorporation, as amended, is to eliminate our rights and our shareholders (through shareholders' derivative suits on behalf of our company) to recover damages against a director or officer for breach of the fiduciary duty of care as a director or officer (including breaches resulting from negligent or grossly negligent behavior), except under certain situations defined by statute. We believe that the indemnification provisions in our Articles of Incorporation, as amended, are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and officers.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling us pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling stockholders and any of their respective pledgees, donees, assignees and other successors-in-interest may, from time to time, sell any or all of their shares of common stock on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. These sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices. The selling stockholders may use any one or more of the following methods when selling shares:

- ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits the purchaser;
- block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
- purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
- an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
- privately-negotiated transactions;
- short sales that are not violations of the laws and regulations of any state or the United States;
- broker-dealers may agree with the selling stockholders to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated price per share;
- through the writing of options on the shares;
- a combination of any such methods of sale; and
- any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

The selling stockholders may also sell shares under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, if available, rather than under this prospectus. The selling stockholders shall have the sole and absolute discretion not to accept any purchase offer or make any sale of shares if they deem the purchase price to be unsatisfactory at any particular time.

The selling stockholders may also engage in short sales against the box, puts and calls and other transactions in our securities or derivatives of our securities and may sell or deliver shares in connection with these trades.

The selling stockholders or their respective pledgees, donees, transferees or other successors in interest, may also sell the shares directly to market makers acting as principals and/or broker-dealers acting as agents for themselves or their customers. Such broker-dealers may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling stockholders and/or the purchasers of shares for whom such broker-dealers may act as agents or to whom they sell as principal or both, which compensation as to a particular broker-dealer might be in excess of customary commissions. Market makers and block purchasers purchasing the shares will do so for their own account and at their own risk. It is possible that a selling stockholder will attempt to sell shares of common stock in block transactions to market makers or other purchasers at a price per share which may be below the then market price. The selling stockholders cannot assure that all or any of the shares offered in this prospectus will be issued to, or sold by, the selling stockholders. The selling stockholders and any brokers, dealers or agents, upon effecting the sale of any of the shares offered in this prospectus, may be deemed to be "underwriters" as that term is defined under the Securities Act, or the Exchange Act, or the rules and regulations under such acts. In such event,

- 49 -

any commissions received by such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act.

We are required to pay all fees and expenses incident to the registration of the shares, including fees and disbursements of counsel to the selling stockholders, but excluding brokerage commissions or underwriter discounts.

The selling stockholders, alternatively, may sell all or any part of the shares offered in this prospectus through an underwriter. No selling stockholder has entered into any agreement with a prospective underwriter and there is no assurance that any such agreement will be entered into.

The selling stockholders may pledge their shares to their brokers under the margin provisions of customer agreements. If a selling stockholder defaults on a margin loan, the broker may, from time to time, offer and sell the pledged shares. The selling stockholders and any other persons participating in the sale or distribution of the shares will be subject to applicable provisions of the Exchange Act, and the rules and regulations under such act, including, without limitation, Regulation M. These provisions may restrict certain activities of, and limit the timing of purchases and sales of any of the shares by, the selling stockholders or any other such person. In the event that the selling stockholders are deemed affiliated purchasers or distribution participants within the meaning of Regulation M, then the selling stockholders will not be permitted to engage in short sales of common stock. Furthermore, under Regulation M, persons engaged in a distribution of securities are prohibited from simultaneously engaging in market making and certain other activities with respect to such securities for a specified period of time prior to the commencement of such distributions, subject to specified exceptions or exemptions. In regards to short sells, the selling stockholder can only cover its short position with the securities they receive from us upon conversion. In addition, if such short sale is deemed to be a stabilizing activity, then the selling stockholder will not be permitted to engage in a short sale of our common stock. All of these limitations may affect the marketability of the shares.

We have agreed to indemnify the selling stockholders, or their transferees or assignees, against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or to contribute to payments the selling stockholders or their respective pledgees, donees, transferees or other successors in interest, may be required to make in respect of such liabilities.

If the selling stockholders notify us that they have a material arrangement with a broker-dealer for the resale of the common stock, then we would be required to amend the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, and file a prospectus supplement to describe the agreements between the selling stockholders and the broker-dealer.

PENNY STOCK

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15g-9 which establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require:

that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and

the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must:

obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and

make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form:

- 50 -

sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and

that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The table below sets forth information concerning the resale of the shares of common stock by the selling stockholders. We will not receive any proceeds from the resale of the common stock by the selling stockholders. Assuming all the shares registered below are sold by the selling stockholders, none of the selling stockholders will continue to own any shares of our common stock.

The following table also sets forth the name of each person who is offering the resale of shares of common stock by this prospectus, the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each person, the number of shares of common stock that may be sold in this offering and the number of shares of common stock each person will own after the offering, assuming they sell all of the shares offered.

Name	Total Common Stock Issuable upon Conversion or Redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock	Total percent of Common Stock Assuming Full Conversion or Redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock	Share of Common Stock included in Prospectus	Common Stock Owned By Selling Stockholders Before the Offering	Beneficial Ownership After this Offering ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Common Stock Owned After this Offering ⁽¹⁾
R2R Technologies LLC ⁽²⁾ Suite 300, 5373 West Alabama Street Houston, TX 77056	-	-	125,000	125,000	-	-
Placer Creek Partners, L.P. ⁽³⁾ c/o Wellington Management Company, LLP Boston, MA 02109	-	-	301,000	301,000	-	-
Placer Creek Investors (Bermuda), L.P. ⁽³⁾ c/o Wellington Management Company, LLP Boston, MA 02109	-	-	213,000	213,000	-	-
Cornell Capital Partners, LP ⁽⁴⁾ Suite 3700, 101 Hudson Street Jersey City, NJ 07302	15,000,000 ⁽⁵⁾	31.185%	10,000,000	1,654,997 ⁽⁶⁾	-	-

⁽¹⁾ Assumes that all securities registered will be sold.

⁽²⁾ All investment decisions of, and the control of, R2R Technologies LLC are held by Mr. Donald G. Ritter.

⁽³⁾ As the investment advisor to Placer Creek Partners L.P. and Placer Creek Investors (Bermuda) L.P., Wellington Management Company, LLP shares voting power and investment discretion with these selling stockholders.

⁽⁴⁾ All investment decisions of, and control of, Cornell Capital Partners are held by its general partner, Yorkville Advisors, LLC. Mark Angelo, the managing member of Yorkville Advisors, makes the investment decisions on behalf of and controls Yorkville Advisors.

Edgar Filing: TORRENT ENERGY CORP - Form 424B4

⁽⁵⁾ Estimated number of shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion or redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock as provided under the investor registration rights agreement dated as of June 28, 2006 between our company and Cornell Capital Partners, LP.

⁽⁶⁾ This amount represents the aggregate maximum number of shares that this selling stockholder can own at one time (and therefore, offer for resale at any one time) due to their 4.9% and 4.99% limitations.

The investment agreement between our company and Cornell Capital Partners LP provided for the sale of 25,000 shares of our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$25,000,000, pursuant to the terms of the investment agreement, of which \$9,000,000 was received by our company on the closing of the investment agreement, \$8,500,000 was received by our company on the filing of this registration statement and \$7,500,000 will be received by our company when this registration statement is declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Series E Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into common stock at any time by dividing the dollar amount being converted by \$2.50. Based on this conversion price, 10,000,000 shares of our common stock would be issuable upon conversion of all of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock. The holder of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may only convert up to \$1,250,000 of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock into common shares in any 30 day period. We may, in our discretion, waive this conversion limitation; and this conversion limitation shall not apply upon the occurrence and continuance of an event of default.

From the first trading day of the month after effectiveness of this registration statement or December 1, 2006, whichever is earlier, we will be required to redeem a certain number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock each month. The number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock we need to redeem is determined by dividing the total number of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by the number of months remaining through August 2008. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock exceeds \$2.50 for the five consecutive trading days immediately before a redemption date, then we will not need to make the redemption. . As of the date of this registration statement, the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor, Cornell Capital Partners, LP, has temporarily waived the redemption requirement that is required. However, there is no assurance that the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock investor will continue to waive the redemption requirement.

We may elect to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock by cash or through issuance of our common stock. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock in cash, we will need to pay the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed plus a 20% redemption premium. If we choose to pay for the redemption of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock through issuance of our common stock, the number of shares of our common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the liquidation amount of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock to be redeemed by \$1.67. Based on this conversion price, approximately 14,970,060 shares of our common stock would be issuable if all of our Series E Convertible Preferred Stock are redeemed and paid for through the issuance of our common stock. However, if the closing trading price of our common stock as of the redemption date falls below \$1.67, we will not be allowed to pay for the redemption through issuance of our common stock.

The issuance of shares upon conversion or redemption of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other stockholders since the selling stockholders may ultimately convert and sell the full amount issuable on conversion. There is no upper limit on the number of shares that may be issued which will have the effect of further diluting the proportionate equity interest and voting power of holders of our common stock, including investors in this offering. See Risk Factors .

We may require the selling stockholder to suspend the sales of the securities offered by this prospectus upon the occurrence of any event that makes any statement in this prospectus or the related registration statement untrue in any material respect or that requires the changing of statements in these documents in order to make statements in those documents not misleading.

LEGAL MATTERS

Clark Wilson LLP, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, will issue an opinion with respect to the validity of the shares of common stock being offered hereby.

EXPERTS

Our consolidated financial statements at March 31, 2006, and for the year then ended included in this prospectus and registration statement, have been audited by Peterson Sullivan PLLC, independent registered public

accounting firm as set forth in their respective reports thereon, which contain an explanatory paragraph describing conditions that raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern as described in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere herein and in the registration statement, and are included in reliance upon such reports given on the authority of such firms as an expert in accounting and auditing.

Our consolidated financial statements at March 31, 2005, and for the year then ended included in this prospectus and registration statement have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, Chartered Accountants, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their reports thereon which contain an explanatory paragraph describing conditions that raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, and are included in reliance upon such report given on authority of such firms as experts in accounting and auditing.

Our consolidated financial statements at March 31, 2004, and for the year then ended included in this prospectus and registration statement have been audited by Moore Stephens Ellis Foster Ltd., Chartered Accountants, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their reports thereon which contain an explanatory paragraph describing conditions that raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, and are included in reliance upon such report given on authority of such firms as experts in accounting and auditing.

INTEREST OF NAMED EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

No expert or counsel named in this registration statement as having prepared or certified any part of this statement or having given an opinion upon the validity of the securities being registered or upon other legal matters in connection with the registration or offering of the common stock was employed on a contingency basis, or had, or will receive, in connection with the offering, a substantial interest, direct or indirect, in the registrant. Nor was any such person connected with the registrant as a promoter, managing or principal underwriter, voting trustee, director, officer, or employee.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We have filed a registration statement on Form S-1 and amendment(s) thereto under the Securities Act relating to the shares of common stock being offered by this prospectus, and reference is made to such registration statement. This prospectus constitutes the prospectus of Torrent Energy Corporation, filed as part of the registration statement, and it does not contain all information in the registration statement, as certain portions have been omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act which requires us to file reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such reports, proxy statements and other information may be inspected at public reference facilities of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such material can be obtained from the Public Reference Section of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 100 F Street NE, Washington DC 20549 at prescribed rates by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. Because we file documents electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission, you may also obtain this information by visiting the Securities and Exchange Commission's Internet website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TORRENT ENERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our consolidated financial statements are stated in United States dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

As of, and for the years ended March 31, 2006, March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2004

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm dated June 28, 2006.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm dated June 3, 2005.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm dated April 7, 2004.

Audited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Audited Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2006.

Audited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Audited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of, and for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 (Unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited).

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) (Unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited).

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TORRENT ENERGY CORPORATION

(formerly Scarab Systems, Inc.)

(An exploration stage enterprise)

Consolidated Financial Statements

(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Index

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To The Board of Directors

Torrent Energy Corporation

Lynnwood, Washington

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Torrent Energy Corporation and Subsidiaries (an exploration stage company) as of March 31, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended and for the period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements for the cumulative period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2005, were audited by other auditors whose report dated June 3, 2005, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements although their opinion was modified for going concern considerations. The financial statements for the period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2005, include total revenues and net loss of \$0 and \$3,584,777, respectively. Our opinion on the statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2006, insofar as it relates to amounts for prior periods through March 31, 2005, is based solely on the report of other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Torrent Energy Corporation and Subsidiaries as of March 31, 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended March 31, 2006, and for the cumulative period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has experienced recurring losses from operations since inception and has a substantial accumulated deficit. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Peterson Sullivan PLLC

June 28, 2006

Seattle, Washington

F-3

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

TORRENT ENERGY CORPORATION

(formerly Scarab Systems, Inc.)

(An exploration stage enterprise)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Torrent Energy Corporation (formerly Scarab Systems, Inc.) (the Company) (an exploration stage enterprise) as of March 31, 2005, the related consolidated statements of stockholders' equity (deficit), operations and cash flows for the year ended March 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The consolidated financial statements for the cumulative period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2004 were audited by other auditors whose report dated April 7, 2004 expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements. The consolidated financial statements for the period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2004 include total revenues and net loss of \$nil and \$374,606, respectively. Our opinion on the statements of stockholders' equity (deficit), operations and cash flows for the period October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2005, insofar as it relates to amounts for prior periods through March 31, 2004, is based solely on the report of other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2005, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2005, and for the period from October 8, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has recurring losses from operations since inception that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its policy on accounting for stock-based compensation in 2005.

Vancouver, Canada
June 3, 2005

/S/ ERNST & YOUNG
Chartered Accountants

F-5

TORRENT ENERGY CORPORATION

(formerly Scarab Systems, Inc.)

(An exploration stage enterprise)

Consolidated Balance Sheets**(Expressed in US dollars)**

	March 31, 2006	March 31, 2005	March 31, 2004
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,658,719	\$ 2,600,986	\$ 12,621
Accounts receivable	46,745	-	-
Inventory	375,355	-	-
Prepaid expenses	398,620	166,753	-
Total current assets	3,479,439	2,767,739	12,621
Oil and gas properties , unproven (Note 5)	16,377,085	2,775,434	-
Other assets, net of depreciation of \$6,111 and \$Nil	70,759	-	-
Preferred stock discount (Note 10)	-	105,081	-
Total assets	\$ 19,927,283	\$ 5,648,254	\$ 12,621
LIABILITIES			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 1,931,985	\$ 483,178	\$ 27,882
Accounts payable – related parties (Note 4)	87,131	242,936	-
Series B preferred stock dividend payable	-	72,672	-
Series C preferred stock dividend payable	308,442	-	-
Total current liabilities	2,327,558	798,786	27,882
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 5 and 14)			
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)			