Mueller Water Products, Inc. Form 10-Q/A February 03, 2006

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-Q/A**

Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 2, 2005.

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 333-116590

## MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

### **Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-3547095

(I.R.S. Employer identification No.)

**4211 W. Boy Scout Blvd. Tampa, FL 33607**(Address of principal executive offices)

(813) 871-4811

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Mueller Water Products, LLC 500 West Eldorado Street Decatur, IL 62522-1808

(Former Name and Former Address if Changed Since Last Reported)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes o No x

	Common Stock	Shares Outstanding As of May 6, 2005
Class A	\$0.01 Par Value	131,208,998
Class B	\$0.01 Par Value	89,343,699

### **Explanatory Note**

### Organizational Change

Mueller Water Products, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), was formerly known as Mueller Holding Company, Inc., and, effective February 2, 2006, is the successor issuer to Mueller Water Products, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, pursuant to Rule 15d-5 promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Purpose of Amendment to Quarterly Report

The purpose of this Amendment No. 1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company is to restate the Company s consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and six months ended April 2, 2005. On December 14, 2005, the Company s management and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors determined that the financial statements of the Company for the two years ended September 30, 2004 and interim periods of fiscal years 2005 and 2004 required restatement.

The 2005 Restatements reflect adjustments to correct cash flow statement errors related to foreign currency translation and book cash overdrafts, the misclassification of deferred income tax assets between current and non-current classifications in the balance sheet and the misclassification of depreciation expense between selling, general and administrative expense and cost of sales. The 2005 Restatements had no impact on the Company s consolidated net income or the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Deficit. The nature and impact of these adjustments are described in Note 2: Restatements in this Form 10-Q/A.

For the convenience of the reader, this Form 10-Q/A sets forth the original Form 10-Q in its entirety. Except for the revision of management s conclusion regarding the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of April 2, 2005 presented under Part1, Item 4, the Company has not modified or updated other disclosures presented in the original report on Form 10-Q except for the required effects of the restatement. Accordingly, other than the items indicated above, this Form 10-Q/A does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the original Form 10-Q or modify or update those disclosures. Information not affected by the restatement is unchanged and reflects the disclosure made at the time of the original filing of the Form 10-Q with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 13, 2005. Accordingly, this Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with the Company s filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q. The following items have been amended as a result of the restatement:

- Part I Item 1. Unaudited Financial Statements
- Part I Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- Part I Item 4. Controls and Procedures; and
- Part II Item 6. Exhibits

MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC. REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED APRIL 2, 2005

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pa	age
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION		4
Item 1. Unaudited Financial Statements		4
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets		4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations		5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows		6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements		7
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations		23
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk		35
Item 4. Controls and Procedures		35
PART II OTHER INFORMATION		39
Item 1. Legal Proceedings		39
Item 6. Exhibits		39
Signatures Signatures Signatures		40

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	Se 20 (r	April 2, 2005 (restated)	
	(ι	ınaudited)	
	(c	lollars in millions)	
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents		\$ 60.5	\$ 56.9
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5.1 and \$5.3, respectively		162.0	163.7
Inventories		260.2	304.0
Income taxes receivable		4.1	3.7
Deferred income taxes		21.8	23.5
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		28.9	27.6
Total current assets		537.5	579.4
Property, plant and equipment, net		186.8	177.1
Goodwill, net		163.2	163.2
Identifiable intangibles, net		55.2	53.8
Pension intangible		0.8	0.8
Deferred financing fees, net		37.4	34.8
Deferred income taxes		8.3	9.6
Total assets		\$ 989.2	\$ 1,018.7
Liabilities			
Accounts payable		\$ 57.6	\$ 61.0
Current portion of long-term debt		3.2	3.9
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		85.9	84.3
Total current liabilities		146.7	149.2
Long-term debt, net of current portion		1,036.2	1,043.8
Accrued pension liability		29.2	32.3
Other long-term liabilities		7.8	4.8
Total liabilities		1,219.9	1,230.1
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)			
Redeemable common stock		1.7	1.7
Shareholders equity			
Common stock:			
Class A, \$0.01 par value (400,000,000 shares authorized and 131,208,998 issued)		1.3	1.3
Class B, \$0.01 par value, convertible, non-voting (150,000,000 shares authorized and			
89,343,699 shares issued)		0.9	0.9
Additional paid-in capital			
Accumulated deficit		(218.6	(202.7
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(16.0	(12.6
Total shareholders equity		(232.4	(213.1
Total liabilities and shareholders equity		\$ 989.2	\$ 1,018.7

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		Three months ended							
						April 2, 2005			
		(resta	ted)		(	restated)			
	-+	`	dited)						
		(dolla	rs in mil	lions	;)				
Net sales		\$	236.3			\$ 28	5.2		
Cost of sales		17	1.4			199.0			
Gross profit		64	.9			86.2			
Selling, general and administrative expense, including stock compensation expense		38	.4			46.0			
Facility rationalization, restructuring and related costs		0.9	)			1.5			
Operating income		25	.6			38.7			
Interest expense and early repayment costs		(6	.7	)		(23.5	)		
Interest income		0.2	2			0.2			
Income before income taxes		19	.1			15.4			
Income tax expense		7.	7			6.2			
Net income		\$	11.4			\$ 9.2	2		

	Six months ended				
	March 27, 2004		April 2, 2005		
	(restated)		(restated)		
	(unaudited)			Ш	
	(dollars in mi	illions)		Ш	
Net sales	\$ 456.5	5	\$ 541.3		
Cost of sales	334.7		380.9		
Gross profit	121.8		160.4		
Selling, general and administrative expense, including stock compensation expense.	75.4		87.9		
Facility rationalization, restructuring and related costs	0.9		1.6		
Operating income	45.5		70.9		
Interest expense and early repayment costs	(20.4	)	(44.6		
Interest income	0.4		0.6		
Income before income taxes	25.5		26.9		
Income tax expense	10.2		11.0		
Net income	\$ 15.3		\$ 15.9		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		Six months ended						
		March 27, 2004			April 2, 2005			
	(restated) (resta			estated)	$\dashv$			
		(unaudited				<b>-</b>		
		(dollars in millions)			ns)			
Cash flows from operating activities						++		
Net income		\$ 15.	3		\$ 15.9	Н		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				_		₩		
Depreciation		23.2			22.6	+		
Amortization of intangibles and tooling		10.0			2.8	++		
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps		(6.3	)		(2.9	)		
All other adjustments		2.3			(0.2	)		
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effects of acquisitions:						44		
Receivables		(14.0	)		(0.6	)		
Inventories		(15.4	)		(42.1	)		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(8.3	)		(3.8	)		
All other changes, net		3.4			14.0	Ш		
Net cash provided by operating activities		10.2			5.7			
Cash flows from investing activities						Ш		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment		(11.0	)		(13.6	)		
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired		(19.8	)					
Net cash used in investing activities		(30.8	)		(13.6	)		
Cash flows from financing activities								
Book cash overdrafts		5.9			4.9			
Proceeds from short-term borrowings		9.2						
Payment of long-term debt, including capital lease obligations		(51.8	)		(1.2	)		
Payment of deferred financing fees		(0.8	)					
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(37.5	)		3.7			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		0.4			0.6			
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(57.7	)		(3.6	)		
Cash and cash equivalents								
Beginning of period		73.0			60.5			
End of period		\$ 15.	3		\$ 56.9			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 27, 2004 AND APRIL 2, 2005 (UNAUDITED)

#### 1. Basis of Presentation

Mueller Water Products, Inc. (formerly Mueller Holdings (N.A.), Inc.) (Mueller Water or the Company) is the parent company of Mueller Group, Inc. (Group). The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Mueller Water Products, Inc. have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information. Accordingly, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes do not contain certain information included in the Company s annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all normal and recurring adjustments that are considered necessary for a fair financial statement presentation have been made. Operating results for the three and six months ended April 2, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. The consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2004 as found in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### 2. Restatements

This note is comprised of two sections: 2005 Restatements and 2004 Restatements. The 2004 Restatements were previously reported in the original Form 10-Q.

### **2005 Restatements**

In the course of finalizing the September 30, 2005 financial statements, the Company determined that certain items included in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows, the Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Balance Sheets were not properly classified in annual and interim periods. The interim financial statements for the three and six months ended March 27, 2004 and April 2, 2005, respectively, were restated for the following items:

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash

In interim periods during fiscal 2004 and 2005, the Company presented the entire change related to foreign currency translation in the Effect of exchange rate changes on cash line item in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. The portion of cash flow changes related to increases or decreases in assets and liabilities associated with operating, investing and financing activities did not consider the amount of the change related to foreign currency translation.

Book cash overdrafts

In interim periods during fiscal 2004 and 2005, cash accounts in book cash overdraft positions were netted with accounts where legal right of offset did not exist. On the Consolidated Balance Sheets, cash and cash equivalents should have increased and a liability recorded with respect to such book cash overdrafts by comparable amounts. The change in the book cash overdraft should have been reflected as a financing activity in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows and should have been included in accounts payable and as an increase in cash and cash equivalents in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of April 2, 2005.

Current and Non-current deferred income taxes

In interim periods during fiscal 2004 and 2005, the Company should have classified certain deferred income tax assets as current in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, based on the nature of the underlying temporary difference, but included such temporary differences incorrectly as non-current.

Misclassification of depreciation expense

In interim periods during fiscal 2004 and 2005, the Company incorrectly classified certain depreciation expense amounts as selling, general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations when it should have been reported as cost of sales.

The 2005 Restatements had no impact on the Company s consolidated net income or the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Deficit for any of the prior periods presented.

### 2004 Restatements

In November 2004, our Audit Committee was notified of alleged potential accounting improprieties concerning our accounting for inventory reserves and certain questions concerning revenue recognition. The Audit Committee appointed an independent law firm to investigate the allegations. The report identified several areas requiring financial review by the Company principally concerning accounting for excess and obsolete (E&O) inventory, the capitalization of costs relating to a project that should have been expensed in prior periods, the accrual of reserves for this project without identifying support for such accruals and the timing of recognition of revenue with regard to full truckload shipments that were not immediately dispatched to customers by certain freight carriers used by the Company. The Company also identified some additional annual and interim items recorded in incorrect periods in the course of finalizing the 2004 financial statements. In addition, the Company determined that the value it assigned to stock compensation in connection with its April 2004 recapitalization should be revised. As a result of these findings, the Company restated its annual and interim financial statements.

The interim financial statements for the three and six months ended March 27, 2004 were restated for the following items:

Inventory valuation adjustments

The Company determined that certain reports utilized in the assessment and establishment of excess and obsolete provisions were inaccurate and that certain items were erroneously eliminated from the provision. The interim financial statements were restated to reflect the decrease in inventory value and increase in cost of goods sold to reflect the adjustment to properly record the provision for excess and obsolete inventory reserves.

Research and development costs

The Company purchased certain inventory and tooling for a development project that was initially recorded in inventory and capitalized to the extent such cost related to tooling. As there were no alternative future uses for these items, they should have been recorded as an expense as they were incurred and no expense should have been recorded in 2004 interim periods. The interim financial statements were restated to reflect this as a research and development expense (Selling, General & Administrative) in the proper periods.

Revenue recognition adjustments

Revenues related to certain full-truckload customer shipments which were not immediately dispatched to customers by certain freight carriers used by the Company originally recognized should have

been deferred until such time as the trucks were dispatched and the products delivered to the customer. The interim financial statements were restated to reflect the recognition of revenue in the proper periods.

### Inventory journal entries

Unauthorized journal entries were recorded, which increased the value of inventory inappropriately. The interim financial statements were restated to reduce the inventory value and increase cost of goods sold.

### Intercompany profit elimination

There was an error, which initially arose in the first quarter of 2004, in the estimated amount of intercompany profit elimination recorded during the interim periods in the year ended September 30, 2004.

### Deferred financing fees

Previously expensed financing fees associated with an amendment to our senior credit facility in the first quarter of 2004 which were expensed should have been capitalized and amortized over the remaining term of the facility.

### Income tax effect of adjustments

As a result of the aforementioned adjustments, the income tax provisions in the interim financial statements were also revised.

The following tables set forth the effects of the 2005 and 2004 Restatements discussed above on the financial statements as of April 2, 2005 and for the three and six months ended March 27, 2004 and April 2, 2005 as follows:

### **Consolidated Statement of Operations**

	Three months e March 27, 2004			Six months ended March 27, 2004	l	
	as originally reported(A) (unaudited) (dollars in millio	as restated for 2004 Restatements(B) (unaudited)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)	as originally reported(A) (unaudited)	as restated for 2004 Restatements(B) (unaudited)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)
Net sales	\$ 236.9	\$ 236.3	\$ 236.3	\$ 454.9	\$ 456.5	\$ 456.5
Cost of sales	169.4	169.7	171.4	328.0	331.2	334.7
Gross profit	67.5	66.6	64.9	126.9	125.3	121.8
Selling, general and administrative expense, including stock						
compensation expense	40.2	40.1	38.4	79.3	78.9	75.4
Facility rationalization and related costs	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Operating income	26.4	25.6	25.6	46.7	45.5	45.5
Interest expense and early						
repayment costs	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(21.2)	(20.4)	(20.4)
Interest income	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4
Income before income taxes	19.9	19.1	19.1	25.9	25.5	25.5
Income tax expense	8.0	7.7	7.7	10.4	10.2	10.2
Net income	\$ 11.9	\$ 11.4	\$ 11.4	\$ 15.5	\$ 15.3	\$ 15.3

The following table shows the effects of the various 2004 Restatements on net income. The 2005 Restatements had no impact on the Company s consolidated net income.

	Three months ended March 27, 2004 (unaudited) (dollars in millions)	Six months ended March 27, 2004 (unaudited)
Net income, as originally reported(A)	\$ 11.9	\$ 15.5
Increased (decreased) pretax earnings:		
Inventory valuation adjustments	(0.1   )	(0.1)
Research & development costs	0.1	0.4
Revenue recognition adjustments	(0.2   )	0.5
Inventory journal entries		(0.2)
Intercompany profit elimination	(0.6)	(1.8)
Deferred financing fees		0.8
Total pre-tax adjustments	(0.8)	(0.4)
Income tax effect of adjustments	0.3	0.2
Net income, as restated(B)	\$ 11.4	\$ 15.3

	Three months ended April 2, 2005	d	Six months ended April 2, 2005	
	as originally reported(C) (unaudited) (dollars in millions)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)	as originally reported(C) (unaudited)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)
Cost of sales	\$ 197.3	\$ 199.0	\$ 377.5	\$ 380.9
Gross profit	\$ 87.9	\$ 86.2	\$ 163.8	\$ 160.4
Selling, general and administrative expense, including stock compensation expense	\$ 47.7	\$ 46.0	\$ 91.3	\$ 87.9

### **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	September 30, 2004  as originally reported(C) (unaudited) (dollars in millions)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)	April 2, 2005 as originally reported(C) (unaudited)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 55.6	\$ 60.5	\$ 47.1	\$ 56.9
Deferred income taxes current	\$ 9.0	\$ 21.8	\$ 9.9	\$ 23.5
Current assets	\$ 519.8	\$ 537.5	\$ 556.0	\$ 579.4
Deferred income taxes non-current	\$ 21.1	\$ 8.3	\$ 23.2	\$ 9.6
Total assets	\$ 984.3	\$ 989.2	\$ 1,008.9	\$ 1,018.7
Accounts payable	\$ 52.7	\$ 57.6	\$ 51.2	\$ 61.0
Total current liabilities	\$ 141.8	\$ 146.7	\$ 139.4	\$ 149.2
Total liabilities	\$ 1,215.0	\$ 1,219.9	\$ 1,220.3	\$ 1,230.1
Total liabilities and shareholders deficit	\$ 984.3	\$ 989.2	\$ 1,008.9	\$ 1,018.7

## **Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows**

		onths ended n 27, 2004						onths ended 2, 2005	l		
	as originally reported(A)		ally for 2004 (A) Restatements(B) ed) (unaudited)		as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)		as originally reported(C) (unaudited)		as restated for 2005 Restatemer (unaudited		
Cash flows from operating activities:											
All other adjustments	\$	2.5	\$	2.3	\$	2.3	\$	(0.1)	\$	(0.2)	)
Receivables	\$	(12.9)	\$	(14.5)	\$	(14.0)	\$	(1.7)	\$	(0.6)	)
Inventories	\$	(18.6)	\$	(16.3)	\$	(15.4)	\$	(43.8)	\$	(42.1)	)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other											
current liabilities	\$	(7.6)	\$	(7.9)	\$	(8.3)	\$	(3.1)	\$	(3.8)	)
Other, net	\$	3.3	\$	3.5	\$	3.4	\$	13.2	\$	14.0	
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$	9.1	\$	9.3	\$	10.2	\$	2.9	\$	5.7	
Cash flows from financing activities:											
Book cash overdrafts	\$		\$		\$	5.9	\$		\$	4.9	
Cash flows used in financing activities	\$	(42.8)	\$	(43.4)	\$	(37.5)	\$	(1.2)	\$	3.7	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	\$	1.3	\$	1.3	\$	0.4	\$	3.4	\$	0.6	
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash											
equivalents	\$	63.1	\$	(63.6)	\$	(57.7)	\$	(8.5)	\$	(3.6)	)
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of											
period	\$	71.4	\$	71.4	\$	73.0	\$	55.6	\$	60.5	
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$	8.3	\$	7.8	\$	15.3	\$	47.1	\$	56.9	

### **Segment Information**

	Three months ended March 27, 2004 as originally reported(A) (unaudited) (dollars in millions)	as restated for 2004 Restatements(B) (unaudited)	Six months ended March 27, 2004 as originally reported(A) (unaudited)	as restated for 2004 Restatements(B) (unaudited)
Net sales:				
Water infrastructure	\$ 137.8	\$ 137.2	\$ 260.3	\$ 261.9
Piping systems	99.1	99.1	194.6	194.6
Consolidated	\$ 236.9	\$ 236.3	\$ 454.9	\$ 456.5
Segment EBITDA:				
Water infrastructure	\$ 36.6	\$ 36.4	\$ 66.2	\$ 67.0
Piping systems	8.8	8.2	18.0	16.0
Total segment EBITDA	\$ 45.4	\$ 44.6	\$ 84.2	\$ 83.0
Operating income:				
Water infrastructure	\$ 30.5	\$ 30.3	\$ 54.0	\$ 54.8
Piping systems	4.5	3.9	9.6	7.6
Corporate	(8.6)	(8.6)	(16.9)	(16.9)
Consolidated	\$ 26.4	\$ 25.6	\$ 46.7	\$ 45.5

	At September 30, 2004 as originally reported(C) (unaudited) (dollars in millions)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)	At April 2, 2005 as originally reported(C) (unaudited)	as restated for 2005 Restatements (unaudited)
Total assets:	(donars in mimons)			
Water infrastructure	\$ 500.0	\$ 500.0	\$ 508.6	\$ 508.6
Piping systems	307.9	307.9	325.5	325.5
Corporate	176.4	181.3	174.8	184.6
Consolidated	\$ 984.3	\$ 989.2	\$ 1,008.9	\$ 1,018.7

<sup>(</sup>A) Represents the amounts originally reported before the 2004 and 2005 Restatements described herein.

<sup>(</sup>B) The 2004 Restatements were initially presented in the original fiscal year 2005 Form 10-Q filings. No previous Form 10-Q/A s were filed for the 2004 Restatements.

<sup>(</sup>C) Represents the amounts originally reported in the Form 10-Q in fiscal year 2005.

### 3. Segment Information

Our operations consist of two operating segments: water infrastructure and piping systems. Water infrastructure products consist primarily of hydrants, water and gas valves and related products used in water, power and gas distribution. Piping systems products consist primarily of pipe fittings and couplings, pipe nipples and hangers and purchased products related to piping systems used in a variety of applications.

Intersegment sales and transfers are made at established intersegment selling prices generally intended to cover costs. Our determination of segment earnings does not reflect allocations of certain corporate expenses not attributable to segment operations and intersegment eliminations, which we designate as Corporate in the segment presentation, and is before interest expense and early debt repayment costs, interest income and income taxes. Corporate expenses include costs related to financial and administrative matters, treasury, risk management, human resources, legal counsel, and tax functions. Corporate assets include items booked at the date of the Company s inception in 1999 related to purchase accounting valuation adjustments associated with property, plant and equipment and non-compete agreements with the predecessor parent company, as well as intangibles associated with intellectual property. These assets and any related depreciation or amortization expense were not pushed down to our water infrastructure products and piping systems products segments and are maintained as Corporate items. Therefore, segment earnings are not reflective of results on a stand-alone basis.

The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment EBITDA. Segment EBITDA is defined as net income plus income tax expense, interest expense (not net of interest income), depreciation and amortization expense. Segment assets consist primarily of accounts receivable, inventories, property, plant and equipment net, goodwill, and identifiable intangibles. Summarized financial information for our segments follows:

	Three months ended March 27, 2004		e	Three months inded April 2, 2005	d		Six months ended March 27, 2004			x months ded oril 2, 2005	
	(re	stated)					(res	tated)			
Net Sales:							-				1 1 1
Water infrastructure		\$ 137.2			\$ 170.1			\$ 261.9			\$ 308.7
Piping systems		99.1			115.1		-	194.6			232.6
Consolidated		236.3			285.2			456.5			541.3
Intersegment sales:											
Water infrastructure		3.1			3.4			6.1			6.8
Piping systems		0.2			0.1		<u> </u>	0.3			0.3
Consolidated		3.3			3.5			6.4			7.1
Segment EBITDA:											
Water infrastructure		36.4			44.4			67.0			79.2
Piping systems		8.2			14.2			16.0			30.3
Total segment EBITDA		44.6			58.6			83.0			109.5
Depreciation and amortization:											
Water infrastructure		6.1			6.0			12.2			12.0
Piping systems		4.3			4.3			8.4			8.6
Corporate		6.3			2.5			12.6			4.8
Consolidated		16.7			12.8			33.2			25.4
Impairment charges:											
Water infrastructure											
Piping systems		0.1						0.1			
Corporate											
Consolidated		0.1						0.1			
Capital expenditures:											
Water infrastructure		3.6			6.2			6.2			9.0
Piping systems		2.9			2.1			4.8			3.9
Corporate					0.6						0.7
Consolidated		6.5			8.9			11.0			13.6

	At September 30, 2004	At April 2, 2005
	(restated)	(restated)
Total assets:		
Water infrastructure	\$ 500.0	\$ 508.6
Piping systems	307.9	325.5
Corporate	181.3	184.6
Consolidated	989.2	1,018.7
Goodwill:		
Water infrastructure	149.1	149.1
Piping systems	14.1	14.1
Consolidated	163.2	163.2
Identifiable intangibles:		
Water infrastructure	5.3	4.9
Piping systems	7.2	6.2
Corporate	42.7	42.7
Consolidated	55.2	53.8

The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment EBITDA. A reconciliation of segment EBITDA to consolidated income before income taxes follows:

	Three months ended March 27, 2004	Three months ended April 2, 2005	Six months ended March 27, 2004	Six months ended April 2, 2005
	(restated)		(restated)	
Total segment EBITDA	\$ 44.6	\$ 58.6	\$ 83.0	\$ 109.5
Unallocated corporate costs	(2.1)	(6.9)	(3.9)	(12.6)
Interest expense and early repayment costs	(6.7)	(23.5)	(20.4)	(44.6
Depreciation and amortization	(16.7)	(12.8)	(33.2)	(25.4)
Income before income taxes	\$ 19.1	\$ 15.4	\$ 25.5	\$ 26.9

Geographical area information with respect to net sales, as determined by the location of the customer invoiced, and property, plant and equipment net, as determined by the physical location of the assets, were as follows for the three and six months ended March 27, 2004 and April 2, 2005:

		ended	months	ļ	Three mon ended April 2, 20		ended	onths n 27, 2004	e	Six months Inded April 2, 2005
		(restat	ed)				(resta	ted)		
Net sales:										
United States		\$	195.7		\$ 23	7.7	\$	382.0		\$ 448.1
Canada		38	3.3		44.9		7	0.2		89.3
Other Countries		2.	3		2.6		4	.3		3.9
		\$	236.3		\$ 28	5.2	\$	456.5		\$ 541.3

	A	At September 30, 2004			At A	pril 2, 2005	;
Property, plant and equipment, net:							
United States		Ç	\$ 173.7			\$ 163.9	
Canada			11.7			11.8	
Other Countries			1.4			1.4	

Edgar Filing:	Mueller	Water Products	s. Inc	Form	10-Q/A

### 4. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Fiscal Year The Company s fiscal year ends on September 30. The Company s second quarter ends on the Saturday closest to March 31.

Inventory Inventories are recorded at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market value. Additionally, the Company evaluates its inventory reserves in terms of excess and obsolete exposures. This evaluation includes such factors as anticipated usage, inventory turnover, inventory levels and ultimate product sales value. As such, these factors may change over time causing the reserve level to adjust accordingly.

Warranty Costs The Company accrues for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time of product sale based on historical experience. Adjustments to obligations for warranties are made as changes in the obligations become reasonably estimable. The following table summarizes information concerning the Company s product warranty obligations:

	Three months en	ded	Six months ended	
	March 27, 2004	April 2, 2005	March 27, 2004	April 2, 2005
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.3	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.6
Accruals for warranties	1.8	0.5	2.5	1.1
Settlement of warranty claims	(2.0)	(0.4)	(2.5)	(1.3)
Balance at end of period	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.4	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.4

Comprehensive Income The Company s comprehensive income for the three months ended March 27, 2004, and April 2, 2005 includes foreign currency translation losses of \$(0.8) million and \$(0.6) million, respectively. The Company s comprehensive income for the six months ended March 27, 2004 and April 2, 2005 includes foreign currency translation gains of \$1.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

Related Party Transactions Substantially all of the outstanding shares of our common stock are held by the Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette ( DLJ ) Merchant Banking funds. We pay DLJ an annual advisory fee in connection with a financial advisory agreement. Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, an affiliate of DLJ, has received and will receive customary fees and reimbursement of expenses in connection with the arrangement and syndication of Group senior credit facility and as a lender and agent thereunder. The aggregate amount of all fees incurred by the Company with these related parties in connection with advisory services and financing arrangements was approximately \$0.1 million for each of the three-month periods ended March 27, 2004 and April 2, 2005 and \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million for the six-month periods ended March 27, 2004 and April 2, 2005, respectively.

### 5. Acquisitions

Effective January 15, 2004, the Company acquired certain assets of Star Pipe, Inc. (Star). The acquisition was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 141 and the operating results were included in the consolidated results since the date of acquisition. Star is a leading distributor of foreign-sourced cast and grooved fittings and couplings. The Star acquisition provides an entry into the foreign-sourced product marketplace. The acquisition s purchase price was \$17 million, and was paid in cash.

The following summary presents the estimated fair values of the assets and liabilities assumed as of January 15, 2004:

(dollars in millions)	
Current assets	\$ 13.1
Property, plant & equipment	0.4
Intangible assets	6.7
Total assets	\$ 20.2
Current liabilities	3.2
Net assets acquired	\$ 17.0

As part of the acquisition, the Company has agreed to a future payment to be made to the seller to the extent that the gross profit of the acquired business exceeds a targeted gross profit. The maximum potential deferred payment amount is \$23 million. Management currently estimates the deferred payment could total approximately \$3 to \$6 million for the deferred payment period which begins February 1, 2004 and ends January 31, 2007. The deferred payment amount indicated above is based on management s best estimate, but the actual adjustment could be materially different. The liability for such deferred payment will be recorded at the end of each deferred payment period, in accordance with the purchase agreement. No deferred payment was earned in 2004.

The intangible assets acquired include trademarks, customer relationships and a non-compete agreement with the former owners. These intangibles are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of ten years, three years and five years, respectively.

Also effective January 15, 2004, the Company acquired certain assets of Modern Molded Products (Modern Molded). The acquisition was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 141 and the operating results were included in the consolidated results since the date of acquisition. The purchase of the assets and technology of Modern Molded enables the company to internally produce parts that we previously purchased, thereby reducing spending and increasing product supply line predictability. The acquisition is purchase price was \$2.8 million, and was paid in cash.

The following summary presents the estimated fair values of the assets and liabilities assumed as of January 15, 2004:

(dollars in millions)	
Current assets	\$ 0.2
Property, plant & equipment	0.7
Intangible assets	1.9
Net assets acquired	\$ 2.8

The intangible assets acquired include a non-compete agreement with the former owners and purchased technology. These intangibles are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of five years.

The following unaudited pro forma summary presents the consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended March 27, 2004 as if the acquisitions of Star and Modern Molded had occurred as of October 1, 2003:

	Thre	e months ended	Six months ended	l				
	Marc	ch 27, 2004	March 27, 2004					
	(dolla	(dollars in millions)						
Net Sales		\$ 237.4	\$ 463.9					
Net income		11.4	15.5					

The unaudited consolidated pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of the combined results that would have occurred had the acquisitions occurred on that date, nor is it indicative of the results that may occur in the future.

### **6. New Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. SFAS No. 123(R) replaces SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. SFAS No. 123(R) requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. Public companies are required to adopt the new standard using a modified prospective method and may elect to restate prior periods using the modified retrospective method. Under the modified prospective method, companies are required to record compensation cost for new and modified awards over the related vesting period of such awards prospectively and record compensation cost prospectively for the unvested portion, at the date of adoption, of previously issued and outstanding awards over the remaining vesting period of such awards. No change to prior periods presented is permitted under the modified prospective method. Under the modified retrospective method, companies record compensation costs for prior periods retroactively through restatement of such periods using the exact pro forma amounts disclosed in the companies footnotes. Also, in the period of adoption and after, companies record compensation based on the modified prospective method. SFAS No. 123(R), as amended in April 2005 for compliance dates, allows companies to implement the standard at the beginning of their next fiscal year. The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) as of October 1, 2005, the beginning of its next fiscal year and to use the modified prospective method. The adoption of SFAS 123(R) is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial statements, as all options previously outstanding have been cancelled as part of the April 2004 recapitalization.

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs. SFAS No. 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. This Statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of so abnormal. In addition, this Statement requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company intends to adopt SFAS No. 151 on October 1, 2005, the beginning of its 2006 fiscal year. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on its financial statements.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 109-1 and 109-2 were issued in December 2004, providing guidance on foreign earnings repatriation and qualified production activities of the American Jobs Creation Act (AJCA) that was enacted on October 22, 2004. The AJCA created a temporary incentive for United States multinationals to repatriate accumulated earnings outside the United States by providing an 85 percent dividends received deduction for certain qualifying earnings repatriations in either fiscal 2005 or in fiscal 2006. As of April 2, 2005, the Company has not provided deferred taxes on foreign earnings because any taxes on dividends would be substantially offset by foreign tax credits or because the Company intends to reinvest those earnings indefinitely. Due to the complexity of the repatriation provision, the Company is still evaluating the effects of this provision on its plan for repatriation of foreign earnings and does not expect to be able to complete this evaluation until after Congress or the Treasury Department provides additional guidance clarifying key elements of the provision.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 (FIN 47), Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations. FIN 47 provides clarification of certain sections of FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. Specifically, FIN 47 clarifies the meaning of the term—conditional asset retirement obligation—as used in SFAS 143 and also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. FIN 47 is effective no later than the end of fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on its financial statements.

### 7. Long-Term Debt

	September 30, 2004	April 2, 2005
	(dollars in millions)	
Senior credit facility term loans	\$ 515.0	\$ 514.3
Second Priority Senior Secured Notes	100.0	100.0
10% Senior Subordinated Notes	315.0	315.0
143/4% Senior discount notes(1)	106.8	116.0
Capital lease obligations	2.6	2.4
	1,039.4	1,047.7
Less current portion	(3.2	(3.9)
	\$ 1,036.2	\$ 1,043.8

(1) The accreted value of the 143/4% senior discount notes is reduced by \$9.6 million (net of \$0.9 million of amortization) to reflect the fair market value assigned to the warrants sold as units with these notes. The fair market value assigned to the warrants is reflected in stockholders equity.

Interest Rate Swaps Group has entered into interest rate swap agreements in order to reduce interest rate risks and manage interest expense. As of April 2, 2005, a notional principal amount of \$100.0 million in swap agreements was still outstanding and scheduled to mature in May 2005 and July 2005. The swap agreements effectively convert floating-rate debt into fixed-rate debt and carried an average fixed interest rate of 7.52% at April 2, 2005. Interest differentials to be paid or received because of swap agreements are reflected as an adjustment to interest expense over the related debt period. At April 2, 2005 and September 30, 2004, the fair value of interest rate swaps was a liability of \$1.7 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, and has been recorded in Other Long-Term Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

### 8. Redeemable Common Stock

Group has entered into an employment agreement with Dale B. Smith, the Company s and Group s President and Chief Executive Officer. This agreement, in certain circumstances, gives Mr. Smith the right to sell to the Company, at a price equal to the fair market value of his equity interests as of the date of such sale or purchase, his shares of the Company s common stock. The Company has classified an amount representing the initial fair value of the redeemable shares of its common stock owned by Mr. Smith outside of permanent equity. At September 30, 2004 and April 2, 2005, Mr. Smith beneficially owned approximately 9.2 million Class A common shares. These shares of common stock have not been marked-to-market as the circumstances that would give rise to Mr. Smith s right to sell, and the Company s obligation to purchase Mr. Smith s shares of common stock, are considered remote currently.

### 9. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to retention on certain contracts, with the retention portion of the amount receivable paid upon project completion.

In the normal course of business, the Company incurs claims with respect to product liability. Such claims are insured up to certain limits, with such policies containing certain self-insured retention limits. Product liability claims for product manufactured or sold prior to August 1999, and environmental claims relating to property owned at or before August 1999 or arising out of events occurring prior to that date, are subject to indemnification by Tyco, based on the provisions of the August 1999 acquisition agreement whereby the Company was acquired from Tyco.

Certain of our products contain lead. Environmental advocacy groups, relying on standards established by California s Proposition 65, are seeking to eliminate or reduce the content of lead in some of our products offered for sale in California. In certain cases, we have entered into settlement agreements with these environmental advocacy groups to modify our products or offer substitutes. Further, similar issues may be raised by other advocacy groups in other jurisdictions under Proposition 65.

Over the next two to three years, the Company expects to incur between \$2.0 million and \$6.0 million of capital costs at its steel and iron foundries to comply with the United States Environmental Protection Agency s National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants which were issued April 22, 2004.

The Company s subsidiary, James Jones Company, and its former parent company are defendants in a false claims lawsuit in which a former James Jones Company employee is suing on behalf of cities, water districts and municipalities. The employee alleges that the defendants sold allegedly non-conforming public water system parts to various government entities. The lawsuit seeks consequential damages, penalties and punitive damages. The Company s subsidiary, Mueller Co., which had also been named as a defendant, brought a summary judgment motion and was dismissed from this litigation in January 2004. Any liability associated with the lawsuit is covered by an indemnification from our previous owner.

On March 31, 2004, the Company s subsidiary, Anvil International, entered into a consent order with the Georgia Department of Natural Resources regarding various alleged hazardous waste violations at the Statesboro, Georgia site formerly operated by Anvil. Pursuant to the consent order, Anvil paid a settlement amount of \$100,000, comprised of a \$50,000 monetary fine and \$50,000 towards a supplemental environmental project. Anvil has also agreed to perform various investigatory and remedial actions at the site and its landfill. During the second quarter of 2005, investigatory procedures were performed that resulted in management s decision to perform remediation and book an additional \$0.4 million to the reserve relating to this remediation. While the ultimate investigatory and remedial costs are currently unknown, the total costs are estimated to be between \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million. The Company maintains an adequate reserve to cover these estimated costs.

In November 2003, Beck Manufacturing, a division of Anvil International, closed its manufacturing facility in Kearny, NJ, and withdrew from the Teamsters Local 11 Pension Fund ( Teamsters or Fund ). Anvil has established an accrual of \$0.2 million for any withdrawal liability. On July 19, 2004, the Fund Trustees issued notice to Anvil that, pursuant to ERISA Sections 4202 and 4219(b)(1), Anvil owed approximately \$1.2 million in withdrawal liability to the Fund. On October 5, 2004, Anvil sent notice to the Teamsters contesting the amount of the withdrawal liability. The Teamsters gave notice of their plan to appeal and pursue arbitration under the guidelines set out in the Teamsters Trust Agreement. Anvil has filed an arbitration demand and intends to vigorously defend its position that a substantially lower withdrawal liability is appropriate.

As part of the Star acquisition, the Company has agreed to a future payment to be made to the seller to the extent that the gross profit of the acquired business exceeds a targeted gross profit. The maximum potential deferred payment amount is \$23 million. Management currently estimates the deferred payment could total approximately \$3 to \$6 million for the deferred payment period that begins February 1, 2004 and ends January 31, 2007. The deferred payment amount indicated above is based on management s best estimate, but the annual adjustment could be materially different. The liability for such deferred payment

will be recorded at the end of each deferred payment period, in accordance with the purchase agreement. No deferred payment was earned in 2004.

In the opinion of management, accruals associated with contingencies incurred in the normal course of business are sufficient. Resolution of existing known contingencies is not expected to significantly affect the Company s financial position and result of operations.

### 10. Net Periodic Benefit Cost Defined Benefit Plans

For a detailed disclosure on the Company s pension and employee benefits plans, please refer to Note 13 of the Company s Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended September 30, 2004, as found on Form 10-K (File No. 333-116590).

The following sets forth the components of net periodic benefit cost of the domestic non-contributory defined benefit plans for the three months and the six months ended March 27, 2004 and April 2, 2005:

	Three months March 27, 2004	ended April 2, 2005	Six months end March 27, 2004	ded April 2, 2005
	(dollars in mil	lions)		
Service cost	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.7	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.5
Interest cost	1.4	1.4	2.8	2.7
Expected return on plan assets	(1.1)	(1.2)	(2.3)	(2.5)
Amortization of prior service cost				0.1
Amortization of net actuarial loss	0.6	0.6	1.3	1.3
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1.4	\$ 1.5	\$ 2.8	\$ 3.1

The Company previously disclosed in its financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2004, that it was required to contribute \$0.1 million to its pension plans in 2005. As of April 2, 2005, no contributions have been made. The Company presently anticipates contributing \$0.1 million to fund its pension plans in 2005 and may make further discretionary payments.

### 11. Supplementary Balance Sheet Information

Selected supplementary balance sheet information is presented below:

	Sep 200		oer 30,			il 2,	
	(dollars in millions)						
Inventories							
Purchased materials and manufactured parts		\$	41.1			\$	48.3
Work in process		67.3	3			76.4	
Finished goods		151	.8			179.	.3
		\$	260.2			\$	304.0

### 12. Supplementary Income Statement Information

The components of interest expense are presented below:

	Three month	s ended	Six months en	ded
	March 27, 2004	April 2, 2005	March 27, 2004	April 2, 2005
	(dollars in m	illions)		
Interest expense and early repayment costs:				
Contractual interest expense	\$ 8.7	\$ 22.7	\$ 18.0	\$ 44.9
Deferred financing fee amortization	0.6	1.4	1.3	2.6
Senior subordinated debt early redemption penalty			7.0	
Write off of deferred financing fees			0.4	
Interest rate swap gains	(2.6	(0.6)	(6.3)	(2.9)
Total interest expense and early repayment costs	\$ 6.7	\$ 23.5	\$ 20.4	\$ 44.6

A reconciliation of net income available to common shareholders is as follows:

	Three months ended									Six	mon	ths en						
	Ma	rch 2	7, 200	4	April 2, 2005					Ma	rch 2	27, 200	April 2, 2			5		
	(res	tated	l)							(re	state	d)						
	(dol	llars i	in mil	lions	)													
Net income		\$	11.4				\$	9.2			\$	15.3			\$	15.9	)	
Less preferred share accretion related to redeemable preferred stock retired in April 2004		(4.1		)							(8.0	)	)					
Net income available to common shareholders		\$	7.3				\$	9.2			\$	7.3			\$	15.9	)	

### 13. Facility Rationalization, Restructuring and Related Costs

In the first quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company announced that it would cease manufacturing and begin outsourcing a product line it produced at its water infrastructure plant in Colorado. A restructuring charge of \$0.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 related to severance payments. An additional charge of \$1.5 million, related primarily to the termination of operating leases for the plant building and machinery, is reflected in the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

For the three months ended March 27, 2004, the Company recorded a charge of \$0.1 million related to asset impairment for write-offs at piping systems facilities that were closed in Norcross, Georgia and Kearny, New Jersey, \$0.3 million related to environmental issues at the piping systems closed facility in Statesboro, Georgia (see Note 9), and \$0.5 million related to future lease obligations at the closed and vacated Kearny, New Jersey facility.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In this report, each of the terms Mueller Water, the Company, we, us or our refers to Mueller Water Products, Inc. and its subsidiaries, except where the context makes clear that the reference is only to Mueller Water Products, Inc. itself, and is not inclusive of its subsidiaries.

Except as otherwise noted, we present all financial and operating data on a fiscal quarter basis. Our fiscal year ends on September 30, and our second fiscal quarter ends on the Saturday closest to March 31.

Certain information included or incorporated by reference in this document may be deemed to be forward looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward looking statements. In this context, forward looking statements often address our future business and financial performance, and may be characterized by terminology such as believe, anticipate, should, intend, plan, will, expects, estimates, projects, positioned, strategy, and single the transfer of the statements are based on assumptions and assessments made by the Company is management in light of its experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors it believes to be appropriate.

These forward looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to: the Company s ability to continue long-standing relationships with major customers; increased competition; demand for and market acceptance of new and existing products in the markets we serve; adverse changes in currency exchange rates or raw material prices, specifically steel scrap, steel pipe and brass ingot; unanticipated developments that could occur with respect to contingencies such as litigation, product liability exposures and environmental matters; the Company s ability to integrate acquired businesses into its operations; and other risks and uncertainties that affect the manufacturing sector generally including, but not limited to, economic, political, governmental and technological factors affecting the Company s operations, markets, products, services and prices.

Any such forward looking statements are not guarantees of future performances and actual results, developments and business decisions may differ from those contemplated by such forward looking statements. These forward looking statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report. The Company disclaims any duty to update any forward looking statement, all of which are expressly qualified by the foregoing.

### Overview

We are a leading North American manufacturer of a broad range of flow control products for use in water distribution, water and wastewater treatment facilities, gas distribution systems and piping systems and maintain a large installed base of products. We are comprised of two segments: water infrastructure, a leading manufacturer of hydrants, valves and other products for use in water and gas distribution systems; and piping systems, a leading manufacturer of fittings, pipe hangers and other products for use in piping system applications.

Our business strategy continues to be focused on sustaining our market leadership and competitive differentiation, while growing revenues and enhancing profitability.

Results for the second quarter and first six months of 2005 reflected continued strong performance in the residential construction market, as well as the full effect of the pricing increases implemented in the second half of 2004 and early 2005. Both our water infrastructure and piping systems segments reported improvements in net sales, gross profit and operating income in the three months and the six months ended April 2, 2005 as compared to the three months and six months ended March 27, 2004.

The Company incurred a significant amount of additional indebtedness in connection with its recapitalization in April 2004. New debt associated with the recapitalization added \$14.8 million and \$28.2 million of interest expense in the three and six month periods ended April 2, 2005 as compared to the similar fiscal periods in 2004.

#### Restatements

This section is comprised of two parts: 2005 Restatements and 2004 Restatements. The 2004 Restatements were previously reported in the original Form 10-Q. The previous Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations has been appropriately updated to reflect the 2005 and 2004 Restatements.

### 2005 Restatements

In the course of finalizing the September 30, 2005 financial statements, the Company determined that certain items included in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows, the Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Balance Sheets were not properly classified in annual and interim periods. As a result of these findings, the Company has restated its financial statements in this Form 10-Q/A. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The 2005 Restatements had no impact on the Company s consolidated net income or the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Deficit for any of the prior periods presented.

### **2004 Restatements**

In November 2004, our Audit Committee was notified of alleged potential accounting improprieties concerning our accounting for inventory reserves and certain questions concerning revenue recognition. The Audit Committee appointed an independent law firm to investigate the allegations. In January 2005, the independent law firm issued an investigative report that identified several areas requiring financial review by the Company. These areas principally concerned accounting for excess and obsolete (E&O) inventory, the capitalization of costs relating to a project that should have been expensed in prior periods, the accrual of reserves for this project without identifying support for such accruals and the timing of recognition of revenue with regard to full truckload shipments that were not immediately dispatched to customers by certain freight carriers used by the Company. The Company also identified some additional annual and interim items recorded in incorrect periods in the course of finalizing the 2004 financial statements. In addition, the Company determined that the value it assigned to stock compensation in connection with its April 2004 recapitalization should be revised. As a result of these findings, the Company restated its annual financial statements for its 2002 and 2003 fiscal years and its interim financial statements for its 2004 fiscal year.

### **Results of Operations**

Three Months Ended April 2, 2005 As Compared to the Three Months Ended March 27, 2004

	Т	hree months	ene	ded								FY05 Q2 vs.	FY04	4 Q2		П
	2(	pril 2,		of	ercentag net lles(2)	e	2	March 27,		Percent of net sales(2)	age	Increase/ (decrease)	Change i percenta of net sales			ge
	<del>-</del>	estated)	11:	)			(1	restated)		1						-
Not calca	(a	lollars in mi	1101	is)												
Net sales Water infrastructure	H	\$ 170.1			59.6	%	H	\$ 137.2		58.1	%	\$ 32.9	H		1.5	%
	Н	115.1			40.4	90	Н	99.1		41.9	_	16.0	H	$\blacksquare$	(1.5	70
Piping systems	H	285.2			100.0		H	236.3		100		48.9	H		(1.3	)
Consolidated	Н	283.2			100.0		Н	230.3		100	.0	48.9	H	$\blacksquare$		
Gross profit	H	56.8			22.4		H	44.2		32.2	+	12.6	H		1.2	
Water infrastructure	Н				33.4		Н						H	$\blacksquare$		
Piping systems	+	31.1			27.0		+	22.4		22.6		8.7	H		4.4	$\vdash \vdash$
Depreciation expense not allocated to segments		(1.7			(0.6			(1.7		(0.7					0.1	
Consolidated		86.2	,		30.2	7	H	64.9	+	27.5		21.3	怞	_	2.7	
Selling, general and administrative	H	30.2			50.2		H	07.9		21.0		41.3	H		۷.۱	H
expenses, including stock																
compensation expense																
Water infrastructure		16.9			9.9			13.9		10.1		3.0			(0.2)	)
Piping systems		21.2			18.4			17.6		17.8		3.6			0.6	
Corporate		7.9			2.8			6.9		2.9		1.0			(0.1	)
Consolidated		46.0			16.1			38.4		16.3		7.6			(0.2)	)
Facility rationalization, restructuring and related costs																
Water infrastructure	П	1.5			0.9							1.5			0.9	
Piping systems								0.9		0.9		(0.9	)		(0.9	)
Consolidated		1.5			0.5			0.9		0.4		0.6			0.1	
Operating income																
Water infrastructure		38.4			22.6			30.3		22.1		8.1	П		0.5	
Piping systems		9.9			8.6			3.9		3.9		6.0			4.7	
Corporate		(9.6	)		(3.4	)		(8.6	)	(3.6	)	(1.0	)		0.2	
Consolidated		38.7			13.6			25.6		10.8		13.1			2.8	
Interest expense		(23.5	)		(8.2	)		(6.7	)	(2.8	)	(16.8	)		(5.4	)
Interest income		0.2			0.1			0.2		0.1						
Income before income taxes		15.4			5.4			19.1		8.1		(3.7	)		(2.7	)
Income tax expense		6.2			2.2			7.7		3.3		(1.5	)		(1.1	)
Net income		\$ 9.2			3.2	%		\$ 11.4		4.8	%	\$ (2.2	)		(1.6	
Segment EBITDA(1)																
Water infrastructure		44.4			26.1			36.4		26.5		8.0			(0.4	)
Piping systems		14.2			12.3			8.2		8.3		6.0			4.0	
Total segment EBITDA		\$ 58.6			20.5			\$ 44.6		18.9		\$ 14.0			1.6	

<sup>(1)</sup> Segment EBITDA is defined as segment operating income plus depreciation and amortization expense and excludes unallocated corporate expenses. This performance measure may not be comparable to EBITDA as reported by other companies.

The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment EBITDA. A reconciliation of segment EBITDA to consolidated income before income taxes follows:

	Three months end	ed
	April 2, 2005	March 27, 2004
		(as restated)(3)
Total segment EBITDA	\$ 58.6	\$ 44.6
Unallocated corporate costs	(6.9)	(2.1)
Interest expense and early repayment costs	(23.5)	(6.7
Depreciation and amortization	(12.8)	(16.7)
Income before income taxes	\$ 15.4	\$ 19.1

- (2) Percentages are by segment, if applicable.
- (3) See Note 2 for a discussion of the restatement.

*Net Sales.* Net sales for the three months ended April 2, 2005 were \$285.2 million, or a 20.7% increase as compared to \$236.3 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004.

Water infrastructure net sales for the three months ended April 2, 2005 were \$170.1 million, or a 24.0% increase as compared to \$137.2 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. This increase was driven primarily by price increases implemented in the second half of 2004 and during this current quarter, as well as increased volumes due to the continued strong performance of the residential construction market.

Piping systems net sales for the three months ended April 2, 2005 were \$115.1 million, or a 16.1% increase as compared to \$99.1 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. This increase was driven primarily by price increases which were implemented in the second half of 2004 and in the first half of 2005.

*Gross Profit.* Gross profit for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$86.2 million, or a 32.8% increase as compared to \$64.9 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, or gross margin, increased from 27.5% for our second quarter of 2004 to 30.2% for our second quarter of 2005.

Water infrastructure gross profit for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$56.8 million, or a 28.5% increase as compared to \$44.2 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, increased from 32.2% for our second quarter of 2004 to 33.4% for our second quarter of 2005. The increase in gross profit was primarily driven by price increases and the continued strong performance of the residential construction market. Partially offsetting the effects of higher prices were higher raw material costs (most notably brass ingot and scrap steel) due to worldwide supply and demand issues. We cannot be certain that any future increases in raw material costs can be passed on to our customers.

Piping systems gross profit for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$31.1 million, or a 38.8% increase as compared to \$22.4 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, increased from 22.6% to 27.0%. The increase in gross profit was primarily driven by price increases. Increased raw material costs partially offset the effects of the higher prices. We cannot be certain we can continue to pass on cost increases to our customers.

Selling, General & Administrative Expense. Selling, General and Administrative expenses (SG&A) for the three months ended April 2, 2005 were \$46.0 million, or a 19.8% increase as compared to \$38.4 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A decreased from 16.3% for our second quarter of 2004 to 16.1% for our second quarter of 2005.

Water infrastructure SG&A for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$16.9 million, or a 21.6% increase as compared to \$13.9 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A decreased from 10.1% for our second quarter of 2004 to 9.9% for our second quarter of 2005. Significant factors contributing to the higher costs include increased incentive compensation costs of \$0.9 million related to higher earnings, increased sales commissions of \$0.3 million related to higher sales, and increased wages and benefits of \$0.3 million related to additional personnel.

Piping systems SG&A for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$21.2 million, or a 20.5% increase as compared to \$17.6 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A increased from 17.8% for our second quarter of 2004 to 18.4% for our second quarter of 2005. Significant factors contributing to the higher costs include increased selling costs of \$1.2 million primarily due to higher sales commissions, increased warehousing costs of \$0.5 million primarily related to a higher volume of activity in the Star product line and increased management incentive compensation cost of \$0.8 million due to higher earnings.

Corporate expenses for the three months ended April 2, 2005 were \$7.9 million as compared to \$6.9 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. Significant factors contributing to higher costs in the second quarter of 2005 include legal and audit fees of \$0.7 million related to an internal investigation (see Note 2), accounting and legal fees of \$0.5 million related to SEC filing matters, consulting fees of \$1.4 million related to efforts to become compliant with public company reporting and Sarbanes-Oxley internal control requirements, increased incentive compensation costs of \$1.3 million, increased audit and other consulting fees of \$0.6 million, and increased salary and benefit costs of \$0.3 million associated with additional accounting and legal staffing. These items are offset by a \$4.0 million reduction in amortization expense for the second quarter of 2005 as compared to the second quarter of 2004 due to an intangible asset becoming fully amortized during the fourth quarter of 2004. Corporate expenses consist primarily of corporate staff, benefits, legal and facility costs.

Facility Rationalization, Restructuring and Related Costs. There was \$1.5 million of restructuring costs for the three months ended April 2, 2005, related primarily to the termination of operating leases for the building and machinery at a water infrastructure plant in Colorado that ceased manufacturing and began outsourcing a product line in February 2005.

There was \$0.9 million of facility rationalization costs for the three months ended March 27, 2004, related primarily to future lease obligations at a closed piping systems facility in New Jersey and environmental issues at a closed piping systems plant in Georgia.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$23.5 million, or a \$16.8 million increase from \$6.7 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. Interest expense for the three months ended April 2, 2005, included \$14.8 million of additional interest expense and amortization of deferred financing fees on \$518.0 million of net additional debt resulting from the Company s recapitalization in April 2004. Also, gains recorded on interest rate swaps were \$2.0 million lower in 2005 than in 2004 as more swap agreements expired and were not renewed.

*Segment EBITDA.* Segment EBITDA for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$58.6 million, or a 31.4% increase as compared to \$44.6 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004.

Water infrastructure EBITDA for the three months ended April 2, 2005 was \$44.4 million, which was \$8.0 million or 22.0% higher than the \$36.4 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004. The increased EBITDA resulted primarily from increased prices and volumes, partially offset by additional SG&A spending as discussed above.

Piping systems EBITDA was \$14.2 million for the three months ended April 2, 2005, which was \$6.0 million higher than the \$8.2 million reported for the three months ended March 27, 2004. The increased EBITDA resulted primarily from increased prices, partially offset by additional SG&A spending as discussed above.

### **Results of Operations**

Six Months Ended April 2, 2005 As Compared to the Six Months Ended March 27, 2004

	Si	x months en	ded									200	05 vs. 2004			
	2(	pril 2,		Percentage of net sales(2)				March 27, 2004	o	Percentag f net ales(2)	e		rease/ crease)	Change in percentage of net sales		
	<del>-</del>	estated)	<u> </u>				(	restated)								
Nist salas	(a	ollars in mil	Hons	5) 												
Net sales Water infrastructure	H	\$ 308.7	+		57.0	%		\$ 261.9	H	57.4	%		\$ 46.8		(0.4)%	
	Н	232.6	+		43.0	70		194.6	H	42.6	70	_	38.0		0.4	
Piping systems	H	541.3	+		100.0			456.5	H	100.0		_	84.8		0.4	
Consolidated	Н	541.5	+		100.0			430.3	H	100.0			84.8			
Gross profit	H	101.6	+		22.0			92.2	H	21.0			10.2		1 1	
Water infrastructure		101.6 62.2	+		32.9			83.3 42.0		31.8			18.3 20.2		5.1	
Piping systems	+	02.2	+		26.7		H	42.0	H	21.6	H		20.2		5.1	
Depreciation expense not allocated to segments		(3.4			(0.6			(3.5		(0.8			0.1		0.2	
Consolidated		160.4			29.6	7		121.8		26.7	/	_	38.6		2.9	
Selling, general and administrative	H	100.4	+		29.0		H	121.0	H	20.7	H		50.0		2.9	
expenses, including stock																
compensation expense																
Water infrastructure		32.8			10.6			28.5		10.9			4.3		(0.3)	
Piping systems		40.5			17.4			33.5		17.2			7.0		0.2	
Corporate		14.6			2.7			13.4		2.9			1.2		(0.2)	
Consolidated		87.9			16.2			75.4		16.5			12.5		(0.3)	
Facility rationalization, restructuring and related costs																
Water infrastructure		1.6			0.5								1.6		0.5	
Piping systems								0.9		0.5			(0.9)		(0.5)	
Consolidated		1.6			0.3			0.9		0.2			0.7		0.1	
Operating income																
Water infrastructure		67.2			21.8			54.8		20.9			12.4		0.9	
Piping systems		21.7			9.3			7.6		3.9			14.1		5.4	
Corporate		(18.0	)		(3.3	)		(16.9)		(3.7	)		(1.1)		0.4	
Consolidated		70.9			13.1			45.5		10.0			25.4		3.1	
Interest expense		(44.6	)		(8.2	)		(20.4)		(4.5	)		(24.2)		(3.7)	
Interest income		0.6			0.1			0.4		0.1			0.2			
Income before income taxes		26.9			5.0			25.5		5.6			1.4		(0.6)	
Income tax expense		11.0			2.0			10.2		2.2			0.8		(0.2)	
Net income		\$ 15.9			2.9	%		\$ 15.3			%		\$ 0.6		(0.5)	
Segment EBITDA(1)																
Water infrastructure		79.2			25.7			67.0		25.6			12.2		0.1	
Piping systems		30.3			13.0			16.0		8.2			14.3		4.8	
Total segment EBITDA		\$ 109.5			20.2			\$ 83.0	П	18.2			\$ 26.5		2.0	

<sup>(1)</sup> Segment EBITDA is defined as segment operating income plus depreciation and amortization expense and excludes unallocated corporate expenses. This performance measure may not be comparable to EBITDA as reported by other companies.

The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment EBITDA. A reconciliation of segment EBITDA to consolidated income before income taxes follows:

	Six months ended	
	April 2, 2005 March 27, 20	004
	(as restated)	(3)
Total segment EBITDA	\$ 109.5 \$ 83.	0
Unallocated corporate costs	(12.6 ) (3.9	)
Interest expense and early repayment costs	(44.6 ) (20.4	)
Depreciation and amortization	(25.4) (33.2)	)
Income before income taxes	\$ 26.9 \$ 25.	5

- (2) Percentages are by segment, if applicable.
- (3) See Note 2 for a discussion of the restatement.

*Net Sales.* Net sales for the six months ended April 2, 2005 were \$541.3 million, or an 18.6% increase as compared to \$456.5 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004.

Water infrastructure net sales for the six months ended April 2, 2005 were \$308.7 million, or a 17.9% increase as compared to \$261.9 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. This increase was driven primarily by price increases implemented in the second half of 2004 and during the second quarter of 2005, as well as increased volumes due to the continued strong performance of the residential construction market.

Piping systems net sales for the six months ended April 2, 2005 were \$232.6 million, or a 19.5% increase as compared to \$194.6 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. This increase was driven primarily by price increases implemented in the second half of 2004 and the first half of 2005, as well as \$7.3 million of additional Star product sales. We acquired the Star business in January 2004 (see Note 5).

*Gross Profit.* Gross profit for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$160.4 million, or a 31.7% increase as compared to \$121.8 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, or gross margin, increased from 26.7% for our first half of 2004 to 29.6% for our first half of 2005.

Water infrastructure gross profit for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$101.6 million, or a 22.0% increase as compared to \$83.3 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, increased from 31.8% for our first half of 2004 to 32.9% for our first half of 2005. The increase in gross profit was primarily driven by price increases and the continued strong performance of the residential construction market. Partially offsetting the effects of higher prices were higher raw material costs (most notably brass ingot and scrap steel) due to worldwide supply and demand issues. We cannot be certain that any future increases in raw material costs can be passed on to our customers.

Piping systems gross profit for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$62.2 million, or a 48.1% increase as compared to \$42.0 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, increased from 21.6% to 26.7%. The increase in gross profit was primarily driven by price increases. Increased raw material costs partially offset the effects of the higher prices. We cannot be certain we can continue to pass on cost increases to our customers.

Selling, General & Administrative Expense. Selling, General and Administrative expenses (SG&A) for the six months ended April 2, 2005 were \$87.9 million, or a 16.6% increase as compared to \$75.4 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A decreased from 16.5% for our first half of 2004 to 16.2% for our first half of 2005.

Water infrastructure SG&A for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$32.8 million, or a 15.1% increase as compared to \$28.5 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A decreased from 10.9% for our first half of 2004 to 10.6% for our first half of 2005. Significant factors contributing to the higher costs include increased incentive compensation costs of \$0.9 million related to higher earnings, increased sales commissions of \$0.4 million related to higher sales, increased wages and benefit costs of \$0.9 million, and increased amortization of tooling and intangibles of \$0.3 million.

Piping systems SG&A for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$40.5 million, or a 20.9% increase as compared to \$33.5 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A increased from 17.2% for our first half of 2004 to 17.4% for our first half of 2005. Significant factors contributing to the higher costs include increased selling costs of \$2.9 million primarily due to higher sales commissions, increased warehousing costs of \$1.4 million primarily related to the Star product line and increased management incentive compensation cost of \$0.9 million due to higher earnings.

Corporate expenses for the six months ended April 2, 2005 were \$14.6 million as compared to \$13.4 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. Significant factors contributing to higher costs for the first half of 2005 were: legal and audit fees of \$3.0 million related to an internal investigation (see Note 2), accounting and legal fees of \$1.1 million related to SEC filing matters, consulting fees of \$1.5 million related to efforts to become compliant with public company reporting and Sarbanes-Oxley internal control requirements, increased incentive compensation costs of \$1.7 million, increased audit and other consulting fees of \$0.8 million, and increased salary, benefit, recruiting and relocation costs of \$0.8 million associated with additional accounting and legal staffing. These items are offset by a \$8.0 million reduction in amortization expense for the first half of 2005 as compared to the first half of 2004 due to an intangible asset becoming fully amortized during the fourth quarter of 2004. Corporate expenses consist primarily of corporate staff, benefits, legal and facility costs.

Facility Rationalization, Restructuring and Related Costs. There was \$1.6 million of restructuring costs for the six months ended April 2, 2005, related primarily to severance payments and to the termination of operating leases for the building and machinery at a water infrastructure plant in Colorado that ceased manufacturing and began outsourcing a product line in February 2005.

There was \$0.9 million of facility rationalization costs for the three months ended March 27, 2004, related primarily to future lease obligations at a closed piping systems facility in New Jersey and environmental issues at a closed piping systems plant in Georgia.

Interest expense. Interest expense for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$44.6 million, or a \$24.2 million increase from \$20.4 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. Interest expense for the six months ended April 2, 2005, includes \$28.2 million of additional interest expense and amortization of deferred financing fees on \$518.0 million of net additional debt resulting from the Company s recapitalization in April 2004. In the six months ended March 27, 2004, interest expense included a \$7.0 million early redemption penalty, and a write-off of \$0.4 million in deferred financing fees related to the early redemption of \$50.0 million of senior subordinated debt in November 2003. Also, gains recorded on interest rate swaps were \$3.4 million lower in 2005 than in 2004 as more swap agreements expired and were not renewed.

*Income Tax Expense.* The effective tax rates for the six months ended April 2, 2005 and for the six months ended March 27, 2004 were 41% and 40%, respectively. The higher effective tax rate in the six months ended April 2, 2005 resulted primarily from the nondeductible portion of the interest expense related to the senior discount notes issued in the third quarter of 2004.

*Segment EBITDA*. Segment EBITDA for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$109.5 million, or a 31.9% increase as compared to \$83.0 million for the three months ended March 27, 2004.

Water infrastructure EBITDA for the six months ended April 2, 2005 was \$79.2 million, which was \$12.2 million or 18.2% higher than the \$67.0 million for the six months ended March 27, 2004. The increased EBITDA resulted primarily from increased prices and volumes, partially offset by additional SG&A spending as discussed above.

Piping systems EBITDA was \$30.3 million for the six months ended April 2, 2005, which was \$14.3 million higher than the \$16.0 million reported for the six months ended March 27, 2004. The increased EBITDA resulted primarily from increased prices and additional Star gross profit, partially offset by additional SG&A spending as discussed above.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We are a holding company and have no direct material operations. Our only material asset is our ownership of Group, and our only material liabilities are the senior discount notes, our guarantee of the senior credit facility and our potential obligation to repurchase Dale B. Smith s equity interest (see Note 8). Our principal source of liquidity has been and is expected to be dividends from Group and our principal use of cash will be for debt service beginning in 2009.

The senior credit facility, secured notes and subordinated notes are obligations of Group and impose limitations on its ability to pay dividends to us. Group s ability to generate net income will depend upon various factors that may be beyond our control. Accordingly, Group may not generate sufficient cash flow or be permitted by the terms of its debt instruments to pay dividends or distributions to us in amounts sufficient to allow us to pay cash interest on the senior discount notes. We would then be required to secure alternate financing, which may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all.

Group s principal sources of liquidity have been and are expected to be cash flow from operations and borrowings under the senior credit facility. Its principal uses of cash will be debt service requirements as described below, capital expenditures, working capital requirements, dividends to us to finance our cash needs and possible acquisitions.

### Debt Service

As of April 2, 2005 we had: (a) total indebtedness of approximately \$1,047.7 million; and (b) approximately \$59.0 million of borrowings available under Group s senior revolving credit facility, subject to customary conditions. As of April 2, 2005, Group had obtained \$21.0 million in letters of credit under the senior revolving credit facility, which reduced availability for borrowings thereunder. Our significant debt service obligations could have material consequences to our security holders. Our key financial covenants are dependent on attaining certain levels of EBITDA, as defined in the respective debt arrangements. The most restrictive covenant in effect at April 2, 2005 related to our leverage ratio, as defined in the debt arrangements, which required approximately \$151 million of EBITDA over the trailing twelve months, based on Group s net debt outstanding. Group s EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, exceeded \$220 million over the trailing twelve months ended April 2, 2005.

### Capital Expenditures

The senior credit facility contains restrictions on our ability to make capital expenditures. Based on current estimates, management believes that the amount of capital expenditures permitted to be made under the senior credit facility will be adequate to maintain the properties and business of our continuing operations.

### Sources of Funds

We anticipate that our operating cash flow, together with permitted borrowings under the senior credit facility, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated future operating expenses, capital expenditures and debt service obligations as they become due for at least the next twelve months. However, the ability to make scheduled payments of principal of, to pay interest on or to refinance indebtedness and to satisfy other debt obligations will depend upon future operating performance, which will be affected by general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory, business and other factors beyond our control.

From time to time we may explore additional financing methods and other means to lower our cost of capital, which could include stock issuance or debt financing and the application of the proceeds therefrom to the repayment of bank debt or other indebtedness. In addition, in connection with any future acquisitions, we may require additional funding which may be provided in the form of additional debt or equity financing or a combination thereof. There can be no assurance that any additional financing will be available to us on acceptable terms.

### Historical

Historically, our financing requirements have been funded primarily through cash generated by operating activities and borrowings under the revolving credit facility. From time to time, we have also raised additional funds through term debt offerings.

Cash flows from operating activities. Net cash provided by operations was \$5.7 million for the first six months of 2005, compared to net cash provided of \$10.2 million for the first six months of 2004. Significant changes in working capital balances in the first six months of 2005 included a \$42.1 million increase in inventories. Water infrastructure inventories increased \$21.6 million during the six months ended April 2, 2005. This was largely due to a planned seasonal inventory build. Approximately \$5.0 million of the total increase was due to higher raw material costs. Piping systems inventories increased \$22.2 million during the six months ended April 2, 2005. A planned domestic manufacturing inventory build accounted for approximately \$7.0 million of this increase, while about \$5.0 million was due to higher raw material costs, and \$11.0 million was due to increased foreign product required to service customer demand.

Cash flows used in investing activities. In the first six months of 2005 we had net cash used in investing activities of \$13.6 million compared to net cash used in the first six months of 2004 of \$30.8 million. This was primarily due to \$19.8 million spent during the second quarter of 2004 for the acquisitions of Star and Modern Molded (see Note 5).

Cash flows from financing activities. Cash flows used in financing activities were \$37.5 million in the first six months of 2004 compared to cash flows provided by financing activities of \$3.7 million in the first six months of 2005. This was primarily due to early payment of \$50 million of subordinated notes due 2009 in the first quarter of 2004.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. In addition, we do not have any undisclosed borrowings or debt, and we have not entered into any derivative contracts (other than those described in Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk ) or synthetic leases. We are, therefore, not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

We utilize letters of credit and surety bonds in the ordinary course of business to ensure our performance of contractual obligations. As of April 2, 2005, we had \$21.0 million of letters of credit and \$15.3 million of surety bonds outstanding.

### **Contractual Obligations**

Our contractual obligations as of April 2, 2005:

### Payments Due by Period

Contractual Obligations		s than ear			1-3	Years	4	l-5 Years	Aft	er 5 Years	s	Total
	(do	llars in	mill	ions	s)							
Long-term debt												
Principal on long-term debt		\$ 2.9				5.8		5.8		1,024.8		1,039.3
Interest on long-term debt(1)		69.6				138.4		169.1		360.0		737.1
Capital lease obligations		1.0				1.3		0.1				2.4
Operating leases		8.3				10.9		3.7		3.2		26.1
Unconditional purchase obligations(2)		14.4										14.4
Other long-term obligations(3)		•										
Total contractual cash obligations		\$ 96.2	2			156.4		178.7		1,388.0		1,819.3

- Interest on the senior credit facility and secured notes is calculated using LIBOR of 3.09% and 2.74% respectively, the rates applicable under these debt instruments and in effect on April 2, 2005. Each increase or decrease in LIBOR of 0.125% would result in an increase or decrease in annual interest on the senior credit facility and secured notes of \$0.8 million. Because the interest rates under the senior credit facility and secured notes will be variable, actual payments may differ. Interest does not include payments that could be required under our interest-rate swap agreements, which payments will depend upon movements in interest rates and could vary significantly. The payments due on the existing interest rate swaps expiring in May and July 2005 are estimated to be approximately \$1.9 million.
- (2) Includes contractual obligations for purchases of raw materials and capital expenditures.
- Excludes the deferred payment portion of the purchase price for Star. The Star purchase price is subject to adjustment to reflect, among other things, a deferred payment to be made by us to the extent that the gross profit of the business exceeds the target gross profit from February 1, 2004 to January 31, 2007. Although the maximum amount payable is \$23 million, we estimate that the total deferred payment will be approximately \$3.0 to \$6.0 million. This calculation of the potential Star purchase price adjustment is based on management s best estimate; however, the actual adjustment may be materially different.

### **Effect of Inflation; Seasonality**

We do not believe that general inflation has had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations, with the exception of recent increases in the cost of our raw materials.

Our business is largely dependent upon the North American construction industry, which is very seasonal due to the impact of winter or wet weather conditions. Our net sales and operating income have historically been lowest, and our working capital needs have been highest, in the three month periods ending around December 31 and March 31, when the northern United States and all of Canada generally face weather that restricts significant construction activity and we build working capital in anticipation of the peak construction season, during which time our working capital tends to be reduced.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our significant accounting policies are described in our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2004 as found on Form 10-K (File No. 333-116590). While all significant accounting policies are important to our consolidated financial statements, some of these policies may be viewed as being critical. Such policies are those that are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and require our most difficult, subjective and complex estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates are based upon our historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Our actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

### **Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. SFAS No. 123(R) replaces SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. SFAS No. 123(R) requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. Public companies are required to adopt the new standard using a modified prospective method and may elect to restate prior periods using the modified retrospective method. Under the modified prospective method, companies are required to record compensation cost for new and modified awards over the related vesting period of such awards prospectively and record compensation cost prospectively for the unvested portion, at the date of adoption, of previously issued and outstanding awards over the remaining vesting period of such awards. No change to prior periods presented is permitted under the modified prospective method. Under the modified retrospective method, companies record compensation costs for prior periods retroactively through restatement of such periods using the exact pro forma amounts disclosed in the companies footnotes. Also, in the period of adoption and after, companies record compensation based on the modified prospective method. SFAS No. 123(R), as amended in April 2005 for compliance dates, allows companies to implement the standard at the beginning of their next fiscal year. The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) as of October 1, 2005, the beginning of its next fiscal year and to use the modified prospective method. The adoption of SFAS 123(R) is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2005.

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs. SFAS No. 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. This Statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of so abnormal. In addition, this Statement requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company intends to adopt SFAS No. 151 on October 1, 2005, the beginning of its 2006 fiscal year. The adoption of SFAS No. 151 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 109-1 and 109-2 were issued in December 2004, providing guidance on foreign earnings repatriation and qualified production activities of the American Jobs Creation Act (AJCA) that was enacted on October 22, 2004. The AJCA created a temporary incentive for United States multinationals to repatriate accumulated earnings outside the United States by providing an 85 percent dividends received deduction for certain qualifying earnings repatriations in either fiscal 2005 or in fiscal 2006. As of April 2, 2005, the Company has not provided deferred taxes on foreign earnings because any taxes on dividends would be substantially offset by foreign tax credits or because the Company intends to reinvest those earnings indefinitely. Due to the complexity of the repatriation provision, the

Company is still evaluating the effects of this provision on its plan for repatriation of foreign earnings and does not expect to be able to complete this evaluation until after Congress or the Treasury Department provides additional guidance clarifying key elements of the provision.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 (FIN 47), Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations. FIN 47 provides clarification of certain sections of FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. Specifically, FIN 47 clarifies the meaning of the term—conditional asset retirement obligation—as used in SFAS 143 and also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. FIN 47 is effective no later than the end of fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on its financial statements.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The nature of market risks faced by the Company at April 2, 2005 were the same as disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004. We are exposed to various market risks, which are potential losses arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign exchange fluctuations and raw materials. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

At April 2, 2005 we had fixed rate debt of \$433.4 million and variable rate debt of \$614.3 million. The pre-tax earnings and cash flows impact resulting from a 100 basis point increase in interest rates on variable rate debt, holding other variables constant and excluding the impact of the hedging agreements described below, would be approximately \$6.2 million per year.

We have entered into interest rate swap agreements with a notional principal amount of \$100.0 million in order to reduce interest rate risks and manage interest expense. The swap agreements, which mature by July 2005, effectively convert floating rate debt under our credit facility into fixed-rate debt and carried an average fixed interest rate of 7.52% at April 2, 2005. We plan to retain these interest rate swaps and intend to replace them upon maturity and consider entering into additional interest rate swaps or other interest rate hedging instruments to protect against interest rate fluctuations on our floating rate debt.

Our strategy for management of currency risk relies primarily on conducting our operations in a country s respective currency and may, from time to time, involve currency derivatives, primarily forward foreign exchange contracts, to reduce our exposure to currency fluctuations. As of April 2, 2005, we had foreign exchange contracts outstanding with a notional principal amount of \$1.8 million to hedge our Canadian operations exposure to currency fluctuations on products purchased from United States suppliers and purchases of equipment from European suppliers.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

This Item 4 disclosure has been updated from what was originally reported for the quarter ended April 2, 2005 to reflect the results of the Company s evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2005, which identified a material weakness that effectively led to the restatement of the previously issued financial statements for the years ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, the first three quarters of fiscal 2005 and all interim periods of fiscal 2004.

### Restatement of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements

As described in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company identified errors in the presentation in the Company s previously issued consolidated financial statements: (i) the effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash balances; (ii) book cash overdrafts; (iii) the misclassification of deferred income tax assets between the current and non-current classifications in the balance sheet and (iv) the misclassification of depreciation expense. As a result of these errors, the Company has restated its

consolidated financial statements for the years ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, the first three quarters of fiscal 2005 and all interim periods of fiscal 2004.

The 2005 Restatement had no effect on the Company s consolidated net income or the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Deficit for the two fiscal years ended September 30, 2004 and the first three quarters of fiscal 2005 and all interim periods of fiscal 2004, including our quarter ended April 2, 2005.

### Evaluation of our Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )). This evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including Dale B. Smith, Chief Operating Officer ( COO ) and Jeffery W. Sprick, Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ). It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met.

Based on this evaluation and because of the material weakness described below, our COO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective, at the reasonable assurance level, to enable us to record, process, summarize, and report information required to be included in our periodic SEC filings within the required time period or to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Notwithstanding this material weakness, our management has concluded that the financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A fairly present in all material respects the Company s financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

### Material Weakness in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A material weakness is a control deficiency or a combination of control deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim consolidated financial statements will not be prevented or detected. As of April 2, 2005, the Company did not maintain effective controls over the preparation, review and presentation and disclosure of the Company's consolidated financial statements. Specifically, the Company's controls failed to prevent or detect the incorrect presentation of the following: (i) cash flows from the effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances; (ii) cash flows and balance sheet presentation of book cash overdrafts; (iii) the presentation of current and non-current deferred income tax assets in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets; and (iv) classification of certain depreciation expense as selling, general and administrative expense instead of cost of sales in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of our annual consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2004 and 2003 and our interim consolidated financial statements for the first three quarters of fiscal 2005, all interim periods of fiscal 2004 and audit adjustments to our 2005 annual consolidated financial statements. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement of the presentation and disclosure of the Company's consolidated financial statements that would result in a material misstatement in the annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

### Previous Disclosure About Our Material Weakness in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As of September 30, 2004, and all interim periods through July 2, 2005, including our quarter ended April 2, 2005, we reported the following control deficiencies that, in the aggregate, constituted a material weakness in internal control over preparation, review and presentation and disclosure of the Company s consolidated financial statements. Specifically our control deficiencies included: (i) a lack of personnel

with experience in financial reporting and control procedures necessary for SEC registrants; (ii) a lack of sufficient controls to prevent or detect, on a timely basis, unauthorized journal entries; (iii) a lack of sufficient controls over information technology data conversion and program changes; (iv) a lack of sufficient controls over the development and communication of income tax provisions; (v) a lack of effective controls surrounding whistleblower hotline complaints and internal certifications to ensure that issues were communicated on a more timely basis by management to the audit committee and the independent registered public accounting firm; (vi) a lack of effective controls over revenue recognition associated with full truckload shipments not immediately dispatched by freight carriers; and (vii) a lack of formal controls and procedures regarding assessment of financial exposures and transactions, including consideration of accounting implications under generally accepted accounting principles. These control deficiencies resulted in audit adjustments to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2004. Additionally, these control deficiencies, in the aggregate, could result in a misstatement to accounts and disclosures that would result in a material misstatement to the annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management determined that these control deficiencies in the aggregate constituted a material weakness.

We reported in our Form 10-Q for all interim periods in fiscal 2005 that we had taken steps to remediate this material weakness. We:
(i) reassigned our Chief Financial Officer, appointed an Interim Chief Financial Officer and hired additional accounting and finance staff;
(ii) introduced increased training for our existing financial and accounting and other staff; (iii) retained third-party consultants with significant SEC financial reporting experience to provide assistance in complying with SEC reporting requirements; (iv) formed a disclosure committee to supervise the preparation of our Exchange Act Reports and other public communications; (v) improved our controls over, and began developing written policies and procedures that cover all of our significant accounting processes, including journal entries, the development and communication of income tax provisions, information data conversion issues and program changes, revenue recognition and assessing financial exposures; (vi) improved and centralized our controls over our information technology; and (vii) implemented global compliance initiatives under the direction of our Chief Compliance Officer. These improvement efforts continued to progress during our second quarter ended April 2, 2005. While significant improvements have been implemented throughout the year, management believes that additional remediation is needed and will require changes in personnel, processes and procedures to ensure timely and accurate financial reporting on a sustainable basis.

### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended April 2, 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting other than as described above.

### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Subsequent to September 30, 2005

Subsequent to September 30, 2005, management has taken steps to remediate the material weakness described above. These steps include a thorough review, on a quarterly basis, of foreign currency translation cash flow statement effects, book overdrafts and transactions related to property, plant and equipment and an additional review, on a quarterly basis, of the classification requirements of each component line item and the individual elements that comprise each line item of the statement of cash flows, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Additionally, the classification of deferred income tax items in the balance sheet and cash flow statement, as well as depreciation in the statement of operations, will be evaluated quarterly. Management believes the additional control procedures designed, when implemented, will fully remediate this material weakness.

In addition, subsequent to September 30, 2005, the Company has made the following changes in internal controls to improve financial reporting accuracy:

- Hired a full time Chief Financial Officer, Jeffery W. Sprick, and a Corporate Controller with SEC financial reporting experience;
- Hired additional finance and accounting personnel at the Company s Pratt and Mueller Chattanooga facilities;
- Designated the Walter Industries Inc. Audit Committee, which is fully independent under New York Stock Exchange listing rules and the rules of the SEC, as its audit committee; and
- Began to develop an internal quarterly review plan to review higher risk areas in financial reporting, such as revenue recognition and inventory valuation.

Another significant remedial action underway or planned to commence in fiscal year 2006, not specifically related to the previously identified material weakness, includes the issuance of a code of conduct to all employees. In fiscal 2006, we will be subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley internal control reporting requirements and in 2006 we will be testing key internal controls for all significant business units and business processes.

The Company continues to upgrade the knowledge of our finance staff by implementing on-going United States generally accepted accounting principles training programs, consisting of providing appropriate technical resources to our finance team and training on the use of such resources, conducting a series of training sessions for plant controllers, periodic distribution of information regarding changes in accounting and reporting standards, and issuance of Company accounting policy statements. Additionally, the Company terminated the prior Chief Compliance Officer and reassigned those duties to the Director of Internal Audit.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Exhibits.

On April 7, 2005, our subsidiaries, Mueller Co. and Mueller International, Inc., filed a complaint against U.S. Pipe in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The complaint alleged that certain U.S. Pipe fire hydrants infringe patent rights owned by Mueller International, Inc. The lawsuit seeks a permanent injunction as well as unspecified monetary damages for patent infringement.

3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Mueller Water Products, Inc. (formerly known as Mueller Holdings (N.A.), Inc.
	formerly known as Hydrant Acquisition Corp.)(1)
3.1.1	Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation(2)
3.2	By-Laws of Mueller Water Products, Inc.(1)
10.1	Waiver dated as of February 4, 2005 under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of
	April 23, 2004 among Mueller Group, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto and the Lenders party thereto(3)
10.2	Amendment No. 1, dated May 3, 2005, to Employment Agreement by and between Mueller Group, Inc. and
	Darrell Jean dated February 18, 2005.(4)
31.1	Certification of Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certification of the Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

- (1) Previously filed as an Exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 as filed with the SEC on June 17, 2004.
- (2) Previously filed as Exhibit 3.1.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on March 31, 2005.
- (3) Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Mueller Group, Inc. (SEC File No. 333-117473) as filed with the SEC on February 7, 2005.
- (4) Previously filed as an Exhibit to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Mueller Group, Inc. as filed with the SEC on May 5, 2005.
- \* Filed herewith.

39

Item 6.

### **SIGNATURES**

Date: February 3, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MUELLER WATER PRODUCTS, INC.

Date: February 3, 2006 /s/ DALE B. SMITH By:

By:

Dale B. Smith

Chief Operating Officer /s/ JEFFERY W. SPRICK

Jeffery W. Sprick

Chief Financial Officer