

SL GREEN REALTY CORP
Form 10-Q
November 10, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

- x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
 THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008

- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
 THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to .

Commission File Number: 1-13199

SL GREEN REALTY CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-3956775
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

420 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10170

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 594-2700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, was 57,043,374 as of October 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

SL GREEN REALTY CORP.

INDEX

| | PAGE |
|--|---|
| <u>PART I.</u> | <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> |
| <u>ITEM 1.</u> | <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 (unaudited) and December 31, 2007</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 (unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (unaudited)</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 7 |
| <u>ITEM 2.</u> | <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> |
| | 36 |
| <u>ITEM 3.</u> | <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> |
| | 54 |
| <u>ITEM 4.</u> | <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> |
| | 54 |
| <u>PART II.</u> | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> |
| | 55 |
| <u>ITEM 1.</u> | <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> |
| | 55 |
| <u>ITEM 1A.</u> | <u>RISK FACTORS</u> |
| | 55 |
| <u>ITEM 2.</u> | <u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u> |
| | 56 |
| <u>ITEM 3.</u> | <u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u> |
| | 56 |
| <u>ITEM 4.</u> | <u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u> |
| | 56 |
| <u>ITEM 5.</u> | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> |
| | 56 |
| <u>ITEM 6.</u> | <u>EXHIBITS</u> |
| | 56 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 57 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****SL Green Realty Corp.****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | September 30, 2008 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2007 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Commercial real estate properties, at cost: | | |
| Land and land interests | \$ 1,400,042 | \$ 1,436,569 |
| Building and improvements | 5,590,822 | 5,924,626 |
| Building leasehold and improvements | 1,251,626 | 1,249,093 |
| Property under capital lease | 12,208 | 12,208 |
| | 8,254,698 | 8,622,496 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (498,885) | (381,510) |
| | 7,755,813 | 8,240,986 |
| Assets held for sale | 120,120 | 41,568 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 711,147 | 45,964 |
| Restricted cash | 105,834 | 105,475 |
| Tenant and other receivables, net of allowance of \$15,282 and \$13,932 in 2008 and 2007, respectively | 39,054 | 49,015 |
| Related party receivables | 10,556 | 13,082 |
| Deferred rents receivable, net of allowance of \$13,533 and \$13,400 in 2008 and 2007, respectively | 152,718 | 136,595 |
| Structured finance investments, net of discount of \$24,335 and \$30,783 in 2008 and 2007, respectively | 926,931 | 805,215 |
| Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures | 1,139,918 | 1,438,123 |
| Deferred costs, net | 135,949 | 134,354 |
| Other assets | 393,189 | 419,701 |
| Total assets | \$ 11,491,229 | \$ 11,430,078 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$ 2,693,275 | \$ 2,844,644 |
| Revolving credit facility | 1,288,833 | 708,500 |
| Term loan and unsecured notes | 1,793,860 | 2,069,938 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 33,367 | 45,194 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 154,158 | 180,898 |
| Deferred revenue/gain | 462,734 | 819,022 |
| Capitalized lease obligation | 16,662 | 16,542 |
| Deferred land leases payable | 17,559 | 16,960 |
| Dividend and distributions payable | 51,268 | 52,077 |
| Security deposits | 34,105 | 35,021 |
| Liabilities related to assets held for sale | 309,166 | |
| Junior subordinate deferrable interest debentures held by trusts that issued trust preferred securities | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Total liabilities | 6,954,987 | 6,888,796 |

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Commitments and Contingencies

| | | |
|--|----------------|---------|
| Minority interest in operating partnership | 88,460 | 82,007 |
| Minority interests in other partnerships | 556,262 | 632,400 |

Stockholders Equity

| | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|
| Series C preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, \$25.00 liquidation preference, 6,300 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively | 151,981 | 151,981 |
| Series D preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, \$25.00 liquidation preference, 4,000 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively | 96,321 | 96,321 |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value 160,000 shares authorized and 60,400 and 60,071 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively (including 2,794 and 1,312 treasury shares at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively) | 604 | 601 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 2,970,757 | 2,931,887 |
| Treasury stock at cost | (267,327) | (150,719) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | (13,728) | 4,943 |
| Retained earnings | 952,912 | 791,861 |
| Total stockholders equity | 3,891,520 | 3,826,875 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 11,491,229 | \$ 11,430,078 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited, and amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rental revenue, net | \$ 199,437 | \$ 179,248 | \$ 589,508 | \$ 487,820 |
| Escalation and reimbursement | 32,311 | 29,365 | 92,277 | 83,774 |
| Preferred equity and investment income | 23,420 | 21,848 | 63,028 | 70,990 |
| Other income | 13,505 | 14,790 | 87,424 | 127,754 |
| Total revenues | 268,673 | 245,251 | 832,237 | 770,338 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Operating expenses (including approximately \$3,622, \$10,600 (2008) and \$3,618, \$10,595 (2007) paid to affiliates) | 61,134 | 55,428 | 169,553 | 151,842 |
| Real estate taxes | 31,546 | 30,395 | 96,830 | 91,415 |
| Ground rent | 7,709 | 8,674 | 23,784 | 23,705 |
| Interest | 69,118 | 66,165 | 214,563 | 186,351 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 1,604 | 1,652 | 4,780 | 14,195 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 54,554 | 47,246 | 164,137 | 124,983 |
| Marketing, general and administrative | 26,603 | 22,224 | 86,992 | 80,602 |
| Total expenses | 252,268 | 231,784 | 760,639 | 673,093 |
| Income from continuing operations before equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures, gain on sale, minority interest and discontinued operations | 16,405 | 13,467 | 71,598 | 97,245 |
| Equity in net income from unconsolidated joint ventures | 12,292 | 11,302 | 49,540 | 32,715 |
| Income from continuing operations before gain on sale, minority interest and discontinued operations | 28,697 | 24,769 | 121,138 | 129,960 |
| Equity in net gain on sale of interest in unconsolidated joint ventures/ real estate | 9,533 | | 103,014 | 31,509 |
| Minority interest in other partnerships | 1,373 | (3,695) | (7,691) | (11,736) |
| Minority interest in operating partnership attributable to continuing operations | (1,359) | (298) | (7,782) | (5,482) |
| Income from continuing operations | 38,244 | 20,776 | 208,679 | 144,251 |
| Net income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 297 | 2,547 | (1,418) | 15,525 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of minority interest | | 80,214 | 105,979 | 367,007 |
| Net income | 38,541 | 103,537 | 313,240 | 526,783 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (4,969) | (4,969) | (14,906) | (14,907) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 33,572 | \$ 98,568 | \$ 298,334 | \$ 511,876 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations before gain on sale and discontinued operations | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 1.63 | \$ 1.69 |
| Net income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 0.01 | 0.04 | (0.02) | 0.26 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of minority interest | | 1.35 | 1.81 | 6.26 |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint ventures/ real estate | 0.16 | | 1.70 | 0.52 |

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|---------------|----|--------|----|---------------|----|--------|
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ | 0.58 | \$ | 1.66 | \$ | 5.12 | \$ | 8.73 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations before gain on sale and discontinued operations | \$ | 0.41 | \$ | 0.26 | \$ | 1.62 | \$ | 1.67 |
| Net income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | | 0.01 | | 0.04 | | (0.02) | | 0.26 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of minority interest | | | | 1.34 | | 1.80 | | 6.18 |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint ventures/ real estate | | 0.16 | | | | 1.69 | | 0.51 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ | 0.58 | \$ | 1.64 | \$ | 5.09 | \$ | 8.62 |
| Dividends per share | \$ | 0.7875 | \$ | 0.70 | \$ | 2.3625 | \$ | 2.10 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | | 58,113 | | 59,432 | | 58,307 | | 58,649 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding | | 60,716 | | 62,411 | | 60,985 | | 61,915 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity

(Unaudited, and amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | Series C Preferred Stock | Series D Preferred Stock | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Par Value | Additional Paid In-Capital | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Retained Earnings | Total | Comprehensive Income |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Balance at | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2007 | \$ 151,981 | \$ 96,321 | 58,759 | \$ 601 | \$ 2,931,887 | \$ (150,719) | \$ 4,943 | \$ 791,861 | \$ 3,826,875 | |
| Comprehensive Income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | | | 313,240 | 313,240 | \$ 313,240 |
| Net unrealized loss on derivative instruments | | | | | | | (1,361) | | (1,361) | (1,361) |
| SL Green's share of joint venture net unrealized loss on derivative instruments | | | | | | | (17,310) | | (17,310) | (17,310) |
| Preferred dividends | | | | | | | | (14,906) | (14,906) | |
| Redemption of units and DRIP proceeds | | | 1 | | 233 | | | | 233 | |
| Deferred compensation plan & stock award, net | | | 132 | 1 | 472 | | | | 473 | |
| Amortization of deferred compensation plan | | | | | 31,108 | | | | 31,108 | |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | | | 196 | 2 | 7,057 | | | | 7,059 | |
| Treasury stock-at cost | | | (1,482) | | | (116,608) | | | (116,608) | |
| Cash distribution declared (\$2.3625 per common share of which none represented a return of capital for federal income tax purposes) | | | | | | | | (137,283) | (137,283) | |
| Balance at | | | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2008 | \$ 151,981 | \$ 96,321 | 57,606 | \$ 604 | \$ 2,970,757 | \$ (267,327) | \$ (13,728) | \$ 952,912 | \$ 3,891,520 | \$ 294,569 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited, and amounts in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 313,240 | \$ 526,783 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 172,191 | 145,983 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | (110,232) | (382,568) |
| Equity in net income from unconsolidated joint ventures | (49,540) | (32,715) |
| Equity in net gain on sale of unconsolidated joint ventures | (103,014) | (31,509) |
| Distributions of cumulative earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures/ real estate | 58,404 | 33,287 |
| Minority interests | 19,451 | 34,105 |
| Deferred rents receivable | (30,206) | (36,358) |
| Other non-cash adjustments | 9,904 | 30,507 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Restricted cash operations | (2,851) | (15,239) |
| Tenant and other receivables | 4,997 | (16,145) |
| Related party receivables | 2,625 | (25,755) |
| Deferred lease costs | (26,849) | (21,944) |
| Other assets | (24,972) | 17,232 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | (31,042) | 83,669 |
| Deferred revenue and land lease payable | 11,232 | 9,054 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 213,338 | 318,387 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Acquisitions of real estate property | (67,751) | (4,215,109) |
| Proceeds from Asset Sale | | 1,964,914 |
| Additions to land, buildings and improvements | (92,234) | (57,107) |
| Escrowed cash capital improvements/acquisition deposits | 1,064 | 135,054 |
| Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures | (24,050) | (285,355) |
| Distributions in excess of cumulative earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures | 448,305 | 78,990 |
| Net proceeds from disposition of real estate/ partial interest in property | 152,933 | 872,672 |
| Other investments | (6,892) | (166,030) |
| Structured finance and other investments net of repayments/participations | (144,566) | (241,867) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 266,809 | (1,913,838) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from mortgage notes payable | 161,577 | 809,914 |
| Repayments of mortgage notes payable | (19,316) | (122,455) |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility, term loan and unsecured notes | 1,560,986 | 2,956,689 |
| Repayments of revolving credit facility and term loan | (1,257,302) | (2,355,313) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 7,292 | 10,948 |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (116,608) | (94,071) |
| Minority interest in other partnerships | 8,168 | 531,808 |
| Dividends and distributions paid | (152,189) | (133,657) |
| Deferred loan costs and capitalized lease obligation | (7,572) | (27,491) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 185,036 | 1,576,372 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 665,183 | (19,079) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 45,964 | 117,178 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 711,147 | \$ 98,099 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

SL Green Realty Corp., also referred to as the Company or SL Green, a Maryland corporation, and SL Green Operating Partnership, L.P., or the operating partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, were formed in June 1997 for the purpose of combining the commercial real estate business of S.L. Green Properties, Inc. and its affiliated partnerships and entities. The operating partnership received a contribution of interest in the real estate properties, as well as 95% of the economic interest in the management, leasing and construction companies which are referred to as the Service Corporation. The Company has qualified, and expects to qualify in the current fiscal year, as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, and operates as a self-administered, self-managed REIT. A REIT is a legal entity that holds real estate interests and, through payments of dividends to stockholders, is permitted to reduce or avoid the payment of Federal income taxes at the corporate level. Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to we, our and us means the Company and all entities owned or controlled by the Company, including the operating partnership.

Substantially all of our assets are held by, and our operations are conducted through, the operating partnership. The Company is the sole managing general partner of the operating partnership. As of September 30, 2008, minority investors held, in the aggregate, a 3.9% limited partnership interest in the operating partnership.

On January 25, 2007, we completed the acquisition, or the Reckson Merger, of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Reckson Associates Realty Corp., or Reckson, pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 3, 2006, as amended, the Merger Agreement, among SL Green, Wyoming Acquisition Corp., or Wyoming, Wyoming Acquisition GP LLC, Wyoming Acquisition Partnership LP, Reckson and Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P., or ROP. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, each of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Reckson were converted into (i) \$31.68 in cash, (ii) 0.10387 of a share of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of SL Green and (iii) a prorated dividend in an amount equal to approximately \$0.0977 in cash. We also assumed an aggregate of approximately \$226.3 million of Reckson mortgage debt, approximately \$287.5 million of Reckson convertible public debt and approximately \$967.8 million of Reckson public unsecured notes. ROP is a subsidiary of our operating partnership.

On January 25, 2007, we completed the sale, or Asset Sale, of certain assets of ROP to an asset purchasing venture led by certain of Reckson's former executive management, or the Buyer, for a total consideration of approximately \$2.0 billion. SL Green caused ROP to transfer the following assets to the Buyer in the Asset Sale: (1) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP and 100% of certain loans secured by real property, all of which are located in Long Island, New York; (2) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in White Plains and Harrison, New York; (3) all of the real property assets and/or entities owning 100% of the interests in such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in New Jersey; (4) the entity owning a 25% interest in Reckson Australia Operating Company LLC, Reckson's Australian management company (including its Australian licensed responsible entity), and other related entities, and ROP and ROP subsidiaries' rights to and interests in, all related contracts and assets, including, without limitation, property management and leasing, construction services and asset management contracts and services contracts; (5) the direct or indirect interest of Reckson in Reckson Asset Partners, LLC, an affiliate of RSVP and all of ROP's rights in and to certain loans made by ROP to Frontline Capital Group, the bankrupt parent of Reckson Strategic Venture Partners, LLC, or RSVP, and other

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

related entities, which were purchased by a 50/50 joint venture comprised of the buyer and an affiliate of SL Green; (6) a 50% participation interest in certain loans made by a subsidiary of ROP that are secured by four real property assets located in Long Island, New York; and (7) 100% of certain loans secured by real property located in White Plains and New Rochelle, New York.

As of September 30, 2008, we owned the following interests in commercial office properties in the New York Metro area, primarily in midtown Manhattan, a borough of New York City, or Manhattan. Our investments in the New York Metro area also include investments in Brooklyn, Queens, Long Island, Westchester County, Connecticut and New Jersey, which are collectively known as the Suburban assets:

| Location | Ownership | Number of Properties | Square Feet | Weighted Average Occupancy (1) |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Manhattan | Consolidated properties | 22 | 14,290,200 | 97.9% |
| | Unconsolidated properties | 8 | 9,429,000 | 94.4% |
| Suburban | Consolidated properties | 30 | 4,925,800 | 90.6% |
| | Unconsolidated properties | 6 | 2,941,700 | 94.1% |
| | | 66 | 31,586,700 | 95.4% |

(1) The weighted average occupancy represents the total leased square feet divided by total available rentable square feet.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

We also own investments in eight retail properties encompassing approximately 400,212 square feet, two development properties encompassing approximately 363,000 square feet and two land interests. In addition, we manage three office properties owned by third parties and affiliated companies encompassing approximately 1.0 million rentable square feet.

As of September 30, 2008, we also owned approximately 15.8% of the outstanding common stock of Gramercy Capital Corp. (NYSE: GKK), or Gramercy, as well as 78.4 units, or 78.4%, of the Class B limited partner interest in Gramercy's operating partnership. See Note 6.

Partnership Agreement

In accordance with the partnership agreement of the operating partnership, or the operating partnership agreement, we allocate all distributions and profits and losses in proportion to the percentage ownership interests of the respective partners. As the managing general partner of the operating partnership, we are required to take such reasonable efforts, as determined by us in our sole discretion, to cause the operating partnership to distribute sufficient amounts to enable the payment of sufficient dividends by us to avoid any Federal income or excise tax at the Company level. Under the operating partnership agreement each limited partner will have the right to redeem units of limited partnership interest for cash, or if we so elect, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. In addition, we are prohibited from selling 673 First Avenue before August 2009, under certain circumstances.

Basis of Quarterly Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for fair presentation have been included. The 2008 operating results for the period presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2007 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our subsidiaries, which are wholly-owned or controlled by us or entities which are variable interest entities in which we are the primary beneficiary under the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No. 46R, or FIN 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - an Interpretation of ARB No. 51. See Note 5, Note 6 and Note 7. Entities which we do not control and entities which are variable interest entities, but where we are not the primary beneficiary are accounted for under the equity method. We consolidate variable interest entities in which we are determined to be the primary beneficiary. The interest that we do not own is included in Minority Interests in Other Partnerships on the balance sheet. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

In June 2005, the FASB ratified the consensus in EITF Issue No. 04-5, or EITF 04-5, Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights, which provides guidance in determining whether a general partner controls a limited partnership. EITF 04-5 states that the general partner in a limited partnership is presumed to control that limited partnership. The presumption may be overcome if the limited partners have either (1) the substantive ability to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partner without cause or (2) substantive participating rights, which provide the limited partners with the ability to effectively participate in significant decisions that would be expected to be made in the ordinary course of the limited partnership's business and thereby preclude the general partner from exercising unilateral control over the partnership.

We consolidate our investment in 919 Third Avenue as we own a 51% controlling interest.

If we retain an interest in the buyer and provide certain guarantees we account for such transaction as a profit-sharing arrangement. For transactions treated as profit-sharing arrangements, we record a profit-sharing obligation for the amount of equity contributed by the other partner and continue to keep the property and related accounts recorded on our books. Any debt assumed by the buyer would continue to be recorded on our books. The results of operations of the property, net of expenses other than depreciation (net operating

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

income), are allocated to the other partner for its percentage interest and reflected as co-venture expense in our consolidated financial statements. In future periods, a sale is recorded and profit is recognized when the remaining maximum exposure to loss is reduced below the amount of gain deferred.

Investment in Commercial Real Estate Properties

In accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, we allocate the purchase price of real estate to land and building and, if determined to be material, intangibles, such as the value of above-, below- and at-market leases and origination costs associated with the in-place leases. We depreciate the amount allocated to building and other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives, which generally range from three to 40 years and from one to 14 years, respectively. The values of the above- and below-market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below-market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above-market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease, which range from one to 14 years. The value associated with in-place leases are amortized over the expected term of the associated lease, which includes an estimated probability of the lease renewal, and its estimated term, which range from one to 14 years. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off. The tenant improvements and origination costs are amortized as an expense over the remaining life of the lease (or charged against earnings if the lease is terminated prior to its contractual expiration date). We assess fair value of the leases based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions that may affect the property.

As a result of our evaluations, under SFAS No. 141, of acquisitions made, we recognized an increase of approximately \$7.3 million, \$16.9 million, \$1.7 million and \$3.0 million in rental revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, for the amortization of aggregate below-market rents in excess of above-market leases and a reduction in lease origination costs, resulting from the allocation of the purchase price of the applicable properties. We recognized a reduction in interest expense for the amortization of the above-market rate mortgage of approximately \$1.7 million, \$5.1 million, \$1.7 million and \$4.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The following summarizes our identified intangible assets (acquired above-market leases and in-place leases) and intangible liabilities (acquired below-market leases). Amounts in thousands:

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Identified intangible assets (included in other assets): | | |

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----|-----------------|----|----------|
| Gross amount | \$ | 236,594 | \$ | 236,594 |
| Accumulated amortization | | (50,595) | | (9,970) |
| Net | \$ | 185,999 | \$ | 226,624 |
| Identified intangible liabilities (included in deferred revenue): | | | | |
| Gross amount | \$ | 480,770 | \$ | 480,770 |
| Accumulated amortization | | (83,587) | | (20,271) |
| Net | \$ | 397,183 | \$ | 460,499 |

Income Taxes

We are taxed as a REIT under Section 856(c) of the Code. As a REIT, we generally are not subject to Federal income tax. To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders and meet certain other requirements. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to Federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. We may also be subject to certain state, local and franchise taxes. Under certain circumstances, Federal income and excise taxes may be due on our undistributed taxable income.

Pursuant to amendments to the Code that became effective January 1, 2001, we have elected, and may in the future, elect to treat certain of our existing or newly created corporate subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries, or a TRS. In general, a TRS of ours may perform non-customary services for our tenants, hold assets that we cannot hold directly and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business. Our TRSs generate income, resulting in Federal income tax liability for these entities. Our TRSs recorded approximately \$0.4 million and \$3.0 million in Federal, state and local tax expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, of which \$0.8 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, had been paid.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, or FIN 48. This interpretation, among other things, creates a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. FIN 48 specifically prohibits the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions, and it has expanded disclosure requirements. The adoption of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007 had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation Plans

We have a stock-based employee compensation plan, described more fully in Note 13. We account for this plan under SFAS No. 123-R, Shared Based Payment, revised, or SFAS No. 123-R. We adopted SFAS No. 123, Accounting from Stock-Based Compensation on January 1, 2003, prior to which we applied Accounting Principals Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees.

The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because our plan has characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in our opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of our employee stock options.

Compensation cost for stock options, if any, is recognized ratably over the vesting period of the award. Our policy is to grant options with an exercise price equal to the quoted closing market price of our stock on the grant date. Awards of stock or restricted stock are expensed as compensation on a current basis over the benefit period.

The fair value of each stock option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for grants during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| Dividend yield | 3.40% | 2.1% |
| Expected life of option | 5 years | 5 years |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.40% | 4.61% |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Expected stock price volatility | 22.47% | 21.48% |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|

Earnings Per Share

We present both basic and diluted earnings per share, or EPS. Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock, where such exercise or conversion would result in a lower EPS amount. This also includes units of limited partnership interest.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash investments, structured finance investments and accounts receivable. We place our cash investments in excess of insured amounts with high quality financial institutions. The collateral securing our structured finance investments is primarily located in the New York Metro area. (See Note 5). We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our tenants and require certain tenants to provide security deposits or letters of credit. Though these security deposits and letters of credit are insufficient to meet the total value of a tenant's lease obligation, they are a measure of good faith and a source of funds to offset the economic costs associated with lost rent and the costs associated with re-tenanting the space. Although the properties in our real estate portfolio are primarily located in Manhattan, we also have properties located in Brooklyn, Queens, Long Island, Westchester County, Connecticut and New Jersey. The tenants located in our buildings operate in various industries. Other than one tenant who accounts for approximately 9.5% of our annualized rent, no other tenant in

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

our portfolio accounts for more than 5.8% of our annualized rent, including our share of joint venture annualized rent, at September 30, 2008. Approximately 7%, 6%, 6% and 6% of our annualized rent, including our share of joint venture annualized rent, was attributable to 1221 Avenue of the Americas, 420 Lexington Avenue, 1185 Avenue of the Americas and One Madison Avenue, respectively, for the quarter ended September 30, 2008. Two borrowers accounted for more than 10.0% of the revenue earned on structured finance investments during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

Reclassification

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation in order to comply with SFAS No. 144 for discontinued operations presentation.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or SFAS No. 157. SFAS No. 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. This statement clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy, giving the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. This statement is effective in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of this standard on January 1, 2008 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. In February 2008, the FASB delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities to fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 allows entities to voluntarily choose, at specified election dates, to measure many financial assets (as well as certain nonfinancial instruments that are similar to financial instruments) at fair value (the *fair value option*). The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. If the fair value option is elected for an instrument, the statement specifies that all subsequent changes in fair value for that instrument shall be reported in earnings (or another performance indicator for entities such as not-for profit organizations that do not report earnings). Upon initial adoption, SFAS No. 159 provides entities with a one-time chance to elect the fair value option for existing eligible items. SFAS No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. We did not make the election to measure financial assets at fair value and therefore, adoption of this standard did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, or SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. SFAS No. 161 requires entities to provide greater transparency about (a) how and why and entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective on January 1, 2009. We do not expect this statement to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, or FSP 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments that may be Settled in Cash upon Conversion. FSP 14-1 requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of the instrument in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. FSP 14-1 will significantly affect the accounting for instruments commonly referred to as Instruments B and C in EITF No. 90-19, Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion, which is nullified by FSP 14-1, and any other convertible debt instruments that require or permit settlement in any combination of cash and shares at the issuer's option, such as those sometimes referred to as Instrument X. FSP 14-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

3. Property Acquisitions

In February 2008, we, through our joint venture with Jeff Sutton, acquired the properties located at 182 Broadway and 63 Nassau Street for approximately \$30.0 million in the aggregate. These properties are located adjacent to 180 Broadway which we acquired in August 2007. As part of the acquisition we also closed on a \$31.0 million loan which bears interest at 225 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR. The loan has a three-year term and two one-year extensions. We drew down \$21.1 million at the closing to pay the balance of the acquisition costs.

During the second quarter of 2008, we, through a joint venture with NYSTERS, acquired various interests in the fee positions at 919 Third Avenue for approximately \$32.8 million. As a result, our joint venture controls the entire fee position.

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008****Pro Forma**

The following table (in thousands, except per share amounts) summarizes, on an unaudited pro forma basis, our combined results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as though the Reckson Merger and the acquisition of the 45% interest in One Madison Avenue were completed on January 1, 2007. The supplemental pro forma operating data is not necessarily indicative of what the actual results of operations would have been assuming the transactions had been completed as set forth above, nor do they purport to represent our results of operations for future periods.

| | 2007 | |
|--|-------------|---------|
| Pro forma revenues | \$ | 839,639 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ | 502,111 |
| Pro forma earnings per common share-basic | \$ | 8.46 |
| Pro forma earnings per common share and common share equivalents-diluted | \$ | 8.30 |
| Pro forma common shares-basic | | 59,339 |
| Pro forma common share and common share equivalents-diluted | | 62,609 |

4. Property Dispositions and Assets Held for Sale

In January 2008, we sold the fee interest in 440 Ninth Avenue for approximately \$160.0 million, excluding closing costs. The property is approximately 339,000 square feet. We recognized a gain on sale of approximately \$106.0 million.

In August 2008, we sold 80% of our interest in the joint venture that owns 1551/1555 Broadway to Jeff Sutton for approximately \$17.0 million and the right to future asset management, leasing and construction fees. We recognized a gain on sale of approximately \$9.5 million. As a result of this transaction, we deconsolidated this investment and account for it under the equity method of accounting. See Note 6.

At September 30, 2008, discontinued operations included the results of operations of real estate assets sold prior to, or held for sale as of, that date. This included 110 East 42nd Street, which was sold in June 2007, 292 Madison Avenue, which was sold in August 2007, 470 Park Avenue South, which was sold in November 2007, 440 Ninth Avenue, which was sold in January 2008, 1372 Broadway, which was sold in October 2008, and 100/120 White Plains Road, which were sold in October 2008.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes income from discontinued operations (net of minority interest) and the related realized gain on sale of discontinued operations (net of minority interest) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rental revenue | \$ 6,284 | \$ 11,657 | \$ 19,164 | \$ 45,797 |
| Escalation and reimbursement revenues | 1,358 | 2,567 | 3,367 | 9,556 |
| Other income | 351 | 250 | 490 | 455 |
| Total revenues | 7,993 | 14,474 | 23,021 | 55,808 |
| Operating expense | 2,117 | 3,390 | 5,590 | 15,825 |
| Real estate taxes | 1,278 | 2,263 | 4,055 | 8,809 |
| Ground rent | | | | |
| Interest | 2,260 | 3,543 | 9,698 | 6,078 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 296 | 2,711 | 2,741 | 8,457 |
| Total expenses | 5,951 | 11,907 | 22,084 | 39,169 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 2,042 | 2,567 | 937 | 16,639 |
| Gain on disposition of discontinued operations | (260) | 83,388 | 109,972 | 382,568 |
| Minority interest in other partnerships | (1,473) | 81 | (2,152) | (457) |
| Minority interest in operating partnership | (12) | (3,275) | (4,196) | (16,218) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | \$ 297 | \$ 82,761 | \$ 104,561 | \$ 382,532 |

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008****5. Structured Finance Investments**

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, our structured finance and preferred equity investments (net of discounts) increased approximately \$230.2 million and \$449.8 million, respectively, due to originations and accretion of discounts. In addition, in 2007 we assumed approximately \$136.9 million of structured finance investments as part of the Reckson Merger. There were approximately \$108.5 million and \$348.6 million in repayments, participations and loan loss reserves recorded during those periods, respectively, which offset the increases in structured finance investments.

Preferred equity and investment income consists of the following (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Preferred Equity and Investment income | \$ 15,387 | \$ 20,276 | \$ 52,189 | \$ 63,419 |
| Interest income | 8,033 | 1,572 | 10,839 | 7,571 |
| Total | \$ 23,420 | \$ 21,848 | \$ 63,028 | \$ 70,990 |

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we held the following structured finance investments, excluding preferred equity investments, with an aggregate weighted average current yield of approximately 10.37% (in thousands):

| Loan Type | Gross Investment | Senior Financing | 2008 Principal Outstanding | 2007 Principal Outstanding | Initial Maturity Date |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Other Loan (1) | \$ 3,500 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 3,500 | \$ 3,500 | September 2021 |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) (2) | 85,000 | 213,383 | 94,763 | 92,286 | December 2020 |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) (6) | | | | 28,500 | |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) | 60,000 | 205,000 | 58,303 | 58,173 | February 2016 |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) | 25,000 | 200,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | May 2016 |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) | 35,000 | 165,000 | 38,298 | 38,201 | October 2016 |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) (3) | 75,000 | 4,215,665 | 68,605 | 64,822 | December 2016 |
| Other Loan (1) (7) | 15,000 | | 15,648 | 15,000 | May 2011 |
| Whole Loan (3) | 9,815 | | 10,047 | 9,815 | February 2009 |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) (2) (4) | 25,000 | 307,987 | 27,742 | 27,742 | November 2009 |
| Mezzanine Loan | 16,000 | 90,000 | 15,663 | 15,645 | August 2017 |
| Mezzanine Loan (3) | 41,398 | 221,549 | 39,862 | 38,986 | August 2009 |
| Mezzanine Loan (3) (8) | | | | 12,500 | |
| Other Loan (1) | 1,000 | | 1,000 | 1,000 | January 2010 |
| Other Loan | 500 | | 500 | 500 | December 2009 |
| Junior participation (1) (9) | 14,189 | | 9,938 | 9,938 | April 2008 |
| Mezzanine Loan (1) (2) | 67,000 | 1,139,000 | 73,780 | 67,903 | March 2017 |
| Mezzanine Loan (3) | 23,145 | 365,000 | 24,482 | 23,145 | July 2009 |
| Mezzanine Loan (3) | 44,733 | 1,060,000 | 45,538 | 44,733 | August 2009 |
| Mezzanine Loan (3) | 22,644 | 7,316,674 | 23,527 | 22,644 | June 2009 |
| Junior Participation (1) (10) | 37,500 | | 37,500 | 37,500 | January 2014 |
| Junior Participation (1) (5) | 4,000 | | 3,860 | 3,884 | August 2010 |
| Junior Participation (1) | 11,000 | 53,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 | November 2009 |
| Junior Participation (1) (5) | 21,000 | | 20,564 | 21,000 | November 2009 |
| Junior Participation (11) | 12,000 | 61,250 | 10,875 | 12,000 | June 2010 |
| Junior Participation | 9,948 | 48,198 | 5,866 | 6,864 | December 2010 |
| Junior Participation (12) | 50,000 | 2,354,810 | 49,920 | | March 2010 |

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Mezzanine Loan | 15,000 | 185,000 | 15,000 | May 2011 |
| Mezzanine Loan (3) | 90,000 | 325,000 | 91,155 | June 2010 |
| Whole Loan (3) | 9,375 | | 9,363 | February 2015 |
| Loan loss reserve (13) | | | (12,150) | |
| | \$ 823,747 | \$ 18,541,516 | \$ 819,149 | \$ 692,281 |

-
- (1) This is a fixed rate loan.
 - (2) The difference between the pay and accrual rates is included as an addition to the principal balance outstanding.
 - (3) Gramercy holds a pari passu interest in this asset.
 - (4) This loan has been in default since December 2007. We are pursuing our remedies and expect to recover the full value of our investment.
 - (5) This is an amortizing loan. This loan was sold in October 2008.
 - (6) We took title to the underlying property in January 2008.
 - (7) The original loan which was scheduled to mature in February 2010 was replaced with two loans which mature in May 2011. The total principal balance remained unchanged. Approximately \$10.4 million was redeemed in October 2008.
 - (8) We previously recorded a loan loss reserve of \$2.25 million against this loan. This loan was repaid in August 2008.
 - (9) This loan is in default. We have begun foreclosure proceedings. Our partner holds a \$12.2 million pari-pasu interest in this loan.
 - (10) This loan was sold in October 2008.
 - (11) This loan was extended for two years to June 2010.
 - (12) Gramercy is the borrower under this loan. This loan consists of mortgage and mezzanine financing.
 - (13) This represents loan loss reserves recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2008. It excludes the loan loss reserve described in (8) above.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

Preferred Equity Investments

As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we held the following preferred equity investments, with an aggregate weighted average current yield of approximately 9.60% (in thousands):

| Type | Gross Investment | Senior Financing | 2008 Amount Outstanding | 2007 Amount Outstanding | Initial Mandatory Redemption |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Preferred equity (1) (2) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,694 | July 2014 |
| Preferred equity (1) | 15,000 | 2,350,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | February 2015 |
| Preferred equity (1) (3) | 51,000 | 214,942 | 51,000 | 51,000 | February 2014 |
| Preferred equity (1) (4) | 7,000 | | 7,000 | 7,000 | August 2015 |
| Preferred equity | 34,120 | 197,282 | 29,782 | 29,240 | March 2010 |
| Preferred equity (1) | 7,000 | | 7,000 | 7,000 | June 2009 |
| Loan loss reserve | | | (2,000) | | |
| | \$ 114,120 | \$ 2,762,224 | \$ 107,782 | \$ 112,934 | |

-
- (1) This is a fixed rate investment.
(2) This loan was repaid in May 2008.
(3) Gramercy holds a mezzanine loan on the underlying asset.
(4) This loan was sold in October 2008.

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, all structured finance investments, other than as noted above, were performing in accordance with the terms of the loan agreements.

6. Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

We have investments in several real estate joint ventures with various partners, including The Rockefeller Group International Inc., or RGII, The City Investment Fund, or CIF, SITQ Immobilier, a subsidiary of Caisse de depot et placement du Quebec, or SITQ, a fund managed by JP Morgan Investment Management, or JP Morgan, Prudential Real Estate Investors, or Prudential, Onyx Equities, or Onyx, The Witkoff Group, or Witkoff, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, or Credit Suisse, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, or Mack-Cali, Jeff Sutton, or Sutton, and

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Gramercy, as well as private investors. As we do not control these joint ventures, we account for them under the equity method of accounting.

We assess the accounting treatment for each joint venture on a stand-alone basis. This includes a review of each joint venture or partnership LLC agreement to determine which party has what rights and whether those rights are protective or participating under EITF 04-5 and EITF 96-16. In situations where our minority partner approves the annual budget, receives a detailed monthly reporting package from us, meets with us on a quarterly basis to review the results of the joint venture, reviews and approves the joint venture's tax return before filing, and approves all leases that cover more than a nominal amount of space relative to the total rentable space at each property we do not consolidate the joint venture as we consider these to be substantive participation rights. Our joint venture agreements also contain certain protective rights such as the requirement of partner approval to sell, finance or refinance the property and the payment of capital expenditures and operating expenditures outside of the approved budget or operating plan.

In May 2008, we, along with our joint venture partner SITQ, closed on the sale of the 39-story, 670,000 square foot Class A office tower located at 1250 Broadway in Manhattan for \$310.0 million. We recognized an incentive distribution of approximately \$25.0 million in addition to our share of the gain on sale of approximately \$93.5 million.

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008**

The table below provides general information on each joint venture as of September 30, 2008 (in thousands):

| Property | Partner | Ownership Interest | Economic Interest | Square Feet | Acquired | Acquisition Price (1) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 1221 Avenue of the Americas (2) | RGII | 45.00% | 45.00% | 2,550 | 12/03 | \$ 1,000,000 |
| 1515 Broadway (3) | SITQ | 55.00% | 68.45% | 1,750 | 05/02 | \$ 483,500 |
| 100 Park Avenue | Prudential | 49.90% | 49.90% | 834 | 02/00 | \$ 95,800 |
| 379 West Broadway | Sutton | 45.00% | 45.00% | 62 | 12/05 | \$ 19,750 |
| Mack-Green joint venture | Mack-Cali | 48.00% | 48.00% | 900 | 05/06 | \$ 127,500 |
| 21 West 34th Street (4) | Sutton | 50.00% | 50.00% | 30 | 07/05 | \$ 22,400 |
| 800 Third Avenue (5) | Private Investors | 47.34% | 47.34% | 526 | 12/06 | \$ 285,000 |
| 521 Fifth Avenue | CIF | 50.10% | 50.10% | 460 | 12/06 | \$ 240,000 |
| One Court Square | JP Morgan | 30.00% | 30.00% | 1,402 | 01/07 | \$ 533,500 |
| 1604-1610 Broadway (6) | Onyx/Sutton | 45.00% | 63.00% | 30 | 11/05 | \$ 4,400 |
| 1745 Broadway (7) | Witkoff/SITQ | 32.26% | 32.26% | 674 | 04/07 | \$ 520,000 |
| 1 and 2 Jericho Plaza | Onyx/Credit Suisse | 20.26% | 20.26% | 640 | 04/07 | \$ 210,000 |
| 2 Herald Square (8) | Gramercy | 55.00% | 55.00% | 354 | 04/07 | \$ 225,000 |
| 885 Third Avenue (9) | Gramercy | 55.00% | 55.00% | 607 | 07/07 | \$ 317,000 |
| 16 Court Street | CIF | 35.00% | 35.00% | 318 | 07/07 | \$ 107,500 |
| The Meadows | Onyx | 25.00% | 25.00% | 582 | 09/07 | \$ 111,500 |
| 388 and 390 Greenwich Street (10) | SITQ | 50.60% | 50.60% | 2,600 | 12/07 | \$ 1,575,000 |
| 27-29 West 34th Street (11) | Sutton | 50.00% | 50.00% | 41 | 01/06 | \$ 30,000 |
| 1551-1555 Broadway (12) | Sutton | 10.00% | 10.00% | 26 | 07/05 | \$ 80,100 |
| 717 Fifth Avenue (13) | Sutton/Nakash | 32.75% | 32.75% | 120 | 09/06 | \$ 251,900 |

-
- (1) Acquisition price represents the actual or implied purchase price for the joint venture.
- (2) We acquired our interest from The McGraw-Hill Companies, or MHC. MHC is a tenant at the property and accounted for approximately 15.4% of the property's annualized rent at September 30, 2008. We do not manage this joint venture.
- (3) Under a tax protection agreement established to protect the limited partners of the partnership that transferred 1515 Broadway to the joint venture, the joint venture has agreed not to adversely affect the limited partners' tax positions before December 2011. One tenant, whose leases end between 2008 and 2015, represents approximately 83.6% of this joint venture's annualized rent at September 30, 2008.
- (4) Effective November 2006, we deconsolidated this investment. As a result of the recapitalization of the property, we were no longer the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R). Both partners had the same amount of equity at risk and neither partner controlled the joint venture.
- (5)

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

We invested approximately \$109.5 million in this asset through the origination of a loan secured by up to 47% of the interests in the property's ownership, with an option to convert the loan to an equity interest. Certain existing members have the right to re-acquire approximately 4% of the property's equity.

- (6) Effective April 2007, we deconsolidated this investment. As a result of the recapitalization of the property, we were no longer the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R). Both partners had the same amount of equity at risk and neither partner controlled the joint venture.
- (7) We have the ability to syndicate our interest down to 14.79%.
- (8) We, along with Gramercy, together as tenants-in-common, acquired a fee interest in 2 Herald Square. The fee interest is subject to a long-term operating lease.
- (9) We, along with Gramercy, together as tenants-in-common, acquired a fee and leasehold interest in 885 Third Avenue. The fee and leasehold interests are subject to a long-term operating lease.
- (10) The property is subject to a 13-year triple-net lease arrangement with a single tenant.
- (11) Effective May 2008, we deconsolidated this investment. As a result of the recapitalization of the property, we were no longer the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R). Both partners had the same amount of equity at risk and neither partner controlled the joint venture.
- (12) Effective August 2008, we deconsolidated this investment. As a result of the sale of 80% of our interest, we were no longer the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R).
- (13) Effective September 2008, we deconsolidated this investment. As a result of the recapitalization of the property, the joint venture was no longer a VIE under FIN 46(R).

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

We finance our joint ventures with non-recourse debt. The first mortgage notes payable collateralized by the respective joint venture properties and assignment of leases at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, are as follows (in thousands):

| Property | Maturity date | Interest rate (1) | 2008 | 2007 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| 1221 Avenue of the Americas (2) | 12/2010 | 4.10% | \$ 170,000 | \$ 170,000 |
| 1250 Broadway (3) | | | \$ | \$ 115,000 |
| 1515 Broadway (4) | 11/2009 | 3.37% | \$ 625,000 | \$ 625,000 |
| 100 Park Avenue | 11/2015 | 6.52% | \$ 175,000 | \$ 175,000 |
| 379 West Broadway | 01/2010 | 6.73% | \$ 20,750 | \$ 20,750 |
| Mack-Green joint venture (5) | 08/2014 | 5.33% | \$ 102,230 | \$ 102,385 |
| 21 West 34th Street | 12/2016 | 5.75% | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| 800 Third Avenue | 07/2017 | 6.00% | \$ 20,910 | \$ 20,910 |
| 521 Fifth Avenue | 04/2011 | 3.47% | \$ 140,000 | \$ 140,000 |
| One Court Square | 12/2010 | 4.91% | \$ 315,000 | \$ 315,000 |
| 2 Herald Square | 04/2017 | 5.36% | \$ 191,250 | \$ 191,250 |
| 1604-1610 Broadway | 03/2012 | 5.66% | \$ 27,000 | \$ 27,000 |
| 1745 Broadway | 01/2017 | 5.68% | \$ 340,000 | \$ 340,000 |
| 1 and 2 Jericho Plaza | 05/2017 | 5.65% | \$ 163,750 | \$ 163,750 |
| 885 Third Avenue | 07/2017 | 6.26% | \$ 267,650 | \$ 267,650 |
| The Meadows | 09/2012 | 3.82% | \$ 83,560 | \$ 81,265 |
| 388 and 390 Greenwich Street (6) | 12/2017 | 5.17% | \$ 1,138,379 | \$ 560,000 |
| 16 Court Street | 10/2010 | 4.11% | \$ 83,214 | \$ 81,629 |
| 27-29 West 34th Street (7) | 05/2011 | 4.58% | \$ 35,449 | |
| 1551-1555 Broadway (8) | 10/2009 | 4.49% | \$ 101,536 | |
| 717 Fifth Avenue (9) | 09/2011 | 4.99% | \$ 245,000 | |

-
- (1) Interest rate represents the effective all-in weighted average interest rate for the quarter ended September 30, 2008.
- (2) This loan has an interest rate based on the 30-day LIBOR plus 75 basis points. \$65.0 million of this loan has been hedged through December 2010. The hedge fixed the LIBOR rate at 4.8%.
- (3) The interest only loan carried an interest rate of 120 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR, but was reduced to 80 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR in December 2006. The joint venture extended this loan for one year. This loan was repaid in May 2008 in connection with the sale of the property.
- (4) The interest only loan carries an interest rate of 90 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR. The mortgage is subject to a one-year as-of-right renewal option. The joint venture extended this loan for another year.
- (5) Comprised of \$91.2 million variable rate debt that matures in May 2009 and \$11.1 million fixed rate debt that matures in August 2014. Gramercy provided the variable rate debt.
- (6) Comprised of a \$576.0 million mortgage and a \$562.4 million mezzanine loan, both of which are fixed rate loans, except for \$16.0 million of the mortgage which is floating.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

- (7) This construction loan facility has a committed amount of \$55.0 million.
- (8) This construction loan has a committed amount of \$138.6 million.
- (9) This loan has a committed amount of \$285.0 million.

We act as the operating partner and day-to-day manager for all our joint ventures, except for 1221 Avenue of the Americas, Mack-Green, 800 Third Avenue, 1 and 2 Jericho Plaza and The Meadows. We are entitled to receive fees for providing management, leasing, construction supervision and asset management services to our joint ventures. We earned approximately \$3.4 million, \$12.9 million, \$4.4 million and \$10.5 million from these services for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In addition, we have the ability to earn incentive fees based on the ultimate financial performance of certain of the joint venture properties.

Gramercy Capital Corp.

In April 2004, we formed Gramercy. Gramercy is an integrated commercial real estate specialty finance and property investment company. Gramercy's commercial real estate finance business, which operates under the name Gramercy Finance, focuses on the direct origination and acquisition of whole loans, subordinate interests in whole loans, mezzanine loans, preferred equity, commercial mortgage backed securities and other real estate related securities. Gramercy's property investment business, which operates under the name Gramercy Realty, focuses on the acquisition and management of commercial properties net leased primarily to financial institutions and affiliated users throughout the United States. Gramercy qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes and expects to qualify for its current fiscal year. During the term of the origination agreement between Gramercy and us, we have the right to purchase up to 25% of the shares in any future offering of Gramercy's common stock in order to maintain our percentage ownership interest in Gramercy. At September 30, 2008, we held 8,119,370 shares, or approximately 15.8% of Gramercy's common stock.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

representing a total investment at book value of approximately \$141.5 million. The market value of our common stock investment in Gramercy was approximately \$21.0 million at September 30, 2008. Management has evaluated its investment in Gramercy in accordance with guidance on fair value accounting. Notwithstanding these evaluations, we may need to recognize an impairment in the future due to, among other things, the duration of time that the market value is less than the book value of our common stock investment in Gramercy. Gramercy is a variable interest entity, but we are not the primary beneficiary. Due to the significant influence we have over Gramercy, we account for our investment under the equity method of accounting. In October 2008, the management agreement was amended and restated and certain other agreements were entered into or terminated. See Note 19-Subsequent Events.

In connection with Gramercy's initial public offering, GKK Manager LLC, or the Manager, an affiliate of ours, entered into a management agreement with Gramercy, which provided for an initial term through December 2007, with automatic one-year extension options and certain termination rights. In April 2006, we and Gramercy entered into an amended and restated management agreement, and Gramercy's board of directors approved, among other things, an extension of the management agreement through December 2009. The management agreement was further amended in September 2007 and amended and restated in October 2008. Gramercy pays the Manager an annual management fee equal to 1.75% (1.50% effective October 1, 2008) of their gross stockholders' equity (as defined in the amended and restated management agreement), inclusive of trust preferred securities issued by Gramercy or its affiliates. In addition, Gramercy also pays the Manager a collateral management fee (as defined in the amended management agreement). In connection with any and all collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs, except for the 2005 CDO, or other securitization vehicles formed, owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by Gramercy, which provides for a collateral manager to be retained, the Manager with respect to such CDOs and other securitization vehicles, receives management, service and similar fees equal to (i) 0.25% per annum of the principal amount outstanding of bonds issued by a managed transitional CDO that are owned by third-party investors unaffiliated with Gramercy or the Manager, which CDO is structured to own loans secured by transitional properties, (ii) 0.15% per annum of the book value of the principal amount outstanding of bonds issued by a managed non-transitional CDO that are owned by third-party investors unaffiliated with Gramercy or the Manager, which CDO is structured to own loans secured by non-transitional properties, (iii) 0.10% per annum of the principal amount outstanding of bonds issued by a static CDO that are owned by third party investors unaffiliated with Gramercy or the Manager, which CDO is structured to own non-investment grade bonds, and (iv) 0.05% per annum of the principal amount outstanding of bonds issued by a static CDO that are owned by third-party investors unaffiliated with Gramercy or the Manager, which CDO is structured to own investment grade bonds. For the purposes of the management agreement, a managed transitional CDO means a CDO that is actively managed, has a reinvestment period and is structured to own debt collateral secured primarily by non-stabilized real estate assets that are expected to experience substantial net operating income growth, and a managed non-transitional CDO means a CDO that is actively managed, has a reinvestment period and is structured to own debt collateral secured primarily by stabilized real estate assets that are not expected to experience substantial net operating income growth. Both managed transitional and managed non-transitional CDOs may at any given time during the reinvestment period of the respective vehicles invest in and own non-debt collateral (in limited quantity) as defined by the respective indentures. In connection with the closing of Gramercy's first CDO in July 2005, Gramercy entered into a collateral management agreement with the Manager. Pursuant to the collateral management agreement, the Manager has agreed to provide certain advisory and administrative services in relation to the collateral debt securities and other eligible investments securing the CDO notes. The collateral management agreement provides for a senior collateral management fee, payable quarterly in accordance with the priority of payments as set forth in the indenture, equal to 0.15% per annum of the net outstanding portfolio balance, and a subordinate collateral management fee, payable quarterly in accordance with the priority of payments as set forth in the indenture, equal to 0.25% per annum of the net outstanding portfolio balance. Net outstanding portfolio balance is the sum of the (i) aggregate principal balance of the collateral debt securities, excluding defaulted securities, (ii) aggregate principal balance of all principal proceeds held as cash and eligible investments in certain accounts, and (iii) with respect to the defaulted securities, the calculation amount of such defaulted securities. As compensation for the performance of its obligations as collateral manager under the first CDO, Gramercy's board of directors has allocated to the Manager the subordinate collateral management fee paid on securities not held by Gramercy. The senior collateral management fee and balance of the subordinate collateral management fee is allocated to Gramercy. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 we received an aggregate of

approximately \$6.0 million, \$15.9 million, \$3.3 million and \$9.1 million, respectively, in fees under the management agreement and none, \$2.6 million, \$1.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, under the collateral management agreement. Fees payable to the Manager under the collateral management agreement were remitted to Gramercy for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and will be for all subsequent periods. In 2008, we, as well as Gramercy, each formed special committees comprised solely of independent directors to consider whether the internalization of the Manager and/or amendment to the management agreement would be in the best interest of each company and its respective shareholders.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

To provide an incentive for the Manager to enhance the value of Gramercy's common stock, we, along with the other holders of Class B limited partnership interests in Gramercy's operating partnership, are entitled to an incentive return payable through the Class B limited partner interests in Gramercy's operating partnership, equal to 25% of the amount by which funds from operations (as defined in Gramercy's amended and restated partnership agreement) plus certain accounting gains exceed the product of the weighted average stockholders' equity of Gramercy multiplied by 9.5% (divided by four to adjust for quarterly calculations). We will record any distributions on the Class B limited partner interests as incentive distribution income in the period when earned and when receipt of such amounts have become probable and reasonably estimable in accordance with Gramercy's amended and restated partnership agreement as if such agreement had been terminated on that date. We earned approximately none, \$5.1 million, \$3.9 million and \$10.5 million under this agreement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Amounts payable to the Class B limited partnership interests were waived for the three months ended September 30, 2008. We have also agreed to waive any amounts payable to the Class B limited partnership interests for the three months ended December 31, 2008. See Note 19-Subsequent Events. Due to the control we have over the Manager, we consolidate the accounts of the Manager into ours.

In May 2005, our Compensation Committee approved long-term incentive performance awards pursuant to which certain of our officers and employees, including some of whom are our senior executive officers, were awarded a portion of the interests previously held by us in the Manager as well as in the Class B limited partner interests in Gramercy's operating partnership. The vesting of these awards is dependent upon, among other things, tenure of employment and the performance of our investment in Gramercy. These awards vested in May 2008. We recorded compensation expense of approximately \$0.3 million, \$1.4 million, \$0.7 million and \$2.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, related to these awards. The officers and employees who received the awards own 15.6 units, or 15.6%, of the Class B limited partner interests and 15.6% of the Manager. During the second quarter of 2008, we acquired an additional 12.42% ownership interest in the Manager. As a result, we own 78.4 units, or 78.4%, of the Class B limited partner interests and 78.4% of the Manager.

Gramercy is obligated to reimburse the Manager for its costs incurred under an asset servicing agreement and an outsourcing agreement between the Manager and us. The asset servicing agreement, which was amended and restated in April 2006, provides for an annual fee payable to us of 0.05% of the book value of all Gramercy's credit tenant lease assets and non-investment grade bonds and 0.15% of the book value of all other Gramercy assets. We may reduce the asset-servicing fee for fees that Gramercy pays directly to outside servicers. The outsourcing agreement currently provides for a fee of \$2.7 million per year, increasing 3% annually over the prior year. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Manager received an aggregate of approximately \$2.0 million, \$5.4 million, \$1.4 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, under the outsourcing and asset servicing agreements. Both of these agreements were terminated effective as of September 30, 2008. See Note 19-Subsequent Events.

All fees earned from Gramercy are included in other income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Effective May 1, 2005, Gramercy entered into a lease agreement with an affiliate of ours, for their corporate offices at 420 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY. The lease is for approximately five thousand square feet with an option to lease an additional approximately two thousand square feet and carries a term of ten years with rents of approximately \$249,000 per annum for year one rising to \$315,000 per annum in year ten. Gramercy also leases approximately 3,200 square feet pursuant to a lease which ends in May 2009. The annual rent is approximately

\$171,200.

Gramercy holds tenancy-in-common interests along with us in 55 Corporate Drive, NJ, 2 Herald Square and 885 Third Avenue. See Note 5 for information on our structured finance investments in which Gramercy also holds an interest.

An affiliate held an investment in Gramercy's preferred stock with a book value of approximately \$980.7 million at September 30, 2008.

In April 2008, Gramercy completed the acquisition of American Financial Realty Trust, or AFR, in a transaction with a total value of approximately \$3.3 billion. In addition, Gramercy assumed an aggregate of approximately \$1.3 billion of AFR secured debt. We provided \$50.0 million of financing as part of an \$850.0 million loan to Gramercy in connection with this acquisition (See note 5). As a result of this acquisition, the Gramercy board of directors awarded 644,787 restricted shares of Gramercy's common stock to us, subject to a one-year vesting period, in respect of services rendered. We recognized income of approximately \$6.6 million from these shares, which was recorded in other income in the accompanying statements of income.

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008**

The condensed combined balance sheets for the unconsolidated joint ventures, including Gramercy, at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Commercial real estate property, net | \$ 9,596,746 | \$ 6,300,666 |
| Structured finance investments | 3,088,172 | 3,211,099 |
| Other assets | 1,894,341 | 1,203,259 |
| Total assets | \$ 14,579,259 | \$ 10,715,024 |
| Liabilities and members' equity | | |
| Mortgages payable | \$ 6,891,450 | \$ 3,650,213 |
| Other loans | 3,093,250 | 3,085,342 |
| Other liabilities | 1,345,726 | 453,228 |
| Members' equity | 3,248,833 | 3,526,241 |
| Total liabilities and members' equity | \$ 14,579,259 | \$ 10,715,024 |
| Company's net investment in unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 1,139,918 | \$ 1,438,123 |

The condensed combined statements of operations for the unconsolidated joint ventures, including Gramercy, from acquisition date through September 30, 2008 and 2007, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Total revenues | \$ 356,450 | \$ 233,385 | \$ 980,351 | \$ 639,704 |
| Operating expenses | 106,970 | 67,115 | 272,878 | 153,533 |
| Real estate taxes | 28,772 | 19,375 | 79,619 | 59,369 |
| Interest | 136,440 | 104,063 | 362,560 | 269,427 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 57,007 | 28,536 | 149,841 | 79,286 |
| Total expenses | 329,189 | 219,089 | 864,898 | 561,615 |
| Net income before gain on sale | \$ 27,261 | \$ 14,296 | \$ 115,453 | \$ 78,089 |
| Company's equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 12,292 | \$ 11,302 | \$ 49,540 | \$ 32,715 |

7. Investment in and Advances to Affiliates

Service Corporation

Income from management, leasing and construction contracts from third parties and joint venture properties is realized by the Service Corporation. In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we, through our operating partnership, own 100% of the non-voting common stock (representing 95% of the total equity) of the Service Corporation. Our operating partnership receives substantially all of the cash flow from the Service Corporation's operations through dividends on its equity interest. All of the voting common stock of the Service Corporation (representing 5% of the total equity) is held by our affiliate. This controlling interest gives the affiliate the power to elect all directors of the Service Corporation. Effective July 1, 2003, we consolidated the operations of the Service Corporation because it is considered to be a variable interest entity under FIN 46 and we are the primary beneficiary. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Service Corporation earned approximately \$3.0 million, \$10.0 million, \$3.6 million and \$9.9 million of revenue and incurred approximately \$2.8 million, \$8.7 million, \$3.1 million and \$7.8 million in expenses, respectively. Effective January 1, 2001, the Service Corporation elected to be treated as a TRS.

All of the management, leasing and construction services with respect to the properties wholly-owned by us are conducted through SL Green Management LLC which is 100% owned by our Operating Partnership.

eEmerge

In May 2000, our operating partnership formed eEmerge, Inc., a Delaware corporation, or eEmerge. eEmerge is a separately managed, self-funded company that provides fully-wired and furnished office space, services and support to businesses.

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008**

In March 2002, we acquired all the voting common stock of eEmerge. As a result, we control all the common stock of eEmerge. Effective with the quarter ended March 31, 2002, we consolidated the operations of eEmerge. Effective January 1, 2001, eEmerge elected to be taxed as a TRS.

In June 2000, eEmerge and Eureka Broadband Corporation, or Eureka, formed eEmerge.NYC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, or ENYC, whereby eEmerge has a 95% interest and Eureka has a 5% interest in ENYC. During the third quarter of 2006, ENYC acquired the interest held by Eureka. As a result, eEmerge owns 100% of ENYC. ENYC operates a 71,700 square foot fractional office suites business. ENYC entered into a 10-year lease with our operating partnership for its 50,200 square foot premises, which is located at 440 Ninth Avenue, Manhattan. ENYC entered into another 10-year lease with our operating partnership for its 21,500 square foot premises at 28 West 44th Street, Manhattan. Allocations of net profits, net losses and distributions are made in accordance with the Limited Liability Company Agreement of ENYC. Effective with the quarter ended March 31, 2002, we consolidated the operations of ENYC.

8. Deferred Costs

Deferred costs at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2008 | | 2007 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----|----------------|
| Deferred financing | \$ 63,322 | \$ | 66,659 |
| Deferred leasing | 145,451 | | 133,512 |
| | 208,773 | | 200,171 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (72,824) | | (65,817) |
| | \$ 135,949 | \$ | 134,354 |

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

9. Mortgage Notes Payable

The first mortgage notes payable collateralized by the respective properties and assignment of leases at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, were as follows (in thousands):

| Property (1) | Maturity Date | Interest Rate (2) | 2008 | 2007 |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 711 Third Avenue | 06/2015 | 4.99% | \$ 120,000 | \$ 120,000 |
| 420 Lexington Avenue | 11/2010 | 8.44% | 110,718 | 112,694 |
| 673 First Avenue | 02/2013 | 5.67% | 32,578 | 33,115 |
| 220 East 42nd Street | 12/2013 | 5.24% | 203,733 | 206,466 |
| 625 Madison Avenue | 11/2015 | 6.27% | 98,144 | 99,775 |
| 55 Corporate Drive | 12/2015 | 5.75% | 95,000 | 95,000 |
| 609 Fifth Avenue | 10/2013 | 5.85% | 99,653 | 100,591 |
| 609 Partners, LLC | 07/2014 | 5.00% | 63,891 | 63,891 |
| 485 Lexington Avenue | 02/2017 | 5.61% | 450,000 | 450,000 |
| 120 West 45th Street | 02/2017 | 6.12% | 170,000 | 170,000 |
| 919 Third Avenue (3) | 07/2011 | 6.87% | 229,285 | 231,680 |
| 300 Main Street | 02/2017 | 5.75% | 11,500 | 11,500 |
| 399 Knollwood Rd | 03/2014 | 5.75% | 18,805 | 19,024 |
| 500 West Putnam | 01/2016 | 5.52% | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| 141 Fifth Avenue (4) | 06/2017 | 5.70% | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| One Madison Avenue (5) | 05/2020 | 5.91% | 665,784 | 673,470 |
| Total fixed rate debt | | | 2,419,091 | 2,437,206 |
| 1551/1555 Broadway (6) | | | | 86,938 |
| 717 Fifth Avenue (6) | | | | 192,500 |
| 180/182 Broadway (7) | 02/2011 | 4.72% | 21,184 | |
| Landmark Square (8) | 02/2009 | 4.32% | 128,000 | 128,000 |
| 28 West 44th Street | 08/2013 | 4.54% | 125,000 | |
| Total floating rate debt | | | 274,184 | 407,438 |
| Total mortgage notes payable | | | \$ 2,693,275 | \$ 2,844,644 |

(1) Held in bankruptcy remote special purpose entity.

(2) Effective interest rate for the quarter ended September 30, 2008.

(3) We own a 51% controlling interest in the joint venture that is the borrower on this loan. This loan is non-recourse to us.

(4)

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

We own a 50% interest in the joint venture that is the borrower on this loan. This loan is non-recourse to us. This loan was refinanced in June 2007.

- (5) From April 2005 until August 2007, we held a 55% partnership interest in the joint venture that owned this property. We now own 100% of the property.
- (6) This joint venture was deconsolidated in September 2008. See Note 6.
- (7) We own a 50% interest in the joint venture that is the borrower on this loan. This loan is non-recourse to us.
- (8) This loan has three one-year as-of-right renewal options.

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 the gross book value of the properties collateralizing the mortgage notes was approximately \$4.6 billion and \$4.7 billion, respectively.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, we incurred approximately \$70.7 million, \$219.3 million, \$67.8 million and \$200.5 million of interest expense, respectively, excluding interest which was capitalized of approximately \$0.6 million, \$2.2 million, \$2.8 million and \$9.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

10. Corporate Indebtedness

2005 Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

We have a \$1.5 billion unsecured revolving credit facility, or the 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility bears interest at a spread ranging from 70 basis points to 110 basis points over LIBOR, based on our leverage ratio. This facility matures in June 2011 and has a one-year extension option. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility also requires a 12.5 to 20 basis point fee on the unused balance payable annually in arrears. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility had a balance of \$1.3 billion and carried a spread over LIBOR of 90 basis points at September 30, 2008. Availability under the 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility was further reduced by the issuance of approximately \$29.1 million in letters of credit. The effective all-in interest rate on the 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility was 3.69% for the three months ended September 30, 2008. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility includes certain restrictions and covenants (see restrictive covenants below). During the three months ended September 30, 2008, one of the participating banks in the 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility did not fund its proportionate share of the draw requests submitted by us.

Term Loans

We had a \$325.0 million unsecured term loan, which was scheduled to mature in August 2009. This term loan bore interest at a spread ranging from 110 basis points to 140 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR, based on our leverage ratio. This unsecured term loan was repaid and terminated in March 2007.

We had a \$200.0 million five-year non-recourse term loan secured by a pledge of our ownership interest in 1221 Avenue of the Americas. This term loan had a floating rate of 125 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR and was scheduled to mature in May 2010. The secured term loan was repaid and terminated in June 2007.

In January 2007, we closed on a \$500.0 million unsecured bridge loan, which was scheduled to mature in January 2010. This term loan bore interest at a spread ranging from 85 basis points to 125 basis points over LIBOR, based on our leverage ratio. This unsecured bridge loan was repaid and terminated in June 2007.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

In December 2007, we closed on a \$276.7 million ten-year term loan which carried an effective fixed interest rate of 5.19%. This loan was secured by our interest in 388 and 390 Greenwich Street. This secured term loan, which was scheduled to mature in December 2017 was repaid and terminated in May 2008.

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008****Senior Unsecured Notes**

The following table sets forth our senior unsecured notes and other related disclosures by scheduled maturity date as of September 30, 2008 (in thousands):

| Issuance | Face Amount | Coupon Rate(4) | Term (in Years) | Maturity |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| March 26, 1999 (1) | \$ 200,000 | 7.75% | 10 | March 15, 2009 |
| January 22, 2004 (1) | 150,000 | 5.15% | 7 | January 15, 2011 |
| August 13, 2004 (1) | 150,000 | 5.875% | 10 | August 15, 2014 |
| March 31, 2006 (1) | 275,000 | 6.00% | 10 | March 31, 2016 |
| June 27, 2005 (1) (2) | 287,500 | 4.00% | 20 | June 15, 2025 |
| March 26, 2007 (3) | 750,000 | 3.00% | 20 | March 30, 2027 |
| | 1,812,500 | | | |
| Net discount | (18,640) | | | |
| | \$ 1,793,860 | | | |

(1) Assumed as part of the Reckson Merger.

(2) Exchangeable senior debentures which are callable after June 17, 2010 at 100% of par. In addition, the debentures can be put to us, at the option of the holder at par plus accrued and unpaid interest, on June 15, 2010, 2015 and 2020 and upon the occurrence of certain change of control transactions. As a result of the Reckson Merger, the adjusted exchange rate for the debentures is 7.7461 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures and the adjusted reference dividend for the debentures is \$1.3491.

(3) Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually on March 30 and September 30. The notes have an initial exchange rate representing an exchange price that is at a 25.0% premium to the last reported sale price of our common stock on March 20, 2007, or \$173.30. The initial exchange rate is subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The notes are senior unsecured obligations of our operating partnership and are exchangeable upon the occurrence of specified events, and during the period beginning on the twenty-second scheduled trading day prior to the maturity date and ending on the second business day prior to the maturity date, into cash or a combination of cash and shares of our common stock, if any, at our option. The notes are redeemable, at our option, on and after April 15, 2012. We may be required to repurchase the notes on March 30, 2012, 2017 and 2022, and upon the occurrence of certain designated events. The net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$736.0 million, after deducting estimated fees and expenses. The proceeds of the offering were used to repay certain of our existing indebtedness, make investments in additional properties, and make open market purchases of our common stock and for general corporate purposes.

(4) Interest on the senior unsecured notes is payable semi-annually with principal and unpaid interest due on the scheduled maturity dates.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

On April 27, 2007, the \$50.0 million, 6.0% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in June 2007 and the \$150.0 million, 7.20% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in August 2007, assumed as part of the Reckson Merger, were redeemed.

In October 2008, we acquired approximately \$64.0 million of our 4% exchangeable senior debentures due June 15, 2025.

Restrictive Covenants

The terms of the 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility and senior unsecured notes include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends (as discussed below), the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the incurrence of liens and the disposition of assets, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of debt service coverage and fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of unsecured indebtedness, the minimum amount of unencumbered property debt service coverage and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, except to enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes, we will not during any four consecutive fiscal quarters make distributions with respect to common stock or other equity interests in an aggregate amount in excess of 95% of funds from operations for such period, subject to certain other adjustments. As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Junior Subordinate Deferrable Interest Debentures

In June 2005, we issued \$100.0 million in unsecured floating rate trust preferred securities through a newly formed trust, SL Green Capital Trust I, or the Trust, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of our operating partnership. The securities are reflected on the balance sheet as Junior Subordinate Deferrable Interest Debentures. The securities mature in 2035 and bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.61% for the first ten years ending July 2015, a period of up to eight consecutive quarters if our operating partnership exercises its right to defer such payments. The trust preferred securities are redeemable, at the option of our operating partnership, in whole or in part, with no prepayment premium any time after July 2010. We do not consolidate the Trust even though it is a variable interest entity

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008**

under FIN46(R) as we are not the primary beneficiary. Because the Trust is not consolidated, we have recorded the debt and the related payments are classified as interest expense.

Principal Maturities

Combined aggregate principal maturities of mortgages and notes payable, 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility, trust preferred securities, senior unsecured notes (net of discount) and our share of joint venture debt as of September 30, 2008, excluding extension options, were as follows (in thousands):

| | Scheduled Amortization | Principal Repayments | Revolving Credit Facility | Trust Preferred Securities | Unsecured Notes | Total | Joint Venture Debt |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2008 | \$ 6,771 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,771 | \$ 344,116 |
| 2009 | 26,750 | 128,000 | | | 200,000 | 354,750 | 54,447 |
| 2010 | 28,089 | 104,691 | | | | 132,780 | 115,930 |
| 2011 | 26,804 | 237,840 | 1,288,833 | | 150,000 | 1,703,477 | 169,712 |
| 2012 | 29,845 | | | | | 29,845 | 33,950 |
| Thereafter | 218,955 | 1,885,530 | | 100,000 | 1,443,860 | 3,648,345 | 1,213,768 |
| | \$ 337,214 | \$ 2,356,061 | \$ 1,288,833 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 1,793,860 | \$ 5,875,968 | \$ 1,913,923 |

11. Related Party Transactions**Cleaning/ Security/ Messenger and Restoration Services**

Through Alliance Building Services, or Alliance, First Quality Maintenance, L.P., or First Quality, provides cleaning, extermination and related services, Classic Security LLC provides security services, Bright Star Couriers LLC provides messenger services, and Onyx Restoration Works provides restoration services with respect to certain properties owned by us. Alliance is owned by Gary Green, a son of Stephen L. Green, the chairman of our board of directors. In addition, First Quality has the non-exclusive opportunity to provide cleaning and related services to individual tenants at our properties on a basis separately negotiated with any tenant seeking such additional services. We paid Alliance approximately \$3.6 million, \$10.6 million, \$3.6 million and \$10.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, for these services (excluding services provided directly to tenants).

Leases

Nancy Peck and Company leases 507 square feet of space at 420 Lexington Avenue on a month-to-month basis. Nancy Peck and Company is owned by Nancy Peck, the wife of Stephen L. Green. The rent due pursuant to the lease is \$15,210 per year. Prior to February 2007, Nancy Peck and Company leased 2,013 square feet of space at 420 Lexington Avenue, pursuant to a lease that expired on June 30, 2005 and which provided for annual rental payments of approximately \$66,000. The rent due pursuant to that lease was offset against a consulting fee of \$11,025 per month an affiliate paid to her pursuant to a consulting agreement, which was cancelled in July 2006.

Management Fees

S.L. Green Management Corp. receives property management fees from an entity in which Stephen L. Green owns an interest. The aggregate amount of fees paid to S.L. Green Management Corp. from such entity was approximately \$97,000, \$279,000, \$67,000 and \$200,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Brokerage Services

Sonnenblick-Goldman Company, or Sonnenblick, a nationally recognized real estate investment banking firm, provided mortgage brokerage services to us. Mr. Morton Holliday, the father of Mr. Marc Holliday, was a Managing Director of Sonnenblick at the time of the financings. In 2007, our 1604-1610 Broadway joint venture paid approximately \$146,500 to Sonnenblick in connection with obtaining a \$27.0 million first mortgage and we paid \$759,000 in connection with the refinancing of 485 Lexington Avenue. In 2008, our 1250 Broadway joint venture paid approximately \$1.7 million to Sonnenblick in connection with the sale of 1250 Broadway.

In 2007, we paid a consulting fee of \$525,000 to Stephen Wolff, the brother-in-law of Marc Holliday, in connection with our aggregate investment of \$119.1 million in the joint venture that owns 800 Third Avenue and approximately \$68,000 in connection with our acquisition of 16 Court Street for \$107.5 million.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

Other

Amounts due from related parties at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Due from joint ventures | \$ 5,519 | \$ 6,098 |
| Officers and employees | 153 | 153 |
| Other | 4,884 | 6,831 |
| Related party receivables | \$ 10,556 | \$ 13,082 |

Gramercy Capital Corp.

See Note 6. Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures Gramercy Capital Corp. for disclosure on related party transactions between Gramercy and us.

12. Stockholders Equity

Common Stock

Our authorized capital stock consists of 260,000,000 shares, \$.01 par value, of which we have authorized the issuance of up to 160,000,000 shares of common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 75,000,000 shares of excess stock, at \$.01 par value per share, and 25,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share. As of September 30, 2008, 57,605,933 shares of common stock and no shares of excess stock were issued and outstanding.

In March 2007, our board of directors approved a stock repurchase plan under which we can buy up to \$300.0 million shares of our common stock. This plan will expire on December 31, 2008. As of October 2008, we purchased and settled \$300.0 million, or 3.3 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$90.49 per share.

Perpetual Preferred Stock

In December 2003, we sold 6,300,000 shares of our 7.625% Series C preferred stock, (including the underwriters' over-allotment option of 700,000 shares) with a mandatory liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share. Net proceeds from this offering (approximately \$152.0 million) were used principally to repay amounts outstanding under our secured and unsecured revolving credit facilities. The Series C preferred stockholders receive annual dividends of \$1.90625 per share paid on a quarterly basis and dividends are cumulative, subject to certain provisions. On or after December 12, 2008, we may redeem the Series C preferred stock at par for cash at our option. The Series C preferred stock was recorded net of underwriters' discount and issuance costs.

In 2004, we sold 4,000,000 shares of our 7.875% Series D cumulative redeemable preferred stock, or the Series D preferred stock, with a mandatory liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share. Net proceeds from these offerings (approximately \$96.3 million) were used principally to repay amounts outstanding under our secured and unsecured revolving credit facilities. The Series D preferred stockholders receive annual dividends of \$1.96875 per share paid on a quarterly basis and dividends are cumulative, subject to certain provisions. On or after May 27, 2009, we may redeem the Series D preferred stock at par for cash at our option. The Series D preferred stock was recorded net of underwriters' discount and issuance costs.

Rights Plan

In February 2000, our board of directors authorized a distribution of one preferred share purchase right, or Right, for each outstanding share of common stock under a shareholder rights plan. This distribution was made to all holders of record of the common stock on March 31, 2000. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from us one one-hundredth of a share of Series B junior participating preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, or Preferred Shares, at a price of \$60.00 per one one-hundredth of a Preferred Share, or Purchase Price, subject to adjustment as provided in the rights agreement. The Rights expire on March 5, 2010, unless we extend the expiration date or the Right is redeemed or exchanged earlier. The Rights are attached to each share of common stock. The Rights are generally exercisable only if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 17% or more of the outstanding common stock or announces a tender offer for 17% or more of the outstanding common stock, or Acquiring Person. In the event that a person or group becomes an Acquiring Person, each holder of a Right, excluding the Acquiring Person, will have the right to receive, upon exercise, common stock having a market value equal to two times the Purchase Price of the Preferred Shares.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

We filed a registration statement with the SEC for our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan, or DRIP, which was declared effective on September 10, 2001, and commenced on September 24, 2001. We registered 3,000,000 shares of our common stock under the DRIP.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, approximately 2,800 and 81,000 shares were issued and approximately \$0.2 million and \$10.5 million of proceeds were received, respectively, from dividend reinvestments and/or stock purchases under the DRIP. DRIP shares may be issued at a discount to the market price.

2003 Long-Term Outperformance Compensation Program

Our board of directors adopted a long-term, seven-year compensation program for certain members of senior management. The program, which measured our performance over a 48-month period (unless terminated earlier) commencing April 1, 2003, provided that holders of our common equity were to achieve a 40% total return during the measurement period over a base share price of \$30.07 per share before any restricted stock awards were granted. Plan participants would receive an award of restricted stock in an amount between 8% and 10% of the excess total return over the baseline return. At the end of the four-year measurement period, 40% of the award will vest on the measurement date and 60% of the award will vest ratably over the subsequent three years based on continued employment. Any restricted stock to be issued under the program will be allocated from our 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (as defined below), which was previously approved through a stockholder vote in May 2005. In April 2007, the Compensation Committee determined that under the terms of the 2003 Outperformance Plan, as of March 31, 2007, the performance hurdles had been met and the maximum performance pool of \$22,825,000, taking into account forfeitures, was established. In connection with this event, approximately 166,312 shares of restricted stock (as adjusted for forfeitures) were allocated under the 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. These awards are subject to vesting as noted above. We record the expense of the restricted stock award in accordance with SFAS 123-R. The fair value of the award on the date of grant was determined to be \$3.2 million. Forty percent of the value of the award will be amortized over four years and the balance will be amortized at 20% per year over five, six and seven years, respectively, such that 20% of year five, 16.67% of year six, and 14.29% of year seven will be recorded in year one. Compensation expense of \$57,000, \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million related to this plan was recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

2005 Long-Term Outperformance Compensation Program

In December 2005, the compensation committee of our board of directors approved a long-term incentive compensation program, the 2005 Outperformance Plan. Participants in the 2005 Outperformance Plan will share in a performance pool if our total return to stockholders for the period from December 1, 2005 through November 30, 2008 exceeds a cumulative total return to stockholders of 30% during the measurement period over a base share price of \$68.51 per share. The size of the pool was to be 10% of the outperformance amount in excess of the 30% benchmark, subject to a maximum dilution cap equal to the lesser of 3% of our outstanding shares and units of limited partnership interest as of December 1, 2005 or \$50.0 million. In the event the potential performance pool reached this dilution cap before November 30, 2008 and remained at that level or higher for 30 consecutive days, the performance period was to end early and the pool would be formed on the last day of such 30 day period. Each participant's award under the 2005 Outperformance Plan would be designated as a specified percentage of the aggregate performance pool to be allocated to him or her assuming the 30% benchmark is achieved. Individual awards would be made in the form of partnership units, or LTIP Units, that may ultimately become exchangeable for shares of our common stock or cash, at our election. LTIP Units would be granted prior to the determination of the performance pool; however, they were only to vest upon satisfaction of performance and other thresholds, and were not entitled to distributions until after the performance pool was established. The 2005 Outperformance Plan provides that if the pool was established, each participant would also be entitled to the distributions that would have been paid on the number of LTIP Units earned, had they been issued at the beginning of the performance period. Those distributions were to be paid in the form of additional LTIP Units.

After the performance pool was established, the earned LTIP Units are to receive regular quarterly distributions on a per unit basis equal to the dividends per share paid on our common stock, whether or not they are vested. Any LTIP Units not earned upon the establishment of the performance pool were to be automatically forfeited, and the LTIP Units that are earned are subject to time-based vesting, with one-third of the LTIP Units earned vesting on November 30, 2008 and each of the first two anniversaries thereafter based on continued employment. On June 14, 2006, the Compensation Committee determined that under the terms of the 2005 Outperformance Plan, as of June 8, 2006, the performance period had accelerated and the maximum performance pool of \$49,250,000, taking into account forfeitures, was established. Individual awards under the 2005 Outperformance Plan are in the form of partnership units, or LTIP Units, in our operating partnership that, subject to certain conditions, are convertible into shares of the Company's common stock or cash, at our election. The total number of LTIP Units earned by all participants as a result of the establishment of the performance pool was 490,475 and are subject to time-based vesting.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

The cost of the 2005 Outperformance Plan (approximately \$8.0 million, subject to adjustment for forfeitures) will continue to be amortized into earnings through the final vesting period in accordance with SFAS 123-R. We recorded approximately \$0.6 million, \$1.8 million, \$0.5 million and \$1.6 million of compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, in connection with the 2005 Outperformance Plan.

2006 Long-Term Outperformance Compensation Program

On August 14, 2006, the compensation committee of our board of directors approved a long-term incentive compensation program, the 2006 Outperformance Plan. Participants in the 2006 Outperformance Plan will share in a performance pool if our total return to stockholders for the period from August 1, 2006 through July 31, 2009 exceeds a cumulative total return to stockholders of 30% during the measurement period over a base share price of \$106.39 per share. The size of the pool will be 10% of the outperformance amount in excess of the 30% benchmark, subject to a maximum award of \$60 million. The maximum award will be reduced by the amount of any unallocated or forfeited awards. In the event the potential performance pool reaches the maximum award before July 31, 2009 and remains at that level or higher for 30 consecutive days, the performance period will end early and the pool will be formed on the last day of such 30 day period. Each participant's award under the 2006 Outperformance Plan will be designated as a specified percentage of the aggregate performance pool. Assuming the 30% benchmark is achieved, the pool will be allocated among the participants in accordance with the percentage specified in each participant's participation agreement. Individual awards will be made in the form of LTIP Units, that, subject to vesting and the satisfaction of other conditions, are exchangeable for a per unit value equal to the then trading price of one share of our common stock. This value is payable in cash or, at our election, in shares of common stock. LTIP Units will be granted prior to the determination of the performance pool; however, they will only vest upon satisfaction of performance and time vesting thresholds under the 2006 Outperformance Plan, and will not be entitled to distributions until after the performance pool is established. Distributions on LTIP Units will equal the dividends paid on our common stock on a per unit basis. The 2006 Outperformance Plan provides that if the pool is established, each participant will also be entitled to the distributions that would have been paid had the number of earned LTIP Units been issued at the beginning of the performance period. Those distributions will be paid in the form of additional LTIP Units. Thereafter, distributions will be paid currently with respect to all earned LTIP Units that are a part of the performance pool, whether vested or unvested. Although the amount of earned awards under the 2006 Outperformance Plan (i.e. the number of LTIP Units earned) will be determined when the performance pool is established, not all of the awards will vest at that time. Instead, one-third of the awards will vest on July 31, 2009 and each of the first two anniversaries thereafter based on continued employment.

In the event of a change in control of our company on or after August 1, 2007 but before July 31, 2009, the performance pool will be calculated assuming the performance period ended on July 31, 2009 and the total return continued at the same annualized rate from the date of the change in control to July 31, 2009 as was achieved from August 1, 2006 to the date of the change in control; provided that the performance pool may not exceed 200% of what it would have been if it was calculated using the total return from August 1, 2006 to the date of the change in control and a pro rated benchmark. In either case, the performance pool will be formed as described above if the adjusted benchmark target is achieved and all earned awards will be fully vested upon the change in control. If a change in control occurs after the performance period has ended, all unvested awards issued under our 2006 Outperformance Plan will become fully vested upon the change in control.

The cost of the 2006 Outperformance Plan (approximately \$9.6 million, subject to adjustment for forfeitures) will be amortized into earnings through the final vesting period in accordance with SFAS 123-R. We recorded approximately \$0.6 million, \$1.9 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.9 million of compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, in connection with the 2006 Outperformance Plan.

Deferred Stock Compensation Plan for Directors

Under our Independent Director's Deferral Program, which commenced July 2004, our non-employee directors may elect to defer up to 100% of their annual retainer fee, chairman fees and meeting fees. Unless otherwise elected by a participant, fees deferred under the program shall be credited in the form of phantom stock units. The phantom stock units are convertible into an equal number of shares of common stock upon such director's termination of service from the Board of Directors or a change in control by us, as defined by the program. Phantom stock units are credited to each non-employee director quarterly using the closing price of our common stock on the applicable dividend record date for the respective quarter. Each participating non-employee director's account is also credited for an equivalent amount of phantom stock units based on the dividend rate for each quarter.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, approximately 5,696 phantom stock units were earned. As of September 30, 2008, there were approximately 21,209 phantom stock units outstanding.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On September 18, 2007, our board of directors adopted the 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, to encourage our employees to increase their efforts to make our business more successful by providing equity-based incentives to eligible employees. The ESPP is intended to qualify as an employee stock purchase plan under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and has been adopted by the board to enable our eligible employees to purchase our shares of common stock through payroll deductions. The ESPP became effective on January 1, 2008 with a maximum of 500,000 shares of the common stock available for issuance, subject to adjustment upon a merger, reorganization, stock split or other similar corporate change. We filed a registration statement on Form S-8 with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the ESPP. The common stock will be offered for purchase through a series of successive offering periods. Each offering period will be three months in duration and will begin on the first day of each calendar quarter, with the first offering period having commenced on January 1, 2008. The ESPP provides for eligible employees to purchase the common stock at a purchase price equal to 85% of the lesser of (1) the market value of the common stock on the first day of the offering period or (2) the market value of the common stock on the last day of the offering period. The ESPP was approved by our stockholders at our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders. As of September 30, 2008, approximately 3,000 shares of our common stock had been issued under the ESPP.

Stock Option Plan

During August 1997, we instituted the 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, or the 1997 Plan. The 1997 Plan was amended in December 1997, March 1998, March 1999 and May 2002. The 1997 Plan, as amended, authorizes (i) the grant of stock options that qualify as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Code, or ISOs, (ii) the grant of stock options that do not qualify, or NQSOs, (iii) the grant of stock options in lieu of cash Directors' fees and (iv) grants of shares of restricted and unrestricted common stock. The exercise price of stock options are determined by our compensation committee, but may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of our common stock on the date of grant. At September 30, 2008, approximately 360,000 shares of our common stock were reserved for issuance under the 1997 Plan.

Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan

The amended and restated 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan was approved by our board of directors in March 2005 and our stockholders in May 2005 at our annual meeting of stockholders. Subject to adjustments upon certain corporate transactions or events, up to a maximum of 7,000,000 shares, or the Fungible Pool Limit, may be granted as Options, Restricted Stock, Phantom Shares, dividend equivalent rights and other equity-based awards under the amended and restated 2005 stock option and incentive plan, or the 2005 Plan. As described below, the manner in which the Fungible Pool Limit is finally determined can ultimately result in the issuance under the 2005 Plan of up to 6,000,000 shares (subject to adjustments upon certain corporate transactions or events). Each share issued or to be issued in connection with Full-Value Awards (as defined below) that vest or are granted based on the achievement of certain performance goals that are based on (A) FFO growth, (B) total return

On August 14, 2006, the compensation committee of our board of directors approved a long-term incentive compensation

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

to stockholders (either in absolute terms or compared with a peer group of other companies) or (C) a combination of the foregoing (as set forth in the 2005 Plan), shall be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 2.0 units. Full-Value Awards are awards other than Options, Stock Appreciation Rights or other awards that do not deliver the full value at grant thereof of the underlying shares (e.g., Restricted Stock). Each share issued or to be issued in connection with any other Full-Value Awards shall be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 3.0 units. Options, Stock Appreciation Rights and other awards that do not deliver the value at grant thereof of the underlying shares and that expire 10 years from the date of grant shall be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as one unit. Options, Stock Appreciation Rights and other awards that do not deliver the value at grant thereof of the underlying shares and that expire five years from the date of grant shall be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 0.7 of a unit, or five-year option. Thus, under the foregoing rules, depending on the type of grants made, as many as 6,000,000 shares could be the subject of grants under the 2005 Plan. At the end of the third calendar year following April 1, 2005, which is the effective date of the original 2005 Plan, as well as at the end of the third calendar year following April 1, 2007, which is the effective date of the 2005 Plan, (i) the three-year average of (A) the number of shares subject to awards granted in a single year, divided by (B) the number of shares of our outstanding common stock at the end of such year shall not exceed the (ii) greater of (A) 2%, with respect to the third calendar year following April 1, 2005, or 2.23%, with respect to the third calendar year following April 1, 2007, or (B) the mean of the applicable peer group. For purposes of calculating the number of shares granted in a year in connection with the limitation set forth in the foregoing sentence, shares underlying Full-Value Awards will be taken into account as (i) 1.5 shares if our annual common stock price volatility is 53% or higher, (ii) two shares if our annual common stock price volatility is between 25% and 52%, and (iii) four shares if our annual common stock price volatility is less than 25%. No award may be granted to any person who, assuming exercise of all options and payment of all awards held by such person would own or be deemed to own more than 9.8% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock. In addition, subject to adjustment upon certain corporate transactions or events, a participant may not receive awards (with shares subject to awards being counted, depending on the type of award, in the proportions ranging from 0.7 to 3.0, as described above) in any one year covering more than 700,000 shares; thus, under this provision, depending on the type of grant involved, as many as 1,000,000 shares can be the subject of option

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008**

grants to any one person in any year, and as many as 350,000 shares may be granted as restricted stock (or be the subject of other Full-Value Grants) to any one person in any year. If an option or other award granted under the 2005 Plan expires or terminates, the common stock subject to any portion of the award that expires or terminates without having been exercised or paid, as the case may be, will again become available for the issuance of additional awards. Shares of our common stock distributed under the 2005 Plan may be treasury shares or authorized but unissued shares. Unless the 2005 Plan is previously terminated by the Board, no new Award may be granted under the 2005 Plan after the tenth anniversary of the date that the 2005 Plan was approved by the Board. At September 30, 2008, approximately 3.7 million shares of our common stock, calculated on a weighted basis, were available for issuance under the 2005 Plan, or 5.2 million if all shares available under the 2005 Plan were issued as five-year options.

Options are granted under the plan at the fair market value on the date of grant and, subject to termination of employment, generally expire ten years from the date of grant, are not transferable other than on death, and generally vest in one to five years commencing one year from the date of grant.

A summary of the status of our stock options as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 and changes during the periods then ended are presented below:

| | 2008 | | 2007 | |
|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| | Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Balance at beginning of year | 1,774,385 | \$ 88.21 | 1,645,643 | \$ 58.77 |
| Granted | 277,500 | \$ 92.83 | 531,000 | \$ 143.22 |
| Exercised | (195,680) | \$ 36.08 | (348,458) | \$ 36.95 |
| Lapsed or cancelled | (18,666) | \$ 99.46 | (53,800) | \$ 62.81 |
| Balance at end of period | 1,837,539 | \$ 94.24 | 1,774,385 | \$ 88.21 |
| Options exercisable at end of period | 979,758 | \$ 76.41 | 780,171 | \$ 54.00 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period | \$ 4,298,000 | | \$ 16,619,000 | |

The weighted average fair value of restricted stock granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was approximately \$12.0 million.

All options were granted within a price range of \$18.44 to \$152.76. The remaining weighted average contractual life of the options outstanding and exercisable was 7.3 years and 6.4 years, respectively.

On August 14, 2006, the compensation committee of our board of directors approved a long-term incentive compensation

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008****Earnings Per Share**

Earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is computed as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Numerator (Income) | | | | |
| Basic Earnings: | | | | |
| Income available to common stockholders | \$ 33,572 | \$ 98,568 | \$ 298,334 | \$ 511,876 |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities: | | | | |
| Redemption of units to common shares | 1,371 | 3,573 | 11,978 | 21,702 |
| Stock options | | | | |
| Diluted Earnings: | | | | |
| Income available to common stockholders | \$ 34,943 | \$ 102,141 | \$ 310,312 | \$ 533,578 |
| | | | | |
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Denominator (Weighted Average Shares) | | | | |
| Basic Earnings: | | | | |
| Shares available to common stockholders | 58,113 | 59,432 | 58,307 | 58,649 |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities: | | | | |
| Redemption of units to common shares | 2,340 | 2,352 | 2,340 | 2,487 |
| 4.0% exchangeable senior debentures | | | | 35 |
| Stock-based compensation plans | 263 | 627 | 338 | 744 |
| Diluted Shares | 60,716 | 62,411 | 60,985 | 61,915 |

13. Minority Interest

The unit holders represent the minority interest ownership in our operating partnership. As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the minority interest unit holders owned 3.9% (2,339,853 units) and 3.83% (2,340,359 units) of the operating partnership, respectively. At September 30, 2008, 2,339,853 shares of our common stock were reserved for the conversion of units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

We and our operating partnership are not presently involved in any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us or our properties, other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Management believes the costs, if any, incurred by us and our operating partnership related to this litigation will not materially affect our financial position, operating results or liquidity.

The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments under capital leases and noncancellable operating leases with initial terms in excess of one year as of September 30, 2008 (in thousands):

| | Capital lease | Non-cancellable operating leases |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2008 (remaining three months) | \$ 353 | \$ 10,162 |
| 2009 | 1,416 | 31,953 |
| 2010 | 1,451 | 31,512 |
| 2011 | 1,555 | 29,388 |
| 2012 | 1,555 | 28,638 |
| Thereafter | 48,760 | 607,274 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 55,090 | \$ 738,927 |
| Less amount representing interest | (38,428) | |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments | \$ 16,662 | |

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

15. Financial Instruments: Derivatives and Hedging

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, we recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through earnings. If a derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged asset, liability, or firm commitment through earnings, or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 133 may increase or decrease reported net income and stockholders' equity prospectively, depending on future levels of interest rates and other variables affecting the fair values of derivative instruments and hedged items, but will have no effect on cash flows.

The following table summarizes the notional and fair value of our consolidated derivative financial instruments at September 30, 2008. The notional value is an indication of the extent of our involvement in these instruments at that time, but does not represent exposure to credit, interest rate or market risks (in thousands).

| | Notional Value | Strike Rate | Effective Date | Expiration Date | Fair Value |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Interest Rate Swap | \$ 100,000 | 4.650% | 5/2006 | 12/2008 | \$ (210) |
| Interest Rate Swap | \$ 60,000 | 4.364% | 1/2007 | 5/2010 | \$ (1,099) |
| Interest Rate Swap | \$ 105,000 | 4.910% | 12/2009 | 12/2019 | \$ (1,305) |
| Interest Rate Swap | \$ 100,000 | 4.705% | 12/2009 | 12/2019 | \$ 276 |
| Interest Rate Cap | \$ 128,000 | 6.000% | 1/2007 | 2/2009 | \$ |

On September 30, 2008, the derivative instruments were reported as an obligation at their fair value of approximately \$2.3 million. This is included in Other Liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2008. Offsetting adjustments are represented as deferred gains or losses in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income of \$13.7 million, including the remaining balance on a gain of approximately \$4.3 million from the settlement of a forward swap, which is being amortized over the ten-year term of the related mortgage obligation from December 2003. Currently, all of our derivative instruments are designated as effective hedging instruments.

We are hedging exposure to variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions in addition to anticipated future interest payments on existing debt.

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, we recognize a

16. Environmental Matters

Our management believes that the properties are in compliance in all material respects with applicable Federal, state and local ordinances and regulations regarding environmental issues. Management is not aware of any environmental liability that it believes would have a materially adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Management is unaware of any instances in which it would incur significant environmental cost if any of our properties were sold.

17. Segment Information

We are a REIT engaged in owning, managing, leasing, acquiring and repositioning commercial office and retail properties in the New York Metro area and have two reportable segments, real estate and structured finance investments. Our investment in Gramercy and its related earnings are included in the structured finance segment. We evaluate real estate performance and allocate resources based on earnings contribution to income from continuing operations.

Our real estate portfolio is primarily located in the geographical markets of the New York Metro area. The primary sources of revenue are generated from tenant rents and escalations and reimbursement revenue. Real estate property operating expenses consist primarily of security, maintenance, utility costs, real estate taxes and ground rent expense (at certain applicable properties). See Note 5 for additional details on our structured finance investments.

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008**

Selected results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, and selected asset information as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, regarding our operating segments are as follows (in thousands):

| | Real Estate Segment | Structured Finance Segment | Total Company |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Total revenues | | | |
| Three months ended: | | | |
| September 30, 2008 | \$ 239,275 | \$ 29,398 | \$ 268,673 |
| September 30, 2007 | 214,986 | 30,265 | 245,251 |
| Nine months ended: | | | |
| September 30, 2008 | 745,163 | 87,074 | 832,237 |
| September 30, 2007 | 676,398 | 93,940 | 770,338 |
| Income from continuing operations before minority interest: | | | |
| Three months ended: | | | |
| September 30, 2008 | \$ 8,989 | \$ 19,708 | \$ 28,697 |
| September 30, 2007 | 8,095 | 16,674 | 24,769 |
| Nine months ended: | | | |
| September 30, 2008 | 63,779 | 57,359 | 121,138 |
| September 30, 2007 | 76,348 | 53,612 | 129,960 |
| Total assets | | | |
| As of: | | | |
| September 30, 2008 | \$ 10,417,793 | \$ 1,073,436 | \$ 11,491,229 |
| December 31, 2007 | 10,446,673 | 983,405 | 11,430,078 |

Income from continuing operations represents total revenues less total expenses for the real estate segment and total investment income less allocated interest expense for the structured finance segment. Interest costs for the structured finance segment are imputed assuming 100% leverage at our 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility borrowing cost. We do not allocate marketing, general and administrative expenses (approximately \$26.6 million, \$87.0 million, \$22.2 million and \$80.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively) to the structured finance segment, since we base performance on the individual segments prior to allocating marketing, general and administrative expenses. All other expenses, except interest, relate entirely to the real estate assets. There were no transactions between the above two segments.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

The table below reconciles income from continuing operations before minority interest to net income available to common stockholders for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Income from continuing operations before minority interest | \$ 28,697 | \$ 24,769 | \$ 121,138 | \$ 129,960 |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture | 9,533 | | 103,014 | 31,509 |
| Minority interest in operating partnership attributable to continuing operations | (1,359) | (298) | (7,782) | (5,482) |
| Minority interest in other partnerships | 1,373 | (3,695) | (7,691) | (11,736) |
| Net income from continuing operations | 38,244 | 20,776 | 208,679 | 144,251 |
| Income/ gains from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 297 | 82,761 | 104,561 | 382,532 |
| Net income | 38,541 | 103,537 | 313,240 | 526,783 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (4,969) | (4,969) | (14,906) | (14,907) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 33,572 | \$ 98,568 | \$ 298,334 | \$ 511,876 |

Table of Contents**SL Green Realty Corp.****Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****September 30, 2008****18. Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities**

A summary of our non-cash investing and financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is presented below (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Issuance of common stock as deferred compensation | \$ 473 | \$ 596 |
| Redemption of units and dividend reinvestments | 233 | 21,129 |
| Derivative instruments at fair value | 671 | (7,637) |
| Issuance of preferred units | | 1,200 |
| Assumption of mortgage loans and unsecured notes | | 1,548,756 |
| SFAS 141 mark-to-market of debt assumed | | 54,270 |
| Common stock issued in connection with the Reckson Merger | | 1,010,078 |
| Real estate investments consolidated under FIN 46(R) | 14,760 | 50,714 |
| Real estate investment deconsolidated under FIN 46(R) | 414,995 | 5,018 |
| Tenant improvements and capital expenditures payable | 5,375 | 15,407 |
| Other non-cash adjustments-financing | (90,606) | |
| Assignment of mortgage to joint venture | 293,631 | 27,000 |
| Net operating liabilities assumed | | 23,474 |
| Assumption of joint venture mortgage | | 676,800 |

19. Subsequent Events

In October 2008, we sold 100/120 White Plains Road, Westchester for \$48.0 million, which approximated our book basis in these properties. Our share of the net sales proceeds was approximately \$24.0 million. We, along with our joint venture partner, also sold 1372 Broadway for \$274.0 million. We recognized a gain on sale of approximately \$238.9 million.

In October 2008, we sold our investment in RSVP as well as redeemed one related structured finance investments for approximately \$34.5 million.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Also in October 2008, we acquired approximately \$64.0 million of our 4% exchangeable senior debentures due June 15, 2025 which we assumed as part of the Reckson Merger.

In October 2008, we sold four structured finance investments with a carrying value of approximately \$68.9 million which generated gains of approximately \$12.6 million.

On October 27, 2008, the Manager entered into a Second Amended and Restated Management Agreement (the "Second Amended Management Agreement") with Gramercy and GKK Capital LP. The Second Amended Management Agreement generally contains the same terms and conditions as the Amended and Restated Management Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2006, except for the following material changes: (i) reduces the annual base management fee payable by Gramercy to the Manager to 1.50% of Gramercy's stockholders' equity; (ii) reduces the termination fee to an amount equal to the management fee earned by the Manager during the 12-month period immediately preceding the effective date of the termination; and (iii) provides that all management, service and similar fees relating to Gramercy's collateralized debt obligations that the Manager is entitled to receive shall be remitted by the Manager to Gramercy for any period from and after July 1, 2008.

On October 27, 2008, the Manager and SLG Gramercy Services LLC (the "Servicer") entered into an agreement, which was also acknowledged and agreed to by Gramercy, to terminate, effective as of September 30, 2008, the Amended and Restated Asset Servicing Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2006.

Table of Contents

SL Green Realty Corp.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2008

On October 27, 2008, the Manager and the operating partnership entered into an agreement to terminate, effective as of September 30, 2008, the Amended and Restated Outsource Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2006.

On October 27, 2008, we, Gramercy and GKK Capital LP entered into a services agreement (the "Services Agreement") pursuant to which we will provide consulting and other services to Gramercy. We will make certain members of management available in connection with the provision of the services until the earliest of (i) September 30, 2009, (ii) the termination of the Second Amended Management Agreement or (iii) with respect to a particular executive, the termination of any such executive's employment with us. In consideration for the consulting services, we will receive from Gramercy a fee of \$200,000 per month, payable, at Gramercy's option, in cash or, if permissible under applicable law or the requirements of the exchange on which the shares of Gramercy's common stock trade, in shares of common stock. We will also provide Gramercy with certain other services described in the Services Agreement for a fee of \$100,000 per month in cash and for a period terminating at the earlier of (i) three months after the date of the Services Agreement, subject to a one-time 30-day extension, or (ii) the termination of the Second Amended Management Agreement.

On October 27, 2008, the Manager entered into a letter agreement (the "Letter Agreement") with the operating partnership, Gramercy, GKK Capital LP and the individual limited partners of GKK Capital LP party thereto, pursuant to which the holders of the Class B Units of GKK Capital LP agreed to waive their respective rights to receive distributions payable on the Class B Units in respect of the period commencing July 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2008. For all periods from and after January 1, 2009, the holders of the Class B Units shall continue to receive distributions from GKK Capital LP in accordance with the partnership agreement of GKK Capital LP, except that Gramercy may, at its option, elect to assume directly and satisfy the right of the holders to receive distributions, if permissible under applicable law or the requirements of the exchange on which the shares of common stock trade, in shares of common stock. In addition, the Letter Agreement provides that Gramercy will not amend certain provisions of its charter and bylaws related to indemnification of directors and officers in a manner that is adverse to the operating partnership or any of the individuals party to the Letter Agreement, other than any amendments that would only apply to acts or omissions occurring after the date of such amendment.

On October 27, 2008, Marc Holliday, our Chief Executive Officer, Andrew Mathias, our President and Chief Investment Officer and Gregory F. Hughes, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer resigned as Chief Executive Officer, Chief Investment Officer and Chief Credit Officer, respectively, of Gramercy. Mr. Holliday also resigned as President of Gramercy effective as of October 28, 2008. Mr. Holliday and Mr. Mathias will remain as consultants to Gramercy through the earliest of (i) September 30, 2009, (ii) the termination of the Second Amended Management Agreement or (iii) the termination of their respective employment with us.

On October 28, 2008, Gramercy announced the appointment of Roger M. Cozzi, as President and Chief Executive Officer, effective immediately.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

SL Green Realty Corp., or the Company, a Maryland corporation, and SL Green Operating Partnership, L.P., or the Operating Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, were formed in June 1997 for the purpose of combining the commercial real estate business of S.L. Green Properties, Inc. and its affiliated partnerships and entities. We are a self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, with in-house capabilities in property management, acquisitions, financing, development, construction and leasing. Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to we, our and us means the Company and all entities owned or controlled by the Company, including the Operating Partnership.

On January 25, 2007, we completed the acquisition, or the Reckson Merger, of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Reckson Associates Realty Corp., or Reckson, pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 3, 2006, as amended, the Merger Agreement, among SL Green, Wyoming Acquisition Corp., or Wyoming, Wyoming Acquisition GP LLC, Wyoming Acquisition Partnership LP, Reckson and Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P. or ROP. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, each of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Reckson were converted into the right to receive (i) \$31.68 in cash, (ii) 0.10387 of a share of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of SL Green and (iii) a prorated dividend in an amount equal to approximately \$0.0977 in cash. We also assumed an aggregate of approximately \$226.3 million of Reckson mortgage debt, approximately \$287.5 million of Reckson convertible public debt and approximately \$967.8 million of Reckson public unsecured notes.

On January 25, 2007, we completed the sale, or Asset Sale, of certain assets of ROP to an asset purchasing venture led by certain of Reckson's former executive management, or the Buyer, for a total consideration of approximately \$2.0 billion. SL Green caused ROP to transfer the following assets to the Buyer in the Asset Sale: (1) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP and 100% of certain loans secured by real property, all of which are located in Long Island, New York; (2) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in White Plains and Harrison, New York; (3) all of the real property assets and/or entities owning 100% of the interests in such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in New Jersey; (4) the entity owning a 25% interest in Reckson Australia Operating Company LLC, Reckson's Australian management company (including its Australian licensed responsible entity), and other related entities, and ROP and ROP subsidiaries' rights to and interests in, all related contracts and assets, including, without limitation, property management and leasing, construction services and asset management contracts and services contracts; (5) the direct or indirect interest of Reckson in Reckson Asset Partners, LLC, an affiliate of Reckson Strategic Venture Partners, LLC, or RSVP, and all of ROP's rights in and to certain loans made by ROP to Frontline Capital Group, the bankrupt parent of RSVP, and other related entities, which will be purchased by a 50/50 joint venture with an affiliate of SL Green; (6) a 50% participation interest in certain loans made by a subsidiary of ROP that are secured by four real property assets located in Long Island, New York; and (7) 100% of certain loans secured by real property located in White Plains and New Rochelle, New York.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2007, the sub-prime residential lending and single family housing markets in the U.S. began to experience significant default rates, declining real estate values and increasing backlog of housing supply, and other lending markets experienced higher volatility and decreased liquidity resulting from the poor credit performance in the residential lending markets. The residential sector capital markets issues quickly spread more broadly into the asset-backed commercial real estate, corporate and other credit and equity markets. These factors have resulted in substantially reduced mortgage loan originations and securitizations, and caused more generalized credit market dislocations and a significant contraction in available credit. As a result, most financial industry participants, including commercial real estate lenders and investors continue to find it difficult to obtain cost-effective debt capital to finance new investment activity or to refinance maturing debt.

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, we recognize a

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

Credit spreads on commercial mortgages (i.e., the interest rate spread over given benchmarks such as LIBOR or U.S. Treasury securities) are significantly influenced by: (a) supply and demand for such mortgage loans; (b) perceived risk of the underlying real estate collateral cash flow; and (c) capital markets execution for the sale or financing of such commercial mortgage assets. In the case of (a), the number of potential lenders in the market place and the amount of funds they are willing to devote to commercial mortgage assets will impact credit spreads. As liquidity increases, spreads on equivalent commercial mortgage loans will decrease. Conversely, a lack of liquidity will result in credit spreads increasing. During periods of volatility, such as the markets are currently experiencing, the number of lenders participating in the market may change at an accelerated pace.

For existing loans, when credit spreads widen, the fair value of these existing loans decreases. If a lender were to originate a similar loan today, such loan would carry a greater credit spread than the existing loan. Even though a loan may be performing in accordance with its loan agreement and the underlying collateral has not changed, the fair value of the loan may be negatively impacted by the incremental interest foregone from the widened credit spread. Accordingly, when a lender wishes to sell or finance the loan, the

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

reduced value of the loan will impact the total proceeds that the lender will receive.

The recent credit crisis has put many borrowers, including some of our borrowers, under increasing amounts of financial and capital distress. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded a gross provision for loan losses of \$9.2 million and \$16.4 million, respectively, related to several loans.

The New York City real estate market has seen an increase in the direct vacancy rate as well as an increase in the amount of sublease space on the market. We expect that the total vacancy rate in Manhattan will continue to rise in 2009. This directly impacts a landlord's ability to increase rents and may also result in a landlord needing to reduce its rents and provide a longer free rent period or a greater tenant improvement allowance in order to attract a tenant to rent the space. Property sales have slowed down to a trickle, primarily due to a lack of financing for purchasers due to tighter lending standards and the other factors noted above.

The following discussion related to our consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements appearing in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

As of September 30, 2008, we owned the following interests in commercial office properties in the New York Metro area, primarily in midtown Manhattan, a borough of New York City, or Manhattan. Our investments in the New York Metro area also include investments in Brooklyn, Queens, Long Island, Westchester County, Connecticut and New Jersey, which are collectively known as the Suburban assets:

| Location | Ownership | Number of Properties | Square Feet | Weighted Average Occupancy (1) |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Manhattan | Consolidated properties | 22 | 14,290,200 | 97.9% |
| | Unconsolidated properties | 8 | 9,429,000 | 94.4% |
| Suburban | Consolidated properties | 30 | 4,925,800 | 90.6% |
| | Unconsolidated properties | 6 | 2,941,700 | 94.1% |
| | | 66 | 31,586,700 | 95.4% |

(1) The weighted average occupancy represents the total leased square feet divided by total available rentable square feet.

We also own investments in eight retail properties encompassing approximately 400,212 square feet, two development properties encompassing approximately 363,000 square feet and two land interests. In addition, we manage three office properties owned by third parties and affiliated companies encompassing approximately 1.0 million rentable square feet.

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2008, we also owned approximately 15.8% of the outstanding common stock of Gramercy Capital Corp. (NYSE: GKK), or Gramercy, as well as 78.4 units, or 78.4%, of the Class B limited partner interest in Gramercy's operating partnership. See Note 6.

Critical Accounting Policies

Refer to our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of our critical accounting policies, which include rental property, investment in unconsolidated joint ventures, revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, reserve for possible credit losses and derivative instruments. There have been no changes to these policies in 2008.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the three months ended September 30, 2008 to the three months ended September 30, 2007

The following comparison for the three months ended September 30, 2008, or 2008, to the three months ended September 30, 2007, or 2007, makes reference to the following: (i) the effect of the Same-Store Properties, which represents all properties owned by us at January 1, 2007 and at September 30, 2008 and total 14 of our 52 consolidated properties, representing approximately 39% of our share of annualized rental revenue, (ii) the effect of the Acquisitions, which represents all properties or interests in properties

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

acquired in 2007, namely, 300 Main Street, 399 Knollwood and the Reckson assets (all January 2007), 333 West 34th Street, 331 Madison Avenue and 48 East 43rd Street (April), 1010 Washington Avenue, CT, and 500 West Putnam Avenue, CT (June), and 180 Broadway and One Madison Avenue (August) and (iii) Other, which represents corporate level items not allocable to specific properties, the Service Corporation and eEmerge. Assets classified as held for sale are excluded from the following discussion.

| Rental Revenues (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Rental revenue | \$ 199.4 | \$ 179.2 | \$ 20.2 | 11.3% |
| Escalation and reimbursement revenue | 32.3 | 29.4 | 2.9 | 9.9 |
| Total | \$ 231.7 | \$ 208.6 | \$ 23.1 | 11.1% |
| Same-Store Properties | \$ 104.9 | \$ 99.4 | \$ 5.5 | 5.5% |
| Acquisitions | 125.5 | 107.9 | 17.6 | 16.3 |
| Other | 1.3 | 1.3 | | |
| Total | \$ 231.7 | \$ 208.6 | \$ 23.1 | 11.1% |

Occupancy in the Same-Store Properties increased from 95.3% at September 30, 2007 to 97.7% at September 30, 2008. The increase in the Acquisitions is primarily due to owning these properties for a period during the nine months in 2008 compared to a partial period or not being included in 2007.

At September 30, 2008, we estimated that the current market rents on our consolidated Manhattan properties and consolidated Suburban properties were approximately 26.3% and 17.3% higher, respectively, than then existing in-place fully escalated rents. Approximately 1.9% of the space leased at our consolidated properties expires during the remainder of 2008. We believe that occupancy rates will moderate at the Same-Store Properties in 2008.

The increase in escalation and reimbursement revenue was due to the recoveries at the Acquisitions (\$1.6 million) and the Same-Store Properties (\$1.3 million). The increase in recoveries at the Same-Store Properties was primarily due to operating expense escalations (\$0.6 million) and real estate tax recoveries (\$0.7 million).

| Investment and Other Income (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 12.3 | \$ 11.3 | \$ 1.0 | 8.9% |
| Investment and preferred equity income | 23.4 | 21.8 | 1.6 | 7.3 |
| Other income | 13.5 | 14.8 | (1.3) | (8.8) |
| Total | \$ 49.2 | \$ 47.9 | \$ 1.3 | 2.7% |

The increase in equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures was primarily due to higher net income contributions from 388 Greenwich Street (\$0.4 million), 1515 Broadway (\$2.8 million), 521 Fifth Avenue (\$1.1 million), 800 Third Avenue (\$0.4 million) and 1221 Avenue of the Americas (\$0.8 million). This was partially offset by lower net income contributions primarily from our investments in 100 Park which was under redevelopment (\$0.3 million) and Gramercy (\$4.2 million). Occupancy at our joint venture properties decreased from 95.4% in 2007 to

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, we recognize a

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

94.3% in 2008 primarily due to increased vacancy at 100 Park Avenue which was under redevelopment. At September 30, 2008, we estimated that current market rents at our Manhattan and Suburban joint venture properties were approximately 32.8% and 8.4% higher, respectively, than then existing in-place fully escalated rents. Approximately 1.0% of the space leased at our joint venture properties expires during the remainder of 2008.

Investment and preferred equity income increased during the current quarter. The weighted average investment balance outstanding and weighted average yield were \$921.7 million and 10.58%, respectively, for 2008 compared to \$714.9 million and 10.5%, respectively, for 2007. During 2008, we recorded approximately \$9.2 million in loan loss reserves against non-New York City structured finance investments compared to none in 2007.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The decrease in other income was primarily due to a reduction in fee income earned by GKK Manager, an affiliate of ours and the external manager of Gramercy resulting from our remittance of the CDO collateral management fee to Gramercy and our waiver of the incentive distribution for the three months ended September 30, 2008 (approximately \$0.5 million), a reduction in other TRS income (\$0.2 million) as well as lower incentive and other fee income (\$0.6 million).

| Property Operating Expenses (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|---|----------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Operating expenses | \$ 61.1 | \$ 55.4 | \$ 5.7 | 10.3% |
| Real estate taxes | 31.5 | 30.4 | 1.1 | 3.6 |
| Ground rent | 7.7 | 8.7 | (1.0) | (11.5) |
| Total | \$ 100.3 | \$ 94.5 | \$ 5.8 | 6.1% |
| Same-Store Properties | \$ 50.9 | \$ 48.2 | \$ 2.7 | 5.6% |
| Acquisitions | 45.6 | 42.8 | 2.8 | 6.5 |
| Other | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 8.6 |
| Total | \$ 100.3 | \$ 94.5 | \$ 5.8 | 6.1% |

Same-Store Properties operating expenses increased approximately \$2.2 million. There were increases in payroll expenses (\$0.3 million), repairs and maintenance (\$0.6 million), utilities (\$1.4 million) and other miscellaneous expenses (\$0.4 million), respectively. This was partially offset by a decrease in insurance costs (\$0.5 million).

The increase in real estate taxes was primarily attributable to the Acquisitions (\$0.6 million), but were partially offset by reductions at the Same-Store Properties (\$0.5 million).

| Other Expenses (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Interest expense | \$ 70.7 | \$ 67.8 | \$ 2.9 | 4.3% |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 54.6 | 47.2 | 7.4 | 15.7 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expense | 26.6 | 22.2 | 4.4 | 19.8 |
| Total | \$ 151.9 | \$ 137.2 | \$ 14.7 | 10.7% |

The increase in interest expense was primarily attributable to borrowings associated with new investment activity, primarily the Reckson Merger, and the funding of ongoing capital projects and working capital requirements. The weighted average interest rate decreased from 5.56% for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 to 4.95% for the quarter ended September 30, 2008. As a result of the new investment activity, the weighted average debt balance increased from \$4.9 billion as of September 30, 2007 to \$5.5 billion as of September 30, 2008.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses, or MG&A, represented 9.9% of total revenues in 2008 compared to 9.1% in 2007. The increase is primarily due to higher compensation cost in 2008 associated with additional personnel hired by GKK Manager in connection with the AFR acquisition which added approximately \$2.0 million to MG&A.

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, we recognize a

Comparison of the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007

The following comparison for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, or 2008, to the nine months ended September 30, 2007, or 2007, makes reference to the following: (i) the effect of the Same-Store Properties, which represents all properties owned by us at January 1, 2007 and at September 30, 2008 and total 14 of our 52 consolidated properties, representing approximately 39% of our share of annualized rental revenue, (ii) the effect of the Acquisitions, which represents all properties or interests in properties acquired in 2007, namely, 300 Main Street, 399 Knollwood and the Reckson assets (all January 2007), 333 West 34th Street, 331 Madison Avenue and 48 East 43rd Street (April), 1010 Washington Avenue, CT, and 500 West Putnam Avenue, CT (June), and 180 Broadway and One Madison Avenue (August) and (iii) Other, which represents corporate level items not allocable to specific

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

properties, the Service Corporation and eEmerge. Assets classified as held for sale, are excluded from the following discussion.

| Rental Revenues (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Rental revenue | \$ 589.5 | \$ 487.8 | \$ 101.7 | 20.9% |
| Escalation and reimbursement revenue | 92.3 | 83.8 | 8.5 | 10.1 |
| Total | \$ 681.8 | \$ 571.6 | \$ 110.2 | 19.3% |
| Same-Store Properties | \$ 307.5 | \$ 292.9 | \$ 14.6 | 5.0% |
| Acquisitions | 370.1 | 273.8 | 96.3 | 35.2 |
| Other | 4.2 | 4.9 | (0.7) | (14.3) |
| Total | \$ 681.8 | \$ 571.6 | \$ 110.2 | 19.3% |

Occupancy in the Same-Store Properties increased from 95.3% at September 30, 2007 to 97.7% at September 30, 2008. The increase in the Acquisitions is primarily due to owning these properties for a period during the nine months in 2008 compared to a partial period or not being included in 2007.

At September 30, 2008, we estimated that the current market rents on our consolidated Manhattan properties and consolidated Suburban properties were approximately 26.3% and 17.3% higher, respectively, than then existing in-place fully escalated rents. Approximately 1.9% of the space leased at our consolidated properties expires during the remainder of 2008. We believe that occupancy rates will moderate at the Same-Store Properties in 2008.

The increase in escalation and reimbursement revenue was due to the recoveries at the Acquisitions (\$6.3 million) and the Same-Store Properties (\$2.4 million). The increase in recoveries at the Same-Store Properties was primarily due to operating expense escalations (\$1.8 million), electric reimbursement (\$0.5 million) and real estate tax recoveries (\$0.1 million).

| Investment and Other Income (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|---|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 49.5 | \$ 32.7 | \$ 16.8 | 51.4% |
| Investment and preferred equity income | 63.0 | 71.0 | (8.0) | (11.3) |
| Other income | 87.4 | 127.8 | (40.4) | (31.6) |
| Total | \$ 199.9 | \$ 231.5 | \$ (31.6) | (13.7)% |

The increase in equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures was primarily due to higher net income contributions from 388 Greenwich Street (\$6.9 million), 1515 Broadway (\$8.9 million), 1250 Broadway (\$1.7 million), 521 Fifth Avenue (\$3.0 million), 2 Herald Square (\$1.9 million), Mack-Green (\$1.4 million) and 885 Third Avenue (\$3.7 million). This was partially offset by lower net income contributions primarily from our investments in 100 Park which was under redevelopment (\$2.2 million), Gramercy (\$6.9 million) and 16 Court Street (\$1.3 million). Occupancy at our joint venture properties decreased from 95.4% in 2007 to 94.3% in 2008 primarily due to increased vacancy at 100 Park Avenue which was under redevelopment. At September 30, 2008, we estimated that current market rents at our Manhattan and Suburban joint venture properties were approximately 32.8% and 8.4% higher, respectively, than then existing in-place fully escalated rents. Approximately

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, we recognize a

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

1.0% of the space leased at our joint venture properties expires during the remainder of 2008.

Investment and preferred equity income decreased during the current period. The weighted average investment balance outstanding and weighted average yield were \$837.5 million and 10.2%, respectively, for 2008 compared to \$711.0 million and 10.3%, respectively, for 2007. During 2008, we recorded approximately \$16.4 million in loan loss reserves against structured finance investments compared to none in 2007.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The decrease in other income was primarily due to an incentive distribution earned in 2007 upon the sale of One Park Avenue (approximately \$77.2 million). This was partially offset by increased fee income earned by GKK Manager, an affiliate of ours and the external manager of Gramercy (approximately \$4.6 million) as well as an incentive distribution earned in 2008 upon the sale of 1250 Broadway (\$25.0 million) and an equity issuance to us in connection with Gramercy closing its acquisition of AFR (\$6.6 million).

| Property Operating Expenses (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Operating expenses | \$ 169.6 | \$ 151.8 | \$ 17.8 | 11.7% |
| Real estate taxes | 96.8 | 91.4 | 5.4 | 5.9 |
| Ground rent | 23.8 | 23.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total | \$ 290.2 | \$ 266.9 | \$ 23.3 | 8.7% |
| Same-Store Properties | \$ 149.7 | \$ 142.6 | \$ 7.1 | 5.0% |
| Acquisitions | 129.4 | 113.2 | 16.2 | 14.3 |
| Other | 11.1 | 11.1 | | |
| Total | \$ 290.2 | \$ 266.9 | \$ 23.3 | 8.7% |

Same-Store Properties operating expenses increased approximately \$6.4 million. There were increases in payroll expenses (\$1.0 million), contract maintenance and repairs and maintenance (\$1.3 million), utilities (\$1.6 million), ground rent expense (\$2.6 million) and other miscellaneous expenses (\$1.1 million), respectively. This was partially offset by a decrease in insurance costs (\$1.2 million).

The increase in real estate taxes was primarily attributable to the Same-Store Properties (\$0.7 million) due to higher assessed property values and the Acquisitions (\$4.8 million).

| Other Expenses (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Interest expense | \$ 219.3 | \$ 200.5 | \$ 18.8 | 9.4% |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 164.1 | 125.0 | 39.1 | 31.3 |
| Marketing, general and administrative expense | 87.0 | 80.6 | 6.4 | 7.9 |
| Total | \$ 470.4 | \$ 406.1 | \$ 64.3 | 15.8% |

The increase in interest expense was primarily attributable to borrowings associated with new investment activity, primarily the Reckson Merger, and the funding of ongoing capital projects and working capital requirements. The weighted average interest rate decreased from 5.72% for the period ended September 30, 2007 to 5.14% for the period ended September 30, 2008. As a result of the new investment activity, the weighted average debt balance increased from \$4.6 billion as of September 30, 2007 to \$5.6 billion as of September 30, 2008.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses, or MG&A, represented 10.5% of total revenues in 2008 compared to 10.5% in 2007. MG&A for 2008 includes personnel hired by GKK Manager in connection with the AFR acquisition which added approximately \$6.6 million to MG&A. MG&A for 2008 also includes a non-recurring expense of approximately \$2.0 million for costs incurred in connection with the pursuit of redevelopment projects. This was partially offset by a decrease in other compensation costs.

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, we recognize a

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We currently expect that our principal sources of working capital and funds for acquisition and redevelopment of properties, tenant improvements and leasing costs and for structured finance investments will include:

- (1) Cash flow from operations;
- (2) Borrowings under our 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility;
- (3) Other forms of secured or unsecured financing;
- (4) Proceeds from common or preferred equity or debt offerings by us or the operating partnership (including issuances of limited partnership units in the operating partnership and trust preferred securities); and
- (5) Net proceeds from divestitures of properties and redemptions and participations of structured finance investments.

Cash flow from operations is primarily dependent upon the occupancy level of our portfolio, the net effective rental rates achieved on our leases, the collectibility of rent and operating escalations and recoveries from our tenants and the level of operating and other costs. Additionally, we believe that our joint venture investment programs will also continue to serve as a source of capital for acquisitions.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

We believe that our sources of working capital, specifically our cash on hand, cash flow from operations and borrowings available under our 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility, and our ability to access private and public debt and equity capital, are adequate for us to meet our short-term and long-term liquidity requirements for the foreseeable future.

Cash Flows

The following summary discussion of our cash flows is based on our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in Item 1. Financial Statements and is not meant to be an all-inclusive discussion of the changes in our cash flows for the periods presented below.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$711.1 million and \$98.1 million at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, representing an increase of \$613.0 million. The increase was a result of the following increases and decreases in cash flows (in thousands):

| | Nine months ended September 30, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | Increase (Decrease) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 213,338 | \$ 318,387 | \$ (105,049) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | \$ 266,809 | \$ (1,913,838) | \$ 2,180,647 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | \$ 185,036 | \$ 1,576,372 | \$ (1,391,336) |

Our principal source of operating cash flow is related to the leasing and operating of the properties in our portfolio. Our properties provide a relatively consistent stream of cash flow that provides us with resources to pay operating expenses, debt service and fund quarterly dividend and distribution payment requirements. At September 30, 2008 our portfolio was 95.4% occupied. In addition, rental rates continue to increase and tenant concession packages decrease in the Manhattan and Suburban marketplace. Our structured finance and joint venture investments also provide a steady stream of operating cash flow to us.

Cash is used in investing activities to fund acquisitions, redevelopment projects and recurring and nonrecurring capital expenditures. We selectively invest in new projects that enable us to take advantage of our development, leasing, financing and property management skills and invest in existing buildings that meet our investment criteria. In the first quarter of 2007, we acquired Reckson for approximately \$4.0 billion which included the assumption of approximately \$1.5 billion of consolidated debt. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, when compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we used cash primarily for the following investing activities (in thousands):

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Acquisitions of real estate | \$ 4,147,358 |
| Escrow cash-capital improvements/acquisition deposits | (169,117) |

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Joint venture investments | 261,305 |
| Distributions from joint ventures | 369,315 |
| Proceeds from sales of real estate | (719,739) |
| Structured finance and other investments | 256,439 |
| Net proceeds from Asset Sale | (1,964,914) |

We generally fund our investment activity through property-level financing, our 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility, term loans, unsecured notes, construction loans and from time to time we issue common or preferred stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, when compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the following financing activities plus cash from operating activities provided the funds to complete the investing activity noted above (in thousands):

| | | |
|--|----|-------------|
| Proceeds from our debt obligations | \$ | (2,044,040) |
| Repayments under our debt obligations | | 1,201,150 |
| Minority interest in other partnerships and other financing activities | | (507,377) |
| Repurchases of common stock | | (22,537) |
| Dividends and distributions paid | | (18,532) |

Capitalization

As of September 30, 2008, we had 57,605,933 shares of common stock, 2,339,853 units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership, 6,300,000 shares of our 7.625% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred stock, or Series C preferred stock, and 4,000,000 shares of our 7.875% Series D cumulative redeemable preferred stock, or Series D preferred stock, outstanding.

In March 2007, our board of directors approved a stock repurchase plan under which we can buy up to \$300.0 million shares of our common stock. This plan will expire on December 31, 2008. As of October 30, 2008, we purchased and settled \$300.0 million, or 3.3 million shares of our common stock, at an average price of \$90.49 per share.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Rights Plan

We adopted a shareholder rights plan which provides, among other things, that when specified events occur, our shareholders will be entitled to purchase from us a new created series of junior preferred shares, subject to our ownership limit described below. The preferred share purchase rights are triggered by the earlier to occur of (1) ten days after the date of a purchase announcement that a person or group acting in concert has acquired, or obtained the right to acquire, beneficial ownership of 17% or more of our outstanding shares of common stock or (2) ten business days after the commencement of or announcement of an intention to make a tender offer or exchange offer, the consummation of which would result in the acquiring person becoming the beneficial owner of 17% or more of our outstanding common stock. The preferred share purchase rights would cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms not approved by our board of directors.

Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

We filed a registration statement with the SEC for our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan, or DRIP, which was declared effective on September 10, 2001. The DRIP commenced on September 24, 2001. We registered 3,000,000 shares of common stock under the DRIP.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, approximately 2,800 and 81,000 shares were issued and approximately \$0.2 million and \$10.5 million of proceeds were received, respectively, from dividend reinvestments and/or stock purchases under the DRIP. DRIP shares may be issued at a discount to the market price.

2003 Long-Term Outperformance Compensation Program

Our board of directors adopted a long-term, seven-year compensation program for certain members of senior management. The program, which measured our performance over a 48-month period (unless terminated earlier) commencing April 1, 2003, provided that holders of our common equity were to achieve a 40% total return during the measurement period over a base share price of \$30.07 per share before any restricted stock awards were granted. Plan participants would receive an award of restricted stock in an amount between 8% and 10% of the excess total return over the baseline return. At the end of the four-year measurement period, 40% of the award will vest on the measurement date and 60% of the award will vest ratably over the subsequent three years based on continued employment. Any restricted stock to be issued under the program will be allocated from our 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (as defined below), which was previously approved through a stockholder vote in May 2005. In April 2007, the Compensation Committee determined that under the terms of the 2003 Outperformance Plan, as of March 31, 2007, the performance hurdles had been met and the maximum performance pool of \$22,825,000, taking into account forfeitures, was established. In connection with this event, approximately 166,312 shares of restricted stock (as adjusted for forfeitures) were allocated under the 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. These awards are subject to vesting as noted above. We record the expense of the restricted stock award in accordance with SFAS 123-R. The fair value of the award on the date of grant was determined to be \$3.2 million. Forty percent of the value of the award will be amortized over four years and the balance will be amortized at 20% per year over five, six and seven years, respectively, such that 20% of year five, 16.67% of year six, and 14.29% of year seven will be recorded in year one. Compensation expense of \$57,000, \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million related to this plan was recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

2005 Long-Term Outperformance Compensation Program

In December 2005, the compensation committee of our board of directors approved a long-term incentive compensation program, the 2005 Outperformance Plan. Participants in the 2005 Outperformance Plan will share in a performance pool if our total return to stockholders for the period from December 1, 2005 through November 30, 2008 exceeds a cumulative total return to stockholders of 30% during the measurement period over a base share price of \$68.51 per share. The size of the pool was to be 10% of the outperformance amount in excess of the 30% benchmark, subject to a maximum dilution cap equal to the lesser of 3% of our outstanding shares and units of limited partnership interest as of December 1, 2005 or \$50.0 million. In the event the potential performance pool reached this dilution cap before November 30, 2008 and remained at that level or higher for 30 consecutive days, the performance period was to end early and the pool would be formed on the last day of such 30 day period. Each participant's award under the 2005 Outperformance Plan would be designated as a specified percentage of the aggregate performance pool to be allocated to him or her assuming the 30% benchmark is achieved. Individual awards would be made in the form of partnership units, or LTIP Units, that may ultimately become exchangeable for shares of our common stock or cash, at our election. LTIP Units would be granted prior to the determination of the performance pool; however, they were only to vest upon satisfaction of performance and other thresholds, and were not entitled to distributions until after the performance pool was established. The 2005 Outperformance Plan provides that if the pool was established, each participant would also be entitled to the distributions that would have been paid on the number of LTIP Units earned, had they been issued at the beginning of the performance period. Those distributions were to be paid in the form of additional LTIP Units.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

After the performance pool was established, the earned LTIP Units are to receive regular quarterly distributions on a per unit basis equal to the dividends per share paid on our common stock, whether or not they are vested. Any LTIP Units not earned upon the establishment of the performance pool were to be automatically forfeited, and the LTIP Units that are earned are subject to time-based vesting, with one-third of the LTIP Units earned vesting on November 30, 2008 and each of the first two anniversaries thereafter based on continued employment. On June 14, 2006, the Compensation Committee determined that under the terms of the 2005 Outperformance Plan, as of June 8, 2006, the performance period had accelerated and the maximum performance pool of \$49,250,000, taking into account forfeitures, was established. Individual awards under the 2005 Outperformance Plan are in the form of partnership units, or LTIP Units, in our operating partnership that, subject to certain conditions, are convertible into shares of the Company's common stock or cash, at our election. The total number of LTIP Units earned by all participants as a result of the establishment of the performance pool was 490,475 and are subject to time-based vesting.

The cost of the 2005 Outperformance Plan (approximately \$8.0 million, subject to adjustment for forfeitures) will continue to be amortized into earnings through the final vesting period in accordance with SFAS 123-R. We recorded approximately \$0.6 million, \$1.8 million, \$0.5 million and \$1.6 million of compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, in connection with the 2005 Outperformance Plan.

2006 Long-Term Outperformance Compensation Program

On August 14, 2006, the compensation committee of our board of directors approved a long-term incentive compensation program, the 2006 Outperformance Plan. Participants in the 2006 Outperformance Plan will share in a performance pool if our total return to stockholders for the period from August 1, 2006 through July 31, 2009 exceeds a cumulative total return to stockholders of 30% during the measurement period over a base share price of \$106.39 per share. The size of the pool will be 10% of the outperformance amount in excess of the 30% benchmark, subject to a maximum award of \$60 million. The maximum award will be reduced by the amount of any unallocated or forfeited awards. In the event the potential performance pool reaches the maximum award before July 31, 2009 and remains at that level or higher for 30 consecutive days, the performance period will end early and the pool will be formed on the last day of such 30 day period. Each participant's award under the 2006 Outperformance Plan will be designated as a specified percentage of the aggregate performance pool. Assuming the 30% benchmark is achieved, the pool will be allocated among the participants in accordance with the percentage specified in each participant's participation agreement. Individual awards will be made in the form of LTIP Units, that, subject to vesting and the satisfaction of other conditions, are exchangeable for a per unit value equal to the then trading price of one share of our common stock. This value is payable in cash or, at our election, in shares of common stock. LTIP Units will be granted prior to the determination of the performance pool; however, they will only vest upon satisfaction of performance and time vesting thresholds under the 2006 Outperformance Plan, and will not be entitled to distributions until after the performance pool is established. Distributions on LTIP Units will equal the dividends paid on our common stock on a per unit basis. The 2006 Outperformance Plan provides that if the pool is established, each participant will also be entitled to the distributions that would have been paid had the number of earned LTIP Units been issued at the beginning of the performance period. Those distributions will be paid in the form of additional LTIP Units. Thereafter, distributions will be paid currently with respect to all earned LTIP Units that are a part of the performance pool, whether vested or unvested. Although the amount of earned awards under the 2006 Outperformance Plan (i.e. the number of LTIP Units earned) will be determined when the performance pool is established, not all of the awards will vest at that time. Instead, one-third of the awards will vest on July 31, 2009 and each of the first two anniversaries thereafter based on continued employment.

In the event of a change in control of our company on or after August 1, 2007 but before July 31, 2009, the performance pool will be calculated assuming the performance period ended on July 31, 2009 and the total return continued at the same annualized rate from the date of the change

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

in control to July 31, 2009 as was achieved from August 1, 2006 to the date of the change in control; provided that the performance pool may not exceed 200% of what it would have been if it was calculated using the total return from August 1, 2006 to the date of the change in control and a pro rated benchmark. In either case, the performance pool will be formed as described above if the adjusted benchmark target is achieved and all earned awards will be fully vested upon the change in control. If a change in control occurs after the performance period has ended, all unvested awards issued under our 2006 Outperformance Plan will become fully vested upon the change in control.

The cost of the 2006 Outperformance Plan (approximately \$9.6 million, subject to adjustment for forfeitures) will be amortized into earnings through the final vesting period in accordance with SFAS 123-R. We recorded approximately \$0.6 million, \$1.9 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.9 million of compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, in connection with the 2006 Outperformance Plan.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Deferred Stock Compensation Plan for Directors

Under our Independent Director's Deferral Program, which commenced July 2004, our non-employee directors may elect to defer up to 100% of their annual retainer fee, chairman fees and meeting fees. Unless otherwise elected by a participant, fees deferred under the program shall be credited in the form of phantom stock units. The phantom stock units are convertible into an equal number of shares of common stock upon such director's termination of service from the Board of Directors or a change in control by us, as defined by the program. Phantom stock units are credited to each non-employee director quarterly using the closing price of our common stock on the applicable dividend record date for the respective quarter. Each participating non-employee director's account is also credited for an equivalent amount of phantom stock units based on the dividend rate for each quarter.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, approximately 5,696 phantom stock units were earned. As of September 30, 2008, there were approximately 21,209 phantom stock units outstanding.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On September 18, 2007, our board of directors adopted the 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, to encourage our employees to increase their efforts to make our business more successful by providing equity-based incentives to eligible employees. The ESPP is intended to qualify as an employee stock purchase plan under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and has been adopted by the board to enable our eligible employees to purchase our shares of common stock through payroll deductions. The ESPP became effective on January 1, 2008 with a maximum of 500,000 shares of the common stock available for issuance, subject to adjustment upon a merger, reorganization, stock split or other similar corporate change. We filed a registration statement on Form S-8 with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the ESPP. The common stock will be offered for purchase through a series of successive offering periods. Each offering period will be three months in duration and will begin on the first day of each calendar quarter, with the first offering period having commenced on January 1, 2008. The ESPP provides for eligible employees to purchase the common stock at a purchase price equal to 85% of the lesser of (1) the market value of the common stock on the first day of the offering period or (2) the market value of the common stock on the last day of the offering period. The ESPP was approved by our stockholders at our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders. As of September 30, 2008, approximately 3,000 shares of our common stock had been issued under the ESPP.

Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan

Subject to adjustments upon certain corporate transactions or events, up to a maximum of 6,000,000 shares, or the Fungible Pool Limit, may be granted as options, restricted stock, phantom shares, dividend equivalent rights and other equity-based awards under the Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, or the 2005 Plan. At September 30, 2008, approximately 3.7 million shares of our common stock, calculated on a weighted basis, were available for issuance under the 2005 Plan, or 5.2 million shares if all shares available under the 2005 Plan were issued as five-year options.

Market Capitalization

At September 30, 2008, borrowings under our mortgage loans, 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility, senior unsecured notes and trust preferred securities (including our share of joint venture debt of approximately \$1.9 billion) represented 65.3% of our combined market capitalization of approximately \$12.0 billion (based on a common stock price of \$64.80 per share, the closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on September 30, 2008). Market capitalization includes our consolidated debt, common and preferred stock and the conversion of all units of limited partnership interest in our Operating Partnership, and our share of joint venture debt.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Indebtedness**

The table below summarizes our consolidated mortgage debt, 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility, senior unsecured notes and trust preferred securities outstanding at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively (dollars in thousands):

| Debt Summary: | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Balance | | |
| Fixed rate | \$ 4,312,951 | \$ 4,607,144 |
| Variable rate - hedged | 160,000 | 160,000 |
| Total fixed rate | 4,472,951 | 4,767,144 |
| Variable rate | 1,172,118 | 764,011 |
| Variable rate - supporting variable rate assets | 230,899 | 191,927 |
| Total variable rate | 1,403,017 | 955,938 |
| Total | \$ 5,875,968 | \$ 5,723,082 |
| Percent of Total Debt: | | |
| Total fixed rate | 76.1% | 83.3% |
| Variable rate | 23.9% | 16.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Effective Interest Rate for the Quarter: | | |
| Fixed rate | 5.36% | 5.35% |
| Variable rate | 3.65% | 6.57% |
| Effective interest rate | 4.95% | 5.66% |

The variable rate debt shown above bears interest at an interest rate based on 30-day LIBOR (3.93% and 5.32% at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively). Our consolidated debt at September 30, 2008 had a weighted average term to maturity of approximately 7.8 years.

Certain of our structured finance investments, totaling approximately \$230.9 million, are variable rate investments which mitigate our exposure to interest rate changes on our unhedged variable rate debt at September 30, 2008.

Mortgage Financing

As of September 30, 2008, our total mortgage debt (excluding our share of joint venture debt of approximately \$1.9 billion) consisted of approximately \$2.4 billion of fixed rate debt, including hedged variable rate debt, with an effective weighted average interest rate of approximately 5.94% and \$0.3 billion of variable rate debt with an effective weighted average interest rate of approximately 4.45%.

Corporate Indebtedness

2005 Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

We have a \$1.5 billion unsecured revolving credit facility. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility bears interest at a spread ranging from 70 basis points to 110 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR which, based on our leverage ratio, is currently 90 basis points. This facility matures in June 2011 and has a one-year extension option. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility also requires a 12.5 to 20 basis point fee on the unused balance payable annually in arrears. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility had approximately \$1.3 billion outstanding at September 30, 2008. Availability under the 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility was further reduced at September 30, 2008 by the issuance of approximately \$29.1 million in letters of credit. The 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility includes certain restrictions and covenants (see restrictive covenants below).

Term Loans

We had a \$325.0 million unsecured term loan, which was scheduled to mature in August 2009. This unsecured term loan bore interest at a spread ranging from 110 basis points to 140 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR. The unsecured term loan was repaid and terminated in March 2007.

We had a \$200.0 million five-year non-recourse term loan, secured by a pledge of our ownership interest in 1221 Avenue of the Americas. The loan was scheduled to mature in May 2010. This term loan had a floating rate of 125 basis points the 30-day LIBOR. The secured term loan was repaid and terminated in June 2007.

In January 2007, we closed on a \$500.0 million unsecured bridge loan, which was scheduled to mature in January 2010. This bridge loan bore interest at a spread ranging from 85 basis points to 125 basis points over the 30-day LIBOR, based on our leverage ratio. This unsecured bridge loan was repaid and terminated in June 2007.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

In December 2007, we closed on a \$276.7 million ten-year term loan which carried an effective fixed interest rate of 5.19%. This loan was secured by our interest in 388 and 390 Greenwich Street. This secured term loan, which was scheduled to mature in December 2017, was repaid and terminated in May 2008.

Senior Unsecured Notes

The following table sets forth our senior unsecured notes and other related disclosures by scheduled maturity date as of September 30, 2008 (in thousands):

| Issuance | Face Amount | Coupon Rate(4) | Term (in Years) | Maturity |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| March 26, 1999 (1) | \$ 200,000 | 7.75% | 10 | March 15, 2009 |
| January 22, 2004 (1) | 150,000 | 5.15% | 7 | January 15, 2011 |
| August 13, 2004 (1) | 150,000 | 5.875% | 10 | August 15, 2014 |
| March 31, 2006 (1) | 275,000 | 6.00% | 10 | March 31, 2016 |
| June 27, 2005 (1) (2) | 287,500 | 4.00% | 20 | June 15, 2025 |
| March 26, 2007 (3) | 750,000 | 3.00% | 20 | March 30, 2027 |
| | 1,812,500 | | | |
| Net discount | (18,640) | | | |
| | \$ 1,793,860 | | | |

(1) Assumed as part of the Reckson Merger.

(2) Exchangeable senior debentures which are callable after June 17, 2010 at 100% of par. In addition, the debentures can be put to us, at the option of the holder at par plus accrued and unpaid interest, on June 15, 2010, 2015 and 2020 and upon the occurrence of certain change of control transactions. As a result of the Reckson Merger, the adjusted exchange rate for the debentures is 7.7461 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures and the adjusted reference dividend for the debentures is \$1.3491.

(3) Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually on March 30 and September 30. The notes have an initial exchange rate representing an exchange price that is at a 25.0% premium to the last reported sale price of our common stock on March 20, 2007, or \$173.30. The initial exchange rate is subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The notes are senior unsecured obligations of our operating partnership and are exchangeable upon the occurrence of specified events, and during the period beginning on the twenty-second scheduled trading day prior to the maturity date and ending on the second business day prior to the maturity date, into cash or a combination of cash and shares of our common stock, if any, at our option. The notes are redeemable, at our option, on and after April 15, 2012. We may be required to repurchase the notes on March 30, 2012, 2017 and 2022, and upon the occurrence of certain designated events. The net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$736.0 million, after deducting estimated

fees and expenses. The proceeds of the offering were used to repay certain of our existing indebtedness, make investments in additional properties, and make open market purchases of our common stock and for general corporate purposes.

(4) Interest on the senior unsecured notes is payable semi-annually with principal and unpaid interest due on the scheduled maturity dates.

On April 27, 2007, the \$50.0 million, 6.0% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in June 2007 and the \$150.0 million, 7.20% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in August 2007, assumed as part of the Reckson Merger, were redeemed.

In October 2008, we acquired approximately \$64.0 million of our 4% exchangeable senior debentures due June 15, 2025.

Junior Subordinate Deferrable Interest Debentures

In June 2005, we issued \$100.0 million in unsecured floating rate trust preferred securities through a newly formed trust, SL Green Capital Trust I, or the Trust, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of our operating partnership. The securities are reflected on the balance sheet as Junior Subordinate Deferrable Interest Debentures. The securities mature in 2035 and bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.61% for the first ten years ending July 2015, a period of up to eight consecutive quarters if our operating partnership exercises its right to defer such payments. The trust preferred securities are redeemable, at the option of our operating partnership, in whole or in part, with no prepayment premium any time after July 2010. We do not consolidate the Trust even though it is a variable interest entity under FIN 46R as we are not the primary beneficiary. Because the Trust is not consolidated, we have recorded the debt and the related payments are classified as interest expense.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Restrictive Covenants

The terms of our 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility and senior unsecured notes include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends (as discussed below), the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the incurrence of liens and the disposition of assets, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of debt service coverage and fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of unsecured indebtedness, the minimum amount of unencumbered property debt service coverage and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, except to enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes, we will not during any four consecutive fiscal quarters make distributions with respect to common stock or other equity interests in an aggregate amount in excess of 95% of funds from operations for such period, subject to certain other adjustments. As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Market Rate Risk

We are exposed to changes in interest rates primarily from our floating rate borrowing arrangements. We use interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. A hypothetical 100 basis point increase in interest rates along the entire interest rate curve for 2008 would increase our annual interest cost by approximately \$13.4 million and would increase our share of joint venture annual interest cost by approximately \$7.4 million, respectively.

We recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through income. If a derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged asset, liability, or firm commitment through earnings, or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

Approximately \$4.5 billion of our long-term debt bears interest at fixed rates, and therefore the fair value of these instruments is affected by changes in the market interest rates. The interest rate on our variable rate debt and joint venture debt as of September 30, 2008 ranged from LIBOR plus 62 basis points to LIBOR plus 275 basis points.

Contractual Obligations

Combined aggregate principal maturities of mortgages and notes payable, 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility, senior unsecured notes and bonds (net of discounts), trust preferred securities, our share of joint venture debt, excluding extension options, estimated interest expense, and our obligations under our capital lease and ground leases, as of September 30, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Thereafter | Total |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Property Mortgages | \$ 6,771 | \$ 154,750 | \$ 132,780 | \$ 264,644 | \$ 29,845 | \$ 2,104,485 | \$ 2,693,275 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | | | | 1,288,833 | | | 1,288,833 |
| Trust Preferred Securities | | | | | | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Senior Unsecured Notes | | 200,000 | | 150,000 | | 1,443,860 | 1,793,860 |
| Capital lease | 353 | 1,416 | 1,451 | 1,555 | 1,555 | 48,760 | 55,090 |
| Ground leases | 10,162 | 31,953 | 31,512 | 29,388 | 28,638 | 607,274 | 738,927 |
| Estimated interest expense | 73,076 | 280,741 | 264,105 | 222,419 | 185,149 | 1,044,414 | 2,069,904 |
| Joint venture debt | 344,116 | 54,447 | 115,930 | 169,712 | 33,950 | 1,213,768 | 1,931,923 |
| Total | \$ 434,478 | \$ 723,307 | \$ 545,778 | \$ 2,126,551 | \$ 279,137 | \$ 6,562,561 | \$ 10,671,812 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have a number of off-balance sheet investments, including joint ventures and structured finance investments. These investments all have varying ownership structures. Substantially all of our joint venture arrangements are accounted for under the equity method of accounting as we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control over the operating and financial decisions of these joint venture arrangements. Our off-balance sheet arrangements are discussed in Note 5, Structured Finance Investments and Note 6, Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures in the accompanying financial statements. See Note 19, Subsequent Events for a discussion on the changes made to our various management agreements with Gramercy in October 2008.

Capital Expenditures

We estimate that for the three months ending December 31, 2008, we will incur approximately \$50.6 million of capital expenditures (including tenant improvements and leasing commissions) on existing wholly-owned properties and our share of capital expenditures at our joint venture properties will be approximately \$14.7 million. We expect to fund these capital expenditures with operating cash flow, borrowings under our credit facilities, additional property level mortgage financings, and cash on hand. Future property acquisitions may require substantial capital investments for refurbishment and leasing costs. We expect that these financing requirements will be met in a similar fashion. We believe that we will have sufficient resources to satisfy our capital needs during the next 12-month period. Thereafter, we expect that our capital needs will be met through a combination of net cash provided by operations, borrowings, potential asset sales or additional equity or debt issuances.

Dividends

We expect to pay dividends to our stockholders based on the distributions we receive from our operating partnership primarily from property revenues net of operating expenses or, if necessary, from working capital or borrowings.

To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must pay annual dividends to our stockholders of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined before taking into consideration the dividends paid deduction and net capital gains. We intend to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends to our stockholders. Based on our current annual dividend rate of \$3.15 per share, we would pay approximately \$179.7 million in dividends. Before we pay any dividend, whether for Federal income tax purposes or otherwise, which would only be paid out of available cash to the extent permitted under our unsecured and secured credit facilities, and our term loans, we must first meet both our operating requirements and scheduled debt service on our mortgages and loans payable.

Related Party Transactions

Cleaning/ Security/ Messenger and Restoration Services

Through Alliance Building Services, or Alliance, First Quality Maintenance, L.P., or First Quality, provides cleaning, extermination and related services, Classic Security LLC provides security services, Bright Star Couriers LLC provides messenger services, and Onyx Restoration Works provides restoration services with respect to certain properties owned by us. Alliance is owned by Gary Green, a son of Stephen L. Green, the chairman of our board of directors. In addition, First Quality has the non-exclusive opportunity to provide cleaning and related services to individual tenants at our properties on a basis separately negotiated with any tenant seeking such additional services. We paid Alliance approximately \$3.6 million, \$10.6 million, \$3.6 million and \$10.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, for these services (excluding services provided directly to tenants).

Leases

Nancy Peck and Company leases 507 square feet of space at 420 Lexington Avenue on a month-to-month basis. Nancy Peck and Company is owned by Nancy Peck, the wife of Stephen L. Green. The rent due pursuant to the lease is \$15,210 per year. Prior to February 2007, Nancy Peck and Company leased 2,013 square feet of space at 420 Lexington Avenue, pursuant to a lease that expired on June 30, 2005 and which provided for annual rental payments of approximately \$66,000. The rent due pursuant to that lease was offset against a consulting fee of \$11,025 per month an affiliate paid to her pursuant to a consulting agreement, which was canceled in July 2006.

Management Fees

S.L. Green Management Corp. receives property management fees from an entity in which Stephen L. Green owns an interest. The aggregate amount of fees paid to S.L. Green Management Corp. from such entity was approximately \$97,000, \$279,000, \$67,000 and \$200,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Brokerage Services

Sonnenblick-Goldman Company, or Sonnenblick, a nationally recognized real estate investment banking firm, provided mortgage brokerage services to us. Mr. Morton Holliday, the father of Mr. Marc Holliday, was a Managing Director of Sonnenblick at the time of the financings. In 2007, our 1604-1610 Broadway joint venture paid approximately \$146,500 to Sonnenblick in connection with obtaining a \$27.0 million first mortgage and we paid \$759,000 in connection with the refinancing of 485 Lexington Avenue. In 2008, our 1250 Broadway joint venture paid approximately \$1.7 million to Sonnenblick in connection with the sale of 1250 Broadway.

In 2007, we paid a consulting fee of \$525,000 to Stephen Wolff, the brother-in-law of Marc Holliday, in connection with our aggregate investment of \$119.1 million in the joint venture that owns 800 Third Avenue and approximately \$68,000 in connection with our acquisition of 16 Court Street for \$107.5 million.

Gramercy Capital Corp.

Our related party transactions with Gramercy are discussed in Note 11, Related Party Transactions in the accompanying financial statements. Management has evaluated its investment in Gramercy in accordance with notice 2008-234 issued by the joint SEC Office of the Chief Accountant and the FASB Staff which provided further guidance on fair value accounting. Management evaluated (1) the length of time and the extent to which the market value of our investment in Gramercy has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of Gramercy, the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of SL Green, the holder, to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient enough to allow for anticipated recovery.

Other

Insurance

We maintain all-risk property and rental value coverage (including coverage regarding the perils of flood, earthquake and terrorism) within two property insurance portfolios and liability insurance. The first property portfolio maintains a blanket limit of \$600.0 million per occurrence for the majority of the New York City properties in our portfolio with a sub-limit of \$450.0 million for acts of terrorism. The second portfolio maintains a limit of \$600.0 million per occurrence, including terrorism, for a few New York City properties and the majority of the Suburban properties. Both property policies expire on December 31, 2008. The liability policies cover all our properties and provide limits of \$200.0 million per property. The liability policies expire on October 31, 2009. The New York City portfolio incorporates our captive, Belmont Insurance Company, which we formed in an effort to, among other reasons, stabilize to some extent the fluctuations of insurance market conditions. Belmont is licensed in New York to write up to \$100.0 million of terrorism coverage for us, and at this time is providing \$50.0 million of terrorism coverage in excess of \$250.0 million and is insuring a large deductible on the liability insurance with a \$250,000 deductible per occurrence and a \$2.4 million annual aggregate loss limit. We have secured an excess insurer to protect against catastrophic

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

liability losses above \$250,000 deductible per occurrence and a stop loss if aggregate claims exceed \$2.4 million. We have retained a third party administrator to manage all claims within the deductible and we anticipate that direct management of liability claims will improve loss experience and ultimately lower the cost of liability insurance in future years. We have a 45% interest in the property at 1221 Avenue of the Americas, where we participate with The Rockefeller Group Inc., which carries a blanket policy providing \$1.0 billion of all-risk property insurance, including terrorism coverage, and a 49.9% interest in the property at 100 Park Avenue, where we participate with Prudential, which carries a blanket policy of \$500.0 million of all-risk property insurance, including terrorism coverage. We own One Madison Avenue, which is under a triple net lease with insurance provided by the tenant, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, or CS. We monitor the coverage provided by CS to make sure that our asset is adequately protected. Although we consider our insurance coverage to be appropriate, in the event of a major catastrophe, such as an act of terrorism, we may not have sufficient coverage to replace certain properties.

In October 2006, we formed a wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary, Belmont, to act as a captive insurance company and be one of the elements of our overall insurance program. Belmont acts as a direct property insurer with respect to a portion of our terrorism coverage for the New York City properties, provides primary liability insurance to cover the deductible program and added effective August 10, 2008 a directors and officers liability policy to provide reimbursement for SEC claims reducing the deductible from \$1,500,000 to \$1,000,000. As long as we own Belmont, we are responsible for its liquidity and capital resources, and the accounts of Belmont are part of our consolidated financial statements. If we experience a loss and Belmont is required to pay under its insurance policy, we would ultimately record the loss to the extent of Belmont's required payment. Therefore, insurance coverage provided by Belmont should not be considered as the equivalent of third-party insurance, but rather as a modified form of self-insurance.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, or TRIA, which was enacted in November 2002, was renewed on December 31, 2007. Congress extended TRIA, now called TRIPRA (Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization and Extension Act of 2007) until December 31, 2014. The law extends the federal Terrorism Insurance Program that requires insurance companies to offer terrorism coverage and provides for compensation for insured losses resulting from acts of foreign and domestic terrorism. Our debt instruments, consisting of mortgage loans secured by our properties (which are generally non-recourse to us), mezzanine loans, ground leases and our 2005 unsecured revolving credit facility, contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. There can be no assurance that the lenders or ground lessors under these instruments will not take the position that a total or partial exclusion from all-risk insurance coverage for losses due to terrorist acts is a breach of these debt and ground lease instruments that allows the lenders or ground lessors to declare an event of default and accelerate repayment of debt or recapture of ground lease positions. In addition, if lenders insist on full coverage for these risks and prevail in asserting that we are required to maintain such coverage, it could result in substantially higher insurance premiums.

Funds from Operations

Funds from Operations, or FFO, is a widely recognized measure of REIT performance. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, which may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs that do not compute FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition, or that interpret the NAREIT definition differently than we do. The revised White Paper on FFO approved by the Board of Governors of NAREIT in April 2002 defines FFO as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from debt restructuring and sales of properties, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We present FFO because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe that it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, particularly those that own and operate commercial office properties.

We also use FFO as one of several criteria to determine performance-based bonuses for members of our senior management. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP), as an indication of our financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions.

FFO for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 33,572 | \$ 98,568 | \$ 298,334 | \$ 511,876 |
| Add: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 54,554 | 47,246 | 164,137 | 124,983 |

Edgar Filing: SL GREEN REALTY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Minority interests | (14) | 3,993 | 15,473 | 17,218 |
| FFO from discontinued operations | 720 | 5,242 | 1,690 | 24,271 |
| FFO adjustment for unconsolidated joint ventures | 9,258 | 5,747 | 26,564 | 17,434 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations | (297) | (2,547) | 1,418 | (15,525) |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | | (80,214) | (105,979) | (367,007) |
| Equity in net gain on sale of joint venture property/ real estate | (9,533) | | (103,014) | (31,509) |
| Depreciation on non-rental real estate assets | (237) | (215) | (693) | (692) |
| Funds from Operations - available to all stockholders | \$ 88,023 | \$ 77,820 | \$ 297,930 | \$ 281,049 |
| Cash flows provided by operating activities | \$ 75,740 | \$ 68,916 | \$ 213,338 | \$ 318,387 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities | \$ (79,187) | \$ 43,018 | \$ 266,809 | \$ (1,913,838) |
| Cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities | \$ 661,027 | \$ (94,135) | \$ 185,036 | \$ 1,576,372 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Inflation

Substantially all of the office leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations as well as operating expense recoveries based on increases in the Consumer Price Index or other measures such as porters' wage. In addition, many of the leases provide for fixed base rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements are discussed in Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies-Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements in the accompanying financial statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Information

This report includes certain statements that may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Such forward-looking statements relate to, without limitation, our future capital expenditures, dividends and acquisitions (including the amount and nature thereof) and other development trends of the real estate industry and the Manhattan, Westchester County, Connecticut, Long Island and New Jersey office markets, business strategies, and the expansion and growth of our operations. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by us in light of our experience and our perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 27A of the Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by the use of the words may, will, should, expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend, project, the negative of these words, or other similar words or terms. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Among the factors about which we have made assumptions are:

- general economic or business (particularly real estate) conditions, either nationally or in the New York Metro area being less favorable than expected if the credit crisis continues;
- reduced demand for office space;
- risks of real estate acquisitions;
- risks of structured finance investments;
- availability and creditworthiness of prospective tenants;
- adverse changes in the real estate markets, including increasing vacancy, increasing availability of sublease space, decreasing rental revenue and increasing insurance costs;
- availability of capital (debt and equity);
- unanticipated increases in financing and other costs, including a rise in interest rates;
- market interest rates could adversely affect the market price of our common stock, as well as our performance and cash flows;
- our ability to satisfy complex rules in order for us to qualify as a REIT, for federal income tax purposes, our operating partnership's ability to satisfy the rules in order for it to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to qualify as REITs and certain of our subsidiaries to qualify as taxable REIT subsidiaries for federal income tax purposes and our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to operate

effectively within the limitations imposed by these rules;

- accounting principles and policies and guidelines applicable to REITs;
- competition with other companies;
- the continuing threat of terrorist attacks on the national, regional and local economies including, in particular, the New York City area and our tenants;
- legislative or regulatory changes adversely affecting REITs and the real estate business; and
- environmental, regulatory and/or safety requirements.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of future events, new information or otherwise.

The risks included here are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report may include additional factors that could adversely affect the Company's business and financial performance. Moreover, the Company operates in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on the Company's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, see item 7A, **Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Our exposures to market risk have not changed materially since December 31, 2007.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of disclosure controls and procedures in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that it will detect or uncover failures within the Company to disclose material information otherwise required to be set forth in our periodic reports. Also, we have investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As we do not control these entities, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2008, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As of September 30, 2008, we were not involved in any material litigation nor, to management's knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us or our portfolio other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business or litigation that is adequately covered by insurance.

On December 6, 2006, the Company announced that it and Reckson Associates Realty Corp. had reached an agreement in principal with the plaintiffs to settle the previously disclosed class action lawsuits relating to the SL Green/Reckson merger. The settlement, which has been executed by all parties, and will be presented to the New York court for approval, provides (1) for certain contingent profit sharing participations for Reckson stockholders relating to specified assets, none of which are owned by us, (2) for potential payments to Reckson stockholders of amounts relating to Reckson's interest in contingent profit sharing participations in connection with the sale of certain Long Island industrial properties in a prior transaction, none of which are owned by us, and (3) for the dismissal by the plaintiffs of all actions with prejudice and customary releases of all defendants and related parties.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Other than the risk factors set forth below, there have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A-Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. As used in this Item 1A., unless the context requires otherwise, all references to we, our, and us means the Company and all entities owned or controlled by the Company, including the operating partnership.

Reliance on major tenants and insolvency or bankruptcy of these and other tenants could adversely affect our results of operations.

Giving effect to leases in effect as of September 30, 2008 for consolidated properties and unconsolidated joint venture properties as of that date, our five largest tenants, based on square footage leased, accounted for approximately 22.9% of our share of portfolio annualized rent, and, other than three tenants, Citigroup, N.A., Viacom International Inc. and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC who accounted for approximately 9.5%, 4.6% and 5.8% of our share of portfolio annualized rent, respectively, no tenant accounted for more than 2.2% of that total. In addition, the financial services sector accounted for approximately 40.0% of our total annualized revenues and 37.0% of our square feet leased of our portfolio. If economic conditions persist or deteriorate, we may experience increases in past due accounts, defaults, lower occupancy and reduced effective rents, particularly in respect of our financial service tenants. Our business would be adversely affected if any of our major tenants or any other tenants became insolvent, declared bankruptcy or otherwise refused to pay rent in a timely fashion or at all.

There can be no assurance that the actions of the U.S. government, Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies for the purpose of stabilizing the financial markets, or market response to those actions, will achieve the intended effect, our business may not benefit from these actions and further government or market developments could adversely impact us.

In response to the financial issues affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, or the EESA, was recently enacted. The EESA provides the U.S. Secretary of Treasury with the authority to establish a Troubled Asset Relief Program, or TARP, to purchase from financial institutions up to \$700 billion of residential or commercial mortgages and any securities, obligations, or other instruments that are based on, or related to, such mortgages, that in each case was originated or issued on or before March 14, 2008, as well as any other financial instrument that the U.S. Secretary of Treasury, after consultation with the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, determines the purchase of which is necessary to promote financial market stability, upon transmittal of such determination, in writing, to the appropriate committees of the U.S. Congress. In addition, the U.S. Secretary of Treasury has the authority to establish a program to guarantee, upon request from a financial institution, the timely payment of principal and interest on these financial assets.

There can be no assurance that the EESA will have a beneficial impact on the financial markets, including current extreme levels of volatility. To the extent the market does not respond favorably to the TARP or the TARP does not function as intended, our business may not receive the anticipated positive impact from the legislation. In addition, the U.S. Government, Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking other actions to address the financial crisis. We cannot predict whether or when such actions may occur or what impact, if any, such actions could have on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In March 2007, our Board of Directors approved a stock purchase plan under which we can buy up to \$300.0 million of our common stock. This plan will expire on December 31, 2008. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we purchased approximately 680,000 and 1.5 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$71.38 and \$78.67 per share, respectively. As of October 31, 2008, we purchased and settled \$300.0 million, or 3.3 million shares of our common stock, at an average price of \$90.49 per share.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits:

- 10.1 Second Amended and Restated Management Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2008, by and among Gramercy Capital Corp., GKK Capital LP and GKK Manager LLC, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 27, 2008, filed with the Commission on October 31, 2008.
- 10.2 Termination of Amended and Restated Asset Servicing Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2008, by and between GKK Manager LLC and SLG Gramercy Services LLC, and acknowledged and agreed to by Gramercy Capital Corp, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 27, 2008, filed with the Commission on October 31, 2008.
- 10.3 Termination of Amended and Restated Outsource Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2008, by and between GKK Manager LLC and SL Green Operating Partnership, L.P., incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 27, 2008, filed with the Commission on October 31, 2008.
- 31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
- 31.2 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SL GREEN REALTY CORP.

By:

/s/ Gregory F. Hughes

Gregory F. Hughes
Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 10, 2008