HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC Form 10-Q July 29, 2009 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

 ${\bf x}$ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

 \mathbf{o} — TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-31443

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware	71-0879698
(State or Other Jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation or Organization)	Identification No.)

3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350
Honolulu, HI
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

96819 (Zip Code)

(808) 835-3700

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). o Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes x No

As of July 20, 2009, 51,621,236 shares of the registrant s common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

Form 10-Q

Quarterly Period ended June 30, 2009

Table of Contents

<u>Part I.</u>	Financial Information	3
Item 1.	Financial Statements of Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. (Unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	13
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	23
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	25
<u>Part II.</u>	Other Information	26
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	26
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	26
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	26
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	27
Item 5.	Other Information	27
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	27
	<u>Signatures</u>	28
	2	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(in thousands, except per share data)

		Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,		
		2009	,	2008		2009	,	2008
				(unaud	dited)			
Operating Revenue:	Φ.	256 642	Φ.	205 200	Φ.	510 504	Φ.	505.566
Passenger	\$	256,643	\$	295,200	\$	510,784	\$	525,566
Cargo		15,148		9,016		30,057		16,778
Other		20,213		14,976		39,787		28,112
Total		292,004		319,192		580,628		570,456
Operating Expenses:								
Aircraft fuel, including taxes and oil		54,661		123,377		104,860		214,413
Wages and benefits		66,772		64,958		134,237		122,259
Aircraft rent		28,083		23,322		52,234		47,135
Maintenance materials and repairs		28,201		29,960		56,980		59,335
Aircraft and passenger servicing		14,610		14,314		29,230		27,986
Commissions and other selling		16,266		20,148		33,715		36,319
Depreciation and amortization		13,054		11,755		25,777		23,774
Other rentals and landing fees		13,759		8,944		26,253		17,113
Litigation settlement				(52,500)				(52,500)
Other		24,895		26,443		49,693		48,156
Total		260,301		270,721		512,979		543,990
Operating Income		31,703		48,471		67,649		26,466
Nonoperating Income (Expense):								
Interest and amortization of debt discounts								
and issuance costs		(4,770)		(4,847)		(10,020)		(10,480)
Interest income		1,743		1,867		2,463		3,857
Unrealized loss on securities		1,671				1,671		
Gains on fuel derivatives		6,510		8,877		5,123		14,451
Other, net		438		(23)		50		136
Total		5,592		5,874		(713)		7,964
Income Before Income Taxes		37,295		54,345		66,936		34,430
Income tax expense		9,802				15,909		
Net Income	\$	27,493	\$	54,345	\$	51,027	\$	34,430
Net Income Per Common Stock Share:								
Basic	\$	0.53	\$	1.14	\$	0.99	\$	0.73
Diluted	\$	0.53	\$	1.09	\$	0.98	\$	0.73
Diracca	Ψ	0.55	Ψ	1.09	Ψ	0.90	φ	0.71

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands)

		June 30, 2009 (unaudited)		December 31, 2008
ASSETS		(unauditeu)		
Current Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	237,232	\$	203,872
Restricted cash		31,921		28,043
Short-term investments		45,353		2,076
Total cash, restricted cash and short-term investments		314,506		233,991
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,202 and \$983 as of		51.,500		200,551
June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008		38,792		32,816
Spare parts and supplies, net		15,555		16,002
Prepaid expenses and other		27,971		28,226
Total		396,824		311,035
Total		390,624		311,033
Deposite and equipment less accumulated deposition and amortization of \$00,020 and				
Property and equipment , less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$90,939 and		200 200		215 460
\$73,908 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008		309,209		315,469
Other Assets:		0.7.1.		F0 (0=
Long-term prepayments and other		35,171		52,637
Long-term investments		29,832		27,673
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$95,739 and \$84,013 as of June 30,				
2009 and December 31, 2008		103,932		115,657
Goodwill		106,662		106,663
Total Assets	\$	981,630	\$	929,134
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	37.142	\$	46.065
Air traffic liability	Ψ	237,875	Ψ	218,688
Other accrued liabilities		48,427		56,039
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		46,653		27,058
Total		370,097		347,850
Total		370,097		347,830
Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations		219,107		232,218
Other Liabilities and Deferred Credits:				
Accumulated pension and other postretirement benefit obligations		232,946		227,117
Other liabilities and deferred credits		52,031		68,636
Total		284,977		295,753
		20.,577		2,0,,00
Commitments and Contingent Liabilities				
Shareholders Equity:				
Special preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, three shares issued and outstanding at				
June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008				
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 51,621,236 shares issued and 51,419,585 shares outstanding as of June 30, 2009; 51,516,827 shares issued and outstanding as of		516		515

December 31, 2008 236,606 Capital in excess of par value 238,412 Treasury stock, 201,651 and no shares, at cost, at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (754)Accumulated deficit (148,631) (98,517) Accumulated other comprehensive loss (35,177) (32,208)53,313 107,449 Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity \$ 981,630 \$ 929,134

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in thousands)

	Six Months Ende June 30, 2009	ed 2008
	(unaudited)	
Net cash provided by Operating Activities	\$ 74,184 \$	114,351
Cash flows from Investing Activities:	(0.727)	(702)
Additions to property and equipment, including pre-delivery payments	(9,525)	(6,502)
Progress payments on flight equipment	(1,435)	(13,814)
Net proceeds from disposition of property and equipment		55
Purchases of short-term investments	(41,056)	(3,355)
Sales of short-term investments	977	8,873
Net cash used in investing activities	(51,039)	(14,743)
Cash flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from short-term borrowings		8,000
Forward sale of miles	24,086	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	81	811
Treasury stock repurchase	(754)	
Repayments of short and long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(13,198)	(19,829)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	10,215	(11,018)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	33,360	88,590
Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of Period	203,872	94,096
Cash and cash equivalents - End of Period	\$ 237,232 \$	182,686

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- I	•		\sim		
Tab	Ie.	Λt	(`^	nte	nte

Hawaiian	Hol	ldings,	Inc.
----------	-----	---------	------

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements of Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, these interim financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of the Company s results of operations and financial position for the periods presented. Due to seasonal fluctuations common to the airline industry, the results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the entire year. The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes of the Company included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

In preparing the accompanying unaudited financial statements, and in accordance with the recently issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 165 Subsequent Events , the Company s management has evaluated subsequent events through July 29, 2009, which is the date that the financial statements were issued.

Aircraft maintenance and repair costs

In its June 12, 2008 meeting, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) s Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 08-03, Accounting by Lessees for Maintenance Deposits under Lease Agreements (EITF 08-03) to provide additional guidance on accounting for maintenance deposits which became effective on January 1, 2009. Under EITF 08-03, lessees should account for nonrefundable maintenance deposits as an asset until it is determined that any portion of the estimated total amount of the deposit at the next maintenance event is less than probable of being returned. In addition, payments of maintenance deposits that are not substantially and contractually related to maintenance of the leased assets should be expensed as incurred. Upon adoption of EITF 08-03, the Company completed a forecast of maintenance costs for the next scheduled event on applicable leased aircraft and compared these estimates to its forecasted nonrefundable deposits, to identify costs not expected to be recoverable. Any costs not expected to be recoverable are considered to be not substantially and contractually related to maintenance of the leased asset and the Company will bifurcate deposit payments and expense the proportionate share that is estimated to not be recoverable from existing and future nonrefundable deposits.

Upon completion of its analysis during the second quarter, the Company adopted EITF 08-03 as of January 1, 2009 and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment to reduce recorded maintenance deposits, and increase accumulated deficit, by \$0.9 million. The impact of adopting this EITF

on future periods is not expected to be significant.

In connection with its review of nonrefundable maintenance deposits to apply EITF 08-03, the Company also changed the estimate of recoverability on certain deposits covering engine life limited parts, and recorded an additional charge to Aircraft rent expense of \$3.8 million to adjust the balance of such deposits to reflect uncertainty on whether life limited parts replacements will occur prior to lease termination. The impact of recording the change in estimate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was a decrease of \$3.8 million to net income or \$0.07 per share.

2. Earnings Per Share

Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Diluted earnings per share uses the treasury stock method for in-the-money stock options, warrants and restricted stock.

6

Table of Contents

	Three Months ended June 30,			Six Months ended June 30,			ne 30,	
		2009		2008		2009		2008
			(in th	ousands, except	for pe	er share data)		
Denominator:								
Weighted average common stock shares outstanding -								
Basic		51,435		47,488		51,584		47,396
Assumed exercise of stock awards and warrants		420		2,308		236		1,343
Weighted average common stock shares outstanding -								
Diluted		51,855		49,796		51,820		48,739
Net income per common stock share:								
Basic	\$	0.53	\$	1.14	\$	0.99	\$	0.73
Diluted	\$	0.53	\$	1.09	\$	0.98	\$	0.71

Options and other equity awards to acquire approximately 1.2 million and 2.0 million shares of the Company s common stock were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as the inclusion of the options and other equity awards would be antidilutive. Options and other equity awards to acquire approximately 0.7 million and 1.3 million shares of the Company s common stock were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, as the inclusion of such shares would be antidilutive. In addition, 6.0 million shares of the Company s common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 as the inclusion of the warrants would be antidilutive.

3. Fair Value Measurements

The Company records its financial assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, (SFAS No. 157). The table below presents the Company s financial assets measured at fair value as of June 30, 2009:

	Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2009							
		Total		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3
			(in thousands)					
Cash equivalents	\$	232,900	\$	232,900	\$		\$	
Short-term investments		45,353		42,153				3,200
Long-term investments		29,832						29,832
Fuel derivative contracts*		7,445				7,445		
Total assets measured at fair value	\$	315,530	\$	275,053	\$	7,445	\$	33,032

^{*}In the table above, fuel derivative contracts do not reflect receivables from counterparties for transactions that settled as of June 30, 2009 of \$1.2 million. As of June 30, 2009, the fuel derivative contract assets and receivables of \$8.7 million are reported in Prepaid expenses and other assets in the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Cash equivalents and Short-term investments. The Company has classified its money market securities and U.S. government-sponsored enterprise notes (GSE) that are considered to be highly liquid and easily tradable as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. These securities are valued using inputs observable in active markets for identical securities. The Short-term investments classified as Level 3 relate to the portion of

the Company s investments in auction rate securities, which are due to be repaid within the next 12 months as a mandatory redemption. See below for further discussion on the valuation of the auction rate securities.

Long-term investments. At June 30, 2009, the Company held tax-exempt municipal auction rate securities at a par value of \$35.5 million and a fair value of \$33.0 million. The contractual maturities for the tax exempt bonds underlying these auction rate securities are approximately 20 years with an interest rate that resets every seven days and is currently equal to 1.75 times the seven-day London Interbank Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a spread based on the current credit rating of the bonds. Typically, the fair value of auction rate security investments approximates par value due to the frequent interest rate resets and liquidation opportunities offered by the auction process. However, starting in the first quarter of 2008, the auction events for these instruments experienced failures and continue to fail through the end of the second quarter of 2009. While the Company continues to earn interest on its auction rate security investments at the maximum contractual rate, these investments are not auctioning and, therefore, do not have a readily determinable market value.

Table of Contents

The Company uses a discounted cash flow model to determine the estimated fair value of its investment in auction rate securities which is included in Short and Long-term investments in the table above. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include estimates for interest rates, including an illiquidity discount, timing and amount of cash flows, and a duration estimate for the underlying tax-exempt bonds. The discount rate assumption is based on the credit quality of similar investments and their related insurance enhancements. Additionally, an illiquidity discount is added to the base discount rate to incorporate the illiquidity present in the market for these securities.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recorded a \$1.7 million correction related to the other-than-temporary impairment charge previously recognized on these investments as of December 31, 2008. The Company believes that this correction was not significant to the current period or prior periods, and as a result, recorded the correction during the second quarter of 2009 when it was identified.

In July 2009, the Company received a sinking fund redemption payment of \$3.2 million. As a result of this transaction, the Company classified \$3.2 million of the auction rate securities as Short-term investments as of June 30, 2009. Aside from these transactions, the Company continues to believe that the market for these instruments may take in excess of twelve months to fully recover. As a result, the Company continues to classify the remaining investments as Long-term investments in the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2009.

At June 30, 2009, the following assets were measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as defined in SFAS No. 157:

	A	auction rate securities
	(;	(Level 3) n thousands)
Balance as of December 31, 2008	\$	27,673
Accretion of discount	·	1,065
Correction of other-than-temporary impairment		1,671
Realized and unrealized net gains:		
Included in other comprehensive income		2,623
Balance as of June 30, 2009	\$	33,032
Less: Amounts classified as short-term investments		3,200
Long-term investments as of June 30, 2009	\$	29,832

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) 115-2 and FSP 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments to make other-than-temporary impairment guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This FSP modified the current indicator that management must assert that it has both the intent and the ability to hold an impaired security for a sufficient time to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value or an impaired security may be considered other than temporarily impaired. The new guidance requires management to assert that (a) it does not have the intent to sell the security and (b) it is more likely than not that it will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. Upon adoption of the FSP on April 1, 2009, the Company reassessed its position of its auction rate securities, and concluded that it was more likely than not that it would have to sell these securities before recovery. As a result, the other-than-temporary impairment loss that was previously recorded was not reclassified to other comprehensive income.

Fuel derivative contracts. The Company s fuel derivative contracts consist of crude oil caps (or call options) and synthetic collars (a combination of call options and put options of crude oil and/or heating oil) which are not traded on a public exchange. The fair value of these instruments is

determined based on inputs available from public markets; therefore, they are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Effective January 1, 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157. In accordance with this pronouncement, the Company s nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements, became subject to the fair value guidance under SFAS No. 157. The Company performs an annual assessment of impairment on its intangible assets, goodwill, spare parts and supplies and fixed assets, and is required to perform an additional assessment if impairment indicators are present. At June 30, 2009, there were no impairment indicators for these assets that would have required an updated assessment of recorded values.

8

Table of Contents

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly to provide additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157. The FSP sets forth factors to consider when evaluating whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity when compared with normal market activity. If the reporting entity concludes that there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity in relation to normal market activity, then transactions or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value, thus prompting further analysis and adjustment to the transactions or quoted prices in estimating fair value. There was no impact upon adoption of this FSP on April 1, 2009.

4. Financial Instruments and Risk Management

On April 1, 2009, the Company adopted FSP 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments which requires additional disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements.

Financial Instruments

All investments are classified as available-for-sale and stated at fair value. Investments as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 recorded at fair value consisted of:

	June	e 30, 2009	Dece	ember 31, 2008	
		(in thousands)			
Auction rate securities	\$	33,032	\$	27,673	
U.S. government agency mortgages		42,153		1,068	
Corporate and bank notes				1,008	
	\$	75,185	\$	29,749	

Investments at June 30, 2009, by contractual maturity included:

	30, 2009 ousands)
Due in one year or less	\$ 44,296
Due after one year through five years	7,891
Due after five years through ten years	9,625
Due after 10 years	13,373
	\$ 75,185

Gross unrealized gains on the auction rate securities were \$2.6 million as of June 30, 2009. The table below shows the fair value of the auction rate securities and the location of the unrealized gains as of June 30, 2009:

As of June 30, 2009

							UI	n eanzeu Gams
	Pa	r Value	Amo	ortized Cost	I	Fair Value	Rec	corded in AOCI
Auction rate securities	\$	35,500	\$	30,409	\$	33,032	\$	2,623

Gross unrealized gains and losses for all other available-for-sale investments were not material.

The fair value of the Company s debt (excluding obligations under capital leases) with a carrying value of \$221.9 million and \$212.2 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, was approximately \$199.7 million and \$216.0 million. These estimates were based on the discounted amount of future cash flows using the Company s estimated current incremental rate of borrowing as comparable market prices were not available.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, other receivables and accounts payable approximate their fair value due to their short-term nature.

Table of Contents

Fuel Risk Management

The Company adopted SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of SFAS No. 133 (SFAS No. 161) effective January 1, 2009. SFAS No. 161 requires an entity to provide greater transparency about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how the instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and how the instruments and related hedged items affect the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of such entity under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133).

The Company s operations are inherently dependent upon the price and availability of aircraft fuel. To manage risks associated with fluctuations in aircraft fuel prices, the Company periodically enters into derivative financial instruments such as heating oil futures contracts and swaps, crude oil caps (or call options) and synthetic collars (a combination of call options and put options of crude oil and/or heating oil). During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company primarily used crude oil caps (call options) and collars (combinations of call options and put options) to hedge its aircraft fuel expense. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had outstanding fuel derivative contracts covering 36 million gallons of jet fuel that will be settled over the next 11 months. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges under SFAS No. 133. As a result, any changes in fair value of these derivative instruments are adjusted through Nonoperating (income) expense in the period of change.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company hedged a portion of its aircraft fuel expense utilizing jet fuel forward contracts which were designated as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133. All of the Company s fuel derivative contracts that were designated as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133 were settled during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

The following table shows the amount and location of realized and unrealized gains and losses that were recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and where those gains and losses were recorded in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for hedges accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and other hedges not designated as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133.

	Three months ended June 30, 2009 2008 (in thousands)			Six months er 2009	une 30, 2008		
Cash Flow Hedge Derivatives Under SFAS No. 133							
Effective portion of fuel hedge gains recognized in							
Aircraft fuel expense	\$		\$	\$		\$	384
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging							
Instruments Under SFAS No. 133							
Gains (losses) on fuel derivatives recorded in							
Nonoperating income (expense):							
Mark-to-market gains (losses) on undesignated fuel							
hedges:							
Realized gain (losses):							
Gains (losses) realized at settlement	\$	(213)	\$	8,336	(11,177)		12,865
Reversal of prior period unrealized amounts		3,192		(2,914)	11,293		(3,289)
Unrealized gains on contracts that will settle in future							
periods		3,531		3,455	5,007		4,875
	\$	6,510	\$	8,877 \$	5,123	\$	14,451

Gains on fuel derivatives recorded as Nonoperating income

FSP FASB Interpretation Number (FIN) 39-1, Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39 (FSP FIN 39-1), requires a reporting entity to elect a policy of whether to offset rights to reclaim cash collateral or obligations to return cash collateral against derivative assets and liabilities executed with the same counterparty, or present such amounts on a gross basis. The Company s accounting policy is to present its derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis including the collateral posted with the counterparty.

The following table presents the fair value of the asset and liability derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 as well as the location of the asset and liability balances within the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value of Derivatives								
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133		June	Asso 30, 2009	ets as of Decem	ber 31, 2008	Liabilities as of June 30, 2009 December 31, 2008				
					(in the	ousands)				
Fuel derivative contracts	Prepaid expenses and other	\$	7,544	\$	1,536	\$	99	\$	11,512	

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there were no fuel derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges.

5. Debt and Common Stock Warrant

On March 31, 2009, the Company reached an agreement with its co-branded credit card partner (Partner) to extend its co-branded credit card agreement (Amendment). Under the Amendment, the Partner purchases frequent flyer miles from the Company for mileage credits earned by *HawaiianMiles* members for making purchases using a Hawaiian Airlines branded credit card issued by the Partner. The Amendment provides for an increase in the rate per frequent flyer mile sold to the Partner effective January 1, 2009 as well as an advance payment of \$24.1 million to the Company for the forward sale of miles, which was received during the three months ended June 30, 2009. Per the Amendment, \$20 million of the forward sale of miles cannot be applied to any miles sold prior to January 2010. Should the Amendment be terminated early, any amounts unencumbered as of the date of termination would be required to be repaid by the Company.

The Company recorded \$22.9 million of the advance purchase of mileage credits as a loan with an implicit interest rate of 6.75% in accordance with the provisions of EITF 88-18, Sales of Future Revenue. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, the debt balance was reduced by \$4.1 million upon the sale of miles to the Partner. The remaining liability is reported as Current portion of long-term debt in the unaudited Consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2009 and will be reduced ratably in 2010 as the mileage credits are issued to the Partner. Additionally, approximately \$1.2 million of the advance received from the Partner is treated as consideration for certain other commitments with respect to the co-branding relationship, including extension of the terms of the Amendment until December 2013. This amount is reported in Air traffic liability in our unaudited Consolidated balance sheet and will be recognized as Other revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the agreement.

6. Employee Benefit Plans

Net periodic defined pension and other postemployment and postretirement benefit expense included the following components:

Components of Net Periodic		Three months	ended	Six months ended June 30,					
Benefit Cost		2009	2008			2009		2008	
	(in thousands)								
Service cost	\$	1,827	\$	1,179	\$	3,654	\$	3,312	
Interest cost		5,966		5,989		11,932		11,588	
Expected return on plan assets		(3,129)		(5,199)		(6,258)		(10,404)	

Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss	93	(457)	186	(1,618)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 4,757	\$ 1,512	\$ 9,514 \$	2,878

The Company made contributions of \$0.1 million and \$2.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 to its defined benefit pension and disability plans. The Company has made its required contributions for 2009 and no other contributions are anticipated for the remainder of 2009.

7. Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$9.8 million and \$15.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The income tax expense differed from the statutory rate due to the impact of positive originating temporary differences, primarily accelerated depreciation on aircraft, that will result in the realization of deferred tax assets that were previously subject to a full valuation allowance. Utilization of deferred tax assets is generally based on the Company s ability to generate taxable income in future years. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the Company s deferred tax assets will not be realized. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company recognized a full valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets not expected to be utilized during 2009. The Company reviews its tax valuation allowances on an annual basis or as events occur that may impact its position. The Company did not record an income tax provision during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007) Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141R) amends SFAS No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS No. 109), to require the acquirer in a business combination to recognize changes in the amount of its deferred tax benefits that are recognizable because of a business combination either in income from continuing operations in the period of the combination or directly in contributed capital, depending on the circumstances. (Such changes arise through changes in the balance of the acquirer—s valuation allowance on its previously existing deferred tax assets because of the business combination.) Previously, SFAS No. 109 required a reduction of the acquirer—s valuation allowance because of a business combination to be recognized through a corresponding reduction to goodwill or certain noncurrent assets or an increase in so-called negative goodwill. The Company adopted the requirements of SFAS No. 141R effective January 1, 2009. The impact of adopting SFAS No. 141R was an increase in the income tax provision and a corresponding decrease in net income of \$2.1 million (or \$0.04 per share) during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and a reduction in the income tax provision and a corresponding increase in net income of \$0.3 million (or \$0.01 per share) during the and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Cash payments for federal and state income taxes were \$8.4 million and \$23.0 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. No payments were made during the comparable period in 2008.

8. Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income included the following:

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,				
		2009		2008		2009		2008
				(in thous	ands)			
Net income	\$	27,493	\$	54,345	\$	51,027	\$	34,430
Other comprehensive income (loss):								
Change in unrealized net gains (losses) on hedge								
instruments and short and long-term investments		50		173		2,621		(1,619)
Amortization of net actuarial (gains) losses on								
employee benefit plans		174		(457)		348		(1,617)
Total comprehensive income	\$	27,717	\$	54,061	\$	53,996	\$	31,194

9. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Litigation and Contingencies

The Company is subject to legal proceedings arising in the normal course of its operations. Management does not anticipate that the disposition of such proceedings will have a material effect upon the Company s financial statements.

Credit Card Holdback

Under Hawaiian s credit card processing agreements, certain proceeds from advance ticket sales are held back to serve as collateral to cover any possible chargebacks or other disputed charges that may occur. These holdbacks, which are included in restricted cash in the Company s unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, totaled \$31.9 million at June 30, 2009. The funds are interest-bearing and are subsequently made available to the Company when air travel is provided. The agreement with Hawaiian s largest credit card processor (Credit Card Agreement) also contains financial triggers which require, among other things, that we maintain a minimum amount of unrestricted cash and short-term investments (Unrestricted Cash Trigger), and maintain certain levels of debt service coverage and operating income. Under the terms of the Credit Card Agreement, the level of credit card holdback is subject to adjustment based on these specific financial triggers.

As of June 30, 2009, the holdback was at the contractual level of 25% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability. If these specific financial triggers are not met in the future, the holdback could increase to an amount up to 100% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability, which would also cause an increase in the level of restricted cash. If the Company is unable to obtain a waiver of, or otherwise mitigate the increase in restriction of cash, it could also cause a covenant violation under other debt or lease obligations and have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that reflect our current views with respect to certain current and future events and financial performance. These forward-looking statements are and will be, as the case may be, subject to many risks, uncertainties and factors relating to our operations and business environment, all of which may cause our actual results to be materially different from any future results, expressed or implied, in these forward-looking statements.

- Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any future results, expressed or implied, in these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

 a continued decline in the U.S. and global economies;

 the price and availability of aviation fuel;

 competition in the transpacific and interisland markets;

 our dependence on tourist travel;

 the effects of seasonality and cyclicality;
- the concentration of our business in Hawaii;
- the demand for transportation in the markets in which we operate;
- the competitive advantages held by network carriers in the transpacific markets;

•	the effects of new entrants into the transpacific and interisland markets;
•	competitive pressures on pricing (particularly from lower-cost competitors);
•	our ability to negotiate amendments to labor agreements which are currently amendable;
•	our dependence on satisfactory labor relations;
•	the impact of our substantial financial and operating leverage;
•	our ability to comply with financial covenants;
•	our substantial funding obligations under our defined benefit pension plans;
•	our ability to attract, motivate and retain key executives and other employees;
•	our increasing dependence on technologies to operate our business;
•	our reliance on other companies for facilities and services;
•	our fleet concentration in out-of-production Boeing 717-200 aircraft;
•	our long-term commitments with aircraft and engine manufacturers and eventual financing arrangements;
•	delays in scheduled aircraft deliveries or other loss of fleet capacity;

Table of Contents

•	the effects of any hostilities or act of war (in the Middle East or elsewhere) or any terrorist attack;
•	bankruptcies in the airline industry and the possible negative impact such bankruptcies might have on fares and excess capacity;
•	government legislation and regulation, including the Aviation and Transportation Security Act and other similar regulations;
•	the impact of possible aircraft incidents;
•	the impact of litigation, anticipated and unanticipated;
•	the impact of possible disruptions due to unpredictable weather and environmental concerns;
•	the potential impact of consolidation within the airline industry;
•	increased airport rent rates and landing fees at the airports within the State of Hawaii or elsewhere;
•	the cost and availability of insurance, including aircraft insurance;
•	security-related costs and regulation;
•	our ability to implement our growth strategy and related cost reduction goals; and
•	consumer perceptions of our services compared to other airlines.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that may arise after

the date of this quarterly report.
Overview
Our Company
Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. (the Company, Holdings, we, us and our) is a holding company incorporated in the State of Delaware. The Company s primary asset is its sole ownership of all issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. (Hawaiian). Hawaiian was originally incorporated in January 1929 under the laws of the Territory of Hawaii and became the Company s indirect wholly-owned subsidiary pursuant to a corporate restructuring that was consummated in August 2002. Hawaiian became a Delaware corporation and the Company s direct wholly-owned subsidiary concurrent with its reorganization and reacquisition by the Company in June 2005.
Hawaiian is engaged primarily in the scheduled air transportation of passengers and cargo amongst the Hawaiian Islands (the interisland routes) and between the Hawaiian Islands and certain cities in the Western United States (the transpacific routes), the South Pacific, Australia and Asia (the South Pacific/Australia/Asia routes). Hawaiian is the largest airline headquartered in Hawaii and the twelfth large domestic airline in the United States based on revenue passenger miles reported by the Research and Innovative Technology Administration Bureau of Transportation Services as of March 2009. At June 30, 2009, Hawaiian s operating fleet consisted of 15 Boeing 717-200 aircraft for its interisland routes and 18 Boeing 767-300 aircraft for its transpacific, South Pacific/Australia/Asia and charter routes. Based in Honolulu, Hawaiian had approximately 3,750 active employees as of June 30, 2009.
General information about us is available at http://www.hawaiianair.com/about. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as any amendments and exhibits to those reports, are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with, or furnish them to, the SEC.
Second Quarter Highlights
• \$27.5 million in net income or \$0.53 net income per diluted share for the quarter.
14

Table of Contents

- Operating income of \$31.7 million, compared to \$48.5 million in the second quarter of 2008 (which included the benefit of a \$52.5 million litigation settlement)
- Operating cost per available seat mile (ASM) decreased by 5.6% to 10.69 cents.
- Ranked as the #1 carrier for on-time performance as reported by the U.S. Department of Transportation Air Travel Consumer Report for the months of April and May 2009.

Results of Operations

Statistical Data (unaudited)

	Three Months ended June 30,				Six Months end	June 30,	
	2009 2008		2008		2009		2008
	(in	thou	ısands, except as	othei	erwise indicated)		
Scheduled Operations:							
Revenue passengers flown	2,079		2,154		4,074		3,884
Revenue passenger miles (RPM)	2,051,342		2,034,395		4,010,893		3,964,473
Available seat miles (ASM)	2,434,877		2,391,463		4,826,750		4,662,346
Passenger revenue per ASM (PRASM)	10.54¢		12.35¢		10.58¢		11.27¢
Passenger load factor (RPM/ASM)	84.2%		85.1%		83.1%		85.0%
Passenger revenue per RPM (Yield)	12.51¢		14.51¢	14.51¢			13.26¢
Total Operations:							
Operating revenue per ASM	11.99¢		13.35¢		12.03¢		12.21¢
Operating cost per ASM (CASM)	10.69¢		11.32¢		10.63¢		11.64¢
Aircraft fuel expense per ASM	2.24¢		5.16¢		2.17¢		4.59¢
Litigation settlement per ASM	¢		(2.20)¢		¢		(1.12)¢
Revenue passengers flown	2,081		2,154		4,076		3,887
Revenue block hours operated (actual)	28,057		26,352		55,694		50,453
RPM	2,051,648		2,034,395		4,011,733		3,973,307
ASM	2,435,191		2,391,463		4,827,744		4,672,847
Gallons of jet fuel consumed	34,307		34,030		68,125		65,941
Average cost per gallon of jet fuel (actual)							
(a)	\$ 1.59	\$	3.63	\$	1.54	\$	3.25

⁽a) Includes applicable taxes and fees and realized gains from settled SFAS No. 133 hedges.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized net income of \$27.5 million on operating income of \$31.7 million, compared to net income of \$54.3 million and operating income of \$48.5 million for the same three-month period in 2008, which prior year period included a \$52.5 million litigation settlement with Mesa Air Group, Inc. (Mesa). The significant differences between income and expense items for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are discussed below.

Operating Revenue. Operating revenue was \$292.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a 8.5% decrease over operating revenue of \$319.2 million for the same three-month period in 2008 due to a decrease in passenger revenue, primarily resulting from decreased yields (Revenue per Revenue Passenger Mile). The decrease in our passenger revenue was partially offset by increases in our cargo and other revenue. The detail of the change in passenger revenue is described in the table below.

Table of Contents

Three Months ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months ended June 30, 2008

	so passer	hange in heduled nger revenue nillions)	Change in Yield	Change in RPM	Change in ASM
Transpacific	\$	(19.6)	(9.9)%	(0.9)%	(0.0)%
Interisland		(19.5)	(18.1)	(3.3)	7.9
South Pacific/Australia/Asia		0.5	(3.0)	24.3	8.9
Total scheduled	\$	(38.6)	(13.8)%	0.8%	1.8%

Cargo revenue increased by \$6.1 million or 68.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 primarily due to an increase in baggage fees related to the implementation of incremental fees for passenger bags during 2008.

Other operating revenue increased by \$5.2 million or 35.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the comparable three-month period in 2008, primarily due to additional marketing revenue resulting from increased rates on the sale of frequent flyer miles to program partners.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$260.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a \$10.4 million decrease from operating expenses of \$270.7 million for the comparable three-month period in 2008. The decrease in our operating expenses was due to a 56% decrease in the cost of aircraft fuel which was offset by the litigation settlement of \$52.5 million recognized in the prior year period.

	Thre	ee months ended	Change from Three June 30,	
	J	June 30, 2009	Amount	%
		(in thousands)		
Operating expenses				
Aircraft fuel, including taxes and oil	\$	54,661	\$ (68,716)	(55.7)%
Wages and benefits		66,772	1,814	2.8
Aircraft rent		28,083	4,761	20.4
Maintenance materials and repairs		28,201	(1,759)	(5.9)
Aircraft and passenger servicing		14,610	296	2.1
Commissions and other selling		16,266	(3,882)	(19.3)
Depreciation and amortization		13,054	1,299	11.1
Other rentals and landing fees		13,759	4,815	53.8
Litigation settlement			52,500	(100.0)
Other		24,895	(1,548)	(5.9)
Total	\$	260,301	\$ (10,420)	(3.8)%

Aircraft Fuel

The decrease in aircraft fuel expense of 55.7% was substantially due to a 56.1% decrease in the cost of jet fuel. The elements of the change are illustrated in the following table:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				
		2009		2008	Change
		t per-gallon amounts)			
Gallons of jet fuel consumed		34,307		34,030	0.8%
Raw price per gallon, including taxes and fees	\$	1.59	\$	3.63	(56.1)%
Aircraft fuel expense	\$	54,661	\$	123.377	(55.7)%

16

Table of Contents

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, our fuel derivatives were not designated for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133 and were marked to fair value. As such, \$6.5 million of net gains from our fuel hedging activities were not recorded as part of Aircraft fuel expense in operating activities, but rather as nonoperating income/expense. Included in this amount are losses realized during the period of \$0.2 million, a reversal of \$3.2 million of unrealized losses recognized in prior periods for contracts which settled in the current period and \$3.5 million of unrealized gains recognized in the current period for derivative contracts settling in future periods.

We believe *economic fuel expense* is the best measure of the effect of fuel prices on our business as it most closely approximates the net cash outflow associated with the purchase of fuel for our operations in a period. We define *economic fuel expense* as raw fuel expense plus (gains) losses realized through actual cash receipts/payments received from or paid to hedge counterparties for fuel hedge derivatives settled in the period. *Economic fuel expense* for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is calculated as follows:

	Thr 2009	ee Months	Ended June 30, 2008	Change
	(in thousa			
Aircraft fuel expense	\$ 54,661	\$	123,377	(55.7)%
Less realized (gains) losses on settlement of fuel derivative contracts	213		(8,336)	(102.6)%
Economic fuel expense	\$ 54,874	\$	115,041	(52.3)%
Gallons of jet fuel consumed	34,307		34,030	0.8%
Economic fuel costs per gallon	\$ 1.60	\$	3.38	(52.7)%

See Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, for additional discussion of our jet fuel costs and related hedging program.

Other operating expense

Aircraft rent expense increased primarily a result of a charge resulting from a change in the amount we estimated to be recoverable with respect to certain deposits covering engine parts. The increase in Commissions and other selling expense was primarily a result of lower credit card fees related to lower sales activity and changes in the value of our frequent flyer liability. The increase in Depreciation and amortization expense is attributable to the amortization of four Boeing 717 capital leases which we began leasing in the second half of 2008. Other rentals and landing fees increased primarily due to increased rates for landing fees and space rent at airports in Hawaii. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we received \$52.5 million of cash settlement related to our litigation with Mesa.

Nonoperating Income and Expense. Nonoperating income, net, was \$5.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to nonoperating income, net, of \$5.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease of \$0.3 million was due to lower net gains on our fuel derivative instruments recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the comparable period in 2008. We realized losses of \$0.2 million on contracts that settled during the period, reversed \$3.2 million of unrealized losses recognized in prior periods for contracts which settled in the current period, and recognized \$3.5 million in unrealized gains on contracts settling in future periods. This was slightly offset by a \$1.7 million adjustment to unrealized loss on securities for the correction of our other-than-temporary impairment on our auction rate securities.

Income Taxes. The Company recorded a provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2009 of \$9.8 million. No provision or benefit was recognized for the same period in 2008. See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Six Months ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months ended June 30, 2008

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized net income of \$51.0 million on operating income of \$67.6 million, compared to net income of \$34.4 million and operating income of \$26.5 million for the same six-month period in 2008. The significant differences between income and expense items for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are discussed below.

Operating Revenue. Operating revenue was \$580.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a 1.8% increase over operating revenue of \$570.5 million for the same six-month period in 2008, driven mainly by increases in cargo and other revenue, which was partially offset by a decrease in passenger revenue.

Passenger revenue decreased by \$14.8 million primarily to decreased yields (Revenue per Passenger Mile). The detail of changes in revenue is described in the table below.

Six Months ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months ended June 30, 2008

	I	Change in scheduled passenger revenue (millions)	Change in Yield	Change in RPM	Change in ASM
Transpacific	\$	(19.7)	(2.8)%	(3.1)%	(2.3)%
Interisland		(0.7)	(9.3)	9.7	21.3
South Pacific/Australia/Asia		5.6	(1.5)	42.5	37.8
Total scheduled	\$	(14.8)	(3.9)%	1.2%	3.5%

Cargo revenue increased by \$13.3 million or 79.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 primarily due to an increase in baggage fees related to the implementation of incremental fees for passenger bags during 2008.

Other operating revenue increased by \$11.7 million or 41.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the comparable six-month period in 2008, primarily due to additional marketing revenue resulting from increased rates on the sale of frequent flyer miles to program partners and an increase in ancillary and ground handling revenue.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$513.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a \$31.0 million decrease from operating expenses of \$544.0 million for the comparable six-month period in 2008. The decrease in our operating expenses is detailed below.

Table of Contents

	Six m	onths ended		Change from Six Month June 30, 2008	hs ended
	Jur	ne 30, 2009		Amount	Change
		(in thou	sands)		
Operating expenses					
Aircraft fuel, including taxes and oil	\$	104,860	\$	(109,553)	(51.1)%
Wages and benefits		134,237		11,978	9.8
Aircraft rent		52,234		5,099	10.8
Maintenance materials and repairs		56,980		(2,355)	(4.0)
Aircraft and passenger servicing		29,230		1,244	4.4
Commissions and other selling		33,715		(2,604)	(7.2)
Depreciation and amortization		25,777		2,003	8.4
Other rentals and landing fees		26,253		9,140	53.4
Litigation settlement				52,500	(100.0)
Other		49,693		1,537	3.2
Total	\$	512,979	\$	(31,011)	(5.7)%

Aircraft Fuel

The decrease in aircraft fuel expense of 51.1% was due to a 52.7% decrease in the cost of jet fuel. The elements of the change are illustrated in the following table:

	Six	x Months E	nded June 30,	
	2009		2008	Change
	(in thousa	ınds, except	per-gallon amounts)	
Gallons of jet fuel consumed	68,125		65,941	3.3%
Raw price per gallon, inlcuding taxes and fees	\$ 1.54	\$	3.26	(52.7)%
Total raw fuel expense	\$ 104,860	\$	214,797	(51.2)%
Realized gains from settled SFAS No. 133 hedges			(384)	(100.0)%
Aircraft fuel expense	\$ 104,860	\$	214,413	(51.1)%

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, our fuel derivatives were not designated for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133 and were marked to fair value. As such, \$5.1 million of gains from our fuel hedging activities were not recorded as part of Aircraft fuel expense in operating activities, but rather as nonoperating income/expense. Included in this amount are losses realized during the period of \$11.2 million, a reversal of 11.3 million of unrealized losses recognized in prior periods for contracts which settled during the current period and \$5.0 million of unrealized gains recognized in the current period for derivative contracts settling in future periods.

Economic fuel expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is calculated as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008	Change
	(in thousa	ands, excep	ot per-gallon amounts)	
Raw fuel expense	\$ 104,860	\$	214,797	(51.2)%
Less realized (gains) losses on settlement of fuel derivative contracts	11,177		(13,249)	(184.4)%
Economic fuel expense	\$ 116,037	\$	201,548	(42.4)%

Gallons of jet fuel consumed	68,125	65,941	3.3%
Economic fuel costs per gallon	\$ 1.70	\$ 3.06	(44.3)%

See Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, for additional discussion of our jet fuel costs and related hedging program.

Table	of	Contents

Other operating expenses

Wages and benefits expense increased as a result of higher pension costs primarily due to a lower estimated expected return on plan assets resulting from a lower market value of our plan assets as of December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007. The increase in aircraft rent expense was primarily the result of a charge resulting from a change in the amount we estimated to be recoverable with respect to certain deposits covering engine parts. Other rentals and landing fees increased due to increased rates for landing fees and space rent at airports in Hawaii. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we received \$52.5 million of cash settlement related to our litigation with Mesa.

Nonoperating Income and Expense. Nonoperating expense, net, was \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to nonoperating income, net, of \$8.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease of \$8.7 million was primarily due to lower net gains recognized on our fuel derivative instruments during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the comparable period in 2008. We realized losses of \$11.2 million on contracts that settled during the period, reversed \$11.3 million of unrealized losses recognized in prior periods for contracts which settled during the current period, and recognized \$5.0 million in unrealized gains on contracts settling in future periods.

Income Taxes. The Company recorded a provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$15.9 million. No such provision was recognized for the same period in 2008. See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity is dependent on the operating results and cash flows of Hawaiian, along with our significant debt financings. Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments were \$282.6 million as of June 30, 2009, an increase of \$76.6 million from December 31, 2008. We also had restricted cash on those dates of \$31.9 million and \$28.0 million, respectively, which consisted almost entirely of cash held as collateral by entities that process our credit card sales transactions for advance ticket sales. Substantially all of the cash held as collateral for credit card sales transactions earns interest for our benefit and is released to us when the related travel is provided to our passengers. Hawaiian s cash flow from operations is typically higher in the second and third quarters, while the first and fourth quarters traditionally reflect reduced travel demand except for specific periods around holidays and spring break.

Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$74.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$40.2 million compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in ticket sales during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 as well as the \$52.5 million of cash received in May 2008 for the litigation settlement with Mesa Air Group, Inc. These decreases were offset by aircraft fuel expense which was approximately 51.1% less during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$51.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$14.7 million for the same period in 2008. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we purchased \$41.1 million of short-term investments. In addition, we used \$9.5 million of cash for purchases of property and equipment, including the purchase of an engine that we previously leased. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, Hawaiian made \$13.8 million of progress payments related to the purchase of aircraft, as well as progress payments on four spare engines.

Financing activities provided net cash of \$10.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. We received a \$24.1 million advance payment from our co-branded credit card partner for the forward sale of miles. This was offset by \$13.2 million of repayments on our long-term debt and capital lease obligations. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, financing activities used \$11.0 million of cash primarily for repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations.

Forward Sale of Miles

On March 31, 2009, we entered into an agreement with our co-branded credit card partner (Partner) to amend our co-branded credit card agreement (Amendment). Under the Amendment, the Partner purchases frequent flyer mileage credits to be earned by *HawaiianMiles* members for making purchases using a Hawaiian Airlines branded credit card issued by the Partner. The Amendment provides for an increase in the rate per frequent flyer mile sold to the Partner effective January 1, 2009, as well as an advance payment to us of \$24.1 million received in May 2009 for the forward sale of miles. See further discussion in Note 5 to the Consolidated financial statements.

Table of	Contents
----------	----------

Stock Repurchase Program

In March 2009, the Executive Committee of our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program under which we may purchase up to \$7 million of our outstanding common stock. On July 27, 2009, we announced that the Board of Directors determined to terminate our previously announced stock purchase program. The total shares purchased under the program were 201,651.

Covenants under our Financing Arrangements

The terms of our Term A and Term B credit facilities restrict our ability to, among other things, incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make other payments on investments, consummate asset sales or similar transactions, create liens, merge or consolidate with any other person, or sell, assign, transfer, lease, convey or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets. The terms of the agreements contain covenants that require us to meet certain financial tests to avoid a default that might lead to early termination of the facilities. These financial tests include maintaining a minimum amount of unrestricted cash and achieving certain levels of debt service coverage. As of June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with these covenants. If we were not able to comply with these covenants, our outstanding obligations under these facilities could be accelerated and become due and payable immediately.

Under our bank-issued credit card processing agreements, certain proceeds from advance ticket sales are held back to serve as collateral to cover any possible chargebacks or other disputed charges that may occur. These holdbacks, which are included in restricted cash in our unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, totaled \$31.9 million at June 30, 2009. The funds are interest-bearing and are subsequently made available to us as air travel is provided. The agreement with our largest credit card processor (Credit Card Agreement) also contains financial triggers which require, among other things, that we maintain a minimum amount of unrestricted cash and short-term investments (Unrestricted Cash Trigger), and maintain certain levels of debt service coverage and operating income. Under the terms of the Credit Card Agreement, the level of credit card holdback is subject to adjustment based on these specific financial triggers.

As of June 30, 2009, the holdback was at the contractual level of 25% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability. If these specific financial triggers are not met in the future, the holdback could increase to an amount up to 100% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability, which would also cause an increase in the level of restricted cash. If we are unable to obtain a waiver of, or otherwise mitigate the increase in restriction of cash, it could also cause a covenant violation under other debt or lease obligations and have a material adverse impact on us.

Auction Rate Securities

At December 31, 2008, we had investments in tax-exempt municipal auction rate securities, which were included in long-term investments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. While we continue to earn interest on our auction rate security investments at the contractual rate, these investments are not currently auctioning on a regular basis and, therefore, do not currently have a readily available market or valuation. Based on an assessment of fair value, and because we may not have the intent or ability to hold these investments until their eventual recovery or maturity, \$7.8 million was recognized in nonoperating expenses as an other-than-temporary impairment as of December 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2009, we updated the discounted cash flow model used to determine the estimated fair value of our investment in auction rate securities. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include estimates for interest rates, an illiquidity discount, timing and amount of cash flows, and a duration estimate for the underlying tax exempt bonds. The discount rate assumption is based on the credit

quality of the underlying investments and related insurance enhancements and a factor to further discount the investments for the illiquidity present in the market for these securities. As of June 30, 2009, we recorded a \$1.7 million correction in nonoperating income related to a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment charge as well as a \$2.6 million increase in fair value in accumulated other comprehensive income. In July 2009, we received a mandatory redemption payment of \$3.2 million. Therefore, we reclassified \$3.2 million of the auction rate securities from Long-term investments to Short-term investments as of June 30, 2009. We continue to believe that the market for these instruments may take in excess of twelve months to fully recover and as such, continue to classify the remaining investments as long-term investments in the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009.

Pension and Postemployment Benefit Plan Funding

Hawaiian made contributions of \$0.1 million and \$2.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 to its defined benefit pension and disability plans. Hawaiian has made its required contributions for 2009 and no other contributions are anticipated for the remainder of 2009. Future funding requirements are dependent upon many factors such as interest rates, funded status, applicable regulatory requirements and the level and timing of asset returns.

Table	of	Contents

Engine Purchases

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we purchased one Pratt and Whitney 4060-3 engine that was previously on lease to us. As of March 31, 2009, we placed a deposit on the engine which was applied to the purchase price. In addition, we signed a letter of intent and placed a deposit on the purchase of a second Pratt and Whitney 4060-3 engine that is currently leased by us. We expect the purchase agreement for the second engine to be executed during the third quarter of 2009.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and/or conditions.

Critical accounting policies and estimates are defined as those accounting policies and accounting estimates that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties that potentially could result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. For a detailed discussion of the application of our critical accounting policies, see Critical Accounting Policies and Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Aircraft maintenance and repair costs

Maintenance and repair costs for owned and leased flight equipment, including the overhaul of aircraft components, are charged to operating expenses as incurred. Engine overhaul costs covered by power-by-the-hour arrangements are paid and expensed as incurred, on the basis of hours flown per contract. Under the terms of our power-by-the-hour agreements, we pay a set dollar amount per engine hour flown on a monthly basis and the third-party vendor assumes the obligation to repair the engines at no additional cost to us, subject to certain specified exclusions.

Additionally, although our aircraft lease agreements specifically provide that we, as lessee, are responsible for maintenance of the leased aircraft, we do, under our existing aircraft lease agreements, pay maintenance reserves to aircraft lessors that are to be applied towards the cost of future maintenance events. These reserves are calculated based on a performance measure, such as flight hours, and are available for reimbursement to us upon the completion of the maintenance of the leased aircraft. If there are sufficient funds on deposit to reimburse us for the invoices initially paid by Hawaiian and then submitted to the lessor, they are reimbursed to us. However, reimbursements are limited to the available deposits associated with the specific maintenance activity for which we are requesting reimbursement. Under certain of our existing aircraft lease agreements, if there are excess amounts on deposit at the expiration of the lease, the lessor is entitled to retain any excess amounts; whereas at the expiration of certain other of our existing aircraft lease agreements any such excess amounts are returned to us, provided that we have fulfilled all of our obligations under the lease agreements. The maintenance reserves paid under our lease agreements do not transfer either the obligation to maintain the aircraft or the cost risk associated with the maintenance activities to the aircraft lessor. In addition, we maintain the right to select any third-party maintenance provider. Therefore, we record these amounts as a deposit on our balance sheet and then recognize

maintenance expense when the underlying maintenance is performed, in accordance with our maintenance accounting policy.

In its June 12, 2008 meeting, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) s Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 08-03, Accounting by Lessees for Maintenance Deposits under Lease Agreements (EITF 08-03) to provide additional guidance on accounting for maintenance deposits which became effective on January 1, 2009. Upon adoption of EITF 08-03, we completed a forecast of maintenance costs for the next scheduled event on applicable leased aircraft and compared these estimates to our forecasted nonrefundable deposits, to identify costs not expected to be recoverable. Any costs not expected to be recoverable are considered to be not substantially and contractually related to maintenance of the leased asset and we will bifurcate deposit payments and expense the proportionate share that is estimated to not be recoverable from existing and future nonrefundable deposits. Prior to the adoption of the additional guidance in EITF 08-03, we were capitalizing our nonrefundable maintenance deposits up to the point where the asset that we recorded represented the estimated recoverable cost of the upcoming maintenance events, after which we would then expense all future maintenance deposits paid to the lessor. In determining whether it is probable that maintenance deposits will be used to fund the cost of maintenance events, we conduct the following analysis:

- We evaluate the aircraft s condition, including the airframe, the engines, the auxiliary power unit and the landing gear.
- We then project future usage of the aircraft during the term of the lease based on our business and fleet plan.
- We also estimate the cost and timing of performing all required maintenance during the lease term. These estimates are based on the experience of our maintenance personnel and industry

22

available data, including historical fleet operating statistic reports published by the aircraft and engine manufacturers.

Upon completion of our analysis during the second quarter, we adopted EITF 08-03 as of January 1, 2009 and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment to reduce recorded maintenance deposits and increase accumulated deficit, by \$0.9 million. The impact of adopting this EITF on future periods is not expected to be significant.

In connection with our review of nonrefundable maintenance deposits to apply EITF 08-03, we also changed the estimate of recoverability on certain deposits covering engine life limited parts, and recorded an additional charge to Aircraft rent expense of \$3.8 million to adjust the balance of such deposits to reflect uncertainty on whether life limited parts replacements will occur prior to lease termination. The impact of recording the change in estimate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was a decrease of \$3.8 million to net income or \$0.07 per share.

Our assessment of the recoverability of our maintenance deposits is subject to change in the event that key estimates and assumptions supporting it change over time. Those key estimates and assumptions include our fleet plan and the projected total cost and, to a lesser extent, anticipated timing of the major maintenance activities covered by the maintenance reserves.

Based on current market conditions, we believe that further significant changes in our fleet plan are unlikely. Furthermore, based on historical trends and future projections, including those published by the manufacturers of our aircraft and engines, we believe it is unlikely that future maintenance costs for our aircraft will decline to such an extent that the maintenance deposits currently recorded on our unaudited consolidated balance sheets would not be used to fund the cost of future maintenance events and, therefore, not be recoverable.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are subject to certain market risks, including commodity price risk (i.e., jet fuel prices) and interest rate risk. We have market-sensitive instruments in the form of variable rate debt instruments and financial derivative instruments used to hedge Hawaiian s exposure to jet fuel price increases. The adverse effects of potential changes in these market risks are discussed below. The sensitivity analyses presented do not consider the effects that such adverse changes may have on overall economic activity nor do they consider additional actions we might undertake to mitigate our exposure to such changes. Actual results may differ.

Aircraft Fuel Costs

Aircraft fuel costs constituted 20.4% and 39.4% of our operating expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Based on gallons expected to be consumed in 2009, we estimate that for every one-cent increase in the cost of jet fuel, our annual fuel expense would increase by approximately \$1.4 million.

We use derivative contracts to manage our exposure to changes in the prices of jet fuel. During 2009, our fuel hedge program primarily consists of crude oil caps (or call options) and collars (a combination of call options and put options of crude oil). Crude oil caps are call option contracts

that provide for a settlement in favor of the holder in the event that prices exceed a predetermined

Table of Contents

contractual level during a particular time period. We have combined some of our call option contracts with put option contract sales to create collars whereby a settlement may occur in our favor in the event prices for the underlying commodity exceed a predetermined contractual level (the call option strike price) during a particular time period or a settlement may be required from us in favor of our counterparty in the event that prices of the commodity fall below a predetermined contractual level (the put option strike price). Certain of these collar agreements have been entered into contemporaneously and set so that the call option premium and put option premium offset, creating a costless collar.

We have also established certain collars (synthetic collars) by executing call and put agreements separately and/or using different underlying commodities (i.e. crude oil call options and heating oil put options). The aforementioned fuel derivative agreements were not designated as hedges under SFAS No. 133. As of June 30, 2009, the fair value of these fuel derivative agreements reflected a net asset of \$7.4 million and is combined with its related receivables from counterparties for settled contracts of \$1.3 million in prepaid expenses and other in the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Our fuel derivative agreements as of July 24, 2009 are outlined in the table below:

Fuel Derivative Contract Summary

	Percentage of					Percentage of			
	Ceil	ted Average ing Price r Gallon)	Ceiling Price Range (Per Gallon)	Gallons Hedged*	Quarter s Consumption Hedged	Weighted Average Floor Price (Per Gallon)	Floor Price Range (Per Gallon)	Gallons Hedged*	Quarter s Consumption Hedged
Third Quarter 2009:									
Crude Oil Fourth Quarter 2009:	\$	1.58	\$2.39 - \$1.10	17,178	54%	\$ 1.15	\$1.89 - \$0.88	8,820	28%
Crude Oil	\$	1.67	\$1.97 -\$1.20	12,516	38%	\$ 1.06	\$1.41 - \$0.92	7,686	24%
First Quarter 2010:									
Crude Oil Second Quarter 2010:	\$	1.80	\$2.02 - \$1.60	7,560	24%	\$ 1.19	\$1.42 - \$0.96	3,906	12%
Crude Oil	\$	1.89	\$2.04 -\$1.73	2,646	8%	\$ 1.43	\$1.43 - \$1.42	630	2%

^{*} in thousands

We expect to continue our program of hedging some of our future fuel consumption with a combination of futures contracts, swaps, caps, collars and synthetic collars.

We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We are exposed to credit risks in the event our crude oil caps counterparties fail to meet their obligations; however, we do not expect these counterparties to fail to meet their obligations.

Interest Rates

Our results of operations are affected by fluctuations in interest rates due to our variable-rate debt and interest income earned on our cash deposits and short-term investments. Our debt agreements include the Term A credit facility, Term B credit facility and the Boeing 767-300ER financing agreements, the terms of which are discussed in Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. In addition, during the second quarter of 2009, we received an advance payment of \$24.1 million through the execution of an amendment of our agreement with our co-branded credit card partner which we recognized as debt in accordance with EITF 88-18 Sales of Future Revenue for the forward sale of miles. See Note 5 of the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

At June 30, 2009, we had \$133.8 million of fixed rate debt including aircraft capital lease obligations of \$45.6 million and non-aircraft capital lease obligations of \$0.6 million. At June 30, 2009, we had \$134.3 million of variable rate debt indexed to the following interest rates:

Index	Rate
One-month LIBOR	0.31%
Wells Fargo Bank Prime Rate	3.25%

24

Table of Contents

Changes in market interest rates have a direct and corresponding effect on our pre-tax earnings and cash flows associated with our floating rate debt and interest-bearing cash accounts and short-term investments. However, based on the balances of our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, short-term investments, long-term investments and variable rate debt as of June 30, 2009, a change in interest rates would not have a material impact on our results of operations because the level of our variable rate interest-bearing cash deposits and investments approximate the level of our variable-rate liabilities. Should that relationship change in the future, our exposure to changes in interest rate fluctuations would likely increase.

Market risk for fixed rate long-term debt and capitalized lease obligations is estimated as the potential increase in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10 percent decrease in interest rates, and amounted to approximately \$3.5 million as of June 30, 2009.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), performed an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, which have been designed to permit us to effectively identify and timely disclose important financial information. Based on that evaluation, our management, including our CEO and CFO, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2009 and provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. While our disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the appropriate information will be available on a timely basis, this assurance is subject to limitations inherent in any control system, no matter how well it may be designed or administered.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the second quarter ended June 30, 2009 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not a party to any litigation that is expected to have a significant effect on our operations or business.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

There have been no other material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A., Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

On July 27, 2009, the Company announced that the Board of Directors determined to terminate the Company s previously announced stock purchase program. The total shares purchased under the program were 201,651. The Company s repurchases of equity securities for the second quarter of 2009 were as follows:

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (unaudited)

Period	Total Number of Average Price Paid Shares Purchased Per Share		Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs		Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program (a)	
April 1-30, 2009		\$			\$	6,361,999	
May 1-31, 2009						6,361,999	
June 1-30, 2009	26,775		4.49	26,775		6,241,661	
Total	26,775	\$	4.49	26,775			

⁽a) On March 23, 2009, the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approved a stock repurchase program, authorizing the Company to purchase up to \$7 million of shares of its common stock. The duration of the stock repurchase program is open ended, and the program is subject to modification or termination by the Company at any time.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

26

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

On May 27, 2009, we held our annual meeting of stockholders. At the meeting, our stockholders voted for eight directors, electing Gregory S. Anderson, L. Todd Budge, Donald J. Carty, Mark B. Dunkerley, Lawrence S. Hershfield, Randall L. Jenson, Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr., and Crystal K. Rose as members of our Board of Directors. The results of the voting were as follows:

Proposal	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Election of Gregory S. Anderson	43,898,107	3,219,064
Election of L. Todd Budge	46,199,785	917,386
Election of Donald J. Carty	46,035,539	1,081,632
Election of Mark B. Dunkerley	46,381,510	735,661
Election of Lawrence S. Hershfield	42,897,778	4,219,393
Election of Randall L. Jenson	42,959,813	4,157,358
Election of Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.	44,181,326	2,935,845
Election of Crystal K. Rose	46,367,934	749,237

A proposal to approve the Second Amendment to the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan was approved by the stockholders as follows:

Votes For	Votes Against	Votes Abstaining	Broker Non-Votes
35,830,192	3,793,611	336,255	7,153,113

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

Exhibit No. Description

10.1

Second Amendment to Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (filed as Annex B to the Company s Definitive

Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on April 17, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference)

Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.

Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS, INC.

July 29, 2009 By /s/ Peter R. Ingram

Peter R. Ingram

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and

Treasurer

28