

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 08, 2013  
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

[For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ ]

Commission File No. 000-31157

**INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS AND SUPPORT, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

**PENNSYLVANIA**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction  
of Incorporation or Organization)

**23-2507402**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**720 Pennsylvania Drive, Exton, Pennsylvania**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**19341**  
(Zip Code)

**(610) 646-9800**

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Large accelerated filer  | <input type="checkbox"/> Accelerated filer                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Non-accelerated filer<br>(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smaller reporting company |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of August 2, 2013, there were 16,804,022 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, with par value of \$.001 per share, outstanding.

Table of Contents

**INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS AND SUPPORT, INC.**

**FORM 10-Q June 30, 2013**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b><u>PART I.</u></b>	
<b><u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	3
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4 14
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15 21
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	22
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	22
<b><u>PART II.</u></b>	
<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	22
<u>Item 1A</u>	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	22
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	23
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	23
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	23
<u>Item 5.</u>	
<u>Other Information</u>	23
<u>Item 6.</u>	
<u>Exhibits</u>	23
<b><u>SIGNATURE</u></b>	24

---

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1 Financial Statements**

**INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS AND SUPPORT, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**(Unaudited)**

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
<b><u>ASSETS</u></b>		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,607,850	\$ 42,977,501
Accounts receivable, net	5,096,952	3,978,512
Inventories	4,038,878	3,801,547
Deferred income taxes	2,081,633	1,588,162
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,560,720	2,031,644
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>33,386,033</b>	<b>54,377,366</b>
Property and equipment, net	7,435,026	7,214,378
Non-current deferred income taxes	667,205	846,887
Other assets	122,600	158,600
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 41,610,864</b>	<b>\$ 62,597,231</b>
<b><u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u></b>		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,017,351	\$ 1,139,464
Accrued expenses	3,681,482	2,723,812
Deferred revenue	399,075	1,426,552
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>6,097,908</b>	<b>5,289,828</b>
Non-current deferred income taxes	131,334	128,998
Other liabilities	139,773	98,002
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>6,369,015</b>	<b>5,516,828</b>
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 6)		
Shareholders' Equity		
Preferred Stock, 10,000,000 shares authorized, \$.001 par value, of which 200,000 shares are authorized as Class A Convertible stock. No shares issued and outstanding at		

Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012

Common stock, \$.001 par value: 75,000,000 shares authorized, 18,548,264 and 18,329,314 issued at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively	18,548	18,329
Additional paid-in capital	49,282,989	47,845,732
Retained earnings	6,329,903	29,605,236
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,756,807 and 1,756,632 shares at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively	(20,389,591)	(20,388,894)
<b>Total Shareholders Equity</b>	<b>35,241,849</b>	<b>57,080,403</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>	<b>\$ 41,610,864</b>	<b>\$ 62,597,231</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

**INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS AND SUPPORT, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ending June 30,		Nine Months Ending June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
<b>Net sales:</b>				
Product	\$ 6,408,931	\$ 4,192,856	\$ 17,994,508	\$ 13,500,253
Engineering development contracts	2,369,323	1,941,636	5,535,455	4,149,919
Total net sales	8,778,254	6,134,492	23,529,963	17,650,172
<b>Cost of sales:</b>				
Product	2,848,306	2,145,657	7,611,303	6,972,052
Engineering development contracts	2,484,492	1,373,210	5,481,559	2,836,180
Total cost of sales	5,332,798	3,518,867	13,092,862	9,808,232
Gross profit	3,445,456	2,615,625	10,437,101	7,841,940
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Research and development	559,297	416,210	2,215,276	2,132,812
Selling, general and administrative	2,600,659	1,844,253	6,340,900	5,665,622
Total operating expenses	3,159,956	2,260,463	8,556,176	7,798,434
Operating income	285,500	355,162	1,880,925	43,506
Interest income	8,529	20,557	32,282	62,492
Interest (expense)		(120)		(537)
Other income	4,924	7,879	29,730	58,516
Income before income taxes	298,953	383,478	1,942,937	163,977
Income tax expense (benefit)	(8,520)	123,507	210,751	(41,888)
Net income	\$ 307,473	\$ 259,971	\$ 1,732,186	\$ 205,865
<b>Net income per common share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.01
Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.01
Cash dividends per share:	\$	\$	\$ 1.50	\$
<b>Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:</b>				
Basic	16,790,484	16,627,541	16,725,305	16,661,920
Diluted	16,981,285	16,627,541	16,790,518	16,661,927

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

## INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS AND SUPPORT, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net income	\$ 1,732,186	\$ 205,865
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	365,919	410,630
Share-based compensation expense:		
Stock options	528,571	315,030
Nonvested stock awards	150,019	149,981
Excess tax adjustment from share-based compensation	(16,521)	(2,265)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	627	
Excess and obsolete inventory cost	48,450	
(Increase) decrease in:		
Accounts receivable	(1,118,440)	424,095
Inventories	(285,781)	(574,084)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3,529,075)	(1,310,567)
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	877,887	(58,679)
Accrued expenses	690,780	(348,178)
Income taxes payable	308,660	(193,669)
Deferred income tax	(311,453)	503
Deferred revenue	(1,027,476)	1,022,097
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(1,585,647)	40,759
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(555,694)	(158,519)
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	4,500	
Net cash used in investing activities	(551,194)	(158,519)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Purchase of treasury stock	(696)	(691,131)
Dividend paid	(25,007,519)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	775,405	
Repayment of capitalized lease obligations		(9,803)
Net cash used in financing activities	(24,232,810)	(700,934)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(26,369,651)	(818,694)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	42,977,501	42,625,854
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 16,607,850	\$ 41,807,160
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$	\$ 538
Cash paid for income tax	\$ 230,000	\$ 153,327
Cash received from income tax refund	\$	\$ 4,096

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.





Table of Contents

**Innovative Solutions and Support Inc.**

**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Description of the Company*

Innovative Solutions and Support, Inc. (the Company or IS&S) was incorporated in Pennsylvania on February 12, 1988. The Company operates in one business segment as a systems integrator that designs, manufactures, sells, and services flight guidance and cockpit display systems for original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and retrofit applications. The Company supplies integrated Flight Management Systems (FMS) and advanced Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers that enable reduced carbon footprint navigation. Customers include commercial air transport carriers and corporate/general/aviation companies, the Department of Defense (DoD) and its commercial contractors, aircraft operators, aircraft modification centers, foreign militaries, and various OEMs.

*Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are presented pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) in accordance with the disclosure requirements for the quarterly report on Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP) for complete annual financial statements. In the opinion of Company management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to state fairly the results for the interim periods presented. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2012 is derived from audited financial statements. Operating results for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes of the Company included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

*Use of Estimates*

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

Preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used in accounting for, among other items, the allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory obsolescence, product warranty cost liability, income taxes, and contingencies. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

### *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

Highly liquid investments, purchased with an original maturity of three months or less, are classified as cash equivalents. Cash equivalents at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 consist of funds invested in money market funds with financial institutions.

### *Property and Equipment*

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided using an accelerated method over the estimated useful lives of the assets (the lesser of three to seven years or over the lease term), except for the corporate airplane and manufacturing facility, which are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of ten years and thirty-nine years, respectively. During fiscal 2013, no depreciation was provided for the airplane because it had been depreciated to its estimated salvage value. Major additions and improvements are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the life of assets are charged to expense as incurred.

### *Long-Lived Assets*

The Company assesses the impairment of long-lived assets in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Topic 360-10, *Property, Plant and Equipment* ( ASC Topic 360-10 ). This statement requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In addition, long-lived assets to be disposed of must be reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. The Company considers historical performance and future estimated results in its evaluation of potential impairment and then compares the carrying amount of the asset to estimated future cash flows expected to result from use of

Table of Contents

the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the estimated expected undiscounted future cash flows, the Company measures the amount of the impairment by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to its fair value. The estimation of fair value is generally measured by discounting expected future cash flows. No impairment charges were recorded during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 or 2012.

**Revenue Recognition**

The Company enters into sales arrangements with customers that, in general, provide for the Company to design, develop, manufacture, and deliver large flat-panel display systems, flight information computers, and advanced monitoring systems that measure and display critical flight information, including data relative to aircraft separation, airspeed, altitude, and engine and fuel data measurements. The Company's sales arrangements may include multiple deliverables as defined in FASB ASC Topic 605-25 *Multiple-Element Arrangements* (ASC Topic 605-25), which typically include design and engineering services, and the production and delivery of the flat panel display and related components. The Company includes any design and engineering development services elements in engineering development contracts (EDC) sales and any functional upgrade and product elements in product sales in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

To the extent that an arrangement contains software elements that are essential to the functionality of tangible products sold in the arrangement, the Company recognizes revenue for the deliverables in accordance with the guidance included in FASB Accounting Standards Update 2009-14, *Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements* (ASU 2009-14), FASB Accounting Standards Update 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force* (ASU 2009-13) and FASB ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition* (ASC Topic 605).

To the extent that an arrangement contains software components, which include functional upgrades, that the Company sells on a standalone basis and which it has deemed outside the scope of the exception defined by ASU 2009-14, the Company recognizes software revenue in accordance with ASC Topic 985, *Software* (ASC Topic 985).

Multiple Element Arrangements

The Company identifies all goods and/or services that are to be delivered separately under such a sales arrangement and allocates sales to each deliverable (if more than one) based on that deliverable's selling price. The Company then considers the appropriate recognition method for each deliverable. The Company's multiple element arrangements can include defined design and development activities and/or functional upgrades, along with product sales.

The Company utilizes the selling price hierarchy that has been established by ASU 2009-13, which requires that the selling price for each deliverable be based on vendor-specific objective evidence if available, third-party evidence if vendor-specific objective evidence is not available, or estimated selling price if neither vendor-specific objective evidence nor third-party evidence is available. To the extent that an arrangement includes a deliverable for which estimated selling price is used, the Company determines the best estimate of selling price by applying the same pricing policies and methodologies that it would use to determine the price to sell the deliverable on a standalone basis.

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

To the extent that an arrangement contains defined design and engineering development activities as identified deliverables in addition to products (resulting in a multiple element arrangement), the Company recognizes as EDC sales amounts earned during the design and development phase of the contract following the guidance included in FASB ASC Topic 605-35, *Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts* ( ASC Topic 605-35 ). To the extent that multiple element arrangements include product sales, sales are generally recognized once revenue recognition criteria for the product deliverables have been met based on the provisions of ASC Topic 605.

### Single Element Arrangements

#### *Products*

To the extent that a single element arrangement provides for product sales and repairs, the Company recognizes sales when revenue recognition criteria for the product deliverables have been met based on the provisions of ASC Topic 605. The Company also receives orders for equipment and parts. Generally, revenues from the sale of such products are recognized upon shipment to customers.

The Company offers its customers extended warranties for additional fees. These warranty sales are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized as sales on a straight-line basis over the warranty period.

Table of Contents

*Engineering Development Contracts*

The Company may enter into contracts to perform specified design and engineering development services related to its products. The Company recognizes revenue from these arrangements as EDC sales, following the guidance included in ASC Topic 605-35, and considers the nature of these contracts (including term, size of contract, and level of effort) when determining the appropriate accounting treatment for a particular contract. Certain of these contracts are accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting when the Company determines that progress toward completion is reasonably and reliably estimable, and the contract is long-term in nature. The Company uses the completed contract method for all other contracts. Sales and profit margins under the percentage-of-completion method are recorded based on the ratio of actual costs incurred to total estimated costs expected to be incurred related to the contract under the cost-to-cost method (for development effort).

The percentage-of-completion method of accounting requires the Company to estimate the profit margin for each individual contract or contract segment and to apply that profit margin on a uniform basis as sales are recorded under the contract. The estimation of profit margins requires the Company to make projections of the total sales to be generated and the total costs that will be incurred under a contract. These projections require the Company to make numerous assumptions and estimates relating to items such as the complexity of design and related development costs, performance of subcontractors, availability and cost of materials, engineering productivity, prototype costs, overhead costs and capital costs. These contracts sometimes include purchase options for additional quantities and for customer change orders for additional or revised product functionality. Revenues and costs related to profitable purchase options are included in the Company's estimates only when the options are exercised, while revenues and costs related to unprofitable purchase options are included in the Company's estimates when exercise is determined to be probable. Revenues related to change orders are included in profit estimates only if they can be reliably estimated and collectability is reasonably assured. Purchase options and change orders are accounted for either as an integral part of the original contract, or separately depending upon the nature and value of the item, in the period in which any change order or purchase option becomes effective. Anticipated losses on contracts are recognized in full in the period in which losses become evident.

For contracts for which uncertainty regarding the performance against certain contract terms remains, and in which no loss is evident, the Company uses the zero profit margin approach to applying the percentage-of-completion method following the guidance included in ASC Topic 605-35.

Estimates of profit margins for contracts are reviewed by the Company on a quarterly basis. Assuming the initial estimates of revenues and costs under a contract are accurate, the percentage-of-completion method results in the profit margin being recorded evenly as revenue is recognized under the contract. Changes in these underlying estimates due to revisions in revenue and cost estimates or the exercise of contract options may result in profit margins being recognized unevenly over a contract as such changes are accounted for on a cumulative basis in the period in which the estimates are revised. Significant changes in estimates related to accounting for long-term contracts may have a material effect on the Company's results of operations in the period in which the revised estimates are made. Cumulative catch-up adjustments resulting from changes in estimates reduced operating income by \$161,000 and \$364,000 during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. There were no material cumulative catch-up adjustments which reduced operating income as a result of changes in estimates during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012.

*Income Taxes*

Income taxes are recorded in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (ASC Topic 740), which utilizes a balance sheet approach to provide for income taxes. Under this method, the Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the Company's assets, liabilities, and expected benefits of utilizing net operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. The impact on deferred taxes of changes in tax rates and laws, if any, are applied to the years during which temporary differences are expected to be settled and are reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the period of enactment. At the end of each interim reporting period, the Company prepares an estimate of the annual effective income tax rate and applies that annual effective income tax rate to ordinary year-to-date pre-tax income for the interim period. Specific items discrete to a particular quarter are recorded in income tax expense for that quarter. The estimated annual effective tax rate used in providing for income taxes on a year-to-date basis may change in subsequent interim periods.

Deferred tax assets are reduced by valuation allowances if, based on the consideration of all available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. Significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified, and significant management judgment is required in determining any valuation allowances recorded against net deferred tax assets. The Company evaluates deferred income taxes on a quarterly basis to determine if valuation allowances are required by considering available evidence. Deferred tax assets are recognized when expected future taxable income is sufficient to allow the related tax benefits to reduce taxes that would otherwise be payable. The sources of taxable income that may be available to realize the benefit of deferred tax assets are future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and credit carry-forwards, taxable income in carry-back years, and tax planning strategies which are both prudent and feasible. The current balance of the deferred tax valuation allowance relates principally to net operating losses ( NOL ) of certain state taxing jurisdictions. The Company believes that its estimate of future taxable income is inherently uncertain, and if its current or future operations generate losses, further adjustments to the valuation allowance are possible.

Table of Contents

The accounting for uncertainty in income taxes requires a more likely than not threshold for financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The Company records a liability for the difference between the (i) benefit recognized and measured for financial statement purposes and (ii) the tax position taken or expected to be taken on the Company's tax return. To the extent that the Company's assessment of such tax positions changes, the change in estimate is recorded in the period in which the determination is made. The Company has elected to record any interest or penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as income tax expense.

The Company files a consolidated United States federal income tax return. The Company prepares and files tax returns based on its interpretation of tax laws and regulations, and records estimates based on these judgments and interpretations. In the normal course of business, the tax returns are subject to examination by various taxing authorities. Such examinations may result in future tax and interest assessments by these taxing authorities, and the Company records a liability when it is probable that there will be an assessment. The Company adjusts the estimates periodically because of ongoing examinations by and settlements with the various taxing authorities, and changes in tax laws, regulations and precedent. The consolidated tax provision of any given year includes adjustments to prior years' income tax accruals which are considered appropriate, and any related estimated interest. Management believes that adequate accruals have been made for income taxes. Differences between estimated and actual amounts determined upon ultimate resolution, individually or in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, but could possibly be material to its consolidated results of operations or cash flows of any one period.

***Engineering Development***

The Company invests a large percentage of its revenues in EDC and in internal research and development ( R&D ). At June 30, 2013, approximately 47% of the Company's employees were engaged in various engineering projects. IS&S invests a large percentage of its revenues in EDC and R&D projects to allow its customers to benefit from the latest technological advancements. Total engineering expense is comprised of both design and EDC related to specific customer contracts and R&D. EDC expense consists primarily of payroll-related expenses of employees engaged in engineering development projects, engineering related product materials and equipment, and subcontracting costs. R&D charges incurred for product design, product enhancements and future product development are expensed as incurred. EDC and design charges related to specific customer arrangements are charged to cost of sales-EDC based on the method of contract accounting (either percentage-of-completion or completed-contract) applicable to such contracts.

***Comprehensive Income***

Pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 220, *Comprehensive Income* ( ASC Topic 220 ), the Company is required to classify items of other comprehensive income by their nature and to display the accumulated balance of other comprehensive income separately from retained earnings and additional paid-in capital in the equity section of its condensed consolidated balance sheets. For the three and nine months ending June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, comprehensive income consisted of net income only. There were no items of other comprehensive income or accumulated other comprehensive income balances in the equity accounts for any of the periods presented.

***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

The net carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate their fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. For financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, fair value is the price the Company would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction with a market participant at the measurement date. A three-level fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were



Table of Contents

accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their fair values.

	Fair Value Measurement on June 30, 2013		
	Quoted Price in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Money market funds	\$ 15,392,426	\$	\$

	Fair Value Measurement on September 30, 2012		
	Quoted Price in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Money market funds	\$ 40,384,756	\$	\$

***Stock-Based Compensation***

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under FASB ASC Topic 505-50, *Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees* ( ASC Topic 505-50 ) and FASB ASC Topic 718, *Stock Compensation* ( ASC Topic 718 ), which require the Company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award using an option pricing model. That cost is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award.

***Warranty***

The Company offers warranties on some products of various lengths. At the time of shipment, the Company establishes a reserve for estimated costs of warranties based on its best estimate of the amounts necessary to settle future and existing claims using historical data on products sold as of the balance sheet date. The length of the warranty period, the product's failure rates and the customer's usage affect warranty cost. If actual warranty costs differ from the Company's estimated amounts, future results of operations could be affected adversely. Warranty cost is recorded as cost of sales, and the reserve balance recorded as an accrued expense. While the Company maintains product quality programs and processes, its warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates and the related corrective costs. If actual product failure rates and/or corrective costs differ from the estimates, the Company revises estimated warranty liability accordingly.

***Concentrations***

*Major Customers and Products*

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, three customers, Eclipse Aerospace, L3 Communications and FedEx, accounted for 29%, 10% and 10% of net sales, respectively. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, two customers, Eclipse Aerospace and American Airlines, accounted for 27%, and 14% of net sales, respectively.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, four customers, Eclipse Aerospace, FedEx, the US Department of Defense and Boeing accounted for 19%, 18%, 16% and 12% of net sales, respectively. During the nine months ended June 30, 2012, three customers, Eclipse Aerospace, FedEx and the National Nuclear Security Administration, accounted for 23%, 14% and 11% of net sales, respectively.

*Major Suppliers*

The Company buys several components from sole source suppliers. Although there are a limited number of manufacturers of particular components, the Company believes other suppliers could provide similar components on comparable terms.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company had three suppliers that were individually responsible for greater than 10% of the Company's total inventory purchases. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 the Company had one supplier that was individually responsible for greater than 10% of the Company's total inventory purchases.

*Concentration of Credit Risk*

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash balances and accounts receivable. The Company invests its excess cash where preservation of principal is the major consideration. The Company's customer base consists principally of companies within the aviation industry. The Company requests advance payments and/or letters of credit from customers that it considers to be credit risks.

The Company maintained a reserve for doubtful accounts in the amount of \$175,000 at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820), Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs.* ( ASU 2011-04 ). ASU 2011-04 amends the fair value measurement and disclosure guidance to converge GAAP and IFRS requirements for measuring amounts at fair value as well as disclosures about these measurements. ASU 2011-04 was to be adopted prospectively and was effective for the interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income* ( ASU 2011-05 ) which requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement would present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that would present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 was to be adopted retrospectively and became effective for annual periods beginning after December 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 became effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2013 and did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows because the Company does not have any components of other comprehensive income.

**2. Supplemental Balance Sheet Disclosures**

*Inventories*

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market, net of reserve for excess and obsolete inventory, and consist of the following:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Raw materials	\$ 2,730,869	\$ 2,752,833
Work-in-process	1,153,838	555,495
Finished goods	154,171	493,219
	\$ 4,038,878	\$ 3,801,547

### *Prepaid expenses and other current assets*

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Revenue recognized not yet invoiced	\$ 5,188,095	\$ 1,595,436
Prepaid insurance	157,704	176,340
Other	214,921	259,868
	\$ 5,560,720	\$ 2,031,644

Revenue recognized not yet invoiced represents principally sales recorded under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting

Table of Contents

that have not been billed to customers in accordance with applicable contract terms.

***Property and equipment***

Property and equipment, net consists of the following balances:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Computer equipment	\$ 2,153,406	\$ 2,090,556
Corporate airplane	3,128,504	3,082,186
Furniture and office equipment	1,062,296	1,076,849
Manufacturing facility	5,631,001	5,605,616
Equipment	4,681,006	4,378,714
Land	1,021,245	1,021,245
	17,677,458	17,255,166
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(10,242,432)	(10,040,788)
	\$ 7,435,026	\$ 7,214,378

Depreciation and amortization related to property and equipment was approximately \$113,000 and \$111,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The corporate airplane is utilized primarily in support of product development and has been depreciated to its estimated salvage value.

Depreciation and amortization related to property and equipment was approximately \$330,000 and \$362,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

***Other assets***

Other assets consist of the following:

	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$477,637 and \$441,637 at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively	\$ 122,600	\$ 158,600

Intangible assets consist of licensing and certification rights which are amortized over a defined number of units. No impairment charges were recorded in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

Total amortization expense was approximately \$7,000 and \$1,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total amortization expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$36,000 and \$48,000, respectively. Because the intangible assets are being amortized over a defined number of units, the timing of future amortization expense is not determinable.

*Accrued expenses*

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	<b>June 30, 2013</b>	<b>September 30, 2012</b>
Warranty	\$ 689,173	\$ 850,499
Salary, benefits and payroll taxes	491,787	531,862
Professional fees	413,711	330,858
Income taxes payable	399,870	132,980
Materials on order	26,583	43,374
Other	1,660,358	834,239
	\$ 3,681,482	\$ 2,723,812

Table of Contents

Other includes an accrual of \$640,000 recorded for the estimated liability related to a legal matter described in Note 6 Contingencies below.

	<b>Three Months Ending June 30, 2013</b>	<b>Nine Months Ending June 30, 2013</b>
Warranty accrual, beginning of period	\$ 744,722	\$ 850,499
Accrued expense for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013	(17,485)	(42,302)
Warranty cost for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013	(38,064)	(119,024)
Warranty accrual, end of period	\$ 689,173	\$ 689,173

**3. Income Taxes**

At the end of each interim reporting period, the Company prepares an estimate of the annual effective income tax rate, which is applied to year-to-date income to compute income tax expense exclusive of discrete items. Tax items discrete to a specific quarter are included in computing the income tax expense for that quarter. The estimated annual effective tax rate used in providing for income taxes on a year-to-date basis may change in subsequent interim periods.

The income tax (benefit) expense for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 was (\$9,000) and \$211,000, respectively, compared to a tax expense of \$124,000 and (benefit) of (\$42,000), respectively, for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012.

The effective tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were (3%) and 32%, respectively. The effective tax benefit rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013 differs from the statutory rate, primarily because of the favorable impact of the Federal Research and Development Tax Credit ( Federal R&D Tax Credit ) forecast for the fiscal year, and the impact of the cumulative adjustment resulting from a revised forecast annual effective tax rate. On January 1, 2013, Congress enacted the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 which retroactively reinstated and extended the Federal R&D Tax Credit from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013. The current year estimated annual effective income tax rate reflects a full year benefit from the Federal R&D Tax Credit. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2012 differs from the statutory rate primarily because of the net benefits of R&D tax credits utilized, which did not generate deferred tax expense in that period because of the federal valuation allowance that the Company maintained at that time.

The effective tax rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 was 11%. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 primarily because of the favorable impact of the extension of the Federal R&D Tax Credit mentioned above. The retroactive benefit for the previously expired period from January 1, 2012 to September 2012 is reflected in the tax expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2013. The effective tax benefit rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was (26%). The effective tax benefit rate differs from the statutory rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 primarily because of a decrease in the liability recorded for uncertain tax positions due to the lapse of an applicable statute of limitation and utilization of R&D tax credits.

At September 30, 2012, the Company considered all available evidence, including the recent history of income before income taxes, together with projections of profitability in future periods and, as a result of this analysis, determined that the positive evidence was sufficient to conclude that it was appropriate to reverse the valuation allowance previously recorded against its net federal deferred tax assets. At June 30, 2013, the balance of the deferred tax valuation allowance relates principally to NOL of certain state taxing jurisdictions. The Company will continue to maintain the balance of the valuation allowance until an appropriate level of profitability is sustained in certain jurisdictions to warrant a

conclusion that it is no longer more likely than not that a portion, or all, of these net state deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods. The Company believes that its estimate of future taxable income is inherently uncertain, and if its current or future operations generate losses, further adjustments to the valuation allowance are possible.

#### **4. Shareholders' Equity and Share-based Payments**

At June 30, 2013, the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation provides the Company authority to issue 75,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

##### *Share-based compensation*

The Company accounts for share-based compensation under the provisions of ASC Topic 505-50 and ASC Topic 718 by using the fair value method for expensing stock options and non-vested stock awards.

Total share-based compensation expense was approximately \$235,000 and \$175,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and



Table of Contents

2012, respectively. The income tax effect recognized as a credit (charge) to additional paid-in capital related to share-based compensation arrangements was (\$4,000) and (\$1,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Total share-based compensation expense was approximately \$679,000 and \$465,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The income tax effect recognized as a credit (charge) to additional paid-in capital related to share-based compensation arrangements was (\$17,000) and (\$2,000) for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Compensation expense related to share-based awards is recorded as a component of general and administrative expense.

By unanimous consent of the Company's Board of Directors on January 25, 2013, the applicable option exercise price of each outstanding option to purchase common stock was reduced by \$1.50 per share pursuant to the terms of the 1998 Plan or the 2009 Plan (each as defined below), as applicable, to offset the dilutive impact of the special cash dividend paid by the Company on December 27, 2012 to common shareholders of record on December 17, 2012. As required by ASC Topic 718, the Company recorded an expense of \$22,000 related to the vested outstanding options as a result of this one-time reduction to the option exercise price in the quarter ended March 31, 2013. For non-vested options, the Company added the additional compensation cost of \$59,000 to the remaining unrecognized compensation cost for the original share options and will expense the total amount ratably over the remaining vesting period of the options in accordance with the guidance provided by ASC Topic 718.

The Company maintains three share-based compensation plans, the 1998 Stock Option Plan (the "1998 Plan"), the 2003 Restricted Stock Plan (the "Restricted Plan"), and the 2009 Stock Based Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2009 Plan"). The Company's shareholders approved each of these plans. The 1998 Plan expired on November 13, 2008, and there are no further available shares of common stock to be awarded under the Restricted Plan.

***1998 Stock Option Plan***

The 1998 Plan allowed the granting of incentive and nonqualified stock options to employees, officers, directors, and independent contractors and consultants. No stock options were granted to independent contractors or consultants under this Plan. Total compensation expense associated with awards under the 1998 Plan was approximately \$0 and \$9,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total compensation expense associated with awards under the 1998 Plan was approximately \$31,000 and \$60,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Incentive stock options granted under the 1998 Plan have exercise prices that must be at least equal to the fair value of the common stock on the grant date. Nonqualified stock options granted under the 1998 Plan have exercise prices that may be less than, equal to or greater than the fair value of the common stock on the date of grant. The Company reserved 3,389,000 shares of common stock for awards under the 1998 Plan. On November 13, 2008, the 1998 Plan expired, and no additional shares were granted under the Plan after that date.

***Restricted Plan***

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

The Restricted Plan for non-employee directors was approved by shareholders at the Company's February 26, 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. It provided for an annual award of non-vested shares of common stock having a fair market value of \$40,000 at close of business on October 1 of each year for each eligible non-employee director. The shares of common stock were awarded in four quarterly installments during the fiscal year provided the director was still serving on the board on the quarterly issue date. The last awards under the Restricted Plan were made in 2010, and there are no further shares to award under the Restricted Plan. However, the Company continues to make an annual grant of shares to eligible non-employee directors under the 2009 Plan.

There was no compensation expense under the Restricted Plan for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

### *2009 Stock-Based Incentive Compensation Plan*

The 2009 Plan authorizes the grant of Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs), Restricted Stock, Options, and other equity-based awards under the 2009 Plan (collectively referred to as Awards). Options granted under the 2009 Plan may be either Incentive Stock Options as defined in section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) or Nonqualified Stock Options as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors (the Compensation Committee).

Subject to an adjustment necessary upon a stock dividend, recapitalization, forward split or reverse split, reorganization, merger, consolidation, spin-off, combination, repurchase or share exchange, extraordinary or unusual cash distribution, or other similar corporate transaction or event, the maximum number of shares of common stock available for Awards under the 2009 Plan is 1,200,000, all of which may be issued pursuant to Awards of Incentive Stock Options. In addition, the 2009 Plan provides that no more than 300,000 shares of common stock may be awarded to any employee as a performance-based Award under Section 162(m) of the Code. At June 30, 2013, there were 369,683 shares of common stock available for Awards under the 2009 Plan.

Table of Contents

If any Award is forfeited, or if any Option terminates, expires, or lapses without being exercised, the shares of common stock subject to such Award will again be available for future grant. Any shares tendered by a participant in payment of the exercise price of an Option or the tax liability with respect to an Award (including, in any case, shares withheld from any such Award) will not be available for future grant under the 2009 Plan. If there is any change in the Company's corporate capitalization, the Compensation Committee must proportionately and equitably adjust the number and kind of shares of common stock which may be issued in connection with future Awards, the number and type of shares of common stock covered by Awards then outstanding under the 2009 Plan, the number and type of shares of common stock available under the 2009 Plan, the exercise or grant price of any Award, or if deemed appropriate, make provision for a cash payment with respect to any outstanding Award, provided that no adjustment may be made that would adversely affect the status of any Award that is intended to be a performance-based Award under Section 162(m) of the Code, unless otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee. In addition, the Compensation Committee may make adjustments in the terms and conditions of any Awards, including any performance goals, in recognition of unusual or nonrecurring events affecting the Company or any subsidiary, or in response to changes in applicable laws, regulations or accounting principles, provided that no adjustment may be made that would affect adversely the status of any Award that is intended to be a performance-based Award under Section 162(m) of the Code, unless otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee.

Total compensation expense related to options issued to employees under the 2009 Plan was approximately \$185,000 and \$116,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively; and \$498,000 and \$255,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The expense under the 2009 Plan related to shares issued to non-employee members of the Company's Board of Directors on a quarterly basis as compensation was \$50,000 and \$50,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$150,000 and \$150,000, for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total compensation expense associated with the 2009 Plan was \$235,000 and \$166,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively; and \$648,000 and \$405,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

***Stock repurchase program***

On April 29, 2013 the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a new stock repurchase program, effective as of May 1, 2013, pursuant to which the Company may repurchase up to 250,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock until May 1, 2014. This program replaces the Company's previous stock repurchase program, which expired as of February 10, 2013. Under the repurchase program, the Company can purchase shares of its common stock through open market transactions or in privately negotiated block purchases or other private transactions (either solicited or unsolicited). The timing and amount of repurchase transactions under this program will depend on market conditions and corporate and regulatory considerations. The program may be discontinued or suspended at any time. The Company anticipates funding for this program to come from available corporate funds, including cash on hand and future cash flow. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, the Company purchased 0 and 175 shares of common stock, respectively, under the former program at a cost of \$0 and \$696, respectively, at an average market price of \$0.00 and \$3.96 per share, respectively, financed with available cash. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 the Company purchased 39,400 and 180,501 shares of common stock, respectively, under the former program at a cost of \$130,817 and \$687,503, respectively, at an average market price of \$3.32 and \$3.81 per share, respectively, financed with available cash.

**5. Income per Share**

Income per share (EPS) is calculated using the principles of FASB ASC Topic 260, *Earnings Per Share* (ASC Topic 260).

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

For the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013, the Common Stock Equivalent related to approximately 808,000 and 278,000 options to purchase common stock were included in the computations of diluted EPS. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, no Common Stock Equivalent related to options to purchase common stock were included in the computations of diluted EPS.

For the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2013, the common stock equivalents related to approximately 92,000 and 564,000 options to purchase common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. For the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2012, the common stock equivalents related to approximately 806,000 and 658,000 options to purchase common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

### 6. Contingencies

On November 29, 2011, AMR Corporation, the parent company of American Airlines, Inc. ( AAI ) and certain of its U.S. based subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for Chapter 11 reorganization in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy ). For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, AAI accounted for 9% and 14%, respectively, of net sales. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, AAI accounted for less than 1% and 6%, respectively, of net sales. AAI continued to purchase products from the Company in the ordinary course of business after November 29, 2011. As of November 29, 2011, the Company had pre-Bankruptcy outstanding accounts receivable of \$760,000 from AAI. The Company believes it is probable that the outstanding receivables will be collected in full and, therefore, has not recorded a reserve against these assets as of the balance sheet date. In the 90 days preceding the filing of the Bankruptcy petition, the Company received \$828,000 from AAI in the ordinary course of business. Under the U.S. bankruptcy laws, debtors have the right to avoid certain payments made during the 90 days preceding the filing of the bankruptcy petition. No such avoidance action has been asserted or filed, and the Company believes that it

Table of Contents

would have valid defenses against any such action.

On September 26, 2011, Farhad Daghigh, a former employee of the Company, filed a lawsuit against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas of Chester County ( the Court ) alleging breach of contract and violation of the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law and claiming unpaid sales commissions, prejudgment interest, and liquidated damages totaling approximately \$583,000 for the fiscal years ended 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, plus attorneys' fees and costs. In June 2013, following a trial without a jury, the Court found in favor of the plaintiff awarding him damages of approximately \$560,000 for breach of contract, violation of the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law and prejudgment interest, as well as finding the Company liable for the plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees. The Company has appealed the decision. Pending the outcome of the appeal, the Company has recorded an estimated liability of \$640,000 (which includes the plaintiff's estimated attorney's fees) in the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

On January 17, 2007, the Company filed suit in the Court of Common Pleas for Delaware County, Pennsylvania against Strathman Associates, a former software consultant for IS&S, alleging that Strathman had improperly used IS&S trade secrets and proprietary information in assisting J2 and Kollsman in developing the J2/Kollsman Air Data Computer. This case has not been resolved as of the date hereof.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

*This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). These forward-looking statements are based largely on current expectations and projections about future events and trends affecting the business. In this report, the words believe, may, will, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, forecast, expect, plan, should, is likely, and similar expressions, as they relate to the business or to its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but they are not exclusive means of identifying them.*

*The forward-looking statements in this report are only predictions, and actual events or results may differ materially. In evaluating such statements, a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors could cause actual results, performance, financial condition, cash flows, prospects, and opportunities to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include those set forth in Item 1A (Risk Factors) of Innovative Solutions and Support, Inc.'s (the Company or IS&S) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on December 14, 2012 and the following factors:*

- *the impact of general economic trends on the Company's business;*
- *the deferral or termination of programs or contracts for convenience by customers;*
- *difficulties in developing and producing the Company's COCKPIT/IP® Flat Panel Display System or other planned products or product enhancements;*
- *market acceptance of the Company's flat panel display systems, or COCKPIT/IP® or other planned products or product enhancements;*
- *continued market acceptance of the Company's air data systems and products;*
- *the ability to gain regulatory approval of products in a timely manner;*
- *delays in receiving components from third-party suppliers;*
- *the competitive environment and new product offerings from competitors;*
- *the availability of government funding;*
- *the bankruptcy or insolvency of one or more key customers;*
- *protection of intellectual property rights;*

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

- *failure to retain/recruit key personnel;*
- *a cyber security incident;*
- *the ability to service the international market;*
- *potential future acquisitions; and*
- *other factors disclosed from time to time in the Company's filings with the SEC.*

*Except as expressly required by the federal securities laws, the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise after the date of this report. Results of operations in any past period should not be considered indicative of the results to be expected for future periods. Fluctuations in operating results may result also in fluctuations in the price of the Company's common stock.*

*Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Form 10-Q. The Company does not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events, circumstances, or changes in expectations after the date of this Form 10-Q, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. The forward-looking statements in this document are intended to be subject to the safe harbor protection provided by Sections 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) and 21E of the Exchange Act.*

*Investors should also be aware that while the Company, from time to time, communicates with securities analysts, it is against its policy to disclose any material non-public information or other confidential commercial information. Accordingly, shareholders should not assume that the Company agrees with any statement or report issued by any analyst irrespective of the content of the statement or report. Furthermore, the Company has a policy against issuing or confirming financial forecasts or projections issued by others. Thus, to the extent that reports issued by securities analysts contain any projections, forecasts or opinions, such reports are not the responsibility of the Company.*

Table of Contents

**Company Overview**

Innovative Solutions and Support, Inc. (the Company or IS&S) was incorporated in Pennsylvania on February 12, 1988. The Company operates in one business segment as a systems integrator that designs, manufactures, sells, and services flight guidance and cockpit display systems for original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and retrofit applications. The Company supplies integrated Flight Management Systems (FMS) and advanced Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers that enable reduced carbon footprint navigation.

Increasingly, the Company is positioning itself as a systems integrator, which capability provides the Company with the potential to generate more substantive orders over a broader product base. The strategy, as both a manufacturer and integrator, is to leverage the latest technologies developed for the computer and telecommunications industries into advanced and cost-effective solutions for the general aviation, commercial, the United States Department of Defense (DoD)/governmental, and foreign military markets. This approach, combined with the Company's industry experience, enables IS&S to develop high-quality products and systems, to reduce substantially product time to market, and to achieve cost advantages over products offered by its competitors.

For several years the Company has been working with advances in technology to provide pilots with more information to enhance both the safety and efficiency of flying and has developed its COCKPIT/IP® Cockpit Information Portal (CIP) product line, referred to as FPDS, that incorporates proprietary technology, low cost, reduced power consumption, decreased weight, and increased functionality. The Company believes the FPDS product line is suited to address market demand that will be driven by regulatory mandates, new technologies, and the high cost of maintaining aging/obsolete equipment on airplanes that have been in service for up to fifty years. IS&S believes that the transition to FPDS as part of airplane retrofit requirements will continue. The Company has incorporated electronic flight bag functionality such as charting and mapping systems into its Flat Panel Display Systems (FPDS) product line. The shift in the regulatory and technological environment is illustrated by the dramatic increase in the number of Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) approach qualified airports. Aircraft equipped with the Company's FMS and FPDS products (equipped with a WAAS-enabled navigator) will be qualified to land at such airports, and to comply with upcoming Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) mandates for Required Navigation Performance (RNP) and Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast (ADS-B) navigation, a fact which IS&S believes will further increase the demand for the Company's products.

IS&S sells to both the retrofit market and OEMs. Customers include commercial air transport carriers and corporate/general/aviation companies, DoD and its commercial contractors, aircraft operators, aircraft modification centers, foreign militaries, and various OEMs. Occasionally, IS&S sells its products directly to DoD; however, the Company sells its products primarily to commercial customers for end use in DoD programs. Sales to defense contractors are made on commercial terms, although some of the termination and other provisions of government contracts are applicable to these contracts. IS&S sells its products to agencies of the United States and foreign governments, aircraft operators, aircraft modification centers, and OEMs. Customers have been and may continue to be affected by the ongoing adverse economic conditions that currently exist both in the United States and abroad. Such conditions may cause customers to curtail or delay their spending on both new and existing aircraft. Factors that can impact general economic conditions and the level of spending by customers include, but are not limited to, general levels of consumer spending, increases in fuel and energy costs, conditions in the real estate and mortgage markets, labor and healthcare costs, access to credit, consumer confidence, and other macroeconomic factors affecting spending behavior. In addition, spending by government agencies may in the future be further reduced due to declining tax revenues associated with this economic downturn. If customers curtail or delay their spending or are forced to declare bankruptcy or liquidate their operations due to continuing adverse economic conditions, the Company's revenues and results of operations will be adversely affected. However, the Company believes that in a declining economic environment a customer that may have otherwise elected to purchase newly manufactured aircraft will be interested instead in retrofitting existing aircraft as a cost-effective alternative, which will create a market opportunity for IS&S.



## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

Cost of sales related to product sales is comprised of material components and third-party avionics purchased from suppliers, direct labor, and overhead costs. Many of the components are standard, although certain parts are manufactured to meet IS&S specifications. The overhead portion of cost of sales is comprised primarily of salaries and benefits, building occupancy, supplies, and outside service costs related to production management, purchasing, material control, and quality control. Cost of sales includes warranty costs.

Cost of sales related to engineering development contracts ( EDC ) sales is comprised of engineering labor, consulting services, and other costs associated with specific design and development projects. These are incurred pursuant to contractual arrangements and are typically accounted for as contract costs within cost of sales with the reimbursement accounted for as a sale in accordance with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. Company funded research and development ( R&D ) expenditures relate to internally-funded efforts towards the development of new products and the improvement of existing products and these costs are expensed as incurred and reported as R&D expenses. The Company intends to continue investing in the development of new products that complement current product offerings and will expense associated R&D costs as they are incurred.

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of sales, marketing, business development, professional services, salaries and benefits for executive and administrative personnel, facility costs, recruiting, legal, accounting, and other general corporate expenses.

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The discussion and analysis of financial condition and consolidated results of operations are based upon the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, IS&S management evaluates its estimates based upon historical experience and various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable in the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The Company believes that its critical accounting policies affect its more significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. The Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012 contains a discussion of these critical accounting policies. There have been no significant changes in the Company's critical accounting policies since September 30, 2012. See also Note 1 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine month periods ending June 30, 2013 as set forth herein.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012**

The following table sets forth statement of operations data expressed as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated (some items may not add due to rounding):

	<b>Three Months Ending June 30,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ending June 30,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Net sales:</b>				
Product	73.0%	68.3%	76.5%	76.5%
Engineering development contracts	27.0%	31.7%	23.5%	23.5%
Total net sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Cost of sales:</b>				
Product	32.4%	35.0%	32.3%	39.5%
Engineering development contracts	28.3%	22.4%	23.3%	16.1%
Total cost of sales	60.7%	57.4%	55.6%	55.6%
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>42.6%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Research and development	6.4%	6.8%	9.4%	12.1%
Selling, general and administrative	29.6%	30.0%	27.0%	32.1%

Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

Total operating expenses	36.0%	36.8%	36.4%	44.2%
Operating income	3.3%	5.8%	8.0%	0.2%
Interest income	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%
Interest (expense)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other income	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%
Income before income taxes	3.5%	6.2%	8.2%	0.9%
Income tax expense (benefit)	0.0%	2.0%	0.9%	(0.2)%
Net income	3.5%	4.2%	7.3%	1.1%

Table of Contents

**Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012**

*Net sales.* Net sales increased 43% to \$8.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$6.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Product sales increased \$2.2 million and EDC revenues increased \$428,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. Product sales increased because of higher shipments to customers for their upgrade and retrofit programs, while the increase in EDC revenues was primarily the result of higher engineering development activity on several of the EDC projects. For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized equal amounts of revenue and cost of \$1.5 million and \$600,000, respectively, related to certain contracts which, at the time of recognition, a zero margin approach to applying the percentage-of-completion method is used in accordance with the guidance of Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Topic 605-35, *Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts*.

*Cost of sales.* Cost of sales increased \$1.8 million, or 52%, to \$5.3 million, or 61% of net sales, in the three months ended June 30, 2013 from \$3.5 million, or 57% of net sales, in the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase was primarily the result of a change in sales mix and the increase in product sales volume. An increased proportion of higher margin revenues generated from product sales was offset by the impact of an increase in zero margin EDC revenues resulting in a lower gross profit percentage compared to the same period in the prior year. In addition, EDC margins include the negative impact of cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$161,000 resulting from changes in estimated cost to complete on certain EDC programs for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

*Research and Development.* R&D expense increased \$143,000, or 34%, to \$559,000, or 6% of net sales, in the three months ended June 30, 2013 from \$416,000, or 7% of net sales, in the three months ended June 30, 2012.

*Selling, general, and administrative.* Selling, general and administrative expense increased by \$756,000 to \$2.6 million, or 30% of net sales, in the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$1.8 million, or 30% of net sales, for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in selling, general, and administrative expense in the quarter resulted from the accrual of a non-recurring expense of \$640,000 relating to a previously disclosed legal matter (see Note 6 Contingencies in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements attached), and from an increase in share-based compensation expenses compared to the prior year period.

*Interest income.* Interest income was \$9,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$21,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in interest income was primarily the result of lower cash balances in the quarter compared to the prior year period resulting from the special dividend paid to shareholders in December 2012.

*Interest expense.* Interest expense was \$0 in the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to approximately \$100 in the three months ended June 30, 2012.

*Income tax expense.* At the end of each interim reporting period, the Company prepares an estimate of the annual effective income tax rate, which is applied to year-to-date income to compute income tax expense exclusive of discrete items. Tax items discrete to a specific quarter are included in income tax expense for that quarter. The estimated annual effective tax rate used in providing for income taxes on a year-to-date basis may change in subsequent interim periods.

The income tax benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was (\$9,000) compared to an expense of \$124,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The effective tax benefit rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was (3%). The effective tax benefit rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013 differs from the statutory rate primarily because of the favorable impact of the Federal Research and Development Tax Credit ( Federal R&D Tax Credit ) forecast for the fiscal year, and the impact of the cumulative adjustment resulting from a revised forecast annual effective tax rate. On January 1, 2013, Congress enacted the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 which retroactively reinstated and extended the Federal R&D Tax Credit from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013. The current year estimated annual effective income tax rate reflects a full year benefit from the Federal R&D Tax Credit. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was 32%. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate for the three months ended June 30, 2012 primarily because of the net benefits attributable to the utilization of R&D tax credits, which did not generate deferred tax expense in that period because of the federal valuation allowance that the Company maintained at that time.

At September 30, 2012, the Company considered all available evidence, including the recent history of income before income taxes, together with projections of profitability in future periods and, as a result of this analysis, determined that the positive evidence was sufficient to conclude that it was appropriate to reverse the valuation allowance previously recorded against its net federal deferred tax assets. At June 30, 2013, the balance of the deferred tax valuation allowance relates principally to net operating losses ( NOL ) of certain state taxing jurisdictions. The Company will continue to maintain the balance of the valuation allowance until an appropriate level of profitability is sustained in certain jurisdictions to warrant a conclusion that it is no longer more likely than not that a portion, or all, of these net state deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods. The Company believes that its estimate of future taxable income is inherently uncertain, and if its current or future operations generate losses, further adjustments to the valuation

Table of Contents

allowance are possible.

*Net income.* As a result of the components described above, the Company reported net income of \$307,000 and \$260,000 for the three month periods ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The net income of \$307,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 includes a charge for a non-recurring pre-tax expense of \$640,000 relating to a previously disclosed legal matter. On a diluted basis, income per share was \$0.02 for the three months ended both June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

**Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012**

*Net sales.* Net sales increased \$5.9 million, or 33%, to \$23.5 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from \$17.7 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013, product sales increased \$4.5 million, and EDC revenues increased \$1.4 million from the same period in the prior year. The increase in product sales was primarily the result of higher activity in retrofit and upgrade programs, while the increase in EDC revenues was primarily the result of new design and engineering projects. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized equal amounts of revenue and cost of \$3.0 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, related to certain contracts for which, at the time of recognition, a zero margin approach to applying the percentage-of-completion method was used in accordance with the guidance of FASB ASC Topic 605-35, *Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts*.

*Cost of sales.* Cost of sales was \$13.1 million, or 56% of net sales, in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$9.8 million, or 56% of net sales, in the same period in the prior year. The similar year over year gross margin reflects product sales and EDC revenues growing in approximately equal proportion in both years. In addition, EDC margins include the negative impact of cumulative catch-up adjustments of \$364,000 resulting from changes in estimated cost to complete on certain EDC programs for the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

*Research and development.* R&D expenses were \$2.2 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$2.1 million in the same period in 2012. As a percentage of net sales, R&D expense declined to 9% in 2013 from 12% in the same period a year ago primarily because the Company devoted more engineering resources to support EDC projects in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

*Selling, general, and administrative.* Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$675,000 or 12% to \$6.3 million, or 27% of net sales, in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from \$5.7 million, or 32% of net sales, in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in selling, general, and administrative expense in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily because of the recording of a non-recurring expense of \$640,000 relating to a previously disclosed legal matter (see Note 6 Contingencies in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements attached) and an increase in share-based compensation expenses compared to the prior year period. The decrease as a percentage of net sales for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2012 is attributable primarily to the increase in net sales.

*Interest income.* Interest income was \$32,000 in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$62,000 in the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in interest income was primarily the result of lower cash balances in the last six months compared to the same prior year period as a result of the special cash dividend paid to shareholders in late December 2012.

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

*Interest expense.* Interest expense for the nine month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$0 and \$500, respectively.

*Income tax expense.* The income tax expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 was \$211,000 compared to a tax benefit of \$42,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

The effective tax rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 was 11%. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 primarily because of the favorable impact of the extension of the Federal R&D Tax Credit described above. In addition, the retroactive benefit for the period January 1, 2012 to September 2012 is reflected in the tax expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

The effective tax benefit rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 was 26%. The effective tax benefit rate differs from the statutory rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 primarily because of a decrease in the liability recorded for uncertain tax positions due to the lapse of an applicable statute of limitation and the utilization of R&D tax credits resulting in a greater benefit to the period.

*Net income.* As a result of the factors described above, the Company's net income for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 is \$1.7 million compared to net income of \$206,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The net income of \$1.7 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 includes a charge for a non-recurring pre-tax expense of \$640,000 relating to a previously disclosed legal matter. The income per share of \$0.10 on a diluted basis for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compares to income per share of \$0.01 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The following table highlights key financial measurements of the Company:

	<b>June 30, 2013</b>	<b>September 30, 2012</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,607,850	\$ 42,977,501
Accounts receivable, net	5,096,952	3,978,512
Current assets	33,386,033	54,377,366
Current liabilities	6,097,908	5,289,828
Deferred revenue	399,075	1,426,552
Total debt and other non-current liabilities (1)	271,107	227,000
Quick ratio (2) (4)	3.56	8.88
Current ratio (3) (4)	5.47	10.28

	<b>Nine Months Ending June 30,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Cash flow activities:</b>		
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (1,585,647)	\$ 40,759
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(551,194)	(158,519)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(24,232,810)	(700,934)

- 
- (1) Excludes deferred revenue
- (2) Calculated as: the sum of cash and cash equivalents plus accounts receivable, net, divided by current liabilities
- (3) Calculated as: current assets divided by current liabilities
- (4) Ratios decreased as of June 30, 2013 because of reduced cash balances resulting from the special cash dividend of \$25 million paid to shareholders on December 27, 2012.

The Company's principal source of liquidity has been from cash flows generated from current year operations and cash accumulated from prior years' operations. Cash is used principally to finance inventory, accounts receivable, revenue recognized not yet invoiced on percentage-of-completion contracts, and payroll.

***Operating activities***

The Company used cash in operating activities of \$1.6 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 compared to operating activities providing cash of \$41,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The cash used in operating activities during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 resulted primarily from an increase in revenue recognized but not invoiced of \$3.6 million, partially offset by cash provided from increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses during the nine months ended June 2013. Revenue recognized not yet invoiced represents principally



## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

sales recorded under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting that have not been billed to customers in accordance with applicable contract terms on engineering development projects. The Company will recover the cash invested in funding the EDC programs from customers as it completes project milestones.

The Company's operating activities generated cash of \$41,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. The modest generation of cash during this period resulted primarily from increases in inventory and unbilled receivables, and decreases in accounts payable and accrued expenses, all of which were required to fund materials, inventory and third party service providers to fulfill the Company's obligations under the EDC programs. These were partially offset by increases in deferred revenues resulting from advance billings. The increase in deferred revenues is a result of billings to certain EDC customers in accordance with applicable contract terms that have not yet been recognized as revenues under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting.

### *Investing activities*

Cash used in investing activities was \$551,000 and \$159,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and consisted primarily of the purchase of production and laboratory test equipment.

Table of Contents**Financing activities**

On December 7, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors declared a special cash dividend in the amount of \$1.50 per share which was paid to shareholders on December 27, 2012. The aggregate amount of the payment made in connection with the dividend was approximately \$25 million. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013, the Company received \$775,000 from the exercise of options to acquire shares of common stock. The Company purchased of 175 shares of common stock during the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$701,000 for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, consisting primarily of the repurchases of 180,501 shares of common stock.

Future capital requirements depend upon numerous factors, including market acceptance of the Company's products, the timing and rate of expansion of business, acquisitions, joint ventures, and other factors. IS&S has experienced increases in expenditures since its inception and anticipates that expenditures will continue to increase in the foreseeable future. The Company believes that its cash and cash equivalents will provide sufficient capital to fund operations for at least the next twelve months. Further, IS&S may need to develop and introduce new or enhanced products, to respond to competitive pressures, to invest in or acquire businesses or technologies, or to respond to unanticipated requirements or developments. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of equity securities, dilution to existing shareholders may result. If insufficient funds are available, the Company may not be able to introduce new products or to compete effectively.

**Backlog**

At June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, the Company's backlog was \$90.9 million and \$19.7 million, respectively. Backlog represents the value of contracts and purchase orders received, less the revenue recognized to date on those contracts and purchase orders. The \$71.2 million increase in backlog in the nine months ended June 30, 2013 was the result of \$94.7 million in new business orders, offset in part by \$23.5 million of recognized revenue. The \$94.7 million in new business includes a contract in excess of \$60 million to upgrade Delta Air Lines' MD88 and MD90 fleet over the next several years. Backlog excludes potential future sole-source production orders from products currently in development under the Company's EDC projects. Air Data products backlog at June 30, 2013 increased \$1.3 million from September 30, 2012, while FPDS backlog (including Delta) at June 30, 2013 increased \$69.9 million from September 30, 2012. At June 30, 2013, approximately 71% of the Company's backlog is not expected to be filled within the next twelve months. To the extent new business orders do not continue to equal or exceed revenue recognized in the future from the Company's existing backlog, future operating results may be impacted negatively.

Backlog activity for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ending</b>		<b>Nine Months Ending</b>
	<b>June 30, 2013</b>		
Backlog, beginning of period	\$ 90,140	\$	19,712
Plus: bookings during period, net	9,564		94,744
Less: revenue recognized during period	(8,778)		(23,530)
Backlog, end of period	\$ 90,926	\$	90,926

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

IS&S has no relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as Special Purpose Entities or Variable Interest Entities, established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other limited purposes.

Table of Contents

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The Company's operations are exposed to market risks primarily as a result of changes in interest rates. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. The Company's exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to its cash equivalents. The Company's cash equivalents consist of funds invested in money market funds, which bear interest at a variable rate. The Company does not participate in interest rate hedging. A change in interest rates earned on the cash equivalents would impact interest income and cash flows, but would not impact the fair market value of the related underlying instruments. Assuming that the balances during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 (net of the special dividend of approximately \$25 million paid on December 27, 2012) were to remain constant and the Company did not act to alter the existing interest rate sensitivity, a hypothetical 1% increase in variable interest rates would have affected interest income by approximately \$38,000 and \$115,000, respectively, with a resulting impact on cash flows of approximately \$38,000 and \$115,000 for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

(a) An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15e under the Exchange Act as of June 30, 2013. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported as specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of such controls that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

In the ordinary course of business, IS&S is at times subject to various legal proceedings and claims. The Company does not believe any such matters that are currently pending will have a material effect on the results of operations or financial position.

On November 29, 2011, AMR Corporation, the parent company of American Airlines, Inc. (AAI) and certain of its U.S. based subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for Chapter 11 reorganization in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Bankruptcy). For the

## Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, AAI accounted for 9% and 14%, respectively, of net sales. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, AAI accounted for less than 1% and 6%, respectively, of net sales. AAI continued to purchase products from the Company in the ordinary course of business after November 29, 2011. As of November 29, 2011, the Company had pre-Bankruptcy outstanding accounts receivable of \$760,000 from AAI. The Company believes it is probable that the outstanding receivables will be collected in full and, therefore, has not recorded a reserve against these assets as of the balance sheet date. In the 90 days preceding the filing of the Bankruptcy petition, the Company received \$828,000 from AAI in the ordinary course of business. Under the U.S. bankruptcy laws, debtors have the right to avoid certain payments made during the 90 days preceding the filing of the bankruptcy petition. No such avoidance action has been asserted or filed, and the Company believes that it would have valid defenses against any such action.

On September 26, 2011, Farhad Daghigh, a former employee of the Company, filed a lawsuit against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas of Chester County ( the Court ) alleging breach of contract and violation of the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law and claiming unpaid sales commissions, prejudgment interest, and liquidated damages totaling approximately \$583,000 for the fiscal years ended 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, plus attorneys fees and costs. In June 2013, following a trial without a jury, the Court found in favor of the plaintiff awarding him damages of approximately \$560,000 for breach of contract, violation of the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law and prejudgment interest, as well as finding the Company liable for the plaintiff s reasonable attorneys fees. The Company has appealed the decision. Pending the outcome of the appeal, the Company has recorded an estimated liability of \$640,000 (which includes the plaintiff s estimated attorney s fees) in the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

On January 17, 2007, the Company filed suit in the Court of Common Pleas for Delaware County, Pennsylvania against Strathman Associates, a former software consultant for IS&S, alleging that Strathman had improperly used IS&S trade secrets and proprietary information in assisting J2 and Kollsman in developing the J2/Kollsman Air Data Computer. This case has not been resolved as of the

Table of Contents

date hereof.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There are no material changes to the risk factors described under Item 1A of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

None

**Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities**

None

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

Not applicable

**Item 5. Other Information**

None

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits

Edgar Filing: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS & SUPPORT INC - Form 10-Q

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) **(1)**
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) **(1)**
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 **(2)**
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document **(3)**
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document **(3)**
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document **(3)**
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document **(3)**
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document **(3)**
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document **(3)**

---

(1) Filed herewith

(2) Furnished herewith

(3) Pursuant to Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or incorporated in any registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS AND SUPPORT, INC.**

Date: August 8, 2013

By:

*/s/ RONALD C. ALBRECHT*  
RONALD C. ALBRECHT  
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER