

ELLIE MAE INC
Form 10-Q
November 08, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-35140

ELLIE MAE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-3288780

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4155 Hopyard Road, Suite 200

Pleasanton, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

(925) 227-7000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

94588

(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: ELLIE MAE INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date:

As of October 31, 2012:

Class	Number of Shares
Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value	25,659,876

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>ITEM 1—Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>ITEM 2—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>ITEM 3—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>ITEM 4—Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>30</u>
PART II—OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>ITEM 1—Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>ITEM 1A—Risk Factors</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>ITEM 6—Exhibits</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>42</u>

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1—CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Ellie Mae, Inc.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$90,306	\$23,732
Short-term investments	3,558	1,933
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$99 and \$47, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	10,498	6,819
Prepaid expenses and other	4,568	1,381
Deferred tax asset	2,716	—
Note receivable	1,000	1,000
Total current assets	112,646	34,865
Property and equipment, net	8,800	5,539
Deposits and other assets	135	135
Note receivable	12	15
Other intangible assets, net	6,940	8,166
Goodwill	51,051	51,051
Total assets	\$179,584	\$99,771
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$1,891	\$2,255
Accrued and other current liabilities	7,497	4,931
Acquisition holdback, net of discount	2,927	2,948
Deferred revenue	4,526	4,548
Deferred rent	242	212
Leases payable	5	6
Total current liabilities	17,088	14,900
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	35	62
Deferred rent, net of current portion	439	624
Acquisition holdback, net of current portion and discount	1,898	4,725
Deferred tax liability	2,062	—
Other long-term liabilities	360	598
Leases payable, net of current portion	—	4
Total liabilities	21,882	20,913
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value per share; 140,000,000 authorized shares, 25,511,199 and 21,019,590 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively		2
Additional paid-in capital	179,385	116,012
Accumulated deficit	(21,686) (37,156
Total stockholders' equity	157,702	78,858

Edgar Filing: ELLIE MAE INC - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$179,584	\$99,771
--	-----------	----------

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

Table of Contents

Ellie Mae, Inc.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues	\$27,456	\$14,673	\$71,931	\$36,740
Cost of revenues	6,049	4,045	16,589	10,920
Gross profit	21,407	10,628	55,342	25,820
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing	4,347	3,047	12,579	7,995
Research and development	4,756	3,452	13,188	8,862
General and administrative	6,023	3,376	14,195	9,103
Total operating expenses	15,126	9,875	39,962	25,960
Income (loss) from operations	6,281	753	15,380	(140)
Other income (expense), net	23	16	(15)	95)
Income (loss) before income taxes	6,304	769	15,365	(45)
Income tax benefit	(525)	(1,895)	(105)	(1,870)
Net income	\$6,829	\$2,664	\$15,470	\$1,825
Net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	\$0.27	\$0.13	\$0.68	\$0.13
Diluted	\$0.25	\$0.12	\$0.63	\$0.09
Weighted average common shares used in computing net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	25,201,404	20,707,275	22,747,911	13,871,955
Diluted	27,408,711	21,966,326	24,483,578	20,170,075
Comprehensive income	\$6,829	\$2,664	\$15,470	\$1,825

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Ellie Mae, Inc.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$15,470	\$1,825
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,257	1,385
Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable	52	436
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,226	481
Amortization of discount related to holdback	152	26
Stock-based compensation	3,643	1,133
Loss on sale of property and equipment	20	—
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	(1,191))
Change in deferred taxes	(654)) (1,654)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(3,731)) (2,313)
Prepaid expenses and other	(2,933)) (627)
Deposits and other assets	—	524
Accounts payable	(805)) 911
Accrued and other liabilities	3,519	31
Deferred revenue	(49)) (127)
Deferred rent	(155)) (129)
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,821	1,902
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(5,744)) (3,351)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	10	—
Purchase of short-term investments	(5,406)) (5,006)
Maturities of short-term investments	3,781	5,026
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	—	(18,188)
Payment of holdback from acquisition	(2,907))
Other investing activities, net	3	(16)
Net cash used in investing activities	(10,263)) (21,535)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs	55,821	23,076
Payment of capital lease obligations	(5)) (115)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock under employee stock plans	3,009	551
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	1,191	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	60,016	23,512
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	66,574	3,879
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, Beginning of period	23,732	14,349
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, End of period	\$90,306	\$18,228
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Property and equipment purchases not yet paid	\$151	\$221
Deferred issuance costs not yet paid	\$290	\$—
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	\$—	\$82,670

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

Ellie Mae, Inc.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1—Description of Business

Ellie Mae, Inc. (the “Company” or “Ellie Mae”) was originally incorporated in California in August 1997 and reincorporated in Delaware in November 2009. The Company provides business automation software for a large segment of the mortgage industry in the United States. Its on-demand, technology-enabled software solutions help streamline and automate the process of originating and funding new mortgage loans, thereby increasing efficiency, facilitating regulatory compliance and reducing documentation errors.

NOTE 2—Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“GAAP”) and applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) regarding interim financial reporting. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. Therefore, these condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on March 28, 2012 (“2011 Form 10-K”).

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, included herein, was derived from the audited financial statements as of that date but does not include all disclosures, including notes required by GAAP.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial positions, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods, but are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be anticipated for the full year 2012 or any future period.

Significant Accounting Policies

The Company’s significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in its 2011 Form 10-K. There have been no significant changes to these policies.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ellie Mae and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Software and Website Development Costs

The Company capitalizes costs related to internal-use software and website application development. In each case the software or website application is for internal needs and the Company does not plan to market the software externally. Costs related to preliminary project activities and post implementation activities are expensed as incurred. Internal-use software is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life, generally three years. Management evaluates the useful lives of these assets on an annual basis and tests for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that could impact the recoverability of these assets. The capitalized costs are included in “Property and equipment” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company capitalized software and website application development costs of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. There were no costs capitalized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. There was no related amortization recorded in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Goodwill

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually in the fourth quarter of the Company's fiscal year and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. Triggering events that may indicate possible impairment include, but are not limited to, a significant adverse change in customer demand or business climate that could affect the value of goodwill or result in a significant decrease in expected cash flows. Through September 30, 2012, no impairment of goodwill had been identified.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue primarily from on-demand and on-premise fees for software and related services. The Company re-categorized its revenues beginning with the first quarter of 2012 to on-demand revenues and on-premise revenues (see Note 12) to better align with the Company's strategic plan. On-demand revenues are revenues generated from company-hosted software subscriptions that customers access through the Internet and revenues from a small number of customers that have opted to self-host a portion of the software but pay fees based on a per closed loan, or success, basis subject to monthly base fees, which the company refers to as success-based pricing. On-demand revenues are also comprised of software services sold transactionally and Ellie Mae Network transaction fees. On-premise revenues generally are revenues generated from customer-hosted software licenses and related implementation (except for customer-hosted success-based pricing revenues included in on-demand revenues described above), training and maintenance services. Sales taxes assessed by governmental authorities are excluded from revenue.

On-Demand Revenues

Subscription Services and Usage-Based Fee Arrangements. Subscription services and usage-based fee arrangements generally include a combination of the Company's products delivered as software-as-a-service, or SaaS, product updates and support services. These revenues generally include the following:

SaaS Encompass Revenues. The Company offers web-based access to its Encompass software for a monthly recurring fee. The Company provides the right to access its loan origination software and handles the responsibility of managing the servers, providing security, backing up the data and applying updates; however, except where customers self-host a portion of the software in a success-based pricing structure, customers under these arrangements may not take possession of the software at any time during the term of the agreement. Associated set-up fees are recognized ratably over the life of the relationship with its customers, which is generally the life of the contract. Contracts generally range from one to three years. Alternatively, customers can elect to pay on a per closed loan, or success, basis. Success basis contracts generally have a term of two to three years and are subject to monthly base fees, which enable customers to close loans up to a contractually agreed to minimum number of transactions, and additional closed loan fees, which are assessed for loans closed in excess of the minimum. Revenue is earned from both base fees and additional closed loan fees as the result of the customer's usage of Encompass software. Monthly base fees are recognized over the respective monthly service period as the software is utilized. Additional closed loans fees are recognized when the loans are reported as closed. This offering also includes CenterWise for Encompass as an integrated component, which is a combined element of the arrangement that is delivered in conjunction with the SaaS Encompass offering and therefore is not accounted for separately.

CenterWise for Encompass Licensees. The Company provides a bundled offering of electronic document management and websites used for customer relationship management. Generally, the Company recognizes revenue for CenterWise as the service is performed, except when CenterWise is automatically included as an integrated component of the SaaS Encompass offering, in which case the associated revenue is recognized as described above.

Services Revenues. The Company has entered into agreements with customers that provide mortgage-related and other business services, including automated documentation preparation and compliance reports. Revenue is recognized only when the price is fixed or determinable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the service is performed and collectability of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

Transaction Revenues. The Company has entered into agreements with various lenders, service providers and certain government agencies participating in the mortgage origination process that provide them access to, and interoperability with, mortgage originators on the Ellie Mae Network. Under these agreements, the Company has the opportunity to earn transaction fees when transactions are processed through the Ellie Mae Network. Transaction

revenues are recognized when there is evidence that the qualifying transactions have occurred on the Ellie Mae Network and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. Associated set-up fees are recognized ratably, beginning upon completion of the integration and continuing over the remaining estimated life of the relationship with its customer, which generally is the remaining life of the contract.

Table of Contents

On-Premise Revenues

With the exception of revenue from customers that self-host a portion of the software in a success-based pricing structure (which is recognized as described above), revenue from the sale of software licenses is recognized in the month in which the required revenue recognition criteria are met, generally in the month in which the software is delivered. Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, which is evidenced by a signed agreement; the product has been downloaded or delivered freight on board shipping point; the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

Multiple Element Arrangements

For software arrangements with multiple elements (e.g., undelivered maintenance and support contracts bundled with licenses), the Company, when vendor specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) is determinable, allocates revenue to the delivered elements of the arrangement using the residual value method based on objective evidence of the fair value of the undelivered elements, which is specific to the Company. When VSOE is not determinable, the Company allocates all revenue to the undelivered elements and the entire arrangement is recognized ratably over the term of the contract. The Company recognizes revenue under this model upon receipt of cash payment from the customer, if collectability is not reasonably assured, and when other revenue recognition criteria have been met. The VSOE of fair value for maintenance and support obligations related to licenses is based upon the prices paid for the separate renewal of these services by the customer. Maintenance revenues are recognized ratably over the period of the contract. License revenues include the nominal shipping and handling charges associated with most license orders. Actual shipping costs incurred by the Company are included in cost of revenues.

When subscription services and usage-based fee arrangements involve multiple elements that qualify as separate units of accounting, the Company allocates arrangement consideration at the inception of an arrangement to all deliverables based on the relative selling price method in accordance with the selling price hierarchy, which includes: (i) VSOE if available; (ii) third-party evidence (“TPE”) if VSOE is not available; and (iii) the best estimate of selling price (“BESP”) if neither VSOE nor TPE is available.

VSOE. The Company determines VSOE based on its historical pricing and discounting practices for the specific product or service when sold separately. In determining VSOE, the Company requires that a substantial majority of the selling prices for these services fall within a reasonably narrow pricing range. The Company has not historically priced its subscription services and usage-based fee arrangements within a narrow range and has limited standalone sales or renewals for these arrangements. As a result, the Company has not been able to establish selling prices based on VSOE.

TPE. When VSOE cannot be established for deliverables in multiple element arrangements, the Company applies judgment with respect to whether it can establish a selling price based on TPE. TPE is determined based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company’s go-to-market strategy differs from that of its peers and its offerings contain a significant level of differentiation such that the comparable pricing of services with similar functionality cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine what similar competitor services’ selling prices are on a standalone basis. As a result, the Company has not been able to establish selling prices based on TPE.

BESP. When the Company is unable to establish a selling price using VSOE or TPE, the Company uses BESP in its allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the service was sold on a standalone basis. When establishing BESP, the Company reviews company specific factors used to determine list price and makes adjustments as appropriate to reflect current market conditions and pricing behavior. The Company’s process for establishing list price includes assessing the cost to provide a particular product or service, surveying customers to determine market expectations, analyzing customer demographics and taking into account similar products and services historically sold by the Company. The Company continues to review the factors used to establish list price and adjusts BESP as necessary.

Because the Company has determined that neither VSOE nor TPE are available, it uses BESP to allocate the selling price to subscription services and usage-based fee deliverables.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation related to awards granted under its 2009 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the “2009 Plan”), 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan (the “2011 Plan”) and Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”).

The Company recognizes compensation expense related to stock option grants that are ultimately expected to vest based on estimated fair values on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Such expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which generally equals the vesting period.

Table of Contents

The Company recognizes compensation expense related to restricted awards, restricted stock unit (“RSU”) awards, and the performance share awards granted on August 9, 2012 (the “Performance Awards”) based on the fair market value of the underlying shares of common stock as of the date of grant. Expense related to the restricted awards and the RSU awards are recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which generally equals the vesting period. Expense related to the Performance Awards is recognized under an accelerated method which recognizes a larger portion of the expense during the beginning of the vesting period than in the end of the vesting period. Management estimates the probable number of shares of common stock that will be granted until the achievement of the performance goals is known.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from net income, specifically unrealized gains (losses) on short-term investments, which were insignificant for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. As a result, comprehensive income is equivalent to net income for all periods presented.

Note Receivable

On September 30, 2009, the Company advanced \$1.0 million to a private company in the form of a secured promissory note receivable, scheduled to mature on September 30, 2012. On September 18, 2012, the note was extended through September 30, 2013 pursuant to the terms of the note. The note receivable is secured by all tangible and intangible assets and property of the private company and bears interest at 10% per annum with interest only payments through the extension date, at which time the principal balance and any remaining accrued interest is due and payable.

NOTE 3—Net Income Per Share of Common Stock

Net income per share of common stock is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share of common stock is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding and potential shares of common stock during the period. Potential shares of common stock include dilutive shares attributable to the assumed exercise of stock options, restricted stock units, warrants and ESPP shares using the treasury stock method and contingent issuances of common stock related to redeemable convertible preferred stock, if dilutive.

Table of Contents

The components of net income per share of common stock were as follows:

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Net income	\$6,829	\$2,664	\$15,470	\$1,825
Basic shares:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	25,201,404	20,707,275	22,747,911	13,871,955
Diluted shares:				
Weighted average shares used to compute basic net income per share	25,201,404	20,707,275	22,747,911	13,871,955
Effect of potentially dilutive securities:				
Warrants to purchase common stock, employee stock options, restricted stock units and convertible preferred stock	2,207,307	1,259,051	1,735,667	6,298,120
Weighted average shares used to compute diluted net income per share	27,408,711	21,966,326	24,483,578	20,170,075
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$0.27	\$0.13	\$0.68	\$0.13
Diluted	\$0.25	\$0.12	\$0.63	\$0.09

The following potential common shares were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive:

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Employee stock options and awards	158,266	1,168,640	175,104	891,907

Performance-based awards are included in the diluted shares outstanding for each period if the established performance criteria have been met at the end of the respective periods. However, if none of the required performance criteria have been met for such awards, the Company includes the number of shares that would be issuable if the end of the reporting period were the end of the contingency period. Accordingly, in addition to the shares noted above, 721,333 and 583,333 performance-based shares have been excluded from the dilutive shares outstanding for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

All of the redeemable convertible preferred stock automatically converted to common stock on a 1-for-1 basis in connection with the consummation of the Company's initial public offering (the "IPO"). The number of potentially dilutive securities presented in the table above for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes the weighted average shares of common stock underlying outstanding shares of redeemable convertible preferred stock during the period.

NOTE 4—Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1— Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2— Valuations based on other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3— Valuations based on inputs that are generally unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their values:

	Fair value at September 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Fair value measurements using inputs considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	\$85,244	\$85,244	\$—	\$—
U.S. government agency obligations	4,040	—	4,040	—
	\$89,284	\$85,244	\$4,040	\$—

	Fair value at December 31, 2011 (in thousands)	Fair value measurements using inputs considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	\$16,926	\$16,926	\$—	\$—
U.S. government agency obligations	3,297	—	3,297	—
	\$20,223	\$16,926	\$3,297	\$—

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company did not have any assets or liabilities that were valued using Level 3 inputs.

Money Market Funds

Money market funds are open-ended mutual funds that typically invest in short-term debt securities. Money market funds are classified as cash and cash equivalents on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company classified these funds that are specifically backed by debt securities as Level 1 instruments due to its usage of unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

U.S. Government and Governmental Agency Obligations

U.S. government and governmental agency obligations are issued by U.S. federal, state and local governments, government-sponsored enterprises and other governmental entities such as authorities or special districts that generally mature within two years. These are classified as cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. The market approach was used to value the Company's U.S. government and governmental agency obligations. The Company classified these securities as Level 2 instruments due to either its usage of observable market prices in less active markets or, when observable market prices were not available, its use of non-binding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data or quoted market prices for similar instruments.

NOTE 5—Acquisition

On August 15, 2011, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement and acquired all of the outstanding shares of DMD, a mortgage lending automation business, for a total purchase consideration of \$25.1 million in cash, of which \$17.2 million was paid at closing and the remaining \$8.0 million ("the holdback"), net of \$0.1 million measurement period closing settlement adjustments, will be paid without interest as follows: \$3.0 million on August 15, 2012, \$3.0 million on August 15, 2013 and \$2.0 million on August 15, 2014. The 2012 payment was made as scheduled during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed the purchase accounting of the net assets acquired at their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. It was determined that the acquisition of DMD's \$1.7 million of deferred tax liabilities changed the probability of realizing an equivalent amount of the Company's previously

recorded deferred tax assets to more likely than not. Accordingly, the valuation allowance carried on the Company's deferred tax assets was reduced by \$1.7 million, which is included in income tax benefit on the consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. As a result, the amounts previously reported as of September 30, 2011 have been restated to reflect the measurement period adjustments.

Table of Contents

The allocation of the purchase consideration of \$25.1 million, net of \$0.4 million of imputed interest related to the holdback, to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, revised as noted above, is summarized in the following table:

	Preliminary Amounts Recorded (in thousands)	Measurement Period Adjustment	Final Amounts Recorded	
Current assets (consisting primarily of accounts receivable)	\$521	\$—	\$521	
Property and equipment	329		329	
Other long-term assets	31		31	
Amortizable intangible assets:				
Customer relationships	4,220		4,220	
Maintenance relationships	2,490		2,490	
Developed technology	960		960	
Tradename	230		230	
Deferred revenue, current	(964)	(964)
Other current liabilities	(537) (2) (539)
Long-term liabilities	(22)	(22)
Deferred tax liabilities, net	—	(1,654) (1,654)
Goodwill	17,523	1,563	19,086	
Total purchase consideration	\$24,781	\$(93) \$24,688	

NOTE 6— Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

There were no changes in the carrying value of goodwill during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Other intangible assets, net, consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2012			
	Gross carrying amount (in thousands)	Accumulated amortization	Net intangibles	Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (in years)
Developed technology	\$1,874	\$(1,042) \$ 832	2.3
Tradenames	260	(104) 156	2.2
Customer lists and contracts	7,300	(1,348) 5,952	6.2
	\$9,434	\$(2,494) \$ 6,940	5.7

	December 31, 2011			
	Gross carrying amount (in thousands)	Accumulated amortization	Net intangibles	Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (in years)
Developed technology	\$4,252	\$(3,047) \$ 1,205	2.9
Tradenames	1,606	(1,391) 215	2.9
Customer lists and contracts	10,502	(3,756) 6,746	6.9
	\$16,360	\$(8,194) \$ 8,166	6.2

Amortization expense associated with other intangible assets was \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Minimum future amortization expense for other intangible assets at September 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Amortization (in thousands)
Remainder of fiscal 2012	\$409
2013	1,441
2014	1,405
2015	1,033
2016	928
Thereafter	1,724
	\$6,940

NOTE 7—Commitments and Contingencies

Leases

As of September 30, 2012, the Company leased four facilities under operating lease arrangements. The expiration dates of these leases range from May 2014 to April 2015. Certain leases contain escalation clauses calling for increased rents. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the lease period and has recorded deferred rent for the difference between rent payments and rent expense recognized. An additional facility is leased on a month-to-month basis. Rent expense was \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. In October, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to lease additional office space. The lease expires in April, 2015 with payments of \$21,000 per month over the term.

Legal Proceedings

On August 28, 2009, DocMagic, Inc., (“DocMagic”), filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (DocMagic, Inc. v. Ellie Mae, Inc., Case No. 3:9-CV-4017), which is referred to in this report as the Federal Action, alleging that the Company had engaged in monopolization and/or attempted monopolization of an alleged product market composed of “internet portal[s] providing electronic linkages for mortgage loan closing document preparation services,” and that it is subject to liability for related state court claims for intentional interference with a contractual relationship, interference with prospective economic advantage and unfair competition. DocMagic’s claims relate to the August 2009 expiration of a September 2006 Electronic Bridge Agreement pursuant to which DocMagic had been a vendor on the Ellie Mae Network. In addition, DocMagic filed a separate lawsuit against Ellie Mae in the Superior Court of California for the City and County of San Francisco (DocMagic, Inc. v. Ellie Mae, Inc., Case No. CGC-9-491986), which is referred to in this report as the State Action, wherein it alleged a related claim of breach of a September 2006 reseller agreement between the parties and also alleged unfair competition. The State Action was later dismissed without prejudice pursuant to an agreement between the parties so that the parties could re-file their state law claims in the Federal Action. DocMagic amended its complaint to include the state claims and also Lanham Act and Copyright Act claims.

In response to DocMagic’s federal claim, the Company filed an answer and counterclaim, denying all material allegations. In the counterclaim, the Company raised various affirmative claims against DocMagic for copyright infringement, violation of the federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act and violation of state law claims for breach of the Electronic Bridge Agreement inducing its customers to breach contracts and engaging in unfair competition. Subsequent to the State Action being dismissed, the Company filed an amended counterclaim in the Federal Action, adding an additional state claim for violation of California’s Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act.

The initial complaint was dismissed with leave to amend as to certain claims and DocMagic’s claims for attempted monopolization and false advertising were dismissed with prejudice. DocMagic then filed a second amended complaint, re-alleging claims that were dismissed with leave to amend. The Company answered the second amended complaint, denying all material allegations, and filed its second amended counterclaim. DocMagic answered the second amended counterclaim, denying all material allegations. Pursuant to the second amended complaint and the second amended counterclaim, each of the parties is seeking unspecified damages and injunctive relief. On May 16, 2011, the Court ordered the parties to mediation, which the parties attended on August 24, 2011.

On October 10, 2012, the Company and DocMagic settled the lawsuit by entering into a settlement agreement, in which the parties agreed to dismiss the case with prejudice and without any admission of liability, or damages to be paid, by either party. This settlement ends the legal disputes between the parties and renews the former relationship between the two companies, with DocMagic's products and services being made available to Encompass customers through the Ellie Mae Network.

Table of Contents

On March 25, 2011, Industry Access Incorporated filed a patent infringement lawsuit against the Company and another defendant in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain aspects of the Company's Encompass360 loan management software system and related operations infringe a single patent, and seeks declaratory relief and unspecified damages from the defendants, including enhanced damages for willful infringement and reasonable attorneys' fees. On June, 24, 2011, the Court issued an order requiring plaintiff to serve the complaint on all defendants within three days of the order. On June 28, 2011, plaintiff served the Company with the complaint and the Company filed its answer on August 5, 2011 denying all material allegations of the complaint. On November 18, 2011 the other defendant filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") a request for ex parte reexamination of Industry Access' US Patent No 7,769,681, which the PTO granted on February 14, 2012. On December 15, 2011, the Company filed a motion to stay the litigation pending the reexamination, which the court granted on February 28, 2012. On October 9, 2012, the PTO issued an ex parte reexamination certificate. On September 7, 2012, one of the inventors of the patent at issue, who is also a lawyer, filed a notice of appearance with the Court as Industry Access' new counsel. On September 13, 2012, the prior counsel for Industry Access filed a motion to withdraw from this case. A court hearing is set for the motion to withdraw on November 30, 2012.

Although as of September 30, 2012, the Company had not been served with the initial complaints, the Company has been named as a co-defendant in four purported class action lawsuits filed in the Missouri Circuit Court in St. Louis County, Missouri. These lawsuits against the Company and various other defendants were filed by (i) David and Lisa Clepper on May 4, 2012 (the "Clepper Matter"), (ii) Russell and Kathleen Klingel and Lee McMurray on May 4, 2012 (the "Klingel Matter"), (iii) Richard and Susan Ryffel on May 25, 2012 (the "Ryffel Matter") and (iv) Nicole and Kenneth Boegeman on June 27, 2012 (the "Boegeman Matter"), all the plaintiffs of which are being represented by the same law firm. The respective plaintiffs in these lawsuits allege that the Company, among other things, violated Missouri's Merchandising Practices Act and engaged in the unauthorized practice of law in connection with document preparation services that the Company began offering following the acquisition of certain assets from Online Documents, Inc. in September 2008. The respective plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, injunctive relief, attorney's fees, other costs and expenses and pre-judgment interest. The plaintiffs in the Clepper Matter and Boegeman Matter voluntarily dismissed the Company without prejudice from the lawsuit on July 12, 2012. The plaintiffs in the Ryffel Matter voluntarily dismissed all the defendants, including the Company, without prejudice from the lawsuit on June 14, 2012. The plaintiffs in the Klingel Matter voluntarily dismissed the Company without prejudice from the lawsuit on September 10, 2012.

The Company believes that it has substantial and meritorious defenses in each of these cases and, if these matters are re-filed or similar claims are pursued, the Company intends to defend these claims vigorously.

The Company is also subject to various other legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. With respect to these matters and the litigations described above, the Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings and the amounts and ranges of potential damages associated with such proceedings cannot be estimated or assessed. An unfavorable outcome of these or any of litigations could materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

NOTE 8—Stockholders' Equity

On July 3, 2012, the Company sold 3,465,245 shares of its common stock and certain directors and executive officers of the Company (the "Selling Stockholders") sold an aggregate of 101,638 shares in an underwritten public offering pursuant to the Company's effective Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-181980) at a public offering price of \$17.00 per share. The Company received the net proceeds from the sale of the shares offered by the Company of \$55.5 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses. The Company received no proceeds from the sale of shares offered by the Selling Stockholders.

Table of Contents

NOTE 9—Stock Incentive Plans

2009 Stock Option and Incentive Plan and 2011 Equity Incentive Award Plan

Stock Options

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity under the 2009 Plan and 2011 Plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	4,246,285	\$3.97		
Granted	820,250	\$14.41		
Exercised	(900,276)	\$2.44		
Forfeited or expired	(143,626)	\$6.83		
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	4,022,633	\$6.34	7.17	\$84,022
Ending vested and expected to vest at September 30, 2012	3,927,761	\$6.25	7.12	\$82,424
Exercisable at September 30, 2012	2,090,688	\$3.49	5.46	\$49,636

There were no grants under the 2009 plan during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Intrinsic value of an option is the difference between the fair value of the Company's common stock at the time of exercise and the exercise price to be paid. The aggregate intrinsic value for options outstanding at September 30, 2012 in the table above represents the total intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$27.23 as of September 30, 2012, which would have been received by option holders had all option holders exercised their in-the-money options as of that date.

Following is additional information pertaining to the Company's stock option activity:

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		Nine months ended September 30, 2011	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except per option amounts)			
Weighted average fair value per option granted	\$12.18	\$2.55	\$7.29	\$2.76
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$7,458	\$5	\$10,016	\$102
Proceeds received from options exercised	\$927	\$2	\$2,266	\$26

As of September 30, 2012, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options, adjusted for estimated forfeitures, was \$8.2 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.9 years.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock Units and Performance Awards

The fair value of the Company's RSU and Performance Awards is measured based upon the closing price of its underlying common stock as of the grant date and is recognized over the vesting term. Upon vesting, RSUs convert into an equivalent number of shares of common stock. The following table summarizes the Company's RSU and Performance Award activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

	RSUs		Performance Awards	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	—	\$—	—	\$—
Granted	50,000	8.90	588,000	25.79
Released	(6,250)	8.90	—	—
Forfeited or expired	—	—	—	—
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	43,750	\$ 8.90	588,000	\$ 25.79
Ending vested and expected to vest at September 30, 2012	40,013		588,000	

RSUs that are expected to vest are net of estimated future forfeitures.

On August 9, 2012, the Company granted 147,000 Performance Awards to designated participants under the 2011 Plan. A Performance Award represents the right to receive up to four shares of the Company's common stock upon achievement of certain performance goals during the performance period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. Shares of common stock earned, if any, under the Performance Awards will be issued in the third quarter of 2013 after the Company's level of achievement of the performance goals has been determined (the "Determination Date"), with 25% of the shares being immediately vested and the remaining shares vesting 25% on each of the first three anniversaries of the Determination Date, subject to continuous employment of the participant through such dates. At September 30, 2012, the Company expects the performance goals will be achieved so that each Performance Award will convert to four shares of the Company's stock. No forfeitures are expected.

As of September 30, 2012, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested RSUs and Performance Awards was \$13.0 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.6 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Employee participation in the ESPP began in the second quarter of 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, employees purchased 126,242 shares under the ESPP plan for a total of \$0.7 million. As of September 30, 2012, unrecognized compensation cost related to the current ESPP period which ends on February 28, 2013 is approximately \$0.2 million and is expected to be recognized over five months.

Table of Contents

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized by the Company consisted of:

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2012		2011	
	(in thousands)							
Stock-based compensation by type of award:								
Stock options	\$ 1,024		\$ 356		\$ 2,283		\$ 1,044	
ESPP	97		20		196		89	
RSUs (1)	28		—		65		—	
Performance Awards (1)	\$ 1,099		\$ —		\$ 1,099		\$ —	
	\$ 2,248		\$ 376		\$ 3,643		\$ 1,133	

(1) There were no outstanding RSUs or Performance Awards during 2011.

Stock-based compensation by category of expense:

Cost of revenues	\$ 80	\$ 22	\$ 170	\$ 59
Sales and marketing	85	23	256	132
Research and development	532	98	915	246
General and administrative	1,551	233	2,302	696
	\$ 2,248	\$ 376	\$ 3,643	\$ 1,133

The Company did not capitalize any stock-based compensation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 as such amounts were not material.

The fair value of stock options and stock purchase rights granted under the 2011 Plan and the ESPP were estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three months ended September 30,				Nine months ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
Stock option plans:								
Risk-free interest rate	0.87	%	1.33	%	0.99	%	1.33-2.20	%
Expected life of options (in years)	6.08		5.27-6.08		5.98		5.27-6.08	
Expected dividend yield	—	%	—	%	—	%	—	%
Volatility	52	%	53-54	%	55	%	53-55	%
Employee Stock Purchase Plan:								
Risk-free interest rate	0.14	%	0.05	%	0.15	%	0.05	%
Expected life of options (in years)	0.50		0.50		0.50		0.50	
Expected dividend yield	—	%	—	%	—	%	—	%
Volatility	37	%	52	%	43	%	52	%

Common Stock

The following number of shares of common stock were reserved and available for future issuance at September 30, 2012:

	Reserved Shares
Stock Plans	1,846,830
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	701,077
Total	2,547,907

Table of Contents

NOTE 10—Income Taxes

The Company computes its provision for income taxes by applying the estimated annual effective tax rate to income from recurring operations and adjusts the provision for discrete tax items recorded in the period. The estimated annual effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was (0.7)% and 15.8%, respectively.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company reevaluated the full valuation allowance carried on its deferred tax assets. Based upon the Company's cumulative operating results for the past twelve quarters ended September 30, 2012 and an assessment of the Company's expected future results of operations, the Company determined that there was significant positive evidence regarding the realization of certain of its deferred tax assets. After weighing both the positive and negative evidence, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that certain of its deferred tax assets will be realized. As a result, the Company reversed a portion of its valuation allowance and recognized an additional net deferred tax asset of \$0.7 million based on the amount of net deferred tax assets expected to be remaining as of December 31, 2012. The amount was recorded as a discrete tax benefit in the three months ended September 30, 2012. The valuation allowance remaining at September 30, 2012 relates to certain state research and development tax credits, the realization of which is uncertain as the Company expects to generate additional such credits at a faster rate than it is able to utilize them.

During the third quarter of 2011, the acquisition of DMD resulted in the recognition of a deferred tax liability of \$1.7 million. This liability changed the probability of realizing an equivalent amount of the Company's previously recorded deferred tax assets to more likely than not. Accordingly, the valuation allowance was reduced by \$1.7 million, which is included in income tax benefit on the consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes the Company's provision for (benefit from) income taxes included in its unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the periods indicated:

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		Nine months ended September 30, 2011	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Interim period provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$ 173	\$(241)	\$ 593	\$(216)
Release of valuation allowance	(698)	(1,654)	(698)	(1,654)
Benefit from income taxes	\$(525)	\$(1,895)	\$(105)	\$(1,870)

The difference between the statutory rate of 36% and the Company's estimated annual effective tax rate was primarily due to the utilization of federal and state net operating losses, the release of the valuation allowance, disqualifying dispositions of stock options and research and development credits not previously benefited. The Company evaluates its effective income tax rate on a quarterly basis and updates its estimate of the full year effective income tax rate as necessary.

The Company records liabilities related to its uncertain tax positions. Tax positions for the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to income tax audits by multiple tax jurisdictions. The Company believes that it has provided adequate reserves for its income tax uncertainties in all open tax years. The Company has a policy to classify accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions together with the related liability, and the expenses incurred related to such accruals are included in the provision for income taxes.

NOTE 11—Related Party Transactions

A related party is generally defined as (i) any person that holds 10% or more of the Company's securities and their immediate families, (ii) the Company's management, (iii) someone that directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by or is under common control with the Company or (iv) anyone who can significantly influence the financial and operating decisions of the Company. A transaction is considered to be a related party transaction when there is a transfer of resources or obligations between related parties.

Prior to the IPO, certain investors in the Company were also trade customers. Revenues earned from these related parties were \$483,000 and \$1,349,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and were primarily included in on-demand revenues, respectively. Accounts receivable with respect to these related parties under these arrangements were \$341,000 as of December 31, 2011. Amounts due to these related parties were \$355,000 as of

December 31, 2011. As a result of the IPO and investor divestitures, by December 31, 2011, none of these investors met the definition of a related party.

Director Carl Buccellato served as the chief executive officer of SavingStreet, LLC (formerly New Casa 188, LLC) (“SavingStreet”), from May 2008 until February 2012, and owns 32% of the membership interests of SavingStreet. During

Table of Contents

2008, the Company entered into a strategic relationship agreement with SavingStreet (which was subsequently amended and restated on June 15, 2010) pursuant to which the Company provided to SavingStreet certain information from borrowers who consented to the distribution of such information, SavingStreet used this borrower information to market certain move-related and home ownership-related products and services and the Company was entitled to receive 20% of SavingStreet's net income until investors had recouped their initial investment, and then 50% of its net income thereafter. In connection with this transaction, the Company issued to SavingStreet a five-year warrant to purchase up to 133,333 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$5.94 per share. This warrant may only be exercised at any time after the Company has received an aggregate of \$5.0 million pursuant to the agreement and prior to the termination date of the warrant, which is December 31, 2012. In March 2012, the Company terminated its existing agreements and arrangements with SavingStreet. The five-year warrant remains outstanding and unvested as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 as the performance requirements under the arrangement were not met. There was \$83,000 and \$183,000 of expenses incurred for services from SavingStreet for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. There were \$3,000 of expenses incurred for services from SavingStreet for both of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Amounts due to SavingStreet were zero and \$11,000 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

NOTE 12—Segment Information

The Company has concluded that it operates in one industry—mortgage-related software and services. The Company's chief operating decision maker is its chief executive officer, who makes decisions about resource allocation and reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis. Accordingly, the Company has determined that it has a single reporting segment and operating unit structure, specifically technology-enabled solutions to help streamline and automate the mortgage origination process for its network participants.

The Company is organized primarily on the basis of service lines. The Company re-categorized its revenues beginning with the first quarter of 2012 to on-demand revenues and on-premise revenues to better align with the Company's strategic plan. Supplemental disclosure of revenues by type is as follows:

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		Nine months ended September 30, 2011	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
On-demand revenues	\$24,040	\$12,535	\$62,195	\$31,260
On-premise revenues	3,416	2,138	9,736	5,480
	\$27,456	\$14,673	\$71,931	\$36,740

Table of Contents

ITEM 2—MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. Forward-looking statements may include words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential,” “continue” or other wording indicating future results or expectations. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, and actual events or results may differ materially. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially include, but are not limited to, those discussed under “Risk Factors” in this report. We also face risks and uncertainties relating to our business including: our ability to accurately forecast revenues and appropriately plan our expenses; the impact of changes in mortgage interest rates; the volume of mortgages originated by our Encompass users; fluctuations in mortgage lending volume; the number of Encompass users; transaction volume on the Ellie Mae Network; the risk that the anticipated benefits, growth prospects and synergies expected from the Del Mar Datatrac, Inc., or DMD, acquisition may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected; the impact of uncertain domestic and worldwide economic conditions, including the resulting effect on residential mortgage volumes; the effectiveness of our marketing and sales efforts to attract new and retain existing SaaS Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants; the increased time, cost and complexity that may be required to successfully target larger customers; our ability to scale our operations and increase productivity to support our existing and growing customer base; our ability to enhance the features and functionality of our Encompass software and the Ellie Mae Network; the level of demand for our Encompass Closer document preparation and other services we offer; the timing of the introduction and acceptance of new Ellie Mae Network offerings and new on-demand services; changes in mortgage originator, lender, investor or service provider behavior and any related impact on the residential mortgage industry; interruptions in Ellie Mae Network service, our hosted Encompass software and any related impact on our reputation; our ability to successfully manage our growth and any future acquisitions of businesses, solutions or technologies; the timing of future acquisitions of businesses, solutions or technologies and new product launches; changes in government regulation affecting Ellie Mae Network participants or our business, and potential structural changes in the U.S. residential mortgage industry; our ability to protect the confidential information of our Encompass users, Ellie Mae Network participants and their respective customers; the attraction and retention of qualified employees and key personnel; our ability to protect our intellectual property, including our proprietary Encompass software; our ability to compete effectively in a highly competitive market and adapt to technological changes; and costs associated with defending intellectual property infringement and other claims. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements to reflect any event or circumstance that arises after the date of this report, or to conform such statements to actual results or changes in our expectations.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the consolidated financial statements and notes in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, or 2011 Form 10-K.

The Company

We provide business automation software for a large segment of the residential mortgage industry in the United States. Our on-demand software solutions help streamline and automate the process of originating and funding new mortgage loans, increasing efficiency, facilitating regulatory compliance and reducing documentation errors. Mortgage originators use our Encompass software, a comprehensive operating system that handles key business and management functions, in running a mortgage origination business. Mortgage originators use Encompass as a single tool for loan processing, marketing and customer communication, as well as to interact electronically with mortgage lenders, investors and service providers over the Ellie Mae Network. We also offer Encompass users a variety of other on-demand services, including: Encompass Closer, which automatically prepares the disclosure and closing documents necessary to fund a mortgage; CenterWise, a bundled offering of electronic document management and websites used for customer relationship management; Encompass Compliance Service, which automatically checks for regulatory compliance throughout the origination process; tax transcript services which provide income

verification capability to our customers; and Encompass Product and Pricing Service, which allows Encompass users to compare loans offered by different lenders and investors to determine the best product and price available to a particular borrower.

Our revenues consist of on-demand and on-premise revenues. On-demand revenues are revenues generated from software subscriptions we host that customers access through the Internet and revenues from a small number of customers that have opted to self-host a portion of the software but pay fees based on a per closed loan, or success, basis subject to monthly base fees, which we refer to as success-based pricing. On-demand revenues also include software services that are sold transactionally and Ellie Mae Network transaction fees. On-premise revenues generally are revenues generated from customer-

Table of Contents

hosted software licenses and related implementation (except for customer-hosted success-based pricing revenues included in on-demand revenues described above), training and maintenance services.

A component of our on-demand and on-premise revenues is software revenues which are derived from mortgage originators who either subscribe to SaaS Encompass, our on-demand solution, or license Encompass software for an initial perpetual license fee with annual maintenance, our on-premise solution. Mortgage originators subscribing to SaaS Encompass pay monthly per-user subscription fees and/or, if on the success-based pricing model, fees based on the number of loans they fund. In addition, we offer CenterWise software either as a standalone product on a subscription-fee basis or bundled as part of our SaaS Encompass offering. Software services revenues are derived from fees paid by mortgage originators for the Encompass services they order. These services include Encompass Closer, Encompass Compliance Service and Encompass Product and Pricing Services. Lender-investors, service providers and certain government-sponsored entities participating on the Ellie Mae Network pay us fees when they effect a transaction by receiving and accepting an electronic service request over the Ellie Mae Network from an Encompass user.

Our on-demand revenues generally track the seasonality of the mortgage industry, typically, but not always, with increased activity in the second and third quarters and reduced activity in the first and fourth quarters as home buyers tend to purchase their homes during the spring and summer in order to move to a new home before the start of the school year. These revenues are also affected by factors that impact mortgage volumes, such as interest rate fluctuations, home sale activity and general economic conditions. Mortgage loan volume is expected to be lower in 2013 than it is projected to be in 2012 due to various economic factors, which could adversely impact our revenue growth.

The mortgage industry has undergone significant changes since 2007, largely in response to the hundreds of billions of dollars of loan defaults and massive losses suffered by lenders and investors. Our business strategy has evolved to address recent industry trends, including:

- lower lending volume;
- greater focus on operational efficiencies;
- significant market shift from mortgage brokerages to mortgage lenders;
- increased quality standards imposed by regulators, lenders and investors; and
- increased regulation affecting lenders and investors.

We are responding to these trends as follows:

Lower lending volume. Beginning in late 2009, we focused our marketing and sales efforts on our on-demand SaaS Encompass offering, and particularly our SaaS Encompass success-based pricing model, in contrast to our on-premise license model. In our on-demand SaaS Encompass offering, the customer does not pay the significant up-front licensing fee associated with our license model, which we believe is particularly attractive in the present climate of the residential mortgage origination market. Our SaaS Encompass success-based pricing model builds on this value proposition by aligning the customers' payments for our software solutions with their own receipts of revenues. SaaS Encompass success-based pricing customers are composed of new Encompass customers and users that have converted from our licensed Encompass or DataTrac software or flat monthly per-user hosted offerings. We are also focusing on increasing use of our Ellie Mae Network offerings and our other services, which were introduced from late 2009 through late 2011. These offerings include our Total Quality Loan, or TQL, initiative, Encompass Compliance Services, Encompass Product and Pricing Services and Encompass Closer Services.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had 35,677 and 24,252 Active SaaS Encompass Users, respectively, which are mortgage origination professionals who have used our SaaS Encompass software at least once within the preceding 90 days. SaaS Encompass revenues represented 49%, 45% and 36% of our revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and year ended December 31, 2011, respectively. We typically generate greater revenues per user through our on-demand SaaS Encompass offering than through our on-premise license offering. At September 30, 2012, we had two investor customers for our TQL initiative.

Greater focus on operational efficiencies. Mortgage originators have experienced increased operational costs since 2009 as a result of increased regulation and investor demands for quality. By automating many of the functions of mortgage origination, we enable our users to process quality loans more efficiently and effectively. This reduces the

cost of originating a loan and lowers the risk of buy back demands from investors resulting from poorly originated or documented loans and/or loans that fail to comply with applicable regulations.

Significant market shift from mortgage brokerages to mortgage lenders. The industry has experienced a significant decline in the number of mortgage brokerages and an increase in the relative importance of mortgage lenders. We believe this shift toward lender users will provide us increased opportunities because mortgage lenders typically use more sophisticated and

Table of Contents

comprehensive software solutions to run their businesses and use more services and effect more transactions on the Ellie Mae Network. We focus significant research and development effort on providing Encompass functionality for mortgage lenders. We have also hired sales personnel focused on sales of our solutions to mortgage lenders rather than mortgage brokerages and we are focused on selling our products and services to such larger customers. In addition, on August 15, 2011, we completed the acquisition of DMD, a mortgage lending automation business with approximately 200 mortgage lenders as customers. The acquisition was made to expand our client base and gain market share with mortgage lenders.

Increased investor and lender quality standards imposed by regulators, lenders and investors. Encompass software is designed to automate and streamline the process of originating mortgages to, among other things, satisfy increased quality requirements of investors. Relevant features of Encompass software include enabling customers' management to impose processing rules and formats, and providing milestone and process reminders, automated population of forms with accurate data, and accurate and automated transmission of loan files and data from originators to investors and lenders.

Increased regulation affecting lenders and investors. Regulatory reforms have significantly increased the complexity and importance of regulatory compliance. We offer Encompass Compliance Service, which automatically checks loan files for compliance with the myriad of federal, state and local regulations and alerts users to possible violations of these regulations. In addition, we have a staff of attorneys and work with compliance experts who help assure that documents prepared using our software and processes recommended by Encompass work flow comply with applicable rules and regulations.

Operating Metrics

Revenue per Average Active Encompass User and SaaS Encompass Revenue per Average Active SaaS Encompass User are key operational metrics we use to evaluate our business, determine allocation of our resources and make decisions regarding corporate strategy. The Revenue per Average Active Encompass User metric is calculated by dividing total revenues by Average Active Encompass Users during the period. The SaaS Encompass Revenue per Average Active SaaS Encompass User metric is calculated by dividing total SaaS Encompass Revenues by Average Active SaaS Encompass Users during the period. We focus on these metrics to determine our success in leveraging our user base to increase our revenues. We track Active Encompass Users and Active SaaS Encompass Users as well as related revenues generated by each group at the end of a period to gauge the degree of our market penetration. The components used to calculate these metrics are defined below.

Active Encompass Users. An Active Encompass User is a mortgage origination professional who has used Encompass software at least once within a 90-day period preceding the measurement date. An Encompass user is a mortgage origination professional working at a mortgage lender, such as a mortgage bank, commercial bank, thrift or credit union, which sources and funds loans and generally sells these funded loans to investors; or a mortgage brokerage, which typically processes and submits loan files to a mortgage lender or mega lender that funds the loan.

Average Active Encompass Users. Average Active Encompass Users during a period is calculated by averaging the monthly Active Encompass Users during a period.

Active SaaS Encompass Users. An Active SaaS Encompass User is a mortgage origination professional who has used the SaaS Encompass software at least once within a 90-day period preceding the measurement date.

Average Active SaaS Encompass Users. Average Active SaaS Encompass Users during a period is calculated by averaging the monthly active SaaS Encompass users during a period.

Table of Contents

The following table shows these operating metrics as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three months ended		Nine months ended September		
	September 30, 2012	2011	30, 2012	2011	
Revenues (in thousands):					
Total revenues	\$27,456	\$14,673	\$71,931	\$36,740	
Total SaaS Encompass revenues	\$13,400	\$5,086	\$32,491	\$12,308	
Users at end of period:					
Active Encompass users	67,201	51,558	67,201	51,558	
Active SaaS Encompass users	35,677	21,328	35,677	21,328	
Active SaaS Encompass users as a percentage of active Encompass users	53	% 41	% 53	% 41	%
Average users during period:					
Active Encompass users	65,465	50,986	61,266	50,876	
Active SaaS Encompass users	34,267	20,359	30,988	17,922	
Active SaaS Encompass users as a percentage of active Encompass users	52	% 40	% 51	% 35	%
Revenue per average user during period:					
Revenue per average active Encompass user	419	288	1,174	722	
SaaS Encompass revenue per average active SaaS Encompass user	391	250	1,049	687	

Source of Revenues

We generate revenue primarily from transaction-based fees and fees for software and related services. We re-categorized our revenues beginning with the first quarter of 2012 to better align with our strategic plan. Accordingly, our revenues are now described as on-demand and on-premise revenues. Sales taxes assessed by governmental authorities are excluded from revenue.

On-demand Revenues

On-demand revenues are revenues generated from software subscriptions we host that customers access through the Internet and revenues from customers that have opted to self-host a portion of the software but pay fees based on a per closed loan, or success, basis subject to monthly base fees, which we refer to as success-based pricing. On-demand revenues also include software services that are sold transactionally and Ellie Mae Network transaction fees.

In general, we recognize revenue for monthly subscription fees, including monthly base fees, on a straight-line basis over the contractual subscription period commencing on the date the services are made available to the customer. Additional amounts arising from closed loans are recognized when the loans close or in the subsequent month when revenue recognition criteria are met. Transaction revenues are recognized when there is evidence that the qualifying transactions have occurred on the Ellie Mae Network and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. In general, upfront non-refundable fees received at the inception of an arrangement are deferred and recognized over the longer of the contractual term or the estimated customer relationship period.

On-premise Revenues

On-premise revenues are revenues generated from customer-hosted software licenses and related implementation (except for customer-hosted success-based pricing revenues included in on-demand revenues described above), training and maintenance services.

Revenue from the sale of software licenses is recognized in the month in which the required revenue recognition criteria are met, generally in the month in which the software is delivered. Maintenance revenues are recognized ratably over the period of the contract.

Table of Contents

Cost of Revenues and Operating Expense

Cost of Revenues

Our cost of revenues consists primarily of: salaries and benefits, including stock-based compensation; expenses for document preparation, income verification and compliance services; allocated facilities costs; customer support; data centers; depreciation on computer equipment used in supporting the Ellie Mae Network, SaaS Encompass and CenterWise offerings; amortization of acquired intangible assets such as developed technology and tradenames; professional services associated with implementation of our software; and allocated facilities costs. We expect that our cost of revenues will continue to increase in absolute dollars as our revenues increase and as we continue to make additional investments in our implementation and customer support departments.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of: salaries, benefits and incentive compensation, including stock-based compensation, and allocated facilities costs; expenses for trade shows, public relations and other promotional and marketing activities, including travel and entertainment expenses; and amortization of acquired intangible assets such as customer lists and contracts. We expect that our sales and marketing expense will continue to increase as we continue to hire additional sales personnel to focus on sales of our solutions to mortgage lenders in light of the increasing percentage of potential customers which are mortgage lenders rather than mortgage brokerages. We also intend to increase marketing activities focused on our SaaS Encompass Banker Edition, our Ellie Mae Network offerings and our other Encompass services.

Research and Development

Our research and development expenses consist primarily of: salaries and benefits, including bonuses and stock-based compensation; fees to contractors engaged in the development and support of the Ellie Mae Network infrastructure, Encompass software and other products; and allocated facilities costs. We expect that our research and development expenses will continue to increase in absolute dollars as we continue to invest in our products and services and infrastructure.

General and Administrative

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of: salaries and benefits, including stock-based compensation, for employees involved in finance, accounting, human resources, administrative and legal roles; consulting, legal, accounting and other professional services by third-party providers; and allocated facilities costs. We expect general and administrative expenses to continue to increase in absolute dollars due to costs associated with being a public company and investing in the growth of our business.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net consists primarily of interest income earned on our cash accounts and notes receivables, net of interest expense paid on imputed interest related to the DMD acquisition holdback payments, and equipment and software leases.

Income Taxes

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our expected income tax expense or benefit based on our year-to-date operations, and we record an adjustment in the current quarter. The net tax provision is the result of the mix of profits earned by us and our subsidiaries in tax jurisdictions with a broad range of income tax rates. We are required to estimate deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities measured using the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. In general, deferred tax assets represent future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses previously recognized in our consolidated statements of operations become deductible expenses under applicable income tax laws or loss or credit carry forwards are utilized. Accordingly, realization of our deferred tax assets is dependent on future taxable income against which these deductions, losses and credits can be utilized. We use management judgment to assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent we believe that recovery is not more likely than not, we must establish a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There have been no material changes during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 to our critical accounting policies and estimates previously disclosed in our 2011 Form 10-K.

23

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth our results of operations for the periods presented and as a percentage of our revenues for those periods. The period-to-period comparison of financial results is not necessarily indicative of future results.

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2012		2011	
	(in thousands)							
Revenues	\$27,456	\$14,673	\$71,931	\$36,740				
Cost of revenues (1)	6,049	4,045	16,589	10,920				
Gross profit	21,407	10,628	55,342	25,820				
Operating expenses:								
Sales and marketing (1)	4,347	3,047	12,579	7,995				
Research and development (1)	4,756	3,452	13,188	8,862				
General and administrative (1)	6,023	3,376	14,195	9,103				
Total operating expenses	15,126	9,875	39,962	25,960				
Income (loss) from operations	6,281	753	15,380	(140))			
Other income (expense), net	23	16	(15)	95)			
Income (loss) before income taxes	6,304	769	15,365	(45))			
Income tax benefit	(525)	(1,895)	(105)	(1,870))			
Net income	\$6,829	\$2,664	\$15,470	\$1,825				

(1) Stock-based compensation included in the above line items:

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2012		2011	
	(in thousands)							
Cost of revenues	\$80	\$22	\$170	\$59				
Sales and marketing	85	23	256	132				
Research and development	532	98	915	246				
General and administrative	1,551	233	2,302	696				
	\$2,248	\$376	\$3,643	\$1,133				

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2012		2011	
		%		%		%		%
Revenues	100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0	
Cost of revenues	22		27.6		23.1		29.7	
Gross margin	78.0		72.4		76.9		70.3	
Operating expenses:								
Sales and marketing	15.8		20.8		17.5		21.8	
Research and development	17.3		23.5		18.3		24.1	
General and administrative	21.9		23.0		19.7		24.8	
Total operating expenses	55.0		67.3		55.5		70.7	
Income (loss) from operations	23.0		5.1		21.4		(0.4))
Other income (expense), net	0.1		0.1		—		0.3	
Income (loss) before income taxes	23.1		5.2		21.4		(0.1))
Income tax benefit	(1.9))	(12.9))	(0.1))	(5.1))
Net income	25.0	%	18.1	%	21.5	%	5.0	%

Table of Contents

Comparison of the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

Revenues

The following table sets forth our revenues by type for the periods presented:

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2012		2011	
	(dollars in thousands)							
Revenue by type:								
On-demand	\$24,040	\$12,535	\$62,195	\$31,260				
On-premise	3,416	2,138	9,736	5,480				
Total	\$27,456	\$14,673	\$71,931	\$36,740				

	Three months ended September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2012		2011	
Revenue by type:								
On-demand	87.6	% 85.4	% 86.5	% 85.1	%			
On-premise	12.4	% 14.6	% 13.5	% 14.9	%			
Total	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%			

Total revenues increased \$12.8 million, or 87.1%, for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to a \$11.5 million increase in on-demand revenues, consisting primarily of a \$8.1 million increase in success-based pricing revenues due to the continued adoption of and our continued marketing focus on our success-based pricing model as well as an increase in origination volume; a \$0.8 million increase in vendor transaction revenues due to an increase in mortgage origination volumes, a greater number of users and an increase in the number of transactions per loan enacted through our network; a \$0.4 million increase in document preparation revenues due to increased usage by our customers as well as a greater number of users and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; a \$0.5 million increase in our tax transcript services due to greater adoption and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; a \$0.5 million increase in compliance services due to increased usage by our customer base and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; a \$0.3 million increase in appraisal and title services due to greater adoption and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; and a \$0.6 million increase in other transaction and service revenues. On-premise revenues increased by \$1.3 million due to DMD license and maintenance revenues, which we acquired in August 2011.

Total revenues increased \$35.2 million, or 95.8%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to a \$30.9 million increase in on-demand revenues, consisting primarily of a \$20.0 million increase in success-based pricing revenues due to the continued adoption of and our continued marketing focus on our success-based pricing model as well as an increase in origination volume; a \$3.2 million increase in vendor transaction revenues due to an increase in mortgage origination volumes, a greater number of users and an increase in the number of transactions per loan enacted through our network; a \$1.7 million increase in document preparation revenues due to increased usage by our customers as well as a greater number of users and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; a \$1.8 million increase in our tax transcript services due to greater adoption and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; a \$1.7 million increase in compliance services due to increased usage by our customer base and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; a \$0.8 million increase in appraisal and title services due to greater adoption and an increase in mortgage origination volumes; and a \$1.1 million increase in other transaction and service revenues. On-premise revenues increased by \$4.3 million due to DMD license and maintenance revenues, which we acquired in August 2011.

The number of Active Encompass Users increased by 15,643 users, or 30.3%, from September 30, 2011 to September 30, 2012, primarily due to new customers adopting our SaaS Encompass success-based pricing offering. The number of Active SaaS Encompass Users increased by 14,349 users, or 67.3%, from September 30, 2011 to September 30, 2012 due to the addition of new customers as well as the transition of licensed users to our SaaS

Encompass success-based pricing offering. SaaS Encompass Revenue per Average Active SaaS User increased by 52.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to same period of 2011 due to an increase in the number of closed loans per Active SaaS User as well as the continued migration of existing users to our success-based pricing model, which offers higher revenue per user compared to our traditional license model.

25

Table of Contents

Gross Profit

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
	(dollars in thousands)				
Gross profit	\$21,407	\$10,628	\$55,342	\$25,820	
Gross margin	78.0	% 72.4	% 76.9	% 70.3	%

Gross profit and gross margin percentage increased by \$10.8 million and six percentage points, respectively, in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011 as revenues increased by \$12.8 million and cost of revenues increased by \$2.0 million. Cost of revenues increased primarily due to a \$0.6 million increase in third-party royalty expenses to support the increased revenues, a \$0.5 million increase in salaries and employee benefits from increased professional services and customer support headcount as well as the increase in headcount in hiring former DMD employees following the DMD acquisition in August 2011, a \$0.3 million increase in consulting costs associated with improvements to our data center operations and a \$0.3 million increase in depreciation expense due to property and equipment additions. The increase in cost of revenues was partially offset by the capitalization of \$0.3 million in compensation and consulting costs associated with data center improvements. The increase in the gross profit percentage during the quarter is primarily a result of our ability to utilize existing infrastructure to accommodate revenue growth and the fixed nature of certain costs such as intangible amortization. Gross profit and gross margin percentage increased by \$29.5 million and seven percentage points, respectively, in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011 as revenues increased by \$35.2 million and cost of revenues increased by \$5.7 million. Cost of revenues increased primarily due to a \$2.0 million increase in third-party royalty expenses to support the increased revenues, a \$1.8 million increase in salaries and employee benefits from increased professional services and customer support headcount as well as the increase in headcount in hiring former DMD employees following the DMD acquisition in August 2011, a \$0.9 million increase in consulting costs associated with improvements to our data center operations and a \$0.6 million increase in depreciation expense due to property and equipment additions. The increase in cost of revenues was partially offset by the capitalization of \$0.7 million in compensation and consulting costs associated with data center improvements. The increase in the gross profit percentage during the period is primarily a result of our ability to utilize existing infrastructure to accommodate revenue growth and the fixed nature of certain costs such as intangible amortization.

Sales and Marketing

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
	(dollars in thousands)				
Sales and marketing	\$4,347	\$3,047	\$12,579	\$7,995	
Sales and marketing as % of revenues	15.8	% 20.8	% 17.5	% 21.8	%

Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$1.3 million, or 42.7%, in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to a \$0.4 million increase in salaries and employee benefits due to additional headcount from the hiring of former DMD employees, a \$0.2 million increase in commissions commensurate with the increase in revenues, a \$0.1 million increase in amortization of acquired intangible assets related to the DMD acquisition and a \$0.1 million increase in hardware and software expenses associated with infrastructure upgrades.

Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$4.6 million, or 57.3%, in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to a \$1.7 million increase in salaries and employee benefits due to additional headcount from the hiring of former DMD employees, a \$1.0 million increase in commissions commensurate with the increase in revenues, a \$0.6 million increase in amortization of acquired intangible assets related to the DMD acquisition, a \$0.3 million increase in hardware and software expenses associated with infrastructure upgrades and a \$0.3 million increase due to the increased level of sales and marketing activities in the first nine months of 2012 as compared to the prior-year period.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
	(dollars in thousands)				
Research and development	\$4,756	\$3,452	\$13,188	\$8,862	
Research and development as % of revenues	17.3	% 23.5	% 18.3	% 24.1	%

Research and development expenses increased by \$1.3 million, or 37.8%, in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. The increase was primarily due to a \$0.6 million increase in salary and employee benefits due to increased headcount as well as additional headcount from the addition of former DMD employees and a \$0.4 million increase in stock-based compensation expense primarily resulting from performance-based equity awards granted to certain employees during the third quarter of 2012 as well as stock option grants made to new employees.

Research and development expenses increased by \$4.3 million, or 48.8%, in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011. The increase was primarily due to a \$2.7 million increase in salary and employee benefits due to increased headcount as well as additional headcount from the addition of former DMD employees, a \$0.7 million increase in stock-based compensation expense primarily resulting from stock option grants made to new employees as well as performance-based equity awards granted to certain employees during the third quarter of 2012 and a \$0.5 million increase in the use of consultants.

General and Administrative

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
	(dollars in thousands)				
General and administrative	\$6,023	\$3,376	\$14,195	\$9,103	
General and administrative as % of revenues	21.9	% 23.0	% 19.7	% 24.8	%

General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.6 million, or 78.4%, in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to a \$1.3 million increase in stock-based compensation expense primarily resulting from performance-based equity awards granted to certain employees during the third quarter of 2012 as well as stock option grants made to new employees, a \$0.4 million increase in salaries and other employee benefits due to an increase in headcount, which included the hiring of former DMD employees, a \$0.3 million increase in hardware and software expenses associated with infrastructure upgrades and a \$0.2 million increase in the use of consultants. The increases were offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in legal fees.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$5.1 million, or 55.9%, in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was primarily due to a \$1.7 million increase in salaries and other employee benefits due to an increase in headcount, which included the hiring of former DMD employees, a \$1.6 million increase in stock-based compensation expense primarily resulting from performance-based equity awards granted to certain employees during the third quarter of 2012 as well as stock option grants made to new employees, a \$0.8 million increase in hardware and software expenses associated with infrastructure upgrades, a \$0.3 million increase in the use of consultants, a \$0.2 million increase in credit card processing fees resulting from higher sales volumes and a \$0.2 million increase in depreciation expense. The increases were offset by a \$0.3 million decrease in bad debt expenses resulting from the shift in the mix of our customer base from mortgage brokerages to mortgage lenders and improvement in the economy as well as a \$0.3 million decrease in accounting and legal fees.

Other (Expense) Income, Net

Other income (expense), net includes imputed interest expense related to the DMD acquisition holdback liability and interest income from notes receivable and investments.

Income Taxes

Benefit from income taxes was \$0.5 million and \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively and \$0.1 million and \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011,

respectively.

During the third quarter of 2012, we reevaluated the valuation allowance carried on our deferred tax assets. Based upon cumulative operating results for the past twelve quarters ended September 30, 2012 and an assessment of expected future

27

Table of Contents

results of operations, we determined that there was significant positive evidence regarding the realization of certain of our deferred tax assets. After weighing both the positive and negative evidence, coupled with the continued success in commercializing our core products and services, we believe that it is more likely than not that certain of our deferred tax assets will be realized. As a result, at September 30, 2012, we reversed a portion of our valuation allowance and recognized an additional net deferred tax asset of \$0.7 million. The amount was recorded as a discrete tax benefit in the three months ended September 30, 2012. The valuation allowance remaining at September 30, 2012 relates to certain state research and development tax credits, the realization of which is uncertain as we expect to generate additional such credits at a rate greater than we are able to utilize them.

The income tax benefit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the release of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance. The income tax benefit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to a \$1.7 million reduction in our deferred tax asset valuation allowance resulting from the August 2011 acquisition of DMD and a one-time refund of \$0.3 million for prior year alternative minimum taxes paid resulting from the carry back of eligible small business tax credits.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of September 30, 2012, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$90.3 million. Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and money market accounts. Short-term investments consist of U.S. government agency securities.

On July 3, 2012, we completed an underwritten public offering of 3,566,883 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$17.00 per share, which consisted of 3,465,245 newly issued shares sold by us and 101,638 shares sold by certain of our directors and executive officers. We received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$55.5 million, after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses. We receive no proceeds from the sale of shares offered by the selling stockholders.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments will be sufficient to fund capital expenditures, operating expenses and other cash requirements for at least the next 12 months. We may enter into acquisitions in the future, which could require us to seek additional equity or debt financing. Additional funds may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all.

The following table sets forth our statement of cash flows data for the periods presented:

	Nine months ended September 30,		Net
	2012	2011	Change
	(in thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$16,821	\$1,902	\$14,919
Net cash used in investing activities	(10,263)	(21,535)	11,272
Net cash provided by financing activities	60,016	23,512	36,504
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,744)	(3,351)	(2,393)
Depreciation and amortization	2,257	1,385	872

Operating Activities

Consistent with prior periods, cash provided by operating activities has historically been affected by net income as well as add-backs of non-cash expense items such as depreciation and amortization, and the expense associated with stock-based awards. Specifically, stock-based compensation expense increased by \$2.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011. This increase resulted from new grants and the increased market price per share of our common stock, offset in part by reductions from fully vested, fully amortized stock options, which no longer impact expense in 2012. Additionally, the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities increased by \$1.0 million primarily due to the reduction in our deferred tax asset valuation allowance resulting from the August 2011 acquisition of DMD. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$0.9 million primarily due to purchases of property and equipment for our data center and amortization of other intangible assets increased by \$0.7 million primarily due to the acquisition of DMD. The increases were also offset by the increase in the excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options of \$1.2 million as well as the decrease in the provision for uncollectible accounts receivable of \$0.4 million.

Additionally, cash provided by operating activities is affected by changes in operating assets and liabilities, which resulted in a net decrease of \$2.4 million to operating cash flows in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Our net accounts receivable balance fluctuates from period to period, depending on the timing of sales and billing activity, cash collections and changes to our allowance for doubtful accounts. The change in prepaid expenses and other assets was due to payments made during 2012 in advance of our user conference, the timing of the payment for insurance

Table of Contents

renewals and computer software licenses and payments for prepaid maintenance related to computer hardware purchased for our data centers. The change in accounts payable and accrued and other liabilities was due to the timing of additional liabilities and payments in general, and does not reflect any significant change in the nature of accrued liabilities.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities of \$10.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was the result of \$1.6 million in net purchases of short-term investments, \$2.9 million in scheduled payments of the holdback from the acquisition of DMD and \$5.7 million for purchases of property and equipment mainly for our data centers.

Cash used in investing activities of \$21.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was the result of \$18.2 million cash payment related to the acquisition of DMD and Mortgage Pricing System, LLC or MPS, and purchases of property and equipment of \$3.4 million primarily related to computer equipment and software to support the growth of our business and to enhance our disaster recovery solution.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities of \$60.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 consisted primarily of \$55.8 million in net proceeds from the public offering of our common stock, \$3.0 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options by our employees and directors as well as \$1.2 million in excess tax benefit from employee exercises of stock options.

Cash provided by financing activities of \$23.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 consisted of \$23.1 million in net proceeds from the IPO, net of offering costs, and \$0.6 million in net proceeds from the exercise of stock options by our employees and directors, partially offset by \$0.1 million in payments on our capital lease obligations.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2012, operating leases were the only financing arrangements not reported on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3—QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risks in the ordinary course of our business. These risks primarily include interest rate risks and inflation.

Interest Rate Fluctuation Risk

We do not have any long-term borrowings.

Our investments include cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and money market accounts. Short-term investments consist of U.S. government agency securities. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while maximizing income without significantly increasing risk. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. Our investments are exposed to market risk due to a fluctuation in interest rates, which may affect our interest income and the fair market value of our investments. Due to the short-term nature of our investment portfolio, we do not believe a 10% increase in interest rates would have a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio, and therefore we do not expect our operating results or cash flows to be materially affected to any degree by a sudden change in market interest rates.

Inflation Risk

We do not believe that inflation has had a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. If our costs were to become subject to significant inflationary pressures, we may not be able to fully offset such higher costs through price increases. Our inability or failure to do so could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 4—CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2012. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2012, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1—LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a description of the material legal proceedings, please see Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 1A—RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this report. If any of the following risks materialize, our business could be materially harmed, and our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. The risks described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

The extreme turmoil in the mortgage industry that began in 2007 has adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect our business.

As a result of the extreme turmoil in the mortgage industry and general economy that began in 2007, many mortgage originators, especially mortgage brokers, and other mortgage industry participants have gone out of business. In addition, those industry participants that continue in business face increased operating and regulatory challenges. Conditions that negatively impact our Encompass users or Ellie Mae Network participants have had a significant adverse effect on our business. For example, the number of active Encompass users declined 35% from approximately 79,000 at December 31, 2006 to approximately 51,000 at June 30, 2011. Similarly, the population of mortgage origination professionals have dropped 53% from approximately 495,000 at December 31, 2006¹ to approximately 234,400 at August 31, 2011.² Although we have experienced increases since those low points, if conditions in the mortgage industry were to deteriorate further, our business would be materially adversely affected.

Mortgage lending volume is expected to be lower in 2013 than it is projected to be in 2012 due to various economic factors, including the inevitable increase in mortgage interest rates, which could adversely affect our business. Mortgage lending volume is expected to be lower in 2013 than it is projected to be in 2012. Factors that adversely impact mortgage lending volumes include increasing mortgage interest rates, reduced consumer and investor demand for mortgages, more stringent underwriting guidelines, decreased liquidity in the secondary mortgage market, high levels of unemployment, high levels of consumer debt, lower consumer confidence, changes in tax and other regulatory policies, the number of existing mortgages eligible for refinancing, and other macroeconomic factors. In addition, mortgage interest rates are currently near historic lows and many economists predict that mortgage interest rates will inevitably rise. Mortgage interest rates are influenced by a number of factors, including monetary policy. The Federal Reserve Bank may raise the Federal funds rate, which would likely cause mortgage interest rates to rise. Increases in mortgage interest rates could reduce the volume of new mortgages originated, in particular the volume of mortgage refinancings. For example, the increase in mortgage interest rates in the second half of 2009 contributed to a significant decline in our revenues from transactions through the Ellie Mae Network and the services we provide.

The expected lower levels in residential mortgage loan volume in 2013 as compared to projected 2012 levels will require us to increase our user base and/or our revenues per loan processed by our customers in order to maintain our financial performance. Any additional decrease in residential mortgage volumes would heighten our need to increase our revenues. We cannot guarantee we will be successful in these efforts, which could materially adversely affect our business.

Our future performance will be highly dependent on our ability to continue to attract Encompass SaaS customers and, to a lesser extent, to grow revenues from new Ellie Mae Network offerings and new on-demand services.

Mortgage lending volume is expected to be lower in 2013 than it is projected to be in 2012. To maintain or increase our revenues, we must increase the number of users of our software and percentage of our software users who choose our on-demand Encompass SaaS offering, from which we generate greater revenues than

¹ Bureau of Labor Statistics, Mortgage Employment Statistics, February 2007.

² Bureau of Labor Statistics, Mortgage Employment Statistics, October 2011.

Table of Contents

from our on-premise license offering. We believe that recent increases in the number of Encompass SaaS customers were driven by our success-based pricing strategy. Some of our past initiatives were successful initially but not over the long term. We cannot guarantee our success-based pricing strategy will continue to be successful. If it is not successful, or if we are unable to identify an alternate strategy and successfully increase the number of Encompass SaaS customers, we may be materially adversely affected.

We must also increase use of our Ellie Mae Network offerings and our other services, such as our TQL initiative, Encompass Compliance Services and Encompass Closer services. We only introduced our TQL initiative in the fourth quarter of 2011, and we currently have two investor customers. We cannot guarantee these Ellie Mae Network and other service offerings will achieve market acceptance and be successful. In the event these efforts are not successful, our business and growth prospects would be adversely affected.

If we fail to increase the number of Encompass users and other Ellie Mae Network participants or retain existing users and participants, our business may be harmed.

Our growth depends in large part on increasing the number of Encompass users and other Ellie Mae Network participants. To attract mega lenders and service providers to the Ellie Mae Network, we must convince them that the utility of, and access to mortgage originators on, the Ellie Mae Network is worth making payments to us for transactions effected through the network by Encompass users. To grow our base of Encompass users, we must continue to enhance the features and functionality of our Encompass software, convince mortgage lenders of the benefits of our software solution and the Ellie Mae Network and encourage them to switch from competing loan origination software products or to forego using traditional mortgage origination methods, including paper, facsimile, courier, mail and e-mail. Due to the fragmented nature of the mortgage industry, many mortgage industry participants may not be familiar with our Encompass solutions and the benefits of the Ellie Mae Network. We cannot guarantee we will be successful in attracting new Encompass users and other Ellie Mae Network participants and if we are unsuccessful in these efforts our business may be harmed.

Additionally, existing Encompass users and other Ellie Mae Network participants may decide not to continue to use our solutions for financial or other reasons. We have agreements in place with various third-party lenders, service providers and investors to facilitate integration between their businesses and the Ellie Mae Network. Most of these are not long term contracts or are subject to termination rights. An unexpected termination, or a failure to renew, of a significant number of our agreements or relationships with third-party lenders, service providers or investors could have an adverse effect on our business.

The success of our business depends both on the continuation of the trend toward electronic processing of mortgages and our ability to increase the use of the Ellie Mae Network to order settlement services.

In order to grow our business, we must expand the use of settlement services on, and increase the number of transactions effected through, the Ellie Mae Network. Our Encompass users currently employ the Ellie Mae Network to order on average only five out of the approximately twelve services generally ordered per loan file, typically including ordering credit and flood reports and accessing the automatic underwriting systems of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. This limited use is in part due to the fact that many providers of other settlement services, such as title reports and appraisals, do not provide electronic solutions that are superior to traditional processes. Increasing the number of transactions ordered through the Ellie Mae Network depends in large part on our ability to educate providers of settlement services of the benefits of electronic origination and network participation and our ability to encourage providers of settlement services to deliver their services electronically through the Ellie Mae Network in a manner that is attractive to mortgage professionals. If our future sales and marketing efforts are not successful in educating and encouraging additional mortgage originators and providers of settlement services to change their current business practices and adopt electronic mortgage origination and electronic delivery practices, our business may be adversely affected.

A shift in residential mortgage volume to the retail channels of mega lenders would adversely affect our business opportunities.

The percentage of the national volume of residential mortgages in the United States that were funded directly through the retail channels of mega lenders fluctuates. For example, due in part to the turmoil in the mortgage industry and the decrease in mortgage origination volumes, the percentage increased from 34% in 2006 to 58.2% in the first quarter of

2011.³ We market our Encompass software to mortgage lenders and mortgage brokers but not to mega lenders as they generally have their own proprietary loan origination software. If a dramatic shift towards mega lenders were to occur again, our business and growth prospects would be materially adversely affected.

³ Inside Mortgage Finance, February 17, 2012, p.3, Mortgage Brokers Carry Significant Portions Of Increased Origination Volume in Late 2011. Copyright 2012.

Table of Contents

We expect a number of factors to cause our operating results to fluctuate on a quarterly and annual basis, which may make it difficult to predict our future performance.

Our revenues and operating results have in the past varied and could in the future vary significantly from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year because of a variety of factors, many of which are outside our control. As a result, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful. In addition to other risk factors discussed in this section, factors that may contribute to the variability of our quarterly and annual results include:

- fluctuations in mortgage lending volume;
- the number of Encompass users;
- the volume of mortgages originated by our Encompass users, especially users on our success-based pricing model;
- transaction volume on the Ellie Mae Network;
- the level of demand for our Encompass Closer document preparation and other services we offer;
- the timing of the introduction and acceptance of Ellie Mae Network offerings and new on-demand services;
- costs associated with defending intellectual property infringement and other claims; and
- changes in government regulation affecting Ellie Mae Network participants or our business.

Because of these and other factors, our future results may not reach our internal projections. In addition, our operating results in future periods may not meet the expectations of investors or public market analysts who follow our company, which could cause our stock price to decline rapidly and significantly. The results of any prior quarterly or annual periods should not be relied upon as indications of our future operating performance.

System interruptions that impair access to the Ellie Mae Network or our on-demand hosted Encompass software could damage our reputation and brand and substantially harm our business.

The satisfactory performance, reliability and availability of the Ellie Mae Network, our on-demand hosted Encompass software, our website, our services, including our Encompass compliance services, and our network infrastructure are critical to our reputation and our ability to attract and retain Ellie Mae Network participants and Encompass software users. Any systems interruption that results in the unavailability of our network, services or Encompass software or impairs access to Ellie Mae Network participants connected to our network could result in negative publicity, damage our reputation and brand, and hinder our ability to enroll new customers and cause us to lose current customers, all of which could cause our business and operating results to suffer.

We have experienced and may in the future continue to experience temporary system interruptions, either to the Ellie Mae Network or to our Encompass software hosting locations, for a variety of reasons, including network failures, power failures, software errors, including problems with our Encompass software and other third party firmware updates, as well as an overwhelming number of Ellie Mae Network participants and Encompass software users trying to access our network during periods of strong demand. In addition, our two primary data centers, located in Santa Clara, California and Chicago, Illinois, are hosted by a third-party service provider over which we have little control. We depend on this third-party service provider to provide continuous and uninterrupted access to the Ellie Mae Network and our on-demand hosted Encompass software. If for any reason our relationship with this third-party were to end, it would require a significant amount of time to transition the hosting of our data centers to a new third-party service provider.

Because we are dependent on third parties for the implementation and maintenance of certain aspects of our systems and because some of the causes of system interruptions may be outside of our control, we may not be able to remedy such interruptions in a timely manner, if at all. As we rely heavily on our servers, computer and communications systems and the Internet to conduct our business, any system disruptions could negatively impact our ability to run our business and either directly or indirectly disrupt our customers' businesses, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

As part of our sales efforts are targeted at larger customers, our sales cycle may become more time-consuming and expensive, we may encounter pricing pressure and implementation and customization challenges, and we may have to delay revenue recognition for some complex transactions, all of which could harm our business and operating results.

Part of our business strategy is to target larger mortgage lenders that handle greater volumes of loans. As we target more of our sales efforts at larger customers, we could face greater costs, longer sales cycles and less predictability in completing

33

Table of Contents

some of our sales. In this market opportunity, the customer's decision to use our products and services may be an enterprise-wide decision and, if so, these types of sales could require us to provide greater levels of education regarding the use and benefits of our products and services. In addition, larger customers may demand more customization, implementation services and features. As a result of these factors, these sales opportunities may require us to devote greater sales support and professional services resources to individual customers, driving up costs and time required to complete sales and diverting our own sales and professional services resources to a smaller number of larger transactions, while potentially requiring us to delay revenue recognition on some of these transactions until the technical or implementation requirements have been met.

Supporting our existing and growing customer base could strain our personnel resources and infrastructure, and if we are unable to scale our operations and increase productivity, we may not be able to successfully implement our business plan.

We continue to experience significant growth in our customer base, which has placed a strain on our management, administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. We anticipate that additional investments in our infrastructure, implementation capabilities, research and development and general and administrative spending will be required to scale our operations and increase productivity, to address the needs of our customers, further develop and enhance our products and services and scale with the overall growth of our company.

Professional services, such as implementation services, may also be performed by a third party or a combination of our own staff and a third party. Our strategy is to work with third parties to increase the breadth of capability and depth of capacity for delivery of these professional services to our customers. If a customer is not satisfied with the quality of work performed by us or a third party or with the type of services or solutions delivered, then we could incur additional costs to address the situation, the profitability of that work might be impaired, and the customer's dissatisfaction with our products and services could damage our ability to obtain additional work from that customer. In addition, negative publicity related to our customer relationships, regardless of its accuracy, may further damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for new business with current and prospective customers.

Growth may place significant demands on our management and our infrastructure.

Our growth has placed and may continue to place significant demands on our management and our operational and financial infrastructure. As our operations grow in size, scope and complexity, we will need to improve and upgrade our systems and infrastructure, including our data centers and financial reporting systems. These upgrades and improvements are necessary in order to offer an increasing number of customers enhanced solutions, features and functionality and to ensure continued adequate controls over financial reporting.

In addition, the expansion of our systems and infrastructure will require us to commit substantial financial, operational and technical resources in advance of an increase in the volume of business, with no assurance that the volume of business will increase. Continued growth could also strain our ability to maintain reliable service levels for our customers, develop and improve our operational, financial and management controls, enhance our reporting systems and procedures and recruit, train and retain highly skilled personnel.

Managing our growth will require significant expenditures and allocation of valuable management resources. If we fail to achieve the necessary level of efficiency in our organization as it grows, our business would be harmed. We are in the process of upgrading and/or replacing various software systems. Unanticipated problems impacting the implementation of these systems could significantly increase the expenditures and resources allocated to this project and could harm our business. If the implementations of these new applications are delayed, or if we encounter unforeseen problem with our new systems or in migrating away from our existing applications and systems, our operations and our ability to manage our business could be negatively impacted.

Integrating our recent acquisitions and future acquisitions could disrupt our business, harm our financial condition and operating results or dilute, or adversely affect the price of, our common stock.

Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to expand our solutions and services and grow our business in response to changing technologies, customer demands and competitive pressures. In some circumstances, we may pursue growth through the acquisition of complementary businesses, solutions or technologies rather than through internal development. For example, in January 2011, we acquired MPS to introduce our Encompass Product and Pricing Service, which allows Encompass users to compare loan pricing from multiple lending sources. In August 2011, we

acquired DMD to add additional potential Encompass users and increased loan volume to monetize our Encompass service offerings and the Ellie Mae Network. The identification of suitable acquisition candidates can be difficult, time-consuming and costly, and we may not be able to complete acquisitions successfully. Moreover, if such acquisitions require us to seek additional debt or equity financing, we may not be able to obtain such financing on terms favorable to us or at all. Even if we successfully complete an acquisition, we may not be able to assimilate and integrate effectively the acquired business, technologies, solutions, assets, personnel or operations, particularly if

Table of Contents

key personnel of an acquired company decide not to work for us. In addition, we may issue equity securities to complete an acquisition, which would dilute our stockholders' ownership and could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

The mortgage industry is heavily regulated and changes in current legislation or new legislation could adversely affect our business.

Changes in the regulations that govern our customers could adversely affect our business.

The U.S. mortgage industry is heavily regulated. Federal and state governments and agencies could enact legislation or other policies that could negatively impact the business of our Encompass users and other Ellie Mae Network participants. Any changes to existing laws or regulations or adoption of new laws or regulations that increase restrictions on the residential mortgage industry may decrease residential mortgage volume or otherwise limit the ability of our Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants to operate their businesses, resulting in decreased usage of our solutions.

Changes in current legislation or new legislation may increase our costs by requiring us to update our products and services.

Changes to existing laws or regulations or adoption of new laws or regulations relating to the residential mortgage industry could require us to incur significant costs to update our products and services. For example, our Encompass Compliance Service analyzes mortgage loan data for compliance with consumer protection laws and institutionally mandated compliance policies and must continually be updated to incorporate changes to such laws and policies. Additionally, we substantially updated our Encompass software in 2009 to reflect the changes to the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act of 1974, as amended, or RESPA, that went into effect on January 1, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or Dodd-Frank Act, has caused and will continue to cause us to make similar updates to our Encompass software to address, among other things, regulations that protect consumers against unfair, deceptive and abusive practices by lenders. These updates have caused us to incur significant expense, and future updates will likely similarly cause us to incur significant expense.

A failure to appropriately update our products and services to reflect and comply with changes to existing laws or regulations or with new laws or regulations may contribute to violations by our customers of such laws and regulations. We provide a limited warranty for our Encompass Compliance Service, pursuant to which we agree to reimburse customers for losses incurred due to fines, penalties or judgments as a result of a violation of a specific law, rule or regulation tied to an error in the provision of our Encompass Compliance Service up to \$2,500 per occurrence. Our typical services agreement with new customers limits our exposure to a maximum of three months of fees paid by customer preceding the claim and, with respect to CenterWise and Encompass Closer, the lesser of three months of fees paid by customer preceding the claim or \$25,000 per occurrence. For most customers, our exposure for warranties is limited to an amount equal to the total service fees paid by a customer for base services during a specified period preceding the relevant claim, typically six to 12 months. Although we have not historically incurred any claims and maintain professional liability insurance coverage of \$5.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate, to the extent we were to become liable for an amount in excess of such coverage, our business and our reputation would be materially adversely affected.

Potential structural changes in the U.S. residential mortgage industry, in particular plans to diminish the role of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, could disrupt the mortgage market and have a material adverse effect on our business.

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play a very important role in providing liquidity, stability and affordability in the current U.S. residential mortgage market. In particular, they participate in the secondary mortgage market by purchasing mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities for investment and by issuing guaranteed mortgage-related securities. In February 2011, the Obama administration delivered a report to Congress which proposed the winding down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and shrinking the federal government's role in the housing market. This proposal includes the withdrawal of government guarantees currently available on certain residential loans and increasing the down payment requirements for borrowers, both of which could reduce mortgage lending volume. In February 2012, the Federal Housing Finance Agency sent Congress a strategic plan to wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac over the next several years. This proposal includes building a new infrastructure for the secondary mortgage market, continuing to shrink Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's operations by eliminating the direct funding of mortgages and

shifting mortgage credit risk to private investors, and maintaining foreclosure prevention activities and credit availability. In August 2012, the U.S. Department of the Treasury announced it would require Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce their investment portfolios more quickly, at an annual rate of 15% versus the previous rate of 10%. The effects of these proposals or any significant structural change to the U.S. residential mortgage industry may cause significant disruption to the mortgage market. If we are unable to react effectively and quickly to changes in the residential mortgage industry, our business could be harmed.

We may be limited in the way in which we market our business or generate revenue by U.S. federal law prohibiting referral fees in real estate transactions; if we are found to be in violation of such laws we would be subject to significant liability.

Table of Contents

RESPA generally prohibits the payment or receipt of fees or any other thing of value for the referral of business related to a residential real estate settlement service and prohibits fee shares or splits or unearned fees in connection with the provision of such services. Our Encompass software and services and the Ellie Mae Network were designed with payment methods that are not currently prohibited by the restrictions under RESPA. Nonetheless, RESPA may restrict our ability to enter into marketing and distribution arrangements with third parties for existing or newly developed products and services, particularly to the extent that such arrangements may be characterized as involving payments for the referral of residential real estate settlement service business. Additionally, any amendments to RESPA or court opinions interpreting the provisions of RESPA that result in restrictions on our current payment methods, or any determination that our payment methods have been and currently are subject to the restrictions under RESPA, could have a material adverse effect on our business. If we were found to be in violation of RESPA rules, we would be exposed to significant potential liability that could have a material adverse effect on our reputation and business.

Our failure to protect the confidential information of our Encompass users, our Ellie Mae Network participants and their respective customers could damage our reputation and brand and substantially harm our business.

Certain confidential information relating to certain of our Encompass users, our Ellie Mae Network participants and their respective customers resides on our third-party hosted data center servers and is transmitted over our network.

We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to effect secure transmission of confidential information, including personal information and credit card numbers. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments may result in a compromise or breach of the technology used by us to protect customer transaction data. These servers may also be vulnerable to computer viruses, break-ins and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering with our computer systems, which could lead to loss of critical data or the unauthorized disclosure of confidential customer data. The possession and use of personal information in conducting our business subject us to legislative and regulatory burdens that may require notification to customers of a security breach, restrict our use of personal information and hinder our ability to acquire new customers or market to existing customers.

We cannot guarantee that our security measures will prevent security breaches. Any such compromise of our security could damage our reputation and brand and expose us to a risk of loss or litigation and potential liability, which would substantially harm our business and operating results. We may need to expend significant resources to protect against and remedy any potential security breaches and their consequences.

We depend on key and highly skilled personnel to operate our business, and if we are unable to retain our current or hire additional personnel, our ability to develop and successfully market our business could be harmed.

We believe our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, technical, finance, creative and sales and marketing personnel. Moreover, we believe that our future success is highly dependent on the contributions of our named executive officers. All of our officers and other employees are at-will employees, which means they may terminate their employment relationship with us at any time, and their knowledge of our business and industry would be extremely difficult to replace. In addition, the loss of any key employees or the inability to attract or retain qualified personnel could delay the development and introduction of, and harm our ability to sell, our solutions and harm the market's perception of us. Competition for qualified personnel is particularly intense in the San Francisco Bay Area, where our headquarters are located. Qualified individuals are in high demand, and we may incur significant costs to attract them. We may be unable to attract and retain suitably qualified individuals who are capable of meeting our growing sales, operational and managerial requirements, or may be required to pay increased compensation in order to do so. If we are unable to attract and retain the qualified personnel we need to succeed, our business will suffer.

Volatility or lack of performance in our stock price may also affect our ability to attract and retain our key employees. Our named executive officers have become, or will soon become, vested in a substantial amount of stock options. Employees may be more likely to leave us if the shares they own or the shares underlying their vested options have significantly appreciated in value relative to the original purchase prices of the shares or the exercise prices of the vested options, or if the exercise prices of the options that they hold are significantly above the market price of our common stock. If we are unable to retain our named executive officers or other key employees, our business will be

harm.

We operate in a highly competitive market, which could make it difficult for us to attract and retain Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants.

The mortgage origination software market is highly competitive. There are many software providers, such as Calyx Technology, Inc., Byte Software Inc., PCLender.com and Harland Financial Solutions, that compete with us by offering loan origination software to mortgage originators. Some software providers, including Calyx Technology, Inc., also provide connectivity between their software users and lenders and service providers. Other connectivity alternatives are provided by vendors such as MGIC Investment Corporation and RealEC Technologies. We also compete with compliance and document

Table of Contents

preparation service providers that are much larger and more established than us. There is vigorous competition among providers of these services and we may not succeed in convincing potential customers, using other services to switch to ours. Many service providers connect directly to mortgage originators without using any loan origination software. Some of our competitors also offer services on a closed loan basis, which could adversely impact the effectiveness of our success-based pricing strategy for increasing the number of SaaS Encompass customers. If we are unsuccessful in competing effectively by providing attractive functionality, customer service or value, we could lose existing Encompass users to our competitors and our ability to attract new Encompass users could be harmed.

We only offer our Encompass services to Encompass users. There are many other service providers that offer our Encompass users competing services, including borrower-facing websites, document preparation services, compliance services and electronic document management. We may be unsuccessful in continuing to differentiate our Encompass service offerings to the extent necessary to effectively compete in some or all of these markets.

The Ellie Mae Network is only available to mortgage originators using Encompass software. The principal alternative to the use of the Ellie Mae Network by Encompass users remains traditional methods of exchanging data and documents among mortgage industry participants by e-mail, facsimile, phone, courier and mail. In addition, mortgage originators use standalone web browsers to go individually to each investor, lender or service provider's website and then manually upload loan data or enter information into the website. Mortgage originators may continue to use these methods due to habit, personal business relationships or otherwise. The success of the Ellie Mae Network depends on our ability to achieve and offer access to both the critical mass of investors, lenders and service providers necessary to attract and retain mortgage originators using our Encompass software on the Ellie Mae Network and the critical mass of active mortgage originators necessary to attract and retain investors, lenders and service providers on our network. Many of our actual and potential competitors have longer operating histories and significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do and, as a result, these companies may be able to respond more quickly to changes in regulations, new technologies or customer demands, or devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their software and services than we can. In addition, we may face increased competition as a result of continuing industry consolidation, such as Davis + Henderson Corporation's acquisition of Mortgagebot LLC in April 2011 and Avista Solutions, Inc. in May 2012 and Lender Processing Services, Inc.'s acquisition of PCLender.com, Inc. in March 2011. We expect the mortgage origination market to continue to attract new competitors and there can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully against current or future competitors, or that competitive pressures we face will not materially adversely affect our business.

Failure to adapt to technological changes may render our technology obsolete or decrease the attractiveness of our solutions to our customers.

If new industry standards and practices emerge, or if competitors introduce new solutions embodying new services or technologies, our Encompass software and the Ellie Mae Network technology may become obsolete. Our future success will depend on our ability to:

- enhance our existing solutions;
- develop and potentially license new solutions and technologies that address the needs of our prospective customers;
- and
- respond to changes in industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis.

We must continue to enhance the features and functionality of our Encompass software and the Ellie Mae Network.

The effective performance, reliability and availability of our Encompass software and the Ellie Mae Network infrastructure are critical to our reputation and our ability to attract and retain Encompass users and Ellie Mae Network participants. If we do not continue to make investments in product development and, as a result, or due to other reasons, fail to attract new and retain existing mortgage originators, lenders, investors and service providers, we may lose existing Ellie Mae Network participants, which could significantly decrease the value of the Ellie Mae Network to all participants and materially adversely affect our business.

Failure to adequately protect our intellectual property could harm our business.

The protection of our intellectual property rights, including our proprietary Encompass software and Ellie Mae Network technology, is crucial to the success of our business. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret law and contractual restrictions to protect our intellectual property. Our present and future

patents may provide only limited protection for our technology and may not be sufficient to provide competitive advantage to us. Furthermore, we cannot guarantee any patents will be issued to us as a result of our patent applications. We also rely in part on confidentiality and

37

Table of Contents

invention assignment agreements with our employees, independent contractors and consultants. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our Ellie Mae Network and Encompass software features and functionality or obtain and use information that we consider proprietary. Policing our proprietary rights is difficult and may not always be effective.

We have registered “Ellie Mae” and “Encompass” and certain of our other trademarks as trademarks in the United States. Competitors may adopt service names similar to ours, thereby impeding our ability to build brand identity and possibly leading to customer confusion. In addition, there could be potential trade name or trademark infringement claims brought by owners of other registered trademarks or trademarks that incorporate variations of the terms Ellie Mae, Encompass or our other trademarks.

Litigation or proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or other governmental authorities and administrative bodies in the United States and abroad may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, protect our patent and copyright rights, trade secrets and domain names and determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Our efforts to enforce or protect our proprietary rights may be ineffective and could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could harm our business.

Assertions that we infringe third-party intellectual property rights could result in significant costs and substantially harm our business.

Other parties have asserted, and may in the future assert, that we have infringed their intellectual property rights. For example, on March 25, 2011, we were named a defendant in a patent infringement lawsuit filed by Industry Access Incorporated alleging that our Encompass360 loan management software system and related operations infringes a single patent. In addition, we generally agree to indemnify our customers against legal claims that our software products infringe intellectual property rights of third parties and, in the event of an infringement, to modify or replace the infringing product or, if those options are not reasonably possible, to refund the cost of the software, as pro-rated over a period of years. We cannot predict whether assertions of third-party intellectual property rights or claims arising from such assertions will substantially harm our business and operating results. If we are forced to defend against any infringement claims, whether they are with or without merit or are determined in our favor, we may face costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel. Furthermore, an adverse outcome of a dispute may require us to: pay damages, potentially including treble damages and attorneys’ fees if the infringement were found to be willful; cease providing solutions that allegedly incorporate the intellectual property of others; expend additional development resources to redesign or reengineer our solutions and products, if feasible; and enter into potentially unfavorable royalty or license agreements in order to obtain the right to use necessary technologies. We cannot be certain of the outcome of any litigation. Any royalty or licensing agreement, if required, may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. Our failure to obtain the necessary licenses or other rights could prevent the sale or distribution of some of our products and services and, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Current or future litigation could substantially harm our business.

We have been and continue to be involved in legal proceedings, claims and other litigation. For example, we are currently involved in defending against a patent infringement lawsuit against us and one other party filed by Industry Access Incorporated. For more on legal proceedings, see Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We are also subject to various other legal proceedings and claims arising out of the ordinary course of business. While we do not expect the outcome of any such pending litigation to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, litigation is unpredictable and excessive verdicts, both in the form of monetary damages and injunctions, could occur. In the future, litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and we could incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We will be required to assess our internal control over financial reporting on an annual basis and any future adverse findings from such assessment could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports, significant expenses to remediate any internal control deficiencies and ultimately have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, and beginning with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2012, our management will be required to report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The rules governing the standards that must be met for management to assess our internal control over financial reporting are complex and require significant documentation, testing and possible remediation. We are currently in the process of reviewing, documenting and testing our internal control over financial reporting. We may encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any changes necessary to make a favorable assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. In connection with the attestation process by our independent registered public accounting firm, we may encounter problems or delays in completing

Table of Contents

the implementation of any requested improvements and receiving a favorable attestation. In addition, if we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting we will not be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404. For example, a significant deficiency noted in our past internal controls related to our stock-based compensation. We believe we have remediated this deficiency and have taken steps to improve our internal controls and procedures in this area. If we fail to achieve and maintain an effective internal control environment, we could suffer material misstatements in our financial statements and fail to meet our reporting obligations, which would likely cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information. This could harm our operating results and lead to a decline in our stock price. Additionally, ineffective internal control over financial reporting could expose us to increased risk of fraud or misuse of corporate assets and subject us to potential delisting from the New York Stock Exchange, regulatory investigations, civil or criminal sanctions and class action litigation.

If one or more U.S. states or local jurisdictions successfully assert that we should have collected or in the future should collect additional sales or use taxes on our fees, we could be subject to additional liability with respect to past or future sales, and the results of our operations could be adversely affected.

We do not collect state and local sales and use taxes in all jurisdictions in which our customers are located, based on our belief that such taxes are not applicable. Sales and use tax laws and rates vary by jurisdiction and such laws are subject to interpretation. Jurisdictions in which we do not collect sales and use taxes may assert that such taxes are applicable, which could result in the assessment of such taxes, interest and penalties, and we could be required to collect such taxes in the future. This additional sales and use tax liability could adversely affect the results of our operations.

Our business is subject to the risks of earthquakes, fires, floods and other natural catastrophic events and to interruption by man-made problems such as terrorism.

Our systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, fires, floods, power losses, telecommunications failures, terrorist attacks, acts of war and similar events. For example, a significant natural disaster, such as an earthquake, fire or flood, could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results and financial condition, and our insurance coverage may be insufficient to compensate us for losses that may occur.

Our corporate offices and one of the facilities we lease to house our computer and telecommunications equipment are located in the San Francisco Bay Area, a region known for seismic activity. In addition, acts of terrorism, which may be targeted at metropolitan areas with higher population density than rural areas, could cause disruptions in our or our customers' businesses or the economy as a whole. We may not have sufficient protection or recovery plans in certain circumstances, such as natural disasters affecting the San Francisco Bay Area, and our business interruption insurance may be insufficient to compensate us for losses that may occur.

Our stock price is volatile and purchasers of our common stock could incur substantial losses.

The trading price of our common stock may be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. In addition to the factors discussed in this "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in our filings with the SEC, these factors include:

- our operating performance and the operating performance of similar companies;
- the overall performance of the equity markets;
- the number of shares our common stock publicly owned and available for trading;
- threatened or actual litigation;
- changes in laws or regulations relating to our solutions;
- any major change in our board of directors or management;
- publication of research reports about us or our industry or positive or negative recommendations or withdrawal of research coverage by securities analysts;
- large volumes of sales of our shares of common stock by existing stockholders; and
- general political and economic conditions.

In addition, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. Securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the overall market and in the market price of a company's securities. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in very substantial costs,

divert our management's attention and resources and harm our business.
If securities or industry analysts discontinue publishing research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

Table of Contents

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which might cause our stock price and trading volume to decline.

Certain provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage takeover attempts and lead to management entrenchment.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws contain provisions that could have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control or changes in our board of directors. These provisions include:

- a classified board of directors with three-year staggered terms, which may delay the ability of stockholders to change the membership of a majority of our board of directors;
- no cumulative voting in the election of directors, which limits the ability of minority stockholders to elect director candidates;
- the exclusive right of our board of directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of the board of directors or the resignation, death or removal of a director, which prevents stockholders from being able to fill vacancies on our board of directors;
- the ability of our board of directors to determine to issue shares of preferred stock and to determine the price and other terms of those shares, including preferences and voting rights, without stockholder approval, which could be used to significantly dilute the ownership of a hostile acquirer;
- a prohibition on stockholder action by written consent, which forces stockholder action to be taken at an annual or special meeting of our stockholders;
- the requirement that a special meeting of stockholders may be called only by the chairman of the board of directors, the chief executive officer, the president or the board of directors, which may delay the ability of our stockholders to force consideration of a proposal or to take action, including the removal of directors; and
- advance notice procedures that stockholders must comply with in order to nominate candidates to our board of directors or to propose matters to be acted upon at a stockholders' meeting, which may discourage or deter a potential acquirer from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquirer's own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us.

We are also subject to certain anti-takeover provisions under Delaware law. Under Delaware law, a corporation may not, in general, engage in a business combination with any holder of 15% or more of its capital stock unless the holder has held the stock for three years or, among other things, the board of directors has approved the transaction.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6—EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1	Ellie Mae, Inc. Senior Executive Performance Share Program
10.2	Form of Notice of Grant of and Agreement for Performance Shares for Senior Executives
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 are being furnished and shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, nor shall such exhibits be deemed to be incorporated by reference in any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise specifically stated in such filing.

** XBRL information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and is not subject to liability under those sections, is not part of any registration statement or prospectus to which it relates and is not incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference into any registration statement, prospectus or other document.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ELLIE MAE, INC.

Date: November 7, 2012

By: /s/ Edgar A. Luce
Edgar A. Luce
Executive Vice President, Finance and Administration
and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and duly
authorized signatory)

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1	Ellie Mae, Inc. Senior Executive Performance Share Program
10.2	Form of Notice of Grant of and Agreement for Performance Shares for Senior Executives
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 are being furnished and shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, nor shall such exhibits be deemed to be incorporated by reference in any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise specifically stated in such filing.

** XBRL information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and is not subject to liability under those sections, is not part of any registration statement or prospectus to which it relates and is not incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference into any registration statement, prospectus or other document.