

MACE SECURITY INTERNATIONAL INC
Form 10-Q
November 13, 2006

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

COMMISSION FILE NO. 0-22810

MACE SECURITY INTERNATIONAL, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

03-0311630
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1000 Crawford Place, Suite 400, Mt. Laurel, NJ 08054
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's Telephone No., including area code: (856) 778-2300

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("the Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer x

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of Common Stock:

As of November 9, 2006, there were 15,275,382 Shares of the registrant's Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding.

Mace Security International, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Form 10-Q
Quarter Ended September 30, 2006

Contents

	Page
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1 - Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets - September 30, 2006 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2005	2
Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	4
Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	5
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8
Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	28
Item 4 - Controls and Procedures	29
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 - Legal Proceedings	29
Item 1A - Risk Factors	29
Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	36
Item 6 - Exhibits	37
Signatures	38

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Mace Security International, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share information)

ASSETS	September 30, 2006 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2005
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,198	\$ 8,360
Short-term investments	3,311	3,020
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$653 and \$593 in 2006 and 2005, respectively	2,659	2,774
Inventories	8,445	7,901
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,464	2,556
Assets held for sale-Arizona	16,879	-
Assets held for sale- Norristown, PA	1,593	-
Total current assets	40,549	24,611
Property and equipment:		
Land	20,587	31,639
Buildings and leasehold improvements	25,739	35,986
Machinery and equipment	9,544	11,802
Furniture and fixtures	630	576
Total property and equipment	56,500	80,003
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(12,697)	(14,923)
Total property and equipment, net	43,803	65,080
Goodwill	2,820	2,820
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$713 and \$490 in 2006 and 2005, respectively	3,116	3,328
Other assets	259	272
Total assets	\$ 90,547	\$ 96,111

See accompanying notes.

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	September 30, 2006 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2005
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 1,168	\$ 2,209
Accounts payable	3,918	4,231
Income taxes payable	249	320
Deferred revenue	304	501
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	3,643	2,735
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	9,752	-
Total current liabilities	19,034	9,996
Long-term debt, net of current portion	13,721	24,435
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	-	30
Commitments	-	-
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value:		
Authorized shares - 10,000,000		
Issued and outstanding shares - none	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value:		
Authorized shares - 100,000,000		
Issued and outstanding shares of 15,275,382 and 15,272,882 at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively	153	153
Additional paid-in capital	89,634	88,458
Accumulated other comprehensive income	248	167
Accumulated deficit	(32,243)	(27,128)
Total stockholders' equity	57,792	61,650
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 90,547	\$ 96,111

See accompanying notes.

Mace Security International, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share and per share information)

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2006	2005
Revenues:		
Car and truck wash and detailing services	\$ 4,975	\$ 5,858
Lube and other automotive services	907	806
Fuel and merchandise sales	826	1,199
Security sales	5,724	5,737
	12,432	13,600
Cost of revenues:		
Car and truck wash and detailing services	4,151	4,593
Lube and other automotive services	778	603
Fuel and merchandise sales	769	1,067
Security sales	4,064	4,010
	9,762	10,273
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,664	3,286
Depreciation and amortization	466	463
Asset impairment charge	80	966
Operating loss	(2,540)	(1,388)
Interest expense, net	(200)	(216)
Other income	612	89
Income from operating agreement	27	-
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(2,101)	(1,515)
Income tax expense (benefit)	39	(363)
Loss from continuing operations	(2,140)	(1,152)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax of \$0 in 2006 and \$13 in 2005	(129)	24
Net loss	\$ (2,269)	\$ (1,128)
Per share of common stock (basic and diluted):		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.07)
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.01)	-
Net loss	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.07)
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	15,275,382	15,271,450
Diluted	15,275,382	15,271,450

See accompanying notes.

Mace Security International, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share and per share information)

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2006	2005
Revenues:		
Car and truck wash and detailing services	\$ 16,821	\$ 19,334
Lube and other automotive services	2,582	2,367
Fuel and merchandise sales	2,726	3,226
Security sales	18,169	19,348
	40,298	44,275
Cost of revenues:		
Car and truck wash and detailing services	13,519	14,199
Lube and other automotive services	2,051	1,807
Fuel and merchandise sales	2,446	2,839
Security sales	12,997	13,667
	31,013	32,512
Selling, general and administrative expenses	13,418	10,126
Depreciation and amortization	1,409	1,402
Asset impairment charge	80	966
Operating loss	(5,622)	(731)
Interest expense, net	(710)	(648)
Other income	985	277
Income from operating agreement	81	-
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(5,266)	(1,102)
Income tax expense (benefit)	117	(214)
Loss from continuing operations	(5,383)	(888)
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax of \$0 in 2006 and \$118 in 2005	268	210
Net loss	\$ (5,115)	\$ (678)
Per share of common stock (basic and diluted):		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.06)
Income from discontinued operations	0.02	0.02
Net loss	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.04)
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	15,274,201	15,271,239
Diluted	15,274,201	15,271,239

See accompanying notes.

Mace Security International, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share information)

	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance at December 31, 2005	15,272,882	\$ 153	\$ 88,458	\$ 167	(27,128)\$	61,650
Stock-based compensation expense			1,172			1,172
Exercise of common stock options	2,500	-	4			4
Change in fair value of cash flow hedge				10		10
Unrealized gain on short-term investments				71		71
Net loss					(5,115)	(5,115)
Total comprehensive loss						(5,034)
Balance at September 30, 2006	15,275,382	\$ 153	\$ 89,634	\$ 248	(32,243)\$	57,792

See accompanying notes.

Mace Security International, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2006	2005
Operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (5,115)	\$ (678)
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	268	210
Loss from continuing operations	(5,383)	(888)
Adjustments to reconcile loss from continuing operations to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,409	1,402
Stock-based compensation	1,161	-
Provision for losses on receivables	201	117
Gain on sale of property and equipment	(663)	(92)
Gain on short-term investments	(221)	(147)
Asset impairment charge-hurricane damage	-	100
Asset impairment charge	80	966
Deferred income taxes	-	(246)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(86)	(73)
Inventories	(627)	(690)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	134	(106)
Accounts payable	(245)	(1,171)
Deferred revenue	(36)	(47)
Accrued expenses	323	310
Income taxes payable	(71)	(58)
Net cash used in operating activities-continuing operations	(4,024)	(623)
Net cash provided by operating activities-discontinued operations	1,111	1,017
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(2,913)	394
Investing activities		
Purchase of property and equipment	(684)	(685)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	2,845	687
Purchase of short-term investments	-	(2,600)
Payments for intangibles	(12)	(5)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities-continuing operations	2,149	(2,603)
Net cash used in investing activities-discontinued operations	(247)	(265)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,902	(2,868)
Financing activities		
Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(1,415)	(1,279)
Proceeds (cost) of issuance of common stock	4	(52)
Net cash used in financing activities-continuing operations	(1,411)	(1,331)
Net cash used in financing activities-discontinued operations	(740)	(716)
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,151)	(2,047)

Edgar Filing: MACE SECURITY INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(3,162)		(4,521)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		8,360		14,499
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	5,198	\$	9,978

See accompanying notes.

7

Mace Security International, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Mace Security International, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively “the Company”, “we” or “Mace”). All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. These consolidated interim financial statements reflect all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of results of operations for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. In February 2006, the Company initiated a plan to sell its Arizona car wash region. In the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the results for the Arizona car wash region have been classified as discontinued operations in the statements of operations and the statement of cash flows. Additionally, the statements of operations and the statement of cash flows for 2005 have been restated to reflect the discontinued operations in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. (See Note 5. Discontinued Operations). The Company executed a lease-to-sell agreement on December 31, 2005 with Eagle United Truck Wash, LLC (“Eagle”) to lease Mace’s five truck washes beginning January 1, 2006 for up to two years. As a result, we do not recognize revenue or operating expenses during the term of the lease other than rental income, depreciation expense and interest expense. On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R) *Share-Based Payment*, which requires compensation expense to be recognized for all share-based payments to employees based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant. We adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective method, which results in recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards granted or modified after December 31, 2005, as well as for all unvested awards outstanding at the date of adoption. Under this transition method the results of operations for prior periods have not been restated. We will, however, continue to disclose pro forma financial information for periods prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R). These consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

2. New Accounting Standards

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued SFAS 151, *Inventory Costs - An Amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4*. SFAS 151 amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin (“ARB”) 43, Chapter 4, *Inventory Pricing*, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). SFAS 151 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005 and is required to be adopted by the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The adoption of SFAS 151 did not have a material impact on the Company.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. SFAS 123(R) requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. The cost is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. SFAS 123(R) is effective as of the first interim or annual reporting period of the first fiscal year beginning on or after June 15, 2005. SFAS 123(R) covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. In addition to the accounting standard that sets forth the financial reporting objectives and related accounting principles, SFAS 123(R) includes an appendix of implementation guidance that provides expanded guidance on measuring the fair value of share-based payment awards. SFAS 123(R) replaces SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and

supersedes APB Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS 123, as originally issued in 1995, established as preferable a fair-value-based method of accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees. However, that Statement permitted entities the option of continuing to apply the guidance in APB Opinion 25, as long as the footnotes to financial statements disclosed what net income would have been had the preferable fair-value-based method been used. Additionally, in March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") 107, *Share-Based Payments*, which provides further guidance for the adoption of SFAS 123(R), discussed above. The Company implemented this new standard in the first quarter of our fiscal year 2006.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* ("SFAS 154") which replaces APB Opinion 20, *Accounting Changes* and SFAS 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements - An Amendment of APB Opinion 28*. SFAS 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes retrospective application, or the latest practicable date, as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle and the reporting of a correction of an error. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 and, accordingly, is required to be adopted by the Company on January 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS 154 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum probability threshold that a tax position must meet before a financial statement benefit is recognized. The minimum threshold is defined in FIN 48 as a tax position, that based solely on its technical merits is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the applicable taxing authority. The tax benefit to be recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 must be applied to all existing tax positions upon initial adoption. The cumulative effect of applying FIN 48 at adoption, if any, is to be reported as an adjustment to opening retained earnings for the year of adoption. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, although early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the potential effect of FIN 48 on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of SFAS 157 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, cash flows, and financial position.

In September 2006, the SEC issued SAB 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending on or after November 15, 2006 and addresses how financial statement errors should be considered from a materiality perspective and corrected. The literature provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. Historically there have been two common approaches used to quantify such errors: (i) the "rollover" approach, which quantifies the error as the amount by which the current year income statement is misstated, and (ii) the "iron curtain" approach, which quantifies the error as the cumulative amount by which the current year balance sheet is misstated. The SEC Staff believes that companies should quantify errors using both approaches and evaluate whether either of these approaches results in quantifying a misstatement that, when all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors are considered, is material. Historically, the Company has evaluated uncorrected differences utilizing both approaches, and the Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of adopting the provisions of SAB 108 on our financial position, results of operation and liquidity.

3. Other Intangible Assets

The following table reflects the components of intangible assets, excluding goodwill (in thousands):

	September 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangible assets:				
Non-compete agreement	\$ 98	\$ 40	\$ 98	\$ 21
Customer lists	1,184	309	1,184	165
Product lists	590	133	590	89
Deferred financing costs	416	231	416	215
Total amortized intangible assets	2,288	713	2,288	490
Non-amortized intangible assets:				
Trademarks - Security Segment	1,435	-	1,424	-
Service mark - Car and Truck Wash Segment	106	-	106	-
Total non-amortized intangible assets	1,541	-	1,530	-
Total intangible assets	\$ 3,829	\$ 713	\$ 3,818	\$ 490

The following sets forth the estimated amortization expense on intangible assets for the fiscal years ending December 31 (in thousands):

2006	\$ 292
2007	\$ 264
2008	\$ 235
2009	\$ 199
2010	\$ 191

4. Business Combinations and Divestitures

On November 23, 2005, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Mace Security Products, Inc., acquired the inventory and customer accounts of Securetek, Inc. ("Securetek") which specializes in the sale of electronic surveillance products and components to security alarm dealers, installers and end users. The results of operations of Securetek have been included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company since November 23, 2005. The purchase price for Securetek consisted of \$1.9 million in cash, net liabilities assumed. Of the purchase price, \$489,000 was allocated to inventory and the remainder to goodwill and other intangible assets. Of the \$1.53 million of acquired intangible assets, \$485,000 was assigned to customer lists, \$85,000 to trademarks and a covenant-not-to-compete and the remainder of \$957,000 to goodwill. Customer lists and the covenant-not-to-compete were assigned useful lives of 10 years and 3.5 years, respectively. The acquired business was relocated and integrated into the Company's existing security operation in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. The acquisition was accounted for as a business combination in accordance with SFAS 141, *Business Combinations*.

On December 20, 2005, the Company, through a wholly owned subsidiary, sold an exterior-only car wash facility in Pennsylvania. Proceeds from the sale of this facility were approximately \$400,000 resulting in a \$240,000 gain on disposal.

On June 19, 2006, the Company, through a wholly owned subsidiary, sold an exterior-only car wash facility in New Jersey. Proceeds from the sale of this facility were approximately \$1.0 million, resulting in a \$202,000 gain on disposal.

On September 28, 2006, the Company, through a wholly owned subsidiary, sold a full service car wash facility in Dallas, Texas. Proceeds from the sale of this facility were approximately \$1.85 million, resulting in a \$461,000 gain on disposal.

5. Discontinued Operations and Assets Held for Sale

On February 28, 2006, the Company signed an agreement to sell its Arizona car wash region to CW Acquisition, LLC ("CW Acquisition") for \$19.5 million. The purchase price consisted of \$18.5 million in cash and \$1.0 million to be paid to the Company in the form of a three-year promissory note bearing 7.5% interest. CW Acquisition was unable to arrange its funding by August 4, 2006, and the transaction was terminated. Mace retained a \$312,500 deposit on the transaction as liquidated damages as provided for in the agreement of sale. The Company is negotiating with CW Acquisition and several other parties who have expressed an interest in purchasing the 12 car washes in the Arizona region under similar terms. Management believes a sale of the Arizona car wash region will probably occur within one year. Accordingly, for financial statement purposes, the assets, liabilities, results of operations and cash flows of this operation have been segregated from those of continuing operations and are presented in the Company's consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations and assets and liabilities held for sale.

Revenues from discontinued operations were \$2.4 million and \$2.5 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$8.4 million and \$7.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Operating income from discontinued operations was \$63,000 and \$247,000 for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$861,000 and \$977,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Assets and liabilities held for sale are comprised of the following at September 30, 2006 (in thousands):

Assets held for sale:	Arizona Region
Inventory	\$ 116
Property, plant and equipment, net	16,763
Total assets	\$ 16,879
Liabilities related to assets held for sale:	
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 1,068
Long-term debt, net of current portion	8,562
Deferred revenue	122
Total liabilities	\$ 9,752

On June 27, 2006, the Company signed an agreement to sell its car wash in Norristown, Pennsylvania. Among other items, the agreement called for the closing to occur by September 10, 2006. Although this sale was not completed, the Company has entered into an agreement on November 9, 2006 with another party to sell the car wash and certain other sites. Management believes a sale of the Norristown car wash will occur within one year. Also see *note 15. Subsequent Events*.

6. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has two stock-based employee compensation plans. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. In 2005, stock-based employee compensation costs were not reflected in results of operations, as all options granted under the plan had exercise prices equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

SFAS 123 (R) replaces SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* ("SFAS 123"), and supersedes APB Opinion 25. SFAS 123, as originally issued in 1995, established as preferable a fair-valued-based method of accounting for share-based payment transaction with employees. However, SFAS 123 permitted entities the option of continuing to apply the guidance in APB 25 as long as the footnotes to financial statements disclosed what net income would have been had the preferable fair-valued-based method been used. On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, which requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. We adopted SFAS 123 (R) using the modified prospective method, which results in recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards granted or modified after December 31, 2005 as well as all unvested awards outstanding at the date of adoption. The cost is recognized as compensation expense over the life of the instruments, based upon the grant date fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. The Company expects the adoption of SFAS 123(R) to result in stock compensation expense and therefore a reduction of income before income taxes in 2006 of approximately \$1.3 million. The Company's actual stock compensation expense in 2006 could differ materially from this estimate depending on the timing, magnitude and vesting of new awards, the number of new awards and changes in the market price or the volatility of the Company's common stock.

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, the Company granted 480,000 stock options at a weighted-average fair value of \$1.45 per share, risk free interest rates ranging from 4.82% to 4.96%, an expected volatility of the market price of the Company's common stock of 44%, a dividend yield of 0%, an expected life of ten years, and a 0% forfeiture rate. As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the Company recorded non-cash compensation expense of approximately \$785,000 (\$781,000 in continuing operations and \$4,000 in discontinued operations) in the three months ended September 30, 2006, and \$1,172,000 (\$1,161,000 in continuing operations and \$11,000 in discontinued operations) in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The non-cash compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes \$648,000 related to the granting of 450,000 fully vested options to Louis D. Paolino, Jr. on August 21, 2006 pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement dated August 21, 2006. Mr. Paolino's employment agreement provides for two additional fully vested stock option grants on the second and third anniversary dates of the employment agreement. Under the provisions of the employment agreement, the amount of the second and third grants are likely to be within the same magnitude of the Black-Scholes value of the August 21, 2006 grant. As of September 30, 2006, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense, excluding the previously discussed second and third grants to Mr. Paolino, was approximately \$662,000, which has a weighted- average period of approximately 0.9 years to be recognized.

Pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share was required by SFAS 123, and was determined as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of that Statement. The fair values for these options were estimated at the dates of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for the three months ended September 30, 2005: risk-free interest rate of 4.42% dividend yield of 0%; a historical volatility of the market price of the Company's common stock of 54%; a weighted-average expected life of the options of ten years; and a 0% forfeiture rate. In the three months ended September 30, 2005, 20,000 options were granted with a weighted-average fair value of \$1.80 per share.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period. Pro forma results are not likely to be representative of the effects on reported results of operations for future years. The Company's pro forma information is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005
Net loss as reported	\$ (1,128)	\$ (678)
Add: Stock-based compensation costs under fair value based method for all awards	(86)	(521)
Pro forma loss	\$ (1,214)	\$ (1,199)
Loss per share - basic and diluted		
As reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.04)
Pro forma	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.08)

7. Commitments and Contingencies

On March 13, 2006, the Company was served with a search warrant issued by the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey relating to a criminal immigration investigation. A search of the Company's headquarters and four out of the Company's 48 car washes was conducted by representatives of the United States Department of Investigations and Customs Enforcement and certain other agencies. Three of the car washes searched are located in Pennsylvania and the fourth is located in New Jersey. Documents were seized and a number of car wash employees of Car Care, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, were taken into custody by the United States immigration authorities. The Company was also served with a federal grand jury subpoena seeking similar documents. The Company is in the process of responding to the subpoena. The Company has been informed by the government that it is a subject of the government's investigation. The Company's Audit Committee retained independent outside counsel ("Special Counsel") to conduct an independent investigation of the Company's hiring practices at the Company's car washes and other related matters. Special Counsel provided a written summary of findings on April 18, 2006. The Special Counsel's finding included, among other things, a finding that the Company's internal controls for financial reporting at the corporate level are adequate and appropriate, and that there is no financial statement impact implicated by the Company's hiring practices, except for a potential contingent liability for possible civil, administrative or criminal fines or penalties. Beginning on April 21, 2006, Special Counsel began to receive for review some additional and previously requested but unavailable documents and information, including the documents the government seized on March 13, 2006. On May 18, 2006, Special Counsel issued its Review of Information Supplemental to Internal Investigation which stated that the review of the additional documents and information has not changed the conclusions contained in the April 18, 2006 summary of findings. The Company has hired a Human Resources Manager and has incorporated additional internal control procedures at the corporate, regional and site level to further enhance the existing internal controls with respect to the Company's hiring procedures at the car wash locations to prevent the hiring of undocumented workers. There is a possibility that the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania may prosecute the Company at the conclusion of its investigation. Violations of law may result in civil, administrative or criminal fines or penalties. Due to the ongoing nature of the criminal investigation, it is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of the investigation or the impact of costs of ultimately resolving this matter on our results of operations or financial condition. However, any fees, expenses, fines or penalties which might be incurred by the Company in connection with the hiring of undocumented workers may have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition. The Company has made no provision for any future costs associated with the investigations or any future costs associated with the Company's defense or negotiations with governmental authorities to resolve these outstanding issues. Also see *Item 4. Controls and Procedures*.

The Company is subject to federal and state environmental regulations, including rules relating to air and water pollution and the storage and disposal of oil, other chemicals, and waste. The Company believes that it complies, in all material respects, with all applicable laws relating to its business.

Certain of the Company's executive officers have entered into employee stock option agreements whereby options issued to them shall be entitled to immediate vesting upon a change in control of the Company. Additionally, the employment agreement of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Louis D. Paolino, Jr., dated August 21, 2006, entitles Mr. Paolino to a payment of 2.99 times Mr. Paolino's average total compensation (base salary plus any bonuses plus the value of any option award, valued using the Black-Scholes method) over the past five years, upon termination of employment under certain conditions or upon a change in control. The employment agreement also provides that if Mr. Paolino receives the change of control bonus, his employment agreement can then be terminated without an additional payment.

The Company is a party to various legal proceedings related to its normal business activities. In the opinion of the Company's management, none of these proceedings are material in relation to the Company's results of operations, liquidity, cash flows, or financial condition.

8. Business Segments Information

The Company currently operates in two segments: the Car and Truck Wash Segment and the Security Segment.

Financial information regarding the Company's segments, excluding discontinued operations, is as follows (in thousands):

	Car and Truck Wash	Security	Corporate Functions *
<i>Three months ended September 30, 2006</i>			
Revenues from external customers	\$ 6,708	\$ 5,724	\$ -
Intersegment revenues	\$ -	\$ 19	\$ -
Segment operating loss	\$ (188)	\$ (375)	\$ (1,977)
Segment assets	\$ 52,656	\$ 21,012	\$ -
Goodwill	\$ 1,092	\$ 1,728	\$ -
Capital expenditures	\$ 222	\$ 66	\$ 4
<i>Nine months ended September 30, 2006</i>			
Revenues from external customers	\$ 22,129	\$ 18,169	\$ -
Intersegment revenues	\$ -	\$ 27	\$ -
Segment operating income (loss)	\$ 535	\$ (967)	\$ (5,190)
Capital expenditures	\$ 476	\$ 201	\$ 7
<i>Three months ended September 30, 2005</i>			
Revenues from external customers	\$ 7,863	\$ 5,737	\$ -
Intersegment revenues	\$ -	\$ 123	\$ -
Segment operating loss	\$ (594)	\$ (2)	\$ (792)
Segment assets	\$ 81,632	\$ 18,088	\$ -
Goodwill	\$ 2,655	\$ 920	\$ -
Capital expenditures	\$ 253	\$ 38	\$ 3
<i>Nine months ended September 30, 2005</i>			
Revenues from external customers	\$ 24,297	\$ 19,348	\$ -
Intersegment revenues	\$ -	\$ 348	\$ -
Segment operating income (loss)	\$ 1,523	\$ 298	\$ (2,552)

Capital expenditures	\$	867	\$	230	\$	8
----------------------	----	-----	----	-----	----	---

* Corporate functions include the corporate treasury, legal, financial reporting, information technology, corporate tax, corporate insurance, human resources, investor relations, and other typical centralized administrative functions.

9. Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of its consolidated financial statements. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience, actuarial valuations and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Some of those judgments can be subjective and complex, and consequently, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We must make these estimates and assumptions because certain information that we use is dependent on future events and cannot be calculated with a high degree of precision from the data currently available. Such estimates include the Company's estimates of reserves such as the allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory valuation allowances, insurance losses and loss reserves, valuation of long-lived assets, estimates of realization of income tax net operating loss carryforwards, computation of stock-based compensation, as well as valuation calculations such as the Company's goodwill impairment calculations under the provisions of SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*.

10. Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$117,000 and a benefit of \$214,000 from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Income tax (benefit) expense reflects the recording of income taxes on income at an effective rate of approximately (2)% and 36% in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The effective rate differs from the federal statutory rate for each year primarily due to state and local income taxes, non-deductible costs related to intangibles, fixed asset adjustments and changes to the valuation allowance. The income tax benefit for 2005 was reduced by \$182,000 to write-off deferred tax assets of one of the Company's subsidiaries. It is management's belief that it is unlikely that the asset will be realized. Additionally, the Company recorded income tax expense of \$118,000 related to discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Realization of the future tax benefits related to the deferred tax assets is dependent upon many factors, including the Company's ability to generate taxable income in future years. The Company performed a detailed review of the considerations influencing our ability to realize the future benefit of the net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs"), including, the extent of recently used NOLs, the turnaround of future deductible temporary differences, the duration of the NOL carryforward period, and the Company's future projection of taxable income. At September 30, 2006, the Company had a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets of \$6.0 million representing the amount of its deferred income tax assets in excess of the Company's deferred income tax liabilities. The valuation allowance, which increased \$1.9 million in the nine months ending September 30, 2006, was recorded because management was unable to conclude that realization of the net deferred income tax asset was more likely than not. This determination was the result of the Company's continued losses in its fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and the nine months ending September 30, 2006, the uncertainty of the timing of the Company's transition out of the Car Wash business, and the ultimate extent of growth in the Company's Security Segment.

11. Related Party Transactions

From November 2001 through July 2002, the Company prepaid LP Learjets, LLC \$5,109 per month for the right to use a Learjet 31A for 100 hours per year. LP Learjets, LLC is a company owned by Louis D. Paolino, Jr., the

Company's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President. When the Learjet 31A is used, the prepaid amount is reduced by the hourly usage charge as approved by the Audit Committee, and the Company pays to third parties unaffiliated with Louis D. Paolino, Jr., the direct costs of the Learjet's per-hour use, which include fuel, pilot fees, engine insurance and landing fees. The balance of unused prepaid flight fees totaled \$31,659 at both December 31, 2005 and September 30, 2006.

During the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and the nine months ending September 30, 2006, Louis D. Paolino, Jr. purchased approximately \$44,500 and \$40,800, respectively of the Company's products at a discount from the prices charged to distributors. The total of the discount given to Mr. Paolino in the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and the nine months ending September 30, 2006 was approximately \$18,200 and \$5,200, respectively.

The Company's Security Segment leases manufacturing and office space under a five-year lease with Vermont Mill, Inc. ("Vermont Mill"), which provided for monthly lease payments of \$9,167 through November 2004. Vermont Mill is controlled by Jon E. Goodrich, a former director and current employee of the Company. The Company has exercised an option to continue the lease through November 2009 at a rate of \$10,576 per month. The Company believes that the lease rate is lower than lease rates charged for similar properties in the Bennington, Vermont area. On July 22, 2002, the lease was amended to provide Mace the option and right to cancel the lease with proper notice and a payment equal to six months of the then current rent for the leased space occupied by Mace. Rent expense under this lease was \$95,000 for the nine months ending September 30, 2006 and 2005.

During the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and the first nine months ending September 30, 2006, the Company's Security Segment sold approximately \$36,000 and \$300, respectively of electronic security equipment to a company of which Louis Paolino, III, the son of the Company's CEO, Louis D. Paolino, Jr., is a partial owner. The pricing extended to this company is no more favorable than the pricing given to third party customers who purchase in similar volume. At September 30, 2006, invoices totaling \$8,800 were owed from this company to Mace.

On September 29, 2005, Louis Paolino III, the son of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Louis Paolino, Jr., purchased from the Company a warehouse bay in Hollywood, Florida that is no longer used in the Company's operations for \$306,000 in cash. The Company's Audit Committee authorized the Company on February 14, 2005 to proceed with a sale of the warehouse property to Louis Paolino III for \$306,000. The Company paid \$256,688 for the property in 2003. The warehouse property was appraised by a third party independent appraiser on January 18, 2005 at an estimated market value of \$306,000.

12. Equity

On July 29, 2004, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a Stock Buy Back Plan to purchase shares of the Company's common stock up to a maximum value of \$3.0 million. Purchases will be made in the open market if and when management decides to effect purchases. Management may elect not to make purchases or to make purchases less than \$3.0 million in amount. As of September 30, 2006, the Company did not purchase any shares on the open market.

13. Long-Term Debt, Notes Payable and Capital Lease Obligations

At September 30, 2006, we had borrowings, including capital lease obligations and borrowings related to discontinued operations, of approximately \$24.5 million, substantially all of which is secured by mortgages against certain of our real property. Of such borrowings, approximately \$10.8 million, including \$9.6 million of long-term debt included in liabilities related to assets held for sale, is reported as current as it is due or expected to be repaid in less than nine months from September 30, 2006. We have three letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2006, totaling \$1,163,000 as collateral relating to workers' compensation insurance policies. We maintain a \$500,000 revolving credit facility to provide financing for additional electronic surveillance product inventory purchases. There were no borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2006. The Company also maintains a \$600,000 line of credit for commercial letters of credit for the importation of inventory. There were no outstanding commercial letters of credit under this commitment at September 30, 2006.

Our two most significant borrowings, including borrowings related to discontinued operations, are secured notes payable to Capmark Finance Inc. ("Capmark"), the successor of General Motors Acceptance Corp. ("GMAC") in the

amount of \$9.0 million, included in current liabilities in liabilities related to assets held for sale, and secured notes payable to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") the successor of Bank One, Texas, N.A. in the amount of \$12.7 million, \$8.0 million of which was classified as non-current debt at September 30, 2006. The Capmark and Chase agreements contain affirmative and negative covenants, including the maintenance of certain levels of tangible net worth, maintenance of certain levels of unencumbered cash and marketable securities, limitations on capital spending, the maintenance of certain debt coverage ratios on a consolidated level and certain financial reporting requirements. The Chase agreement is our only debt agreement that contains an expressed prohibition on incurring additional debt for borrowed money without the approval of the lender. None of our other agreements contain such a prohibition. Our warehouse and office facility in Farmers Branch, Texas, twenty four car washes and one truck wash are encumbered by mortgages.

At September 30, 2006, we were not in compliance with our semi-annual consolidated debt coverage ratio of at least 1.25:1 related to our Capmark notes payable. The Company's debt coverage ratio related to the Capmark notes payable was .45:1 at September 30, 2006. Capmark previously granted us a waiver of acceleration related to the non-compliance with the debt coverage ratio covenant at March 31, 2006, and for measurement periods through April 1, 2007. Capmark did not grant us a further waiver of acceleration. Accordingly, as noted above, the Capmark notes payable in the amount of \$9.0 million are reported as a current liability included in liabilities related to assets held for sale. If we are not able to achieve a debt coverage ratio of at least 1.25:1 and we cannot obtain further waivers of acceleration, the Capmark notes may be accelerated and as a result our stock price may decline. The Capmark notes are secured by liens on eight Phoenix, Arizona area car washes and the guarantee of the Company.

The Company entered into amendments to the Chase term loan agreements effective September 30, 2006. The amended debt coverage ratio with Chase eliminated the Company's requirement to maintain a minimum ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization to debt service. Additionally, the Chase term loan agreement requires the Company to provide Chase with a Form 10-K and audited financial statements annually as well as internal certified financial statements and a Form 10-Q quarterly, and the maintenance of a minimum total unencumbered cash and marketable securities balance of \$5.0 million. If we are unable to satisfy these covenants, the Chase notes may be reflected as current in future balance sheets and as a result our stock price may decline.

Our ongoing ability to comply with the debt covenants under our credit arrangements and refinance our debt depends largely on our achievement of adequate levels of cash flow. Our cash flow has been and could continue to be adversely affected by weather patterns and economic conditions. In the future, if our cash flows are less than expected or debt service, including interest expense, increases more than expected, we may continue to be out of compliance with the Chase and Capmark covenants and may need to seek additional waivers or amendments.

If we default on any of the Chase or Capmark covenants and are not able to obtain further amendments or waivers of acceleration, Chase debt totaling \$12.7 million and Capmark debt totaling \$9.0 million, including debt recorded as long-term debt at September 30, 2006, could become due and payable on demand, and Chase and/or Capmark could foreclose on the assets pledged in support of the relevant indebtedness. If our assets (including up to 24 of our car wash facilities and one truck wash) are foreclosed upon, revenues from our Car and Truck Wash Segment, which comprised 57% of our total revenues for fiscal year 2005 and 55% of our total revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, would be severely impacted and we may be unable to continue to operate our business. Even if the debt were accelerated without foreclosure, it would be very difficult for us to continue to operate our business and we may go out of business.

14. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except shares and per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Numerator:				
Net loss	\$ (2,269)	\$ (1,128)	\$ (5,115)	\$ (678)
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted-average shares	15,275,382	15,271,450	15,274,201	15,271,239
Dilutive effect of options and warrants	-	-	-	-
	15,275,382	15,271,450	15,274,201	15,271,239

Denominator for diluted earnings per
share - weighted- average shares

Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.07)	\$	(0.33)	\$	(0.04)
--------------------------------------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------

The effect of options and warrants for the period in which we incurred a net loss has been excluded as it would be anti-dilutive. The dilutive effect of options and warrants excluded was 301,212 and 325,163 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and 254,628 and 292,825 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

15

15. Subsequent Events

On November 9, 2006, the Company signed an agreement to sell three full service car washes in the Philadelphia, PA area for \$4.85 million in cash. Among other items, the agreement calls for the closing to occur by January 8, 2007.

On November 10, 2006, the Company signed an agreement to sell its full service car wash in Cherry Hill, New Jersey for \$2.92 million in cash. Among other items, the agreement calls for the closing to occur by January 24, 2007.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in this Form 10-Q.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Forward-Looking Statements"). All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this report are Forward-Looking Statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such Forward-Looking Statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Generally, these statements relate to business plans or strategies, projected or anticipated benefits or other consequences of such plans or strategies, number of acquisitions, and projected or anticipated benefits from acquisitions made by or to be made by us, or projections involving anticipated revenues, earnings, levels of capital expenditures or other aspects of operating results. All phases of our operations are subject to a number of uncertainties, risks, and other influences, many of which are outside our control and any one of which, or a combination of which, could materially affect the results of our operations and whether Forward-Looking Statements made by us ultimately prove to be accurate. Such important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are disclosed in *Item 1A Risk Factors* of this report. All subsequent written and oral Forward-Looking Statements attributable to the Company or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the important factors described below that could cause actual results to differ from our expectations. The Forward-Looking Statements made herein are only made as of the date of this filing, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update such Forward-Looking Statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Company's financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. The Company's critical accounting policies are described below.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

Revenues from the Company's Car and Truck Wash Segment are recognized, net of customer coupon discounts, when services are rendered or fuel or merchandise is sold. The Company records a liability for gift certificates, ticket books, and seasonal and annual passes sold at its car care locations but not yet redeemed. The Company estimates these

unredeemed amounts based on gift certificate and ticket book sales and redemptions throughout the year, as well as utilizing historical sales and tracking of redemption rates per the car washes' point-of-sale systems. Seasonal and annual passes are amortized on a straight-line basis over the time during which the passes are valid.

Revenues from the Company's Security Segment are recognized when shipments are made or for sales when title has passed. Shipping and handling charges and costs of \$502,000 and \$344,000 in the nine months ending September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$164,000 and \$142,000, in the three months ending September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, are included in revenues, the cost of which is included in selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash, highly liquid short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less, and credit card deposits which are converted into cash within two to three business days.

Short-Term Investments

At September 30, 2006, the Company had approximately \$3.3 million of investments classified as available for sale in three funds which are stated at market value. The Company may exit one of the funds at the end of any calendar quarter with 30 days advanced written notice and the other funds may be exited with one business day's notice. In the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company realized a total gain of \$221,000. Additionally, a cumulative unrealized gain, net of tax, of approximately \$226,000 is included as a separate component of equity in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income at September 30, 2006.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, we periodically review the carrying value of our long-lived assets held and used, and assets to be disposed of, when events and circumstances warrant such a review. If significant events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable, we perform a test of recoverability by comparing the carrying value of the asset or asset group to its undiscounted expected future cash flows. Cash flow projections are sometimes based on a group of assets, rather than a single asset. If cash flows cannot be separately and independently identified for a single asset, we determine whether an impairment has occurred for the group of assets for which we can identify the projected cash flows. If the carrying values are in excess of undiscounted expected future cash flows, we measure any impairment by comparing the fair value of the asset group to its carrying value. If the fair value of an asset or asset group is determined to be less than the carrying amount of the asset or asset group, an impairment in the amount of the difference is recorded.

Asset Impairment Charge

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we wrote down assets related to a full service car wash in Fort Worth, Texas and a exterior-only car wash in Moorestown, New Jersey by approximately \$80,000. We determined that based on current data utilized to estimate the fair value of these car wash facilities, the future expected cash flows would not be sufficient to recover their respective carrying values. Additionally, during the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we wrote down assets related to our truck wash operations determined to be impaired by approximately \$966,000. We have determined that due to further reductions in truck wash volumes resulting from inclement weather, competition, increased fuel costs which had the effect of reducing spending on truck washing, along with current data utilized in estimating the fair market value of the truck wash facilities, their future expected cash flows would not be sufficient to recover their respective carrying values.

Goodwill

In accordance with SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, the Company completed annual impairment tests as of November 30, 2005, 2004, and 2003, and will be subject to an impairment test each year thereafter and whenever there is an impairment indicator. The Company's annual impairment testing corresponds with the Company's determination of its annual operating budgets for the upcoming year. The Company's valuation of goodwill is based on a discounted cash flow model applying an appropriate discount rate to future expected cash flows and management's annual review of historical data and future assessment of certain critical operating factors, including security product sales and related costs, car wash volumes, average car wash and detailing revenue rates per car, wash and detailing labor cost percentages, weather trends and recent and expected operating cost levels. Estimating cash flows requires significant judgment including factors beyond our control and our projections may vary from cash flows eventually realized. Adverse business conditions could affect recoverability of goodwill in the future and, accordingly, the Company may record additional impairments in subsequent years.

Other Intangible Assets

Other intangible assets consist primarily of deferred financing costs, customer lists, product lists, trademarks, and a registered national brand name. In accordance with SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, our trademarks and brand name are considered to have indefinite lives, and as such, are not subject to amortization. These assets are tested for impairment using discounted cash flow methodology annually and whenever there is an impairment indicator. Estimating future cash flows requires significant judgment and projections may vary from cash flows eventually realized. Several impairment indicators are beyond our control, and cannot be predicted with any certainty whether or not they will occur. Deferred financing costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective debt instruments. Customer lists, product lists, and non-compete agreements are amortized on a straight-line or accelerated basis over their respective estimated useful lives.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are determined based on the difference between the financial accounting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred income tax expense (benefit) represents the change during the period in the deferred income tax assets and deferred income tax liabilities. Deferred income tax assets include tax loss and credit carryforwards and are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has two stock-based employee compensation plans. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. In 2005, stock-based employee compensation costs were not reflected in net income, as all options granted under the plan had exercise prices equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

SFAS 123(R) replaces SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes APB Opinion 25. SFAS 123, as originally issued in 1995, established as preferable a fair-valued-based method of accounting for share-based payment transaction with employees. However, SFAS 123 permitted entities the option of continuing to apply the guidance in APB No. 25 as long as the footnotes to financial statements disclosed what net income would have been had the preferable fair-valued-based method been used. On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, which requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. We adopted SFAS 123 (R) using the modified prospective method, which results in recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards granted or modified after December 31, 2005 as well as all unvested awards outstanding at the date of adoption. The cost is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the instruments, based upon the grant date fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. The Company expects the adoption of SFAS 123(R) to result in stock compensation expense and therefore a reduction of income before income taxes in 2006 of \$1.3 million. The Company's actual stock compensation expense in 2006 could differ materially from this estimate depending on the timing, magnitude and vesting of new awards, the number of new awards and changes in the market price or the volatility of the Company's common stock.

Supplementary Cash Flow Information

Interest paid on all indebtedness was approximately \$1.5 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. Income taxes paid were \$188,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$91,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in income taxes paid in 2006 is a result of timing of payments.

Introduction

Revenues

Car and Truck Wash Services

We own full service, exterior only and self-service car wash locations in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Texas, Florida and Arizona, as well as truck washes in Arizona, Indiana, Ohio and Texas. We earn revenues from washing and detailing automobiles; performing oil and lubrication services, minor auto repairs, and state inspections; selling fuel; and selling merchandise through convenience stores within the car wash facilities. Revenues generated for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 for the Car and Truck Wash Segment were comprised of approximately 76% car wash and detailing, 12% lube and other automotive services, and 12% fuel and merchandise. Additionally, our Arizona region is being reported as discontinued operations (see Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) and, accordingly, has been segregated from the following revenue and expense discussion. Revenues from

discontinued operations were \$2.4 million and \$2.5 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$8.4 million and \$7.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Operating income from discontinued operations was \$63,000 and \$247,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$861,000 and \$977,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company executed a lease-to-sell agreement on December 31, 2005 with Eagle United Truck Wash, LLC (“Eagle”) to lease Mace’s five truck washes beginning January 1, 2006 for up to two years. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Eagle must pay Mace \$9,000 per month to lease the Company’s truck washes, and is responsible for all underlying property expenses. Within the next two years, Eagle is obligated under the agreement to purchase the truck washes and be delivered title to the assets for \$1.2 million consideration, consisting of \$280,000 cash and a \$920,000 note payable to Mace secured by mortgages on the truck washes. When issued, the \$920,000 note will have a five-year term, with principal and interest paid on a 15-year amortization schedule. If Eagle does not fulfill its obligation to purchase the truck washes, the Company will regain possession of the truck washes and Eagle will be obligated to pay \$200,000 as liquidated damages. As a result, we do not recognize revenue or operating expenses during the term of the lease other than rental income, depreciation expense and interest expense.

The majority of revenues are collected in the form of cash or credit card receipts, thus minimizing customer accounts receivable.

Weather has had a significant impact on volume and revenue at individual locations. We believe that the geographic diversity of our operating locations in different regions of the country helps mitigate the risk of adverse weather-related influence on our volume.

Security

Our Security Segment designs, manufactures, markets and sells a wide range of products. The Company's primary focus in the Security Segment is the design of electronic video surveillance products and components that it produces and sells, primarily to installing dealers, system integrators and end users. Other products in our Security Segment include, but are not limited to, less-than-lethal defense sprays, personal alarms, biometric locks, high-end digital and fiber optic cameras and monitors. The main marketing channels for our products are industry trade shows and publications, outside sales representatives, catalogs, internet and sales through a call center. Revenues generated for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 for the Security Segment were comprised of approximately 39% from our professional electronic surveillance operation in Florida, 47% from our consumer direct electronic surveillance and high end fiber optic camera operation in Texas, and 14% from our personal defense and law enforcement aerosol operation in Vermont.

Cost of Revenues

Car and Truck Wash Services

Cost of revenues within the Car and Truck Wash Segment consists primarily of direct labor and related taxes and fringe benefits, certain insurance costs, chemicals, wash and detailing supplies, rent, real estate taxes, utilities, car damages, maintenance and repairs of equipment and facilities, as well as the cost of the fuel and merchandise sold.

Security

Cost of revenues within the Security Segment consists primarily of costs to purchase or manufacture the security products including direct labor and related taxes and fringe benefits, and raw material costs. Product warranty costs related to the Security Segment are mitigated in that a significant portion of customer product defect claims are reimbursed by the supplier.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses consist primarily of management, clerical and administrative salaries, professional services, insurance premiums, sales commissions, and other costs relating to marketing and sales.

We capitalize direct incremental costs associated with business acquisitions. Indirect acquisition costs, such as executive salaries, corporate overhead, public relations, and other corporate services and overhead are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization consists primarily of depreciation of buildings and equipment, and amortization of leasehold improvements and certain intangible assets. Buildings and equipment are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the assets using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of

their useful lives or the lease term with renewal options. Intangible assets, other than goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, are amortized over their useful lives ranging from three to fifteen years, using the straight-line method or an accelerated method.

Other Income

Other income consists primarily of rental income received on renting out excess space at our car wash facilities and includes gains and losses on the sale of property and equipment and gains and losses on short-term investments.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense is derived from tax provisions for interim periods that are based on the Company's estimated annual effective rate. Currently, the effective rate differs from the federal statutory rate primarily due to state and local income taxes, non-deductible costs related to acquired intangibles, fixed asset adjustments and changes to the valuation allowance.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents were approximately \$5.2 million at September 30, 2006. The ratio of our total debt to total capitalization, which consists of total debt plus stockholders' equity, was 29.8% at September 30, 2006, and 30.2% at December 31, 2005.

Our business requires a substantial amount of capital, most notably to pursue our expansion strategies, including our current expansion in the Security Segment, and for equipment purchases and upgrades for our Car and Truck Wash Segment. We plan to meet these capital needs from various financing sources, including borrowings, internally generated funds, and the issuance of common stock if the market price of the Company's stock is at a desirable level.

As of September 30, 2006, we had working capital of approximately \$21.5 million. At December 31, 2005, working capital was approximately \$14.6 million. Our positive working capital increased by approximately \$6.9 million from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 principally due to the reclassification of assets and liabilities of our Arizona region and Norristown, PA site at September 30, 2006 as assets, and related liabilities, held for sale.

During the nine month periods ending September 30, 2006 and 2005, we made capital expenditures within our Car and Truck Wash Segment of \$723,000 and \$719,000, respectively, including \$247,000 and \$265,000 of capital expenditures related to discontinued operations, respectively. We estimate aggregate capital expenditures for our Car and Truck Wash Segment, exclusive of acquisitions of businesses, of approximately \$100,000 for the remainder of the year ending December 31, 2006. In years subsequent to 2006, we estimate that our Car and Truck Wash Segment will require annual capital expenditures of \$650,000 to \$800,000. Capital expenditures within our Car and Truck Wash Segment are necessary to maintain the efficiency and competitiveness of our sites.

Capital expenditures for our Security Segment were \$201,000 and \$230,000 for the nine month periods ending September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We estimate capital expenditures for the Security Segment at approximately \$25,000 for the remainder of 2006.

We intend to continue to expend significant cash for the purchase of inventory as we grow and introduce new video surveillance products in 2006 and in years subsequent to 2006. We anticipate that inventory purchases will be funded from cash collected from sales and working capital. At September 30, 2006, we maintained an unused \$500,000 revolving credit facility with Chase to provide financing for additional video surveillance product inventory purchases. The amount of capital that we will spend for the remainder of 2006 and in years subsequent to 2006 is largely dependent on the marketing success we achieve with our video surveillance systems and components.

On March 13, 2006, the Company learned that the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is conducting a criminal investigation regarding the alleged hiring of undocumented workers at the Company's car washes. From March 13, 2006 through September 30, 2006, the Company incurred approximately \$755,000 in legal, consulting and accounting expenses associated with the Audit Committee investigations and \$595,000 associated with the governmental investigation and the Company's defense and negotiations with the government. Total legal, consulting and accounting expenses associated with these investigations in the nine months ending September 30,

2006 were approximately \$1.34 million. In accordance with the Company's By-Laws, the Company is obligated to indemnify and advance legal costs for its officers and directors. Due to the ongoing nature of the criminal investigation, it is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of the investigation or the impact of costs of ultimately resolving this matter. However, we believe that additional legal and other costs and expenses through the remainder of 2006 and in years subsequent to 2006 may be significant as we work to resolve the criminal investigation. In addition, we may be required to make substantial payments for fines, penalties or settlements in connection with the resolution of alleged violations of laws. Any such expenses or payments could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and capital resources.

Despite our recent operating losses, we believe our cash and short-term investment balance of approximately \$8.5 million at September 30, 2006, cash flow from operating activities, cash provided from the sale of assets, and the revolving credit facility will be sufficient to meet its car wash and security operations capital expenditure and operating funding needs through at least the next twelve months and provide for growth in 2007. In December 2004, the Company announced that it was exploring the sale of its car and truck washes. Since December 2005, we sold three car washes with total cash proceeds generated of approximately \$2.72 million, net of pay off of related mortgage debt. In October 2006, the Company announced that management determined that better value for the car washes can be obtained by individual car wash site sales or sale of car washes by operating region. We believe we will be successful in selling additional car washes and generating cash for funding of current operating needs and expansion of our Security Segment. If the cash provided from operating activities does not improve in the remainder of 2006 and in future years and if current cash balances are depleted, we will need to raise additional capital to meet these ongoing capital requirements.

In the past, we have been successful in raising capital by selling common stock, obtaining mortgage loans and selling car wash properties. Our ability to raise additional capital can be adversely impacted by our stock price. Our failure to maintain the required current debt service coverage ratios on existing loans also adversely impacts our ability to raise additional capital. We are reluctant to sell common stock at market prices below our per share book value. For the twelve month period ended September 30, 2006 we were in default on certain of our debt covenants with Capmark. We obtained waivers through April 1, 2007 from Capmark. Our ability to raise additional capital will be limited if our stock price is not above our per share book value and our cash from operating activities does not improve. Currently, we cannot incur additional long-term debt without the approval of one of our commercial lenders. The Company must demonstrate that the cash flow benefit from the use of new loan proceeds exceeds the resulting future debt service requirements.

Debt Capitalization and Other Financing Arrangements

At September 30, 2006, we had borrowings, including capital lease obligations and discontinued operations, of approximately \$24.5 million. We had three letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2006, totaling \$1,163,000 as collateral relating to workers' compensation insurance policies. We maintain a \$500,000 revolving credit facility to provide financing for additional video surveillance product inventory purchases. There were no borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2006. The Company also maintains a \$600,000 line of credit for commercial letters of credit for the importation of inventory. There were no outstanding commercial letters of credit under this commitment at September 30, 2006.

Several of our debt agreements, as amended, contain certain affirmative and negative covenants and require the maintenance of certain levels of tangible require net worth, require the maintenance of certain unencumbered cash and marketable securities balances, contain limitations on capital spending and require the maintenance of certain debt service coverage ratios on a consolidated level.

At September 30, 2006, we were not in compliance with our semi-annual consolidated debt coverage ratio of at least 1.25:1 related to our Capmark notes payable. The Company's debt coverage ratio related to the Capmark notes payable was .45:1 at September 30, 2006. Capmark previously granted us a waiver of acceleration related to the non-compliance with the debt coverage ratio covenant at March 31, 2006, and for measurement periods through April 1, 2007. Capmark did not grant us a further waiver of acceleration. Accordingly, as noted above, the Capmark notes payable in the amount of \$9.0 million are reported as a current liability included in liabilities related to assets held for sale. We intend to pay off this debt with proceeds from the sale of the Arizona car washes. If we are not able to achieve a debt coverage ratio of at least 1.25:1, and we cannot obtain further waivers of acceleration, the Capmark notes may be accelerated and as a result our stock price may decline.

The Company entered into amendments to the Chase term loan agreements effective September 30, 2006. The amended debt coverage ratio with Chase eliminated the Company's requirement to maintain a ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization to debt service. Additionally, the Chase term loan agreement requires the Company to provide Chase with a Form 10-K and audited financial statements annually as well as internal certified financial statements and a Form 10-Q quarterly, and the maintenance of a minimum total unencumbered cash and marketable securities balance of \$5 million. If we are unable to satisfy these covenants and we cannot obtain further waivers, the Chase notes may be reflected as current in future balance sheets and as a result our stock price may decline.

The Company's ongoing ability to comply with its debt covenants under its credit arrangements and refinance its debt depends largely on the achievement of adequate levels of cash flow. If our future cash flows are less than expected or our debt service, including interest expense, increases more than expected causing us to further default on any of the Chase covenants or the Capmark covenant in the future, the Company will need to obtain further amendments or waivers from these lenders. Our cash flow has been and could continue to be adversely affected by weather patterns, economic conditions, and the requirements to fund the growth of our security business. In the event that non-compliance with the debt covenants should continue to occur, the Company would pursue various alternatives to attempt to successfully resolve the non-compliance, which might include, among other things, seeking additional debt covenant waivers or amendments, or refinancing debt with other financial institutions. If the Company is unable to obtain waivers or amendments in the future, Chase debt currently totaling \$12.7 million and Capmark debt currently totaling \$9.0 million, including debt recorded as long-term debt at September 30, 2006, would become payable on demand by the financial institutions upon expiration of their respective current waivers. There can be no assurance that further debt covenant waivers or amendments would be obtained or that the debt would be refinanced with other financial institutions at favorable terms. If we are unable to obtain renewals on maturing loans or refinancing of loans on favorable terms, our ability to operate would be materially and adversely affected.

The Company is obligated under various operating leases, primarily for certain equipment and real estate within the Car and Truck Wash Segment. Certain of these leases contain purchase options, renewal provisions, and contingent rentals for our proportionate share of taxes, utilities, insurance and annual cost of living increases.

The following are summaries of our contractual obligations and other commercial commitments at September 30, 2006, including discontinued operations (in thousands):

<u>Contractual Obligations</u> (1)	Total	Payments Due By Period			
		Less than One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More Than Five Years
Long-term debt (2)	\$ 24,474	\$ 10,753	\$ 11,110	\$ 1,002	\$ 1,609
Capital leases (2)	45	45	-	-	-
Minimum operating lease payments	5,112	899	1,358	855	2,000
	\$ 29,631	\$ 11,697	\$ 12,468	\$ 1,857	\$ 3,609

<u>Other Commercial Commitments</u>	Total	Amounts Expiring Per Period			
		Less Than One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More Than Five Years
Line of credit (3)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Standby letters of credit (4)	1,163	1,163	-	-	-
	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,163	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

(1) Potential amounts for inventory ordered under purchase orders are not reflected in the amounts above as they are typically cancelable prior to delivery and, if purchased, would be sold within the normal business cycle.

(2) Related interest obligations have been excluded from this maturity schedule. Our interest payments for the next twelve month period, based on current market rates, are expected to be approximately \$2.0 million.

(3) The Company maintains a \$500,000 line of credit with Chase. There were no borrowings outstanding under this line of credit at September 30, 2006.

(4) The Company also maintains a \$600,000 line of credit for commercial letters of credit with Chase for the importation of inventory. There were no outstanding commercial letters of credit under this commitment at September 30, 2006. Outstanding letters of credit of \$1,163,000 represent collateral for workers' compensation insurance policies.

Mace currently employs Louis D. Paolino, Jr. as its President and Chief Executive Officer under a three-year employment agreement dated August 21, 2006. The principal terms of the employment agreement include: an annual salary of \$450,000; three annual stock option grants fully vested on the date of each grant; certain bonus payments for the sale or purchase of businesses; a car at a lease cost of \$1,500 per month; provision for certain medical and other employee benefits; and prohibition against competing with Mace during employment and for a three-month period following a termination of employment. Mr. Paolino's employment agreement also provides for a payment of 2.99 times Mr. Paolino's average total compensation (base salary plus any bonuses plus the value of any option award, valued using the Black-Scholes method) over the past five years, upon termination of employment under certain conditions or upon a change in control. Additionally, if Mr. Paolino receives the change of control bonus, his employment agreement can then be terminated without an additional payment. Of the three stock options grants provided for in Mr. Paolino's employment agreement, the first grant, exercisable into 450,000 shares of the Company's common stock, was made on August 21, 2006. The second grant will be made on or about August 27, 2007 and the third grant will be made on or about August 21, 2008. Because the grants are fully vested on the date of grant, they do not provide an incentive against Mr. Paolino resigning his employment.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities. Net cash used in operating activities totaled \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Cash used in operating activities in 2006 was primarily due to a net loss from continuing operations of \$5.4 million offset partially by \$1.2 million in non-cash stock based compensation charges and \$1.1 million of cash provided by discontinued operations. Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$394,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Cash provided by operating activities in 2005 was primarily due to positive operating results, exclusive of two non-cash asset impairment charges.

Investing Activities. Cash provided by investing activities totaled approximately \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, which includes capital expenditures of \$476,000 related to ongoing car wash operations, \$201,000 for Security Segment operations, and \$247,000 for discontinued operations and also includes proceeds of approximately \$1.0 million from the sale of our Deptford, New Jersey site and proceeds of approximately \$1.85 million from the sale of a Dallas, Texas car wash site. Cash used in investing activities totaled \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, which includes the purchase of short-term investments of \$2.6 million, capital expenditures of \$452,000 related to ongoing car wash operations, \$225,000 for the Security Segment, proceeds of \$687,000 from the sale of two unused warehouse bays in Florida and \$265,000 for discontinued operations.

Financing Activities. Cash used in financing activities was approximately \$2.2 million from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, which includes \$0.9 million of routine principal payments on debt, \$740,000 of routine principal payments on debt related to discontinued operations, and \$547,000 cash used to payoff debt relating to the Dallas, Texas car wash site sold in the third quarter of 2006. Cash used in financing activities was \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, which includes routine principal payments on debt of \$1.0 million from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, \$716,000 from discontinued operations, and \$338,000 of cash used to payoff debt utilizing proceeds from the sale of a warehouse bay in Florida.

**Results of Operations for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006
Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005**

The following table presents the percentage each item in the consolidated statements of operations bears to total revenues:

	Nine Months Ended	
	2006	September 30, 2005
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues	76.9	73.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses	33.3	22.9
Depreciation and amortization	3.5	3.1
Asset impairment charge	0.2	2.2
Operating loss	(13.9)	(1.6)
Interest expense, net	(1.8)	(1.5)
Other income	2.4	0.6
Income from operating agreement	0.2	-
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(13.1)	(2.5)
Income tax expense (benefit)	0.3	(0.5)
Loss from continuing operations	(13.4)	(2.0)
Income from discontinued operations	0.7	0.5
Net loss	(12.7)%	(1.5)%

Revenues

Car and Truck Wash Services

Revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$22.1 million as compared to \$24.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of \$2.8 million or 11%. This decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in wash and detail services. Of the \$22.1 million of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, \$16.8 million or 76% was generated from car wash and detailing, \$2.6 million or 12% from lube and other automotive services, and \$2.7 million or 12% from fuel and merchandise sales. Of the \$24.9 million of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, \$19.3 million or 78% was generated from car wash and detailing, \$2.4 million or 9% from lube and other automotive services, and \$3.2 million or 13% from fuel and merchandise sales. The decrease in wash and detail revenues in 2006 was principally due to the truck wash operation being leased to a third party effective January 1, 2006 and a decrease in car wash volumes most notably in our East region. In the first nine months of 2006, the Company earned \$81,000 under the truck wash lease. In the first nine months of 2005, the truck washes generated \$1.1 million in revenue. Overall car wash volumes declined 107,000 cars or 9.1% in the first nine months of 2006 as compared to the first nine months of 2005, 4.2% excluding the impact of car wash volume reduction of 60,000 cars from the closure and divestiture of three of our car wash locations since December, 2005. Partially offsetting this decline in volume, the Company experienced an increase in average wash and detailing revenue per car to \$15.68 in the first nine months of 2006, from \$15.45 in the same period in 2005. This increase in average wash and detailing revenue per car was the result of management's continued focus on aggressively selling detailing and additional on-line car wash services.

Security

Revenues were approximately \$18.2 million and \$19.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Of the \$18.2 million of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, \$7.2 million, or 39%, was generated from our professional electronic surveillance operation in Florida, \$8.5 million, or 47%, from our consumer direct electronic surveillance and high end fiber optic camera operation in Texas, and \$2.5 million, or 14%, from personal defense and law enforcement aerosol operations in Vermont. Of the \$19.3 million of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, \$6.6 million, or 34%, was generated from our professional electronic surveillance operation in Florida, \$10.1 million, or 52%, from our consumer direct electronic surveillance and high end fiber optic camera operation in Texas, and \$2.6 million, or 14%, from our personal defense and law enforcement aerosol operation in Vermont. The decrease in revenues within the Security Segment was due principally to a decrease in our high end fiber optic camera sales and to a lesser extent in our consumer direct electronic surveillance and retail sales in our Texas operations. This decrease was partially offset by Securetek operations, which we acquired in November of 2005 and provided approximately \$1.25 million of revenue in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Cost of Revenues

Car and Truck Wash Services

Cost of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$18.0 million, or 81% of revenues, with car washing and detailing costs at 80% of respective revenues, lube and other automotive services costs at 79% of respective revenues, and fuel and merchandise costs at 90% of respective revenues. Cost of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were \$18.8 million, or 76% of revenues, with car washing and detailing costs at 73% of respective revenues, lube and other automotive services costs at 76% of respective revenues, and fuel and merchandise costs at 88% of respective revenues. This increase in car washing and detailing costs as a percent of revenues in 2006 was a result of an increase in labor as a percent of car wash and detailing revenues from 48.4% in 2005 to 52.2% in 2006 combined with an increase in workers compensation and car damage claims, utility costs, and wash and detail chemicals and supplies.

Security

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 cost of revenues were \$13.0 million or 72% of revenues as compared to \$13.7 million or 71% of revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues is due to a change in customer and product mix and increased costs of adding additional technical and warehouse staff to address customer needs as we continue to grow in this segment.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$13.4 million compared to \$10.1 million for the same period in 2005. SG&A expenses as a percent of revenues were 33.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to 22.9% in the same period of 2005. The increase in SG&A costs is primarily the result of growth in infrastructure and an increase in marketing and advertising costs within the Security Segment, which added an additional \$521,000 of SG&A costs in 2006; an increase of \$79,000 in advertising costs in the car and truck wash services segment; \$1.2 million related to non-cash charges to compensation expense for stock-based compensation under SFAS 123(R); and \$1.34 million of legal, consulting and accounting fees relating to the ongoing immigration investigation.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization totaled \$1.4 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Asset Impairment Charge

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we wrote down assets related to a full service car wash in Fort Worth, Texas and a exterior only car wash in Moorestown, New Jersey by approximately \$80,000. We determined that based on current data utilized to estimate the fair value of these car wash facilities, the future expected cash flows would not be sufficient to recover their respective carrying values. Additionally, during the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we wrote down assets related to our truck wash operations determined to be impaired by approximately \$966,000. We have determined that due to further reductions in truck wash volumes resulting from inclement weather, competition, increased fuel costs which had the effect of reducing spending on truck washing, along with current data utilized in estimating the fair market value of the truck wash facilities, their future expected cash flows would not be sufficient to recover their respective carrying values.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net of interest income, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$710,000 compared to \$648,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This increase in interest expense was the result of an increase in interest rates on approximately 58% of our long term debt which has interest rates tied to the prime rate.

Other Income

Other income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$985,000 compared to \$277,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The 2006 other income includes a \$202,000 gain on the sale of our Deptford, New Jersey car wash site and a \$461,000 gain on the sale of a Dallas, Texas car wash site.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded tax expense of \$117,000 and a tax benefit of \$214,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Tax expense (benefit) reflects the recording of income taxes at an effective rate of approximately (2)% and 36% in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The effective rate differs from the federal statutory rate

for each year primarily due to state and local income taxes, non-deductible costs related to intangibles, fixed asset adjustments and changes to the valuation allowance. The income tax benefit for 2005 was reduced by \$182,000 to write-off deferred tax assets of one of the Company's subsidiaries. It is management's belief that it is unlikely that the value of this asset will be realized.

Realization of the future tax benefits related to the deferred tax assets is dependent upon many factors, including the Company's ability to generate taxable income in future years. The Company performed a detailed review of the considerations influencing our ability to realize the future benefit of the net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs"), including the extent of recently used NOLs, the turnaround of future deductible temporary differences, the duration of the NOL carryforward period, and the Company's future projection of taxable income. At September 30, 2006, the Company had a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets of \$6.0 million representing the amount of its deferred income tax assets in excess of the Company's deferred income tax liabilities. The valuation allowance, which increased \$1.9 million in the nine months ending September 30, 2006, was recorded because management was unable to conclude that realization of the net deferred income tax asset was more likely than not. This determination was the result of the Company's continued losses in its fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and the nine months ending September 30, 2006, the uncertainty of the timing of the Company's transition from the Car Wash business, and the ultimate extent of growth in the Company's Security Segment.

**Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006
Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2005**

Revenues

Car and Truck Wash Services

Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were \$6.7 million as compared to \$7.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of \$1.2 million or 15%. This decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in wash and detail services. Of the \$6.7 million of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006, \$5.0 million or 74% was generated from car wash and detailing, \$907,000 or 14% from lube and other automotive services, and \$826,000 or 12% from fuel and merchandise sales. Of the \$7.9 million of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2005, \$5.9 million or 75% was generated from car wash and detailing, \$0.8 million or 10% from lube and other automotive services, and \$1.2 million or 15% from fuel and merchandise sales. The decrease in wash and detail revenues in 2006 was principally due to the truck wash operation being leased to a third party effective January 1, 2006 and a decrease in car wash volumes most notably in our East region and our Florida region. In the third quarter of 2006, the Company earned \$27,000 under the truck wash lease. In the third quarter of 2005, the truck washes generated \$289,000 in revenue. Overall car wash volumes declined by 38,000 cars, or 10.9%, in the third quarter of 2006 as compared to the third quarter of 2005, 5.5% excluding the impact of our wash volume reductions of 20,000 cars from the closure and divestiture of three of our car wash locations since December, 2005. Partially offsetting this decline in volume, the Company experienced an increase in average wash and detailing revenue per car to \$16.21 in the third quarter of 2006 from \$16.13 in the same period in 2005.

Security

Revenues within the Security Segment were approximately \$5.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. Of the \$5.7 million of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006, \$2.0 million, or 35%, was generated from our professional electronic surveillance operation in Florida, \$2.7 million, or 47%, from our consumer direct electronic surveillance and high end fiber optic camera operation in Texas, and \$1.0 million, or 18%, from personal defense and law enforcement aerosol operations. Of the \$5.7 million of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2005, \$1.9 million, or 33%, was generated from our professional electronic surveillance operation in Florida, \$2.9 million, or 51%, from our high end fiber optic camera operation in Texas, and \$0.9 million, or 16%, from our personal defense and law enforcement aerosol operation. The change in revenues within the Security Segment was due principally due to a decrease in our consumer direct electronic surveillance and high end fiber optic camera sales, partially offset by Securetek operations, which we acquired in November of 2005 and provided approximately \$370,000 of revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2006. Additionally, our professional electronic surveillance operation in Florida experience higher than historic product returns due to increase sales to distributors and technical issues related to certain new product offerings.

Cost of Revenues

Car and Truck Wash Services

Cost of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were \$5.7 million, or 85% of revenues, with car washing and detailing costs at 83% of respective revenues, lube and other automotive services costs at 86% of respective revenues, and fuel and merchandise costs at 93% of respective revenues. Cost of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2005 were \$6.3 million, or 80% of revenues, with car washing and detailing costs at 78% of respective revenues, lube and other automotive services costs at 75% of respective revenues, and fuel and merchandise costs at 89% of respective revenues. This increase in car washing and detailing costs as a percent of revenues in 2006 was the result of an increase in cost of labor as a percent of car wash and detailing revenues from 52.1% in 2005 to 55.8% in 2006 combined with an increase in workers compensation and car damage claims, utility

costs, and wash and detail chemicals and supplies.

27

Security

During the three months ended September 30, 2006 cost of revenues were \$4.1 million or 71% of revenues as compared to \$4.0 million or 70% of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The slight increase in cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues is due to a change in customer and product mix and increased costs of adding additional technical and warehouse staff to address customer needs as we continue to grow in this segment.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were \$4.7 million compared to \$3.3 million for the same period in 2005. SG&A expenses as a percent of revenues were 37.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to 24.2% in the third quarter of 2005. The increase in SG&A costs is primarily the result of the growth in infrastructure and an increase in marketing and advertising costs within the Security Segment which added an additional \$234,000 of SG&A costs in 2006; an increase in advertising costs in the car and truck wash services segment; \$781,000 related to non-cash charges to compensation expense for share-based compensation under SFAS 123(R); and \$245,000 of legal, consulting and accounting fees relating to the ongoing immigration investigation.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization totaled \$466,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to \$463,000 for the same period in 2005.

Asset Impairment Charge

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we wrote down assets related to a full service car wash in Fort Worth, Texas and a exterior only car wash in Moorestown, New Jersey by approximately \$80,000. We determined that based on current data utilized to estimate the fair value of these car wash facilities, the future expected cash flows would not be sufficient to recover their respective carrying values. Additionally, during the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we wrote down assets related to our truck wash operations determined to be impaired by approximately \$966,000. We have determined that due to further reductions in truck wash volumes resulting from inclement weather, competition, increased fuel costs which had the effect of reducing spending on truck washing, along with current data utilized in estimating the fair market value of the truck wash facilities, their future expected cash flows would not be sufficient to recover their respective carrying values.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net of interest income, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$200,000 compared to \$216,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Other Income

Other income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$612,000 compared to \$89,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The 2006 other income includes a \$461,000 gain on the sale of a Dallas, Texas car wash site.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded tax expense of \$39,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and a tax benefit of \$363,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Tax expense (benefit) reflects the recording of income taxes at an effective rate of approximately (2)% in 2006 and 36% in 2005. The effective rate differs from the federal

statutory rate for each year primarily due to state and local income taxes, non-deductible costs related to intangibles, fixed asset adjustments and changes to the valuation allowance. The income tax benefit for 2005 was reduced by \$182,000 to write-off deferred tax assets of one of the Company's subsidiaries. It is management's belief that it is unlikely that the value of this asset will be realized.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has been no material change in our exposure to market risks arising from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices or market interest rates since December 31, 2005 as reported on our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Approximately 37% of our debt is at fixed rates, and as such, changes in market interest rates would not significantly impact operating results unless and until such debt would need to be refinanced at maturity. Substantially all of our variable rate debt obligations are tied to the prime rate, as is our incremental borrowing rate. A one percent increase in the prime and Libor rates would not have a material effect on the fair value of our variable rate debt at September 30, 2006 and would have had the impact of increasing interest expense by approximately \$93,000 for the twelve months ended September 30, 2006.

On October 14, 2004, we entered into an interest rate cap that effectively changes our interest rate exposure on approximately \$7 million of our variable rate debt. The variable rate debt floats at prime plus .25% (8.5% at September 30, 2006). The hedge contract has a 36-month term and caps the interest rate on the \$7 million of variable rate debt at a cap rate of 6.5%. The derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge and, accordingly, is marked to market with gains and losses on the contract reported as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and is classified into earnings in the earlier of (i) the period the hedged transaction affects earnings, or (ii) the termination of the hedge contract. At September 30, 2006 the contract, which was originally purchased for \$124,000, is included in other assets at its fair market value of approximately \$106,000.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures and concluded that (i) our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2006, and (ii) no change in internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2006, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such internal control over financial reporting except as noted below.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Remediation Actions

As we previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, in March 2006, we began the remediation of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting relating to the hiring and termination policies and procedures for car wash field personnel through: (i) the hiring of a Human Resource Manager to focus on training, monitoring, and enforcement of field hiring and termination policies and procedures; (ii) enhancements to current regional management monitoring and testing for adherence to field policies and control procedures by field car wash management; (iii) the addition of certain corporate level quarterly testing and monitoring procedures of regional and field car wash management for adherence to policies and procedures; and (iv) enhancements to car wash personnel files maintenance procedures. We believe that we have completed implementation of the appropriate remediation measures as of June 30, 2006.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information regarding our legal proceedings can be found in Note 7 *Commitments and Contingencies*.

Item 1A. Risks Factors

Risks Related to Our Business

If we do not raise additional capital, we may need to substantially reduce the scale of our operations and curtail our business plan.

Our business plan involves growing our Security Segment through acquisitions and internal development, and divesting of our car washes through sales. The growth of our Security Segment requires significant capital that we hope to partially fund through the sale of our car washes. Our capital requirements also include working capital for daily operations and significant capital for equipment purchases. Although we had positive working capital of \$21.5 million as of September 30, 2006, we have a history of net losses and in some years we have ended our fiscal year with a negative working capital balance. Our positive working capital increased by \$6.9 million from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 principally due to the reclassification of assets and liabilities of our Arizona region and

Norristown site at September 30, 2006 as assets, and related liabilities, held for sale. To the extent that we lack cash to meet our future capital needs, we will need to raise additional funds through bank borrowings and significant additional equity and/or debt financings, which may result in significant increases in leverage and interest expense and/or substantial dilution of our outstanding equity. If we are unable to raise additional capital, we may need to substantially reduce the scale of our operations and curtail our business plan. Although we believe we can generate cash from the sale of our car washes, there is no guarantee that we will be able to sell our car washes in time to meet our cash needs.

If we are not able to manage growth, our business plan may not be realized.

Our business objectives include developing our Security Segment, both internally and through acquisitions, if we can do so under advantageous terms. As such, our business plan is predicated on growth. If we succeed in growing, it will place significant burdens on our management and on our operational and other resources. For example, it may be difficult to assimilate the operations and personnel of an acquired business into our existing business; we must integrate management information and accounting systems of an acquired business into our current systems; our management must devote its attention to assimilating the acquired business, which diverts attention from other business concerns; we may enter markets in which we have limited prior experience; and we may lose key employees of an acquired business. We will also need to attract, train, motivate, retain, and supervise senior managers and other employees. If we fail to manage these burdens successfully, one or more of the acquisitions could be unprofitable, the shift of our management's focus could harm our other businesses, and we may be forced to abandon our business plan, which relies on growth.

If we violate the financial covenants with our lenders, our borrowings may be accelerated.

Our bank debt borrowings as of September 30, 2006 were \$24.5 million, including capital lease obligations and borrowings related to discontinued operations, substantially all of which is secured by mortgages against certain of our real property. Of such borrowings, \$10.8 million, including \$9.6 million of long-term debt included in liabilities related to assets held for sale, is classified as current as it is due or expected to be paid in less than 12 months from September 30, 2006. Our two most significant borrowings are secured notes payable to Capmark Finance Inc. ("Capmark") in the amount of \$9.0 million, and secured notes payable to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") in the amount of \$12.7 million, \$8.0 million of which was classified as non-current debt at September 30, 2006. The Capmark and Chase agreements contain affirmative and negative covenants, including the maintenance of certain levels of tangible net worth, maintenance of certain levels of unencumbered cash and marketable securities, limitations on capital spending, the maintenance of certain debt coverage ratios on a consolidated level and certain financial reporting requirements. The Chase agreement is our only debt agreement that contains an expressed prohibition on incurring additional debt for borrowed money without the approval of the lender. None of our other agreements contain such a prohibition. Our warehouse and office facility in Farmers Branch, Texas, twenty four car washes and one truck wash are encumbered by mortgages. At September 30, 2006, we were not in compliance with our semi-annual consolidated debt coverage ratio of at least 1.25:1 related to our Capmark notes payable. The Company's debt coverage ratio related to the Capmark notes payable was .45:1 at September 30, 2006. Capmark previously granted us a waiver of acceleration related to the non-compliance with the debt coverage ratio covenant at March 31, 2006, and for measurement periods through April 1, 2007. Capmark did not grant us a further waiver of acceleration. Accordingly, as noted above, the Capmark notes payable in the amount of \$9.0 million are reported as a current liability included in liabilities related to assets held for sale. If we are not able to achieve a debt coverage ratio of at least 1.25:1, and we cannot obtain further waivers of acceleration, the Capmark notes may be accelerated and as a result our stock price may decline.

The Company entered into amendments to the Chase term loan agreements effective September 30, 2006. The amended debt coverage ratio with Chase eliminated the Company's requirement to maintain a ratio of consolidated earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization to debt service. Additionally, the Chase term loan agreement requires the Company to provide Chase with a Form 10-K and audited financial statements annually as well as internal certified financial statements and a Form 10-Q quarterly, and the maintenance of a minimum total unencumbered cash and marketable securities balance of \$5.0 million. If we are unable to satisfy these covenants and we cannot obtain further waivers, the Chase notes may be reflected as current in future balance sheets and as a result our stock price may decline.

Our ongoing ability to comply with the debt covenants under our credit arrangements and refinance our debt depends largely on our achievement of adequate levels of cash flow. Our cash flow has been and could continue to be adversely affected by the expenses of the criminal investigation, weather patterns and economic conditions. In the

future, if our cash flows are less than expected or debt service, including interest expense, increases more than expected, we may continue to be out of compliance with the Chase and Capmark covenants and need to seek additional waivers or amendments.

If we default on any of the Chase or Capmark covenants and are not able to obtain further amendments or waivers of acceleration, Chase debt totaling \$12.7 million and Capmark debt totaling \$9.0 million, including debt recorded as long-term debt at September 30, 2006, could become due and payable on demand, and Chase and/or Capmark could foreclose on the assets pledged in support of the relevant indebtedness. If our assets (including up to 24 of our car wash facilities and one truck wash) are foreclosed upon, revenues from our Car and Truck Wash Segment, which comprised 57% of our total revenues for fiscal year 2005 and 55% of our total revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, would be severely impacted and we may be unable to continue to operate our business. Even if the debt were accelerated without foreclosure, it would be very difficult for us to continue to operate our business and we may go out of business.

We have reported net losses in the past. If we continue to report net losses, the price of our common stock may decline, or we could go out of business.

We reported net losses for the year ended December 31, 2005 and the nine months ended September 30, 2006, and we reported negative cash flow from operating activities of \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Although a substantial portion of the reported losses in recent years related to non-cash impairment charges of intangible assets under SFAS 142 and non-cash compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) in the current year, we may continue to report net losses in the future. Additionally, SFAS 142 requires annual fair value based impairment tests of goodwill and other intangible assets identified with indefinite useful lives. As a result, we may be required to record additional impairments in the future, which could materially reduce our earnings and equity.

If we lose the services of our executive officers, our business may suffer.

If we lose the services of one or more of our executive officers and do not replace them with experienced personnel, that loss of talent and experience will make our business plan, which is dependent on active growth and management, more difficult to implement. The primary term of the employment agreements of Robert M. Kramer, Gregory M. Krzemien, and Ronald R. Pirollo expired on March 26, 2003. Mr. Kramer is the our general counsel and secretary; Mr. Krzemien is our chief financial officer and treasurer; and Mr. Pirollo is our chief accounting officer and corporate controller. Messrs. Kramer and Krzemien are working on a month-to-month at-will basis, under the provisions of their employment agreements. Mr. Pirollo is working on an at will basis under the provisions of his employment contract. Without long term employment contracts, we may lose the services of any one or more of Messrs. Kramer, Krzemien and Pirollo, each of whom has been involved in our management for several years and would be difficult to replace. In addition, we do not maintain key-man life insurance policies on our executive officers.

If our insurance is inadequate, we could face significant losses.

We maintain various insurance coverages for our assets and operations. These coverages include property coverages including business interruption protection for each location. We maintain commercial general liability coverage in the amount of \$1 million per occurrence and \$2 million in the aggregate with an umbrella policy which provides coverage up to \$25 million. We also maintain workers' compensation policies in every state in which we operate. Commencing July 2002, as a result of increasing costs of the Company's insurance program, including auto, general liability, and workers' compensation coverage, we are insured through participation in a captive insurance program with other unrelated businesses. The Company maintains excess coverage through occurrence-based policies. With respect to our auto, general liability, and workers' compensation policies, we are required to set aside an actuarial determined amount of cash in a restricted "loss fund" account for the payment of claims under the policies. We expect to fund these accounts annually as required by the insurance company. Should funds deposited exceed claims incurred and paid, unused deposited funds are returned to us with interest after the third anniversary of the policy year-end. The captive insurance program is further secured by a letter of credit from Mace in the amount of \$1,079,000 at September 30, 2006. The Company records a monthly expense for losses up to the reinsurance limit per claim based on the Company's tracking of claims and the insurance company's reporting of amounts paid on claims plus their estimate of reserves for possible future payments. There can be no assurance that our insurance will provide sufficient coverage in the event a claim is made against us, or that we will be able to maintain in place such insurance at reasonable prices. An uninsured or under insured claim against us of sufficient magnitude could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Risks Related to our Security Segment

If we are not able to operate our Electronic Surveillance Products Division effectively, our business will suffer.

In 2002, we expanded our Security Segment by adding electronic surveillance products and components. We are incurring expenses to develop and further expand these products. There are numerous risks associated with expanding

our video surveillance systems and components that may prevent us from operating the Security Segment profitably, including, among others: risks associated with products which do not function properly; risks associated with unanticipated liabilities of the acquired companies; risks inherent with our management having limited experience in the electronic surveillance product market; risks relating to the size and number of competitors in the video system and component product market, many of whom may be more experienced or better financed; risks associated with the costs of entering into new markets and expansion of product lines in existing markets; risks associated with rapidly evolving technology and having inventory become obsolete; risks associated with purchasing inventory before having orders for that inventory; risks attendant to locating and maintaining reliable sources of OEM products and component supplies in the electronic surveillance industry; risks related to retaining key employees involved in future technology development and communications with OEM suppliers; and risks associated with developing and introducing new products in order to maintain competitiveness in a rapidly changing marketplace. If we are not able to operate our electronic surveillance products division effectively, our operating and financial results could be adversely impacted.

We could become subject to litigation regarding intellectual property rights, which could seriously harm our business.

Although we have not been the subject of any such actions, third parties may in the future assert against us infringement claims or claims that we have violated a patent or infringed upon a copyright, trademark or other proprietary right belonging to them. We design most of our security products and contract with independent suppliers to manufacture those products and deliver them to us. Certain of these products contain proprietary intellectual property of these independent suppliers. Third parties may in the future assert claims against our suppliers that such suppliers have violated a patent or infringed upon a copyright, trademark or other proprietary right belonging to them. If such infringement by our suppliers or us were found to exist, a party could seek an injunction preventing the use of their intellectual property. In addition, if an infringement by us were found to exist, we may attempt to acquire a license or right to use such technology or intellectual property. Most of our suppliers have agreed to indemnify us against any such infringement claim, but any infringement claim, even if not meritorious and/or covered by an indemnification obligation, could result in the expenditure of a significant amount of our financial and managerial resources.

The Mace registered name and trademark is important to our security business. If we do not defend the Mace name or allow it to fall into common usage, our security segment business could be adversely affected.

If our original equipment manufacturers fail to adequately supply our products, our security products sales may suffer.

Our electronic surveillance products are manufactured on an OEM basis. Reliance upon OEMs, as well as industry supply conditions, generally involves several risks, including the possibility of defective products (which can adversely affect our reputation for reliability), a shortage of components and reduced control over delivery schedules (which can adversely affect our distribution schedules), and increases in component costs (which can adversely affect our profitability). We have some single-sourced manufacturer relationships, either because alternative sources are not readily or economically available or because the relationship is advantageous due to performance, quality, support, delivery, capacity, or price considerations. If these sources are unable or unwilling to manufacture our products in a timely and reliable manner, we could experience temporary distribution interruptions, delays, or inefficiencies, adversely affecting our results of operations. Even where alternative OEMs are available, qualification of the alternative manufacturers and establishment of reliable suppliers could result in delays and a possible loss of sales, which could affect operating results adversely.

If people are injured by our consumer safety products, we could be held liable and face damage awards.

We face claims of injury allegedly resulting from our defense sprays, which we market as less-than-lethal. For example, we are aware of allegations that defense sprays used by law enforcement personnel resulted in deaths of prisoners and of suspects in custody. In addition to use or misuse by law enforcement agencies, the general public may pursue legal action against us based on injuries alleged to have been caused by our products. We may also face claims by purchasers of our electronic surveillance systems, if they fail to operate properly during the commission of a crime. As the use of defense sprays and electronic surveillance systems by the public increase, we could be subject to additional product liability claims. We have a \$25,000 deductible on our consumer safety products insurance policy, meaning that all such lawsuits, even unsuccessful ones and ones covered by insurance, cost the Company money. Furthermore, if our insurance coverage is exceeded, we will have to pay the excess liability directly. Our product liability insurance provides coverage of \$1 million per occurrence and \$2 million in the aggregate with an umbrella policy which provides coverage up to \$25 million. However, if we are required to directly pay a claim in excess of our coverage, our income will be significantly reduced, and in the event of a large claim, we could go out of business.

If governmental regulations change or are applied differently, our business could suffer.

The distribution, sale, ownership and use of consumer defense sprays are legal in some form in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Restrictions on the manufacture or use of consumer defense sprays may be enacted, which would severely restrict the market for our products or increase our costs of doing business.

Some of our consumer defense spray manufacturing operations currently incorporate hazardous materials, the use and emission of which are regulated by various state and federal environmental protection agencies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency. We believe that we are in compliance with all current state and local statutes governing our handling and disposal of these hazardous materials, but if there are any changes in environmental permit or regulatory requirements, or if we fail to comply with any environmental requirements, these changes or failures may expose us to significant liabilities that would have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Risks Related to our Car and Truck Wash Segment

We face a criminal investigation regarding the hiring of undocumented workers at our car washes that could result in fines and penalties.

On March 13, 2006, the Company learned that the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is investigating the Company for the alleged hiring of undocumented workers at the Company's car washes. The Company's Audit Committee retained independent outside counsel ("Special Counsel") to conduct an independent investigation of the Company's hiring practices at the Company's car washes and other related matters. Special Counsel provided a written summary of findings on April 18, 2006. The investigative findings included, among other things, a finding that the Company's internal controls for financial reporting at the corporate level are adequate and appropriate, and that there is no financial statement impact implicated by the Company's hiring practices, except for a potential contingent liability. Beginning on April 21, 2006, the Special Counsel began to receive for review some additional and previously requested but unavailable documents and information. On May 18, 2006, Special Counsel issued its Review of Information Supplemental to Internal Investigation which states that the review of the additional documents and information has not changed the conclusions contained in the April 18, 2006 summary of findings.

There is a possibility that the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania may prosecute the Company at the conclusion of its investigation. Violations of law may result in civil, administrative or criminal fines or penalties. Due to the ongoing nature of the criminal investigation, it is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of the investigation or the impact of costs of ultimately resolving this matter on our results of operations or financial condition. However, any fees, expenses, fines or penalties which might be incurred by the Company in connection with the hiring of undocumented workers may have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition. The Company has made no provision for any future costs associated with the investigations or any future costs associated with the Company's defense or negotiations with governmental authorities to resolve these outstanding issues.

Our car wash work force may expose us to claims that might adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations; our insurance coverage may not cover all of our potential liability.

We employ a large number of workers that perform manual labor at the car washes we own. Many of the workers are paid at or slightly above minimum wage. Also, a large percentage of our car wash work force is composed of employees that have been employed by us for relatively short periods of time. This work force is constantly turning over. Our work force may subject us to financial claims in a variety of ways, such as:

- claims by customers that employees damaged automobiles in our custody;
 - claims related to theft by employees;
- claims by customers that our employees harassed or physically harmed them;
 - claims related to the inadvertent hiring of undocumented workers;
- claims for payment of workers' compensation claims and other similar claims; and
 - claims for violations of wage and hour requirements.

We may incur fines and other losses or negative publicity with respect to these claims. In addition, some or all of these claims may rise to litigation, which could be costly and time consuming to our management team, and could have negative impact on our business. We cannot assure you that we will not experience these problems in the future, that our insurance will cover all claims or that our insurance coverage will continue to be available at economically

feasible rates.

If consumer demand for our car wash service drops, our business will suffer.

More than fifty percent of our revenues are derived from our Car and Truck Wash Segment. As such, our financial condition and results of operations will depend substantially on continued consumer demand for car wash services. Our car wash business depends on consumers choosing to employ professional services to wash their cars rather than washing their cars themselves or not washing their cars at all. Also, seasonal trends in some areas affect our car wash business. In particular, long periods of rain and cloudy weather can adversely affect our car wash business as people typically do not wash their cars during such periods. Additionally, extended periods of warm, dry weather may encourage customers to wash their cars themselves which also can adversely affect our car wash business. If there is a drop in consumer demand, our financial condition and results of operations will be adversely impacted.

33

We face significant competition and if we cannot compete effectively we may lose money and the value of our securities could decline.

The car care industry is highly competitive. Competition is based primarily on location, customer service, available services, and price. We face competition from both inside and outside the car care industry, including gas stations, gasoline companies, automotive companies, specialty stores and convenience stores that offer automated car wash services. Because barriers to entry into the car care industry are relatively low, competition may be expected to continually arise from new sources not currently competing with us. In some cases, our competitors may have greater financial and operating resources than we do. If we cannot effectively compete, our operating results are likely to be negatively effected.

Our car wash operations face governmental regulations, including environmental regulations, and if we fail to or are unable to comply with those regulations, our business may suffer.

We are governed by federal, state and local laws and regulations, including environmental regulations, that regulate the operation of our car wash centers and other car care services businesses. Other car care services, such as gasoline and lubrication, use a number of oil derivatives and other regulated hazardous substances. As a result, we are governed by environmental laws and regulations dealing with, among other things:

- i. transportation, storage, presence, use, disposal, and handling of hazardous materials and wastes;
- ii. discharge of storm water; and
- iii. underground storage tanks.

If uncontrolled hazardous substances were found on any of our properties, including leased property, or if we were otherwise found to be in violation of applicable laws and regulations, we could be responsible for clean-up costs, property damage, fines, or other penalties, any one of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Through our Car and Truck Wash Segment, we face a variety of potential environmental liabilities, including those arising out of improperly disposing waste oil or lubricants at our lube centers, improper maintenance of oil discharge ponds, which exist at two of our truck washes, and leaks from our underground gasoline storage tanks. If we improperly dispose of oil or other hazardous substances, or if our oil discharge ponds or underground gasoline tanks leak, we could be assessed fines by federal or state regulatory authorities and/or be required to remediate the property. Although each case is different, and there can be no assurance as to the cost to remediate an environmental problem, if any, at one of our properties, the costs for remediation and removal of a leaking discharge pond typically range from \$150,000 to \$200,000, and the costs for remediation of a leaking underground storage tank typically range from \$30,000 to \$75,000.

If our car wash equipment is not maintained, our car washes will not be operable.

Many of our car washes have older equipment that requires frequent repair or replacement. Although we undertake to keep our car washing equipment in proper operating condition, the operating environment in car washes results in frequent mechanical problems. If we fail to properly maintain the equipment in a car wash, that car wash could become inoperable or malfunction resulting in a loss of revenue, damage to vehicles and poorly washed vehicles.

Risk Related to the Sale of our Car and Truck Wash Segment

If we sell our Car and Truck Wash Segment, our revenues will decrease and our business may suffer.

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated is advising us on the possible sale of our car washes. We can offer no assurances that we will be able to locate additional potential buyers for our remaining car washes or that we will be able to consummate any further sales to potential buyers we do locate. In addition if we are able to sell our remaining car washes, our total revenues will decrease and our business will become reliant on the success of our Security Segment. Our Security Segment faces significant risks as set forth herein and may impact our ability to generate positive operating income or cash flows from operations, may cause our financial results to become more volatile, or may otherwise materially adversely affect us.

Risks Related to our Stock

Our stock price has been, and likely will continue to be, volatile and your investment may suffer a decline in value.

The market price of our common stock, has in the past been, and is likely to continue to be volatile in the future. That volatility depends upon many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

- announcements regarding the results of expansion or development efforts by us or our competitors;
- announcements regarding the acquisition of businesses or companies by us or our competitors;
- announcements regarding the disposition of all or a significant portion of the assets that comprise our Car and Truck Wash Segment, which may or may not be on favorable terms;
- technological innovations or new commercial products developed by us or our competitors;
 - changes in our, or our suppliers', intellectual property portfolio;
- issuance of new or changed securities analysts' reports and/or recommendations applicable to us or our competitors;
 - additions or departures of our key personnel;
 - operating losses by us;
- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly financial and operating results and degree of trading liquidity in our common stock; and
 - our ability to maintain our common stock listing on the Nasdaq National Market.

One or more of these factors could cause a decline in our revenues and income or in the price of our common stock, thereby reducing the value of an investment in our Company.

We could lose our listing on the Nasdaq National Market if our stock price falls below \$1.00 for 30 consecutive days, and the loss of the listing would make our stock significantly less liquid and would affect its value.

Our common stock is listed on Nasdaq Global Market with a bid price of \$2.51 at the close of the market on November 9, 2006. Although the recent closing prices of our stock have been well in excess of \$1.00, in 2004 our stock traded at a price as low as \$1.78. If the price of our common stock falls below \$1.00 and for 30 consecutive days remains below \$1.00, we are subject to being delisted from the Nasdaq Global Market. Upon delisting from the Nasdaq Global Market, our stock would be traded on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market until we maintain a minimum bid price of \$1.00 for 30 consecutive days at which time we can regain our listing on the Nasdaq National Market. If our stock fails to maintain a minimum bid price of \$1.00 for 30 consecutive days during a 180-day grace period on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market or a 360-day grace period if compliance with certain core listing standards are demonstrated, we could receive a delisting notice from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Upon delisting from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, our stock would be traded over-the-counter, more commonly known as OTC. OTC transactions involve risks in addition to those associated with transactions in securities traded on the Nasdaq National Market or the Nasdaq SmallCap Market (together "Nasdaq-Listed Stocks"). Many OTC stocks trade less frequently and in smaller volumes than Nasdaq-Listed Stocks. Accordingly, our stock would be less liquid than it would otherwise be. Also, the values of these stocks may be more volatile than Nasdaq-Listed Stocks. If our stock is traded in the OTC market and a market maker sponsors us, we may have the price of our stock electronically displayed on the OTC Bulletin Board, or OTCBB. However, if we lack sufficient market maker support for display on the OTCBB, we must have our price published by the National Quotations Bureau LLP in a paper publication known as the "Pink Sheets." The marketability of our stock will be even more limited if our price must be published on the "Pink Sheets."

Because we are a Delaware corporation, it may be difficult for a third party to acquire us, which could affect our stock price.

We are governed by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a “business combination” with an entity who is an “interested stockholder” for a period of three years, unless approved in a prescribed manner. This provision of Delaware law may affect our ability to merge with, or to engage in other similar activities with, some other companies. This means that we may be a less attractive target to a potential acquirer who otherwise may be willing to pay a premium for our common stock above its market price.

If we issue our authorized preferred stock, the rights of the holders of our common stock may be affected and other entities may be discouraged from seeking to acquire control of our Company.

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 10 million shares of “blank check” preferred stock that could be designated and issued by our board of directors to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt. No shares of preferred stock are currently outstanding. It is not possible to state the precise effect of preferred stock upon the rights of the holders of our common stock until the board of directors determines the respective preferences, limitations, and relative rights of the holders of one or more series or classes of the preferred stock. However, such effect might include: (i) reduction of the amount otherwise available for payment of dividends on common stock, to the extent dividends are payable on any issued shares of preferred stock, and restrictions on dividends on common stock if dividends on the preferred stock are in arrears, (ii) dilution of the voting power of the common stock to the extent that the preferred stock has voting rights, and (iii) the holders of common stock not being entitled to share in our assets upon liquidation until satisfaction of any liquidation preference granted to the holders of our preferred stock.

The “blank check” preferred stock may be viewed as having the effect of discouraging an unsolicited attempt by another entity to acquire control of us and may therefore have an anti-takeover effect. Issuances of authorized preferred stock can be implemented, and have been implemented by some companies in recent years, with voting or conversion privileges intended to make an acquisition of a company more difficult or costly. Such an issuance, or the perceived threat of such an issuance, could discourage or limit the stockholders’ participation in certain types of transactions that might be proposed (such as a tender offer), whether or not such transactions were favored by the majority of the stockholders, and could enhance the ability of officers and directors to retain their positions.

Our policy of not paying cash dividends on our common stock could negatively affect the price of our common stock.

We have not paid in the past, and do not expect to pay in the foreseeable future, cash dividends on our common stock. We expect to reinvest in our business any cash otherwise available for dividends. Our decision not to pay cash dividends may negatively affect the price of our common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table summarizes our equity security repurchases during the three months ended September 30, 2006:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
July 1 to July 30, 2006	-	-	-	\$ 3,000,000
August 1 to August 31, 2006	-	-	-	\$ 3,000,000
September 1 to September 30, 2006	-	-	-	\$ 3,000,000
Total	-	-	-	

(1)

(1) On July 29, 2004, the Company’s Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program to allow the Company to repurchase up to an aggregate \$3,000,000 of its common shares in the future if market conditions so dictate. As of September 30, 2006, no shares had been repurchased under the program.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

- 10.1 Amendment to Credit Agreement dated October 31, 2006, effective September 30, 2006 between Mace Security International, Inc., and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Pursuant to instruction 2 to Item 601 of Regulation S-K, five additional credit agreements which are substantially identical in all material respects, except as to borrower being the Company's subsidiaries, Mace Truck Wash, Inc., Mace Car Wash-Arizona, Inc., Colonial Full Service Car Wash, Inc., Mace Security Products Inc., and Eager Beaver Car Wash, Inc., are not being filed).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Mace Security International, Inc.

By: /s/ Louis D. Paolino, Jr.

Louis D. Paolino, Jr., Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and
President

By: /s/ Gregory M. Krzemien

Gregory M. Krzemien, Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Ronald R. Pirollo

Ronald R. Pirollo, Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

DATE: November 13, 2006

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit**Description**

<u>No.</u>	
10.1	Amendment to Credit Agreement dated October 31, 2006, effective September 30, 2006 between Mace Security International, Inc., and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Pursuant to instruction 2 to Item 601 of Regulation S-K, five additional credit agreements which are substantially identical in all material respects, except as to borrower being the Company's subsidiaries, Mace Truck Wash, Inc., Mace Car Wash-Arizona, Inc., Colonial Full Service Car Wash, Inc., Mace Security Products Inc., and Eager Beaver Car Wash, Inc., are not being filed)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
