

DEXCOM INC  
Form 10-Q  
May 06, 2009  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**  
**FORM 10 - Q**

**x** **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

**..** **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 000-51222

**DEXCOM, INC.**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

**33-0857544**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**6340 Sequence Drive**

**San Diego, California**  
(Address of Principal Executive offices)

**92121**  
(Zip Code)

**Registrant's Telephone Number, including area code: (858) 200-0200**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes **x** No **..**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer ☐ Accelerated Filer ☒ Non-Accelerated Filer ☐ Smaller Reporting Company ☐  
(Do not check if a smaller

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

As of May 4, 2009, 45,907,410 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**DexCom, Inc.**

**Table of Contents**

|                                                                                                             | <b>Page<br/>Number</b> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>                                                                         |                        |
| ITEM 1. Financial Statements                                                                                |                        |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2009 (unaudited) and December 31, 2008</u>                   | 3                      |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u> | 4                      |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u> | 5                      |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>                                               | 6                      |
| ITEM 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>        | 16                     |
| ITEM 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>                                   | 24                     |
| ITEM 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>                                                                      | 24                     |
| <b><u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>                                                                     | 25                     |
| ITEM 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>                                                                            | 25                     |
| ITEM 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>                                                                                | 26                     |
| ITEM 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>                                  | 41                     |
| ITEM 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>                                                              | 41                     |
| ITEM 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>                                          | 41                     |
| ITEM 5. <u>Other Information</u>                                                                            | 41                     |
| ITEM 6. <u>Exhibits</u>                                                                                     | 41                     |
| <b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>                                                                                    | 42                     |

**Table of Contents****DexCom, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In thousands except par value data)****(Unaudited)**

|                                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>March 31,<br/>2009</b> | <b>December 31,<br/>2008 (1)</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Assets</b>                                                                                                                                                                         |                           |                                  |
| Current assets:                                                                                                                                                                       |                           |                                  |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                                                                                                                             | \$ 9,276                  | \$ 12,700                        |
| Short-term marketable securities, available-for-sale                                                                                                                                  | 52,176                    | 14,368                           |
| Accounts receivable, net                                                                                                                                                              | 1,345                     | 1,118                            |
| Inventory                                                                                                                                                                             | 2,365                     | 2,446                            |
| Prepaid and other current assets                                                                                                                                                      | 1,344                     | 1,426                            |
| Total current assets                                                                                                                                                                  | 66,506                    | 32,058                           |
| Property and equipment, net                                                                                                                                                           | 6,403                     | 6,105                            |
| Restricted cash                                                                                                                                                                       | 3,702                     | 4,270                            |
| Other assets                                                                                                                                                                          | 1,335                     | 1,449                            |
| Total assets                                                                                                                                                                          | \$ 77,946                 | \$ 43,882                        |
| <b>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>                                                                                                                                           |                           |                                  |
| Current liabilities:                                                                                                                                                                  |                           |                                  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities                                                                                                                                              | \$ 3,774                  | \$ 4,599                         |
| Accrued payroll and related expenses                                                                                                                                                  | 2,187                     | 2,115                            |
| Current portion of long-term debt                                                                                                                                                     | 1,588                     | 1,931                            |
| Current portion of deferred revenue                                                                                                                                                   | 7,448                     | 6,351                            |
| Total current liabilities                                                                                                                                                             | 14,997                    | 14,996                           |
| Long-term portion of deferred revenue                                                                                                                                                 | 4,173                     | 5,669                            |
| Other liabilities                                                                                                                                                                     | 916                       | 889                              |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion                                                                                                                                                | 42,706                    | 41,796                           |
| Total liabilities                                                                                                                                                                     | 62,792                    | 63,350                           |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 4)                                                                                                                                                |                           |                                  |
| Stockholders' equity (deficit):                                                                                                                                                       |                           |                                  |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively                                   |                           |                                  |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000 authorized; 46,187 and 45,907 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009; 30,103 and 29,824 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 46                        | 30                               |
| Additional paid-in capital                                                                                                                                                            | 265,921                   | 218,136                          |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income                                                                                                                                                | 13                        | 50                               |
| Accumulated deficit                                                                                                                                                                   | (250,826)                 | (237,684)                        |
| Total stockholders' equity (deficit)                                                                                                                                                  | 15,154                    | (19,468)                         |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)                                                                                                                                  | \$ 77,946                 | \$ 43,882                        |

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

- (1) The Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2008 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as adjusted for the adoption of FSP APB 14-1.

See accompanying notes

**Table of Contents****DexCom Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(In thousands except per share data)****(Unaudited)**

|                                                             | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>March 31,</b> |                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                                             | <b>2009</b>                             | <b>2008 (1)</b> |
| Product revenue                                             | \$ 2,672                                | \$ 1,824        |
| Development grant revenue                                   | 2,540                                   | 38              |
| Total revenue                                               | 5,212                                   | 1,862           |
| Product cost of sales                                       | 3,522                                   | 3,112           |
| Development cost of sales                                   | 1,953                                   | 130             |
| Total cost of sales                                         | 5,475                                   | 3,242           |
| Gross margin (deficit)                                      | (263)                                   | (1,380)         |
| Operating expenses                                          |                                         |                 |
| Research and development                                    | 3,171                                   | 4,843           |
| Selling, general and administrative                         | 7,903                                   | 6,421           |
| Total operating expenses                                    | 11,074                                  | 11,264          |
| Operating loss                                              | (11,337)                                | (12,644)        |
| Interest income                                             | 123                                     | 565             |
| Interest expense                                            | (1,928)                                 | (1,735)         |
| Net loss                                                    | \$ (13,142)                             | \$ (13,814)     |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share                        | \$ (0.33)                               | \$ (0.47)       |
| Shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share | 39,569                                  | 29,228          |

(1) Adjusted for the required retrospective application of FSP APB 14-1.  
See accompanying notes

**Table of Contents****DexCom, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands)****(Unaudited)**

|                                                                         | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>March 31,</b> |                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                                                         | <b>2009</b>                             | <b>2008 (1)</b> |
| <b>Operating activities</b>                                             |                                         |                 |
| Net loss                                                                | \$ (13,142)                             | \$ (13,814)     |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities: |                                         |                 |
| Depreciation and amortization                                           | 652                                     | 855             |
| Share-based compensation                                                | 2,041                                   | 1,948           |
| Non-cash restructuring benefit                                          | (362)                                   |                 |
| Accretion and amortization related to investments, net                  | 191                                     | (37)            |
| Accretion related to convertible debt discount                          | 1,135                                   | 947             |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs                                     | 52                                      | 34              |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:                            |                                         |                 |
| Accounts receivable                                                     | (227)                                   | (106)           |
| Inventory                                                               | 81                                      | (685)           |
| Prepaid and other assets                                                | 402                                     | 422             |
| Restricted cash                                                         | 568                                     | (5,063)         |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities                                | (463)                                   | (1,303)         |
| Accrued payroll and related expenses                                    | 72                                      | (127)           |
| Deferred revenue                                                        | (399)                                   | 463             |
| Deferred rent and other liabilities                                     | 27                                      | 7               |
| Net cash used in operating activities                                   | (9,372)                                 | (16,459)        |
| <b>Investing activities</b>                                             |                                         |                 |
| Purchase of available-for-sale marketable securities                    | (45,361)                                | (17,564)        |
| Proceeds from the maturity of available-for-sale marketable securities  | 7,000                                   | 32,210          |
| Purchase of property and equipment                                      | (950)                                   | (1,060)         |
| Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities                     | (39,311)                                | 13,586          |
| <b>Financing activities</b>                                             |                                         |                 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock                              | 45,810                                  | 1,053           |
| Proceeds from equipment loan                                            |                                         | 3,000           |
| Repayment of equipment loan                                             | (568)                                   | (343)           |
| Net cash provided by financing activities                               | 45,242                                  | 3,710           |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents            | 17                                      |                 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                        | (3,424)                                 | 837             |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period                          | 12,700                                  | 23,115          |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending of period                             | \$ 9,276                                | \$ 23,952       |
| <b>Non-cash investing and financing transactions:</b>                   |                                         |                 |
| Common shares received as settlement for a call spread option           | \$                                      | \$ 869          |

- (1) Adjusted for the required retrospective application of FSP APB 14-1.  
See accompanying notes

---

**Table of Contents**

**DexCom, Inc.**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***Organization and Business***

DexCom, Inc. (the "Company") is a medical device company focused on the design, development and commercialization of continuous glucose monitoring systems for ambulatory use by people with diabetes and by healthcare providers in the hospital for the treatment of both diabetic and non-diabetic patients. On March 24, 2006, the Company received approval from the FDA for its STS designed for up to three days of continuous use. On May 31, 2007, the Company received approval from the FDA for its second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN, designed for up to seven days of continuous use, and the Company began commercializing this product in the third quarter of 2007. On February 13, 2009, the Company received approval from the FDA for its third generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN PLUS, and the Company began commercializing this product during the first quarter of 2009, and discontinued U.S. sales of the SEVEN system in the first quarter of 2009. In 2008, the Company established a wholly owned subsidiary in Sweden to begin international expansion.

***Basis of Presentation***

The Company has prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and disclosures required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation (except for the changes in estimates described below), have been included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and related notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2009.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates include excess or obsolete inventories, warranty accruals, employee bonus, clinical study expenses, trade show expenses, allowances for returned product, allowance for bad debt, and share-based compensation expense. Excess and obsolete inventories are estimated by identifying the amount of on hand and on order materials compared to expected future sales, taking into account clinical trial and development usage along with new product introductions. Employee bonus estimates are based, in part, on the 2009 bonus plan's authorized target bonus amounts of up to 50%, 40%, 35% and 25% of base salary for the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, its Senior Vice Presidents, and the remainder of its non-sales management employees, respectively, to be awarded from the bonus pool based on the weighted average achievement of certain objectives. The amount of any bonus under the 2009 plan will be predicated on achieving targeted revenue goals and performance milestones. In general, 70% of any bonus paid under the 2009 plan is based on achieving certain annual product revenue goals and 30% is based on achieving certain performance milestones. Clinical trial expenses are accrued based on estimates of progress under related contracts and include initial set up costs as well as ongoing monitoring over multiple sites in the U.S. and abroad. An allowance for refunds for returned products is determined by analyzing the timing and amounts of past refund activity.

***Share-Based Compensation***

The Company recorded \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million in share-based compensation expense during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At March 31, 2009, unrecognized estimated compensation costs related to non-vested stock options totaled \$17.6 million and is expected to be recognized through 2013. The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model as the method of valuation for share-based awards granted.

***Revenue Recognition***

The Company sells its durable systems and disposable units through a direct sales force in the United States and through distribution arrangements in the United States and in portions of Europe. Components are individually priced and can be purchased separately or together. The Company receives payment directly from patients who use its products, as well as from distributors and

## **Table of Contents**

third party payors. The SEVEN PLUS durable system includes a reusable transmitter, a receiver, a power cord, data management software and a USB cable. Disposable sensors for use with the durable system are sold separately in packages of four. The initial SEVEN PLUS durable system price is not dependent upon the purchase of any amount of disposable sensors. The Company discontinued sales of its SEVEN durable system in the United States in the first quarter of 2009, although it continues to sell disposable sensors for use with both the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS durable systems.

Revenue on product sales is recognized upon shipment, which is when title and the risk of loss have been transferred to the customer and there are no other post shipment obligations. With respect to customers who directly pay for products, the products are generally paid for at the time of shipment using a customer's credit card and do not include customer acceptance provisions. The Company recognizes revenue from contracted insurance payors based on the contracted rate. For non-contracted insurance payors, the Company obtains prior authorization from the payor and recognizes revenue based on the estimated collectible amount and historical experience. In all situations, the Company receives a prescription or statement of medical necessity and, for insurance reimbursement customers, an assignment of benefits prior to shipment.

After approval of the Company's third generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN PLUS, on February 13, 2009, the Company started taking orders for an upgrade kit to upgrade existing customers. For systems sold during the first quarter of 2009 that included an upgrade right, a portion of the sales price is allocated to the undelivered upgrade and deferred based on the fair value of the upgrade kit. This deferred revenue will be recognized when the upgrade kit has been delivered or the program expires. As of March 31, 2009, deferred product revenue for this program totaled approximately \$41,000.

In August 2007, the Company adopted a 30-day money back guarantee program whereby customers who purchase a durable system and a package of four disposable sensors may return the durable system for any reason within thirty days of purchase and receive a full refund of their purchase price. This program also applies to the purchase of the SEVEN PLUS. The Company accrues for estimated returns and/or refunds by reducing revenues and establishing a liability account at the time of shipment based on historical experience.

During 2008 and 2009, the Company entered into distribution agreements with RGH Enterprises, Inc., or Edgepark, and other distributors that allow the distributors to sell the Company's durable systems and disposable units. Revenue on product sales to distributors is recognized at the time of shipment, which is when title and risk of loss have been transferred to the distributor and there are no other post-shipment obligations. Revenue is recognized based on contracted prices and invoices are either paid by check following the issuance of a purchase order or letter of credit, or they are paid by wire at the time of placing the order. Terms of distributor orders are FOB shipping point (FCA shipping point for international orders). Distributors do not have rights of return per their distribution agreement outside of the Company's standard warranty. The Company accrues for estimated returns, refunds and rebates by reducing revenues and establishing a liability account at the time of shipment based on historical experience. The distributors typically have a limited time frame to notify DexCom of any missing, damaged, defective or non-conforming products. For any such products, the Company shall either, at its option, replace the portion of defective or non-conforming product at no additional cost to the distributor or cancel the order and refund any portion of the price paid to the Company at that time for the sale in question.

The Company shipped product directly to distributors' customers and recognized \$699,000 in revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009. With respect to a distributor that stocks inventory of the Company's product and fulfills orders from their inventory, the Company shipped product to the distributor and recognized \$114,000 in revenue from this arrangement for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The Company monitors shipments and on-hand inventory levels to this distributor, and at March 31, 2009 this distributor had limited amounts of the Company's product in their ending inventory.

The Company has collaborative license and development arrangements with strategic partners for the development and commercialization of products utilizing the Company's technologies. The terms of these agreements typically include multiple deliverables by the Company (for example, license rights, provision of research and development services and manufacture of clinical materials) in exchange for consideration to the Company of some combination of non-refundable license fees, funding of research and development activities, payments based upon achievement of clinical development milestones and royalties in the form of a designated percentage of product sales or profits. The Company follows the provisions of the Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements* (SAB 101), as amended by SAB No. 104, *Revenue Recognition* (SAB 104), and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (EITF 00-21). With the exception of royalties, these types of consideration are classified as development grant revenue in the Company's consolidated statements of operations when revenue recognition is appropriate.

Non-refundable license fees are recognized as revenue when the Company has a contractual right to receive such payment, the contract price is fixed or determinable, the collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured and the Company has no further performance obligations under the license agreement. Multiple element arrangements, such as license and development arrangements, are analyzed to determine whether the deliverables can be separated or whether they must be accounted for as a single unit of



**Table of Contents**

accounting in accordance with EITF 00-21. The Company recognizes up-front license payments as revenue upon delivery of the license only if the license has stand-alone value and the fair value of the undelivered performance obligations can be determined. If the fair value of the undelivered performance obligations can be determined, such obligations would then be accounted for separately as performed. If the license is considered to either (i) not have stand-alone value or (ii) have stand-alone value but the fair value of any of the undelivered performance obligations cannot be determined, the arrangement would then be accounted for as a single unit of accounting.

For arrangements that are accounted for as a single unit of accounting, total payments under the arrangement are recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the period the Company expects to complete the Company's performance obligations. The cumulative amount of revenue earned is limited to the cumulative amount of payments received as of the period ending date.

If the Company cannot reasonably estimate when the Company's performance obligation either ceases or becomes inconsequential, then revenue is deferred until the Company can reasonably estimate when the performance obligation ceases or becomes inconsequential. Revenue is then recognized over the remaining estimated period of performance. Deferred revenue amounts are classified as current liabilities to the extent that revenue is expected to be recognized within one year.

Significant management judgment is required in determining the level of effort required under an arrangement and the period over which the Company is expected to complete the Company's performance obligations under an arrangement.

Under the collaboration agreement with Edwards Lifesciences LLC ( Edwards ) which provided the Company with a development grant, the Company recognized \$2.5 million in development revenue for the three months ending March 31, 2009.

**Warranty Accrual**

Estimated warranty costs are recorded at the time of shipment. The Company estimates warranty accruals by analyzing the timing, cost and amount of returned product. Assumptions and historical warranty experience are evaluated on at least a quarterly basis to determine the continued appropriateness of such assumptions.

**Foreign Currency**

The consolidated financial statements of the company's non-U.S. subsidiary, whose functional currency is the Swedish Krona, is translated into U.S. dollars for financial reporting purposes. Assets and liabilities are translated at period-end exchange rates, and revenue and expense transactions are translated at average exchange rates for the period. Cumulative translation adjustments are recognized as part of comprehensive income and are included in accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated balance sheet. Gains and losses on transactions denominated in other than the functional currency are reflected in operations.

**Comprehensive Loss**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income* ( SFAS 130 ), requires that all components of comprehensive income, including net income, be reported in the financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss), including unrealized gains and losses on investments and foreign currency translation adjustments, shall be reported, net of their related tax effect, to arrive at comprehensive income (loss). The Company's comprehensive loss is as follows (in thousands):

|                                                                               | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>March 31,</b> |             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                                                               | <b>2009</b>                             | <b>2008</b> |
| Net loss                                                                      | \$ (13,142)                             | \$ (13,814) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on short-term available-for-sale marketable securities | (54)                                    | 40          |
| Foreign currency translation gain                                             | 17                                      |             |
| Comprehensive loss                                                            | \$ (13,179)                             | \$ (13,774) |

***Inventory***

Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market value. The Company makes adjustments to reduce the cost of inventory to its net realizable value, if required, for estimated excess, obsolete and potential scrapped inventories. Factors influencing these adjustments include inventories on hand and on order compared to estimated future usage and sales for existing and new products, as well as judgments regarding quality control testing data, and assumptions about the likelihood of scrap and obsolescence. The Company utilizes a standard cost system to track inventories on a part-by-part basis that approximates first in, first out. If necessary, adjustments are made to the standard materials, standard labor and standard overhead costs to approximate actual labor and actual overhead costs. The labor and overhead elements of the standard costs are based on full utilization of the Company's manufacturing capacity.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Income Taxes***

At December 31, 2008, the Company had federal and state tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$173.8 million and \$124.4 million, respectively. The federal and state tax loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2019 and 2011, respectively, unless previously utilized. The Company also had federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. The federal research and development tax credit will begin to expire in 2019, unless previously utilized.

Utilization of net operating losses and credit carryforwards are subject to an annual limitation due to ownership change limitations provided by Section 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and similar state provisions. The tax benefits related to future utilization of federal and state net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards may be limited or lost if cumulative changes in ownership exceed 50% within any three-year period.

### ***Recent Accounting Guidance***

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS 157 ), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. In February 2008, the FASB deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 by one year for certain non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 157.

The fair value hierarchy described by the standard is based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value and include the following:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

In accordance with SFAS 157, the following table represents the Company's fair value hierarchy for its financial assets (cash equivalents and investments) measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2009 (in thousands):

|                                           | Fair Value Measurements Using |         |         |           |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
|                                           | Level 1                       | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total     |
| Cash and cash equivalents                 | \$ 9,276                      |         |         | \$ 9,276  |
| Marketable securities, available for sale | \$ 52,176                     |         |         | \$ 52,176 |
| Restricted cash                           | \$ 3,702                      |         |         | \$ 3,702  |

The Company has maintained only Level 1 financial assets during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

The adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The book values of cash and cash equivalents, short-term marketable securities, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their respective fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the EITF Issue No. 07-1, *Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements* ( EITF 07-1 ). EITF 07-1 requires collaborators to present the results of activities for which they act as the principal on a gross basis and report any payments received from (made to) other collaborators based on other applicable GAAP or, in the

## Table of Contents

absence of other applicable GAAP, based on analogy to authoritative accounting literature or a reasonable, rational, and consistently applied accounting policy election. Further, EITF 07-1 clarified that the determination of whether transactions within a collaborative arrangement are part of a vendor-customer (or analogous) relationship subject to EITF Issue No. 01-9, *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)* (EITF 01-9). Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted EITF 07-1. The adoption of EITF 07-1 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)* (APB 14-1). The FSP requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument. The debt would be recognized at the present value of its cash flows discounted using the Company's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component would be recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability. The FSP also requires an accretion of the resultant debt discount over the expected life of the debt. The transition guidance requires retrospective application to all periods presented, and does not grandfather existing instruments. The effective date of the FSP is for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of the FSP. The adoption of FSP APB 14-1 resulted in a reduction to the historical carrying value of the 4.75% convertible senior notes due in 2027 on its balance sheet of \$26.6 million, a reduction to the carrying value of the debt issuance costs of \$1.2 million, and a corresponding increase to paid in capital as of the date of issuance. The estimated interest rate of 19.5% was applied to the notes and coupon interest using a present value technique to arrive at the fair value of the liability component. The adoption of the FSP also resulted in an increase in accumulated deficit of \$6.2 million and a corresponding net decrease to the carrying value of the debt discount and issuance costs as of January 1, 2009. The Company recorded \$1.1 million and \$947,000 of non-cash interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The Company recorded \$713,000 of interest expense relating to the contractual coupon payments for each of the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The impact of adoption of this FSP to loss per share was an increase of \$0.02 and \$0.03 for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table sets forth the Company's net carrying amount of the 4.75% convertible senior notes, which is included in long-term debt in the consolidated balance sheets (in thousands):

|                                          | March 31,<br>2009 | December 31,<br>2008 |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Principal of convertible notes           | \$ 60,000         | \$ 60,000            |
| Unamortized debt discount                | (18,494)          | (19,629)             |
| Net carrying amount of convertible notes | \$ 41,506         | \$ 40,371            |

The remaining unamortized debt discount will be amortized over the expected life of the convertible notes, which was determined to be the date of the first put option on March 15, 2012.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-5, *Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock* (EITF 07-5). EITF 07-5 provides that an entity should use a two-step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock, including evaluating the instrument's contingent exercise and settlement provisions. The effective date for EITF 07-5 is for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is not permitted. On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of EITF 07-5. The adoption of EITF 07-5 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2009.

## 2. Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is calculated by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period, without consideration for common stock equivalents. Diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is computed by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common share equivalents outstanding for the period determined using the treasury-stock method. For purposes of this calculation, options, warrants, and the conversion of convertible senior notes are considered to be common stock equivalents and are only included in the calculation of diluted net loss per share when their effect is dilutive.



**Table of Contents**

Historical outstanding anti-dilutive securities not included in diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders calculation (in thousands):

|                                              | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>March 31,</b> |             |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                              | <b>2009</b>                             | <b>2008</b> |
| Options outstanding to purchase common stock | 7,137                                   | 5,491       |
| Restricted stock                             | 59                                      | 124         |
| Convertible senior notes                     | 7,692                                   | 7,692       |
| Total                                        | 14,888                                  | 13,307      |

**3. Financial Statement Details (in thousands)***Inventory*

|                 | <b>March 31,<br/>2009</b> | <b>December 31,<br/>2008</b> |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Raw materials   | \$ 1,104                  | \$ 1,426                     |
| Work-in-process | 245                       | 198                          |
| Finished goods  | 1,016                     | 822                          |
| Total           | \$ 2,365                  | \$ 2,446                     |

*Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities*

|                                      | <b>March 31,<br/>2009</b> | <b>December 31,<br/>2008</b> |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Accounts payable trade               | \$ 1,368                  | \$ 1,123                     |
| Accrued tax, audit, and legal fees   | 685                       | 426                          |
| Clinical trials                      | 206                       | 132                          |
| Accrued interest on convertible debt | 119                       | 831                          |
| Accrued other including warranty     | 1,396                     | 2,087                        |
| Total                                | \$ 3,774                  | \$ 4,599                     |

*Accrued Warranty*

|                               | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>March 31,</b> |             |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|
|                               | <b>2009</b>                             | <b>2008</b> |
| Beginning balance             | \$ 71                                   | \$ 52       |
| Charges to costs and expenses | 119                                     | 232         |
| Costs incurred                | (143)                                   | (158)       |
| Ending balance                | \$ 47                                   | \$ 126      |

#### 4. Commitments and Contingencies

##### *Convertible Senior Notes*

In March 2007, the Company issued \$60 million aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes due 2027 in a private offering. The notes are convertible into shares of common stock based on an initial conversion rate of 128.2051 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$7.80 per share. Interest on the notes is due semiannually on March 15 and September 15 of each year at a rate of 4.75% per year. The notes are redeemable by the Company beginning March 20, 2010 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the notes may require the Company to repurchase the notes for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest upon the occurrence of certain designated events, including a change of control. In addition, the Company will have the right to automatically convert the notes if the closing price of its common stock exceeds 150% of the conversion price, or \$11.70 per share, for at least 20 trading days during any 30-day period. If such an automatic conversion occurs before March 15, 2010, the Company is required to pay additional interest in cash or, at its option, in shares of its common stock, equal to three full years of interest on the converted notes, less any interest actually paid or provided for on the notes prior to automatic conversion. The holders of the notes may require the Company to repurchase the notes for cash on March 15, 2012, March 15, 2017 and March 15, 2022 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. To date, there have been no shares issued upon conversion, exercise, or satisfaction of conditions relating to these notes.

**Table of Contents***Call Spread Option*

In March 2007, the Company entered into hedge transactions to minimize the potential dilution of the Company's common stock upon conversion of the Convertible Senior Notes if the Company's stock price exceeds \$7.80 per share through March 2009. The Company had the right to purchase a number of shares of common stock equal to the number of shares underlying the \$60 million principal amount of the notes, at a strike price equal to the conversion price of the notes, or \$7.80 per share. The call spread options were structured in four tranches with one tranche expiring in each six-month interval for two years from the date of March 6, 2007. Each of the four options capped the potential benefit to the Company at market prices ranging from \$9.00 for the option which expired in September 2007 to \$18.50 for the option which expired in March 2009. The call spread options were separate transactions entered into by the Company and were not part of the terms of the Convertible Senior Notes.

In accordance with EITF No. 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock* (EITF 00-19), the Company recorded the \$10,950,000 cost of the call spread transactions as a net reduction in paid in capital in the Balance Sheet for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, and will not recognize subsequent changes in fair value. During September 2007, the Company received approximately 154,000 shares of its common stock with a value of \$1.4 million on the date the shares were returned to the Company as settlement of the first tranche. During March 2008, the Company received approximately 118,000 shares of its common stock with a value of \$869,000 on the date the shares were returned to the Company as settlement for the second tranche.

*Line of Credit*

In March 2006, the Company entered into a loan and security agreement (the "Loan Agreement") that provided for up to \$5,000,000 to finance various equipment purchases through March 2007. In January 2008, the Company entered into an amendment to the Loan Agreement to finance additional equipment purchases. The amendment allows the Company to draw an additional amount of up to \$3,000,000 under a new and additional Facility B Equipment Line.

At March 31, 2009, the Company had total borrowings of \$2.8 million under the Loan Agreement pursuant to the Facility A Equipment Line and Facility B Equipment Line and none was available for future borrowings. The loan bears an interest rate equal to the lender's prime rate plus 0.25% and at March 31, 2009, the interest rate was 3.5%. Beginning April 2008, terms of the Facility B Equipment Line began to require monthly amortized payments through the maturity date of July 2011. Under the amended Loan Agreement, the Company continues to grant a security interest in substantially all of its personal property as collateral for the loan and is required to maintain cash balances equal to total outstanding loan balances with the lender.

*Lease*

In January 2007, the Company entered into a sublease agreement to sublet an existing facility near its corporate headquarters to a third party. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company sublet approximately 7,000 square feet of facilities space at terms and conditions, including real estate taxes and operating costs, which mirror the original lease agreement. The Company retains obligations per the original lease. Rental obligations, excluding real estate taxes and operating costs, owed by the Company, but subject to reimbursement by the subtenant in accordance with the terms of the sublease agreement, as of March 31, 2009, were as follows (in thousands):

| <b>Fiscal Year Ending</b> |               |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| 2009                      | \$ 84         |
| 2010                      | 114           |
| 2011                      | 48            |
| <b>Total</b>              | <b>\$ 246</b> |

Total rent expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$106,000.

*Litigation*

On August 11, 2005, Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc., or Abbott, filed a patent infringement lawsuit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking a declaratory judgment that the Company's continuous glucose monitor infringes certain patents held

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

by Abbott. In August 2005, the Company moved to dismiss these claims and filed requests for reexamination of the Abbott patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or the Patent Office, and by March 2006, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the four patents originally asserted against the Company in the litigation. On June 27,

## **Table of Contents**

2006, Abbott amended its complaint to include three additional patents owned or licensed by Abbott which are allegedly infringed by the Company's continuous glucose monitor. On August 18, 2006, the court granted the Company's motion to stay the lawsuit pending reexamination by the Patent Office of each of the four patents originally asserted by Abbott, and the court dismissed one significant infringement claim. In approving the stay, the court also granted the Company's motion to strike, or disallow, Abbott's amended complaint in which Abbott had sought to add three additional patents to the litigation. Subsequent to the court's August 18, 2006 order striking Abbott's amended complaint, Abbott filed a separate action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement of the three additional patents it had sought to include in the litigation discussed above. On September 7, 2006, the Company filed a motion to strike Abbott's new complaint on the grounds that it is redundant of claims Abbott already improperly attempted to inject into the original case, and because the original case is now stayed, Abbott must wait until the court lifts that stay before it can properly ask the court to consider these claims. Alternatively, the Company asked the court to consolidate the new case with the original case and thereby stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office. In February 2007, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the three patents cited in this new lawsuit. On September 30, 2007, the court granted the Company's motion to consolidate the cases and stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office relating to all seven patents asserted against the Company.

Each of the seven patents described above have one or more associated reexamination requests in various stages of prosecution at the Patent Office. With regard to the four patents originally asserted, three of the patents are under final rejection and one of the patents is under non-final rejection. Abbott has filed responses with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art the Company has presented, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art the Company has presented, and/or seeking to add new claims. One final rejection indicates some rejected and some allowable claims. In the other two final rejections, all of the claims for which reexamination was requested currently stand rejected. So far, Abbott has filed an Appeal Brief in one of the cases finally rejected and a Notice of Appeal in the other. With regard to the three patents subsequently asserted, two of the patents are under non-final rejection and one of the patents has recently had a new reexamination request ordered. In these two non-finally rejected cases, Abbott has filed responses with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art the Company has presented, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art the Company has presented, and/or seeking to add new claims. Additionally, although two of these three patents have each had a Reexamination Certificate issue and/or a claim confirmed, the Patent Office has subsequently ordered additional reexamination on these reexamined patents in view of new prior art and/or new issues presented by subsequently filed reexamination requests.

In 2008, Abbott copied claims from certain of the Company's applications, and stated that it may seek to provoke an interference with certain of the Company's pending applications in the Patent Office. If an interference is declared and Abbott prevails in the interference, the Company would lose certain patent rights to the subject matter defined in the interference. Also in 2008, Abbott has filed reexamination requests seeking to invalidate two of the Company's patents in the Patent Office. In both reexamination requests, the Patent Office has ordered the reexamination and issued non-final office actions and the Company have responded to those non-final office actions by seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art, and canceling certain claims. Recently, the Patent Office has issued a non-final office action confirming the patentability of our original and amended claims pending in one of the patents.

Although it is the Company's position that Abbott's assertions of infringement have no merit, and that the potential interference and reexamination requests have no merit, neither the outcome of the litigation nor the amount and range of potential fees associated with the litigation, potential interference or reexamination requests can be assessed. As of March 31, 2009, no amounts have been accrued related to this litigation.

### *Purchase Commitments*

The Company is party to various purchase arrangements related to its development activities including materials used in its glucose monitoring systems. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had purchase commitments with vendors of \$2.5 million due within one year. There are no purchase commitments due beyond one year.

## **5. Development Agreements**

### *Insulet Corporation*

On January 7, 2008, the Company entered into a development agreement with Insulet Corporation to integrate DexCom's continuous glucose monitoring technology into Insulet's wireless, handheld OmniPod System Personal Diabetes Manager. The agreement is non-exclusive and does not impact either party's existing third party development agreements.



## **Table of Contents**

### *Animas Corporation*

On January 10, 2008, and as amended on January 12, 2009, the Company entered into a joint development agreement with Animas Corporation to integrate DexCom's continuous glucose monitoring technology into Animas insulin pumps. Under the terms of the amended agreement, Animas will contribute up to \$1,050,000 to DexCom to offset certain development, clinical and regulatory expenses. The agreement is non-exclusive in the United States, but exclusive outside the United States and does not impact either party's existing third party development agreements. In January of 2008 the Company received \$500,000. In January of 2009 the Company received \$250,000. The Company recorded \$70,000 in revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to \$38,000 for the same period in 2008.

### *Edwards Lifesciences LLC*

On November 10, 2008, the Company entered into a Collaboration Agreement (the "Agreement") with Edwards. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Company and Edwards agreed to develop jointly and to market an in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring system. Under the terms of the Agreement, Edwards was obligated to pay the Company an upfront fee of \$13.0 million. In addition, the Company is entitled to receive up to \$22.0 million over the next three years for product development costs and milestones related to regulatory approvals and manufacturing readiness. The Company will also receive either a profit-sharing payment of up to 10% of commercial sales of the product, or a royalty of up to 6% of commercial sales of the product. The Agreement provides Edwards with an exclusive license under the Company's intellectual property in the hospital market. Edwards will be responsible for global sales and marketing, and the Company will initially be responsible for manufacturing. In November 2008 the Company received \$13.0 million. The Company received \$2.1 million and recorded \$2.5 million in revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

## **6. Restructuring Expenses**

The Company accounts for facility exit costs in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* (SFAS 146), which requires that a liability for such costs be recognized and measured initially at fair value on the cease-use date based on remaining lease rentals, adjusted for the effects of any prepaid or deferred items recognized, reduced by the estimated sublease rentals that could be reasonably obtained even if it is not the intent to sublease.

In April 2006, the Company entered into a lease for the current headquarters facility due to additional space requirements. In the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company exited the former headquarters facility after moving all operations to the new headquarters facility. The Company has not yet entered into a sublease agreement for the former headquarters facility. Restructuring charges taken consist primarily of costs associated with permanently vacating the Company's former headquarters facility. The Company recorded \$355,000 in additional rent related expense during 2008 relating to this restructuring, which is included in operating expenses and cost of sales in the consolidated statement of operations. As of December 31, 2008, accrued liabilities relating to this restructuring totaled \$450,000.

The Company is required to estimate future sublease income and future net operating expenses of the facilities, among other expenses. The most significant of these estimates have related to the timing and extent of future sublease income in which to reduce lease obligations, and the probability for which the sublease income can be expected. The Company based estimates of sublease income, in part, on the opinions of independent real estate experts, current market conditions and rental rates, an assessment of the time period over which reasonable estimates could be made, and the location of the respective facility, among other factors. Further adjustments to the facility exit liability accrual will be required in future periods if actual exit costs or sublease income differ from amounts currently expected. The Company will review the status of restructuring activities on a quarterly basis and, if appropriate, record changes to restructuring obligations in current operations based on management's most current estimates. Exit costs the Company records under these provisions are neither associated with, nor do they benefit, continuing activities.

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company elected to reoccupy the former headquarters facility. This decision was based on the need to conduct research experiments requiring a large open space, which is unavailable at the current headquarters facility. As a result of the decision to reoccupy the former headquarters facility, the Company reversed the prior accrual for restructuring charges and reinstated deferred rent balances. The Company recorded a restructuring benefit of approximately \$362,000 for the three months ending March 31, 2009, which is included in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company re-established a deferred rent liability of approximately \$88,000 as of March 31, 2009, which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth the Company's restructuring liability, which is included within accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets (in thousands):

|                                |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| <b>Restructuring liability</b> |        |
| Balance December 31, 2008      | \$ 450 |
| Reversal of lease exit costs   | (450)  |
| Balance March 31, 2009         | \$     |

**7. Stockholder's Equity***Follow-on Stock Offering*

On February 4, 2009, the Company completed a follow-on public offering, selling an aggregate of 15,994,000 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$45.6 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and offering expenses.

**8. Subsequent Event***Amended Collaboration Agreement*

On May 5, 2009, the Company entered into a letter agreement amending the November 10, 2008 Collaboration Agreement with Edwards. Pursuant to the letter agreement, Edwards and DexCom have agreed that Edwards will lead and fund a portion of the clinical trials related to the Collaboration Agreement. As a result, Edwards' development funding obligation to DexCom under the Agreement shall be reduced by \$1.5 million, and the Company is entitled to receive up to \$22.0 million, rather than the initial \$23.5 million, for product development costs and milestones related to regulatory approvals and manufacturing readiness.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

*This document, including the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements that are based upon current expectations. These forward-looking statements fall within the meaning of the federal securities laws that relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, intend, potential or continue or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and the timing of events could differ materially from those anticipated in our forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including product performance, a lack of acceptance in the marketplace by physicians and patients, the inability to manufacture products in commercial quantities at an acceptable cost, possible delays in our research and development programs, the inability of patients to receive reimbursements from third-party payors, inadequate financial and other resources, global economic conditions, and the other risks set forth below under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report. We assume no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report or to conform these forward-looking statements to actual results.*

**Overview**

We are a medical device company focused on the design, development and commercialization of continuous glucose monitoring systems for ambulatory use by people with diabetes and for use by healthcare providers in the hospital for the treatment of both diabetic and non-diabetic patients. On March 24, 2006, we received approval from the FDA for our first product, the STS®, designed for up to three days of continuous use. On May 31, 2007, we received approval from the FDA for our second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN®, designed for up to seven days of continuous use, and we began commercializing this product in the third quarter of 2007. As part of our commercialization of the SEVEN, we discontinued sales of our STS three day durable system in the second quarter of 2007 and discontinued the sale of our three day sensors during the second quarter of 2008. On February 13, 2009, we received approval from the FDA for our third generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN PLUS, and we began commercializing this product in the first quarter of 2009. Our approvals allow for the use of our continuous glucose monitoring systems by adults with diabetes to detect trends and track glucose patterns, to aid in the detection of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and to facilitate acute and long-term therapy adjustments. Our approved products must be prescribed by a physician and include a disposable sensor, a transmitter and a small handheld receiver. Our approved products are indicated for use as adjunctive devices to complement, not replace, information obtained from standard home blood glucose monitoring devices and must be calibrated periodically using a standard home blood glucose monitor. The sensor is inserted by the patient and is intended to be used continuously for up to seven days after which it is removed by the patient and may be replaced by a new sensor. Our transmitter and receiver are reusable. On November 26, 2008, we received CE Mark (Conformité Européenne) approval for the SEVEN, enabling commercialization of the SEVEN system in the European Union and the countries in Asia and Latin America that recognize the CE Mark. We expect to commercialize our products on a limited basis in the European Union in 2009. From inception to 2006, we devoted substantially all of our resources to start-up activities, raising capital and research and development, including product design, testing, manufacturing and clinical trials. Since 2006, we have devoted considerable resources to the commercialization of our ambulatory continuous glucose monitoring systems, including the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS, as well as the continued research and clinical development of our technology platform. We have yet to seek approval from the FDA for our in-hospital continuous glucose monitoring system.

According to the World Health Organization, in 2006 there were more than 180 million people who suffered from diabetes worldwide. In 2007, there were an estimated 23.6 million people in the United States with diabetes, of which 17.9 million have been diagnosed, an increase of 2.8 million and 3.3 million, respectively, from 2005. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that approximately 4.8 million of these patients were treated with insulin. The increased prevalence of diabetes is believed to be the result of an aging population, unhealthy diets and increasingly sedentary lifestyles. According to the CDC, diabetes was the seventh leading cause of death by disease in the United States during 2007, and complications related to diabetes include heart disease, limb amputations, loss of kidney function and blindness. According to the ADA, the direct medical costs and indirect expenditures attributable to diabetes in the United States were an estimated \$174 billion in 2007, an increase of \$42 billion since 2002. Of the \$174 billion in overall expenses, the ADA estimates that approximately \$116 billion were direct medical costs. We have built a direct sales organization to call on endocrinologists, physicians and diabetes educators who can educate and influence patient adoption of continuous glucose monitoring. We believe that focusing efforts on these participants is important given the instrumental role they each play in the decision-making process for diabetes therapy. We currently sell the SEVEN PLUS only in the United States and the SEVEN in portions of Europe, but plan to expand our sales elsewhere in the future. In September 2008, we established a wholly owned subsidiary in Sweden and hired a Vice President of International Business Development to begin our expansion outside the United States. To complement our direct sales efforts, we also employ clinical specialists who educate and provide clinical support in the field, and have entered into a limited number of distribution arrangements that allow distributors to sell our products. We believe our direct, highly-specialized and focused sales organization is sufficient for us to support our sales efforts and have no immediate plans to increase the size of the sales organization.



**Table of Contents**

We are leveraging our technology platform to enhance the capabilities of our current products and to develop additional continuous glucose monitoring products. In January 2008, we entered into two separate development agreements, one with Animas, a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, and one with Insulet Corporation, to integrate our technology into the insulin pump product offerings of the respective partner, enabling the partner's insulin pump to receive glucose readings from our transmitter and display this information on the pump's screen. We are continuing clinical development of a fourth generation ambulatory product which we expect will further improve sensor reliability, stability and accuracy over the useful life of the sensor, and will be suited for large scale manufacturing. We also intend to seek approval for a pediatric indication (patients under 18 years of age) and a pregnancy indication (diabetes patients who become pregnant and patients who develop gestational diabetes) for our product platform in the future. In addition, we are developing a product platform specifically for the in-hospital glucose monitoring market, with an initial focus on the development of an intravenous sensor specifically for use in the critical care market. To that end, on November 10, 2008, we entered into a definitive collaboration agreement with Edwards to develop products for continuously monitoring glucose levels in hospitalized patients. Our development timelines are highly dependent on our clinical trials, and may be delayed due to scheduling issues with patients and investigators, institutional review boards, sensor performance and manufacturing supply constraints, among other factors. In addition, support of these clinical trials requires significant resources from employees involved in the production of our products, including research and development, manufacturing, quality assurance, and clinical and regulatory personnel. Even if our development and clinical trial efforts are successful, the FDA may not approve our products, and if approved, we may not achieve acceptance in the marketplace by physicians and patients.

As a medical device company, reimbursement from Medicare and private third-party healthcare payors is an important element of our success. On November 2, 2007, The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid, or CMS, released its 2008 Alpha-Numeric HCPCS File, which included three separate codes applicable to each of the three components of our continuous glucose monitoring systems, and HCPCS codes for continuous glucose monitoring became effective on January 1, 2008. HCPCS codes are billing codes used by Medicare and private third-party payors, but do not represent a reimbursement coverage decision by CMS and, to date, our approved products are not reimbursed by virtue of a national coverage decision by Medicare. As of May 2009, a number of private third-party payors have issued coverage policies for continuous glucose monitoring devices. In addition, we have negotiated contracted rates with several of the largest private insurance providers in the United States for the purchase of our products by their members. Many of these coverage policies are restrictive in nature and require the patient to comply with documentation and other requirements to demonstrate medical necessity under the policy. In addition, patients who are insured by payors that do not offer coverage for our devices will have to bear the financial cost of the products. We currently employ in-house reimbursement expertise to assist patients in obtaining reimbursement from private third-party payors. We also maintain a field-based reimbursement team charged with calling on third-party private payors to obtain coverage decisions and contracts. We have had formal meetings and have increased our efforts to create coverage policies with third-party payors during 2009.

We currently manufacture our devices at our headquarters in San Diego, California. In this facility we have more than 10,000 square feet of laboratory space and approximately 5,000 square feet of controlled environment rooms. In November 2008, our facilities were subject to a post-approval PMA and QSR audit by FDA. At the close of the inspection, FDA issued a Form 483 identifying several inspectional observations, the majority of which were corrected and verified while the FDA investigator was on site and, although we have no formal requirements or obligations to provide anything further to the FDA regarding these observations, in January 2009, we voluntarily provided formal written evidence to FDA of actions taken to address one remaining minor observation. Based on the results of this inspection, we believe we are in substantial compliance with the regulatory requirements for a commercial medical device manufacturer. We manufacture our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS with components supplied by outside vendors and with parts manufactured internally. Key components that we manufacture internally include the wire-based sensor for our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS. The remaining components and assemblies are purchased from outside vendors. We then assemble, test, package and ship the finished product, which includes a reusable transmitter, a receiver and a disposable sensor. We are expanding our manufacturing capacity in our facilities in San Diego, California. Our capacity expansion could be constrained by the lack of material availability, equipment design, production and validation, regulatory approval of any required additional facilities, personnel staffing and other factors.

Product revenues are generated from the sale of durable continuous glucose monitoring systems (receivers and transmitters) and disposable sensors through a direct sales force in the United States as well as through distribution arrangements in the United States and in portions of Europe. The sensor is inserted by the patient and intended to be used continuously for up to seven days, after which it may be replaced with a new disposable sensor. Our transmitter and receiver are reusable. In the event we establish an installed base of patients using our products, we expect to generate an increasing portion of our revenues through recurring sales of our disposable sensors. We recognize revenue on our products upon shipment and our sales terms provide for customer payment at the time of order, or payment due within negotiated contractual terms with insurance payors, or with the issuance of a purchase order or letter of credit for certain distributors and institutions.

## **Table of Contents**

From inception through March 31, 2009, we had generated \$21.8 million of product and development grant (non-product) revenue, and we have incurred net losses in each year since our inception in May 1999 and had an accumulated deficit of \$250.8 million at March 31, 2009. We expect our losses to continue as we continue our commercialization and research and development activities. We have financed our operations primarily through offerings of equity securities and convertible debt. In April 2005, we completed our initial public offering in which we sold 4,700,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$50.5 million. In March 2006, we entered into a Loan Agreement, which was subsequently amended in January 2008. As of March 31, 2009, we had an outstanding balance of \$2.8 million under the Loan Agreement. In May 2006, we completed a follow-on public offering of 2,117,375 shares of our common stock for net proceeds of \$47.0 million. In March 2007, we issued an aggregate principal amount of \$60.0 million of 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2027. In February 2009, we completed a follow-on public offering of 15,994,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$45.6 million.

## **Financial Operations**

### ***Revenue***

From inception through March 31, 2009, we generated \$17.6 million in revenue from the sale of our continuous glucose monitoring systems. We expect that revenues we generate from the sales of our products will fluctuate from quarter to quarter. During the first quarter of 2008, we entered into a joint development agreement with Animas Corporation, as amended on January 12, 2009, and recognize development grant revenue ratably over the term of the agreement. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Edwards and we recognize development grant revenue received pursuant to that agreement ratably over the term of the agreement. From inception through March 31, 2009, we recognized \$4.3 million in development grant revenue.

### ***Cost of Sales***

Product cost of sales includes direct labor and materials costs related to each product sold or produced, including assembly, test labor and scrap, as well as factory overhead supporting our manufacturing operations. Factory overhead includes facilities, material procurement and control, manufacturing engineering, quality control, supervision and management. These costs are primarily salary, fringe benefits, stock based compensation, facility expense, supplies and purchased services. The majority of our costs are currently fixed due to our relatively low production volumes compared to our potential capacity. All of our manufacturing costs are included in product cost of sales. Development cost of sales consists primarily of salaries, fringe, facilities, and supplies directly attributable to our development contracts.

### ***Research and Development***

Our research and development expenses primarily consist of engineering and research expenses related to our continuous glucose monitoring technology, clinical trials, regulatory expenses, materials and products for clinical trials. Until December 31, 2005 our manufacturing costs were included in research and development expense. Research and development expenses are primarily related to employee compensation, including salary, fringe benefits, stock based compensation, and temporary employee expenses. We also incur significant expenses to operate our clinical trials including clinical site reimbursement, clinical trial product and associated travel expenses. Our research and development expenses also include fees for design services, contractors and development materials.

### ***Selling, General and Administrative***

Our selling, general and administrative expenses primarily consist of salary, fringe benefits and stock based compensation for our executive, financial, sales, marketing and administrative functions. Other significant expenses include trade show expenses, sales samples, insurance, professional fees for our outside legal counsel and independent auditors, litigation expenses and expenses for board meetings.

## **Results of Operations**

### ***Quarter Ended March 31, 2009 Compared to March 31, 2008***

#### ***Revenue, Cost of Sales and Gross Margin***

Product revenues increased \$848,000 to \$2.7 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$1.8 million for the first quarter of 2008 based primarily on increased sales volume and higher average per unit selling prices. Product cost of sales increased \$410,000 to \$3.5 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$3.1 million for the first quarter of 2008. The product gross margin loss of \$850,000 for the first quarter of 2009 decreased \$438,000 compared to \$1.3 million for the same period in 2008, primarily due to increased revenue and better direct labor utilization.



## **Table of Contents**

Development grant revenues totaled \$2.5 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$38,000 for the first quarter of 2008. Development cost of sales increased \$1.8 million to \$2.0 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$130,000 for the first quarter of 2008. The increase in both revenues and costs associated with development was primarily due to our entry into a joint development agreement with Animas Corporation in the first quarter of 2008 and our entry into a collaboration agreement with Edwards Lifesciences LLC in the fourth quarter of 2008.

**Research and Development.** Research and development expense decreased \$1.6 million to \$3.2 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to \$4.8 million for the first quarter of 2008. The decrease in research and development expense was primarily due to the joint development and collaboration agreements entered into with Animas and Edwards in 2008, and the corresponding reclassification of expenses to development cost of sales. Major elements of decreased research and development costs include \$662,000 in lower salaries, \$277,000 in lower facilities costs, and \$197,000 in fewer supplies.

**Selling, General and Administrative.** Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$1.5 million to \$7.9 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to \$6.4 million for the first quarter of 2008. The increase was primarily due to higher marketing and sales costs. Major elements of increased selling, general, and administrative expenses include \$408,000 in higher salaries, \$241,000 in higher marketing consulting costs, and \$185,000 in higher temporary employee costs.

**Interest Income.** Interest income decreased \$442,000 to \$123,000 for the first quarter of 2009, compared to \$565,000 for the first quarter of 2008. The decrease in interest income was primarily due to lower average interest bearing cash and marketable securities balances and lower yields earned on those balances during the first quarter of 2009 as compared to the first quarter of 2008.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense increased \$193,000 to \$1.9 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to \$1.7 million for the same period in 2008. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to additional non-cash interest expense relating to the 4.75% convertible notes issued in March of 2007 and the adoption of FSP APB 14-1, which requires accretion of the debt discount to interest expense over the instrument's expected life using the effective interest method.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We are in the early commercialization stage and have incurred losses since our inception in May 1999. As of March 31, 2009, we had an accumulated deficit of \$250.8 million and had working capital of \$51.5 million. Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities totaled \$61.5 million, excluding \$3.7 million in restricted cash. We have funded our operations primarily from the sale of equity and debt securities and our bank line. As of March 31, 2009 we had a total of \$2.8 million outstanding under our amended bank equipment loan that we are required to repay through July 2011.

**Net Cash Used in Operating Activities.** Net cash used in operating activities decreased \$7.1 million to \$9.4 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to \$16.4 million net cash used for the same period in 2008. The decrease in cash used in operations was primarily due to \$6.5 million in changes in operating assets and liabilities and by \$672,000 in lower net loss. Of the \$6.5 million in changes in operating assets and liabilities, \$5.6 million was due to reduced restricted cash requirements and \$766,000 was due to less on hand inventory.

**Net Cash Provided By Investing Activities.** Net cash used in investing activities was \$39.3 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to \$13.6 million provided by investing activities for the same period of 2008. The increase in cash used in investing activities was primarily due to \$27.8 million increase in cash used to purchase available-for-sale marketable securities and by \$25.2 million in lower proceeds from the maturities of short-term marketable securities for the first quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. During the first quarter of 2009, we invested \$1.0 million in equipment to support manufacturing improvements compared to \$1.1 million during the same period in 2008.

**Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities.** Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$41.5 million to \$45.2 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$3.7 million for the same period of 2008. The increase was primarily due to the \$45.6 million in net proceeds generated by the sale of common stock in the follow on public offering for the three months ending March 31, 2009 compared to none in the same period of 2008, offset by a decrease of \$3.0 million of net proceeds from equipment loans for the three months ending March 31, 2009 compared to the same period of 2008.

## **Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements**

We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses for the foreseeable future as we incur expenses to commercialize our approved products, develop additional continuous glucose monitoring products, and expand our marketing, manufacturing and corporate infrastructure.

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

We believe that our cash, cash equivalents, short-term marketable securities balances, and projected cash contributions from existing partnership arrangements will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements with respect to the scale-up of our commercialization activities, research and development activities, including clinical trials, the expansion of our marketing,

## **Table of Contents**

manufacturing and corporate infrastructure, and to meet our other anticipated cash needs for at least the next twelve months. If our available cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, or if we develop additional products, we may seek to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain an additional credit facility. The sale of additional equity and debt securities may result in additional dilution to our stockholders. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of debt securities or preferred stock, these securities could have rights senior to those of our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. We may require additional capital beyond our currently forecasted amounts. Any such required additional capital may not be available on reasonable terms, if at all. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining additional cash contributions from future partnership arrangements. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of, delay or eliminate some or all of our planned research, development and commercialization activities, which could harm our business.

Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development of continuous glucose monitoring technologies, we are unable to estimate the exact amounts of capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with our current and anticipated clinical trials. Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to:

the revenue generated by sales of our approved products and other future products;

the expenses we incur in manufacturing, developing, selling and marketing our products;

the quality levels of our products and services;

the third party reimbursement of our products for our customers;

our ability to efficiently scale our manufacturing operations to meet demand for our current and any future products;

the costs and timing of additional regulatory approvals;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights, including, but not limited to, defending the patent infringement lawsuit filed against us by Abbott;

the rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other development activities;

the success of our research and development efforts;

the emergence of competing or complementary technological developments;

the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish; and

the acquisition of businesses, products and technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

## **Contractual Obligations**

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

We are party to various purchase arrangements related to components used in production and research and development activities. As of March 31, 2009, we had purchase commitments with certain vendors totaling approximately \$2.5 million due within one year. There are no purchase commitments due beyond one year.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not engaged in any off-balance sheet activities.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which we have prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements as well as the reported revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

While our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K, we believe that the following accounting policies and estimates are most critical to a full understanding and evaluation of our reported financial results.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Revenue Recognition**

We sell durable systems and disposable units through a direct sales force in the United States as well as through distribution arrangements in the United States and in portions of Europe. Components are individually priced and can be purchased separately or together. The SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS durable systems both include a transmitter, a receiver, a power cord, data management software and a USB cable. Disposable sensors for use with the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS systems are sold separately in packages of four. The initial SEVEN or SEVEN PLUS durable system price is not dependent upon the purchase of any amount of disposable sensors. We discontinued sales of our SEVEN system in the United States in the first quarter of 2009, although we continue to sell disposable sensors for use with both the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS durable systems.

Revenue on product sales is recognized upon shipment, which is when title and the risk of loss have been transferred to the customer and there are no other post-shipment obligations. With respect to customers who directly pay for the products, the products are generally paid for at the time of shipment using a customer's credit card and do not include customer acceptance provisions. We recognize revenue from contracted insurance payors based on the contracted rate. For non-contracted insurance payors, we obtain a prior authorization from the payor and recognize revenue based on the estimated collectible amount and historical experience. In all situations, we receive a prescription or statement of medical necessity and, for insurance reimbursement customers, an assignment of benefits prior to shipment.

After approval of our third generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN PLUS, on February 13, 2009, we started taking orders for an upgrade kit to upgrade existing customers. For systems sold during the first quarter of 2009 that included an upgrade right, a portion of the sales price is allocated to the undelivered upgrade and deferred based on the fair value of the upgrade kit. This deferred revenue will be recognized when the upgrade kit has been delivered or the program expires. As of March 31, 2009, deferred product revenue for this program totaled approximately \$41,000.

In August 2007, we adopted a 30-day money back guarantee program whereby customers who purchase the SEVEN durable system and a package of four disposable sensors may return the SEVEN durable system for any reason within thirty days of purchase and receive a full refund of their purchase price. This program also applies to the purchase of the SEVEN PLUS. At March 31, 2009, we maintained a reserve balance of \$15,000 relating to this program. We accrue for estimated returns and/or refunds by reducing revenues and establishing a liability account at the time of shipment based on historical experience.

During 2008 and 2009, we entered into distribution agreements with RGH Enterprises, Inc., or Edgepark, and other distributors that allow the distributors to sell our durable systems and disposable units. Revenue on product sales to distributors is recognized at the time of shipment, which is when title and risk of loss have been transferred to the distributor and there are no other post-shipment obligations. Revenue is recognized based on contracted prices and invoices are either paid by check following the issuance of a purchase order or letter of credit, or they are paid by wire at the time of placing the order. Terms of distributor orders are FOB shipping point (FCA shipping point for international orders). Distributors do not have rights of return per their distribution agreement outside of our standard warranty. We accrue for estimated returns, refunds and rebates by reducing revenues and establishing a liability account at the time of shipment based on historical experience. Our distributors typically have a limited time frame to notify us of any missing, damaged, defective or non-conforming products. For any such products, we shall either, at our option, replace the portion of defective or non-conforming product at no additional cost to the distributor or cancel the order and refund any portion of the price paid to us at that time for the sale in question. We have no intention of refunding or unwinding a prior sale and view any potential non-conformity solely as a warranty issue.

We shipped product directly to distributors' customers and recognized \$699,000 in revenue for the three months ending March 31, 2009. With respect to a domestic distributor who stocks inventory of the product and fulfills orders from their inventory, we shipped product to the distributor and recognized \$114,000 in revenue from this arrangement for the three months ending March 31, 2009. We monitor shipments and on-hand inventory levels to this distributor, and at March 31, 2009, this distributor had a limited amount of our product in their inventory.

During 2008, we entered into collaborative license and development arrangements with strategic partners for the development and commercialization of products utilizing our technologies. The terms of these agreements typically include multiple deliverables by us (for example, license rights, provision of research and development services, and manufacture of clinical materials) in exchange for consideration to us of some combination of non-refundable license fees, funding of research and development activities, payments based upon achievement of development milestones and royalties in the form of a designated percentage of product sales or profits. We follow the provisions of the SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements* (SAB 101), as amended by SAB No. 104, *Revenue Recognition* (SAB 104), and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (EITF 00-21). With the exception of royalties, these types of consideration are classified as development grant revenue in our consolidated statements of operations when revenue recognition is appropriate.

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

Non-refundable license fees are recognized as revenue when we have a contractual right to receive such payment, the contract price is fixed or determinable, the collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured and we have no further performance obligations under the license agreement. Multiple element arrangements, such as license and development arrangements, are analyzed to determine whether the deliverables can be separated or whether they must be accounted for as a single unit of accounting

## **Table of Contents**

in accordance with EITF 00-21. We recognize up-front license payments as revenue upon delivery of the license only if the license has stand-alone value and the fair value of the undelivered performance obligations can be determined. If the fair value of the undelivered performance obligations can be determined, such obligations would then be accounted for separately as performed. If the license is considered to either (i) not have stand-alone value or (ii) have stand-alone value but the fair value of any of the undelivered performance obligations cannot be determined, the arrangement would then be accounted for as a single unit of accounting.

For arrangements that are accounted for as a single unit of accounting, total payments under the arrangement are recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the period we expect to complete our performance obligations. The cumulative amount of revenue earned is limited to the cumulative amount of payments received as of the period ending date.

If we cannot reasonably estimate when our performance obligation either ceases or becomes inconsequential, then revenue is deferred until we can reasonably estimate when the performance obligation ceases or becomes inconsequential. Revenue is then recognized over the remaining estimated period of performance. Deferred revenue amounts are classified as current liabilities to the extent that revenue is expected to be recognized within one year.

Significant management judgment is required in determining the level of effort required under an arrangement and the period over which we are expected to complete our performance obligations under an arrangement.

During the first quarter of 2008, we entered into a development agreement with Animas Corporation, as amended on January 12, 2009, which provided us with a development grant. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Edwards which provided us with a development grant. We recognized \$2.5 million in development revenue for the three months ending March 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, we had \$11.6 million in deferred revenue relating to our development agreements.

## **Share-Based Compensation**

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R), using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees, non-employee directors, and consultants including employee stock options and employee stock purchases related to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan based on estimated fair values. As permitted by SFAS 123(R), we utilize the Black-Scholes option-pricing model as the method of valuation for share-based awards granted. Share-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) for the three months ending March 31, 2009 was \$2.0 million compared to \$1.9 million for the three months ending March 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2009, there was \$17.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding options that is expected to be recognized as a component of our operating expenses through 2013. Compensation costs will be adjusted for future changes in estimated forfeitures. Prior to January 1, 2006, we had adopted the disclosure-only provision of SFAS 123 as discussed further in our annual report on Form 10-K. Accordingly, we had not previously recognized compensation expense, except for share-based compensation expense accounted for in accordance with APB 25.

## **Foreign Currency**

The consolidated financial statements of our non-U.S. subsidiary, whose functional currency is the Swedish Krona, is translated into U.S. dollars for financial reporting purposes. Assets and liabilities are translated at period-end exchange rates, and revenue and expense transactions are translated at average exchange rates for the period. Cumulative translation adjustments are recognized as part of comprehensive income and are included in accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated balance sheet. Gains and losses on transactions denominated in other than the functional currency are reflected in operations.

## **Restructuring Charges**

We account for facility exit costs in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* ( SFAS 146 ), which requires that a liability for such costs be recognized and measured initially at fair value on the cease-use date based on remaining lease rentals, adjusted for the effects of any prepaid or deferred items recognized, reduced by the estimated sublease rentals that could be reasonably obtained even if it is not the intent to sublease.

In April 2006, we entered into a lease for the current headquarters facility due to additional space requirements. In the fourth quarter of 2008, we exited the former headquarters facility after moving all operations to the new headquarters facility. We have not yet entered into a sublease agreement for the former headquarters facility. Restructuring charges taken consist primarily of costs associated with permanently vacating our former headquarters facility. We recorded \$355,000 in additional rent related expense during 2008 relating to this restructuring, which is included in operating expenses and cost of sales in the consolidated statement of operations. As of December 31, 2008, accrued liabilities

relating to this restructuring totaled \$450,000.

We are required to estimate future sublease income and future net operating expenses of the facilities, among other expenses. The most significant of these estimates have related to the timing and extent of future sublease income in which to reduce lease obligations, and the probability for which the sublease income can be expected. We based estimates of sublease income, in part, on the opinions of independent real estate experts, current market conditions and rental rates, an assessment of the time period over

## **Table of Contents**

which reasonable estimates could be made, and the location of the respective facility, among other factors. Further adjustments to the facility exit liability accrual will be required in future periods if actual exit costs or sublease income differ from amounts currently expected. We will review the status of restructuring activities on a quarterly basis and, if appropriate, record changes to restructuring obligations in current operations based on management's most current estimates. Exit costs we record under these provisions are neither associated with, nor do they benefit, continuing activities.

In the first quarter of 2009, we elected to reoccupy the former headquarters facility. This decision was based on the need to conduct research experiments requiring a large open space, which is unavailable at the current headquarters facility. As a result of the decision to reoccupy the former headquarters facility, we reversed the prior accrual for restructuring charges and reinstated deferred rent balances. We recorded a restructuring benefit of approximately \$362,000 for the three months ending March 31, 2009, which is included in the consolidated statement of operations. We re-established a deferred rent liability of approximately \$88,000 as of March 31, 2009, which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

### **Income Taxes**

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48), which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for recording in the financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on the derecognition, classification, accounting in interim periods and disclosure requirements for uncertain tax positions. Only tax positions that meet the more likely than not recognition threshold at the effective date may be recognized upon adoption of FIN 48.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. In February 2008, the FASB deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 by one year for certain non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). On January 1, 2008, we adopted the provisions of SFAS 157.

The fair value hierarchy described by the standard is based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value and include the following:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations. The book values of cash and cash equivalents, short-term marketable securities, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their respective fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the EITF Issue No. 07-1, *Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements* (EITF 07-1). EITF 07-1 requires collaborators to present the results of activities for which they act as the principal on a gross basis and report any payments received from (made to) other collaborators based on other applicable GAAP or, in the absence of other applicable GAAP, based on analogy to authoritative accounting literature or a reasonable, rational, and consistently applied accounting policy election. Further, EITF 07-1 clarified that the determination of whether transactions within a collaborative arrangement are part of a vendor-customer (or analogous) relationship subject to EITF Issue No. 01-9, *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)* (EITF 01-9). Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted EITF 07-1. The adoption of EITF 07-1 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.



## **Table of Contents**

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)* ( APB 14-1 ). The FSP requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument. The debt would be recognized at the present value of its cash flows discounted using our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component would be recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability. The FSP also requires an accretion of the resultant debt discount over the expected life of the debt. The transition guidance requires retrospective application to all periods presented, and does not grandfather existing instruments. The effective date of the FSP is for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of the FSP. The adoption of FSP APB 14-1 resulted in a reduction to the historical carrying value of the 4.75% convertible senior notes due in 2027 on our balance sheet of \$26.6 million, a reduction to the carrying value of the debt issuance costs of \$1.2 million, and a corresponding increase to paid in capital as of the date of issuance. The estimated interest rate of 19.5% was applied to the notes and coupon interest using a present value technique to arrive at the fair value of the liability component. The adoption of the FSP also resulted in an increase in accumulated deficit of \$6.2 million and a corresponding net decrease to the carrying value of the debt discount and issuance costs as of January 1, 2009. We recorded \$1.1 million and \$947,000 of non-cash interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. We recorded \$713,000 of interest expense relating to the contractual coupon payments for each of the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The impact of adoption of this FSP to loss per share was an increase of \$0.02 and \$0.03 for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-5, *Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock* ( EITF 07-5 ). EITF 07-5 provides that we should use a two-step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to our own stock, including evaluating the instrument's contingent exercise and settlement provisions. The effective date for EITF 07-5 is for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is not permitted. On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of EITF 07-5. The adoption of EITF 07-5 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2009.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve our capital for the purpose of funding operations while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. To achieve these objectives, our investment policy allows us to maintain a portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term investments in a variety of securities, including money market funds, U.S. Treasury debt and corporate debt securities. Due to the short-term nature of our investments, we believe that we have no material exposure to interest rate risk.

#### **Foreign Currency Risk**

To date we have recorded no product sales in other than U.S. dollars. We have only limited business transactions in foreign currencies. We do not currently engage in hedging or similar transactions to reduce our foreign currency risks. We believe we have no material exposure to risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates at this time. We will continue to monitor and evaluate our internal processes relating to foreign currency exchange, including the potential use of hedging strategies.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 require public companies to maintain disclosure controls and procedures, which are defined to mean a company's controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for this purpose.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in our internal control over the financial reporting during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Limitation on Effectiveness of Controls**

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met. The design of any control system is based, in part, upon the benefits of the control system relative to its costs. Control systems can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. In addition, over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

## **PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

On August 11, 2005, Abbott, filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking a declaratory judgment that our continuous glucose monitor infringes certain patents held by Abbott. In August 2005, we moved to dismiss these claims and filed requests for reexamination of the Abbott patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or the Patent Office, and by March 2006, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the four patents originally asserted against us in the litigation. On June 27, 2006, Abbott amended its complaint to include three additional patents owned or licensed by Abbott which are allegedly infringed by our continuous glucose monitor. On August 18, 2006 the court granted our motion to stay the lawsuit pending reexamination by the Patent Office of each of the four patents originally asserted by Abbott, and the court dismissed one significant infringement claim. In approving the stay, the court also granted our motion to strike, or disallow, Abbott's amended complaint in which Abbott had sought to add three additional patents to the litigation. Subsequent to the court's August 18, 2006 order striking Abbott's amended complaint, Abbott filed a separate action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement of the three additional patents it had sought to include in the litigation discussed above. On September 7, 2006, we filed a motion to strike Abbott's new complaint on the grounds that it is redundant of claims Abbott already improperly attempted to inject into the original case, and because the original case is now stayed, Abbott must wait until the court lifts that stay before it can properly ask the court to consider these claims. Alternatively, we asked the court to consolidate the new case with the original case and thereby stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office. In February 2007, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the three patents cited in this new lawsuit. On September 30, 2007, the court granted our motion to consolidate the cases and stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office relating to all seven patents asserted against us.

Each of the seven patents described above have one or more associated reexamination requests in various stages of prosecution at the Patent Office. With regard to the four patents originally asserted, three of the patents are under final rejection and one of the patents is under non-final rejection. Abbott has filed responses with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art we have presented, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art we have presented, and/or seeking to add new claims. One final rejection indicates some rejected and some allowable claims. In the other two final rejections, all of the claims for which reexamination was requested currently stand rejected. So far, Abbott has filed an Appeal Brief in one of the cases finally rejected and a Notice of Appeal in the other. With regard to the three patents subsequently asserted, two of the patents are under non-final rejection and one of the patents has recently had a new reexamination request ordered. In these two non-finally rejected cases, Abbott has filed responses with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art we have presented, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art we have presented, and/or seeking to add new claims. Additionally, although two of these three patents have each had a Reexamination Certificate issue and/or a claim confirmed, the Patent Office has subsequently ordered additional reexamination on these reexamined patents in view of new prior art and/or new issues presented by subsequently filed reexamination requests.

In 2008, Abbott copied claims from certain of DexCom's applications, and stated that it may seek to provoke an interference with certain of DexCom's pending applications in the Patent Office. If an interference is declared and Abbott prevails in the interference, DexCom would lose certain patent rights to the subject matter defined in the interference. Also in 2008, Abbott has filed reexamination requests seeking to invalidate two of DexCom's patents in the Patent Office. In both reexamination requests, the Patent Office has ordered the reexamination and issued non-final office actions and we have responded to those non-final office actions by seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art, and canceling certain claims. Recently, the Patent Office has issued a non-final office action confirming the patentability of our original and amended claims pending in one of the patents.

## **Table of Contents**

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

#### **Factors that May Affect our Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

##### **We have a limited operating history and our products may never achieve market acceptance.**

We are a medical device company focused on the design, development and commercialization of continuous glucose monitoring systems for ambulatory use by people with diabetes and for use by healthcare providers in the hospital for the treatment of both diabetic and non-diabetic patients. On March 24, 2006, we received approval from the FDA for our first product, the STS, designed for up to three days of continuous use. On May 31, 2007, we received approval from the FDA for our second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN, designed for up to seven days of continuous use, and we began commercializing this product in the third quarter of 2007. As part of our commercialization of the SEVEN, we discontinued sales of our STS three day durable system in the second quarter of 2007 and discontinued the sale of our three day sensors during the second quarter of 2008. On February 13, 2009, we received approval from the FDA for our third generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN PLUS, and we began commercializing this product in the first quarter of 2009. Our approvals allow for the use of our continuous glucose monitoring systems by adults with diabetes to detect trends and track glucose patterns, to aid in the detection of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and to facilitate acute and long-term therapy adjustments. Our approved products must be prescribed by a physician and include a disposable sensor, a transmitter and a small handheld receiver. Our approved products are indicated for use as adjunctive devices to complement, not replace, information obtained from standard home blood glucose monitoring devices and must be calibrated periodically using a standard home blood glucose monitor. The sensor is inserted by the patient and is intended to be used continuously for up to seven days after which it is removed by the patient and may be replaced by a new sensor. Our transmitter and receiver are reusable. On November 26, 2008, we received CE Mark (Conformité Européene) approval for the SEVEN, enabling commercialization of the SEVEN system in the European Union and the countries in Asia and Latin America that recognize the CE Mark. We expect to commercialize our products on a limited basis in the European Union in 2009. From inception to 2006, we devoted substantially all of our resources to start-up activities, raising capital and research and development, including product design, testing, manufacturing and clinical trials. Since 2006, we have devoted considerable resources to the commercialization of our ambulatory continuous glucose monitoring systems, including the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS, as well as the continued research and clinical development of our technology platform. We have yet to seek approval from the FDA for our in-hospital, continuous glucose monitoring system.

We expect that sales of our SEVEN and our SEVEN PLUS, which both consist of a handheld receiver, reusable transmitter and disposable sensor, will account for substantially all of our product revenue for the foreseeable future. From inception through March 31, 2009, revenues from sales of our products total approximately \$17.6 million. We have limited experience in selling our products and we might be unable to successfully commercialize our products on a wide scale for a number of reasons, including:

market acceptance of our products by physicians and patients will largely depend on our ability to demonstrate their relative safety, efficacy, reliability, cost-effectiveness and ease of use;

we may not be able to manufacture our products in commercial quantities or at an acceptable cost;

patients do not generally receive broad reimbursement from third-party payors for their purchase of our products, which may reduce widespread use of our products;

our inexperience in marketing, selling and distributing our products;

we may not have adequate financial or other resources to successfully commercialize our products;

the uncertainties associated with establishing and qualifying new manufacturing facilities;

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS are not labeled as a replacement for the information that is obtained from single-point finger stick devices;

patients will need to incur the costs of our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS in addition to single-point finger stick devices;

the introduction and market acceptance of competing products and technologies;

our inability to obtain sufficient quantities of supplies at appropriate quality levels from our sole source and other key suppliers; and

rapid technological change may make our technology and our products obsolete.

Our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS are more invasive than current self-monitored glucose testing systems, including single-point finger stick devices, and patients may be unwilling to insert a sensor in their body, especially if their current diabetes management involves no more than two finger sticks per day. Moreover, patients may not perceive the benefits of continuous glucose monitoring

## **Table of Contents**

and may be unwilling to change their current treatment regimens. In addition, physicians tend to be slow to change their medical treatment practices because of perceived liability risks arising from the use of new products. Physicians may not recommend or prescribe our products until (i) there is long-term clinical evidence to convince them to alter their existing treatment methods, (ii) there are recommendations from prominent physicians that our products are effective in monitoring glucose levels and (iii) reimbursement or insurance coverage is widely available. We cannot predict when, if ever, physicians and patients may adopt the use of the SEVEN or SEVEN PLUS. If the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance by patients, physicians and healthcare payors, we may not generate significant product revenue and we may not become profitable.

### **Our debt obligations expose us to risks that could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.**

In March 2007, we issued an aggregate principal amount of \$60 million in 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2027. The level of our indebtedness, among other things, could:

require us to dedicate a portion of our expected cash flow or our existing cash to service our indebtedness, which would reduce the amount of our cash available for other purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures and research and development expenditures;

make it difficult for us to incur additional debt or obtain any necessary financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service, acquisitions or general corporate purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business;

limit our ability to sell ourselves or engage in other strategic transactions;

make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business; or

place us at a possible competitive disadvantage relative to less leveraged competitors and competitors that have greater access to capital resources.

If we fail to generate sufficient revenue due to any of the factors described in this section entitled "Risk Factors," or otherwise, we could have difficulty paying amounts due on our indebtedness. Although the convertible senior notes mature in 2027, the holders of the convertible senior notes may require us to repurchase their notes prior to maturity under certain circumstances, including specified fundamental changes such as the sale of a majority of the voting power of the company. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow or otherwise obtain funds necessary to make required payments, or if we fail to comply with the various requirements of the convertible senior notes, we would be in default, which would permit the holders of our indebtedness to accelerate the maturity of the indebtedness and could cause defaults under any other indebtedness that we may have outstanding at such time. Any default under our indebtedness could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

### **Conversion of the convertible senior notes will dilute the ownership interests of existing stockholders.**

The terms of the convertible senior notes permit the holders to convert the notes into shares of our common stock. The convertible senior notes are convertible into our common stock initially at a conversion price of \$7.80 per share, which would result in an aggregate of approximately 7.7 million shares of our common stock being issued upon conversion, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of specified events, provided that the total number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion, as may be adjusted for fundamental changes or otherwise, may not exceed approximately 9.2 million shares. The conversion of some or all of the convertible senior notes will dilute the ownership interest of our existing stockholders. Any sales in the public market of the common stock issuable upon conversion could adversely affect prevailing market prices of our common stock.

**We have incurred losses since inception and anticipate that we will incur continued losses for the foreseeable future.**

We have incurred net losses in each year since our inception in May 1999, including a net loss of \$13.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, we had an accumulated deficit of \$250.8 million. We have financed our operations primarily through private placements of our equity and debt securities and our public offerings, and have devoted a substantial portion of our resources to research and development relating to our continuous glucose monitoring systems, including our in-hospital product development, and more recently, we have incurred significant sales and marketing and manufacturing expenses associated with the commercialization of the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS. In addition, we expect our research and development expenses to increase in connection with our clinical trials and other development activities related to our products. We also expect that our general and administrative expenses will continue to increase due to the additional operational and regulatory burdens applicable to public companies. As a result, we expect to continue to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future. These losses, among other things, have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our stockholders' equity and may adversely affect our ability to pay interest on, and principal of, the convertible senior notes.

## **Table of Contents**

**Current uncertainty in global economic conditions makes it particularly difficult to predict product demand and other related matters and makes it more likely that our actual results could differ materially from expectations.**

Our operations and performance depend on worldwide economic conditions, which have recently deteriorated significantly in the United States and other countries, and may remain depressed for the foreseeable future. These conditions may make it difficult for our customers and potential customers to afford our products, and could cause our customers to stop using our products or to use them less frequently. If that were to occur, we would experience a decrease in revenue and our performance would be negatively impacted. We cannot predict the timing, strength or duration of any economic slowdown or subsequent economic recovery, worldwide, in the United States, or in our industry. These and other economic factors could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

**If we are unable to establish adequate sales, marketing and distribution capabilities or enter into and maintain arrangements with third parties to sell, market and distribute our products, our business may be harmed.**

To achieve commercial success for the SEVEN, the SEVEN PLUS and our future products, we must continue to develop and grow our sales and marketing organization and enter into arrangements with others to market and sell our products. We currently employ a small direct sales force to market our products in the United States. Our sales organization competes with the experienced and well-funded marketing and sales operations of our competitors. We have also entered into distribution arrangements to leverage existing distributors already engaged in the diabetes marketplace. Because of the competition for their services, we may be unable to partner with or retain additional qualified distributors. Further, we may not be able to enter into agreements with distributors on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

**Developing and managing a direct sales organization is a difficult, expensive and time consuming process. To be successful we must:**

recruit and retain adequate numbers of effective sales personnel;

effectively train our sales personnel in the benefits of our products;

establish and maintain successful sales and marketing and education programs that encourage endocrinologists, physicians and diabetes educators to recommend our products to their patients; and

manage geographically disbursed sales and marketing operations.

**If we are unable to develop and maintain an adequate sales and marketing organization, or if our direct sales organization is not successful, we may have difficulty achieving market awareness and selling our products.**

We have contracted with third party distributors to market and sell our products in the United States and in portions of Europe to access the existing bases of diabetes patients of these distributors. To the extent that we enter into additional arrangements with third parties to perform sales, marketing, distribution and billing services in the United States or Europe, our product margins could be lower than if we directly marketed and sold our products. Furthermore, to the extent that we enter into co-promotion or other marketing and sales arrangements with other companies, any revenue received will depend on the skills and efforts of others, and we cannot predict whether these efforts will be successful. In addition, market acceptance of our products by physicians and patients in Europe will largely depend on our ability to demonstrate their relative safety, efficacy, reliability, cost-effectiveness and ease of use. If we are unable to do so, we may not be able to generate product revenue from our sales efforts in Europe. Last, if we are unable to establish and maintain adequate sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, independently or with others, we may not be able to generate product revenue and may not become profitable.

**We have limited manufacturing capabilities and manufacturing personnel, and if our manufacturing capabilities are insufficient to produce an adequate supply of product at appropriate quality levels, our growth could be limited and our business could be harmed.**

We currently have limited resources, facilities and experience in commercially manufacturing sufficient quantities of product to meet expected demand. We have had difficulty scaling our manufacturing operations to provide a sufficient supply of product to support our commercialization efforts. We have also experienced periods of backorder and, at times, have had to limit the efforts of our sales force to introduce our products to new customers. We have focused significant effort on continual improvement programs in our manufacturing operations intended to improve

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

quality, yields and throughput. We have made progress in manufacturing to enable us to supply adequate amounts of product to support our commercialization efforts, however, there can be no assurances that supply will not be constrained going forward. In order to produce our products in the quantities we anticipate will be necessary to meet market demand, we will need to increase our manufacturing capacity by a significant factor over the current level. There are technical challenges to increasing manufacturing capacity, including equipment design and automation, materials procurement, problems with production yields and quality control and assurance. Developing commercial-scale manufacturing facilities will require the

## **Table of Contents**

investment of substantial additional funds and the hiring and retention of additional management, quality assurance, quality control and technical personnel who have the necessary manufacturing experience. Also, the scaling of manufacturing capacity is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, such as construction timelines, design, installation and maintenance of manufacturing equipment, among others, which can lead to unexpected delays. In addition, our facilities may have to undergo additional inspections by the FDA and corresponding state agencies. We cannot assure you that we will be able to develop and expand our manufacturing process and operations or obtain FDA and state agency approval of our facilities in a timely manner or at all. If we are unable to manufacture a sufficient supply of our current products or any future products for which we may receive approval, maintain control over expenses or otherwise adapt to anticipated growth, or if we underestimate growth, we may not have the capability to satisfy market demand and our business will suffer.

Additionally, the production of our products must occur in a highly controlled and clean environment to minimize particles and other yield-and quality-limiting contaminants. Weaknesses in process control or minute impurities in materials may cause a substantial percentage of defective products in a lot. If we are not able to maintain stringent quality controls, or if contamination problems arise, our clinical development and commercialization efforts could be delayed, which would harm our business and our results of operations.

Since our commercial launch in 2006, we have experienced periodic field failures. We do not believe these failures created any patient safety concerns and we are not aware of any reports of adverse events or incidents related to these failures. Although we believe we have taken appropriate actions aimed at reducing or eliminating field failures, there can be no assurances that we will not experience additional failures going forward.

**Our products do not have broad reimbursement and receive only limited insurance coverage by third party payors. If we are unable to obtain adequate reimbursement at acceptable prices for our products or any future products from third-party payors, we will be unable to generate significant revenue.**

As a medical device company, reimbursement from Medicare and private third-party healthcare payors is an important element of our success. To date, our products are not reimbursed by virtue of a national coverage decision by Medicare. Several private third-party payors have issued coverage policies for continuous glucose monitoring devices. In addition, we have negotiated contracted rates with several of the largest private insurance providers for the purchase of our products by their members. However, patients without insurance that covers our products will have to bear the financial cost of them. On November 2, 2007, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid, or CMS, released its 2008 Alpha-Numeric HCPCS File which included three separate codes applicable to each of the three components of our continuous glucose monitoring system and HCPCS codes for continuous glucose monitoring became effective on January 1, 2008. HCPCS codes are billing codes used by Medicare and private third-party payors, but do not represent a reimbursement coverage decision by CMS. In the United States, patients using existing single-point finger stick devices are generally reimbursed all or part of the product cost by Medicare or other third-party payors. The commercial success of our products in both domestic and international markets will be substantially dependent on whether third-party coverage and reimbursement is widely available for patients that use them. Medicare, Medicaid, health maintenance organizations and other third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement of new medical devices, and, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our products. In order to obtain reimbursement arrangements, we may have to agree to a net sales price lower than the net sales price we might charge in other sales channels. The continuing efforts of government and third-party payors to contain or reduce the costs of healthcare may limit our revenue. Our initial dependence on the commercial success of the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS makes us particularly susceptible to any cost containment or reduction efforts. Accordingly, unless government and other third-party payors provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS, patients may not use our products.

In some foreign markets, pricing and profitability of medical devices are subject to government control. In the United States, we expect that there will continue to be federal and state proposals for similar controls. Also, the trends toward managed healthcare in the United States and proposed legislation intended to reduce the cost of government insurance programs could significantly influence the purchase of healthcare services and products and may result in lower prices for our products or the exclusion of our products from reimbursement programs.

**Our manufacturing operations are dependent upon third-party suppliers, making us vulnerable to supply problems and price fluctuations, which could harm our business.**

We rely on Flextronics International, Ltd. to manufacture and supply circuit boards for our receiver; we rely on AMI Semiconductor, Inc. to manufacture and supply the application specific integrated circuit, or ASIC, that is incorporated into the transmitter; we rely on The Polymer Technology Group to manufacture certain polymers used to synthesize our polymeric biointerface membranes for our products; and we rely on The Tech Group to supply our injection molded components. Each of these suppliers is a sole-source supplier. In some cases, our agreements with these and our other suppliers can be terminated by either party



## **Table of Contents**

upon short notice. Our contract manufacturers also rely on sole-source suppliers to manufacture some of the components used in our products. Our manufacturers and suppliers may encounter problems during manufacturing due to a variety of reasons, including failure to follow specific protocols and procedures, failure to comply with applicable regulations, equipment malfunction and environmental factors, any of which could delay or impede their ability to meet our demand. Our reliance on these outside manufacturers and suppliers also subjects us to other risks that could harm our business, including:

we may not be able to obtain adequate supply in a timely manner or on commercially reasonable terms;

our products are technologically complex and it is difficult to develop alternative supply sources;

we are not a major customer of many of our suppliers, and these suppliers may therefore give other customers' needs higher priority than ours;

our suppliers may make errors in manufacturing components that could negatively affect the efficacy or safety of our products or cause delays in shipment of our products;

we may have difficulty locating and qualifying alternative suppliers for our sole-source supplies;

switching components may require product redesign and submission to the FDA of a PMA supplement or possibly a separate PMA, either of which could significantly delay production;

our suppliers manufacture products for a range of customers, and fluctuations in demand for the products these suppliers manufacture for others may affect their ability to deliver components to us in a timely manner; and

our suppliers may encounter financial hardships unrelated to our demand for components, including those related to changes in global economic conditions, which could inhibit their ability to fulfill our orders and meet our requirements.

We may not be able to quickly establish additional or replacement suppliers, particularly for our single-source components, in part because of the FDA approval process and because of the custom nature of various parts we design. Any interruption or delay in the supply of components or materials, or our inability to obtain components or materials from alternate sources at acceptable prices in a timely manner, could impair our ability to meet the demand of our customers and cause them to cancel orders or switch to competitive products.

**Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc. has filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us. If we are not successful in defending against its claims, our business could be materially impaired.**

On August 11, 2005, Abbott filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking a declaratory judgment that our continuous glucose monitor infringes certain patents held by Abbott. In August 2005, we moved to dismiss these claims and filed requests for reexamination of the Abbott patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or the Patent Office, and by March 2006, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the four patents originally asserted against us in the litigation. On June 27, 2006, Abbott amended its complaint to include three additional patents owned or licensed by Abbott which are allegedly infringed by our continuous glucose monitor. On August 18, 2006 the court granted our motion to stay the lawsuit pending reexamination by the Patent Office of each of the four patents originally asserted by Abbott, and the court dismissed one significant infringement claim. In approving the stay, the court also granted our motion to strike, or disallow, Abbott's amended complaint in which Abbott had sought to add three additional patents to the litigation. Subsequent to the court's August 18, 2006 order striking Abbott's amended complaint, Abbott filed a separate action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement of the three additional patents it had sought to include in the litigation discussed above. On September 7, 2006, we filed a motion to strike Abbott's new complaint on the grounds that it is redundant of

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

claims Abbott already improperly attempted to inject into the original case, and because the original case is now stayed, Abbott must wait until the court lifts that stay before it can properly ask the court to consider these claims. Alternatively, we asked the court to consolidate the new case with the original case and thereby stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office. In February 2007, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the three patents cited in this new lawsuit. On September 30, 2007, the court granted our motion to consolidate the cases and stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office relating to all seven patents asserted against us.

Each of the seven patents described above have one or more associated reexamination requests in various stages of prosecution at the Patent Office. With regard to the four patents originally asserted, three of the patents are under final rejection and one of the patents is under non-final rejection. Abbott has filed responses with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art we have presented, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art we have presented, and/or seeking to add new claims. One final rejection indicates some rejected and some allowable claims. In the other two final rejections, all of the claims for which reexamination was requested currently stand rejected. So far, Abbott has filed an Appeal Brief in one of the cases finally rejected and a Notice of Appeal in the other. With regard to the three patents subsequently asserted, two of the patents are under non-final rejection and one of the patents has recently had a new reexamination request ordered. In these two non-finally rejected cases, Abbott has filed responses with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art we have

## Table of Contents

presented, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art we have presented, and/or seeking to add new claims. Additionally, although two of these three patents have each had a Reexamination Certificate issue and/or a claim confirmed, the Patent Office has subsequently ordered additional reexamination on these reexamined patents in view of new prior art and/or new issues presented by subsequently filed reexamination requests.

In 2008, Abbott copied claims from certain of DexCom's applications, and stated that it may seek to provoke an interference with certain of DexCom's pending applications in the Patent Office. If an interference is declared and Abbott prevails in the interference, DexCom would lose certain patent rights to the subject matter defined in the interference. Also in 2008, Abbott has filed reexamination requests seeking to invalidate two of DexCom's patents in the Patent Office. In both reexamination requests, the Patent Office has ordered the reexamination and issued non-final office actions and we have responded to those non-final office actions by seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art, and canceling certain claims. Recently, the Patent Office has issued a non-final office action confirming the patentability of our original and amended claims pending in one of the patents.

Although it is our position that Abbott's assertions of infringement have no merit, and that the potential interference and reexamination requests have no merit, neither the outcome of the litigation nor the amount and range of potential fees associated with the litigation, potential interference or reexamination requests can be assessed. No assurances can be given that we will prevail in the lawsuit or that we can successfully defend ourselves against the claims made by Abbott, and we expect to incur significant costs in defending the action, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and our results of operations regardless of the final outcome of such litigation. Subject to the stay, Abbott could immediately seek a preliminary injunction that, if granted, would force us to stop making, using, selling or offering to sell our products. Our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS are our only current products that are approved for commercial sale, and if we were forced to stop selling them, our business and prospects would suffer. We cannot assure you that Abbott will not file for a preliminary injunction, that we would be successful in defending against such an action if filed or that we can successfully defend ourselves against the claim. In addition, defending against this action could have a number of harmful effects on our business, including those discussed in the following risk factor, regardless of the final outcome of such litigation.

Any adverse determination in litigation or interference proceedings to which we are or may become a party relating to patents could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties or require us to seek licenses from other third parties. Furthermore, if we are found to willfully infringe third-party patents, we could, in addition to other penalties, be required to pay treble damages. Although patent and intellectual property disputes in the medical device area have often been settled through licensing or similar arrangements, costs associated with such arrangements may be substantial and could include ongoing royalties. We may be unable to obtain necessary licenses on satisfactory terms, if at all. If we do not obtain necessary licenses, we may not be able to redesign our products to avoid infringement and any redesign may not receive FDA approval in a timely manner if at all. Adverse determinations in a judicial or administrative proceeding or failure to obtain necessary licenses could prevent us from manufacturing and selling our products, which would have a significant adverse impact on our business.

**We are subject to claims of infringement or misappropriation of the intellectual property rights of others, which could prohibit us from shipping affected products, require us to obtain licenses from third parties or to develop non-infringing alternatives, and subject us to substantial monetary damages and injunctive relief.**

Other companies, including Abbott, could, in the future, assert infringement or misappropriation claims against us with respect to our current or future products. Whether a product infringes a patent involves complex legal and factual issues, the determination of which is often uncertain. Therefore, we cannot be certain that we have not infringed the intellectual property rights of such third parties or others. Our competitors may assert that our continuous glucose monitoring systems or the methods we employ in the use of our systems are covered by U.S. or foreign patents held by them. This risk is exacerbated by the fact that there are numerous issued patents and pending patent applications relating to self-monitored glucose testing systems in the medical technology field. Because patent applications may take years to issue, there may be applications now pending of which we are unaware that may later result in issued patents that our products infringe. There could also be existing patents of which we are unaware that one or more components of our system may inadvertently infringe. As the number of competitors in the market for continuous glucose monitoring systems grows, the possibility of inadvertent patent infringement by us or a patent infringement claim against us increases.

Any infringement or misappropriation claim, including the claim brought by Abbott, could cause us to incur significant costs, could place significant strain on our financial resources, divert management's attention from our business and harm our reputation. If the relevant patents were upheld as valid and enforceable and we were found to infringe, we could be prohibited from selling our product that is found to infringe unless we could obtain licenses to use the technology covered by the patent or are able to design around the patent. We may be unable to obtain a license on terms acceptable to us, if at all, and we may not be able to redesign our products to avoid infringement. Even if we are able to redesign our products to avoid an infringement claim, we may not receive FDA approval for such changes in a timely manner or at all. A court could also order us to pay compensatory damages for such infringement, plus prejudgment interest and could, in addition, treble the compensatory damages and award attorney fees. These



## **Table of Contents**

damages could be substantial and could harm our reputation, business, financial condition and operating results. A court also could enter orders that temporarily, preliminarily or permanently enjoin us and our customers from making, using, selling or offering to sell one or more of our products, or could enter an order mandating that we undertake certain remedial activities. Depending on the nature of the relief ordered by the court, we could become liable for additional damages to third parties.

### **Our inability to adequately protect our intellectual property could allow our competitors and others to produce products based on our technology, which could substantially impair our ability to compete.**

Our success and our ability to compete are dependent, in part, upon our ability to maintain the proprietary nature of our technologies. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright and trademark law, and trade secrets and nondisclosure agreements to protect our intellectual property. However, such methods may not be adequate to protect us or permit us to gain or maintain a competitive advantage. Our patent applications may not issue as patents in a form that will be advantageous to us, or at all. Our issued patents, and those that may issue in the future, may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, which could limit our ability to stop competitors from marketing related products. In addition, proposed regulations may limit our ability to file continuing patent applications and pursue patent claims in the USPTO.

To protect our proprietary rights, we may in the future need to assert claims of infringement against third parties. The outcome of litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights in patents, copyrights, trade secrets or trademarks is highly unpredictable, could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources, and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations regardless of the final outcome of such litigation. In the event of an adverse judgment, a court could hold that some or all of our asserted intellectual property rights are not infringed, invalid or unenforceable, and could award attorney fees.

Despite our efforts to safeguard our unpatented and unregistered intellectual property rights, we may not be successful in doing so or the steps taken by us in this regard may not be adequate to detect or deter misappropriation of our technology or to prevent an unauthorized third party from copying or otherwise obtaining and using our products, technology or other information that we regard as proprietary. Additionally, third parties may be able to design around our patents. Furthermore, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States.

The federal trademark application for the DEXCOM mark has been opposed, and we continue to vigorously defend against the opposition. The opposition proceeding only determines the right to federally register a trademark and cannot result in the award of any damages. We believe that we are entitled to a registration for our DEXCOM mark, but cannot assure you that we will succeed in these efforts. If we are unsuccessful, we could be forced to change our company name or market our products under a different name, which could result in a loss of brand recognition, could require us to retrieve product and interrupt supply and could require us to devote substantial resources to advertising and marketing our products under the new brand.

### **We operate in a highly competitive market and face competition from large, well-established medical device manufacturers with significant resources, and, as a result, we may not be able to compete effectively.**

The market for glucose monitoring devices is intensely competitive, subject to rapid change and significantly affected by new product introductions and other market activities of industry participants. In selling the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS, we compete directly with Roche Diabetes Care, a division of Roche Diagnostics; LifeScan, Inc., a division of Johnson & Johnson; the MediSense and TheraSense divisions of Abbott Laboratories; and Bayer Corporation, each of which manufactures and markets products for the single-point finger stick device market. Collectively, these companies currently account for substantially all of the worldwide sales of self-monitored glucose testing systems. Several companies are developing or marketing short-term continuous glucose monitoring products that will compete directly with our products. To date, in addition to DexCom, three other companies, Cygnus, Medtronic and Abbott, have received approval from the FDA for continuous glucose monitors. We believe that one of the products, originally developed and marketed by Cygnus, is no longer actively marketed. In addition, we believe that Johnson & Johnson, Roche Diagnostics and others are developing invasive and non-invasive continuous glucose monitoring systems. Most of the companies developing or marketing competing devices are publicly traded or divisions of publicly-traded companies, and these companies enjoy several competitive advantages, including:

significantly greater name recognition;

established relations with healthcare professionals, customers and third-party payors;

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

established distribution networks;

additional lines of products, and the ability to offer rebates or bundle products to offer higher discounts or incentives to gain a competitive advantage;

greater experience in conducting research and development, manufacturing, clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approval for products and marketing approved products; and

## **Table of Contents**

greater financial and human resources for product development, sales and marketing, and patent litigation. As a result, we may not be able to compete effectively against these companies or their products.

### **We have entered into a Collaboration Agreement with Edwards to develop jointly an in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring device that may not result in the development of a commercially viable product or generation of any future revenues.**

On November 10, 2008, we entered into a Collaboration Agreement with Edwards pursuant to which we have agreed to develop jointly and to market an in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring system. Under the Collaboration Agreement, we expect to receive payments for various milestones related to regulatory approvals and commercial readiness of the product. In addition, we also expect to receive either a profit-sharing payment of 10% of commercial sales of the product, or a royalty of 6% of commercial sales of the product. The Collaboration Agreement provides Edwards with an exclusive license to DexCom's intellectual property in the hospital market. However, this collaboration may not result in the development of products that achieve regulatory approval or commercial success, which would result in various penalties to us under the Collaboration Agreement, up to and including loss of some or all of our milestone payments and rights to any profit-sharing or royalties.

### **We enter into collaborations with third parties related to our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS that may not result in the development of commercially viable products or the generation of significant future revenues.**

In the ordinary course of our business, we enter into collaborative arrangements to develop new products and to pursue new markets, such as our agreements with Animas and Insulet, to integrate our receiver technology into their respective insulin delivery systems. We have also entered into an OUS Commercialization Agreement with Animas pursuant to which Animas retains the exclusive right to develop and market outside the United States an ambulatory insulin pump that is combined with our continuous glucose monitoring technology. These collaborations may not result in the development of products that achieve commercial success and could be terminated prior to developing any products. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that any of our collaborations will result in the successful development of a commercially viable product or result in significant additional future revenues.

### **To date, no continuous glucose monitoring system, including our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS, has received FDA clearance as a replacement for single-point finger stick devices, and our SEVEN, SEVEN PLUS and future generations may never be approved for that indication.**

The SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS do not eliminate the need for single-point finger stick devices and our future products may not be approved for that indication. No precedent for FDA approval of continuous glucose monitoring systems as a replacement for single-point finger stick devices has been established. Accordingly, there is no established study design or agreement regarding performance requirements or measurements in clinical trials for continuous glucose monitoring systems. We have not yet filed for FDA approval for replacement claim labeling and we cannot assure you that we will not experience delays if we do file. If any of our competitors were to obtain replacement claim labeling for a continuous glucose monitoring system, our products may not be able to compete effectively against that system and our business would suffer.

### **Technological breakthroughs in the glucose monitoring market could render our products obsolete.**

The glucose monitoring market is subject to rapid technological change and product innovation. Our products are based on our proprietary technology, but a number of companies and medical researchers are pursuing new technologies for the monitoring of glucose levels. FDA approval of a commercially viable continuous glucose monitor or sensor produced by one of our competitors could significantly reduce market acceptance of our systems. Several of our competitors are in various stages of developing continuous glucose monitors or sensors, including non-invasive and invasive devices, and the FDA has approved several of these competing products. In addition, the National Institutes of Health and other supporters of diabetes research are continually seeking ways to prevent, cure or improve treatment of diabetes. Therefore, our products may be rendered obsolete by technological breakthroughs in diabetes monitoring, treatment, prevention or cure.

### **If we are unable to successfully complete the pre-clinical studies or clinical trials necessary to support additional PMA or 510(k) applications, we may be unable to commercialize our continuous glucose monitoring systems under development, which could impair our financial position.**

Before submitting any additional PMA or 510(k) applications, such as for our in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring system, we must successfully complete pre-clinical studies and clinical trials that we believe will demonstrate that the product is safe and effective. Product development, including pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, is a long, expensive and uncertain process and is subject to delays and failure at any stage. Furthermore, the data obtained from the studies and trial may be inadequate to support approval of a PMA or 510(k) application. While we have in the past obtained, and may in the future obtain, an Investigational Device Exemption, or IDE, prior to commencing clinical

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

trials for our continuous glucose monitoring systems, FDA approval of an IDE application permitting us to conduct testing does not mean that the FDA will consider the data gathered in the trial to be sufficient to support approval of a PMA or 510(k) application, even if the trial's intended safety and efficacy endpoints are achieved.

## **Table of Contents**

**The commencement or completion of any of our clinical trials may be delayed or halted, or be inadequate to support approval of a PMA or 510(k) application, for numerous reasons, including, but not limited to, the following:**

the FDA or other regulatory authorities do not approve a clinical trial protocol or a clinical trial, or place a clinical trial on hold;

patients do not enroll in clinical trials at the rate we expect;

patients do not comply with trial protocols;

patient follow-up does not occur at the rate we expect;

patients experience adverse side effects;

patients die during a clinical trial, even though their death may not be related to our products;

institutional review boards, or IRBs, and third-party clinical investigators may delay or reject our trial protocol;

third-party clinical investigators decline to participate in a trial or do not perform a trial on our anticipated schedule or consistent with the investigator agreements, clinical trial protocol, good clinical practices or other FDA or IRB requirements;

third-party organizations do not perform data collection, monitoring and analysis in a timely or accurate manner or consistent with the clinical trial protocol or investigational or statistical plans;

regulatory inspections of our clinical trials or manufacturing facilities may, among other things, require us to undertake corrective action or suspend or terminate our clinical trials;

changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions;

the interim or final results of the clinical trial are inconclusive or unfavorable as to safety or efficacy; and

the FDA concludes that our trial design is inadequate to demonstrate safety and efficacy.

The results of pre-clinical studies do not necessarily predict future clinical trial results, and prior clinical trial results might not be repeated in subsequent clinical trials. Additionally, the FDA may disagree with our interpretation of the data from our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, or may find the clinical trial design, conduct or results inadequate to prove safety or efficacy, and may require us to pursue additional pre-clinical studies or clinical trials, which could further delay the approval of our products. If we are unable to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our products in our clinical trials, we will be unable to obtain regulatory approval to market our products. In addition, the data we collect from our current clinical trials, our pre-clinical studies and other clinical trials may not be sufficient to support FDA approval.

**We depend on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients in our clinical trials and other third parties to manage the trials and to perform related data collection and analysis, and, as a result, we may face costs and delays that are outside of our control.**

We rely on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients in our clinical trials and other third parties to manage the trial and to perform related data collection and analysis. However, we may not be able to control the amount and timing of resources that clinical sites may devote to our clinical trials. If these clinical investigators and clinical sites fail to enroll a sufficient number of patients in our clinical trials or fail to ensure compliance by patients with clinical protocols or fail to comply with regulatory requirements, we will be unable to complete these trials, which could prevent us from obtaining regulatory approvals for our products. Our agreements with clinical investigators and clinical sites for clinical testing place substantial responsibilities on these parties and, if these parties fail to perform as expected, our trials could be delayed or terminated. If these clinical investigators, clinical sites or other third parties do not carry out their contractual duties or obligations or fail to meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to their failure to adhere to our clinical protocols, regulatory requirements or for other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated, or the clinical data may be rejected by the FDA, and we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for, or successfully commercialize, our products.

**We may never receive FDA approval to market our in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring system that is under development, or any other continuous glucose monitoring system under development.**

Pursuant to the Collaboration Agreement entered into with Edwards, we are jointly developing an in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring system, and we will seek to obtain FDA approval for this device. The regulatory approval process for this device, and any other continuous glucose monitoring system in development involves, among other things, successfully completing clinical

## **Table of Contents**

trials and obtaining either prior 510(k) clearance or prior approval from the FDA through the PMA process. The PMA process requires us to prove the safety and efficacy of our continuous blood glucose monitoring system to the FDA's satisfaction. This process can be expensive and uncertain, requires detailed and comprehensive scientific and human clinical data, generally takes one to three years after a PMA application is filed and may never result in the FDA granting a PMA. The FDA can delay, limit or deny approval of a PMA application for many reasons, including:

our systems may not satisfy the FDA's safety or efficacy requirements;

the data from our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials may be insufficient to support approval;

the manufacturing process or facilities we use may not meet applicable requirements; and

changes in FDA approval policies or adoption of new regulations may require additional data.

Even if approved, our in-hospital blood glucose monitoring system, or any other continuous glucose monitoring system under development may not be approved for the indications that are necessary or desirable for successful commercialization. We may not obtain the necessary regulatory approvals to market these continuous glucose monitoring systems in the United States or anywhere else. Any delay in, or failure to receive or maintain, approval for our continuous glucose monitoring systems under development could prevent us from generating revenue from these products or achieving profitability.

**We may be unable to continue the commercialization of our SEVEN or SEVEN PLUS or the development and commercialization of our other continuous glucose monitoring systems, including the in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring system, without additional funding.**

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception. We expect to continue to spend substantial amounts on commercializing our products, including further development of our direct sales force and expansion of our manufacturing capacity, and on research and development, including conducting clinical trials for our in-hospital continuous blood glucose monitoring system as well as our next generation continuous glucose monitoring systems. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, our net cash used in operating activities was \$9.4 million, compared to \$16.4 million for the same period in 2008, and as of March 31, 2009, we had working capital of \$51.5 million, including \$61.5 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities. We expect that our cash used by operations will increase significantly in each of the next several years, and, although we recently completed a follow-on public offering of 15,994,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds to the company of approximately \$45.6 million, we may need additional funds to continue the commercialization of our products and for the development and commercialization of other continuous glucose monitoring systems. Additional financing may not be available on a timely basis on terms acceptable to us, or at all. Any additional financing may be dilutive to stockholders or may require us to grant a lender a security interest in our assets. The amount of funding we will need will depend on many factors, including:

the revenue generated by sales of our products and other future products;

the expenses we incur in manufacturing, developing, selling and marketing our products;

our ability to scale our manufacturing operations to meet demand for our current and any future products;

the costs to produce our continuous glucose monitoring systems;

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

the costs and timing of additional regulatory approvals;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights;

the rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other development activities;

the success of our research and development efforts;

the emergence of competing or complementary technological developments;

the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish; and

the acquisition of businesses, products and technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

If adequate funds are not available, we may not be able to commercialize our products at the rate we desire and we may have to delay development or commercialization of our other products or license to third parties the rights to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise seek to commercialize. We also may have to reduce marketing, customer support or other resources devoted to our products. Any of these factors could harm our financial condition.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Potential long-term complications from our products or other continuous glucose monitoring systems under development may not be revealed by our clinical experience to date.**

If unanticipated long-term side-effects result from the use of our products or other glucose monitoring systems under development, we could be subject to liability and our systems would not be widely adopted. With respect to our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS, our clinical trials have been limited to seven days of continuous use. Additionally, we have limited clinical experience with repeated use of our products in the same patient. We cannot assure you that long-term use would not result in unanticipated complications. Furthermore, the interim results from our current pre-clinical studies and clinical trials may not be indicative of the clinical results obtained when we examine the patients at later dates. It is possible that repeated use of our products may result in unanticipated adverse effects, potentially even after the device is removed.

### **If we or our suppliers fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements, or if we experience unanticipated problems with our products, these products could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market.**

Any product for which we obtain marketing approval will be subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA and other regulatory bodies, which may include inspection of our manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical data and promotional activities for such product. The FDA's medical device reporting, or MDR, regulations require that we report to the FDA any incident in which our product may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury, or in which our product malfunctioned and, if the malfunction were to recur, it would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury. We and our suppliers are required to comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR, and other regulations, which cover the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production, control, quality assurance, labeling, packaging, storage, shipping and servicing of our products. The FDA enforces the QSR through unannounced inspections. We currently manufacture our devices at our headquarters facility in San Diego, California. In this facility we have more than 10,000 square feet of laboratory space and approximately 5,000 square feet of controlled environment rooms. In November 2008, our facilities were subject to a post-approval PMA and QSR audit by FDA. At the close of the inspection, FDA issued a Form 483 identifying several inspectional observations, the majority of which were corrected and verified while the FDA investigator was on site and, although we have no formal requirements or obligations to provide anything further to the FDA regarding these observations, in January 2009, we voluntarily provided formal written evidence to FDA of actions taken to address one remaining minor observation. In addition, our method of wireless communication from the transmitter to the receiver is subject to a recent regulatory amendment. In March 2009, the FCC established a bifurcated MICS band which requires device manufacturers whose products will operate in the main MICS band to either manufacture their devices using listen-before-transmit technology, or to transmit on a side band outside the main MICS band at lower power. Although the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS do not comply with existing MICS band listen-before-transmit requirements, the FCC granted a waiver to allow us to continue marketing and operating our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS through March 2013, which we believe will provide adequate time to design an alternative method of wireless communication. Compliance with ongoing regulatory requirements can be complex, expensive and time-consuming. Failure by us or one of our suppliers to comply with statutes and regulations administered by the FDA and other regulatory bodies, or failure to take adequate response to any observations, could result in, among other things, any of the following actions:

warning letters;

fines and civil penalties;

unanticipated expenditures;

delays in approving or refusal to approve our continuous glucose monitoring systems;

withdrawal of approval by the FDA or other regulatory bodies;

product recall or seizure;

interruption of production;

operating restrictions;

injunctions; and

criminal prosecution.

If any of these actions were to occur, it would harm our reputation and cause our product sales and profitability to suffer. In addition, we believe MDRs are generally underreported and any underlying problems could be of a larger magnitude than suggested by the number or types of MDRs we receive. Furthermore, our key component suppliers may not currently be or may not continue to be in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.

## **Table of Contents**

Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or contain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with our products, including software bugs, unanticipated adverse events or adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, manufacturing problems, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements such as the QSR, may result in restrictions on such products or manufacturing processes, withdrawal of the products from the market, voluntary or mandatory recalls, fines, suspension of regulatory approvals, product seizures, injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

### **We face the risk of product liability claims and may not be able to maintain or obtain insurance.**

Our business exposes us to the risk of product liability claims that is inherent in the testing, manufacturing and marketing of medical devices, including those which may arise from the misuse or malfunction of, or design flaws in, our products. We may be subject to product liability claims if our products cause, or merely appear to have caused, an injury. Claims may be made by patients, healthcare providers or others selling our products.

Although we have product liability and clinical trial liability insurance that we believe is appropriate, this insurance is subject to deductibles and coverage limitations. Our current product liability insurance may not continue to be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all, and, if available, the coverage may not be adequate to protect us against any future product liability claims. Further, if additional products are approved for marketing, we may seek additional insurance coverage. If we are unable to obtain insurance at an acceptable cost or on acceptable terms with adequate coverage or otherwise protect against potential product liability claims, we will be exposed to significant liabilities, which may harm our business. A product liability claim, recall or other claim with respect to uninsured liabilities or for amounts in excess of insured liabilities could result in significant costs and significant harm to our business.

We may be subject to claims against us even if the apparent injury is due to the actions of others or misuse of the device. Our customers, either on their own or following the advice of their physicians, may use our products in a manner not described in the products labeling and that differs from the manner in which it was used in clinical studies and approved by the FDA. For example, our SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS are designed to be used by a patient continuously for up to seven days, but the patient might be able to circumvent the safeguards designed into the SEVEN and SEVEN PLUS and use the product for longer than seven days. Off-label use of products by patients is common, and any such off-label use of our products could subject us to additional liability. These liabilities could prevent or interfere with our product commercialization efforts. Defending a suit, regardless of merit, could be costly, could divert management attention and might result in adverse publicity, which could result in the withdrawal of, or inability to recruit, clinical trial volunteers or result in reduced acceptance of our products in the market.

### **We may be subject to fines, penalties and injunctions if we are determined to be promoting the use of our products for unapproved off-label uses.**

Although we believe our promotional materials and training methods are conducted in compliance with FDA and other regulations, if the FDA determines that our promotional materials or training constitutes promotion of an unapproved use, the FDA could request that we modify our training or promotional materials or subject us to regulatory enforcement actions, including the issuance of a warning letter, injunction, seizure, civil fine and criminal penalties. It is also possible that other federal, state or foreign enforcement authorities might take action if they consider promotional or training materials to constitute promotion of an unapproved use, which could result in significant fines or penalties under other statutory authorities, such as laws prohibiting false claims for reimbursement.

### **We conduct business in a heavily regulated industry and if we fail to comply with these laws and government regulations, we could suffer penalties or be required to make significant changes to our operations.**

The healthcare industry is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to:

billing for services;

financial relationships with physicians and other referral sources;

inducements and courtesies given to physicians and other health care providers and patients;

quality of medical equipment and services;

confidentiality, maintenance and security issues associated with medical records and individually identifiable health information;

medical device reporting;

false claims;

professional licensure; and

labeling products.

## **Table of Contents**

These laws and regulations are extremely complex and, in some cases, still evolving. In many instances, the industry does not have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation of these laws and regulations. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the federal, state or local laws and regulations which govern our activities, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines or curtailment of our operations. The risk of being found in violation of these laws and regulations is increased by the fact that many of them have not been fully interpreted by the regulatory authorities or the courts, and their provisions are open to a variety of interpretations. Any action against us for violation of these laws or regulations, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management's time and attention from the operation of our business.

In addition, healthcare laws and regulations may change significantly in the future. Any new healthcare laws or regulations may adversely affect our business. A review of our business by courts or regulatory authorities may result in a determination that could adversely affect our operations. Also, the healthcare regulatory environment may change in a way that restricts our operations.

We are not aware of any governmental healthcare investigations involving our executives or us. However, any future healthcare investigations of our executives, our managers or us could result in significant liabilities or penalties to us, as well as adverse publicity.

**The majority of our operations are conducted at one facility in San Diego, California. Any disruption at this facility could increase our expenses.**

We take precautions to safeguard our facilities, including insurance, health and safety protocols, and off-site storage of computer data. However, a natural disaster, such as a fire, flood or earthquake, could cause substantial delays in our operations, damage or destroy our manufacturing equipment or inventory, and cause us to incur additional expenses. The insurance we maintain against fires, floods, earthquakes and other natural disasters may not be adequate to cover our losses in any particular case.

**We may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by materials that we handle, and changes in environmental regulations could cause us to incur additional expense.**

Our research and development and clinical processes involve the handling of potentially harmful biological materials as well as hazardous materials. We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, handling, storage and disposal of hazardous and biological materials and we incur expenses relating to compliance with these laws and regulations. If violations of environmental, health and safety laws occur, we could be held liable for damages, penalties and costs of remedial actions. These expenses or this liability could have a significant negative impact on our financial condition. We may violate environmental, health and safety laws in the future as a result of human error, equipment failure or other causes. Environmental laws could become more stringent over time, imposing greater compliance costs and increasing risks and penalties associated with violations. We are subject to potentially conflicting and changing regulatory agendas of political, business and environmental groups. Changes to or restrictions on permitting requirements or processes, hazardous or biological material storage or handling might require an unplanned capital investment or relocation. Failure to comply with new or existing laws or regulations could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Failure to obtain regulatory approval in foreign jurisdictions will prevent us from marketing our products abroad.**

We have begun limited marketing efforts in Europe and may seek to market our products in other regions in the future. Outside the United States, we can market a product only if we receive a marketing authorization and, in some cases, pricing approval, from the appropriate regulatory authorities. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing, and the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. The foreign regulatory approval process may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval in addition to other risks. We may not obtain foreign regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in any market outside the United States on a timely basis, or at all.

**Our success will depend on our ability to attract and retain our personnel.**

We are highly dependent on our senior management, especially Terrance H. Gregg, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Steven R. Pacelli, our Chief Administrative Officer, Andrew K. Ballo, our Senior Vice President of Clinical and Regulatory Affairs and Quality Assurance, and Jorge Valdes, our Senior Vice President of Operations. Our success will depend on our ability to retain our current management and to attract and retain qualified personnel in the future, including sales persons, scientists, clinicians, engineers and other highly skilled personnel. Competition for senior management personnel, as well as sales persons, scientists, clinicians and engineers, is intense and we may not be able to retain our personnel. The loss of the services of members of our senior



## **Table of Contents**

management, scientists, clinicians or engineers could prevent the implementation and completion of our objectives, including the commercialization of our current products and the development and introduction of additional products. The loss of a member of our senior management or our professional staff would require the remaining executive officers to divert immediate and substantial attention to seeking a replacement. Each of our officers may terminate their employment at any time without notice and without cause or good reason. Additionally, volatility or a lack of positive performance in our stock price may adversely affect our ability to retain key employees.

We expect to continue to expand our operations and grow our research and development, manufacturing, sales and marketing, product development and administrative operations. This expansion is expected to place a significant strain on our management and will require hiring a significant number of qualified personnel. Accordingly, recruiting and retaining such personnel in the future will be critical to our success. There is intense competition from other companies and research and academic institutions for qualified personnel in the areas of our activities. If we fail to identify, attract, retain and motivate these highly skilled personnel, we may be unable to continue our development and commercialization activities.

### **We have incurred and will incur increased costs as a result of recently enacted and proposed changes in laws and regulations relating to corporate governance matters.**

Recently enacted and proposed changes in the laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules adopted or proposed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, will result in increased costs to us as we evaluate the implications of any new rules and regulations and respond to new requirements under such rules and regulations. We are required to comply with many of these rules and regulations, and will be required to comply with additional rules and regulations in the future. As an early commercialization stage company with limited capital and human resources, we will need to divert management's time and attention away from our business in order to ensure compliance with these regulatory requirements. This diversion of management's time and attention may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **Valuation of share-based payments, which we are required to perform for purposes of recording compensation expense under SFAS 123(R), involves significant assumptions that are subject to change and difficult to predict.**

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R), which requires that we record compensation expense in the statement of income for share-based payments, such as employee stock options, using the fair value method. The requirements of SFAS 123(R) have and will continue to have a material effect on our future financial results reported under GAAP and make it difficult for us to accurately predict the impact our future financial results.

For instance, estimating the fair value of share-based payments is highly dependent on assumptions regarding the future exercise behavior of our employees and changes in our stock price. Our share-based payments have characteristics significantly different from those of freely traded options, and changes to the subjective input assumptions of our share-based payment valuation models can materially change our estimates of the fair values of our share-based payments. In addition, the actual values realized upon the exercise, expiration, early termination or forfeiture of share-based payments might be significantly different than our estimates of the fair values of those awards as determined at the date of grant. Moreover, we rely on third parties that supply us with information or help us perform certain calculations that we employ to estimate the fair value of share-based payments. If any of these parties do not perform as expected or make errors, we may inaccurately calculate actual or estimated compensation expense for share-based payments.

SFAS 123(R) could also adversely impact our ability to provide accurate guidance on our future financial results as assumptions that are used to estimate the fair value of share-based payments are based on estimates and judgments that may differ from period to period. We may also be unable to accurately predict the amount and timing of the recognition of tax benefits associated with share-based payments as they are highly dependent on the exercise behavior of our employees and the price of our stock relative to the exercise price of each outstanding stock option.

For those reasons, among others, SFAS 123(R) may create variability and uncertainty in the share-based compensation expense we will record in future periods, which could adversely impact our stock price and increase our expected stock price volatility as compared to prior periods.

### **Changes in financial accounting standards or practices or existing taxation rules or practices may cause adverse unexpected revenue and/or expense fluctuations and affect our reported results of operations.**

A change in accounting standards or practices or a change in existing taxation rules or practices can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. New accounting pronouncements and taxation rules and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements and taxation practice have occurred and



## **Table of Contents**

may occur in the future. The method in which we market and sell our products may have an impact on the manner in which we recognize revenue. In addition, changes to existing rules or the questioning of current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business. For example, as a result of changes approved by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, on January 1, 2006 we began recording compensation expense in our statements of operations for equity compensation instruments, including employee stock options, using the fair value method. Our reported financial results beginning for the first quarter of 2006 and for all foreseeable future periods will be negatively and materially impacted by this accounting change. Other potential changes in existing taxation rules related to stock options and other forms of equity compensation could also have a significant negative effect on our reported results.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)* ( APB 14-1 ). The FSP requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument. The debt would be recognized at the present value of its cash flows discounted using our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component would be recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability. The FSP also requires an accretion of the resultant debt discount over the expected life of the debt. The transition guidance requires retrospective application to all periods presented, and does not grandfather existing instruments. The effective date of the FSP is for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of the FSP. The adoption of FSP APB 14-1 resulted in a reduction to the historical carrying value of the 4.75% convertible senior notes due in 2027 on our balance sheet of \$26.6 million, a reduction to the carrying value of the debt issuance costs of \$1.2 million, and a corresponding increase to paid in capital as of the date of issuance. The estimated interest rate of 19.5% was applied to the notes and coupon interest using a present value technique to arrive at the fair value of the liability component. The adoption of the FSP also resulted in an increase in accumulated deficit of \$6.2 million and a corresponding net decrease to the carrying value of the debt discount and issuance costs as of January 1, 2009. We recorded \$1.1 million and \$947,000 of non-cash interest expense relating to the amortization of the debt discount for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. We recorded \$713,000 of interest expense relating to the contractual coupon payments for each of the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The impact of adoption of this FSP to loss per share was an increase of \$0.02 and \$0.03 for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

### **Our loan and security agreement contains restrictions that may limit our operating flexibility.**

In March 2006, we entered into our Loan Agreement that provided for a loan to finance various equipment and leasehold improvement expenses. In January 2008, we amended our Loan Agreement to enable us to draw an additional \$3.0 million. We are required to repay this additional amount at intervals through July 2011. As of March 31, 2009, we had a total outstanding loan balance under the Loan Agreement of \$2.8 million. The Loan Agreement requires us to maintain a minimum cash balance with Square 1 Bank, and also imposes certain limitations on us, including limitations on our ability to:

transfer all or any part of our businesses or properties, other than transfers done in the ordinary course of business;

engage in any business other than the businesses in which we are currently engaged;

relocate our chief executive offices or state of incorporation;

change our legal name or fiscal year;

replace our chief executive officer or chief financial officer;

merge or consolidate with or into any other business organizations, with certain exceptions;

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

permit any person to beneficially own a sufficient number of shares entitling such person to elect a majority of our board of directors;

incur additional indebtedness, with certain exceptions;

incur liens with respect to any of our properties, with certain exceptions;

pay dividends or make any other distribution or payment on account of or in redemption, retirement or purchase of any capital stock, other than repurchases of the stock of former employees;

directly or indirectly acquire or own, or make any investment in, any persons, with certain exceptions;

directly or indirectly enter into or permit to exist any material transaction with any affiliates except such transactions that are in the ordinary course of business that are done upon fair and reasonable terms that are no less favorable to us than would be obtained in an arm's length transaction with a non-affiliated company;

make any payment in respect of any subordinated debt, or permit any of our U.S. domestic subsidiaries to make any such payment, except in compliance with the terms of such subordinated debt; or

## **Table of Contents**

store any equipment or inventory in which the lender has any interest with any bailee, warehousemen or similar third party unless the third party has been notified of the lender's security interest, or

become or be controlled by an investment company.

Complying with these covenants may make it more difficult for us to successfully execute our business strategy and compete against companies who are not subject to such restrictions.

### **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

#### **Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

Not applicable.

#### **Use of Proceeds**

Not applicable.

### **ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

Not applicable.

### **ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

Not applicable.

### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

Not applicable.

### **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The following exhibits are filed as a part of this report.

| Exhibit<br>Number | Exhibit Description                                                                                                      | Incorporated by Reference |             |                            |                   |                      |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                   |                                                                                                                          | Form                      | File<br>No. | Date of<br>First<br>Filing | Exhibit<br>Number | Provided<br>Herewith |
| 31.01             | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).                             |                           |             |                            |                   | X                    |
| 31.02             | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).                             |                           |             |                            |                   | X                    |
| 32.01             | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b).* |                           |             |                            |                   | X                    |

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

32.02 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and  
Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b).\*

X

\* This certification is not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that DexCom specifically incorporates it by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DEXCOM, INC.

(Registrant)

Dated: May 6, 2009

By: /s/ Terrance H. Gregg  
**Terrance H. Gregg,**

**President and Chief Executive Officer**

Dated: May 6, 2009

By: /s/ Jess Roper  
**Jess Roper,**

**Chief Financial Officer**