

QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC
Form 10-K
March 11, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 000-24180

Quality Distribution, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

Florida
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

59-3239073
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

4041 Park Oaks Boulevard, Suite 200

Tampa, Florida 33610

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

813-630-5826

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock (no par value per share)	NASDAQ Global Market

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
None	

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

Aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2010 was \$53.0 million (based on the closing sale price of \$5.17 per share).

As of March 8, 2011, the registrant had 23,536,869 outstanding shares of Common Stock, no par value, outstanding.

Table of Contents

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of the Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND CERTAIN CONSIDERATIONS</u>	1
<u>PART I</u>	3
ITEM 1. <u>BUSINESS</u>	3
<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON COMPANY WEBSITE</u>	14
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	15
ITEM 1B. <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	25
ITEM 2. <u>PROPERTIES</u>	25
ITEM 3. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	25
ITEM 4. <u>RESERVED</u>	26
<u>PART II</u>	27
ITEM 5. <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER'S PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	27
ITEM 6. <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	29
ITEM 7. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	31
ITEM 7A. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	55
ITEM 8. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	56
ITEM 9. <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	56
ITEM 9A. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	56
ITEM 9B. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	57
<u>PART III</u>	58
ITEM 10. <u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	58
ITEM 11. <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	58
ITEM 12. <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS</u>	58
ITEM 13. <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	58
ITEM 14. <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	58
<u>PART IV</u>	59
ITEM 15. <u>EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	59
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	60
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	F-2
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	F-3

<u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u>	F-4
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS DEFICIT AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)</u>	F-5
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u>	F-7
<u>NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-8

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, unless the context otherwise indicates, (i) the terms the Company, our Company, Quality Distribution, QDI, we, us and our refer to Quality Distribution, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and their predecessors, (ii) the terms Quality Distribution, LLC and QD LLC refer to our wholly owned subsidiary, Quality Distribution, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and its consolidated subsidiaries and their predecessors, (iii) the term QD Capital refers to our wholly owned subsidiary, QD Capital Corporation, a Delaware corporation, (iv) the term QCI refers to our wholly owned subsidiary, Quality Carriers, Inc., an Illinois Corporation, (v) the term Boasso refers to our wholly owned subsidiary, Boasso America Corporation, a Louisiana corporation and (vi) the term QSI refers to our wholly owned subsidiary, Qala Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND CERTAIN CONSIDERATIONS

This report, along with other documents that are publicly disseminated by us, contains or might contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements included in this report and in any subsequent filings made by us with the SEC other than statements of historical fact, that address activities, events or developments that we or our management expect, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. These statements represent our reasonable judgment on the future based on various factors and using numerous assumptions and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results and financial position to differ materially. We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Examples of forward-looking statements include: (i) projections of revenue, earnings, capital structure and other financial items, (ii) statements of our plans and objectives, (iii) statements of expected future economic performance, and (iv) assumptions underlying statements regarding us or our business. Forward-looking statements can be identified by, among other things, the use of forward-looking language, such as believes, expects, estimates, may, will, should, could, seeks, plans, intends, anticipates or scheduled to or the negatives of those terms or of those terms or comparable language, or by discussions of strategy or other intentions.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the statements. The forward-looking information is based on various factors and was derived using numerous assumptions. Important factors that could cause our actual results to be materially different from the forward-looking statements include the following risks and other factors discussed under the Item -1A Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These factors include:

the effect of local and national economic, credit and capital market conditions on the economy in general, and on the particular industries in which we operate, including excess capacity in the industry, the availability of qualified drivers, changes in fuel and insurance prices, interest rate fluctuations, and downturns in customers' business cycles and shipping requirements;

our substantial leverage, our ability to make required payments and restrictions contained in our debt arrangements;

competition and rate fluctuations;

our reliance on independent affiliates and independent owner-operators;

the loss of or material reduction in the services to one or more of our major customers;

our liability as a self-insurer to the extent of our deductibles as well as changing conditions and pricing in the insurance marketplace;

Table of Contents

increased unionization, which could increase our operating costs or constrain operating flexibility;

changes in the future, or our inability to comply with, governmental regulations and legislative changes affecting the transportation industry;

our ability to comply with current and future environmental regulations and the increasing costs relating to environmental compliance;

potential disruption at U.S. ports of entry;

diesel fuel prices and our ability to recover costs through fuel surcharges;

our ability to attract and retain qualified drivers;

terrorist attacks and the cost of complying with existing and future anti-terrorism security measures;

our dependence on senior management;

the potential loss of our ability to use net operating losses to offset future income;

potential future impairment charges;

the interests of our largest shareholder, which may conflict with your interests;

our ability to successfully identify acquisition opportunities, consummate such acquisitions and integrate acquired businesses;

our success in entering new markets;

adverse weather conditions;

the impact of our restructuring on our operations and costs;

changes in health insurance benefit regulations;

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

our liability for our proportionate share of unfunded vested benefit liabilities in the event of our withdrawal from any of our multi-employer pension plans; and

changes in planned or actual capital expenditures due to operating needs, changes in regulation, covenants in our debt arrangements and other expenses, including interest expenses.

In addition, there may be other factors that could cause our actual results to be materially different from the results referenced in the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not intend to update or otherwise revise the forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We operate the largest chemical bulk tank truck network in North America through our wholly owned subsidiary, QCI, and are also the largest provider of intermodal ISO tank container and depot services in North America through our wholly owned subsidiary, Boasso. QCI has relationships with 29 independent affiliated trucking operations which provide the physical transportation of chemicals, together with its company-operated trucking terminals.

The bulk tank truck market in North America includes all products shipped by bulk tank truck carriers and consists mainly of liquid and dry bulk chemicals (including plastics) and bulk dry and liquid food-grade products. We primarily transport a broad range of chemical products and provide our customers with logistics and other value-added services through 29 independent affiliates with 91 trucking terminals and through three company-operated trucking terminals. We are a core carrier for many of the major companies engaged in chemical processing including Ashland, BASF, Dow, DuPont, ExxonMobil, Georgia-Pacific, Honeywell, PPG Industries, Procter & Gamble, Sunoco and Unilever, and we provide services to most of the top 100 chemical producers with North American operations.

Our transportation revenue is a function of the volume of shipments by the bulk chemical industry, prices, the average number of miles driven per load, our market share and the allocation of shipments between tank truck transportation and other modes of transportation such as rail. The volume of shipments of chemical products is, in turn, affected by many diverse industries and end-use markets, including consumer and industrial products, paints and coatings, paper and packaging, agriculture and food products, and tends to vary with changing economic conditions.

Due to the nature of our customers' business, our revenues are seasonal. Revenues generally decline during winter months, namely our first and fourth fiscal quarters and over holidays and rise during our second and third fiscal quarters. Highway transportation can be adversely affected depending upon the severity of the weather in various sections of the country during the winter months.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, Boasso, is the largest North American provider of intermodal ISO tank container transportation and depot services, with eight terminals located in the eastern half of the United States. In addition to intermodal tank transportation services, Boasso provides tank cleaning, heating, testing, maintenance and storage services to customers. Boasso provides local and over-the-road trucking primarily within the proximity of the port cities where its depots are located and also sells equipment that its customers use for portable alternative storage or office space.

Demand for intermodal ISO tank containers is impacted by the aggregate volume of imports and exports of chemicals through North American ports, and Boasso's revenues are accordingly impacted by this import/export volume. In particular, Boasso's revenues are driven by the number of shipments through ports at which Boasso has terminals, the volume of rail shipments from ports at which Boasso has terminals, and Boasso's market share. Global economic conditions and differences among the laws and currencies of foreign nations may also impact the volume of shipments.

Financial Reporting Segments

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we realigned and renamed our business segments to better reflect our current business and asset-light model due to the swift of our company-operated operations to independent affiliate operations. Our trucking segment was renamed Logistics and our Container Services segment was renamed Intermodal to better describe the services we perform.

Table of Contents

Our two reportable business segments for financial reporting purposes that are distinguished primarily on the basis of services offered are:

Logistics, which primarily consists of truckload transportation of bulk chemicals and equipment rentals; and

Intermodal, specifically Boasso's International Organization for Standardization or intermodal ISO tank container transportation and depot services.

Additional financial information about each of these segments is presented in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Further information about each of our segments, and our business as a whole, is presented below.

Our History

QDI was formed in 1994 as a holding company known as MTL, Inc. In 1998, we acquired Chemical Leaman Corporation (CLC), thereby combining two of the then-leading bulk service providers, Montgomery Tank Lines and Chemical Leaman Tank Lines. In 1999, QDI changed its name from MTL, Inc. to Quality Distribution, Inc. On November 13, 2003, QDI consummated the initial public offering of its common stock. Boasso became our wholly owned subsidiary in December 2007, when we acquired all of its outstanding capital stock from a third party.

Our Industry

Logistics (formerly Trucking)

The bulk tank truck market in North America includes all products shipped by bulk tank truck carriers and consists mainly of liquid and dry bulk chemicals (including plastics) and bulk dry and liquid food-grade products. We estimate, based on industry sources, that the highly fragmented North American for-hire segment of the bulk transport market generated revenues of approximately \$5.7 billion in 2009. We specifically operate in the for-hire chemical and food grade bulk transport market (estimated at \$4.0 billion in 2009). We believe we have the leading market share (estimated at 14% in 2009) in this sector based on revenues. Through our independent affiliate network, we operate the largest for-hire chemical bulk tank truck network in North America comprising terminals, tractors and trailers. We believe managing a larger carrier network facilitates customer service and lane density, and provides a more favorable cost structure for us and our independent affiliates. As such, we are well-positioned to expand our business by increasing our market share.

The chemical bulk tank truck industry growth is generally dependent on volume growth in the industrial chemical industry, the rate at which chemical companies outsource their transportation needs, the overall capacity of the rail system, and, in particular the extent to which chemical companies make use of the rail system for their bulk chemical transportation needs. We believe the most significant factors relevant to our future business growth in our core business are the ability to obtain additional business from existing customers, add new customers, increase the utilization of our trailer fleet and add and retain qualified drivers.

Our industry is characterized by high barriers to entry such as the time and cost required to develop the operational infrastructure necessary to handle sensitive chemical cargo, the financial and managerial resources required to recruit and train drivers, substantial industry regulatory requirements, strong customer relationships and the significant capital investments required to build a fleet of equipment and establish a network of terminals and independent affiliates.

While many of our customers source some of their logistics needs with rail, we expect our customers to continue to outsource a greater proportion of their logistics needs to full service tank truck carriers. Additionally, some of our customers operate their own dedicated private fleets of trucks. As a result of our leading market

Table of Contents

position, strong customer relationships and flexible business model, we believe we are well-positioned to benefit from customers seeking consolidation of their shipping relationships and those opting to outsource a greater portion of their logistics needs to third-party tank truck carriers.

Intermodal (formerly Container Services)

We estimate that the North American intermodal ISO tank container transportation and depot services market generated revenues of approximately \$205.0 million in 2009, and we believe Boasso has the leading market share. The intermodal ISO tank container business generally provides services that facilitate the global movement of liquid and dry bulk chemicals, pharmaceuticals and food grade products.

The proliferation of global import/export of bulk liquid chemicals has driven the movement of basic manufacturing out of the United States and has resulted in an increase in chemical plant infrastructure to service these off-shore industries. Driven by this globalization, the intermodal ISO tank container market is a growing sector of the overall liquid bulk chemical transportation sector. Furthermore, chemical manufacturers have sought to efficiently transport their products by utilizing intermodal tank containers. The resulting demand for logistics providers that can offer a broad range of services within the supply chain will drive future growth in this sector. We believe that Boasso will benefit from these trends because of its market leadership, experience and track record.

Competition

The tank truck business is competitive and fragmented. We compete primarily with other tank truck carriers and dedicated private fleets in various states within the United States and Canada. Competition from for-hire carriers is composed of fewer than ten large carriers, most of which have other businesses that do not compete with ours, and more than 200 smaller, primarily regional carriers. With respect to certain aspects of our business, we also compete with intermodal transportation and railroads. Intermodal transportation has increased in recent years. Competition for the bulk tank truck services is based primarily on rates and service. We believe that we enjoy significant competitive advantages over other tank truck carriers because of our market share, overall fleet size, variable cost structure, strength of our independent affiliates and our national terminal network.

Boasso competes primarily with other national, regional and local tank truck carriers and dedicated private fleets as well as local and regional dry container transporters. Competition in our intermodal ISO tank container services business depends on which competitors have facilities that are proximate to the ports serviced by Boasso. Among competitors for a port location, competition is based primarily on rates and service.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths will enable us to sustain our market leadership and continue to grow our business:

Largest Tank Truck Network in a Fragmented Industry

We operate the largest tank truck network in North America with a 14% share of the highly fragmented \$4.0 billion for-hire chemical and food grade bulk transport market, in each case estimated by us based on figures contained in *Bulk Transporter's Tank Truck Carrier 2009 Annual Gross Revenue Report*. We believe our unique large nationwide network covers all major North American chemical shippers and enables us to serve customers with both international and national requirements better than competitors, the majority of which are regionally focused. Our size allows us, our independent affiliates and our independent owner-operators to benefit from economies of scale in the purchasing of supplies and services, including fuel, tires and insurance coverage. We believe our greater network density allows us to create efficiencies by increasing utilization through reduced empty miles with more opportunities to generate backhaul loads.

Table of Contents

Asset-Light Business Model

Our extensive use of independent affiliates and independent owner-operators results in a highly variable cost structure with relatively minimal net capital investment requirements. Due to our recent transition to a predominantly affiliate-based business model, we expect our net capital expenditures to generally amount to approximately 1% of operating revenues annually, compared to the industry average of more than 10% for truckload carrier companies. This model also contributes to the stability of our cash flow and margins and increases our return on capital. The independent affiliates are responsible for capital investments and most of the operating expenses related to the business they service, including the capital costs related to purchasing and maintaining tractors. Typically, independent affiliates purchase or lease tractors for their business directly from the manufacturers and lease trailers from us. Independent owner-operators are independent contractors who supply one or more tractors and drivers for our own or our independent affiliates' use. As with independent affiliates, independent owner-operators are responsible for most of the operating expenses related to the business they service, including costs related to the acquisition and maintenance of tractors.

We prefer to own the trailers as they provide us with a stable source of lease income, as well as access to attractive capital through our asset based loan facility (the ABL Facility). Through proper maintenance, we are typically able to extend the useful lives of trailers beyond the expected 20 year life, leading to operational flexibility.

Core Carrier to Blue Chip Chemical Companies

We provide services to most of the top 100 chemical producers with U.S. operations, including many Fortune 500 companies and other major companies engaged in chemical processing. Our key customers include Ashland, BASF, Dow, DuPont, ExxonMobil, Procter & Gamble and PPG Industries. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, our top 10 customers accounted for approximately 35.8%, 32.4% and 30.9%, respectively, of our logistics revenue. No single customer accounted for more than 7% of our logistics revenue in 2010. Our ability to maintain these business relationships reflects our service performance and commitment to safety and reliability. We have established long-term customer relationships with these clients, which help us attract and retain experienced independent affiliate terminal operators and drivers. Our team of national account vice presidents and directors have decades of experience in our industry, which we believe enables them to provide practical solutions to complex customer issues.

Exposure to High Growth International Markets

Through Boasso's operations, we have significant exposure to high growth international markets. Boasso is the leading provider of intermodal ISO tank container over-the-road transportation and depot services in North America. The intermodal tank container transportation market has experienced significant growth recently as international chemical trade has increased and chemical manufacturers move towards greater utilization of intermodal tanks and standardized intermodal tank containers to efficiently transport their products around the world via sea, land and air. Boasso's tank container depots, which provide transportation, cleaning, heating, testing, maintenance and storage services, are located at or near ports in New Orleans, LA; Houston, TX; Newark, NJ; Charleston, SC; Chicago, IL; Detroit, MI; Savannah, GA and Jacksonville, FL. Since we acquired Boasso in 2007, their revenues have increased at a compound annual growth rate of approximately 11%.

Diverse Product End-Markets

We serve customers in a number of different industries, whose products reach a diverse group of end-markets. Many of our customers' major end-markets, such as refining and water treatment, energy, ink and agriculture typically have volumes that we believe are not highly correlated with economic cycles. In addition, our most recent affiliate addition expands our presence in the dry bulk shipping industry. We believe the diversity of our customer base, geography and end-markets provides a competitive advantage.

Table of Contents

Stable Pricing Environment

We believe pricing in the bulk tank truck industry tends to be more stable than pricing in the overall trucking industry. We believe the specialized nature of the bulk tank truck industry, including specifically-licensed drivers, specialized equipment, and more stringent safety requirements create barriers to entry which limit the more drastic swings in supply experienced by the broader trucking industry. Additionally, it is common practice in the bulk tank truck industry for customers to pay fuel surcharges, which enables trucking companies to recover fuel price increases from customers.

Safe and Efficient Operations

We have a strong emphasis on safety in our operations and have a relentless focus on improving productivity and efficiency. Since 2007, we have reduced our Department of Transportation (DOT) accident rating from 0.7 to 0.6, which is below the national average of 0.7 in 2010. This proactive approach to safety has resulted in financial benefits by enabling us to reduce our insurance deductibles from \$5 million to \$2 million and obtain letter of credit reductions of \$22.0 million in the past few years. In addition, our insurance costs have decreased from \$23.9 million in 2007 to \$15.5 million in 2010. Given the nature of the cargo we haul, which requires a high degree of careful handling, we believe that our strong focus on safety creates a competitive advantage for us. We believe we are well positioned to comply with the recent implementation of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's (the FMCSA) Comprehensive Safety Analysis 2010 (CSA) program, which imposes additional safety standards on the industry.

Strong Management Team with a Track Record of Success

Our management team, led by our Chief Executive Officer, Gary Enzor, successfully navigated our business through the recent economic slowdown by implementing cost savings measures and by leading the transition to an affiliate-based network, among other initiatives. As a result, we believe we are well positioned to benefit from an economic recovery. Mr. Enzor, as well as our President and Chief Operating Officer, Steve Attwood, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Joe Troy, and other senior managers have significant managerial, operational and financial experience and have implemented various operational initiatives to improve productivity. Our management team has demonstrated its ability to acquire and integrate assets, as well as divest non-core businesses, as evidenced by the acquisition of Boasso in December 2007 and the divestiture of the QSI tank wash business in October 2009. And over the last several months, our team has successfully refinanced our debt, reduced leverage and raised equity capital, leading to a stronger financial position for the company.

Our Growth Strategy

Building on the strengths mentioned above, we plan to grow our revenue and increase cash flow and profitability as follows:

Pursue Attractive Growth Opportunities

Grow Business with Blue Chip Customers

We plan to leverage our strong existing relationships with the major chemical shippers to increase our market share of these customers' volumes. For example, in the past few years, due to our strong commitment to customer service, we have been the sole source provider for one major chemical shipper and have grown revenue from \$1 million in 2004 to \$18 million in 2010. In addition, we increased our revenue with another major chemical distributor from \$3 million in 2004 to \$27 million in 2010 by leveraging our national network, solutions approach and customer service. Through our dedicated salesforce, we maintain an active and robust pipeline of potential opportunities to grow our business. We believe our business model allows our existing infrastructure to absorb significant additional volume without the need for major capital expenditures.

Table of Contents

Expand Through Acquisitions and New Independent Affiliations

We have strong organizational competence which we believe will allow us to identify and evaluate potential opportunities to acquire assets and businesses and increase our independent affiliate network. We believe we can make selective, highly accretive add-on acquisitions on an opportunistic basis to supplement our existing core business. For example, in 2007, we acquired Boasso, the largest North American provider of ISO intermodal tank container transportation and depot services. In addition to acquiring companies, we are able to grow externally by bringing new independent affiliates into our network. We believe that the various services we provide to our independent affiliates, including working capital, back office and sales support, technology support, insurance and cash flow management and regulatory compliance oversight, make our platform attractive for our independent affiliates. For example, in 2010, we added F.T. Silfies, a dry bulk carrier with annualized revenues of approximately \$14 million, primarily servicing the east coast markets, to our affiliate network.

New Markets

In 2010, we launched an initiative to identify complementary markets to enhance organic growth in our chemical business. Specifically, in our fourth quarter of 2010, we began marketing transportation services to the frac shale natural gas and oil drilling industry. This addressable market is extensive and growing at a faster rate than our core chemical market. As we attempt to penetrate this segment, we intend to build a sizable share as the market is currently served primarily by small under-resourced carriers. In addition to growth potential, the returns in the energy market are very compelling with higher margins and better equipment utilization than we generally experience in our core chemical business. In the first quarter of 2011, we began hauling fresh water to drill sites for two customers in the Marcellus Shale region of Pennsylvania and are in contract discussions to provide services to other customers as well. We are optimistic that this new market can be a significant contributor to our 2011 revenue growth.

Enhance Independent Affiliate Trucking Operations

We have focused over the last two years and continue to focus on a less capital-intensive business model based on our 29 independent affiliates. We believe these actions reduce certain fixed costs and provide a more flexible, variable cost structure for us. In 2008 and 2009, we transitioned the majority of our company-operated terminals to independent affiliates. We also moved one-third of our sales representatives to the independent affiliates to better cover key regional accounts. As a result of these actions and the sale of our tank wash business, we generated approximately 95% and 77% of our transportation revenue (excluding Boasso) in the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, from independent affiliates. We believe that the greater proportion of operating revenue derived from independent affiliate operations in 2010 is likely to be indicative of the proportion of operating revenue derived from independent affiliate operations in the future. At the same time, due to our ownership of the customer contracts and relationships, presence of non-compete agreements with the independent affiliates, and our ownership of the trailers, our relationships with the independent affiliates tend to be long-term in nature, with minimal turnover. We also monitor volume performance of each independent affiliate on a regular basis to ensure operating performance is in line with management's expectations. We work proactively with our affiliates to take corrective action or render assistance where appropriate and have certain contractual mechanisms in place to remedy sustained underperformance. We believe our selected independent affiliates are also generally well-financed and have the capacity to increase their revenue base while maintaining a high level of customer service.

Focus on Driver Recruitment and Retention

We are committed to being a driver-focused company that provides both technical support and personal respect to these professionals. We believe we offer competitive compensation at a premium compared to most commercial driving opportunities. With an average haul length of 300 miles, the drivers are also generally home more frequently. Our driver organization contains field-based recruiters who augment the friendly, small business environment provided by our business model. As the overall economy improves, we believe our ability to attract drivers could prove to be a significant competitive advantage to us.

Table of Contents

Increase Trailer Utilization

At December 31, 2010, we owned or leased approximately 4,600 trailers, the majority of which we lease or sublease to independent affiliates. Trailer leasing is a key component of our business model. Through proper maintenance, we are typically able to extend the useful lives of trailers beyond the expected 20 year life, leading to operational flexibility. Our independent affiliates have significant contractual limitations on their ability to lease or purchase trailers from sources other than us, helping to ensure their continued utilization. Based on our current trailer fleet, we believe we have the ability to continue to capture additional business volume with minimal capital expenditures. To increase our trailer utilization, we also actively pursue opportunities to lease our trailers to third parties other than our independent affiliates. The operating leverage inherent in our business model allows a significant portion of any incremental revenue generated through increased trailer utilization to flow through to our operating income.

Independent Owner-Operators

We and our independent affiliates extensively utilize independent owner-operators. Independent owner-operators are independent contractors who, through a contract with QCI, supply one or more tractors and drivers for QCI or independent affiliate use. Independent owner-operators contracts generally are terminable by either party upon short notice.

In exchange for the services rendered, independent owner-operators are normally paid a fixed percentage of the revenues collected on each load hauled or on a per mile rate. The percentage of revenues paid to independent owner-operators by us is lower than the percentage paid to affiliates. Independent owner-operators pay all tractor operating expenses such as fuel, physical damage insurance, tractor maintenance, fuel taxes and highway use taxes. However, we reimburse independent owner-operators for certain expenses passed through to our customers, such as tolls and scaling charges. We operate programs intended to benefit independent owner-operators by reducing their operating expenses such as tractors, fuel, tires, occupational accident insurance and physical damage insurance.

We compete with other motor carriers for the services of our drivers and independent owner-operators. Our overall size and our reputation for good relations have enabled us to attract qualified professional drivers and independent owner-operators.

Employees and Independent Owner-Operators

At December 31, 2010, we utilized 2,730 drivers of which 2,403 were utilized in our logistics segment and 327 were utilized in our intermodal segment. Of this total, 1,410 were independent owner-operators, 1,145 were independent affiliate drivers, and 175 were company employee drivers.

Company Personnel

At December 31, 2010, we employed 752 personnel, approximately 7.2% fewer than at December 31, 2009 and approximately 55.1% fewer than at December 31, 2008. Of this total, 417 were employed in our intermodal segment and 335 were employed in our logistics segment.

We provide our employees with health, dental, vision, life, and other insurance coverage subject to certain premium sharing and deductible provisions.

Union Labor

At December 31, 2010, we had 141 employees and our independent affiliates had 7 employees who were members of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. All 148 employees are utilized in our logistics segment.

Table of Contents**Tractors and Trailers**

As of December 31, 2010, we managed a fleet of approximately 2,900 tractors and 5,700 trailers utilized by either us, our independent affiliates, independent owner-operators, or shippers. The majority of our trailers are single compartment, chemical-hauling trailers. The balance of the fleet is made up of multi-compartment trailers, dry bulk trailers, and special use equipment. The chemical transport units typically have a capacity between 5,000 and 7,800 gallons and are designed to meet DOT specifications for transporting hazardous materials. Each trailer is designed for a useful service life of 15 to 20 years, though this can be extended through upgrades and modifications. Each tractor is designed for a useful life of five to seven years, though this can be extended through upgrades and modifications.

We utilize third party repair shops for inspecting and repairing our fleets. Our systems enable us to determine when inspections and scheduled maintenance needs to be performed.

The following tables show the approximate number and age of tractors and trailers we managed as of December 31, 2010 and the total number of tractors and trailers we managed as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	LESS THAN 3 YEARS	3-5 YEARS	6-10 YEARS	GREATER THAN 10 YEARS	2010 TOTAL	2009 TOTAL
TRACTORS (1)(2)						
Company	84	315	154	9	562	704
Independent Affiliate	121	615	289	84	1,109	862
Independent Owner-Operator	49	281	497	403	1,230	1,273
Total	254	1,211	940	496	2,901	2,839

	LESS THAN 5 YEARS	6-10 YEARS	11-15 YEARS	16-20 YEARS	GREATER THAN 20 YEARS	2010 TOTAL	2009 TOTAL
TRAILERS (1)(3)							
Company (4)	551	258	1,490	559	1,152	4,010	4,923
Independent Affiliate	249	116	379	189	548	1,481	1,224
Independent Owner-Operator			1		1	2	5
Shipper-Owned	136	30	23	15	41	245	258
Total	936	404	1,893	763	1,742	5,738	6,410

(1) Age based upon original date of manufacture; tractor/trailer may be substantially refurbished or re-manufactured.

(2) Includes tractors used in both the logistics and intermodal segments.

(3) Excludes approximately 1,000 chassis utilized in the intermodal segment.

(4) Excludes approximately 350 chassis utilized in the logistics segment and approximately 250 unutilized trailers.

Risk Management, Insurance and Safety

The primary insurable risks associated with our business are motor vehicle related bodily injury and property damage, workers compensation and cargo loss and damage (which includes spills and chemical releases). We maintain insurance against these risks and are subject to liability as a self-insurer to the extent of the deductible under each policy. We currently maintain liability insurance for bodily injury and property damage with an aggregate limit on the coverage in the amount of \$40.0 million, with a \$2.0 million per incident deductible.

QDI currently maintains a \$1.0 million per incident deductible for workers compensation insurance coverage. We are insured over our deductible up to the statutory requirement by state and we are self-insured for damage or loss to the equipment we own or lease and for cargo losses.

Table of Contents

We employ personnel to perform compliance checks and conduct safety tests throughout our operations. A number of safety programs are conducted that are designed to promote compliance with rules and regulations and to reduce accidents and cargo claims. These programs include training programs, driver recognition programs, safety awards, driver safety meetings, distribution of safety bulletins to drivers and participation in national safety associations.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

It is our policy to comply with all applicable environmental, safety, and health laws. We also are committed to the principles of Responsible Care[®], an international chemical industry initiative to enhance the industry's responsible management of chemicals. We have obtained independent certification that our management system is in place and functions according to professional standards and we continue to evaluate and continuously improve our Responsible Care[®] Management System performance. Our activities involve the handling, transportation and storage of bulk chemicals, both liquid and dry, many of which are classified as hazardous materials or hazardous substances. Historically, our operations involved the generation, storage, discharge and disposal of wastes that may contain hazardous substances, the inventory and use of cleaning materials that may contain hazardous substances and the control and discharge of storm-water from industrial sites. In addition, we may store diesel fuel, materials containing oil and other hazardous products at our terminals. As such, we and others who operate in our industry are subject to environmental, health and safety laws and regulation by U.S. federal, state and local agencies as well as foreign governmental authorities. Environmental laws and regulations are complex, and address emissions to the air, discharge onto land or water, and the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of waste materials. These laws change frequently and generally require us to obtain and maintain various licenses and permits. Environmental laws have tended to become more stringent over time, and most provide for substantial fines and potential criminal sanctions for violations. Some of these laws and regulations are subject to varying and conflicting interpretations. Under certain of these laws, we could also be subject to allegations of liability for the activities of our independent affiliates or independent owner-operators.

We are potentially subject to strict, joint and several liability for investigating and rectifying the consequences of spills and other releases of such substances. From time to time, we have incurred remedial costs and regulatory penalties with respect to chemical or wastewater spills and releases at our facilities and on the road, and, notwithstanding the existence of our environmental management program, we cannot assure that such obligations will not be incurred in the future, predict with certainty the extent of future liabilities and costs under environmental, health, and safety laws, or assure that such liabilities will not result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flow. We have established reserves for remediation expenses at known contamination sites when it is probable that such efforts will be required of us and the related expenses can be reasonably estimated. We have also incurred in the past, and expect to incur in the future, capital and other expenditures related to environmental compliance for current and planned operations. Such expenditures are generally included in our overall capital and operating budgets and are not accounted for separately. However, we do not anticipate that compliance with existing environmental laws in conducting current and planned operations will have a material adverse effect on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

Reserves

Our policy is to accrue remediation expenses when it is probable that such efforts will be required and the related expenses can be reasonably estimated. Estimates of costs for future environmental compliance and remediation may be impacted by such factors as changes in environmental laws and regulatory requirements, the availability and application of technology, the identification of currently unknown potential remediation sites and the allocation of costs among the potentially responsible parties under the applicable statutes. Our reserves for environmental compliance and remediation are adjusted periodically as remediation efforts progress or as additional technical or legal information becomes available. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had reserves

Table of Contents

in the amount of \$10.9 million and \$11.6 million, respectively, for all environmental matters, of which the most significant are discussed below.

The balances presented include both long term and current environmental reserves. We expect the estimated environmental obligations to be paid over the next five years. Additions to the environmental liability reserves are classified in our Consolidated Statements of Operations within the Selling and administrative category.

Property Contamination Liabilities

We have been named as (or are alleged to be) a potentially responsible party under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA) and similar state laws at approximately 25 sites. At 18 of the 25 sites, we are one of many parties with alleged liability and are negotiating with Federal, State or private parties on the scope of our obligations, if any. At 2 of the 18 sites, we will be participating in the initial studies to determine site remediation objectives. Since our overall liability cannot be estimated at this time, we have set reserves for only the initial remedial investigation phase. At 3 of the 18 sites, we have explicitly denied any liability and since there has been no subsequent demand for payment we have not established a reserve for these matters. We have estimated all future expenditures for these 18 multi-party environmental matters to be paid over the next five years to be in the range of \$2.2 million to \$3.8 million. At 7 of the 25 sites, we are the only responsible party and are in the process of conducting investigations and/or remediation projects. Four of these projects relate to operations conducted by our subsidiary, CLC, and its subsidiaries prior to our acquisition of CLC in 1998. These four sites are: (1) Bridgeport, New Jersey; (2) William Dick, Pennsylvania; (3) Tonawanda, New York; and (4) Scary Creek, West Virginia. The remaining three sites relate to investigations and potential remediation that were triggered by the New Jersey Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA), which requires such investigations and remediation following the sale of industrial facilities. Each of these sites is discussed in more detail below. We have estimated future expenditures over the next five years for these seven properties to be in the range of \$8.7 million to \$16.7 million.

Bridgeport, New Jersey

QDI is required under the terms of three federal consent decrees to perform remediation at this operating truck terminal and tank wash site. CLC entered into consent orders with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in May 1991 for the treatment of groundwater and in October 1998 for the removal of contamination in the wetlands. In addition, we recently entered into a third federal consent decree to assess and remediate contaminated soils at the site.

The groundwater treatment remedy negotiated with USEPA calls for a treatment facility for in-place treatment of groundwater contamination and a local discharge. Treatment facility construction was completed in early 2007. After various start-up issues, the treatment facility began initial operations in June 2010. The plant experienced issues with the treatment of vapor phase emissions and operation was suspended in July 2010. Wetlands contamination has been remediated with localized restoration completed. Monitoring of the restored wetlands is continuing. In regard to contaminated soils, USEPA finalized the feasibility study and issued a record of decision in September 2009 for the limited areas that show contamination and warrant additional investigation or work. We negotiated with USEPA in 2010 to enter a consent order to perform the remediation work, which will consist of in-place thermal treatment. This work is currently in the remedial design phase. We have estimated expenditures over the next five years to be in the range of \$6.0 million to \$8.5 million.

William Dick, Pennsylvania

CLC entered into a consent order with the Pennsylvania DEP and USEPA in October 1995 obligating it to provide a replacement water supply to area residents, treat contaminated groundwater, and perform remediation of contaminated soils at this former wastewater disposal site. The replacement water supply is complete. We

Table of Contents

completed construction of a treatment facility with local discharge for groundwater treatment in the fourth quarter of 2007. Plant start-up issues have been resolved and the treatment facility began operations in June 2010. The plant experienced issues with the liquid phase carbon treatment process and the operation was suspended in August 2010. After some modification work, the plant was re-started at the end of 2010. The agencies approved a contaminated soils remedy, which required both thermal treatment of contaminated soils and treatment of residuals via soil vapor extraction. The remedy expanded to include off-site shipment of contaminated soils. Soil treatment was completed in September 2007. Site sampling has been conducted and the results indicate that the soil clean-up objectives have not been fully achieved. Negotiations are on-going with USEPA over further remedial actions that may be needed at the site. We have estimated expenditures over the next five years to be in the range of \$0.9 million to \$3.4 million.

Other Properties

Tonawanda, New York: CLC entered into a consent order with the New York Department of Environmental Conservation on June 22, 1999 obligating it to perform soil and groundwater remediation at this former truck terminal and tank wash site. We have completed a remedial investigation and a feasibility study. The state issued a record of decision in May 2006. The site is currently in remedial design phase.

Scary Creek, West Virginia: CLC received a cleanup notice from the state environmental authority in August 1994. The state and we have agreed that remediation can be conducted under the state's voluntary clean-up program (instead of the state superfund enforcement program). We are currently completing the originally planned remedial investigation and the additional site investigation work.

ISRA New Jersey Facilities: We are obliged to conduct investigations and remediation at three current or former New Jersey tank wash and terminal sites pursuant to the state's ISRA, which requires such remediation following the sale of facilities after 1983. These sites are in the process of remedial investigation with projections set in contemplation of limited soil remediation expense for contaminated areas.

We have estimated aggregate future expenditures for Tonawanda, Scary Creek and ISRA to be in the range of \$1.8 million to \$4.8 million.

Other Legal Matters

We are from time to time involved in routine litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. We believe that no such routine litigation currently pending against us, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

MOTOR CARRIER REGULATION

As a motor carrier, we are subject to regulation by the FMCSA, of which is a unit of the DOT. The FMCSA enforces comprehensive trucking safety regulations and performs certain functions relating to such matters as motor carrier registration, cargo and liability insurance, extension of credit to motor carrier customers, and leasing of equipment by motor carriers from independent owner-operators. There are additional regulations specifically relating to the tank truck industry, including testing and specifications of equipment and product handling requirements. We may transport most types of freight to and from any point in the United States over any route selected by us. The trucking industry is subject to possible regulatory and legislative changes, including changes intended to address climate change, that may affect the economics of the industry by requiring changes in operating practices, restricting and taxing emissions or by changing the demand for common or contract carrier services or the cost of providing truckload services. Some of these possible changes may include increasingly stringent environmental regulations, increasing control over the transportation of hazardous materials, changes in the hours-of-service regulations which govern the amount of time a driver may drive in any specific period of time, extension of electronic on-board recorder mandates or limits on vehicle weight and size.

Table of Contents

Interstate motor carrier operations are subject to safety requirements prescribed by the DOT. To a large degree, intrastate motor carrier operations are subject to safety and hazardous material transportation regulations that mirror federal regulations. Such matters as weight and dimension of equipment are also subject to federal and state regulations. DOT regulations mandate drug and alcohol testing of drivers and other safety personnel. In 2010, we underwent a compliance review by the FMCSA in which we retained our satisfactory DOT safety rating. We anticipate a follow-up review in the future, including with respect to issues identified in the 2010 review, which could result in the imposition of corrective action with which we would be required to comply. Any downgrade in our DOT safety rating (as a result of the new CSA regulations described below, any follow-up reviews or otherwise) could adversely affect our business.

In December 2010, the FMCSA began to rate individual driver safety performance inclusive of all driver violations over 3-year time periods under new regulations known as the CSA. CSA is an FMCSA initiative designed to provide motor carriers and drivers with attention from FMCSA and state partners about their potential safety problems with an ultimate goal of achieving a greater reduction in large truck and bus crashes, injuries, and fatalities. Prior to these regulations, only carriers were rated by the DOT and the rating only included out-of-service violations and ticketed offenses associated with out-of-service violations. Under the CSA, the FMCSA may deem carriers with poor safety performance unfit to operate, which serves to prohibit the carrier from operating until its safety fitness determination improves.

Title VI of The Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act of 1994 generally prohibits individual states, political subdivisions thereof and combinations of states from regulating price, entry, routes or service levels of most motor carriers. However, the states retained the right to continue to require certification of carriers, based upon two primary fitness criteria safety and insurance and retained certain other limited regulatory rights. Prior to January 1, 1995, we held intra-state authority in several states. Since that date, we have either been grandfathered or have obtained the necessary certification to continue to operate in those states. In states in which we were not previously authorized to operate intra-state, we have obtained certificates or permits allowing us to operate.

We are subject to compliance with cargo security and transportation regulations issued by the Transportation Security Administration and by the Department of Homeland Security, including regulation by the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection. We believe that we will be able to comply with Bureau of Customs and Border Protection rules, requiring pre-notification of cross-border shipments, with no material effect on our operations. We are also subject to the motor carrier laws of Canada and Mexico.

From time to time, various legislative proposals are introduced including proposals to increase federal, state, or local taxes, including taxes on motor fuels, which may increase our costs and adversely impact the recruitment of drivers. We cannot predict whether, or in what form, any increase in such taxes applicable to us will be enacted.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON COMPANY WEBSITE

Our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports may be viewed or downloaded electronically or as paper copies from our website: <http://www.qualitydistribution.com> as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Our recent press releases are also available to be viewed or downloaded electronically at <http://www.qualitydistribution.com>. We will also provide electronic or paper copies of our SEC filings free of charge on request. We regularly post or otherwise make available information on the Investor Relations section of our website that may be important to investors. Any information on or linked from our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Our Business

Our business is subject to general and industry specific economic factors that are largely out of our control and could affect our operations and profitability.

Our business is dependent on various economic factors over which we have little control, that include:

the availability of qualified drivers;

access to the credit and capital markets;

changes in regulations concerning shipment and storage of material we transport and depot;

increases in fuel prices, taxes and tolls;

increases in costs of equipment;

interest rate and currency fluctuations;

excess capacity in the chemical tank or ISO container industry;

changes in license and regulatory fees;

potential disruptions at U.S. ports of entry;

downturns in customers' business cycles; and

reductions in customers' shipping requirements.

As a result, we may experience periods of overcapacity, declining prices, lower profit margins and less availability of cash in the future. We have a large number of customers in the chemical-processing and consumer-goods industries. If these customers experience fluctuations in their business activity due to an economic downturn, work stoppages or other industry conditions, the volume of freight transported by us or intermodal provided by us on behalf of those customers may decrease. The volume of shipments of chemical products is, in turn, affected by many other industries and end use markets, including consumer and industrial products, paints and coatings, paper and packaging, agriculture and food products, and tends to vary with changing economic conditions.

The trucking industry, in general, has experienced a slowdown due to lower demand resulting from slowing economic conditions through 2008 and 2009. These conditions continued, although to a lesser extent, in 2010 and it is uncertain whether economic conditions will improve in 2011 and beyond.

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

Our debt agreements contain restrictions that could limit our flexibility in operating our business.

Our ABL Facility and the indentures governing our 9.875% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the 2018 Notes) and our 11.75% Senior Subordinated PIK Notes due 2013 (the 2013 PIK Notes) contain covenants that limit or prohibit our ability, among other things, to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred shares;

redeem, repurchase, make payments on or retire subordinated indebtedness or make other restricted payments;

make certain loans, acquisitions, capital expenditures or investments;

sell certain assets, including stock of our subsidiaries;

enter into sale and leaseback transactions;

create or incur liens;

consolidate, merge, sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; and

enter into certain transactions with our independent affiliates.

Table of Contents

These covenants may prohibit or impair us from taking actions that we believe are best for our business and shareholders. Furthermore, under the ABL Facility we may be required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios under certain conditions. Our ability to meet those financial ratios can be affected by events beyond our control, and we may not meet those ratios. In addition, covenants in our debt agreements limit our use of proceeds from our ordinary operations and from extraordinary transactions. These limits may require us to apply proceeds in a certain manner or prohibit us from utilizing the proceeds in our operations or from prepaying or retiring indebtedness that we desire.

A failure to comply with any of the covenants contained in the ABL Facility or our other indebtedness could result in an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In the event of default, the lenders of the defaulted indebtedness:

would not be required to lend any additional amounts to us under the ABL Facility;

could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be due immediately and terminate all commitments to extend further credit; or

could require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these borrowings.

Such actions by the lenders could cause cross defaults under our other indebtedness. If we were unable to repay amounts under the ABL Facility, the lenders under the ABL Facility could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated, there can be no assurance that our assets would be sufficient to repay such indebtedness in full.

We have substantial indebtedness and may not be able to make required payments on our indebtedness.

We had consolidated indebtedness and capital lease obligations, including current maturities of \$317.3 million as of December 31, 2010. We must make regular payments under the ABL Facility and our capital leases, and semi-annual and quarterly interest payments under our outstanding notes.

Our 2013 PIK Notes issued in the quarter ended December 31, 2009 carry high fixed rates of total interest and high cash rates of interest. In addition, interest on amounts borrowed under our ABL Facility is variable and will increase as market rates of interest increase. We do not presently hedge against the risk of rising interest rates. Our higher interest expense may reduce our future profitability. Our future higher interest expense and future redemption obligations could have other important consequences with respect to our ability to manage our business successfully, including the following:

it may make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations for our indebtedness, and any failure to comply with these obligations could result in an event of default;

it will reduce the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other business activities;

it increases our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;

it limits our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

it may make us more vulnerable to further downturns in our business or the economy; and

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

it limits our ability to exploit business opportunities.

The ABL Facility matures June 18, 2013. However, the maturity date of the ABL Facility may be accelerated if we default on our obligations. If the maturity of the ABL Facility and/or such other debt is accelerated, we do not believe that we will have sufficient cash on hand to repay the ABL Facility and/or such other debt or, unless conditions in the credit markets improve significantly, that we will be able to refinance the ABL Facility and/or such other debt on acceptable terms, or at all. The failure to repay or refinance the ABL Facility and/or such other debt at maturity will have a material adverse effect on our business and financial

Table of Contents

condition, would cause substantial liquidity problems and may result in the bankruptcy of us and/or our subsidiaries. Any actual or potential bankruptcy or liquidity crisis may materially harm our relationships with our customers, suppliers and independent affiliates.

Our ability to satisfy our interest and principal payment obligations will depend upon, among other things:

our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by many factors beyond our control; and

our future ability to borrow under the ABL Facility, the availability of which depends on, among other things, our complying with the covenants in the ABL Facility.

We may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations, and we may not be able to draw under the ABL Facility, in an amount sufficient to fund our liquidity needs. If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to service our indebtedness or fund our operations, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. Our ability to restructure or refinance our debt will depend on the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. Any refinancing of our debt could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. If we are not able to refinance any of our indebtedness, sell assets or raise capital on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, or for sufficient proceeds, we could default on our obligations and impair our liquidity. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations or to refinance our obligations on commercially reasonable terms would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Despite our substantial indebtedness, we may incur significantly more indebtedness, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The ABL Facility and the indentures governing the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes contain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness. These restrictions are subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions, and the indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. Accordingly, we or our subsidiaries could incur significant additional indebtedness in the future. We had \$79.6 million available for additional borrowing under the ABL Facility as of December 31, 2010, including a subfacility for letters of credit, and the covenants under our debt agreements would allow us to borrow a significant amount of indebtedness beyond this amount. Additional leverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows and could increase the risks described in Our debt agreements contain restrictions that could limit our flexibility in operating our business, and We have substantial indebtedness and may not be able to make required payments on our indebtedness.

The trucking industry is extremely competitive and fragmented.

The trucking industry is extremely competitive and fragmented. No single truckload carrier has a significant market share. We compete with many other truckload carriers of varying sizes, customers' private fleets, and, to a lesser extent, with railroads, which may limit our growth opportunities and reduce profitability. Historically, competition has created downward pressure on the trucking industry's pricing structure. Some trucking companies with which we compete have greater financial resources than we do.

We believe that the most significant competitive factor that impacts demand for our services is rates, and we may be forced to lower our rates based on our competitors' pricing decisions, which would reduce our profitability. In fact, certain markets that we serve have experienced fierce price competition in recent years. This has been further magnified through the impact of the recent global economic recession as trucking companies have focused more on price to retain business and market share. With respect to certain aspects of our business, we also compete with intermodal transportation and railroads. Intermodal transportation has increased in recent years. Growth in such forms of transport could adversely affect our market share, net sales and profit margins.

Table of Contents

Competition from non-trucking modes of transportation and from intermodal transportation would likely increase if state or federal fuel taxes were to increase without a corresponding increase in taxes imposed upon other modes of transportation.

Additional trends include current and anticipated consolidation among our competitors which may cause us to lose market share as well as put downward pressure on pricing. Some of our competitors are larger, have greater financial resources and have less debt than we do. As a result, those competitors may be better able to withstand a change in conditions within our industry and in the economy as a whole. If we do not compete successfully, our operating margins, financial condition, cash flows and profitability could be adversely affected.

Our reliance upon independent affiliates and independent owner-operators could adversely affect our operations and profitability.

We rely heavily upon independent affiliates and independent owner-operators to perform the logistics services for which we contract with our customers. A reduction in the number of independent owner-operators, whether due to capital requirements related to the expense of obtaining, operating and maintaining equipment or for other reasons, could have a negative effect on our operations and profitability. Similarly the loss of one or more independent affiliates could adversely affect our profitability.

Contracts with independent affiliates are for various terms and contracts with independent owner-operators may be terminated by either party on short notice. Although independent affiliates and independent owner-operators are responsible for paying for their own equipment and other operating costs, significant increases in these costs could cause them to seek a higher percentage of the revenue generated if we are unable to increase our rates commensurately. A continued decline in the rates we pay to our independent affiliates and independent owner-operators could adversely affect our ability to maintain our existing independent affiliates and independent owner-operators and attract new independent affiliates, independent owner-operators and drivers. Disagreements with independent affiliates or independent owner-operators as to payment or other terms, or the failure of a key independent affiliate to meet our contractual obligations or otherwise perform consistent with our requirements may require us to utilize alternative suppliers, in each case at potentially higher prices or with disruption of the services that we provide to our customers. If we fail to deliver on time or if the costs of our services increase, then our profitability and customer relationships could be harmed.

Although our independent affiliates and independent owner-operators have substantial contractual obligations to us, we do not control them. These independent affiliates and independent owner-operators typically utilize tractors and trailers bearing our tradenames and trademarks. To the extent that one of our independent affiliates or independent owner-operators are subject to negative publicity, it could reflect on us and have a material adverse effect on our business, brand, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

The loss of one or more significant customers may adversely affect our business.

We are dependent upon a limited number of large customers. Our top ten customers accounted for 35.8% of our logistics revenue during 2010. The loss of one or more of our major customers, or a material reduction in services we perform for such customers, may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

We are self-insured and have exposure to certain claims and are subject to the insurance marketplace, all of which could affect our profitability.

The primary accident risks associated with our business are:

motor-vehicle related bodily injury and property damage;

workers compensation claims;

environmental pollution liability claims;

Table of Contents

cargo loss and damage; and

general liability claims.

We currently maintain insurance for:

motor-vehicle related bodily injury and property damage claims, covering all employees, independent owner operators and independent affiliates;

workers' compensation insurance coverage on our employees and company drivers;

environmental pollution liability claims; and

general liability claims.

Our insurance program includes a self-insured deductible of \$2.0 million per incident for bodily injury and property damage and a \$1.0 million deductible for workers' compensation. In addition, we currently maintain insurance policies with a total limit of \$40.0 million, of which \$35.0 million is provided under an umbrella liability policy and \$5.0 million is provided under a truckers' liability policy. The \$2.0 million deductible per incident could adversely affect our profitability, particularly in the event of an increase in the number or severity of incidents. Additionally, we are self-insured for damage to the equipment that we own and lease, as well as for cargo losses and such self-insurance is not subject to any maximum limitation. We extend insurance coverage to our independent affiliates and independent owner-operators for (i) motor vehicle related bodily injury, (ii) motor-vehicle related property damage, and (iii) cargo loss and damage. Under this extended coverage, independent affiliates and independent owner-operators are responsible for only a small portion of the applicable deductibles.

We are subject to changing conditions and pricing in the insurance marketplace and we cannot assure you that the cost or availability of various types of insurance may not change dramatically in the future. To the extent these costs cannot be passed on to our customers in increased freight rates, increases in insurance costs could reduce our future profitability and cash flow.

Changes in laws and regulations regarding health insurance benefits could adversely affect our cost of operations, employee relations and profitability.

The recently enacted federal healthcare reform legislation could significantly increase our employee costs by requiring us either to provide health insurance coverage to our employees or to pay certain penalties for electing not to provide such coverage. Because these new requirements are broad, complex, subject to certain phase-in rules and may be challenged by legal actions in the coming months and years, it is difficult to predict the ultimate impact that this legislation will have on our business and operating costs. We cannot assure you that this legislation or any alternative version that may ultimately be implemented will not materially increase our operating costs. This legislation could also adversely affect our employee relations and ability to compete for new employees if our response to this legislation is considered less favorable than the responses or health benefits offered by employers with whom we compete for talent.

The trucking industry is subject to regulation, and changes in trucking regulations may increase costs.

As a motor carrier, we are subject to regulation by the FMCSA and the DOT, and by various federal, state, and provincial agencies. These regulatory authorities exercise broad powers governing various aspects such as operating authority, safety, hours of service, hazardous materials transportation, financial reporting and acquisitions. There are additional regulations specifically relating to the trucking industry, including testing and specification of equipment, product-handling requirements and drug testing of drivers. We recently underwent a compliance review by the FMCSA in which we retained our satisfactory DOT safety rating. We anticipate a follow-up review in the near future, including with respect to issues identified in the recent review, which could result in the imposition of corrective action with which we would be required to comply.

Table of Contents

In December 2010, the FMCSA began to rate individual driver safety performance inclusive of all driver violations over 3-year time periods under new regulations known as the CSA. CSA is an FMCSA initiative designed to provide motor carriers and drivers with attention from FMCSA and state partners about their potential safety problems with an ultimate goal of achieving a greater reduction in large truck and bus crashes, injuries, and fatalities. Prior to these regulations, only carriers were rated by the DOT and the rating only included out-of-service violations and ticketed offenses associated with out-of-service violations. Any downgrade in our DOT safety rating (as a result of these new regulations, any follow-up reviews or otherwise) could adversely affect our business.

The trucking industry is subject to possible regulatory and legislative changes that may affect the economics of the industry by requiring changes in operating practices, emissions or by changing the demand for common or contract carrier services or the cost of providing trucking services. Possible changes include:

increasingly stringent environmental regulations, including changes intended to address climate change;

restrictions, taxes or other controls on emissions;

increasing control over the transportation of hazardous materials;

changes in the hours-of-service regulations, which govern the amount of time a driver may drive in any specific period;

extension of electronic on-board recorder mandates;

requirements leading to accelerated purchases of new trailers;

mandatory limits on vehicle weight and size; and

mandatory regulations imposed by the Department of Homeland Security.

From time to time, various legislative proposals are introduced, including proposals to increase federal, state, or local taxes, including taxes on motor fuels and emissions, which may increase our or our independent affiliates' operating costs, require capital expenditures or adversely impact the recruitment of drivers.

Restrictions on emissions or other climate change laws or regulations could also affect our customers that use significant amounts of energy or burn fossil fuels in producing or delivering the products we carry. We could also lose revenue if our customers divert business from us because we have not complied with their sustainability requirements.

Our operations involve hazardous materials, which could create environmental liabilities.

Our activities, particularly those relating to our handling, transporting and storage of bulk chemicals, are subject to environmental, health and safety laws and regulation by governmental authorities in the United States as well as foreign governmental authorities. Among other things, these environmental laws and regulations address emissions to the air, discharges onto land and into water, the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of waste materials, and the health and safety of our employees. These laws generally require us to obtain and maintain various licenses and permits. Most environmental laws provide for substantial fines, penalties and potential criminal sanctions for violations. Additionally, we have been, and may in the future be required to obtain financial guarantees, such as letters of credit, for environmental obligations. Environmental, health and safety laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become stricter over time. Some of these laws and regulations are subject to varying and conflicting interpretations. There can be no assurance that violations of such laws, regulations, permits or licenses will not be identified or occur in the future, or that such laws and regulations will not

change in a manner that could impose material costs on us.

Table of Contents

As a handler of hazardous substances, we are potentially subject to strict, joint and several liability for investigating and rectifying the consequences of spills and other environmental releases of these substances. We have incurred remedial costs and regulatory penalties for chemical or wastewater spills and releases at our facilities or over the road. As a result of environmental studies conducted at our facilities or at third party sites, we have identified environmental contamination at certain sites that will require remediation and we are currently conducting investigation and remediation projects at seven of our facilities. Future liabilities and costs under environmental, health, and safety laws are not easily predicted, and such liabilities could result in a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or business reputation.

In addition, we have been named a potentially responsible party at various sites under the CERCLA and other environmental regulatory programs. Our current reserves provided for these sites may prove insufficient, which would result in future charges against earnings. Furthermore, we could be named a potentially responsible party at other sites in the future and the costs associated with such future sites could be material.

Potential disruptions at U.S. ports of entry could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any disruption of the delivery of intermodal ISO tank containers to those ports where we do business would reduce the number of intermodal tank containers that we transport, store, clean or maintain. This reduced activity may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

If fuel prices increase significantly, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are subject to risk with respect to purchases of fuel. Prices and availability of petroleum products are subject to political, economic and market factors that are generally outside our control. Political events in the Middle East, Venezuela, and elsewhere, as well as hurricanes and other weather-related events, and current and future market-based (cap-and-trade) greenhouse gas emissions control mechanisms, also may cause the price of fuel to increase. Because our operations are dependent upon diesel fuel, significant increases in diesel fuel costs could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition if we are unable to pass increased costs on to customers through rate increases or fuel surcharges. Historically, we have recovered the majority of the increases in fuel prices from customers through fuel surcharges. Fuel surcharges that can be collected may not always fully offset the increase in the cost of diesel fuel. To the extent fuel surcharges are insufficient to offset our fuel costs or we are unable to continue passing on increased fuel costs to our customers, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

The loss of qualified drivers or other personnel could limit our growth and negatively affect operations.

During periods of high trucking volumes, there is substantial competition for qualified drivers in the trucking industry. Regulatory requirements, including CSA (discussed above), and an improvement in the economy could reduce the number of eligible drivers. Furthermore, certain geographic areas have a greater shortage of qualified drivers than other areas. We operate in many of the geographic areas where there have been driver shortages in the past and have turned down new business opportunities as a result of the lack of qualified new drivers. We expect this to occur again as the economy begins to improve. Difficulty in attracting qualified personnel, particularly qualified drivers, could require us to increase driver compensation, forego available customer opportunities and underutilize the tractors and trailers in our network. These actions could result in increased costs and decreased revenues. In addition, we may not be able to recruit other qualified personnel in the future.

Our business may be harmed by terrorist attacks, future wars or anti-terrorism measures.

In the aftermath of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, federal, state and municipal authorities have implemented and are implementing various security measures, including checkpoints and travel restrictions on large trucks and fingerprinting of drivers in connection with new hazardous materials endorsements on their

Table of Contents

licenses. Such existing measures and future measures may have significant costs associated with them which a motor carrier is forced to bear. Moreover, large trucks carrying toxic chemicals are potential terrorist targets, and we may be obligated to take measures, including possible capital expenditures intended to protect our trucks. In addition, the insurance premiums charged for some or all of the coverage currently maintained by us could continue to increase dramatically or such coverage could be unavailable in the future.

We depend on members of our senior management.

We believe that our ability to successfully implement our business strategy and to operate profitably depends in large part on the continued employment of our senior management team. If members of senior management become unable or unwilling to continue in their present positions, our business or financial results could be adversely affected.

Our long-lived assets are subject to potential asset impairment.

At December 31, 2010, goodwill and other intangible assets represented approximately \$43.9 million, or approximately 16.2% of our total assets and approximately 25.4% of our non-current assets, the carrying value of which may be reduced if we determine that those assets are impaired. In addition, at December 31, 2010, net property and equipment totaled approximately \$113.4 million, or approximately 41.8% of our total assets.

We review for potential goodwill impairment on an annual basis as part of our goodwill impairment testing in the second quarter of each year with a measurement date of June 30, and more often if a triggering event or circumstance occurs making it likely that impairment exists. In addition, we test for the recoverability of long-lived assets at year end, and more often if an event or circumstance indicates the carrying value may not be recoverable. We conduct impairment testing based on our current business strategy in light of present industry and economic conditions, as well as future expectations.

The annual goodwill impairment review performed as of June 30, 2010 resulted in no impairment. The annual goodwill impairment review performed in June 2009 indicated there was goodwill impairment. As a result of the analysis, we concluded that a total impairment charge to goodwill of \$146.2 million was necessary at June 30, 2009, of which \$144.3 million was related to our logistics segment, eliminating 100% of the carrying amount of goodwill of that segment, and \$1.9 million was related to our intermodal segment.

If there are changes to the methods used to allocate carrying values, if management's estimates of future operating results change, if there are changes in the identified reporting units or if there are changes to other significant assumptions, the estimated carrying values and the estimated fair value of our goodwill could change significantly, and could result in future impairment charges, which could materially impact our results of operations and financial condition.

We may be unable to successfully realize all of the intended benefits from future acquisitions, and we may be unable to identify or realize the intended benefits of potential future acquisition candidates.

We may be unable to realize all of the intended benefits of any future acquisitions. As part of our business strategy, we will evaluate potential future acquisitions, some of which could be material, and engage in discussions with acquisition candidates. We cannot assure you that suitable acquisition candidates will be identified and acquired in the future, that the financing of any such acquisition will be available on satisfactory terms, that we will be able to complete any such acquisition or that we will be able to accomplish our strategic objectives as a result of any such acquisition. Nor can we assure you that our acquisition strategies will be viewed positively by customers or achieve their intended benefits. Often acquisitions are undertaken to improve the operating results of either or both the acquirer and the acquired company and we cannot assure you that we

Table of Contents

will be successful in this regard. We will encounter various risks in acquiring other companies, including the possible inability to integrate an acquired business into our operations, diversion of management's attention and unanticipated problems or liabilities, some or all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations or cash flows.

Our restructuring involves risks to our business operations and may not reduce our costs.

During 2008, 2009, and 2010, we eliminated non-driver positions, consolidated and closed under-performing company terminals, implemented certain contract terminations, transitioned company-owned terminals to independent affiliates and took other measures intended to reduce future costs. These steps have placed, and will continue to place, pressures on our management, administrative and operational infrastructure as well as on our results of operations. Employees that departed in connection with the restructuring possessed knowledge of our business, skills and relationships with our customers, independent affiliates, drivers and other employees that were not replaced. As a result, our remaining employees may be required to serve new operational roles in which they have limited experience, which may reduce employee satisfaction and productivity. New relationships may also reduce customer, independent affiliate or driver satisfaction. Additionally, our restructuring plans and related efforts may divert management's and other employees' attention from other business concerns.

As a result of the restructuring, we took pre-tax charges in 2008, 2009 and 2010, which represent severance-related costs and costs associated with lease and contract terminations. The majority of these costs were cash expenditures paid during 2008, 2009 and 2010 or costs that we expect to pay in the future. Actual costs may exceed our estimates. Furthermore, we formulated this restructuring plan with the goal of reducing our future operating expenses. Our future operating expenses may not be reduced as we expect, or reductions may be offset in the future by other expenses.

In addition, risks and uncertainties associated with implementation of the restructuring plan that are not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Increased unionization could increase our operating costs or constrain operating flexibility.

Although only approximately 2.7% of our driver population, including independent owner-operators and employees of independent affiliates, was subject to collective bargaining agreements at December 31, 2010, unions such as the International Brotherhood of Teamsters have traditionally been active in the U.S. trucking industry. Unionized workers could disrupt our operations by strike, work stoppage or other slowdown. In addition, our non-union workforce has been subject to unionization efforts in the past, and we could be subject to future unionization. Increased unionization of our workforce could result in higher compensation and working condition demands that could increase our operating costs or constrain our operating flexibility.

If we withdraw from any of our multi-employer pension plans, we will be liable for a proportionate share of such plans' unfunded vested benefit liabilities upon our withdrawal.

As of December 31, 2010, we contribute to three multi-employer pension plans for employees under collective bargaining agreements. In conjunction with our restructuring efforts, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, we notified the trustees of three other plans of our intention to withdraw from the plans. These three withdrawal notifications are expected to result in an aggregate withdrawal liability of approximately \$2.0 million. Therefore, we recorded a restructuring charge for this amount in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. Approximately \$0.2 million of the total estimated withdrawal liability is expected to be paid within one year of the effective date of our withdrawal from the plans with the remaining \$1.8 million expected to be paid over the next twelve years following the effective date of our withdrawal from the plans.

Table of Contents

We do not currently intend to withdraw from the remaining three multi-employer pension plans or take any actions that would subject us to payment of contingent obligations. Based on information provided to us from the trustees of these remaining plans, we estimate our portion of the contingent liability in the case of a full withdrawal or termination from these remaining plans to be approximately \$58.0 million, of which the largest component relates to the Central States Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Plan, which is estimated to be \$54.0 million.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

We have a single shareholder who can substantially influence the outcome of all matters voted upon by our shareholders and prevent actions which a shareholder may otherwise view favorably.

As of March 8, 2011, Apollo Investment Fund III, L.P. and its affiliated funds (Apollo) were our largest shareholder and owned or controlled approximately 33.5% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, Apollo can influence substantially all matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election of directors, the approval of significant corporate transactions such as acquisitions, the ability to block an unsolicited tender offer and any other matters requiring a vote of shareholders. Four of our board members are partners or employees of Apollo. This concentration of ownership and board representation could delay, defer or prevent a change in control of our Company or impede a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination that a shareholder may otherwise view favorably.

Our ability to issue blank check preferred stock and Florida law may prevent a change in control of our Company that a shareholder may consider favorable.

Provisions of our articles of incorporation and Florida law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our Company that a shareholder may consider favorable. These provisions include:

authorization of the issuance of blank check preferred stock that could be issued by our Board of Directors to increase the number of outstanding shares in order to control a takeover attempt which the Board viewed unfavorably;

elimination of the voting rights of shareholders with respect to shares that are acquired without prior Board approval that would otherwise entitle such shareholder to exercise certain amounts of voting power in the election of directors; and

prohibition on business combinations with interested shareholders unless particular conditions are met.

As a result, these provisions could limit the price that investors are willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Future sales and issuances of our common stock in the public market may depress our stock price and result in dilution.

The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales by our existing shareholders of a large number of shares of our common stock. These sales might also make it more difficult for us to sell additional equity securities at a time and price that we deem appropriate. As of March 8, 2011, there are approximately 23.5 million shares of common stock outstanding. Approximately 8.7 million shares of common stock, as of March 8, 2011, are restricted securities as defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933 or are held by affiliates.

In addition, as of March 8, 2011, we have 2.0 million shares of common stock available for issuance under our stock option plan and restricted stock incentive plan. As of March 8, 2011, there were outstanding options for 2.6 million shares and outstanding warrants for 0.4 million shares of our common stock. Exercise of the warrants and of options that are in-the-money will result in dilution to existing shareholders in an amount equal to the difference in the market and exercise prices multiplied by the number of shares exercised. In addition, prior to their exercise, these options and warrants may depress the market price for our common stock.

Table of Contents

We currently do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock.

We do not expect to pay dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, the ABL Facility and indentures governing our 2018 Notes and 2013 PIK Notes contain certain restrictions on our ability to pay dividends on our common stock. Accordingly, the price of our common stock must appreciate in order to realize a gain on one's investment. This may not occur.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Currently we lease approximately 68,000 square feet for our administrative and corporate office headquarters in Tampa, Florida. We are currently using approximately half of this space and are seeking opportunities to sublet the unused space. The lease for our corporate headquarters expires in December 2017. The corporate headquarters for Boasso is located in Chalmette, Louisiana, and consists of 20,000 square feet of office space. The lease expires in April 2013. We have no other location that is material to our operations.

As of December 31, our network terminals and facilities consisted of the following:

	Segment	2010 Terminals	2009 Terminals	2008 Terminals
QCI independent affiliate trucking terminals	Logistics	91	83	54
QCI company-operated trucking terminals	Logistics	3	16	54
Boasso container services terminals/depots	Intermodal	8	8	8
QSI tank wash facilities (1)	Other		1	33
Total		102	108	149

(1) We sold substantially all of the operating assets of our tank wash business in October 2009.

We reduced our total number of terminals by 31.5% from 149 to 102 during 2009 and 2010, while transitioning the business of certain under-performing terminals to our remaining terminals and affiliating many company-owned terminals. At December 31, 2010, 91 of our 94 trucking terminals were independent affiliate operated, compared with 54 of 108 at December 31, 2008. The strength of our independent affiliates has led to a more streamlined, asset-light business model with improved customer service.

We currently own 44 properties. We operate or lease trucking, tank wash and container services terminals.

We consider our properties to be in good condition generally and believe that our facilities are adequate to meet our anticipated requirements.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In addition to those items disclosed under Item 1. Business Environmental Matters, Business Other Legal Matters and Note 20 to our consolidated financial statements contained herein, Commitments and Contingencies Environmental Matters, we are from time to time involved in routine litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. We believe that no such routine litigation currently pending against us, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**ITEM 4. RESERVED****EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

Our executive officers, as of March 8, 2011 were as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Gary R. Enzor	48	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Stephen R. Attwood	59	President and Chief Operating Officer
Joseph J. Troy	47	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Jonathan C. Gold	47	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Randall T. Strutz	46	Senior Vice President of Sales and New Business Development

Gary R. Enzor has been a director of QDI since 2008. He has served as our Chief Executive Officer since June 2007 and as President of QDI from November 2005 to July 2010. Mr. Enzor joined QDI in December 2004. Prior to joining QDI, Mr. Enzor served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Swift Transportation since August 2002. Prior to Swift, Mr. Enzor held executive positions with Honeywell International, Dell Computer and AlliedSignal Inc. (now Honeywell International, Inc.).

Stephen R. Attwood joined QDI in July 2008 as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He was named President and Chief Operating Officer in July 2010. Prior to joining QDI, Mr. Attwood served as Controller and Vice President of Swift Transportation Co., Inc. Previously, Mr. Attwood held senior management positions with Dell Computer and AlliedSignal Inc. (now Honeywell International, Inc.).

Joseph J. Troy joined QDI in August 2010 as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining QDI, Mr. Troy was Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of GuardianLion Wireless, LLC, a developer of unique personal locator devices, from January 2009 through August 2010. From 2000 to 2008, Mr. Troy held a variety of senior executive positions and roles at Walter Industries, Inc. (predecessor to Walter Energy, Inc.) over his tenure with the company, including Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, Business Development/M&A, and President & CEO of Walter's residential mortgage business. Prior to Walter Industries, Mr. Troy held various banking positions with NationsBank and its predecessor institutions.

Jonathan C. Gold joined QDI in January 2005 and has served as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since April 1, 2007. Prior to his employment with the Company, Mr. Gold served as corporate counsel with CSX Transportation, Inc. and Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary with Softmart, Inc. In addition, Mr. Gold was in private practice in Washington, D.C. and served as Judicial Clerk to U.S. District Judge Harvey E. Schlesinger. Mr. Gold retired from the U.S. Army Reserve in 2007 after more than 20 years of active and reserve service and is a decorated veteran of Operation Iraqi Freedom.

Randall T. Strutz joined QDI in April of 2010 and serves as the Senior Vice President of Sales and New Business Development. Before joining QDI, Mr. Strutz held the position of Chief Executive Officer with Morgan Systems, Inc., from 2008 to 2010. Prior to Morgan Systems, Mr. Strutz worked at Pacer International from 2001 to 2007 where he held the positions of Chief Commercial Officer as well as the President of Rail Brokerage and Chief Operating Officer. From 1988 through 2001 Mr. Strutz held the positions of Financial Manager, Plant Controller, Logistics Manager, Manufacturing Manager, and Plant Manager for Thomson, S.A. Mr. Strutz also worked at Price Waterhouse from 1986 to 1988.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER'S PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is traded on NASDAQ Global Market (NASDAQ) under the symbol QLTY. The table below sets forth the quarterly high and low sale prices for our common stock as reported on NASDAQ.

	Common Stock	
	High	Low
2010		
1 st quarter	\$ 6.19	\$ 3.71
2 nd quarter	8.18	5.05
3 rd quarter	7.14	4.60
4 th quarter	9.94	5.90
2009		
1 st quarter	\$ 3.23	\$ 1.25
2 nd quarter	2.30	1.62
3 rd quarter	4.51	1.82
4 th quarter	4.20	3.15

As of March 8, 2011, there were approximately 71 holders of record of our common stock.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have not declared cash dividends on our common stock for the periods presented above and have no present intention of doing so. We currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to repay debt or to finance the further expansion and continued growth of our business. Additionally, the ABL Facility and the indentures governing our 2018 Notes and our 2013 PIK Notes limit QDI's ability to pay dividends on its common stock. Future dividends, if any, will be determined by our Board of Directors.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

We maintain two equity-based compensation plans—the 2003 Stock Option Plan and the 2003 Restricted Stock Incentive Plan. A description of all our equity based compensation plans can be found in footnote 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The 2003 Stock Option Plan and the 2003 Restricted Stock Incentive Plan have each been approved by our shareholders. The following table sets forth the number of shares of our common stock subject to outstanding options and rights under these plans, the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, and the number of shares remaining available for future award grants under these plans as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands, except exercise price):

	Equity Compensation Plan Information		
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,835(1)	\$	3.24	2,001(2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders				
Total	2,835	\$	3.24	2,001

(1) Consists of the 2003 Stock Option Plan.

Table of Contents

- (2) Consists of approximately 0.6 million options issuable under the 2003 Stock Option Plan and approximately 1.4 million shares of common stock issuable under the 2003 Restricted Stock Incentive Plan. The number of shares available for future issuance under the 2003 Stock Option Plan automatically increases every year by 2.5% of the outstanding shares as of December 31 of the prior year.

UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

None

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph depicts a comparison of cumulative total shareholder returns for us as compared to the NASDAQ Transportation Index and the NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S.) Index. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2005 through December 31, 2010 and the reinvestment of any dividends issued during the period.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The selected historical consolidated financial information set forth below is qualified in its entirety by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The consolidated statements of operations data set forth below for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the historical balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included under Item 8 of this report. The historical statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the historical balance sheet data as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this report.

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)				
Statements of Operations Data (1)					
Operating revenues	\$ 686,598	\$ 613,609	\$ 815,290	\$ 751,558	\$ 730,159
Operating expenses:					
Purchased transportation	476,307	373,539	466,823	471,531	493,686
Depreciation and amortization	16,004	20,218	21,002	17,544	16,353
Impairment charge (2)		148,630			
Other operating expenses	157,552	186,398	294,487	238,630	171,842
Operating income (loss)	36,735	(115,176)	32,978	23,853	48,278
Interest expense, net	35,548	28,047	35,120	30,524	29,388
Write-off of debt issuance costs	7,391	20	283	2,031	
Gain on extinguishment of debt		(1,870)	(16,532)		
Other expense (income)	791	1,912	(2,945)	940	888
(Loss) income before taxes	(6,995)	(143,285)	17,052	(9,642)	18,002
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	411	37,249	4,940	(2,079)	(38,168)
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$ (7,406)	\$ (180,534)	\$ 12,112	\$ (7,563)	\$ 56,170
Net (loss) income per common share:					
Basic	\$ (0.36)	\$ (9.28)	\$ 0.63	\$ (0.39)	\$ 2.97
Diluted	(0.36)	(9.28)	0.62	(0.39)	2.87
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	20,382	19,449	19,379	19,336	18,920
Diluted	20,382	19,449	19,539	19,336	19,571

Table of Contents

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT TERMINAL, TRAILER AND TRACTOR DATA)				
Other Data (1)					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 21,071	\$ 39,756	\$ 19,593	\$ 14,052	\$ 28,236
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(1,079)	9,577	(8,524)	(63,399)	(10,591)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(23,879)	(50,515)	(13,485)	52,194	(12,474)
Number of terminals at end of period	102	108	149	169	165
Number of trailers managed at end of period	5,738	6,410	7,115	7,506	7,769
Number of tractors managed at end of period	2,901	2,839	3,224	3,927	3,829
Balance Sheet Data at Year End (1)					
Working capital	\$ 34,955	\$ 19,016	\$ 44,967	\$ 67,093	\$ 59,673
Total assets	271,335	279,616	502,103	493,976	417,873
Total indebtedness, including current maturities	317,332	321,284	362,586	349,271	279,122
Shareholders' (deficit) equity	(146,379)	(140,736)	31,020	27,300	31,774

- (1) On December 17, 2007, we acquired 100% of the stock of Boasso America Corporation. The results of Boasso have been included in our results since the date of the acquisition.
- (2) The impairment charge resulted from an impairment analysis of goodwill and intangible assets performed during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Refer to Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements contained herein.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report. The following discussion includes forward-looking statements. For a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ from results discussed in the forward-looking statements, see Forward-Looking Statements and Certain Considerations contained in the Introduction to this report.

OVERVIEW

We operate the largest chemical bulk tank truck network in North America through our wholly owned subsidiary, QCI, and are the largest provider of intermodal ISO tank container and depot services in North America through our wholly owned subsidiary, Boasso. QCI has relationships with 29 independent affiliated trucking operations which provide the physical transportation of chemicals, together with its company-operated trucking terminals.

Logistics (formerly Trucking)

The bulk tank truck market in North America includes all products shipped by bulk tank truck carriers and consists primarily of liquid and dry bulk chemicals (including plastics) and bulk dry and liquid food-grade products. We primarily transport a broad range of chemical products and provide our customers with logistics and other value-added services through 29 independent affiliates with 91 trucking terminals and through three company-operated trucking terminals. We are a core carrier for many of the major companies engaged in chemical processing including Ashland, BASF, Dow, DuPont, ExxonMobil, Georgia-Pacific, Honeywell, PPG Industries, Procter & Gamble, Sunoco and Unilever, and we provide services to most of the top 100 chemical producers with North American operations. We believe the diversity of our customer base, geography and end-markets provides a competitive advantage.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we began marketing transportation services to the frac shale natural gas and oil drilling industry. This addressable market is extensive and we believe it is growing at a faster rate than our core chemical market. We expect this new market to be a significant contributor to our company's 2011 revenue growth. In the first quarter of 2011, we began hauling fresh water to drill sites for two customers in the Marcellus Shale region of Pennsylvania and are in contract discussions to provide services to other customers as well.

Our transportation revenue is a function of the volume of shipments by the bulk chemical industry, prices, the average number of miles driven per load, our market share and the allocation of shipments between tank truck transportation and other modes of transportation such as rail. The volume of shipments of chemical products is, in turn, affected by many other industries and end use markets, including consumer and industrial products, paints and coatings, paper and packaging, agriculture and food products, and tends to vary with changing economic conditions. Due to the nature of our customers' business, our revenues are seasonal. Revenues generally decline during winter months, namely our first and fourth fiscal quarters and over holidays and rise during our second and third fiscal quarters. Highway transportation can be adversely affected depending upon the severity of the weather in various sections of the country during the winter months.

We believe rates in the bulk tank truck industry tend to be more stable than rates in the overall trucking industry. We believe the specialized nature of the bulk tank truck industry, including specifically-licensed drivers, specialized equipment, and more stringent safety requirements create barriers to entry which limit the more drastic swings in supply experienced by the broader trucking industry. Additionally, it is common practice in the bulk tank truck industry for customers to pay fuel surcharges, which helps enable recovery of fuel price increases from customers.

Table of Contents

Our bulk tank truck network is comprised largely of independent affiliates and independent owner operators, who are responsible for most of their operating costs, including tractors. This asset-light business model results in a highly variable cost structure with limited capital investment requirements.

Intermodal (formerly Container Services)

Boasso is the largest North American provider of intermodal tank container transportation and depot services, with eight terminals located in the eastern half of the United States. In addition to intermodal tank transportation services, Boasso provides tank cleaning, heating, testing, maintenance and storage services to customers. Boasso provides local and over-the-road trucking primarily within the proximity of the port cities where its depots are located and also sells equipment that its customers use for portable alternative storage or office space.

Demand for intermodal ISO tank containers is impacted by the aggregate volume of imports and exports of chemicals through United States ports, and Boasso's revenues are accordingly impacted by this import/export volume. In particular, Boasso's revenue are driven by the number of shipments through ports at which Boasso has terminals, the volume of rail shipments from ports at which Boasso has terminals, and Boasso's market share. Global economic conditions and differences among the laws and currencies of foreign nations may also impact the volume of shipment.

Our Network

Our bulk service network consists primarily of independently owned third-party affiliate terminals, independent owner-operator drivers and, to a lesser extent, company-operated terminals. Independent affiliates are independent companies we contract with to operate trucking terminals exclusively on our behalf in defined markets. The independent affiliates provide the capital necessary to service their contracted business and are also responsible for most of the operating costs associated with servicing the contracted business. Independent owner-operators are generally individual drivers who own or lease their tractors and agree to provide transportation services to us under contract. We believe the use of independent affiliates and independent owner-operators provides the following key competitive advantages to us in the marketplace:

Locally owned and operated independent affiliate terminals can provide superior, tailored customer service.

Independent affiliates and independent owner-operators generally are paid a fixed, contractual percentage of revenue collected on each load they transport creating a variable cost structure that mitigates against cyclical downturns.

Reliance on independent affiliates and independent owner-operators creates an asset-light business model that generally reduces our capital investment.

Due to several factors, including our ownership of the customer contracts and relationships, the presence of non-compete agreements with the independent affiliates, and our ownership of the trailers, our relationships with the independent affiliates tend to be long-term in nature, with minimal voluntary turnover.

Given the specialty nature of the services we provide and the size of our existing network, we believe there are significant barriers to entry to our industry. In the first quarter of 2009, we began consolidating certain company-operated terminals and transitioning other company-operated terminals to independent affiliates. These actions continued throughout 2010 and have resulted in a larger portion of our revenue being generated by independent affiliates and a substantial reduction in the number of terminals in our network. We believe these actions have reduced certain fixed costs, provide a more variable cost structure and position us with a financially flexible, asset-light business platform.

Table of Contents

We believe the most significant factors relevant to our future business growth are the ability to (i) obtain additional business from existing customers, (ii) add new customers, (iii) expand into new markets, (iv) improve the utilization of our trailer fleet and (v) add and retain qualified drivers. While many of our customers source some of their logistics needs with rail, we expect our customers to continue to outsource a greater proportion of their logistics needs to full service tank truck carriers. As a result of our leading market position, strong customer relationships and flexible business model, we believe we are well-positioned to benefit from customers seeking consolidation of their shipping relationships and those opting to outsource a greater portion of their logistics needs to third-party tank truck carriers.

Recent Significant Transactions

2009 Note Exchange

On October 15, 2009, we exchanged approximately \$134.5 million of our 2012 Notes for new 2013 Senior Notes. We also exchanged approximately \$83.6 million of our 9% Notes for approximately (a) \$80.7 million aggregate principal amount of our new 2013 PIK Notes; (b) 1.75 million warrants; and (c) \$1.8 million in cash. The warrants are exercisable to purchase shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share, during the period beginning April 16, 2010 and ending on November 1, 2013. As of December 31, 2010, approximately 0.4 million warrants remain unexercised.

2010 Senior Note Offering

On November 3, 2010, our wholly owned subsidiaries, QD, LLC and QD Capital, completed an offering of \$225.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 9.875% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the 2018 Notes) at an issue price of 99.324% of par. Pursuant to the offering, we sent irrevocable redemption notices to holders of our 10% Senior Notes due 2013 (the 2013 Senior Notes), Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2012 (the 2012 Notes) and 11.75% Senior Subordinated PIK Notes due 2013 (the 2013 PIK Notes). On November 15, 2010, we repaid at maturity the remaining 9% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2010 (the 9% Notes). On December 3, 2010, net proceeds from the offering of the 2018 Notes were used to fully redeem or repay all of the outstanding 2013 Senior Notes and 2012 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest. We also utilized proceeds to redeem at par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, \$47.5 million of the 2013 PIK Notes. The balance of the offering proceeds were used to pay down outstanding borrowings under the ABL Facility.

2011 Common Stock Offering

On February 9, 2011, we sold 2.0 million shares of our common stock in an underwritten public offering, at a gross price of \$9.50 per share, and received net proceeds, after underwriting fees and expenses, of approximately \$17.5 million. Certain affiliates of Apollo also sold 2.6 million shares in the offering. Pursuant to the offering, we sent irrevocable redemption notices to holders of our 2013 PIK Notes to redeem \$17.5 million of these notes at par, plus accrued and unpaid interest. This note redemption was completed on March 11, 2011.

2009 Asset Disposition

On October 10, 2009, we sold substantially all of the operating assets of our tank wash subsidiary, QSI, for \$13.0 million, of which \$10.0 million was paid in cash and the remaining \$3.0 million in a subordinated note. The subordinated note is a five year non-amortizing note which matures on December 31, 2014. The principal is payable in a lump sum at maturity. Interest is payable quarterly at 7% per annum, commencing December 31, 2009. In connection with the sale, QSI entered into various agreements with the purchaser, which is not affiliated with us, including long-term leases of real estate used in the tank wash business and various operating agreements. The assets sold had a net book value of \$4.9 million which included \$4.3 million of equipment, \$0.4 million of inventory, and \$0.2 million of intangibles. The sold QSI business generated approximately \$19.5 million of revenue in 2009 from tank wash and related operations. We recorded a pre-tax gain of \$7.1 million in the fourth quarter of 2009 as part of our operating income. We believe the changes in our business activities as a result of the sale of the tank wash business will reduce our environmental compliance costs going forward.

Table of Contents*2010 Affiliation*

On May 1, 2010, we added F. T. Silfies (Silfies) to our independent affiliate network. Headquartered in Allentown, Pennsylvania, Silfies specializes in bulk cement and lime transport primarily servicing the East Coast markets. In connection with this affiliation, we loaned Silfies \$3.0 million in cash. This loan is subordinated to Silfies senior debt and bears interest at 7% per annum. The loan is repayable over three and one-half years and is secured by a second priority position in all of the assets of Silfies and a limited personal guarantee.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). We believe the following are the more critical accounting policies that impact the financial statements, some of which are based on management s best estimates available at the time of preparation. Actual future experience may differ from these estimates.

Property and equipment Property and equipment expenditures, including tractor and trailer rebuilds that extend the useful lives of such equipment, are capitalized and recorded at cost. For financial statement purposes, these assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets to an estimated salvage value.

The asset lives used are presented in the following table:

	Average Lives (in years)
Buildings and improvements	10 - 25
Tractors and terminal equipment	5 - 7
Trailers	15 - 20
Furniture and fixtures	3 - 5
Other equipment	3 - 10

Tractor and trailer rebuilds, which are recurring in nature and extend the lives of the related assets, are capitalized and depreciated over the period of extension, generally 3 to 10 years, based on the type and extent of these rebuilds. Maintenance and repairs are charged directly to expense as incurred. Management estimates the useful lives of these assets based on historical trends and the age of the assets when placed in service. Any changes in the actual lives could result in material changes in the net book value of these assets. Additionally, we estimate the salvage values of these assets based on historical sales or disposals, and any changes in the actual salvage values could also affect the net book value of these assets.

Furthermore, we evaluate the recoverability of our long-lived assets whenever adverse events or changes in the business climate indicate that the expected undiscounted future cash flows from the related asset may be less than previously anticipated. If the net book value of the related asset exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows of the asset, the carrying amount would be reduced to the present value of its expected future cash flows and an impairment loss would be recognized. This analysis requires us to make significant estimates and assumptions in projecting future cash flows, and changes in facts and circumstances could result in material changes in the amount of any write-offs for impairment.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets We evaluate goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually during the second quarter with a measurement date of June 30, and more frequently if indicators of impairment arise, in accordance with FASB s guidance on goodwill and other intangible assets. We evaluate goodwill for impairment by determining the fair value for each reporting unit to which our goodwill relates. At June 30, 2010, our intermodal segment was our only reporting unit that contained goodwill. Our intermodal segment contains goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets associated with our Boasso acquisition in December 2007.

Table of Contents

The methodology applied in the analysis performed at June 30, 2010 was consistent with the methodology applied in prior years, but was based on updated assumptions, as appropriate. As a result of our analysis, we concluded no impairment had occurred as of June 30, 2010. As a result of our analysis at June 30, 2009, a total impairment charge to goodwill of \$146.2 million was necessary, of which \$144.3 million was related to our logistics segment, eliminating 100% of the carrying amount of goodwill of that segment, and \$1.9 million was related to our intermodal segment.

We continued to evaluate indicators of impairment quarterly following our annual goodwill impairment test at June 30, 2010 through year end 2010, including the quarter ended December 31, 2010. There were no indications that a triggering event had occurred as of December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2010, we had total goodwill of \$27.0 million, all of which was allocated to intermodal. As of December 31, 2010, we had total intangibles of \$16.9 million, of which \$16.5 million was allocated to our intermodal segment and \$0.4 million was allocated to our logistics segment.

Goodwill

Under the FASB guidance, the process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill involves a two-step process and requires significant judgment at many points during the analysis. In the first step, we determine whether there is an indication of impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. If, based on the first step, we determine that there is an indication of goodwill impairment, we assess the impairment in step two in accordance with the FASB guidance.

In the first step, we determine the fair value for each reporting unit using a combination of two valuation approaches: the market approach and the income approach. The market approach uses a guideline company methodology which is based upon a comparison of us to similar publicly-traded companies within our industry. We derive a market value of invested capital or business enterprise value for each comparable company by multiplying the price per share of common stock of the publicly traded companies by their total common shares outstanding and adding each company's current level of debt. We calculate a business enterprise multiple based on revenue and earnings from each company then apply those multiples to each reporting unit's revenue and earnings to conclude a reporting unit business enterprise value. Assumptions regarding the selection of comparable companies are made based on, among other factors, capital structure, operating environment and industry. As the comparable companies were typically larger and more diversified than our reporting units, multiples were adjusted prior to application to our reporting units' revenues and earnings to reflect differences in margins, long-term growth prospects and market capitalization.

The income approach uses a discounted debt-free cash flow analysis to measure fair value by estimating the present value of future economic benefits. To perform the discounted debt-free cash flow analysis, we develop a pro forma analysis of each reporting unit to estimate future available debt-free cash flow and discounting estimated debt-free cash flow by an estimated industry weighted average cost of capital based on the same comparable companies used in the market approach. Per the FASB guidance, the weighted average cost of capital is based on inputs (e.g., capital structure, risk, etc.) from a market participant's perspective and not necessarily from the reporting unit or QDI's perspective. Future cash flow is projected based on assumptions for our economic growth, industry expansion, future operations and the discount rate, all of which require significant judgments by management.

After computing a separate business enterprise value under the income approach and market approach, we apply a weighting to them to derive the business enterprise value of the reporting unit. The income approach and market approach were both weighted 50% in the analysis performed at June 30, 2010. The weightings are evaluated each time a goodwill impairment assessment is performed and give consideration to the relative reliability of each approach at that time. Given that the business enterprise value derived from the market approach supported what was calculated in the income approach, we believed that both approaches should be equally weighted. Based on these weightings we calculated a business enterprise value for the reporting unit. We

Table of Contents

then add debt-free liabilities of the reporting unit to the calculated business enterprise value to derive an implied fair value of the reporting unit. The implied fair value is then compared to the reporting unit's carrying value of total assets. Upon completion of the analysis in step one, we determined that the fair value of our intermodal reporting unit exceeded its carrying value. As such, a step two analysis was not required.

Intangible assets

To determine the implied fair value of our indefinite-lived intangible assets, we utilize the relief from royalty method, pursuant to which those assets are valued by reference to the amount of royalty income they would generate if licensed in an arm's length transaction. Under the relief from royalty method, similar to the discounted cash flow method, estimated net revenues expected to be generated by the asset during its life are multiplied by a benchmark royalty rate and then discounted by the estimated weighted average cost of capital associated with the asset. The resulting capitalized royalty stream is an indication of the value of owning the asset. Based upon management's review of the value of the indefinite-lived intangible assets in our intermodal segment, we determined that the implied fair value exceeded its carrying value.

If there are changes to the methods used to allocate carrying values, if management's estimates of future operating results change, if there are changes in the identified reporting units or if there are changes to other significant assumptions, the estimated carrying values for each reporting unit and the estimated fair value of our goodwill could change significantly, and could result in future impairment charges, which could materially impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Deferred Tax Asset In accordance with FASB guidance, we use the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Significant management judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes and, in particular, any valuation allowance that is recorded or released against our deferred tax assets.

We continue to evaluate quarterly the positive and negative evidence regarding the realization of net deferred tax assets. The carrying value of our net deferred tax assets is based on our belief that it is more likely than not that we will generate sufficient future taxable income to realize these deferred tax assets. The Company reviews a rolling thirty-six month calculation of U.S. earnings to determine if the Company is in a cumulative loss position.

During the second quarter of 2009, an impairment charge of \$148.6 million was recorded and as a result the Company determined that it was in a cumulative loss position. Based on this negative evidence we concluded that it was no longer more likely than not that the Company's net deferred tax asset was realizable. For purposes of assessing realizability of the deferred tax assets, this cumulative financial reporting loss position is considered significant negative evidence the Company will not be able to fully realize the deferred tax assets in the future. As a result, a \$41.2 million deferred tax valuation allowance was recorded. Our judgments regarding future taxable income may change due to changes in market conditions, changes in tax laws, operating results or other factors. If any of these factors and related estimates change in the future, it may increase or decrease the valuation allowance and related income tax expense in the same period.

At December 31, 2010 we had an estimated \$95.7 million in federal net operating loss carryforwards, \$0.6 million of off balance sheet net operating loss related to excess stock compensation deduction, \$2.3 million in alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards and \$3.4 million in foreign tax credit carryforwards. The net operating loss carryforwards will expire in the years 2018 through 2030, while the alternative minimum tax credits may be carried forward indefinitely and the foreign tax credits may be carried forward for 10 years.

Uncertain Income Tax Positions In accordance with FASB guidance, we account for uncertainty in income taxes, using a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate

Table of Contents

settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as we have to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We re-evaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition and measurement would result in recognition of a tax benefit and/or an additional charge to the tax provision.

The provision for income taxes was \$0.4 million in 2010 as compared to \$37.2 million in 2009. The effective rate for 2010 was (5.9%), which is lower than our anticipated 39.0% effective rate in large part due to an increase in the deferred tax valuation allowance.

Environmental liabilities We have reserved for potential environmental liabilities based on the best estimates of potential clean-up and remediation for known environmental sites. We employ a staff of environmental professionals to administer all phases of our environmental programs and use outside experts where needed. These professionals develop estimates of potential liabilities at these sites based on projected and known remediation costs. These cost projections are determined through previous experiences with other sites and through bids from third-party contractors. Management believes current reserves are reasonable based on current information, but estimates of environmental reserves and exposures may be affected by information subsequently received.

Accrued loss and damage claims We currently maintain liability insurance for bodily injury and property damage claims, covering all employees, independent owner-operators and independent affiliates, and workers' compensation insurance coverage on our employees and company drivers. This insurance includes deductibles of \$2.0 million per incident for bodily injury and property damage and \$1.0 million for workers' compensation for periods after March 31, 2008. Prior to March 30, 2008, our insurance deductible was \$5.0 million per incident for bodily injury and property damage. As such, we are subject to liability as a self-insurer to the extent of these deductibles under the policy. We are self-insured for damage to the equipment we own or lease and for cargo losses. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$25.2 million in an outstanding letter of credit to our insurance administrator to guarantee the self-insurance portion of our liability. If we fail to meet certain terms of our agreement, the insurance administrator may draw down the letter of credit. In developing liability reserves, we rely on professional third party claims administrators, insurance company estimates and the judgment of our own personnel, and independent professional actuaries and attorneys. The most significant assumptions used in the estimation process include determining the trends in loss costs, the expected consistency in the frequency and severity of claims incurred but not yet reported to prior-year claims, and expected costs to settle unpaid claims. Management believes reserves are reasonable given known information, but as each case develops, estimates may change to reflect the effect of new information.

Revenue recognition Transportation revenue, including fuel surcharges and related costs, is recognized on the date freight is delivered. Service revenue consists primarily of rental revenues (primarily tractor and trailer rental), intermodal and depot revenues, tank wash revenues and insurance related administrative services. Rental revenues from independent affiliates, independent owner-operators and third parties are recognized ratably over the lease period. Intermodal and depot revenues, consisting primarily of repair and storage services, are recognized when the services are rendered. During the periods that we operated our tank wash business, tank wash revenues were recognized when the wash was completed. Insurance related administrative service revenues are recorded ratably over the service period. We recognize all revenues on a gross basis as the principal and primary obligor with risk of loss in relation to our responsibility for completion of services as contracted with our customers.

Allowance for uncollectible receivables The allowance for all potentially uncollectible receivables is based on a combination of historical data, cash payment trends, specific customer issues, write-off trends, general economic conditions and other factors. These factors are continuously monitored by our management to arrive at the estimate for the amount of accounts receivable that may be ultimately uncollectible. The receivables analyzed include trade receivables, as well as loans and advances made to independent owner-operators and independent

Table of Contents

affiliates. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, an additional allowance could be required.

Stock compensation plans Stock compensation is determined by the assumptions required under the FASB guidance. The fair values of stock option grants are based upon the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and amortized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grants. Restricted stock awards are issued and measured at market value on the date of grant and related compensation expense is recognized over time on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grants. Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock was \$1.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, for fiscal year 2010. As of December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$4.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the unvested portion of our stock-based awards. The recognition period for the remaining unrecognized stock-based compensation cost generally varies from two to four years. For further discussion on stock-based compensation, see Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 15 of this report.

Pension plans We maintain two noncontributory defined benefit plans resulting from a prior acquisition that cover certain vested salaried participants and retirees and certain other vested participants and retirees under an expired collective bargaining agreement. Both plans are frozen and, as such, no future benefits accrue. We record annual amounts relating to these plans based on calculations specified by GAAP, which include various actuarial assumptions such as discount rates (5.50% to 5.70%) and assumed rates of return (7.00% to 8.00%) depending on the pension plan. Material changes in pension costs may occur in the future due to changes in these assumptions. Future annual amounts could be impacted by changes in the discount rate, changes in the expected long-term rate of return, changes in the level of contributions to the plans and other factors.

We had an accumulated net pension equity charge (after-tax) of \$0.5 million at December 31, 2010 compared to an accumulated net pension equity credit (after-tax) of \$1.0 million at December 31, 2009.

The discount rate is based on a model portfolio of AA-rated bonds with a maturity matched to the estimated payouts of future pension benefits. The expected return on plan assets is based on our expectation of the long-term rates of return on each asset class based on the current asset mix of the funds, considering the historical returns earned on the type of assets in the funds, plus an assumption of future inflation. The current inflation assumption is 3.00%. We review our actuarial assumptions on an annual basis and make modifications to the assumptions based on current rates and trends when appropriate. The effects of the modifications are amortized over future periods.

Assumed discount rates and expected return on plan assets have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the pension plan. At December 31, 2010, our projected benefit obligation (PBO) was \$48.6 million. Our projected 2011 net periodic pension expense is \$1.6 million. A 1.0% decrease in our assumed discount rate would increase our PBO to \$53.8 million and decrease our 2011 net periodic pension expense less than \$0.1 million. A 1.0% increase in our assumed discount rate would decrease our PBO to \$44.2 million and increase our 2011 net periodic pension expense less than \$0.1 million. A 1.0% decrease in our assumed rate of return would not change our PBO but would increase our 2011 net periodic pension expense to \$1.9 million. A 1.0% increase in our assumed rate of return would not change our PBO but would decrease our 2011 net periodic pension expense to \$1.3 million.

Restructuring We account for restructuring costs associated with one-time termination benefits, costs associated with lease and contract terminations and other related exit activities in accordance with the FASB's guidance. We have made estimates of the costs to be incurred as part of a restructuring plan developed during the quarter ended June 30, 2008. The restructuring plan consisted of various actions including termination of non-driver positions and the consolidation, closure and affiliation of underperforming company terminals. We continued our plan of restructure throughout 2008 and 2009, which resulted in restructuring charges of \$5.3

Table of Contents

million and \$3.5 million, respectively, of which the majority related to our logistics segment. Our restructuring plan concluded in 2010, resulting in additional charges of \$7.8 million related to our logistics segment. The charges in 2008, 2009 and 2010 related to employee termination benefits and other related exit activities, including the termination of approximately 380 non-driver positions, a withdrawal from three multi-employer pension plans and costs associated with the consolidation of our corporate headquarters. At December 31, 2010, \$5.4 million was accrued related to the restructuring charges, which are expected to be paid through 2023.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Refer to Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies New Accounting Pronouncements for discussion of recent accounting pronouncements and for additional discussion surrounding the adoption of accounting standards.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the percentage of total revenue represented by certain items in our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
OPERATING REVENUES:			
Transportation	72.6%	74.1%	69.4%
Service revenue	15.6	17.1	12.8
Fuel surcharge	11.8	8.8	17.8
Total operating revenues	100.0	100.0	100.0
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Purchased transportation	69.4	60.9	57.3
Compensation	8.4	12.5	13.4
Fuel, supplies and maintenance	7.3	10.2	14.0
Depreciation and amortization	2.3	3.3	2.6
Selling and administrative	2.8	4.0	4.4
Insurance costs	2.3	2.3	1.8
Taxes and licenses	0.3	0.6	0.6
Communication and utilities	0.6	1.3	1.6
Gain on sale of tank wash assets		(1.2)	
Loss (gain) on disposal of property and equipment	0.2	0.1	(0.4)
Impairment charge		24.2	
Restructuring costs	1.1	0.6	0.7
Total operating expenses	94.7	118.8	96.0
Operating income (loss)	5.3	(18.8)	4.0
Interest expense, net	5.2	4.6	4.3
Write-off of debt issuance costs	1.1		
Gain on extinguishment of debt		(0.3)	(2.0)
Other expense (income)	0.1	0.3	(0.4)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(1.1)	(23.4)	2.1
Provision for income taxes		6.1	0.6
Net (loss) income	(1.1)	(29.5)	1.5

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the approximate number of terminals, drivers, and transportation billed miles in our network (including independent affiliates and independent owner-operators) as of December 31:

	2010	2009	2008
Terminals(1)	102	108	149
Number of Drivers	2,730	2,591	3,053
Transportation billed miles (in thousands)	115,868	108,302	136,234

(1) Refer to Item 2. Properties for terminal break-out by segment.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

Total revenues for 2010 were \$686.6 million, an increase of \$73.0 million, or 11.9%, from revenues of \$613.6 million in 2009. Transportation revenue increased by \$43.8 million, or 9.6%, primarily due to an increase in linehaul revenue due to increased demand. We had a 5.6% increase in the total number of miles driven and a 5.4% increase in loads as compared with 2009.

Service revenue increased \$2.5 million, or 2.4%, compared to 2009. This increase was primarily due to \$10.2 million of increased rental income and \$5.8 million in intermodal and depot revenue. This was partially offset by a reduction in tank wash revenue of \$13.5 million due to the sale of our tank wash business in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Fuel surcharge revenue increased \$26.7 million, or 49.4%, primarily due to the increase in linehaul revenue and an increase in fuel prices. We have fuel surcharge programs in place with the majority of our customers. These programs typically involve a specified computation based on the changes in fuel prices. As a result, some of these programs may have a time lag between when fuel prices change and when this change is reflected in revenues. It is not meaningful to compare the amount of fuel surcharge revenue or the change in fuel surcharge revenue between reporting periods to fuel expense, or the change of fuel expense between periods, as a significant portion of fuel costs are included in purchased transportation.

Purchased transportation increased by \$102.8 million, or 27.5%, due primarily to the increase in affiliation, linehaul revenue, miles driven and loads. Total purchased transportation as a percentage of transportation revenue and fuel surcharge revenue increased to 82.2% in 2010, versus 73.4% for 2009 due primarily to the conversion of certain company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals. Our independent affiliates generated 93.9% of our transportation revenue and fuel surcharge revenue for 2010 compared to 72.8% for 2009. We believe that the greater proportion of operating revenue derived from independent affiliate operations during 2010 is likely to be indicative of the proportion of operating revenue derived from independent affiliate operations in the future due to the sale of our tank wash business in the fourth quarter of 2009, our addition of a new affiliate during the second quarter of 2010, and our affiliation of company owned terminals during 2009 and 2010. We pay our independent affiliates a greater percentage of transportation revenues generated by them than is paid to independent owner-operators, so our purchased transportation costs will change as revenues generated by affiliates change as a percentage of total transportation revenue. During the 2010 and 2009 periods, we paid our independent affiliates approximately 85% of transportation revenue and paid independent owner-operators approximately 65% of transportation revenue.

Compensation expense decreased \$19.4 million, or 25.2%, primarily due to \$17.7 million of reduced expense from corporate headcount reductions, terminal consolidations, and conversions of company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals offset by a \$3.0 million increase in our intermodal operations. In addition, tank wash operations had a decrease of \$4.7 million due to the sale of this business.

Fuel, supplies and maintenance decreased \$12.6 million, or 20.2%, due to lower fuel costs of \$6.2 million, lower repairs and maintenance expense of \$3.2 million related to our logistics segment and lower rent expense of

Table of Contents

\$1.6 million due to the shift of revenue from company-operated terminals to independent affiliates. In addition, tank wash operations had a decrease of \$5.8 million due to the sale of this business, offset by an increase of \$2.8 million of repairs and maintenance expense and higher fuel costs of \$1.6 million related to our intermodal segment.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$4.2 million, or 20.8%, due to a decrease in depreciation from disposals of revenue equipment and the sale of our tank wash assets in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Selling and administrative expenses decreased \$5.2 million, or 21.3%, primarily due to a reduction in bad debt expense of \$2.2 million, \$0.9 million of bad debt recoveries and a \$1.0 million reduction in building rent expense and other expenses related to closed or converted terminals. In addition, tank wash operations had a decrease of \$1.4 million due to the sale of this business.

Insurance costs increased by \$1.4 million, or 10.1%, due primarily to an increase in the amount of claims incurred and miles driven in the current year.

Communication and utilities expense decreased \$3.8 million, or 47.9%, primarily due to reduced expense from terminal consolidations and conversions of company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals.

In 2009, we recorded a gain on sale of tank wash equipment of \$7.1 million resulting from the sale of substantially all of QSI's operating assets for \$13.0 million to a third party on October 10, 2009.

We incurred a loss on disposal of property and equipment of \$1.1 million in 2010, as compared to a loss of \$0.5 million in 2009, resulting primarily from the disposal of equipment.

In 2009, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge to goodwill and intangibles totaling \$148.6 million as a result of our impairment analysis, which is performed at least annually every June 30 on both our logistics and intermodal segments. We recorded a charge of \$144.3 million for the impairment of goodwill in our logistics segment. We also recorded a charge of \$1.9 million for the impairment of goodwill in our intermodal segment and a charge of \$2.4 million for the impairment of the tradename in our intermodal segment. We incurred no impairment charge in 2010. Further information regarding our impairment analysis is included in *Goodwill and Intangible Assets* in our *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates*.

We incurred restructuring costs of \$7.8 million in 2010 and \$3.5 million in 2009 primarily due to expenses associated with our restructuring plan, which began during the second quarter of 2008. The costs in 2010 consisted primarily of \$2.0 million for estimated withdrawal liability from three multi-employer pension plans, \$2.2 million for the consolidation of our corporate headquarters, as well as an additional \$3.6 million of other expenses related to exit activities. The costs in 2009 consisted of employee termination benefits and other related exit activities. As of December 31, 2010, we had accrued \$5.4 million of additional expense related to this plan, which was concluded in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Operating income was \$36.7 million in 2010 compared to an operating loss of \$115.2 million in 2009. The operating margin for 2010 was 5.3%, compared to (18.8%) for 2009 as a result of the above items.

Interest expense increased by \$7.8 million, or 27.7%, in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to higher interest rates on our 2013 PIK Notes and our 2013 Senior Notes versus the rates on the notes for which they were exchanged in the fourth quarter of 2009. Interest expense was also higher in 2010 due to the issuance of our 2018 Notes in the fourth quarter of 2010, which resulted in additional interest expense during the 30-day notification period between the date of issuance of the 2018 Notes and the date that our 2012 Notes, our 2013 Senior Notes and our 2013 PIK Notes were repaid or redeemed.

Table of Contents

In the fourth quarter of 2010, we redeemed \$50.0 million of our 2013 PIK Notes, and in the first quarter of 2011, we redeemed approximately \$27.5 million of our 2013 PIK Notes. These redemptions are expected to result in lower overall interest expense in 2011 than in 2010.

In 2009, gain on debt extinguishment of \$1.9 million resulted from the repurchase of \$4.0 million of our 9% Notes. We did not record any gain on debt extinguishment in 2010.

Other expense of \$0.8 million in 2010 consists primarily of costs associated with an unconsummated stock offering of \$0.7 million. Other expense in 2009 consists primarily of \$2.3 million of costs related to refinancing activities for our note exchanges in October 2009, partially offset by \$0.4 million in foreign currency income.

The provision for income taxes was \$0.4 million in 2010 compared to \$37.2 million in 2009. The effective rate for 2010 was (5.9%), which is lower than our anticipated 39.0% effective tax rate in large part due to an increase in the deferred tax valuation allowance.

Net loss was \$7.4 million for 2010 compared with a net loss of \$180.5 million for 2009 for the reasons outlined above.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

Total revenues for 2009 were \$613.6 million, a decrease of \$201.7 million, or 24.7%, compared to 2008 revenues. Transportation revenue decreased by \$111.2 million, or 19.6%, primarily due to a decrease in linehaul revenue due to continuing softness in the housing and automotive industries and general weakening of our economy. We had a 20.0% decrease in the total number of miles driven as the average number of miles per load decreased over the prior year along with a 22.7% decrease in overall loads.

Service revenue increased by \$0.9 million, or 0.9%, compared to 2008. This increase was primarily due to \$11.6 million of increased rental income from the conversion of certain company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals, offset by reductions in tank wash revenue of \$8.9 million due to tank wash closures, reduced business and the sale of substantially all of our tank wash business in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Fuel surcharge revenue decreased \$91.4 million, or 62.9%, primarily due to a decrease in fuel prices and a decrease in the total number of miles driven. We have fuel surcharge programs in place with the majority of our customers. These programs typically involve a specified computation based on the changes in fuel prices. As a result, some of these programs may have a time lag between when fuel prices change and when this change is reflected in revenues. It is not meaningful to compare the amount of fuel surcharge revenue or the change in fuel surcharge revenue between reporting periods to fuel expense, or the change of fuel expense between periods, as a significant portion of fuel costs are included in purchased transportation.

Purchased transportation decreased by \$93.3 million, or 20.0%, due primarily to the decrease in linehaul revenue, miles driven and loads. Total purchased transportation as a percentage of transportation revenue and fuel surcharge revenue increased to 73.4% in 2009, versus 65.6% for 2008 due to the conversion of certain company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals. In 2009, we transitioned the majority of company-operated terminals to independent affiliates. These actions resulted in a larger portion of our revenue being generated by independent affiliates in 2009. Our independent affiliates generated 72.8% of our transportation revenue and fuel surcharge revenue for 2009 compared to 50.7% for 2008. We pay our independent affiliates a greater percentage of transportation revenues generated by them than is paid to independent owner-operators, so our purchased transportation costs will change as revenues generated by independent affiliates change as a percentage of total transportation revenue. During the 2009 and 2008 periods, we paid our independent affiliates approximately 85% of transportation revenue and paid independent owner-operators approximately 65% of transportation revenue.

Table of Contents

Compensation expense decreased \$32.2 million, or 29.5%, primarily due to \$30.0 million of reduced expense from corporate headcount reductions, terminal consolidations, and conversions of company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals offset by \$2.2 million increase in pension expense. In addition, we had a reduction in compensation expense of \$4.7 million for QSI due to tank wash closures, reduced business, and the sale of substantially all of our tank wash business in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Fuel, supplies and maintenance decreased \$51.9 million, or 45.4%, due to lower fuel costs of \$26.8 million, lower repairs and maintenance expense of \$17.7 million, lower equipment rent expense of \$4.8 million and lower QSI expenses of \$3.9 million due to tank wash closures, reduced business and the sale of substantially all of our tank wash business in the fourth quarter of 2009, offset by an increase in our intermodal terminal operations.

Selling and administrative expenses decreased \$11.3 million, or 31.4%, primarily due to a \$4.2 million reduction in building rent expense and other expenses related to closed or converted terminals. In addition, we had a decrease of \$4.1 million in professional fees, \$1.6 million in travel-related costs, and \$1.8 million for QSI due to tank wash closures and the sale of substantially all of our tank wash business in the fourth quarter of 2009, offset by an increase in our bad debt reserve of \$0.7 million.

Insurance costs decreased \$0.9 million, or 5.9%, primarily due to a reduction in the number and severity of accidents that occurred during 2009.

Communication and utilities expense decreased \$4.8 million, or 37.8%, primarily due to reduced expense from terminal consolidations, conversions of company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals, tank wash closures and the sale of substantially all of our tank wash business in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Gain on sale of tank wash equipment of \$7.1 million resulted from the sale of substantially all of QSI's operating assets for \$13.0 million to a third party on October 10, 2009.

Loss on disposal of property and equipment was \$0.5 million in 2009 as compared to a gain of \$3.1 million in 2008. The loss in 2009 resulted from the disposals of revenue equipment compared with a gain in 2008 resulting from the sale of land not used in our business.

In 2009, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge to goodwill and intangibles totaling \$148.6 million as a result of our impairment analysis, which is performed at least annually every June 30 on both our logistics and intermodal segments. We recorded a charge of \$144.3 million for the impairment of goodwill in our logistics segment. We also recorded a charge of \$1.9 million for the impairment of goodwill in our intermodal segment and a charge of \$2.4 million for the impairment of the tradename in our intermodal segment. We incurred restructuring costs of \$3.5 million in 2009 and \$5.3 million in 2008 primarily due to expenses associated with our restructuring plan which began during the second quarter of 2008. These costs consist of employee termination benefits and other related exit activities. As of December 31, 2009, we had accrued \$1.1 million of additional expense related to this plan.

Operating loss was \$115.2 million in 2009 as compared to operating income of \$33.0 million in 2008. The operating margin for 2009 was (18.8%) compared to 4.0% for 2008 as a result of the above items.

Interest expense decreased by \$7.2 million, or 20.3%, in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily due to a decrease in interest rates on our floating rate debt partially offset by higher interest rates following our note exchange in the fourth quarter of 2009. In addition, the outstanding principal amount of our 9% Notes was lower due to our note repurchases during 2009 and 2008, and the outstanding balance on our ABL Facility was lower in 2009 as compared to 2008.

In 2009, gain on debt extinguishment of \$1.9 million resulted from the repurchase of \$4.0 million of our 9% Notes. In 2008, gain on debt extinguishment of \$16.5 million resulted from the repurchase of \$24.2 million of our 9% Notes.

Table of Contents

Other expense of \$1.9 million in 2009 consists primarily of \$2.3 million of costs due to refinancing activities related to our note exchanges offset by \$0.4 million in foreign currency income. Other income of \$2.9 million in 2008 resulted primarily from the settlement of an acquired pension liability of \$3.4 million partially offset by \$0.3 million in foreign currency income.

The provision for income taxes was \$37.2 million in 2009 as compared to a provision for income taxes of \$4.9 million in 2008. The effective rate for 2009 was (26.0%), which is lower than our normalized tax rate of 39.0%, in large part due to the recording of a deferred tax valuation allowance and an impairment charge.

Net loss was \$180.5 million for 2009 compared with a net income of \$12.1 million for 2008 for the reasons outlined above.

Segment Operating Results

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we realigned and renamed our business segments to better reflect our current business and asset-light model due to the shift of a majority of our company-operated operations to independent affiliate operations. Our Trucking segment was renamed Logistics and our Container Services segment was renamed Intermodal to better describe the services we perform. Additionally, equipment rental, which was previously reported as Other revenue, has been reclassified to our Logistics segment, due to revenue equipment being utilized to service customers in our logistics segment. This is consistent with the information reviewed by our chief operating decision maker. Presentation of prior period results reflects these new segments.

We have two reportable business segments for financial reporting purposes that are distinguished primarily on the basis of services offered:

Logistics, which consists of truckload transportation of bulk chemicals and equipment rentals; and

Intermodal, specifically Boasso's International Organization for Standardization, or intermodal ISO tank container transportation and depot services.

Segment revenues and operating income include the allocation of fuel surcharge to the logistics and intermodal segments. The operating income reported in our segments excludes amounts such as gains and losses on disposal of property and equipment, restructuring costs, impairment charge, corporate and other unallocated amounts. Corporate and unallocated amounts include depreciation and amortization and other gains and losses. Although these amounts are excluded from the business segment results, they are included in reported consolidated earnings. In 2009 and 2008, revenues contained in the other segment represent revenues from our tank wash business which was sold during the fourth quarter of 2009. We have not provided specific asset information by segment, as it is not regularly provided to our chief operating decision maker for review.

Table of Contents

Summarized segment operating results are as follows (in thousands):

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2010			Total
	Logistics	Intermodal	Other	
Operating Revenues:				
Transportation	\$ 442,576	\$ 55,870	\$	\$ 498,446
Service revenue	70,470	37,004		107,474
Fuel surcharge	72,053	8,625		80,678
Total operating revenues	\$ 585,099	\$ 101,499	\$	\$ 686,598
Segment revenue % of total revenue	85.2%	14.8%	0.0%	100.0%
Segment operating income	\$ 44,791	\$ 16,863	\$	\$ 61,654

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2009			Total
	Logistics	Intermodal	Other	
Operating Revenues:				
Transportation	\$ 411,213	\$ 43,445	\$	\$ 454,658
Service revenue	58,433	31,161	15,360	104,954
Fuel surcharge	49,104	4,893		53,997
Total operating revenues	\$ 518,750	\$ 79,499	\$ 15,360	\$ 613,609
Segment revenue % of total revenue	84.5%	13.0%	2.5%	100.0%
Segment operating income	\$ 36,961	\$ 11,287	\$ 2,240	\$ 50,488

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2008			Total
	Logistics	Intermodal	Other	
Operating Revenues:				
Transportation	\$ 521,558	\$ 44,256	\$	\$ 565,814
Service revenue	50,532	34,076	19,431	104,039
Fuel surcharge	134,054	11,383		145,437
Total operating revenues	\$ 706,144	\$ 89,715	\$ 19,431	\$ 815,290
Segment revenue % of total revenue	86.6%	11.0%	2.4%	100.0%
Segment operating income	\$ 42,452	\$ 10,934	\$ 2,827	\$ 56,213

	2010 vs 2009	Logistics	Intermodal	Other
		Segment revenues	\$ change	66,349
	% of change	12.8%	27.7%	-100.0%
Segment operating income	\$ change	7,830	5,576	(2,240)
	% of change	21.2%	49.4%	-100.0%

	2009 vs 2008	Logistics	Intermodal	Other
		Segment revenues	\$ change	(187,394)
	% of change	-26.5%	-11.4%	-21.0%
Segment operating income	\$ change	(5,491)	353	(587)
	% of change	-12.9%	3.2%	-20.8%

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating revenue:

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

Logistics revenues increased \$66.3 million, or 12.8%, for 2010 compared to 2009 due to an increase of \$33.2 million in linehaul revenue, an increase of \$22.9 million in fuel surcharge and an increase of \$10.2 million in rental revenue.

Table of Contents

Intermodal revenues increased \$22.0 million, or 27.7%, for 2010 compared to 2009 due to an increase of \$18.3 million in linehaul and depot revenue and an increase of \$3.7 million in fuel surcharge.

Other revenue we had no other revenue in 2010 due to the sale of our tank wash business in 2009.

Operating income:

Logistics operating income increased \$7.8 million, or 21.2%, for 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to an increase in linehaul revenue, an increase in equipment rentals and cost savings initiatives and the conversion of company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals.

Intermodal operating income increased \$5.6 million, or 49.4%, for 2010 compared to 2009 due to increased demand from existing customers.

Other operating income we had no other operating income in 2010 due to the sale of our tank wash business in 2009.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Operating revenue:

Logistics revenues decreased \$187.4 million, or 26.5%, for 2009 compared to 2008 due to a decrease in linehaul revenue of \$114.0 million from fewer miles driven and a weakened economy and a decrease in fuel surcharge of \$85.0 million resulting from lower fuel prices in 2009 offset by an increase in rental revenue of \$11.6 million.

Intermodal revenues decreased \$10.2 million, or 11.4%, for 2009 compared to 2008 due to a decrease of \$6.5 million in fuel surcharge and a decrease of \$3.7 million in linehaul revenue.

Other revenue revenues decreased \$4.1 million, or 21.0%, for 2009 compared to 2008 due to a decrease in our tank wash revenue.

Operating income:

Logistics operating income decreased \$5.5 million, or 12.9%, for 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a decrease in linehaul revenue offset by cost savings initiatives and the conversion of company-operated terminals to independent affiliate terminals.

Intermodal operating income increased \$0.4 million, or 3.2%, for 2009 compared to 2008 due to expanded terminal operations.

Other operating income operating income decreased \$0.6 million, or 20.8%, for 2009 compared to 2008, due to reduced tank wash revenue.

EXCHANGE RATES

We operate primarily in the United States but also have operations in Canada and Mexico. Our results of operations are affected by the relative strength of currencies in the countries where we operate. Approximately 5.5%, 6.1% and 6.4% of our revenue in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, was generated outside the United States.

In comparing the average exchange rates between 2010 and 2009, the Canadian dollar appreciated against the United States dollar by approximately 10.8% while the Mexican peso depreciated against the United States

Table of Contents

dollar by approximately 6.5%. The change in exchange rates positively impacted revenue by approximately \$3.6 million in 2010. The appreciation of the Canadian dollar was the primary reason for the \$0.1 million net increase in cumulative currency translation loss in shareholders' deficit for 2010.

Gains and losses included in the consolidated statements of operations from foreign currency transactions included a \$0.2 million gain in 2010, a \$0.4 million gain in 2009, and a \$0.3 million gain in 2008. Risks associated with foreign currency fluctuations are discussed further in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary cash needs consist of working capital, capital expenditures and debt service. Our working capital needs depend upon the timing of our collections from customers and payments to others as well as our capital and operating lease payment obligations. Our capital expenditures primarily relate to acquiring trailers and maintaining them. During the last two years, we have reduced our capital expenditure requirements by increasing our use of independent affiliates and independent owner-operators.

Independent affiliates and independent owner-operators typically supply their own tractors, which reduces our capital investment requirements. For 2010, capital expenditures were \$11.2 million and proceeds from sales of property and equipment were \$10.1 million. We expect our future capital expenditures, net of proceeds from property and equipment sales, to generally be approximately 1% of operating revenues annually. We currently expect net capital expenditures for 2011 to be approximately \$8.0 million, although the actual amount could differ materially because of operating needs, growth needs, regulatory changes, covenants in our debt arrangements, other expenses, or other factors. Based on our current trailer fleet, we believe we have the ability to capture additional business volume during 2011 with minimal additional capital expenditures.

Debt service consists of principal and interest payments on the outstanding balance of our ABL Facility as well as our outstanding senior and subordinated notes. These notes are currently comprised of our 2018 Notes and our 2013 PIK Notes, though the aggregate principal balance and composition of notes has changed during the last three years. Interest equal to 2.75% on the 2013 PIK Notes is payable through the issuance of additional notes rather than cash.

As a result of recent refinancings, we have no major debt maturities prior to 2013. In the fourth quarter of 2010, in connection with the issuance of \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes at 99.324% of par, we paid at maturity our 9% Notes, fully redeemed our 2012 Notes and our 2013 Senior Notes, redeemed \$47.5 million of our 2013 PIK Notes and paid down a portion of our outstanding borrowings under the ABL Facility. Most recently, we repurchased or redeemed \$2.5 million, \$10.0 million and \$17.5 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest, of our 2013 PIK Notes during December 2010, January 2011 and March 2011, respectively.

We may from time to time repurchase or redeem additional amounts of our outstanding debt or other securities. Any repurchases or redemptions would depend upon prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors we consider important. Future repurchases or redemptions may materially impact our liquidity, future tax liability and results of operations.

Our primary sources of liquidity for operations during the last three years have been cash flow from operations and borrowing availability under the ABL Facility. At December 31, 2010, we had \$79.6 million of borrowing availability under the ABL Facility. During the last three years, debt maturities and certain other debt service requirements have been satisfied through the issuance of new notes. We believe that, based on current operations and anticipated growth, our cash flow from operations, together with other available sources of liquidity, will be sufficient to fund anticipated capital expenditures, operating expenses and our other anticipated liquidity needs for the next twelve months. Anticipated debt maturities in 2013, the acquisition of another

Table of Contents

business or other events that we do not foresee may require us to seek alternative financing, such as restructuring or refinancing our long-term debt, selling assets or operations or selling additional debt or equity securities. If these alternatives were not available in a timely manner or on satisfactory terms or were not permitted under any of our debt agreements and we default on our obligations, our debt could be accelerated and our assets might not be sufficient to repay in full all of our obligations.

Cash Flows

The following summarizes our cash flows for 2010, 2009 and 2008 as reported in our consolidated statements of cash flows in the accompanying consolidated financial statements:

(In Thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 21,071	\$ 39,756	\$ 19,593
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(1,079)	9,577	(8,524)
Net cash used in financing activities	(23,879)	(50,515)	(13,485)
Effect of exchange rates	7	28	(508)
Net decrease in cash	(3,880)	(1,154)	(2,924)
Cash at beginning of period	5,633	6,787	9,711
Cash at end of period	\$ 1,753	\$ 5,633	\$ 6,787

We generated \$21.1 million, \$39.8 million and \$19.6 million in net cash provided by operating activities in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities in 2010, as compared to 2009, was primarily due to an increase in accounts receivable in the 2010 period resulting from higher revenue, slightly offset by an increase in our restructuring accrual. We continued to experience some softness in demand during 2010; however our continued restructuring and cost reduction efforts have enabled us to generate stronger operating cash. We have aligned our cost structure to allow for flat or declining revenues. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities in 2009 as compared to 2008 was primarily due to increased collections of outstanding accounts receivable, lower loss and damage claim payments, lower operating expenses due to our restructuring and transition to independent affiliates, and closure of certain of our trucking terminals.

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$(1.1) million, \$9.6 million and \$(8.5) million, respectively. Capital expenditures totaled \$11.2 million, \$8.2 million and \$14.8 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, while proceeds from sales of property and equipment were \$10.1 million, \$7.5 million and \$6.3 million, respectively. In 2010, we used proceeds of \$10.1 million from sale of old unutilized revenue equipment to fund \$11.2 million of new revenue equipment. In 2009, we received cash of \$10.0 million from the sale of tank wash assets. In 2008, we used net cash of \$8.4 million to purchase new revenue equipment, the assets of two businesses and the assets of one independent affiliate.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$(23.9) million, \$(50.5) million and \$(13.5) million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In 2010, cash was primarily utilized to repay \$29.5 million under our ABL Facility, to pay down \$6.5 million of other debt and capital lease obligations, to pay financing fees of \$5.6 million in connection with the issuance of our 2018 Notes, to pay a large insurance claim and issue a loan to a new independent affiliate. In 2009, we primarily used cash to repay \$19.0 million of our borrowings under the ABL Facility, to pay down \$17.7 million of other debt and capital lease obligations, including \$2.1 million used to repurchase \$4.0 million in principal amount of 9% Notes, and to pay financing fees of \$4.9 million in connection with debt refinancing transactions. In 2008, we used cash of \$7.7 million to repurchase \$24.2 million in principal amount of our 9% Notes, and we used cash from operations and sales of properties to pay down approximately \$9.0 million of our debt obligations.

Table of Contents*Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements as defined under Item 303(a) (4) of Regulation S-K.

Contractual Obligations

The following is a schedule of our long-term contractual commitments, including the current portion of our long-term indebtedness at December 31, 2010 over the periods we expect them to be paid (dollars in thousands):

	TOTAL	Year 2011	Years 2012 & 2013	Years 2014 & 2015	The Five Years after 2015
Operating leases (1)	\$ 29,831	\$ 9,640	\$ 12,050	\$ 5,024	\$ 3,117
Total indebtedness (2)	308,011	3,991	76,940	1,814	225,266
Capital leases	12,850	4,572	7,686	592	
Interest on indebtedness (3)	192,940	29,372	56,046	44,567	62,955
Total	\$ 543,632	\$ 47,575	\$ 152,722	\$ 51,997	\$ 291,338

- (1) These obligations represent the minimum rental commitments under all non-cancelable operating leases including the guaranteed residual values at the end of the leases. Commitments also include the operating lease for our corporate headquarters. See Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements. We expect that some of our operating lease obligations for tractors and trailers will be partially offset by rental revenue from subleasing the tractors to independent affiliates and independent owner-operators and subleasing trailers to independent affiliates.
- (2) Includes aggregate unamortized discount of \$3.5 million. In addition, amounts do not reflect \$10.0 million and \$17.5 million of principal amount of our 2013 PIK Notes redeemed in January 2011 and March 2011, respectively.
- (3) Amounts presented for interest payments assume that all long-term debt obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2010 will remain outstanding until maturity and interest rates on variable-rate debt in effect as of December 31, 2010 will remain in effect until maturity.

Long-term Debt

The aggregate principal balance of our long-term debt, including capital lease obligations and current maturities, was \$320.9 million, \$330.0 million and \$365.9 million at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. On November 3, 2010, in connection with the issuance of \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes, we repaid at maturity the 9% Notes, fully redeemed the 2012 Notes and the 2013 Senior Notes, redeemed \$47.5 million of the 2013 PIK Notes and paid down a portion of our outstanding borrowings under the ABL Facility. Our principal debt obligations at December 31, 2010 consisted of \$38.5 million principal amount outstanding under the ABL Facility, \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2018 Notes and \$33.2 million aggregate principal amount of 2013 PIK Notes.

Debt Retirement

The following is a schedule of our indebtedness at December 31, 2010 over the periods we are required to pay such indebtedness (in thousands):

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 and after	Total
Capital lease obligations	\$ 4,572	\$ 5,132	\$ 2,554	\$ 592	\$	\$ 12,850
ABL Facility			38,500			38,500
11.75% Senior Subordinated PIK Notes, due 2013 (1)			33,184			33,184
9.875% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes, due 2018 (1)					225,000	225,000
Other Notes	3,991	2,656	2,600	948	1,132	11,327

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

Total	\$ 8,563	\$ 7,788	\$ 76,838	\$ 1,540	\$ 226,132	\$ 320,861
-------	----------	----------	-----------	----------	------------	------------

Table of Contents

(1) Amounts do not include the remaining aggregate unamortized original issue discount of \$3.5 million. In addition, amounts do not reflect \$10.0 million and \$17.5 million of principal amount of our 2013 PIK Notes redeemed in January 2011 and March 2011, respectively. The following is a schedule of our deferred debt issuance costs for the year ended December 31 as follows (in thousands):

	2009	Write-off of Debt Issuance Costs	Additional Debt Issuance Costs	Transfer Related to Refinancing	2010 amortization expense	2010
ABL Facility	\$ 4,284	\$	\$	\$	\$ (1,269)	\$ 3,015
9% Senior Subordinated Notes, due 2010	69				(69)	
10% Senior Notes, due 2013	3,425	(2,287)		(202)	(936)	
11.75% Senior Subordinated PIK Notes, due 2013	1,426	(659)			(357)	410
9.875% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes, due 2018			5,594	202	(111)	5,685
Total	\$ 9,204	\$ (2,946)	\$ 5,594	\$	\$ (2,742)	\$ 9,110

Amortization expense of deferred issuance costs was \$2.7 million, \$2.8 million, and \$3.0 million for years ending December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. We are amortizing these costs over the terms of the debt instruments.

The ABL Facility

We entered into our ABL Facility on December 18, 2007. As of December 31, 2010, the ABL Facility consists of a current asset tranche in the amount of \$205.0 million and a fixed asset tranche in the amount of \$20.0 million. Borrowings of revolving loans under the ABL Facility are allocated pro rata to the current asset tranche and the fixed asset tranche based on the respective borrowing bases of the two tranches. The ABL Facility includes letters of credit, which are also allocated pro rata between the two tranches based on their respective borrowing base, and is available for working capital needs and general corporate purposes, including permitted acquisitions. The maturity date of the ABL Facility is June 18, 2013. The maturity date of the ABL Facility may be accelerated if we default on our obligations under the ABL Facility. At December 31, 2010, we had \$79.6 million of borrowing availability under the ABL Facility.

The ABL Facility is required to be prepaid only to the extent that aggregate amount of outstanding borrowings, unreimbursed letter of credit drawings and undrawn letters of credit under the relevant tranche exceeds the lesser of the applicable commitments and the applicable borrowing base in effect at such time for such tranche. The borrowing base for the current asset tranche consists of eligible accounts receivable, eligible inventory and eligible tractor and trailer fleet, and the borrowing base for the fixed asset tranche consists of eligible real property and certain eligible equipment.

The interest rate under the current asset tranche is based, at our option, on either the administrative agent's base rate plus 1.00% or on the Eurodollar LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin; at December 31, 2010, the applicable margin was 2.00%. The administrative agent's base rate is equal to the greater of the federal funds overnight rate plus 0.50% or the prime rate. The interest rate under the fixed asset tranche is based, at our option, on either the administrative agent's base rate plus 1.25% or on LIBOR plus an applicable margin; at December 31, 2010, the applicable margin was 2.25%. The applicable margin under either tranche is subject to increases or reductions based upon the amounts available for borrowing under the ABL Facility. Interest is generally payable quarterly, but may be payable in periods from one to six months in the case of some LIBOR loans.

Table of Contents

The ABL Facility provides for payment of the following in respect of outstanding letters of credit:

a letter of credit fee, payable quarterly in arrears, equal to (i) for standby letters of credit, the applicable margin under the current asset tranche, and (ii) for trade letters of credit, the applicable margin under the current asset tranche minus 0.50%, in each case from time to time in effect on the aggregate outstanding stated amounts of such letters of credit;

a fronting fee, payable quarterly in arrears, equal to 0.125% per annum on the aggregate outstanding stated amounts of such letter of credit; and

customary administrative charges.

We pay a commitment fee equal to 0.25% per annum on the available daily undrawn portion of the available commitment under the ABL Facility. This commitment fee is paid quarterly in arrears.

We may request up to \$150.0 million in letters of credit, subject to certain limitations based on availability under the ABL Facility. In the event we prepay all outstanding amounts under the fixed asset tranche of the ABL Facility, and all commitments thereunder are terminated, prior to the termination of the ABL Facility, no less than \$150.0 million under the current asset tranche will be available for letters of credit. At December 31, 2010, we had \$30.4 million of letters of credit issued.

9.875% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes Due 2018

On November 3, 2010 we issued \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes. With the proceeds of the issuance of the 2018 Notes, we repaid at maturity our 9% Notes, fully redeemed our 2012 Notes and our 2013 Senior Notes, redeemed \$47.5 million of our 2013 PIK Notes, and paid down a portion of our outstanding borrowings under the ABL Facility.

The payment obligations of QD LLC and QD Capital under the 2018 Notes are guaranteed by QDI and by all of its domestic subsidiaries. The 2018 Notes are senior obligations of QD LLC and QD Capital and are secured by a second-priority lien on certain assets. Pursuant to an intercreditor agreement, the liens on the collateral securing the 2018 Notes rank junior in right of payment to the ABL Facility and obligations under certain hedging agreements and cash management obligations and certain other first-lien obligations.

In connection with the issuance of the 2018 Notes, we have agreed pursuant to a registration rights agreement to file registration statements relating to an offer to exchange the 2018 Notes for debt securities which are substantially identical in all material respects to the 2018 Notes, and to use our commercially reasonable efforts to cause the registration statements to be declared effective by the SEC within 365 days after November 3, 2010. If we fail to satisfy these obligations, we will be required to pay additional interest.

Interest on the 2018 Notes is payable at a rate of 9.875% per annum, semiannually on May 1 and November 1 of each year, commencing on May 1, 2011.

The 2018 Notes mature on November 1, 2018. Prior to November 1, 2014, we may redeem the 2018 Notes, in whole or in part, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 2018 Notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, plus an additional make-whole premium intended to capture the value of holding 2018 Notes through November 1, 2014, but not less than 1%. During any twelve-month period prior to November 1, 2014, we may also redeem up to 10% of the original aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes at a redemption price of 103%, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. Additionally, at any time prior to November 1, 2014, we may redeem up to 35% of the principal amount of the 2018 Notes at a redemption price of 109.875%, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, with the net proceeds of one or more equity offerings so long as at least 50% of the aggregate original principal amount of the 2018 Notes remains outstanding afterwards. On or after November 1, 2014, we may redeem the 2018 Notes, in whole or in

Table of Contents

part, at the following prices (expressed as a percentage of principal amount), plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, if redeemed during the 12-month period commencing on November 1 of the years set forth below:

Period	Redemption Price
2014	104.928%
2015	102.458%
2016 and thereafter	100.000%

We recorded \$5.8 million in debt issuance costs relating to the 2018 Notes, of which \$5.6 million was related to the new issuance and \$0.2 million of unamortized debt issuance costs related to the 2013 Senior Notes. We are amortizing these costs over the remaining term of the 2018 Notes.

11.75% Senior Subordinated PIK Notes Due 2013

On October 15, 2009, we issued \$80.7 million aggregate principal amount of the 2013 PIK Notes. The payment obligations of QD LLC and QD Capital under the 2013 PIK Notes are guaranteed by QDI and by all of its domestic subsidiaries. The 2013 PIK Notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations of QD LLC and QD Capital. Interest is payable on the 2013 PIK Notes at 11.75% per annum, payable 9% in cash and 2.75% in the form of additional 2013 PIK Notes, quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year. The 2013 PIK Notes mature on November 1, 2013. We may redeem the 2013 PIK Notes, in whole or part at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 2013 PIK Notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date.

On December 3, 2010 we redeemed \$47.5 million of these notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, in conjunction with the issuance of the 2018 Notes. On December 10, 2010 and December 20, 2010, we repurchased \$2.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of these notes plus accrued and unpaid interest. On January 20, 2011, we redeemed \$10.0 million of these notes plus accrued and unpaid interest. On March 11, 2011, we redeemed \$17.5 million of these notes plus accrued and unpaid interest.

We recorded \$1.5 million in debt issuance costs relating to the 2013 PIK Notes, of which \$0.5 million of unamortized debt issuance costs related to the 9% Notes and \$1.0 million were related to the new issuance. In addition, we recorded \$6.7 million in note issuance discount due to warrants issued concurrently with the issuance of the 2013 PIK Notes. The amount represents the fair market value of the warrants at time of issuance. We are amortizing these costs over the remaining term of the 2013 PIK Notes. In conjunction with the December 3, 2010 redemption and the December 10, 2010 and December 20, 2010 repurchases, we expensed \$7.4 million of unamortized debt issuance costs and unamortized original issue discount in the fourth quarter of 2010. In connection with the January 20, 2011 and March 11, 2011 redemptions, we intend to expense \$1.8 million of unamortized debt issuance costs and unamortized original issue costs in the first quarter of 2011.

10% Senior Notes Due 2013

On October 15, 2009, we issued approximately \$134.5 million aggregate principal amount of the 2013 Senior Notes, all of which remained outstanding as of December 31, 2009. On December 3, 2010, we fully redeemed the 2013 Senior Notes with a portion of the proceeds of the issuance of \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes.

We recorded \$3.6 million in debt issuance costs relating to the 2013 Senior Notes, of which \$2.0 million of unamortized debt issuance costs related to the 2012 Notes and \$1.6 million was related to the new issuance. Approximately \$0.2 million of unamortized debt issuance costs were included in debt issuance costs related to the issuance of the 2018 Notes. The remaining unamortized costs were expensed in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

Senior Floating Rate Notes Due 2012

On January 28, 2005, we issued \$85.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2012 Notes. On December 18, 2007, we issued a second series of 2012 Notes in the original principal amount of \$50.0 million. As of December 31, 2009, approximately \$0.5 million total principal amount of the 2012 Notes remained outstanding. On December 3, 2010, we fully redeemed the remaining 2012 Notes with a portion of the proceeds of the issuance of \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes.

We incurred \$2.5 million in debt issuance costs relating to the initial \$85.0 million of the 2012 Notes and \$2.3 million related to the second \$50.0 million of the 2012 Notes. The remaining unamortized costs were expensed in the fourth quarter of 2010.

9% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2010

On September 30, 2003, we issued \$125.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 9% Notes. As of December 31, 2009, approximately \$16.0 million total principal amount of the 9% Notes remained outstanding. On November 15, 2010, we repaid at maturity the remaining 9% Notes with a portion of the proceeds of the issuance of \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes. We incurred \$5.5 million in debt issuance costs relating to the issuance of the 9% Notes. Approximately \$0.5 million of unamortized debt issuance costs were included in debt issuance costs related to the 2013 Senior Notes. All remaining debt issuance costs have been fully amortized.

The note issuance and subsequent note redemptions in November 2010 described above were primarily treated as a debt extinguishment and partially a debt modification in accordance with applicable FASB guidance.

The note exchanges in October 2009 described above were treated as a debt modification in accordance with applicable FASB guidance.

Collateral, Guarantees and Covenants

The ABL Facility contains a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0 to 1.0 which only needs to be met if borrowing availability is less than \$20.0 million. The ABL Facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability to (i) sell assets; (ii) incur additional debt; (iii) prepay other debt (including the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes); (iv) repurchase or pay dividends on QDI s common stock; (v) create liens on assets; (vi) make investments; (vii) make certain acquisitions; (viii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) amend certain charter documents and material agreements governing subordinated debt, including the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes; (xi) change the business conducted by us and our subsidiaries; and (xii) enter into agreements that restrict dividends from subsidiaries. The ABL Facility also contains certain customary events of default, which, if any of them occurs, may result in the principal, interest and any other monetary obligations under the ABL Facility becoming immediately payable.

The indentures governing the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes contain covenants that restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability to, among other things: (i) incur additional debt or issue certain preferred shares; (ii) pay dividends on or make other distributions in respect of QDI s common stock or make other restricted payments; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell certain assets; (v) create or permit to exist dividend and/or payment restrictions affecting their restricted subsidiaries; (vi) create liens on certain assets to secure debt; (vii) consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of their assets; (viii) enter into certain transactions with their affiliates; and (ix) designate their subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. The indentures also provide certain customary events of default, which, if any of them occurs, may result in the principal, interest and any other monetary obligations on the then outstanding 2018 Notes and 2013 PIK Notes becoming payable immediately.

Table of Contents

The payment obligations under the ABL Facility are senior secured obligations of QD LLC and QD Capital and are secured by certain assets and guaranteed by QDI and by all of its domestic subsidiaries. The payment obligations of QD LLC and QD Capital under the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes are guaranteed by QDI and by all of its domestic subsidiaries. The 2018 Notes, and the guarantees thereof, are senior obligations of QD LLC and QD Capital and are secured by a second-priority lien on certain assets. Pursuant to an intercreditor agreement, the liens on the collateral securing the 2018 Notes rank junior in right of payment to the ABL Facility and obligations under certain hedging agreements and cash management obligations and certain other first lien obligations. The 2013 PIK Notes, and the guarantees thereof, are unsecured senior subordinated obligations ranking junior in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior debt, and all liabilities of our subsidiaries that do not guarantee the 2013 PIK Notes. The 2013 PIK Notes are effectively junior to all of our existing and future secured debt, including borrowings under the ABL Facility, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt.

We believe that we were in compliance with the covenants under the ABL Facility, the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes at December 31, 2010.

Other Liabilities and Obligations

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$10.9 million of environmental liabilities, \$18.7 million of pension plan obligations and \$18.9 million of insurance claim obligations. The timing of the cash payment for environmental liabilities and insurance claims fluctuates from quarter to quarter. We expect to incur additional environmental costs in the future for environmental studies and remediation efforts that we will be required to undertake related to legacy CLC sites.

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$30.4 million in outstanding letters of credit that may be drawn by third parties to satisfy some of the obligations described above and certain leasing obligations. We are required to provide letters of credit to our insurance administrator to ensure that we pay required claims. The letter of credit issued to our insurance administrator had a maximum draw amount of \$25.2 million as of December 31, 2010. If we fail to meet certain terms of our agreement, the insurance administrator may draw down the entire letter of credit. The remaining \$5.2 million of outstanding letters of credit as of December 31, 2010 relate to various other obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements as defined under Item 303(a) (4) of Regulation S-K.

Other Issues

While uncertainties relating to environmental, labor and other regulatory matters exist within the transportation industry, management is not aware of any trends or events likely to have a material adverse effect on liquidity or the accompanying financial statements. Our credit ratings are affected by many factors, including our financial results, operating cash flows and total debt.

The ABL Facility and the indentures governing the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes contain certain limitations on QD LLC's ability to make distributions to QDI. We do not consider these restrictions to be significant, because QDI is a holding company with no significant operations or assets, other than ownership of 100% of QD LLC's membership units. QD LLC's direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries are generally permitted to make distributions to QD LLC, which is the principal obligor under the ABL Facility, the 2018 Notes and the 2013 PIK Notes.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are subject to market risks from (i) interest rates due to our variable interest rate indebtedness, (ii) foreign currency fluctuations due to our international operations and (iii) increased commodity prices due to the diesel consumption necessary for our operations. During the last three years, we have not held derivative instruments or engaged in other hedging transactions to reduce our exposure to such risks.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes through our variable-rate borrowings under the ABL Facility. With regard to the ABL Facility at QD LLC's option, the applicable margin for borrowings under the current asset tranche at December 31, 2010 was 1.00% with respect to base rate borrowings and 2.00% with respect to LIBOR borrowings. The applicable margin for borrowings under the fixed asset tranche at December 31, 2010 was 1.25% with respect to base rate borrowings and 2.25% with respect to LIBOR borrowings. The applicable margin for such borrowings will be reduced or increased based on aggregate borrowing base availability under the ABL Facility over the life of the ABL Facility. The base rate under the ABL Facility is equal to the higher of the prime rate and the federal funds overnight rate plus 0.50%.

	Balance at December 31, 2010 (\$ in 000s)	Interest Rate at December 31, 2010	Effect of 1% Increase (\$ in 000s)
ABL Facility	\$ 38,500	2.48%	\$ 385

At December 31, 2010, a 1% point increase in the current per annum interest rate for each would result in \$0.4 million of additional interest expense during the next year. The foregoing calculation assumes an instantaneous one percentage point increase in the rates of all of our indebtedness and that the principal amount of each is the amount outstanding as of December 31, 2010. The calculation therefore does not account for the differences in the market rates upon which the interest rates of our indebtedness are based, our various options to elect the lower of two different interest rates under our borrowings or other possible actions, such as prepayment, that we might take in response to any rate increase.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Operating in international markets involves exposure to the possibility of volatile movements in foreign exchange rates. The currencies in each of the countries in which we operate affect:

the results of our international operations reported in United States dollars; and

the value of the net assets of our international operations reported in United States dollars.

These exposures may impact future earnings or cash flows. Revenue from foreign locations (Canada and Mexico) represented approximately 5.5% of our consolidated revenue in 2010 and 6.1% of our consolidated revenue in 2009. The economic impact of foreign exchange rate movements is complex because such changes are often linked to variability in real growth, inflation, interest rates, governmental actions and other factors. These changes, if material, could cause us to adjust our financing and operating strategies. Therefore, to isolate the effect of changes in currency does not accurately portray the effect of these other important economic factors. As foreign exchange rates change, translation of the income statements of our international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars affects year-over-year comparability of operating results. While we may hedge specific transaction risks, we generally do not hedge translation risks because we believe there is no long-term economic benefit in doing so.

Assets and liabilities for our Canadian operations are matched in the local currency, which reduces the need for dollar conversion. Our Mexican operations use the United States dollar as their functional currency. Any

Table of Contents

foreign currency impact on translating assets and liabilities into dollars is included as a component of shareholders' deficit. Our revenue results for 2010 were positively impacted by a \$3.6 million foreign currency movement, primarily due to the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the United States dollar.

Changes in foreign exchange rates that had the largest impact on translating our international operating profits for 2010 related to the Canadian dollar versus the United States dollar. We estimate that a 1% adverse change in the Canadian dollar foreign exchange rate would have decreased our revenues by approximately \$0.4 million in 2010, assuming no changes other than the exchange rate itself. Our inter-company loans are subject to fluctuations in exchange rates primarily between the United States dollar and the Canadian dollar. Based on the outstanding balance of our inter-company loans at December 31, 2010, a change of 1% in the exchange rate for the Canadian dollar would cause a change in our foreign exchange result of less than \$0.1 million.

Commodity Price Risk

The price and availability of diesel fuel are subject to fluctuations due to changes in the level of global oil production, seasonality, weather, global politics and other market factors. Historically, we have been able to recover a majority of fuel price increases from our customers in the form of fuel surcharges. The price and availability of diesel fuel can be unpredictable as well as the extent to which fuel surcharges can be collected to offset such increases. In 2010 and 2009, a majority of fuel costs were covered through fuel surcharges.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial statements and exhibits filed under this item are listed in the index appearing in Item 15 of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Attached as exhibits to this Form 10-K are certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act. This Controls and Procedures section includes information concerning the controls and controls evaluation referred to in the certifications.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b), management has evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on their evaluation, management concluded our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) were effective as of December 31, 2010 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and were effective as of December 31, 2010 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by the Board of Directors and management, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, using the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that assessment and those criteria, management has determined that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information with respect to the directors, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, the Nomination Committee of the Board of Directors (known as the Corporate Governance Committee), and the Audit Committee financial expert, will be contained in our 2011 Proxy Statement. The 2011 Proxy Statement is expected to be filed on or about April 21, 2011. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Information with respect to our executive officers who are not directors is located in Part I, Item 4 of this report.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Conduct, which is applicable to all of our directors and employees, including our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer and our controller. A copy of the Code of Conduct can be found on our website at www.qualitydistribution.com. Any possible future amendments to or waivers from the Code of Conduct will be posted on our website.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act set forth under the heading **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance** will be in our 2011 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

For information regarding our Executive Compensation, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, and our Compensation Committee Report, we direct you to the section entitled **Executive Compensation** that will be in the 2011 Proxy Statement. We are incorporating the information contained in that section of our Proxy Statement here by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

Information regarding the security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related shareholder matters and equity compensation plans will be set forth under the heading **Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters** in our 2011 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item will be incorporated by reference from our 2011 Proxy Statement under the headings **Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions** and **Corporate Governance**.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information appearing in our 2011 Proxy Statement under the headings **Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors**, **Appointment of the Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm** and **Fees Paid to the Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm in 2009 and 2010** is incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The documents filed as part of this report are as follows:

1. The consolidated financial statements and accompanying report of independent registered certified public accountants are listed in the Index to Financial Statements and are filed as part of this report.

All consolidated financial statement schedules are omitted because they are inapplicable, not required or the information is included elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

2. Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are submitted as a separate section herein immediately following the Exhibit Index .

(b) Other Exhibits

No exhibits in addition to those previously filed or listed in item 15(a) (2) and filed herein.

(c) Not Applicable

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

QUALITY DISTRIBUTION, INC.

March 11, 2011

/s/ GARY R. ENZOR
GARY R. ENZOR

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

(DULY AUTHORIZED OFFICER)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

DATE	SIGNATURE	TITLE
March 11, 2011	/s/ Gary R. Enzor	
	Gary R. Enzor	Chief Executive Officer, and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
March 11, 2011	/s/ Joseph J. Troy	
	Joseph J. Troy	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
March 11, 2011	*	
	Thomas M. White	Director and Chairman of the Board
March 11, 2011	*	
	Marc E. Becker	Director
March 11, 2011	*	
	Kevin E. Crowe	Director
March 11, 2011	*	
	Richard B. Marchese	Director
March 11, 2011	*	
	Thomas R. Miklich	Director
March 11, 2011	*	
	M. Ali Rashid	Director
March 11, 2011	*	
	Alan H. Schumacher	Director

*By: /s/ Gary R. Enzor
Gary R. Enzor
Attorney-in-fact

Table of Contents

QUALITY DISTRIBUTION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page(s)
<u>Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Deficit and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-5 F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8 F-58

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To: Board of Directors and shareholders of Quality Distribution, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of shareholders' equity (deficit) and comprehensive income (loss) and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Quality Distribution, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Tampa, Florida

March 11, 2011

Table of Contents**QUALITY DISTRIBUTION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****For the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008****(In thousands, Except Per Share Data)**

	Years ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
OPERATING REVENUES:			
Transportation	\$ 498,446	\$ 454,658	\$ 565,814
Service revenue	107,474	104,954	104,039
Fuel surcharge	80,678	53,997	145,437
Total operating revenues	686,598	613,609	815,290
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Purchased transportation	476,307	373,539	466,823
Compensation	57,563	76,955	109,110
Fuel, supplies and maintenance	49,852	62,448	114,351
Depreciation and amortization	16,004	20,218	21,002
Selling and administrative	19,339	24,572	35,836
Insurance costs	15,546	14,119	14,999
Taxes and licenses	2,218	3,578	5,242
Communication and utilities	4,119	7,910	12,716
Gain on sale of tank wash assets		(7,130)	
Loss (gain) on disposal of property and equipment	1,136	450	(3,092)
Impairment charge		148,630	
Restructuring costs	7,779	3,496	5,325
Total operating expenses	649,863	728,785	782,312
Operating income (loss)	36,735	(115,176)	32,978
Interest expense	36,170	28,335	35,546
Interest income	(622)	(288)	(426)
Write-off of debt issuance costs	7,391	20	283
Gain on extinguishment of debt		(1,870)	(16,532)
Other expense (income)	791	1,912	(2,945)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(6,995)	(143,285)	17,052
Provision for income taxes	411	37,249	4,940
Net (loss) income	\$ (7,406)	\$ (180,534)	\$ 12,112
PER SHARE DATA:			
Net (loss) income per common share			
Basic	\$ (0.36)	\$ (9.28)	\$ 0.63
Diluted	\$ (0.36)	\$ (9.28)	\$ 0.62
Weighted-average number of shares			
Basic	20,382	19,449	19,379

Edgar Filing: QUALITY DISTRIBUTION INC - Form 10-K

Diluted	20,382	19,449	19,539
---------	--------	--------	--------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**QUALITY DISTRIBUTION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****December 31, 2010 and 2009****(In thousands)**

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,753	\$ 5,633
Accounts receivable, net	80,895	69,625
Prepaid expenses	6,911	8,584
Deferred tax asset, net	3,848	5,506
Other	4,891	4,420
Total current assets	98,298	93,768
Property and equipment, net	113,419	127,329
Goodwill	27,023	27,023
Intangibles, net	16,924	18,467
Other assets	15,671	13,029
Total assets	\$ 271,335	\$ 279,616
LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST AND SHAREHOLDERS		
DEFICIT		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of indebtedness	\$ 3,991	\$ 19,866
Current maturities of capital lease obligations		