FIRST NATIONAL CORP /VA/ Form 10-K March 28, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-23976

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia (State or other jurisdiction of

54-1232965 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

112 West King Street, Strasburg, Virginia (Address of principal executive offices)

22657 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (540) 465-9121

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$1.25 par value

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company x Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the closing sales price on June 30, 2011 was \$24,444,776.

The number of outstanding shares of common stock as of March 26, 2012 was 2,955,649.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders Part III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Part I	Page
[4 1		2
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	11
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	16
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	17
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	17
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	17
	<u>Part II</u>	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	18
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	20
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	39
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	79
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	79
Item 9B.	Other Information	79
	<u>Part III</u>	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	79
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	79
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	79
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	79
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	79
	<u>Part IV</u>	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	80

2

Part I

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

First National Corporation (the Company) makes forward-looking statements in this Form 10-K that are subject to risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include statements regarding profitability, liquidity, adequacy of capital, allowance for loan losses, interest rate sensitivity, market risk, growth strategy and financial and other goals. The words believes, expects, may, will, should, projects, contempanticipates, forecasts, intends, or other similar words or terms are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to significant uncertainties because they are based upon or are affected by factors including:

the ability to raise capital as needed; adverse economic conditions in the market area and the impact on credit quality and risks inherent in the loan portfolio such as repayment risk and fluctuating collateral values; additional future losses if our levels of non-performing assets do not moderate and if the proceeds we receive upon liquidation of assets are less than the carrying value of such assets; further increases of non-performing assets may reduce interest income and increase net charge-offs, provision for loan losses, and operating expenses; the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses related to specific reserves on impaired loans, and changes in factors considered such as general economic and business conditions in the market area and overall asset quality; the adequacy of the valuation allowance for other real estate owned related to changes in economic conditions and local real estate activity; loss or retirement of key executives; the ability to compete effectively in the highly competitive banking industry; legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in accounting standards, may adversely affect the businesses that the Company is engaged in; the ability to implement various technologies into our operations may impact the Company s ability to operate profitably;

Table of Contents 5

the ability of the Company to implement its disaster recovery plan in the event of a natural disaster;

risks related to the timing of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset, which is subject to considerable judgment, and the risk that even after the recovery of the deferred tax asset balance under GAAP, there will remain limitations on the ability to include our deferred tax assets for regulatory capital purposes;

increases in FDIC insurance premiums could adversely affect the Company s profitability;

the ability to retain customers and secondary funding sources if the Bank s reputation would become damaged;

the reliance on secondary sources, such as Federal Home Loan Bank advances, sales of securities and loans, federal funds lines of credit from correspondent banks and out-of-market time deposits, to meet liquidity needs;

changes in interest rates could have a negative impact on the Company s net interest income and an unfavorable impact on the Bank s customers ability to repay loans; and

other factors identified in Item 1A, Risk Factors, below.

Because of these and other uncertainties, actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by these forward-looking statements. In addition, past results of operations do not necessarily indicate future results.

Item 1. Business General

First National Corporation is the bank holding company of:

First Bank (the Bank). The Bank owns:

First Bank Financial Services, Inc.

Shen-Valley Land Holdings, LLC

First National (VA) Statutory Trust II (Trust II)

First National (VA) Statutory Trust III (Trust III)

First Bank Financial Services, Inc. invests in entities that provide title insurance and investment services. Shen-Valley Land Holdings, LLC was formed to hold other real estate owned and future office sites. The Trusts were formed for the purpose of issuing redeemable capital securities, commonly known as trust preferred securities.

The Bank first opened for business on July 1, 1907 under the name The Peoples National Bank of Strasburg. On January 10, 1928, the Bank changed its name to The First National Bank of Strasburg. On April 12, 1994, the Bank received approval from the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (the Federal Reserve) and the Virginia State Corporation Commission s Bureau of Financial Institutions to convert to a state chartered bank with membership in the Federal Reserve System. On June 1, 1994, the Bank consummated such conversion and changed its name to First Bank.

3

Access to Filings

The Company s internet address is www.therespowerinone.com. The Company s Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), are available free of charge at www.therespowerinone.com as soon as reasonably practicable after being filed with or furnished to the SEC. A copy of any of the Company s filings will be sent, without charge, to any shareholder upon written request to: M. Shane Bell, Chief Financial Officer, at 112 West King Street, Strasburg, Virginia 22657.

Products and Services

The Bank's primary market area is located within the northern Shenandoah Valley region of Virginia, including Shenandoah County, Warren County, Frederick County and the City of Winchester. Within the market area there are various types of industry including health care, government, retail, manufacturing, and construction. Customers include individuals, small and medium-sized businesses, local governmental entities and non-profit organizations.

The Bank provides loan, deposit, investment, trust and asset management and other products and services in the northern Shenandoah Valley region of Virginia. Loan products and services include personal loans, residential mortgages, home equity loans and commercial loans. Deposit products and services include checking, savings, NOW accounts, money market accounts, IRA accounts, certificates of deposit, and cash management accounts. The Bank offers other services, including internet banking, mobile banking, remote deposit capture and other traditional banking services.

The Bank s Trust and Asset Management Department offers a variety of trust and asset management services including estate planning, investment management of assets, trustee under an agreement, trustee under a will, individual retirement accounts, estate settlement and benefit plans. The Bank offers financial planning and brokerage services for its customers through its investment division, First Financial Advisors.

The Bank s products and services are provided through 10 branch offices, 30 ATMs and its website, www.therespowerinone.com. The Bank operates six of its offices under the Financial Center concept. A Financial Center offers all of the Bank s financial services at one location. This concept allows loan, deposit, trust and investment advisory personnel to be readily available to serve customers throughout the Bank s market area. For the location of each of these Financial Centers, see Item 2 of this Form 10-K below.

Competition

The Company competes with other community banks, large financial institutions, savings banks, mortgage companies, consumer finance companies, insurance companies, credit unions, internet-based banks, brokerage firms and money market mutual funds. Competition has increased from out-of-state banks through their acquisition of Virginia-based banks and branches and from other financial institutions through use of internet banking.

The financial services industry is highly competitive and could become more competitive as a result of recent and ongoing legislative, regulatory and technological changes, and continued consolidation within the financial services industry. Advantages larger financial institutions may have over the Company include more efficient operations from economies of scale, the ability to support wide-ranging advertising campaigns, larger amounts of capital and substantially higher lending limits. Credit unions have a competitive advantage because of a more favorable tax treatment than the Company and can pass those savings on to their customers through lower loan rates and higher deposit rates.

The competition for loans and deposits is affected by factors such as interest rates and terms offered, the number and location of branches and types of products offered, service, as well as the reputation of the institution. We believe the Company s competitive advantages include long-term customer relationships, a commitment to excellent customer service, dedicated and loyal employees, local management and directors, and the support of and involvement in the communities that the Company serves. The Company focuses on providing products and services to individuals, small to medium-sized businesses and local governmental entities within its communities. According to Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) deposit data as of June 30, 2011, the Bank was ranked first in Shenandoah County with \$228.6 million in deposits, representing 30% of the total deposit market; third in Warren County with \$60.1 million or 12% of the market; fourth in Frederick County with \$80.3 million or 15% of the market; and fifth in the City of Winchester with \$107.6 million or 8% of the market. The Bank was ranked second overall in its market area with 15% of the total deposit market.

No material part of the business of the Company is dependent upon a single or a few customers, and the loss of any single customer would not have a materially adverse effect upon the business of the Company.

Employees

At December 31, 2011, the Company and the Bank employed a total of 151 full-time equivalent employees. The Company considers relations with its employees to be excellent.

4

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

General

As a bank holding company, the Company is subject to regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. As a state-chartered commercial bank, the Bank is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Virginia State Corporation Commission s Bureau of Financial Institutions and the Federal Reserve. Other federal and state laws, including various consumer and compliance laws, govern the activities of the Bank, the investments that it makes and the aggregate amount of loans that it may grant to one borrower. Laws and regulations administered by the regulatory agencies also affect corporate practices, including business practices related to payment and charging of interest, documentation and disclosures, and affect the ability to open and close offices or purchase other entities.

The following description summarizes the significant federal and state laws applicable to the Company s industry. To the extent that statutory or regulatory provisions are described, the description is qualified in its entirety by reference to that particular statutory or regulatory provision.

The Bank Holding Company Act

Under the Bank Holding Company Act, the Company is subject to periodic examination by the Federal Reserve and required to file periodic reports regarding its operations and any additional information that the Federal Reserve may require. Activities at the bank holding company are limited to:

banking, managing or controlling banks;

furnishing services to or performing services for its subsidiaries; and

engaging in other activities that the Federal Reserve has determined by regulation or order to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to these activities.

Some of the activities that the Federal Reserve Board has determined by regulation to be closely related to the business of a bank holding company include making or servicing loans and specific types of leases, performing specific data processing services and acting in some circumstances as a fiduciary or investment or financial adviser.

With some limited exceptions, the Bank Holding Company Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before:

acquiring substantially all the assets of any bank;

acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank if after such acquisition it would own or control more than 5% of the voting shares of such bank (unless it already owns or controls the majority of such shares); or

merging or consolidating with another bank holding company.

In addition, and subject to some exceptions, the Bank Holding Company Act and the Change in Bank Control Act, together with their regulations, require Federal Reserve approval prior to any person or company acquiring 25% or more of any class of voting securities of a bank or bank holding company. Prior notice to the Federal Reserve is required for a person to acquire 10% or more, but less than 25%, of any class of voting securities of the institution, and either the institution has registered securities under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or no other person owns a greater percentage of that class of voting securities immediately after the transaction.

Capital Requirements

The Federal Reserve Board has issued risk-based and leverage capital guidelines applicable to banking organizations that it supervises. Under the risk-based capital requirements, the Company and the Bank are each generally required to maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet activities, such as standby letters of credit) of 8%. At least half of the total capital must be composed of Tier 1 Capital, which is defined as common equity, retained earnings and qualifying perpetual preferred stock, less certain intangibles. The remainder may consist of Tier 2 Capital, which is defined as specific subordinated debt, some hybrid capital instruments and other qualifying preferred stock and a limited amount of the loan loss allowance. Trust preferred securities may be included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital adequacy purposes as long as their amount does not exceed 25% of Tier 1 capital, including trust preferred securities. The portion of the trust preferred securities not considered as Tier 1 capital, if any, may be included in Tier 2 capital. In addition, each of the federal banking regulatory agencies has established minimum leverage capital requirements for banking organizations. Under these requirements, banking organizations must maintain a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average quarterly assets equal to 3% to 5%, subject to federal bank regulatory evaluation of an organization s overall safety and soundness.

In sum, the capital measures used by the federal banking regulators are:
--

the Total Capital ratio, which includes Tier 1 Capital and Tier 2 Capital;

the Tier 1 Capital ratio; and

the leverage ratio. Under these regulations, a bank will be:

well capitalized if it has a Total Capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 Capital ratio of 6% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to any written agreement, order, capital directive, or prompt corrective action directive by a federal bank regulatory agency to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure;

adequately capitalized if it has a Total Capital ratio of 8% or greater, a Tier 1 Capital ratio of 4% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 4% or greater or 3% in certain circumstances and is not well capitalized;

undercapitalized if it has a Total Capital ratio of less than 8%, a Tier 1 Capital ratio of less than 4% or 3% in certain circumstances;

significantly undercapitalized if it has a Total Capital ratio of less than 6%, a Tier 1 Capital ratio of less than 3%, or a leverage ratio of less than 3%; or

critically undercapitalized if its tangible equity is equal to or less than 2% of average quarterly tangible assets.

The risk-based capital standards of the Federal Reserve Board explicitly identify concentrations of credit risk and the risk arising from non-traditional activities, as well as an institution s ability to manage these risks, as important factors to be taken into account by the agency in assessing an institution s overall capital adequacy. The capital guidelines also provide that an institution s exposure to a decline in the economic value of its capital due to changes in interest rates be considered by the agency as a factor in evaluating a banking organization s capital adequacy.

The FDIC and the Federal Reserve may take various corrective actions against any undercapitalized bank and any bank that fails to submit an acceptable capital restoration plan or fails to implement a plan accepted by the FDIC. These powers include, but are not limited to, requiring the institution to be recapitalized, prohibiting asset growth, restricting interest rates paid, requiring prior approval of capital distributions by any bank holding company that controls the institution, requiring divestiture by the institution of its subsidiaries or by the holding company of the institution itself, requiring new election of directors, and requiring the dismissal of directors and officers. The Company and the Bank presently maintain sufficient capital to remain in compliance with these capital requirements.

On March 13, 2009, the Company received an investment in the Company through the U.S. Treasury s purchase of preferred stock totaling \$13.9 million from the Company s participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Capital Purchase Program (Capital Purchase Program) under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA).

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) contains a number of provisions dealing with capital adequacy of insured depository institutions and their holding companies, which may result in more stringent capital requirements. Under the Collins Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act, federal regulators have been directed to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements for, among other entities, banks and bank holding companies on a consolidated basis. These minimum requirements cannot be less than the generally applicable leverage and risk-based capital requirements established for insured depository institutions nor quantitatively lower

than the leverage and risk-based capital requirements established for insured depository institutions that were in effect as of July 21, 2010. These requirements in effect create capital level floors for bank holding companies similar to those in place currently for insured depository institutions. The Collins Amendment also excludes trust preferred securities issued after May 19, 2010 from being included in Tier 1 capital unless the issuing company is a bank holding company with less than \$500 million in total assets. Trust preferred securities issued prior to that date will continue to count as Tier 1 capital for bank holding companies with less than \$15 billion in total assets, and such securities will be phased out of Tier 1 capital treatment for bank holding companies with over \$15 billion in total assets over a three-year period beginning in 2013. The Collins Amendment did not exclude preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury through the Capital Purchase Program from Tier 1 capital treatment. Accordingly, the Company s trust preferred securities and preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury through the Capital Purchase Program will continue to qualify as Tier 1 capital.

Dividends

The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from its banking and other subsidiaries. The majority of the Company s revenues are from dividends paid to the Company by the Bank. The Bank is subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of dividends it can pay. In addition, both the Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory restrictions relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain capital at or above regulatory minimums. Banking regulators have indicated that banking organizations should generally pay dividends only if the organization s net income available to common shareholders over the past year has been sufficient to fully fund the dividends and the prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with the organization s capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. In addition, the Federal Reserve has issued guidelines that bank holding

6

companies should inform and consult with the Federal Reserve in advance of declaring or paying a dividend that exceeds earnings for the period (e.g., quarter) for which the dividend is being paid or that could result in a material adverse change to the organization s capital structure. The net loss available to common shareholders totaled \$11.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to common dividends paid to shareholders totaling \$540 thousand during the same period.

Under Virginia law, a bank may declare a dividend out of the bank s undivided profits, but not in excess of its accumulated retained earnings. Additionally, under Federal Reserve regulations, the Bank may not declare a dividend if the total amount of all dividends, including the proposed dividend, declared by it in any calendar year exceeds the total of its retained net income of that year to date, combined with its retained net income of the two preceding years, unless the dividend is approved by the Federal Reserve. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Bank transferred \$1.6 million in dividends to the Company. The Bank received approval in February 2012 for a dividend declaration totaling \$249 thousand from the Bank to the Company.

The FDIC has the general authority to limit the dividends paid by insured banks if the payment is deemed an unsafe and unsound practice. The FDIC has indicated that paying dividends that deplete a bank s capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsound and unsafe banking practice.

In connection with the Company s participation in the Capital Purchase Program established by the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the Treasury) under the EESA, the Company issued preferred stock to the Treasury on March 13, 2009. The Preferred Stock is in a superior ownership position compared to common stock. Dividends must be paid to the preferred stock holder before they can be paid to the common shareholders. If the dividends on the Preferred Stock have not been paid for an aggregate of six (6) quarterly dividend periods or more, whether or not consecutive, the Company s authorized number of directors will be automatically increased by two (2) and the holders of the Preferred Stock will have the right to elect those directors at the Company s next annual meeting or at a special meeting called for that purpose; these two directors may be elected annually and may serve until all accrued and unpaid dividends for all past dividend periods have been declared and paid in full. The Company is current on all dividend payments on the Preferred Stock.

In order to maintain appropriate capital levels in light of the current operating environment, the Company s Board of Directors determined in July 2011 to suspend cash dividends on the Company s common stock. The Board intends to reinstate the dividend payment at an appropriate time considering overall asset quality, quality and level of current and prospective earnings and other factors. Any future determination relating to dividend policy will be made at the discretion of the Board and will depend on many of the statutory and regulatory factors mentioned above.

Deposit Insurance and Assessments

The deposits of the Bank are insured by an insurance fund administered by the FDIC, in general up to a maximum of \$250,000 per insured account. In addition, the FDIC will provide temporary unlimited coverage on all noninterest-bearing transaction accounts through December 31, 2012. Under federal banking regulations, insured banks are required to pay quarterly assessments to the FDIC for deposit insurance. The FDIC s risk based assessment system requires members to pay varying assessment rates depending on the level of the institution s capital and the degree of supervisory concern over the institution. As a result of the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 (FDIRA), signed into law February 8, 2006, the FDIC assessment is now separated into two parts. The first part is the FDIC Insurance, and the second part is the assessment for the Financing Corporation (FICO).

On February 7, 2011, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC adopted new regulations, which became effective April 1, 2011, that redefined the assessment base used for calculating deposit insurance assessments. Rather than the previous system, whereby the assessment base is calculated by using an insured depository institution s domestic deposits less a few allowable exclusions, the new assessment base is calculated using the average consolidated total assets of an insured depository institution less the average tangible equity (Tier 1 capital) of such institution. The FDIC continues to utilize a risk-based assessment system in which institutions will be subject to assessment rates ranging from 2.5 to 45 basis points, subject to adjustments for unsecured debt and, in certain cases, brokered deposits. The new rules eliminated adjustments for secured liabilities.

The new rules retain the FDIC Board's flexibility to, without further notice-and-comment rulemaking, adopt rates that are higher or lower than the stated base assessment rates, provided that the FDIC cannot (i) increase or decrease the total rates from one quarter to the next by more than two basis points, or (ii) deviate by more than two basis points from the stated base assessment rates. Although the Dodd-Frank Act makes the FDIC s payment of a dividend to depository institutions discretionary, as opposed to mandatory, when the reserve ratio exceeds a certain threshold, the FDIC s new rule establishes a decreasing schedule of assessment rates that would take effect when the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) reserve ratio first meets or exceeds 1.15%. If the DIF reserve ratio meets or exceeds 1.15%, base assessment rates would range from 1.5 to 40 basis points; if the DIF reserve ratio meets or exceeds 2.5%, base assessment rates would range from 1 to 38 basis points; and if the DIF reserve ratio meets or exceeds 2.5%, base assessment rates would continue to be subject to adjustments for unsecured debt and brokered deposits.

Although the new rules are generally expected to have a positive effect on small institutions (those with less than \$10 billion in assets such as the Bank) because the large bulk of such institutions are expected to see a decrease in assessments, the impact of the new rule and/or additional FDIC special assessments or other regulatory changes affecting the financial services industry could negatively affect the Bank s and the Company s liquidity and results of operations in future periods.

7

In addition, all FDIC-insured institutions are required to pay assessment to the FDIC to fund interest payments on bonds issued by FICO, an agency of the Federal government established to recapitalize the predecessor to the Savings Association Insurance Fund (SAIF). The FICO assessments rates are adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in the assessment bases of the FDIC s insurance funds and do not vary depending on a depository institution s capitalization or supervisory evaluations. These assessments will continue until the FICO bonds mature in 2017.

The FDIC may terminate a depository institution s deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution s financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or has violated any applicable rule, regulation, order or condition enacted or imposed by the institution s regulatory agency.

USA Patriot Act

The USA Patriot Act became effective on October 26, 2001 and provides for the facilitation of information sharing among governmental entities and financial institutions for the purpose of combating terrorism and money laundering. Among other provisions, the USA Patriot Act permits financial institutions, upon providing notice to the United States Treasury, to share information with one another in order to better identify and report to the federal government concerning activities that may involve money laundering or terrorism. The USA Patriot Act is considered a significant banking law in terms of information disclosure regarding certain customer transactions. Although it does create a reporting obligation, the USA Patriot Act has not materially affected the Bank s products, services or other business activities.

Safety and Soundness Standards

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), as amended by the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994, requires the federal bank regulatory agencies to prescribe standards, by regulations or guidelines, relating to internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, stock valuation and compensation, fees and benefits, and such other operational and managerial standards as the agencies deem appropriate. Guidelines adopted by the federal bank regulatory agencies establish general standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risk and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal stockholder. In addition, the agencies adopted regulations that authorize, but do not require, an agency to order an institution that has been given notice by an agency that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being so notified, an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails in any material respect to implement an acceptable compliance plan, the agency must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized institution is subject under the prompt corrective action provisions of FDIA. If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the agency may seek

Community Reinvestment Act

Under the Community Reinvestment Act and related regulations, depository institutions have an affirmative obligation to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas, including low and moderate-income areas, consistent with safe and sound banking practice. The Community Reinvestment Act requires the adoption by each institution of a Community Reinvestment Act statement for each of its market areas describing the depository institution s efforts to assist in its community s credit needs. Depository institutions are periodically examined for compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act and are periodically assigned ratings in this regard. Banking regulators consider a depository institution s Community Reinvestment Act rating when reviewing applications to establish new branches, undertake new lines of business, and/or acquire part or all of another depository institution. An unsatisfactory rating can significantly delay or even prohibit regulatory approval of a proposed transaction by a bank holding company or its depository institution subsidiaries.

Privacy Legislation

Several regulations issued by federal banking agencies also provide protections against the transfer and use of customer information by financial institutions. A financial institution must provide to its customers information regarding its policies and procedures with respect to the handling of customers personal information. Each institution must conduct an internal risk assessment of its ability to protect customer information. These privacy provisions generally prohibit a financial institution from providing a customer s personal financial information to unaffiliated parties without prior notice and approval from the customer.

8

Capital Purchase Program Regulations

As a result of the Company s participation in the TARP Capital Purchase Program (CPP), it is subject to certain provisions under EESA. On February 10, 2009, the Treasury announced the Financial Stability Plan under the EESA (the Financial Stability Plan) which was intended to further stabilize financial institutions and stimulate lending across a broad range of economic sectors. On February 18, 2009, President Obama signed the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009 (ARRA), a broad economic stimulus package that amended EESA to include additional restrictions on, and potential additional regulation of, financial institutions.

On June 10, 2009, under the authority granted to it under ARRA and EESA, the Treasury issued an interim final rule under Section 111 of EESA, as amended by ARRA, regarding compensation and corporate governance restrictions that would be imposed on CPP recipients, effective June 15, 2009. As a CPP recipient with currently outstanding CPP obligations, the Company is subject to the compensation and corporate governance restrictions and requirements set forth in the interim final rule, which, among other things; (1) prohibit the Company from paying or accruing bonuses, retention awards or incentive compensation, except for certain long-term stock awards, to the Company s most highly compensated employee; (2) prohibit us from making severance payments to any of the senior executive officers or next five most highly compensated employees; (3) require the Company to conduct semi-annual risk assessments to assure that compensation arrangements do not encourage unnecessary and excessive risks or the manipulation of earnings to increase compensation; (4) require the Company to recoup or clawback any bonus, retention award or incentive compensation paid by the Company to a senior executive officer or any of the next 20 most highly compensated employees, if the payment was based on financial statements or other performance criteria that are later found to be materially inaccurate; (5) prohibit the Company from providing tax gross-ups to any of the senior executive officers or next 20 most highly compensated employees; (6) require the Company to provide enhanced disclosure of perquisites, and the use and role of compensation consultants; (7) require the Company to adopt a corporate policy on luxury and excessive expenditures; (8) require the chief executive officer and chief financial officer to provide periodic certifications about compensation practices and compliance with the interim final rule; (9) require the Company to provide enhanced disclosure of the relationship between the Company s compensation plans and the risk posed by those plans; and (10) require the Company to provide an annual non-binding shareholder vote, or say-on-pay proposal, to approve the compensation of our executives, consistent with regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). On January 12, 2010, the SEC adopted final regulations setting forth the parameters for such say-on-pay proposals for public company CPP participants.

Under EESA, Congress has the ability to impose after-the-fact terms and conditions on participants in Treasury s TARP Capital Purchase Program. As a participant in the TARP Capital Purchase Program, the Company is subject to any such retroactive legislation. Additional regulations applicable to CPP recipients adopted as part of EESA, the Financial Stability Plan, ARRA, or other legislation may subject the Company to additional regulatory requirements. The impact of these additional requirements may put us at competitive disadvantage in comparison to financial institutions that have either repaid all CPP funds or never accepted CPP funds and may materially adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), which was enacted in July 2010, has had a broad impact on the financial services industry, including significant regulatory and compliance changes previously discussed and including, among other things, (i) enhanced resolution authority of troubled and failing banks and their holding companies; (ii) increased regulatory examination fees; and (iii) numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthening safety and soundness for, the financial services sector. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system to be distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council, the Federal Reserve Board, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the FDIC.

Some of the Dodd-Frank Act s most far-reaching provisions, such as those regulating derivatives and proprietary trading activity and hedge funds, providing for enhanced supervision of systemically significant institutions, and phasing out Tier 1 capital treatment for trust preferred securities, apply only to institutions with over \$10 billion in assets or to business lines in which the Company and the Bank do not engage. Certain provisions do, however, apply to or affect us, including provisions that:

Create a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau that will have rulemaking authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that would apply to all banks and would have broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws;

Change the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from a deposit-based to an asset-based calculation as described in Deposit Insurance and Assessments above;

Make permanent the \$250,000 limit for federal deposit insurance and provide unlimited federal deposit insurance until December 31, 2012 for non-interest-bearing demand transaction accounts;

Repeal the federal prohibition on payment of interest on demand deposits;

Impose new mortgage lending requirements, including minimum underwriting standards, originator compensation restrictions, consumer protections for certain types of loans, and disclosure to borrowers;

Apply to bank holding companies the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to insured depository institutions;

9

Table of Contents

Require bank holding companies and banks to be well-capitalized and well-managed in order to acquire banks located outside their home state:

Implement corporate governance revisions, including with regard to executive compensation that apply to all public companies, not just financial institutions; and

Increase the authority of the Federal Reserve to examine the Company and its non-bank subsidiaries.

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to future rulemaking, which has not yet had a material financial impact on the Company or its customers. Management expects that legislative changes may continue to be introduced from time to time in Congress. The Company cannot predict whether any potential legislation will be enacted, and if enacted, the effect that it or any implementing regulations could have on the business, results of operations or financial condition of the Company or its subsidiaries.

Basel III

In December 2010 and January 2011, the Basel Committee on Banking supervision published the final texts of reforms on capital and liquidity generally referred to as Basel III. Although Basel III is intended to be implemented by participating countries for large, internationally active banks, its provisions are likely to be considered by U.S. banking regulators in developing new regulations applicable to other banks in the United States, including the Bank. This is particularly relevant in view of the provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act requiring or permitting U.S. federal banking agencies to adopt regulations affecting banks capital requirements in a number of respects. For banks in the United States, the most significant provisions of Basel III relating to capital include:

A minimum ratio of common equity to risk-weighted assets reaching 4.5%, plus an additional 2.5% as a capital conservation buffer, by 2019 after a phase-in period;

A minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets reaching 6.0% by 2019 after a phase-in period;

A minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets, plus an additional 2.5% capital conservation buffer, reaching 10.5% by 2019 after a phase-in period;

An additional countercyclical capital buffer to be imposed by applicable banking regulators periodically at their discretion, with advance notice:

Restrictions on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses applicable when capital ratios fall within the buffer zone;

Deduction from common equity of deferred tax assets that depend on future profitability to be realized; and

For capital instruments issued on or after January 13, 2013 (other than common equity), a loss-absorbency requirement such that the instrument must be written off or converted to common equity if the issuing bank would become nonviable without the write-off or conversion or without an injection of capital from the public sector.

The Basel III liquidity provisions include complex criteria establishing a method to ensure that a bank maintains adequate unencumbered, high-quality liquid assets to meet its liquidity needs for 30 days under a severe liquidity stress scenario and a method to promote more medium-and long-term funding of assets and activities using a one-year horizon.

Although Basel III is described as a final text, it is subject to the resolution of certain issues and to further guidance and clarification, including decisions as to whether and to what extent it will apply to U.S. banks that are not large, internationally active banks. Ultimate implementation of the Basel III provisions in the U.S. will be subject to the discretion of the U.S. banking regulators, and the regulations or guidelines they adopt may differ from the Basel III provisions.

Consumer Protection Regulations

Retail activities of banks are subject to a variety of statutes and regulations designed to protect consumers. Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by banks are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates.

Loan operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as:

the federal Truth-In-Lending Act and Regulation Z issued by the Federal Reserve Board, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;

the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act and Regulation C issued by the Federal Reserve Board, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and Regulation B issued by the Federal Reserve Board, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;

the Fair Credit Reporting Act and Regulation V issued by the Federal Reserve Board, governing the use and provision of information to consumer reporting agencies;

the Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies; and

the guidance of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

10

Deposit operations are also subject to:

the Truth in Savings Act and Regulation DD issued by the Federal Reserve Board, which requires disclosure of deposit terms to consumers;

Regulation CC issued by the Federal Reserve Board, which relates to the availability of deposit funds to consumers;

the Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and

the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E issued by the Federal Reserve Board, which governs automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

The Federal Reserve and FDIC have recently enacted consumer protection regulations related to automated overdraft payment programs offered by financial institutions. In November 2009, the Federal Reserve amended its Regulation E to prohibit financial institutions, including the Bank, from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. The Regulation E amendments also require financial institutions to provide consumers with a notice that explains the financial institution s overdraft services, including the fees associated with the service and the consumer s choices. The amendments to Regulation E became effective on August 1, 2010.

Many of the foregoing laws and regulations are subject to change resulting from the provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act, which in many cases calls for revisions to implementing regulations. We cannot predict the effect that being regulated by a new, additional regulatory authority focused on consumer financial protection, or any new implementing regulations or revisions to existing regulations that may result from the establishment of this new authority, will have on the Company s businesses. Additional regulations resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may materially adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in the Company's common stock involves significant risks, including, but not limited to, the following risks and uncertainties. These risk factors should be read carefully and considered before deciding to invest in the Company's common stock. These risk factors may adversely affect the Company's financial condition and future earnings. In that event, the trading price of the Company's common stock could decline and you could lose a part or all of your investment. This section should be read together with the other information, including the consolidated financial statements and related notes to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The Company may be further adversely affected by economic conditions in its market area.

The Company is headquartered in the northern Shenandoah Valley region of Virginia. Because our lending is concentrated in this market, the Company is affected by the general economic conditions in the region. Changes in the economy may influence the growth rate of loans and deposits, the quality of the loan portfolio and loan and deposit pricing. A continued decline in general economic conditions caused by inflation, recession, unemployment or other factors beyond the Company s control would further impact these local economic conditions and the demand for banking products and services generally, which could negatively affect the Company s performance and financial condition.

Deteriorating credit quality, particularly in real estate loans, has adversely impacted the Company and may continue to adversely impact the Company.

During 2008, the Company began to experience a downturn in the overall credit performance of the loan portfolio, as well as acceleration in the deterioration of general economic conditions. This deterioration, including a significant increase in national and regional unemployment levels, is negatively impacting some borrowers ability to repay. During the year ended December 31, 2008, these conditions resulted in a \$13.9 million increase in non-performing assets and loans over 90 days past due to \$16.2 million. At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, non-performing

assets and loans over 90 days past due remained at elevated levels, totaling \$18.7 million, \$15.4 million and \$14.8 million, respectively. The provision for loan losses totaled \$12.4 million, \$11.7 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Additional increases in loan loss reserves may be necessary in the future. Continued deterioration in the quality of the loan portfolio can have a material adverse effect on earnings, liquidity and capital.

11

The Bank will realize additional future losses if our levels of non-performing assets do not moderate and if the proceeds we receive upon liquidation of assets are less than the carrying value of such assets.

The Company expects to continue its focus on reducing non-performing asset levels during 2012 by selling other real estate owned (OREO) and restructuring its problem loans and potential problem loans. Other potential problem loans are defined as performing loans that possess certain risks, including the borrower s ability to pay and the collateral value securing the loan, that management has identified that may result in the loans not being repaid in accordance with their terms. At December 31, 2011, other potential problem loans totaled \$40.0 million. Among the Bank s potential problem loans are several large credit relationships. Consequently, an adverse development with respect to one of these loans or credit relationships could expose us to a significant increase in nonperforming assets.

The actual volume of future distressed asset sales could increase based on regulatory directives, the level of migration of performing loans to problem loan status, as well as opportunities to sell such assets, thus resulting in higher credit costs. The continuing weakness in the residential and commercial real estate markets may negatively impact the ability to dispose of distressed assets, and may result in higher credit losses on sales of distressed assets. Non-performing assets are recorded on the financial statements at the estimated fair value, which considers management s plans for disposition. The Company will realize additional future losses if the proceeds received upon dispositions of assets are less than the recorded carrying value of such assets. If market conditions continue to decline, the magnitude of losses realized upon the disposition of non-performing assets may increase, which could materially adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further increases in non-performing assets may reduce interest income and increase net loan charge-offs, provision for loan losses, and operating expenses.

As a result of the prolonged economic downturn, the Bank is experiencing historically high levels of non-accrual loans. Non-accrual loans increased from 1.87% at December 31, 2009 to 2.49% and 3.02% of total loans at December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Until economic and market conditions improve at a more rapid pace, we expect to incur charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses and lost interest income relating to increased non-performing loans and, as a result, additional increases in loan loss reserves may be necessary in the future. Non-performing assets (including non-accrual loans and other real estate owned) totaled \$18.2 million at December 31, 2011. These non-performing assets can adversely affect net income mainly through increased operating expenses incurred to maintain such assets or loss charges related to subsequent declines in the estimated fair value of foreclosed assets. Adverse changes in the value of our non-performing assets, or the underlying collateral, or in the borrowers performance or financial conditions could adversely affect the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition. There can be no assurance that the Company will not experience further increases in non-performing loans in the future, or that non-performing assets will not result in lower financial returns in the future.

If the Bank's allowance for loan losses becomes inadequate, results of operations may be adversely affected.

The Bank maintains an allowance for loan losses that it believes is a reasonable estimate of known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio. Through a quarterly review of the loan portfolio, management determines the amount of the allowance for loan losses by considering economic conditions, credit quality of the loan portfolio, collateral supporting the loans, performance of customers relative to their financial obligations and the quality of the Bank s loan administration. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates that may be beyond the Bank s control, and these losses may exceed current estimates. Although the Company believes the allowance for loan losses is a reasonable estimate of known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio, such losses and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses cannot be fully predicted. Excessive loan losses could have a material impact on financial performance through additions to the allowance for loan losses.

Federal and state regulators periodically review the allowance for loan losses and may require an increase to the provision for loan losses or recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. Any increase in the amount of provision or loans charged-off as required by these regulatory agencies could have a negative effect on operating results.

If the Bank s valuation allowance on OREO becomes inadequate, results of operations may be adversely affected.

The Bank maintains a valuation allowance that it believes is a reasonable estimate of known losses in OREO. The Bank obtains appraisals on all OREO properties on an annual basis and adjusts the valuation allowance accordingly. The carrying value of OREO is susceptible to changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Although the Company believes the valuation allowance is a reasonable estimate of known losses, such losses and the adequacy of the allowance cannot be fully predicted. Excessive declines in market values could have a material impact on financial performance.

The Company may need to raise additional capital.

Deteriorating credit quality has resulted in net losses that have adversely impacted the Bank s regulatory capital over the past two years and that may continue to do so in the future. While the Bank remained well capitalized as December 31, 2011, additional capital may be needed in the future to maintain capital levels. The Company may seek to raise capital through offerings of common stock, preferred stock, securities convertible into common stock, or rights to acquire such securities or common stock. Under the Company s articles of

12

incorporation, we have additional authorized shares of common stock and preferred stock that we can issue from time to time at the discretion of our board of directors, without further action by the shareholders, except where shareholder approval is required by law. The issuance of any additional shares of common stock, preferred stock or convertible securities could be substantially dilutive to shareholders of our common stock, and the market price of our common stock could decline as a result of any such sales. Our shareholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our common stock and diluting their stock holdings.

In addition, our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional securities will depend on the financial markets, and we may not be able to obtain additional capital in amounts or on terms that are satisfactory. If we decide to raise capital and are unable to do so, the Company s financial condition could be adversely affected.

The Bank's concentrations of loans could result in higher than normal risk of loan defaults and losses.

The Bank offers a variety of secured loans, including commercial lines of credit, commercial term loans, real estate, construction and land development, home equity, consumer and other loans. The majority of the loans are secured by real estate (both residential and commercial) in the market area. At December 31, 2011, these loans totaled \$351.8 million, or 90%, of total loans. A major change in the real estate market, such as further deterioration in the value of this collateral, or in the local or national economy, could adversely affect customers—ability to pay these loans, which in turn could impact the Bank. Risk of loan defaults and foreclosures are unavoidable in the banking industry, and the Bank tries to limit exposure to this risk by monitoring extensions of credit carefully. The Bank cannot fully eliminate credit risk, and as a result credit losses may occur in the future.

Changes in interest rates may negatively impact net interest income if the Company is unable to successfully manage interest rate risk.

The Company s profitability will depend substantially upon the spread between the interest rates earned on investments and loans and interest rates paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in interest rates, including the shape of the treasury yield curve, will affect the Company s financial performance and condition through the pricing of securities, loans, deposits and borrowings. The Company attempts to minimize exposure to interest rate risk, but will be unable to eliminate it. The net interest spread will depend on many factors that are partly or entirely outside of the Company s control, including competition, federal economic, monetary and fiscal policies and general economic conditions.

Our small-to-medium sized business target market may have fewer financial resources to weather a downturn in the economy.

We target our commercial development and marketing strategy primarily to serve the banking and financial services needs of small and medium sized businesses. These businesses generally have less capital or borrowing capacity than larger entities. If general economic conditions negatively impact this major economic sector in the markets in which we operate, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Difficult market conditions have adversely affected the Company s industry.

Dramatic declines in the housing market over the past three years, with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have negatively impacted the credit performance of real estate related loans and resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions. These write-downs, initially of mortgage-backed securities (MBS) but spreading to other securities and loans have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to reduce or eliminate dividends, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail. Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets generally and the strength of counterparties, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced or ceased providing funding to borrowers, including to other financial institutions. This market turmoil and tightening of credit have led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and lack of confidence in the financial markets has adversely affected the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations. Market developments may affect consumer confidence levels and may cause adverse changes in payment patterns, causing increases in delinquencies and default rates, which may impact charge-offs and the provision for loan losses. A worsening of these conditions would likely have an adverse effect on the Company and others in the financial services industry.

The Company relies heavily on its management team and the unexpected loss of any of those personnel could adversely affect operations; the Company depends on the ability to attract and retain key personnel.

The Company s future operating results depend substantially upon the continued service of its executive officers and key personnel. The Company s future operating results also depend in significant part upon its ability to attract and retain qualified management, financial, technical,

marketing, sales and support personnel. Competition for qualified personnel is intense, and the Company cannot ensure success in attracting or retaining qualified personnel. There may be only a limited number of persons with the requisite skills to serve in these positions, and it may be increasingly difficult for the Company to hire personnel over time.

The Company s ability to retain key officers and employees may be further impacted by legislation and regulation affecting the financial services industry. For example, Section 7001 of the ARRA amended Section 111 of the EESA in its entirety, significantly expanded the

13

executive compensation restrictions. These restrictions apply to the Company as a participant in the Capital Purchase Program and shall generally continue to apply for as long as any Senior Preferred shares are outstanding. Such restrictions and standards may further impact management s ability to compete with financial institutions that are not subject to the same limitations as the Company under Section 7001 of the ARRA.

Future success is dependent on the ability to compete effectively in the highly competitive banking industry.

The Company faces vigorous competition from other financial institutions, including other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, savings banks, finance companies and credit unions for deposits, loans and other financial services in its market area. A number of these other financial institutions are significantly larger than the Company and have substantially greater access to capital and other resources, as well as larger lending limits and branch systems, and offer a wider array of banking services. To a limited extent the Company also competes with other providers of financial services, such as money market mutual funds, brokerage firms, insurance companies and governmental organizations which may offer more favorable products and services than the Company. Many of the non-bank competitors are not subject to the same extensive regulations that govern the Company. As a result, the non-bank competitors have advantages over the Company in providing certain services. This competition may reduce or limit profit margins and market share and may adversely affect the results of operations and financial condition.

The Company is subject to extensive regulation that could limit or restrict its activities and impose financial requirements or limitations on the conduct of its business, which could adversely affect profitability.

The Company and the Bank are subject to extensive federal and state regulation and supervision. These regulations affect lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy, and growth, among other things. Congress, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board), the FDIC and other federal and state agencies continually review banking laws, regulations and policies for possible changes. The Dodd-Frank Act, enacted in July 2010, instituted significant changes to the financial services regulatory scheme, and other changes to statutes, regulations, and policies (including changes in their interpretation or implementation) that could affect the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. For example, such changes could subject us to additional costs, limit the types of products and services we can offer, or provide opportunities for non-banks to offer competing products and services. If we fail to comply with laws, regulations and policies, we could be subject to regulatory sanctions, civil money penalties or reputational harm.

See the section of this report entitled Supervision and Regulation for additional information on the statutory and regulatory issues that affect the Company s business.

Changes in the Company's accounting policies or in accounting standards could materially affect how it reports financial results and condition.

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and SEC change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. These changes can be hard to predict and can materially impact how the Company records and reports its financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, the Company could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in restating prior period financial statements.

The Company s ability to operate profitably may be dependent on its ability to implement various technologies into its operations.

The market for financial services, including banking service and consumer finance services is increasingly affected by advances in technology, including developments in telecommunications, data processing, computers, automation, internet-based banking and tele-banking. The Company s ability to compete successfully in its market may depend on the extent to which it is able to exploit such technological changes. If it is not able to afford such technologies, properly or timely anticipate or implement such technologies, or properly train its staff to use such technologies, the Company s business, financial condition or operating results could be adversely affected.

The Company s operations depend upon third party vendors that perform services for us.

The Company outsources many of its operating and banking functions, including some data processing functions and the interchange and transmission services for the ATM network. As such, the Company s success and ability to expand operations depends on the services provided by these third parties. Disputes with these third parties may adversely affect operations. The Company may not be able to engage appropriate vendors to adequately service its needs, and the vendors that it engages may not be able to perform successfully.

Negative public opinion could damage our reputation and adversely impact liquidity and profitability.

As a financial institution, the Company s earnings, liquidity, and capital are subject to risks associated with negative public opinion of the Company and of the financial services industry as a whole. Negative public opinion could result from our actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending practices, the failure of any product or service sold by us to meet our clients expectations or

14

applicable regulatory requirements, corporate governance and acquisitions, or from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative public opinion can adversely affect our ability to keep, attract and/or retain customers and can expose us to litigation and regulatory action. Actual or alleged conduct by one of our businesses can result in negative public opinion about our other businesses. Negative public opinion could also affect our ability to borrow funds in the unsecured wholesale debt markets.

As a result of the Company s participation in the Capital Purchase Program (CPP), the Company may become subject to additional regulation, and the costs or effects of compliance cannot be predicted at this time.

In connection with its participation in the CPP administered under the TARP, the Company may face additional regulations and/or reporting requirements, including, but not limited to, Congress s ability to impose after-the-fact terms and conditions on participants in the CPP. As a participant in the CPP, the Company would be subject to any such retroactive legislation. We cannot predict whether or in what form any proposed regulation or statute will be adopted or the extent to which our business may be affected by any new regulation or statute.

Potential future losses may result in an additional valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. Recapture of the deferred tax asset balance (i.e., reversal of the valuation allowance) is subject to considerable judgment and could be adversely impacted by changes in future income tax rates.

During 2011, the Company reached a three-year cumulative pre-tax loss position. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Report. Under GAAP, cumulative losses in recent years are considered significant negative evidence which is difficult to overcome in assessing the realizability of a deferred tax asset. As a result, beginning with the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company no longer considers future taxable income in determining the realizability of its deferred tax assets. At December 31, 2011, the Company had recorded a full valuation allowance of \$6.1 million on its net deferred tax assets.

The Company expects to reverse the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets once it has demonstrated a sustainable return to profitability. However, the reversal of the valuation allowance is subject to considerable judgment. Additionally, even after the recovery of the deferred tax asset balance under GAAP, which would immediately benefit GAAP capital and the tangible common equity ratio, there will remain limitations on the ability to include the deferred tax assets for regulatory capital purposes. See Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance under Part II - Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Operating Results and Financial Condition of this Report.

Increases in FDIC insurance premiums could adversely affect the Company s profitability.

The Dodd-Frank Act directs the FDIC to amend its regulations to re-define the method of calculation of an insured depository institution s insurance fund assessment. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the assessment base to be an amount equal to the average consolidated total assets of the insured depository institution during the assessment period, minus the sum of the average tangible equity of the insured depository institution during the assessment period and an amount the FDIC determines is necessary to establish assessments consistent with the risk-based assessment system found in the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. The FDIC has issued final rules outlining this new insurance assessment methodology, which will impact the amount of the Bank s insurance assessment. In addition, the FDIC may make additional changes to the way in which it calculates insurance premiums. We cannot predict the timing of any future changes, and if made, the effect that these changes could have on our insurance assessment.

The Company relies on secondary sources, such as Federal Home Loan Bank advances, sales of securities and loans, federal funds lines of credit from correspondent banks and out-of-market time deposits, to meet liquidity needs.

In managing the Company s consolidated balance sheet, the Company depends on secondary sources to provide sufficient liquidity to meet our commitments and business needs, and to accommodate the transaction and cash management needs of clients. Other sources of funding available to us, and upon which we rely as regular components of our liquidity risk management strategy, include federal funds lines of credit, sales of securities and loans, brokered deposits and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank system. The availability of these funding sources is highly dependent upon the perception of the liquidity and creditworthiness of the financial institution, and such perception can change quickly in response to market conditions or circumstances unique to a particular company.

Any occurrence that may limit our access to these sources, such as a decline in the confidence of debt purchasers, or our depositors or counterparties, may adversely affect the Company s liquidity, financial position and results of operations.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect the Company.

The Company s ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by the Company or by other

institutions. Many of these transactions expose the Company to credit risk in the event of default of its counterparty or client. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect the Company s results of operations.

Our ability to pay dividends is limited and we suspended payment of dividends during 2011. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our common stock may be your sole opportunity for gains on your investment for the foreseeable future.

Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by regulatory restrictions applicable to the Company and the Bank and the need to maintain sufficient capital. Holders of our common stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as our board of directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. The Company is organized under the Virginia Stock Corporation Act, which prohibits the payment of a dividend if, after giving it effect, the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business. The payment of a dividend is also prohibited if the corporation s total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of any preferred shareholders. In addition, the Company is subject to certain regulatory requirements to maintain capital at or above regulatory minimums, which affect its dividend policies. The Federal Reserve has indicated that a bank holding company such as the Company should generally pay dividends only if its earnings for the current period are sufficient to fully fund the dividends, and the prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with the organization s capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition.

In addition, our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is largely dependent on the ability of our banking subsidiary to pay dividends to us. The Bank also is subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of dividends that it can pay. As a state member bank, the Bank is subject to certain restrictions imposed by the reserve and capital requirements of federal and Virginia banking statutes and regulations. Under Virginia law, a bank may not declare a dividend in excess of its undivided profits or its accumulated retained earnings. Additionally, under Federal Reserve regulations, the Bank may not declare a dividend if the total amount of all dividends, including the proposed dividend, declared by it in any calendar year exceeds the total of its retained net income of that year to date, combined with its retained net income of the two preceding years, unless the dividend is approved by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve and the Commonwealth of Virginia have the general authority to limit the dividends paid by insured banks if the payment is deemed an unsafe and unsound practice and have indicated that paying dividends that deplete a bank s capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsound and unsafe banking practice.

In the third quarter of 2011, we suspended payment of dividends on our common stock, and we currently are subject to regulatory restrictions that do not permit us or the Bank to declare or pay any dividend without the prior approval of our banking regulators. If either the Company or the Bank is unable to satisfy these regulatory requirements or obtain requisite regulatory approval, we will be unable to pay dividends on our common stock. Therefore, we may not be able to resume payments of dividends in the future.

Our preferred stock and trust preferred securities are superior to our common stock, which may limit our ability to pay dividends on our common stock in the future.

Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is also limited by contractual restrictions under our trust preferred securities and the agreement with the U.S. Treasury in connection with the issuance of our Series A Preferred Stock under the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The trust preferred securities and preferred stock are in a superior ownership position compared to common stock. Interest must be paid on the trust preferred securities, and dividends must be paid on the preferred stock, before dividends may be paid to the common shareholders. The Company is current in its interest and dividend payments on the trust preferred securities and preferred stock; however, we have the right to defer distributions on these instruments, during which time no dividends may be paid on our common stock. If we do not have sufficient earnings in the future and begin to defer distributions on the trust preferred securities or preferred stock, we will be unable to pay dividends on our common stock until we become current on those distributions.

There is a limited trading market for the Company s common stock; it may be difficult to sell shares.

Shares of the Company s common stock are traded on the over-the-counter (OTC) market and quoted in the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol FXNC. The volume of trading activity in the stock is relatively limited. Even if a more active market develops, there can be no assurance that such market will continue, or that shares will be able to be sold at or above the investment price. The lack of liquidity of the investment in the common shares should be carefully considered when making an investment decision.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

The following describes the location and general character of the principal offices of the Company.

The Company owns the headquarters building located at 112 West King Street, in Strasburg, Virginia. This location also serves as the Bank's Strasburg Financial Center, which primarily serves the banking needs of northern Shenandoah County customers. This three story building also houses administrative employees, including human resources and marketing. Loan and deposit operations, data processing and information technology employees are housed in the Operations Center. Financial accounting is housed in the Winchester Financial Center. Financial centers provide full service banking, including loan, deposit, trust and investment advisory services, while the bank branches primarily focus on depository and consumer lending functions. The following table provides the name, location, year opened and type of the Company's locations:

Name Strasburg Financial Center	Location 112 West King Street	Year Opened 1927	Type Financial Center	Owned/Leased Owned
	Strasburg, Virginia			
Front Royal Express	508 North Commerce Avenue	1985	Branch	Leased
	Front Royal, Virginia			
Kernstown	3143 Valley Pike	1994	Branch	Owned
	Winchester, Virginia			
South Woodstock	860 South Main Street	1995	Branch	Owned
	Woodstock, Virginia			
North Woodstock	496 North Main Street	1999	Branch	Leased
	Woodstock, Virginia			
Front Royal Financial Center	1717 Shenandoah Avenue	2002	Financial Center	Owned
	Front Royal, Virginia			
Winchester Financial Center	1835 Valley Avenue	2003	Financial Center	Owned
	Winchester, Virginia			
Mount Jackson Financial Center	5304 Main Street	2004	Financial Center	Owned
Sherando Financial Center	Mount Jackson, Virginia 695 Fairfax Pike	2006	Financial Center	Owned
Sherando i manetar center		2000	Tinancial Center	Owned
W' I A W AF' '10 A	Stephens City, Virginia	2006	E' :10 /	0 1
Winchester West Financial Center	208 Crock Wells Mill Drive	2006	Financial Center	Owned
	Winchester, Virginia			
Operations Center	406 Borden Mowery Drive	2008	Operations Center	Owned

Strasburg, Virginia

Rental expense for the leased locations totaled \$40 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011. The lease for the Front Royal Express property expired on April 30, 2009 with an automatic renewal option through April 30, 2013. The lease for the North Woodstock property expires on May 31, 2016, without a renewal option. All of the Company s properties are in good operating condition and are adequate for the

Company s present and future needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company is a party or to which the property of the Company is subject.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

17

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Market Prices and Dividends

Shares of the common stock of the Company are traded on the over-the-counter (OTC) market and quoted in the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol FXNC. As of March 16, 2012 the Company had 639 shareholders of record and approximately 540 additional beneficial owners of shares of common stock.

Following are the high and low prices of sales of common stock known to the Company, along with the dividends that were paid quarterly in 2010 and 2011.

		Market Prices and Dividends (per share)		
	Sa	les Price (\$)	Dividends (\$)	
	High	Low		
2010:				
1 st quarter	12.0	0 10.00	0.14	
2 nd quarter	17.8	5 11.40	0.14	
3 rd quarter	14.0	0 12.20	0.14	
4 th quarter	13.5	0 12.21	0.14	
2011:				
1 st quarter	12.7	5 9.25	0.10	
2 nd quarter	11.7	5 10.10	0.10	
3 rd quarter	9.7	5 7.60	0.00	
4 th quarter	7.7	5 4.40	0.00	

Dividend Policy

In order to maintain solid capital levels in light of the current economic environment, the Company s Board of Directors determined in July 2011 to suspend cash dividends on the Company s common stock. The Company s future dividend policy is subject to the discretion of its Board of Directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including future earnings, financial condition, liquidity and capital requirements of both the Company and the Bank, applicable governmental regulations and policies and other factors deemed relevant by its Board of Directors.

The Company

The Company is organized under the Virginia Stock Corporation Act, which prohibits the payment of a dividend if, after giving it effect, a corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business. The payment of a dividend is also prohibited if the corporation s total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of any preferred shareholders.

The Company is subject to certain regulatory requirements to maintain capital at or above regulatory minimums, which affect its dividend policies. The Federal Reserve has indicated that a bank holding company such as the Company should generally pay dividends only if its earnings for the current period are sufficient to fully fund the dividends, and the prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with the organization s capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition.

In connection with its participation in the Capital Purchase Program established by the Treasury under the EESA, the Company issued preferred stock to the Treasury on March 13, 2009. The Preferred Stock is in a superior ownership position compared to common stock. Dividends must be paid to the preferred stock holder before they can be paid to the common shareholders. If the dividends on the Preferred Stock have not been paid for an aggregate of six (6) quarterly dividend periods or more, whether or not consecutive, the Company s authorized number of directors will be automatically increased by two (2) and the holders of the Preferred Stock will have the right to elect those directors at the Company s next annual meeting or at a special meeting called for that purpose; these two directors may be elected annually and may serve until all accrued and unpaid dividends for all past dividend periods have been declared and paid in full.

In addition, contractual restrictions under our trust preferred securities provide that those instruments also are in a superior ownership position compared to common stock. Interest must be paid on the trust preferred securities before dividends may be paid to the common shareholders.

The Company is current in its interest and dividend payments on the Preferred Stock and trust preferred securities.

18

The Bank

The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiaries, and its ability to distribute cash dividends will depend primarily on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to it. The Bank also is subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of dividends that it can pay. As a state member bank, the Bank is subject to certain restrictions imposed by the reserve and capital requirements of federal and Virginia banking statutes and regulations. Under Virginia law, a bank may not declare a dividend in excess of its undivided profits or its accumulated retained earnings. Additionally, under Federal Reserve regulations, the Bank may not declare a dividend if the total amount of all dividends, including the proposed dividend, declared by it in any calendar year exceeds the total of its retained net income of that year to date, combined with its retained net income of the two preceding years, unless the dividend is approved by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve and the Commonwealth of Virginia have the general authority to limit the dividends paid by insured banks if the payment is deemed an unsafe and unsound practice and have indicated that paying dividends that deplete a bank s capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsound and unsafe banking practice.

In addition, we currently are subject to regulatory restrictions that do not permit us or the Bank to declare or pay any dividend without the prior approval of our banking regulators. The Bank received approval in February 2012 for a dividend declaration totaling \$249 thousand from the Bank to the Company in order to pay dividends on preferred stock and interest payments on trust preferred capital notes.

Stock Repurchases

The Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total return to the shareholders of the Company for the last five fiscal years with the total return on the S&P 500, NASDAQ-Total U.S. and the SNL Bank Index \$500M-\$1B, assuming an investment of \$100 in shares of Common Stock on December 31, 2006, and the reinvestment of dividends.

			Period	Ending			
Index	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	
First National Corporation	100.00	85.11	63.17	40.50	53.73	25.88	
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	110.66	66.42	96.54	114.06	113.16	
SNL Bank \$500M-\$1B	100.00	80.13	51.35	48.90	53.38	46.96	

19

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following is selected financial data for the Company for the last five years. This information has been derived from audited financial information included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

	Years Ended December 31, (in thousands except ratios and per share amounts)								
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007				
Results of Operations									
Interest and dividend income	\$ 25,648	\$ 27,215	\$ 27,414	\$ 30,913	\$ 35,501				
Interest expense	5,450	6,814	9,084	12,793	17,401				
Net interest income	20,198	20,401	18,330	18,120	18,100				
Provision for loan losses	12,380	11,731	2,300	1,994	398				
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	7,818	8,670	16,030	16,126	17,702				
Noninterest income	5,799	6,082	5,577	5,951	6,072				
Noninterest expense	20,743	20,561	18,703	16,014	15,286				
Income (loss) before income taxes	(7,126)	(5,809)	2,904	6,063	8,488				
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,835	(2,206)	755	1,840	2,741				
Net (loss) income	(10,961)	(3,603)	2,149	4,223	5,747				
Effective dividend and accretion on preferred stock	894	887	704						
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (11,855)	\$ (4,490)	\$ 1,445	\$ 4,223	\$ 5,747				
Key Performance Ratios									
Return on average assets	(1.96%)	(0.66%)	0.39%	0.78%	1.09%				
Return on average equity	(22.46%)	(6.52%)	4.27%	10.65%	16.52%				
Net interest margin	3.98%	4.07%	3.62%	3.63%	3.71%				
Efficiency ratio ⁽¹⁾	69.66%	66.77%	73.10%	65.37%	62.22%				
Dividend payout	(5.30%)	(36.64%)	113.09%	38.61%	26.79%				
Equity to assets	6.88%	8.90%	9.92%	7.15%	6.99%				
Per Common Share Data									
Net (loss) income, basic and diluted	\$ (4.01)	\$ (1.53)	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.98				
Cash dividends	0.20	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.53				
Book value at period end	7.72	11.66	13.92	13.41	12.95				
Financial Condition									
Assets	\$ 539,064	\$ 544,629	\$ 552,674	\$ 548,237	\$ 541,565				
Loans, net	379,503	418,994	436,129	446,327	445,380				
Securities	91,665	60,420	60,129	54,791	54,117				
Deposits	469,172	463,500	463,886	447,493	445,142				
Shareholders equity	37,096	48,498	54,807	39,185	37,859				
Average shares outstanding, diluted	2,953	2,940	2,921	2,913	2,906				
Capital Ratios									
Leverage	8.45%	10.54%	11.50%	9.10%	9.53%				
Risk-based capital ratios:									
Tier 1 capital	11.24%	12.91%	13.70%	10.52%	10.89%				
Total capital	12.51%	14.18%	14.96%	11.72%	11.80%				

⁽¹⁾ The efficiency ratio is computed by dividing noninterest expense excluding the provision for other real estate owned and gains and losses on other real estate owned by the sum of net interest income on a tax equivalent basis and non-interest income excluding securities and premises and equipment gains and losses. This is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company believes provides investors with important information regarding operational efficiency. Such information is not prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and should not be construed as such. Noninterest expense excluding the provision for other real estate owned and gains and losses on other real estate owned was \$18,275, \$17,902, \$17,709, \$16,014, and \$15,286 for 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis was \$20,496, \$20,723, \$18,668, \$18,442, and \$18,391 for 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Non-interest income excluding securities and premises and equipment gains and losses was

\$5,740, \$6,089, \$5,558, \$6,055, and \$5,812 for 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Management believes such financial information is meaningful to the reader in understanding operating performance, but cautions that such information not be viewed as a substitute for GAAP. The Company, in referring to its net income, is referring to income under generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. See Non-GAAP Financial Measures included in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

20

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Executive Overview

The Company

First National Corporation (the Company) is the bank holding company of:

First Bank (the Bank). The Bank owns:

First Bank Financial Services, Inc.

Shen-Valley Land Holdings, LLC

First National (VA) Statutory Trust II (Trust II)

First National (VA) Statutory Trust III (Trust III)

First Bank Financial Services, Inc. invests in entities that provide title insurance and investment services. Shen-Valley Land Holdings, LLC was formed to hold other real estate owned and future office sites. The Trusts were formed for the purpose of issuing redeemable capital securities, commonly known as trust preferred securities.

Products, Services, Customers and Locations

The Bank offers loan, deposit, trust and investment products and services through 10 offices, 30 ATMs and its website, www.therespowerinone.com, for both individuals and businesses. Customers include individuals and small to medium-sized businesses, including governmental entities and non-profit organizations in the northern Shenandoah Valley region of Virginia.

Revenue Sources and Expense Factors

The primary source of revenue is from net interest income earned by the Bank. Net interest income is the difference between interest income and interest expense and typically represents between 75% to 80% of the Company s total revenue. Interest income is determined by the amount of interest-earning assets outstanding during the period and the interest rates earned on those assets. The Bank s interest expense is a function of the amount of interest-bearing liabilities outstanding during the period and the interest rates paid. In addition to net interest income, noninterest income is the other source of revenue for the Company. Noninterest income is derived primarily from service charges on loans and deposits and fees earned from other services. The Bank generates fee income from other services that include trust and investment advisory services and through the origination and sale of residential mortgages.

The provision for loan losses and noninterest expense are the two major expense categories. The provision is determined by factors that include net charge-offs, asset quality, economic conditions and loan growth. Changing economic conditions caused by inflation, recession, unemployment or other factors beyond the Company s control have a direct correlation with asset quality, net charge-offs and ultimately the required provision for loan losses. The largest component of noninterest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 is salaries and employee benefits, comprising 45% of noninterest expenses, followed by occupancy and equipment expense, comprising 13% of expenses and provision for other real estate owned, comprising 7% of expenses.

Focus on Asset Quality

The Company s 2011 results reflect continued efforts to address asset quality issues. The Bank s shorter-term goal was to improve asset quality by reducing the balance of other potential problem loans, impaired loans and other real estate owned (OREO). Management worked towards this goal by strengthening weak loans where possible, increasing specific loan loss reserves on impaired loans to reflect liquidation plans where credit enhancements were not available, and by aggressive marketing and disposition of OREO. Although total non-performing assets increased to \$18.2 million at year end, compared to \$14.8 million at December 31, 2010, impaired loans decreased to \$25.9 million from \$43.9 million, and other potential problem loans decreased to \$40.0 million from \$73.3 million for the same periods, respectively.

During 2011, the Bank enhanced credit risk management practices, appraisal policies and procedures for collateral, improved underwriting and risk grading tools, and more effective management from consolidated credit administration and loan operations functions. These enhancements were initiated to achieve the Bank s longer-term goal of improving credit risk management.

Financial Performance

For the year ended December 31, 2011, net loss totaled \$11.0 million compared to net loss of \$3.6 million in 2010. After the effective dividend on preferred stock, net loss available to common shareholders was \$11.9 million, or \$4.01 per basic and diluted share compared

21

to net loss available to common shareholders of \$4.5 million, or \$1.53 per basic and diluted share, for the same period in 2010. The increase in the net loss for 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily a result of a non-cash charge to income tax expense totaling \$6.4 million to establish a valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets. In addition, 2011 results reflect a \$12.4 million provision for loan losses and \$2.5 million of other real estate owned (OREO) valuation adjustments and losses on OREO dispositions. Return on assets and return on equity were -1.96% and -22.46%, respectively, for 2011 compared to -0.66% and -6.52% for 2010.

Net interest income totaled \$20.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$20.4 million for the same period in 2010. The net interest margin was 3.98% for 2011 compared to 4.07% for 2010.

The provision for loan losses totaled \$12.4 million in 2011 compared to \$11.7 million in 2010. The higher level of provision for loan losses for 2011 was reflective of management s continued efforts to address asset quality issues. The allowance for loan losses decreased to \$12.9 million or 3.30% of gross loans at December 31, 2011, from \$16.0 million or 3.69% of gross loans at December 31, 2010. The decrease was attributable to net charge-offs totaling \$15.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Management regularly evaluates the loan portfolio, economic conditions and other factors to determine an appropriate allowance for loan losses. Based on the most recent evaluation, management believes that the allowance for loan losses was appropriate to provide prudent coverage of the risks in the loan portfolio at December 31, 2011.

Noninterest income totaled \$5.8 million for 2011 compared to \$6.1 million for 2010. Decreases in overdraft fee income and gains on sales of loans were partially offset by increases in trust and investment advisory income and ATM and check card fee income. Noninterest expense was \$182 thousand, or 1% higher, for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010. The provision for OREO totaled \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$2.6 million for the same period in 2010. Net losses on sale of OREO totaled \$910 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$19 thousand for the same period in 2010.

In March 2012, the Company made an adjustment to income tax expense as a result of a change in its calculation of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance. This adjustment resulted in additional income tax expense of \$317 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011 than was previously reported on the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 20, 2012. Net loss to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011 totaled \$11.9 million, or \$4.01 per basic and diluted share, as compared to \$11.5 million, or \$3.91 per basic and diluted share, as previously reported.

Management Outlook

The Company does not expect a significant change in noninterest expense (excluding the provision for OREO) for 2012, when compared to 2011 results. Net interest income is expected to decrease slightly from downward pressure on the net interest margin. Although total average earning asset balances are expected to remain relatively stable during 2012, a change in the earning asset mix that occurred throughout 2011 should result in a lower net interest margin for 2012. The Company is anticipating economic conditions to remain stable in the local market, which should result in stable loan and deposit balances.

Noninterest income is not expected to change significantly for 2012, when compared to 2011 results.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses provides prudent coverage of the risks in the loan portfolio, and the carrying value of other real estate owned reflects current market conditions and the Bank s disposition plans. However, we expect that additional provisions for loan losses may be necessary in the future, the amount of which will be influenced by changes in the Bank s loan customers ability to pay which is affected by real estate values, economic conditions, and other factors. In addition, the amount of the provision for other real estate owned and gains or losses that may occur from the sale of other real estate owned may be impacted by changes in real estate values.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

This report refers to the efficiency ratio, which is computed by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis and noninterest income excluding securities gains and losses. This is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company believes provides investors with important information regarding operational efficiency. Such information is not prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and should not be construed as such. Management believes, however, such financial information is meaningful to the reader in understanding operating performance, but cautions that such information not be viewed as a substitute for GAAP. The Company, in referring to its net income, is referring to income under GAAP. The components of the efficiency ratio calculation are summarized in the table below.

22

	Efficienc (in thou	•
	2011	2010
Noninterest expense	\$ 20,743	\$ 20,561
Less: provision for other real estate owned	1,558	2,640
Less: net losses on sale of other real estate owned	910	19
	\$ 18,275	\$ 17,902
Tax-equivalent net interest income	\$ 20,496	\$ 20,723
Noninterest income	5,799	6,082
Less: securities gains (losses)	59	(7)
	\$ 26,236	\$ 26,812
Efficiency ratio	69.66%	66.77%

This report also refers to net interest margin, which is calculated by dividing tax equivalent net interest income by total average earning assets. Because a portion of interest income earned by the Company is nontaxable, the tax equivalent net interest income is considered in the calculation of this ratio. Tax equivalent net interest income is calculated by adding the tax benefit realized from interest income that is nontaxable to total interest income then subtracting total interest expense. The tax rate utilized in calculating the tax benefit for each of 2011 and 2010 is 34%. The reconciliation of tax equivalent net interest income, which is not a measurement under GAAP, to net interest income, is reflected in the table below.

	I T	Net to Net ie		
	20)11	2	010
GAAP measures:				
Interest income - loans	\$ 22	2,907	\$ 2	4,874
Interest income - investments and other	2	2,741		2,341
Interest expense - deposits	۷	1,843		5,903
Interest expense - other borrowings		221		460
Interest expense - other		386		451
Total net interest income	\$ 20),198	\$ 20	0,401
Non-GAAP measures:				
Tax benefit realized on non-taxable interest income - loans	\$	49	\$	47
Tax benefit realized on non-taxable interest income - municipal securities		249		275
Total tax benefit realized on non-taxable interest income	\$	298	\$	322
Total tax-equivalent net interest income	\$ 20),496	\$ 20	0,723

Critical Accounting Policies

General

The Company s consolidated financial statements and related notes are prepared in accordance with GAAP. The financial information contained within the statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained either when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset or relieving a liability. The Bank uses historical loss factors as one factor in determining the inherent loss that may be present in the loan portfolio. Actual losses could differ significantly from the historical factors used. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another. Although the economics of transactions would be the same, the timing of events that would impact transactions could change. For further information about the Bank s loans and the allowance for loan losses, see Notes 3 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Presented below is a discussion of those accounting policies that management believes are the most important (Critical Accounting Policies) to the portrayal and understanding of the Bank s financial condition and results of operations. The Critical Accounting Policies require management s most difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain. In the event that different assumptions or conditions were to prevail, and depending upon the severity of such changes, the possibility of materially different financial condition or results of operations is a reasonable likelihood.

23

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management determines that the loan balance may be uncollectible. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a quarterly basis by management and is based upon management s periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower s ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific allowance is used to individually allocate an allowance for impaired loans. For impaired loans, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows, net collateral value or observable market price of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value (net of selling costs), and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, (2) the loan s obtainable market price or (3) the fair value of the collateral, net of selling costs, if the loan repayment is collateral dependent. The Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures.

The general component covers loans that are not considered impaired and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. These loans are segregated by loan type and allowance factors are assigned by management based on delinquencies, loss history, national and local economic trends, effects of the changes in the value of underlying collateral, trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in lending policy, the experience and depth of management, concentrations of credit, quality of the loan review system and the effect of external factors such as competition and regulatory requirements. The factors assigned differ by loan type. The general allowance recognizes potential losses whose impact on the portfolio has yet to be recognized by a specific allowance. Allowance factors and the overall size of the allowance may change from period to period based on management s assessment of the above described factors and the relative weights given to each factor. For further information regarding the allowance for loan losses see Notes 1 and 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

Other real estate owned, consisting of properties obtained through a foreclosure proceeding or through an in-substance foreclosure in satisfaction of loans, is reported at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell, determined on the basis of current appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates of fair value obtained principally from independent sources, adjusted for estimated selling costs. Management also considers other factors or recent developments, such as changes in absorption rates or market conditions from the time of valuation and anticipated sales values considering management s plans for disposition, which could result in adjustments to the collateral value estimates indicated in the appraisals. Significant judgments and complex estimates are required in estimating the fair value of other real estate, and the period of time within which such estimates can be considered current is significantly shortened during periods of market volatility. In response to market conditions and other economic factors, management may utilize liquidation sales as part of its distressed asset disposition strategy. As a result of the significant judgments required in estimating fair value and the variables involved in different methods of disposition, the net proceeds realized from sales transactions could differ significantly from appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates used to determine the fair value of other real estate. Management reviews the value of other real estate each quarter and adjusts the values as appropriate.

Table of Contents 47

24

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance

A valuation allowance is required for deferred tax assets (DTA) if, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the asset may not be realized due to the inability to generate sufficient taxable income in the period and/or of the character necessary to utilize the benefit of the deferred tax asset. In making this assessment, all sources of taxable income available to realize the deferred tax asset are considered, including taxable income in prior carry-back years, future reversals of existing temporary differences, tax planning strategies, and future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carry-forwards. The predictability that future taxable income, exclusive of reversing temporary differences, will occur is the most subjective of these four sources. The presence of cumulative losses in recent years is considered significant negative evidence, making it difficult for a company to rely on future taxable income, exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carry-forwards, as a reliable source of taxable income to realize a deferred tax asset. Judgment is a critical element in making this assessment. Changes in the valuation allowance that result from favorable changes in those circumstances that cause a change in judgment about the realization of deferred tax assets in future years are recorded through income tax expense.

In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, the Company considered all available evidence about the realization of DTAs, both positive and negative, that could be objectively verified. The Company s positive evidence considered in support of its use of forecasted future earnings as a source of realizing DTAs was insufficient to overcome the negative evidence associated with its pre-tax cumulative loss position. The total valuation allowance on deferred income tax assets was \$6.1 million at December 31, 2011.

Reversal of the DTA valuation allowance balance is subject to considerable judgment. However, the Company expects to reverse the DTA valuation allowance once it has demonstrated a sustainable return to profitability and experienced consecutive profitable quarters coupled with a forecast of sufficient continuing profitability. This reversal could occur as a single event or over a period of time depending upon the level of forecasted taxable income, the degree of probability related to realizing the forecasted taxable income, and the estimated risk related to credit quality. In that event, there will remain limitations on the ability to include the deferred tax assets for regulatory capital purposes. Pursuant to regulatory requirements, as taxes paid in carryback periods are exhausted, financial institutions must deduct from Tier I capital the greater of (1) the amount by which net deferred tax assets exceed what they would expect to realize within one year or (2) the amount by which the net deferred tax assets exceeds 10% of Tier I capital.

Lending Policies

General

In an effort to manage risk, the Bank s loan policy gives loan amount approval limits to individual loan officers based on their position within the Bank and level of experience. The Management Loan Committee can approve new loans up to their authority. The Board Loan Committee approves all loans which exceed the authority of the Management Loan Committee. The full Board of Directors must approve loans which exceed the authority of the Board Loan Committee, up to the Bank s legal lending limit. The Board Loan Committee currently consists of five non-management directors. The Board Loan Committee approves the Bank s Loan Policy and reviews the loan watch list, concentrations of credit and other risk management reports. The Board Loan Committee meets on a monthly basis and the Chairman of the Committee then reports to the Board of Directors.

Residential loan originations are primarily generated by Bank loan officer solicitations, referrals by real estate professionals and customers. Commercial real estate loan originations are obtained through direct solicitation and additional business from existing customers. All completed loan applications are reviewed by the Bank s loan officers. As part of the application process, information is obtained concerning the income, financial condition, employment and credit history of the applicant. Loan quality is analyzed based on the Bank s experience and credit underwriting guidelines as well as the guidelines issued by the purchasers of loans, depending on the type of loan involved. Real estate collateral is appraised by independent appraisers who have been pre-approved by the Board Loan Committee.

In the normal course of business, the Bank makes various commitments and incurs certain contingent liabilities which are disclosed but not reflected in its financial statements, including commitments to extend credit. At December 31, 2011, commitments to extend credit, stand-by letters of credit and rate lock commitments totaled \$62.7 million.

Commercial Business Lending

Commercial business loans generally have a higher degree of risk than loans secured by real estate, but typically have higher yields. Commercial business loans typically are made on the basis of the borrower s ability to make repayment from cash flow from its business and are secured by business assets, such as accounts receivable, equipment and inventory. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans is substantially dependent on the success of the business itself. Furthermore, the collateral for commercial business loans may

depreciate over time and generally cannot be appraised with as much reliability as residential real estate. To manage these risks, the Bank generally obtains appropriate collateral and personal guarantees from the borrower s principal owners and monitors the financial condition of its business borrowers.

Commercial Real Estate Lending

Commercial real estate loans are secured by various types of commercial real estate typically in the Bank s market area, including multi-family residential buildings, commercial buildings and offices, hotels, small shopping centers, farms and churches. Commercial real estate loan originations are obtained through direct solicitation of developers and additional business from existing customers. In its underwriting of commercial real estate, the Bank may lend, under federal regulation, up to 85% of the secured property s appraised value, although the Bank s loan to original appraised value ratio on such properties is typically 80% or less. The valuation of commercial real estate collateral is provided by independent appraisers who have been approved by the Board Loan Committee. Commercial real estate lending entails significant additional risk, compared with residential mortgage lending. Commercial real estate loans typically involve larger loan balances concentrated with single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. Additionally, the payment experience on loans secured by income producing properties is typically dependent on the successful operation of a business or a real estate project and thus may be subject, to a greater extent, to adverse conditions in the real estate market or in the economy, in general. The Bank s commercial real estate loan underwriting criteria require an examination of debt service coverage ratios, the borrower s creditworthiness, prior credit history and reputation. The Bank typically requires personal guarantees of the borrowers principal owners and considers the valuation of the real estate collateral.

Construction and Land Development Lending

The Bank makes local construction loans, including residential and land acquisition and development loans. These loans are secured by the property under construction and the underlying land for which the loan was obtained. The majority of these loans has an average life of approximately one year and re-price monthly as key rates change. Construction lending entails significant additional risks, compared with residential mortgage lending. Construction loans sometimes involve larger loan balances concentrated with single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. Another risk involved in construction lending is the fact that loan funds are advanced upon the security of the land or property under construction, which value is estimated based on the completion of construction. Thus, there is risk associated with failure to complete construction and potential cost overruns. To mitigate the risks associated with construction lending, the Bank generally limits loan amounts to 80% of appraised value, in addition to analyzing the creditworthiness of its borrowers. The Bank typically obtains a first lien on the property as security for its construction loans, typically requires personal guarantees from the borrower s principal owners, and monitors the progress of the construction project during the draw period.

Residential Real Estate Lending

Residential lending activity may be generated by Bank loan officer solicitations, referrals by real estate professionals and existing or new bank customers. Loan applications are taken by a Bank loan officer. As part of the application process, information is gathered concerning income, employment and credit history of the applicant. Residential mortgage loans generally are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from employment and other income and are secured by real estate whose value tends to be readily ascertainable. In addition to the Bank's underwriting standards, loan quality may be analyzed based on guidelines issued by a secondary market investor. The valuation of residential collateral is generally provided by independent fee appraisers who have been approved by the Board Loan Committee. In addition to originating fixed rate mortgage loans with the intent to sell to correspondent lenders or broker to wholesale lenders, the Bank originates balloon and other mortgage loans for the portfolio. Depending on the financial goals of the Company, the Bank occasionally originates and retains these loans.

Consumer Lending

The Bank offers various secured and unsecured consumer loans, including unsecured personal loans and lines of credit, automobile loans, deposit account loans and installment and demand loans. Consumer loans may entail greater risk than residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans which are unsecured, such as lines of credit, or secured by rapidly depreciable assets such as automobiles. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance as a result of the greater likelihood of damage, loss or depreciation. Consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including federal and state bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on such loans.

The underwriting standards employed by the Bank for consumer loans include a determination of the applicant s payment history on other debts and an assessment of ability to meet existing obligations and payments on a proposed loan. The stability of the applicant s monthly income may be determined by verification of gross monthly income from primary employment, and additionally from any verifiable secondary income. Although creditworthiness of the applicant is of primary consideration, the underwriting process also includes an analysis of the value of the collateral in relation to the proposed loan amount.

Results of Operations

General

Net interest income represents the primary source of earnings for the Company. Net interest income equals the amount by which interest income on interest-earning assets, predominantly loans and securities, exceeds interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, including deposits, other borrowings and trust preferred securities. Changes in the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as their respective yields and rates, are the components that impact the level of net interest income. The net interest margin is calculated by dividing tax-equivalent net interest income by average earning assets. The provision for loan losses, noninterest income and noninterest expense are the other components that determine net income. Noninterest income and expense primarily consists of income from service charges on deposit accounts; fees charged for other customer services, including trust and investment advisory services; gains and losses from the sale of assets, including loans held for sale, securities and premises and equipment; general and administrative expenses; other real estate owned expenses and income tax expense.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, net loss totaled \$11.0 million. After the effective dividend and accretion on preferred stock, net loss available to common shareholders was \$11.9 million, or \$4.01 per basic and diluted share compared to net loss of \$4.5 million, or \$1.53 per basic and diluted share, for the same period in 2010. The increase in the net loss for 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily a result of a non-cash charge to income tax expense totaling \$6.4 million to establish a valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets. In addition, 2011 results reflect a \$12.4 million provision for loan losses and \$2.5 million of other real estate owned (OREO) valuation adjustments and losses on OREO dispositions. Return on assets and return on equity were -1.96% and -22.46%, respectively, for 2011 compared to -0.66% and -6.52% for 2010.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income decreased slightly to \$20.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$20.4 million for the same period in 2010. The net interest margin decreased 9 basis points to 3.98% from 4.07% and average interest-earning assets were \$5.3 million higher when comparing the periods. The decline in the margin resulted from a change in the earning asset mix and higher levels of non-accrual loans. Loan balances were lower and cash, due from banks, federal funds sold and securities balances were higher during 2011 when compared to 2010. In 2010, net interest income totaled \$20.4 million, an 11% increase compared to \$18.3 million for the same period in 2009.

The net interest margin was 3.98% in 2011, 4.07% in 2010 and 3.62% in 2009. Interest income as a percent of average earning assets was 5.04% in 2011, 5.41% in 2010 and 5.38% in 2009. Interest expense as a percent of average interest-bearing assets was 1.06% in 2011, 1.34% in 2010 and 1.76% in 2009. The interest rate spread was 3.72% in 2011, 3.73% in 2010 and 3.21% in 2009.

Net interest income is expected to decrease slightly in 2012 from downward pressure on the net interest margin. Although total average earning asset balances are expected to remain relatively stable during 2012, a change in the earning asset mix that occurred throughout 2011 should result in a lower net interest margin for 2012. The Company is anticipating economic conditions to remain stable in the local market, which should result in stable loan and deposit balances.

27

Other liabilities

The following table provides information on average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, as well as amounts and rates of tax equivalent interest earned and interest paid. The volume and rate analysis table analyzes the changes in net interest income for the periods broken down by their rate and volume components.

Average Balances, Income and Expense, Yields and Rates (dollars in thousands) Years Ending December 31, 2011 2009 2010 Interest Interest Interest Average Yield/ Yield/ Yield/ Income/ Average Income/ Average Income/ Balance Expense Rate Balance Expense Rate Balance Expense Rate Assets Interest-bearing deposits in other 0.02% banks \$ 8,567 \$ 18 0.21% \$ 6,273 \$ 15 0.24% \$ 2,037 \$ 1 Securities: 64,992 1.722 4.39% Taxable 2,156 3.32% 42,678 4.04% 45,894 2.095 5.99% Tax-exempt (1) 12,306 5.95% 13,681 819 14,125 873 6.18% 732 Restricted 2,956 2.24% 1.72% 1.61% 3,338 58 2,862 46 66 Total securities 2,954 59,697 2,599 4.35% 3,014 4.79% 80,254 3.68% 62,881 Loans: (2) Taxable 415,677 22,812 5.49% 439,942 24,785 5.63% 446,643 24,611 5.51% Tax-exempt (1) 2,248 144 6.38% 2,145 136 6.34% 2,004 121 6.02% 417,925 22,956 442,087 24,921 448,647 24,732 Total loans 5.49% 5.64% 5.51% Federal funds sold 7,942 0.23% 0.19% 0.17% 18 1,167 2 2,582 5 25,946 509,224 27,537 5.41% 27,752 5.38% Total earning assets 514,688 5.04% 516,147 Less: allowance for loan losses (15,339)(7,836)(6,621)44,989 Total nonearning assets 43,752 40,072 Total assets \$ 544,338 \$ 545,140 \$ 549,598 **Liabilities and Shareholders Equity** Interest-bearing deposits: 0.69% \$ 44.641 231 190 0.50% Checking \$ 74,692 517 0.52% \$ 38,206 Money market accounts 27,081 119 0.44% 33,788 274 0.81% 23,690 255 1.08% Savings accounts 87,857 498 0.57% 81,394 478 0.59% 77,854 539 0.69% Certificates of deposit: Less than \$100,000 1,778 2.05% 96,251 2,488 2.59% 104,464 3,391 3.25% 86,671 2,091 2,509 Greater than \$100,000 88.896 1.696 1.91% 82,625 2.53% 78,280 3.21% **Brokered** deposits 22,651 235 1.04% 36,294 341 0.94% 58,995 869 1.47% Total interest-bearing deposits 387,848 4,843 1.25% 374,993 5,903 1.57% 381,489 7,753 2.03% Federal funds purchased 16 0.61% 1,715 12 0.73% 3,692 37 1.01% Trust preferred capital notes 9,279 386 4.16% 9,279 439 4.73% 9,279 470 5.07% 221 1.49% 19,478 460 824 3.34% Other borrowings 14,848 2.36% 24,683 9,084 Total interest-bearing liabilities 411,991 5,450 1.32% 405,465 6,814 1.68% 419,143 2.17% Noninterest-bearing liabilities Demand deposits 81.786 80,696 75.570

Table of Contents 53

3,737

4,574

3,145

Total liabilities	496,922			489,898			499,287		
Shareholders equity	47,416			55,242			50,311		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 544,338			\$ 545,140			\$ 549,598		
Net interest income		\$ 20,496			\$ 20,723			\$ 18,668	
Interest rate spread			3.72%			3.73%			3.21%
Interest expense as a percent of									
average earning assets			1.06%			1.34%			1.76%
Net interest margin			3.98%			4.07%			3.62%

⁽¹⁾ Income and yields are reported on a taxable-equivalent basis assuming a federal tax rate of 34%. The tax-equivalent adjustment was \$298 thousand, \$322 thousand and \$338 thousand for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Loans placed on a non-accrual status are reflected in the balances.

	Volume and Rate (in thousands) Years Ending December 31, 2011 Change in							C	hange in	
	Volume Effect		Rate Effect		Income/ Expense	Volume Effect	Rate Effect		Income/ Expense	
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	\$	4	\$	(1)	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$	12	\$	14
Loans	((1,360)		(613)	(1,973)	(383)		557		174
Loans, tax-exempt		7		1	8	9		6		15
Securities		658		(224)	434	(175)		(198)		(373)
Securities, tax-exempt		(81)		(6)	(87)	(27)		(27)		(54)
Securities, restricted		(5)		13	8	9		3		12
Federal funds sold		15		1	16	(3)				(3)
Total earning assets	\$	(762)	\$	(829)	\$ (1,591)	\$ (568)	\$	353	\$	(215)
Checking	\$	192	\$	94	\$ 286	\$ 33	\$	8	\$	41
Money market accounts		(47)		(108)	(155)	44		(25)		19
Savings accounts		35		(15)	20	28		(89)		(61)
Certificates of deposits:										
Less than \$100,000		(229)		(481)	(710)	(252)		(651)		(903)
Greater than \$100,000		178		(573)	(395)	148		(566)		(418)
Brokered deposits		(147)		41	(106)	(272)		(256)		(528)
Federal funds purchased		(10)		(2)	(12)	(16)		(9)		(25)
Trust preferred capital notes				(53)	(53)			(31)		(31)
Other borrowings		(94)		(145)	(239)	(152)		(212)		(364)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$	(122)	\$ ((1,242)	\$ (1,364)	\$ (439)	\$ ((1,831)	\$ ((2,270)
Change in net interest income	\$	(640)	\$	413	\$ (227)	\$ (129)	\$	2,184	\$	2,055

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses represents management s analysis of the existing loan portfolio and related credit risks. The provision for loan losses is based upon management s estimate of the amount required to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses reflective of the risks in the loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses totaled \$12.4 million in 2011 compared to \$11.7 million in 2010. The higher provision for loan losses was primarily attributable to higher levels of specific reserves on impaired loans and increased historical loss experience. Net charge-offs were \$15.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$2.8 million for the same period in 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the provision for loan losses totaled \$11.7 million compared to \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in the provision for loan losses during 2010 was a result of higher specific reserves on impaired loans.

Management regularly evaluates the loan portfolio, economic conditions and other factors to determine an appropriate allowance for loan losses. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses currently provides prudent coverage of the risks in the loan portfolio. However, the amount of provision for loan losses may be influenced by collateral values, economic conditions, and net charge-offs, among other factors. For additional information, see Asset Quality .

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income decreased 5% to \$5.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$6.1 million for the same period in 2010. Service charges on deposit accounts decreased 15% to \$2.2 million for 2011, compared to \$2.6 million for 2010. This was attributable to a decrease in overdraft fee income in 2011. This decrease was partially offset by increases in ATM and check card fees and trust and investment advisory fees. ATM and check card fees increased 7% to \$1.5 million for 2011, compared to \$1.4 million for 2010. Fee income from trust and investment advisory services increased 13% to \$1.4 million for 2011, compared to \$1.2 million for 2010. This increase was attributable to more fees

generated from higher average assets under management during 2011 when compared to 2010. In 2010, noninterest income increased 9% to \$6.1 million from \$5.6 million in 2009.

Noninterest income is not expected to change significantly during 2012, when compared to 2011 results.

29

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased 1% to \$20.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$20.6 million for the same period in 2010. The provision for other real estate owned totaled \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$2.6 million in 2010. Net losses on sale of other real estate owned totaled \$910 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$19 thousand for the same period in 2010. In 2010, noninterest expense increased 10% over 2009, primarily as a result of higher provision for other real estate owned.

The Company does not expect a significant change in noninterest expense, excluding provision for other real estate owned and gains or losses on sale of other real estate owned, during 2012. Management believes that the carrying value of other real estate owned reflects current market conditions and the Bank's disposition plans; however, the amount of provision for other real estate owned will be influenced by changes in real estate values and economic conditions, among other factors. In addition, gains or losses that may occur from the sale of other real estate owned will be impacted by changes in real estate values.

Income Taxes

The Company s income tax provision differed from the amount of income tax determined by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate to pretax income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The difference was a result of net permanent tax deductions, primarily comprised of tax-exempt interest income and from the establishment of a full valuation allowance on the Company's net deferred tax asset during the fourth quarter of 2011. The realization of deferred tax assets is assessed and a valuation allowance is recorded if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. More likely than not is defined as greater than a 50% chance. All available evidence, both positive and negative is considered to determine whether, based on the weight of that evidence, a valuation allowance is needed. Management s assessment is primarily dependent on historical taxable income and projections of future taxable income, which are directly related to the Company's core earnings capacity and its prospects to generate core earnings in the future. Projections of core earnings and taxable income are inherently subject to uncertainty and estimates that may change given an uncertain economic outlook, banking industry conditions and other factors. At December 31, 2011, management conducted such an analysis and determined that an establishment of a full valuation allowance of \$6.1 million was prudent given its recent three year operating losses. For a more detailed discussion of the Company's tax calculation, see Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Financial Condition

General

Total assets were \$539.1 million at December 31, 2011 compared to \$544.6 million at December 31, 2010. The Company s trust and investment advisory group had assets under management of \$217.2 million at December 31, 2011 compared to \$205.5 million at December 31, 2010. Assets managed by the trust and investment advisory group are not held on the Company s balance sheet.

The Company is anticipating economic conditions to remain stable in the local market, which should result in stable loan and deposit balances during 2012.

Loans

The Bank is an active lender with a loan portfolio that includes commercial and residential real estate loans, commercial loans, consumer loans, construction and land development loans and home equity loans. The Bank is lending activity is concentrated on individuals, small and medium-sized businesses and local governmental entities primarily in its market area. As a provider of community-oriented financial services, the Bank does not attempt to geographically diversify its loan portfolio by undertaking significant lending activity outside its market area. The Bank is loan portfolio is summarized in the table below for the periods indicated.

30

2011		2010)	(in thous At Decem	ands) ber 31,	2008	3	2007	,
\$ 29,446	7.50%	\$ 39,796	9.15%	\$ 52,276	11.79%	\$ 56,354	12.47%	\$ 55,172	12.27%
48,363	12.32%	52,591	12.09%	55,057	12.42%	63,744	14.10%	73,478	16.34%
122,339	31.17%	121,506	27.93%	118,675	26.77%	116,821	25.85%	106,378	23.66%
6,161	1.57%	6,207	1.43%	1,281	0.29%	1,702	0.38%	1,789	0.40%
174,980	44.59%	201,164	46.24%	200,001	45.12%	196,163	43.40%	192,616	42.84%
10,085	2.57%	12,879	2.96%	13,776	3.11%	16,202	3.58%	18,778	4.18%
1,066	0.27%	887	0.20%	2,169	0.49%	991	0.22%	1,376	0.31%
\$ 392,440	100%	\$ 435,030	100%	\$ 443,235	100%	\$ 451,977	100%	\$ 449,587	100%
12,937		16,036		7,106		5,650		4,207	
\$ 379,503		\$ 418,994		\$ 436,129		\$ 446,327		\$ 445,380	
	\$ 29,446 48,363 122,339 6,161 174,980 10,085 1,066 \$ 392,440 12,937	48,363 12.32% 122,339 31.17% 6,161 1.57% 174,980 44.59% 10,085 2.57% 1,066 0.27% \$ 392,440 100% 12,937	\$ 29,446	\$ 29,446	\$ 29,446	\$ 29,446	(in thousands) At December 31, 2009 \$ 29,446	2011 2010 2009 2008 2008	2011 2010 2009 2008 2007

There was no category of loans that exceeded 10% of outstanding loans at December 31, 2011 that were not disclosed in the above table. The following table sets forth the maturities of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2011:

	Remaining Maturities of Selected Loans (in thousands) At December 31, 2011 Greater						
	Less than	One to	than Five				
	One Year	Five Years	Years	Total			
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	\$ 14,602	\$ 13,728	\$ 1,116	\$ 29,446			
Real estate construction and land development	30,680	15,963	1,720	48,363			
Real estate - mortgage:							
Residential (1-4 family)	14,969	78,266	29,104	122,339			
Secured by farmland	5,351	728	82	6,161			
Other real estate loans	38,081	134,538	2,361	174,980			
Consumer	1,060	9,025		10,085			
All other loans	280	786		1,066			
Total loans	\$ 105,023	\$ 253,034	\$ 34,383	\$ 392,440			
For maturities over one year:							
Fixed rates	\$ 237,934						
Variable rates	49,483						
	\$ 287,417						

Asset Quality

Management classifies as non-performing assets non-accrual loans and other real estate owned (OREO). OREO represents real property taken by the Bank either through foreclosure or through a deed in lieu thereof from the borrower. OREO is recorded at the lower of cost or market, less estimated selling costs, and is primarily marketed by the Bank through brokerage channels. The Bank s other real estate owned, net of valuation allowance, totaled \$6.4 million at December 31, 2011 and \$4.0 million at December 31, 2010.

Non-performing assets were \$18.2 million and \$14.8 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, representing 3.38% and 2.71% of total assets, respectively. Non-performing assets included \$11.8 million in non-accrual loans and \$6.4 million in OREO at December 31, 2011.

The levels of non-performing assets in 2011 and 2010 were primarily attributable to weaker local economic conditions that negatively impacted the ability of certain borrowers to service debt. Borrowers that have not been able to meet their debt requirements are primarily business customers involved in hotel and mini-storage operations, commercial and residential rental real estate and residential real estate development. At December 31, 2011, 57% of non-performing assets related to commercial real estate loans, 22% to residential real estate loans and 21% to residential development loans. Non-performing assets could increase due to other potential problem loans identified by management. Other potential problem loans are defined as performing loans that possess certain risks, including the borrower s ability to pay and the collateral value securing the loan, that management has identified that may result in the

31

loans not being repaid in accordance with their terms. Other potential problem loans totaled \$40.0 million at December 31, 2011, a 45% decline from \$73.3 million at December 31, 2010. The amount of other potential problem loans in future periods will be dependent on economic conditions.

The allowance for loan losses represents management s analysis of the existing loan portfolio and related credit risks. The provision for loan losses is based upon management s estimate of the amount required to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses reflective of the risks in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$12.9 million at December 31, 2011 and \$16.0 million at December 31, 2010, representing 3.30% and 3.69% of total loans, respectively.

Impaired loans totaled \$25.9 million at December 31, 2011, a 41% decline from \$43.9 million at December 31, 2010. The related allowance for loan losses provided for these loans totaled \$2.4 million and \$8.6 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010. The average recorded investment in impaired loans during 2011 and 2010 was \$38.2 million and \$22.3 million, respectively. Included in the impaired loans total are loans classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) totaling \$11.4 million and \$14.4 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These loans represent situations in which a modification to the contractual interest rate or repayment structure has been granted to address a financial hardship. As of December 31, 2011, \$4.8 million of these TDRs were performing under the restructured terms and were not considered non-performing assets.

Non-accrual loans excluded from impaired loan disclosure amounted to \$103 thousand, \$2 thousand and \$196 thousand at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. If interest on these loans had been accrued, such income would have approximated \$4 thousand and \$6 thousand for 2011 and 2009, respectively. For 2010, there was no interest that would have been accrued on these loans. Loan payments received on non-accrual loans are applied to principal. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status there are several negative implications. First, all interest accrued but unpaid at the time of the classification is deducted from the interest income totals for the Bank. Second, accruals of interest are discontinued until it becomes certain that both principal and interest can be repaid. Third, there may be actual losses that necessitate additional provisions for credit losses charged against earnings. These loans were included in the non-performing loan totals listed below.

Management believes, based upon its review and analysis, that the Bank has appropriate reserves for potential losses inherent within the loan portfolio. For each period presented, the provision for loan losses charged to expense was based on management s judgment after taking into consideration all factors connected with the collectability of the existing portfolio. Management considers loss experience over a three-year period, economic conditions, asset quality, collateral values and other relevant factors when evaluating the loan portfolio. If economic conditions in our market area do not improve, we could experience further losses within the loan portfolio that would necessitate additional provisions for loan losses. In addition, there can be no assurance that additional provisions for loan losses will not be required as a result of changes in the economic assumptions underlying management s estimates and judgments or changes in the circumstances of particular borrowers. For further discussion regarding the allowance for loan losses, see Critical Accounting Policies above. The following table shows a detail of loans charged-off, recovered and the changes in the allowance for loan losses.

	Allowance for Loan Losses										
		(ii	n thousands)								
		At	December 31	,							
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 16,036	\$ 7,106	\$ 5,650	\$ 4,207	\$ 3,978						
Loans charged-off:		· ·	,								
Commercial, financial and agricultural	348	387	128	198	44						
Real estate-construction and land development	2,983	1,225	333	111							
Real estate-mortgage											
Residential (1-4 family)	4,639	132	351	149							
Non-farm, non-residential	7,551	978	12								
Secured by farmland											
Consumer	268	340	318	346	338						
All other loans											
Total loans charged off	\$ 15,789	\$ 3,062	\$ 1,142	\$ 804	\$ 382						
Recoveries:											
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$	\$	\$						

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL CORP /VA/ - Form 10-K

Real estate-construction and land development	50				
Real estate-mortgage					
Residential (1-4 family)	6	8			
Non-farm, non-residential					
Secured by farmland					
Consumer	251	252	298	253	213
All other loans					
Total recoveries	\$ 310	\$ 261	\$ 298	\$ 253	\$ 213
Net charge-offs	\$ 15,479	\$ 2,801	\$ 844	\$ 551	\$ 169
Provision for loan losses	12,380	11,731	2,300	1,994	398
Balance, end of period	\$ 12,937	\$ 16,036	\$7,106	\$ 5,650	\$4,207

The following table shows the balance and percentage of the Bank s allowance for loan losses allocated to each major category of loans.

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

 $(dollars\ in\ thousands)$

	At December 31,													
		2011			2010		2009	9		2008			2007	
Commercial, financial and														
agricultural	\$	963	0.25%	\$	858	0.20%	\$ 1,167	0.26%	\$	964	0.21%	\$	743	0.16%
Real estate-construction and land														
development		2,843	0.72%		4,050	0.93%	878	0.20%		719	0.16%		568	0.13%
Real estate-mortgage		8,958	2.28%		10,868	2.50%	4,848	1.09%		3,665	0.81%	2	2,524	0.56%
Consumer		157	0.04%		248	0.06%	186	0.04%		286	0.06%		349	0.08%
All other		15	0.01%		12	0.00%	27	0.01%		16	0.01%		23	0.01%
	\$ 1	2,937	3.30%	\$	16,036	3.69%	\$ 7,106	1.60%	\$:	5,650	1.25%	\$ 4	4,207	0.94%

The following table provides information on the Bank s non-performing assets at the dates indicated.

	Non-performing Assets (dollars in thousands) At December 31,										
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007						
Non-accrual loans	\$ 11,841	\$ 10,817	\$ 8,273	\$ 10,058	\$ 382						
Foreclosed property	6,374	3,961	6,261	4,300	377						
Total non-performing assets	\$ 18,215	\$ 14,778	\$ 14,534	\$ 14,358	\$ 759						
Loans past due 90 days accruing interest	459	598	237	1,824	1,507						
Total non-performing assets and past due loans	\$ 18,674	\$ 15,376	\$ 14,771	\$ 16,182	\$ 2,266						
Allowance for loan losses to period end loans	3.30%	3.69%	1.60%	1.25%	0.94%						
Non-performing assets to period end loans	4.64%	3.40%	3.28%	3.18%	0.17%						
Net charge-offs to average loans	3.70%	0.63%	0.19%	0.12%	0.04%						

Securities

Securities at December 31, 2011 were \$91.7 million, an increase of \$31.3 million or 52%, from \$60.4 million at the end of 2010. Investment securities are comprised of U.S. agency and mortgage-backed securities, obligations of state and political subdivisions and corporate equity securities. As of December 31, 2011, neither the Company nor the Bank held any derivative financial instruments in their respective investment security portfolios.

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company s securities portfolio on the dates indicated.

	Securities Portfolio (in thousands) At December 31,				
	2011 2010 200				
Securities, available for sale					
U.S. agency and mortgage-backed securities	\$ 78,876	\$ 46,924	\$ 44,215		
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	12,676	13,301	15,706		
Other securities	113	195	208		
Total securities	\$ 91,665	\$ 60,420	\$ 60,129		

The following table shows the maturities of available for sale debt and equity securities at amortized cost and market value at December 31, 2011 and approximate weighted average yields of such securities. Yields on state and political subdivision securities are shown on a tax equivalent basis, assuming a 34% federal income tax rate. The Company attempts to maintain diversity in its portfolio and maintain credit quality and re-pricing terms that are consistent with its asset/liability management and investment practices and policies. For further information on securities available for sale, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

	Securities Portfolio Maturity Distribution/Yield Analysis					
	(dollars in thousands)					
	At December 31, 2011					
				Greater		
				than Ten		
	Less			Years		
	than	One to	Five to	and		
	One	Five	Ten	Other		
	Year	Years	Years	Securities	Total	
Available for sale securities:						
U.S. agency and mortgage-backed securities						
Amortized cost	\$	\$ 1,789	\$ 7,063	\$ 67,696	\$ 76,548	
Market value	\$	\$ 1,905	\$ 7,475	\$ 69,496	\$ 78,876	
Weighted average yield	0.00%	4.85%	3.65%	3.15%		
Obligations of state and political subdivisions						
Amortized cost	\$ 300	\$ 1,830	\$ 3,727	\$ 6,038	\$ 11,895	
Market value	\$ 300	\$ 1,884	\$ 3,943	\$ 6,549	\$ 12,676	
Weighted average yield	7.15%	5.60%	6.02%	6.08%	Ψ 12,070	
Other securities	¢	¢	Ф	Φ 26	Φ 26	
Amortized cost	\$	\$	\$	\$ 26	\$ 26	
Market value	\$	\$	\$	\$ 113	\$ 113	
Weighted average yield	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.23%		
Total portfolio						
Amortized cost	\$ 300	\$ 3,619	\$ 10,790	\$ 73,760	\$ 88,469	

Market value	\$ 300	\$ 3,789	\$ 11,418	\$ 76,158	\$ 91,665
Weighted average yield (1)	7.15%	5.23%	4.47%	3.39%	

(1) Yields on tax-exempt securities have been calculated on a tax-equivalent basis.

The above table was prepared using the contractual maturities for all securities with the exception of mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO). Both MBS and CMO securities were recorded using the Espiel prepayment model that considers many factors including rate and spread projections, housing turnover and borrower characteristics to create anticipated speeds.

Deposits

Deposits at December 31, 2011 were \$469.2 million, an increase of \$5.7 million or 1%, from \$463.5 million at December 31, 2010. Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits increased \$19.5 million or 11% when comparing the same periods. Time deposits, which included brokered deposits, decreased \$16.6 million or 8% during 2011 to \$189.3 million compared to \$205.9 million at December 31, 2010. Non-interest bearing demand deposits increased \$2.8 million or 3% during 2011. The following tables include a summary of average deposits and average rates paid and maturities of CD s greater than \$100,000.

	Average Deposits and Rates Paid (dollars in thousands) Year Ended December 31, 2011 2010 200 Amount Rate Amount Rate Amount			2009	Rate	
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 81,786		\$ 80,696		\$ 75,570	
	· ,		· ,		· ,	
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Interest checking	\$ 74,692	0.69%	\$ 44,641	0.52%	\$ 38,206	0.50%
Money market	27,081	0.44%	33,788	0.81%	23,690	1.08%
Savings	87,857	0.57%	81,394	0.59%	77,854	0.69%
Time deposits:						
Less than \$100,000	86,671	2.05%	96,251	2.59%	104,464	3.25%
Greater than \$100,000	88,896	1.91%	82,625	2.53%	78,280	3.21%
Brokered deposits	22,651	1.04%	36,294	0.94%	58,995	1.47%
Total interest-bearing deposits	\$ 387,848	1.25%	\$ 374,993	1.57%	\$ 381,489	2.03%
Total deposits	\$ 469,634		\$ 455,689		\$ 457,059	

		Maturities of CD s Greater than \$100,000 (in thousands)					
	Less than Three Months	Three to Six Months	Six to Twelve Months	Greater than One Year	Total		
December 31, 2011	\$ 24,923	\$ 12,168	\$ 14,743	\$ 46,000	\$ 97,834		
<u>uidity</u>							

Liquidity represents the ability to meet present and future financial obligations through either the sale or maturity of existing assets or with borrowings from correspondent banks or other deposit markets. The Company classifies cash, interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing deposits with banks, federal funds sold, investment securities and loans maturing within one year as liquid assets. As a result of the Bank s management of liquid assets and the ability to generate liquidity through liability funding, management believes that the Bank maintains overall liquidity sufficient to satisfy its depositors requirements and to meet its customers borrowing needs.

At December 31, 2011, cash, interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing deposits with banks, federal funds sold, securities and loans maturing within one year were \$125.0 million. At the end of 2011, approximately 32% or \$124.2 million of the loan portfolio would mature or re-price within a one-year period. Non-deposit sources of available funds totaled \$96.8 million at December 31, 2011, which included \$53.3 million available from FHLB, \$35.0 million of unsecured federal funds lines of credit with other correspondent banks and \$8.5 million available through

the Federal Reserve Discount Window. During 2011, other borrowing activity included repayment of four fixed rate advances from FHLB totaling \$25.0 million and borrowing six fixed rate advances from FHLB totaling \$24.0 million. The Bank also borrowed and repaid Daily Rate Credit advances as an alternative to purchasing federal funds.

35

Trust Preferred Capital Notes

See Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, for discussion of trust preferred capital notes.

Contractual Obligations

The impact that contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011 are expected to have on liquidity and cash flow in future periods is as follows:

		Contractual Obligations (in thousands)					
	Total	Less than one year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years		
Other borrowings Operating leases	\$ 19,100 692	\$ 5,022 227	\$ 5,049 286	\$ 3,029 179	\$ 6,000		
Total	\$ 19,792	\$ 5,249	\$ 5,335	\$ 3,208	\$ 6,000		

The Company does not have any capital lease obligations or other purchase or long-term obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company, through the Bank, is a party to credit related financial instruments with risk not reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and commercial letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Bank s exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. The Bank follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the following financial instruments were outstanding whose contract amounts represent credit risk:

	(in thousands)	
	2011	2010
Commitments to extend credit and unfunded commitments under lines of credit	\$ 48,892	\$ 53,494
Stand-by letters of credit	9,795	6,917

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The commitments for lines of credit may expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Bank, is based on management s credit evaluation of the customer.

Unfunded commitments under commercial lines of credit, revolving credit lines and overdraft protection agreements are commitments for possible future extensions of credit to existing customers. These lines of credit are collateralized as deemed necessary and usually do not contain a specified maturity date and may or may not be drawn upon to the total extent to which the Bank is committed.

Commercial and standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those letters of credit are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank generally holds collateral supporting those commitments if deemed necessary.

At December 31, 2011, the Bank had \$4.0 million in locked-rate commitments to originate mortgage loans. Risks arise from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts. The Bank does not expect any counterparty to fail to meet its obligations.

36

Capital Resources

The adequacy of the Company s capital is reviewed by management on an ongoing basis with reference to the size, composition, and quality of the Company s asset and liability levels and consistent with regulatory requirements and industry standards. Management seeks to maintain a capital structure that will assure an adequate level of capital to support asset growth and absorb potential losses.

In order to maintain appropriate capital levels in light of the current economic environment, the Company s Board of Directors determined in July 2011 to suspend cash dividends on the Company s common stock.

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has adopted capital guidelines to supplement the existing definitions of capital for regulatory purposes and to establish minimum capital standards. Specifically, the guidelines categorize assets and off-balance sheet items into four risk-weighted categories. The minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets is 8.00%, of which at least 4.00% must be Tier 1 capital, composed of common equity, retained earnings and a limited amount of perpetual preferred stock, less certain intangible items. Under present regulations, trust preferred securities may be included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital adequacy purposes as long as their amount does not exceed 25% of Tier 1 capital, including total trust preferred securities. The portion of the trust preferred securities not considered as Tier 1 capital, if any, may be included in Tier 2 capital. The Company had a ratio of risk-weighted assets to total capital of 12.51% at December 31, 2011 and a ratio of risk-weighted assets to Tier 1 capital of 11.24%. Both of these exceed both the minimum capital requirement and the minimum to be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions adopted by the federal regulatory agencies.

The following table summarizes the Company s Tier 1 capital, Tier 2 capital, risk-weighted assets and capital ratios at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

		Analysis of Capital (dollars in thousands) At December 31,	
m: 4 % 1	2011	2010	2009
Tier 1 capital:		* 444 5 =	.
Preferred stock	\$ 14,263	\$ 14,127	\$ 13,998
Common stock	3,695	3,686	3,664
Surplus	1,644	1,582	1,418
Retained earnings	16,503	28,969	35,104
Trust preferred securities	9,279	9,279	9,279
Intangible assets	(153)	(176)	(345)
Total Tier 1 Capital	\$ 45,231	\$ 57,467	\$ 63,118
Tier 2 capital:			
Allowance for loan losses	5,128	5,696	5,774
Total Risk-Based Capital	\$ 50,359	\$ 63,163	\$ 68,892
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 402,396	\$ 445,301	\$ 460,605
Capital ratios:			
Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio	12.51%	14.18%	14.96%
Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio	11.24%	12.91%	13.70%
Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets	8.45%	10.54%	11.50%

On March 13, 2009, the Company received an investment by the U.S. Treasury through the purchase of the Company s preferred stock totaling \$13.9 million from the Company s participation in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. As a result of this investment, capital ratios increased during 2009. The Preferred Stock pays a dividend of 5% per annum for the first five years and 9% thereafter. The Warrant Preferred Stock pays a dividend of 9% per annum.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, for discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

Quarterly Results

The table below lists the Company s quarterly performance for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

	2011 (in thousands, except per share data)				
	Fourth	(in thousand	as, except per Second	share aata) First	Total
Interest and dividend income	\$ 6,270	\$ 6,403	\$ 6,537	\$ 6,438	\$ 25,648
Interest expense	1,138	1,355	1,454	1,503	5,450
interest expense	1,136	1,333	1,434	1,505	3,430
Net interest income	5,132	5,048	5,083	4,935	20,198
Provision for loan losses	2,985	5,575	3,550	270	12,380
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses	2,147	(527)	1,533	4,665	7,818
Noninterest income	1,501	1,473	1,485	1,340	5,799
Noninterest expense	6,284	5,388	4,516	4,555	20,743
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,636)	(4,442)	(1,498)	1,450	(7,126)
Income tax expense (benefit)	5,497	(1,556)	(553)	447	3,835
moone an expense (senerit)	5,157	(1,550)	(333)	117	3,033
Net (loss) income	(8,133)	(2,886)	(945)	1,003	(10,961)
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	(8,357)	(3,110)	(1,168)	780	(11,855)
Net (loss) income per share, basic and diluted	\$ (2.82)	\$ (1.05)	\$ (0.40)	\$ 0.26	\$ (4.01)
	E	Third	2010 Second	First	Total
Interest and dividend income	Fourth \$ 6,717	\$ 6,790			\$ 27,215
			\$ 6,821	\$ 6,887	
Interest expense	1,543	1,614	1,719	1,938	6,814
Net interest income	5,174	5,176	5,102	4,949	20,401
Provision for loan losses	9,120	1,200	1,000	411	11,731
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses	(3,946)	3,976	4,102	4,538	8,670
Noninterest income	1,716	1,537	1,489	1,321	6,063
Noninterest expense	7,085	4,535	4,525	4,397	20,542
Income (loss) before income taxes	(9,315)	978			