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## Forward-Looking Information

Certain matters discussed in this report may constitute forward-looking statements under Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. There can be no assurance that the results described or implied in such forward-looking statements will, in fact, be achieved and actual results, performance, and achievements could differ materially because our business involves inherent risks and uncertainties. The risks and uncertainties include: possible deterioration in economic conditions in our areas of operation; interest rate risk associated with volatile interest rates and related asset-liability matching risk; liquidity risks; risk of significant non-earning assets, and net credit losses that could occur, particularly in times of weak economic conditions or times of rising interest rates; and regulatory risks associated with current and future regulations. For additional information concerning these and other risk factors, and Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
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## PART I

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements BBCN BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

| ASSETS | (Unaudited)March 31, 2012(Dollars in thousands, except share data) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 79,439 | \$ | 81,785 |
| Interest-bearing deposit at Federal Reserve Bank |  | 284,970 |  | 217,800 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 1,270 |  | 525 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 365,679 |  | 300,110 |
| Term federal funds sold, original maturities more than 90 days |  | 20,000 |  | 40,000 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 697,808 |  | 740,920 |
| Loans held for sale, at the lower of cost or fair value |  | 50,620 |  | 42,407 |
| Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses (March 31, 2012 - \$62,309; December 31, 2011-\$61,952) |  | 3,674,890 |  | 3,676,874 |
| Other real estate owned, net |  | 5,641 |  | 7,624 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost |  | 26,064 |  | 27,373 |
| Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization (March 31, 2012 \$20,309; December 31, 2011-\$19,018) |  | 20,353 |  | 20,913 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 12,253 |  | 13,439 |
| Deferred tax assets, net |  | 66,590 |  | 72,604 |
| Customers liabilities on acceptances |  | 12,187 |  | 10,515 |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 42,819 |  | 42,514 |
| Investments in affordable housing partnerships |  | 14,854 |  | 15,367 |
| Goodwill |  | 89,882 |  | 90,473 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | 3,938 |  | 4,276 |
| Prepaid FDIC insurance |  | 8,760 |  | 9,720 |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  | 11,095 |  | 10,819 |
| Other assets |  | 45,882 |  | 40,656 |
| Total assets | \$ | 5,169,315 | \$ | 5,166,604 |

(Continued)
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BBCN BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | (Unaudited)  <br> March 31,  <br> 2012 December 31, <br> (Dollars in thousands, except share data)  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ | 1,011,466 | \$ | 984,350 |
| Interest bearing: |  |  |  |  |
| Money market and NOW accounts |  | 1,240,295 |  | 1,237,378 |
| Savings deposits |  | 193,458 |  | 198,063 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more |  | 787,774 |  | 759,923 |
| Other time deposits |  | 687,471 |  | 761,178 |
| Total deposits |  | 3,920,464 |  | 3,940,892 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings |  | 332,109 |  | 344,402 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 52,137 |  | 52,102 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 6,485 |  | 6,519 |
| Acceptances outstanding |  | 12,187 |  | 10,515 |
| Other liabilities |  | 27,767 |  | 16,235 |
| Total liabilities |  | 4,351,149 |  | 4,370,665 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, $\$ 0.001$ par value authorized $10,000,000$ undesignated shares; issued and outstanding 122,000 shares as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| Series A, Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, issued and outstanding 67,000 shares at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, net, with a liquidation preference of $\$ 67,428,000$ at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011$65,399$ |  |  |  |  |
| Series B, Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, issued and outstanding 55,000 shares at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, net, with a liquidation preference of $\$ 55,229,000$ at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011$54,295$$54,192$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2011; issued and outstanding, 77,996,391 and 77,984,252 shares at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively |  | 78 |  | 78 |
| Capital surplus |  | 525,123 |  | 524,644 |
| Retained earnings |  | 164,974 |  | 142,909 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net |  | 8,297 |  | 8,958 |
| Total stockholders equity |  | 818,166 |  | 795,939 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ | 5,169,315 | \$ | 5,166,604 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

## Table of Contents

## BBCN BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

## (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 2011 <br> (In thousands, except share data) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ | 63,419 | \$ | 33,085 |
| Interest on securities |  | 4,909 |  | 3,930 |
| Interest on federal funds sold and other investments |  | 227 |  | 179 |
| Total interest income |  | 68,555 |  | 37,194 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits |  | 5,403 |  | 5,131 |
| Interest on FHLB advances |  | 1,626 |  | 2,572 |
| Interest on other borrowings |  | 667 |  | 608 |
| Total interest expense |  | 7,696 |  | 8,311 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES |  | 60,859 |  | 28,883 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES |  | 2,600 |  | 5,262 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME (LOSS) AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES |  | 58,259 |  | 23,621 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Service fees on deposit accounts |  | 3,160 |  | 1,497 |
| International service fees |  | 1,224 |  | 570 |
| Loan servicing fees, net |  | 1,337 |  | 463 |
| Wire transfer fees |  | 741 |  | 322 |
| Other income and fees |  | 1,340 |  | 507 |
| Net gains on sales of SBA loans |  | 2,963 |  | 1,160 |
| Net gains on sales and calls of securities available for sale |  | 816 |  | 0 |
| Net valuation gains (losses) on interest rate swaps and caps |  | 3 |  | (11) |
| Net gains on sales of OREO |  | 61 |  | 2 |
| Total non-interest income |  | 11,645 |  | 4,510 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 14,079 |  | 7,154 |
| Occupancy |  | 3,646 |  | 2,437 |
| Furniture and equipment |  | 1,218 |  | 935 |
| Advertising and marketing |  | 1,458 |  | 579 |
| Data processing and communications |  | 1,611 |  | 983 |
| Professional fees |  | 613 |  | 709 |
| FDIC assessments |  | 1,037 |  | 1,289 |
| Credit related expenses |  | 2,180 |  | 744 |
| Merger and integration expense |  | 1,773 |  | 511 |
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| Other | 2,820 |  |  | 1,354 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total non-interest expense |  | 30,435 |  | 16,695 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX PROVISION |  | 39,469 |  | 11,436 |
| INCOME TAX PROVISION |  | 15,535 |  | 4,690 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 23,934 | \$ | 6,746 |
| DIVIDENDS AND DISCOUNT ACCRETION ON PREFERRED STOCK | \$ | $(1,869)$ | \$ | $(1,075)$ |
| NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | \$ | 22,065 | \$ | 5,671 |
| EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.15 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.15 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)
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## BBCN BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012 AND 2011

## (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 <br> 2012 <br> 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 23,934 | \$ | 6,746 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized loss on securities available for sale and interest only strips |  | (312) |  | (257) |
| Reclassification adjustments for gains realized in income |  | (816) |  | 0 |
| Tax expense (benefit) |  | (474) |  | (95) |
| Change in unrealized loss on securities available for sale and interest only strips |  | (654) |  | (162) |
| Reclassification adjustment for the deferred gain on early settlement of interest-rate caps |  | (11) |  | (11) |
| Tax expense (benefit) |  | (4) |  | (4) |
| Change in unrealized gain on interest-rate caps |  | (7) |  | (7) |
| Total other comprehensive loss |  | (661) |  | (169) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 23,273 | \$ | 6,577 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)
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CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012 AND 2011
(Unaudited)

|  | Preferred Stock |  | Common Stock |  |  |  |  | Accumulated Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Shares $\begin{gathered}\text { Amount } \\ \text { (In thousand }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | Capital Surplus <br> except share | Retained <br> Earnings <br> a) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Comprehensive Income (loss), net |  |  |  |  |
| BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2011 | \$ | 64,203 |  |  |  | 37,983,027 | \$ | 38 | \$ 171,364 | \$ 120,361 | \$ | 2,597 |
| Issuance of additional shares pursuant to various stock plans |  |  | 10,300 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Tax effects of stock plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock-based compensation |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock cash dividends accrued (5\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (837) |  |  |
| Accretion of preferred stock discount |  | 238 |  |  |  |  | (238) |  |  |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,746 |  |  |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (164) |
| Change in unrealized gain on interest-only strips, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on interest-rate caps, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (7) |
| BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2011 | \$ | 64,441 | 37,993,327 | \$ | 38 | \$ 171,397 | \$ 126,032 | \$ | 2,428 |
| BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2012 |  | 119,350 | 77,984,252 | \$ | 78 | \$ 524,644 | \$ 142,909 | \$ | 8,958 |
| Issuance of additional shares pursuant to various stock plans |  |  | 12,139 |  |  | 81 |  |  |  |
| Tax effects of stock plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock-based compensation |  |  |  |  |  | 398 |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock cash dividends accrued (5\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,525)$ |  |  |
| Accretion of preferred stock discount |  | 344 |  |  |  |  | (344) |  |  |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,934 |  |  |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (656) |
| Change in unrealized gain on interest-only strips, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on interest-rate caps, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (7) |
| BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2012 |  | 119,694 | 77,996,391 | \$ | 78 | \$ 525,123 | \$ 164,974 | \$ | 8,297 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)
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BBCN BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012 AND 2011

## (Unaudited)



## CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES
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| Net change in deposits | $(20,428)$ | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net change in secured borrowings | 0 | 3,550 |
| Payment of cash dividends on Preferred Stock | $(1,410)$ | (837) |
| Proceeds from FHLB borrowings | 0 | 0 |
| Repayment of FHLB borrowings | $(11,062)$ | $(50,000)$ |
| Issuance of additional stock pursuant to various stock plans | 81 | 6 |
| Tax effects on issuance of shares from stock plan | 0 | 0 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | $(32,819)$ | $(47,297)$ |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 65,569 | $(36,990)$ |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 300,110 | 172,331 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | \$ 365,679 | \$ 135,341 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION |  |  |
| Interest paid | \$ 7,730 | \$ 8,408 |
| Income taxes paid (refunds received) | \$ (4,250) | \$ 45 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Transfer from loans receivable to other real estate owned | \$ 412 | \$ 1,574 |
| Transfer from loan receivables to loans held for sale | \$ | \$ 2,496 |
| Non-cash goodwill adjustment, net | \$ 591 | \$ 0 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)
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BBCN Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## 1. BBCN Bancorp, Inc.

BBCN Bancorp, Inc. ( BBCN Bancorp , on a parent-only basis, and Company, we or our on a consolidated basis), formerly named Nara Bancor Inc., is a bank holding company headquartered in Los Angeles, California. BBCN Bank ( BBCN Bank or the Bank ), formerly named Nara Bank, opened for business in June 1989 under the name United Citizens National Bank as a national banking association, was renamed Nara Bank, National Association in January 1994 and, in January 2005, became Nara Bank upon converting to a California state-chartered bank in connection with its holding company reorganization transaction. On November 30, 2011, we merged with Center Financial Corporation ( Center Financial or Center ) in a merger of equals transaction. Concurrently with the merger, Nara Bancorp, Inc. ( Nara ) changed its name to BBCN Bancorp, Inc. At the bank level, Nara Bank merged into Center Bank, and concurrently with the merger, Center Bank changed its name to BBCN Bank. The Bank has branches in California, the New York metropolitan area, New Jersey, Washington and Chicago as well as loan production offices located in Dallas, Seattle and Denver.
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## 2. Basis of Presentation

Our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared without an audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such SEC rules and regulations.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BBCN Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiaries, principally BBCN Bank. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

We believe that we have made all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals, necessary to fairly present our financial position at March 31, 2012 and the results of our operations for the three months then ended. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current year presentation. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be anticipated for the full year.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read along with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Adoption of New Accounting Standards:

ASB ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (Topic 820) This ASU provides guidance on fair value measurement and disclosure requirements that the FASB deemed largely identical across U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The requirements do not extend the use of fair value accounting, but provide guidance on how it should be applied where its use is already required or allowed. ASU 2011-04 supersedes most of the guidance in ASC topic 820, but many of the changes are clarifications of existing guidance or wording changes to reflect IFRS 13. Amendments in ASU 2011-04 change the wording used to describe U.S. GAAP requirements for fair value and disclosing information about fair value measurements. ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and early application is not permitted. We adopted ASU 2011-04 on its guidance and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements effective first quarter 2012.

FASB ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) This ASU is intended to improve the comparability, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. To increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income and to facilitate convergence of U.S. GAAP and IFRS, the FASB decided to eliminate the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity, among other amendments in this Update. These amendments apply to all entities that report items of other comprehensive income, in any period presented. Under the amendments to Topic 220, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. However, based on an amendment, FASB ASU 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, issued in December 2011, companies are not required to present separate line items on the income statement for reclassifications adjustments of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income into net income as would have been required under the initial standard. Companies are required to present reclassification adjustments within other comprehensive income or in the notes to the financial statements. We adopted ASU 2011-05 and presented the components of net income, the components of other comprehensive income, and total comprehensive income in two consecutive statements effective first quarter 2012.

FASB ASU 2011-08, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350); Testing Goodwill for Impairment This ASU permits an entity to make a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that a reporting unit $s$ fair value is less than its carrying amount before applying the two-step goodwill impairment test. If an entity can support the conclusion that is is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, it would not need to perform the two-step impairment test for that reporting unit. The ASU is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted ASU 2011-08 effective first quarter 2012.

## 3. Center Merger

On November 30, 2011, the merger of Center and Nara was completed. Pursuant to the merger agreement, holders of Center common stock received 0.7805 of a share of common stock of BBCN for each share of Center common stock held immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, rounded to the nearest whole share, plus cash in lieu of the issuance of fractional shares, resulting in our issuance of approximately
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31.2 million shares of Company common stock, with a merger date
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fair value of $\$ 292$ million. Outstanding Center stock options and restricted stock awards were converted into stock options with respect to shares of BBCN common stock or shares of BBCN common stock, respectively, with appropriate adjustments to reflect the exchange ratio.

The merger was accounted for by BBCN using the acquisition method of accounting under ASC 805, Business Combinations. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities of Center were recorded at their respective fair values and represent management s estimates based on available information. Through the merger with Center, we acquired Center Bank s 21 full-service branch offices as well as two Loan Production Offices, $\$ 326$ million in cash, loans with a fair value of $\$ 1.4$ billion, and core deposit intangibles of $\$ 4$ million, as well as deposits with a fair value of $\$ 1.8$ billion, and borrowings with a fair value of $\$ 149$ million. Goodwill of approximately $\$ 88$ million was initially recorded in conjunction with the transaction. The goodwill arising from the merger is intangible future benefit to the Company of acquiring Center Financial, thereby creating a platform for future operations, strengthening our presence in the primary existing markets in Southern California, expanding our national presence through the addition of Center s offices in Chicago, Seattle and Northern California. The results of Center s operations are included in our Consolidated Statements of Income from the date of merger.

The change in goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

|  | 2012 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| (In Thousands) |  |  |
| Beginning of period | $\$ 90,473$ | $\$ 2,509$ |
| Adjustment | $(591)$ | 0 |
| Impairment | 0 | 0 |
| End of period | $\$ 89,882$ | $\$ 2,509$ |

The goodwill arising from the Center merger was reduced by a net $\$ 591$ thousand to $\$ 87.4$ million due to adjustments of certain acquisition date fair value asset and liability estimates during first quarter 2012. There are a number of estimates made in the acquisition accounting as of the acquisition date that may be subject to revisions during the subsequent one-year measurement period. Due to the immateriality of the revision amount, the Company elected not to retrospectively adjust the acquisition date accounting and instead recorded the adjustments in first quarter 2012. Goodwill is not amortized for book purposes and is not deductible for tax purposes.

Direct costs related to the Center merger were expensed as incurred. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred $\$ 1.8$ million in merger and integration expenses, including $\$ 0.6$ million in salaries and benefits, $\$ 1.0$ million in professional fees and other non-interest expenses of $\$ 0.1$ million. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we incurred $\$ 511$ thousand in merger and integration expenses.
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## 4. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has a stock based incentive plan, the 2007 BBCN Bancorp Equity Incentive Plan ( 2007 Plan ). The 2007 Plan, which was approved by our stockholders on May 31, 2007 as amended and restated on July 25, 2007 and again on December 1, 2011, provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights ( SARs ), restricted stock, performance shares and performance units (sometimes referred to individually or collectively as awards ) to non-employee directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company. Stock options may be either incentive stock options ( ISOs ), as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ), or nonqualified stock options ( NQSOs ).

The 2007 Plan gives the Company flexibility to (i) attract and retain qualified non-employee directors, executives and other key employees and consultants with appropriate equity-based awards, (ii) motivate high levels of performance, (iii) recognize employee contributions to the Company s success, and (iv) align the interests of Plan participants with those of the Company s stockholders. The exercise price for shares under an ISO may not be less than $100 \%$ of fair market value ( FMV ) on the date the award is granted under Code Section 422. Similarly, under the terms of the 2007 Plan the exercise price for SARs and NQSOs may not be less than $100 \%$ of FMV on the date of grant. Performance units are awarded to a participant at the market price of the Company s common stock on the date of award (after the lapse of the restriction period and the attainment of the performance criteria). No minimum exercise price is prescribed for performance shares and restricted stock awarded under the 2007 Plan.

ISOs, SARs and NQSOs have vesting periods of three to five years and have 10-year contractual terms. Restricted stock, performance shares, and performance units will be granted with a restriction period of not less than one year from the grant date for performance-based awards and not more than three years from the grant date for time-based vesting of grants. Compensation expense for awards is recorded over the vesting period.

Upon the merger with Center Financial Corporation effective November 30, 2011, the former Center s stock based incentive plan the Center Financial Corporation 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, adopted April 12, 2006, as amended and restated June 13, 2007 ( 2006 Plan ) converted the outstanding share awards of 585,860 shares and $2,443,513$ shares available for future grants at November 30, 2011 at an exchange ratio of 0.7805 .

The 2006 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options to officers and employees, and non-qualified stock options and restricted stock awards to employees (including officers) and non-employee directors. The options prices of all options granted under the 2006 Plan must be not less than $100 \%$ of the fair market value at the date of grant. All options granted generally vest at the rate of $20 \%$ per year except that the options granted to the non-employee directors vest at the rate of $331 / 3 \%$ per year. All options not exercised generally expire ten years after the date of grant.

From both 2006 and 2007 plans, 2,637,000 shares were available for future grants as of March 31, 2012.
The total shares reserved for issuance will serve as the underlying value for all equity awards under the 2007 Plan and the 2006 Plan. With the exception of the shares underlying stock options and restricted stock awards, the board of directors may choose to settle the awards by paying the equivalent cash value or by delivering the appropriate number of shares.

The following is a summary of stock option activity under the Plan for the three months ended March 31, 2012:

|  | Number of Shares | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price Per <br> Share | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual Life <br> (Years) | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding - January 1, 2012 | 830,011 | \$ 16.35 |  |  |
| Granted | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Exercised | $(12,139)$ | 7.07 |  |  |
| Forfeited | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Outstanding - March 31, 2012 | 817,872 | \$ 16.49 | 6.07 | \$ 707,000 |


| Options exercisable - March 31, 2012 | 787,588 | $\$$ | 16.33 | 5.93 | $\$ 707,000$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unvested options expected to vest after March 31, 2012 | 30,284 | $\$$ | 20.73 | 9.67 | $\$$ | 0 |
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The following is a summary of restricted and performance unit activity under the Plan for the three months ended March 31, 2012:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Weighted- } \\ \text { Average } \\ \text { Grant } \\ \text { Average } \\ \text { Remaining } \\ \text { Contractual } \\ \text { Life }\end{array} \\ \text { (Years) }\end{array}\right]$

The total fair value of performance units vested for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011 was $\$ 0$ and $\$ 79$ thousand, respectively.

The amount charged against income, before income tax benefit of $\$ 169$ thousand and $\$ 11$ thousand, in relation to the stock-based payment arrangements, was $\$ 398$ thousand and $\$ 27$ thousand for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At March 31, 2012, unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock option grants and restricted and performance units aggregated $\$ 5.0$ million, and is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted average vesting period of 1.7 years.

The estimated annual stock-based compensation expense as of March 31, 2012 for each of the succeeding years is indicated in the table below:

|  | Stock Based <br> Compensation Expense <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Remainder of 2012 | $\$$ | 2,235 |
| For the year ended December 31: | 1,404 |  |
| 2013 |  | 651 |
| 2014 |  | 630 |
| 2015 | 8 | 86 |
| Total | $\$, 006$ |  |
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## 5. Earnings Per Share ( EPS )

Basic EPS does not reflect the possibility of dilution that could result from the issuance of additional shares of common stock upon exercise or conversion of outstanding securities, and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted to common stock that would then share in our earnings. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, stock options and restricted shares awards for approximately 564,000 shares and 150,000 shares of common stock were excluded in computing diluted earnings per common share because they were antidilutive. Additionally, warrants to purchase 337,480 shares and 521,266 shares of common stock (related to the TARP Capital Purchase Plan) were also antidilutive and excluded for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table shows the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.
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6. Securities Available for Sale

The following is a summary of securities available for sale as of the dates indicated:

At March 31, 2012

|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains <br> (In th | Un | oss <br> alized <br> sses | Estimated Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 300 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ 300 |
| GSE collateralized mortgage obligations* | 206,679 |  | 4,717 |  | 0 | 211,396 |
| GSE mortgage-backed securities* | 453,091 |  | 9,537 |  | (130) | 462,498 |
| Trust preferred security | 4,491 |  | 0 |  | (793) | 3,698 |
| Municipal bonds | 4,507 |  | 511 |  | 0 | 5,018 |
| Total debt securities | 669,068 |  | 14,765 |  | (923) | 682,910 |
| Mutual funds - GSE mortgage related securities | 14,709 |  | 217 |  | (28) | 14,898 |
|  | \$ 683,777 |  | 14,982 | \$ | (951) | \$ 697,808 |


|  | At December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains (In th |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses nds) |  | Estimated <br> Fair <br> Value |
| Debt securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | 300 | \$ | 0 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ 300 |
| GSE collateralized mortgage obligations* | 222,400 |  | 5,480 |  | (44) | 227,836 |
| GSE mortgage-backed securities* | 477,555 |  | 10,322 |  | (123) | 487,754 |
| Trust preferred securities | 5,532 |  | 0 |  | $(1,184)$ | 4,348 |
| Municipal bonds | 5,257 |  | 507 |  | 0 | 5,764 |
| Total debt securities | 711,044 |  | 16,309 |  | $(1,351)$ | 726,002 |
| Mutual funds - GSE mortgage related securities | 14,710 |  | 227 |  | (19) | 14,918 |
|  | \$ 725,754 |  | \$ 16,536 |  | (1,370) | \$ 740,920 |

* As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE) included GNMA, FHLB, FNMA, FHLMC, and FFCB, and are all residential based investments.
As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than $10 \%$ of stockholders equity.

The proceeds from sales of securities and the associated gains are listed below:

|  | For the three months ended March 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |
| (In thousands) |  |  |

## Edgar Filing: BBCN BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Gross gains 816 0
Gross losses
0 0

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at March 31, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date are shown separately.
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|  | Amortized <br> Cost <br> (In thousands) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Available for sale: | Estimated <br> Fair |  |  |
| Due within one year | 300 | $\$$ | 300 |
| Due after one year through five years | 340 | 359 |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 2,480 | 2,770 |  |
| Due after ten years | 6,178 | 5,587 |  |
| GSE collaterized mortgage obligations | 206,679 | 211,396 |  |
| GSE mortgage-backed securities | 453,091 | 462,498 |  |
| Mutual funds - GSE mortgage related securities | 14,709 | 14,898 |  |
|  | $\$ 683,777$ | $\$ 697,808$ |  |

Securities with carrying values of approximately $\$ 398.1$ million and $\$ 425.5$ million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, various borrowings and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

The following table shows our investments gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of the dates indicated.

| At March 31, 2012 | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or longer |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description of Securities | Number <br> of Securities Fair Value |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  |  | Fair Value (In thousands) |  |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses <br> s) |  | Fair Value |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  |
| GSE collaterized mortgage obligations | 0 | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | \$ | 0 |
| GSE mortgage-backed securities | 6 | 28,148 |  | (129) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 6 |  | 28,148 |  | (129) |
| Trust preferred security | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 3,698 |  | (793) | 1 |  | 3,698 |  | (793) |
| Mutual funds - GSE mortgage related securities | 1 | 5,219 |  | (28) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 5,219 |  | (28) |
|  | 7 | \$ 33,367 | \$ | (157) | 1 |  | 3,698 | \$ | (793) | 8 | \$ | 37,065 |  | (950) |


| At December 31, 2011 | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or longer |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description of Securities | Number <br> of Securities Fair Value |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  |  | Fair Value (In thousands) |  |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses <br> s) | Number of Securities | Fair Value |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  |
| GSE collaterized mortgage obligations | 2 | \$ 3,305 | \$ | (28) | 1 | \$ | 14,007 | \$ | (16) | 3 | \$ | 17,312 | \$ | (44) |
| GSE mortgage-backed securities | 5 | 38,082 |  | (123) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 5 |  | 38,082 |  | (123) |
| Trust preferred securities | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 3,303 |  | $(1,184)$ | 1 |  | 3,303 |  | $(1,184)$ |
| Mutual funds - GSE mortgage related securities | 1 | 5,229 |  | (19) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 5,229 |  | (19) |
|  | 8 | \$ 46,616 |  | (170) | 2 |  | 17,310 |  | $(1,200)$ | 10 |  | 63,926 |  | $(1,370)$ |

We evaluate securities for other-than-temporary-impairment, ( OTTI ) on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value of the securities has been less than our cost for the securities, and our intention to
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sell, or whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, we consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of reviews of the issuer s financial condition.

The trust preferred security at March 31, 2012 has an amortized cost of $\$ 4.5$ million and an unrealized loss of $\$ 793$ thousand. The trust preferred security is scheduled to mature in May 2047, with a first call date option in May 2012. Management determined this unrealized loss did not represent OTTI at March 31, 2012 as the investment is rated investment grade and there are no credit quality concerns with the obligor. The market value decline is deemed to be due to the current market volatility and is not reflective of management $s$ expectations of our ability to fully recover this investment, which may be at maturity. Interest on the trust preferred security has been paid as agreed and management believes this will continue in the future and the trust preferred security will be repaid in full as scheduled. For these reasons, no OTTI was recognized on the trust preferred security at March 31, 2012.

We consider the losses on our investments in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2012 to be temporary based on: 1) the likelihood of recovery; 2) the information relative to the extent and duration of the decline in market value; and 3) the Company sintention not to sell, and our determination that it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis.
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## 7. Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses

The following is a summary of loans receivable by major category:

|  | March 31, 2012 <br> (In thousands) | December 31, 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Loan portfolio composition |  |  |
| Real estate loans: | $\$, 995$ | $\$$ |
| Residential | $2,626,530$ | 2,043 |
| Commercial \& industrial | 48,064 | $2,631,880$ |
| Construction | $2,676,589$ | 44,756 |
| Total real estate loans | 846,307 | $2,678,679$ |
| Commercial business | 152,704 | 849,576 |
| Trade finance | 64,095 | 146,684 |
| Consumer and other | $3,739,695$ | 66,631 |
| Total loans outstanding | $(2,496)$ | $3,741,570$ |
| Less: deferred loan fees | $3,737,199$ | $(2,744)$ |
| Gross loans receivable | $(62,309)$ | $3,738,826$ |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | $\$ 3,674,890$ | $\$$ |
| Loans receivable, net |  | $3,676,874$ |

Our loan portfolio is made up of four segments: real estate loans, commercial business, trade finance and consumer and other. These segments are further segregated between our loans accounted for under the amortized cost method (referred to as legacy loans) and loans acquired from Center Financial (referred to as acquired loans), as acquired loans were originally recorded at fair value with no carryover of the related allowance for loan losses. The acquired loans are further segregated between Credit Impaired Loans (ASC 310-30 loans acquired with the credit deterioration) and performing loans (pass graded loans acquired from Center at the time of merger). The following table presents the outstanding principal balance and the related carrying amount of the acquired Center Financial loans included in our Consolidated Statements of Condition at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

|  | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding principal balance | $\$ 1,327,898$ | $\$$ | $1,458,133$ |
| Carrying amount | $1,229,410$ | $1,347,525$ |  |

The following table presents changes in the accretable discount on the acquired Credit Impaired Loans in the Center merger for the dates indicated:

|  | (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | $\$$ | 0 |
| Center merger | 32,872 |  |
| Accretion | $(873)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 31,999 |  |
| Accretion | $(3,561)$ |  |
| Changes in expected cash flows | 1,350 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Balance at March 31, 2012 | $\$$ | 29,788 |
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The activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is as follows:

|  | Real Estate |  | Legacy |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumer } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Other } \end{gathered}$ |  | Real <br> Estate n thousands) |  | CommercialBusiness |  | Acquired |  | Consumer and Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CommercialBusiness |  | Trade <br> Finance |  |  |  | Trade <br> Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 39,040 | \$ | 20,681 | \$ | 1,786 | \$ | 445 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 61,952 |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses |  | $(1,317)$ |  | 1,627 |  | (23) |  | 548 |  | 1,254 |  | 477 |  | 16 |  | 18 |  | 2,600 |
| Loans charged off |  | $(1,934)$ |  | $(1,362)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (14) |  | (47) |  | 0 |  | (25) |  | $(3,382)$ |
| Recoveries of charged offs |  | 20 |  | 645 |  | 60 |  | 17 |  | 303 |  | 87 |  | 0 |  | 7 |  | 1,139 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 35,809 | \$ | 21,591 | \$ | 1,823 | \$ | 1,010 | \$ | 1,543 | \$ | 517 | \$ | 16 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 62,309 |

Allowance for loan

| losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 6,624 | \$ | 8,434 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 479 | \$ | 673 | \$ | 241 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 16,452 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 29,185 |  | 13,157 |  | 1,822 |  | 531 |  | 56 |  | 276 |  | 16 |  | 0 |  | 45,043 |
| Loans acquired with credit deterioration |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 814 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 814 |
| Total | \$ | 35,809 | \$ | 21,591 | \$ | 1,823 | \$ | 1,010 | \$ | 1,543 | \$ | 517 | \$ | 16 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 62,309 |

Loans outstanding:


The activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three months ended March 31, 2011 is as follows:

|  | Real Estate |  | Legacy |  |  |  | Consumer and Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CommercialBusiness |  | Trade <br> Finance thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 36,563 | \$ | 24,930 | \$ | 192 | \$ | 635 | \$ | 62,320 |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses |  | 7,195 |  | $(1,705)$ |  | (22) |  | (206) |  | 5,262 |
| Loans charged off |  | $(3,082)$ |  | $(2,113)$ |  | 0 |  | (115) |  | $(5,310)$ |
| Recoveries of charged offs |  | 234 |  | 659 |  | 0 |  | 175 |  | 1,068 |

Balance, end of period
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\$ & 40,910 & \$ & 21,771 & \$ & 170 & \$ & 489 & \$ & 63,340\end{array}$

| Allowance for loan losses: | $\$ 10,651$ | $\$$ | 7,839 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 18,490 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Individually evaluated for impairment |  | 30,259 |  | 13,932 |  | 170 |  | 489 | 44,850 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 40,910$ | $\$$ | 21,771 | $\$$ | 170 | $\$$ | 489 | $\$$ | 63,340 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans outstanding: | $\$ 79,142$ | $\$$ | 29,866 | $\$$ | 460 | $\$$ | 170 | $\$$ | 109,638 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | $1,498,889$ |  | 478,104 | 57,064 | 12,465 | $2,046,522$ |  |  |  |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 1,578,031$ | $\$$ | 507,970 | $\$ 57,524$ | $\$$ | 12,635 | $\$ 2,156,160$ |  |  |

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had a liability for unfunded commitments of $\$ 686$ thousand and $\$ 686$ thousand, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized provision for credit losses related to our unfunded commitments of $\$ 0$.
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Individually impaired loans were as follows:

|  | March 31, 2012 <br> (In Thousands) | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| With Allocated Allowance | $\$ 79,838$ | $\$$ | 67,202 |
| Without charge-off | 628 |  | 341 |
| With charge-off | 13,138 | 8,123 |  |
| With No Allocated Allowance | 6,184 | 6,383 |  |
| Without charge-off | $(16,452)$ |  | $(18,035)$ |
| With charge-off | $\$ 83,336$ | $\$$ | 64,014 |
| Allowance on Impaired Loans | $\$ 89,398$ | $\$$ | 93,627 |



Interest income recognized during impairment represents the related amount of interest income recognized during the time within the period that the loans were impaired.
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The following table details the amount of our impaired loans by class with no related allowance for loan losses, as well as the amount of impaired loans for which there is a related allowance for loan losses as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Loans with no related allowance for loan losses have adequate collateral securing their carrying value, and in some circumstances, have been written down to their current carrying value, which is based on the fair value of the collateral.

|  | As of March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal Balance (In | Related <br> Allowance sands) | Average Recorded Investment |
| With Related Allowance: |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate - Residential | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | 0 |
| Real Estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 2,563 | 2,529 | $(1,179)$ | 2,518 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 22,762 | 22,553 | $(3,351)$ | 18,064 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 5,449 | 5,389 | $(1,310)$ | 3,286 |
| Mixed Use | 5,120 | 5,108 | (162) | 3,156 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 5,265 | 5,254 | (405) | 4,371 |
| Other | 12,564 | 12,527 | (890) | 8,166 |
| Real Estate - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,346 |
| Commercial Business | 26,269 | 26,187 | $(8,675)$ | 20,990 |
| Trade Finance | 442 | 440 | (1) | 994 |
| Consumer and Other | 479 | 479 | (479) | 96 |
|  | \$ 80,913 | \$ 80,466 | (\$ 16,452) | \$ 62,987 |


| With No Related Allowance | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real Estate - Residential |  |  | 0 | $\$$ | 0 |
| Real Estate - Commercial | 1,622 | 1,591 |  | 0 | 2,984 |
| Retail | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,046 |  |
| Hotel \& Motel | 928 | 924 | 0 | 1,767 |  |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,136 |  |
| Mixed Use | 5,684 | 5,663 | 0 | 3,444 |  |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 2,977 | 2,970 | 0 | 6,023 |  |
| Other | 1,723 | 1,710 | 0 | 2,733 |  |
| Real Estate - Construction | 2,063 | 2,057 | 0 | 4,598 |  |
| Commercial Business | 4,285 | 4,262 | 0 | 1,523 |  |
| Trade Finance | 145 | 145 | 0 | 157 |  |
| Consumer and Other |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 19,427$ | $\$ 19,322$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ |
|  |  |  | 26,411 |  |  |
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|  | As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded <br> Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal Balance (In | Related <br> Allowance sands) | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment |  |
| With Related Allowance: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate - Residential | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Real Estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1,810 | 1,810 | (668) |  | 3,475 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 17,439 | 17,441 | $(4,093)$ |  | 14,581 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 2,266 | 2,265 | (550) |  | 2,825 |
| Mixed Use | 2,828 | 2,822 | (128) |  | 1,953 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 4,262 | 4,242 | (407) |  | 4,826 |
| Other | 14,870 | 14,982 | $(4,630)$ |  | 6,192 |
| Real Estate - Construction | 127 | 128 | (49) |  | 2,504 |
| Commercial Business | 19,413 | 19,416 | $(7,168)$ |  | 22,929 |
| Trade Finance | 4,528 | 4,497 | (342) |  | 906 |
| Consumer and Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | \$ 67,543 | \$ 67,603 | \$ $(18,035)$ | \$ | 60,191 |
| With No Related Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate - Residential | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Real Estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1,388 | 1,391 | 0 |  | 4,485 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3,770 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 288 | 287 | 0 |  | 2,621 |
| Mixed Use | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1,868 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 2,651 | 2,662 | 0 |  | 2,380 |
| Other | 2,102 | 2,092 | 0 |  | 8,934 |
| Real Estate - Construction | 1,721 | 1,710 | 0 |  | 3,283 |
| Commercial Business | 5,737 | 5,740 | 0 |  | 5,191 |
| Trade Finance | 469 | 467 | 0 |  | 759 |
| Consumer and Other | 150 | 150 | 0 |  | 145 |
|  | \$ 14,506 | \$ 14,499 | \$ 0 | \$ | 33,436 |
| Total | \$ 82,049 | \$ 82,102 | \$ $(18,035)$ | \$ | 93,627 |
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The following table presents the aging of past due loans as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by class of loans:

|  | As of March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30-59 <br> Days Past Due | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | Greater <br> than 90 <br> Days Past Due |  | Total Past <br> Due <br> (In Thousands) |  | Non-accrual loans |  | Total Delinquent loans |  | Greater <br> than 90 <br> days and accruing |  |
| Legacy Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate - Residential | \$ 34 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 34 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 34 | \$ | 0 |
| Real estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 606 |  | 273 |  | 0 |  | 879 |  | 2,979 |  | 3,858 |  | 0 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 228 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 228 |  | 487 |  | 715 |  | 0 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 377 |  | 2,881 |  | 0 |  | 3,258 |  | 1,934 |  | 5,192 |  | 0 |
| Mixed Use | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 805 |  | 805 |  | 0 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 358 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 358 |  | 3,054 |  | 3,412 |  | 0 |
| Other | 745 |  | 118 |  | 0 |  | 863 |  | 7,810 |  | 8,673 |  | 0 |
| Real estate - Construction | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Commercial business | 745 |  | 542 |  | 0 |  | 1,287 |  | 11,065 |  | 12,352 |  | 0 |
| Trade finance | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Consumer and other | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 624 |  | 624 |  | 0 |
| Subtotal | \$ 3,093 | \$ | 3,814 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 6,907 | \$ | 28,758 | \$ | 35,665 | \$ | 0 |
| Acquired Loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate - Residential | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Real estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 79 |  | 8 |  | 2,047 |  | 2,134 |  | 0 |  | 2,134 |  | 2,047 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 990 |  | 15 |  | 0 |  | 1,005 |  | 6,341 |  | 7,346 |  | 0 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 1,467 |  | 146 |  | 3,206 |  | 4,819 |  | 384 |  | 5,203 |  | 3,206 |
| Mixed Use | 1,392 |  | 1,564 |  | 19 |  | 2,975 |  | 0 |  | 2,975 |  | 19 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 3 |  | 5 |  | 32 |  | 40 |  | 3,028 |  | 3,068 |  | 32 |
| Other | 639 |  | 623 |  | 4,529 |  | 5,791 |  | 705 |  | 6,496 |  | 4,529 |
| Real estate - Construction | 0 |  | 0 |  | 6,363 |  | 6,363 |  | 0 |  | 6,363 |  | 6,363 |
| Commercial business | 1,036 |  | 513 |  | 1,335 |  | 2,884 |  | 371 |  | 3,255 |  | 1,335 |
| Trade finance | 100 |  | 13 |  | 0 |  | 113 |  | 0 |  | 113 |  | 0 |
| Consumer and other | 633 |  | 243 |  | 726 |  | 1,602 |  | 348 |  | 1,950 |  | 726 |
| Subtotal | 6,339 |  | 3,130 |  | 18,257 |  | 27,726 |  | 11,177 |  | 38,903 |  | 18,257 |
| TOTAL | 9,432 |  | 6,944 |  | 18,257 |  | 34,633 |  | 39,935 |  | 74,568 |  | 18,257 |

(1) The acquired loans include credit impaired loans (ASC 310-30 loans) and performing loans (pass graded loans acquired from Center at the
time of merger). time of merger).
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$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr} \\ & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { As of December 31, 2011 } \\ \text { Greater }\end{array} & \\ \text { Greater } \\ \text { than 90 }\end{array}\right)$
${ }^{(1)}$ The acquired loans include credit impaired loans (ASC 310-30 loans) and performing loans (pass graded loans acquired from Center at the time of merger).
We categorize loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. We analyze loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes all non-homogeneous loans. This analysis is performed at least on a quarterly basis. We use the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention: Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution s credit position at some future date.
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Substandard: Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the repayment of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful: Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or repayment in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.
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Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be Pass-rated loans. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

|  | As of March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special <br> Mention |  | Substandard |  | Doubtful/Loss ands) |  | Total |  |
| Legacy Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate - Residential | \$ | 0 | \$ | 34 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 34 |
| Real estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  | 3,710 |  | 13,657 |  | 0 |  | 17,367 |
| Hotel \& Motel |  | 2,279 |  | 16,854 |  | 0 |  | 19,133 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash |  | 3,484 |  | 5,994 |  | 0 |  | 9,478 |
| Mixed Use |  | 2,224 |  | 5,319 |  | 0 |  | 7,543 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse |  | 3,976 |  | 8,245 |  | 398 |  | 12,619 |
| Other |  | 11,326 |  | 12,486 |  | 0 |  | 23,812 |
| Real estate - Construction |  | 0 |  | 1,723 |  | 0 |  | 1,723 |
| Commercial business |  | 12,234 |  | 30,352 |  | 5,845 |  | 48,431 |
| Trade finance |  | 516 |  | 4,727 |  | 0 |  | 5,243 |
| Consumer and other |  | 0 |  | 1,521 |  | 0 |  | 1,521 |
| Subtotal |  | 39,749 | \$ | 100,912 | \$ | 6,243 |  | 146,904 |
| Acquired Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate - Residential | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Real estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  | 13,101 |  | 11,671 |  | 0 |  | 24,772 |
| Hotel \& Motel |  | 16,870 |  | 23,280 |  | 0 |  | 40,150 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash |  | 5,696 |  | 5,892 |  | 0 |  | 11,588 |
| Mixed Use |  | 2,467 |  | 4,184 |  | 0 |  | 6,651 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse |  | 3,675 |  | 6,821 |  | 0 |  | 10,496 |
| Other |  | 6,704 |  | 13,161 |  | 0 |  | 19,865 |
| Real estate - Construction |  | 0 |  | 7,514 |  | 0 |  | 7,514 |
| Commercial business |  | 18,486 |  | 32,853 |  | 210 |  | 51,549 |
| Trade finance |  | 284 |  | 589 |  | 0 |  | 873 |
| Consumer and other |  | 649 |  | 3,941 |  | 341 |  | 4,931 |
| Subtotal |  | \$ 67,932 | \$ | 109,906 | \$ | 551 |  | 178,389 |
| Total |  | \$ 107,681 | \$ | 210,818 | \$ | 6,794 |  | 325,293 |
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|  | Special <br> Mention | As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Substandard |  | Doubtful/Loss ands) |  | Total |  |
| Legacy Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate - Residential | \$ 0 | \$ | 36 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 36 |
| Real estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 3,430 |  | 13,477 |  | 0 |  | 16,907 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 5,008 |  | 17,875 |  | 0 |  | 22,883 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 3,489 |  | 2,554 |  | 0 |  | 6,043 |
| Mixed Use | 2,279 |  | 3,026 |  | 0 |  | 5,305 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 3,998 |  | 7,238 |  | 404 |  | 11,640 |
| Other | 5,914 |  | 15,393 |  | 0 |  | 21,307 |
| Real estate - Construction | 0 |  | 1,848 |  | 0 |  | 1,848 |
| Commercial business | 11,357 |  | 30,114 |  | 5,994 |  | 47,465 |
| Trade finance | 274 |  | 4,997 |  | 0 |  | 5,271 |
| Consumer and other | 0 |  | 1,081 |  | 0 |  | 1,081 |
| Subtotal | \$ 35,749 | \$ | 97,639 | \$ | 6,398 |  | 139,786 |
| Acquired Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate - Residential | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Real estate - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 11,591 |  | 11,334 |  | 0 |  | 22,925 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 13,138 |  | 16,746 |  | 0 |  | 29,884 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 5,665 |  | 5,760 |  | 0 |  | 11,425 |
| Mixed Use | 3,532 |  | 2,829 |  | 0 |  | 6,361 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 2,673 |  | 3,770 |  | 0 |  | 6,443 |
| Other | 6,702 |  | 12,598 |  | 0 |  | 19,300 |
| Real estate - Construction | 0 |  | 5,489 |  | 0 |  | 5,489 |
| Commercial business | 16,096 |  | 39,630 |  | 353 |  | 56,079 |
| Trade finance | 128 |  | 829 |  | 0 |  | 957 |
| Consumer and other | 1,662 |  | 2,526 |  | 0 |  | 4,188 |
| Subtotal | \$ 61,187 | \$ | 101,511 | \$ | 353 |  | 163,051 |
| Total | \$ 96,936 | \$ | 199,150 | \$ | 6,751 |  | 302,837 |

The following table presents loans sold from loans held for investment during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 by portfolio segment:

|  | Real <br> estate - <br> Commercial | Real <br> estate- <br> Construction <br> (In thousands) | Commercial <br> Business | Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 31, 2012: | $\$ 0$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 |
| Sales or reclassification to held for sale | $\$ 2,713$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 0 | $\$ 2,713$ |  |

The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is determined by management based upon an evaluation and review of the credit quality of the loan portfolio, consideration of historical loan loss experience, relevant internal and external factors that affect the collection of a loan, and other pertinent factors.

The Migration Analysis is a formula methodology based on the Bank s actual historical net charge-off experience for each loan pool and loan risk grade (Pass, Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful). The migration analysis is centered on the Bank s internal credit risk rating system. Our
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internal loan review and external contracted credit review examinations are used to determine and validate loan risk grades. This credit review system takes into consideration factors such as: borrower s background and experience; historical and current financial condition; credit history and payment performance; economic conditions and their impact on various industries; type, fair value and volatility of the fair value of collateral; lien position; and the financial strength of any guarantors.

A general loan loss allowance is provided on loans not specifically identified as impaired ( non-impaired loans ). The allowance is determined first based on a quantitative analysis using a loss migration methodology. The loans are classified by type and loan grade, and the historical loss migration is tracked for the various stratifications. Loss experience is quantified for the most recent 12 quarters and then weighted to give more weight to the most recent losses. That loss experience is then applied to the stratified portfolio at each quarter end. For the Performing Loans acquired from Center, a general loan loss allowance is provided to the extent that there has been credit deterioration since the acquisition. The estimate of that credit deterioration becomes more evident as time passes since the acquisition. As of March 31, 2012, the historical loss experience from Center was utilized to provide for a nominal allowance. The quantitative general loan loss allowance was $\$ 19.5$ million ( $\$ 19.1$ million for legacy loans and $\$ 348$ thousand for acquired loans) at March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 20.4$ million at December 31, 2011.
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Additionally, in order to systematically quantify the credit risk impact of other trends and changes within the loan portfolio, the Bank utilizes qualitative adjustments to the Migration Analysis within established parameters. The parameters for making adjustments are established under a Credit Risk Matrix that provides seven possible scenarios for each of the factors below. The matrix allows for up to three positive (Major, Moderate, and Minor), three negative (Major, Moderate, and Minor), and one neutral credit risk scenarios within each factor for each loan type pool. Generally, the factors are considered to have no significant impact (neutral) to our historical migration ratios. However, if information exists to warrant adjustment to the Migration Analysis, changes are made in accordance with the established parameters supported by narrative and/or statistical analysis. The Credit Risk Matrix and the nine possible scenarios enable the Bank to qualitatively adjust the Loss Migration Ratio or individual specific reserve allocations by as much as 50 basis points in either direction (positive or negative) for each loan type pool. This matrix considers the following nine factors, which are patterned after the guidelines provided under the FFIEC Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses:

Changes in lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices.

Changes in national and local economic and business conditions and developments, including the condition of various market segments.

Changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio.

Changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and staff.

Changes in the trends of the volume and severity of past due and classified loans; and changes in trends in the volume of non-accrual loans and troubled debt restructurings, and other loan modifications.

Changes in the quality of our loan review system and the degree of oversight by the Directors.

Changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans.

The existence and effect of any concentrations of credit, and changes in the level of such concentrations.

The effect of external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated losses in our loan portfolio.
The qualitative loan loss allowance on the loan portfolio was $\$ 25.6$ million at March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 23.5$ million at December 31, 2011.
We also establish specific loss allowances for loans where we have identified potential credit risk conditions or circumstances related to a specific individual credit. The specific allowance amounts are determined by a method prescribed by FASB ASC 310-10-35-22, Measurement of Impairment. The loans identified as impaired will be accounted for in accordance with one of the three acceptable valuation methods: 1) the present value of future cash flows discounted at the loan seffective interest rate; 2) the loan s observable market price; or 3 ) the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. For the collateral dependent impaired loans, we obtain a new appraisal to determine the amount of impairment as of the date that the loan become impaired. The appraisals are based on an as is valuation. To ensure that appraised values remain current, we generally obtain an updated appraisal every twelve months from a qualified independent appraiser. Furthermore, if the most current appraisal is dated more than six months prior to the effective date of the impairment test, we validate the most current value with third party market data appropriate to the location and property type of the collateral. If the third party market data indicates that the value of our collateral property has declined since the most recent valuation date, we adjust the value of the property downward to reflect current market conditions. If the fair value of the collateral, less cost to sell, is less than the recorded amount of the loan, we then recognize impairment by creating or
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adjusting an existing valuation allowance with a corresponding charge to the provision for loan losses. If an impaired loan is expected to be collected through liquidation of the collateral, the loan is deemed to be collateral dependent and the amount of impairment is charged off against the allowance for loan losses.

The Bank considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that not all amounts due (principal and interest) will be collectible in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. The significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls is determined on a case-by-case basis by taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

For commercial business loans, real estate loans and certain consumer loans, we base the measurement of loan impairment on the present value of the expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan $s$ effective interest rate or on the fair value of the loan $s$ collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. We evaluate most consumer loans for impairment on a collective basis, because these loans have generally smaller balances and are homogeneous in the underwriting terms and conditions, and in the type of collateral. If a loan is deemed to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is supported by a specific allowance amount which is included in the allowance for loan losses through a charge to the provision for loan losses.
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Impaired loans at March 31, 2012, were $\$ 99.8$ million, a net increase of $\$ 17.7$ million from $\$ 82.1$ million at December 31, 2011. This net increase in impaired loans is due primarily to three commercial real estate (CRE) loans, aggregating $\$ 9.9$ million, which were placed on non-accrual status and three loans, two CRE and one C\&I, totaling $\$ 5.4$ million, which were restructured.

For our Credit Impaired Loans, our allowance for loan losses is estimated based upon our expected cash flows for these loans. To the extent that we experience a deterioration in borrower credit quality resulting in a decrease in our expected cash flows subsequent to the acquisition of the loans, an allowance for loan losses would be established based on our estimate of future credit losses over the remaining life of the loans.

The following table presents loans by portfolio segment and impairment method at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

|  | As of March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Real estate <br> Residential |  | Real estate Commercial |  | Real estate <br> Construction |  | Commercial business (In Thousands) |  | Trade finance |  | Consumer and other |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans | \$ | 0 | \$ | 64,508 | \$ | 1,710 | \$ | 28,244 | \$ | 4,702 | \$ | 624 | \$ | 99,788 |
| Specific allowance | \$ | 0 | \$ | 7,297 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 8,675 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 479 | \$ | 16,452 |
| Loss coverage ratio |  | 0.0\% |  | 11.3\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 30.7\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 76.8\% |  | 16.5\% |
| Non-impaired loans |  | 995 |  | 562,022 | \$ | 46,354 | \$ | 818,063 |  | 48,002 |  | 63,471 |  | 639,907 |
| General allowance | \$ | 9 | \$ | 29,406 | \$ | 640 | \$ | 13,433 | \$ | 1,838 | \$ | 531 | \$ | 45,857 |
| Loss coverage ratio |  | 0.5\% |  | 1.1\% |  | 1.4\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 1.2\% |  | 0.8\% |  | 1.3\% |
| Total loans |  | 995 |  | 626,530 | \$ | 48,064 | \$ | 846,307 |  | 52,704 | \$ | 64,095 |  | 739,695 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$ | 9 | \$ | 36,703 | \$ | 640 | \$ | 22,108 | \$ | 1,839 | \$ | 1,010 | \$ | 62,309 |
| Loss coverage ratio |  | 0.5\% |  | 1.4\% |  | 1.3\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 1.2\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 1.7\% |


|  | As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Real estate |  |  |  | Real estate |  |  |  | Trade finance |  | Consumer and other |  | Total |  |
|  |  | ential | Real estate - <br> Commercial |  | Construction |  | Commercial business (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans | \$ | 0 | \$ | 49,904 | \$ | 1,848 | \$ | 25,150 | \$ | 4,997 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 82,049 |
| Specific allowance | \$ | 0 | \$ | 10,476 | \$ | 49 | \$ | 7,168 | \$ | 342 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 18,035 |
| Loss coverage ratio |  | 0.0\% |  | 21.0\% |  | 2.7\% |  | 28.5\% |  | 6.8\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 22.0\% |
| Non-impaired loans |  | , 043 |  | 581,976 | \$ | 42,908 | \$ | 824,426 |  | 1,687 |  | 66,482 |  | 659,522 |
| General allowance | \$ | 9 | \$ | 27,831 | \$ | 675 | \$ | 13,513 | \$ | 1,444 | \$ | 445 | \$ | 43,917 |
| Loss coverage ratio |  | 0.4\% |  | 1.1\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 1.2\% |
| Total loans |  | ,043 |  | 631,880 | \$ | 44,756 | \$ | 849,576 |  | 46,684 |  | 66,632 |  | 741,571 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$ | 9 | \$ | 38,307 | \$ | 724 | \$ | 20,681 | \$ | 1,786 | \$ | 445 | \$ | 61,952 |
| Loss coverage ratio |  | 0.4\% |  | 1.5\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 2.4\% |  | 1.2\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 1.7\% |
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Under certain circumstances, we provide borrowers relief through loan modifications. These modifications are either temporary in nature ( temporary modifications ), or are more substantive troubled debt restructurings. At March 31, 2012, total modified loans were $\$ 43.7$ million, compared to $\$ 32.7$ million at December 31, 2011. The temporary modifications generally consist of interest only payments for a three- to sixmonth period, whereby principal payments are deferred. At the end of the modification period, the remaining principal balance is re-amortized based on the original maturity date. Loans subject to temporary modifications are generally downgraded to Substandard or Special Mention. At the end of the modification period, the loan either 1) returns to the original contractual terms; 2 ) is further modified and accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring in accordance with ASC 310-10-35; or 3) is disposed of through foreclosure or liquidation.

Troubled Debt Restructured ( TDR ) loans are defined by ASC 310-40, Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors and ASC 470-60, Troubled Debt Restructurings by Debtors, and evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35. The concessions may be granted in various forms, including reduction in the stated interest rate, reduction in the amount of principal amortization, forgiveness of a portion of a loan balance or accrued interest, or extension of the maturity date. In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under our internal underwriting policy.

A summary of TDRs on accrual and non-accrual by type of concession as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is presented below:

| (In thousands) | As of March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TDR on accrual As |  |  |  |  |  |  | TDR on non-accrual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Real <br> estate - <br> Commercial | CommercialBusiness |  | Trade <br> Finance |  | Total |  | Real <br> estate - <br> Commercial |  | Commercial Business |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumer } \\ \& \\ \text { Other } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total | TOTAL |
| Payment concession | \$ 2,230 | \$ | 1,578 | \$ | 442 | \$ | 4,250 | \$ | 9,729 | \$ | 3,790 | \$ | 0 | \$ 13,519 | \$ 17,769 |
| Maturity / Amortization concession | 0 |  | 2,899 |  | 0 |  | 2,899 |  | 963 |  | 1,450 |  | 145 | 2,558 | 5,457 |
| Rate concession | 14,389 |  | 2,637 |  | 0 |  | 17,026 |  | 3,320 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 3,320 | 20,346 |
| Principal forgiveness | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 112 |  | 0 | 112 | 112 |
|  | \$ 16,619 | \$ | 7,114 | \$ | 442 |  | 24,175 |  | 14,012 | \$ | 5,352 | \$ | 145 | \$ 19,509 | \$ 43,684 |



TDRs on accrual status are comprised of loans that were accruing at the time of restructuring and for which the Bank anticipates full repayment of both principal and interest. TDRs that are on non-accrual can be returned to accrual status after a
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period of sustained performance, generally determined to be six months of timely payments as modified. Sustained performance includes the periods prior to the modification if the prior performance met or exceeded the modified terms. TDRs on accrual status at March 31, 2012 were comprised of 10 commercial real estate loans totaling $\$ 16.4$ million and 25 commercial business loans totaling $\$ 7.1$ million. TDRs on accrual status at December 31, 2011 were comprised of 6 commercial real estate loans totaling $\$ 13.3$ million and 19 commercial business loans totaling $\$ 5.0$ million. We expect that the TDRs on accrual status as of March 31, 2012, which are all performing in accordance with their restructured terms, to continue to comply with the restructured terms because of the reduced principal or interest payments on these loans. TDRs that were restructured at market interest rates and had sustained performance as agreed under the modified loan terms may be reclassified as non-TDRs after each year end.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2012:

| (In thousands) | Number of <br> Loans | Pre- <br> Modification | Post- <br> Modification |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate - Commercial | 4 | $\$$ | 903 |
| Retail | 0 | $\$$ | 906 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Gas Station \& Car Wash | 1 | 218 | 217 |
| Mixed Use | 1 | 2,319 | 2,317 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 2 | 1,064 | 1,064 |
| Other | 0 | 7,335 | 5,733 |
| Real estate - Construction | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial business | 17 | $\$ 2,538$ | 2,524 |
|  |  | 14,377 | $\$$ |

The specific reserves for the troubled debt restructurings described above as of March 31, 2012 was $\$ 1.3$ million and the charge offs for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 1.7$ million.

The following table presents loans by class for TDR loans that have been modified within the previous twelve months and have subsequently had a payment default during the three months ended March 31, 2012:

|  | Number of <br> Loans <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Real estate - Commercial | 1 | $\$ 258$ |
| Retail | 2 | 1,102 |
| Industrial \& Warehouse | 2 | 1,031 |
| Other | 7 | 2,883 |
| Commercial Business | 12 | $\$ 5,274$ |

A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 30 days contractually past due under the modified terms. The specific reserves for the troubled debt restructurings described above as of March 31, 2012 was $\$ 472$ thousand and the charge offs for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.

We have allocated $\$ 7.2$ million and $\$ 6.4$ million of specific reserves to TDRs as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we did not have any outstanding commitments to extend additional funds to these borrowers.

## Covered Loans
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On April 16, 2010, the Department of Financial Institutions closed Innovative Bank, California, and appointed the FDIC as its receiver. On the same date, Center Bank assumed the banking operations of Innovative Bank from the FDIC under a purchase and assumption agreement and two related loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Upon the merger between Nara Bancorp and Center Financial, the Company assumed the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.
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Covered nonperforming assets totaled $\$ 3.7$ million and $\$ 3.6$ million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These covered nonperforming assets are subject to the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. The covered nonperforming assets at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

| (in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covered loans on non-accrual status | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Covered other real estate owned |  | 3,677 |  | 3,575 |
| Total covered nonperforming assets | \$ | 3,677 | \$ | 3,575 |
| Acquired covered loans |  | 78,748 | \$ | 89,959 |

Loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 are generally considered accruing and performing loans and the accretable discount is accreted to interest income over the estimate life of the loan when cash flows are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, acquired impaired loans that are contractually past due are still considered to be accruing loans. The loans may be classified as nonaccrual if the timing and amount of future cash flows is not reasonably estimable.

## 8. Borrowings

We maintain a secured credit facility with the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco ( FHLB ) against which the Company may take advances. The borrowing capacity is limited to the lower of $25 \%$ of the Bank s total assets or the Bank s collateral capacity, which was $\$ 1.3$ billion at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The terms of this credit facility require the Company to pledge eligible collateral with the FHLB equal to at least $100 \%$ of outstanding advances.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, real estate secured loans with a carrying amount of approximately $\$ 2.0$ billion were pledged as collateral for borrowings from the FHLB. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, other than FHLB stock, securities totaling $\$ 2.2$ million and $\$ 3.0$ million, respectively, were pledged as collateral for borrowings from the FHLB.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, FHLB borrowings were $\$ 332.1$ million and $\$ 344.4$ million, had a weighted average interest rate of $1.85 \%$ and $1.93 \%$, respectively, and had various maturities through September 2017. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, $\$ 205$ million of the advances were putable advances with various putable dates and strike prices. The cost of FHLB borrowings as of March 31, 2012 ranged between $0.23 \%$ and $4.52 \%$. At March 31, 2012, the Company had a remaining borrowing capacity of $\$ 906.6$ million.

At March 31, 2012, the contractual maturities for FHLB-SF borrowings were as follows:

|  | Contractual <br> Maturities <br> (In thousands) | Maturity/ <br> Put Date |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Due within one year | $\$ 239,084$ | $\$ 304,084$ |
| Due after one year through five years | 70,000 | 25,000 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 20,000 | 0 |
|  | $\$ 329,084$ | $\$ 329,084$ |

In addition, as a member of the Federal Reserve Bank system, we may also borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. The maximum amount that we may borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank s discount window is up to $95 \%$ of the outstanding principal balance of the qualifying loans and the fair value of the securities that we pledge. At March 31, 2012, the principal balance of the qualifying loans were $\$ 511.1$ million and the collateral value of investment securities were $\$ 46.9$ million, and no borrowing were outstanding against this line.
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## 9. Subordinated Debentures

At March 31, 2012, five wholly-owned subsidiary grantor trusts established by former Nara Bancorp had issued $\$ 38$ million of pooled Trust Preferred Securities ( trust preferred securities ) and one wholly-owned subsidiary grantor trust established by former Center Financial Corporation had issued $\$ 18$ million of trust preferred securities. Trust preferred securities accrue and pay distributions periodically at specified annual rates as provided in the indentures. The trusts used the
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net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of subordinated debentures (the Debentures ) of BBCN Bancorp. The Debentures are the sole assets of the trusts. BBCN Bancorp s obligations under the subordinated debentures and related documents, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by BBCN Bancorp of the obligations of the trusts. The trust preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity of the Debentures, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indentures. BBCN Bancorp has the right to redeem the Debentures in whole (but not in part) on or after specific dates, at a redemption price specified in the indentures plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date. BBCN Bancorp also has a right to defer consecutive payments of interest on the debentures for up to five years.

The following table is a summary of trust preferred securities and debentures at March 31, 2012:

| (Dollars in Thousands) <br> Trust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issuance Trust | Issuance Date | Preferred <br> Security <br> Amount |  | ordinated <br> ebentures Amount | Rate <br> Type | Initial Rate | Rate at March 31, 2012 | Maturity <br> Date |
| Nara Bancorp Capital Trust I | 3/28/2001 | \$ 10,000 | \$ | 10,400 | Fixed | 10.18\% | 10.18\% | 6/8/2031 |
| Nara Capital Trust III | 6/5/2003 | 5,000 |  | 5,155 | Variable | 4.44\% | 3.62\% | 6/15/2033 |
| Nara Statutory Trust IV | 12/22/2003 | 5,000 |  | 5,155 | Variable | 4.02\% | 3.42\% | 1/7/2034 |
| Nara Statutory Trust V | 12/17/2003 | 10,000 |  | 10,310 | Variable | 4.12\% | 3.42\% | 12/17/2033 |
| Nara Statutory Trust VI | 3/22/2007 | 8,000 |  | 8,248 | Variable | 7.00\% | 2.12\% | 6/15/2037 |
| Center Capital Trust I | 12/29/2003 | 18,000 |  | 12,869 | Variable |  | 3.42\% | 1/7/2034 |
| TOTAL ISSUANCE |  | \$ 56,000 | \$ | 52,137 |  |  |  |  |

The Company s investment in the common trust securities of the issuer trusts of $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, is included in other assets. Although the subordinated debt issued by the trusts are not included as a component of stockholders equity in the consolidated balance sheets, the debt is treated as capital for regulatory purposes. Specifically, under applicable regulatory guidelines, the $\$ 56$ million of securities issued by the trusts qualify as Tier 1 capital, along with the $\$ 120$ million of our outstanding Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, net of discount. The trust preferred security debt issuances are includable in Tier I capital up to a maximum of $25 \%$ of capital on an aggregate basis. Any amount that exceeds $25 \%$ qualifies as Tier 2 capital. At March 31, 2012, all of the $\$ 56$ million of the trusts securities qualified as Tier 1 capital along with the $\$ 120$ million of preferred stock. In July 2010 Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ) was signed into law which, among other things, limits to bank holding companies having total assets of more than $\$ 15$ billion the ability to treat trust preferred security debt issuances as Tier 1 capital. Since the Company had less than $\$ 15$ billion in assets at March 31, 2012, under the Dodd-Frank Act, wewill be able to continue to include its existing trust preferred securities in Tier 1 capital.
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## 10. Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

As part of our asset and liability management strategy, the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate swaps, caps and floors, with the overall goal of minimizing the impact of interest rate fluctuations on our net interest margin. Interest rate swaps and caps involve the exchange of fixed-rate and variable-rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying notional amounts.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into a three-year interest rate cap agreement with an aggregate notional amount of $\$ 50$ million. Under this cap agreement, the Company receives quarterly payments from the counterparty when the quarterly resetting 3 Month London-Interbank Offered Rate exceeds the strike level of $2.00 \%$. The upfront fee paid to the counterparty in entering into this interest rate cap agreement was $\$ 890$ thousand.

These interest rate cap agreements are considered free-standing due to non-designation of a hedge relationship to any of its financial assets or liabilities. Under FASB ASC 815, valuation gains or losses on interest rate caps not designated as hedging instruments are recognized in earnings. At March 31, 2012, the aggregate fair value of the outstanding interest rate caps was $\$ 1$ thousand, and we recognized mark-to-market losses on valuation of \$8 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, summary information about these interest-rate caps is as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notional amounts | \$ 50.0 million | \$ | 50.0 million |
| Weighted average pay rates | N/A |  | N/A |
| Weighted average receive rates | N/A |  | N/A |
| Weighted average maturity | 0.91 years |  | 1.16 years |
| Fair value of combined interest rate caps | \$ 1 thousand | \$ | 9 thousand |

The effect of derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(1) Includes amounts representing the net interest payments as stated in the contractual agreements and the valuation gains or (losses) on interest rate contracts not designated as hedging instruments.

## 11. Income Taxes

Our Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as state income taxes. We had total unrecognized tax benefits of $\$ 685$ thousand at March 31, 2012 and $\$ 569$ thousand at December 31, 2011 that relate primarily to uncertainties related to California enterprise zone loan interest deductions taken in prior years.

We anticipate an increase of approximately $\$ 126$ thousand in the unrecognized tax benefit related to the California enterprise zone loan interest deduction within the next twelve months. We are subject to U.S. federal income taxes, California franchise taxes and various other state franchise taxes.
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The statute of limitations related to the consolidated Federal income tax return is closed for all tax years up to and including 2007. The expiration of the statute of limitations related to the various state income and franchise tax returns varies by state.

We are currently under examination by New York City for the 2007, 2008, and 2009 tax years. While the outcome of the examination is unknown, we expect no material adjustments.
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We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. We had approximately $\$ 47$ thousand and $\$ 77$ thousand for interest and penalties accrued at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management evaluates both positive and negative evidence, including the existence of any cumulative losses in the current year and the prior two years, the amount of taxes paid in available carry-back years, the forecasts of future income, applicable tax planning strategies, and assessments of current and future economic and business conditions. This analysis is updated quarterly and adjusted as necessary. Based on the analysis, the Company has determined that except for the valuation allowance against the capital loss carryforwards of $\$ 53$ thousand, a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets was not required as of March 31, 2012.
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## 12. Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

## Securities Available for Sale

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

## Impaired Loans

The fair values of impaired loans are generally measured for impairment using the practical expedients permitted by FASB ASC 310-10-35 including impaired loans measured at an observable market price (if available), or at the fair value of the loan s collateral (if the loan is collateral dependent). Fair value of the loan s collateral, when the loan is dependent on collateral, is determined by appraisals or independent valuation, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidation of the collateral. These are considered Level 3 inputs.

Derivatives
The fair value of our derivative financial instruments, including interest rate swaps and caps, is based on derivative valuation models using market data inputs as of the valuation date that can generally be verified and do not typically involve significant management judgments. (Level 2 inputs).

## Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned is valued at the time the loan is foreclosed upon and the asset is transferred to other real estate owned. The value is based primarily on third party appraisals, less costs to sell and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Other real estate owned is reviewed and evaluated on at least an annual basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly, based on the same factors identified above.

## Loans held for sale

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors, or based on recent comparable sales, if available, and if not available, are based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk (Level 2 inputs) or may be assessed based upon the fair value of the collateral which is obtained from recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.
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For the quarter ended March 31, 2012, there were no changes in valuation techniques and related inputs resulting from the adoption of ASU 2011-04.

The table below summarizes information about valuation method, inputs and assumptions for nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements. The weight assigned to each input is based on the facts and circumstances that exist at the date of measurement.

|  | Valuation | Unobservable Inputs | Range of Quantitative Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Method |  |  |
| Impaired loans at fair value | Market | Adjustments to appraisal value; |  |
|  |  | Selling costs | 8.5\% |
| Other real estate owned | Market | Adjustments to appraisal value; |  |
|  |  | Discount to reflect realizable value, | 0\%-8.5\% |
|  |  | Selling costs | 8.5\% |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | Fair Value Measurements   <br> at the End of the Reporting Period Using   <br> Quoted Prices   <br> in Active Significant  <br> Markets Other  <br> for Observable Significant <br> Identical Assets Inputs  <br> (Level 1) Unobservable  <br> (In thousands) 2) Inputs (Level 3)  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 300 | \$ 0 | \$ | 300 | \$ | 0 |
| GSE collateralized mortgage obligations | 211,396 | 0 |  | 211,396 |  | 0 |
| GSE mortgage-backed securities | 462,498 | 0 |  | 462,498 |  | 0 |
| Trust preferred security | 3,698 | 0 |  | 3,698 |  | 0 |
| Municipal bonds | 5,018 | 0 |  | 5,018 |  | 0 |
| Mutual funds | 14,898 | 14,898 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Derivatives Interest rate caps | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |

There were no transfers between Level 1, 2 and 3 during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.

Fair Value Measurements at the End of the Reporting Period Using

|  | Quoted Prices |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in Active | Significant |  |
|  | Markets | Other | Significant |
| December 31, | for | Observable | Unobservable |
| Identical Assets | Inputs | Inputs |  |
|  | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |


| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Securities available for sale: | $\$ 300$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 300 |
| U.S. Treasury | 227,836 | 0 | 227,836 | $\$$ | 0 |
| GSE collateralized mortgage obligations | 487,754 | 0 | 487,754 | 0 |  |
| GSE mortgage-backed securities | 4,348 | 0 | 4,348 | 0 |  |
| Trust preferred securities | 5,764 | 0 | 5,764 | 0 |  |
| Municipal bonds | 14,918 | 14,918 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mutual funds | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  |
| Derivatives Interest rate caps |  |  |  |  |  |

Fair value adjustments for interest rate caps resulted in a net expense of \$8 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and $\$ 157$ thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

Fair Value Measurements at the End of the Reporting Period Using


* The balance consists of real estate portfolio segment only.
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* The balance consists of real estate portfolio segment only.
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## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, not previously presented, at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

|  | Carrying <br> Amount <br> (In thousands) | Estimated <br> Fair Value | Measurement <br> Using |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Financial Assets: | $\$ 365,679$ | $\$$ | 365,679 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 20,000 | 20,000 | Level 1 |
| Term federal funds sold | 28,107 | 30,508 | Level 1 |
| Loans held for sale | $3,655,602$ | $3,941,945$ | Level 3 |
| Loans receivable net | 26,064 | N/A | N/A |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 12,253 | 12,253 | Level 2 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 11,095 | 11,095 | Level 3 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 12,187 | 12,187 | Level 2 |
| Customers liabilities on acceptances |  |  |  |
| Financial Liabilities: | $\$ 1,011,466$ | $\$ 1,011,466$ | Level 2 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | $1,433,752$ | $1,433,752$ | Level 2 |
| Saving and other interest bearing demand deposits | $1,475,245$ | $1,482,475$ | Level 2 |
| Time deposits | 332,109 | 336,606 | Level 2 |
| Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank | 52,137 | 49,848 | Level 2 |
| Subordinated debentures | 6,485 | 6,485 | Level 2 |
| Accrued interest payable | 12,187 | 12,187 | Level 2 |
| Bank s liabilities on acceptances outstanding |  |  |  |

December 31, 2011

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { December 31, 2011 } \\ \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Amount } \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fstimated |  |  |
| Fair Value |  |  |$]$

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows.

The carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, savings and other interest bearing demand deposits, accrued interest receivable and payable, customer sand Bank s liabilities on acceptances, non-interest-bearing deposits, short-term debt, secured borrowings, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the
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estimated life and credit risk. The allowance for loan losses is considered to be a reasonable estimate of discount for credit quality concerns. Fair value of loans held for sale is based on market quotes. Fair value of time deposits and debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of Federal Reserve Bank stock or Federal Home Loan Bank stock due to restrictions placed on their transferability. The fair value of commitments to fund loans represents fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements with similar remaining maturities and is not presented herein. The fair value of these financial instruments is not material to the consolidated financial statements.
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## 13. Stockholders Equity and Regulatory Matters

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s and the Bank sfinancial statements, such as restrictions on the growth, expansion or the payment of dividends or other capital distributions or management fees. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes that, as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the most recent regulatory notification categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table below. There are no conditions or events since the notification that management believes have changed the Bank s category.

On November 21, 2008, the Company received $\$ 67$ million from the U.S. Treasury through its TARP capital purchase plan and issued 67,000 shares of cumulative preferred stock. The preferred stock will pay cumulative dividends at the rate of $5 \%$ per year for the first five years and $9 \%$ per year thereafter. The shares are callable by the Company at par after three years if the repurchase is made with proceeds of a new offering or placement of common equity or of certain preferred stock treated as Tier 1 capital under applicable Federal banking regulations.

In conjunction with the purchase of the Company s preferred stock, the U.S. Treasury received a warrant to purchase $1,042,531$ shares of the Company s common stock at $\$ 9.64$ per share. The term of the warrant is ten years. On December 3, 2009, US Treasury approved the Company s request for an adjustment to the Company s warrant share position due to a qualified equity offering in November 2009. The adjusted number of warrant is 521,266 , or $50 \%$ of original issuance of $1,042,531$.

Upon the merger with Center Financial, we issued 55,000 shares of a new series of our preferred stock having substantially the same rights, preferences, privileges and voting powers as our Series A Preferred Stock in exchange for the shares of similar preferred issued by Center Financial under the Treasury Department s TARP Capital Purchase Program. The new series of preferred stock is designated as our Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B. The ten-year warrant to purchase Center Financial common stock that was issued in connection with Center Financial s sale of its Series A Preferred Stock to the Treasury Department was converted into a warrant to purchase BBCN Bancorp common stock upon our merger with Center. Reflecting the merger exchange ratio of 0.7805 , the warrant now entitles the holder of the warrant to purchase, in one or more exercises of the warrant, up to 337,480 shares of BBCN Bancorp common stock at a price of $\$ 12.22$ per share.

Prior to the earlier of the third anniversary of the closing date and the date on which the preferred shares have been redeemed in whole or the investor has transferred all of the preferred shares to third parties which are not affiliates of the investor, neither the Company nor any Company subsidiary shall, without the consent of the investor, declare or pay any dividend or make any distribution on its common stock (other than (A) regular quarterly cash dividends of not more than $\$ 0.0275$, which was the amount of the last quarterly cash dividend per share declared or, if lower, publicly announced an intention to declare, on the common stock prior to October 14, 2008, as adjusted for any stock split, stock dividend, reverse stock split, reclassification or similar transaction, (B) dividends payable solely in shares of common stock and (C) dividends or distributions of rights or junior stock in connection with a stockholders rights plan). The preferred stock issued qualifies as Tier 1 capital.
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The Company s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the table below:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Required } \\ \text { To Be Well }\end{array} \\ \text { Capitalized under }\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Required } \\ \text { To Be Well }\end{array} \\ \text { Capitalized under }\end{array}\right]$

Under federal banking law, dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year may not, without the approval of the regulatory agency, exceed its net income for that year combined with its retained income from the preceding two years. However, the regulatory agency has previously issued a bulletin to all banks outlining guidelines limiting the circumstances under which banks may pay dividends even if the banks are otherwise statutorily authorized to pay dividends. The limitations impose a requirement or in some cases suggest that prior approval of the regulatory agency should be obtained before a dividend is paid if a bank is the subject of administrative action or if the payment could be viewed by the regulatory agency as unsafe or unusual.
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Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes set forth elsewhere in this report.
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## GENERAL

## Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth certain selected financial data concerning the periods indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|c} & \begin{array}{c}\text { At or for the Three Months Ended } \\ \text { March 31, }\end{array} \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 1}\end{array}\right)$
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$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|r} & \begin{array}{c}\text { At or for the Three Months Ended } \\ \text { March 31, }\end{array} \\ \hline & \mathbf{2 0 1 2} \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$
(1) Annualized.
(2) Efficiency ratio is defined as non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.
(3) Net interest margin is calculated by dividing annualized net interest income by average total interest-earning assets.
(4) The ratios required to meet the definition of a well-capitalized institution under certain banking regulations are $5 \%$ leverage capital, $6 \%$ tier I risk-based capital and $10 \%$ total risk-based capital.
(5) Calculations are based on average quarterly asset balances.
(6) Non-performing loans include non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, and accruing restructured loans. Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing consist of acquired loans that were originally recorded at fair value upon acquisitions. These loans are considered to be accruing as we can reasonably estimate future cash flows on acquired loans and we expect to fully collect the carrying value of these loans.
(7) Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, other real estate owned, and accruing restructured loans.
(8) Based on net income (loss) before effect of dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock.
(9) Excludes TARP preferred stock, net of discount, of $\$ 119.7$ million and $\$ 64.9$ million and stock warrants of $\$ 2.8$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million at March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(10) Legacy loans are those loans accounted for under the amortized cost method, and do not include loans acquired from Center Financial Corporation on November 30, 2011. This is a non-GAAP measure that we believe provides investors with information that is useful in understanding our financial performance and position. Allowance for loan losses to legacy loans is calculated by dividing gross legacy loan balance by allowance for loan losses.
(11) Average tangible equity is calculated by subtracting average goodwill and average other intangibles from average stockholders equity. This is a non-GAAP measure that we believe provides investors with information that is useful in understanding our financial performance and position.

|  | March 31, 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In Thousands) |  |$\quad$| March 31, 2011 |
| :---: |
| Net income |
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(12) Tangible book value per share is calculated by subtracting goodwill and other intangible assets from total stockholders equity and diving the difference by the number of shares of common stock outstanding. This is a non-GAAP measure that we believe provides investors with information that is useful in understanding our financial performance and position.

|  | March 31, 2012 |  | March 31, 2011 nds) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stockholders equity | \$ | 818,166 | \$ | 364,336 |
| Less: Preferred stock, net of discount |  | $(119,694)$ |  | $(64,441)$ |
| Common stock warrant |  | $(2,760)$ |  | $(2,383)$ |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net |  | $(93,820)$ |  | $(2,965)$ |
| Tangible common equity | \$ | 601,892 | \$ | 294,547 |
| Common shares outstanding |  | 7,996,391 |  | 7,993,327 |
| Tangible common equity per share | \$ | 7.72 | \$ | 7.75 |

(13) Tier 1 common is calculated as tier 1 capital less non-common elements, including perpetual preferred stock and related surplus, minority interest in subsidiaries, trust preferred securities and mandatory convertible preferred securities.

|  | March 31, 2012 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In Thousands) |  |  | March 31, 2011
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## Results of Operations

## Overview

Our total assets were unchanged at $\$ 5.17$ billion at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Gross loans receivable was unchanged at $\$ 3.74$ billion during the three months ended March 31, 2012. Our deposits decreased $\$ 20$ million, or $1 \%$, to $\$ 3.92$ billion at March 31, 2012 from $\$ 3.94$ billion at December 31, 2011. Securities available for sale declined $6 \%$ during the first three months of 2012 as a result of paydowns and maturities.

Our net income available to common stockholders for the first quarter of 2012 was $\$ 22.1$ million, or $\$ 0.28$ per diluted common share, compared to the net income available to common stockholders of $\$ 5.7$ million, or $\$ 0.15$ per diluted common share, for the same period of 2011, representing an increase in net income of $\$ 16.4$ million, or $289 \%$. The merger with Center Financial Corporation ( Center ) completed on November 30, 2011 impacts the comparability of operating results for the first quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011. Our operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, include the following pre-tax acquisition accounting adjustments and expenses related to the merger. The increase (decrease) to pre-tax income of these adjustments is summarized below. The impact which these adjustments have on certain yields and costs are described in subsequent sections.

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accretion of discount on acquired Center loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 9,114 | \$ | 0 |
| Amortization of premiums on Center FHLB borrowings ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 1,231 |  | 0 |
| Accretion of discount on Center subordinated debt ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | (35) |  | 0 |
| Amortization of premium on Center time deposits ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | 1,275 |  | 0 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangibles from Center ${ }^{(5)}$ |  | (290) |  | 0 |
| Accretion of discounts on other Center assets ${ }^{(6)}$ |  | 57 |  | 0 |
| Amortization of unfavorable lease liability ${ }^{(7)}$ |  | 58 |  | 0 |
| Merger and integration expense ${ }^{(8)}$ |  | $(1,773)$ |  | (511) |
| Increase (decrease) to pre-tax income | \$ | 9,637 | \$ | (511) |

${ }^{(1)}$ We have estimated the fair value of the loans acquired as the result of our merger. The valuation resulted in a discount of approximately $\$ 118.0$ million as of November 30, 2011. The accretion of this purchase discount over the remaining lives of the acquired loans is included in our reported interest income on loans.
(2) The fair value of the outstanding FHLB borrowings assumed from Center was estimated to be above the face amount of such debt. Our reported interest expense on FHLB advances includes amortization to the face amount of these advances over the remaining term of the debt.
(3) The fair value of the outstanding subordinated debt assumed from Center was estimated to be below the face amount of such debt. Our reported interest expense on other borrowings includes accretion to the face amount of this debt over the remaining term of the debt.
(4) The fair value of certificate of deposit liabilities assumed from Center was estimated to be above the face amount of such deposits. Our reported interest expense on deposits includes amortization to the face amount of such liabilities over the remaining term of the deposits.
(5) A core deposit intangible arises from a financial institution or a financial institution branch having a deposit base comprised of funds associated with stable customer relationships. These customer relationships provide a cost benefit to the acquiring institution since the
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associated customer deposits typically are at lower interest rates and can be expected to be retained on a long-term basis. Deposit customer relationships have value due to their favorable interest rates in comparison to market rates for alternative funding sources with expected lives comparable to expected lives of the core deposits. The discounted cash flow method, which we have used to estimate this value, is based upon the principle of future benefits; economic value tends to be based on anticipated future benefits as measured by cash flows expected to occur in the future. The core deposit intangible asset recognized as part of the Center merger is being amortized over its estimated useful life of approximately seven years utilizing an accelerated method.
(6) Accretion of discounts on other assets primarily consist of servicing assets, investments in affordable housing partnerships and the fair value of the favorable operating leases.
${ }^{(7)}$ Amortization of unfavorable lease liability represents the Center facilities lease contracts having rental rates that exceeded current market rates at the merger date.
${ }^{(8)}$ Direct costs related to the Center merger were expensed as incurred. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred $\$ 1.8$ million in merger and integration expenses related to the Center merger, including $\$ 0.6$ million in salaries and benefits, $\$ 1.0$ million in professional fees, and $\$ 0.1$ million in other noninterest expense. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we incurred $\$ 0.5$ million in merger related expenses.
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The annualized return on average assets, before the effect of dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock on average assets, was $1.86 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012 , compared to $0.92 \%$ for the same period of 2011. The annualized return on average equity, before effect of dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock, was $11.87 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012 , compared to $7.43 \%$ for the same period of 2011 . The efficiency ratio was $41.98 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012 , compared to $50.00 \%$ for the same period of 2011.

## Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

## Net Interest Income and Expense

The principal component of our earnings is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest and fees earned on loans and investments and the interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets is referred to as net interest margin. The net interest spread is the yield on average interest-earning assets less the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities (interest-bearing deposits and borrowed funds). Net interest income is affected by changes in the respective volumes of interest-earning assets and funding liabilities as well as by changes in the yields earned on interest-earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest income before provision for loan losses was $\$ 60.9$ million for the first quarter of 2012, an increase of $\$ 32.0$ million, or $111 \%$, compared to $\$ 28.9$ million for the same period of 2011 . The increase was principally attributable to the higher level of interest earning assets, as well as the net interest margin improvement, following the merger. The net interest margin improved to $5.11 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012, compared to $4.13 \%$ for the same period of 2011 . The improvement in the net interest margin was largely attributable to the effect of acquisition accounting adjustments.

Interest income for the first quarter of 2012 was $\$ 68.6$ million compared to $\$ 37.2$ million for the same period of 2011. The increase of $\$ 31.4$ million was primarily the result of a $\$ 2.8$ million increase in interest income due to an increase in the average yield earnings on average interest-earnings assets and a $\$ 28.6$ million increase in interest income due to an increase in the volume of average interest-earning assets.

Interest expense for the first quarter of 2012 was $\$ 7.7$ million, a decrease of $\$ 0.6$ million, or $7 \%$, compared to interest expense of $\$ 8.3$ million for the same quarter of 2011. The decrease was the result of a $\$ 3.3$ million decrease in interest expense due to a decrease in the average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, which was offset by an increase in the volume of average interest-bearing liabilities of $\$ 2.7$ million.

## Net Interest Margin

The net interest margin (net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets) for the first quarter of 2012 was $5.11 \%$, an increase of 98 basis points from $4.13 \%$ for the first quarter of 2011. The improvement in net interest margin was largely attributable to the effect of acquisition accounting adjustments, as summarized in the following table.

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> March 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Net interest margin, excluding effect of acquisition accounting <br> adjustments | $4.04 \%$ | $4.13 \%$ |
| Acquisition accounting adjustments $^{(1)}$ | 1.07 | 0.00 |
| Reported net interest margin | $5.11 \%$ | $4.13 \%$ |

1) Acquisition accounting adjustments is calculated by subtracting net interest margin, excluding effect of acquisition accounting adjustments, from reported net interest margin.
The weighted average yield on loans increased to $6.75 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012 from $6.19 \%$ for the first quarter of 2011. The increase in the yield is largely attributable to the accretion of discounts on loans acquired from Center in the merger, as summarized in the following table.
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|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> March 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

(1) Acquisition accounting adjustments is calculated by subtracting the weighted average yield on loans, excluding effect of acquisition accounting adjustments, from reported weighted average yield on loans.
Excluding the accretion of discounts on loans acquired from Center, the weighted average yield on loans for the first quarter of 2012 was $5.61 \%$, down 58 basis points from the first quarter of 2011. The reduction in yield, excluding the effect of acquisition accounting adjustments, is primarily due the lower yielding former Center Bank loan portfolio, and to a lesser extent, continued pricing pressures in the market place. At March 31, 2012, fixed rate loans accounted for $39 \%$ of the loan portfolio, compared with $46 \%$ at March 31 , 2011, reflecting the Company s focus on variable rate commercial lending. The weighted average yield on the variable rate and fixed rate loan portfolios (excluding loan discount accretion) at March 31, 2012 was $4.61 \%$ and $6.49 \%$, respectively, compared with $4.82 \%$ and $7.12 \%$ at March 31, 2011.

The weighted average yield on securities available for sale for the first quarter of 2012 was $2.71 \%$, compared with $2.99 \%$ for the first quarter of 2011. The decline in yield from the quarter ended March 31, 2011 was the result of the replacement of maturing securities with lower yield investments as market interest rates declined and the impact of acquisition accounting adjustments. The acquired Center securities portfolio of approximately $\$ 290$ million was adjusted to fair value of $\$ 293$ million as of the merger date, resulting in interest income on investment securities for that portfolio being recognized at a lower average yield, compared with the yield on the balance of the Company s securities portfolio.

The weighted average cost of deposits for the first quarter of 2012 was $0.56 \%$, an improvement of 40 basis points from $0.96 \%$ for the first quarter of 2011. The amortization of premium on time deposits assumed from Center positively affected the weighted average cost of deposits, as summarized in the following table.

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> March 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| The weighted average cost of deposits, excluding effect of acquisition | $0.69 \%$ | $0.96 \%$ |
| accounting adjustments | $(0.13)$ | 0.00 |
| Acquisition accounting adjustments ${ }^{(1)}$ | $0.56 \%$ | $0.96 \%$ |

(1) Acquisition accounting adjustments is calculated by subtracting the weighted average cost of deposits, excluding effect of acquisition accounting adjustments, from reported weighted average cost of deposits.
Excluding amortization of premium on time deposits assumed from Center, the weighted average cost of deposits was $0.69 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012 , compared with $0.96 \%$ for the same period of 2011 . The improvement was driven by reductions in the cost of interest-bearing demand deposits, as well as a favorable shift in the mix of deposits to higher concentrations of non-interest bearing demand deposits. Non-interest bearing demand deposits accounted for $26 \%$ of total deposits at March 31, 2012, compared with 19\% at March 31, 2011.

The weighted average cost of FHLB advances for the first quarter of 2012 was $1.92 \%$, a decrease of 129 basis points from $3.21 \%$ in the first quarter of 2011. The significant improvement was attributable to the amortization of premiums on Center FHLB borrowings, as summarized in the following table.
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|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> March 31, <br> 2011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| The weighted average cost on FHLB advances, excluding effect of <br> acquisition accounting adjustments | $3.41 \%$ | $3.21 \%$ |
| Acquisition accounting adjustments | $(1.49)$ | 0.00 |
| Reported weighted average cost on FHLB advances | $1.92 \%$ | $3.21 \%$ |

(1) Acquisition accounting adjustments is calculated by subtracting the weighted average cost on FHLB advances, excluding effect of acquisition accounting adjustments, from reported weighted average cost on FHLB advances.
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Excluding amortization of premiums on assumed Center FHLB borrowings, the weighted average cost of FHLB advances increased slightly to $3.41 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012 from $3.21 \%$ for the same period of 2011. The increase is attributed to higher rates on the assumed Center FHLB borrowings in relation to the Company s legacy rates.

Prepayment penalty income for the first quarter of 2012 and 2011 was $\$ 116$ thousand and $\$ 229$ thousand, respectively. Non-accrual interest income reversed was $\$ 349$ thousand and $\$ 100$ thousand for the first quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Excluding the effects of both non-accrual loan interest income and prepayment penalty income, the net interest margin for the first quarter 2012 and 2011 would have been as 5.13 and $4.11 \%$, respectively.
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The following table presents our condensed consolidated average balance sheet information, together with interest rates earned and paid on the various sources and uses of funds for the periods indicated:

|  | Three months ended |  |  | Three months ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, 2012 |  |  | March 31, 2011 |  |  |
|  | Average Balance |  | Average <br> Yield/ <br> Rate * <br> (Dollars in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \text { 1ousands) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Average <br> Yield/ <br> Rate * |
| INTEREST EARNINGS ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | \$ 3,777,495 | \$ 63,419 | 6.75\% | \$ 2,167,739 | \$ 33,085 | 6.19\% |
| Securities available for sale ${ }^{(3)}$ | 725,728 | 4,909 | 2.71\% | 526,341 | 3,930 | 2.99\% |
| FRB and FHLB stock and other investments | 257,583 | 178 | 0.27\% | 137,094 | 179 | 0.52\% |
| Federal funds sold | 25,780 | 49 | 0.74\% | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Total interest earning assets | \$4,786,586 | \$ 68,555 | 5.76\% | \$2,831,174 | \$ 37,194 | 5.32\% |
| INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, interest-bearing | \$ 1,232,763 | \$ 2,123 | 0.69\% | \$ 680,254 | \$ 1,464 | 0.87\% |
| Savings | 195,932 | 922 | 1.89\% | 126,661 | 709 | 2.27\% |
| Time deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,000 or more | 767,171 | 1,411 | 0.74\% | 321,708 | 455 | 0.57\% |
| Other | 722,982 | 947 | 0.53\% | 640,549 | 2,502 | 1.58\% |
| Total time deposits | 1,490,153 | 2,358 | 0.64\% | 962,257 | 2,957 | 1.25\% |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 2,918,848 | 5,403 | 0.74\% | 1,769,172 | 5,130 | 1.18\% |
| FHLB advances | 339,964 | 1,626 | 1.92\% | 324,611 | 2,572 | 3.21\% |
| Other borrowings | 50,108 | 667 | 5.26\% | 55,088 | 608 | 4.42\% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 3,308,920 | \$ 7,696 | 0.93\% | 2,148,871 | \$ 8,310 | 1.57\% |
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits |  |  |  | 388,928 |  |  |
| Total funding liabilities / cost of funds | \$ 3,308,920 |  | 0.72\% | \$ 2,537,799 |  | 1.33\% |
| Net interest income/net interest spread |  | \$ 60,859 | 4.83\% |  | \$ 28,884 | 3.75\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 5.11\% |  |  | 4.13\% |
| Net interest margin, excluding effect of non-accrual loan income (expense) ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  | 5.14\% |  |  | 4.14\% |
| Net interest margin, excluding effect of non-accrual loan income (expense) and prepayment fee income ${ }^{(4)(5)}$ |  |  | 5.13\% |  |  | 4.11\% |
| Cost of deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits | \$ 984,813 | 0 |  | \$ 388,928 | \$ 0 |  |
| Interest bearing deposits | 2,918,848 | 5,403 | 0.74\% | 1,769,172 | 5,130 | 1.18\% |
| Total deposits | \$ 3,903,661 | \$ 5,403 | 0.56\% | \$ 2,158,100 | \$ 5,130 | 0.96\% |
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* Annualized
(1) Interest income on loans includes loan fees.
(2) Average balances of loans are net of deferred loan fees and costs and include nonaccrual loans and loans held for sale.
(3) Interest income and yields are not presented on a tax-equivalent basis.
(4) Non-accrual interest income reversed was $\$ 349$ thousand and $\$ 100$ thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(5) Loan prepayment fee income excluded was $\$ 116$ thousand and $\$ 229$ thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
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The following table illustrates the changes in our interest income, interest expense, and amounts attributable to variations in interest rates and volumes for the periods indicated. The variances attributable to simultaneous volume and rate changes have been allocated to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate categories in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts attributable solely to the change in volume and to the change in rate.

|  | Three months ended <br> March 31, 2012 over March 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Net Increase (Decrease) | Change due to |  |
|  |  | Rate | Volume |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 30,334 | \$ 3,271 | \$ 27,063 |
| Interest on securities | 979 | (395) | 1,374 |
| Interest on FRB and FHLB stock and other investments | (1) | (112) | 111 |
| Interest on federal funds sold | 49 | 0 | 49 |
| Total interest income | \$ 31,361 | \$ 2,764 | \$ 28,597 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |
| Interest on demand, interest bearing | \$ 659 | \$ (356) | \$ 1,015 |
| Interest on savings | 213 | (136) | 349 |
| Interest on time deposits | (599) | $(1,841)$ | 1,242 |
| Interest on FHLB advances | (946) | $(1,089)$ | 143 |
| Interest on other borrowings | 59 | 108 | (49) |
| Total interest expense | \$ (614) | \$ $(3,314)$ | \$ 2,700 |
| Net Interest Income | \$ 31,975 | \$ 6,078 | \$ 25,897 |

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses reflects our judgment of the current period cost associated with credit risk inherent in our loan portfolio. The loan loss provision for each period is dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, delinquencies, assessments by management, third parties and regulators examination of the loan portfolio, the value of the underlying collateral for problem loans and the general economic conditions in our market areas. Specifically, the provision for loan losses represents the amount charged against current period earnings to achieve an allowance for loan losses that, in our judgment, is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in our loan portfolio. Periodic fluctuations in the provision for loan losses result from management s assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; however, actual loan losses may vary in material respects from current estimates. If the allowance for loan losses is inadequate, it may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2012 was $\$ 2.6$ million, a decrease of $\$ 2.7$ million, or $51 \%$, from $\$ 5.3$ million for the same period last year. The decrease is primarily due to lower charge-offs for the most recent quarters resulting in lower historical loss rates that are used to calculate general reserve requirements. Net charge-offs decreased to $\$ 2.2$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 4.2$ million for the same period last year.

See Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) and Financial Condition-Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses for further discussion.

## Non-interest Income

Non-interest income is primarily comprised of service fees on deposits accounts, fees received from our trade finance letter of credit operations and net gains on sales of loans and securities available for sale.
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Non-interest income for the first quarter of 2012 was $\$ 11.6$ million, compared to $\$ 4.5$ million for the same quarter of 2011, an increase of $\$ 7.1$ million, or $158 \%$. The increase was primarily attributable to the merger with Center. Net gains on sales of SBA loans totaled $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million for the first quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively. We sold $\$ 33.4$ million in SBA loans to the secondary market during the first quarter of 2012. We posted a net gain on sale of securities available-for-sale of $\$ 816$ thousand in the first quarter of 2012. This compares with none in first quarter 2011. This included sale of a relatively illiquid trust preferred security which had been marked to market in a prior period. We had no sales of securities in first quarter 2011.
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The breakdown of changes in our non-interest income by category is shown below:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | $2011$ <br> (Dollars in | Amount housands) | Percent (\%) |
| Service fees on deposit accounts | \$ 3,160 | \$ 1,497 | \$ 1,663 | 111.1\% |
| International service fees | 1,224 | 570 | 654 | 114.7\% |
| Loan servicing fees, net | 1,337 | 463 | 874 | 188.8\% |
| Wire transfer fees | 741 | 322 | 419 | 130.1\% |
| Other income and fees | 1,340 | 507 | 833 | 164.3\% |
| Net gains on sales of SBA loans | 2,963 | 1,160 | 1,803 | 155.4\% |
| Net gains on sales and calls of securities available for sale | 816 | 0 | 816 | 100.0\% |
| Net valuation losses on interest rate contracts | 3 | (11) | 14 | -127.3\% |
| Net gains (losses) on sales of OREO | 61 | 2 | 59 | 2,950.0\% |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 11,645 | \$ 4,510 | \$7,135 | 158.2\% |

## Non-interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the first quarter of 2012 was $\$ 30.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 13.7$ million, or $82 \%$, from $\$ 16.7$ million for the same period of last year. The increase largely reflected the combined operations of new BBCN.

Salaries and benefits expense increased $\$ 6.9$ million, or $97 \%$, to $\$ 14.1$ million for the first quarter of 2012, compared to $\$ 7.2$ million for the same period of 2011. The increase was due to an increase in the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) employees, which increased to 661 at March 31, 2012 from 376 at March 31, 2011. Occupancy expense for the first quarter of 2012 rose $50 \%$ to $\$ 3.6$ million from $\$ 2.4$ million for the same period of 2011 due to the increase in the number of branches from 23 pre-merger to 44 post-merger.
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The breakdown of changes in non-interest expense by category is shown below:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |
| (Dollars increase (Decrease) |  |  |
| Amount |  |  |
| Percent (\%) |  |  |$)$

## Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense was $\$ 15.5$ million and $\$ 4.7$ million for the first quarter ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The effective income tax rate for the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was $39.4 \%$ and $41.0 \%$, respectively. The lower effective tax rate during the first quarter of 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to an increase in the Federal affordable housing tax credits, California enterprise zone hiring credits, California enterprise zone loan interest deductions.
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## Financial Condition

At March 31, 2012, our total assets were $\$ 5.18$ billion, an increase of $\$ 9.9$ million from $\$ 5.17$ billion at December 31, 2011.

## Investment Securities Portfolio

As of March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 697.8$ million in available-for-sale securities, compared to $\$ 740.9$ million of such securities at December 31, 2011. The net unrealized gain on the available-for sale securities at March 31, 2012 was $\$ 14.0$ million, compared to a net unrealized gain on such securities of $\$ 15.2$ million at December 31, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, no securities was purchased, $\$ 38.6$ million in mortgage related securities were paid down, and $\$ 0.8$ million in securities were either called or matured. We sold $\$ 1.0$ million corporate trust preferred security acquired from Center Financial, and recognized a gain of $\$ 0.8$ million. No securities were sold during the same period of last year,.

## Loan Portfolio

As of March 31, 2012, gross loans outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs and excluding loans held for sale, was $\$ 3.737$ billion, a decrease of $\$ 2$ million from $\$ 3.739$ billion at December 31, 2011. Total loan originations during the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 167.6$ million, including SBA loan originations of $\$ 34.6$ million, compared to $\$ 88.1$ million during the same period of 2011.

The following table summarizes our loan portfolio by amount and percentage of gross loans in each major loan type at the dates indicated:

|  | March 31, 2012 |  | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percent (In | Amount ousands) | Percent |
| Loan portfolio composition |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$ 1,995 | 0\% | \$ 2,043 | 0\% |
| Commercial \& industrial | 2,626,530 | 70\% | 2,631,880 | 70\% |
| Construction | 48,064 | 1\% | 44,756 | 1\% |
| Total real estate loans | 2,676,589 | 72\% | 2,678,679 | 73\% |
| Commercial business | 846,307 | 23\% | 849,576 | 23\% |
| Trade finance | 152,704 | 4\% | 146,684 | 4\% |
| Consumer and other | 64,095 | 2\% | 66,631 | 2\% |
| Total loans outstanding | 3,739,695 | 100\% | 3,741,570 | 100\% |
| Less: deferred loan fees | $(2,496)$ |  | $(2,744)$ |  |
| Gross loans receivable | 3,737,199 |  | 3,738,826 |  |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | $(62,309)$ |  | $(61,952)$ |  |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 3,674,890 |  | \$ 3,676,874 |  |

SBA loans, consisting principally of the unguaranteed portion, are included in commercial business loans and commercial and industrial real estate loans. SBA loans included in commercial business loans were $\$ 73.3$ million at March 31, 2012 and $\$ 81.6$ million at December 31, 2011. SBA loans included in commercial and industrial real estate loans were $\$ 139.9$ million at March 31, 2012 and $\$ 152.5$ million at December 31, 2011.
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We normally do not extend lines of credit or make loan commitments to business customers for periods in excess of one year. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as we do for providing loan facilities to our customers. We perform annual reviews of such commitments prior to renewal.

The following table shows our loan commitments and letters of credit outstanding at the dates indicated:

|  | March 31, 2012 <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  | December 31, 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Loan commitments | $\$ 534,070$ | $\$$ | 458,096 |
| Standby letters of credit | 33,039 |  | 29,028 |
| Other commercial letters of credit | 58,938 |  | 49,457 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 626,047$ | $\$$ | 536,581 |

## Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets, which include non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more and accruing, restructured loans, and other real estate owned, were $\$ 87.7$ million at March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 73.8$ million at December 31, 2011. The increase in the dollar amount of non-performing loans primarily reflects three commercial real estate (CRE) loans, aggregating $\$ 9.9$ million, which were placed on non-accrual status and three loans, two CRE and one C\&I, totaling $\$ 5.4$ million, which were restructured. The ratio of nonperforming assets to gross loans plus OREO was $2.34 \%$ and $1.97 \%$ at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The following table summarizes the composition of our nonperforming assets as of the dates indicated.

|  | March 31, ${ }_{\text {(Dollars in }}$ in thousands) ${ }^{\text {den }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 39,935 | \$ | 31,212 |
| Delinquent loans 90 days or more on accrual status | 18,257 |  | 16,169 |
| Accruing restructured loans | 23,888 |  | 18,775 |
| Total Nonperforming Loans | 82,080 |  | 66,156 |
| Other real estate owned | 5,641 |  | 7,625 |
| Total Nonperforming Assets | \$ 87,721 | \$ | 73,781 |
| Nonperforming loans to total gross loans, excluding loans held for sale | 2.19\% |  | 1.77\% |
| Nonperforming assets to gross loans plus OREO | 2.34\% |  | 1.97\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 1.70\% |  | 1.43\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 75.97\% |  | 123.94\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing assets | 71.08\% |  | 83.97\% |

The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 62.3$ million at March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 62.0$ million at December 31, 2011. We recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 2.6$ million during the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 5.3$ million for the same period of 2011. The allowance for loan losses was $1.67 \%$ of gross loans at March 31, 2012 and $1.66 \%$ of gross loans at December 31, 2011. Impaired loans as defined by FASB ASC 310-10-35, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, totaled $\$ 99.8$ million and $\$ 82.1$ million as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, with specific allowances of $\$ 16.5$ million and $\$ 18.0$ million, respectively. The $\$ 17.7$ million increase in impaired loans by from December 31, 2011 to March 31, 2012 was due primarily to three CRE loans, aggregating $\$ 9.9$ million, which were placed on non-accrual status and three loans, two CRE and one C\&I, totaling $\$ 5.4$ million, which were restructured.
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The following table reflects our allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses ( ALLL ) by loan category and the ratio of each loan category to total loans as of the dates indicated:

|  | Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, 2012 |  | December 31, 2011 |  |
|  | Amount of allowance for loan losses | Percent of loans to total loans (Dollars i | Amount of allowance for loan losses usands) | Percent of loans to total loans |
| Loan Type |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate - Residential | \$ 9 | 0\% | \$ 9 | 0\% |
| Real estate - Commercial | 36,703 | 70\% | 38,307 | 70\% |
| Real estate - Construction | 640 | 1\% | 724 | 1\% |
| Commercial business | 22,108 | 23\% | 20,681 | 23\% |
| Trade finance | 1,839 | 4\% | 1,786 | 4\% |
| Consumer and other | 1,010 | 2\% | 445 | 2\% |
| Total | \$ 62,309 | 100\% | \$ 61,952 | 100\% |

For a better understanding of the changes in the ALLL, the loan portfolio has been segmented for disclosures purposes between loans, which are accounted for under the amortized cost method (referred to as legacy loans) and loans acquired from Center (referred to as acquired loans). The acquired loans were further segregated between credit impaired loans (ASC 310-30 loans) and performing loans (pass graded loans acquired from Center in the merger). The activity in the ALLL for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is as follows:

|  | Legacy <br> Loans ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Acquired Loans (2) |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Impaired <br> Loans |  | Performing Loans |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 61,952 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 61,952 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 835 |  | 814 |  | 951 |  | 2,600 |
| Loans charged off |  | $(3,296)$ |  | 0 |  | (86) |  | $(3,382)$ |
| Recoveries of charged offs |  | 742 |  | 0 |  | 397 |  | 1,139 |
| Balance, end of period |  | 60,233 |  | 814 |  | 1,262 |  | 62,309 |
| Gross loans, net of deferred loan fees and costs |  | ,507,789 |  | 170,837 |  | 1,058,573 |  | 737,199 |
| Loss coverage ratio |  | 2.40\% |  | 0.48\% |  | 0.12\% |  | 1.67\% |

(1) Legacy Loans includes acquired loans that have been renewed or refinanced after the merger.
(2) Acquired loans were marked to fair value at the acquisition date, and provisions for loan losses reflect credit deterioration since the acquisition date.
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The following table shows the provisions made for loan losses, the amount of loans charged off and the recoveries on loans previously charged off, together with the balance in the allowance for loan losses at the beginning and end of each period, the amount of average and gross loans outstanding, and certain other ratios as of the dates and for the periods indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|cc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { March 31, }\end{array} \\ \text { 2011 }\end{array}\right)$

## * Annualized

We believe the allowance for loan losses as of March 31, 2012 is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. However, no assurance can be given that actual losses will not exceed the estimated amounts.

## Deposits and Other Borrowings

Deposits. Deposits are our primary source of funds used in our lending and investment activities. At March 31, 2012, our deposits had decreased by $\$ 20$ million, or $1 \%$, to $\$ 3.92$ billion from $\$ 3.94$ billion at December 31, 2011. Retail deposits totaled $\$ 3.55$ billion at March 31, 2012, a decrease of $\$ 6$ million from $\$ 3.56$ billion at December 31, 2011. Interest-bearing demand deposits, including money market and Super Now
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accounts, totaled $\$ 1.43$ billion at March 31, 2012, a decrease of $\$ 1.7$ million from $\$ 1.44$ billion at December 31, 2011.

At March 31, 2012, $25.8 \%$ of total deposits were non-interest bearing demand deposits, $37.6 \%$ were time deposits and $36.6 \%$ were interest bearing demand and savings deposits. By comparison, at December 31, 2011, $25.0 \%$ of total deposits were non-interest bearing demand deposits, $38.6 \%$ were time deposits, and $36.4 \%$ were interest bearing demand and saving deposits.

At March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 66.5$ million in brokered deposits and $\$ 300.0$ million in California State Treasurer deposits, compared to $\$ 80.7$ million and $\$ 300.0$ million of such deposits at December 31, 2011, respectively. The California State Treasurer deposits had three-month maturities with a weighted average interest rate of $0.09 \%$ at March 31, 2012 and were collateralized with securities with a carrying value of $\$ 347.6$ million. The weighted average interest rate for brokered deposits was $0.33 \%$ at March 31, 2012.
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The following is a schedule of CD maturities as of March 31, 2012:

## Maturity Schedule of Time Deposits

## (in thousands)

| Quarter Ending | Balance* | Weighted Average <br> Interest Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| June 30, 2012 | $\$ 551,705$ | $0.55 \%$ |
| September 30, 2012 | 232,391 | $1.10 \%$ |
| December 31, 2012 | 244,836 | $1.28 \%$ |
| March 31, 2013 | 258,822 | $1.21 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total one year or less | $1,287,754$ | $0.92 \%$ |
| Over one year | 187,491 | $1.25 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total time deposits | $\$ 1,475,245$ | $0.96 \%$ |

Other Borrowings. Advances may be obtained from the FHLB as an alternative source of funds. Advances from the FHLB are typically secured by a pledge of commercial real estate loans and/or securities with a market value at least equal to the outstanding advances plus our investment in FHLB stock.

At March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 332.1$ million of FHLB advances with average remaining maturities of 1.1 years, compared to $\$ 344.4$ million with average remaining maturities of 1.3 years at December 31, 2011. The weighted average rate, including the acquisition accounting adjustments was $1.85 \%$ and $1.93 \%$ at March 31, 2012 and at December 31, 2011, respectively.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, six wholly-owned subsidiary grantor trusts ( Trusts ) established by us had issued $\$ 56$ million of pooled trust preferred securities ( Trust Preferred Securities ). The Trust Preferred Securities accrue and pay distributions periodically at specified annual rates as provided in the related indentures for the securities. The Trusts used the net proceeds from their respective offerings to purchase a like amount of subordinated debentures (the Debentures ) issued by us. The Debentures are the sole assets of the trusts. Our obligations under the Debentures and related documents, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by us of the obligations of the trusts. The Trust Preferred Securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity of the Debentures, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indentures. We have the right to redeem the Debentures in whole (but not in part) on or after specific dates, at redemption prices specified in the indentures plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date.

## Off-Balance-Sheet Activities and Contractual Obligations

We routinely engage in activities that involve, to varying degrees, elements of risk that are not reflected, in whole or in part, in the consolidated financial statements. These activities are part of our normal course of business and include traditional off-balance-sheet credit-related financial instruments, interest rate swap contracts, operating leases and long-term debt.

Traditional off-balance-sheet credit-related financial instruments are primarily commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These activities could require us to make cash payments to third parties if certain specified future events occur. The contractual amounts represent the extent of our exposure in these off-balance-sheet activities. However, since certain off-balance-sheet commitments, particularly standby letters of credit, are expected to expire or be only partially used, the total amount of commitments does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. These activities are necessary to meet the financing needs of our customers.

We enter into interest rate swap contracts under which we are required to either receive cash from or pay cash to counterparties depending on changes in interest rates. We also purchase interest rate caps to protect against increases in market interest rates. We utilize interest rate swap contracts and interest rate caps to help manage the risk of changing interest rates.

We do not anticipate that our current off-balance-sheet activities will have a material impact on our future results of operations or our financial condition. Further information regarding our financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk can be found in Item 3 Quantitative and
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Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk .

Our leased banking facilities and equipment are leased under non-cancelable operating leases under which we must make monthly payments over periods up to 20 years.

## Stockholders Equity and Regulatory Capital

Historically, our primary source of capital has been the retention of earnings, net of dividend payments to shareholders. We seek to maintain capital at a level sufficient to assure our stockholders, our customers, and our regulators that our company and our bank subsidiary are financially sound. For this purpose, we perform ongoing assessments of our components of capital as well as projected sources and uses of capital in conjunction with projected increases in assets and levels of risks.

Total stockholders equity was $\$ 818.2$ million at March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 795.9$ million at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to net income to common stockholders of $\$ 22.1$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Our ratio of tangible common equity to tangible assets was $11.86 \%$ at March 31, 2012, compared to $11.42 \%$ at December 31, 2011. The increase was attributable to the increase in stockholders equity.
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The federal banking agencies require a minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets of $8 \%$ and a minimum ratio of Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets of $4 \%$. In addition to the risk-based guidelines, federal banking regulators require banking organizations to maintain a minimum amount of Tier I capital to average total assets, referred to as the leverage ratio. Capital requirements apply to the Company and the Bank separately. In addition to these uniform risk-based capital guidelines and leverage ratios that apply across the industry, the regulators have the discretion to set individual minimum capital requirements for specific institutions at rates significantly above the minimum guidelines and ratios.

At March 31, 2012, our Tier I capital, defined as stockholders equity less intangible assets, plus proceeds from the trust preferred securities (subject to limitations), was $\$ 759.8$ million, compared to $\$ 733.3$ million at December 31, 2011, representing an increase of $\$ 26.5$ million, or $4 \%$. This increase was primarily due to the net income available to common stockholders of $\$ 22.1$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. At March 31, 2012, the total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio was $20.11 \%$ and the Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets ratio was $18.85 \%$. The Tier I leverage capital ratio was $15.08 \%$.

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the most recent regulatory notification categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage capital ratios as set forth in the table below.

|  | As of March 31, 2012 (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual <br> Amount |  | To Be Well-Capitalized |  | Excess |  |
|  |  | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| BBCN Bancorp, Inc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier I capital to total assets | \$ 759,784 | 15.1\% | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Tier I risk-based capital ratio | \$ 759,784 | 18.9\% | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | \$ 810,404 | 20.1\% | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| BBCN Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier I capital to total assets | \$ 695,618 | 13.8\% | \$ 251,858 | 5.0\% | \$ 443,760 | 8.8\% |
| Tier I risk-based capital ratio | \$ 695,618 | 17.3\% | \$ 241,630 | 6.0\% | \$ 453,988 | 11.3\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | \$ 746,199 | 18.5\% | \$ 402,717 | 10.0\% | \$ 343,482 | 8.5\% |


|  | As of December 31, 2011 (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual |  | To Be Well-Capitalized |  |  | Excess |  |
|  | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| BBCN Bancorp, Inc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier I capital to total assets | \$ 733,319 | 19.8\% |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Tier I risk-based capital ratio | \$ 733,319 | 18.2\% |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | \$ 784,054 | 19.4\% |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| BBCN Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier I capital to total assets | \$ 670,855 | 18.1\% | \$ | 185,048 | 5.0\% | \$ 485,807 | 13.1\% |
| Tier I risk-based capital ratio | \$ 670,855 | 16.6\% |  | 242,168 | 6.0\% | \$ 428,687 | 10.6\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | \$ 721,551 | 17.9\% | \$ | 403,613 | 10.0\% | \$ 317,938 | 7.9\% |

Liquidity Management

Liquidity risk is the risk of reduction in our earnings or capital that would result if we were not able to meet our obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. Liquidity risk includes the risk of unplanned decreases or changes in funding sources and changes in market conditions that affect our ability to liquidate assets quickly and with minimum loss of value. Factors considered in liquidity risk management are the stability of the deposit base; the marketability, maturity, and pledging of our investments; the availability of alternative sources of funds; and our demand for credit. The objective of our liquidity management is to have funds available to meet cash flow requirements arising from fluctuations in deposit levels and the demands of daily operations, which include funding of securities purchases, providing for customers credit needs, and ongoing repayment of borrowings.

Our primary sources of liquidity are derived from financing activities, which include customer and broker deposits, federal funds facilities, and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco and the Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window. These funding sources are augmented by payments of principal and interest on loans and securities, proceeds from sale of loans and the liquidation or sale of securities from our available for sale portfolio. Primary uses of funds include withdrawal of and interest payments on deposits, originations of loans, purchases of investment securities, and payment of operating expenses.
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At March 31, 2012, our total borrowing capacity from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco was $\$ 1.2$ billion, of which $\$ \$ 907$ million was unused and available to borrow. At March 31, 2012, our total borrowing capacity from the Federal Reserve Bank was $\$ 472$ million, of which $\$ 472$ million was unused and available to borrow. In addition to these lines, our liquid assets, consisting of cash and cash equivalent, interest-bearing cash deposits with other banks, overnight federal funds sold to other banks, liquid investment securities available for sale, and loan repayments within 30 days, were $\$ 735.2$ million at March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 689.8$ million at December 31, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents, including federal funds sold were $\$ 365.7$ million at March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 300.1$ million at December 31, 2011. We believe our liquidity sources to be stable and adequate to meet our day-to-day cash flow requirements.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The objective of our asset and liability management activities is to improve our earnings by adjusting the type and mix of assets and liabilities to effectively address changing conditions and risks. Through overall management of our balance sheet and by controlling various risks, we seek to optimize our financial returns within safe and sound parameters. Our operating strategies for attaining this objective include managing net interest margin through appropriate risk/return pricing of assets and liabilities and emphasizing growth in retail deposits, as a percentage of interest-bearing liabilities, to reduce our cost of funds. We also seek to improve earnings by controlling non-interest expense, and enhancing non-interest income. We also use risk management instruments to modify interest rate characteristics of certain assets and liabilities to hedge against our exposure to interest rate fluctuations with the objective of, reducing the effects these fluctuations might have on associated cash flows or values. Finally, we perform internal analysis to measure, evaluate and monitor risk.

## Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the most significant market risk impacting us. Interest rate risk occurs when interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities do not reprice simultaneously and in equal volume. A key objective of asset and liability management is to manage interest rate risk associated with changing asset and liability cash flows and values of our assets and liabilities and market interest rate movements. The management of interest rate risk is governed by policies reviewed and approved annually by the Board of Directors. Our Board delegates responsibility for interest rate risk management to the Asset Liability Committee of the Board ( ALCO ) and to the Asset and Liability Management Committee ( ALM ), which is composed of Nara Bank s senior executives and other designated officers.

Market risk is the risk of adverse impacts on our future earnings, the fair values of our assets and liabilities, or our future cash flows that may result from changes in the price of a financial instrument. The fundamental objective of our ALM is to manage our exposure to interest rate fluctuations while maintaining adequate levels of liquidity and capital. Our ALM meets regularly to monitor interest rate risk, the sensitivity of our assets and liabilities to interest rate changes, the book and market values of our assets and liabilities, and our investment activities. It also directs changes in the composition of our assets and liabilities. Our strategy has been to reduce the sensitivity of our earnings to interest rate fluctuations by more closely matching the effective maturities or repricing characteristics of our assets and liabilities. Certain assets and liabilities, however, may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Furthermore, interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate prior to changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind. We consider the anticipated effects of these factors when implementing our interest rate risk management objectives.

## Interest Rate Sensitivity

We monitor interest rate risk through the use of a simulation model that provides us with the ability to simulate our net interest income. In order to measure, at March 31, 2012, the sensitivity of our forecasted net interest income to changing interest rates, both rising and falling interest rate scenarios were projected and compared to base market interest rate forecasts. One application of our simulation model measures the impact of market interest rate changes on the net present value of estimated cash flows from our assets and liabilities, defined as our market value of equity. This analysis assesses the changes in market values of interest rate sensitive financial instruments that would occur in response to immediate and parallel changes in market interest rates.

The impacts on our net interest income and market value of equity exposed to immediate and parallel hypothetical changes in market interest rates as projected by the model we use for this purpose are illustrated in the following table.

|  | March 31, 2012 |  | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated |  | Estimated |  |
|  | Net | Market Value | Net | Market Value |
|  | Interest Income Sensitivity | Of Equity Volatility | Interest Income Sensitivity | Of Equity Volatility |
| Rate Changes |  |  |  |  |
| + 200 basis points | 10.53\% | 0.55\% | 5.46\% | (4.61)\% |
| + 100 basis points | 5.29\% | 0.68\% | 2.91\% | (1.84)\% |
| - 100 basis points | 0.08\% | 3.47\% | 0.77\% | 4.57\% |
| - 200 basis points | 0.32\% | 7.28\% | 0.83\% | 8.58\% |

The results obtained from using the simulation model are somewhat uncertain as the model does not take into account other impacts or changes and the effect they could have on Company s business or changes in business strategy the Company might make in reaction to changes in the interest rate environment.
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## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) for the period ended March 31, 2012. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer determined that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II

## OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in routine litigation incidental to our business, none of which is expected to have a material adverse effect on us.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes from risk factors previously disclosed in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
None

## Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits
See Index to Exhibits .
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 10, 2012

Date: May 10, 2012

## BBCN BANCORP, INC.

/s/ Alvin D. Kang
Alvin D. Kang
President and Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Philip E. Guldeman
Philip E. Guldeman
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
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## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on June 5, 2000 (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ) on November 16, 2000) |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 31, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 Exhibit 3.3 filed with the SEC on February 5, 2003) |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on June 1, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form 10-Q Exhibit 3.1.1 filed with the SEC on November 8, 2004) |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on November 2, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on DEF14 A, Appendix B filed with the SEC on September 6, 2005) |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on July 20, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on DEF14 A, Appendix C filed with the SEC on April 19, 2007) |
| 3.6 | Certificate of Merger, filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on November 30, 2011* |
| 3.7 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of BBCN Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K Exhibit 5.1 filed with the SEC on February 1, 2012, SEC file number 000-50245) |
| 10.1 | Amendment No. 2 to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 6, 2011, between Nara Bancorp, Inc. and Center Financial Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 2.1, filed with the SEC on July 7, 2011) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor Protection Act of 2002* |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor Protection Act of 2002* |
| 101.INS** | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

* Filed herewith
** Furnished herewith

