

GANNETT CO INC /DE/  
Form DEF 14A  
March 17, 2014  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant  Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**Gannett Co., Inc.**

---

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

**Table of Contents**

March 17, 2014

Dear Shareholder:

On behalf of your Board of Directors and management, we cordially invite you to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 1, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. at the Company's headquarters located at 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107.

At this meeting, our shareholders will vote on matters set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. We also will provide a report on our Company, including an update on our acquisition of Belo Corp. and the progress we've made on our strategic plan, and will entertain questions of general interest to shareholders.

2013 was a watershed year for our Company. We took several important steps toward diversifying the Company's business mix, while at the same time staying true to our purpose to serve the greater good of the nation and the communities we serve, all with an eye to delivering value to our shareholders. We are proud of the efforts our team of some 31,500 employees has made in executing our transformation strategy and are very optimistic about the Company's future. We invite you to learn more about the new Gannett and the work we continue to do in transforming our Company by joining us on May 1 for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Thank you for your continued support.

Cordially,

Marjorie Magner

Chairman of the Board

Gracia C. Martore

President and Chief Executive Officer

7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107 (703) 854-6000

**Table of Contents**

---

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

**To Be Held on May 1, 2014**

---

To Our Shareholders:

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Gannett Co., Inc. will be held at the Company's headquarters, 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia, at 10:00 a.m. on May 1, 2014 for the following purposes:

- (1) to consider and act upon a proposal to elect to the Company's Board of Directors nine persons nominated by the Board of Directors;
- (2) to consider and act upon a proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year;
- (3) to consider and act upon, by a non-binding advisory vote, a resolution to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
- (4) to consider a shareholder proposal regarding vesting of equity awards of senior executives upon a change of control; and
- (5) to transact such other business, if any, as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors has set the close of business on March 3, 2014 as the record date to determine the shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

*YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. YOUR SHARES SHOULD BE REPRESENTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND. IF YOU DO NOT WISH TO VOTE IN PERSON OR IF YOU WILL NOT BE ATTENDING THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU MAY VOTE BY PROXY. YOU CAN VOTE BY PROXY OVER THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE BY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED IN THE NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY MAILED TO YOU OR, IF YOU REQUESTED PRINTED COPIES OF THE PROXY MATERIALS, YOU CAN ALSO VOTE BY MAIL, BY TELEPHONE OR ON THE INTERNET AS INSTRUCTED ON THE PROXY CARD IF YOU RECEIVED ONE. YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AND VOTE IN PERSON IF YOU DECIDE TO ATTEND THE MEETING.*

Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

An admission ticket is required for attendance at the Annual Meeting. Please see page 1 of the Proxy Statement for instructions about obtaining tickets.

By Action of the Board of Directors,

Todd A. Mayman

Senior Vice President

General Counsel and Secretary

McLean, Virginia

March 17, 2014

This Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement are first being delivered to shareholders on or about March 17, 2014.

**Table of Contents**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

**Table of Contents**

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	5
<u>Your Board of Directors</u>	5
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	6
<u>The Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	6
<u>Director Independence</u>	7
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation; Related Transactions</u>	8
<u>Director Biographies</u>	8
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	11
<u>Audit Committee</u>	11
<u>Executive Committee</u>	11
<u>Executive Compensation Committee</u>	11
<u>Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee</u>	13
<u>Transformation Committee</u>	14
<u>Committee Charters</u>	14
<u>Ethics Policy</u>	14
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	15
<u>PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	17
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	18
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	18
<u>Executive Summary</u>	18
<u>Overview of Executive Compensation Program</u>	22
<u>How the Committee Determines NEO Compensation</u>	23
<u>Executive Compensation Committee Report</u>	38
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	39
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	41
<u>Additional Information Regarding the Summary Compensation Table and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u>	42
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	43
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	44
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	45
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation</u>	46
<u>Other Potential Post-Employment Payments</u>	46
<u>PROPOSAL 3 NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	57
<u>PROPOSAL 4 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING VESTING OF EQUITY AWARDS OF SENIOR EXECUTIVES UPON A CHANGE OF CONTROL</u>	58



<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	60
<u>OUTSTANDING DIRECTOR EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END</u>	62
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u>	63
<u>SECURITIES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS</u>	64

**Table of Contents**

<u>INVESTMENT IN GANNETT STOCK BY DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	66
OTHER MATTERS	
<u>Cost of Soliciting Proxies</u>	67
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	67
<u>Incorporation by Reference</u>	67

**Table of Contents**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

**May 1, 2014**

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Why am I receiving this Proxy Statement?**

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 1, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. at the Company's headquarters located at 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia. This Proxy Statement furnishes you with the information you need in order to vote, whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting.

**On what am I being asked to vote and how does the Board recommend that I vote?**

You are being asked to vote on the Proposals below, and the Board recommends that you vote as follows:

Proposal 1 FOR the election of nine nominees named by the Board of Directors;

Proposal 2 FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2014;

Proposal 3 FOR a non-binding advisory resolution to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and accompanying compensation tables and related discussion contained in this Proxy Statement; and

Proposal 4 AGAINST the shareholder proposal described in Proposal 4.

In addition, if you grant a proxy, your shares will be voted in the discretion of the proxy holder on any Proposal for which you do not register a vote and any other business that properly comes before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

**Will there be any other items of business addressed at the Annual Meeting?**

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, we are not aware of any other matter to be presented at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, it is intended that the holders of the proxies will act in accordance with their best judgment.

**What must I do if I want to attend the Annual Meeting in person?**

Admission to the Annual Meeting is by ticket only. We will provide each shareholder with one admission ticket upon request. Either you or your proxy may use your ticket. If you are a shareholder of record and plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please call the Company's shareholder services department at (703) 854-6960 to request a ticket. If you hold shares through an intermediary, such as a bank or broker, and you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please send a written request for a ticket, along with proof of share ownership, such as a bank or brokerage firm account statement or a letter

## **Table of Contents**

from the intermediary holding your shares, confirming ownership to: Secretary, Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, VA 22107. Requests for admission tickets will be processed in the order in which they are received and must be received no later than April 24, 2014. To obtain directions to attend the Annual Meeting, please call the Company's shareholder services department at (703) 854-6960.

### **Who may vote at the Annual Meeting?**

If you owned Company stock at the close of business on March 3, 2014 (the Record Date), then you may attend and vote at the meeting. At the close of business on the Record Date, we had approximately 227,533,866 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Each share is entitled to one vote on each proposal.

### **What constitutes a quorum for the Annual Meeting?**

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock outstanding on the Record Date will constitute a quorum to conduct business. Shares held by an intermediary, such as a banker or a broker, that are voted by the intermediary on any or all matters will be treated as shares present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

### **Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?**

Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) rules, we are permitted to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice) to our shareholders of record and beneficial owners. All shareholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on a website referred to in the Notice or request to receive a printed set of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice. In addition, shareholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis.

Choosing to receive your future proxy materials by email or viewing them on the Internet will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the effect of our annual shareholders' meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you terminate it.

### **What is the difference between holding shares as a shareholder of record and as a beneficial owner of shares held in street name?**

**Shareholder of Record.** If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, you are considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares, and the Notice was sent directly to you by the Company.

**Beneficial Owner of Shares Held in Street Name.** If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer, or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and the Notice was forwarded to you by your bank, broker or other intermediary. The organization holding your account is considered the shareholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct that organization on how to vote the shares held in your account.

## **Table of Contents**

### **If I am a shareholder of record of Company shares, how do I vote?**

If you are a shareholder of record, you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. We will give you a ballot when you arrive.

If you do not wish to vote in person or if you will not be attending the Annual Meeting, you may vote by proxy. You can vote by proxy over the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided in the Notice or, if you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you can also vote by mail, by telephone or on the Internet.

### **If I am a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, how do I vote?**

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name and you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a valid legal proxy from the organization that holds your shares.

If you do not wish to vote in person or you will not be attending the Annual Meeting, you may vote by proxy. Follow the instructions provided to you by your bank, broker or other intermediary.

### **What happens if I do not give specific voting instructions?**

**Shareholders of Record.** If you are a shareholder of record and you:

Indicate when voting on the Internet or by telephone that you wish to vote as recommended by our Board of Directors; or

Sign and return a proxy card without giving specific voting instructions;

then the proxy holders will vote your shares in the manner recommended by our Board on all matters presented in this Proxy Statement and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the meeting.

**Beneficial Owners of Shares Held in Street Name.** If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name and do not provide the organization that holds your shares with specific voting instructions, under the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ) rules, the organization that holds your shares may generally vote on routine matters (including Proposal 2 to ratify our appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2014) but cannot vote on non-routine matters (including the uncontested director election described in Proposal 1, the non-binding advisory vote described in Proposal 3 and the shareholder proposal described in Proposal 4). If the organization that holds your shares does not receive instructions from you on how to vote your shares on a non-routine matter, the organization that holds your shares will inform our Inspector of Election that it does not have the authority to vote on this matter with respect to your shares and your shares will not be voted. This is generally referred to as a broker non-vote. When our Inspector of Election tabulates the

votes for any particular matter, broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present, but will not otherwise be counted. We encourage you to provide voting instructions to the organization that holds your shares.

**Can I change or revoke my vote?**

Yes. If you deliver a proxy by mail, by telephone or via the Internet, you have the right to revoke your proxy in writing (by mailing another proxy bearing a later date), by phone (by another call at a later time), via the Internet (by voting online at a later time), by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person, or by notifying the Company before the Annual Meeting that you want to revoke your proxy. Submitting your vote by mail, telephone or via the Internet will not affect your right to vote in person if you decide to attend the Annual Meeting.



## **Table of Contents**

### **What are the votes required to adopt the proposals?**

Each share of our common stock outstanding on the Record Date is entitled to one vote on each of the director nominees and one vote on each other matter. To be elected, directors must receive a majority of the votes cast (the number of shares voted for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast against that nominee). Ratification of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm, the non-binding advisory vote to adopt the resolution to approve the Company's executive compensation program described in this Proxy Statement and the shareholder proposal described in Proposal 4 each require the affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. Abstentions, if any, will have no effect on the election of any director, but will have the same effect as votes against each of the other three proposals.

### **How do I vote my shares in the Company's Dividend Reinvestment and 401(k) Plans?**

If you participate in the Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan or 401(k) Plan, your shares of stock in those plans can be voted in the same manner as shares held of record. If you do not give instructions, your shares held in the Dividend Reinvestment Plan will not be voted. All shares in the 401(k) Plan for which no instructions are received will be voted by the trustee of the 401(k) Plan in the same proportion as instructions provided to the trustee by other 401(k) Plan participants.

### **How do I submit a shareholder proposal or nominate a director for election at the 2015 Annual Meeting?**

To be eligible for inclusion in the proxy materials for the Company's 2015 Annual Meeting, a shareholder proposal or nomination must be submitted in writing to Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107, Attn: Secretary and must be received by November 17, 2014. A shareholder who wishes to present a proposal or nomination at the Company's 2015 Annual Meeting, but who does not request that the Company solicit proxies for the proposal or nomination, must submit the proposal to the Company at the same address no earlier than January 1, 2015 and no later than January 21, 2015. The Company's By-laws require that any proposal or nomination must contain specific information in order to be validly submitted for consideration.

### **Can shareholders and other interested parties communicate directly with our Board?**

Yes. The Company invites shareholders and other interested parties to communicate directly and confidentially with the full Board of Directors, the Chairman of the Board or the non-management directors as a group by writing to the Board of Directors, the Chairman or the Non-Management Directors, Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107, Attn: Secretary. The Secretary will forward such communications to the intended recipient and will retain copies for the Company's records.

### **How can I obtain a shareholder list?**

A list of shareholders entitled to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting will be open to examination by any shareholder, for any purpose germane to the 2014 Annual Meeting, during normal business hours for a period of ten days before the 2014 Annual Meeting and during the 2014 Annual

Meeting at the Company's offices at 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107.

**What is householding ?**

We have adopted a procedure approved by the SEC called householding. Under this procedure, shareholders of record who have the same address and last name who have elected to receive paper copies of our proxy materials will receive only one copy of our 2013 Annual Report and this Proxy

## **Table of Contents**

Statement unless one or more of these shareholders notifies us that they wish to continue receiving multiple copies. This procedure will reduce our printing costs and postage fees. However, if any shareholder residing at such an address wishes to receive a separate copy of this Proxy Statement or the Company's 2013 Annual Report, he or she may contact the Company's Secretary at Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107 or by calling the Secretary at (703) 854-6000. Any such shareholder may also contact the Secretary using the above contact information if he or she would like to receive separate Proxy Statements and Annual Reports in the future. If you are receiving multiple copies of the Company's Annual Report and Proxy Statement, you may request householding in the future by contacting the Secretary.

### **How may I obtain a copy of the Company's 2013 Annual Report?**

A copy of our 2013 Annual Report, which includes the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2013, is being provided or made available to all shareholders of record on the Record Date. As permitted by the SEC, the Company is sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to all shareholders.

If you hold your shares of record on the Record Date, you may request email or paper copies of our 2013 Annual Report over the Internet, at [www.proxydocs.com/gci](http://www.proxydocs.com/gci), by toll-free telephone call (in the U.S. and Canada) to 1-866-870-3684, or by email at [paper@investorelections.com](mailto:paper@investorelections.com). Please put "GCI Materials Request" in the subject line and include the 3-digit company number and 11-digit control number presented on the notice.

If you hold your shares on the Record Date in street name through a bank, broker or other intermediary, you may request email or paper copies of our 2013 Annual Report over the Internet, at [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com), by toll-free telephone call (in the U.S. and Canada) to 1-800-579-1639, or by email to [sendmaterial@proxyvote.com](mailto:sendmaterial@proxyvote.com). Please put "Paper Material" or "E-mail Material" in the subject line, followed by the 12-digit control number presented on the notice provided by your bank or broker. Requests, instructions and other inquiries will not be forwarded to your investment advisor.

You may also obtain a copy without charge by writing to: Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107, Attn: Secretary. Our 2013 Annual Report and 2013 Form 10-K are also available through the Company's website at [www.gannett.com](http://www.gannett.com). The Company's Annual Report and Form 10-K are not proxy soliciting materials.

## **PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

### **YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The Board of Directors is currently composed of ten directors, including the nine directors nominated for reelection and Duncan M. McFarland, who is not standing for reelection at the 2014 Annual Meeting because he has reached the Company's mandatory retirement age for non-management directors. The Board of Directors held ten meetings during 2013. Each director attended at least 83% of the total number of meetings of the Board and its committees on which he or she served that were held during the period for which he or she served as a director or committee member, as applicable, during 2013. All directors then serving on the Board attended the 2013 Annual Meeting in accordance with the Company's policy that all directors attend the Annual Meeting.

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Nominees elected to our Board at the 2014 Annual Meeting will serve one-year terms. The Board believes that the nominees will be available and able to serve as directors. If any nominee becomes unable or unwilling to serve, the Board may do one of three things: recommend a substitute nominee, reduce the number of directors to eliminate the vacancy, or fill the vacancy later. The shares represented by all valid proxies may be voted for the election of a substitute if one is nominated.

## **Table of Contents**

The Company's By-laws provide that director nominees are elected by the vote of a majority of the votes cast with respect to the director at the meeting, unless the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, in which case directors shall be elected by the vote of a plurality of the shares present and entitled to vote at the meeting. At the 2014 Annual Meeting, the number of nominees equals the number of directors to be elected so the majority vote standard shall apply. If an incumbent nominee does not receive an affirmative majority of the votes cast, he or she is required to submit a letter of resignation to the Board's Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee, which would recommend to the Board the action to be taken with respect to the letter of resignation. The Board is required to act on the Committee's recommendation and publicly disclose its decision and its rationale within 90 days after the election results are certified.

### **BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE**

Our Board has determined that having an independent director serve as the Chairman of the Board is currently the best leadership structure for the Company. Separating the positions of Chairman and CEO allows the CEO to focus on executing the Company's strategic plan and managing the Company's operations and performance and permits improved communications and relations between the Board, the CEO and other senior leaders of the Company. Our Board regularly reviews the Company's board leadership structure, how the structure is functioning and whether the structure continues to be in the best interest of our shareholders.

The duties of the Chairman of the Board include:

presiding over all meetings of the Board and all executive sessions of non-management directors;

serving as liaison on Board-wide issues between the CEO and the non-management directors, although Company policy also provides that all directors shall have direct and complete access to the CEO at any time as they deem necessary or appropriate, and vice versa;

in consultation with the CEO, reviewing and approving Board meeting agendas and materials;

in consultation with the CEO, reviewing and approving meeting schedules to assure there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items;

calling meetings of the non-management directors, if desired; and

being available when appropriate for consultation and direct communication if requested by shareholders.

### **THE BOARD'S ROLE IN RISK OVERSIGHT**

The Board believes that evaluating how senior leadership identifies, assesses, manages and monitors the various risks confronting the Company is one of its most important areas of oversight. In carrying out this critical responsibility, the Board oversees the Company's risk management function through regular discussions with senior leadership. In addition, the Company has implemented an enterprise risk management program to enhance the Board's and management's ability to identify, assess, manage and respond to strategic, market, operational and compliance risks facing the Company.

While the Board has primary responsibility for overseeing the Company's risk management function, each committee of the Board also considers risk within its area of responsibility. For example, the Audit Committee is primarily responsible for reviewing risks relating to accounting and financial

## **Table of Contents**

controls and the Executive Compensation Committee reviews risks relating to compensation matters. The Board is apprised by the committee chairs of significant risks and management's response to those risks via periodic reports. While the Board and its committees oversee the Company's risk management function, management is responsible for implementing day-to-day risk management processes and reporting to the Board and its committees on such matters.

With respect to risk related to compensation matters, the Executive Compensation Committee has reviewed the Company's executive compensation program and has concluded that the program does not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Executive Compensation Committee believes that the design of the Company's annual cash and long-term equity incentives provides an effective and appropriate mix of incentives to help ensure the Company's performance is focused on long term profitability and stockholder value creation and does not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk taking at the expense of long-term results.

## **DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The Board of Directors has determined that all of our current directors other than Gracia C. Martore are independent of the Company within the meaning of the rules governing NYSE-listed companies. For a director to be independent under the NYSE rules, the Board of Directors must affirmatively determine that the director has no material relationship with the Company, either directly or as a partner, shareholder, or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company. To assist it in making these determinations, the Board has determined that the following categories of relationships between a director and the Company are not material:

1. Employment of a director or a director's immediate family member by, a director's position as a director with, or direct or indirect ownership by a director or a director's immediate family member of a 10% or greater equity interest in, another company or organization that made payments to, or received payments from, the Company or any of its subsidiaries for property or services in an amount which, in each of the last three fiscal years, did not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues; and
2. A relationship of a director or a director's immediate family member with a charitable organization, as an executive officer, board member, trustee or otherwise, to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries has made, in any of the last three fiscal years, charitable contributions of not more than the greater of \$100,000 or 2% of such charitable organization's consolidated gross revenues.

In making its independence determinations, our Board considered all relationships, direct and indirect, between each director and our Company that were identified in questionnaires completed by each Board member. The responses to those questionnaires indicated that there were no relationships between any director (other than Ms. Martore) and the Company other than two types of relationships that the Board has determined not to be material in accordance with these objective standards:

Board member service at charitable organizations to which the Gannett Foundation made contributions within the permitted thresholds identified above; and

sales by the Company of advertising and purchases by the Company of property and services, on customary terms and conditions and in amounts within the permitted thresholds identified above, to and from other companies or organizations at which Board members or their immediate family members are employed, for which Board members serve as directors or in which Board members or their immediate family members directly or indirectly own a 10% or greater equity interest.





## **Table of Contents**

Consistent with the NYSE rules, the Company's Principles of Corporate Governance call for the Company's non-management directors to meet in regularly scheduled executive sessions without management as they deem appropriate. The Company's non-management directors held five executive sessions in 2013, and will meet in executive sessions as appropriate throughout 2014.

### **COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION; RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

Our Company has not had compensation committee interlocks with any other company, nor has our Company engaged in any material related transactions since December 31, 2012, the first day of our last fiscal year. Although no such related transactions have occurred or are anticipated, the Board will consider any other future transactions involving the Company, on the one hand, and any of its officers or directors, on the other hand, on a case-by-case basis, and any such approved transaction involving a director will be considered in assessing his or her independence.

### **DIRECTOR BIOGRAPHIES**

The principal occupation and business experience of the Board's nominees, including the reasons the Board believes each of them should be reelected to serve another term on the Board, are described below.

#### **Nominees**

The following director nominees are currently serving on the Board and have been nominated to stand for re-election at the 2014 Annual Meeting for a one-year term. The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the shareholders of the Company vote FOR the election of the nominees to serve as directors.

#### **John E. Cody**

Mr. Cody, 67, served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Broadcast Music, Inc. from November 2006 until his retirement in November 2010. Previously, he served as BMI's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 1999 to 2006. Before joining BMI, he served as Vice President/Controller of the Hearst Book Group and Vice President/Finance and Chief Financial Officer for the U.S. headquarters of LM Ericsson. He is also a director of the Tennessee Performing Arts Center. Mr. Cody has broad business, music broadcast and publishing industry experience and financial expertise from the various management roles he held with BMI, Hearst and Ericsson. He has been a Gannett director since 2011.

#### **Howard D. Elias**

Mr. Elias, 56, is President and Chief Operating Officer, EMC Global Enterprise Services. Previously, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer, EMC Information Infrastructure and Cloud Services from September 2009 to January 2013, President, EMC Global Services and

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Resource Management Software Group, and Executive Vice President, EMC Corporation from September 2007 to September 2009 and as Executive Vice President, Global Marketing and Corporate Development, at EMC Corporation from October 2003 to September 2007. Mr. Elias has broad business experience and expertise in information technology issues as a result of the various positions he has held with EMC, Hewlett-Packard Company, Compaq, Digital, AST Research and Tandy Corporation. He has been a Gannett director since 2008.

**Table of Contents**

**John Jeffry Louis**

Mr. Louis, 51, was Co-Founder of Parson Capital Corporation, a Chicago-based private equity and venture capital firm, and served as its Chairman from 1992 to 2007. He is currently a director of The Olayan Group, S.C. Johnson and Son, Inc. and Johnson Financial Group, Inc., and chairman of the board of the U.S./U.K. Fulbright Commission. Mr. Louis has broad business experience, financial expertise and experience as an entrepreneur from his years of experience in the venture capital industry and having co-founded a number of companies. He has been a Gannett director since 2006.

**Marjorie Magner**

Ms. Magner, 64, was named the Chairman of Gannett in October 2011 and is the Managing Partner of Brysam Global Partners, a private equity firm investing in financial services firms with a focus on consumer opportunities in emerging markets that was founded in January 2007. She was Chairman and CEO of Citigroup's Global Consumer Group from 2003 to 2005. She served in various roles at Citigroup, and a predecessor company, CitiFinancial (previously Commercial Credit), since 1987. Ms. Magner currently serves as a director of Accenture Ltd. and Ally Financial Inc. and served as a director of The Charles Schwab Corporation from 2006 to 2008. Ms. Magner has broad business experience and financial expertise from the various management roles she held with Citigroup. She has been a Gannett director since 2006.

**Gracia C. Martore**

Ms. Martore, 62, became President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Gannett in October 2011. She served as President and Chief Operating Officer from February 2010 until October 2011. She was Executive Vice President and CFO of Gannett from 2006 to 2010, and served as Senior Vice President and CFO from 2003 to 2006. She has served the Company in various other executive capacities since 1985. Ms. Martore is also a director of MeadWestvaco Corporation, FM Global and The Associated Press. Ms. Martore has broad business experience and financial expertise as a result of the various leadership positions she has held with Gannett.

**Scott K. McCune**

Mr. McCune, 57, is the CEO of McCune Sports & Entertainment Ventures, a newly formed consulting firm focused on the business of sports and entertainment. Prior to his retirement in March 2014, Mr. McCune spent 20 years at The Coca-Cola Company serving in a variety of roles, including Vice President, Global Partnerships and Experiential Marketing (2012-2014), Vice President, Integrated Marketing (2005-2011), Vice President, Worldwide Sports & Entertainment Marketing and Vice President, Worldwide Media. He also spent 10 years at Anheuser-Busch Inc. where he held a variety of positions in marketing and media. Mr. McCune has broad business experience and expertise in consumer marketing strategies from the various senior management roles he has held with The Coca-Cola Company and Anheuser-Busch Inc. He has been a Gannett director since 2008.

**Susan Ness**

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Ms. Ness, 65, is a Senior Fellow at the Center for Transatlantic Relations at John Hopkins University's School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS), and a principal of Susan Ness Strategies, a communications policy consulting firm, which she founded in 2002. She is also a Trustee of the Committee for Economic Development (CED) and an Affiliated Expert of the Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF). She served as a commissioner of the Federal Communications Commission from 1994 to 2001. From 2005 to 2007, she was the founding president

**Table of Contents**

and CEO of GreenStone Media, LLC, which produced talk programming targeting women audiences for syndication on radio and other platforms. She is a member of the J. William Fulbright Foreign Scholarship Board (Vice Chair in 2012 and 2013) and a director of Vital Voices Global Partnership. Ms. Ness previously served on the board of LCC International, Inc. from 2001 to 2008. Ms. Ness has broad communications, business and public policy experience and expertise from her service as an FCC commissioner and as principal executive at GreenStone Media, LLC and Susan Ness Strategies. She has been a Gannett director since 2011.

**Tony A. Prophet**

Mr. Prophet, 55, is Senior Vice President of Operations, Printing and Personal Systems at Hewlett-Packard Company. He served as Senior Vice President of Supply Chain Operations, Personal Systems Group, Hewlett-Packard Company from 2006 until 2012 when he was named to his current role. Mr. Prophet was identified by a search firm retained by the Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee to assist in seeking qualified director candidates consistent with the Committee's requirements and objectives. After interviewing with the members of the Committee, our Chairman and our President and Chief Executive Officer, the Committee considered Mr. Prophet's qualifications and experience in accordance with its charter mandate and unanimously recommended that he be elected to our Board based on his broad business experience and expertise in supply chain and other operational issues as a result of the various positions he has held with Hewlett-Packard Company, United Technologies Corporation, Honeywell International, Inc., Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc., and General Motors Company. Our Board elected Mr. Prophet as a Gannett director in October 2013.

**Neal Shapiro**

Mr. Shapiro, 56, is President and CEO of WNET, the public television company which operates Thirteen/WNET and WLIW21, the largest and third largest public television stations in the country. Before joining WNET in February 2007, Mr. Shapiro served in various executive capacities with the National Broadcasting Company beginning in 1993 and was president of NBC News from May 2001 to September 2005. He also is on the board of The Public Television Major Market Group (MMG), the advisory board of Investigative Reporters and Editors (IRE), the board of Investigative News Network (INN), the Board of Trustees at Tufts University, and the alumni board of the Communications and Media Studies program at Tufts University. Mr. Shapiro has broad business experience and expertise in broadcasting, news reporting and First Amendment issues from the various management roles he has held with WNET and NBC. He has been a Gannett director since 2007.

**Table of Contents****COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The Board of Directors conducts its business through meetings of the Board and its five committees: the Audit Committee, Executive Committee, Executive Compensation Committee, Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee, and Transformation Committee. The current members of each committee are as follows:

	<b>Audit Committee</b>	<b>Executive Committee</b>	<b>Executive Compensation Committee</b>	<b>Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee</b>	<b>Transformation Committee</b>
John E. Cody	Chair	x			
Howard D. Elias			x		x
John Jeffry Louis	x				Chair
Marjorie Magner	x	Chair	x		
Gracia C. Martore		x			x
Scott K. McCune				x	x
Duncan M. McFarland	x	x	Chair		
Susan Ness				x	
Neal Shapiro				Chair	x

Mr. Prophet, who joined the Board of Directors in October 2013, has not yet been assigned to a Board committee.

**AUDIT COMMITTEE**

The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of financial reporting practices and the quality and integrity of the financial reports of the Company. Each member of the Audit Committee meets the independence requirements of the SEC as well as those of the NYSE. In addition, the Board has determined that John E. Cody and Duncan M. McFarland are audit committee financial experts, as that term is defined under the SEC rules. This Committee met ten times in 2013.

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

The Executive Committee may exercise the authority of the Board between Board meetings, except as limited by Delaware law. This Committee did not meet in 2013.

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE**

The Executive Compensation Committee discharges the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation of the Company's directors and executives and has overall responsibility for the Company's compensation plans, principles and programs. The Committee's duties and responsibilities include reviewing and approving on an annual basis corporate goals and objectives relevant to

## **Table of Contents**

compensation of the Company's CEO and other senior executives, including members of the Gannett Leadership Team and other Company and divisional officers. The Committee also is responsible for reviewing and discussing with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) disclosures contained in the Company's proxy statement, and for making a recommendation as to whether the CD&A disclosures should be so included and incorporated by reference into the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Committee meets the independence requirements of the SEC as well as those of the NYSE. This Committee met four times in 2013.

The Committee has primary responsibility for administering the Company's equity incentive plans and in that role is responsible for approving equity grants to our senior executives. The Committee historically has delegated to the CEO the authority for approving equity grants to employees other than our senior executives mentioned above within the parameters of a pool of shares approved by the Committee. This provides flexibility for equity grants to be made to employees below the senior leadership level who are less familiar to the Committee.

Under its charter, the Committee may, in its sole discretion, retain or obtain advice of a compensation consultant, independent legal counsel or other adviser. The Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of any such consultant, counsel or adviser, and the Company shall provide appropriate funding for payment of reasonable compensation to any such consultant, counsel or adviser, as determined by the Committee. In selecting a consultant, counsel or adviser, the Committee evaluates its independence by considering the following six factors and any other factors the Committee deems relevant to the adviser's independence from management:

Provision of other services to the Company by the person that employs the consultant;

Amount of fees paid by the Company to the person that employs the consultant, as a percentage of that person's total revenue;

Policies and procedures of the person that employs the consultant regarding prevention of conflicts of interest;

Any business or personal relationship between the consultant and any member of the Committee;

Ownership by the consultant of the Company's stock; and

Any business or personal relationship between the consultant, or any person that employs the consultant and any executive officer of the Company.

Since November 2007, the Committee has retained Pearl Meyer & Partners (PM&P) as its independent consultant to advise it on executive compensation matters. After considering the above six factors, the Committee has determined that PM&P is an independent compensation consultant in accordance with applicable SEC and NYSE rules. In making this determination, the Committee noted in particular that under the terms of the Committee's agreement with PM&P, the consultant is prohibited from doing any other business for the Company or its management, and the Committee may contact PM&P without any interaction from Company management.

The PM&P consultant participates in Committee meetings as requested by the chairman of the Committee and communicates directly with the chairman of the Committee outside of meetings. In 2013, the PM&P consultant specifically provided the following services:



Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Participated in Committee executive sessions without management present to discuss CEO compensation and other relevant matters, including the appropriate relationship between pay and performance;

## **Table of Contents**

Provided advice to the Committee regarding the Company's executive compensation program, including best practices and other matters as requested by or on behalf of the Committee; and

Reviewed the compensation discussion and analysis section of this Proxy Statement.

Since 2010, management has retained Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (Meridian) as its executive compensation consultant to assist it in analyzing executive compensation practices and trends. During 2013 management also engaged Meridian to assist it in the design and evaluation of its long-term incentive program.

## **NOMINATING AND PUBLIC RESPONSIBILITY COMMITTEE**

The Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee is charged with identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, recommending to the Board candidates for election or re-election to the Board, and considering from time to time the Board committee structure and makeup. The Committee also monitors the Company's human resources practices, including its performance in diversity and equal employment opportunity, monitors the Company's performance in meeting its obligations of fairness in internal and external matters, and takes a leadership role with respect to the Company's corporate governance practices. This Committee met three times in 2013.

The Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee charter sets forth certain criteria for the Committee to consider in evaluating potential director nominees. In addition to evaluating a potential director's independence, the Committee considers whether director candidates have relevant experience in business and industry, government, education and other areas, and monitors the mix of skills and experience of directors in order to assure that the Board has the necessary breadth and depth to perform its oversight function effectively. The charter also encourages the Committee to work to maintain a board that reflects the diversity of the communities we serve. The Committee evaluates potential candidates against these requirements and objectives. For those director candidates who appear upon first consideration to meet the Committee's criteria, the Committee will engage in further research to evaluate their candidacy.

The Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee historically has relied primarily on recommendations from management and members of the Board to identify director nominee candidates. The Committee has retained a search firm to assist in the identification of potential director nominee candidates based on criteria specified by the Committee and in evaluating and pursuing individual candidates at the direction of the Committee. The Committee will also consider timely written suggestions from shareholders. Shareholders wishing to suggest a candidate for director nomination for the 2015 Annual Meeting should mail their suggestions to Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107, Attn: Secretary. Suggestions must be received by the Secretary of the Company no later than January 21, 2015. The manner in which the Committee evaluates director nominee candidates suggested by shareholders will be consistent with the manner in which the Committee evaluates candidates recommended by other sources.

The By-laws of the Company establish mandatory retirement ages of 70 for directors who have not been executives of the Company and 65 for directors who have served as executives, except that the Board of Directors may extend the retirement age beyond 65 for directors who are or have been the CEO of the Company. The Company's Principles of Corporate Governance also provide that a director who retires from, or has a material change in responsibility or position with, the primary entity by which that director was employed at the time of his or her election to the Board of Directors shall offer to submit a letter of resignation to the Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee for its consideration. The Committee will make a recommendation to the Board of Directors on whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether other action should be taken.



## **Table of Contents**

In September 2013, Mr. McCune announced his retirement from The Coca-Cola Company, effective March 1, 2014. In accordance with the procedures outlined in the Company's Principles of Corporate Governance, Mr. McCune offered to submit a letter of resignation to the Committee for consideration. The Committee recommended that the Board not accept Mr. McCune's offer to resign, and the Board accepted the Committee's recommendation. It was the sense of the Committee, and the Board more generally, that the Board would continue to benefit from Mr. McCune's significant marketing and management expertise notwithstanding his departure from The Coca-Cola Company.

## **TRANSFORMATION COMMITTEE**

The Transformation Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Company's strategic plan. The Committee's duties and responsibilities include reviewing and evaluating the Company's overall strategy and the operational plans and initiatives in support of that strategy. This Committee met five times in 2013.

## **COMMITTEE CHARTERS**

The written charters governing the Audit Committee, the Executive Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Public Responsibility Committee, and the Transformation Committee, as well as the Company's Principles of Corporate Governance, are posted on the Corporate Governance page of the Company's website at [www.gannett.com](http://www.gannett.com). You may also obtain a copy of any of these documents without charge by writing to: Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107, Attn: Secretary.

## **ETHICS POLICY**

The Company has long maintained a code of conduct and ethics (the Ethics Policy) that sets forth the Company's policies and expectations. The Ethics Policy, which applies to every Company director, officer and employee, addresses a number of topics, including conflicts of interest, relationships with others, corporate payments, disclosure policy, compliance with laws, corporate opportunities and the protection and proper use of the Company's assets. The Ethics Policy meets the NYSE's requirements for a code of business conduct and ethics as well as the SEC's definition of a code of ethics applicable to the Company's senior officers. Neither the Board of Directors nor any Board committee has ever granted a waiver of the Ethics Policy.

The Ethics Policy is available on the Corporate Governance page of the Company's website at [www.gannett.com](http://www.gannett.com). You may also obtain a copy of the Ethics Policy without charge by writing to: Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107, Attn: Secretary. Any additions or amendments to the Ethics Policy, and any waivers of the Ethics Policy for executive officers or directors, will be posted on the Corporate Governance page of the Company's website and similarly provided to you without charge upon written request to this address.

The Company has a telephone hotline for employees and others to submit their concerns regarding violations or suspected violations of law and for reporting any concerns regarding accounting or auditing matters on a confidential anonymous basis. Employees and others can report concerns by calling 1-800-234-4206 or by emailing or writing to the addresses provided in the Company's Ethics Violation Reporting Policy found on the Corporate Governance page of the Company's website. Any concerns regarding accounting or auditing matters so reported will be communicated to the Company's Audit Committee.



**Table of Contents****REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE**

The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of financial reporting practices and the quality and integrity of the financial reports of the Company, including compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, and the performance of the Company's internal audit function. The Audit Committee appoints the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Committee also provides oversight of the Company's internal audit function including the review of proposed audit plans and the coordination of such plans with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee oversees the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's accounting and financial controls and the guidelines and policies that govern the process by which the Company undertakes financial, accounting and audit risk assessment and risk management. The Audit Committee also is responsible for reviewing compliance with the Company's Ethics Policy and assuring appropriate disclosure of any waiver of or change in the Ethics Policy for executive officers, and for reviewing the Ethics Policy on a regular basis and proposing or adopting additions or amendments to the Ethics Policy as appropriate. In connection with the Ethics Policy, the Audit Committee has established procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting controls or auditing matters and the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of any accounting or auditing concerns. The Audit Committee operates under a formal written charter that has been adopted by the Board of Directors.

The Audit Committee members are not professional accountants or auditors, and their role is not intended to duplicate or certify the activities of management and the independent registered public accounting firm, nor can the Committee certify that the independent registered public accounting firm is independent under applicable rules. The Committee serves a board-level oversight role, in which it provides advice, counsel and direction to management and the independent registered public accounting firm on the basis of the information it receives, discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, and the experience of the Committee's members in business, financial and accounting matters.

During fiscal years 2012 and 2013, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for each of those years, Ernst & Young LLP (EY), billed the Company the following fees and expenses:

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
<i>Audit Fees - Gannett(1)</i>	\$ 3,592,000	\$ 3,790,000
<i>Audit Fees - Belo Acquisition(2)</i>	\$ 0	\$ 464,000
<i>Audit Fees(1)</i>	\$ 3,592,000	\$ 4,254,000
<i>Audit-Related Fees(3)</i>	\$ 160,000	\$ 170,000
<i>Tax Fees(4)</i>	\$ 261,000	\$ 435,000
<i>All Other Fees(5)</i>	\$ 0	\$ 0
<i>Total(6)</i>	\$ 4,013,000	\$ 4,859,000

- (1) Audit Fees principally relate to professional services rendered in connection with the annual integrated audit of the consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, the review of quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and statutory audits required internationally. Fees for CareerBuilder, LLC for audit services in 2012 and 2013 were \$588,000 and \$645,000, respectively.
- (2) The 2013 fees for audit services shown in this row relate to services provided by EY in connection with the Company's acquisition of Belo.
- (3)

Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Audit-Related Fees principally relate to professional services rendered in connection with the audits of employee benefit plans. All of these services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee as described below.

---

**Table of Contents**

- (4) Tax Fees principally relate to tax planning services and advice in the U.S. and the U.K. All of these services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee as described below.
- (5) No services were rendered during either 2012 or 2013 that would cause EY to bill the Company amounts constituting All Other Fees.
- (6) The total fees reflected above for 2012 and 2013 include amounts for CareerBuilder, LLC, in which the Company holds a 52.9% controlling interest. These fees total \$588,000 and \$645,000 for 2012 and 2013, respectively, and represent 100% of the amounts billed by EY related to services provided to CareerBuilder, LLC, although the Company's actual share is 52.9% of the total CareerBuilder, LLC fees.

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Under the policy, particular services or categories of services have been pre-approved, subject to a specific budget. Periodically, but at least annually, the Audit Committee reviews and approves the list of pre-approved services and the maximum threshold cost of performance of each. The Audit Committee is provided with a status update on all EY services periodically throughout the year and discusses such services with management and EY. Pursuant to its pre-approval policy, the Audit Committee has delegated pre-approval authority for services provided by EY to its Chair, John E. Cody. Mr. Cody may pre-approve up to \$100,000 in services provided by EY, in the aggregate at any one time, without consultation with the full Audit Committee, provided he reports such approved items to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. In determining whether a service may be provided pursuant to the pre-approval policy, consideration is given to whether the proposed service would impair the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm.

In connection with its review of the Company's 2013 audited financial statements, the Audit Committee received from EY written disclosures regarding EY's independence in accordance with applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, including a detailed statement of any relationships between EY and the Company that might bear on EY's independence, and has discussed with EY its independence. The Audit Committee considered whether the provision of non-audit services by EY is compatible with maintaining EY's independence. EY stated that it believes it is in full compliance with all of the independence standards established by the various regulatory bodies. The Audit Committee also discussed with EY various matters required to be discussed by Statements on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the PCAOB in Rule 3200T, including, but not limited to, the selection of and changes in the Company's significant accounting policies, the basis for management's accounting estimates, EY's conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates, and the disclosures included in the financial statements.

The Audit Committee met with management, the Company's internal auditors and representatives of EY to review and discuss the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2013. Based on such review and discussion, and based on the Audit Committee's reviews and discussions with EY regarding the various matters mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Form 10-K for the 2013 fiscal year, and the Board has approved that recommendation.

Audit Committee

John E. Cody, Chair

John Jeffry Louis

Marjorie Magner

Duncan M. McFarland





**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC**

**ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 28, 2014. EY also served as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for our 2013 fiscal year. The Board of Directors is submitting the appointment of EY as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for shareholder ratification at the 2014 Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the shareholders of the Company vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the current year.

A representative of EY is expected to be present at the 2014 Annual Meeting. The EY representative will have an opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from shareholders.

Our By-laws do not require that the shareholders ratify the appointment of EY as our independent registered public accounting firm. We are seeking ratification because we believe it is a good corporate governance practice. If the shareholders do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to retain EY, but in its discretion may choose to retain EY as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may change the appointment at any time during the year if it determines that a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

**Table of Contents**

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, references to the Committee are to the Executive Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. References to NEOs are to our Named Executive Officers, who for the 2013 fiscal year were: Gracia C. Martore (President and Chief Executive Officer); Victoria D. Harker (Chief Financial Officer); Robert J. Dickey (President/U.S. Community Publishing); David T. Lougee (President/Broadcasting); and David A. Payne (Senior Vice President and Chief Digital Officer).

**Executive Summary**

The Committee oversees the Company's executive compensation program and is responsible for (i) approving and evaluating the Company's executive compensation plans, principles and programs, (ii) administering the Company's equity incentive plans and making equity grants to our senior executives, and (iii) reviewing and approving on an annual basis corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Company's President and CEO and its other senior executives.

*Pay for Performance* - The Committee supports compensation policies that place a heavy emphasis on pay-for-performance, and has committed that for each year at least 50% of NEO annual equity awards (based on number of shares) will be performance-based awards that are earned or paid out based on the achievement of performance targets. In 2013, the percentage of NEO annual equity awards that were performance-based ranged from approximately 58% to 69%. The Company awards grants of performance shares that may be earned based on how the Company's total shareholder return (TSR) compares to the TSR of the Company's TSR Peer Group (defined below) during a three-year measurement period.

*No Stock Options* - In 2013 long-term equity awards solely consisted of TSR performance shares and restricted stock units. The Company discontinued the use of annual stock option grants to senior executives in connection with the adoption of its performance share plan in 2011.

*Recoupment Policy* - In 2013, the Committee adopted a recoupment policy which provides that fraud or intentional misconduct by any employee that results in an accounting restatement due to material non-compliance with the securities laws would trigger a recoupment of incentive compensation from the responsible employee, as determined by the Committee.

Highlights of the Company's 2013 performance and its executive compensation program included:

We completed the acquisition of Belo, nearly doubling the Company's portfolio of broadcast stations in creating the largest independent station group of major network affiliates in the top 25 markets (including stations to be serviced by the Company under shared services and similar arrangements).

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Total revenues of the Company, excluding the impact of approximately \$187 million of political and Olympic advertising achieved in 2012, were up slightly compared to 2012, on a comparable week basis (excluding the extra week in the fourth quarter of 2012).

Total digital revenue across the Company increased 16.8% and grew to a record \$1.47 billion, or almost 30% of the Company's total revenue.

The Company's television revenues, excluding the impact of political and Olympic advertising, were 14% higher than in 2012, on a comparable week basis (excluding the extra week in the fourth quarter of 2012).

**Table of Contents**

The Company's all-access content subscription model contributed in excess of \$100 million to the Company's operating income since its launch.

USA TODAY regained its position as the number one newspaper in the nation in total daily circulation.

Ms. Martore continued to take a voluntary reduction of her base salary in 2013 (\$900,000, instead of the \$1 million approved by the Board).

**Table of Contents**

Also relevant to the Company's executive compensation program, and as evidence of the Company's recent success in executing on its strategic plan to create shareholder value, the following graph compares the performance of the Company's common stock during the period Dec. 31, 2008 to Dec. 31, 2013, with the S&P 500 Index, and an index comprised of the Company's 2013-2015 TSR Peer Group ( Peer Group ).

The S&P 500 Index includes 500 U.S. companies in the industrial, utilities and financial sectors and is weighted by market capitalization. The total returns of the Peer Group also are weighted by market capitalization.

The graph depicts representative results of investing \$100 in the Company's common stock, the S&P 500 Index and Peer Group index at closing on Dec. 31, 2008. It assumes that dividends were reinvested monthly with respect to the Company's common stock, daily with respect to the S&P 500 Index and monthly with respect to each Peer Group company. Gannett's one-year total return for 2013 was 69.5%. This compares to one-year total returns for the S&P 500 Index and Peer Group index of 32% and 45%, respectively.

	<u>Dec 08</u>	<u>Dec 09</u>	<u>Dec 10</u>	<u>Dec 11</u>	<u>Dec 12</u>	<u>Dec 13</u>
Gannett Co., Inc.	\$ 100	\$ 192.25	\$ 197.57	\$ 178.54	\$ 252.50	\$ 427.96
S&P 500 Index .	\$ 100	\$ 126.46	\$ 145.51	\$ 148.59	\$ 172.37	\$ 228.19
Peer Group. . . .	\$ 100	\$ 151.79	\$ 164.25	\$ 175.07	\$ 239.98	\$ 347.83

**Table of Contents**

Other pay practices of note include the following:

We have never repriced, replaced, backdated or springloaded stock options nor granted stock options with a reload feature, nor does the Company loan funds to employees to enable them to exercise stock options.

Stock option grants (which were discontinued in 2011) made since 2005 include a clawback provision.

We do not pay dividends on unearned TSR performance shares or unvested restricted stock units.

Executives who became eligible for change-in-control severance after April 15, 2010 (including Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne) will not receive severance if they voluntarily terminate their employment without good reason following a change in control of the Company, and those executives are not eligible for an excise tax gross-up.

We do not offer income tax gross-ups except in our relocation program.

We do not include long-term incentives in the definition of pay for purposes of calculating pension benefits.

*Say on Pay* - At last year's annual meeting of shareholders, the Company's shareholders cast 93% of their votes in favor of the Company's Say on Pay proposal, indicating strong support for the Company's executive compensation program in general as well as the long-term incentive program described in the Company's 2013 proxy statement. The Committee will continue to consider the outcome of the Company's Say on Pay votes when making future NEO compensation decisions.

*Shareholder Engagement* - The Company is committed to the interests of its shareholders and recognizes the importance of shareholder communications in protecting their interests. As part of this commitment, the Company actively engages with its shareholders throughout the year in order to fully understand their viewpoints concerning the Company, to garner feedback on what we can do better and to help our shareholders understand our performance and strategy. Company management shares shareholder viewpoints with the Board and the Committee takes this feedback into account when it reviews the Company's executive compensation program. We believe our regular engagement with shareholders has been productive and provides an open exchange of ideas and perspectives for both the Company and its shareholders.

**Table of Contents****Overview of Executive Compensation Program**

The Company has designed an executive compensation program that is currently comprised of several components, as illustrated in the following table, and as more fully discussed in the pages that follow.

<b>Program</b>	<b>Component Base</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Objective</b>
<b>Cash Compensation</b>	Salary	Pay for service in executive role.	Retention. Base salary adjustments also allow the Committee to reflect an individual's performance or changed responsibilities.
	Annual Bonus	Annual cash bonus based on the Committee's assessment of each NEO's contributions to Company-wide performance and achievement of key performance indicators.	To reward performance in attaining individual and Company qualitative and quantitative performance goals.
<b>Long-Term Incentives</b>	Performance Shares	Long-term program through which participants are given an opportunity to earn a number of shares of Company common stock that will be determined and awarded based upon how the Company's total shareholder return over a three-year performance period compares to total shareholder returns of the Company's TSR Peer Group (as defined below) over the same period.	To retain and motivate executives in a challenging business environment and to align their interests with those of shareholders.
	Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)	Long-term program providing for delivery of shares of common stock upon continued employment for a full four-year cliff vesting period.	To retain and motivate executives in a challenging business environment and to align their interests with those of shareholders.
<b>Benefits and Perquisites</b>		Executives participate in employee benefit plans available to most Company employees, including medical, life insurance and disability plans, and receive certain other perquisites.	Employee benefit plans provide insurance protection for our executives and their families. Perquisites help minimize distractions from important initiatives, complement other compensation components, and enable the Company to attract and retain the best management talent.





**Table of Contents**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Component</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Objective</b>
<b>Post-Termination Pay</b>	Gannett Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP)	Retirement plan that allows certain executives to receive total retirement benefits in excess of those permitted under IRS limits. For most participants, SERP benefits were frozen in August 2008. Executives whose SERP benefits were not frozen accrue benefits at a rate that is one-third less than their pre-August 2008 accrual rate and do not receive the benefit enhancements made to the 401(k) Plan or the DCP.	To provide for executive retirement benefits that cannot be provided under a tax-qualified retirement plan.
	Gannett Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP)	Retirement plan that allows certain executives the opportunity to defer to future years all or part of their base salary and incentive bonus and, beginning in August 2008, to provide benefits in relation to pay comparable to those available to other Company employees.	To allow certain executives to save towards retirement by deferring their own compensation and, beginning in August 2008, to provide for benefits that cannot be provided under the tax-qualified Gannett 401(k) Savings Plan (401(k) Plan) due to applicable IRS limits.
	Gannett Transitional Compensation Plan (TCP)	Severance pay arrangement that provides payment in the event of an involuntary termination without cause, a voluntary termination for good reason or, in the case of executives participating in the TCP before April 15, 2010 (but not those who first participate in the TCP on or after that date), a voluntary termination within 30 days after the first anniversary of a change in control (the right to receive change in control severance benefits upon an executive's voluntary election to terminate employment without good reason and within a specified window period following a change in control is referred to as a modified single trigger provision).	To provide assurance that the Company will retain top talent and have the continued dedication of, and the availability of objective advice and counsel from, our NEOs and other key executives notwithstanding the possibility, threat or occurrence of a change in control and to promote retention and continuity of our NEOs and certain key executives for at least one year after a change in control.

**How the Committee Determines NEO Compensation**

The Committee determines NEO compensation in its sole discretion based on its business judgment, informed by the experience of the Committee members, input from the Committee's independent consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners (PM&P), the Committee's assessment of the NEOs and the Company's performance and achievement of its strategic plan. While the Committee takes management recommendations into account when determining NEO compensation, the Committee relies primarily on its collective judgment of the performance of the Company

and our NEOs in light of the challenges confronting our core businesses and our progress toward achieving the Company's strategic plan. The Committee does not focus on any one particular objective, formula or financial

## **Table of Contents**

metric, but rather on what it considers to be value-added quantitative and qualitative goals in furtherance of our compensation guiding principles described below.

### *Guiding Principles*

In making its NEO compensation decisions, the Committee is guided by the following principles:

**Pay for performance** We believe that compensation should place a heavy emphasis on pay for performance and that substantial portions of total compensation should be at risk. The Committee believes that bonuses should reflect individual and Company performance during the past year and therefore bonuses can vary significantly in amount from year to year. On the other hand, long-term equity awards are forward-looking; they are designed primarily to reward future service and performance rather than past performance. As such, equity award amounts (in value, not number of shares) may tend to vary less from year to year.

**Attract, retain and motivate** We are committed to attracting and retaining superior executive talent by offering a compensation structure that motivates key employees to ensure our overall success and long-term strength.

**Fairness** We believe that compensation should be fair to both executives and shareholders, externally competitive, and designed to closely align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders.

**Promote stock ownership** We are committed to fostering a compensation structure that aligns our executives' interests with those of our shareholders. As a key part of our alignment efforts, we expect each of our senior executives to acquire and maintain a meaningful level of investment in Company common stock. The required levels of senior executive stock ownership are regularly reviewed by the Committee and approved by the full Board, most recently in December 2013. Our senior executives are expected to increase their stock ownership until they reach a minimum guideline equal to a multiple of their pay grade base salary range midpoint (shares acquired are valued at the market price of the stock on the date they were acquired, except that shares acquired before November 2008 are valued at the average market price of the stock between October 2000 and October 2008) as follows: a minimum guideline amount of five times for our CEO, four times for our CFO, three times for the other NEOs and two times for other key senior executives of the Company. Each of our NEOs and key senior executives meets or exceeds their applicable stock ownership guideline except recent hires who are progressing toward their guideline amount.

**Pay competitively** We are committed, as a leader in our industry, to awarding compensation that both reflects our position in the market and is generally in line with that paid to executives holding similar positions at peer and comparable companies.

### *Factors Considered by the Committee*

The Committee uses key performance indicators (KPIs) as its principal evaluation tool for NEO compensation decisions. KPIs consist of individually designed qualitative and quantitative goals organized around individual, operating unit and/or Company performance in the areas of profit, product and people. Quantitative KPIs include, where appropriate, revenue, operating income and digital goals for the Company and the respective divisions and functions over which each NEO has operational or overall responsibility. Qualitative KPIs include, where appropriate, measures of leadership, innovation, collaboration, new products and programs in support of the Company's strategic plan, diversity initiatives, First Amendment activities, and other significant qualitative objectives. The CEO's KPIs are heavily weighted toward the Company's financial performance, total shareholder return, and the execution of a strategic plan that positions the Company for the future.



## **Table of Contents**

The Committee also considers the financial performance of the Company using the following financial measures: total revenues, operating income, net income attributable to Gannett, income from continuing operations, earnings per share, return on equity, operating cash flow, free cash flow, free cash flow per diluted share, after tax cash flow per share, operating income as a percentage of sales, debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, stock price and market value, although no one measure is given greater weight than the others. In assessing these financial performance measures, the Committee compares them to management budgets approved by the Board at the beginning of the year and the Company's financial results from prior years. The Committee selected these financial measures because it considers them to be broad enough to capture the most significant financial aspects of an organization as large as ours yet also focused enough to represent the financial measures that we believe drive our financial success.

In addition, the Committee evaluates the Company's progress toward the goals of its strategic plan, as well as the achievement of qualitative goals including leadership in defending the First Amendment, promoting an ethical Company work environment and diverse workforce, and maintaining its reputation as a good corporate citizen of the local, national and international communities in which it does business.

### *Comparative Market Data*

To assist the Committee in making decisions affecting 2013 NEO compensation, management engaged Meridian to perform a comprehensive review of executive positions. In connection with this engagement, Meridian issued a report which the Committee reviewed, with the assistance of PM&P, at its October meeting and used in its year-end compensation decisions. Management also reviewed data from the Towers Watson Media Compensation Survey, the Towers Watson General Industry Executive Compensation Survey, the Croner Digital Content and Technology Survey and proxy data from Equilar, a widely used source of executive compensation information (collectively, Comparative Market Data). The Company compares its NEO salaries, bonuses and equity compensation to those of companies in the media sector and other companies with comparable revenues and ratios of profits to revenues in order to get a general understanding of the compensation structures maintained by similarly situated companies and to confirm that the elements of our compensation program and the range of amounts we pay our executives for each element are appropriate in the context of the broad market reference points provided by the Comparative Market Data. The Company does not, however, target elements of compensation to a certain range, percentage or percentile within the Comparative Market Data.

### *Base Salary*

We pay our NEOs base salaries to compensate them for service in their executive role. Salaries for NEOs take into account:

the nature and responsibility of the position;

the achievement of KPIs, both historically and in the immediately prior year;

internal pay equity among positions; and

Comparative Market Data (as described in more detail in the section above titled Comparative Market Data), which supported the conclusion that our NEOs' base salaries were competitive with those paid by the comparator group.



**Table of Contents**

Based on these factors and the Company's strong 2012 performance, the Committee set 2013 NEO base salaries as follows:

<u>Executive</u>	<u>2013 Base Salary</u>
Ms. Martore	\$ 900,000
Ms. Harker	\$ 635,000
Mr. Dickey	\$ 675,000
Mr. Lougee	\$ 600,000
Mr. Payne	\$ 550,000

Although the Committee approved an increase in base salary for Ms. Martore in 2013 from \$950,000 to \$1 million to reflect her performance in 2012 and her leadership in executing the Company's strategic plan, Ms. Martore voluntarily reduced her 2013 base salary to \$900,000, as she has since 2010.

***Annual Bonuses***

Our NEOs participate in an annual bonus program, which offers incentive opportunity linked to attainment of the Company's annual financial and qualitative performance goals and each executive's KPIs set at the beginning of the year. The Committee believes that bonuses should primarily reflect individual and Company performance during the past year and therefore bonuses can vary significantly in amount from year to year.

The Committee considers bonus guidelines developed by our President and CEO, Senior VP/Chief Human Resources Officer and Vice President/Total Rewards and HR Services. These guideline amounts are calculated by multiplying the NEO's base salary (disregarding voluntary pay reductions) by a target percentage, which takes into account:

the nature and responsibility of the position;

internal pay equity among positions; and

Comparative Market Data (as described in more detail in the section above titled "Comparative Market Data"), which indicated that the bonus guidelines we selected were generally in line with those disclosed by the comparator group.

Based on these factors, management recommended the following 2013 bonus guideline amounts for our NEOs:

<u>Executive</u>	<u>Base Salary</u>	<u>Target Percentage Of Base Salary</u>	<u>Bonus Guideline Amount</u>
Ms. Martore	\$ 1,000,000	125%	\$ 1,250,000



Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Ms. Harker	\$ 635,000	100%	\$ 635,000
Mr. Dickey	\$ 675,000	100%	\$ 675,000
Mr. Lougee	\$ 600,000	85%	\$ 510,000
Mr. Payne	\$ 550,000	75%	\$ 412,500

The Committee considered the following in reaching its NEO bonus decisions for 2013:

The Company's successful completion of the acquisition of Belo, which nearly doubles the Company's portfolio of broadcast stations in creating the largest independent station group of major network affiliates in the top 25 markets (including stations to be serviced by the Company under shared services and similar arrangements).

**Table of Contents**

Operating Revenue: \$5.16 billion, a decrease of 4% from 2012, primarily due to the relative absence of a record \$150 million in political advertising and \$37 million associated with the Summer Olympics generated in 2012.

The slight increase in the Company's total revenues compared to 2012, excluding the impact of political and Olympic advertising, on a comparable week basis (excluding the extra week in the fourth quarter of 2012).

The growth of Company-wide digital revenues to a record \$1.47 billion, representing almost 30% of the Company's total revenues for the year.

The 14% year-over-year growth of the Company's television revenues, excluding the impact of political and Olympic advertising, on a comparable week basis (excluding the extra week in the fourth quarter of 2012).

The Company's all-access content subscription model's contribution of in excess of \$100 million to the Company's operating income since its launch.

Earnings per share (diluted): \$2.02 (excluding the net unfavorable impact of \$0.36 per share for transformation expenses, non-cash asset impairment charges and workforce restructuring costs), down 13% from 2012.

Operating cash flow: \$987 million (representing operating income increased by depreciation, intangible asset amortization and non-cash facility consolidation and asset impairment charges included in operations), a decrease of 11% from 2012.

Based on the foregoing, the Committee awarded 2013 annual bonuses to our NEOs as follows:

<u>Executive</u>	<u>Bonus</u>
Ms. Martore	\$ 2,000,000
Ms. Harker	\$ 710,000
Mr. Dickey	\$ 600,000
Mr. Lougee	\$ 725,000
Mr. Payne	\$ 415,000

The Committee determined that these bonus amounts were appropriate given the Company's successful completion of the Belo acquisition, the Committee's assessment of individual NEO performance against their KPIs, the financial performance of the Company and the divisions and operations for which they are responsible, and the Company's progress toward the goals of its strategic plan. In addition:

Ms. Martore's bonus reflects her outstanding performance in 2013, including the critical role she played in negotiating and overseeing the completion of the Belo acquisition, her leadership and vision in continuing to transform the Company by executing on its strategic plan, and creating an environment that motivates the senior leadership team to support and execute the Company's strategic plan.

Ms. Harker's bonus reflects her role in enhancing the strength of the Company's capital structure and the financial performance of our businesses, redesigning workflows to achieve efficiencies, leading several significant cost control initiatives, and conducting the Company's successful financing activities in connection with the Belo acquisition.

Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Dickey's bonus reflects the solid financial performance of the U.S. Community Publishing Division despite the slow economic recovery, the successful execution of the Company's all-access content subscription model and the implementation of several revenue and cost control initiatives.

## **Table of Contents**

Mr. Lougee's bonus reflects the outstanding financial performance of the Broadcasting Division despite the absence of significant Olympics and political spending that were present in the prior year, improved results at several stations, and the key role he played in leading the Company's successful transition and integration planning efforts relating to the Belo acquisition.

Mr. Payne's bonus reflects the continued development and rollout of digital products and services that resulted in material traffic and user growth, significant performance improvements, continued progress toward a unified platform, and double-digit digital revenue growth across the Company's divisions.

### ***Long-Term Incentives***

We use equity-based awards to recognize the performance of certain executives who drive the development and execution of our business strategies and goals. The primary purposes of these awards are to align further the executive's interests with those of the Company's shareholders and the Company's longer-term objectives, to drive shareholder return, to foster executive stock ownership and to promote retention.

#### ***Timing of Equity-Based Awards***

We awarded both TSR performance shares and restricted stock units to our NEOs on January 1, 2013, continuing a practice we began in 2012. Prior to 2012, the Company's practice had been to make equity grants in two parts with restricted stock units typically granted in December and stock options typically granted in February. We changed this practice in October 2011 and made both TSR performance share and restricted stock unit awards on January 1, 2012 for the first time. As a result of the change, and because no TSR performance shares were granted during 2011, the 2011 equity grants to our NEOs (except for Mr. Payne, who was newly hired in 2011) consisted solely of stock options granted in February 2011, in amounts lower than our typical total annual equity grant levels. Accordingly, the equity component of our NEOs compensation was significantly higher in 2012 than it was in 2011, primarily as a result of this one time grant practice timing change.

#### ***RSUs***

The Company grants RSUs to help retain our executives over the long term since they generally only vest based on continued employment with the Company over a full four-year cliff vesting period. The four-year vesting period is longer than the three-year vesting period often used by companies for RSU grants. The Committee believes that imposing a longer cliff vesting period for RSUs more closely aligns the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders because the value of the grant increases and decreases in line with the Company's stock price over a longer time period. Executives are generally entitled to receive a prorated portion of their RSUs upon retirement (defined as 65 years of age or at least 55 years of age with at least 5 years of service), disability or death, and the RSUs will vest fully upon a change in control of the Company.

#### ***Performance Shares***

The Company administers a performance share plan (Performance Share Plan) based on total shareholder return. Under the Performance Share Plan, the Company may issue shares of Company common stock (Performance Shares) to senior executives following the completion of a three-year period beginning on the grant date (Incentive Period). Generally, if an executive remains in continuous employment with the Company during the Incentive Period, the number of Performance Shares that an executive will receive will be determined based upon how the Company's total shareholder return



**Table of Contents**

(TSR) compares to the TSRs of a peer group of media companies (TSR Peer Group) during the Incentive Period. By tying the payout of the performance shares to the Company's TSR, the Committee is aligning executive compensation with shareholders' interests.

For each grant of Performance Shares, the Company's TSR will be ranked against the TSR of each company in its TSR Peer Group over the Incentive Period. The Committee selected these media companies because they have print, digital and/or broadcasting operations and may face similar challenges in transforming their businesses. Our TSR Peer Group companies for the grants made on January 1, 2013, for the 2013-2015 Incentive Period, are as follows:

*2013 2015 TSR Peer Group*

A. H. Belo Corporation	Monster Worldwide Inc.
Discovery Communications, Inc.	News Corporation/Twenty-First Century Fox
The E. W. Scripps Company	The New York Times Company
Journal Communications, Inc.	Graham Holdings, Inc. (formerly The Washington Post Company)
The McClatchy Company	Yahoo! Inc.
Media General, Inc.	
Meredith Corporation	

Our TSR Peer Group companies for the grants made on January 1, 2012, for the 2012-2014 Incentive Period, are as follows:

*2012 2014 TSR Peer Group*

A. H. Belo Corporation	Meredith Corporation
Belo Corp.	Monster Worldwide Inc.
Discovery Communications, Inc.	News Corporation/Twenty-First Century Fox
The E. W. Scripps Company	The New York Times Company
Journal Communications, Inc.	Graham Holdings, Inc. (formerly The Washington Post Company)
The McClatchy Company	Yahoo! Inc.
Media General, Inc.	

For additional information regarding the Company's TSR Peer Groups, see "Impact of Recent Transactions Involving TSR Peer Group Members on Currently Open Incentive Periods" on page 31 of this Proxy Statement.

For purposes of the Performance Share Plan, a company's TSR equals a fraction, the numerator of which is the company's stock price change plus the dividends paid on such stock (which are assumed to be reinvested in the stock) from the first day of the Incentive Period to the applicable measurement date, and the denominator of which is the company's closing stock price on the first day of the Incentive Period.

For purposes of calculating the number of Performance Shares that an executive may receive, the Company's TSR will be compared to the TSR of each TSR Peer Group company and the number of TSR Peer Group companies whose TSR was exceeded by the Company's TSR will determine the number of Performance Shares that the executive may receive.



**Table of Contents**

Specifically, for each Incentive Period, the Committee will calculate the number of Performance Shares that may be paid to the executive by multiplying the executive's target number of Performance Shares (as specified in the executive's award agreement) by a percentage based upon the number of TSR Peer Group Companies whose performance is exceeded by the Company. The percentages for the 2013-2015 Incentive Period are set forth on the following table:

<b># of TSR Peer Group Companies the Company's TSR Outperformed</b>	<b>Resulting Shares Earned (% of Target)</b>
11 or 12	200%
10	183%
9	163%
8	142%
7	121%
6	100%
5	79%
4	58%
3 or fewer	0%

The percentages for the 2012-2014 Incentive Period are set forth on the following table:

<b># of TSR Peer Group Companies the Company's TSR Outperformed</b>	<b>Resulting Shares Earned (% of Target)</b>
12 or 13	200%
11	187%
10	167%
9	148%
8	129%
7	110%
6	90%
5	71%
4	52%
3 or fewer	0%

The average applicable payout percentages at the end of each of the last four quarters in the Incentive Period will be used to calculate the number of Performance Shares that an executive earns. This mechanism has been adopted so that the calculation does not solely rely upon the Company's stock price on the first day and the last day of the Incentive Period.

With certain exceptions for terminations due to death, disability, retirement (defined as 65 years of age or at least 55 years of age with at least 5 years of service) or a change in control, Performance Shares will generally vest on the expiration of the Incentive Period only if the executive continues to be employed by the Company through the last day of the Incentive Period.

After the end of the Incentive Period, each executive who is entitled to Performance Shares based on these calculations and the satisfaction of the applicable service and performance requirements will receive a share certificate (or an appropriate book-entry will be made) for the number of Performance





## **Table of Contents**

Shares that the executive has earned, less withholding taxes. Dividends will not be paid or accrued on Performance Shares. Upon a change in control of the Company, Performance Shares will fully vest and an executive will be entitled to receive a number of Performance Shares based on the Company's TSR relative to the TSR of each company in its TSR Peer Group on the date of the change in control, unless the change in control occurs during the first 6 months of an Incentive Period, in which case the executive will receive the target number of Performance Shares set forth in the executive award agreement for that Performance Share grant.

The Performance Share Plan has additional rules that will affect calculations in the event of the bankruptcy or change in control of a TSR Peer Group company during the Incentive Period:

TSR Peer Group companies that are involved in bankruptcy proceedings (and thus no longer traded on a national securities exchange) during the Incentive Period will remain in the group at a negative 100% TSR;

TSR Peer Group companies acquired during Year 1 of the Incentive Period will be excluded from all calculations; and

For TSR Peer Group companies acquired in Years 2 or 3, their TSR position will be fixed above or below the Company's TSR using the average closing price of their stock during the 20 consecutive trading days ending on the trading day immediately preceding the announcement of the acquisition.

The number of Performance Shares granted to an executive will be reduced if the price of the shares when paid exceeds 300% of the price of the shares on the first day of the Incentive Period. The price of the shares on the first day of the 2013-2015 Incentive Period was \$18.01, the closing price of a share of Company common stock on December 31, 2012 (the last trading date prior to January 1, 2013) and the price of the shares on the first day of the 2012-2014 Incentive Period was \$13.37, the closing price of a share of Company common stock on December 30, 2011 (the last trading day prior to January 1, 2012).

### *Impact of Recent Transactions Involving TSR Peer Group Members on Currently Open Incentive Periods*

**Belo Corp.:** Based upon the rules of the Performance Share Plan described above, as a result of the Company's acquisition of Belo Corp. during Year 1 of the 2013-2015 Incentive Period, Belo Corp. has been excluded from the Company's TSR Peer Group companies for the 2013-2015 Incentive Period. In addition, the TSR position of Belo Corp. has been fixed above the Company's TSR for the 2012-2014 Incentive Period based upon the average closing price of Belo Corp. stock during the 20 consecutive trading days ending on June 12, 2013, the trading day immediately preceding the announcement of the acquisition.

**News Corporation:** Effective June 28, 2013, News Corporation contributed certain newspaper and other businesses to a new company, new News Corporation, and spun-off the new company to its shareholders. Pursuant to the terms of the spin-off, a shareholder of old News Corporation, which was renamed Twenty-First Century Fox, received  $\frac{1}{4}$  share of new News Corporation for each share of old News Corporation the shareholder owned prior to the spin-off. Accordingly, for each of the 2013-2015 and the 2012-2014 Incentive Periods, the TSR of old News Corporation will be calculated by assuming that a share of old News Corporation held as of the effective date of the spin-off was converted into one share of Twenty-First Century Fox and a  $\frac{1}{4}$  share of new News Corporation.

### *2013 TSR Performance*

The Company outperformed 9 of its 13 2012-2014 TSR Peer Group companies with a total shareholder return of 140% for the two year period ending December 31, 2013 and the Company

**Table of Contents**

outperformed 6 of its 12 2013-2015 TSR Peer Group companies with a total shareholder return of 69% for the one year period ending December 31, 2013.

*Long-Term Equity Awards under the 2013 Program*

For the January 1, 2013 grants, the Committee considered the total long-term equity award target values developed by our President and CEO, Senior VP/Chief Human Resources Officer and Vice President/Compensation. These target values were calculated by multiplying the NEO's base salary (disregarding pay reductions) by a target percentage, which takes into account:

the nature and responsibility of the position;

internal pay equity among positions; and

Comparative Market Data (as described in more detail in the section above titled "Comparative Market Data"), which showed that the target values recommended by management were generally consistent with those disclosed by the comparator group.

Based on these factors, management recommended the following total long-term equity award target values for our NEOs:

<b>Executive</b>	<b>2012 Base Salary</b>	<b>Long-Term Award Target Percentage</b>	<b>Total Long- Term Award Target Value</b>
Ms. Martore	\$ 950,000	300%	\$ 2,850,000
Ms. Harker	\$ 625,000	200%	\$ 1,250,000
Mr. Dickey	\$ 625,000	200%	\$ 1,250,000
Mr. Lougee	\$ 550,000	150%	\$ 825,000
Mr. Payne	\$ 525,000	150%	\$ 787,500

Using these long-term equity award target value recommendations as a guideline, the Committee approved 2013 total long-term award values for each of our NEOs in December 2012 as follows:

<b>Executive</b>	<b>Total Long- Term Award Value</b>
Ms. Martore	\$ 3,200,000
Ms. Harker	\$ 1,250,000
Mr. Dickey	\$ 1,375,000
Mr. Lougee	\$ 950,000
Mr. Payne	\$ 827,000

The Committee determined that these long-term equity award values were appropriate given the individual performance of each NEO against their KPIs, the financial performance of the Company and the divisions and operations for which they are responsible, and the Company's progress toward the goals of its strategic plan.

The Committee also considered management's recommendations as to the appropriate allocation of the total target award value for each NEO between Performance Shares and RSUs. The Committee and management believe that having our NEOs receive a higher proportion of their long-term awards as Performance Shares (which are performance-based) rather than RSUs (which are time-based) strengthens the pay for performance aspect of the Company's long-term incentive program. The

**Table of Contents**

Committee determined that 75% of the value of Ms. Martore's long-term award should be in Performance Shares, reflecting the importance of her leadership role in creating and overseeing the execution of the Company's strategic plan, and set allocations for the other NEOs that provided for Performance Share awards of up to 65% of the value of the NEO's overall long-term equity award.

On January 1, 2013, the first day of the Performance Share Incentive Period, the long-term equity award value for each NEO was translated into an award of Performance Shares based on the present value per share of the expected payout as calculated using the Monte Carlo valuation method and an award of RSUs based upon the Company's closing stock price on December 31, 2012, as follows:

<b>Executive</b>	<b>RSUs</b>	<b>Target Performance Shares</b>
Ms. Martore	53,872	119,284
Ms. Harker	29,461	40,383
Mr. Dickey	32,407	44,421
Mr. Lougee	22,391	30,691
Mr. Payne	19,492	26,717

***Benefits and Perquisites***

The Company's NEOs are provided a limited number of personal benefits and perquisites (described in footnote 6 to the Summary Compensation Table). The Committee's objectives in providing these benefits are to enable the Company to attract and retain the best management talent in a competitive marketplace, to complement other compensation components, and to help minimize distractions from our executives' attention to important Company initiatives.

The personal benefits and perquisites the Company provides to our NEOs are generally the same as those offered to other senior executives. For additional information about these and other post-employment benefits, see the benefits discussion under the Other Potential Post-Employment Payments section.

***Post-Termination Pay***

The Company sponsors a tax-qualified defined benefit retirement plan, the Gannett Retirement Plan (GRP), and a nonqualified retirement plan, the Gannett Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP). The Company also offers a tax-qualified defined contribution plan, the Gannett 401(k) Savings Plan (401(k) Plan), as well as a tax-advantaged Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP) and a Transitional Compensation Plan (TCP), which together with the GRP and SERP, assist the Company in recruiting and retaining employees and in providing leadership stability and long-term commitment.

On August 1, 2008, as part of a comprehensive evaluation of its retirement program, the Company made significant changes to the GRP, SERP, 401(k) Plan and DCP. As discussed in greater detail below, on August 1, 2008, the following changes became effective:

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

The benefits for almost all participants in the GRP and SERP were frozen.

Participants whose benefits were frozen under the GRP and, if applicable, the SERP, commenced receiving higher matching contributions under the 401(k) Plan. The Company also began making additional employer contributions to the 401(k) Plan and/or DCP on behalf of certain employees, including Mr. Lougee. The additional employer contributions also apply to employees hired after August 1, 2008, including Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne.

**Table of Contents**

Certain employees, including Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey, continued to accrue benefits under the SERP after August 1, 2008, but at a rate that is one-third less than the pre-August 1, 2008 rate. These employees do not receive the benefit enhancements made to the 401(k) Plan or the DCP.

*Gannett Retirement Plan (GRP)*

The GRP provides retirement income to the majority of the Company's U.S.-based employees who were employed before benefits were frozen on August 1, 2008, at which time most participants, including each of the NEOs (other than Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne, who do not participate in the GRP), ceased to earn additional benefits for compensation or service earned on or after that date. The plan provides benefits for employees based upon years of credited service, and the highest consecutive five-year average of an employee's compensation out of the final ten years of credited service, referred to as final average earnings, or FAE. Subject to Internal Revenue Code limits, compensation generally includes a participant's base salary, performance-based bonuses, and pre-tax contributions to the Company's benefit plans other than the DCP. Until benefits commence, participants' frozen benefits are periodically adjusted to reflect increases in a specified cost-of-living index (i.e., the consumer price index for all urban consumers published by the U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Statistics for U.S. all items less food and energy).

Effective January 1, 1998, the Company made a significant change to the GRP for service after that date. Certain employees who were either retirement-eligible or had a significant number of years of service with the Company were grandfathered in the plan provisions applicable to them prior to the change (pre-1998 plan provisions). Other employees were transitioned to the post-1997 plan provisions under the GRP.

The pre-1998 GRP provisions provide for a benefit that is expressed as a monthly annuity at normal retirement equal to a gross benefit reduced by a portion of the participant's Social Security benefit. Generally, a participant's annual gross benefit is calculated by multiplying the participant's years of credited service by specified percentages (generally 2% for a participant's first 25 years of credited service and 0.7% for years of credited service in excess of 25) and multiplying such amount by the participant's FAE. Benefits under the pre-1998 GRP provisions are paid in the form of monthly annuity payments for the life of the participant and, if applicable, the participant's designated beneficiary. The pre-1998 GRP provisions provide for early retirement subsidies for participants who terminate employment after attaining age 55 and completing five years of service and elect to commence benefits before age 65. Under these provisions, a participant's gross benefit that would otherwise be paid at age 65 is reduced by 4% for each year the participant retires before age 65. If a participant terminates employment after attaining age 60 with 25 years of service, the participant's gross benefit that would otherwise be paid at age 65 is reduced by 2.5% for each year the participant retires before age 65.

The post-1997 GRP provisions provide for a benefit under a pension equity formula, which generally expresses a participant's benefit as a current lump sum value based on the sum of annual percentages credited to each participating employee. The percentages increase with years of service, and, in some circumstances, with age. Upon termination or retirement, the total percentages are applied to a participant's FAE resulting in a lump sum benefit value. The pension equity benefit can be paid as either a lifetime annuity or a lump sum.

The GRP benefit for each of our NEOs is calculated under the post-1997 GRP provisions (except for Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne who do not participate in the GRP). However, as noted below, Ms. Martore's and Mr. Dickey's SERP benefits are calculated under the pre-1998 GRP provisions. Each of the NEOs (other than Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne who do not participate in the GRP) is fully vested in his or her GRP benefit as of December 29, 2013.



## **Table of Contents**

### *Gannett Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP)*

The SERP is a nonqualified retirement plan that provides eligible employees with retirement benefits that cannot be provided under the GRP due to the Internal Revenue Code, which limits the compensation that can be recognized under qualified retirement plans and imposes limits on the amount of benefits which can be paid. For some participants, including Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey, the SERP also provides a benefit equal to the difference between the benefits calculated under the pre-1998 GRP formula and the amount they will receive from the GRP under the post-1997 formula. The SERP benefits for Mr. Lougee are calculated under the post-1997 GRP formula without regard to the IRS-imposed limits on pay and benefits. For all SERP participants, the benefit calculated under the applicable SERP formula is reduced by benefits payable from the GRP. Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne do not participate in the SERP.

In conjunction with the Company's decision to freeze benefits under the GRP, the Company also decided to make changes to benefits under the SERP. Generally, SERP participants whose SERP benefits were calculated under the pre-1998 GRP formula will continue to accrue benefits under the SERP. However, their benefits for credited service after August 1, 2008 are calculated at a rate that is one-third less than the pre-August 1, 2008 rate. NEOs affected by this change are Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey. Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey are currently eligible for early retirement under the pre-1998 GRP formula that applies to them under the SERP.

Effective August 1, 2008, SERP participants whose SERP benefits were not calculated under the pre-1998 GRP formula had their SERP benefits frozen such that they ceased to earn additional benefits for compensation or service earned on or after that date. Until benefits commence, such participants' frozen benefits are periodically adjusted to reflect increases in a specified cost-of-living index. Mr. Lougee is the only NEO affected by this change.

SERP benefits are generally paid in the form of a lump sum amount when a participant separates from service or, if later, the date the participant attains age 55, except that payment is accelerated in the event that the Company undergoes a change in control. In order to comply with federal tax laws, an NEO's SERP benefit cannot be paid within the first six months after the participant's separation from service with the Company. As of December 29, 2013, Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee are fully vested in their SERP benefits.

### *Gannett 401(k) Savings Plan (401(k) Plan)*

Most of the Company's employees based in the United States may participate in the 401(k) Plan, which permits eligible participants to make pre-tax contributions and provides for matching and other employer contributions. Effective August 1, 2008, new participants as well as participants whose benefits have been frozen under the GRP and, if applicable, the SERP, commenced receiving higher matching contributions under the 401(k) Plan. Under the new formula, the matching contribution rate generally increased from 50% of the first 6% of compensation that an employee elects to contribute to the plan to 100% of the first 5% of compensation. Ms. Harker, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne receive matching contributions under the new formula, and Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey receive matching contributions under the old formula. The Company also makes additional employer contributions to the 401(k) Plan on behalf of certain employees, but none of the NEOs. For purposes of the 401(k) Plan and subject to Internal Revenue Code limits, compensation generally includes a participant's base salary, performance-based bonuses, and pre-tax contributions to the Company's benefit plans. Company contributions under the 401(k) Plan vest 25% after one year of service, 50% after two years of service and 100% after three years of service. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, Company contributions are 100% vested for Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne and 25% vested for Ms. Harker.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Gannett Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP)*

Each NEO who participates in the DCP may elect to defer all or a portion of his or her compensation under the DCP, provided that the minimum deferral must be \$5,000 for each form of compensation (base salary and bonus) for the year of deferral. The amounts deferred by each NEO are vested and will be deemed invested in the fund or funds designated by such NEO from among a number of funds selected by the Committee.

Effective August 1, 2008, the DCP was amended to provide for Company contributions on behalf of certain employees whose benefits under the 401(k) Plan are capped by Internal Revenue Code rules that limit the amount of compensation that can be taken into account when calculating benefits under a qualified plan. Generally, Company contributions to the DCP are calculated by applying the same formula that applies to an employee's matching and additional employer contributions under the 401(k) Plan to the employee's compensation in excess of the Internal Revenue Code compensation limit. However, participants are not required to make elective contributions to the DCP to receive an employer contribution under the DCP. Company contributions under the DCP vest 25% after one year of service, 50% after two years of service and 100% after three years of service. Ms. Harker, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne each has been credited with Company contributions to the DCP based on his or her respective 2013 compensation in excess of the Internal Revenue Code compensation limit. Ms. Harker was 25% vested in her Company contribution, Mr. Lougee was immediately vested in his Company contribution and Mr. Payne was 50% vested in his Company contribution when it was made. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, Mr. Payne is 100% vested in his Company contribution. Executives who continue to accrue reduced benefits under the SERP after August 1, 2008, including Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey, do not receive Company contributions under the DCP.

Amounts that a participant elects to defer into the DCP are generally paid at the time and in the form elected by the participant, provided that if the participant terminates employment before attaining age 55 and completing five years of service, benefits are paid in a lump sum amount upon such termination (although for pre-2005 deferrals the Committee may pay such deferrals in five annual installments). The DCP permits participants to receive in-service withdrawals of participant contributions for unforeseeable emergencies and certain other circumstances. Prior to when the deferrals are made, a participant may make a special election as to the time and form of payment for benefits that become payable due to the participant's death or disability if payments have not already commenced, and deferrals will be paid in accordance with such elections under those circumstances. Company contributions to the DCP are generally paid in the form of a lump sum amount when a participant separates from service. The payment of post-2004 Company and participant DCP contributions is accelerated in the event that the Company undergoes a change in control.

*Gannett Transitional Compensation Plan (TCP)*

The TCP provides severance pay for our NEOs and other key executives upon a change in control of the Company. The plan provides payments in the event of an involuntary termination without cause, a voluntary termination for good reason or, in the case of executives participating in the TCP before April 15, 2010 (but not those who first participate in the TCP on or after that date), a voluntary termination within 30 days after the first anniversary of the change in control.

The TCP assures the Company that it would have the continued dedication of, and the availability of objective advice and counsel from, our NEOs and other key executives notwithstanding the possibility, threat or occurrence of a change in control and promotes the retention and continuity of our NEOs and certain key executives for at least one year after a change in control. Change in control arrangements also facilitate the Company's ability to attract and retain management as the Company competes for talented employees in a marketplace where such protections are common. See *Change in Control* under *Other Potential-Post Employment Payments*.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***Other Compensation Policies***

#### ***Change in Control Payments***

In connection with a review of its executive compensation practices, on April 15, 2010, the Committee adopted a policy that (i) the Company will no longer include in new or materially amended agreements entered into by the Company with its executive officers (a) excise tax gross-ups with respect to payments contingent upon a change in control or (b) a modified single trigger for payments contingent upon a change in control, and (ii) any new participant in the Gannett Transitional Compensation Plan (TCP) on or after April 15, 2010, including Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne, will not be entitled to the benefit of the TCP's excise tax gross-up or modified single trigger provisions. However, participants in the TCP and executive officers who entered into agreements with the Company prior to April 15, 2010, including Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee have been grandfathered into the prior practice and will continue to be entitled to the benefit of the excise tax gross-up and modified single trigger provisions in the TCP and such agreements.

#### ***Recoupment Policy***

The Company has adopted a recoupment or clawback policy that applies to cash-based and equity-based incentive compensation awards granted to the Company's employees, including the NEOs. Under the policy, to the extent permitted by applicable law and subject to the approval of the Committee, the Company may seek to recoup any incentive based compensation awarded to any employee subject to the policy, if (1) the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws, (2) the fraud or intentional misconduct of an employee subject to the policy contributed to the noncompliance that resulted in the obligation to restate, and (3) a lower award of incentive-based compensation would have been made to the covered employee had it been based upon the restated financial results. The policy is in addition to any other remedies the Company may have, including those available under Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended.

#### ***Hedging, Short-Selling and Pledging Policy***

The Company has adopted a hedging, short-selling and pledging policy that prohibits the Company's employees and directors from purchasing financial instruments that are designed to hedge or offset any fluctuations in the market value of the Company's equity securities they hold, purchasing the Company's shares on margin and selling any securities of the Company short. The policy also prohibits the Company's directors and executive officers from borrowing against any account in which the Company's equity securities are held or pledging the Company's equity securities as collateral for a loan. These prohibitions apply whether or not such equity securities were acquired through the Company's equity compensation programs.

#### ***Tax Considerations***

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction to public corporations for compensation over \$1,000,000 paid to a company's CEO and its three other most highly compensated executive officers other than the CFO for any fiscal year. However, Section 162(m) exempts qualifying performance-based compensation from the deduction limit if specified requirements are met. The Committee has structured, and intends to continue to structure, performance-based compensation, including Performance Shares and annual bonuses, to executive officers who may be subject to Section 162(m) in a manner that satisfies those requirements. For example, in February 2013, the

Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Committee established a limit on NEO annual bonuses based on a percentage of the Company's operating cash flow for the purpose of preserving their deductibility under Section 162(m). However, the Committee reserves the authority to award non-deductible

**Table of Contents**

compensation in circumstances as it deems appropriate. Because of ambiguities and uncertainties as to the application and interpretation of Section 162(m) and the regulations issued thereunder, no assurance can be given, notwithstanding our efforts, that compensation intended by the Company to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Section 162(m) does in fact do so. For 2013, approximately \$2,792,213 of the compensation paid to Ms. Martore, \$490,201 of the compensation paid to Mr. Dickey, and \$191,917 of the compensation paid to Mr. Lougee was not deductible under Section 162(m).

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Executive Compensation Committee met with management to review and discuss the Compensation Discussion and Analysis disclosures included in this Proxy Statement. Based on such review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Company's Form 10-K for its 2013 fiscal year, and the Board has approved that recommendation.

Executive Compensation Committee

Duncan M. McFarland, Chair

Howard D. Elias

Marjorie Magner

**Table of Contents****SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary \$(1)	Bonus \$(2)	Stock Awards \$(3)	Option Awards \$(4)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$(5)	All Other Compensation \$(6)	Total \$(7)
Gracia C. Martore (President and CEO)	2013	900,000	2,000,000	3,199,993	0	1,678,497	134,966	7,913,456
	2012	882,692	1,600,000	2,929,316	0	2,924,307	117,283	8,453,598
	2011	848,077	1,200,000	0	1,444,400	1,082,927	118,405	4,693,809
Victoria D. Harker (Chief Financial Officer)	2013	635,000	710,000	1,250,002	0	0	47,207	2,642,209
	2012	274,840	200,000	1,013,748	0	0	14,671	1,503,259
Robert J. Dickey (President/USCP)	2013	675,000	600,000	1,374,995	0	0	109,115	2,759,110
	2012	612,981	650,000	1,208,769	0	1,189,139	125,612	3,786,501
	2011	588,942	550,000	0	824,250	704,813	71,969	2,739,974
David T. Lougee (President/ Broadcasting)	2013	600,023	725,000	950,009	0	3,826	143,944	2,422,802
	2012	517,020	575,000	846,146	0	5,075	131,030	2,074,271
David A. Payne (Senior Vice President and Chief Digital Officer)	2011	517,020	440,000	0	541,650	3,423	149,046	1,651,139
	2013	550,000	415,000	827,002	0	0	84,440	1,876,442
	2012	525,000	435,000	761,526	0	0	123,543	1,845,069
	2011	417,981	465,000	356,250	357,500	0	93,219	1,689,950

- (1) Ms. Martore voluntarily reduced her base salary from \$1,000,000 to \$900,000 in 2013 and from \$950,000 to \$900,000 from 2010 through 2012. She also declined a salary increase in connection with her promotion to CEO in October 2011. The amounts reported in this column for 2012 reflect a reduction of salary as a result of the Company's furlough and salary reduction program in the equivalent amount of one week's salary (about 2%) for each of Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey. The amounts in this column for 2012 also reflect Ms. Harker's July 2012 start date. The amounts reported in this column for 2011 reflect a reduction of salary as a result of the Company's furlough and salary reduction program in the equivalent amount of three weeks salary (about 6%) for each of Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey. The amounts reported in this column for 2011 also reflect Mr. Payne's March 2011 start date. Mr. Lougee's base salary was reduced from \$550,000 to \$517,000 beginning in July 2009 and continuing through 2012 as a result of the Broadcast division's salary reduction program.
- (2) See the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section for a discussion of how the bonus amounts were determined. The amount reported in this column for Ms. Harker in 2012 reflects a prorated bonus based on her July 2012 start date. The amount reported in this column for Mr. Payne in 2011 includes a \$125,000 hiring bonus in connection with his appointment as the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Digital Officer and a prorated bonus based on his March 2011 start date.
- (3) Amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of Performance Share and RSU awards computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation (ASC 718) based on the assumptions set forth in note 11 to the Company's 2013 audited financial statements. These are not amounts paid to or realized by the NEO. There can be no assurance that the ASC 718 amounts shown in this column will ever be realized by an executive officer. The amounts reported in this column for 2011 reflect the fact that, unlike prior years, the Company did not make annual RSU awards to the NEOs (other than the one-time RSU grant made to Mr. Payne in connection with his hiring). Beginning in 2012, awards of RSUs and Performance Shares under the Company's long-term equity program are made on January 1st of each year.





**Table of Contents**

- (4) Amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of SO awards computed in accordance with ASC 718 based on the assumptions set forth in note 11 to the Company's 2013 audited financial statements. These are not amounts paid to or realized by the NEO. There can be no assurance that the ASC 718 amounts shown in this column will ever be realized by an executive officer. The Company discontinued issuing stock options to senior executives beginning in 2012.
- (5) Amounts in this column represent the aggregate increase, if any, of the accumulated benefit liability relating to the NEO under the GRP and the SERP in the applicable fiscal year. Amounts are calculated by comparing values as of the pension plan measurement date used for the Company's financial statements for the applicable fiscal years. This includes the value of any additional service accrued, the impact of any compensation increases received, the impact of any plan amendments made during the period, and growth attributable to interest, if applicable. The Company uses the same assumptions it uses for financial reporting under generally accepted accounting principles with the exception of retirement age, pre-retirement mortality and probability of terminating employment prior to retirement. The assumed retirement age for the above values is the earliest age at which an executive could retire without any benefit reduction due to age. The above values are calculated assuming each NEO survives to the assumed retirement age. To the extent the assumptions used for reporting changed from the prior year to the current year, the impact is reflected in the above values. For example, during 2012 and 2011, the accounting discount rates for GRP and SERP reporting decreased, which positively impacted pension values, while in 2013, the accounting discount rates for GRP and SERP reporting increased, which negatively impacted pension values. The 2013 amount shown for Mr. Dickey does not reflect the year over year decrease in the aggregate value of his pension of \$25,584. The amounts shown for Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne reflect the fact that they do not participate in the GRP or the SERP.
- (6) Amounts for 2013 reported in this column include (i) annual life insurance premiums paid by the Company for Ms. Martore in the amount of \$31,350, for Mr. Dickey in the amount of \$42,248, and for Mr. Lougee in the amount of \$32,515; (ii) matching contributions of \$7,560 to the 401(k) accounts of Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey and matching contributions of \$12,750 to each of the respective 401(k) accounts of Ms. Harker, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne; (iii) Company contributions into the DCP accounts of Ms. Harker, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne in the amounts of \$29,000, \$46,001 and \$36,500, respectively (for an explanation of these payments, see discussion of the Deferred Compensation Plan beginning on page 36); (iv) premiums paid by the Company for supplemental medical coverage for each NEO other than Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne; (v) other than for Ms. Harker, a Company-provided automobile (beginning in 2012, the Company no longer provides this benefit to new senior executives), (vi) occasional personal use of Company aircraft; (vii) legal and financial services; (viii) Gannett Foundation grants to eligible charities recommended by each NEO of up to \$15,000 annually (beginning in 2013, the Company no longer provides this benefit to new senior executives); and (ix) premiums paid by the Company for travel accident insurance. The NEOs also occasionally receive tickets to sporting events for personal use if the tickets are not needed for business use, for which the Company does not incur incremental costs.

**Table of Contents****GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS**

Name	Grant Date (1)	Committee Meeting Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(3)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(4)
			Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)		
Ms. Martore	1/1/13	12/4/12	69,185	119,284	238,568	53,872	2,399,994
	1/1/13	12/4/12					799,999
Ms. Harker	1/1/13	12/4/12	23,422	40,383	80,766	29,461	812,506
	1/1/13	12/4/12					437,496
Mr. Dickey	1/1/13	12/4/12	25,764	44,421	88,842	32,407	893,751
	1/1/13	12/4/12					481,244
Mr. Lougee	1/1/13	12/4/12	17,801	30,691	61,382	22,391	617,503
	1/1/13	12/4/12					332,506
Mr. Payne	1/1/13	12/4/12	15,496	26,717	53,434	19,492	537,546
	1/1/13	12/4/12					289,456

- (1) See the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section for a discussion of the timing of various pay decisions.
- (2) These share numbers represent the threshold, target and maximum Performance Share awards under the Performance Share Plan for the 2013-2015 Incentive Period. The threshold award is 58% of the target Performance Share award, and the maximum award is 200% of the target Performance Share award.
- (3) The RSU grants reported in this column generally vest in full on the fourth anniversary of the grant date, at which time each NEO will receive an equivalent number of shares of Company stock.
- (4) The full grant date fair value was computed in accordance with ASC 718, based on the assumptions set forth in note 11 to the Company's 2013 audited financial statements. There can be no assurance that the ASC 718 amounts shown in the table will ever be realized by an executive officer. Amounts shown for grants of Performance Shares are based on the target amount payable if the performance conditions are met.

**Table of Contents**

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE AND THE  
GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE**

***Ms. Martore***

In February 2007, the Company entered into an employment contract with Ms. Martore. The contract provides for a rolling three-year term until such time as either Ms. Martore or the Company provides notice of non-extension, in which case the term of the contract would expire on December 31 of the second year following the effective time of the notice. During her employment, Ms. Martore will receive an annual base salary of \$700,000 or such greater amount as the Committee determines, and an annual bonus at the discretion of the Committee. Ms. Martore's current base salary is \$1 million; however, she has voluntarily reduced it to \$900,000 beginning in 2010 and continuing through 2013. Ms. Martore declined a salary increase in connection with her promotion to President and CEO of the Company in October 2011. Her benefits under her employment agreement, the SERP, the TCP, the Performance Share Plan and certain other plans have been calculated assuming that she did not voluntarily reduce her base salary. All SOs and RSUs granted to Ms. Martore will vest fully within four years from the grant date, will vest immediately upon termination of employment (other than by the Company for good cause) and, in the case of SOs, upon any such termination, will remain exercisable for the lesser of the remaining term or three years. Ms. Martore will not forfeit Performance Shares previously granted to her upon a termination of her employment. The number of Performance Shares that will be paid to Ms. Martore will be calculated in accordance with the terms of the Performance Share Plan, assuming that Ms. Martore was continuously employed by the Company through the last day of each of the applicable Performance Share Incentive Periods. See also the Other Potential Post-Employment Payments section for more information about Ms. Martore's post-employment benefits.

***Ms. Harker***

In June 2012, Ms. Harker was appointed the Company's Chief Financial Officer and entered into a termination benefits agreement with the Company, effective July 23, 2012. In connection with her appointment, Ms. Harker was granted RSUs and Performance Shares with an aggregate grant date value of \$1.1 million. Each of these grants was subject to the Company's standard vesting schedule, except that these initial stock grants (but not future grants) will immediately vest in full if the Company terminates Ms. Harker's employment without good cause as defined in her termination benefits agreement. See also the Other Potential Post-Employment Payments section for more information about Ms. Harker's post-employment benefits.

***Mr. Payne***

In March 2011, Mr. Payne was appointed the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Digital Officer and entered into a termination benefits agreement with the Company. In connection with his appointment, Mr. Payne received a hiring bonus of \$125,000 and was granted SOs to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock and 25,000 RSUs. Each of these grants was subject to the Company's standard vesting schedule. See also the Other Potential Post-Employment Payments section for more information about Mr. Payne's post-employment benefits.

**Table of Contents****OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
	Number of securities underlying unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of securities underlying unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Ms. Martore	63,000		61.26	2/27/2015				
	62,000		31.75	2/26/2016				
	50,000		3.75	2/24/2017				
	100,000		15.08	12/11/2017				
	225,000	75,000(1)	15.00	2/23/2018				
	92,000	92,000(2)	16.23	2/22/2019				
					90,000(4)	2,629,800		
				56,096(5)	1,639,125			
				53,872(6)	1,574,140			
						159,348(7)	4,656,149	
						119,284(8)	3,485,478	
Ms. Harker					27,383(5)	800,131		
					29,461(6)	860,850		
							43,307(7)	1,265,431
						40,383(8)	1,179,991	
Mr. Dickey	20,000		61.26	2/27/2015				
	18,000		29.98	12/7/2015				
	20,000		31.75	2/26/2016				
	90,000	30,000(1)	15.00	2/23/2018				
	52,500	52,500(2)	16.23	2/22/2019				
					45,000(4)	1,314,900		
				32,723(5)	956,166			
				32,407(6)	946,933			
						57,542(7)	1,681,377	
						44,421(8)	1,297,982	
Mr. Lougee	12,000		48.14	12/8/2014				
	25,000		31.75	2/26/2016				
		20,000(1)	15.00	2/23/2018				
		34,500(2)	16.23	2/22/2019				
				35,000(4)	1,022,700			
				22,906(5)	669,313			
				22,391(6)	654,265			
						40,280(7)	1,176,982	
						30,691(8)	896,791	
Mr. Payne	37,500	12,500(3)	14.87	12/10/2018				

	25,000(4)	730,500		
	20,615(5)	602,370		
	19,492(6)	569,556		
			36,252(7)	1,059,283
			26,717(8)	780,671

- 
- (1) The unvested portion of these SOs vested on February 24, 2014.
  - (2) The unvested portion of these SOs will vest in two equal annual installments beginning February 23, 2014.
  - (3) The unvested portion of these SOs will vest on December 10, 2014.

**Table of Contents**

- (4) These RSUs will vest on December 10, 2014. The value of these RSUs is based on the product of the number of RSUs multiplied by \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. There can be no assurance that the amounts shown in the table will ever be realized by an executive officer.
- (5) These RSUs will vest on December 31, 2015. The value of these RSUs is based on the product of the number of RSUs multiplied by \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. There can be no assurance that the amounts shown in the table will ever be realized by an executive officer.
- (6) These RSUs will vest on December 31, 2016. The value of these RSUs is based on the product of the number of RSUs multiplied by \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. There can be no assurance that the amounts shown in the table will ever be realized by an executive officer.
- (7) These share numbers represent the target Performance Share awards under the Performance Share Plan for the 2012-2014 Incentive Period. If the performance conditions are met, these Performance Shares will vest on January 1, 2015. The value of these Performance Shares is estimated assuming the target number of Performance Shares is earned and multiplying the target number of Performance Shares by \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. There can be no assurance that the amounts shown in the table will ever be realized by an executive officer.
- (8) These share numbers represent the target Performance Share awards under the Performance Share Plan for the 2013-2015 Incentive Period. If the performance conditions are met, these Performance Shares will vest on January 1, 2016. The value of these Performance Shares is estimated assuming the target number of Performance Shares is earned and multiplying the target number of Performance Shares by \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. There can be no assurance that the amounts shown in the table will ever be realized by an executive officer.

**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Option Awards</u>		<u>Stock Awards</u>	
	<u>Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)</u>	<u>Value Realized on Exercise (\$)</u>	<u>Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(1)</u>	<u>Value Realized on Vesting \$(2)</u>
Gracia C. Martore			109,000	2,831,820
Victoria D. Harker				
Robert J. Dickey	30,000	526,932	30,000	779,400
David T. Lougee	115,750	1,780,710	22,000	571,560
David A. Payne				

- (1) These RSUs vested in their entirety on December 11, 2013, the fourth anniversary of their December 11, 2009 grant date.
- (2) These amounts equal the product of the number of vested shares multiplied by \$25.98, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 11, 2013, the vesting date.

**Table of Contents****PENSION BENEFITS**

The table below shows the actuarial present value as of December 29, 2013 of accumulated benefits payable to each of the NEOs, including the number of years of service credited to each, under each of the Gannett Retirement Plan, or GRP, and the Gannett Supplemental Retirement Plan, or SERP, in each case determined using assumptions consistent with those used in the Company's financial statements, except with respect to pre-retirement mortality, probability of turnover prior to retirement and retirement age. The table below reflects an assumed retirement age of 65 for Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey (with the pension amount taking into account only pay and service earned through December 29, 2013) and an immediate retirement for Mr. Lougee under the SERP, and an immediate retirement for all NEOs who participate with respect to the GRP. These reflect payment at the earliest point in time at which benefits are available without any reduction for age. Information regarding the GRP and SERP can be found in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section under the heading Post-Termination Pay. Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne do not participate in the GRP or the SERP.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Plan Name</u>	<u>Number of years credited service (#)</u>	<u>Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)</u>	<u>Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)</u>
Ms. Martore	GRP	23.25(1)	549,391	0
	SERP	28.67	11,465,182	0
Mr. Dickey	GRP	18.75(1)	292,841	0
	SERP	24.17	3,584,755	0
Mr. Lougee	GRP	6.58	74,740	0
	SERP	6.58	70,504	0

- (1) Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey have fewer years of credited service under the GRP than under the SERP. As discussed in the description of the GRP beginning on page 34, participants in the GRP ceased accruing credit for additional years of service after the GRP was frozen on August 1, 2008. Ms. Martore and Mr. Dickey continue to accrue benefits under the SERP at a reduced rate (as described in the discussion of the SERP found in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement) based on actual years of service. The Company does not provide additional pension service credit to any executive for years not actually worked.

**Table of Contents****NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION**

The Gannett Deferred Compensation Plan, or DCP, is a non-qualified plan that allows Company executives to defer all or a portion of their compensation. Participant contributions that are not treated as if invested in the Company's stock are generally distributed in cash, and amounts that are treated as if invested in the Company's stock are generally distributed in shares of stock or cash, at the Company's election. Effective August 1, 2008, the DCP also provides for Company contributions for certain participants. Information regarding the DCP can be found in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section under the heading Post-Termination Pay.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)</u>	<u>Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)(1)</u>	<u>Aggregate earnings in Last FY (\$)</u>	<u>Aggregate withdrawals/distributions in Last FY (\$)</u>	<u>Aggregate balance at Last FYE (\$)</u>
Ms. Martore	0	0	204,229	13,957	2,705,839
Ms. Harker	0	29,000	0	0	29,000
Mr. Dickey	0	0	0	0	0
Mr. Lougee	0	46,001	53,515	0	293,990
Mr. Payne	0	36,500	15,671	0	82,921

- (1) The Company makes contributions to the DCP on behalf of Ms. Harker, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne in an amount equal to 5% of their respective cash compensation that exceeds the Internal Revenue Code limits on the amount of compensation that can be taken into account when calculating benefits under a qualified plan. These Company contributions are initially treated as invested in Company stock (although participants can reallocate the contributions to other designated investment options) and are distributed in cash. The amounts shown in this column reflect the Company contributions made in February 2014 for services provided by Ms. Harker, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne in 2013.

**OTHER POTENTIAL POST-EMPLOYMENT PAYMENTS**

The Company's employee benefit programs provide the NEOs with post-termination benefits in a variety of circumstances. The amount of compensation payable may vary depending on the nature of the termination, whether as a result of retirement/voluntary termination, involuntary not-for-cause termination, termination following a change in control or termination in the event of disability or death of the executive. The discussion below describes the varying amounts payable to each NEO in each of these situations. It assumes, in each case, that the officer's termination was effective as of December 29, 2013. In presenting this disclosure, we describe amounts earned through December 29, 2013, taking into account, where applicable, bonuses paid in 2014 but earned as a result of 2013 performance, and, in those cases where the actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such executive's separation from the Company, our estimates of the amounts which would have been paid out to the executives upon their termination had it occurred on December 29, 2013. Some payments would be automatically delayed or modified if required under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.



**Table of Contents****Retirement/Voluntary Termination**

In the case of a NEO's retirement or voluntary termination, the Company would provide the executive with post-retirement or post-termination benefits that currently include the following:

*Pension.* The vested portions of the executive's GRP and SERP benefits are payable at the date of termination, in the case of the GRP, and at the later of the termination date or the date the executive reaches age 55, in the case of the SERP.

*RSUs and SOs.* Executives who retire or voluntarily terminate after attaining age 55 and completing five years of service are generally entitled to receive a prorated portion of their RSU grants, based on the number of full months worked during the term of the grants. The SOs of executives who retire or voluntarily terminate after attaining age 55 and completing five years of service continue to vest and generally remain exercisable for the lesser of the remaining term or three years. The employment contract with Ms. Martore provides that, upon her termination of employment other than for good cause (as defined below under Other Potential Post-Termination Payments to Ms. Martore under her Employment Contract), all SOs and RSUs granted to her would become fully vested on the date of termination and, in the case of SOs, would remain exercisable for the lesser of the remaining term of the SOs or three years. Executives who voluntarily terminate before attaining age 55 and completing five years of service forfeit all unvested RSUs and SOs (except for terminations due to death or disability as discussed below).

*Performance Shares.* Executives who retire or voluntarily terminate after attaining age 55 and completing five years of service are generally entitled to receive following the expiration of each applicable Incentive Period the number of Performance Shares the executive would have received had the executive continued employment with the Company through the end of such Incentive Period, prorated for the number of full months the executive worked during such Incentive Period. In the case of Ms. Martore's retirement or voluntary termination, Ms. Martore would be entitled to receive all of the Performance Shares she would have received had she continued employment with the Company through the end of each applicable Incentive Period. If an executive terminates employment prior to retirement and prior to the expiration of the Performance Shares Incentive Period, the executive will forfeit the executive's right to the Performance Shares (except for terminations due to death or disability as discussed below).

**Potential Payment Obligation Upon Retirement/Voluntary Termination**

	Ms. Martore (\$)	Ms. Harker (\$)	Mr. Dickey (\$)	Mr. Lougee (\$)	Mr. Payne (\$)
Pension	13,389,079	0(3)	4,387,534	145,244(4)	0(3)
Stock Options	9,343,660	0	1,961,775(5)	0(5)	0
Restricted Stock Units	5,843,065	0	1,700,983	1,265,256	0
Performance Shares	8,141,627(6)	0	1,553,569(6)	1,083,565(6)	0
<b>TOTAL(1)(2)</b>	<b>36,717,431</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,603,861</b>	<b>2,494,065</b>	<b>0</b>

- (1) In addition to the amounts reported in this table, our NEOs receive certain post-retirement benefits and perquisites. Ms. Martore owns a universal life insurance policy with a face amount equal to the sum of two times her base salary and last bonus (in each case, at the time of underwriting) plus \$200,000, with annual increases based upon Ms. Martore's level of compensation for a given year (which increases are capped at 10% per year) up to the maximum benefit approved at the time of underwriting. The face amount of Ms. Martore's policy will reduce 10% each year upon retirement, to a minimum of \$350,000. The Company will pay the policy premium in full by the time Ms. Martore reaches age 65. Until the policy premiums are paid in full, the expected annual cost to the Company of this premium ranges from \$10,000-\$50,000 per year but is subject to variance



**Table of Contents**

pursuant to customary insurance underwriting procedures. The NEOs receive the following post-retirement benefits and perquisites if they terminate employment after attaining at least 55 years of age and completing at least five years of service: (i) legal and financial counseling services on the same basis as available to an active executive at the time his or her employment terminates, until April 15 of the year of retirement or the year following retirement; (ii) other than Ms. Harker, the ability to purchase the Company-owned car provided to the executive at the time of termination at fair market value; (iii) other than Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne, supplemental medical insurance coverage for the executive and his or her family; and (iv) generally permitted to recommend Gannett Foundation grants to eligible charities up to \$15,000 annually for a period of five years after retirement. As of December 29, 2013, Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne have not satisfied the age and service requirements to receive these benefits, and Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee have the right to receive these benefits. If the executive is asked to represent the Company at a function or event, the executive is provided travel accident insurance. During the first year, we estimate the expected incremental cost to the Company for these post-retirement benefits would be approximately \$50,000 for each NEO who is eligible to receive them. Thereafter, we estimate the expected annual incremental cost to the Company would be approximately \$25,000 for each NEO who is eligible to receive them. The Company reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to amend or terminate the life insurance benefit and the post-retirement perquisites from time to time, provided that any changes with respect to the benefits provided to one executive shall also apply to similarly situated executives.

- (2) NEOs (other than Ms. Martore, who participates in a similar program) may participate in the Company's Key Executive Life Insurance Program (KELIP). Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee participate in the KELIP and Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne have chosen not to begin the underwriting process. Under the KELIP, the Company will pay premiums (or make cash payments in lieu of premiums) on individual life insurance policies to be owned by the executives, which premiums are expected to range between approximately \$30,000-\$40,000 per participant in 2014. Subject to the terms of his or her participation agreement, the participant's right to receive future annual premium payments may become vested if the participant's employment terminates after attaining both five years of service with the Company and age 55. As of December 29, 2013, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee have the right to receive these benefits.
- (3) The amounts shown for Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne reflect the fact that they do not participate in the GRP or the SERP.
- (4) The amount shown for Mr. Lougee reflects the fact that he became fully vested in his SERP benefit when he turned 55 during 2013.
- (5) The amounts shown for Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee represent the aggregate value of vested SOs held by each of them as of the last day of the Company's 2013 fiscal year. Vesting of the unvested SOs held by these executives will not be accelerated in the event of his retirement or voluntary termination.
- (6) The amounts shown for Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee represent the value of Performance Shares assuming payout at 100% of the target amount and a per share stock value of \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. The value of the actual payout will depend upon the Company's TSR relative to its TSR Peer Group Companies at the end of each of the last four quarters of the applicable Incentive Period and the price of a share of Company stock on the payout date.

**Table of Contents****Death**

If the employment of a NEO is terminated as a result of the executive's death, then each executive's estate would be entitled to the following benefits:

*Pension.* The spouse of an executive whose employment is terminated as a result of death would be entitled to receive the vested portions of the executive's GRP and SERP benefits. The executive's vested benefit under the GRP would be payable to an eligible spouse at the date of death. The executive's vested benefit under the SERP would be payable to an eligible spouse at the later of the date of death or the date the executive would have attained age 55.

*RSUs and SOs.* The executive's estate generally would be entitled to receive a prorated portion of the executive's RSU grants, based on the number of full months worked during the term of the grants. Except as set forth in the footnotes to the table below, the vesting of SOs does not accelerate upon death but rather SOs continue to vest and remain exercisable by the executive's estate for the lesser of the remaining term or three years.

*Performance Shares.* In the event of an executive's death, the executive's estate generally would receive following the expiration of each applicable Incentive Period the number of Performance Shares the executive would have received had the executive continued employment with the Company through the end of such Incentive Period, prorated for the number of full months the executive worked during such Incentive Period. In the case of Ms. Martore's death, Ms. Martore's estate would receive all of the Performance Shares she would have received had she continued employment with the Company through the end of each applicable Incentive Period.

*Life insurance.* Death benefits are payable under individual policies maintained by the Company and owned by Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee in the amounts shown in the table below. Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne continue to participate in the Company's group life insurance program applicable to all employees (which provides for a benefit of one times base salary and last annual bonus).

**Potential Payment Obligation Upon Death**

	Ms. Martore (\$)	Ms. Harker (\$)	Mr. Dickey (\$)	Mr. Lougee (\$)	Mr. Payne (\$)
Pension	13,389,079	0(4)	4,387,534	145,244	0(4)
Stock Options	9,343,660(1)	0	1,961,775(5)	0(5)	538,125(5)
Restricted Stock Units	5,843,065(1)	615,256	1,700,983	1,265,256	991,435
Performance Shares(6)	8,141,627(1)	1,236,941	1,553,569	1,083,565	966,422
Life Insurance	3,995,529	835,000	3,826,598	3,062,792	985,000
Additional Death Benefit	6,000,000(2)				
<b>TOTAL(3)</b>	<b>46,712,960</b>	<b>2,687,197</b>	<b>13,430,459</b>	<b>5,556,857</b>	<b>3,480,982</b>

- (1) Pursuant to her employment contract, upon a termination of employment as a result of death, the estate of Ms. Martore would be entitled to the same value of accelerated vesting of SOs and RSUs, and the same value of Performance Shares, as described in the Retirement/Voluntary Termination disclosure section.
- (2) Pursuant to her employment contract, upon a termination of employment as a result of death, the estate of Ms. Martore would be entitled to a lump sum cash payment in an amount equal to two times the sum of (a) her base salary as of the date of death (but no less than the

minimum contractually provided base salary for Ms. Martore, and ignoring any voluntary reduction of her salary) and (b) the greater of (i) her most recent annual bonus as of the date of death, or (ii) the average of her three most recent annual bonuses as of the date of death.

**Table of Contents**

- (3) In addition to the amounts reported in this table, the Company would continue to provide supplemental medical insurance coverage for the eligible dependents of Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee in addition to the regular post-retirement medical insurance coverage available to them on the same terms as provided to Company retirees generally, for the duration of the life of the eligible dependents. We estimate annual incremental costs to the Company for this benefit of approximately \$10,000 for each of Ms Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee. Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne are not eligible to receive this benefit.
- (4) The amounts shown for Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne reflect the fact that they do not participate in the GRP or the SERP.
- (5) The amounts shown for Mr. Dickey, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne represent the aggregate value of vested SOs held by each of them as of the last day of our 2013 fiscal year. Vesting of the unvested SOs held by these executives will not be accelerated in the event of his death.
- (6) The amounts shown in this row represent the value of Performance Shares assuming payout at 100% of the target amount and a per share stock value of \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. The value of the actual payout will depend upon the Company's TSR relative to its TSR Peer Group Companies at the end of each of the last four quarters of the applicable Incentive Period and the price of a share of Company stock on the payout date.

***Disability***

If the employment of a NEO is terminated upon the executive's disability, then the executive would be entitled to the following post-termination benefits:

*Pension.* Executives terminated due to disability are entitled to receive the vested portions of their GRP and SERP benefits. The payment under the Company's SERP of the executive's vested benefit would be made upon termination of employment, but not prior to age 55. The GRP benefit and the SERP benefit for each of Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee would be payable at the same time and have the same value as described in the Retirement/Voluntary Termination disclosure section.

*RSUs and SOs.* Executives are generally entitled to receive a prorated portion of their RSU grants, based on the number of full months worked during the term of the grants. Except as set forth in the footnotes to the table below, the vesting of SOs does not accelerate upon disability but rather SOs continue to vest and remain exercisable for the lesser of the remaining term or three years.

*Performance Shares.* In the event of an executive's termination due to a disability, the executive generally would receive following the expiration of each applicable Incentive Period the number of Performance Shares the executive would have received had the executive continued employment with the Company through the end of such Incentive Period, prorated for the number of full months the executive worked during such Incentive Period. In the case of Ms. Martore's termination due to a disability, Ms. Martore would receive all of the Performance Shares Ms. Martore would have received had she continued employment with the Company through the end of each applicable Incentive Period.

*Disability Benefits.* Ms. Martore is entitled to disability benefits under her employment contract in the event that the Company terminates her due to a disability that the Company's Board of Directors determines has incapacitated her or can reasonably be expected to incapacitate her from performing her duties for six months, but does not qualify her to be entitled to receive disability benefits under the Company's disability plans applicable to all employees at the time of her termination. Under such circumstances, Ms. Martore is entitled to

**Table of Contents**

a disability benefit equal to the benefit she would have been entitled to receive under the Company's disability plans applicable to all employees if she had qualified for such benefits. This benefit is subject to certain conditions, limitations and offsets, including an offset for any benefit Ms. Martore becomes eligible to receive under the Company's disability plans applicable to all employees. In the event that Ms. Harker, Mr. Dickey, Mr. Lougee or Mr. Payne become disabled they would be entitled to receive disability benefits under the Company's disability plans applicable to all employees, but only if their condition qualifies them for such benefits. For the first six months of disability, disability benefits are paid at either 100% or 60% of the executive's pre-disability compensation depending on the length of the executive's service. After six months, disability benefits are paid at 60% or 50% of the executive's pre-disability compensation, depending on whether the executive elects to pay for additional coverage. Disability benefits are subject to certain conditions, limitations and offsets, and generally continue for the duration of the disability, but not beyond age 65. For those who become disabled near or after age 65, benefits may continue for a specified time beyond age 65 under the terms of the plan.

**Potential Payment Obligation Upon Disability**

	Ms. Martore (\$)	Ms. Harker (\$)	Mr. Dickey (\$)	Mr. Lougee (\$)	Mr. Payne (\$)
Pension	13,389,079	0(5)	4,387,534	145,244	0(5)
Stock Options	9,343,660(1)	0	1,961,775(6)	0(6)	538,125(6)
Restricted Stock Units	5,843,065(1)	615,256	1,700,983	1,265,256	991,435
Performance Shares(7)	8,141,627(1)	1,236,941	1,553,569	1,083,565	966,422
Disability Benefits(2)	3,371,907	3,446,226	3,646,255	6,572,891	2,493,882
Additional Disability Benefits	6,000,000(3)				
<b>TOTAL(4)</b>	<b>46,089,338</b>	<b>5,298,423</b>	<b>13,250,116</b>	<b>9,066,956</b>	<b>4,989,864</b>

- (1) Pursuant to her employment contract, SOs and RSUs granted to Ms. Martore would vest, and Ms. Martore would be entitled to receive Performance Shares, in the same manner as described in the Retirement/Voluntary Termination disclosure section.
- (2) In the event of a disability, each NEO is entitled to a Company-paid monthly disability benefit. The amounts set forth above represent the present value of the disability benefit applying the following assumptions: (i) the NEO incurred a qualifying disability on December 29, 2013, and the NEO remains eligible to receive disability benefits for the maximum period provided under the plan; (ii) the disability benefits are reduced by certain offsets provided for under the plan (e.g., a portion of the NEO's SERP benefits); and (iii) IRS-prescribed mortality and interest rate assumptions are used to calculate the present value of such benefits.
- (3) Pursuant to her employment contract, upon a termination of employment as a result of disability, Ms. Martore would be entitled to a lump sum payment in an amount equal to two times the sum of (a) her base salary as of the date of termination (but no less than the minimum contractually provided for base salary for Ms. Martore, and ignoring any voluntary base salary reductions) and (b) the greater of (i) her most recent annual bonus as of the date of termination, or (ii) the average of her three most recent annual bonuses as of the date of termination.
- (4) In addition to the amounts reported in this table, each NEO would receive life and medical insurance and post-termination perquisites with the same respective values described in footnotes 1 and 2 to the Retirement/Voluntary Termination table.
- (5) The amounts shown for Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne reflect the fact that they do not participate in the GRP or the SERP.

**Table of Contents**

- (6) The amounts shown for Mr. Dickey, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne represent the aggregate value of vested SOs held by each of them as of the last day of the Company's 2013 fiscal year. Vesting of the unvested SOs held by these executives will not be accelerated in the event of his disability.
- (7) The amounts shown in this row represent the value of Performance Shares assuming payout at 100% of the target amount and a per share stock value of \$29.22, the closing price of a share of Company stock on December 27, 2013. The value of the actual payout will depend upon the Company's TSR relative to its TSR Peer Group Companies at the end of each of the last four quarters of the applicable Incentive Period and the price of a share of Company stock on the payout date.

***Change in Control***

The Company has a Transitional Compensation Plan (TCP) to assure the Company would have the continued dedication of, and the availability of objective advice and counsel from, key executives notwithstanding the possibility, threat or occurrence of a change in control and to promote the retention and continuity of certain key executives for at least one year after a change in control. The Board believes it is imperative that the Company and the Board be able to rely upon key executives to continue in their positions and be available for advice, if requested, in connection with any proposal relating to a change in control without concern that those individuals might be distracted by the personal uncertainties and risks created by such a proposal.

With those goals in mind, the TCP provides that participants, including the NEOs, would be entitled to compensation following a change in control if (1) within two years from the date of the change in control the participant's employment is terminated by the Company other than for cause, or by the employee for good reason or (2) in the case of executives participating in the TCP before April 15, 2010 (but not those who first participate in the TCP on or after that date), within a 30-day window period beginning on the first anniversary of the change in control, the executive terminates his or her employment voluntarily. Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne first participated in the TCP on or after April 15, 2010.

Following is a summary of several key terms of the TCP:

**change in control** means the first to occur of: (1) the acquisition of 20% or more of our then-outstanding shares of common stock or the combined voting power of our then-outstanding voting securities; (2) our incumbent directors cease to constitute at least a majority of the Board, except in connection with the election of directors approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then comprising the incumbent Board; (3) consummation of our sale in a merger or similar transaction or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets; or (4) approval by our shareholders of the Company's complete liquidation or dissolution.

**cause** means (1) any material misappropriation of Company funds or property; (2) the executive's unreasonable and persistent neglect or refusal to perform his or her duties which is not remedied in a reasonable period of time following notice from the Company; or (3) conviction of a felony involving moral turpitude.

**good reason** means the occurrence after a change in control of any of the following without the participant's express written consent, unless fully corrected prior to the date of termination: (1) a material diminution of an executive's duties or responsibilities; (2) a reduction in, or failure to pay timely, the executive's compensation and/or other benefits or perquisites; (3) the relocation of the executive's office outside the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area or away from the Company's headquarters; (4) the failure of the Company or any successor to assume and agree to perform the TCP; or (5) any purported termination of the executive's employment



**Table of Contents**

other than in accordance with the TCP. Any good faith determination of "good reason" made by the executive shall be conclusive.

"severance period" means a number of whole months equal to the participant's months of continuous service with the Company or its affiliates divided by 3.33; provided, however, that in no event shall the participant's severance period be less than 24 months or more than 36 months, regardless of the participant's actual length of service. As of December 29, 2013, the severance periods for Ms. Martore, Ms. Harker, Mr. Dickey, Mr. Lougee and Mr. Payne are 36, 24, 36, 24 and 24 months, respectively.

A NEO entitled to compensation under the TCP would receive:

*Pension.* In addition to their vested GRP and SERP benefits, upon their termination of employment, TCP participants are entitled to a lump sum payment equal to the difference between (1) the amount that would have been paid under the SERP had the executive remained in the employ of the Company for the severance period and received the same level of base salary (in the case of Ms. Martore, disregarding any voluntary base salary reductions) and bonus which the executive received with respect to the fiscal year immediately preceding the date of the change in control or the termination date, whichever is higher, and (2) the amount payable under the SERP as of the later of the date of the change in control or the termination date, whichever is higher. The SERP benefit of Mr. Lougee was subject to the service and pay freeze as of August 1, 2008. Mr. Lougee is vested in his SERP benefit. The TCP would provide Mr. Lougee with cost-of-living increases on his SERP benefit through the end of his severance period. Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne do not participate in the GRP or the SERP.

*Payments.* Upon a TCP participant's termination of employment, the participant is entitled to receive a lump sum amount equal to the sum of (i) any unpaid base salary through the date of termination at the higher of the base salary in effect immediately prior to change in control or on the termination date; and (ii) an amount equal to the highest annual bonus paid in the three preceding years which is prorated to reflect the portion of the fiscal year in which the participant was employed prior to termination. Additionally, TCP participants are paid a lump sum cash severance payment equal to the participant's severance period divided by twelve multiplied by the sum of (1) the executive's highest base salary during the 12-month period prior to the termination date or, if higher, during the 12-month period prior to the change in control (plus certain other compensation items paid to the participant during the 12-month period prior to the date of termination and, in the case of Ms. Martore, disregarding any voluntary base salary reductions), and (2) the greater of (a) the highest annual bonus earned by the executive in the three fiscal years immediately prior to the year of the change in control or (b) the highest annual bonus earned by the executive with respect to any fiscal year during the period between the change in control and the date of termination.

*Excise Tax Gross-Ups.* Executives participating in the TCP before April 15, 2010 (but not those who first participate in the TCP on or after that date) would be entitled to receive payment of an amount sufficient to make them whole for any excise tax imposed on the payment under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. The effects of Section 4999 generally are unpredictable and can have widely divergent and unexpected effects based on an executive's personal compensation history. Therefore, to provide an equal level of benefit across individuals without regard to the effect of the excise tax, the Company has determined that excise tax gross-up payments are appropriate for certain TCP participants. Executives, such as Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne, who first participated in the TCP on or after April 15, 2010, will not receive a Section 4999 excise tax gross-up payment. However, the change of control benefits for such executives will be reduced to \$1 less than the amount that would trigger such taxes if such a reduction would put them in a better after-tax position.

**Table of Contents**

*Retiree Medical and Life Insurance Credit.* For purposes of determining a TCP participant's eligibility for retiree life insurance and medical benefits, the participant is considered to have attained the age and service credit that the participant would have attained had the participant remained employed until the end of the severance period.

In addition to the benefits afforded under the TCP, all SOs and RSUs immediately vest upon a change in control, and a NEO will be entitled to receive a number of Performance Shares within 30 days after the change of control based on the Company's relative Total Shareholder Return compared to its TSR Peer Group on the date of the change in control, unless the change in control occurs in the first 6 months of an Incentive Period, in which case the NEO will receive the target number of Performance Shares set forth in the NEO's award agreement. Our NEOs also would receive other benefits under the SERP, DCP and the Omnibus Plan upon a change in control that qualifies as a change in control under Code Section 409A, including:

*SERP.* All SERP benefits become immediately vested and benefits accrued up to the date of the change in control are paid out in the form of a lump sum distribution shortly after the change in control.

*DCP.* All post-2004 DCP benefits accrued up to the date of the change in control are paid in the form of a lump sum distribution shortly after the change in control.

*Omnibus Plan.* All RSUs are paid shortly after the change in control.

In certain cases the tax laws deny an income tax deduction to a company for payments that are contingent upon a change in control.

**Potential Payment Obligation Upon Termination Following a Change in Control**

	<b>Ms. Martore</b>	<b>Ms. Harker</b>	<b>Mr. Dickey</b>	<b>Mr. Lougee</b>	<b>Mr. Payne</b>
	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>
Pension(1)	19,539,488	0(4)	6,114,691	148,380	0(4)
Stock Options	9,343,660	0	3,070,350	732,555	717,500
Restricted Stock Units	5,843,065	1,660,981	3,217,999	2,346,278	1,902,426
Performance Shares(6)	10,376,578	3,052,828	3,786,420	2,638,724	2,348,410
Severance	9,000,000	2,690,000	3,975,000	2,650,046	1,970,000
Excise Tax Gross-up	7,953,317(2)	0(5)	2,999,786(2)	1,270,649(2)	0(5)
<b>TOTAL(3)</b>	<b>62,056,108</b>	<b>7,403,809</b>	<b>23,164,246</b>	<b>9,786,632</b>	<b>6,938,336</b>

- (1) These amounts reflect the full benefits payable in the event of a change in control, including the additional pension credit to which the executive is entitled under the TCP.
- (2) The following assumptions were used to estimate excise tax gross-up amounts for each of Ms. Martore, Mr. Dickey and Mr. Lougee: the portion of the Performance Share payment treated as a change in control payment is equal to the amount by which the Performance Share payment set forth in the table above exceeds the amount of the Performance Share payment set forth in Retirement/Voluntary Termination table, with such change in control payment, as performance-based pay, treated as reasonable compensation for services rendered prior to the change in control.
- (3)

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

In addition to the amounts reported in this table, each NEO would receive life and medical insurance benefits for the severance period in amounts no less than those that would have been provided had the executive not been terminated. We estimate incremental costs to the Company for these benefits as follows: Ms. Martore \$138,817, Ms. Harker \$19,779, Mr. Dickey \$178,078, Mr. Lougee \$100,106, and Mr. Payne \$3,888. Each NEO would also receive a lump

**Table of Contents**

sum distribution in the amount shown in the DCP table on page 46. Each NEO also would receive post-termination perquisites with the same respective values described in footnotes 1 and 2 to the Retirement/Voluntary Termination table.

- (4) The amounts shown for Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne reflect the fact that they do not participate in the GRP or the SERP.
- (5) Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne are not entitled to receive an excise tax gross-up under the TCP. In the event that Ms. Harker or Mr. Payne is subject to the excise tax under Code Section 4999, their change in control benefits will be reduced to \$1 less than the amount that would trigger such taxes if such a reduction would put him or her in a better after-tax position. The full amount of Ms. Harker's and Mr. Payne's severance is reflected in the Table.
- (6) The amounts shown in this row represent the value of Performance Shares assuming payout on December 29, 2013 at 148% of the target amount for the grants made on January 1, 2012 for the Company's 2012-2014 Incentive Period and 100% of the target amount for the grants made on January 1, 2013 for the Company's 2013-2015 Incentive Period, in each case reflecting the Company's TSR relative to its applicable TSR Peer Group Companies as of December 29, 2013. The value of the actual payouts will depend upon the Company's TSR relative to its applicable TSR Peer Group Companies at the time of any change in control of the Company and the price of a share of Company stock on the payout date.

***Other Potential Post-Termination Payments to Ms. Martore under her Employment Contract and to Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne under their Termination Benefits Agreements.***

The Company may terminate the employment of Ms. Martore, Ms. Harker or Mr. Payne for good cause. Good cause means (1) an intentional, non-incident, misappropriation of funds or property of the Company by the executive; (2) unreasonable and persistent neglect or refusal by the executive to perform the duties described in Ms. Martore's employment contract or Ms. Harker's or Mr. Payne's termination benefits agreement, which he or she does not remedy within 30 days after receipt of written notice; (3) the material breach by the executive of certain provisions of Ms. Martore's employment contract or Ms. Harker's or Mr. Payne's termination benefits agreement, which he or she does not remedy within 30 days after receipt of written notice; or (4) conviction of the executive of a felony. In the event of termination of employment for good cause, the executive would not receive any post-termination payments or benefits, with the exception of vested pension benefits, which would be payable at times and in amounts as described in the Retirement/Voluntary Termination disclosure section, and, in the case of Ms. Martore, her SOs, RSUs and Performance Shares.

Ms. Martore, Ms. Harker and Mr. Payne each may terminate his or her employment for good reason. Good reason would be deemed to exist if: (1) the executive is not elected or retained in his or her current positions (or such other senior executive position as the executive may agree to serve in); (2) the Company acts to materially reduce the duties and responsibilities described in Ms. Martore's employment contract or Ms. Harker's or Mr. Payne's termination benefits agreement; or (3) the Company materially breaches the applicable agreement with the executive. In addition, Ms. Martore may also terminate her employment for good reason if the Company changes the principal geographic location of the performance of Ms. Martore's duties away from the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. In the event of termination of employment by the executive for good reason or by the Company without good cause, the Company would provide certain post-termination benefits in addition to the benefits afforded to them upon early retirement, which currently include the following:

*Severance, SOs, RSUs and Performance Shares.* Ms. Martore would be entitled to cash severance payments equivalent in amount to those payable to her estate, and her SOs, RSUs and Performance Shares would vest in the same manner, in each case as described in the Potential Payment Obligation Upon Death disclosure section. Pursuant to Ms. Harker's

**Table of Contents**

termination benefits agreement, Ms. Harker would be entitled to a cash severance payment in an amount equal to the sum of (a) her annual base salary in effect on the date of termination, and (b) her most recent annual bonus as of the date of termination. Pursuant to Mr. Payne's termination benefits agreement, Mr. Payne would be entitled to a cash severance payment in an amount equal to the sum of (x) his base salary in effect on the termination date and (y) the greater of (i) his most recent annual bonus as of the termination date, or (ii) the average of his three most recent annual bonuses as of the termination date.

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 3 NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR  
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act we are asking shareholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers (NEOs) as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the related executive compensation tables, notes and narrative included on pages 18-56 of this Proxy Statement.

As described above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement, the Executive Compensation Committee oversees the Company's executive compensation programs and supports compensation policies that place a heavy emphasis on pay for performance. The Executive Compensation Committee also recognizes the importance of competitive compensation programs that are essential to recruiting and retaining the key executive talent needed to drive shareholder value.

We believe our executive compensation plans, principles and programs, as currently structured and as implemented for 2013, strongly align the interests of our NEOs with those of our shareholders and also permit the Company to attract, retain and motivate talented executives. We urge you to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 18 of this Proxy Statement, which describes in more detail the principles that guide the Committee's compensation decisions and the components of our executive compensation plans and programs, as well as the Summary Compensation and other related executive compensation tables and narrative, beginning on page 39 of this Proxy Statement, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our NEOs.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR adoption of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Gannett Co., Inc. approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the related discussion.

While the advisory vote we are asking you to cast is non-binding, the Company's Executive Compensation Committee and the Board value the views of our shareholders and will take the outcome into account when considering future compensation decisions affecting our NEOs.

At least once every six years, we are required by the Dodd-Frank Act to provide shareholders with an opportunity to cast a non-binding, advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation. At our 2011 annual meeting, our shareholders voted in favor of holding such advisory votes on an annual basis. Accordingly, at that time, the Board determined that the Company's policy will be to include an advisory vote on executive compensation in the Company's annual meeting proxy materials every year until the next required frequency vote is held.

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 4 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING VESTING OF EQUITY AWARDS OF SENIOR EXECUTIVES UPON A CHANGE OF CONTROL**

The International Brotherhood of Teamsters, 25 Louisiana Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001, a beneficial owner of 180 shares of common stock of the Company, has notified the Company that it intends to present a proposal at the Annual Meeting. The proposal and supporting statement, for which the Board of Directors accepts no responsibility, is set forth below. The Board of Directors opposes the proposal for the reasons stated after the proposal and supporting statement.

**PROPOSAL**

RESOLVED: The shareholders ask the Board of Directors to adopt a policy that in the event of a change in control (as defined under any applicable employment agreement, equity incentive plan or other plan), there shall be no acceleration of vesting of any equity award granted to any senior executive, provided, however, that the Board's Compensation Committee may provide in an applicable grant or purchase agreement that any unvested award will vest on a partial, *pro rata* basis up to the time of the senior executive's termination, with such qualifications for an award as the Committee may determine.

For purposes of this Policy, "equity award" means an award granted under an equity incentive plan as defined in Item 402 of the SEC's Regulation S-K, which addresses elements of executive compensation to be disclosed to shareholders. This resolution shall be implemented so as not to affect any contractual rights in existence on the date this proposal is adopted, and it shall apply only to equity awards made under equity incentive plans or plan amendments that shareholders approve after the date of the 2014 annual meeting.

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

Gannett Co., Inc. (the Company) allows senior executives to receive an accelerated award of unearned equity under certain conditions after a change in control of the Company. We do not question that some form of severance payments may be appropriate in that situation. We are concerned, however, that current practices at the Company may permit windfall awards that have nothing to do with a senior executive's performance.

According to last year's proxy statement, a change in control at the end of the 2012 fiscal year could have accelerated the vesting of \$24.4 million worth of equity awards to the Company's five executive officers, with Ms. Martore, the CEO, entitled to \$11.7 million out of a total personal severance package of \$46.4 million.

We are unpersuaded by the argument that executives somehow "deserve" to receive unvested awards. To accelerate the vesting of unearned equity on the theory that an executive was denied the opportunity to earn those shares seems inconsistent with a "pay for performance" philosophy worthy of the name.

## Edgar Filing: GANNETT CO INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

We do believe, however, that an affected executive should be eligible to receive an accelerated vesting of equity awards on a *pro rata* basis as of his or her termination date, with the details of any *pro rata* award to be determined by the Compensation Committee.

Other major corporations, including Apple, Chevron, ExxonMobil, IBM, Intel, Microsoft, and Occidental Petroleum, have limitations on accelerated vesting of unearned equity, such as providing pro rata awards or simply forfeiting unearned awards.

We urge you to vote FOR this proposal.



**Table of Contents**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL.**

The Executive Compensation Committee has designed the Company's executive compensation program to place a heavy emphasis on pay for performance and align the interests of the Company's senior executives with those of its shareholders, with the ultimate objective of driving shareholder return. The executive compensation program has been well-received by our shareholders, who overwhelmingly approved the Company's 2013 Say on Pay resolution by casting 93% of their votes in favor of it.

The equity-based awards utilized by the Committee play an important role in the Company's executive compensation program, particularly in the Committee's efforts to align the interests of the Company's senior executives with those of its shareholders. These awards foster stock ownership by the Company's senior executives and enhance our ability to motivate the talented leaders who are so critical to the Company's success. Through our shareholder outreach efforts, the Committee has received positive feedback from the Company's shareholders on the Committee's adoption of the Performance Share Plan that was implemented in 2012 to replace the Company's prior use of stock options in its executive compensation program. Our shareholders are clearly in favor of the Company's use of Performance Shares to align executives' interests with those of the Company's shareholders and the Company's longer-term objective of driving shareholder return. Furthermore, TSR is becoming the dominant choice in performance metrics among companies using performance shares, with an almost 50% increase in usage since 2010<sup>1</sup>. We believe the shareholder proposal is inconsistent with the objective of driving shareholder return and prevailing practice, and believe it is appropriate to fully vest equity awards upon a change in control for the following reasons:

Although the Company provides pro rata vesting for equity grants in the event of a senior executive's retirement, the Committee does not believe that pro rata vesting is appropriate in a change in control scenario. Executives do not control the timing of the change in control as they control their decision to retire. Furthermore, the interests of senior executives need to be aligned with those of shareholders in connection with a change in control. Accordingly, the Committee believes that full vesting of equity awards provides senior executives with the proper incentives in connection with a change in control.

In many change of control scenarios, senior executives of the target company reasonably anticipate that they will lose their jobs after closing. It may be difficult for senior executives who face the prospect of job loss to focus on providing objective input to the Board and protecting shareholder interests. Vesting equity-based awards fully upon a change in control aligns the interests of senior executives and shareholders by providing senior executives the opportunity to realize the full value created prior to and in connection with the change in control transaction, which value is attributable at least in part to their efforts and talents. Any other vesting outcome has the potential to misalign the personal financial interests of senior executives with those of our other shareholders.

The payout of Performance Shares upon a change in control is based upon how the Company's Total Shareholder Return (TSR) compares to that of media industry peers from the beginning of the applicable performance period through the date of the change in control. Performance Shares are generally only paid upon a change in control if the Company's TSR exceeds a sufficient number of its media industry peers' TSRs to warrant a payout. Accordingly, the payout of Performance Shares upon a change of control is based generally on the Company's actual performance, and would not unjustly reward the senior executives for their efforts.

---

<sup>1</sup> Jan-Feb 2014 issue of NASPP Advisor.

## Table of Contents

The Performance Share Plan is not designed to work after most change in control scenarios. If the Company is acquired and its shares are no longer publicly traded, it will be impossible to measure the Company's TSR performance following the change in control. Accordingly, the Committee believes it is appropriate to terminate the measurement period and pay out Performance Shares upon a change in control based on the Company's performance through the date of the change in control.

It is important that the Committee, composed entirely of independent directors, be free to develop executive compensation principles, practices and programs that reflect market conditions and are in the best interests of the Company's shareholders. The shareholder proposal puts undue restraints on the Committee's ability to perform its duties and exercise its judgment.

We believe that most large companies continue to provide full vesting of equity-based awards upon a change in control for reasons similar to those cited above. Accordingly, the Company's current policy to vest equity-based awards upon a change in control is consistent with prevailing practice and does not compromise the Company's ability to attract and retain qualified executive talent. The Committee will continue to monitor these trends.

In light of the foregoing and the Board's firmly held belief that the current structure of the Company's executive compensation program is appropriate, consistent with market practice and effective to meet its stated objectives, your Board believes this shareholder proposal is not in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders and unanimously recommends that shareholders vote AGAINST this proposal.

## **DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

The compensation year for non-employee directors begins at each Annual Meeting of shareholders and ends at the following Annual Meeting of shareholders. Beginning with the 2013-2014 director compensation period, the Committee and the Board modified the Company's director compensation program to (1) eliminate stock options as an element of director compensation, (2) eliminate meeting fees, and (3) adjust the amount of the annual retainer fee and annual long-term equity award to reflect the elimination of meeting fees. Reflecting these changes, the Company paid its directors the following for the 2013-2014 director compensation period:

an annual retainer of \$175,000, payable 50% in cash and 50% in the form of a long-term award of restricted shares with a grant date value equal to \$87,500, granted on the first day of the compensation year;

an additional annual retainer fee of \$15,000 to committee chairs (other than the chair of the Executive Committee) and an additional annual retainer fee of \$100,000 to the independent Chairman of the Board;

travel accident insurance of \$1,000,000; and

a match from the Gannett Foundation of charitable gifts made by directors up to a maximum of \$10,000 each year.

The cash portion of a director's retainer fee is paid quarterly during the compensation year. However, directors may elect to receive the cash portion of their retainer fees in restricted shares valued at 110% of the applicable cash fee, based on the closing market value of the Company's stock on the grant date. Restricted shares received in lieu of the cash portion of a director's retainer fee vest at a rate of 1/4 of the shares per quarter after the grant date, receive dividends and are held by the Company for the benefit of the director until the director leaves the Board, at which time vested shares are delivered to the director.



**Table of Contents**

The equity portion of the retainer fee is granted to directors on the first day of the compensation year for directors. These awards of restricted shares vest at a rate of 1/36<sup>th</sup> of the shares per month, receive dividends or, if deferred, dividend equivalent rights. Once vested, these restricted shares shall be held by the Company for the benefit of the director until the director leaves the Board and shall be transferred to the director on that date.

If a non-employee director retires from the Board due to the age of service limitations set forth in the Company's By-laws, all of the director's restricted shares shall fully vest upon such retirement, and SOs held by a director who has served at least three years shall fully vest upon such retirement. Restricted shares and SOs also automatically vest upon a change of control of the Company. When a non-employee director leaves the Board for any other reason, the director's unvested restricted shares and unvested SOs are forfeited, except that, if the director leaves after having completed (i) at least three full years of service on the Board, the director's SOs will vest for one additional year and the director will have that extra year to exercise any vested SOs, (ii) at least six full years of service on the Board, the director's SOs will vest for two additional years of added vesting and exercise time, and (iii) nine or more full years of service on the Board, the director's SOs will vest for three additional years and exercise time. All unvested SOs will continue to vest during such post-termination exercise period in accordance with the SO's original vesting schedule.

Directors may elect to defer their cash or restricted share fees under the DCP, which for cash fee deferrals provides for the same investment choices, including mutual funds and a Gannett stock fund, made available to other DCP participants. Fees paid as restricted shares and deferred at the election of the director must be invested in the Gannett stock fund of the DCP.

The Company's Principles of Corporate Governance require each director to own, directly, beneficially, or through the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan, at least 1,000 shares of Company stock upon joining the Board of Directors, and to increase their ownership to at least 20,000 shares within five years after joining the Board or by May 7, 2018, whichever is later. Each director meets or exceeds the applicable share ownership requirement or is making progress toward satisfying the requirement within the five-year period.

The following table shows the compensation paid to our independent directors for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2013. Ms. Martore received no separate compensation for her service as a director and therefore is not included in the following table.

Name	Fees				Total
	Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	All Other Compensation	
	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)
John E. Cody(3)	88,333	87,500	63,419	2,500	241,752
Howard D. Elias(3)	8,000	183,750	0	20,000	211,750
Arthur H. Harper(4)	0	27,500	0	0	27,500
John Jeffrey Louis	0	200,250	63,419	10,000	273,669
Marjorie Magner(3)	9,000	293,750	0	20,000	322,750
Scott K. McCune	82,333	87,500	0	0	169,833
Duncan M. McFarland(3)	96,333	87,500	0	0	183,833
Susan Ness	82,333	87,500	0	1,000	170,833
Tony A. Prophet(3)	0	48,125	0	10,000	58,125
Neal Shapiro(3)	83,333	87,500	58,138	10,000	238,971

(1) Amounts shown in these columns reflect the compensation paid to each director in 2013 based upon the form in which the director elected to receive his or her meeting fees, retainer fees and long-term award during the 2012-2013 director compensation period and his or her

retainer fees

61

**Table of Contents**

and long-term award during the 2013-2014 director compensation period. Amounts in the stock awards and options awards columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of RSU and SO awards computed in accordance with ASC 718 based on the assumptions set forth in note 11 to the Company's 2013 audited financial statements.

- (2) Represents charitable gifts matched by the Gannett Foundation pursuant to the GannettMatch program. The GannettMatch program matches eligible gifts made by Company employees and directors up to an aggregate of \$10,000 a year. Gifts must be made to eligible organizations, including tax exempt charitable organizations, tax exempt hospitals or medical centers, and tax exempt colleges, universities, graduate or professional schools, engineering or technical institutions and public and private preschools, elementary and secondary schools in the U.S. and its territories. The amounts reported in this column for Mr. Elias and Ms. Magner each include \$10,000 for an eligible gift made and submitted for match in 2012 for which the matching gift was paid in 2013.
- (3) Mr. Elias, Ms. Magner, Mr. Prophet and Mr. Shapiro each deferred all payments they received in the form of restricted stock. Mr. McFarland deferred all payments he received in the form of cash and restricted stock. Mr. Cody deferred 50% of all payments he received in the form of cash (100% since May 2013) and all payments he received in the form of restricted stock.
- (4) Mr. Harper retired from the Board on May 7, 2013.

**OUTSTANDING DIRECTOR EQUITY AWARDS****AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Restricted Stock Awards (vested/unvested) (#)</b>	<b>Stock Option Awards (#) (exercisable/ unexercisable)</b>
John E. Cody	3,607/4,665	19,357/0
Howard D. Elias	29,838/5,792	17,000/12,000
Arthur H. Harper	0/0	28,964/2,000
John Jeffry Louis	3,583/6,195	88,403/12,000
Marjorie Magner	27,614/9,701	0/0
Scott K. McCune	3,607/4,665	7,250/2,000
Duncan M. McFarland	42,424/4,665	11,000/2,000
Susan Ness	1,885/4,387	0/0
Tony A. Prophet	0/1,735	0/0
Neal Shapiro	16,782/3,442	39,997/12,000

**Table of Contents****EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The table below sets forth the following information as of the end of the Company's 2013 fiscal year for (i) compensation plans previously approved by the Company's shareholders and (ii) compensation plans not previously approved by the Company's shareholders: (1) the number of securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding SOs, warrants and rights; (2) the weighted-average exercise price of such outstanding SOs, warrants and rights; and (3) other than securities to be issued upon the exercise of such outstanding SOs, warrants and rights, the number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the plans.

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights</b>	<b>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a))</b>
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders(1)	11,684,595	\$ 29.76(2)	37,709,155
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders(3)	264,858		4,874,855
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,949,453</b>		<b>42,584,010</b>

- (1) The equity compensation plan approved by the Company's shareholders is the 2001 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated on May 4, 2010 (the "Omnibus Plan"). The number in column (a) includes 5,575,401 shares subject to outstanding SOs, 4,348,706 shares subject to outstanding unvested restricted stock unit grants and 1,760,488 shares subject to outstanding unvested Performance Share awards. The number of shares subject to outstanding unvested Performance Share awards assumes the target number of Performance Shares are issued upon vesting. The actual number of Performance Shares issued could be zero to 200% of the target number of Performance Shares.
- (2) Represents the weighted-average exercise price of the outstanding SOs granted under the Omnibus Plan.
- (3) The Gannett Deferred Compensation Plan, or DCP, is a non-qualified plan that provides benefits to key executives of the Company. The DCP has not been approved by the Company's shareholders. The amounts elected to be deferred by each participant are credited to such participant's account in the DCP, and the Company credits these accounts with earnings as if the amounts deferred were invested in the Company's stock or other selected investment funds as directed by the participant. Amounts that are not treated as if invested in the Company's stock are distributed in cash, and amounts that are treated as if invested in the Company's stock are generally distributed in shares of stock or cash, at the Company's election. However, deferrals by directors of restricted stock grants thereon are required to be distributed in stock under the terms of the DCP. The number in column (a) represents the number of shares credited to participants' accounts in the DCP. The DCP does not currently include any shares to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding SOs, warrants and rights as a result of deferrals of grants made under the Omnibus Plan. The table above does not include any shares that may in the future be credited to participants' accounts in the DCP as a result of salary deferrals or transfers of other funds held in the plan. Participants in the DCP are general unsecured creditors of the Company with respect to their benefits under the plan.

**Table of Contents**

**SECURITIES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND PRINCIPAL  
SHAREHOLDERS**

The information presented below regarding beneficial ownership of common stock has been presented in accordance with SEC rules and is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under these rules, beneficial ownership of common stock includes any shares as to which a person, directly or indirectly, has or shares voting power or investment power and any shares as to which a person has the right to acquire such voting or investment power within 60 days through the exercise of any SO or other right.

The following table presents, as of the Record Date, information based on the Company's records and filings with the SEC regarding beneficial ownership of each person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the Company's common stock, each director and each nominee to the Board of Directors, the Company's NEOs in 2013, and all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. None of the shares owned by the Company's directors or executive officers were pledged.

Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Shares Owned	Percent of Class
The Vanguard Group, Inc.(2)	17,937,723	7.9%
AllianceBernstein L.P.(3)	11,964,467	5.3%
Gracia C. Martore	699,985	*
Victoria D. Harker	1,411	*
Robert J. Dickey	213,194	*
David T. Lougee	85,960	*
David A. Payne	17,456	*
John E. Cody	20,537	*
Howard D. Elias	29,000	*
John Jeffry Louis	406,940	*
Marjorie Magner	24,332	*
Scott K. McCune	22,272	*
Duncan M. McFarland	71,700	*
Susan Ness	7,272	*
Tony A. Prophet	2,000	*
Neal Shapiro	44,247	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (21 persons including those named above)	1,948,776	*

\* Less than one percent.

- (1) Except as otherwise noted below, the address of each person listed in the table is: c/o Gannett Co., Inc., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107. The following shares of common stock are included in the table because they may be acquired pursuant to SOs exercisable by May 2, 2014: Ms. Martore-663,000; Mr. Dickey-176,750; Mr. Lougee-74,250; Mr. Payne-14,500; Mr. Cody-19,537; Mr. Elias-19,000; Mr. Louis-90,403; Mr. McCune-7,250; Mr. McFarland-11,000; Mr. Shapiro-41,997; and all directors and executive officers as a group-1,344,987. The shares reported in the table do not include 1,242,254 shares owned on the Record Date by the Gannett Retirement Plan Trust. The following officers of the Company serve on the Benefit Plans Committee, which has the power to direct the voting of those shares: Ms. Martore, Ms. Harker, Kevin E. Lord (Senior Vice President/Chief Human Resources Officer) and Todd A. Mayman (Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary).



**Table of Contents**

- (2) Based upon information as of December 31, 2013, contained in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2014 by The Vanguard Group, Inc., reporting, in the aggregate, sole voting power over 307,283 shares, sole dispositive power over 17,646,640 shares and shared dispositive power over 291,083 shares. The address for The Vanguard Group, Inc. is 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, PA 19355.
  
- (3) Based upon information as of December 31, 2013, contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2014 by AllianceBernstein L.P., reporting, in the aggregate, sole voting power over 10,103,785 shares, sole dispositive power over 11,955,645 shares and shared dispositive power over 8,822 shares. The address for AllianceBernstein L.P. is 1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10105.

**Table of Contents****INVESTMENT IN GANNETT STOCK BY DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table presents, as of the Record Date, the total investment position in the Company's stock of its directors and executive officers, based on the Company's records and filings with the SEC.

<b>Name of Officer or Director</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Share Investment</b>
Gracia C. Martore	President and CEO, Director	709,791
Victoria D. Harker	Chief Financial Officer	2,505
Robert J. Dickey	President/USCP	213,194
David T. Lougee	President/Broadcasting	87,695
David A. Payne	Senior Vice President and CDO	20,432
John E. Cody	Director	29,245
Howard D. Elias	Director	68,205
John Jeffry Louis	Director	406,940
Marjorie Magner	Director	51,277
Scott K. McCune	Director	22,272
Duncan M. McFarland	Director	125,489
Susan Ness	Director	7,272
Tony A. Prophet	Director	3,747
Neal Shapiro	Director	65,836
All directors and executive officers as a group (21 persons including those named above)		2,134,531

This table reflects the same information as the table in the preceding section, but it also includes shares of the Company's stock that each person holds through the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan. As of the Record Date, shares of the Company's stock in the following amounts were deemed to be credited to the accounts of the Company's directors and executive officers under the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan: Ms. Martore-9,806; Ms. Harker-1,094; Mr. Lougee-1,735; Mr. Payne-2,976; Mr. Cody-8,708; Mr. Elias-39,205; Ms. Magner-26,945; Mr. McFarland-53,789; Mr. Prophet-1,747; Mr. Shapiro-21,589; and all directors and executive officers as a group-185,755. These shares are not deemed to be beneficially owned under SEC rules and are therefore not included in the table in the preceding section.

**Table of Contents**

**COST OF SOLICITING PROXIES**

The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, certain of the officers and employees of the Company, without extra compensation, may solicit proxies personally, by telephone or other means. The Company also will request that brokerage houses, nominees, custodians and fiduciaries forward soliciting materials to the beneficial owners of stock held of record and will reimburse them for forwarding the materials. In addition, the Company has retained Innisfree M&A Incorporated, New York, New York ( Innisfree ), to aid in the solicitation of proxies at a fee of \$15,000, plus out of pocket expenses. The Company has agreed to indemnify and hold harmless Innisfree and certain related persons against certain liabilities arising out of or in connection with the engagement.

**SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE**

We believe that all of our current and former directors and executive officers reported on a timely basis all transactions required to be reported by Section 16(a).

**INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE**

To the extent that this Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference into any other filing by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the sections of this Proxy Statement entitled Executive Compensation Committee Report and Report of the Audit Committee (to the extent permitted by SEC rules) will not be deemed incorporated, unless specifically provided otherwise in such filing.

March 17, 2014

**Table of Contents**

Shareowner Services  
 P.O. Box 64945  
 St. Paul, MN 55164-0945

Address Change? Mark box, sign, and indicate changes below: "

TO VOTE BY INTERNET OR  
 TELEPHONE, SEE REVERSE SIDE  
 OF THIS PROXY CARD.

**THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ALL THE NOMINEES LISTED AND FOR PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.**

**1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS: Nominees are:**

	<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>	<b>ABSTAIN</b>		<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>	<b>ABSTAIN</b>
1a. John E. Cody	"	"	"	1f. Scott K. McCune	"	"	"
1b. Howard D. Elias	"	"	"	1g. Susan Ness	"	"	"
<i>Please fold here Do not separate</i>							
1c. John Jeffrey Louis	"	"	"	1h. Tony A. Prophet	"	"	"
1d. Marjorie Magner	"	"	"	1i. Neal Shapiro	"	"	"
1e. Gracia C. Martore	"	"	"				

**2. PROPOSAL TO RATIFY the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year.**      " For      " Against      " Abstain

**3. NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.**      " For      " Against      " Abstain

**THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST PROPOSAL 4.**

4. SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL regarding vesting of equity awards of senior executives upon a change of control.      " For      " Against      " Abstain

THE PROXIES are authorized to vote in their discretion upon such other business, if any, as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Signature(s) in Box

Please sign EXACTLY as name appears at the left. Joint owners each should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full related title.

**Table of Contents**

**GANNETT CO., INC.**

**ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

**Thursday, May 1, 2014**

**10:00 a.m.**

**Gannett Co., Inc.**

**7950 Jones Branch Drive**

**McLean, VA 22107**

**Gannett Co., Inc.  
7950 Jones Branch Drive  
McLean, VA 22107**

**proxy**

**This Proxy is Solicited on Behalf of the Board of Directors**

**Annual Meeting of Shareholders May 1, 2014**

The undersigned hereby appoints Gracia C. Martore and Todd A. Mayman, or either of them, attorneys and proxies each with power of substitution to represent the undersigned at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company to be held on May 1, 2014 and at any adjournment or adjournments thereof, with all the power that the undersigned would possess if personally present, and to vote all shares of stock that the undersigned may be entitled to vote at said Annual Meeting, as designated on the reverse, and in accordance with their best judgment in connection with such other business as may come before the Annual Meeting.

**Please cast your votes on the reverse side, by telephone or online as described on the reverse side. The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposals 1, 2 and 3 and a vote AGAINST Proposal 4. To vote in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations, just sign the reverse side; no boxes need to be checked. Unless marked otherwise, this proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations.**

**Voting Instructions For Gannett Co., Inc. s**

**2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders**

Gannett Co., Inc. shareholders of record on March 3, 2014 may vote their shares for matters to be covered at the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders using a toll-free telephone number, via the Internet or using the attached proxy card. Your telephone or Internet vote authorizes the Named Proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card. Below are voting instructions for all three options.

**Vote By Phone 1-866-883-3382**

Use any touch tone telephone to vote your shares at any time 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, until 11:59 p.m. (Central Time) on April 30, 2014. Have your proxy card in hand when you call. You will be provided with simple voting instructions.

**Vote by the Internet** <http://www.proxypush.com/gci/>

Use the Internet to vote your shares at any time 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, until 11:59 p.m. (Central Time) on April 30, 2014. Have your proxy card in hand. You will be provided with simple voting instructions.

**Vote By Mail**

Mark, sign and date the attached proxy card and return it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope by April 30, 2014.

If you are a current or former employee of Gannett Co., Inc. and own shares of Gannett common stock through the Gannett Co., Inc. 401(k) Plan, we must receive your completed and executed proxy card or your submission of an Internet or telephone vote by 11:59 p.m. (Central Time) on April 28, 2014 to allow time for the 401(k) plan administrator to vote on your behalf. If your vote by proxy card, Internet or telephone is not received by 11:59 p.m. (Central Time) on April 28, 2014, the plan shares credited to your 401(k) account will be voted by the 401(k) plan administrator in the same proportions as the proxy votes which were timely and properly submitted by other plan participants.

*If you vote by phone or the Internet, please do not mail your proxy card.*

**THANK YOU FOR VOTING.**