STRAYER EDUCATION INC Form DEF 14A March 25, 2014

# **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

# PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES

# **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Filed by the Registrant þ		
Filed by a Party other than the	Registrant "	
Check the appropriate box:		
	Preliminary Proxy Statement	
	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as )	permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
þ	Definitive Proxy Statement	
	Definitive Additional Materials	
<b></b>	Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12	
	Strayer Education, Inc.	
	(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charte	r)
	N/A	
(Name	of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the	he Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check	the appropriate box):	
þ	No fee required.	
	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Ru	ales 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
	1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount of which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
5)	Total fee paid:
and identify the filing f	the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the ration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date
1)	Amount Previously Paid:
2)	

	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3)	Filing Party:
4)	Date Filed:

## STRAYER EDUCATION, INC. 2303 Dulles Station Boulevard Herndon, Virginia 20171 (703) 561-1600

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Strayer Education, Inc. (the Corporation ), to be held at **8:00** a.m. (ET) on Tuesday, May 6, 2014, at the Corporation s headquarters, 2303 Dulles Station Boulevard, Herndon, Virginia, 20171.

At this year s meeting, you will be asked:

To elect ten directors from the nominees named in the attached proxy statement.

To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm.

To conduct an advisory vote on the compensation of the named executive officers.

To consider any other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

This booklet is the formal notice of the meeting, and proxy statement. The proxy statement tells you about the agenda, procedures and rules of conduct for the meeting. Importantly, it also describes how your Board operates, gives information about director candidates, and provides information about the Corporation, including our compensation practices.

Your vote is important. We encourage you to sign and return your proxy before the meeting so that your shares will be represented and voted at the meeting even if you cannot attend in person.

We look forward to seeing you at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Sincerely,

## ROBERT S. SILBERMAN

Chairman of the Board

March 25, 2014

Attachment: Financial Summary

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

While all of our historical financial reports and SEC filings are available online, we know it is also helpful to owners to have basic financial and operating data at hand as they analyze material in the Proxy. Below are the Selected Financial Data tables for the five years ended December 31, 2013 from our 2013 Annual Report. The tables provide key information on revenues, profitability, returns, balance sheet strength, and capital allocation.<sup>1</sup>

Voor	Ended	December	31
rear	ranaea	December	

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	
	(Dollar and share amounts in thousands, except per share data)					
Income Statement Data:						
Revenues	\$511,961	\$636,732	\$627,434	\$561,979	\$503,600	
Costs and expenses:						
Instruction and educational support	218,551	269,557	292,003	300,098	310,446	
Marketing	54,967	70,270	74,293	71,864	75,426	
Admissions advisory	23,017	25,277	26,531	26,374	20,390	
General and administration	43,072	55,857	55,464	50,056	64,637	
Total costs and expenses	339,607	420,961	448,291	448,392	470,899	
ncome from operations	172,354	215,771	179,143	113,587	32,701	
nvestment and other income	1,408	1,228	152	4	2	
nterest expense			3,773	4,616	5,419	
ncome before income taxes	173,762	216,999	175,522	108,975	27,284	
Provision for income taxes	68,684	85,739	69,478	43,045	10,859	
Net income	\$105,078	\$131,260	\$106,044	\$ 65,930	\$ 16,425	
Net income per share:						
Basic	\$ 7.67	\$ 9.78	\$ 8.91	\$ 5.79	\$ 1.55	
Diluted	\$ 7.60	\$ 9.70	\$ 8.88	\$ 5.76	\$ 1.55	
Veighted average shares outstanding:						
Basic	13,703	13,426	11,906	11,390	10,584	
Diluted <sup>(a)</sup>	13,825	13,535	11,943	11,440	10,624	
Other Data:						
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 13,937	\$ 17,309	\$ 21,525	\$ 23,973	\$ 35,563	
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 10,954	\$ 11,987	\$ 13,234	\$ 5,464	\$ 9,291	
Capital expenditures	\$ 30,431	\$ 46,015	\$ 29,991	\$ 24,733	\$ 8,726	
Cash dividends per common share (paid)	\$ 2.25	\$ 3.25	\$ 4.00	\$ 4.00	\$	
Average enrollment <sup>(b)</sup>	47,142	56,002	53,901	49,323	43,969	
Campuses <sup>(c)</sup>	71	84	92	100	100	
Full-time employees <sup>(d)</sup>	1,811	2,099	2,140	2,019	1,485	

<sup>1</sup> The information set forth above has been derived from our consolidated financial statements and is qualified by reference to and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other information included elsewhere or incorporated by reference in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

		At December 31,					
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013		
			(In thousands)				
Balance Sheet Data:							
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable							
securities	\$116,516	\$ 76,493	\$ 57,137	\$ 47,517	\$ 94,760		
Working capital <sup>(e)</sup>	105,735	62,205	17,484	46,631	82,182		
Total assets	238,441	235,178	231,133	227,792	254,266		
Long-term debt			90,000	121,875	118,750		
Other long-term liabilities	11,745	12,644	21,656	21,905	51,456		
Total liabilities	48,621	59,174	188,840	186,804	215,364		
Total stockholders equity	189,820	176,004	42,293	40,988	38,902		

- (a) Diluted weighted average shares outstanding include common shares issued and outstanding, and the dilutive impact of restricted stock, restricted stock units, and outstanding stock options using the treasury stock method.
- (b) Reflects average student enrollment for the four academic terms for each year indicated.
- (c) In October 2013, we announced that approximately 20 physical locations would be closed after classes were taught in the fall academic term. Following these closures, the University will have approximately 80 physical campuses.
- (d) Reflects full-time employees including full-time faculty as of December 31 of each year.
- (e) Working capital is calculated by subtracting current liabilities from current assets.

STRAYER EDUCATION, INC. 2303 Dulles Station Boulevard Herndon, Virginia 20171 (703) 561-1600

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Strayer Education, Inc. (the Corporation), will be held at the Corporation s headquarters, 2303 Dulles Station Boulevard, Herndon, Virginia, 20171, on Tuesday, May 6, 2014, at 8:00 a.m. for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect ten directors to the Board of Directors from the nominees named in the attached proxy statement to serve for a term of one year or until their respective successors are elected and qualified.
- 2. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

- 3. To conduct an advisory vote on the compensation of the named executive officers.
- 4. To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

THIS NOTICE IS BEING SENT TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS OF RECORD AS OF MARCH 10, 2014. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, YOU ARE REQUESTED TO COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE ENCLOSED STAMPED ENVELOPE.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Viet D. Dinh Secretary

Herndon, Virginia March 25, 2014

> STRAYER EDUCATION, INC. 2303 Dulles Station Boulevard Herndon, VA 20171 (703) 561-1600

#### PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders May 6, 2014

This Proxy Statement is furnished on or about March 25, 2014, to holders of the common stock of Strayer Education, Inc. (the Corporation), 2303 Dulles Station Boulevard, Herndon, Virginia 20171, in connection with the solicitation on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Corporation (the Board) of proxies to be voted at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting). The Annual Meeting will be held at 8:00 a.m. local time on Tuesday, May 6, 2014, at the Corporation s headquarters located at 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, Virginia 20171.

The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Corporation. Copies of solicitation material may be furnished to brokers, custodians, nominees and other fiduciaries for forwarding to beneficial owners of shares of the Corporation s common stock, and normal handling charges may be paid for such forwarding service. Solicitation of proxies may be made by the Corporation by mail or by personal interview, telephone and facsimile by officers and other management employees of the Corporation, who will receive no additional compensation for their services. The Corporation has also retained MacKenzie Partners, Inc. to provide proxy solicitation services for a fee of approximately \$20,000, plus reimbursement of its out-of-pocket expenses.

Any stockholder submitting a proxy pursuant to this solicitation may revoke it at any time prior to the Annual Meeting by giving written notice of such revocation to the Secretary of the Corporation at the Corporation s headquarters at 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, Virginia 20171, providing a later dated proxy, or by attending the meeting and voting in person. **Attending the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke a stockholder s prior proxy.** 

We began mailing this proxy statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the enclosed proxy card on or about March 25, 2014 to all stockholders entitled to vote. At the close of business on March 10, 2014, there were 10,843,301 shares of the common stock of the Corporation outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting. **Only common stockholders of record on March 10, 2014 will be entitled to vote at the meeting**, and each share will have one vote.

## **Voting Information**

At the Annual Meeting votes will be counted by written ballot. A majority of the shares entitled to vote will constitute a quorum for purposes of the Annual Meeting. Under the Corporation s By-laws, to be elected at the Annual Meeting, a nominee for election to the Board of Directors

must receive more votes cast for his or her election than votes cast against his or her election. Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, approval of the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers and approval of any other business which may properly come before the Annual Meeting, or any adjournments thereof, will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of any matter at the Annual Meeting, including the election of directors. Proposals 2 and 3 are advisory only, and as discussed in more detail below, the voting results are not binding.

Proxies properly executed and received by the Corporation prior to the meeting and not revoked, will be voted as directed therein on all matters presented at the meeting. In the absence of specific direction from a stockholder, proxies will be voted for the election of all named director nominees, and in favor of Proposals 2 and 3. If a proxy indicates that all or a portion of the shares represented by such proxy are not being voted with respect to a particular proposal, such non-voted shares will not be considered present and entitled to vote on such proposal, although such shares may be considered present and entitled to vote on other proposals and will count for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum.

1

The Board of Directors of the Corporation has adopted a corporate governance policy concerning the holdover of any director not elected by a majority vote in an uncontested election. Any director who fails to receive the requisite majority vote would be required to promptly offer his resignation and the Board, following the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, would have up to 90 days to decide whether to accept such offer, during which time the director nominee would continue to serve on the Board as a holdover director. A copy of this policy is available on our website at <a href="https://www.strayereducation.com">www.strayereducation.com</a>.

# IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDERS MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 6, 2014

The proxy statement, Form 10-K and Annual Report to Stockholders are available at www.stravereducation.com/overview.cfm.

#### PROPOSAL 1

# **Election of Directors**

We are requesting that the stockholders elect a Board of Directors of ten members at the Annual Meeting.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the Nominating Committee ) considers many factors when evaluating candidates for the Board. The most important are true independence, business savvy, a stockholder orientation, and genuine interest in the Corporation. By true independence we mean the willingness to challenge a forceful, talented CEO and management team with a good track record when something is wrong or foolish. People with this trait are both very valuable and hard to find; they are inevitably of the highest character and integrity. Commercial or business savvy is also crucial without it all the other great traits are of little help. The Board does not have a specific policy regarding diversity. However, the Nominating Committee does strive for the Board to be comprised of directors with a variety of experience and personal backgrounds. The Nominating Committee considers the prospective director s skills, specialized expertise, level of education, business experience, broad-based business acumen, experience at strategy development and policy-setting, and direct ownership of the Corporation s shares. The Nominating Committee focuses on the prospective director s understanding that maintaining the high academic quality of Strayer University is central to maintaining and growing the Corporation s value. (It is perhaps obvious, though worth noting, that the criteria for service on Strayer University s Board of Trustees, while sharing some of the same criteria as the Corporation, are different and that it is important to have some individuals who can sit on both Boards effectively.) Depending upon the current needs of the Board, certain factors may be weighed more or less heavily by the Nominating Committee.

In considering candidates for the Board, the Nominating Committee considers the entirety of each candidate s credentials and does not have any specific minimum qualifications that must be met. However, the Nominating Committee does believe that all members of the Board should have the highest character and integrity; a track record of working constructively with others; sufficient time to devote to Board matters; and no conflict of interest that would interfere with performance as a director. In addition, the Nominating Committee believes that the ability of individual Board members to work constructively together is a key element of Board effectiveness.

The Nominating Committee will entertain recommendations from common stockholders that are submitted in writing to the Corporation, provided that such common stockholders (i) beneficially own more than 5% of the Corporation s common stock or (ii) have beneficially owned more than 1% of the Corporation s common stock for at least one year. Stockholders meeting such criteria may recommend candidates for consideration by the Nominating Committee by writing to Mr. Viet D. Dinh, Corporate Secretary, Strayer Education, Inc., 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, Virginia 20171, giving the candidate s name, contact information, biographical data and qualifications, as well as any evidence

that the stockholder satisfies the criteria set forth above. All such recommendations will be treated confidentially and brought to the attention of the Nominating Committee in a timely fashion. The Nominating Committee does not evaluate candidates differently based on who has made the proposal or recommendation.

Once it has been determined that a candidate meets the Board s criteria on paper, there is a selection process which includes, but is not limited to, background and reference checks and interviews with not only the Nominating

2

Committee but other board members, executive management and other professionals such as the Corporation s auditors or outside counsel, as deemed necessary.

Stockholders who wish to formally nominate a director for election at an annual meeting of the stockholders of the Corporation must also comply with the Corporation s By-laws regarding stockholder proposals and nominations. See Stockholder Proposals contained in this proxy statement.

It is intended that the votes represented by the proxies will be cast for the election as directors, for a term of one year or until their successors are chosen and qualified, of the persons listed below. **The Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote for the nominees listed below.** Each of the nominees is currently a director of the Corporation. The following table and text presents information as of the date of this proxy statement concerning persons nominated for election as directors of the Corporation.

#### **Nominees for Common Stock Directors**

Ownorchin

				Ownership			
Name/Title	Age	Board Committees	Year first elected to Strayer Board	Common Stock	Restricted Stock/RSUs	Vested Options	Unvested Options
Robert S. Silberman,	56		2001	21,607	200,000	0	100,000
Executive Chairman							
Dr. John T. Casteen III, (a)	70	Nominating	2011	718	2,444	0	0
Director							
Dr. Charlotte F. Beason,	66	Nominating	1996	5,619	2,444	0	0
Director							
William E. Brock,	83	Compensation	2001	0	2,444	0	0
Director							
Robert R. Grusky,	56	Audit	2001	4,362	4,887	0	0
Director							
Robert L. Johnson,	67	Compensation	2003	8,647	2,444	0	0
Director							
Karl McDonnell,	47		2011	4,824	50,150	0	0
Chief Executive Officer & Director							

Chief Executive Officer & Director

Todd A. Milano,	61	Audit	1996	7,175	4,719	0	0
Director		Nominating					
G. Thomas Waite, III, Director	62	Audit	1996	4,619	2,444	0	0
J. David Wargo, Director	60	Compensation	2001	2,169	2,444	0	0

(a) Dr. Casteen is presently serving as the Board s Presiding Independent Director.

3

Mr. Robert S. Silberman was named Strayer s Executive Chairman of the Board in 2013. He was Chairman of the Board from February 2003 to 2013 and Chief Executive Officer from March 2001 to 2013. From 1995 to 2000, Mr. Silberman served in a variety of senior management positions at CalEnergy Company, Inc., including as President and Chief Operating Officer. From 1993 to 1995, Mr. Silberman was Assistant to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of International Paper Company. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Silberman served in several senior positions in the U.S. Department of Defense, including as Assistant Secretary of the Army. Mr. Silberman has been a Director of Strayer since March 2001. He serves on the Board of Directors of Covanta Holding Company and 21st Century Fox. He also serves on the Board of Visitors of The Johns Hopkins University School of Advanced International Studies. Mr. Silberman is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. Mr. Silberman holds a bachelor s degree in history from Dartmouth College and a master s degree in international policy from The Johns Hopkins University. Mr. Silberman has been a driving force behind the evolution of the Corporation. He leads the Board with a deep appreciation of the Corporation s history, a focused strategic vision for its future, and a broad understanding of the economic, regulatory, and demographic factors affecting the Corporation. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in business management, leadership of large organizations, financial management, public policy, governmental affairs, academic policy, educational leadership, and stewardship of stockholder capital, Mr. Silberman should serve as a director of the Corporation.

Ownership

Dr. John T. Casteen III is the President Emeritus and University Professor at the University of Virginia. He served as President of the University of Virginia from 1990 through 2010. He was President of the University of Connecticut from 1985 to 1990. From 1982 to 1985, Dr. Casteen served as the Secretary of Education for the Commonwealth of Virginia. Dr. Casteen is on the board of directors of Altria, Inc., and served on the board of directors of Wachovia Corporation until 2008. Dr. Casteen also is a director of a number of charitable and privately-held business entities, including the Chesapeake Bay Foundation, ECHO 360, the Virginia Foundation for Community College Education, Virginia Intermont College, and the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. Dr. Casteen serves on the Board of Trustees of the Jamestown-Yorktown Foundation. He has chaired the boards of both the College Entrance Examination Board and the Association of American Universities. Dr. Casteen has been a member of the Board since 2011, is a member of the Nominating Committee and currently serves as the Presiding Independent Director. Dr. Casteen holds a bachelor s degree, master s degree and a Ph.D. in English from the University of Virginia. Dr. Casteen s record of leadership in higher education and business will help the Board in building and maintaining the quality of Strayer University. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in education leadership, educational policy, academic affairs and government affairs, Dr. Casteen should serve as a director of the Corporation.

2

**Dr. Charlotte F. Beason** is a consultant in education and health care administration. She was Executive Director of the Kentucky Board of Nursing from 2005 to 2012. From 2000 to 2003, Dr. Beason was Chair and Vice Chair of the Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (an autonomous agency accrediting baccalaureate and graduate programs in nursing). From 1988 to 2004, Dr. Beason was with the Department of Veterans Affairs, first as Director of Health Professions Education Service and the Health Professional Scholarship Program, and then as Program Director, Office of Nursing Services. Dr. Beason has served on the Board since 1996 and is a member of the Nominating Committee. She is also Chairwoman of the Strayer University Board of Trustees. Dr. Beason holds a bachelor s degree in nursing from Berea College, a master s degree in psychiatric nursing from Boston University and a doctorate in clinical psychology and public practice from Harvard University. Dr. Beason s record of leadership in education, accreditation, and public administration provide the Board with insight and experience in building and maintaining the quality of Strayer University. The Nominating Committee believes that based on her experience and expertise in academic matters, educational policy, organizational administration, and governmental affairs, Dr. Beason should serve as a director of the Corporation.

Senator William E. Brock is the Founder and Chairman of the Brock Offices, a firm specializing in international trade, investment and human resources. From 1985 to 1987, Senator Brock served in the President's Cabinet as the U.S. Secretary of Labor, and from 1981 to 1985, as the U.S. Trade Representative. Senator Brock previously served as a Member of Congress and subsequently as U.S. Senator for the State of Tennessee. Senator Brock is a member of the Board of Directors of On Assignment, Inc. and ResCare, Inc., and is a Senior Counselor and Member of the Board of Trustees of the Center for Strategic and International Studies, where he chairs the International Policy Roundtable. In the past five years, Senator Brock has also served on the Board of Directors of Catalyst Health Solutions, Inc. Senator Brock has been a member of the Board since 2001 and is a member of the Compensation Committee. He holds a bachelor's degree in commerce from Washington and Lee University. Senator Brock sexperience as a legislator, senior Cabinet officer, and business leader provides the Board with an unparalleled understanding of the legislative and regulatory process. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in public policy, government affairs, business management and corporate governance, Senator Brock should serve as a director of the Corporation.

Mr. Robert R. Grusky is the Founder and has been the Managing Member of Hope Capital Management, LLC, an investment manager, since 2000. He co-founded New Mountain Capital, LLC, a private equity firm, in 2000 and was a Principal and Member from 2000 to 2005, and has been a Senior Advisor since then. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Grusky served as President of RSL Investments Corporation. From 1985 to 1997, with the exception of 1990 to 1991 when he was on a leave of absence to serve as a White House Fellow and Assistant for Special Projects to the Secretary of Defense, Mr. Grusky served in a variety of capacities at Goldman, Sachs & Co., first in its Mergers & Acquisitions Department and then in its Principal Investment Area. He also serves on the Board of Directors of AutoNation, Inc. In the past five years, he has also served on the Board of Directors of AutoZone, Inc. Mr. Grusky has served on the Board since 2001 and is a member of the Audit Committee. He holds a bachelor s degree in history from Union College and a master s degree in business administration from Harvard University. Mr. Grusky s keen understanding of the financial markets and his extensive experience as an investment manager and executive are tremendous assets to the Board. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in financial markets, capital allocation, strategic planning, accounting and audit functions, and public policy, Mr. Grusky should serve as a director of the Corporation.

5

Mr. Robert L. Johnson is the Founder and Chairman of The RLJ Companies, an innovative business network that owns or holds interests in businesses operating in hotel real estate investment, private equity, consumer financial services, asset management, automobile dealerships, sports and entertainment, and video lottery terminal (VLT) gaming. Mr. Johnson is the founder of Black Entertainment Television (BET), a subsidiary of Viacom and the leading African-American operated media and entertainment company in the United States, and served as its Chief Executive Officer until January 2006. In 2002, Mr. Johnson became the first African-American majority owner of a major sports franchise, the Charlotte Bobcats of the NBA. From 1976 to 1979, he served as Vice President of Governmental Relations for the National Cable & Telecommunications Association (NCTA). Mr. Johnson also served as Press Secretary for the Honorable Walter E. Fauntroy, Congressional Delegate from the District of Columbia. He serves on the following boards: RLJ Lodging Trust; RLJ Entertainment, Inc.; KB Home; Lowe s Companies, Inc.; Think Finance, Inc.; The Business Council; and the Smithsonian Institution s National Museum of

African American History and Culture. Mr. Johnson has served on the Board since 2003 and is a member of the Compensation Committee. He holds a bachelor s degree in social studies from the University of Illinois and a master s degree in public affairs from the Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs at Princeton University. Mr. Johnson s entrepreneurial spirit, his managerial skill, and his broad business experience provide invaluable guidance to the Board. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in leading growth companies, entrepreneurship, marketing, media, advertising, financial management, strategic planning, and general business management, Mr. Johnson should serve as a director of the Corporation.

Mr. Karl McDonnell was named Chief Executive Officer in May 2013 and has served as President and Chief Operating Officer since 2006. Mr. McDonnell served as Chief Operating Officer of InteliStaf Healthcare, Inc., one of the nation slargest privately-held healthcare staffing firms. Prior to his tenure at InteliStaf, he served as Vice President of the Investment Banking Division at Goldman, Sachs & Co. Mr. McDonnell has held senior management positions with several Fortune 100 companies, including The Walt Disney Company. Mr. McDonnell has served on the Board since 2011. Mr. McDonnell holds a bachelor s degree from Virginia Wesleyan College and a master s degree in business administration from Duke University. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in general management, leadership of large organizations, financial management and human capital development, Mr. McDonnell should serve as a director of the Corporation.

Mr. Todd A. Milano is President Emeritus and Ambassador of Central Penn College, where he served as President and Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to 2012. Mr. Milano has served on the Board since 1996, is a member of the Audit Committee, the Nominating Committee, and is also a member of the Strayer University Board of Trustees. Mr. Milano holds a bachelor s degree in industrial management from Purdue University. Having served on the Board for more than 15 years, Mr. Milano knows the Corporation s business, history, and culture of quality education. He is a leader in higher education and uses his experience to provide critical input into the Corporation s operations and management. The Nominating Committee believes that based upon his experience and expertise in academic affairs, educational management, accrediting activities and organizational leadership, Mr. Milano should serve as a director of the Corporation.

6

Mr. G. Thomas Waite, III has been Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Humane Society of the United States since 1997 and prior to that served as Controller beginning in 1993. In 1992, Mr. Waite was the Director of Commercial Management of The National Housing Partnership. Mr. Waite has served on the Board since 1996, is Chair of the Audit Committee, and is a former member of the Strayer University Board of Trustees. Mr. Waite holds a bachelor s degree in commerce from the University of Virginia and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Waite is a leader in philanthropy and the non-profit sector, which is the Corporation s indispensable partner in fulfilling our mission of providing quality education to working adults. His experience as a chief financial officer brings to the Board a seasoned voice in matters of accounting and governance that is a tremendous asset to the Board and the committees on which he serves. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in financial matters, accounting and audit, and educational management, Mr. Waite should serve as a director of the Corporation.

Mr. J. David Wargo has been President of Wargo and Company, Inc., an investment management company, since 1993. Mr. Wargo is a co-founder and was a Member of New Mountain Capital, LLC, from 2000 to 2008, and was a Senior Advisor there from 2008 to 2011. From 1989 to 1992, Mr. Wargo was a Managing Director and Senior Analyst of The Putnam Companies, a Boston-based investment management company. From 1985 to 1989, Mr. Wargo was a partner and held other positions at Marble Arch Partners. Mr. Wargo is a Director of Liberty Global, Inc. and Discovery Communications, Inc. In the past five years, he has also served on the board of Fun Technologies, Inc. Mr. Wargo has served on the Board since 2001 and is Chair of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Wargo holds a bachelor s degree in physics and a master s degree in nuclear engineering, both from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He also holds a master s degree in management science from the Sloan School of Management, which is the business school of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Mr. Wargo is an expert in markets and governance and has extensive experience in developing and managing businesses. His broad-based knowledge of transactions and investments brings to the Board strong leadership, which is further enhanced by his experience on the boards of other respected publicly traded companies. The Nominating Committee believes that based on his experience and expertise in financial matters,

accounting and audit, financial markets, capital allocation, and strategic planning, Mr. Wargo should serve as a director of the Corporation.

7

#### **Director Compensation**

Director compensation is designed to:

Ensure alignment with long-term stockholder interests;

Ensure the Corporation can attract and retain outstanding director candidates who meet the criteria outlined in this proxy;

Recognize the time commitments necessary to oversee the Corporation; and

Support the independence of thought required of a good director.

The Nominating Committee reviews non-employee director compensation regularly and resulting recommendations are presented to the full Board for discussion and approval. Current director compensation is as follows:

Annual Retainer. Each eligible director is paid an annual fee of \$150,000. Of this amount, at least 50% (or \$75,000) of the annual fee must be paid in shares of restricted stock of the Corporation. Restricted stock is issued to directors on the date of the Annual Meeting as part of their annual retainer. The restricted shares vest over three years, with one-third of the shares vesting each year on the date of the annual meeting. Directors may choose to receive the remaining 50% of their annual retainer (\$75,000) in either restricted stock or in cash, paid in quarterly installments. In the event any Director retires or resigns from the Board, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion, waive the remaining vesting period(s) for all or any portion of unvested restricted shares, provided that the departing Director has served at least five years on the Board of Directors of the Corporation.

Additional Fees. The Audit Committee Chair and the Presiding Independent Director receive an additional annual fee of \$10,000. Members of the Audit Committee receive an additional annual fee of \$5,000. The Board may also approve additional fees for other board-related service.

Reimbursement of Expenses. Directors are reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with their attendance at Board and Committee meetings.

As described above, a significant portion of director compensation is paid in restricted stock to align director compensation with the long term interests of stockholders. While on the Board, non-employee directors receive the same cash dividends on restricted shares as a holder of common stock.

8

The following table sets forth compensation for each director for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

#### **Director Compensation Table**

Name	Fees	Stock	All Other	Total
<del></del>	Earned	Awards	Compensation	(\$)
	or Paid	(\$) <sup>(a)</sup>	(\$)	

in Cash

(\$) Robert S. Silberman, (b) **Executive Chairman** Dr. Charlotte F. Beason, 75,000 75,000 150,000 Director William E. Brock, 150,000 75,000 75,000 Director 155,000 Dr. John T. Casteen III, 80,000 75,000 Director 155,000 Robert R. Grusky, 5,000 150,000 Director 150,000 Robert L. Johnson. 75,000 75,000 Director Karl McDonnell,(b) Chief Executive Officer & Director Todd A. Milano, 5,000 150,000 155,000 Director 155,000 G. Thomas Waite III, 80,000 75,000 Director J. David Wargo, 85,000 75,000 160,000

9

The following table sets forth the number of outstanding stock awards held by each non-employee director at December 31, 2013.

## **Outstanding Stock Awards Table**

Shares of Unvested Restricted Stock (#)

Name

Director

<sup>(</sup>a) Amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value computation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

<sup>(</sup>b) Mr. Silberman and Mr. McDonnell do not receive any additional compensation for their service as directors of the Corporation. Their compensation is reflected in the Summary Compensation Table set forth below in this proxy statement.

Name	Shares of Unvested Restricted Stock (#)
Dr. Charlotte F. Beason,	2,444
Director	
William E. Brock,	2,444
Director	
Dr. John T. Casteen, III,	2,444
Director	
Robert R. Grusky,	4,887
Director	
Robert L. Johnson,	2,444
Director	
Todd A. Milano,	4,719
Director	
G. Thomas Waite, III,	2,444
Director	
J. David Wargo,	2,444
Director	

## **Board Leadership Structure**

Our Board is comprised of independent members, as independence is defined under the NASDAQ Listing Standards, along with our Executive Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer. The leadership structure of the Corporation has varied over time as the demands of the business, the composition of the Board, and the ranks of our senior executives changed, and the Board has utilized this flexibility to establish the most appropriate structure at any given time. For the last several years we combined the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, but in May of 2013 began to operate with a Chairman of the Board separate from the Chief Executive Officer when Mr. Silberman became Executive Chairman and Mr. McDonnell was named Chief Executive Officer.

In 2013 Dr. Casteen was appointed Presiding Independent Director, who runs the Board in the Chairman s absence. The Presiding Independent Director presides at meetings with the Board of Directors without the Executive Chairman and the CEO present at least quarterly (at each regularly scheduled Board meeting) and solicits candid feedback on the Executive Chairman s and the CEO s performance. The Presiding Independent Director serves as the principal liaison on Board issues between the independent Directors and the Executive Chairman and has the authority to:

Call meetings of the independent Directors,

Ensure the quality, quantity and timeliness of information to the Board, and

Consult and communicate with stockholders.

## Risk Oversight

Shares of

The Board of Directors is ultimately responsible for the risk management of the Corporation; the CEO is the Chief Risk Officer. The Board reviews and approves all annual budgets, major uses of capital, major projects, and University expansion plans. Two members of the Board of Directors also serve as members of the governing

10

body (The Board of Trustees) of the Corporation schief asset: Strayer University. The Board of Trustees is made up of nine trustees, including five trustees who are unaffiliated with the Corporation, two trustees who are independent members of the Corporation s Board of Directors, and two trustees who are executive officers of the Corporation. The Board of Directors oversees, but generally defers to the University s Board of Trustees on issues related to academic affairs and quality, including specifically, the rate of the University s growth and expansion.

The Board and its Compensation Committee continually evaluate the Corporation strategy, activities and in particular compensation policies and practices to protect against inappropriate risk taking. Any compensation program that seeks to pay managers for performance on behalf of owners carries some risk of overzealous performance. But paramount in the Corporation s compensation program is an unwavering requirement that executive conduct conform to applicable legal, regulatory, and ethical business standards. Based on its evaluation and the views of advisors, the Compensation Committee believes that the Corporation s executive compensation program, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section below, does not encourage inappropriate risk taking and that the Corporation has in place a strong culture, organization structure and compliance policies to manage effectively operational risk.

In addition, the Audit Committee oversees management of financial risk and our Code of Business Conduct, including monitoring conflicts of interest, and the Nominating Committee oversees the Corporation s corporate governance, such as director independence. In performing these functions, each Committee of the Board of Directors has full access to management, as well as the ability to engage advisors. The Board is kept abreast of the Committees risk oversight and other activities through regular reports by the Committee Chair to the full Board of Directors.

#### **Board Committees**

The Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating Committee, each composed entirely of independent directors. The current Committee membership is as follows:

## **Committee Memberships**

Audit	Compensation	Nominating
G. Thomas Waite, Chair	J. David Wargo, Chair	Dr. John T. Casteen, III, Chair
Robert R. Grusky	William E. Brock	Dr. Charlotte F. Beason
Todd A. Milano	Robert L. Johnson	Todd A. Milano

#### Audit Committee.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Audit Committee was composed of Messrs. Wargo (Chair), Milano and Waite until November 15, 2013; thereafter, the Audit Committee was composed of Messrs. Waite (Chair), Milano, and Grusky. The Audit Committee met five times during 2013, including one telephonic meeting.

The Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of the quality and integrity of our accounting, auditing, and reporting practices. The Committee performs a variety of tasks, including being directly responsible for the appointment (subject to advisory stockholder ratification), compensation and oversight of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also, among other things, reviews the Corporation's accounting policies and reviews the Corporation's unaudited quarterly earnings releases and periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) that include financial statements, and regularly reports to the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee relies on the expertise and knowledge of management, the internal auditor, and the independent auditors in carrying out its oversight responsibilities.

The Audit Committee has a written charter, which was last amended on February 19, 2014. The Corporation will provide a copy of the Audit Committee charter to any person without charge, upon request. Persons wishing to make such a request should contact Mark C. Brown, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, VA 20171, (703) 561-1600. In addition, the Audit

Committee charter is available on the Corporation s website, www.strayereducation.com.

The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are independent, as independence is defined under the NASDAQ Listing Standards and Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the 1934 Act ). The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Committee qualifies

11

as an audit committee financial expert, as defined by SEC rules, based on his education, experience and background.

A report of the Audit Committee is included below in this proxy statement.

#### Compensation Committee.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Compensation Committee was composed of Messrs. Coulter (Chair), Brock and Johnson until May 2, 2013; the Compensation Committee was composed of Messrs. Grusky (Chair), Brock and Johnson until November 15, 2013; and thereafter, it was composed of Messrs. Wargo (Chair), Brock, and Johnson.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for evaluating, and recommending to the full Board for approval, the compensation of the Executive Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer and other officers of the Corporation. The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining compensation policies and practices, changes in compensation and benefits for management, employee benefits and all other matters relating to employee compensation, including matters relating to stock-based compensation, subject to the approval of the Board. The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant to be used by it to assist in the evaluation of director and executive compensation. During 2013, approximately \$13,000 was paid to AON Hewitt, Inc. to benchmark compensation for the CEO, COO and CFO positions. The Compensation Committee may form and delegate any of its authority to one or more subcommittees as it deems appropriate. For a discussion of the role of the Executive Chairman and the CEO in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive compensation, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis below. The Compensation Committee met three times during 2013.

The Compensation Committee has adopted a written charter, which was last amended on February 19, 2014, and a copy of which the Corporation will provide to any person without charge, upon request. Persons wishing to make such a request should contact Mark C. Brown, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, VA 20171, (703) 561-1600. In addition, the Compensation Committee charter is available on the Corporation s website, www.strayereducation.com.

The Board has determined that all of the members of the Compensation Committee are independent, as independence is defined under the NASDAQ Listing Standards. The Board also has determined that all of the members of the Compensation Committee qualify as non-employee directors as defined by SEC rules and outside directors as defined by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

## Nominating Committee.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Nominating Committee was composed of Mr. Grusky (Chair), Dr. Casteen and Dr. Beason until May 2, 2013; thereafter, the Nominating Committee was composed of Dr. Casteen (Chair), Dr. Beason, and Mr. Milano. The Nominating Committee is responsible for establishing qualifications for potential directors, considering and recommending prospective candidates for Board membership, recommending the Board committee structure, making recommendations as to director independence, developing and monitoring the Corporation s corporate governance principles, and recommending director compensation. The Nominating Committee met two times during 2013.

The Nominating Committee has a written charter, which was amended and restated on July 26, 2011. The Nominating Committee charter will be made available to any person upon request without charge. Persons wishing to make such a request should contact Mark C. Brown, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, VA 20171, (703) 561-1600. In addition, the Nominating Committee charter is available on the Corporation s website, www.strayereducation.com.

The Board has determined that all of the members of the Nominating Committee are independent, as independence is defined under the NASDAQ Listing Standards.

#### **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

During fiscal year 2013, the Compensation Committee was composed of Messrs. Coulter (Chair), Brock and Johnson until May 2, 2013; the Compensation Committee was composed of Messrs. Grusky (Chair), Brock and Johnson until November 15, 2013; and thereafter, it was composed of Messrs. Wargo (Chair), Brock, and Johnson. No member of the Compensation Committee was, during fiscal year 2013, an officer or employee of the Corporation or was formerly an officer of the Corporation, or had any relationship requiring disclosure by the Corporation as a related party transaction under applicable SEC rules. No executive officer of the Corporation served on any board of directors or compensation committee of any other company for which any of the Corporation s directors served as an executive officer at any time during fiscal year 2013.

## **Attendance at Meetings and Director Independence**

The Board of Directors met five times during 2013, including one telephonic meeting. Each Director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and the meetings of the Board Committees on which he or she served as a member in 2013. At each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board, the independent directors met in executive session. The Board's Presiding Independent Director, currently Dr. Casteen, presides at these executive sessions. The Corporation encourages all incumbent directors and director nominees to attend each annual meeting of stockholders. All Directors attended last year's meeting, including some who participated telephonically.

The Board of Directors consists of a majority of independent directors, as independence is defined under the NASDAQ Listing Standards. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Board of Directors, except for Messrs. Silberman and McDonnell, are independent under these standards.

#### **Code of Business Conduct**

The Board of Directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct in February 2004, meeting the requirements of Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and applicable NASDAQ requirements. The Code of Business Conduct was last amended on February 19, 2014, and includes, among other things, provisions prohibiting employees from: insider trading; investing in Corporation-based derivative securities, including options, warrants or similar rights whose value is derived from the value of an equity security; short selling the Corporation s securities; and trading in the Corporation s securities on a short-term basis. The Corporation will provide to any person without charge, upon request, a copy of such Code of Business Conduct. Persons wishing to make such a request should contact Mark C. Brown, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, VA 20171, (703) 561-1600. In addition, the Code of Business Conduct is available on the corporate website, <a href="www.strayereducation.com">www.strayereducation.com</a>. In the event that the Corporation makes any amendment to, or grants any waiver from, a provision of the Code of Business Conduct that applies to the Corporation s principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller or certain other senior officers and requires disclosure under applicable SEC rules, the Corporation intends to disclose such amendment or waiver and the reasons for the amendment or waiver on the Corporation s website, <a href="www.strayereducation.com">www.strayereducation.com</a> or, as required by NASDAQ, file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC reporting the amendment or waiver.

## **Stockholder Communication with Directors**

The Corporation has a process for stockholders to send communications to the Board of Directors. Any stockholder that wishes to communicate with the Board of Directors may do so by submitting correspondence in writing to the Board, in care of Viet D. Dinh, Corporate Secretary, Strayer Education, Inc., 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, VA 20171, (703) 561-1600. The mailing envelope must contain a clear notation indicating that the enclosed letter is a Stockholder-Board Communication. All such letters must identify the author as a stockholder. All correspondence from stockholders that (i) beneficially own more than 5% of the Corporation s common stock or (ii) have beneficially owned more than 1% of the Corporation s common stock for at least one year will be forwarded to the Board without prior review. In addition, Stockholder-Board communications from all other stockholders will be reviewed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Secretary of the Corporation, and if determined to be appropriate communications will be forwarded to the Board.

13

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The 1934 Act requires the Corporation s directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders to file reports of beneficial ownership of equity securities of the Corporation and to furnish copies of such reports to the Corporation. Based on a review of such reports, and upon written

representations from certain reporting persons, the Corporation believes that, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, all such filing requirements were met.

14

#### BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of the Corporation s common stock as of March 10, 2014 (except as otherwise indicated), by each person known by management of the Corporation to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent (5%) of the outstanding shares of the Corporation s common stock, each of the Corporation s directors and director nominees, its Executive Chairman, CEO, and three other named executive officers and all executive officers and directors as a group. The information presented in the table is based upon the most recent filings with the SEC by those persons or upon information otherwise provided by those persons to the Corporation. The percentages reflected in the table for each beneficial owner are calculated based on the number of shares of common stock outstanding on the record date plus those common stock equivalents and exercisable options held by the applicable beneficial owner.

	Common Stock	Options Currently Exercisable or Exercisable		
Name of Beneficial Owner	Beneficially Owned(a)	within 60 days	Total	Percentage Owned
Stockholders:				
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (b)	1,546,855	0	1,546,855	14.3%
Royce & Associates LLC(c)	1,084,485	0	1,084,485	10.0%
BlackRock, Inc. (d)	942,522	0	942,522	8.7%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.(e)	611,300	0	611,300	5.6%
<u>Directors:</u>				
Robert S. Silberman	221,607	0	221,607	2.0%
Dr. Charlotte F. Beason	8,063	0	8,063	*
William E. Brock	2,444	0	2,444	*
Dr. John T. Casteen III	3,162	0	3,162	*
Robert R. Grusky <sup>(f)</sup>	9,249	0	9,249	*
Robert L. Johnson	11,091	0	11,091	*
Karl McDonnell	54,974	0	54,974	*
Todd A. Milano	11,894	0	11,894	*
G. Thomas Waite	7.063	0	7.063	*
J. David Wargo	4,613	0	4,613	*
Named Executive Officers:				
Dr. Michael A. Plater	19,031	0	19,031	*
Mark C. Brown	41,472	0	41,472	*
Daniel W. Jackson	22,172	0	22,172	*
All Executive Officers and Directors (14 persons)	439,889	0	439,889	4.1%

(b)

represents amounts less than 1%

<sup>(</sup>a) For directors and officers, the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned includes shares of restricted stock, which the holder is entitled to vote, and restricted stock units.

Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 7, 2014. These securities are owned by various individual and institutional investors including T. Rowe Price Mid-Cap Value Fund, Inc. (which owns 1,233,300 shares, representing 11.4% of the shares outstanding), which T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (Price Associates) serves as investment adviser with power to direct investments and/or sole power to vote securities. For purposes of the reporting requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities; however, Price Associates expressly disclaims that it is, in fact, the beneficial owner of such securities. The address is: 100 E. Pratt Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202.

(c) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 14, 2014. Royce & Associates, LLC including affiliated entities is an investment adviser with respect to the reported shares for the accounts of other persons who have the right to receive, or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, such shares. The interest of one account, Royce Premier Fund, an investment company registered under

15

the Investment Company Act of 1940 and managed by Royce & Associates, LLC, amounted to 1,018,485 shares or 9.4% of the total outstanding shares. The address is: 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10151.

- (d) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 30, 2014. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is: 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.
- (e) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 12, 2014. The address of The Vanguard Group Inc. is: 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.
- (f) Includes 3,244 shares owned by Halley Dog Investments, LLC, of which Mr. Grusky is the Manager. On December 31, 2012, Mr. Grusky gifted a 65% interest in Halley Dog Investments, LLC to a trust for the benefit of his family members.

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## Compensation Policies and Objectives

In accordance with the Compensation Committee charter, the Corporation employs the following general policies in determining executive compensation:

The Corporation believes that compensation of the Corporation s key executives should be sufficient to attract and retain highly qualified and productive personnel, as well as to enhance productivity and encourage and reward superior performance.

It is the policy of the Corporation that the three primary components of the Corporation s compensation package for officers (salary, profit share, and equity grants) be considered in the aggregate. In other words, the total compensation of our executive officers should be appropriate to their contributions, and the amount of each component should take into account the size of their total compensation package, even if one individual component is larger or smaller than industry average.

Consistent with Department of Education regulations, the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing a profit sharing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing plan for the Corporation seeks to reward achievement of specific corporate goals by executing the specific corporate goals by executing the specific corporate goals by executing the specific corporate goals are specific corporate goals by executing the specific corporate goals are specific corporate goals and the specific corporate goals are specific corporate goals and the specific corporate goals are specific corporate goals and the specific corporate goals are specific corporate goals and the specific corporate goals are specific corporate goals are

The criteria used by the Compensation Committee in deciding whether, or at what level, a profit sharing plan should be funded in any year is whether the Corporation met certain performance objectives set annually by the Board. These assessments are made only after the Compensation Committee receives the Corporation s annual financial statements, audited by the Corporation s independent auditing firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Each year the corporate objectives used to determine profit sharing eligibility for executives are chosen by the Board of Directors from criteria which were approved by the stockholders of the Corporation. Criteria were approved most recently by stockholders at its annual meeting on April 26, 2011.

One of the Corporation s guiding principles is that officers and directors think like owners. To this end, the Corporation adopted a requirement that within three years of hiring, promotion or being appointed to the Board, senior officers and members of the Board of Directors own shares equal to the amounts shown in the table below. The Board reviews compliance with this policy consistent with

historic share ownership, market price fluctuations, and other factors.

Required Share Ownership
5x Annual Salary
5x Annual Salary
4x Annual Salary
3x Annual Salary
2x Annual Salary
3x Annual Retainer

In determining compensation levels at the Corporation, the Compensation Committee compares executive compensation at the Corporation to that of nine other publicly traded companies which own education assets. These companies are: Apollo Group, Inc., Bridgepoint Education, Capella Education Company, Career Education Corporation, Corinthian Colleges, Inc., DeVry, Inc., Education Management Corporation, Grand Canyon Education, Inc., and ITT Educational Services, Inc. The Compensation Committee also compares executive compensation at the Corporation to similarly sized companies by revenue, market capitalization, and growth profile which are in other industries.

16

The Compensation Committee generally tries to set salary targets at or below the midpoint of comparable companies. However, the Compensation Committee tries to set profit sharing targets (both cash and equity) at or above the midpoint of comparable companies. If, in the Board s judgment, the midpoint or upper quartile calculations of the comparable companies yield too high a compensation level, the Board will not match these levels, but instead make reasoned judgments to lower the Corporation s executive compensation to levels it deems more appropriate.

At the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, approximately 85% of the votes cast were cast in favor of the advisory resolution to approve the 2012 compensation for the Corporation s named executives. The Corporation believes this vote reflected stockholder approval of its overall pay practices and the absence of any practices that stockholders consider problematic. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee generally continued to apply the same principles in determining the amounts and types of executive compensation for 2013. The Compensation Committee values the stockholder feedback provided through the vote, and will continue to consider the results of the vote in the future.

#### Who Determines Compensation?

In accordance with the Compensation Committee charter, compensation for the Corporation s Executive Chairman and its CEO is determined by the Compensation Committee subject to approval of the Corporation s Board of Directors (excluding the Executive Chairman and the CEO, who are also Directors). In making its determination on Executive Chairman and CEO compensation, the Compensation Committee reviews a number of factors, including but not limited to:

The Corporation s achievement of annual goals and objectives set by the full Board of Directors in the preceding year,

The long term performance of the Corporation, and

CEO compensation level at comparable companies.

For the other named executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews, approves, and recommends to the full Board compensation based on:

Performance of the executive officers in light of relevant goals and objectives approved by the Compensation Committee and the annual goals and objectives established by the Board in the preceding year,

Executive compensation level at comparable companies, and

The recommendations of the Executive Chairman and the CEO.

The Executive Chairman and the CEO provide recommendations for executive officer compensation (other than themselves) to the Compensation Committee based on a review and analysis of each officer s performance and contributions to the Corporation. While the Compensation Committee considers the recommendations of the Executive Chairman and the CEO with respect to these elements of compensation, the Compensation Committee independently evaluates the recommendations for purposes of making its recommendations to the full Board.

The Compensation Committee meets in the beginning of each year when audited year-end financial statements are available, to consider profit sharing with respect to the just completed fiscal year, consider equity awards, and determine executive officer salaries with respect to the next fiscal year. The Committee meets from time to time during the year as may be required to address compensation and equity grant issues associated with new officer

17

hires and director appointments, as well as, if applicable, making equity grants as long-term compensation and making other determinations or recommendations with respect to employee benefit plans and related matters.

#### Appointment of Mr. Silberman to Executive Chairman and Promotion of Karl McDonnell to Chief Executive Officer

In February of 2013, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Board of Directors voted to ratify the appointment of Mr. Silberman, then the Corporation s Chief Executive Officer and Chair, to Executive Chairman. Additionally, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Board approved the promotion of Karl McDonnell, the Corporation s then-President and Chief Operating Officer, to the position of Chief Executive Officer. Both the appointment of Mr. Silberman to Executive Chairman and the promotion of Mr. McDonnell to Chief Executive Officer became effective after the annual shareholders meeting, on May 2, 2013.

## Identification and Analysis of 2013 Compensation Programs

During 2013, the Corporation s executive compensation program included salary, profit sharing and long-term compensation in the form of restricted stock awarded under the Corporation s 2011 Equity Compensation Plan.

Salaries for executives other than the Executive Chairman and the CEO are reviewed, approved, and recommended to the full Board annually by the Compensation Committee upon recommendation of the Executive Chairman and the CEO. The Executive Chairman s and the CEO s salaries are specified in their employment agreements (see Employment Agreements with Mr. Silberman and Mr. McDonnell and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control sections below), and are annually reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee and the full Board of Directors.

<u>Profit Sharing</u> The profit sharing plan for our named executives and other senior executives is funded each year by our Board of Directors upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee of the Board. In determining whether to recommend such profit sharing, the Compensation Committee determines whether the Corporation has achieved its annual corporate objectives for the year.

As befits a Corporation whose main operating asset is a 122 year old University holding the highest possible academic accreditation, these annual corporate objectives include a number of academic measures such as improvements in student learning outcomes, student retention and continuation rates, advances in faculty hiring and qualifications, development of new academic programs, advances in online education, and increased academic rigor. The annual corporate objectives also include non-financial operational targets such as opening new campuses, securing regulatory approval to operate in new states, securing new corporate and institutional alliance partners and entering into additional academic articulation agreements with other universities and community colleges. Finally, these annual corporate objectives include financial measures, such as revenue, operating margin, operating income, net income, EPS, return on invested capital, and return of capital to owners through dividends and share repurchases. Of course, even if the Corporation achieves all of its academic, operational, and financial objectives in a given year, in the event of any breach in regulatory, legal, or ethical business standards, the Compensation Committee would eliminate the

payment of cash profit sharing for that year.

The Board does not consider movements of the stock price of the Corporation during the year in determining annual compensation. The Board strongly feels that management s responsibility is to create an enduring increase in the intrinsic value of the Corporation. By achieving its annual corporate objectives, the Board feels management will necessarily increase the intrinsic value of the Corporation, and generate sustainable long term increases in stockholders—value. Each year the Board selects those annual corporate objectives from among criteria which were approved by the stockholders of the Corporation, most recently at its annual meeting on April 26, 2011. While the Board believes that each of the various annual corporate objectives is relevant to the determination of executive compensation, the achievement of any one annual corporate objective would not, in and of itself, result in a specific cash profit share amount being paid to our named executive officers. The Corporation believes the achievement of these goals is realistic but not certain.

18

The target profit share in cash for the Executive Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer is 125% of salary and varies for other officers and employees. Only corporate officers are eligible for a profit share distribution in cash. For 2013, the Corporation did not meet financial targets, and profit share in cash distributed was generally reduced by approximately 33% from the prior year. See Summary Compensation and Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-based Awards Table for more information regarding profit sharing awards for 2013.

<u>Equity-based Compensation Programs</u> As discussed above, the Corporation believes it should, subject to achievement of certain academic, operational, financial, and individual objectives, make annual equity grants in order to retain, motivate, and align the interests of those key executive officers with stockholders.

Equity awards under this program are only made after the Compensation Committee and full Board of Directors have completed their analysis of both corporate and individual performance described in the previous section on profit sharing. For our Chief Executive Officer, we feel that at least 50% of his or her target total annual compensation should be performance-based in the form of equity grants of restricted stock with at least a four year cliff vest.

We view our equity as very valuable and are reluctant to issue it. This means that we only grant restricted stock or stock options to employees and directors as compensation when we believe we are getting fair value (in terms of their service) in return. The Corporation made equity grants in February 2014 (for 2013 performance) only to the highest priority retention targets and pursuant to the Chief Executive Officer s employment agreement. In some instances, these equity grants were above target due to contributions in effectuating our restructuring and increased responsibilities during the year.

Our restricted stock agreements with employees contain clawback provisions. If the Corporation is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the material noncompliance of the Corporation, as a result of misconduct, with any financial reporting requirement and the employee engaged in that misconduct, knowingly failed to prevent the misconduct, or was grossly negligent in preventing the misconduct, the employee is required to reimburse the Corporation the amount of payment in settlement of the award earned or accrued during the 12-month period following the filing of the financial document that contained information affected by the material noncompliance. In addition, if the Corporation is required to prepare an accounting restatement, then the employee must forfeit any cash or stock received in connection with the award if any amount of the award was explicitly based on the achievement of pre-established performance goals that were later determined, as a result of the accounting restatement, not to have been achieved.

<u>Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits</u> The Corporation does not offer any perquisites. The Corporation does reimburse relocation expenses including tax gross-ups, when applicable. This benefit is offered to any officer hired from a different location to encourage prospective executives to relocate. None of the Corporation s named executive officers received any perquisites or personal benefits during 2013.

Employment Agreements with Mr. Silberman and Mr. McDonnell Robert S. Silberman, the Corporation s Executive Chairman, has an employment agreement with the Corporation which had an initial term of approximately three years (ending on December 31, 2004), and thereafter, automatically extended for successive one-year periods unless either the Corporation or Mr. Silberman provided timely notice to the contrary. Mr. Silberman s employment agreement was amended on May 2, 2013, in connection with his transition from Chief Executive Officer to Executive Chairman. Under the amended agreement, Mr. Silberman s term of employment is six years, and is renewable thereafter for one year terms unless the Corporation or Mr. Silberman provides notice otherwise. The amended agreement provides for a base salary of \$665,000 per annum (subject to annual increases for at least cost of living adjustments). Mr. Silberman is also eligible to receive a target profit share of at least 125% of base salary, for each of the fiscal years during which he is employed,

upon meeting certain corporate and financial goals annually approved by the Board. In the event of termination without cause, the employment contract also provides for the payment of three years base salary, three years of medical benefits and, if such termination is in connection with a change of control or Mr. Silberman resigns after a change of control (as explained below), an amount equal to three times the latest annual profit share award paid to him prior

19

to the event of termination without cause. In addition, consistent with his original agreement with the Corporation executed in 2001, Mr. Silberman is entitled to a gross-up payment for any excise taxes which may be imposed on termination payments.

The Corporation also entered into an employment agreement on May 2, 2013 with Karl McDonnell, in connection with his promotion to Chief Executive Officer (he did not previously have an employment agreement). Under the employment agreement, Mr. McDonnell s term of employment is six years and is renewable thereafter for one year terms unless the Corporation or Mr. McDonnell provides notice otherwise. Under the agreement Mr. McDonnell will receive a base salary of \$665,000 per annum (subject to annual increases for at least cost of living adjustments). Mr. McDonnell is also eligible to receive a target profit share of 125% of base salary for each fiscal year during which he is employed, upon meeting certain corporate and financial goals annually approved by the Board, and an annual restricted share grant target equivalent to \$2,000,000, awarded at each annual meeting. Mr. McDonnell is not entitled to a gross-up payment for any excise taxes which may be imposed on termination payments.

Retirement and Deferred Compensation Plans The Corporation maintains a retirement plan (the 401(k) Plan ) intended to qualify under Sections 401(a) and 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The 401(k) Plan is a defined contribution plan that covers all full-time employees of the Corporation of at least 21 years of age. The Corporation, in its discretion, matches employee contributions up to a maximum authorized amount under the plan. In 2013, the Corporation matched 50% of employee deferrals up to a maximum of 3% of the employee s annual salary. The Corporation offers this plan to enable and encourage its employees to save for their retirement in a tax advantageous way. The Corporation also maintains an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Employee Purchase Plan ). The purpose of the Employee Purchase Plan is to enable eligible full-time employees of the Corporation, through payroll deductions, to purchase shares of its common stock at a 10% discount from the prevailing market price from time to time. The Corporation offers this plan to encourage stock ownership by its employees.

#### Impact of Tax and Accounting Treatment

Under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and applicable Treasury regulations, no deduction is allowed for annual compensation in excess of \$1 million paid by a publicly traded corporation to its chief executive officer and the three other highest compensated executive officers (other than the chief financial officer). Under those provisions, however, there is no limitation on the deductibility of qualified performance-based compensation. In general, the Corporation s policy is to maximize the extent of tax deductibility of executive compensation under the provisions of Section 162(m) so long as doing so is compatible with its determination as to the most appropriate methods and approaches for the design and delivery of compensation to the Corporation s executive officers.

20

## **Summary Compensation**

The following table sets forth all compensation awarded to the Corporation s named executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2012, and 2013.

## Summary Compensation Table(a)

	Year	Salary	Cash Profit Share	Stock Awards <sup>(b)</sup>	Option Awards <sup>(b)</sup>	All Other Compensation(c)	Total	_
Robert S. Silberman.	2013	\$665,000	\$ 535,000	\$	\$2,209,000	\$ 3.825	\$3,412,825	

Edgar Filing: STRAYER EDUCATION INC - Form DEF 14A

	Year	Salary	Cash Profit Share	Stock Awards <sup>(b)</sup>	Option Awards <sup>(b)</sup>	All Other Compensation <sup>(c)</sup>	Total
Executive Chairman	2012	\$665,000	\$ 900,000	\$1,885,775	\$	\$10,000	\$3,460,775
	2011	\$665,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9,800	\$ 674,800
Karl McDonnell,	2013	\$582,000	\$ 535,000	\$2,000,000	\$	\$ 3,825	\$3,120,825
Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$432,000	\$2,000,000	\$ 750,000	\$	\$10,000	\$3,192,000
& Director	2011	\$420,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9,800	\$ 429,800
Dr. Michael A. Plater,	2013	\$357,000	\$ 175,000	\$1,000,000	\$	\$ 3,825	\$1,535,825
Strayer University	2012	\$327,500	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$	\$10,000	\$ 937,500
President	2011	\$250,000	\$	\$ 250,000	\$	\$11,422	\$ 511,422
Mark C. Brown,	2013	\$342,000	\$ 175,000	\$1,500,000	\$	\$ 3,825	\$2,020,825
Executive Vice President	2012	\$336,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 500,000	\$	\$10,000	\$1,146,000
& Chief Financial	2011	\$300,000	\$	\$ 300,000	\$	\$ 9,800	\$ 609,800
Officer							
Daniel W. Jackson,	2013	\$235,000	\$ 65,000	\$1,000,000	\$	\$ 3,520	\$1,303,520
Senior Vice President &	2012	\$220,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 150,000	\$	\$ 8,297	\$ 478,297
Treasurer	2011	\$196,000	\$	\$ 150,000	\$	\$ 7,600	\$ 353,600

21

In 2013, All Other Compensation is comprised of the Corporation s match of contributions to a 401(k) plan only. The table below sets forth this information by named executive officer for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2012, and 2013.

## **Supplemental All Other Compensation Table**

	Year	Corporation s 401(k) Match	Other	Total All Other Compensation
Robert S. Silberman,	2013	\$ 3,825	\$	\$ 3,825
Executive Chairman	2012	\$10,000	\$	\$10,000
	2011	\$ 9,800	\$	\$ 9,800
Karl McDonnell,	2013	\$ 3,825	\$	\$ 3,825
Chief Executive Officer & Director	2012	\$10,000	\$	\$10,000
	2011	\$ 9,800	\$	\$ 9,800

<sup>(</sup>a) The Corporation does not have a non-equity incentive plan, a pension plan or a non-qualified deferred compensation plan and, therefore, the columns related to these plans are excluded from the table.

<sup>(</sup>b) The amounts shown in the columns above reflect the grant date fair value of each award computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The value of any dividends paid by the Corporation is assumed to be included in the grant date fair value of each award.

<sup>(</sup>c) See Supplemental All Other Compensation Table below for additional detail.

	Year	Corporation s 401(k) Match	Other	Total All Other Compensation
Michael A. Plater,	2013	\$ 3,825	\$	\$ 3,825
Strayer University President	2012	\$10,000	\$	\$10,000
	2011	\$ 7,692	\$3,730 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$11,422
Mark C. Brown,	2013	\$ 3,825	\$	\$ 3,825
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer	2012	\$10,000	\$	\$10,000
	2011	\$ 9,800	\$	\$ 9,800
Daniel W. Jackson,	2013	\$ 3,520	\$	\$ 3,520
Senior Vice President & Treasurer	2012	\$ 8,297	\$	\$ 8,297
	2011	\$ 7,600	\$	\$ 7,600

22

## **Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table sets forth grants of plan-based awards to the Corporation s named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

## **Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table**

Name	Grant Date	All Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise Price of Option Awards (\$/share)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$)	Vesting Date
Robert S. Silberman,	2/15/13		100,000	\$51.95	2,209,000 <sup>(a)</sup>	2/15/15
Executive Chairman						
Karl McDonnell,	5/2/13	43,659 <sup>(b)</sup>			2,000,000	5/2/17
Chief Executive Officer & Director						
Michael A. Plater,	2/12/13	16,057 <sup>(c)</sup>			1,000,000	2/12/18
Strayer University President						
Mark C. Brown,	2/12/13	24,085 <sup>(c)</sup>			1,500,000	2/12/18
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer						

<sup>(</sup>a) Dr. Plater received \$3,730 in 2011 related to his relocation, \$2,159 of which was for relocation expenses and \$1,571 of which was for tax gross-ups.

Name	Grant Date	All Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise Price of Option Awards (\$/share)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$)	Vesting Date
Daniel W. Jackson,	2/12/13	16,057 <sup>(c)</sup>			1,000,000	2/12/18
Senior Vice President &						

(a) Grant date fair value of option awards is computed using Black-Scholes methodology resulting in a fair value of \$22.09 per stock option

- (b) These awards of restricted stock vest 100% on May 2, 2017, subject to satisfaction of certain performance criteria. The Corporation s closing price of common stock was \$45.81 on the date of these awards.
- (c) These awards of restricted stock vest 100% on February 12, 2018, subject to satisfaction of certain performance criteria. The Corporation s closing price of common stock was \$62.28 on the date of these awards.

#### Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-based Awards Table

granted. These options vest 100% on February 15, 2015, and expire on February 14, 2021.

In setting compensation levels for the named executive officers in 2013, the Board of Directors considered the total value of all three components of executive compensation: salary, profit sharing, and equity grants. Salary levels for the named executive officers in 2013 were set by the Board at a rate designed to be competitive, but at or below the midpoint of a group of peer companies. In 2013, as he has each year since 2008, Mr. Silberman declined his contracted increase in salary. For 2013 our Board adopted a cash profit sharing plan for our senior officers which provides for a target of approximately 5% of pretax operating income to be allocated among the top officers of the University and Corporation, assuming the satisfactory achievement of the corporation s annual objectives. Due to the underperformance on financial objectives, the profit share in cash distributed for 2013 was reduced by approximately 33% from the prior year. In early 2013 the Company awarded restricted stock equity grants for retention purposes to the named executive officers in accordance with our equity based compensation plan described in Identification and Analysis of 2013 Compensation Programs section above.

23

## **Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following tables set forth outstanding option and stock awards of the Corporation s named executive officers as of December 31, 2013.

#### **Outstanding Option Awards Table at Fiscal Year-End**

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Grant Date	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Full Vesting Date	Option Expiration Date	Market Value of Stock Options at 12/31/13 (\$)
Robert S. Silberman,		100,000	2/15/13	\$51.95	2/15/15	2/14/21	\$ (a)

Executive Chairman

Treasurer

	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option	Option Exercise	Option Full	Option	Market Value of Stock Options at
Name	(#) Exercisable	(#) Unexercisable	Grant Date	Price (\$)	Vesting Date	Expiration Date	12/31/13 (\$)

## Karl McDonnell,

Chief Executive Officer & Director

## Dr. Michael A. Plater,

Strayer University President

## Mark C. Brown,

Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

## Daniel W. Jackson,

Senior Vice President &

Treasurer

(a) The Corporation s closing stock price of \$34.47 on December 31, 2013 was compared to the option exercise price of \$51.95 to determine the market value of these stock options at December 31, 2013.

24

## **Outstanding Stock Awards Table at Fiscal Year-End**

Name	Restricted Stock/ Restricted Stock Unit Award Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares of Stock at 12/31/13 That Have Not Vested (\$)	Restricted Stock Vesting Date
Robert S. Silberman,	3/22/13	200,000 <sup>(a)</sup>	6,894,000	2/10/19
Executive Chairman				
Karl McDonnell,	2/14/12	6,491 <sup>(b)</sup>	223,745	2/14/17
Chief Executive Officer &	5/2/13	43,659 <sup>(c)</sup>	1,504,926	5/2/17
Director				
Michael A. Plater,	2/15/11	1,891 <sup>(d)</sup>	65,183	2/15/14
Strayer University President	2/14/12	2,596 <sup>(b)</sup>	89,484	2/14/17
	2/12/13	16,057 <sup>(e)</sup>	553,485	2/12/18
Mark C. Brown,	2/15/11	2,269 <sup>(d)</sup>	78,212	2/15/14
Executive Vice President & Chief	2/14/12	4,327 <sup>(b)</sup>	149,152	2/14/17

Name	Restricted Stock/ Restricted Stock Unit Award Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares of Stock at 12/31/13 That Have Not Vested (\$)	Restricted Stock Vesting Date
Financial Officer	2/12/13	24,085 <sup>(e)</sup>	830,210	2/12/18
Daniel W. Jackson, Senior Vice President & Treasurer	2/15/11 2/14/12	1,134 <sup>(d)</sup> 1,298 <sup>(b)</sup>	39,089 44,742	2/15/14 2/14/17
	2/12/13	16,057 <sup>(e)</sup>	553,485	2/12/18

- (a) These awards of restricted stock units vest 100% on February 10, 2019, subject to the satisfaction of certain performance criteria. Originally awarded as restricted stock, the awards were converted to restricted stock units, the receipt of which is deferred until retirement or other termination of employment. In connection with his appointment as Executive Chairman, the Company modified the performance criteria of these restricted stock units to focus on academic accreditation and regulatory compliance.
- (b) These awards of restricted stock vest 100% on February 14, 2017. The Corporation s closing price of common stock was \$115.55 on the date of these awards.
- (c) These awards of restricted stock vest 100% on May 2, 2017, subject to the satisfaction of certain performance criteria. The Corporation s closing price of common stock was \$45.81 on the date of these awards.
- (d) These awards of restricted stock vested 100% on February 24, 2014 at the end of a trading blackout period. The Corporation s closing price of common stock was \$132.23 on the date of these awards.
- (e) These awards of restricted stock vest 100% on February 12, 2018, subject to the satisfaction of certain performance criteria. The Corporation s closing price of common stock was \$62.28 on the date of these awards.

25

## **Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table sets forth the value and share amounts realized during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 upon the exercise of stock options and vesting of stock awards for the Corporation s named executive officers.

#### **Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table**

	Options Exercised		Restricted Stock Vested	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
Name	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)

Robert S. Silberman,

**Executive Chairman** 

Karl McDonnell,

	Options Exercised	Restricted	Stock Vested
Chief Executive Officer & Director			
Dr. Michael A. Plater,			
Strayer University President			
Mark C. Brown,		7,624	407,808
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer			
Daniel W. Jackson,		606	32,415
Senior Vice President & Treasurer			

#### Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Mr. Silberman and Mr. McDonnell are the only named executive officers with employment contracts. In the event that Mr. Silberman is terminated by the Corporation without cause, he is entitled to receive a lump sum payment of three years—salary, which is currently equal to \$2.0 million, and all stock awards shall immediately vest. If such termination is in connection with a change in control, or Mr. Silberman resigns for any reason, or without reason, during the thirty day period immediately following the six month anniversary of a change in control, Mr. Silberman is entitled to receive a lump sum payment of three times his annual salary plus three times his latest previous annual profit share award actually paid. (A change in control is defined in the contract as acquisition of more than 50% of the voting stock of the Corporation or the acquisition of combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, completion of a merger or other business combination resulting in a change in control of more than 50% of the voting stock of the Corporation, election of a substantially different Board of Directors or approval by stockholders of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Corporation.) Consistent with the agreement with Mr. Silberman in effect since 2001, Mr. Silberman is entitled to three years of medical benefits (estimated cost of \$45,000), and to a gross-up payment for any excise taxes which may be imposed on termination payments. No excise taxes would have been imposed had there been a termination or change in control at December 31, 2013. The agreement also contains covenants restricting Mr. Silberman from competing with the Corporation for six years after his termination of employment and requires Mr. Silberman to keep confidential the Corporation s proprietary information.

In the event that Mr. McDonnell is terminated by the Corporation without cause, he is entitled to receive a lump sum payment of three years salary, which is currently equal to \$2.0 million. If such termination is in connection with a change in control, or Mr. McDonnell resigns for any reason, or without reason, during the thirty day period immediately following the six month anniversary of a change in control, Mr. McDonnell is entitled to receive a lump sum payment of three times his annual salary plus three times his latest previous annual profit share award actually paid. (A change in control is defined in the same manner as in Mr. Silberman s employment agreement.) Mr. McDonnell is not entitled to a gross-up payment for any excise taxes which may be imposed on termination payments. The agreement also contains covenants restricting Mr. McDonnell from competing with the Corporation for six years after his termination of employment and requires Mr. McDonnell to keep confidential the Corporation s proprietary information.

26

For all named executive officers, stock options and restricted stock awards made prior to 2013 vest immediately upon the occurrence of a change in control of the Corporation as defined in their respective stock option or restricted stock agreements. Change in control is defined in substantially the same way as in Mr. Silberman s contract. For any stock options and restricted awards made in 2013 and thereafter, the options and awards vest in connection with a change in control only if such change in control results in termination, defined as (1) termination of employment by the Corporation without cause within six months of the effective date of the change in control or (2) the occurrence of a material reduction in the officers—authority, functions, duties or responsibilities which causes the executives—resignation from the Corporation within six months of the effective date of the change in control.

The valuation of the acceleration that would have been made for stock-based awards had there been a change in control at the closing price of \$34.47 of the Corporation s common stock at December 31, 2013 is set forth below. Awards made prior to 2013 would accelerate with only a change in control, and those made in 2013 would accelerate with a change in control plus a termination or constructive termination.

Name

	Value Realized Upon Vesting Due to Change in Control (\$)	Value Realized Upon Vesting Due to Change in Control with Termination (\$)
Robert S. Silberman		6,894,000
Karl McDonnell	224,000	1,729,000
Dr. Michael Plater	155,000	708,000
Mark C. Brown	227,000	1,058,000
Daniel W. Jackson	84,000	637,000

Set forth in the table below is information pertaining to securities authorized for issuance under the Corporation s equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013. There are options and restricted stock units but no warrants existing under these plans.

# Equity Compensation Plan Information as of December 31, 2013

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
1.Equity compensation plans previously approved by security holders     A. 2011 Equity Compensation Plan which replaced the 1996 Stock Option Plan as amended     2.Equity compensation plans not previously approved by security holders	300,000	\$51.95(1)	179,007
Total	300,000	\$51.95	179,007

(1) The weighted average covers the 100,000 stock options and not the 200,000 restricted stock units.

27

## COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Strayer Education, Inc. Board of Directors is currently composed of three directors Messrs. Wargo (Chair), Brock and Johnson. Between February 1, 2013, the date of the last Compensation Committee Report, and February 19, 2014, the Compensation Committee met four times.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and, based on the review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board to include this information in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K and proxy statement.

#### **Compensation Committee:**

J. David Wargo, Chair William E. Brock Robert L. Johnson

Dated: February 19, 2014

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of the Strayer Education, Inc. (the Corporation) Board of Directors is composed of three directors, all of whom are independent, as independence is defined under the NASDAQ Listing Standards and Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the 1934 Act. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Audit Committee was composed of Messrs. Wargo (Chair), Milano, and Waite until November 15, 2013; thereafter the Audit Committee was composed of Messrs. Waite (Chair), Grusky, and Milano. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter first adopted in 2001, which is currently reviewed annually and which has periodically been subsequently revised by the Committee to reflect regulatory developments. The Audit Committee Charter was last amended on February 19, 2014. The Corporation will provide a copy of the charter to any person without charge, upon request. Persons wishing to make such a request should contact Mark C. Brown, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, Virginia 20171, (703) 561-1600. In addition, the Audit Committee charter is available on the Corporation s website, www.strayereducation.com.

Under the Audit Committee Charter and the Audit Committee s current policies, the Audit Committee s purpose is to:

- 1. Assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibility for:
- i. the integrity of the Corporation s financial statements;
- ii. the Corporation s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- iii. the independent auditors qualifications and independence; and
- iv. the performance of the independent auditors and the Corporation s internal audit function.
- 2. Oversee the audits of the Corporation s financial statements and its accounting, financial reporting and internal control processes.
- 3. Prepare this report required to be prepared by the Audit Committee pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for inclusion in the Corporation's annual proxy statement.
- 4. Perform all other functions required to be performed by an audit committee of a publicly traded or listed company under applicable laws and the rules and regulations, as in effect from time to time, of the SEC and the NASDAQ or any other security exchange on which the company s securities are listed.

The Audit Committee shall have the direct authority and responsibility to appoint (subject to stockholder ratification), compensate, retain, and oversee the independent auditors.

The function of the Audit Committee is oversight. The management of the Corporation is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Corporation s financial statements. Management is responsible for

28

maintaining appropriate accounting and financial reporting policies and internal controls and procedures that provide for compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations.

The independent auditors are responsible for planning and carrying out a proper audit of the Corporation s annual financial statements, reviews of the Corporation s quarterly financial statements prior to the filing of each quarterly report on Form 10-Q, and other procedures.

In connection with this responsibility, during 2013 the Audit Committee met and held discussions with management five times together with the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management. At least quarterly, as a matter of practice, the Audit Committee, in addition to the agenda with all present, meets separately with management, internal audit, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, and in executive session of itself. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Corporation s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and, independently with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The Committee also discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters covered by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Audit Standard AU Section 380, Communication with Audit Committees.

During the year 2013, management conducted the documentation, testing and evaluation of the Corporation s system of internal control over financial reporting in response to the requirements set forth in Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations. The Audit Committee was kept apprised of the progress of the evaluation and provided oversight during the process. In connection with this oversight, the Audit Committee received periodic updates provided by management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP at each regularly scheduled Audit Committee meeting. At the conclusion of the process, management provided the Audit Committee with a report on the effectiveness of the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee also reviewed the report of management contained in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 filed with the SEC, as well as PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (included in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K). This report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP related to its audit of (i) the consolidated financial statements and (ii) the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee continues to oversee the Corporation s efforts related to its internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee has received from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the written disclosures and the letter required by the applicable standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding communications with the Audit Committee concerning the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP advised the Committee that there were no disagreements with management regarding the preparation of the Corporation s financial statements or the conduct of the annual audit.

Based upon the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements for the year 2013 be included in the Corporation s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC, and that PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP be retained as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2014.

#### **Audit Committee:**

G. Thomas Waite, III, Chair Robert R. Grusky Todd A. Milano

Dated: February 18, 2014

#### **Certain Transactions with Related Parties**

The Corporation had no transactions with related parties during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The Corporation prohibits conflict of interest activities by any Director or Officer, or persons related thereto, unless specifically approved in advance and in writing by the General Counsel, CEO, and Audit Committee of the Board

29

of Directors after full disclosure of all aspects of the activity. A conflict of interest is defined generally to include situations where a person (i) has a private interest that materially conflicts or interferes with the interests of the Corporation, (ii) has a material personal interest that will impair the person s ability to perform his or her work objectively and effectively, or (iii) derives a material personal benefit as a result of the person performing services for the Corporation. Among the other circumstances that may be considered conflicts of interest, any engagement in a personal business transaction involving the Corporation for profit or gain will be considered a conflict of interest requiring advance approval under the Code of Business Conduct. The Corporation s policy prohibiting conflict of interest activities is further described in the Code of

30

#### PROPOSAL 2

#### Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee and the Board of Directors have appointed the independent registered public accounting firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to serve as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has acted as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire and to respond to appropriate questions. Although stockholder ratification of the appointment of auditors is no longer required as a technical matter, the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is being submitted for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice in order that the Audit Committee may take into consideration the views of stockholders on this matter. The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote for the proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

#### **Principal Accounting Fees and Services**

Set forth below are the services rendered and related fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for 2012 and 2013:

	2012	2013
		_
Audit Fees		
Recurring:		
Consolidated financial statements audit	\$494,000	\$519,000
Non-recurring:		
Other audit work	42,500	90,000
Tax Fees		
Preparation of corporate tax returns	91,900	88,000
Other tax compliance/tax advice	76,800	148,270
All Other Fees		
License fee for accounting tools	1,800	2,600
	\$707,000	\$847,870

It is the Audit Committee s policy to pre-approve all audit and non-audit related services provided by the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm. All of the services described above were pre-approved by the Corporation s Audit Committee.

31

#### PROPOSAL 3

#### **Advisory Vote on the Compensation of the Named Executive Officers**

This proposal, commonly known as a Say on Pay proposal, allows our stockholders to express their opinions regarding the decisions of the Compensation Committee on the prior year s annual compensation to the named executive officers. Stockholders vote, on an advisory basis, to approve, reject or abstain from the compensation of our named executive officers. This vote does not address any specific item of compensation,

but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and our compensation philosophy, policies and practices, as disclosed in this proxy statement.

As discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement, the objectives of our compensation program are, among other things:

To ensure compliance with applicable regulatory, legal and ethical business standards,

To attract and retain highly qualified and productive individuals,

To reward superior contribution to the long term performance of the Corporation,

To encourage officers and directors to think like owners and align their interests accordingly.

Your advisory vote will serve as an additional tool to guide the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee in continuing to align the Corporation s executive compensation with the best interests of the Corporation and its stockholders.

The affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for approval of this proposal. This proposal will be presented at the annual meeting as a resolution in substantially the following form:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Corporation s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.

Although the final vote is advisory in nature and therefore is not binding on us, does not affect past executive compensation, and creates no additional fiduciary obligations, the Board and Compensation Committee intend to consider carefully the voting results of this proposal when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

The Board of Directors believes that our compensation program achieves our objectives outlined above, and therefore recommends a vote for this proposal.

32

#### **Stockholder Proposals**

All stockholder proposals intended to be considered for inclusion in the Corporation s proxy material for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received by the Corporation no later than November 25, 2014 and must comply with all applicable SEC and other rules.

Under the Corporation s Bylaws, if a stockholder wishes to present an item of proper business at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (other than a proposal submitted for inclusion in the Corporation s proxy statement pursuant to SEC rules), the stockholder must give advance written notice to the Corporation s Secretary at 2303 Dulles Station Blvd., Herndon, Virginia 20171, not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days before the first anniversary of the date of this proxy statement. As a result, any notice given by a stockholder pursuant to these provisions in our Bylaws must be received no earlier than November 25, 2014 and no later than December 25, 2014. Such notice must include all of the information required by the Corporation s Bylaws.

#### **Householding of Proxy Materials**

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (e.g., brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

This year, a number of brokers with account holders who are our stockholders will be householding our proxy materials. A single proxy statement will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected

stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate proxy statement and annual report, please notify your broker.

You may also request an additional proxy statement and annual report by sending a written request to:

Strayer Education, Inc.
Attn: Mark C. Brown
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer
2303 Dulles Station Boulevard
Herndon, Virginia 20171
(703) 561-1600

Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the proxy statement at their addresses and would like to request householding of their communications should contact their brokers.

#### **Other Matters**

The Corporation knows of no other matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting other than those mentioned above. However, if any other matters should properly come before the meeting, it is intended that the persons named in the accompanying proxy card will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.