

SUNTRUST BANKS INC
Form 10-Q
November 07, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

✓ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

Commission File Number 001-08918

SUNTRUST BANKS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Georgia
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)
303 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30308
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(404) 588-7711

58-1575035
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ✓ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

✓ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ✓

At October 31, 2014, 521,456,462 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$1.00 par value, were outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>GLOSSARY OF DEFINED TERMS</u>	<u>i - iii</u>
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1:</u> <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited).</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income.</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets.</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity.</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2:</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>Operations.</u>	
<u>Item 3:</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>Item 4:</u> <u>Controls and Procedures.</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1:</u> <u>Legal Proceedings.</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>Item 1A:</u> <u>Risk Factors.</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>Item 2:</u> <u>Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.</u>	<u>125</u>
<u>Item 3:</u> <u>Default Upon Senior Securities.</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Item 4:</u> <u>Mine Safety Disclosures.</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Item 5:</u> <u>Other Information.</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Item 6:</u> <u>Exhibits.</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>SIGNATURE.</u>	<u>127</u>

GLOSSARY OF DEFINED TERMS

ABS — Asset-backed securities.
ACH — Automated clearing house.
AFS — Available for sale.
AIP — Annual Incentive Plan.
ALCO — Asset/Liability Management Committee.
ALM — Asset/Liability Management.
ALLL — Allowance for loan and lease losses.
AOCI — Accumulated other comprehensive income.
ASU — Accounting standards update.
ATE — Additional termination event.
ATM — Automated teller machine.
Bank — SunTrust Bank.
Basel III — The third Basel Accord developed by the BCBS to strengthen existing regulatory capital requirements.
BCBS — Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.
Board — The Company's Board of Directors.
bps — Basis points.
BRC — Board Risk Committee.
CCAR — Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review.
CDO — Collateralized debt obligation.
CD — Certificate of deposit.
CDR — Conditional default rate.
CDS — Credit default swaps.
CET 1 — Common Equity Tier 1 Capital.
CEO — Chief Executive Officer.
CFO — Chief Financial Officer.
CIB — Corporate and Investment Banking.
C&I — Commercial and Industrial.
Class A shares — Visa Inc. Class A common stock.
Class B shares — Visa Inc. Class B common stock.
CLO — Collateralized loan obligation.
Company — SunTrust Banks, Inc.
CP — Commercial paper.
CPR — Conditional prepayment rate.
CRE — Commercial real estate.
CSA — Credit support annex.
DDA — Demand deposit account.
Dodd-Frank Act — The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010.
DOJ — Department of Justice.
DTA — Deferred tax asset.
EPS — Earnings per share.
ERISA — Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.
Exchange Act — Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Fannie Mae — The Federal National Mortgage Association.
Freddie Mac — The Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.
FASB — Financial Accounting Standards Board.
FDIC — The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Federal Reserve — The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Fed funds — Federal funds.
FHA — Federal Housing Administration.
FHLB — Federal Home Loan Bank.
FICO — Fair Isaac Corporation.
Fitch — Fitch Ratings Ltd.
Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items — Items disclosed in Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 9, 2014, July 3, 2014, or October 10, 2013, and other legacy mortgage-related items.
FRB — Federal Reserve Board.
FTE — Fully taxable-equivalent.
FVO — Fair value option.
GenSpring — GenSpring Family Offices, LLC.
Ginnie Mae — The Government National Mortgage Association.
GSE — Government-sponsored enterprise.
HAMP — Home Affordable Modification Program.
HUD — U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.
IPO — Initial public offering.
IRLC — Interest rate lock commitment.
ISDA — International Swaps and Derivatives Association.
LCR — Liquidity coverage ratio.
LGD — Loss given default.
LHFI — Loans held for investment.
LHFS — Loans held for sale.
LIBOR — London InterBank Offered Rate.
LOCOM — Lower of cost or market.
LTI — Long-term incentive.
LTV — Loan to value.
MBS — Mortgage-backed securities.
MD&A — Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
MI — Mortgage insurance.
Moody's — Moody's Investors Service.
MRA — Master Repurchase Agreement.
MRM — Market Risk Management.
MRMG — Model Risk Management Group.
MSR — Mortgage servicing right.
MVE — Market value of equity.
NCF — National Commerce Financial Corporation.
NOW — Negotiable order of withdrawal account.
NPA — Nonperforming asset.
NPL — Nonperforming loan.

OCI — Other comprehensive income.
OREO — Other real estate owned.
OTC — Over-the-counter.
OTTI — Other-than-temporary impairment.
Parent Company — SunTrust Banks, Inc., the parent Company of SunTrust Bank and other subsidiaries of SunTrust Banks, Inc.
PD — Probability of default.
QSPE — Qualifying special-purpose entity.
REIT — Real estate investment trust.
RidgeWorth — RidgeWorth Capital Management, Inc.
ROA — Return on average total assets.
ROE — Return on average common shareholders' equity.
ROTCE — Return on average tangible common shareholders' equity.
RSU — Restricted stock unit.
RWA — Risk-weighted assets.
S&P — Standard and Poor's.
SBA — Small Business Administration.
SEC — U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
SERP — Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.
SPE — Special purpose entity.
STIS — SunTrust Investment Services, Inc.
STM — SunTrust Mortgage, Inc.
STRH — SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc.
SunTrust — SunTrust Banks, Inc.
SunTrust Community Capital — SunTrust Community Capital, LLC.
TDR — Troubled debt restructuring.
TRS — Total return swaps.
U.S. — United States.
U.S. GAAP — Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States.
U.S. Treasury — The United States Department of the Treasury.
UPB — Unpaid principal balance.
UTB — Unrecognized tax benefit.
VA — Veterans Administration.
VAR — Value at risk.
VI — Variable interest.
VIE — Variable interest entity.
Visa — The Visa, U.S.A. Inc. card association or its affiliates, collectively.
Visa Counterparty — A financial institution which purchased the Company's Visa Class B shares.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, and accordingly do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. However, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary to comply with Regulation S-X have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2014.

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

SunTrust Banks, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Income

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
(Dollars in millions and shares in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest Income				
Interest and fees on loans	\$1,152	\$1,148	\$3,464	\$3,474
Interest and fees on loans held for sale	30	30	61	90
Interest and dividends on securities available for sale	153	143	456	429
Trading account interest and other	18	18	55	52
Total interest income	1,353	1,339	4,036	4,045
Interest Expense				
Interest on deposits	54	70	180	224
Interest on long-term debt	74	52	198	156
Interest on other borrowings	10	9	29	25
Total interest expense	138	131	407	405
Net interest income	1,215	1,208	3,629	3,640
Provision for credit losses	93	95	268	453
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	1,122	1,113	3,361	3,187
Noninterest Income				
Service charges on deposit accounts	169	168	483	492
Other charges and fees	95	91	274	277
Card fees	81	77	239	231
Trust and investment management income	93	133	339	387
Retail investment services	76	68	224	198
Investment banking income	88	99	296	260
Trading income	46	33	141	124
Mortgage servicing related income	44	11	143	50
Mortgage production related income/(loss)	45	(10)	140	282
Gain on sale of subsidiary	—	—	105	—
Net securities (losses)/gains ¹	(9)	—	(11)	2
Other noninterest income	52	10	155	98
Total noninterest income	780	680	2,528	2,401
Noninterest Expense				
Employee compensation	649	611	1,967	1,856
Employee benefits	81	71	326	322
Outside processing and software	184	190	535	555
Operating losses	29	350	268	461
Net occupancy expense	84	86	254	261
Equipment expense	41	45	127	136
Regulatory assessments	29	45	109	140
Marketing and customer development	35	34	91	95
Credit and collection services	21	139	67	224
Amortization	7	6	14	18
Other noninterest expense ²	99	153	376	402
Total noninterest expense	1,259	1,730	4,134	4,470

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Income before provision/(benefit) for income taxes	643	63	1,755	1,118
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes ²	67	(133) 364	184
Net income including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	576	196	1,391	934
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	7	11	16
Net income	\$576	\$189	\$1,380	\$918
Net income available to common shareholders	\$563	\$179	\$1,343	\$884
Net income per average common share:				
Diluted	\$1.06	\$0.33	\$2.51	\$1.64
Basic	1.07	0.33	2.54	1.65
Dividends declared per common share	0.20	0.10	0.50	0.25
Average common shares - diluted	533,230	538,850	535,222	539,488
Average common shares - basic	527,402	533,829	529,429	534,887

¹ Total OTTI was \$0 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. There were no OTTI gains/losses recognized in earnings or recognized as non-credit related OTTI in OCI for both the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Of total OTTI, losses of \$1 million were recognized in earnings, and gains of \$1 million were recognized as non-credit-related OTTI in OCI for both the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

² Amortization expense related to qualified affordable housing investment costs is recognized in provision/(benefit) for income taxes for each of the periods presented as allowed by a recently adopted accounting standard. Prior to the first quarter of 2014, these amounts were recognized in other noninterest expense.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

SunTrust Banks, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Dollars in millions) (Unaudited)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Net income	\$576	\$189	\$1,380	\$918	
Components of other comprehensive (loss)/income:					
Change in net unrealized (losses)/gains on securities, net of tax of (\$21), (\$7), \$144, and (\$272), respectively	(37) (11) 246	(466)
Change in net unrealized losses on derivatives, net of tax of (\$48), (\$15), (\$98), and (\$111), respectively	(82) (26) (168) (189)
Change related to employee benefit plans, net of tax of \$1, \$3, \$20, and \$18, respectively	1	4	34	30	
Total other comprehensive (loss)/income	(118) (33) 112	(625)
Total comprehensive income	\$458	\$156	\$1,492	\$293	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

SunTrust Banks, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
(Dollars in millions and shares in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)		
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$7,178	\$4,258
Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	1,125	983
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	22	22
Cash and cash equivalents	8,325	5,263
Trading assets and derivatives	5,782	5,040
Securities available for sale	26,162	22,542
Loans held for sale ¹ (\$1,560 and \$1,378 at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	1,739	1,699
Loans ² (\$284 and \$302 at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	132,151	127,877
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,968) (2,044
Net loans	130,183	125,833
Premises and equipment	1,504	1,565
Goodwill	6,337	6,369
Other intangible assets (MSRs at fair value: \$1,305 and \$1,300 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	1,320	1,334
Other real estate owned	112	170
Other assets	5,354	5,520
Total assets	\$186,818	\$175,335
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$42,542	\$38,800
Interest-bearing deposits (CDs at fair value: \$87 and \$764 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	93,965	90,959
Total deposits	136,507	129,759
Funds purchased	1,000	1,192
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	2,089	1,759
Other short-term borrowings	7,283	5,788
Long-term debt ³ (\$1,293 and \$1,556 at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	12,942	10,700
Trading liabilities and derivatives	1,231	1,181
Other liabilities	3,497	3,534
Total liabilities	164,549	153,913
Preferred stock, no par value	725	725
Common stock, \$1.00 par value	550	550
Additional paid in capital	9,090	9,115
Retained earnings	13,020	11,936
Treasury stock, at cost, and other ⁴	(939) (615
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(177) (289
Total shareholders' equity	22,269	21,422
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$186,818	\$175,335
Common shares outstanding ⁵		
Common shares outstanding	527,358	536,097
Common shares authorized	750,000	750,000

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Preferred shares outstanding	7	7
Preferred shares authorized	50,000	50,000
Treasury shares of common stock	22,563	13,824
¹ Includes loans held for sale, at fair value, of consolidated VIEs	\$—	\$261
² Includes loans of consolidated VIEs	298	327
³ Includes debt of consolidated VIEs (\$0 and \$256 at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	312	597
⁴ Includes noncontrolling interest	103	119
⁵ Includes restricted shares	2,993	3,984

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

4

SunTrust Banks, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share data) (Unaudited)	Preferred Stock	Common Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock and Other ¹	Accumulated	Total
							Other Comprehensive (Loss)/Income ²	
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$725	539	\$550	\$9,174	\$10,817	(\$590)	\$309	\$20,985
Net income	—	—	—	—	918	—	—	918
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(625)	(625)
Change in noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	2
Common stock dividends, \$0.25 per share	—	—	—	—	(134)	—	—	(134)
Preferred stock dividends ³	—	—	—	—	(28)	—	—	(28)
Acquisition of treasury stock	—	(3)	—	—	—	(100)	—	(100)
Exercise of stock options and stock compensation expense	—	1	—	(24)	—	40	—	16
Restricted stock activity	—	1	—	(35)	—	40	—	5
Amortization of restricted stock compensation	—	—	—	—	—	24	—	24
Issuance of stock for employee benefit plans and other	—	—	—	2	—	5	—	7
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$725	538	\$550	\$9,117	\$11,573	(\$579)	(\$316)	\$21,070
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$725	536	\$550	\$9,115	\$11,936	(\$615)	(\$289)	\$21,422
Net income	—	—	—	—	1,380	—	—	1,380
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	112	112
Common stock dividends, \$0.50 per share	—	—	—	—	(266)	—	—	(266)
Preferred stock dividends ³	—	—	—	—	(28)	—	—	(28)
Acquisition of treasury stock	—	(9)	—	—	—	(348)	—	(348)
Exercise of stock options and stock compensation expense	—	—	—	(14)	—	15	—	1
Restricted stock activity	—	—	—	13	(2)	1	—	12
Amortization of restricted stock compensation	—	—	—	—	—	21	—	21
Change in equity related to the sale of subsidiary	—	—	—	(23)	—	(16)	—	(39)
Issuance of stock for employee benefit plans and other	—	—	—	(1)	—	3	—	2
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$725	527	\$550	\$9,090	\$13,020	(\$939)	(\$177)	\$22,269

¹ At September 30, 2014, includes (\$1,015) million for treasury stock, (\$27) million for compensation element of restricted stock, and \$103 million for noncontrolling interest.

At September 30, 2013, includes (\$636) million for treasury stock, (\$59) million for compensation element of restricted stock, and \$116 million for noncontrolling interest.

² At September 30, 2014, includes \$169 million in unrealized net gains on AFS securities, \$111 million in unrealized net gains on derivative financial instruments, and (\$457) million related to employee benefit plans.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

At September 30, 2013, includes \$54 million in unrealized net gains on AFS securities, \$342 million in unrealized net gains on derivative financial instruments, and (\$712) million related to employee benefit plans.

³ For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, dividends were \$3,044 per share for both Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A and B and \$4,406 per share for Perpetual Preferred Stock Series E.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, dividends were \$3,044 per share for both Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A and B and \$4,325 per share for Perpetual Preferred Stock Series E.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

SunTrust Banks, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Dollars in millions) (Unaudited)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2014	2013
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	\$1,391	\$934
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Gain on sale of subsidiary	(105) —
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	504	542
Origination of mortgage servicing rights	(137) (302
Provisions for credit losses and foreclosed property	286	495
Mortgage repurchase provision	12	102
Stock-based compensation	41	40
Net securities losses/(gains)	11	(2
Net gain on sale of loans held for sale, loans, and other assets	(239) (169
Net (increase)/decrease in loans held for sale	(139) 1,200
Net increase in other assets	(899) (95
Net decrease in other liabilities	(163) (160
Net cash provided by operating activities	563	2,585
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and paydowns of securities available for sale	2,788	4,672
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	793	529
Purchases of securities available for sale	(6,986) (6,744
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	59	—
Net increase in loans, including purchases of loans	(7,698) (4,525
Proceeds from sales of loans	3,029	730
Purchases of mortgage servicing rights	(109) —
Capital expenditures	(96) (104
Payments related to acquisitions, including contingent consideration	(11) (3
Proceeds from sale of subsidiary	193	—
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned and other assets	279	403
Net cash used in investing activities	(7,759) (5,042
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Net increase/(decrease) in total deposits	6,748	(3,433
Net increase in funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other short-term borrowings	1,633	1,493
Proceeds from long-term debt	2,574	747
Repayments of long-term debt	(67) (77
Repurchase of common stock	(348) (100
Common and preferred dividends paid	(294) (162
Incentive compensation related activity	12	18
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	10,258	(1,514
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,062	(3,971
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5,263	8,257
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$8,325	\$4,286
Supplemental Disclosures:		
Loans transferred from loans held for sale to loans	\$39	\$28
Loans transferred from loans to loans held for sale	3,183	200

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Loans transferred from loans and loans held for sale to other real estate owned	113	197
Non-cash impact of the deconsolidation of CLO	282	—

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations in these financial statements, have been made.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could vary from these estimates. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation.

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were issued.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There have been no significant changes to the Company's accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Accounting Policies Recently Adopted and Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-01, "Investments - Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)." The ASU allows the use of the proportional amortization method for investments in qualified affordable housing projects if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, the initial cost of the investment is amortized in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and the net investment performance is recognized in the income statement as a component of income tax expense. The ASU provides for a practical expedient, which allows for amortization of the investment in proportion to only the tax credits if it produces a measurement that is substantially similar to the measurement that would result from using both tax credits and other tax benefits. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014. As early adoption is permitted, the Company adopted this ASU effective January 1, 2014, utilizing the practical expedient method. The standard is required to be applied retrospectively; therefore prior period amounts included in noninterest expense prior to adoption have been reclassified. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$13 million and \$33 million, respectively, of investment amortization expense was included in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income which was reclassified to income tax expense upon adoption. There has been no other impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04, "Receivables—Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)." The ASU clarifies that a creditor is considered to have received physical possession, resulting from an in substance repossession or foreclosure, of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan upon the occurrence of either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity." The ASU changes the requirements for reporting discontinued operations. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued. The Company will adopt the ASU at the beginning of 2015. The adoption is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)." The ASU supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance throughout the Industry Topics of the Codification. The core principle of the ASU is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and early adoption is not permitted. The Company is continuing to evaluate the impact of the ASU.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures." The ASU changes the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions from sale to secured borrowing accounting. Also, for repurchase financing arrangements, the amendments require separate accounting for a transfer of a financial asset executed contemporaneously with a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty, which will result in secured borrowing accounting for the repurchase agreement. Additional disclosures are required for all types of repurchase agreements. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and early adoption is not permitted. Adoption of the ASU will not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, "Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period." The ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period shall be treated as a performance condition. Under existing guidance in Topic 718, a performance target that falls under the scope of this amendment should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award; but rather compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Adoption of the ASU will not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-13, "Consolidation (Topic 810): Measuring the Financial Assets and the Financial Liabilities of a Consolidated Collateralized Financing Entity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)." The ASU allows measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities of in-scope consolidated collateralized financing entities using either the measurement alternative included in the ASU or Topic 820 on fair value measurement. The measurement alternative in this ASU allows for measurement of both the financial assets and the financial liabilities of a consolidated collateralized financing entity using the more observable of the fair value of the financial assets or the fair value of the financial liabilities. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Adoption of the ASU is not expected to impact the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-14, "Receivables—Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)." The ASU requires that a guaranteed mortgage loan be derecognized and that a separate other receivable be recognized upon foreclosure if certain conditions are met. Upon foreclosure, the separate other receivable should be measured based on the guaranteed amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) expected to be recovered from the guarantor. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company is already accounting for government guaranteed mortgage loans using this approach upon foreclosure; therefore, adoption of the ASU will not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, "Presentation of Financial Statements—Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern." The ASU requires an evaluation of whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. In the event there is substantial doubt, the ASU requires disclosure of the relevant facts and circumstances. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods ending after December 15, 2016. Adoption of the ASU will not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

On November 3, 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-16, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share Is More Akin to Debt or to Equity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)." The ASU clarifies how current guidance should be interpreted in evaluating the economic characteristics and risks of a host contract in a hybrid financial instrument that is issued in the form of a share. Specifically, the amendments clarify that an entity should consider all relevant terms and features, including the embedded derivative feature being evaluated for bifurcation, in evaluating the nature of a host contract. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the ASU.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 2 - ACQUISITIONS/DISPOSITIONS

(Dollars in millions)

2014	Date	Cash Received	Goodwill	Other Intangibles	Gain
Sale of RidgeWorth	5/30/2014	\$193	(\$40)	(\$9)	\$105

On May 30, 2014, the Company completed the sale of RidgeWorth, its asset management subsidiary with approximately \$49.1 billion in assets under management, to an investor group led by a private equity fund managed by Lightyear Capital LLC. The Company received cash proceeds of \$193 million, removed \$96 million in net assets and \$23 million in noncontrolling interests, and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$105 million in connection with the sale, net of transaction-related expenses.

The Company's results for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, included income before provision for income taxes related to RidgeWorth, excluding the gain on sale, of \$22 million, comprised of \$81 million of revenue and \$59 million of expense.

The Company's results for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, included income before provision for income taxes related to RidgeWorth of \$49 million, comprised of \$145 million of revenue and \$96 million of expense.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company's income before provision for income taxes included \$64 million related to RidgeWorth, comprised of \$194 million of revenue and \$130 million of expense. The financial results of RidgeWorth, including the gain on sale, are reflected in the Corporate Other segment.

There were no other material acquisitions or dispositions during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

NOTE 3 - FEDERAL FUNDS SOLD AND SECURITIES BORROWED OR PURCHASED UNDER AGREEMENTS TO RESELL AND SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE

Fed funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell were as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Fed funds sold	\$14	\$75
Securities borrowed or purchased	251	184
Resell agreements	860	724
Total fed funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	\$1,125	\$983

Securities purchased under agreements to resell are primarily collateralized by U.S. government or agency securities and are carried at the amounts at which securities will be subsequently resold. Securities borrowed are primarily collateralized by corporate securities. The Company takes possession of all securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities borrowed and performs the appropriate margin evaluation on the acquisition date based on market volatility, as necessary. It is the Company's policy to obtain possession of collateral with a fair value between 95% to 110% of the principal amount loaned under resale and securities borrowing agreements. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total market value of collateral held was \$1.1 billion and \$913 million, of which \$211 million and \$234 million was repledged, respectively.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had \$1.0 billion and \$731 million of trading assets pledged to secure \$992 million and \$717 million of repurchase agreements, respectively.

Netting of Securities - Repurchase and Resell Agreements

The Company has various financial assets and financial liabilities that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar agreements. The Company's derivatives that are subject to enforceable master netting

agreements or similar agreements are discussed in Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments." Securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are governed by a MRA. Under the terms of the MRA, all transactions between the Company and the counterparty constitute a single business relationship such that in the event of default, the nondefaulting party is entitled to set off claims and apply property held by that party in respect of any transaction against obligations owed.

9

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Any payments, deliveries, or other transfers may be applied against each other and netted. These amounts are limited to the contract asset/liability balance, and accordingly, do not include excess collateral received/pledged.

The following table presents the Company's eligible securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in millions)	Gross Amount	Amount Offset	Net Amount Presented in Consolidated Balance Sheets		Held/Pledged Financial Instruments	Net Amount
September 30, 2014						
Financial assets:						
Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	\$1,111	\$—	\$1,111	^{1,2}	\$1,103	\$8
Financial liabilities:						
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	2,089	—	2,089	¹	2,089	—
December 31, 2013						
Financial assets:						
Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	\$908	\$—	\$908	^{1,2}	\$899	\$9
Financial liabilities:						
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	1,759	—	1,759	¹	1,759	—

¹ None of the Company's repurchase or reverse repurchase transactions met the right of setoff criteria for net balance sheet presentation at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

² Excludes \$14 million and \$75 million of Fed funds sold which are not subject to a master netting agreement at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

NOTE 4 – SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

Securities Portfolio Composition

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$1,007	\$6	\$2	\$1,011
Federal agency securities	986	15	31	970
U.S. states and political subdivisions	228	9	—	237
MBS - agency	22,508	501	198	22,811
MBS - private	129	3	—	132
ABS	19	2	—	21
Corporate and other debt securities	38	3	—	41
Other equity securities ¹	937	2	—	939
Total securities AFS	\$25,852	\$541	\$231	\$26,162
December 31, 2013				
(Dollars in millions)	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$1,334	\$6	\$47	\$1,293
Federal agency securities	1,028	13	57	984

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

U.S. states and political subdivisions	232	7	2	237
MBS - agency	18,915	421	425	18,911
MBS - private	155	1	2	154
ABS	78	2	1	79
Corporate and other debt securities	39	3	—	42
Other equity securities ¹	841	1	—	842
Total securities AFS	\$22,622	\$454	\$534	\$22,542

¹ At September 30, 2014, other equity securities comprised the following: \$421 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$109 million in mutual fund investments, and \$7 million of other. At December 31, 2013, other equity securities comprised the following: \$336 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$103 million in mutual fund investments, and \$1 million of other.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following table presents interest and dividends on securities AFS:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Taxable interest	\$142	\$132	\$421	\$397
Tax-exempt interest	2	3	8	8
Dividends	9	8	27	24
Total interest and dividends	\$153	\$143	\$456	\$429

Securities AFS pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, trusts, and other funds had a fair value of \$7.8 billion and \$11.0 billion at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. At September 30, 2014, \$370 million of securities AFS at fair value were pledged against repurchase arrangements under which the secured party has possession of the collateral and has the right to sell or repledge that collateral. At December 31, 2013, there were no securities AFS pledged under secured borrowing arrangements under which the secured party has possession of the collateral and would customarily sell or repledge that collateral, other than in an event of default by the Company.

The amortized cost and fair value of investments in debt securities at September 30, 2014, by estimated average life, are shown below. Actual cash flows may differ from estimated average lives and contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

(Dollars in millions)	Distribution of Maturities				Total	
	1 Year or Less	1-5 Years	5-10 Years	After 10 Years		
Amortized Cost:						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$—	\$1,007	\$—	\$—	\$1,007	
Federal agency securities	75	239	531	141	986	
U.S. states and political subdivisions	60	44	101	23	228	
MBS - agency	2,349	9,020	6,919	4,220	22,508	
MBS - private	5	124	—	—	129	
ABS	14	3	2	—	19	
Corporate and other debt securities	5	33	—	—	38	
Total debt securities	\$2,508	\$10,470	\$7,553	\$4,384	\$24,915	
Fair Value:						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$—	\$1,011	\$—	\$—	\$1,011	
Federal agency securities	75	250	506	139	970	
U.S. states and political subdivisions	60	47	105	25	237	
MBS - agency	2,490	9,203	6,956	4,162	22,811	
MBS - private	5	127	—	—	132	
ABS	14	5	2	—	21	
Corporate and other debt securities	5	36	—	—	41	
Total debt securities	\$2,649	\$10,679	\$7,569	\$4,326	\$25,223	
Weighted average yield ¹	2.47	% 2.46	% 2.89	% 3.09	% 2.70	%

¹Average yields are based on amortized cost and presented on a FTE basis.

Securities in an Unrealized Loss Position

The Company held certain investment securities where amortized cost exceeded fair market value, resulting in unrealized loss positions. Market changes in interest rates and credit spreads may result in temporary unrealized losses as the market price of securities fluctuates. The Company reviewed its portfolio for OTTI in accordance with the accounting policies described in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K and, at September 30, 2014, the Company did not intend to sell these securities nor was it more-likely-than-not that the Company would be required to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery or maturity. At September 30, 2014, the Company had no OTTI for securities in an unrealized loss position.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014					
	Less than twelve months		Twelve months or longer		Total	Unrealized Losses ²
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses ²	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses ²	Fair Value	
Temporarily impaired securities:						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$385	\$2	\$—	\$—	\$385	\$2
Federal agency securities	8	—	615	31	623	31
MBS - agency	4,259	14	5,804	184	10,063	198
ABS	—	—	14	—	14	—
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$4,652	\$16	\$6,433	\$215	\$11,085	\$231

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013					
	Less than twelve months		Twelve months or longer		Total	Unrealized Losses
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses ²	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	
Temporarily impaired securities:						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$1,036	\$47	\$—	\$—	\$1,036	\$47
Federal agency securities	398	29	264	28	662	57
U.S. states and political subdivisions	12	—	20	2	32	2
MBS - agency	9,173	358	618	67	9,791	425
ABS	—	—	13	1	13	1
Total temporarily impaired securities	10,619	434	915	98	11,534	532
OTTI securities ¹ :						
MBS - private	105	2	—	—	105	2
Total OTTI securities	105	2	—	—	105	2
Total impaired securities	\$10,724	\$436	\$915	\$98	\$11,639	\$534

¹ Includes OTTI securities for which credit losses have been recorded in earnings in current or prior periods.

² Securities with unrealized losses less than \$0.5 million are shown as zero.

At September 30, 2014, unrealized losses on securities that have been in a temporarily impaired position for longer than twelve months included federal agency securities, agency MBS, and one ABS collateralized by 2004 vintage home equity loans. Unrealized losses on federal agency securities and agency MBS securities are due to an increase in market interest rates. The ABS continues to receive timely principal and interest payments, and is evaluated quarterly for credit impairment. Cash flow analysis shows that the underlying collateral can withstand highly stressed loss assumptions without incurring a credit loss.

The portion of unrealized losses on OTTI securities that relates to factors other than credit is recorded in AOCI. Losses related to credit impairment on these securities are determined through estimated cash flow analyses and have been recorded in earnings in current or prior periods.

Realized Gains and Losses and Other-than-Temporarily Impaired Securities

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Gross realized gains	\$3	\$—	\$3	\$4
Gross realized losses	(12) —	(13) (1
OTTI losses recognized in earnings	—	—	(1) (1
Net securities (losses)/gains	(\$9) \$—	(\$11) \$2

Credit impairment that is determined through the use of models is estimated using cash flows on security specific collateral and the transaction structure. Future expected credit losses are determined by using various assumptions, the most significant of which include default rates, prepayment rates, and loss severities. If, based on this analysis, the security is in an unrealized loss position and the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, the expected cash flows are then discounted at the security's initial effective interest rate to arrive at a present value amount. OTTI credit losses reflect the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis of these securities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, all OTTI recognized in earnings related to one private MBS collateralized by residential mortgage loans securitized in 2007.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The Company continues to reduce existing exposure to this security primarily through paydowns. In certain instances, the amount of impairment losses recognized in earnings includes credit losses on debt securities that exceeds the total unrealized losses, and as a result, the securities may have unrealized gains in AOCI relating to factors other than credit.

There was no credit impairment recognized on securities during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The security that gave rise to credit impairments recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 consisted of private MBS with a fair value of approximately \$19 million at September 30, 2014. The securities that gave rise to credit impairments recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 consisted of private MBS and ABS with a combined fair value of approximately \$23 million at September 30, 2013. Credit impairments recognized on securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, are shown below.

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2014	2013
OTTI ¹	\$—	\$—
Portion of gains recognized in OCI (before taxes)	1	1
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$1	\$1

¹ The initial OTTI amount represents the excess of the amortized cost over the fair value of AFS debt securities. For subsequent impairments of the same security, amount includes additional declines in the fair value subsequent to the previously recorded OTTI, if applicable, until such time the security is no longer in an unrealized loss position.

The following is a rollforward of credit losses recognized in earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, related to securities for which the Company does not intend to sell and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell as of the end of each period presented. Subsequent credit losses may be recorded on securities without a corresponding further decline in fair value when there has been a decline in expected cash flows.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of period	\$25	\$32	\$25	\$31
Additions:				
OTTI credit losses on previously impaired securities	—	—	1	1
Reductions:				
Increases in expected cash flows recognized over the remaining life of the securities	—	(1) (1) (1
Balance, end of period	\$25	\$31	\$25	\$31

The following table presents a summary of the significant inputs used in determining the measurement of credit losses recognized in earnings for private MBS and ABS for the nine months ended September 30:

	2014 ¹	2013
Default rate	2%	2 - 9%
Prepayment rate	16%	7 - 21%
Loss severity	46%	46 - 74%

¹ During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, all OTTI recognized in earnings related to one private MBS security.

Assumption ranges represent the lowest and highest lifetime average estimates of each security for which credit losses were recognized in earnings. Ranges may vary from period to period as the securities for which credit losses are recognized vary. Additionally, severity may vary widely when losses are few and large.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 5 - LOANS

Composition of Loan Portfolio

The composition of the Company's loan portfolio is shown in the following table:

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Commercial loans:		
C&I	\$63,140	\$57,974
CRE	6,704	5,481
Commercial construction	1,250	855
Total commercial loans	71,094	64,310
Residential loans:		
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	651	3,416
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed ¹	23,718	24,412
Home equity products	14,389	14,809
Residential construction	464	553
Total residential loans	39,222	43,190
Consumer loans:		
Guaranteed student loans	5,314	5,545
Other direct	4,110	2,829
Indirect	11,594	11,272
Credit cards	817	731
Total consumer loans	21,835	20,377
LHFI	\$132,151	\$127,877
LHFS ²	\$1,739	\$1,699

¹ Includes \$284 million and \$302 million of loans carried at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

² Includes \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion of LHFS carried at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had \$57.1 billion and \$56.4 billion, respectively, of net eligible loan collateral pledged to the Federal Reserve Discount Window or the FHLB of Atlanta to support available borrowing capacity.

During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company transferred \$362 million and \$56 million in LHFI to LHFS, and \$19 million and \$11 million in LHFS to LHFI, respectively. Additionally, during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company sold \$2.3 billion and \$99 million in loans and leases for gains of \$40 million and less than \$1 million, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company transferred \$3.2 billion and \$200 million in LHFI to LHFS, and \$39 million and \$28 million in LHFS to LHFI, respectively. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company sold \$3.0 billion and \$761 million in loans and leases for gains of \$71 million and \$7 million, respectively.

Credit Quality Evaluation

The Company evaluates the credit quality of its loan portfolio by employing a dual internal risk rating system, which assigns both PD and LGD ratings to derive expected losses. Assignment of PD and LGD ratings are predicated upon numerous factors, including consumer credit risk scores, rating agency information, borrower/guarantor financial

capacity, LTV ratios, collateral type, debt service coverage ratios, collection experience, other internal metrics/analyses, and/or qualitative assessments.

For the commercial portfolio, the Company believes that the most appropriate credit quality indicator is an individual loan's risk assessment expressed according to the broad regulatory agency classifications of Pass or Criticized. The Company's risk rating system is granular, with multiple risk ratings in both the Pass and Criticized categories. Pass ratings reflect relatively low PDs, whereas, Criticized assets have higher PDs. The granularity in Pass ratings assists in the establishment of pricing, loan structures, approval requirements, reserves, and ongoing credit management requirements. The Company conforms to the following regulatory classifications for Criticized assets: Other Assets Especially Mentioned (or Special Mention), Adversely Classified, Doubtful, and Loss. However, for the purposes of disclosure, management believes the most meaningful distinction within the

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Criticized categories is between Accruing Criticized (which includes Special Mention and a portion of Adversely Classified) and Nonaccruing Criticized (which includes a portion of Adversely Classified and Doubtful and Loss). This distinction identifies those relatively higher risk loans for which there is a basis to believe that the Company will collect all amounts due from those where full collection is less certain.

Commercial risk ratings are refreshed at least annually, or more frequently as appropriate, based upon considerations such as market conditions, borrower characteristics, and portfolio trends. Additionally, management routinely reviews portfolio risk ratings, trends, and concentrations to support risk identification and mitigation activities.

For consumer and residential loans, the Company monitors credit risk based on indicators such as delinquencies and FICO scores. The Company believes that consumer credit risk, as assessed by the industry-wide FICO scoring method, is a relevant credit quality indicator. Borrower-specific FICO scores are obtained at origination as part of the Company's formal underwriting process, and refreshed FICO scores are obtained by the Company at least quarterly. For government-guaranteed loans, the Company monitors the credit quality based primarily on delinquency status, as it is a more relevant indicator of credit quality due to the government guarantee. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, 30% and 82%, respectively, of the guaranteed residential loan portfolio was current with respect to payments. The decline in the percentage of current loans in LHFI is solely due to approximately \$2.0 billion in accruing current guaranteed residential loans which were sold in the third quarter of 2014. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, 82% and 81%, respectively, of the guaranteed student loan portfolio was current with respect to payments. Loss exposure to the Company on these loans is mitigated by the government guarantee.

LHFI by credit quality indicator are shown in the tables below:

(Dollars in millions)	Commercial Loans					
	C&I		CRE		Commercial construction	
	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Risk rating:						
Pass	\$61,748	\$56,443	\$6,513	\$5,245	\$1,220	\$798
Criticized accruing	1,214	1,335	159	197	21	45
Criticized nonaccruing	178	196	32	39	9	12
Total	\$63,140	\$57,974	\$6,704	\$5,481	\$1,250	\$855
	Residential Loans ¹					
	Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed		Home equity products		Residential construction	
(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Current FICO score range:						
700 and above	\$18,828	\$19,100	\$11,495	\$11,661	\$366	\$423
620 - 699	3,501	3,652	2,049	2,186	75	90
Below 620 ²	1,389	1,660	845	962	23	40
Total	\$23,718	\$24,412	\$14,389	\$14,809	\$464	\$553
	Consumer Loans ³					
	Other direct		Indirect		Credit cards	
(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Current FICO score range:						
700 and above	\$3,563	\$2,370	\$8,526	\$8,420	\$575	\$512
620 - 699	477	397	2,409	2,228	194	176
Below 620 ²	70	62	659	624	48	43
Total	\$4,110	\$2,829	\$11,594	\$11,272	\$817	\$731

¹ Excludes \$651 million and \$3.4 billion at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, of guaranteed residential loans. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the majority of these loans had FICO scores of 700 and above.

² For substantially all loans with refreshed FICO scores below 620, the borrower's FICO score at the time of origination exceeded 620 but has since deteriorated as the loan has seasoned.

³ Excludes \$5.3 billion and \$5.5 billion of guaranteed student loans at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The payment status for the LHF portfolio is shown in the tables below:

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014				Total
	Accruing Current	Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due	Accruing 90+ Days Past Due	Nonaccruing ²	
Commercial loans:					
C&I	\$62,903	\$47	\$12	\$178	\$63,140
CRE	6,666	5	1	32	6,704
Commercial construction	1,238	3	—	9	1,250
Total commercial loans	70,807	55	13	219	71,094
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	197	34	420	—	651
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed ¹	23,274	105	12	327	23,718
Home equity products	14,109	101	1	178	14,389
Residential construction	429	5	—	30	464
Total residential loans	38,009	245	433	535	39,222
Consumer loans:					
Guaranteed student loans	4,338	365	611	—	5,314
Other direct	4,078	25	2	5	4,110
Indirect	11,504	86	1	3	11,594
Credit cards	804	7	6	—	817
Total consumer loans	20,724	483	620	8	21,835
Total LHF	\$129,540	\$783	\$1,066	\$762	\$132,151

¹ Includes \$284 million of loans carried at fair value, the majority of which were accruing current.

² Nonaccruing loans past due 90 days or more totaled \$468 million. Nonaccruing loans past due fewer than 90 days include modified nonaccrual loans reported as TDRs and performing second lien loans which are classified as nonaccrual when the first lien loan is nonperforming.

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013				Total
	Accruing Current	Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due	Accruing 90+ Days Past Due	Nonaccruing ²	
Commercial loans:					
C&I	\$57,713	\$47	\$18	\$196	\$57,974
CRE	5,430	5	7	39	5,481
Commercial construction	842	1	—	12	855
Total commercial loans	63,985	53	25	247	64,310
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	2,787	58	571	—	3,416
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed ¹	23,808	150	13	441	24,412
Home equity products	14,480	119	—	210	14,809
Residential construction	488	4	—	61	553
Total residential loans	41,563	331	584	712	43,190
Consumer loans:					
Guaranteed student loans	4,475	461	609	—	5,545
Other direct	2,803	18	3	5	2,829
Indirect	11,189	75	1	7	11,272

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Credit cards	718	7	6	—	731
Total consumer loans	19,185	561	619	12	20,377
Total LHF1	\$124,733	\$945	\$1,228	\$971	\$127,877

¹ Includes \$302 million of loans carried at fair value, the majority of which were accruing current.

² Nonaccruing loans past due 90 days or more totaled \$653 million. Nonaccruing loans past due fewer than 90 days include modified nonaccrual loans reported as TDRs and performing second lien loans which are classified as nonaccrual when the first lien loan is nonperforming.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. Commercial nonaccrual loans greater than \$3 million and certain consumer, residential, and commercial loans whose terms have been modified in a TDR are individually evaluated for impairment. Smaller-balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are not included in the following tables. Additionally, the tables below exclude guaranteed student loans and guaranteed residential mortgages for which there was nominal risk of principal loss.

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014			December 31, 2013		
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Amortized Cost ¹	Related Allowance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Amortized Cost ¹	Related Allowance
Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial loans:						
C&I	\$84	\$62	\$—	\$81	\$56	\$—
CRE	18	14	—	61	60	—
Total commercial loans	102	76	—	142	116	—
Residential loans:						
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	676	447	—	672	425	—
Residential construction	41	12	—	68	17	—
Total residential loans	717	459	—	740	442	—
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:						
Commercial loans:						
C&I	48	43	7	51	49	10
CRE	11	10	1	8	3	—
Commercial construction	—	—	—	6	3	—
Total commercial loans	59	53	8	65	55	10
Residential loans:						
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	1,381	1,378	223	1,685	1,626	226
Home equity products	702	629	70	710	638	96
Residential construction	150	150	20	173	172	23
Total residential loans	2,233	2,157	313	2,568	2,436	345
Consumer loans:						
Other direct	13	13	—	14	14	—
Indirect	100	100	5	83	83	5
Credit cards	10	10	2	13	13	3
Total consumer loans	123	123	7	110	110	8
Total impaired loans	\$3,234	\$2,868	\$328	\$3,625	\$3,159	\$363

¹ Amortized cost reflects charge-offs that have been recognized plus other amounts that have been applied to reduce the net book balance.

Included in the impaired loan balances above were \$2.5 billion and \$2.7 billion of accruing TDRs at amortized cost, at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, of which 97% and 96%, respectively, were current. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information

regarding the Company's loan impairment policy.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
	Average Amortized Cost	Interest Income Recognized ¹	Average Amortized Cost	Interest Income Recognized ¹	Average Amortized Cost	Interest Income Recognized ¹	Average Amortized Cost	Interest Income Recognized ¹
Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded:								
Commercial loans:								
C&I	\$65	\$—	\$84	\$—	\$68	\$1	\$58	\$1
CRE	15	—	5	—	16	—	6	—
Commercial construction	—	—	1	—	—	—	1	—
Total commercial loans	80	—	90	—	84	1	65	1
Residential loans:								
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	454	5	420	4	467	14	434	13
Residential construction	14	—	18	—	15	—	22	—
Total residential loans	468	5	438	4	482	14	456	13
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:								
Commercial loans:								
C&I	45	—	35	—	46	1	25	1
CRE	10	—	3	—	9	—	3	—
Commercial construction	—	—	4	—	—	—	2	—
Total commercial loans	55	—	42	—	55	1	30	1
Residential loans:								
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	1,467	18	1,582	19	1,443	59	1,579	58
Home equity products	668	7	634	7	662	20	640	17
Residential construction	164	2	181	3	162	6	178	8
Total residential loans	2,299	27	2,397	29	2,267	85	2,397	83
Consumer loans:								
Other direct	14	—	15	—	14	—	16	—
Indirect	116	1	84	1	110	4	87	3
Credit cards	10	—	15	—	11	1	17	1
Total consumer loans	140	1	114	1	135	5	120	4
Total impaired loans	\$3,042	\$33	\$3,081	\$34	\$3,023	\$106	\$3,068	\$102

¹ Of the interest income recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, cash basis interest income was less than \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively.

Of the interest income recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, cash basis interest income was \$1 million and \$6 million, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NPAs are shown in the following table:

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Nonaccrual/NPLs:		
Commercial loans:		
C&I	\$178	\$196
CRE	32	39
Commercial construction	9	12
Residential loans:		
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	327	441
Home equity products	178	210
Residential construction	30	61
Consumer loans:		
Other direct	5	5
Indirect	3	7
Total nonaccrual/NPLs ¹	762	971
OREO ²	112	170
Other repossessed assets	7	7
Nonperforming LHFS	53	17
Total NPAs	\$934	\$1,165

¹ Nonaccruing restructured loans are included in total nonaccrual/NPLs.

² Does not include foreclosed real estate related to loans insured by the FHA or the VA. Proceeds due from the FHA and the VA are recorded as a receivable in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets until the funds are received and the property is conveyed. The receivable amount related to proceeds due from the FHA or the VA totaled \$50 million and \$88 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Restructured Loans

TDRs are loans in which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the Company has granted an economic concession to the borrower that the Company would not otherwise consider. When loans are modified under the terms of a TDR, the Company typically offers the borrower an extension of the loan maturity date and/or a reduction in the original contractual interest rate. In certain situations, the Company may offer to restructure a loan in a manner that ultimately results in the forgiveness of contractually specified principal balances.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had \$6 million and \$8 million, respectively, in commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in a TDR.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The number and amortized cost of loans modified under the terms of a TDR by type of modification are shown in the following tables:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 ¹				
	Number of Loans Modified	Principal Forgiveness ²	Rate Modification ³	Term Extension and/or Other Concessions	Total
Commercial loans:					
C&I	23	\$—	\$—	\$8	\$8
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	266	—	27	9	36
Home equity products	503	—	1	22	23
Residential construction	1	—	—	—	—
Consumer loans:					
Other direct	21	—	—	—	—
Indirect	638	—	—	12	12
Credit cards	123	—	1	—	1
Total TDRs	1,575	\$—	\$29	\$51	\$80

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 ¹				
	Number of Loans Modified	Principal Forgiveness ²	Rate Modification ³	Term Extension and/or Other Concessions	Total
Commercial loans:					
C&I	66	\$—	\$—	\$22	\$22
CRE	4	3	—	3	6
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	944	1	113	37	151
Home equity products	1,407	—	6	59	65
Residential construction	11	—	1	—	1
Consumer loans:					
Other direct	59	—	—	1	1
Indirect	2,189	—	—	43	43
Credit cards	350	—	2	—	2
Total TDRs	5,030	\$4	\$122	\$165	\$291

¹ Includes loans modified under the terms of a TDR that were charged-off during the period.

² Restructured loans which had forgiveness of amounts contractually due under the terms of the loan typically have had multiple concessions including rate modifications and/or term extensions. The total amount of charge-offs associated with principal forgiveness during both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was immaterial.

³ Restructured loans which had a modification of the loan's contractual interest rate may also have had an extension of the loan's contractual maturity date and/or other concessions. The financial effect of modifying the interest rate on the loans modified as a TDR was immaterial to the financial statements during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 ¹				
	Number of Loans Modified	Principal Forgiveness ²	Rate Modification ³	Term Extension and/or Other Concessions	Total
Commercial loans:					
C&I	28	\$—	\$—	\$39	\$39
Commercial construction	1	—	—	—	—
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	332	—	61	14	75
Home equity products	715	—	19	12	31
Residential construction	25	—	4	—	4
Consumer loans:					
Other direct	30	—	—	1	1
Indirect	883	—	—	18	18
Credit cards	97	—	—	—	—
Total TDRs	2,111	\$—	\$84	\$84	\$168

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 ¹				
	Number of Loans Modified	Principal Forgiveness ²	Rate Modification ³	Term Extension and/or Other Concessions	Total
Commercial loans:					
C&I	124	\$18	\$2	\$89	\$109
CRE	5	—	4	1	5
Commercial construction	1	—	—	—	—
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	1,245	—	122	84	206
Home equity products	2,153	—	56	60	116
Residential construction	242	—	22	3	25
Consumer loans:					
Other direct	110	—	—	3	3
Indirect	2,617	—	—	50	50
Credit cards	483	—	2	—	2
Total TDRs	6,980	\$18	\$208	\$290	\$516

¹ Includes loans modified under the terms of a TDR that were charged-off during the period.

² Restructured loans which had forgiveness of amounts contractually due under the terms of the loan typically have had multiple concessions including rate modifications and/or term extensions. There were no charge-offs associated with principal forgiveness during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The total amount of charge-offs associated with principal forgiveness during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$2 million.

³ Restructured loans which had a modification of the loan's contractual interest rate may also have had an extension of the loan's contractual maturity date and/or other concessions. The financial effect of modifying the interest rate on the loans modified as a TDR was immaterial to the financial statements during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the table below represents defaults on loans that were first modified between the periods January 1, 2013 and September 30, 2014 that became 90 days or more delinquent or were charged-off during the period.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	Number of Loans	Amortized Cost	Number of Loans	Amortized Cost
Commercial loans:				
C&I	30	\$3	77	\$8
Residential loans:				
Residential mortgages	46	6	135	16
Home equity products	28	1	75	4
Residential construction	—	—	6	—
Consumer loans:				
Other direct	3	—	8	—
Indirect	45	—	134	1
Credit cards	60	—	143	1
Total TDRs	212	\$10	578	\$30

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the table below represents defaults on loans that were first modified between the periods January 1, 2012 and September 30, 2013 that became 90 days or more delinquent or were charged-off during the period.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	Number of Loans	Amortized Cost	Number of Loans	Amortized Cost
Commercial loans:				
C&I	3	\$—	45	\$—
CRE	—	—	4	3
Commercial construction	—	—	1	—
Residential loans:				
Residential mortgages	63	9	219	19
Home equity products	37	2	138	8
Residential construction	26	1	42	2
Consumer loans:				
Other direct	5	—	14	—
Indirect	55	1	143	2
Credit cards	53	—	132	1
Total TDRs	242	\$13	738	\$35

The majority of loans that were modified and subsequently became 90 days or more delinquent have remained on nonaccrual status since the time of modification.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company does not have a significant concentration of risk to any individual client except for the U.S. government and its agencies. However, a geographic concentration arises because the Company operates primarily in the Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic regions of the U.S. The Company engages in limited international banking activities. The Company's total cross-border outstanding loans were \$1.3 billion and \$956 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The major concentrations of credit risk for the Company arise by collateral type in relation to loans and credit commitments. The only significant concentration that exists is in loans secured by residential real estate. At September 30, 2014, the Company owned \$39.2 billion in residential loans, representing 30% of total LHFI, and had \$10.9 billion in commitments to extend credit on home equity lines and \$3.4 billion in mortgage loan commitments. At December 31, 2013, the Company owned \$43.2 billion in residential loans, representing 34% of total LHFI, and had \$11.2 billion in commitments to extend credit on home equity lines and \$2.7 billion in mortgage loan commitments. Of the residential loans owned at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, 2% and 8%, respectively, were guaranteed by a federal agency or a GSE.

Included in the residential mortgage portfolio were \$11.7 billion and \$12.4 billion of mortgage loans at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, that included terms such as an interest only feature, a high original LTV ratio, or a second lien position that may increase the Company's exposure to credit risk and result in a concentration of credit risk. Of these mortgage loans, \$4.4 billion and \$5.5 billion, respectively, were interest only loans, primarily with a ten year interest only period. Approximately \$919 million and \$1.1 billion of those interest only loans at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, were loans with no MI and were either first liens with combined original LTV ratios in excess of 80% or were second liens. Additionally, the Company owned approximately \$7.3 billion and \$6.9 billion of amortizing loans with no MI at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, comprised of first liens with combined original LTV ratios in excess of 80% and second liens. Despite changes in underwriting guidelines that have curtailed the origination of high LTV loans, the balances of such loans have increased due to lending to high credit quality clients.

NOTE 6 - ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The allowance for credit losses consists of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. Activity in the allowance for credit losses is summarized in the table below:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,046	\$2,172	\$2,094	\$2,219
Provision for loan losses	93	92	275	448
(Benefit)/provision for unfunded commitments	—	3	(7) 5
Loan charge-offs	(164) (189) (473) (695
Loan recoveries	36	43	122	144
Balance at end of period	\$2,011	\$2,121	\$2,011	\$2,121

Components:

ALLL		\$1,968	\$2,071
Unfunded commitments reserve ¹		43	50
Allowance for credit losses		\$2,011	\$2,121

¹ The unfunded commitments reserve is recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Activity in the ALLL by loan segment for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is presented in the tables below:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			
	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$958	\$875	\$170	\$2,003
Provision for loan losses	25	34	34	93
Loan charge-offs	(26)	(104)	(34)	(164)
Loan recoveries	14	12	10	36
Balance at end of period	\$971	\$817	\$180	\$1,968

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$919	\$1,046	\$160	\$2,125
Provision for loan losses	77	(6)	21	92
Loan charge-offs	(52)	(109)	(28)	(189)
Loan recoveries	13	21	9	43
Balance at end of period	\$957	\$952	\$162	\$2,071

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			
	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$946	\$930	\$168	\$2,044
Provision for loan losses	82	114	79	275
Loan charge-offs	(97)	(279)	(97)	(473)
Loan recoveries	40	52	30	122
Balance at end of period	\$971	\$817	\$180	\$1,968

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$902	\$1,131	\$141	\$2,174
Provision for loan losses	183	184	81	448
Loan charge-offs	(176)	(430)	(89)	(695)
Loan recoveries	48	67	29	144
Balance at end of period	\$957	\$952	\$162	\$2,071

As discussed in Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the ALLL is composed of both specific allowances for certain nonaccrual loans and TDRs and general allowances grouped into loan pools based on similar characteristics. No allowance is required for loans carried at fair value. Additionally, the Company records an immaterial allowance for loan products that are guaranteed by government agencies, as there is nominal risk of principal loss.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The Company's LHFI portfolio and related ALLL is shown in the tables below:

September 30, 2014								
(Dollars in millions)	Commercial		Residential		Consumer		Total	
	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL
Individually evaluated	\$129	\$8	\$2,616	\$313	\$123	\$7	\$2,868	\$328
Collectively evaluated	70,965	963	36,322	504	21,712	173	128,999	1,640
Total evaluated	71,094	971	38,938	817	21,835	180	131,867	1,968
LHFI at fair value	—	—	284	—	—	—	284	—
Total LHFI	\$71,094	\$971	\$39,222	\$817	\$21,835	\$180	\$132,151	\$1,968

December 31, 2013								
(Dollars in millions)	Commercial		Residential		Consumer		Total	
	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL
Individually evaluated	\$171	\$10	\$2,878	\$345	\$110	\$8	\$3,159	\$363
Collectively evaluated	64,139	936	40,010	585	20,267	160	124,416	1,681
Total evaluated	64,310	946	42,888	930	20,377	168	127,575	2,044
LHFI at fair value	—	—	302	—	—	—	302	—
Total LHFI	\$64,310	\$946	\$43,190	\$930	\$20,377	\$168	\$127,877	\$2,044

NOTE 7 – GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill

Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment on an annual basis, which is performed by the Company as of September 30, 2014, or as events occur or circumstances change that (i) would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount, or (ii) indicate that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists when the carrying amount of a reporting unit is zero or negative. The fair value of a reporting unit is determined by using discounted cash flow analyses and, when applicable, guideline company information. The carrying value of a reporting unit is determined using an equity allocation methodology that allocates the total equity of the Company to each of its reporting units considering both regulatory risk-based capital and tangible assets relative to tangible equity. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies" in the 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information regarding the Company's goodwill accounting policy. The Company performed a goodwill impairment analysis for all of its reporting units with goodwill balances at September 30, 2014 and determined that the fair values were in excess of the respective carrying values by the following percentages:

Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management	68%
Wholesale Banking	13%

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

As discussed in Note 2, "Acquisitions/Dispositions," the Company completed the sale of its asset management subsidiary, RidgeWorth, during the second quarter of 2014. Also, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, branch-managed business banking clients were transferred from Wholesale Banking to Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, resulting in the reallocation of \$300 million in goodwill. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by reportable segment for the nine months ended September 30 are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management	Wholesale Banking	Total
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$4,262	\$2,107	\$6,369
Acquisition of Lantana Oil and Gas Partners, Inc.	—	8	8
Sale of RidgeWorth	—	(40) (40
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$4,262	\$2,075	\$6,337
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$3,962	\$2,407	\$6,369
Intersegment transfers	300	(300) —
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$4,262	\$2,107	\$6,369

Other Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amounts of other intangible assets for the nine months ended September 30 are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Core Deposit Intangibles	MSRs - Fair Value	Other	Total
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$4	\$1,300	\$30	\$1,334
Amortization	(4) —	(6) (10
MSRs originated	—	137	—	137
MSRs purchased	—	109	—	109
Changes in fair value:				
Due to changes in inputs and assumptions ¹	—	(117) —	(117
Other changes in fair value ²	—	(123) —	(123
Sale of MSRs	—	(1) —	(1
Sale of RidgeWorth	—	—	(9) (9
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$—	\$1,305	\$15	\$1,320
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$17	\$899	\$40	\$956
Amortization	(10) —	(8) (18
MSRs originated	—	302	—	302
Changes in fair value:				
Due to changes in inputs and assumptions ¹	—	260	—	260
Other changes in fair value ²	—	(212) —	(212
Sale of MSRs	—	(1) —	(1
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$7	\$1,248	\$32	\$1,287

¹ Primarily reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, due to changes in interest rates.

² Represents changes due to the collection of expected cash flows, net of accretion, due to the passage of time.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company retains MSRs from certain of its sales or securitizations of residential mortgage loans. MSRs on residential mortgage loans are the only servicing assets capitalized by the Company and are classified within intangible assets on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Income earned by the Company on its MSRs is derived primarily from contractually specified mortgage servicing fees and late fees, net of curtailment costs. Such income earned for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$81 million and \$241 million, respectively, and \$79 million and \$232 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. These amounts are reported in mortgage servicing related income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total UPB of mortgage loans serviced was \$135.8 billion and \$136.7 billion, respectively. Included in these amounts were \$109.1 billion and \$106.8 billion at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, of loans serviced for third parties. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company sold MSR, at a price approximating their fair value, on residential loans with a UPB of \$612 million and \$2.1 billion, respectively. The Company purchased MSR on residential loans with a UPB of \$9.0 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2014; however, only \$3.0 billion of these loans are reflected in the UPB amounts above as the transfer of servicing for the remainder is scheduled for the fourth quarter of 2014. No MSR were purchased during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The Company determines the fair value of the MSR using a valuation model that calculates the present value of the estimated future net servicing income. The model incorporates a number of assumptions as MSR do not trade in an active and open market with readily observable prices. The Company determines fair value using prepayment projections, spreads, and other assumptions that are compared to various sources of market data including independent third party valuations and industry surveys. Senior management and the STM Valuation Committee review all significant assumptions at least quarterly, since many factors can affect the fair value of MSR. Changes to the valuation model inputs and assumptions are reflected in the periods' results.

A summary of the key characteristics, inputs, and economic assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Company's MSR at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and the sensitivity of the fair values to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions are shown in the table below.

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Fair value of retained MSR	\$1,305	\$1,300
Prepayment rate assumption (annual)	9 %	8 %
Decline in fair value from 10% adverse change	\$45	\$38
Decline in fair value from 20% adverse change	87	74
Option adjusted spread/discount rate (annual) ¹	10 %	12 %
Decline in fair value from 10% adverse change	\$64	\$66
Decline in fair value from 20% adverse change	123	126
Weighted-average life (in years)	7.1	7.7
Weighted-average coupon	4.2 %	4.4 %

¹ Option adjusted spread was a key assumption used to estimate the fair value of the Company's MSR at September 30, 2014. For periods prior to September 30, 2014, a discount rate was used.

The above sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the amounts indicate, changes in fair value based on variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

Additionally, the sensitivities above do not include the effect of hedging activity undertaken by the Company to offset changes in the fair value of MSR. See Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for further information regarding these hedging activities.

NOTE 8 - CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Related Variable Interest Entities

As discussed in Note 10, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company has transferred loans and securities in sale or securitization transactions in which the Company has, or had, continuing involvement. Except as specifically noted herein, the Company is not required to provide additional financial support to any of the entities to

which the Company has transferred financial assets, nor has the Company provided any support it was not otherwise obligated to provide. Further, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company evaluated whether any of its previous conclusions regarding whether it is the primary beneficiary of the VIEs described below should be changed based upon events occurring during the period. These evaluations did not result in changes to previous consolidation conclusions, except for one CLO entity which is described in detail in the "Commercial and Corporate Loans" section of this footnote. No events occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 that changed the Company's sale accounting conclusion in regards to previously transferred residential mortgage loans, student loans, commercial and corporate loans, or CDO securities.

27

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

When evaluating transfers and other transactions with VIEs for consolidation, the Company first determines if it has a VI in the VIE. A VI is typically in the form of securities representing retained interests in transferred assets and, at times, servicing rights and collateral manager fees. If the Company has a VI in an entity, it then evaluates whether or not it has both (1) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE to determine if the Company should consolidate the VIE.

Below is a summary of transfers of financial assets to VIEs for which the Company has retained some level of continuing involvement, which supplements Note 10, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Residential Mortgage Loans

The Company typically transfers first lien residential mortgage loans in conjunction with Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac securitization transactions whereby the loans are exchanged for cash or securities that are readily redeemable for cash proceeds and servicing rights. The Company sold residential mortgage loans to these entities, which resulted in a pre-tax net gain of \$50 million and a pre-tax net loss of \$169 million, including servicing rights, for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and pre-tax net gains of \$155 million and \$112 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These net gains/losses are included within mortgage production related income/(loss) in the Consolidated Statements of Income. These net gains/losses include the change in value of the loans as a result of changes in interest rates from the time the related IRLCs were issued to the borrowers but do not include the results of hedging activities initiated by the Company to mitigate this market risk. See Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for further discussion of the Company's hedging activities. As seller, the Company has made certain representations and warranties with respect to the originally transferred loans, including those transferred under Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac programs, and those representations and warranties are discussed in Note 13, "Guarantees."

In a limited number of securitizations, the Company has received securities representing retained interests in the transferred loans in addition to cash and servicing rights in exchange for the transferred loans. The received securities are carried at fair value as either trading assets or securities AFS. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair value of securities received totaled \$65 million and \$71 million, respectively, and were valued using a third party pricing service.

The Company evaluated these securitization transactions for consolidation under the VIE consolidation guidance. As servicer of the underlying loans, the Company is generally deemed to have power over the securitization entity. However, if a single party, such as the issuer or the master servicer, effectively controls the servicing activities or has the unilateral ability to terminate the Company as servicer without cause, then that party is deemed to have power over the entity. In almost all of its securitization transactions, the Company does not have power over the VIE as a result of these rights held by the master servicer. In certain transactions, the Company does have power as the servicer; however, the Company does not also have an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant. The absorption of losses and the receipt of benefits would generally manifest itself through the retention of senior or subordinated interests in the securitization. Total assets at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, of the unconsolidated trusts in which the Company has a VI were \$297 million and \$350 million, respectively.

The Company's maximum exposure to loss related to the unconsolidated VIEs in which it holds a VI is comprised of the loss of value of any interests it retains and any repurchase obligations it incurs as a result of a breach of representations and warranties, discussed further in Note 13, "Guarantees."

Commercial and Corporate Loans

The Company has involvement with CLO entities that own commercial leveraged loans and bonds, certain of which were transferred by the Company to the entities. The Company currently holds certain securities issued by these entities and previously acted as collateral manager for the CLOs; however, upon the sale of RidgeWorth in May 2014, the Company is no longer the collateral manager. The Company previously determined that it was the primary

beneficiary of, and thus, had consolidated one of these CLOs as it had both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impacted the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the CLO. The Company's involvement with this CLO includes ownership in one of the senior interests in the CLO and certain preference shares. Since the Company is no longer the collateral manager for the CLO, the Company no longer possesses the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; therefore, the Company is no longer the primary beneficiary of this CLO and in connection with the sale of RidgeWorth, the CLO was deconsolidated.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

At December 31, 2013, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$261 million of loans held by the CLO and \$256 million of debt issued by the CLO.

At September 30, 2014, all CLOs that the Company has involvement with are considered to be VIEs and are unconsolidated. The Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these entities as it does not possess the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs. The Company's preference share exposure was valued at \$5 million and \$3 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The Company's senior interest exposure was valued at \$19 million and \$26 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, unconsolidated VIEs that the Company had involvement with had \$742 million and \$1.6 billion of estimated assets, respectively, and \$693 million and \$1.6 billion of estimated liabilities, respectively.

Student Loans

During 2006, the Company completed a securitization of government-guaranteed student loans through a transfer of loans to a securitization SPE, which previously qualified as a QSPE, and retained the related residual interest in the SPE. The Company concluded that this securitization of government-guaranteed student loans should be consolidated. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$315 million and \$344 million, respectively, of assets held by the Student Loan entity and \$312 million and \$341 million, respectively, of debt issued by the Student Loan entity.

Payments from the assets in the SPE must first be used to settle the obligations of the SPE, with any remaining payments remitted to the Company as the owner of the residual interest. To the extent that losses are incurred on the SPE's assets, the SPE has recourse to the federal government as the guarantor, up to a maximum guarantee of 97%. Losses in excess of the government guarantee reduce the amount of available cash payable to the Company as the owner of the residual interest. To the extent that losses result from a breach of the master servicer's servicing responsibilities, the SPE has recourse to the Company; the Company may be required to repurchase the defaulting loan(s) from the SPE at par value. If the breach was caused by the subservicer, the Company has recourse to seek reimbursement from the subservicer up to the guaranteed amount. The Company's maximum exposure to loss related to the SPE is represented by the potential losses resulting from a breach of servicing responsibilities. To date, all loss claims filed with the guarantor that have been denied due to servicing errors have either been cured or reimbursement has been provided to the Company by the subservicer.

CDO Securities

The Company has transferred bank trust preferred securities to securitization entities, which have been determined to be VIEs. The Company concluded that it was not the primary beneficiary of any of these VIEs as the Company lacked the power to direct the significant activities of the entities. During the first quarter of 2014, the Company sold all of its remaining exposures to these VIEs. For further details on these entities refer to Note 10, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following tables present information related to the Company's asset transfers in which it has continuing economic involvement.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30	September 30	September 30	September 30
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Cash flows on interests held ¹ :				
Residential Mortgage Loans ²	\$2	\$8	\$13	\$24
Commercial and Corporate Loans	—	—	—	1
CDO Securities	—	—	1	1
Total cash flows on interests held	\$2	\$8	\$14	\$26
Servicing or management fees ¹ :				

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Residential Mortgage Loans ²	\$—	\$1	\$1	\$2
Commercial and Corporate Loans	—	2	4	7
Total servicing or management fees	\$—	\$3	\$5	\$9

¹ The transfer activity is related to unconsolidated VIEs.

² Does not include GSE mortgage loan transfers

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following table presents portfolio and delinquency balances for accruing loans 90 days or more past due and all nonaccrual loans at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, as well as net charge-offs related to managed portfolio loans (including those that are owned or consolidated by the Company and those that have been transferred with servicing retained) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Portfolio Balance ¹		Past Due and Nonaccrual ²		Net Charge-offs			
	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
					2014	2013	2014	2013
(Dollars in millions)								
Type of loan:								
Commercial	\$71,094	\$64,310	\$232	\$272	\$12	\$39	\$57	\$128
Residential	39,222	43,190	968	1,296	92	88	227	363
Consumer	21,835	20,377	628	631	24	19	67	60
Total loan portfolio	132,151	127,877	1,828	2,199	128	146	351	551
Managed securitized loans:								
Commercial	—	1,617	—	29	—	—	—	—
Residential	103,675	100,695	304	³ 493	³ 6	5	13	19
Total managed loans	\$235,826	\$230,189	\$2,132	\$2,721	\$134	\$151	\$364	\$570

¹ Excludes \$1.7 billion of LHFS at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

² Excludes \$53 million and \$17 million of past due LHFS at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

³ Excludes loans that have completed the foreclosure or short sale process (i.e. involuntary prepayments).

Other Variable Interest Entities

In addition to the Company's involvement with certain VIEs related to transfers of financial assets, the Company also has involvement with VIEs from other business activities.

Total Return Swaps

The Company has involvement with various VIEs related to its TRS business. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had \$1.7 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, in senior financing outstanding to VIEs, which was classified within trading assets and derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and carried at fair value. These VIEs had entered into TRS contracts with the Company with outstanding notional amounts of \$1.7 billion and \$1.5 billion at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and the Company had entered into mirror-image TRS contracts with third parties with the same outstanding notional amounts. At September 30, 2014, the fair values of these TRS assets and liabilities were \$10 million and \$7 million, respectively, and at December 31, 2013, the fair values of these TRS assets and liabilities were \$35 million and \$31 million, respectively, reflecting the pass-through nature of these structures. The notional amounts of the TRS contracts with the VIEs represent the Company's maximum exposure to loss, although such exposure to loss has been mitigated via the TRS contracts with third parties. For additional information on the Company's TRS with these VIEs, see Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," as well as Note 10, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," to the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There have been no changes to the Company's consolidation conclusions regarding the VIEs, as described in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, since December 31, 2013.

Community Development Investments

As part of its community reinvestment initiatives, the Company invests primarily within its footprint in multi-family affordable housing developments and other community development entities as a limited and/or general partner and/or

a debt provider. The Company receives tax credits for various investments. The Company has determined that the large majority of the related partnerships are VIEs. For partnerships where the Company operates as the general partner, the Company consolidates these partnerships on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. As the general partner, the Company typically guarantees the tax credits due to the limited partner and is responsible for funding construction and operating deficits. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, total assets, which consist primarily of fixed assets and cash attributable to the consolidated entities, and total liabilities, were immaterial. While the obligations of the general partner are generally non-recourse to the Company, as the general partner, the Company may from time to time step in when needed to fund deficits. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not provide any significant amount of funding as the general partner or to cover any deficits the partnerships may have generated.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

For other partnerships, the Company invests in limited partner interests. The Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these partnerships and accounts for its interests in accordance with the accounting requirements for investments in affordable housing projects. The general partner or an affiliate of the general partner provides guarantees to the limited partner, which protects the Company from losses attributable to operating deficits, construction deficits, and tax credit allocation deficits. Assets of \$1.5 billion in these partnerships were not included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The limited partner interests had carrying values of \$300 million and \$252 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and are recorded in other assets in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company's maximum exposure to loss for these investments totaled \$806 million and \$697 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The Company's maximum exposure to loss would be borne by the loss of the equity investments along with \$361 million and \$303 million of loans, interest-rate swaps, or letters of credit issued by the Company to the entities at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The difference between the maximum exposure to loss and the investment and loan balances is primarily attributable to the unfunded equity commitments. Unfunded equity commitments are amounts that the Company has committed to the entities upon the entities meeting certain conditions. If these conditions are met, the Company will invest these additional amounts in the entities.

As indicated in Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," the Company adopted ASU 2014-01 in the first quarter of 2014, which allowed amortization of qualified affordable housing investments within the scope of the ASU to be presented net of the income tax credits in the provision for income taxes. During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized \$15 million and \$16 million of tax credits, respectively, and \$14 million and \$13 million of amortization expense, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized \$45 million of tax credits, and \$41 million and \$33 million of amortization expense, respectively, in the provision for income taxes. For community development investments not within the scope of ASU 2014-01, the Company continues to record amortization of the investment in noninterest expense.

Additionally, the Company owns noncontrolling interests in funds whose purpose is to invest in community developments. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company's investment in these funds totaled \$146 million and \$138 million, respectively, and the Company's maximum exposure to loss on its equity investments, which is comprised of its investments in the funds plus any additional unfunded equity commitments, was \$242 million and \$217 million, respectively.

When the Company owns both the limited partner and general partner interests or acts as the indemnifying party, the Company consolidates the entities. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, total assets, which consist primarily of fixed assets and cash, attributable to the consolidated non-VIE partnerships were \$115 million and \$151 million, respectively, and total liabilities, excluding intercompany liabilities, primarily representing third party borrowings, were \$55 million and \$58 million, respectively.

The Company has designated certain consolidated affordable housing properties as held for sale, and accordingly recognizes them at the lower of their carrying value or estimated fair value less costs to sell. See the "Non-recurring Fair Value Measurements," section of Note 14, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," for additional detail. At September 30, 2014, the carrying value of properties held for sale was \$72 million. Disposition of these properties is expected to be completed within the next six months.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 9 – NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Equivalent shares of 15 million and 20 million related to common stock options and common stock warrants outstanding at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were excluded from the computations of diluted net income per average common share because they would have been anti-dilutive.

Reconciliations of net income to net income available to common shareholders and the difference between average basic common shares outstanding and average diluted common shares outstanding are included below.

(In millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income	\$576	\$189	\$1,380	\$918
Preferred dividends	(9) (9) (28) (28
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to unvested shares	(4) (1) (9) (6
Net income available to common shareholders	\$563	\$179	\$1,343	\$884
Average basic common shares	527	534	529	535
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options	2	1	2	1
Restricted stock and warrants	4	4	4	3
Average diluted common shares	533	539	535	539
Net income per average common share - diluted	\$1.06	\$0.33	\$2.51	\$1.64
Net income per average common share - basic	\$1.07	\$0.33	\$2.54	\$1.65

NOTE 10 - INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes was an expense of \$67 million, which included a \$130 million tax benefit related to the completion of a tax authority examination, and a benefit of \$133 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was 10.4% and cannot be compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013 as the effective tax rate for that three-month period was not meaningful. The provision for income taxes was \$364 million and \$184 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 20.9% and 16.7%, respectively. The Company calculated the provision for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, by applying the estimated annual effective tax rate to year-to-date pre-tax income and adjusting for discrete items that occurred during the period.

The Company adopted accounting guidance effective January 1, 2014, which allowed amortization expense related to qualified affordable housing investments to be presented net of the income tax credits in the provision for income taxes. Prior to the first quarter of 2014, these amortization expenses were recognized in other noninterest expense. The standard is required to be applied retrospectively; therefore, prior periods have been restated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," for further information related to this new guidance.

The Company's liability for UTBs was \$158 million and \$291 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The decrease in the liability for UTBs was primarily due to the completion of a tax authority examination. It is reasonably possible that the liability for UTBs could decrease by as much as \$50 million during the next 12 months due to the completion of tax authority examinations and expiration of statutes of limitations.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 11 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company sponsors various short-term incentive plans and LTI plans for eligible employees, which may be delivered through various incentive programs, such as RSUs, restricted stock, and LTI cash. AIP is the Company's short-term cash incentive plan for key employees that provides for potential annual cash awards based on the Company's performance and/or the achievement of business unit and individual performance objectives. Awards under the LTI cash plan generally cliff vest over a period of three years from the date of the award and are paid in cash. All incentive awards are subject to clawback provisions. Compensation expense for these incentive plans with cash payouts was \$61 million and \$30 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$157 million and \$108 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company provides stock-based awards through the 2009 Stock Plan under which the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has the authority to grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and RSUs to key employees of the Company. Some awards may have performance or other conditions, such as vesting tied to the Company's total shareholder return relative to a peer group or vesting tied to the achievement of an absolute financial performance target.

In February 2014, the Compensation Committee and Board of Directors approved, subject to shareholder approval, an amendment to the 2009 Stock Plan to remove the sub-limit on shares available for grant that may be issued as restricted stock or RSUs. Following shareholder approval of the Plan amendment, which occurred on April 22, 2014, all of the 17 million remaining authorized shares previously under the Plan became available for grant as stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, or RSUs. Prior to the Plan amendment, only a portion of such shares were available to be granted as either restricted stock or RSUs. At September 30, 2014, approximately 17 million shares remained available for grant.

Shares or units of restricted stock may be granted to employees and directors. Generally, grants to employees either cliff vest after three years or vest pro rata annually over three years. Restricted stock grants may be subject to one or more criteria, including employment, performance, or other conditions as established by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant. Any shares of restricted stock that are forfeited will again become available for issuance under the Stock Plan. An employee or director has the right to vote the shares of restricted stock after grant unless and until they are forfeited. Compensation cost for restricted stock is equal to the fair market value of the shares on the grant date of the award and is amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period. Dividends are paid on awarded but unvested restricted stock. SunTrust does not pay dividends on unvested RSU awards but instead accrue and reinvest them in equivalent shares of SunTrust common stock and pay them only if the underlying RSU award vests. Generally, RSU awards are classified as equity.

Stock options were granted at an exercise price that was no less than the fair market value of a share of SunTrust common stock on the grant date and were either tax-qualified incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options. Stock options typically vest pro-rata over three years and generally have a maximum contractual life of ten years. Upon exercise, shares are generally issued from treasury stock. No stock options were granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, consistent with the Company's decision to discontinue the issuance of stock options in 2014. The weighted average fair value of options granted during the nine months of 2013 was \$7.37 per share. The fair value of each option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the following assumptions for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

Dividend yield	1.28	%
Expected stock price volatility	30.98	
Risk-free interest rate (weighted average)	1.02	

Expected life of options

6 years

33

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in noninterest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, was as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30 2014	2013	30 2014	2013
Stock-based compensation expense:				
Stock options	\$—	\$1	\$1	\$5
Restricted stock	7	9	21	24
RSUs	5	2	27	15
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$12	\$12	\$49	\$44

The recognized stock-based compensation tax benefit was \$5 million for both the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$19 million and \$17 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Retirement Plans

SunTrust did not contribute to either of its noncontributory qualified retirement plans ("Retirement Benefit Plans") during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The expected long-term rates of return on plan assets net of administrative fees for the Retirement Benefit Plans are 7.0% for the SunTrust Retirement Plan and 6.5% for the NCF Retirement Plan for 2014.

Anticipated employer contributions/benefit payments for 2014 are \$7 million for the SERP. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the contributions/benefit payments were \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the contributions/benefits payments were \$3 million and \$7 million, respectively.

SunTrust contributed less than \$1 million to the Postretirement Welfare Plan during both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Additionally, SunTrust expects to receive a Medicare Part D Subsidy reimbursement for 2014 of less than \$1 million. The expected pre-tax long-term rate of return on plan assets for the Postretirement Welfare Plan is 5.25% for 2014.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Components of net periodic benefit for the three and nine months ended September 30, were as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			
	2014		2013	
	Pension Benefits ¹	Other Postretirement Benefits	Pension Benefits ¹	Other Postretirement Benefits
Service cost	\$2	\$—	\$2	\$—
Interest cost/(credit)	31	(1)	28	1
Expected return on plan assets	(50)	(1)	(48)	(1)
Recognized net actuarial loss	4	—	6	—
Net periodic benefit	(\$13)	(\$2)	(\$12)	\$—

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2014		2013	
	Pension Benefits ¹	Other Postretirement Benefits	Pension Benefits ¹	Other Postretirement Benefits
Service cost	\$4	\$—	\$4	\$—
Interest cost	93	2	84	4
Expected return on plan assets	(150)	(4)	(143)	(4)
Amortization of prior service credit	—	(4)	—	—
Recognized net actuarial loss	12	—	19	—
Net periodic benefit	(\$41)	(\$6)	(\$36)	\$—

¹ Administrative fees are recognized in service cost for each of the periods presented.

NOTE 12 - DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company enters into various derivative financial instruments, both in a dealer capacity to facilitate client transactions and as an end user as a risk management tool. ALCO monitors all derivative activities. When derivatives have been entered into with clients, the Company generally manages the risk associated with these derivatives within the framework of its VAR methodology that monitors total daily exposure and seeks to manage the exposure on an overall basis. Derivatives are also used as a risk management tool to hedge the Company's balance sheet exposure to

changes in identified cash flow and fair value risks, either economically or in accordance with hedge accounting provisions. The Company's Corporate Treasury function is responsible for employing the various hedge accounting strategies to manage these objectives. Additionally, as a normal part of its operations, the Company enters into IRLCs on mortgage loans that are accounted for as freestanding derivatives and has certain contracts containing embedded derivatives that are carried, in their entirety, at fair value. All freestanding derivatives and any embedded derivatives that the Company bifurcates from the host contracts are carried at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in trading assets and derivatives and trading liabilities and derivatives. The associated gains and losses are either recognized in AOCI, net of tax, or within the Consolidated Statements of Income, depending upon the use and designation of the derivatives.

Credit and Market Risk Associated with Derivatives

Derivatives expose the Company to credit risk. The Company minimizes the credit risk of derivatives by entering into transactions with counterparties with defined exposure limits based on credit quality that are reviewed periodically by the Company's Credit Risk Management division. The Company's derivatives may also be governed by an ISDA or other master agreement, and depending on the nature of the derivative, bilateral collateral agreements are typically in place as well. In 2013, the Company became subject to OTC derivative clearing requirements as a registered swap dealer. As a result, certain derivatives are now required to be cleared through central clearing members in which the Company is required to post initial margin and, in addition, to further mitigate the risk of non-payment, variation margin is received or paid daily based on the net asset or liability position of the contracts. When the Company has more than one outstanding derivative transaction with a single counterparty and there exists a legal right of offset with that counterparty, the Company considers its exposure to the counterparty to be the net market

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

value of its derivative positions with that counterparty if an asset, adjusted for held collateral. At September 30, 2014, these net derivative asset positions were \$855 million, representing the \$1.2 billion of derivative net gains adjusted for cash and other collateral of \$370 million that the Company held in relation to these gain positions. At December 31, 2013, net derivative asset positions were \$1.0 billion, representing \$1.5 billion of derivative net gains, adjusted for cash and other collateral of \$523 million that the Company held in relation to these gain positions.

Derivatives also expose the Company to market risk. Market risk is the adverse effect that a change in market factors, such as interest rates, currency rates, equity prices, or implied volatility, has on the value of a derivative. The Company manages the market risk associated with its derivatives by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. The Company continually measures this risk associated with its derivatives designated as trading instruments using a VAR methodology.

Derivative instruments are priced with observable market assumptions at a mid-market valuation point, with appropriate valuation adjustments for liquidity and credit risk. For purposes of valuation adjustments to its derivative positions, the Company has evaluated liquidity premiums that may be demanded by market participants, as well as the credit risk of its counterparties and its own credit. The Company has considered factors such as the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties, its net exposures, and remaining maturities in determining the appropriate fair value adjustments to recognize. Generally, the expected loss of each counterparty is estimated using the Company's internal risk rating system. The risk rating system utilizes counterparty-specific PD and LGD estimates to derive the expected loss. Additionally, counterparty exposure is evaluated by offsetting positions that are subject to master netting arrangements, as well as by considering the amount of marketable collateral securing the position. All counterparties and defined exposure limits are explicitly approved. Counterparties are regularly reviewed and appropriate business action is taken to adjust the exposure to certain counterparties, as necessary. This approach is also used by the Company to estimate its own credit risk on derivative liability positions. The Company adjusted the net fair value of its derivative contracts for estimates of net counterparty credit risk by approximately \$13 million and \$16 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Currently, the majority of the Company's derivatives contain contingencies that relate to the creditworthiness of the Bank. These contingencies, which are contained in industry standard master netting agreements, may be considered events of default. Should the Bank be in default under any of these provisions, the Bank's counterparties would be permitted to close-out net at amounts, that would approximate the then-fair values of the derivatives, resulting in a single sum due by one party to the other. The counterparties would have the right to apply any collateral posted by the Bank against any net amount owed by the Bank. Additionally, certain of the Company's derivative liability positions, totaling \$916 million and \$941 million in fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, contain provisions conditioned on downgrades of the Bank's credit rating. These provisions, if triggered, would either give rise to an ATE that permits the counterparties to close-out net and apply collateral or, where a CSA is present, require the Bank to post additional collateral. At September 30, 2014, the Bank carried senior long-term debt ratings of A3/BBB+ from three of the major ratings agencies. During October 2014 one of the agencies upgraded the Bank's senior long term rating to "A-." At September 30, 2014, ATEs have been triggered for approximately \$6 million in fair value liabilities. For illustrative purposes, if the Bank were downgraded to BB+, ATEs would be triggered in derivative liability contracts that had a total fair value of \$2 million at September 30, 2014; ATEs do not exist at lower ratings levels. At September 30, 2014, \$910 million in fair value of derivative liabilities were subject to CSAs, against which the Bank has posted \$869 million in collateral, primarily in the form of cash. If requested by the counterparty pursuant to the terms of the CSA, the Bank would be required to post estimated additional collateral against these contracts at September 30, 2014, of \$12 million if the Bank were downgraded to Baa3/BBB-, and any further downgrades to Ba1/BB+ or below do not contain predetermined collateral posting levels.

Notional and Fair Value of Derivative Positions

The following tables present the Company's derivative positions at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The notional amounts in the tables are presented on a gross basis and have been classified within Asset Derivatives or

Liability Derivatives based on the estimated fair value of the individual contract at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Gross positive and gross negative fair value amounts associated with respective notional amounts are presented without consideration of any netting agreements, including collateral arrangements. Net fair value derivative amounts are adjusted on an aggregate basis, where applicable, to take into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements, including any cash collateral received or paid, and are recognized in trading assets and derivatives or trading liabilities and derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. For contracts constituting a combination of options that contain a written option and a purchased option (such as a collar), the notional amount of each option is presented separately, with the purchased notional amount generally being presented as an Asset Derivative and the written notional amount being presented as a Liability Derivative. For contracts that contain a combination of options, the fair value is generally presented as a single value with the purchased notional amount if the combined fair value is positive, and with the written notional amount, if the combined fair value is negative.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014			
	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Notional Amounts	Fair Value	Notional Amounts	Fair Value
Derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships ¹				
Interest rate contracts hedging floating rate loans	\$16,900	\$241	\$5,750	\$17
Derivatives designated in fair value hedging relationships ²				
Interest rate contracts covering fixed rate debt	1,000	35	1,300	1
Interest rate contracts covering brokered CDs	—	—	30	—
Total	1,000	35	1,330	1
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments ³				
Interest rate contracts covering:				
Fixed rate debt	—	—	60	6
MSRs	3,738	69	8,041	21
LHFS, IRLCs ⁴	1,876	4	3,081	5
Trading activity ⁵	61,577	2,172	61,727	1,997
Foreign exchange rate contracts covering trading activity	2,809	80	2,287	74
Credit contracts covering:				
Loans	—	—	572	8
Trading activity ⁶	1,749	11	1,764	7
Equity contracts - Trading activity ⁵	21,079	2,703	27,872	2,872
Other contracts:				
IRLCs and other ⁷	2,202	17	345	12
Commodities	273	8	263	7
Total	95,303	5,064	106,012	5,009
Total derivatives	\$113,203	\$5,340	\$113,092	\$5,027
Total gross derivatives, before netting		\$5,340		\$5,027
Less: Legally enforceable master netting agreements		(3,791)		(3,791)
Less: Cash collateral received/paid		(312)		(876)
Total derivatives, after netting		\$1,237		\$360

¹ See “Cash Flow Hedges” in this Note for further discussion.

² See “Fair Value Hedges” in this Note for further discussion.

³ See “Economic Hedging and Trading Activities” in this Note for further discussion.

⁴ Amount includes \$1.1 billion of notional amounts related to interest rate futures. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative asset or liability associated with the one day lag is included in the fair value column of this table.

⁵ Amounts include \$13.3 billion and \$409 million of notional related to interest rate futures and equity futures, respectively. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative assets/liabilities associated with the one day lag are included in the fair value column of this table.

⁶ Asset and liability amounts each include \$4 million, respectively, of notional from purchased and written credit risk participation agreements, respectively, whose notional is calculated as the notional of the derivative participated adjusted by the relevant RWA conversion factor.

⁷ Includes a notional amount that is based on the number of Visa Class B shares, 3.2 million, the conversion ratio from Class B shares to Class A shares, and the Class A share price at the derivative inception date of May 28, 2009. This derivative was established upon the sale of Class B shares in the second quarter of 2009 as discussed in Note 13, “Guarantees.” The fair value of the derivative liability, which relates to a notional amount of \$55 million, is immaterial

and is recognized in trading assets and derivatives in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013			
	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Notional Amounts	Fair Value	Notional Amounts	Fair Value
Derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships ¹				
Interest rate contracts hedging floating rate loans	\$17,250	\$471	\$—	\$—
Derivatives designated in fair value hedging relationships ²				
Interest rate contracts covering fixed rate debt	2,000	52	900	24
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments ³				
Interest rate contracts covering:				
Fixed rate debt	—	—	60	7
MSRs	1,425	27	6,898	79
LHFS, IRLCs ⁴	4,561	30	1,317	5
Trading activity ⁵	70,615	2,917	65,299	2,742
Foreign exchange rate contracts covering trading activity	2,449	61	2,624	57
Credit contracts covering:				
Loans	—	—	427	5
Trading activity ⁶	1,568	37	1,579	34
Equity contracts - Trading activity ⁵	19,595	2,504	24,712	2,702
Other contracts:				
IRLCs and other ⁷	1,114	12	755	4
Commodities	241	14	228	14
Total	101,568	5,602	103,899	5,649
Total derivatives	\$120,818	\$6,125	\$104,799	\$5,673
Total gross derivatives, before netting		\$6,125		\$5,673
Less: Legally enforceable master netting agreements		(4,284)		(4,284)
Less: Cash collateral received/paid		(457)		(864)
Total derivatives, after netting		\$1,384		\$525

¹ See “Cash Flow Hedges” in this Note for further discussion.

² See “Fair Value Hedges” in this Note for further discussion.

³ See “Economic Hedging and Trading Activities” in this Note for further discussion.

⁴ Amount includes \$885 million of notional amounts related to interest rate futures. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative liability associated with the one day lag is included in the fair value column of this table.

⁵ Amounts include \$15.2 billion and \$157 million of notional related to interest rate futures and equity futures, respectively. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative asset associated with the one day lag is included in the fair value column of this table.

⁶ Asset and liability amounts each include \$4 million and \$5 million of notional from purchased and written interest rate swap risk participation agreements, respectively, whose notional is calculated as the notional of the interest rate swap participated adjusted by the relevant RWA conversion factor.

⁷ Includes a notional amount that is based on the number of Visa Class B shares, 3.2 million, the conversion ratio from Class B shares to Class A shares, and the Class A share price at the derivative inception date of May 28, 2009. This derivative was established upon the sale of Class B shares in the second quarter of 2009 as discussed in Note 13, “Guarantees.” The fair value of the derivative liability, which relates to a notional amount of \$55 million, is immaterial and is recognized in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Impact of Derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Shareholders' Equity

The impacts of derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income and the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are presented below. The impacts are segregated between those derivatives that are designated in hedging relationships and those that are used for economic hedging or trading purposes, with further identification of the underlying risks in the derivatives and the hedged items, where appropriate. The tables do not disclose the financial impact of the activities that these derivative instruments are intended to hedge.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	Amount of pre-tax loss recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Classification of gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax gain recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:

Interest rate contracts hedging floating rate loans ¹	(\$31)	Interest and fees on loans	\$76	\$36	\$225
--	---------	----------------------------	------	------	-------

¹ During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company also reclassified \$23 million and \$77 million, respectively, pre-tax gains from AOCI into net interest income. These gains related to hedging relationships that have been previously terminated or de-designated and are reclassified into earnings in the same period in which the forecasted transaction occurs.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Amount of loss on Derivatives recognized in Income	Amount of gain on related Hedged Items recognized in Income	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion)	Amount of gain on Derivatives recognized in Income	Amount of loss on related Hedged Items recognized in Income	Amount of gain recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion)

Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships:

Interest rate contracts hedging fixed rate debt ¹	(\$7)	\$7	\$—	\$10	(\$9)	\$1
--	--------	-----	-----	------	--------	-----

¹ Amounts are recognized in trading income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(Dollars in millions)	Classification of (loss)/gain recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of gain recognized in Income on Derivatives during the Three Months	Amount of (loss)/gain recognized in Income on Derivatives during the Nine Months
-----------------------	---	--	--

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

		Ended September 30, 2014	Ended September 30, 2014
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate contracts covering:			
Fixed rate debt	Trading income	\$—	(\$1)
MSRs	Mortgage servicing related income	17	138
LHFS, IRLCs	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	4	(92)
Trading activity	Trading income	9	35
Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:			
Trading activity	Trading income	44	43
Credit contracts covering:			
Loans	Other noninterest income	1	—
Trading activity	Trading income	4	13
Equity contracts - trading activity	Trading income	1	4
Other contracts - IRLCs	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	52	190
Total		\$132	\$330

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Amount of pre-tax gain recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Classification of gain/(loss) from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax (loss)/gain recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:					
Interest rate contracts hedging forecasted debt	\$—	Interest on long-term debt	\$—	(\$2)	\$—
Interest rate contracts hedging floating rate loans ¹	60	Interest and fees on loans	80	17	246
Total	\$60		\$80	\$15	\$246

¹ During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company also reclassified \$21 million and \$69 million, respectively, pre-tax gains from AOCI into net interest income. These gains related to hedging relationships that have been previously terminated or de-designated and are reclassified into earnings in the same period in which the forecasted transaction occurs.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Amount of gain on Derivatives recognized in Income	Amount of loss on related Hedged Items recognized in Income	Amount of loss recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion)	Amount of loss on Derivatives recognized in Income	Amount of gain on related Hedged Items recognized in Income	Amount of loss recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion)
Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships:						
Interest rate contracts hedging fixed rate debt ¹	\$4	(\$6)	(\$2)	(\$19)	\$18	(\$1)

¹ Amounts are recognized in trading income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(Dollars in millions)	Classification of (loss)/gain recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of (loss)/gain recognized in Income on Derivatives during the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives during the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:

Interest rate contracts covering:

Fixed rate debt	Trading income	(\$1)	\$1	
MSRs	Mortgage servicing related income	(18)	(\$232)
LHFS, IRLCs	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	(33)	258	
Trading activity	Trading income	20		46	
Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:					
Commercial loans	Trading income	2		1	
Trading activity	Trading income	(9)	17	
Credit contracts covering:					
Loans	Other noninterest income	(1)	(3)
Trading activity	Trading income	6		16	
Equity contracts - trading activity	Trading income	1		(14)
Other contracts - IRLCs	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	47		74	
Total		\$14		\$164	

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Netting of Derivatives

The Company has various financial assets and financial liabilities that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar agreements. The Company's securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar agreements, are discussed in Note 3, "Federal Funds Sold and Securities Borrowed or Purchased Under Agreements to Resell and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase." The Company enters into ISDA or other legally enforceable industry standard master netting arrangements with derivative counterparties. Under the terms of the master netting arrangements, all transactions between the Company and the counterparty constitute a single business relationship such that in the event of default, the nondefaulting party is entitled to set off claims and apply property held by that party in respect of any transaction against obligations owed. Any payments, deliveries, or other transfers may be applied against each other and netted.

The table below shows total gross derivative assets and liabilities which are adjusted on an aggregate basis, where applicable to take into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements, including any cash collateral received or paid, for the net reported amount in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Also included in the table is financial instrument collateral related to legally enforceable master netting agreements that represents securities collateral received or pledged and customer cash collateral held at third-party custodians. These amounts are not offset on the Consolidated Balance Sheets but are shown as a reduction to total derivative assets and liabilities in the table to derive net derivative assets and liabilities. These amounts are limited to the derivative asset/liability balance, and accordingly, do not include excess collateral received/pledged.

The following tables present the Company's gross derivative financial assets and liabilities at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and the related impact of enforceable master netting arrangements and cash collateral, where applicable:

(Dollars in millions)	Gross Amount	Amount Offset	Net Amount Presented in Consolidated Balance Sheets	Held/Pledged Financial Instruments	Net Amount
September 30, 2014					
Derivative financial assets:					
Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	\$4,526	\$3,613	\$913	\$58	\$855
Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	17	—	17	—	17
Exchange traded derivatives	797	490	307	—	307
Total derivative financial assets	\$5,340	\$4,103	\$1,237	¹ \$58	\$1,179
Derivative financial liabilities:					
Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	\$4,385	\$4,177	\$208	\$19	\$189
Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	151	—	151	—	151
Exchange traded derivatives	491	490	1	—	1
Total derivative financial liabilities	\$5,027	\$4,667	\$360	² \$19	\$341

December 31, 2013

Derivative financial assets:

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	\$5,285	\$4,239	\$1,046	\$51	\$995
Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	12	—	12	—	12
Exchange traded derivatives	828	502	326	—	326
Total derivative financial assets	\$6,125	\$4,741	\$1,384	¹ \$51	\$1,333
Derivative financial liabilities:					
Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	\$4,982	\$4,646	\$336	\$13	\$323
Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	189	—	189	—	189
Exchange traded derivatives	502	502	—	—	—
Total derivative financial liabilities	\$5,673	\$5,148	\$525	² \$13	\$512

¹ At September 30, 2014, \$1.2 billion, net of \$312 million offsetting cash collateral, is recognized in trading assets and derivatives within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2013, \$1.4 billion, net of \$457 million offsetting cash collateral, is recognized in trading assets and derivatives within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

² At September 30, 2014, \$360 million, net of \$876 million offsetting cash collateral, is recognized in trading liabilities and derivatives within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2013, \$525 million, net of \$864 million offsetting cash collateral, is recognized in trading liabilities and derivatives within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Credit Derivatives

As part of its trading businesses, the Company enters into contracts that are, in form or substance, written guarantees: specifically, CDS, risk participations, and TRS. The Company accounts for these contracts as derivatives and, accordingly, recognizes these contracts at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in trading income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Company writes CDS, which are agreements under which the Company receives premium payments from its counterparty for protection against an event of default of a reference asset. In the event of default under the CDS, the Company would either net cash settle or make a cash payment to its counterparty and take delivery of the defaulted reference asset, from which the Company may recover all, a portion, or none of the credit loss, depending on the performance of the reference asset. Events of default, as defined in the CDS agreements, are generally triggered upon the failure to pay and similar events related to the issuer(s) of the reference asset. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, all written CDS contracts reference single name corporate credits or corporate credit indices. When the Company has written CDS, it has generally entered into offsetting CDS for the underlying reference asset, under which the Company paid a premium to its counterparty for protection against an event of default on the reference asset. The counterparties to these purchased CDS are generally of high creditworthiness and typically have ISDA master netting agreements in place that subject the CDS to master netting provisions, thereby, mitigating the risk of non-payment to the Company. As such, at September 30, 2014 the Company did not have any material risk of making a non-recoverable payment on any written CDS. During 2014 and 2013, the only instances of default on written CDS were driven by credit indices with constituent credit default. In all cases where the Company made resulting cash payments to settle, the Company collected like amounts from the counterparties to the offsetting purchased CDS. At September 30, 2014, there were no written CDS positions outstanding. The fair values of written CDS were \$3 million at December 31, 2013. The maximum guarantees outstanding at December 31, 2013, as measured by the gross notional amounts of written CDS, were \$60 million. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the gross notional amounts of purchased CDS contracts, which represent benefits to, rather than obligations of, the Company, were \$15 million and \$70 million, respectively. The fair values of purchased CDS were less than \$1 million and \$3 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The Company has also entered into TRS contracts on loans. The Company's TRS business consists of matched trades, such that when the Company pays depreciation on one TRS, it receives the same amount on the matched TRS. To mitigate its credit risk, the Company typically receives initial cash collateral from the counterparty upon entering into the TRS and is entitled to additional collateral if the fair value of the underlying reference assets deteriorates. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were \$1.7 billion and \$1.5 billion of outstanding and offsetting TRS notional balances, respectively. The fair values of the TRS derivative assets and liabilities at September 30, 2014, were \$10 million and \$7 million, respectively, and related collateral held at September 30, 2014, was \$267 million. The fair values of the TRS derivative assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013, were \$35 million and \$31 million, respectively, and related collateral held at December 31, 2013, was \$228 million.

The Company writes risk participations, which are credit derivatives, whereby the Company has guaranteed payment to a dealer counterparty in the event that the counterparty experiences a loss on a derivative, such as an interest rate swap, due to a failure to pay by the counterparty's customer (the "obligor") on that derivative. The Company monitors its payment risk on its risk participations by monitoring the creditworthiness of the obligors, which is based on the normal credit review process the Company would have performed had it entered into the derivatives directly with the obligors. The obligors are all corporations or partnerships. The Company continues to monitor the creditworthiness of its obligors and the likelihood of payment could change at any time due to unforeseen circumstances. To date, no material losses have been incurred related to the Company's written risk participations. At September 30, 2014, the remaining terms on these risk participations generally ranged from less than one year to nine years, with a weighted average on the maximum estimated exposure of 5.4 years. The Company's maximum estimated exposure to written risk participations, as measured by projecting a maximum value of the guaranteed derivative instruments based on interest rate curve simulations and assuming 100% default by all obligors on the maximum values, was approximately

\$30 million and \$33 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The fair values of the written risk participations were less than \$1 million at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. As part of its trading activities, the Company may enter into purchased risk participations to mitigate credit exposure to a derivative counterparty.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company utilizes a comprehensive risk management strategy to monitor sensitivity of earnings to movements in interest rates. Specific types of funding and principal amounts hedged are determined based on prevailing market conditions and the shape of the yield curve. In conjunction with this strategy, the Company may employ various interest rate derivatives as risk management tools to hedge interest rate risk from recognized assets and liabilities or from forecasted transactions. The terms and notional amounts of derivatives are determined based on management's assessment of future interest rates, as well as other factors.

Interest rate swaps have been designated as hedging the exposure to the benchmark interest rate risk associated with floating rate loans. At September 30, 2014, the range of hedge maturities for hedges of floating rate loans was between less than one year and five years, with the weighted average being 1.8 years. The Company recorded no ineffectiveness on these hedges during

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Ineffectiveness on these hedges was less than \$1 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2014, \$231 million of the deferred net gains on derivatives that are recognized in AOCI are expected to be reclassified to net interest income over the next twelve months in connection with the recognition of interest income on these hedged items. The amount to be reclassified into income includes both active and terminated or de-designated cash flow hedges. The Company may choose to terminate or de-designate a hedging relationship in this program due to a change in the risk management objective for that specific hedge item, which may arise in conjunction with an overall balance sheet management strategy.

Fair Value Hedges

The Company enters into interest rate swap agreements as part of the Company's risk management objectives for hedging its exposure to changes in fair value due to changes in interest rates. These hedging arrangements convert Company-issued fixed rate long-term debt to floating rates. Consistent with this objective, the Company reflects the accrued contractual interest on the hedged item and the related swaps as part of current period interest. There were no components of derivative gains or losses excluded in the Company's assessment of hedge effectiveness related to the fair value hedges.

Economic Hedging and Trading Activities

In addition to designated hedging relationships, the Company also enters into derivatives as an end user as a risk management tool to economically hedge risks associated with certain non-derivative and derivative instruments, along with entering into derivatives in a trading capacity with its clients.

The primary risks that the Company economically hedges are interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, and credit risk. Economic hedging objectives are accomplished by entering into offsetting derivatives either on an individual basis or collectively on a macro basis and generally accomplish the Company's goal of mitigating the targeted risk. To the extent that specific derivatives are associated with specific hedged items, the notional amounts, fair values, and gains/(losses) on the derivatives are illustrated in the tables in this footnote.

¶The Company utilizes interest rate derivatives to mitigate exposures from various instruments.

The Company is subject to interest rate risk on its fixed rate debt. As market interest rates move, the fair value of the Company's debt is affected. To protect against this risk on certain debt issuances that the Company has elected to carry at fair value, the Company has entered into pay variable-receive fixed interest rate swaps that decrease in value in a rising rate environment and increase in value in a declining rate environment.

The Company is exposed to risk on the returns of certain of its brokered deposits that are carried at fair value. To hedge against this risk, the Company has entered into interest rate derivatives that mirror the risk profile of the returns on these instruments.

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk associated with MSRMs, which the Company hedges with a combination of mortgage and interest rate derivatives, including forward and option contracts, futures, and forward rate agreements.

The Company enters into mortgage and interest rate derivatives, including forward contracts, futures, and option contracts to mitigate interest rate risk associated with IRLCs and mortgage LHFS.

¶The Company is exposed to foreign exchange rate risk associated with certain commercial loans.

The Company enters into CDS to hedge credit risk associated with certain loans held within its Wholesale Banking segment. The Company accounts for these contracts as derivatives and, accordingly, recognizes these contracts at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

¶Trading activity, as illustrated in the tables within this footnote, primarily includes interest rate swaps, equity derivatives, CDS, futures, options, foreign currency contracts, and commodities. These derivatives are entered into in a dealer capacity to facilitate client transactions or are utilized as a risk management tool by the Company as an end user in certain macro-hedging strategies. The macro-hedging strategies are focused on managing the Company's overall interest rate risk exposure that is not otherwise hedged by derivatives or in connection with specific hedges

and, therefore, the Company does not specifically associate individual derivatives with specific assets or liabilities.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 13 – GUARANTEES

The Company has undertaken certain guarantee obligations in the ordinary course of business. The issuance of a guarantee imposes an obligation for the Company to stand ready to perform and make future payments should certain triggering events occur. Payments may be in the form of cash, financial instruments, other assets, shares of stock, or provision of the Company's services. The following is a discussion of the guarantees that the Company has issued at September 30, 2014. The Company has also entered into certain contracts that are similar to guarantees, but that are accounted for as derivatives as discussed in Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments."

Letters of Credit

Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company, generally to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party in borrowing arrangements, such as CP, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to clients and may be reduced by selling participations to third parties. The Company issues letters of credit that are classified as financial standby, performance standby, or commercial letters of credit.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the maximum potential amount of the Company's obligation was \$3.2 billion and \$3.3 billion for issued financial and performance standby letters of credit, respectively. The Company's outstanding letters of credit generally have a term of less than one year but may extend longer. If a letter of credit is drawn upon, the Company may seek recourse through the client's underlying loan obligation. If the client's line of credit is also in default, the Company may take possession of the collateral securing the line of credit, where applicable. The Company monitors its credit exposure under standby letters of credit in the same manner as it monitors other extensions of credit in accordance with its credit policies. Some standby letters of credit are designed to be drawn upon and others are drawn upon only under circumstances of dispute or default in the underlying transaction to which the Company is not a party. In all cases, the Company is entitled to reimbursement from the applicant and may or may not also hold collateral to secure its interests. An internal assessment of the PD and loss severity in the event of default is performed consistent with the methodologies used for all commercial borrowers. The management of credit risk regarding letters of credit leverages the risk rating process to focus greater visibility on higher risk and/or higher dollar letters of credit. The associated reserve is a component of the unfunded commitments reserve recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and included in the allowance for credit losses as disclosed in Note 6, "Allowance for Credit Losses." Additionally, unearned fees relating to letters of credit are recorded in other liabilities. The net carrying amount of unearned fees was immaterial at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Loan Sales and Servicing

STM, a consolidated subsidiary of the Company, originates and purchases residential mortgage loans, a portion of which are sold to outside investors in the normal course of business, through a combination of whole loan sales to GSEs, Ginnie Mae, and non-agency investors. Prior to 2008, the Company also sold loans through a limited number of Company-sponsored securitizations. When mortgage loans are sold, representations and warranties regarding certain attributes of the loans sold are made to third party purchasers. Subsequent to the sale, if a material underwriting deficiency or documentation defect is discovered, STM may be obligated to repurchase the mortgage loan or to reimburse an investor for losses incurred (make whole requests) if such deficiency or defect cannot be cured by STM within the specified period following discovery. Additionally, defects in the securitization process or breaches of underwriting and servicing representations and warranties can result in loan repurchases, as well as adversely affect the valuation of MSR, servicing advances, or other mortgage loan-related exposures, such as OREO. These representations and warranties may extend through the life of the mortgage loan. STM's risk of loss under its representations and warranties is partially driven by borrower payment performance since investors will perform

extensive reviews of delinquent loans as a means of mitigating losses.

44

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Loan repurchase requests have arisen from loans sold during the period from January 1, 2005 to September 30, 2014, which totaled \$307.3 billion at the time of sale, consisting of \$241.1 billion and \$36.0 billion of agency and non-agency loans, respectively, as well as \$30.2 billion of loans sold to Ginnie Mae. The composition of the remaining outstanding loan balance by vintage and type of buyer at September 30, 2014, is shown in the following table:

Remaining Outstanding Balance by Year of Sale											
(Dollars in billions)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
GSE ¹	\$1.5	\$1.7	\$3.2	\$2.9	\$9.5	\$6.4	\$7.2	\$16.3	\$20.0	\$9.6	\$78.3
Ginnie Mae ¹	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.9	2.7	2.1	1.8	3.6	3.3	1.7	16.9
Non-agency	3.0	4.4	2.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.1
Total	\$4.9	\$6.3	\$6.1	\$3.8	\$12.2	\$8.5	\$9.0	\$19.9	\$23.3	\$11.3	\$105.3

¹ Balances based on loans currently serviced by the Company and excludes loans serviced by others and certain loans in foreclosure.

Non-agency loan sales include whole loans and loans sold in private securitization transactions. While representations and warranties have been made related to these sales, they can differ in many cases from those made in connection with loans sold to the GSEs in that non-agency loans may not be required to meet the same underwriting standards and non-agency investors may be required to demonstrate that the alleged breach was material and caused the investors' loss.

Loans sold to Ginnie Mae are insured by either the FHA or VA. As servicer, the Company may elect to repurchase delinquent loans in accordance with Ginnie Mae guidelines; however, the loans continue to be insured. The Company indemnifies the FHA and VA for losses related to loans not originated in accordance with their guidelines. See Note 15, "Contingencies," for additional information on current legal matters related to representations and warranties made in connection with loan sales and the final settlement of HUD's investigation of the Company's origination practices for FHA loans.

Repurchase requests from GSEs, Ginnie Mae, and non-agency investors, for all vintages, were \$139 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, \$1.5 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$1.7 billion during each year ended 2012 and 2011, respectively, and requests received since 2005 on a cumulative basis for all vintages totaled \$8.6 billion. The majority of these requests were from GSEs, with a limited number of requests from non-agency investors. Repurchase requests from non-agency investors were \$1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, and were \$18 million, \$22 million, and \$50 million during the years ended 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additionally, loans originated during 2006 - 2008 have consistently comprised the vast majority of total repurchase requests. During the third quarter of 2013, the Company reached agreements with Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae under which they released the Company from certain existing and future repurchase obligations for loans funded by Freddie Mac between 2000 and 2008 and Fannie Mae between 2000 and 2012.

The repurchase and make whole requests received have been primarily due to alleged material breaches of representations related to compliance with the applicable underwriting standards, including borrower misrepresentation and appraisal issues. STM performs a loan by loan review of all requests and contests demands to the extent they are not considered valid.

At September 30, 2014, the original UPB of loans related to unresolved requests previously received from investors was \$45 million, comprised of \$42 million from the GSEs and \$3 million from non-agency investors. The comparable amount at December 31, 2013, was \$126 million, comprised of \$122 million from the GSEs and \$4 million from non-agency investors.

A significant degree of judgment is used to estimate the mortgage repurchase liability as the estimation process is inherently uncertain and subject to imprecision. The Company believes that its reserve appropriately estimates incurred losses based on its current analysis and assumptions, inclusive of the Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae settlement agreements, GSE owned loans serviced by third party servicers, loans sold to private investors, and future indemnifications. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company's estimate of the liability for incurred losses related to all vintages of mortgage loans sold totaled \$77 million and \$78 million, respectively. However, the 2013 agreements with Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae settling certain aspects of the Company's repurchase obligations preserve their right to require repurchases arising from certain types of events, and that preservation of rights can impact future losses of the Company. While the repurchase reserve includes the estimated cost of settling claims related to required repurchases, the Company's estimate of losses depends on its assumptions regarding GSE and other counterparty behavior, loan performance, home prices, and other factors. The liability is recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the related repurchase provision is recognized as a contra-revenue item in mortgage production related income/(loss) in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company recorded \$2 million and \$12 million of mortgage repurchase provision expenses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's reserve for mortgage loan repurchases:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Balance at beginning of period	\$77	\$363	\$78	\$632
Repurchase provision	2	73	12	102
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	(2) (155) (13) (453
Balance at end of period	\$77	\$281	\$77	\$281

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company repurchased or otherwise settled mortgages with original loan balances of \$23 million and \$800 million, respectively, related to investor demands. At September 30, 2014, the carrying value of outstanding repurchased mortgage loans, net of any allowance for loan losses, was \$325 million, comprised of \$318 million LHFI and \$7 million LHFS, respectively, of which \$48 million LHFI and \$7 million LHFS, were nonperforming. At December 31, 2013, the carrying value of outstanding repurchased mortgage loans, net of any allowance for loan losses, was \$339 million, comprised of \$325 million LHFI and \$14 million LHFS, respectively, of which \$54 million LHFI and \$14 million LHFS, were nonperforming. In addition to representations and warranties related to loan sales, the Company makes representations and warranties that it will service the loans in accordance with investor servicing guidelines and standards, which may include (i) collection and remittance of principal and interest, (ii) administration of escrow for taxes and insurance, (iii) advancing principal, interest, taxes, insurance, and collection expenses on delinquent accounts, (iv) loss mitigation strategies including loan modifications, and (v) foreclosures.

The Company normally retains servicing rights when loans are transferred; however, servicing rights are occasionally sold to third parties. When MSR's are sold, the Company makes representations and warranties related to servicing standards and obligations and recognizes a liability for contingent losses, separate from the reserve for mortgage loan repurchases, which totaled \$26 million and \$21 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Contingent Consideration
The Company has contingent payment obligations related to certain business combination transactions. Payments are calculated using certain post-acquisition performance criteria. The potential obligation and amount recorded as an other liability representing the fair value of the contingent payments was \$24 million and \$26 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. If required, these contingent payments will be payable within the next two years.

Visa

The Company issues credit and debit transactions through Visa and MasterCard International. The Company is a defendant, along with Visa and MasterCard International (the "Card Associations"), as well as several other banks, in one of several antitrust lawsuits challenging the practices of the Card Associations (the "Litigation"). The Company entered into judgment and loss sharing agreements with Visa and certain other banks in order to apportion financial responsibilities arising from any potential adverse judgment or negotiated settlements related to the Litigation. Additionally, in connection with Visa's restructuring in 2007, a provision of the original Visa By-Laws, Section 2.05j, was restated in Visa's certificate of incorporation. Section 2.05j contains a general indemnification provision between a Visa member and Visa, and explicitly provides that after the closing of the restructuring, each member's indemnification obligation is limited to losses arising from its own conduct and the specifically defined Litigation. Agreements associated with Visa's IPO have provisions that Visa will fund a litigation escrow account, established for the purpose of funding judgments in, or settlements of, the Litigation. Since inception of the escrow account, Visa has funded over \$8.5 billion into the escrow account, approximately \$7.0 billion of which has been paid out in Litigation settlements or into a settlement fund, with approximately \$1.5 billion remaining in the escrow account. If the escrow account is insufficient to cover the Litigation losses, then Visa will issue additional Class A shares ("loss shares"). The

proceeds from the sale of the loss shares would then be deposited in the escrow account. The issuance of the loss shares will cause a dilution of Visa's Class B shares as a result of an adjustment to lower the conversion factor of the Class B shares to Class A shares. Visa U.S.A.'s members are responsible for any portion of the settlement or loss on the Litigation after the escrow account is depleted and the value of the Class B shares is fully-diluted. In May 2009, the Company sold its 3.2 million Class B shares to the Visa Counterparty and entered into a derivative with the Visa Counterparty. The Company received \$112 million and recognized a gain of \$112 million in connection with these transactions. Under the derivative, the Visa Counterparty is compensated by the Company for any

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

decline in the conversion factor as a result of the outcome of the Litigation. Conversely, the Company is compensated by the Visa Counterparty for any increase in the conversion factor. The amount of payments made or received under the derivative is a function of the 3.2 million shares sold to the Visa Counterparty, the change in conversion rate, and Visa's share price. The Visa Counterparty, as a result of its ownership of the Class B shares, is impacted by dilutive adjustments to the conversion factor of the Class B shares caused by the Litigation losses. The conversion factor at the inception of the derivative in May 2009 was 0.6296 and at September 30, 2014 the conversion factor was 0.4121 due to Visa's funding of the litigation escrow account since 2009.

During 2012, the Card Associations and defendants signed a memorandum of understanding to enter into a settlement agreement to resolve the plaintiffs' claims in the Litigation. Visa's share of the claims represents approximately \$4.4 billion, which was paid from the escrow account into a settlement fund during 2012. During 2013, various members of the putative class elected to opt out of the settlement which resulted in a proportional decrease in the amount of the settlement and a deposit of approximately \$1.0 billion from the settlement fund back into the escrow account. During the third quarter of 2014, Visa deposited an additional \$450 million into the escrow account, bringing the escrow account to approximately \$1.5 billion. The estimated fair value of the derivative liability was \$11 million and \$2 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively; however, the ultimate impact to the Company could be significantly different if the settlement is not approved and/or based on the ultimate resolution with the plaintiffs that opted out of the settlement.

Tax Credit Investments Sold

SunTrust Community Capital, one of the Company's subsidiaries, previously obtained state and federal tax credits through the construction and development of affordable housing properties and continues to obtain state and federal tax credits through investments in affordable housing developments. SunTrust Community Capital or its subsidiaries are limited and/or general partners in various partnerships established for the properties. Some of the investments that generate state tax credits may be sold to outside investors. At September 30, 2014, SunTrust Community Capital has completed six sales containing guarantee provisions stating that SunTrust Community Capital will make payment to the outside investors if the tax credits become ineligible. SunTrust Community Capital also guarantees that the general partner under the transaction will perform on the delivery of the credits. The guarantees are expected to expire within a fifteen year period from inception. At September 30, 2014, the maximum potential amount that SunTrust Community Capital could be obligated to pay under these guarantees is \$19 million; however, SunTrust Community Capital can seek recourse against the general partner. Additionally, SunTrust Community Capital can seek reimbursement from cash flow and residual values of the underlying affordable housing properties provided that the properties retain value. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$1 million was accrued for the remainder of tax credits to be delivered, and was recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 14 - FAIR VALUE ELECTION AND MEASUREMENT

The Company measures certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis and classifies them as level 1, 2, or 3 within the fair value hierarchy on the basis of whether the measurement employs observable or unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's own assumptions taking into account information about market participant assumptions that is readily available. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following fair value hierarchy encompasses the following measurements:

Level 1: Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2: Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

The Company's recurring fair value measurements are based on a requirement to measure such assets and liabilities at fair value or the Company's election to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Assets and liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis include trading securities, securities AFS, and derivative financial instruments. Assets and liabilities that the Company has elected to measure at fair value on a recurring basis include MSRs, and certain LHFS, LHFI, trading loans, brokered time deposits, and issuances of fixed rate debt.

The Company elects to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value to more accurately align its financial performance with the economic value of actively traded or hedged assets or liabilities. The use of fair value also enables the Company to mitigate non-economic earnings volatility caused from financial assets and liabilities being carried at different bases of accounting, as well as, to more accurately portray the active and dynamic management of the Company's balance sheet.

Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, the Company uses various valuation techniques and assumptions in estimating fair value. The assumptions used to estimate the value of an instrument have varying degrees of impact to the overall fair value of an asset or liability. This process involves the gathering of multiple sources of information, including broker quotes, values provided by pricing services, trading activity in other identical or similar securities, market indices, and pricing matrices. When observable market prices for the asset or liability are not available, the Company employs various modeling techniques, such as discounted cash flow analyses to estimate fair value. Models used to produce material financial reporting information are validated prior to use, and following any material change in methodology. Their performance is monitored quarterly, and any material deterioration in model performance is addressed. This review is performed by an internal group that reports to the Corporate Risk Function.

The Company has formal processes and controls in place to ensure the appropriateness of its fair value estimates. For fair values obtained from a third party or those that include certain trader estimates of fair value, there is an independent price validation function within the Finance organization that provides oversight for these estimates. For level 2 instruments and certain level 3 instruments, the validation generally involves evaluating pricing received from two or more other third party pricing sources that are widely used by market participants. The Company evaluates this pricing information from both a qualitative and quantitative perspective and determines whether any pricing differences exceed acceptable thresholds. If these thresholds are exceeded, then the Company assesses differences in valuation approaches used, which may include contacting a pricing service to gain further insight into the valuation of a particular security or class of securities to resolve the pricing variance, which could include an adjustment to the price used for financial reporting purposes.

The Company classifies instruments within level 2 in the fair value hierarchy when it determines that external pricing sources estimated fair value using prices for similar instruments trading in active markets. A wide range of quoted values from pricing sources may imply a reduced level of market activity and indicate that significant adjustments to price indications have been made. In such cases, the Company evaluates whether the asset or liability should be classified as level 3.

The classification of an instrument as level 3 involves judgment and is based on a variety of subjective factors including whether a market is inactive. A market is considered inactive if significant decreases in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have been observed. In making this determination the Company evaluates the number of recent transactions in either the primary or secondary market, whether price quotations are current, the nature of market participants, the variability of price quotations, the breadth of bid/ask spreads, declines in (or the absence of) new issuances, and the availability of public information. When a market is determined to be inactive, significant adjustments may be made to price indications when estimating fair value. In making these adjustments the Company seeks to employ assumptions a market participant would use to value the asset or liability, including consideration of illiquidity in the referenced market.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present certain information regarding assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the changes in fair value for those specific financial instruments in which fair value has been elected.

September 30, 2014

Fair Value Measurements

(Dollars in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adjustments ¹	Assets/Liabilities at Fair Value
Assets					
Trading assets and derivatives:					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$252	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$252
Federal agency securities	—	460	—	—	460
U.S. states and political subdivisions	—	32	—	—	32
MBS - agency	—	498	—	—	498
CLO securities	—	5	—	—	5
Corporate and other debt securities	—	790	—	—	790
CP	—	261	—	—	261
Equity securities	59	—	—	—	59
Derivative contracts	798	4,525	17	(4,103)	1,237
Trading loans	—	2,188	—	—	2,188
Total trading assets and derivatives	1,109	8,759	17	(4,103)	5,782
Securities AFS:					
U.S. Treasury securities	1,011	—	—	—	1,011
Federal agency securities	—	970	—	—	970
U.S. states and political subdivisions	—	225	12	—	237
MBS - agency	—	22,811	—	—	22,811
MBS - private	—	—	132	—	132
ABS	—	—	21	—	21
Corporate and other debt securities	—	36	5	—	41
Other equity securities ²	109	—	830	—	939
Total securities AFS	1,120	24,042	1,000	—	26,162
Residential LHFS	—	1,559	1	—	1,560
LHFI	—	—	284	—	284
MSRs	—	—	1,305	—	1,305
Liabilities					
Trading liabilities and derivatives:					
U.S. Treasury securities	621	—	—	—	621
Corporate and other debt securities	—	250	—	—	250
Derivative contracts	491	4,524	12	(4,667)	360
Total trading liabilities and derivatives	1,112	4,774	12	(4,667)	1,231
Brokered time deposits	—	87	—	—	87
Long-term debt	—	1,293	—	—	1,293
Other liabilities ³	—	—	24	—	24

¹ Amounts represent offsetting cash collateral received from and paid to the same derivative counterparties and the impact of netting derivative assets and derivative liabilities when a legally enforceable master netting agreement or similar agreement exists.

² Includes \$421 million of FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million of Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$109 million in mutual fund investments, and \$7 million of other.

³ Includes contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013 Fair Value Measurements			Netting Adjustments ₁	Assets/Liabilities at Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Assets					
Trading assets and derivatives:					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$219	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$219
Federal agency securities	—	426	—	—	426
U.S. states and political subdivisions	—	65	—	—	65
MBS - agency	—	323	—	—	323
CDO/CLO securities	—	3	54	—	57
ABS	—	—	6	—	6
Corporate and other debt securities	—	534	—	—	534
CP	—	29	—	—	29
Equity securities	109	—	—	—	109
Derivative contracts	828	5,285	12	(4,741)	1,384
Trading loans	—	1,888	—	—	1,888
Total trading assets and derivatives	1,156	8,553	72	(4,741)	5,040
Securities AFS:					
U.S. Treasury securities	1,293	—	—	—	1,293
Federal agency securities	—	984	—	—	984
U.S. states and political subdivisions	—	203	34	—	237
MBS - agency	—	18,911	—	—	18,911
MBS - private	—	—	154	—	154
ABS	—	58	21	—	79
Corporate and other debt securities	—	37	5	—	42
Other equity securities ²	103	—	739	—	842
Total securities AFS	1,396	20,193	953	—	22,542
LHFS:					
Residential loans	—	1,114	3	—	1,117
Corporate and other loans	—	261	—	—	261
Total LHFS	—	1,375	3	—	1,378
LHFI	—	—	302	—	302
MSRs	—	—	1,300	—	1,300
Liabilities					
Trading liabilities and derivatives:					
U.S. Treasury securities	472	—	—	—	472
Corporate and other debt securities	—	179	—	—	179
Equity securities	5	—	—	—	5
Derivative contracts	502	5,167	4	(5,148)	525
Total trading liabilities and derivatives	979	5,346	4	(5,148)	1,181
Brokered time deposits	—	764	—	—	764
Long-term debt	—	1,556	—	—	1,556

Other liabilities ³	—	—	29	—	29
--------------------------------	---	---	----	---	----

¹ Amounts represent offsetting cash collateral received from and paid to the same derivative counterparties and the impact of netting derivative assets and derivative liabilities when a legally enforceable master netting agreement or similar agreement exists.

² Includes \$336 million of FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million of Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$103 million in mutual fund investments, and \$1 million of other.

³ Includes contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions, as well as the derivative associated with the Company's sale of Visa shares during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following tables present the difference between the aggregate fair value and the UPB of trading loans, LHFS, LHFI, brokered time deposits, and long-term debt instruments for which the FVO has been elected. For LHFS and LHFI for which the FVO has been elected, the tables also include the difference between aggregate fair value and the UPB of loans that are 90 days or more past due, as well as loans in nonaccrual status.

(Dollars in millions)	Aggregate Fair Value at September 30, 2014	Aggregate UPB under FVO at September 30, 2014	Fair Value Over/(Under) Unpaid Principal
Assets:			
Trading loans	\$2,188	\$2,151	\$37
LHFS	1,559	1,511	48
Nonaccrual	1	2	(1)
LHFI	278	292	(14)
Nonaccrual	6	9	(3)
Liabilities:			
Brokered time deposits	87	87	—
Long-term debt	1,293	1,176	117
(Dollars in millions)	Aggregate Fair Value at December 31, 2013	Aggregate UPB under FVO at December 31, 2013	Fair Value Over/(Under) Unpaid Principal
Assets:			
Trading loans	\$1,888	\$1,858	\$30
LHFS	1,375	1,359	16
Past due 90 days or more	1	2	(1)
Nonaccrual	2	15	(13)
LHFI	294	317	(23)
Nonaccrual	8	12	(4)
Liabilities:			
Brokered time deposits	764	761	3
Long-term debt	1,556	1,432	124

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following tables present the change in fair value during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 of financial instruments for which the FVO has been elected, as well as MSR's. The tables do not reflect the change in fair value attributable to the related economic hedges the Company uses to mitigate the market-related risks associated with the financial instruments. Generally, the changes in the fair value of economic hedges are also recognized in trading income, mortgage production related income/(loss), or mortgage servicing related income, as appropriate, and are designed to partially offset the change in fair value of the financial instruments referenced in the tables below. The Company's economic hedging activities are deployed at both the instrument and portfolio level.

(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO				Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO			
	Trading Income	Mortgage Production Related Income/(Loss) ¹	Mortgage Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings ²	Trading Income	Mortgage Production Related Income/(Loss) ¹	Mortgage Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings ²
Assets:								
Trading loans	\$1	\$—	\$—	\$1	\$10	\$—	\$—	\$10
LHFS	—	(32) —	(32) —	(18) —	(18
LHFI	—	—	—	—	—	8	—	8
MSR's	—	—	(55) (55) —	2	(240) (238
Liabilities:								
Brokered time deposits	1	—	—	1	6	—	—	6
Long-term debt	9	—	—	9	6	—	—	6

¹ Income related to LHFS does not include income from IRLCs. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, income related to MSR's includes mortgage servicing income recognized upon the sale of loans reported at LOCOM.

² Changes in fair value for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 exclude accrued interest for the period then ended. Interest income or interest expense on trading loans, LHFS, LHFI, brokered time deposits, and long-term debt that have been elected to be carried at fair value are recognized in interest income or interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO		Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Trading Mortgage	Mortgage

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

	Trading Income	Production Related Income/(Loss) ¹	Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings ²	Income	Production Related Income/(Loss) ¹	Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings ²
Assets:								
Trading loans	\$3	\$—	\$—	\$3	\$8	\$—	\$—	\$8
LHFS	1	4	—	5	2	(103) —	(101)
LHFI	—	5	—	5	—	(5) —	(5)
MSRs	—	1	(56)	(55)	—	3	42	45
Liabilities:								
Brokered time deposits	2	—	—	2	6	—	—	6
Long-term debt	—	—	—	—	27	—	—	27

¹ Income related to LHFS does not include income from IRLCs. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, income related to MSRs includes mortgage servicing income recognized upon the sale of loans reported at LOCOM.

² Changes in fair value for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 exclude accrued interest for the period then ended. Interest income or interest expense on trading loans, LHFS, LHFI, brokered time deposits, and long-term debt that have been elected to be carried at fair value are recognized in interest income or interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following is a discussion of the valuation techniques and inputs used in developing fair value measurements for assets and liabilities classified as level 2 or 3 that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, based on the class of asset or liability as determined by the nature and risks of the instrument.

Trading Assets and Derivatives and Securities Available for Sale

Unless otherwise indicated, trading assets are priced by the trading desk and securities AFS are valued by an independent third party pricing service.

Federal agency securities

The Company includes in this classification securities issued by federal agencies and GSEs. Agency securities consist of debt obligations issued by HUD, FHLB, and other agencies or collateralized by loans that are guaranteed by the SBA and are, therefore, backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. For SBA instruments, the Company estimated fair value based on pricing from observable trading activity for similar securities or obtained fair values from a third party pricing service. Accordingly, the Company has classified these instruments as level 2.

U.S. states and political subdivisions

The Company's investments in U.S. states and political subdivisions (collectively "municipals") include obligations of county and municipal authorities and agency bonds, which are general obligations of the municipality or are supported by a specified revenue source. Holdings were geographically dispersed, with no significant concentrations in any one state or municipality. Additionally, all but an immaterial amount of AFS municipal obligations classified as level 2 are highly rated or are otherwise collateralized by securities backed by the full faith and credit of the federal government. Level 3 AFS municipal securities includes bonds that are only redeemable with the issuer at par and cannot be traded in the market. As such, no significant observable market data for these instruments is available.

MBS – agency

Agency MBS includes pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations issued by GSEs and U.S. government agencies, such as Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae. Each security contains a guarantee by the issuing GSE or agency. For agency MBS, the Company estimated fair value based on pricing from observable trading activity for similar securities or obtained fair values from a third party pricing service; accordingly, the Company has classified these instruments as level 2.

MBS – private

Private MBS includes purchased interests in third party securitizations, as well as retained interests in Company-sponsored securitizations of 2006 and 2007 vintage residential mortgages; including both prime jumbo fixed rate collateral and floating rate collateral. At the time of purchase or origination, these securities had high investment grade ratings; however, through the credit crisis, they have experienced deterioration in credit quality leading to downgrades to non-investment grade levels. Generally, the Company obtains pricing for its securities from an independent pricing service. The Company evaluates third party pricing to determine the reasonableness of the information relative to changes in market data, such as any recent trades, market information received from outside market participants and analysts, and/or changes in the underlying collateral performance. Even though third party pricing has been available, the Company continued to classify private MBS as level 3, as the Company believes that this third party pricing relies on significant unobservable assumptions, as evidenced by a persistently wide bid-ask price range and variability in pricing from the pricing services, particularly for the vintage and exposures held by the Company.

These securities that are classified as AFS are in an unrealized gain position at September 30, 2014. See Note 4, "Securities Available for Sale," for details regarding assumptions used to assess impairment and impairment amounts recognized through earnings on private MBS.

CLO securities

The Company has CLO preference share exposure valued at \$5 million at September 30, 2014. The Company estimated fair value based on pricing from observable trading activity for similar securities. Accordingly, the Company has classified these instruments as level 2.

Asset-Backed Securities

ABS classified as securities AFS includes purchased interests in third party securitizations. These securities are classified as level 3, as the fair value is based on third party pricing with significant unobservable assumptions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Corporate and other debt securities

Corporate debt securities are predominantly comprised of senior and subordinate debt obligations of domestic corporations and are classified as level 2. Other debt securities in level 3 primarily include bonds that are redeemable with the issuer at par and cannot be traded in the market; as such, observable market data for these instruments is not available.

Commercial Paper

From time to time, the Company acquires third party CP that is generally short-term in nature (less than 30 days) and highly rated. The Company estimates the fair value of this CP based on observable pricing from executed trades of similar instruments; thus, CP is classified as level 2.

Equity securities

Level 3 equity securities classified as securities AFS include FHLB stock and Federal Reserve Bank stock, which are redeemable with the issuer at cost and cannot be traded in the market. As such, observable market data for these instruments is not available. The Company accounts for the stock based on industry guidance that requires these investments be carried at cost and evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recovery of cost.

Derivative contracts

The Company holds derivative instruments for both trading purposes and risk management purposes.

Level 1 derivative contracts generally include exchange-traded futures or option contracts for which pricing is readily available. The Company's level 2 instruments are predominantly standard OTC swaps, options, and forwards, measured using observable market assumptions for interest rates, foreign exchange, equity, and credit. Because fair values for OTC contracts are not readily available, the Company estimates fair values using internal, but standard, valuation models. The selection of valuation models is driven by the type of contract: for option-based products, the Company uses an appropriate option pricing model, such as Black-Scholes; for forward-based products, the Company's valuation methodology is generally a discounted cash flow approach.

Level 2 derivative instruments are primarily transacted in the institutional dealer market and priced with observable market assumptions at a mid-market valuation point, with appropriate valuation adjustments for liquidity and credit risk. To this end, the Company has evaluated liquidity premiums required by market participants, as well as the credit risk of its counterparties and its own credit. The Company has considered factors such as the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties, its net exposures, and remaining maturities in determining the appropriate fair value adjustments to record. Generally, the expected loss of each counterparty is estimated using the Company's proprietary internal risk rating system. The risk rating system utilizes counterparty-specific PD and LGD estimates to derive the expected loss. For counterparties that are rated by national rating agencies, those ratings are also considered in estimating the credit risk. In addition, counterparty exposure is evaluated by netting positions that are subject to master netting arrangements, as well as considering the amount of marketable collateral securing the position. Specifically approved counterparties and exposure limits are defined. Creditworthiness of the approved counterparties is regularly reviewed and appropriate business action is taken to adjust the exposure to certain counterparties, as necessary. This approach used to estimate exposures to counterparties is also used by the Company to estimate its own credit risk on derivative liability positions. The Company does not currently employ a funding valuation adjustment to its derivative positions, as market practice in this area continues to evolve. See Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for additional information on the Company's derivative contracts.

The Company's level 3 derivatives include IRLCs that satisfy the criteria to be treated as derivative financial instruments. The fair value of IRLCs on residential LHFS, while based on interest rates observable in the market, is highly dependent on the ultimate closing of the loans. These "pull-through" rates are based on the Company's historical data and reflect the Company's best estimate of the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan. As pull-through rates increase, the fair value of IRLCs also increases. Servicing value is included in the fair value of IRLCs, and the fair value of servicing is determined by projecting cash flows, which are then discounted to

estimate an expected fair value. The fair value of servicing is impacted by a variety of factors, including prepayment assumptions, discount rates, delinquency rates, contractually specified servicing fees, servicing costs, and underlying portfolio characteristics. Because these inputs are not transparent in market trades, IRLCs are considered to be level 3 assets. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company transferred \$64 million and \$181 million, respectively, of net IRLCs out of level 3 as the associated loans were closed. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company transferred \$50 million and \$159 million, respectively, of net IRLCs out of level 3 as the associated loans were closed.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Trading loans

The Company engages in certain businesses whereby the election to measure loans at fair value for financial reporting aligns with the underlying business purpose. Specifically, the loans that are included within this classification are: (i) loans made or acquired in connection with the Company's TRS business (see Note 8, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," and Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for further discussion of this business), (ii) loans backed by the SBA, and (iii) the loan sales and trading business within the Company's Wholesale Banking segment. All of these loans are classified as level 2, due to the market data that the Company uses in the estimate of fair value.

The loans made in connection with the Company's TRS business are short-term, demand loans, whereby the repayment is senior in priority and whose value is collateralized. While these loans do not trade in the market, the Company believes that the par amount of the loans approximates fair value and no unobservable assumptions are made by the Company to arrive at this conclusion. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding \$1.7 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, of such short-term loans carried at fair value.

SBA loans are similar to SBA securities discussed herein under "Federal agency securities," except for their legal form. In both cases, the Company trades instruments that are fully guaranteed by the U.S. government as to contractual principal and interest and there is sufficient observable trading activity upon which to base the estimate of fair value. As these SBA loans are fully guaranteed, the changes in fair value are attributable to factors other than instrument-specific credit risk.

The loans from the Company's sales and trading business are commercial and corporate leveraged loans that are either traded in the market or for which similar loans trade. The Company elected to measure these loans at fair value since they are actively traded. For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, gains or losses the Company recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income due to changes in fair value attributable to instrument-specific credit risk were immaterial. The Company is able to obtain fair value estimates for substantially all of these loans through a third party valuation service that is broadly used by market participants. While most of the loans are traded in the market, the Company does not believe that trading activity qualifies the loans as level 1 instruments, as the volume and level of trading activity is subject to variability and the loans are not exchange-traded, such that the Company believes that level 2 is a more appropriate presentation of the underlying market activity for the loans. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$373 million and \$313 million, respectively, of loans related to the Company's trading business were held in inventory.

Loans Held for Sale and Loans Held for Investment

Residential LHFS

The Company values certain newly-originated mortgage LHFS predominantly at fair value based upon defined product criteria. The Company chooses to fair value these mortgage LHFS to eliminate the complexities and inherent difficulties of achieving hedge accounting and to better align reported results with the underlying economic changes in value of the loans and related hedge instruments. Origination fees and costs are recognized in earnings when earned or incurred. The servicing value is included in the fair value of the loan and initially recognized at the time the Company enters into IRLCs with borrowers. The Company uses derivatives to economically hedge changes in interest rates and servicing value in the fair value of the loan. The mark-to-market adjustments related to LHFS and the associated economic hedges are captured in mortgage production related income/(loss).

Level 2 LHFS are primarily agency loans which trade in active secondary markets and are priced using current market pricing for similar securities adjusted for servicing, interest rate risk, and credit risk. Non-agency residential mortgages are also included in level 2 LHFS. Transfers of certain mortgage LHFS into level 3 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were not due to using alternative valuation approaches, but were largely due to borrower defaults or the identification of other loan defects impacting the marketability of the loans. For residential loans that the Company has elected to measure at fair value, the Company considers the component of the fair value changes due to instrument-specific credit risk, which is intended to be an approximation of the fair value

change attributable to changes in borrower-specific credit risk. For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, gains or losses the Company recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income due to changes in fair value attributable to borrower-specific credit risk were immaterial. In addition to borrower-specific credit risk, there are other, more significant, variables that drive changes in the fair values of the loans, including interest rates and general conditions in the markets for the loans.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Corporate and other LHFS

As discussed in Note 8, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," the Company was previously the primary beneficiary of a CLO entity, which resulted in the Company consolidating its underlying loans. During the second quarter of 2014, in connection with the sale of RidgeWorth, the Company determined it was no longer the primary beneficiary of the CLO, and accordingly, the CLO was deconsolidated. Prior to the second quarter of 2014, the Company elected to measure the loans of the CLO at fair value because the loans were periodically traded by the CLO. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recognized no gains or losses, and an immaterial amount of gains, due to changes in fair value attributable to borrower-specific credit risk in the Consolidated Statements of Income, compared to gains of \$1 million and \$2 million, for the same periods in 2013, respectively.

LHFI

Level 3 LHFI predominantly includes mortgage loans that are deemed not marketable, largely due to the identification of loan defects. The Company chooses to fair value these mortgage LHFI to eliminate the complexities and inherent difficulties of achieving hedge accounting and to better align reported results with the underlying economic changes in value of the loans and related hedge instruments. The Company values these loans using a discounted cash flow approach based on assumptions that are generally not observable in current markets, such as prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severity rates, and discount rates. These assumptions have an inverse relationship to the overall fair value. Level 3 LHFI also includes mortgage loans that are valued using collateral based pricing. Changes in the applicable housing price index since the time of the loan origination are considered and applied to the loan's collateral value. An additional discount representing the return that a buyer would require is also considered in the overall fair value.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company records MSR assets at fair value. These values are determined by projecting cash flows, which are then discounted. The fair values of MSRs are impacted by a variety of factors, including prepayment assumptions, spreads, delinquency rates, contractually specified servicing fees, servicing costs, and underlying portfolio characteristics. For additional information, see Note 7, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The underlying assumptions and estimated values are corroborated by values received from independent third parties based on their review of the servicing portfolio. Because these inputs are not transparent in market trades, MSRs are classified as level 3 assets.

Liabilities

Trading liabilities and derivatives

Trading liabilities are primarily comprised of derivative contracts, but also include various contracts involving U.S. Treasury securities, equity securities, and corporate and other debt securities that the Company uses in certain of its trading businesses. The Company employs the same valuation methodologies for these derivative contracts and securities as are discussed within the corresponding sections herein under "Trading Assets and Derivatives and Securities Available for Sale."

During the second quarter of 2009, in connection with its sale of Visa Class B shares, the Company entered into a derivative contract whereby the ultimate cash payments received or paid, if any, under the contract are based on the ultimate resolution of litigation involving Visa. The value of the derivative was estimated based on the Company's expectations regarding the ultimate resolution of that litigation, which involved a high degree of judgment and subjectivity. Accordingly, the value of the derivative liability is classified as a level 3 instrument. See Note 13, "Guarantees," for a discussion of the valuation assumptions.

Brokered time deposits

The Company has elected to measure certain CDs at fair value. These debt instruments include embedded derivatives that are generally based on underlying equity securities or equity indices, but may be based on other underlyings that may or may not be clearly and closely related to the host debt instrument. The Company elected to measure certain of

these instruments at fair value to better align the economics of the CDs with the Company's risk management strategies. The Company evaluated, on an instrument by instrument basis, whether a new issuance would be measured at fair value.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The Company classified these CDs as level 2 instruments due to the Company's ability to reasonably measure all significant inputs based on observable market variables. The Company employs a discounted cash flow approach to the host debt component of the CD, based on observable market interest rates for the term of the CD and an estimate of the Bank's credit risk. For the embedded derivative features, the Company uses the same valuation methodologies as if the derivative were a standalone derivative, as discussed herein under "Derivative contracts."

For brokered time deposits carried at fair value, the Company estimated credit spreads above LIBOR based on credit spreads from actual or estimated trading levels of the debt or other relevant market data. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized an immaterial amount of losses due to changes in its own credit spread on its brokered time deposits carried at fair value.

Long-term debt

The Company has elected to measure at fair value certain fixed rate debt issuances of public debt which are valued by obtaining quotes from a third party pricing service and utilizing broker quotes to corroborate the reasonableness of those marks. Additionally, information from market data of recent observable trades and indications from buy side investors, if available, are taken into consideration as additional support for the value. Due to the availability of this information, the Company determined that the appropriate classification for the debt is level 2. The election to fair value the debt was made to align the accounting for the debt with the accounting for the derivatives without having to account for the debt under hedge accounting, thus avoiding the complex and time consuming fair value hedge accounting requirements.

The Company's public debt carried at fair value impacts earnings predominantly through changes in the Company's credit spreads as the Company has entered into derivative financial instruments that economically convert the interest rate on the debt from fixed to floating. The estimated earnings impact from changes in credit spreads above U.S. Treasury rates were gains of \$2 million and losses of \$24 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, and losses of \$9 million and \$27 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

At September 30, 2014, the Company did not measure any issued securities of a CLO at fair value. Previously, the Company classified these types of securities as level 2, as the primary driver of their fair values were the loans owned by the CLO, which the Company also elected to measure at fair value prior to the deconsolidation of the CLO, as discussed herein under "Loans Held for Sale and Loans Held for Investment—Corporate and other LHFS."

Other liabilities

The Company's other liabilities that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis include contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions. Contingent consideration associated with acquisitions is adjusted to fair value until settled. As the assumptions used to measure fair value are based on internal metrics that are not market observable, the earn-out is considered a level 3 liability.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The valuation technique and range, including weighted average, of the unobservable inputs associated with the Company's level 3 assets and liabilities are as follows:

Level 3 Significant Unobservable Input Assumptions				
(Dollars in millions)	Fair value September 30, 2014	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input ¹	Range (weighted average)
Assets				
Trading assets and derivatives:				
Derivative contracts, net ²	\$5	Internal model	Pull through rate MSR value	10-100% (72%) 42-210 bps (110 bps)
Securities AFS:				
U.S. states and political subdivisions	12	Cost	N/A	
MBS - private	132	Third party pricing	N/A	
ABS	21	Third party pricing	N/A	
Corporate and other debt securities	5	Cost	N/A	
Other equity securities	830	Cost	N/A	
Residential LHFS	1	Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow	Option adjusted spread Conditional prepayment rate Conditional default rate	145-165 bps (150 bps) 0-30 CPR (16.5 CPR) 0-3 CDR (0.5 CDR)
LHFI	278	Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow	Option adjusted spread Conditional prepayment rate Conditional default rate	0-450 bps (285 bps) 4-30 CPR (12.5 CPR) 0-7 CDR (1.75 CDR)
MSRs	6	Collateral based pricing	Appraised value	NM ⁴
	1,305	Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow	Conditional prepayment rate Option adjusted spread	1-19 CPR (9 CPR) 1-113% (10%)
Liabilities				
Other liabilities ³	24	Internal model	Loan production volume	0-150% (96%)

¹ For certain assets and liabilities where the Company utilizes third party pricing, the unobservable inputs and their ranges are not reasonably available to the Company, and therefore, have been noted as not applicable, "N/A."

² Represents the net of IRLC assets and liabilities entered into by the Mortgage Banking segment.

³ Input assumptions relate to the Company's contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions. See Note 13, "Guarantees," for additional information.

⁴ Not meaningful.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	Level 3 Significant Unobservable Input Assumptions			
	Fair value December 31, 2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input ¹	Range (weighted average)
Assets				
Trading assets and derivatives:				
CDO/CLO securities	\$54	Matrix pricing/Discounted cash flow	Indicative pricing based on overcollateralization ratio	\$50-\$60 (\$54)
ABS	6	Matrix pricing	Discount margin	4-6% (5%)
Derivative contracts, net ²	8	Internal model	Indicative pricing Pull through rate	\$55 (\$55) 1-99% (74%)
			MSR value	42-222 bps (111 bps)
Securities AFS:				
U.S. states and political subdivisions	34	Matrix pricing	Indicative pricing	\$80-\$111 (\$95)
MBS - private	154	Third party pricing	N/A	
ABS	21	Third party pricing	N/A	
Corporate and other debt securities	5	Cost	N/A	
Other equity securities	739	Cost	N/A	
Residential LHFS	3	Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow	Option adjusted spread	250-675 bps (277 bps)
			Conditional prepayment rate	2-10 CPR (7 CPR)
			Conditional default rate	0-4 CDR (0.5 CDR)
LHFI	292	Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow	Option adjusted spread	0-675 bps (307 bps)
			Conditional prepayment rate	1-30 CPR (13 CPR)
			Conditional default rate	0-7 CDR (2.5 CDR)
MSRs	1,300	Collateral based pricing	Appraised value	NM ⁴
		Discounted cash flow	Conditional prepayment rate	4-25 CPR (8 CPR)
			Discount rate	9-28% (12%)
Liabilities				
Other liabilities ³	23	Internal model	Loan production volume	0-150% (92%)
	3	Internal model	Revenue run rate	NM ⁴

¹ For certain assets and liabilities where the Company utilizes third party pricing, the unobservable inputs and their ranges are not reasonably available to the Company, and therefore, have been noted as not applicable, "N/A."

² Represents the net of IRLC assets and liabilities entered into by the Mortgage Banking segment.

³ Input assumptions relate to the Company's contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions. Excludes \$3 million of Other Liabilities. See Note 13, "Guarantees," for additional information.

⁴ Not meaningful.

59

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following tables present a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (other than MSR's which are disclosed in Note 7, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets"). Transfers into and out of the fair value hierarchy levels are assumed to be as of the end of the quarter in which the transfer occurred. None of the transfers into or out of level 3 have been the result of using alternative valuation approaches to estimate fair values. There were no transfers between level 1 and 2 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Fair Value Measurements
Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

(Dollars in millions)	Beginning balance July 1, 2014	Included in earnings	OCI	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers to/from other balance sheet line items	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value September 30, 2014	Included in earnings (held at September 30, 2014) ¹
Assets											
Trading assets:											
Derivative contracts, net	\$21	\$47 ²	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$1	(\$64)	\$—	\$—	\$5	\$16 ²
Securities AFS:											
U.S. states and political subdivisions	12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12	—
MBS - private	140	(1)	(1)	—	—	(6)	—	—	—	132	(1)
ABS	22	—	—	—	—	(1)	—	—	—	21	—
Corporate and other debt securities	5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	—
Other equity securities	779	—	—	135	—	(90)	6	—	—	830	—
Total securities AFS	958	(1) ⁴	(1) ⁵	135	—	(97)	6	—	—	1,000	(1)
Residential LHFS	3	—	—	—	(3)	—	—	1	—	1	—
LHFI	292	1 ⁶	—	—	—	(8)	(2)	1	—	284	1 ⁶
Liabilities											
Other liabilities	27	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	—	—	24	—

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs												
(Dollars in millions)	Beginning balance January 2014	Included in earnings	OCI	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers to/from other balance sheet line items	Transfer into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value September 30, 2014	Included in earnings (held at September 30, 2014) ¹	
Assets												
Trading assets and derivatives:												
CDO/CLO securities	\$54	\$11	³ \$—	\$—	(\$65)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	
ABS	6	1	³ —	—	(7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Derivative contracts, net	8	180	² —	—	—	2	(185)	—	—	5	(10)	
Total trading assets and derivatives	68	192	—	—	(72)	2	(185)	—	—	5	(10)	
Securities AFS:												
U.S. states and political subdivisions												
MBS - private	154	(1)	4	—	—	(25)	—	—	—	132	(1)	
ABS	21	—	1	—	—	(1)	—	—	—	21	—	
Corporate and other debt securities	5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	—	
Other equity securities	739	—	—	270	—	(185)	6	—	—	830	—	
Total securities AFS	953	(3)	⁴ 5	⁵ 270	(20)	(211)	6	—	—	1,000	(1)	
Residential LHFS												
LHFI	302	9	⁶ —	—	—	(31)	3	12	(1)	1	—	
Liabilities												
Other liabilities	29	1	—	—	—	(3)	(3)	—	—	24	—	

¹ Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings during the period related to financial assets still held at September 30, 2014.

² Amounts included in earnings are net of issuances, fair value changes, and expirations and are recognized in mortgage production related income/(loss).

³ Amounts included in earnings are recognized in trading income.

⁴ Amounts included in earnings are recognized in net securities (losses)/gains.

⁵ Amount recognized in OCI is recognized in change in unrealized gains/(losses) on AFS securities.

⁶ Amounts are generally included in mortgage production related income/(loss); however, the mark on certain fair value loans is included in trading income.

⁷ Amounts included in earnings are recognized in other noninterest expense.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs											
(Dollars in millions)	Beginning balance July 1, 2013	Included in earnings	OCI	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers to/from other balance sheet line items	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value September 30, 2013	Included in earnings (held at September 30, 2013) ¹
Assets											
Trading assets and derivatives:											
CDO/CLO securities	\$63	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$63	\$—
ABS	6	—	³ —	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	— ³
Derivative contracts, net	(52)) 46	² —	—	—	1	50	—	—	45	—
Total trading assets and derivatives	17	46	—	—	—	1	50	—	—	114	—
Securities											
AFS:											
U.S. states and political subdivisions	37	—	—	—	—	(3)) —	—	—	34	—
MBS - private	181	—	(2)) —	—	(13)) —	—	—	166	—
ABS	22	—	—	—	—	(1)) —	—	—	21	—
Corporate and other debt securities	2	—	—	4	—	(1)) —	—	—	5	—
Other equity securities	737	—	—	—	—	(68)) —	—	—	669	—
Total securities	979	—	(2)) ⁵ 4	—	(86)) —	—	—	895	—
AFS											
Residential LHFS	8	—	—	—	(4)) —	(5)) 6	(1)) 4	—
LHFI	339	4	⁶ —	—	—	(15)) (12)) —	—	316	2 ⁶
Liabilities											
Other liabilities	29	—	—	—	—	(3)) —	—	—	26	—

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Fair Value Measurements
Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

(Dollars in millions)	Beginning balance January 1, 2013	Included in earnings	OCI	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers to/from other line items	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value September 30, 2013	Included in earnings (held at September 30, 2013) ¹	
Assets												
Trading assets and derivatives:												
CDO/CLO securities	\$52	\$11 ³	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$63	\$11	³
ABS	5	1 ³	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	1	³
Derivative contracts, net	132	71 ²	—	—	—	1	(159))	—	45	—	
Corporate and other debt securities	1	—	—	—	—	(1))	—	—	—	—	
Total trading assets and derivatives	190	83	—	—	—	—	(159))	—	114	12	
Securities AFS:												
U.S. states and political subdivisions												
MBS - private	209	—	(5))	—	(38))	—	—	166	—	
ABS	21	(1))	3	—	(2))	—	—	21	(1))
Corporate and other debt securities	5	—	—	4	—	(4))	—	—	5	—	
Other equity securities	633	—	—	110	—	(74))	—	—	669	—	
Total securities AFS	914	(1))	⁴ 114	(7)	(125))	—	—	895	(1))
Residential LHFS	8	—	—	—	(20)	—	(8))	28	(4))	4
LHFI	379	(2))	⁶ —	—	(47))	(14))	—	—	316
(8))	⁶										
Liabilities												
Other liabilities	31	(1))	—	—	—	(4))	—	—	26	1

¹ Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings for the period related to financial assets still held at September 30, 2013.

² Amounts included in earnings are net of issuances, fair value changes, and expirations and are recognized in mortgage production related income.

³ Amounts included in earnings are recognized in trading income.

⁴ Amounts included in earnings are recognized in net securities (losses)/gains.

⁵ Amounts recognized in OCI are recognized in change in unrealized gains/(losses) on AFS securities.

⁶ Amounts are generally included in mortgage production related income; however, the mark on certain fair value loans is included in trading income.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Non-recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present those assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, as well as corresponding gains/(losses) recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013. The changes in fair value when comparing balances at September 30, 2014 to those at December 31, 2013, generally result from the application of LOCOM or through write-downs of individual assets. The table does not reflect the change in fair value attributable to any related economic hedges the Company may have used to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with LHFS and MSRs.

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Gains/(Losses) for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014	Gains/(Losses) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014
LHFS	\$72	\$—	\$72	\$—	\$—	\$1
LHFI	26	—	—	26	—	—
OREO	40	—	5	35	(5)	(9)
Affordable Housing	70	—	—	70	8	(28)
Other Assets	203	—	196	7	(49)	(62)

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Losses for the Year Ended December 31, 2013
LHFS	\$278	\$—	\$278	\$—	(\$3)
LHFI	75	—	—	75	—
OREO	49	—	1	48	(10)
Affordable Housing	7	—	—	7	(3)
Other Assets	171	—	158	13	(61)

The following is a discussion of the valuation techniques and inputs used in developing fair value measurements for assets classified as level 2 or 3 that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, as determined by the nature and risks of the instrument.

Loans Held for Sale

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, level 2 LHFS consisted primarily of agency and non-agency residential mortgages, which were measured using observable collateral valuations, and corporate loans that are accounted for at LOCOM. These loans were valued consistent with the methodology discussed in the Recurring Fair Value Measurement section of this footnote.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company transferred \$53 million of residential mortgage NPLs to LHFS as the Company elected to actively market these loans for sale in anticipation of a fourth quarter sale. As a result of transferring these loans to LHFS, the Company recognized a \$9 million charge-off through the ALLL to reflect the loans' estimated market value. These loans were predominantly reported at amortized cost prior to transferring to LHFS; however, a portion of the NPLs were carried at fair value. Also during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company sold \$10 million of nonperforming LHFS and eliminated an additional \$2 million of nonperforming LHFS resulting from the deconsolidation of the CLO in connection with the sale of

RidgeWorth. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company transferred \$22 million of residential mortgage NPLs to LHFS, as the Company elected to actively market these loans for sale. These loans were predominantly reported at amortized cost prior to transferring to LHFS; however, a portion of the NPLs was carried at fair value. As a result of transferring the loans to LHFS, the Company recognized a \$3 million charge-off to reflect the loans' estimated market value. These transferred NPL loans were sold at approximately their carrying value during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The Company also sold an additional \$39 million of residential mortgage NPLs which had either been transferred to LHFS in a prior period or repurchased into LHFS directly. These additional loans were sold at a gain of approximately \$5 million during 2013.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Loans Held for Investment

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, LHFI consisted primarily of consumer and residential real estate loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy that had not been reaffirmed by the borrower, as well as nonperforming CRE loans for which specific reserves had been recognized. As these loans have been classified as nonperforming, cash proceeds from the sale of the underlying collateral is the expected source of repayment for a majority of these loans. Accordingly, the fair value of these loans is derived from the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, incorporating market data if available. There were no gains or losses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 as the charge-offs related to these loans are a component of the ALLL. Due to the lack of market data for similar assets, all of these loans are considered level 3.

OREO

OREO is measured at the lower of cost, or its fair value, less costs to sell. Level 2 OREO consists primarily of residential homes, commercial properties, and vacant lots and land for which binding purchase agreements exist. Level 3 OREO consists primarily of residential homes, commercial properties, and vacant lots and land for which initial valuations are based on property-specific appraisals, broker pricing opinions, or other available market information. Updated value estimates are received regularly on level 3 OREO.

Affordable Housing

The Company evaluates its consolidated affordable housing properties for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Impairment is recognized if the carrying amount of the property exceeds its fair value. Fair value measurements for affordable housing properties are derived from internal analyses using market assumptions if available. Significant assumptions utilized in these analyses include cash flows, market capitalization rates, and tax credit market pricing. Due to the lack of comparable sales in the marketplace, these valuations are considered level 3. During the first quarter of 2014, the Company decided to actively market for sale certain consolidated affordable housing properties, and accordingly, recognized an impairment charge of \$36 million to adjust the carrying values of these properties to their estimated net realizable values obtained from a third party broker opinion. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recognized gains of \$8 million on these affordable housing properties as a result of increased estimated net realizable values. The Company anticipates that the sale of these properties will occur within the next six months. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, as a result of increased net realizable values, the Company recognized gains of \$9 million on certain affordable housing properties that were impaired during 2012.

Other Assets

Other assets consist of other repossessed assets, assets under operating leases where the Company is the lessor, land held for sale, and equity method investments.

Other repossessed assets consist of repossessed personal property that is measured at fair value less cost to sell. These assets are considered level 3 as their fair value is determined based on a variety of subjective unobservable factors. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, no losses were recognized by the Company on other repossessed assets as the impairment charges on repossessed personal property are a component of the ALLL. The Company monitors the fair value of assets under operating leases where the Company is the lessor and recognizes impairment to the extent the carrying value is not recoverable and the fair value is less than its carrying value. Fair value is determined using collateral specific pricing digests, external appraisals, broker opinions, recent sales data from industry equipment dealers, and the discounted cash flows derived from the underlying lease agreement. As market data for similar assets and lease arrangements is available and used in the valuation, these assets are considered level 2. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$49 million and \$57 million, respectively, attributable to the fair value of various personal property under operating leases. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$38 million and \$39 million, respectively, attributable to the fair value of various personal property under operating leases.

Land held for sale is recorded at the lesser of carrying value or fair value less cost to sell. Land held for sale is considered level 2 as its fair value is determined based on market comparables and broker opinions. No impairment charges were recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2014. The Company recognized \$5 million in impairment charges on land held for sale during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. No impairment charges were recognized on land held for sale during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The measured amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014		Fair Value Measurement Using			
	Measured Amount	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Financial assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$8,325	\$8,325	\$8,325	\$—	\$—	(a)
Trading assets and derivatives	5,782	5,782	1,109	4,656	17	(b)
Securities AFS	26,162	26,162	1,120	24,042	1,000	(b)
LHFS	1,739	1,744	—	1,697	47	(c)
LHFI, net	130,183	125,954	—	528	125,426	(d)
Financial liabilities:						
Deposits	136,507	136,468	—	136,468	—	(e)
Short-term borrowings	10,372	10,372	—	10,372	—	(f)
Long-term debt	12,942	13,008	—	12,456	552	(f)
Trading liabilities and derivatives	1,231	1,231	1,112	107	12	(b)
(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013		Fair Value Measurement Using			
	Measured Amount	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Financial assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$5,263	\$5,263	\$5,263	\$—	\$—	(a)
Trading assets and derivatives	5,040	5,040	1,156	3,812	72	(b)
Securities AFS	22,542	22,542	1,396	20,193	953	(b)
LHFS	1,699	1,700	—	1,666	34	(c)
LHFI, net	125,833	121,341	—	2,860	118,481	(d)
Financial liabilities:						
Deposits	129,759	129,801	—	129,801	—	(e)
Short-term borrowings	8,739	8,739	—	8,739	—	(f)
Long-term debt	10,700	10,678	—	10,086	592	(f)
Trading liabilities and derivatives	1,181	1,181	979	198	4	(b)

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating the fair value of financial instruments:

- (a) Cash and cash equivalents are valued at their carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet, which are reasonable estimates of fair value due to the relatively short period to maturity of the instruments.
- (b)

Securities AFS, trading assets and derivatives, and trading liabilities and derivatives that are classified as level 1 are valued based on quoted market prices. For those instruments classified as level 2 or 3, refer to the respective valuation discussions within this footnote.

LHFS are generally valued based on observable current market prices or, if quoted market prices are not available, on quoted market prices of similar instruments. Refer to the LHFS section within this footnote for further

- (c) discussion of the LHFS carried at fair value. In instances for which significant valuation assumptions are not readily observable in the market, instruments are valued based on the best available data to approximate fair value. This data may be internally-developed and considers risk premiums that a market participant would require under then-current market conditions.

LHFI fair values are based on a hypothetical exit price, which does not represent the estimated intrinsic value of the loan if held for investment. The assumptions used are expected to approximate those that a market participant purchasing the loans would use to value the loans, including a market risk premium and liquidity discount.

- (d) Estimating the fair value of the loan portfolio when loan sales and trading markets are illiquid, or for certain loan types, nonexistent, requires significant judgment. Therefore, the estimated fair value can vary significantly depending on a market

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

participant's ultimate considerations and assumptions. The final value yields a market participant's expected return on investment that is indicative of the current market conditions, but it does not take into consideration the Company's estimated value from continuing to hold these loans or its lack of willingness to transact at these estimated values. The Company generally estimated fair value for LHFI based on estimated future cash flows discounted, initially, at current origination rates for loans with similar terms and credit quality, which derived an estimated value of 100% and 99% on the loan portfolio's net carrying value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The value derived from origination rates likely does not represent an exit price; therefore, an incremental market risk and liquidity discount was subtracted from the initial value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The discounted value is a function of a market participant's required yield in the current environment and is not a reflection of the expected cumulative losses on the loans. Loan prepayments are used to adjust future cash flows based on historical experience and prepayment model forecasts. The value of related accrued interest on loans approximates fair value; however, it is not included in the carrying amount or fair value of loans. The value of long-term customer relationships is not permitted under current U.S. GAAP to be included in the estimated fair value.

Deposit liabilities with no defined maturity such as DDAs, NOW/money market accounts, and savings accounts have a fair value equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for CDs are estimated using a discounted cash flow measurement that applies current interest rates to a schedule of aggregated expected maturities. The assumptions used in the discounted cash flow analysis are (e) expected to approximate those that market participants would use in valuing deposits. The value of long-term relationships with depositors is not taken into account in estimating fair values. For valuation of brokered time deposits that the Company measures at fair value as well as those that are carried at amortized cost, refer to the respective valuation section within this footnote.

Fair values for short-term borrowings and certain long-term debt are based on quoted market prices for similar instruments or estimated using discounted cash flow analysis and the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of instruments. For long-term debt that the Company measures at fair value, refer to the (f) respective valuation section within this footnote. For level 3 debt, the terms are unique in nature or there are otherwise no similar instruments that can be used to value the instrument without using significant unobservable assumptions. In this situation, the Company reviews current borrowing rates along with the collateral levels that secure the debt in determining an appropriate fair value adjustment.

Unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit are not included in the table above. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had \$56.0 billion and \$48.9 billion, respectively, of unfunded commercial loan commitments and letters of credit. A reasonable estimate of the fair value of these instruments is the carrying value of deferred fees plus the related unfunded commitments reserve, which was a combined \$46 million and \$53 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. No active trading market exists for these instruments, and the estimated fair value does not include any value associated with the borrower relationship. The Company does not estimate the fair values of consumer unfunded lending commitments which can generally be canceled by providing notice to the borrower.

NOTE 15 – CONTINGENCIES**Litigation and Regulatory Matters**

In the ordinary course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are parties to numerous civil claims and lawsuits and subject to regulatory examinations, investigations, and requests for information. Some of these matters involve claims for substantial amounts. The Company's experience has shown that the damages alleged by plaintiffs or claimants are often overstated, based on unsubstantiated legal theories, unsupported by facts, and/or bear no relation to the ultimate award that a court might grant. Additionally, the outcome of litigation and regulatory matters and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. These factors make it difficult for the Company to

provide a meaningful estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes of claims in the aggregate or by individual claim. However, on a case-by-case basis, reserves are established for those legal claims in which it is probable that a loss will be incurred and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. The actual costs of resolving these claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts reserved.

For a limited number of legal matters in which the Company is involved, the Company is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible losses. For other matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible, such an estimate is not possible. For those matters where a loss is reasonably possible, management currently estimates the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses as \$0 to approximately \$300 million in excess of the reserves, if any, related to those matters. This estimated range of reasonably possible losses represents the estimated possible losses over the life of such legal matters, which may span a currently indeterminable number of years, and is based on information available at September 30, 2014. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from this estimate. Those matters

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

for which an estimate is not possible are not included within this estimated range; therefore, this estimated range does not represent the Company's maximum loss exposure. Based on current knowledge, it is the opinion of management that liabilities arising from legal claims in excess of the amounts currently reserved, if any, will not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. However, in light of the significant uncertainties involved in these matters and the large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows for any given reporting period.

The following is a description of certain litigation and regulatory matters:

Card Association Antitrust Litigation

The Company is a defendant, along with Visa U.S.A. and MasterCard International, as well as several other banks, in several antitrust lawsuits challenging their practices. For a discussion regarding the Company's involvement in this litigation matter, see Note 13, "Guarantees," which is incorporated herein by reference.

Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. Litigation

Beginning in October 2008, STRH, along with other underwriters and individuals, were named as defendants in several individual and putative class action complaints filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York and state and federal courts in Arkansas, California, Texas, and Washington. Plaintiffs alleged violations of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and/or state law for allegedly false and misleading disclosures in connection with various debt and preferred stock offerings of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. ("Lehman Brothers") and sought unspecified damages. All cases were transferred for coordination to the multi-district litigation captioned *In re Lehman Brothers Equity/Debt Securities Litigation* pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss all claims asserted in the class action. On July 27, 2011, the District Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss the claims against STRH and the other underwriter defendants in the class action. A settlement with the class plaintiffs was approved by the Court and the class settlement approval process was completed. A number of individual lawsuits and smaller putative class actions remained following the class settlement. STRH settled two such individual actions. The other individual lawsuits were dismissed. The appeal period for two of the individual actions will not expire until the plaintiffs' claims against a third party have been resolved.

Colonial BancGroup Securities Litigation

Beginning in July 2009, STRH, certain other underwriters, the Colonial BancGroup, Inc. ("Colonial BancGroup") and certain officers and directors of Colonial BancGroup were named as defendants in a putative class action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama entitled *In re Colonial BancGroup, Inc. Securities Litigation*. The complaint was brought by purchasers of certain debt and equity securities of Colonial BancGroup and seeks unspecified damages. Plaintiffs allege violations of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 due to allegedly false and misleading disclosures in the relevant registration statement and prospectus relating to Colonial BancGroup's goodwill impairment, mortgage underwriting standards, and credit quality. On August 28, 2009, the Colonial BancGroup filed for bankruptcy. The defendants' motion to dismiss was denied in May 2010, but the Court subsequently ordered Plaintiffs to file an amended complaint. This amended complaint was filed and the defendants filed a motion to dismiss. In October 2013, the Court granted in part and denied in part this motion.

Bickerstaff v. SunTrust Bank

This case was filed in the Fulton County State Court on July 12, 2010, and an amended complaint was filed on August 9, 2010. Plaintiff asserts that all overdraft fees charged to his account which related to debit card and ATM transactions are actually interest charges and therefore subject to the usury laws of Georgia. Plaintiff has brought claims for violations of civil and criminal usury laws, conversion, and money had and received, and purports to bring

the action on behalf of all Georgia citizens who have incurred such overdraft fees within the last four years where the overdraft fee resulted in an interest rate being charged in excess of the usury rate. SunTrust filed a motion to compel arbitration and on March 16, 2012, the Court entered an order holding that SunTrust's arbitration provision is enforceable but that the named plaintiff in the case had opted out of that provision pursuant to its terms. The Court explicitly stated that it was not ruling at that time on the question of whether the named plaintiff could have opted out for the putative class members. SunTrust filed an appeal of this decision, but this appeal was dismissed based on a finding that the appeal was prematurely granted. On April 8, 2013, the plaintiff filed a motion for class certification and that motion was denied on February 19, 2014. Plaintiff appealed the denial of class certification on February 26, 2014. The parties have filed briefs and conducted oral arguments, and now await the Court's ruling.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Putative ERISA Class Actions

Company Stock Class Action

Beginning in July 2008, the Company and certain officers, directors, and employees of the Company were named in a putative class action alleging that they breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA by offering the Company's common stock as an investment option in the SunTrust Banks, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the "Plan"). The plaintiffs purport to represent all current and former Plan participants who held the Company stock in their Plan accounts from May 2007 to the present and seek to recover alleged losses these participants supposedly incurred as a result of their investment in Company stock.

The Company Stock Class Action was originally filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida but was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, (the "District Court") in November 2008. On October 26, 2009, an amended complaint was filed. On December 9, 2009, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On October 25, 2010, the District Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. Defendants and plaintiffs filed separate motions for the District Court to certify its October 25, 2010 order for immediate interlocutory appeal. On January 3, 2011, the District Court granted both motions.

On January 13, 2011, defendants and plaintiffs filed separate petitions seeking permission to pursue interlocutory appeals with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit ("the Circuit Court"). On April 14, 2011, the Circuit Court granted defendants and plaintiffs permission to pursue interlocutory review in separate appeals. The Circuit Court subsequently stayed these appeals pending decision of a separate appeal involving The Home Depot in which substantially similar issues are presented. On May 8, 2012, the Circuit Court decided this appeal in favor of The Home Depot. On March 5, 2013, the Circuit Court issued an order remanding the case to the District Court for further proceedings in light of its decision in The Home Depot case. On September 26, 2013, the District Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiffs' claims. Plaintiffs have filed an appeal of this decision in the Circuit Court. Subsequent to the filing of this appeal, the U.S. Supreme Court decided *Fifth Third Bancorp v. Dudenhoeffer*, 134 S. Ct. 2459 (2014), which held that ESOP fiduciaries receive no presumption of prudence with respect to employer stock plans. The Eleventh Circuit has remanded the case back to the District Court for further proceedings in light of *Dudenhoeffer*.

Mutual Funds Class Actions

On March 11, 2011, the Company and certain officers, directors, and employees of the Company were named in a putative class action alleging that they breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA by offering certain STI Classic Mutual Funds as investment options in the Plan. The plaintiff purports to represent all current and former Plan participants who held the STI Classic Mutual Funds in their Plan accounts from April 2002 through December 2010 and seeks to recover alleged losses these Plan participants supposedly incurred as a result of their investment in the STI Classic Mutual Funds. This action was pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division (the "District Court"). On June 6, 2011, plaintiff filed an amended complaint, and, on June 20, 2011, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On March 12, 2012, the Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss. The Company filed a subsequent motion to dismiss the remainder of the case on the ground that the Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the remaining claims. On October 30, 2012, the Court dismissed all claims in this action. Immediately thereafter, plaintiffs' counsel initiated a substantially similar lawsuit against the Company naming two new plaintiffs and also filed an appeal of the dismissal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. SunTrust filed a motion to dismiss in the new action and this motion was granted. On February 26, 2014, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit upheld the District Court's dismissal. On March 18, 2014, the Plaintiff's counsel filed a motion for reconsideration with the Eleventh Circuit. On August 26, 2014, Plaintiffs in the original action filed a Motion for Consolidation of Appeals requesting that the Court consider this appeal jointly with the appeal in the second action. This motion remains pending.

On June 27, 2014, the Company and certain current and former officers, directors, and employees of the Company were named in another putative class action alleging breach of fiduciary duties associated with the inclusion of STI Classic Mutual Funds as investment options in the Plan. This case, *Brown, et al. v. SunTrust Banks, Inc., et al.*, was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. On September 3, 2014, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia issued an order transferring the case to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia.

Consent Order with the Federal Reserve

On April 13, 2011, SunTrust, SunTrust Bank, and STM entered into a Consent Order with the FRB in which SunTrust, SunTrust Bank, and STM agreed to strengthen oversight of, and improve risk management, internal audit, and compliance programs concerning the residential mortgage loan servicing, loss mitigation, and foreclosure activities of STM. SunTrust continues its engagement with the FRB and is executing on enhancements to demonstrate compliance with its commitments under the Consent Order.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

As expected, on July 25, 2014, the FRB imposed a \$160 million civil money penalty as a result of the FRB's review of the Company's residential mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure processing practices that preceded the Consent Order. The Company expects to satisfy the entirety of this assessed penalty by providing consumer relief and certain cash payments as contemplated by the settlement with the U.S. and the States Attorneys' General regarding certain mortgage servicing claims, which is discussed below at "United States Mortgage Servicing Settlement and HUD Investigation of Origination Practices (FHA)." The Company's financial statements at September 30, 2014 continue to reflect the Company's costs associated with this penalty.

United States Mortgage Servicing Settlement and HUD Investigation of Origination Practices (FHA)

In the second quarter of 2014, STM and the U.S., through the DOJ, HUD, and Attorneys General for several states reached a final settlement agreement related to the National Mortgage Servicing Settlement and HUD's investigation of STM's FHA origination practices. SunTrust filed the settlement agreement as Exhibit 10.3 to the 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014. The settlement agreement became effective on September 30, 2014 when the court entered the Consent Judgment. Pursuant to the settlements, STM made \$468 million in cash payments and committed to provide \$500 million of consumer relief by the fourth quarter of 2017 and to implement certain mortgage servicing standards. The financial statements at September 30, 2014 reflect the estimated cost of the anticipated requirements of fulfilling these commitments. Even with the settlements, the Company faces the risk of being unable to meet certain consumer relief commitments, which could result in increased costs to resolve this matter. The Company does not expect the consumer relief efforts or implementation of certain servicing standards associated with the settlements to have a material impact on its future financial results.

DOJ Investigation of GSE Loan Origination Practices

In January 2014, the DOJ notified STM of an investigation regarding the origination and underwriting of single family residential mortgage loans sold by STM to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. STM continues to cooperate with the investigation. The DOJ and STM have not yet engaged in any material dialogue about how this matter may proceed and no allegations have been raised against STM.

Mortgage Modification Investigation

In the third quarter of 2014, STM resolved claims by the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Virginia and the Office of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program relating to STM's administration of HAMP. Pursuant to the settlement, SunTrust paid \$46 million, including \$20 million to fund housing counseling for homeowners, \$10 million in restitution to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and \$16 million to the U.S. Treasury, and transferred its minimum consumer remediation obligation of \$179 million (which may increase to a maximum of \$274 million) to the required deposit account to be controlled by a third party claims administrator. The claims administrator will validate consumers eligible for remediation and administer the payment process. The Company incurred a \$204 million pre-tax charge in the second quarter of 2014 in connection with this matter, which includes its estimate of the consumer remediation obligation. A copy of the Restitution and Remediation Agreement dated as of July 3, 2014 between SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. and the United States of America is filed as Exhibit 10.1 to this report. The financial statements at September 30, 2014 reflect the estimated cost of the anticipated requirements of fulfilling these commitments.

Residential Funding Company, LLC v. SunTrust Mortgage, Inc.

STM has been named as a defendant in a complaint filed December 17, 2013 in the Southern District of New York by Residential Funding Company, LLC ("RFC"), a Chapter 11 debtor-affiliate of GMAC Mortgage, LLC, alleging breaches of representations and warranties made in connection with loan sales and seeking indemnification against losses allegedly suffered by RFC as a result of such alleged breaches. The case was transferred to the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Company filed a motion to dismiss and a motion to

transfer the case back to the District Court, both of which are pending.

SunTrust Mortgage Lender Placed Insurance Class Actions

STM has been named in three putative class actions similar to those that other financial institutions are facing which allege that the Company acted improperly in connection with the practice of force placing homeowners' insurance in certain instances. Generally, the plaintiffs in these actions allege that STM violated various duties by failing to properly negotiate pricing for force placed insurance and by receiving kickbacks or other improper benefits from the providers of such insurance. The first case, Timothy Smith v. SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. et al., is pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. STM filed a motion to dismiss this case and this motion was granted in part and denied in part. The second case, Carina Hamilton v. SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. et al., is pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The third case, Yaghoub Mahdavi et al. v. SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. et al., was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. STM has filed a motion to dismiss and a motion to transfer the case. The Court granted the motion to transfer this case to the Southern District of Florida. STM has entered into an agreement to settle these cases in the context

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

of a nationwide settlement class. On October 24, 2014, the Court entered an order approving the settlement. STM's anticipated liability in this settlement has been fully accrued and is reflected in the Company's financial statements at September 30, 2014. The plaintiffs in Mahdaviieh have opted out of the class action settlement and their case will proceed on an individual basis.

SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. v. United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company of North Carolina

STM filed suit in the Eastern District of Virginia in July 2009 against United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company of North Carolina ("UGRIC") seeking payment of denied MI claims on second lien mortgages. STM's claims were in two counts. Count One involved a common reason for denial of claims by UGRIC for a group of loans. Count Two involved a group of loans with individualized reasons for the claim denials asserted by UGRIC. UGRIC counterclaimed for declaratory relief involving interpretation of the insurance policy with respect to certain caps on the amount of claims covered and whether STM was obligated to continue to pay premiums after any caps were met. The Court granted STM's motion for summary judgment as to liability on Count One and, after a trial on damages, awarded STM \$34 million along with \$6 million in prejudgment interest on August 19, 2011. The Court stayed Count Two pending final resolution of Count One. On September 13, 2011, the Court awarded an additional \$5 million to the Count One judgment for fees on certain issues. On UGRIC's counterclaim, the Court agreed that UGRIC's interpretation was correct regarding STM's continued obligations to pay premiums in the future after coverage caps are met. However, on August 19, 2011, the Court found for STM on its affirmative defense that UGRIC can no longer enforce the contract due to its prior breaches and, consequently, denied UGRIC's request for a declaration that it was entitled to continue to collect premiums after caps are met.

On February 1, 2013, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals (i) upheld the judgment to STM of \$45 million (\$34 million in claims, \$6 million in interest, and \$5 million in additional fees); and (ii) vacated the ruling in STM's favor regarding the defense STM asserted to UGRIC's claim that STM owes continued premium after the caps are reached. On February 15, 2013, UGRIC filed a motion asking the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals to re-hear its appeal. This request was denied on March 4, 2013. Upon return of the case, on March 13, 2014, the District Court denied UGRIC's counterclaim for a declaration that SunTrust continued to owe future premiums on the underlying insurance policy. UGRIC has filed an appeal of this decision in the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals.

SunTrust Mortgage Reinsurance Class Actions

STM and Twin Rivers Insurance Company ("Twin Rivers") have been named as defendants in two putative class actions alleging that the companies entered into illegal "captive reinsurance" arrangements with private mortgage insurers. More specifically, plaintiffs allege that SunTrust's selection of private mortgage insurers who agree to reinsure loans referred to them by SunTrust with Twin Rivers results in illegal "kickbacks" in the form of the insurance premiums paid to Twin Rivers. Plaintiffs contend that this arrangement violates the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA") and results in unjust enrichment to the detriment of borrowers. The first of these cases, *Thurmond, Christopher, et al. v. SunTrust Banks, Inc. et al.*, was filed in February 2011 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This case was stayed by the Court pending the outcome of *Edwards v. First American Financial Corporation*, a captive reinsurance case that was pending before the U.S. Supreme Court at the time. The second of these cases, *Acosta, Lemuel & Maria Ventrella et al. v. SunTrust Bank, SunTrust Mortgage, Inc., et al.*, was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California in December 2011. This case was stayed pending a decision in the *Edwards* case also. In June 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court withdrew its grant of certiorari in *Edwards* and, as a result, the stays in these cases were lifted. The plaintiffs in *Acosta* voluntarily dismissed this case. A motion to dismiss was filed in the *Thurmond* case. In June 2014, the Court granted the Motion to Dismiss in part and denied in part, allowing limited discovery surrounding the argument that the statute of limitations for certain claims should be equitably tolled. The case is stayed again pending a decision in a case before the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, *Riddle v. Bank of America Corp.*, a case analyzing the ability to equitably toll claims under RESPA.

United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York Foreclosure Expense Investigation
STM has been cooperating with the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York (the "Southern District") in a broad-based industry investigation regarding claims for foreclosure-related expenses charged by law firms in connection with the foreclosure of loans guaranteed or insured by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or FHA. The investigation relates to a private litigant qui tam lawsuit filed under seal and remains in early stages. The Southern District and STM engaged in dialogue regarding potential resolution of this matter as part of the National Mortgage Servicing Settlement, but were unable to reach agreement. The Southern District has not yet advised STM how it will proceed in this matter. The Company's financial statements at September 30, 2014 reflect the Company's current estimate of probable losses associated with the matter.

Intellectual Ventures II v. SunTrust Banks, Inc. and SunTrust Bank

This action was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia on July 24, 2013. Plaintiff alleges that SunTrust violates one or more of several patents held by Plaintiff in connection with SunTrust's provision of online banking services and other systems and services. Plaintiff seeks damages for alleged patent infringement of an unspecified amount, as well as attorney's fees and expenses. Discovery in the matter is ongoing.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 16 - BUSINESS SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company has three segments used to measure business activity: Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, Wholesale Banking, and Mortgage Banking, with the remainder in Corporate Other. The business segments are determined based on the products and services provided or the type of client served, and they reflect the manner in which financial information is evaluated by management. The following is a description of the segments and their composition, which reflects the transfer of branch-managed business banking clients.

The Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management segment is made up of two primary businesses: Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management.

Consumer Banking provides services to consumers and branch-managed small business clients through an extensive network of traditional and in-store branches, ATMs, the internet (www.suntrust.com), mobile banking, and telephone (1-800-SUNTRUST). Financial products and services offered to consumers and small business clients include deposits, home equity lines and loans, credit lines, indirect auto, student lending, bank card, other lending products, and various fee-based services. Consumer Banking also serves as an entry point for clients and provides services for other lines of business.

Private Wealth Management provides a full array of wealth management products and professional services to both individual and institutional clients including loans, deposits, brokerage, professional investment management, and trust services to clients seeking active management of their financial resources. Institutional clients are served by the Institutional Investment Solutions business. Discount/online and full service brokerage products are offered to individual clients through STIS. Private Wealth Management also includes GenSpring, which provides family office solutions to ultra-high net worth individuals and their families. Utilizing teams of multi-disciplinary specialists with expertise in investments, tax, accounting, estate planning, and other wealth management disciplines, GenSpring helps families manage and sustain wealth across multiple generations.

The Wholesale Banking segment includes the following four businesses:

CIB delivers comprehensive capital markets, as well as corporate and investment banking solutions, including advisory, capital raising, and financial risk management, with the client-first goal of best serving the needs of both public and private companies in the Wholesale Banking segment and Private Wealth Management business. Investment Banking and Corporate Banking teams within CIB serve clients across the nation, offering a full suite of traditional banking and investment banking products and services to companies with annual revenues typically greater than \$150 million. Investment Banking serves select industry segments including consumer and retail, energy, financial services, healthcare, industrials, media and communications, real estate, and technology. Corporate Banking serves clients across diversified industry sectors based on size, complexity, and frequency of capital markets issuance. Also managed within CIB is the Equipment Finance Group, which provides lease financing solutions (through SunTrust Equipment Finance & Leasing).

Commercial & Business Banking offers an array of traditional banking products, including cash management services and investment banking solutions via STRH to commercial clients (generally those with average revenues \$1 million to \$150 million), not-for-profit organizations, and governmental entities, as well as auto dealer financing (floor plan inventory financing). Also managed within Commercial & Business Banking is the Premium Assignment Corporation, which creates corporate insurance premium financing solutions.

Commercial Real Estate provides a full range of financial solutions for commercial real estate developers, owners and investors including construction, mini-perm, and permanent real estate financing as well as tailored financing and equity investment solutions via STRH primarily through the REIT group focused on Real Estate Investment Trusts. The Institutional Real Estate team targets relationships with institutional advisors, private funds, sovereign wealth funds, and insurance companies and the Regional team focuses on real estate owners and developers through a regional delivery structure. Commercial Real Estate also offers tailored financing and equity investment solutions for community development and affordable housing owners/developers projects through SunTrust Community Capital with special expertise in Low Income Housing Tax Credits and New Market Tax Credits.

Treasury & Payment Solutions provides all SunTrust business clients with services required to manage their payments and receipts combined with the ability to manage and optimize their deposits across all aspects of their business.

Treasury & Payment Solutions operates all electronic and paper payment types, including card, wire transfer, ACH, check, and cash, plus provides clients the means to manage their accounts electronically online both domestically and internationally.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Mortgage Banking offers residential mortgage products nationally through its retail and correspondent channels, as well as via the internet (www.suntrust.com) and by telephone (1-800-SUNTRUST). These products are either sold in the secondary market, primarily with servicing rights retained, or held in the Company's loan portfolio. Mortgage Banking services loans for itself and for other investors and includes ValuTree Real Estate Services, LLC, a tax service subsidiary.

Corporate Other includes management of the Company's investment securities portfolio, long-term debt, end user derivative instruments, short-term liquidity and funding activities, balance sheet risk management, and most real estate assets. Additionally, it includes Enterprise Information Services, which is the primary information technology and operations group; Corporate Real Estate, Marketing, SunTrust Online, Human Resources, Finance, Corporate Risk Management, Legal and Compliance, Communications, Procurement, and Executive Management. The financial results of RidgeWorth, including the gain on sale, are reflected in the Corporate Other segment. Prior to the sale of RidgeWorth, RidgeWorth's financial performance was reported in the Wholesale Banking segment. See Note 2, "Acquisitions/Dispositions," for additional information related to the sale of RidgeWorth.

Because the business segment results are presented based on management accounting practices, the transition to the consolidated results, which are prepared under U.S. GAAP, creates certain differences which are reflected in Reconciling Items.

For business segment reporting purposes, the basis of presentation in the accompanying discussion includes the following:

Net interest income – Net interest income is presented on a FTE basis to make tax-exempt assets comparable to other taxable products. The segments have also been matched maturity funds transfer priced, generating credits or charges based on the economic value or cost created by the assets and liabilities of each segment. The mismatch between funds credits and funds charges at the segment level resides in Reconciling Items. The change in this mismatch is generally attributable to corporate balance sheet management strategies.

Provision for credit losses – Represents net charge-offs by segment combined with an allocation to the segments of the provision attributable to each segment's quarterly change in the ALLL and unfunded commitment reserve balances.

Provision/(benefit) for income taxes – Calculated using a blended income tax rate for each segment. This calculation includes the impact of various income adjustments, such as the reversal of the FTE gross up on tax-exempt assets, tax adjustments, and credits that are unique to each segment. The difference between the calculated provision/(benefit) for income taxes at the segment level and the consolidated provision/(benefit) for income taxes is reported in Reconciling Items.

The segment's financial performance is comprised of direct financial results, as well as various allocations that for internal management reporting purposes provide an enhanced view of analyzing the segment's financial performance. The internal allocations include the following:

Operational Costs – Expenses are charged to the segments based on various statistical volumes multiplied by activity based cost rates. As a result of the activity based costing process, planned residual expenses are also allocated to the segments. The recoveries for the majority of these costs are in Corporate Other.

Support and Overhead Costs – Expenses not directly attributable to a specific segment are allocated based on various drivers (e.g., number of equivalent employees and volume of loans and deposits). The recoveries for these allocations are in Corporate Other.

Sales and Referral Credits – Segments may compensate another segment for referring or selling certain products. The majority of the revenue resides in the segment where the product is ultimately managed.

The application and development of management reporting methodologies is a dynamic process and is subject to periodic enhancements. The implementation of these enhancements to the internal management reporting methodology may materially affect the results disclosed for each segment with no impact on consolidated results.

Whenever significant changes to management reporting methodologies take place, the impact of these changes is quantified and prior period information is reclassified wherever practicable. Prior year results have been restated to reflect the new provision for credit losses methodology.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014					
	Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management	Wholesale Banking	Mortgage Banking	Corporate Other	Reconciling Items	Consolidated
Balance Sheets:						
Average loans	\$41,893	\$63,552	\$25,262	\$40	\$—	\$130,747
Average consumer and commercial deposits	86,468	43,144	2,664	(81)	—	132,195
Average total assets	47,338	75,137	30,414	27,627	2,917	183,433
Average total liabilities	87,167	49,775	3,085	21,256	(41)	161,242
Average total equity	—	—	—	—	22,191	22,191
Statements of Income:						
Net interest income	\$668	\$423	\$148	\$70	(\$94)	\$1,215
FTE adjustment	—	34	—	1	1	36
Net interest income - FTE ¹	668	457	148	71	(93)	1,251
Provision for credit losses ²	40	9	44	—	—	93
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	628	448	104	71	(93)	1,158
Total noninterest income	399	241	130	14	(4)	780
Total noninterest expense	725	363	166	11	(6)	1,259
Income before provision/(benefit) for income taxes	302	326	68	74	(91)	679
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes ³	111	97	25	(107)	(23)	103
Net income including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	191	229	43	181	(68)	576
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net income	\$191	\$229	\$43	\$181	(\$68)	\$576

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013					
	Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management	Wholesale Banking	Mortgage Banking	Corporate Other	Reconciling Items	Consolidated
Balance Sheets:						
Average loans	\$40,529	\$54,185	\$27,920	\$38	\$—	\$122,672
Average consumer and commercial deposits	84,159	39,269	3,247	(57)	—	126,618
Average total assets	45,576	66,038	33,025	26,116	1,083	171,838
Average total liabilities	84,999	46,368	3,740	15,716	(12)	150,811

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Average total equity	—	—	—	—	21,027	21,027
Statements of Income/(Loss):						
Net interest income	\$653	\$392	\$141	\$76	(\$54)	\$1,208
FTE adjustment	—	31	—	1	—	32
Net interest income - FTE ¹	653	423	141	77	(54)	1,240
Provision for credit losses ²	23	52	20	—	—	95
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	630	371	121	77	(54)	1,145
Total noninterest income	378	248	(1)	57	(2)	680
Total noninterest expense	691	381	638	22	(2)	1,730
Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes	317	238	(518)	112	(54)	95
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes ³	117	75	(129)	(160)	(4)	(101)
Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	200	163	(389)	272	(50)	196
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	7	—	7
Net income/(loss)	\$200	\$163	(\$389)	\$265	(\$50)	\$189

¹ Presented on a matched maturity funds transfer price basis for the segments.

² Provision for credit losses represents net charge-offs by segment combined with an allocation to the segments of the provision attributable to quarterly changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses and unfunded commitment reserve balances.

³ Includes regular income tax provision/(benefit) and taxable-equivalent income adjustment reversal.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014					
	Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management	Wholesale Banking	Mortgage Banking	Corporate Other	Reconciling Items	Consolidated
Balance Sheets:						
Average loans	\$41,553	\$61,307	\$27,106	\$44	\$—	\$130,010
Average consumer and commercial deposits	85,456	42,750	2,260	(97)) —	130,369
Average total assets	47,154	72,647	31,067	26,413	2,817	180,098
Average total liabilities	86,202	49,440	2,763	19,751	(30)) 158,126
Average total equity	—	—	—	—	21,972	21,972
Statements of Income/(Loss):						
Net interest income	\$1,963	\$1,234	\$422	\$218	(\$208)) \$3,629
FTE adjustment	—	102	—	2	1	105
Net interest income - FTE ¹	1,963	1,336	422	220	(207)) 3,734
Provision for credit losses ²	135	39	94	—	—	268
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	1,828	1,297	328	220	(207)) 3,466
Total noninterest income	1,142	827	350	222	(13)) 2,528
Total noninterest expense	2,170	1,168	717	91	(12)) 4,134
Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes	800	956	(39)) 351	(208)) 1,860
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes ³	294	305	(16)) (40)) (74)) 469
Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	506	651	(23)) 391	(134)) 1,391
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	11	—	11
Net income/(loss)	\$506	\$651	(\$23)) \$380	(\$134)) \$1,380

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013					
	Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management	Wholesale Banking	Mortgage Banking	Corporate Other	Reconciling Items	Consolidated
Balance Sheets:						
Average loans	\$40,360	\$53,413	\$27,830	\$46	\$—	\$121,649
Average consumer and commercial deposits	84,447	39,030	3,501	(31)) —	126,947
Average total assets	45,398	65,592	32,973	26,737	1,361	172,061
Average total liabilities	85,277	46,253	4,166	15,314	(87)) 150,923

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Average total equity	—	—	—	—	21,138	21,138
Statements of Income/(Loss):						
Net interest income	\$1,945	\$1,165	\$409	\$238	(\$117)	\$3,640
FTE adjustment	—	90	—	2	1	93
Net interest income - FTE ¹	1,945	1,255	409	240	(116)	3,733
Provision/(benefit) for credit losses ²	201	120	133	(1)	—	453
Net interest income after provision/(benefit) for credit losses	1,744	1,135	276	241	(116)	3,280
Total noninterest income	1,105	795	328	179	(6)	2,401
Total noninterest expense	2,088	1,085	1,248	58	(9)	4,470
Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes	761	845	(644)	362	(113)	1,211
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes ³	280	275	(181)	(83)	(14)	277
Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	481	570	(463)	445	(99)	934
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	16	—	16
Net income/(loss)	\$481	\$570	(\$463)	\$429	(\$99)	\$918

¹ Presented on a matched maturity funds transfer price basis for the segments.

² Provision for credit losses represents net charge-offs by segment combined with an allocation to the segments of the provision attributable to quarterly changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses and unfunded commitment reserve balances.

³ Includes regular income tax provision/(benefit) and taxable-equivalent income adjustment reversal.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

NOTE 17 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS)/INCOME

AOCI was calculated as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30					
	2014			2013		
	Pre-tax Amount	Income Tax (Expense)/ Benefit	After-tax Amount	Pre-tax Amount	Income Tax (Expense)/ Benefit	After-tax Amount
AOCI, beginning balance	(\$78)	\$19	(\$59)	(\$432)	\$149	(\$283)
Unrealized losses on AFS securities:						
Unrealized net losses	(67)	24	(43)	(18)	7	(11)
Less: Reclassification adjustment for realized net losses	9	(3)	6	—	—	—
Unrealized (losses)/gains on cash flow hedges:						
Unrealized net (losses)/gains	(31)	12	(19)	60	(22)	38
Less: Reclassification adjustment for realized net gains	(99)	36	(63)	(101)	37	(64)
Change related to employee benefit plans	2	(1)	1	7	(3)	4
AOCI, ending balance	(\$264)	\$87	(\$177)	(\$484)	\$168	(\$316)

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30					
	2014			2013		
	Pre-tax Amount	Income Tax (Expense)/ Benefit	After-tax Amount	Pre-tax Amount	Income Tax (Expense)/Benefit	After-tax Amount
AOCI, beginning balance	(\$442)	\$153	(\$289)	\$506	(\$197)	\$309
Unrealized gains/(losses) on AFS securities:						
Unrealized net gains/(losses)	379	(140)	239	(736)	271	(465)
Less: Reclassification adjustment for realized net losses/(gains)	11	(4)	7	(2)	1	(1)
Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges:						
Unrealized net gains	36	(13)	23	15	(5)	10
Less: Reclassification adjustment for realized net gains	(302)	111	(191)	(315)	116	(199)
Change related to employee benefit plans	54	(20)	34	48	(18)	30
AOCI, ending balance	(\$264)	\$87	(\$177)	(\$484)	\$168	(\$316)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The reclassification from AOCI consisted of the following:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Affected line item in the Consolidated Statements of Income
Details about AOCI components	September 30		September 30		
Realized losses/(gains) on AFS securities:	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	\$9	\$—	\$11	(\$2) Net securities (losses)/gains Provision/(benefit) for income taxes
	(3) —	(4) 1	
	\$6	\$—	\$7	(\$1) Interest and fees on loans Provision/(benefit) for income taxes
Gains on cash flow hedges:	(\$99) (\$101) (\$302) (\$315	
	36	37	111	116) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes
	(\$63) (\$64) (\$191) (\$199	
Change related to employee benefit plans:					
Amortization of actuarial losses	\$2	\$6	\$8	\$19	Employee benefits
	—	1	46	29	Other assets/other liabilities ¹
	2	7	54	48	
	(1) (3) (20) (18) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes
	\$1	\$4	\$34	\$30	

¹ This AOCI component is recognized as an adjustment to the funded status of employee benefit plans in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. (For additional information, see Note 15, "Employee Benefit Plans" to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Important Cautionary Statement About Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements. Statements regarding: (1) expected share repurchases; (2) the impact of Dodd-Frank Act, Basel III regulatory capital rules and other regulatory standards on our capital ratios; (3) future levels of net interest margin; interest rates; NPLs; provision for loan losses; interest income; swap income; asset sensitivity; net charge-offs, including net charge-offs in the residential, commercial, and consumer portfolios; early stage delinquencies; the ALLL and the ALLL to period-end loans ratio; and OREO gains; (4) future actions taken regarding LCR and related effects, and our ability to comply with future regulatory requirements within regulatory timelines; (5) future changes in cyclical costs and our expense base; and (6) the estimated costs, and the effect of such costs on our future financial results, of fulfilling our commitments under the National Servicing Settlement and related settlements, including required consumer relief efforts and the implementation of certain servicing standards associated with the settlements; are forward looking statements. Also, any statement that does not describe historical or current facts is a forward-looking statement. These statements often include the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "targets," "initiatives," "potentially," "probably," "projects," "outlook" or similar or future conditional verbs such as "may," "will," "should," "would," and "could"; such statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of management and on information currently available to management. Such statements speak as of the date hereof, and we do not assume any obligation to update the statements made herein or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those contained in such statements in light of new information or future events.

Forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Investors are cautioned against placing undue reliance on such statements. Actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements can be found in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K and include risks discussed in this MD&A and in other periodic reports that we file with the SEC. Additional factors include: as one of the largest lenders in the Southeast and Mid-Atlantic U.S. and a provider of financial products and services to consumers and businesses across the U.S., our financial results have been, and may continue to be, materially affected by general economic conditions, particularly unemployment levels and home prices in the U.S., and a deterioration of economic conditions or of the financial markets may materially adversely affect our lending and other businesses and our financial results and condition; legislation and regulation, including the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as future legislation and/or regulation, could require us to change certain of our business practices, reduce our revenue, impose additional costs on us, or otherwise adversely affect our business operations and/or competitive position; we are subject to capital adequacy and liquidity guidelines and, if we fail to meet these guidelines, our financial condition would be adversely affected; loss of customer deposits and market illiquidity could increase our funding costs; we rely on the mortgage secondary market and GSEs for some of our liquidity; our framework for managing risks may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss to us; we are subject to credit risk; our ALLL may not be adequate to cover our eventual losses; we may have more credit risk and higher credit losses to the extent that our loans are concentrated by loan type, industry segment, borrower type, or location of the borrower or collateral; we will realize future losses if the proceeds we receive upon liquidation of NPAs are less than the carrying value of such assets; a downgrade in the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating, or in the credit ratings of instruments issued, insured or guaranteed by related institutions, agencies or instrumentalities, could result in risks to us and general economic conditions that we are not able to predict; weakness in the real estate market, including the secondary residential mortgage loan markets, has adversely affected us and may continue to adversely affect us; we are subject to certain risks related to originating and selling mortgages, and may be required to repurchase mortgage loans or indemnify mortgage loan purchasers as a result of breaches of representations and warranties, borrower fraud, or certain breaches of our servicing agreements, and this could harm our liquidity, results of operations, and financial condition; we face certain risks as a servicer of loans, and may be terminated as a servicer or master servicer, be required to repurchase a mortgage loan or reimburse

investors for credit losses on a mortgage loan, or incur costs, liabilities, fines and other sanctions, if we fail to satisfy our servicing obligations, including our obligations with respect to mortgage loan foreclosure actions; financial difficulties or credit downgrades of mortgage and bond insurers may adversely affect our servicing and investment portfolios; we are subject to risks related to delays in the foreclosure process; we face risks related to recent mortgage settlements; we may continue to suffer increased losses in our loan portfolio despite enhancement of our underwriting policies and practices; our mortgage production and servicing revenue can be volatile; changes in market interest rates or capital markets could adversely affect our revenue and expense, the value of assets and obligations, and the availability and cost of capital and liquidity; changes in interest rates could also reduce the value of our MSRs and mortgages held for sale, reducing our earnings; the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies could have a material adverse effect on our earnings; clients could pursue alternatives to bank deposits, causing us to lose a relatively inexpensive source of funding; consumers may decide not to use banks to complete their financial transactions, which could affect net income; we have businesses other than banking which subject us to a variety of risks; hurricanes and other disasters may adversely affect loan portfolios and operations and increase the cost of doing business; negative public opinion could damage our reputation and adversely

impact business and revenues; we rely on other companies to provide key components of our business infrastructure; a failure in or breach of our operational or security systems or infrastructure, or those of our third party vendors and other service providers, including as a result of cyber-attacks, could disrupt our businesses, result in the disclosure or misuse of confidential or proprietary information, damage our reputation, increase our costs and cause losses; the soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us; we depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about clients and counterparties; competition in the financial services industry is intense and could result in losing business or margin declines; maintaining or increasing market share depends on market acceptance and regulatory approval of new products and services; we might not pay dividends on our common stock; our ability to receive dividends from our subsidiaries could affect our liquidity and ability to pay dividends; disruptions in our ability to access global capital markets may adversely affect our capital resources and liquidity; any reduction in our credit rating could increase the cost of our funding from the capital markets; we have in the past and may in the future pursue acquisitions, which could affect costs and from which we may not be able to realize anticipated benefits; we are subject to certain litigation, and our expenses related to this litigation may adversely affect our results; we may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations, possibly even inadvertent or unintentional violations; we depend on the expertise of key personnel, and if these individuals leave or change their roles without effective replacements, operations may suffer; we may not be able to hire or retain additional qualified personnel and recruiting and compensation costs may increase as a result of turnover, both of which may increase costs and reduce profitability and may adversely impact our ability to implement our business strategies; our accounting policies and processes are critical to how we report our financial condition and results of operations, and require management to make estimates about matters that are uncertain; changes in our accounting policies or in accounting standards could materially affect how we report our financial results and condition; our stock price can be volatile; our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud; our financial instruments carried at fair value expose us to certain market risks; our revenues derived from our investment securities may be volatile and subject to a variety of risks; and we may enter into transactions with off-balance sheet affiliates or our subsidiaries.

INTRODUCTION

We are a leading provider of financial services, particularly in the Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic U.S., and our headquarters is located in Atlanta, Georgia. Our principal banking subsidiary, SunTrust Bank, offers a full line of financial services for consumers, businesses, and corporations, both through its branches (located primarily in Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and the District of Columbia) and through other national delivery channels. We operate three business segments: Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, Wholesale Banking, and Mortgage Banking, with the remainder in Corporate Other. Within each of our businesses, we have growth strategies both within our Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic footprint and targeted national markets. See Note 16, "Business Segment Reporting," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for a description of our business segments. In addition to deposit, credit, mortgage banking, and trust and investment services offered by the Bank, our other subsidiaries provide asset management, securities brokerage, and capital markets services.

This MD&A is intended to assist readers in their analysis of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and supplemental financial information. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements, Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and other information contained in this document and our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. When we refer to "SunTrust," "the Company," "we," "our," and "us" in this narrative, we mean SunTrust Banks, Inc. and subsidiaries (consolidated). In the MD&A, net interest income, net interest margin, total revenue, and efficiency ratios are presented on an FTE basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of net interest income from certain loans and investments. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and it enhances comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources. Additionally, we present other non-U.S. GAAP metrics to assist investors in understanding management's view of particular financial measures, as well as to align presentation of these financial measures with peers in the industry who may also provide a similar presentation. Reconcilements for all non-U.S. GAAP measures are provided

in Table 1.

79

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Economic

Modest economic growth continued during the third quarter of 2014. Unemployment levels remained above historical averages, despite the overall unemployment rate continuing to show gradual improvement, ending the quarter just below 6%. Market volatility returned to both the fixed income and equity markets during the quarter, with the S&P 500 volatility index recently peaking at levels not seen since 2012. Weighing on the U.S. economy were geopolitical concerns and uncertainty about economic growth in Europe and China. The housing market remained sluggish given subdued home purchase activity. Corporate profits remained stable as benefits from operational rightsizing continued, but revenue growth remained somewhat constrained. The overall national Gross Domestic Product grew at an annualized rate of approximately 3.5% during the third quarter, compared to 4.6% during the second quarter. Consumer confidence wavered during the third quarter, reflecting mixed sentiment about labor markets and future earnings potential. Overall, the U.S. macroeconomic environment outlook remains unsettled with no clear consensus around the future strength of the U.S. economy.

The Federal Reserve continued to maintain a highly accommodative monetary policy and indicated that this policy would remain in effect for a considerable time after its asset purchase program ends. In this regard, the Federal Reserve concluded its asset purchase program in October 2014. The further reduction of its asset purchases was in response to improving labor market and other economic indicators. The Federal Reserve noted that its sizable holdings of longer-term government securities should maintain downward pressure on longer-term interest rates, thereby supporting mortgage markets and fostering more accommodative financial conditions. The Federal Reserve continues to forecast economic growth strengthening from current levels with appropriate policy accommodation, a gradual decline in unemployment, and the expectation of gradually increasing longer-term inflation. However, modest economic growth and low inflation, driven by low oil prices and a strong dollar, are causing the expected timing of the Federal Reserve raising rates to extend from mid-2015 to later in 2015.

Capital

During the third quarter we repurchased \$215 million of our outstanding common stock, which included a \$130 million one-time repurchase completed upon receiving a non-objection from the Federal Reserve. These repurchases, along with approximately \$133 million repurchased during the first six months of 2014, brings our total repurchases of common stock to approximately \$348 million during 2014. We currently expect to repurchase approximately \$230 million of outstanding common stock through the end of the first quarter of 2015.

Additionally, during the third quarter, we declared a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.20 per common share, consistent with the second quarter and representing an increase of \$0.10 per common share from the third quarter of last year. See additional details related to our capital actions in the "Capital Resources" section of this MD&A.

The Federal Reserve published final rules on October 11, 2013 related to capital adequacy requirements to implement the BCBS's Basel III framework for financial institutions in the U.S. The final rules become effective for us on January 1, 2015. Based on our current and ongoing analysis of the requirements, we estimate our Basel III CET 1 ratio at September 30, 2014, on a fully phased-in basis, to be approximately 9.75%, which is well above the regulatory requirement prescribed by the final rules. See the "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures" section in this MD&A for a reconciliation of the current Basel I ratio to the estimated Basel III ratio. See additional discussion of Basel III in the "Capital Resources" section of this MD&A.

Financial performance

Net income available to common shareholders for the third quarter of 2014 was \$563 million, or \$1.06 per average diluted common share, and included a specific tax benefit of \$130 million, or \$0.25 per average diluted common share, as a result of the completion of a tax authority examination. For the third quarter of 2013, our net income available to common shareholders was \$179 million, or \$0.33 per average common diluted share; however, this included \$179 million, or \$0.33 per average diluted common share, of net costs related primarily to the resolution of legacy mortgage-related matters and the completion of a taxable reorganization of certain subsidiaries. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, our net income available to common shareholders was \$1.3 billion, or \$2.51 per average common diluted share, compared to \$884 million, or \$1.64 per average common diluted share during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The results for the first nine months of 2014 included \$81 million of additional net income, or \$0.16 per average diluted common share, related to the aforementioned tax benefit in the current quarter, as well as Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items from the second quarter that were discussed in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014. A summary of the Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items that impacted our current and prior periods' results are as follows:

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30	September 30	September 30	September 30
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income available to common shareholders	\$563	\$179	\$1,343	\$884
Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items impacting the periods:				
Operating losses primarily related to settlement of HAMP ¹	—	—	179	—
Operating losses related to settlement of certain mortgage-related legal matters	—	323	—	323
Mortgage repurchase provision related to repurchase settlements	—	63	—	63
Provision for unrecoverable servicing advances	—	96	—	96
Gain on sale of RidgeWorth ²	—	—	(105)	—
Tax benefit related to above items	—	(190)	(25)	(190)
Tax benefit related to completion of tax authority examination	(130)	—	(130)	—
Net tax benefit related to subsidiary reorganization and other	—	(113)	—	(113)
Adjusted net income available to common shareholders	\$433	\$358	\$1,262	\$1,063
Net income per average common share, diluted	\$1.06	\$0.33	\$2.51	\$1.64
Adjusted net income per average common share, diluted	\$0.81	\$0.66	\$2.35	\$1.97

¹ See additional discussion related to HAMP in Note 15, "Contingencies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

² See Note 2, "Acquisitions/Dispositions," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional information.

See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for additional detail and the resulting impact of Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items. The 2014 items noted above consist of: a current quarter tax benefit related to the completion of a tax authority examination that was announced in our Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 9, 2014; prior quarter operating losses primarily related to the settlement of the HAMP claims as detailed in our Form 8-K that was filed with the SEC on July 3, 2014; and the prior quarter gain on sale of RidgeWorth, our asset management subsidiary, also announced in our Form 8-K that was filed with the SEC on July 3, 2014. The 2013 items noted above primarily related to the resolution of certain legacy mortgage-related and other matters, as well as the impact of the completion of a taxable reorganization of certain subsidiaries along with other less significant tax matters. Further details about the 2013 items noted above can be found in our Form 8-K that was filed with the SEC on October 10, 2013. When excluding the aforementioned items from each period's results, our diluted earnings per common share increased 23% during the current quarter and

19% during the first nine months of 2014, compared to the same periods in 2013. The increase in quarterly EPS was primarily the result of slightly higher revenues and lower expenses, and the increase in nine-month EPS was primarily the result of declines in the provision for credit losses and noninterest expense.

Total revenue increased \$111 million and \$128 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2013. Total adjusted revenue increased \$48 million, or 2%, during the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, and decreased \$40 million, or 1%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. The slight increase in the current quarter was due to higher mortgage servicing and retail investment services income, gains on the sale of mortgage LHFS, and a slight increase in net interest income, partially offset by foregone RidgeWorth revenue and lower investment banking income. The slight year-over-year decrease was

primarily driven by significantly lower mortgage production income resulting from a 55% decline in production volume due to lower refinance activity, coupled with the same factors that affected the quarterly comparison, partially offset by higher investment banking income. See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for a reconciliation of total adjusted revenue.

Net interest income increased \$11 million compared to the third quarter of 2013 and remained stable compared to the first nine months of 2013 as solid earning asset growth was neutralized by yield compression on earning assets. Net interest income increased \$7 million compared to the second quarter of 2014 as loan growth negated a seven basis point decline in loan yields during the current quarter. Net interest margin for the current quarter was 3.03%, a decline of 16 basis points compared to the third quarter of 2013, and was 3.11% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, a 14 basis point decline from the same period in 2013. The quarterly and year-to-date decline in net interest margin was primarily due to a 16 basis point decline in earning asset yields, driven by lower loan yields as the low interest rate environment persisted, while rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities remained relatively stable. See additional discussion related to revenue, net interest income and margin, and noninterest income in the "Net Interest Income/Margin" and "Noninterest Income" sections of this MD&A. Also see Table 16, "Net Interest Income Asset Sensitivity," in this MD&A for an analysis of potential changes in net interest income due to instantaneous moves in benchmark interest rates.

Noninterest expense during the third quarter and first nine months of 2014 was \$1.3 billion and \$4.1 billion, respectively. Adjusted noninterest expense decreased \$52 million, or 4%, compared to the prior year quarter and \$96 million, or 2%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. These decreases reflect our overall efficiency and expense management focus as well as lower cyclical costs, partially offset by higher incentive compensation tied to improved business performance and targeted hiring in revenue producing positions. See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for a reconciliation of adjusted noninterest expense.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, our efficiency ratio improved to 62.0% and 66.0%, and our tangible efficiency ratio improved to 61.7% and 65.8%, respectively. Our adjusted tangible efficiency ratio was 61.7% and 64.0% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. We are on track to meet our adjusted tangible efficiency ratio target of less than 64% for the full year of 2014, and we continue to make progress toward our long-term tangible efficiency ratio target of below 60%. See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for additional information regarding our adjusted tangible efficiency ratio.

Our asset quality performance was strong during the third quarter and first nine months of 2014. Total NPLs declined 22% compared to December 31, 2013, reflecting targeted reductions in our residential loan portfolio as we moved \$53 million of nonperforming mortgage loans to LHFS in anticipation of a sale in the fourth quarter, which resulted in a \$9 million charge-off upon transfer. Reductions in OREO continued, declining 34% from year end to \$112 million, the lowest level since 2006. Early stage delinquencies, a leading indicator of asset quality, particularly for consumer loans, improved during the first nine months of 2014, both in total and when excluding government-guaranteed loan delinquencies.

At September 30, 2014, the ALLL balance equaled 1.49% of total loans, a decline of 11 basis points compared to December 31, 2013. The provision for credit losses was essentially stable compared to the third quarter of 2013, and decreased \$185 million, or 41%, compared to the first nine months of 2013. The decline in the provision for loan losses during the first nine months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 was largely attributable to improvements in credit quality trends, particularly in our residential and CRE portfolios, and lower net charge-offs during the first nine months of 2014 compared to 2013. The effects of these improvements were partially offset by loan growth in the commercial and consumer loan portfolios. Net charge-offs decreased 12% and 36% during the third quarter and first nine months of 2014 compared to the same periods in 2013, respectively. The declines during 2014 were the result of improved credit quality, specifically in our commercial and residential loan portfolios. Annualized net charge-offs to total average loans declined to 0.39% and 0.36% during the third quarter and first nine months of 2014, respectively, compared to 0.47% and 0.61% during the same periods in 2013, respectively. The provision for credit losses increased \$20 million, or 27%, in the current quarter in response to a modest increase in net charge-offs and loan growth, with offsetting improvements in asset quality, compared to the second quarter of 2014. Over the

near-term, we expect further, though moderating, declines in NPLs, primarily driven by the residential portfolio. We also expect our provision for loan losses to remain fairly stable over the next few quarters, in line with our results for the past five quarters, as continued strong asset quality may offset further loan growth. See additional discussion of credit and asset quality in the “Loans,” “Allowance for Credit Losses,” and “Nonperforming Assets,” sections of this MD&A.

Average loans increased 7% during both the third quarter and first nine months of 2014, compared to the same periods of 2013. Loan growth during these periods was driven by our C&I, CRE, and consumer portfolios, and was partially offset by declines in the government-guaranteed residential mortgage and home equity portfolios. Additionally, period-end loans at September 30, 2014 were up 2% and 3% compared to June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The sequential period-end comparison better illustrates our core loan growth this quarter, as it does not consider the impact of the \$2.1 billion guaranteed residential mortgage

loan transfer to LHFS during the second quarter. In the third quarter, we sold \$2.0 billion of these government-guaranteed residential mortgages on a servicing retained basis, which resulted in a \$41 million pre-tax gain. We used the proceeds from this sale to fund our investment in high-quality liquid securities to help us meet forthcoming LCR requirements. At September 30, 2014, our LCR is already above the January 1, 2016 requirement of 90%; therefore, we do not expect further actions taken regarding the LCR to have a material effect on our earnings or balance sheet profile. C&I loan growth was broad-based across almost all industry verticals during the quarter, driven primarily by not-for-profit and government clients. CRE loan momentum continued this quarter due to growth in our institutional business, success in our REIT platform, and a small portfolio acquisition. Consumer loans also increased due to growth in our consumer direct, indirect, and credit card portfolios. These trends are consistent with our multi-year effort to optimize the balance sheet and add diversification by growing high-quality commercial and consumer loans. Overall, loan growth was solid this quarter and reflects our execution of certain growth initiatives alongside generally improving economic conditions in our markets. We have built positive and broad-based momentum across our lending platforms and are focused on ensuring our deposit growth is supportive of our lending initiatives.

Average consumer and commercial deposits increased 4% and 3% during the third quarter and first nine months of 2014, compared to the same periods in 2013, respectively, as solid growth in lower cost deposits was partially offset by declines in time deposits due to maturities. Specifically, average lower cost deposits increased \$7.9 billion, or 7%, while average time deposits declined \$2.4 billion, or 17%, compared to the third quarter of 2013. Average lower cost deposits increased \$5.5 billion, or 5%, while average time deposits declined \$2.1 billion, or 15%, compared to the first nine months of 2013. The continued favorable shift in consumer and commercial deposit mix helped reduce interest-bearing deposit costs by eight basis points and seven basis points compared to the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively. Additionally, consumer and commercial deposits increased 1% compared to the second quarter of 2014, as the favorable shift in deposit mix also drove interest-bearing deposit costs down by four basis points. See additional discussion in the "Net Interest Income/Margin" section of this MD&A.

Business segments highlights

This quarter's performance demonstrated solid momentum across each of our businesses, with a mix of revenue momentum in certain businesses combined with good expense discipline in others, the result of which was further improvement in our overall efficiency. The economies in our markets continue to track positively, and we continue to make investments in our teammates and businesses such that we are delivering better products and services to our clients.

In Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, we achieved solid returns on our strategic initiatives and continued to exhibit revenue momentum in the third quarter in both our wealth management and lending-related businesses. Net income grew 18% during the third quarter of 2014 compared the second quarter of 2014, but was down 5% compared to the third quarter of 2013 due to a higher provision for credit losses and expenses. The combination of moderating asset quality improvement and incremental balance sheet growth drove the higher provision for credit losses, while the higher expense base reflected increased hiring related to revenue-generating positions and elevated operating losses. Continued revenue momentum was a key driver of our performance, as revenues were up 3% compared to both the second quarter of 2014 and the third quarter of 2013. The growth was balanced between net interest income and fee income, with positive trends in trust and investment management, retail investment services, and deposit fees. Consumer loan production increased 23% compared to the third quarter of 2013, led by continued momentum in our consumer direct, credit card, and indirect auto loan portfolios, which more than offset reductions in home equity and guaranteed student loans. Our success in consumer direct and credit card, in particular, demonstrates our focus on driving growth in higher risk-adjusted return businesses. The growth in our consumer direct portfolio has been driven by distinct lending platforms we have acquired or developed that are efficient, high in customer satisfaction, and of good credit quality, with average FICO scores in excess of 750. Average client deposits increased 1% compared to the second quarter of 2014, reflecting our enhanced focus on meeting more of our clients' deposit needs. Overall, we continue to focus on investing in our digital platform, improving our deposit momentum, and driving revenue growth opportunities, to help offset the impact associated with the prolonged low rate environment. Expense discipline is also a key contributor to achieving our strategic objectives

in this segment, and we continue to balance cost reduction opportunities with prudent investments for growth.

Wholesale Banking's core trends remained strong during the third quarter of 2014, driven by continued revenue momentum and strong asset quality. Net income increased 40% during the third quarter of 2014 compared to the third quarter of 2013, but declined 7% compared to the second quarter of 2014. Revenue increased 4% during the current quarter compared to the third quarter of 2013, but declined 8% from the prior quarter. Net interest income was up relative to both periods, driven by loan and deposit growth, though this was partially offset by the decline in loan yields. Noninterest income, particularly investment banking and trading income, drove the revenue decline compared to the second quarter of 2014. During the first nine months of 2014, investment banking and trading income grew 14% and 12%, respectively, compared to the first nine months of 2013, reflecting the investments we have made in talent and capabilities, as well as our increasing market share. Average loan growth was broad-based and grew 4% and 17% compared to the prior quarter and third quarter of 2013, respectively, led by growth throughout CIB's client segments, most notably within the energy practice and asset securitization groups, our corporate banking national expansion initiatives, and

asset-based lending products, in addition to strength in our CRE, not-for-profit, and government businesses. Deposit growth was strong year-over-year, with increases noted across each line of business. Our markets remain competitive, but our value proposition continues to be well received by clients and we continue to gain market share in the areas in which we choose to compete. Lower loan yields will continue to be a challenge, but we are working to mitigate the impact of these lower loan yields through discipline concerning our utilization of the balance sheet and continuing to expand our fee income and deposit opportunities, particularly with our commercial clients. Looking forward, our investment banking backlog and lending pipelines are healthy, and we remain optimistic about the overall growth and profitability outlook of our Wholesale Banking segment.

Mortgage Banking continued to show steady quarterly progress as the current quarter was our third consecutive quarter of core profitability, with net income of \$43 million during the quarter. Net income increased compared to the second quarter of 2014, driven by the prior quarter operating losses primarily related to the settlement of the HAMP claims and a \$41 million gain on sale of mortgage loans in the current quarter, partially offset by lower production revenue and higher charge-offs associated with targeted reductions in our NPL portfolio in the current quarter. The revenue environment remained challenging, with industry origination volumes 15% lower than expected at the beginning of the year and approximately 50% lower than the ten-year average prior to 2014. While the market is supported by good overall affordability, inventory levels, building activity, and the amount of first-time home buying continue to dampen total origination volumes. We continued to invest in performing MSR's and during the quarter, we added approximately \$3 billion of serviced loans to our MSR portfolio through a combination of purchases and agency loan production. Additionally, we continue to carefully manage our expense base and improve our risk profile.

Additional information related to our segments can be found in Note 16, "Business Segment Reporting," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, and further discussion of segment results for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, can be found in the "Business Segment Results" section of this MD&A.

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA AND RECONCILEMENT OF
NON-U.S. GAAP MEASURES

Table 1

(Dollars in millions and shares in thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Summary of Operations:				
Interest income	\$1,353	\$1,339	\$4,036	\$4,045
Interest expense	138	131	407	405
Net interest income	1,215	1,208	3,629	3,640
Provision for credit losses	93	95	268	453
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	1,122	1,113	3,361	3,187
Noninterest income	780	680	2,528	2,401
Noninterest expense ¹	1,259	1,730	4,134	4,470
Income before provision/(benefit) for income taxes	643	63	1,755	1,118
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes ¹	67	(133)	364	184
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	7	11	16
Net income	\$576	\$189	\$1,380	\$918
Net income available to common shareholders	\$563	\$179	\$1,343	\$884
Adjusted net income available to common shareholders ²	\$433	\$358	\$1,262	\$1,063
Net interest income - FTE ³	\$1,251	\$1,240	\$3,734	\$3,733
Total revenue - FTE ³	2,031	1,920	6,262	6,134
Total adjusted revenue - FTE ^{3, 4}	2,031	1,983	6,157	6,197
Net income per average common share:				
Diluted	1.06	0.33	2.51	1.64
Adjusted diluted ²	0.81	0.66	2.35	1.97
Basic	1.07	0.33	2.54	1.65
Dividends paid per average common share	0.20	0.10	0.50	0.25
Book value per common share			40.85	37.85
Tangible book value per common share ⁵			29.21	26.27
Selected Average Balances:				
Total assets	\$183,433	\$171,838	\$180,098	\$172,061
Earning assets	163,688	154,235	160,491	153,412
Loans	130,747	122,672	130,010	121,649
Consumer and commercial deposits	132,195	126,618	130,369	126,947
Brokered time and foreign deposits	1,624	2,007	1,841	2,083
Total shareholders' equity	22,191	21,027	21,972	21,138
Average common shares - diluted	533,230	538,850	535,222	539,488
Average common shares - basic	527,402	533,829	529,429	534,887
Financial Ratios (Annualized):				
ROA	1.25	% 0.44	% 1.02	% 0.71
ROE	10.41	3.49	8.45	5.79
ROTCE ⁶	14.59	5.10	11.92	8.44
Net interest margin - FTE ³	3.03	3.19	3.11	3.25
Efficiency ratio ⁷	62.03	90.13	66.01	72.88
Tangible efficiency ratio ⁸	61.69	89.82	65.79	72.58
Adjusted tangible efficiency ratio ^{2,8}	61.69	65.83	64.00	65.08
Total average shareholders' equity to total average assets	12.10	12.24	12.20	12.29

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Tangible equity to tangible assets ⁹	8.94		8.98	
Capital Adequacy:				
Tier 1 common equity	9.63	%	9.94	%
Tier 1 capital	10.54		10.97	
Total capital	12.32		13.04	
Tier 1 leverage	9.51		9.46	

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA AND RECONCILEMENT OF
 NON-U.S. GAAP MEASURES, continued

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30		30	
(Dollars in millions, except per share data)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Reconcilement of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures:				
Efficiency ratio ⁷	62.03	% 90.13	% 66.01	% 72.88
Impact of excluding amortization	(0.34) (0.31) (0.22) (0.30
Tangible efficiency ratio ⁸	61.69	89.82	65.79	72.58
Impact of excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items	—	(23.99) (1.79) (7.50
Adjusted tangible efficiency ratio ^{2,8}	61.69	% 65.83	% 64.00	% 65.08
ROE	10.41	% 3.49	% 8.45	% 5.79
Impact of removing average intangible assets (net of deferred taxes), excluding MSR's, from average common shareholders' equity	4.18	1.61	3.47	2.65
ROTCE ⁶	14.59	% 5.10	% 11.92	% 8.44
Net interest income	\$1,215	\$1,208	\$3,629	\$3,640
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	36	32	105	93
Net interest income - FTE ³	1,251	1,240	3,734	3,733
Noninterest income	780	680	2,528	2,401
Total revenue - FTE ³	2,031	1,920	6,262	6,134
Impact of excluding Form 8-K items	—	63	(105) 63
Total adjusted revenue - FTE ^{3,4}	\$2,031	\$1,983	\$6,157	\$6,197
Noninterest income	\$780	\$680	\$2,528	\$2,401
Impact of excluding Form 8-K items	—	63	(105) 63
Adjusted noninterest income ⁴	\$780	\$743	\$2,423	\$2,464
Noninterest expense	\$1,259	\$1,730	\$4,134	\$4,470
Impact of excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items	—	(419) (179) (419
Adjusted noninterest expense ²	\$1,259	\$1,311	\$3,955	\$4,051
	September 30,	September 30,		
	2014	2013		
Total shareholders' equity	\$22,269	\$21,070		
Goodwill, net of deferred taxes ¹⁰	(6,127) (6,189)	
Other intangible assets, net of deferred taxes, and MSR's ¹¹	(1,320) (1,285)	
MSR's	1,305	1,248		
Tangible equity	16,127	14,844		
Preferred stock	(725) (725)	
Tangible common equity	\$15,402	\$14,119		
Total assets	\$186,818	\$171,777		
Goodwill	(6,337) (6,369)	
Other intangible assets including MSR's	(1,320) (1,287)	
MSR's	1,305	1,248		
Tangible assets	\$180,466	\$165,369		
Tangible equity to tangible assets ⁹	8.94	% 8.98	%	
Tangible book value per common share ⁵	\$29.21	\$26.27		

Total loans	\$132,151		\$124,340	
Government guaranteed loans	(5,965)	(9,016)
Loans held at fair value	(284)	(316)
Total loans, excluding government guaranteed and fair value loans	\$125,902		\$115,008	
Allowance to total loans, excluding government guaranteed and fair value loans ¹²	1.56	%	1.80	%

86

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA AND RECONCILEMENT OF
NON-U.S. GAAP MEASURES, continued

(Dollars in millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	As Reported	Adjustments	Excluding Form 8-K items ²	As Reported	Adjustments	Excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items ²
Net interest income	\$1,215	\$—	\$1,215	\$3,629	\$—	\$3,629
Provision for credit losses	93	—	93	268	—	268
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	1,122	—	1,122	3,361	—	3,361
Noninterest Income						
Service charges on deposit accounts	169	—	169	483	—	483
Other charges and fees	95	—	95	274	—	274
Card fees	81	—	81	239	—	239
Trust and investment management income	93	—	93	339	—	339
Retail investment services	76	—	76	224	—	224
Investment banking income	88	—	88	296	—	296
Trading income	46	—	46	141	—	141
Mortgage servicing related income	44	—	44	143	—	143
Mortgage production related income	45	—	45	140	—	140
Gain on sale of subsidiary	—	—	—	105	(105)	—
Net securities losses	(9)	—	(9)	(11)	—	(11)
Other noninterest income	52	—	52	155	—	155
Total noninterest income	780	—	780	2,528	(105)	2,423
Noninterest Expense						
Employee compensation	649	—	649	1,967	—	1,967
Employee benefits	81	—	81	326	—	326
Outside processing and software	184	—	184	535	—	535
Operating losses	29	—	29	268	(179)	89
Net occupancy expense	84	—	84	254	—	254
Equipment expense	41	—	41	127	—	127
Regulatory assessments	29	—	29	109	—	109
Marketing and customer development	35	—	35	91	—	91
Credit and collection services	21	—	21	67	—	67
Amortization	7	—	7	14	—	14
Other noninterest expense ¹	99	—	99	376	—	376
Total noninterest expense	1,259	—	1,259	4,134	(179)	3,955
Income before provision for income taxes	643	—	643	1,755	74	1,829
Provision for income taxes ¹	67	130	¹⁵ 197	364	155	519

Income including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	576	(130)	446	1,391	(81)	1,310
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	11	—	11
Net income	\$576	(\$130)	\$446	\$1,380	(\$81)	\$1,299
Net income available to common shareholders	\$563	(\$130)	\$433	\$1,343	(\$81)	\$1,262
Net income per average common share - diluted	\$1.06	(\$0.25)	\$0.81	\$2.51	(\$0.16)	\$2.35
Total Revenue - FTE ³	\$2,031	\$—	\$2,031	\$6,262	(\$105)	\$6,157
Efficiency ratio ⁷	62.03 %		62.03 %	66.01 %		64.23 %
Tangible efficiency ratio ⁸	61.69		61.69	65.79		64.00
Effective tax rate	10.37		30.60	20.90		28.58

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA AND RECONCILEMENT OF
NON-U.S. GAAP MEASURES, continued

(Dollars in millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	As Reported	Adjustments	Excluding Form 8-K items ²	As Reported	Adjustments	Excluding Form 8-K items ²
Net interest income	\$1,208	\$—	\$1,208	\$3,640	\$—	\$3,640
Provision for credit losses	95	—	95	453	—	453
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	1,113	—	1,113	3,187	—	3,187
Noninterest Income						
Service charges on deposit accounts	168	—	168	492	—	492
Other charges and fees	91	—	91	277	—	277
Card fees	77	—	77	231	—	231
Trust and investment management income	133	—	133	387	—	387
Retail investment services	68	—	68	198	—	198
Investment banking income	99	—	99	260	—	260
Trading income	33	—	33	124	—	124
Mortgage servicing related income	11	—	11	50	—	50
Mortgage production related (loss)/income	(10)	63	18 53	282	63	18 345
Net securities gains	—	—	—	2	—	2
Other noninterest income	10	—	10	98	—	98
Total noninterest income	680	63	743	2,401	63	2,464
Noninterest Expense						
Employee compensation	611	—	611	1,856	—	1,856
Employee benefits	71	—	71	322	—	322
Outside processing and software	190	—	190	555	—	555
Operating losses	350	(323)	19 27	461	(323)	19 138
Net occupancy expense	86	—	86	261	—	261
Equipment expense	45	—	45	136	—	136
Regulatory assessments	45	—	45	140	—	140
Marketing and customer development	34	—	34	95	—	95
Credit and collection services	139	(96)	20 43	224	(96)	20 128
Amortization	6	—	6	18	—	18
Other noninterest expense ¹	153	—	153	402	—	402
Total noninterest expense	1,730	(419)	1,311	4,470	(419)	4,051
Income before (benefit)/provision for income taxes	63	482	545	1,118	482	1,600
(Benefit)/provision for income taxes ¹	(133)	303	16, 17 170	184	303	16, 17 487
Income including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	196	179	375	934	179	1,113

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	7	—	7	16	—	16		
Net income	\$189	\$179	\$368	\$918	\$179	\$1,097		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$179	\$179	\$358	\$884	\$179	\$1,063		
Net income per average common share - diluted	\$0.33	\$0.33	\$0.66	\$1.64	\$0.33	\$1.97		
Total Revenue - FTE ³	\$1,920	\$63	\$1,983	\$6,134	\$63	\$6,197		
Efficiency ratio ⁷	90.13	%	66.14	%	72.88	%	65.37	%
Tangible efficiency ratio ⁸	89.82		65.83		72.58		65.08	
Effective tax rate	NM	²¹	31.49		16.67		30.73	

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA AND RECONCILEMENT OF
NON-U.S. GAAP MEASURES, continued

(Dollars in billions)	September 30, 2014	
Reconciliation of Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio:		
Tier 1 Common Equity - Basel I	\$15.4	
Adjustments from Basel I to Basel III ²²	—	
CET 1 - Basel III ²³	15.4	
RWA - Basel I	160.0	
Adjustments from Basel I to Basel III ²⁴	(2.0)
RWA - Basel III ²³	158.0	
Resulting regulatory capital ratios:		
Basel I - Tier 1 common equity ratio	9.63	%
Basel III - CET 1 ratio ²³	9.75	

¹ Amortization expense related to qualified affordable housing investment costs is recognized in provision/(benefit) for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 as allowed by a recently adopted accounting standard. Prior to the first quarter of 2014, these amounts were recognized in other noninterest expense and therefore, for comparative purposes, \$13 million and \$33 million of amortization expense have been reclassified to provision/(benefit) for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional information.

² We present certain income statement categories and also total revenue-FTE, noninterest expense, net income per average common diluted share, net income, net income available to common shareholders, an efficiency ratio, a tangible efficiency ratio, and the effective tax rate, excluding Form 8-K items and other legacy mortgage-related items. We believe these measures are useful to investors because it removes the effect of material items impacting the periods' results and is more reflective of normalized operations as it reflects results that are primarily client relationship and client transaction driven. Removing these items also allows investors to compare our results to other companies in the industry that may not have had similar items impacting their results. Additional detail on certain of these items can be found in the Form 8-Ks filed with the SEC on September 9, 2014, July 3, 2014, and October 10, 2013.

³ We present net interest income, net interest margin, total revenue, and total adjusted revenue on an FTE basis. Total revenue is calculated as net interest income - FTE plus noninterest income. Net interest income - FTE adjusts for the tax-favored status of net interest income from certain loans and investments. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and it enhances comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources.

⁴ We present total adjusted revenue - FTE and adjusted noninterest income. We believe revenue and noninterest income excluding Form 8-K items is more indicative of our performance because it isolates income that is primarily client relationship and client transaction driven and is more indicative of normalized operations. Removing these items also allows investors to compare our results to other companies in the industry that may not have had similar items impacting their results. Additional detail on certain of these items can be found in the Form 8-Ks filed with the SEC on July 3, 2014 and October 10, 2013.

⁵ We present a tangible book value per common share that excludes the after-tax impact of purchase accounting intangible assets and also excludes preferred stock from tangible equity. We believe this measure is useful to investors because, by removing the effect of intangible assets that result from merger and acquisition activity as well as preferred stock (the level of which may vary from company to company), it allows investors to more easily compare our common stock book value to other companies in the industry.

⁶ We present ROTCE to exclude intangible assets (net of deferred taxes), except for MSRs, from average common shareholders' equity. We believe this measure is useful to investors because, by removing the effect of intangible assets, except for MSRs, (the level of which may vary from company to company), it allows investors to more easily

compare our ROE to other companies in the industry who present a similar measure. We also believe that removing intangible assets (net of deferred taxes), except for MSRs, is a more relevant measure of the return on our common shareholders' equity.

⁷ Computed by dividing noninterest expense by total revenue - FTE. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of net interest income from certain loans and investments. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and it enhances comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources.

⁸ We present a tangible efficiency ratio which excludes amortization. We believe this measure is useful to investors because it allows investors to more easily compare our efficiency to other companies in the industry. This measure is utilized by us to assess our efficiency and that of our lines of business.

⁹ We present a tangible equity to tangible assets ratio that excludes the after-tax impact of purchase accounting intangible assets. We believe this measure is useful to investors because, by removing the effect of intangible assets that result from merger and acquisition activity (the level of which may vary from company to company), it allows investors to more easily compare our capital adequacy to other companies in the industry. This measure is used by us to analyze capital adequacy.

¹⁰ Net of deferred taxes of \$210 million and \$180 million at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

¹¹ Net of deferred taxes of \$0 and \$2 million at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

¹² We present a ratio of allowance to total loans, excluding government guaranteed and fair value loans. We believe that this presentation more appropriately reflects the relationship between the ALLL and loans that attract an allowance. No allowance is recorded for loans held at fair value or loans guaranteed by a government agency for which we assume nominal risk of principal loss.

¹³ Reflects the pre-tax gain on sale of asset management subsidiary during the second quarter of 2014 that impacts the Corporate Other segment. See Note 2, "Acquisitions/Dispositions," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional information related to the sale of RidgeWorth, as well as our Form 8-K that was filed with the SEC on July 3, 2014.

¹⁴ Reflects the pre-tax impact from the settlement of the mortgage modification investigation, further detailed in Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 3, 2014, and other legacy mortgage-related items during the second quarter of 2014 that impact the Mortgage Banking segment.

¹⁵ Includes a \$130 million income tax benefit related to the completion of a tax authority examination in the third quarter of 2014 that impacts the Corporate Other segment. Additional detail on this item can be found in Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 9, 2014.

¹⁶ Includes the income tax impact on above items.

¹⁷ Includes a \$113 million net tax benefit related to subsidiary reorganization and other tax matters during the third quarter of 2013, as disclosed in Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 10, 2013, and impacts the Corporate Other segment.

¹⁸ Reflects the pre-tax impact of mortgage repurchase settlements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac during the third quarter of 2013 that impacts the Mortgage Banking segment.

¹⁹ Reflects the pre-tax impact from the settlement of certain legal and regulatory matters during the third quarter of 2013, announced in Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 10, 2013, and primarily impacts the Mortgage Banking segment.

²⁰ Reflects the pre-tax impact from the mortgage servicing advances allowance increase during the third quarter of 2013, announced in Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 10, 2013, and impacts the Mortgage Banking segment.

²¹ The calculated effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2013, which was negative, was considered to be not meaningful, or "NM."

²² Relates to the treatment of mortgage servicing assets essentially offset by certain disallowed DTAs.

²³ The Basel III calculations of CET 1, RWA, and the CET 1 ratio are based upon our current interpretation of the final Basel III rules published by the Federal Reserve during October 2013, on a fully phased in basis.

²⁴ The largest differences between our RWA as calculated under Basel I compared to Basel III relate to the risk-weightings for derivatives, unfunded commitments, letters of credit, certain commercial loans, and mortgage servicing assets.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Consolidated Daily Average Balances, Income/Expense, and Average Yields Earned/Rates Paid								Table 2	
(Dollars in millions; yields on taxable-equivalent basis)	Three Months Ended						Increase/(Decrease)		
	September 30, 2014			September 30, 2013			Average	Yields/	
	Average	Income/	Yields/	Average	Income/	Yields/	Average	Yields/	
	Balances	Expense	Rates	Balances	Expense	Rates	Balances	Rates	
Assets									
Loans: ¹									
C&I - FTE ²	\$61,700	\$548	3.53 %	\$54,666	\$535	3.88 %	\$7,034	(0.35)	
CRE	6,386	46	2.86	4,615	37	3.18	1,771	(0.32)	
Commercial construction	1,162	9	3.21	704	6	3.38	458	(0.17)	
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	635	6	3.64	3,526	28	3.14	(2,891)	0.50	
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	23,722	236	3.99	23,258	238	4.09	464	(0.10)	
Home equity products	14,260	129	3.58	14,549	133	3.63	(289)	(0.05)	
Residential construction	445	6	5.27	529	7	4.88	(84)	0.39	
Guaranteed student loans	5,360	49	3.66	5,453	52	3.81	(93)	(0.15)	
Other consumer direct	3,876	41	4.20	2,563	28	4.33	1,313	(0.13)	
Indirect	11,556	92	3.15	11,069	94	3.36	487	(0.21)	
Credit cards	788	19	9.74	656	16	9.73	132	0.01	
Nonaccrual ³	857	5	2.16	1,084	6	2.37	(227)	(0.21)	
Total loans	130,747	1,186	3.60	122,672	1,180	3.81	8,075	(0.21)	
Securities AFS:									
Taxable	24,195	151	2.49	22,494	140	2.49	1,701	—	
Tax-exempt - FTE ²	235	3	5.24	243	3	5.16	(8)	0.08	
Total securities AFS - FTE	24,430	154	2.52	22,737	143	2.52	1,693	—	
Fed funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	1,036	—	—	1,029	—	0.01	7	(0.01)	
LHFS	3,367	30	3.53	3,344	30	3.58	23	(0.05)	
Interest-bearing deposits	53	—	0.05	22	—	0.11	31	(0.06)	
Interest earning trading assets	4,055	19	1.85	4,431	18	1.64	(376)	0.21	
Total earning assets	163,688	1,389	3.37	154,235	1,371	3.53	9,453	(0.16)	
ALLL	(1,988)			(2,112)			124		
Cash and due from banks	5,573			3,867			1,706		
Other assets	14,613			14,271			342		
Noninterest earning trading assets and derivatives	1,215			1,529			(314)		
Unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net	332			48			284		
Total assets	\$183,433			\$171,838			\$11,595		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity									
Interest-bearing deposits:									
NOW accounts	\$28,224	\$5	0.07 %	\$25,435	\$4	0.06 %	\$2,789	0.01	
Money market accounts	45,562	17	0.15	43,019	13	0.12	2,543	0.03	
Savings	6,098	1	0.03	5,802	1	0.04	296	(0.01)	
Consumer time	7,186	14	0.75	8,895	25	1.12	(1,709)	(0.37)	
Other time	4,182	10	0.99	4,830	15	1.26	(648)	(0.27)	
Total interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits	91,252	47	0.20	87,981	58	0.26	3,271	(0.06)	
Brokered time deposits	1,392	7	1.91	1,989	12	2.44	(597)	(0.53)	

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Foreign deposits	232	—	0.11	18	—	0.11	214	—
Total interest-bearing deposits	92,876	54	0.23	89,988	70	0.31	2,888	(0.08)
Funds purchased	937	—	0.10	505	—	0.09	432	0.01
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	2,177	1	0.13	1,885	1	0.13	292	—
Interest-bearing trading liabilities	778	5	2.72	720	5	2.58	58	0.14
Other short-term borrowings	6,559	4	0.23	5,222	3	0.27	1,337	(0.04)
Long-term debt	13,064	74	2.24	9,891	52	2.06	3,173	0.18
Total interest-bearing liabilities	116,391	138	0.47	108,211	131	0.48	8,180	(0.01)
Noninterest-bearing deposits	40,943			38,637			2,306	
Other liabilities	3,620			3,428			192	
Noninterest-bearing trading liabilities and derivatives	288			535			(247)	
Shareholders' equity	22,191			21,027			1,164	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$183,433			\$171,838			\$11,595	
Interest Rate Spread			2.90 %			3.05 %		(0.15)
Net interest income - FTE ⁴		\$1,251			\$1,240			
Net Interest Margin ⁵			3.03 %			3.19 %		(0.16)

¹ Interest income includes loan fees of \$48 million and \$38 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

² Interest income includes the effects of taxable-equivalent adjustments using a federal income tax rate of 35% and, where applicable, state income taxes to increase tax-exempt interest income to a taxable-equivalent basis. The net taxable-equivalent adjustment amounts included in the above table aggregated \$36 million and \$32 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

³ Income on consumer and residential nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized on a cash basis.

⁴ Derivative instruments employed to manage our interest rate sensitivity increased net interest income \$106 million and \$109 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

⁵ The net interest margin is calculated by dividing annualized net interest income – FTE by average total earning assets.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Consolidated Daily Average Balances, Income/Expense, and Average Yields Earned/Rates Paid, continued

(Dollars in millions; yields on taxable-equivalent basis)	Nine Months Ended						Increase/(Decrease)	
	September 30, 2014			September 30, 2013			Average	Yields/
	Average	Income/	Yields/	Average	Income/	Yields/	Balances	Rates
	Balances	Expense	Rates	Balances	Expense	Rates		
Assets								
Loans: ¹								
C&I - FTE ²	\$60,055	\$1,630	3.63 %	\$54,310	\$1,635	4.03 %	\$5,745	(0.40)
CRE	6,021	131	2.90	4,325	107	3.31	1,696	(0.41)
Commercial construction	1,022	25	3.31	665	18	3.53	357	(0.22)
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	2,316	63	3.63	3,789	81	2.86	(1,473)	0.77
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	23,834	717	4.01	22,708	717	4.21	1,126	(0.20)
Home equity products	14,389	386	3.58	14,424	393	3.64	(35)	(0.06)
Residential construction	468	16	4.66	567	21	4.97	(99)	(0.31)
Guaranteed student loans	5,448	149	3.67	5,397	155	3.84	51	(0.17)
Other consumer direct	3,396	107	4.22	2,466	81	4.39	930	(0.17)
Indirect	11,415	273	3.19	11,046	284	3.43	369	(0.24)
Credit cards	746	54	9.64	630	46	9.69	116	(0.05)
Nonaccrual ³	900	16	2.31	1,322	27	2.71	(422)	(0.40)
Total loans	130,010	3,567	3.67	121,649	3,565	3.92	8,361	(0.25)
Securities AFS:								
Taxable	23,145	448	2.58	22,514	421	2.49	631	0.09
Tax-exempt - FTE ²	254	10	5.26	266	10	5.19	(12)	0.07
Total securities AFS - FTE	23,399	458	2.61	22,780	431	2.53	619	0.08
Fed funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell	1,021	—	—	1,075	—	0.02	(54)	(0.02)
LHFS	2,172	61	3.77	3,544	90	3.37	(1,372)	0.40
Interest-bearing deposits	33	—	0.10	22	—	0.10	11	—
Interest earning trading assets	3,856	55	1.90	4,342	52	1.59	(486)	0.31
Total earning assets	160,491	4,141	3.45	153,412	4,138	3.61	7,079	(0.16)
ALLL	(2,016)			(2,144)			128	
Cash and due from banks	5,474			4,258			1,216	
Other assets	14,706			14,361			345	
Noninterest earning trading assets and derivatives	1,221			1,667			(446)	
Unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net	222			507			(285)	
Total assets	\$180,098			\$172,061			\$8,037	
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity								
Interest-bearing deposits:								
NOW accounts	\$28,378	\$16	0.07 %	\$25,941	\$13	0.07 %	\$2,437	—
Money market accounts	43,771	45	0.14	42,621	42	0.13	1,150	0.01
Savings	6,105	2	0.04	5,713	2	0.05	392	(0.01)
Consumer time	7,731	53	0.92	9,158	78	1.14	(1,427)	(0.22)
Other time	4,370	35	1.08	5,036	50	1.32	(666)	(0.24)
Total interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits	90,355	151	0.22	88,469	185	0.28	1,886	(0.06)
Brokered time deposits	1,762	29	2.16	2,037	39	2.53	(275)	(0.37)

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Foreign deposits	79	—	0.11	46	—	0.14	33	(0.03)
Total interest-bearing deposits	92,196	180	0.26	90,552	224	0.33	1,644	(0.07)
Funds purchased	917	—	0.09	625	1	0.10	292	(0.01)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	2,176	2	0.12	1,824	2	0.15	352	(0.03)
Interest-bearing trading liabilities	753	16	2.76	731	13	2.36	22	0.40
Other short-term borrowings	5,984	11	0.24	4,794	9	0.26	1,190	(0.02)
Long-term debt	12,155	198	2.17	9,652	156	2.15	2,503	0.02
Total interest-bearing liabilities	114,181	407	0.48	108,178	405	0.50	6,003	(0.02)
Noninterest-bearing deposits	40,014			38,478			1,536	
Other liabilities	3,584			3,743			(159)	
Noninterest-bearing trading liabilities and derivatives	347			524			(177)	
Shareholders' equity	21,972			21,138			834	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$180,098			\$172,061			\$8,037	
Interest Rate Spread			2.97 %			3.11 %		(0.14)
Net interest income - FTE ⁴		\$3,734			\$3,733			
Net Interest Margin ⁵			3.11 %			3.25 %		(0.14)

¹ Interest income includes loan fees of \$141 million and \$109 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

² Interest income includes the effects of taxable-equivalent adjustments using a federal income tax rate of 35% and, where applicable, state income taxes to increase tax-exempt interest income to a taxable-equivalent basis. The net taxable-equivalent adjustment amounts included in the above table aggregated \$105 million and \$93 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

³ Income on consumer and residential nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized on a cash basis.

⁴ Derivative instruments employed to manage our interest rate sensitivity increased net interest income \$326 million and \$334 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

⁵ The net interest margin is calculated by dividing annualized net interest income – FTE by average total earning assets.

Net Interest Income/Margin

Third Quarter of 2014

Net interest income on an FTE basis was \$1.3 billion during the third quarter of 2014, up \$11 million compared to the third quarter of 2013 as solid earning asset growth was partially offset by yield compression on earning assets. Net interest margin decreased 16 basis points to 3.03% during the third quarter of 2014, compared to 3.19% during the third quarter of 2013, primarily due to a 16 basis point decline in earning asset yields as the low interest rate environment continued. The decline in earning asset yields was primarily concentrated in our loan portfolio, and was partially offset by a one basis point decline in rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

Average earning assets increased \$9.5 billion, or 6%, during the third quarter of 2014 compared to the third quarter of 2013, primarily driven by an increase of \$8.1 billion, or 7%, in average loans and a \$1.7 billion, or 7%, increase in average securities AFS. The increase in average loans was broad-based across most loan categories, primarily driven by targeted growth in C&I loans of \$7.0 billion, or 13%, CRE loans of \$1.8 billion, or 38%, and other consumer direct loans of \$1.3 billion, or 51%, partially offset by a decrease in guaranteed residential mortgages of \$2.9 billion. The decrease in government-guaranteed loans was primarily due to the second quarter transfer to LHFS and subsequent sale of \$2.0 billion of guaranteed residential mortgages, with the majority of the proceeds reinvested in high-quality liquid securities in anticipation of forthcoming LCR requirements. Additionally, we sold \$325 million of guaranteed residential mortgages in the second quarter of 2014. Average nonaccrual loans declined 21%, driven by the ongoing disposition of defaulted loans.

Yields on average earning assets declined 16 basis points to 3.37% during the third quarter of 2014, compared to 3.53% during the third quarter of 2013. The decline was driven by a decrease in the yield of our loan portfolio to 3.60% during the third quarter of 2014, a decrease of 21 basis points compared to the third quarter of 2013. The decrease in the loan portfolio yield was broad-based, driven by the continued low interest rate environment and a gradual shift in loan mix to C&I loans, where spread compression continues and competition in our markets remains high. Yields on securities AFS remained flat compared to the prior year quarter.

We utilize interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk. These instruments are primarily pay variable-receive fixed interest rate swaps that convert a portion of our commercial loan portfolio from floating rates, based on LIBOR, to fixed rates. At September 30, 2014, the outstanding notional balance of active swaps that qualified as cash flow hedges on variable rate commercial loans was \$18.9 billion, compared to \$17.3 billion at December 31, 2013. In addition to the income recognized from active swaps, we also continue to recognize interest income over the original hedge period resulting from terminated or de-designated swaps that were previously designated as cash flow hedges on variable rate commercial loans. Interest income from our commercial loan swaps decreased to \$99 million during the third quarter of 2014 compared to \$101 million during the third quarter of 2013. As we manage our interest rate risk we may continue to purchase additional and/or terminate existing interest rate swaps. Remaining swaps have maturities through 2019. The average maturity of our active swaps at September 30, 2014 was 1.8 years, and \$14.3 billion of related notional balances will mature by December 31, 2016. As the swaps mature, the interest income from the swap balances is expected to decline and our asset sensitivity is expected to increase, which is a part of our balance sheet management strategy over the medium term. We have and will continue to carefully manage our overall balance sheet duration and utilization in light of the continued low interest rate environment, while also being cognizant of controlling interest rate risk in advance of what we expect will eventually be higher interest rates. For every \$1.0 billion reduction in the average notional swap balance, our net interest income sensitivity would increase an additional 0.2% in response to a 100 basis points parallel rate increase. See Table 16, "Net Interest Income Asset Sensitivity," in this MD&A for additional details on our net interest income sensitivity analysis.

The commercial loan swaps have a fixed rate of interest that is received, while the rate paid is based on LIBOR. The weighted average rate on the receive-fixed rate leg of the commercial loan swap portfolio at September 30, 2014 is 1.70%. Estimated income from these swaps is included in the table below and is based on the assumption of unchanged LIBOR rates relative to September 30, 2014, which may be different than our assumption for future interest rates. Actual income from these swaps may vary from estimates.

Table 3

	Ending Notional Balance of Swaps (in billions)	Estimated Net Interest Income Related to Swaps (in millions) ¹
Fourth Quarter 2014	\$15.4	\$84
First Quarter 2015	13.7	52
Second Quarter 2015	13.7	48
Third Quarter 2015	13.2	47
Fourth Quarter 2015	13.2	45

¹ Includes estimated interest income related to active, terminated/de-designated, and forward-starting swaps. See Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional swap information.

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$8.2 billion, or 8%, during the third quarter of 2014 compared to the third quarter of 2013, and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities were 0.47% during the third quarter of 2014, a decrease of one basis point compared to the same period of 2013. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased primarily due to a \$5.6 billion, or 8%, increase in average lower-cost deposits, a \$3.2 billion, or 32%, increase in average long-term debt, and a \$1.3 billion, or 26%, increase in average other short-term borrowings. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$2.4 billion, or 17%, in average time deposits. The increase in average long-term debt was primarily attributable to (i) the \$750 million of fixed rate senior notes issued in October of 2013, (ii) the \$250 million of floating rate senior notes and \$600 million of fixed rate senior notes issued under our Global Bank Note program during the first quarter of 2014, (iii) the \$650 million of fixed rate senior notes issued under our Global Bank Note program in April 2014, and (iv) the addition of a \$1.0 billion long-term FHLB advance during the second quarter of 2014. The increase in average other short-term borrowings was primarily due to increased FHLB borrowings during the current year. See additional information regarding long-term debt in the "Borrowings" section of this MD&A. Average noninterest-bearing deposits increased \$2.3 billion, or 6%, compared to the third quarter of 2013.

The one basis point reduction in average interest-bearing liability costs during the third quarter of 2014 was primarily due to a six basis point decline in rates paid on interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits, partially offset by an 18 basis point increase in rates paid on long-term debt primarily attributable to the aforementioned issuances. The decline in the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits was a result of the improved funding mix driven by the shift from time deposits to lower-cost deposit products, as well as a reduction in rates paid on time deposits as higher rate CDs matured.

Net interest margin has declined more in 2014 than we anticipated at the beginning of the year, as commercial loan growth has been stronger than expected and loan yields continue to compress. Looking forward, we expect fourth quarter 2014 net interest margin to decline approximately three to six basis points from the current level, primarily driven by lower commercial loan swap income.

First Nine Months of 2014

For the first nine months of 2014, net interest income on a FTE basis was \$3.7 billion, which was consistent with the first nine months of 2013. However, net interest margin decreased 14 basis points to 3.11%, compared to 3.25% during the first nine months of 2013, primarily due to a 16 basis point decline in earning asset yields. The earning

asset yield decline was primarily driven by lower loan yields, partially offset by improved yields in the LHFS and securities AFS portfolios. Counteracting the decline in earning asset yield was a two basis point reduction in interest bearing liability costs driven by lower rates paid on interest-bearing deposits.

Yields on average earning assets declined 16 basis points to 3.45% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, from 3.61% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, driven primarily by a decline in loan yields. The yield on average loans was 3.67%, a decrease of 25 basis points compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, driven by broad-based declines across the loan portfolio, including a \$13 million decline in swap interest income. Partially offsetting the decline in loan yields was a 40 basis point increase on LHFS and an eight basis point increase in the yield on securities AFS. The securities yield increase was primarily driven by lower pay-downs of MBS securities and the resulting impact on MBS

amortization. Average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities declined two basis points compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This decline was primarily driven by a six basis point decrease in rates paid on interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits driven by the shift from time deposits to lower-cost deposit products, as well as a reduction in rates paid on time deposits as maturing CDs renew at lower rates.

Average earning assets increased \$7.1 billion, or 5%. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in average loans of \$8.4 billion, or 7%, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.4 billion in average LHFS. The factors contributing to the year-over-year changes in average loans and LHFS were the same as those discussed above related to the third quarter of 2014 compared to the third quarter of 2013.

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$6.0 billion, or 6%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily due to a \$2.5 billion, or 26%, increase in average long-term debt, a \$1.9 billion, or 2%, increase in average interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits, and an increase of \$1.2 billion, or 25%, in average other short-term borrowings. The factors contributing to the year-over-year increases in average interest-bearing deposits, average long-term debt, and average other short-term borrowings were the same as those discussed above related to the third quarter of 2014 compared to the third quarter of 2013. Average noninterest-bearing deposits increased \$1.5 billion, or 4%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Foregone Interest
Foregone interest income from NPLs reduced the net interest margin by two basis points during both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as average nonaccrual loans decreased by \$227 million and \$422 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Foregone interest income from NPLs reduced the net interest margin by two basis points and three basis points during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. See additional discussion of our expectations of future credit quality in the “Loans,” “Allowance for Credit Losses,” and “Nonperforming Assets” sections of this MD&A. In addition, Table 2 of this MD&A contains more detailed information concerning average balances, yields earned, and rates paid.

NONINTEREST INCOME

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2014	2013	% Change ¹	2014	2013	% Change ¹
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$169	\$168	1	\$483	\$492	(2)
Other charges and fees	95	91	4	274	277	(1)
Card fees	81	77	5	239	231	3
Trust and investment management income	93	133	(30)	339	387	(12)
Retail investment services	76	68	12	224	198	13
Investment banking income	88	99	(11)	296	260	14
Trading income	46	33	39	141	124	14
Mortgage servicing related income	44	11	NM	143	50	NM
Mortgage production related income/(loss)	45	(10)	NM	140	282	(50)
Gain on sale of subsidiary	—	—	—	105	—	NM
Net securities (losses)/gains	(9)	—	NM	(11)	2	NM
Other noninterest income	52	10	NM	155	98	58
Total noninterest income	\$780	\$680	15	\$2,528	\$2,401	5
Total noninterest income, excluding Form 8-K items ²	\$780	\$743	5	\$2,423	\$2,464	(2)

¹ "NM" - Not meaningful. Those changes over 100 percent were not considered to be meaningful.

² See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for a reconciliation of noninterest income, excluding Form 8-K items.

Noninterest income increased \$100 million, or 15%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, driven primarily by a decline in the mortgage repurchase provision, higher mortgage production and mortgage servicing related income, and gain on the sale of mortgage LHFS, partially offset by lower trust and investment management income. Noninterest income increased \$127 million, or 5%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, driven by the gain on sale of RidgeWorth during the second quarter of 2014, an increase in mortgage servicing income, capital markets-related income, retail investment services income, and an increase in other noninterest income primarily driven by gains on the sale of mortgage LHFS and

higher net leasing revenue, partially offset by a decrease in mortgage production income and the foregone RidgeWorth-related revenue. For additional information related to the sale of RidgeWorth, see Note 2, "Acquisitions/Dispositions," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q. Excluding the impact of Form 8-K items, noninterest income increased \$37 million, or 5%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and decreased \$41 million, or 2%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for a reconciliation of noninterest income, excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items.

Trust and investment management income decreased \$40 million, or 30%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$48 million, or 12%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, driven by approximately \$55 million of foregone RidgeWorth-related revenue as a result of the sale during the second quarter of 2014. The decline was partially offset by growth in core private wealth fee income. Retail investment services income increased \$8 million, or 12%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$26 million, or 13%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. These increases are a result of our ongoing focus on meeting more clients' savings and investment needs.

Investment banking income decreased \$11 million, or 11%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and increased \$36 million, or 14%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease compared to third quarter of 2013 was largely attributable to lower M&A advisory revenues during the current quarter. The increase compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, is primarily due to higher client activity across most origination and advisory product categories, including syndicated finance, mergers and acquisitions, and equity offerings.

Trading income increased \$13 million, or 39%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$17 million, or 14%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase compared to the third quarter of 2013 was primarily driven by higher core trading revenue and the widening of the Company's credit spreads, which led to a mark-to-market reduction in fair value of the Company's debt. The increase compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was driven primarily by higher core trading income, which was impacted by the de-risking of certain trading positions in the second quarter of 2013.

Mortgage servicing related income increased \$33 million, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and increased \$93 million, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. These increases were primarily due to a decline in loan prepayments, resulting in lower decay and improved net MSR hedge performance. The servicing portfolio was \$135.8 billion at September 30, 2014 compared to \$139.7 billion at September 30, 2013.

Mortgage production related income increased \$55 million, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and decreased \$142 million, or 50%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase compared to the third quarter of 2013 was due to the \$63 million of mortgage repurchase provision recognized during the third quarter of 2013 related to the settlement of GSE repurchase claims. This favorable difference in the third quarter of 2014 was partially offset by a decline in mortgage production income due to a 43% decrease in mortgage production volume. While refinance activity declined, gain on sale margins increased. The decrease compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to a 55% decline in production volume and decline in gain on sale margins. Partially offsetting the decrease, the mortgage repurchase provision declined \$90 million, primarily due to the aforementioned repurchase provision during 2013. For additional information about the mortgage repurchase activity, see Note 13, "Guarantees," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

Other noninterest income increased \$42 million, compared to the third quarter of 2013, which was primarily driven by gains on the sale of mortgage loans and higher net leasing revenue. Other noninterest income increased \$57 million, or 58%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to higher gains on the sale of mortgage loans in 2014, partially offset by an increase in the impairment of lease financing assets. During the current quarter, \$9 million of net securities losses were realized due to a repositioning of the investment portfolio.

NONINTEREST EXPENSE

Table 5

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2014	2013	% Change	2014	2013	% Change
Employee compensation	\$649	\$611	6 %	\$1,967	\$1,856	6 %
Employee benefits	81	71	14	326	322	1
Personnel expenses	730	682	7	2,293	2,178	5
Outside processing and software	184	190	(3)	535	555	(4)
Operating losses	29	350	(92)	268	461	(42)
Net occupancy expense	84	86	(2)	254	261	(3)
Equipment expense	41	45	(9)	127	136	(7)
Regulatory assessments	29	45	(36)	109	140	(22)
Marketing and customer development	35	34	3	91	95	(4)
Credit and collection services	21	139	(85)	67	224	(70)
Amortization	7	6	17	14	18	(22)
Other noninterest expense	99	153	(35)	376	402	(6)
Total noninterest expense	\$1,259	\$1,730	(27)%	\$4,134	\$4,470	(8)%
Total noninterest expense, excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items ¹	\$1,259	\$1,311	(4)%	\$3,955	\$4,051	(2)%

¹ See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for a reconciliation of noninterest expense, excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items.

Noninterest expense decreased \$471 million, or 27%, and \$336 million, or 8%, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2013. These decreases were driven primarily by legacy mortgage-related operating losses that impacted the second quarter of 2014 and the third quarter of 2013. Excluding the impact of Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items, noninterest expense decreased \$52 million, or 4%, and \$96 million, or 2%, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2013. These decreases were primarily due to our overall efficiency and expense management focus, along with a decline in cyclical costs.

Personnel expenses increased \$48 million, or 7%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$115 million, or 5%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase for both periods was primarily due to higher salaries related to hiring in certain revenue producing businesses and the impact of higher incentive compensation and benefits due to improved business performance, partially offset by a decline in full-time equivalent employees.

Operating losses decreased \$321 million compared to the third quarter of 2013 and \$193 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items from each period, operating losses increased \$2 million compared to the third quarter of 2013 and decreased \$49 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease from the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was due to \$45 million of mortgage-related expenses in the second quarter of 2013. See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for additional detail and the resulting impact of Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items.

Outside processing and software expenses decreased \$6 million, or 3%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$20 million, or 4%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. These decreases were primarily due to lower mortgage production volume.

Regulatory assessments decreased \$16 million, or 36%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$31 million, or 22%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. These decreases were primarily due to declines in our FDIC insurance premium, reflecting our improved risk profile.

Credit and collection services decreased \$118 million, or 85%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$157 million, or 70%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease for both periods was primarily

due to the \$96 million addition to the mortgage servicing advance allowance during the third quarter of 2013, as well as lower cyclical costs. Excluding operating losses, we do not expect cyclical costs to be a meaningful driver of sequential changes in our expense base going forward.

Other noninterest expense decreased \$54 million, or 35%, compared to the third quarter of 2013, and \$26 million, or 6%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease from the third quarter of 2013 was largely due to lower severance costs and real estate charges. The decrease from the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to the aforementioned reasons, partially offset by a \$28 million net charge related to the impairment of certain affordable housing assets designated for sale during 2014.

PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes includes both federal and state income taxes. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the provision for income taxes was \$67 million and \$364 million, respectively, resulting in effective tax rates of 10.4% and 20.9%, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the provision for income taxes was a benefit of \$133 million and an expense of \$184 million, respectively, resulting in an effective tax rate during the three months ended September 30, 2013 that was not meaningful and an effective tax rate of 16.7% during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Excluding the Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items impacting the second and third quarters of 2014, the effective tax rate was 30.6% and 28.6% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

Excluding the Form 8-K items impacting the third quarter of 2013, the effective tax rate was 31.5% and 30.7% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. See Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data and Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures," in this MD&A for a reconciliation of the effective tax rate excluding Form 8-K and other legacy mortgage-related items.

The effective tax rates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 were favorably impacted by a \$130 million decrease in UTBs related to the completion of a tax authority examination in the third quarter of 2014. The lower effective tax rates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 were impacted by the tax effect of the legacy mortgage-related 8-K items as well as the tax benefit realized on the completion of a taxable reorganization of certain subsidiaries.

The provision for income taxes differs from the provision using statutory rates primarily due to favorable permanent tax items such as income from lending to tax exempt entities and federal tax credits from community reinvestment activities. See Note 10, "Income Taxes," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for further information related to the provision for income taxes.

LOANS

Our disclosures about the credit quality of our loan portfolio and the related credit reserves (i) describe the nature of credit risk inherent in our loan portfolio, (ii) provide information on how we analyze and assess credit risk in arriving at an adequate and appropriate ALLL, and (iii) explain the changes in the ALLL and reasons for those changes. For additional information regarding the credit quality of our loan portfolio see Note 5, "Loans," and Note 6, "Allowance for Credit Losses," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

We report our loan portfolio in three loan segments: commercial, residential, and consumer. Loans are assigned to these segments based upon the type of borrower, purpose, collateral, and/or our underlying credit management processes. Additionally, within each loan segment, we have identified loan types, which further disaggregate loans based upon common risk characteristics.

Commercial

The C&I loan type includes loans to fund business operations or activities, corporate credit cards, loans secured by owner-occupied properties, and other wholesale lending activities. CRE and commercial construction loan types include investor loans where repayment is largely dependent upon the operation, refinance, or sale of the underlying real estate. Commercial loans and construction loans secured by owner-occupied properties are classified as C&I loans, as the primary source of loan repayment for owner-occupied properties is business income and not real estate operations.

Residential

Residential mortgages consist of loans secured by 1-4 family homes, mostly prime first-lien loans, both government-guaranteed and nonguaranteed. Residential construction loans include owner-occupied residential lot loans and construction-to-perm loans. Home equity products consist of equity lines of credit and closed-end equity loans that may be in either a first lien or

junior lien position. At September 30, 2014, 39% of our home equity products were in a first lien position and 61% were in a junior lien position. For home equity products in a junior lien position, we own or service 29% of the loans that are senior to the home equity product.

Only a small percentage of home equity lines are scheduled to end their draw period and convert to an amortizing term loan during 2014, with 94% of home equity line balances scheduled to convert to amortization in 2015 or later and 66% in 2017 or later. Based on historical trends, within 12 months of the end of their draw period, approximately 78% of all accounts, and approximately 65% of accounts with a balance, are closed or refinanced into an amortizing loan or a new line of credit. We perform credit management activities to limit our loss exposure on home equity accounts. These activities may result in the suspension of available credit and curtailment of available draws of most home equity junior lien accounts when the first lien position is delinquent, including when the junior lien is still current. We monitor the delinquency status of first mortgages serviced by other parties. Additionally, we actively monitor refreshed credit bureau scores of borrowers with junior liens, as these scores are highly sensitive to first lien mortgage delinquency. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, our home equity junior lien loss severity was approximately 81% and 87%, respectively. The average borrower FICO score related to loans in our home equity portfolio was approximately 760 and the average outstanding loan size was approximately \$48,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Consumer

The loan types comprising our consumer loan segment include government-guaranteed student loans, other direct loans (consisting primarily of direct auto loans, loans secured by negotiable collateral, unsecured loans and private student loans), indirect loans (consisting of loans secured by automobiles, boats, or recreational vehicles), and consumer credit cards.

The composition of our loan portfolio is shown in the following table:

Loan Portfolio by Types of Loans

(Dollars in millions)			Table 6	
	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	%	Change
Commercial loans:				
C&I	\$63,140	\$57,974	9	%
CRE	6,704	5,481	22	
Commercial construction	1,250	855	46	
Total commercial loans	71,094	64,310	11	
Residential loans:				
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	651	3,416	(81))
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed ¹	23,718	24,412	(3))
Home equity products	14,389	14,809	(3))
Residential construction	464	553	(16))
Total residential loans	39,222	43,190	(9))
Consumer loans:				
Guaranteed student loans	5,314	5,545	(4))
Other direct	4,110	2,829	45	
Indirect	11,594	11,272	3	
Credit cards	817	731	12	
Total consumer loans	21,835	20,377	7	
LHFI	\$132,151	\$127,877	3	%
LHFS ²	\$1,739	\$1,699	2	%

¹ Includes \$284 million and \$302 million of loans carried at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

² Includes \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion of LHFS carried at fair value at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

We believe that our loan portfolio is well diversified by product, client, and geography. However, our loan portfolio may be exposed to certain concentrations of credit risk which exist in relation to individual borrowers or groups of borrowers, certain types of collateral, certain industries, certain loan products, or certain regions of the country. See Note 5, "Loans," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for more information.

The following table shows the percentage breakdown of our LHFI portfolio by geographic region:

Loan Types by Geography

Table 7

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014								
	Commercial		Residential		Consumer				
	Loans	% of total	Loans	% of total	Loans	% of total			
Geography:									
Florida	\$12,075	17	%	\$10,234	26	%	\$3,816	18	%
Georgia	8,604	12		5,976	15		1,650	8	
Virginia	7,058	10		5,747	15		1,621	7	
Tennessee	4,480	6		2,272	6		788	4	
North Carolina	3,707	5		3,660	9		1,457	7	
Maryland	3,651	5		3,925	10		1,387	6	
South Carolina	1,343	2		1,869	5		444	2	
District of Columbia	1,310	2		711	2		96	—	
Total banking region	42,228	59		34,394	88		11,259	52	
California, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Texas, New Jersey, New York	14,915	21		2,798	7		5,718	26	
All other states	13,951	20		2,030	5		4,858	22	
Total outside banking region	28,866	41		4,828	12		10,576	48	
Total	\$71,094	100	%	\$39,222	100	%	\$21,835	100	%

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013								
	Commercial		Residential		Consumer				
	Loans	% of total	Loans	% of total	Loans	% of total			
Geography:									
Florida	\$12,003	19	%	\$10,770	25	%	\$3,683	18	%
Georgia	8,175	13		6,210	14		1,539	8	
Virginia	7,052	11		6,312	15		1,633	8	
Tennessee	4,689	7		2,489	6		738	4	
North Carolina	3,583	5		3,902	9		1,464	7	
Maryland	3,431	5		4,097	9		1,402	7	
South Carolina	1,122	2		2,023	5		412	2	
District of Columbia	1,066	2		727	2		95	—	
Total banking region	41,121	64		36,530	85		10,966	54	
California, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Texas, New Jersey, New York	12,131	19		3,811	9		5,043	25	
All other states	11,058	17		2,849	6		4,368	21	
Total outside banking region	23,189	36		6,660	15		9,411	46	
Total	\$64,310	100	%	\$43,190	100	%	\$20,377	100	%

Loans Held for Investment

LHFI were \$132.2 billion at September 30, 2014, an increase of 3% from December 31, 2013. We continued to make progress in our loan portfolio diversification strategy, as we were successful in both growing targeted commercial and consumer balances and in reducing our exposure to certain real estate secured loans. Additionally, we have been successful in growing commercial and consumer loans through our national banking delivery channels, which provides us additional geographic loan diversity. Overall economic indicators in our markets are improving, and organic loan production in C&I and other consumer loans has been solid. Average loans during the first nine months of 2014 totaled \$130.0 billion. See the "Net Interest Income/Margin" section of this MD&A for more information regarding average loan balances.

Commercial loans increased \$6.8 billion, or 11%, during the first nine months of 2014. Growth was primarily driven by C&I loans, encompassing a diverse array of large corporate and middle market borrowers, as well as growth in CRE loans. C&I loans increased \$5.2 billion, or 9%, from December 31, 2013, primarily driven by broad-based growth across the portfolio. The most notable increases were in the asset securitization and energy portfolios within our Wholesale Banking segment. CRE loans increased \$1.2 billion, or 22%, from December 31, 2013, driven by the purchase of approximately \$735 million in loans from third parties during the first nine months of 2014.

Residential loans decreased \$4.0 billion, or 9%, during the first nine months of 2014, primarily driven by a \$2.8 billion, or 81%, decrease in government-guaranteed residential mortgages, a \$694 million, or 3%, decrease in non-guaranteed residential mortgages, and a \$420 million, or 3%, decrease in home equity products. The decrease in government-guaranteed loans was primarily due to the transfer of \$2.1 billion of government-guaranteed loans to LHFS in the second quarter of 2014 and subsequent sale of \$2.0 billion of those loans on a servicing retained basis in the third quarter of 2014, which resulted in a \$41 million pre-tax gain. We reinvested the majority of the proceeds from this sale into high-quality liquid securities in anticipation of forthcoming LCR requirements. Also, during the second quarter of 2014, we sold \$325 million of government guaranteed residential mortgages, which resulted in a \$19 million pre-tax gain. The decrease in nonguaranteed residential mortgages was driven by the second quarter sale of \$149 million of accruing TDRs to further enhance our asset quality position, as well as the third quarter sale of \$253 million of performing nonguaranteed mortgages, resulting in an insignificant loss.

Consumer loans increased \$1.5 billion, or 7%, during the first nine months of 2014, primarily driven by a \$1.3 billion, or 45%, increase in other direct loans, which was largely related to high credit quality consumer loans through our LightStream online lending business, as well as other high credit quality home improvement loans. Indirect loans increased \$322 million, or 3%, during the first nine months of 2014 due to modest growth in indirect auto loan originations.

Loans Held for Sale

LHFS increased \$40 million, or 2%, during the first nine months of 2014 as mortgage production and sales were highly correlated.

Asset Quality

Our asset quality continued to trend favorably during the first nine months of 2014, driven by overall improvements in the economy, improved residential housing markets, resolution of existing NPAs, and lower levels of NPLs. This was driven by positive trends in our residential portfolios due to lower delinquencies and loss severities, and higher prices upon disposition of foreclosed assets.

During the first nine months of 2014, NPLs decreased \$209 million, or 22%, largely driven by a reduction in residential mortgage NPLs, including the transfer of \$53 million to LHFS during the third quarter of 2014. At September 30, 2014, the percentage of NPLs to total loans was 0.58%, down 18 basis points compared to December 31, 2013. We expect further, but moderating, declines in NPLs during the remainder of 2014, led by continuing improvements in residential mortgage portfolios.

For the third quarter of 2014 and 2013, net charge-offs were \$128 million and \$146 million, respectively, a decrease of \$18 million, or 12%. Net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013 were \$351 million and \$551 million, respectively, a decrease of \$200 million, or 36%, largely driven by declines in commercial and residential categories, and offset by a slight increase in consumer loans. During the third quarter and first nine months of 2014, the annualized net charge-off ratio declined to 0.39% and 0.36%, respectively, compared to 0.47% and 0.61% during the same periods in 2013, respectively. The aforementioned sale of \$149 million of accruing TDRs resulted in \$10 million in net charge-offs in the second quarter of 2014. The third quarter 2014 transfer of \$53 million of residential mortgage NPLs to LHFS resulted in a \$9 million charge-off. We expect net charge-offs in the residential portfolio to move modestly lower in the near-term; however, we do not expect further declines in commercial and consumer net charge-offs, which we believe are at or below normal levels. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional policy information related to charge-offs.

Total early stage delinquencies decreased to 0.59% of total loans at September 30, 2014, a decline of 15 basis points compared to December 31, 2013. Early stage delinquencies, excluding government-guaranteed loans, improved to 0.30% of total loans at September 30, 2014, compared to 0.36% at December 31, 2013. At September 30, 2014, all loan classes, except commercial construction, residential construction, and consumer indirect, showed improvement in early stage delinquencies compared to December 31, 2013. We expect that any further improvement in early stage delinquencies will be driven by residential loans.

ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The allowance for credit losses consists of both the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. A rollforward of our allowance for credit losses, along with our summarized credit loss experience is shown in the table below. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and Note 6, "Allowance for Credit Losses," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, as well as the "Allowance for Credit Losses" section within "Critical Accounting Policies" in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information regarding our ALLL accounting policy, determination, and allocation.

Summary of Credit Losses Experience

Table 8

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2014	2013	% Change 5	2014	2013	% Change 5
Allowance for Credit Losses:						
Balance - beginning of period	\$2,046	\$2,172	(6)%	\$2,094	\$2,219	(6)%
Provision/(benefit) for unfunded commitments	—	3	(100)	(7)	5	NM
Provision for loan losses:						
Commercial loans	25	77	(68)	82	183	(55)
Residential loans	34	(6)	NM	114	184	(38)
Consumer loans	34	21	62	79	81	(2)
Total provision for loan losses	93	92	1	275	448	(39)
Charge-offs:						
Commercial loans	(26)	(52)	(50)	(97)	(176)	(45)
Residential loans	(104)	(109)	(5)	(279)	(430)	(35)
Consumer loans	(34)	(28)	21	(97)	(89)	9
Total charge-offs	(164)	(189)	(13)	(473)	(695)	(32)
Recoveries:						
Commercial loans	14	13	8	40	48	(17)
Residential loans	12	21	(43)	52	67	(22)
Consumer loans	10	9	11	30	29	3
Total recoveries	36	43	(16)	122	144	(15)
Net charge-offs	(128)	(146)	(12)	(351)	(551)	(36)
Balance - end of period	\$2,011	\$2,121	(5)%	\$2,011	\$2,121	(5)%
Components:						
ALLL				\$1,968	\$2,071	(5)%
Unfunded commitments reserve ¹				43	50	(14)
Allowance for credit losses				\$2,011	\$2,121	(5)%
Average loans	\$130,747	\$122,672	7 %	\$130,010	\$121,649	7 %
Period-end loans outstanding				132,151	124,340	6
Ratios:						
ALLL to period-end loans ^{2,3}				1.49	% 1.67	% (11)%
ALLL to NPLs ⁴				260	% 201	% 29
ALLL to net charge-offs (annualized)	3.88x	3.58x	8 %	4.19x	2.81x	49
Net charge-offs to average loans (annualized)	0.39	% 0.47	% (17)	0.36	% 0.61	% (41)

¹ The unfunded commitments reserve is recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

² \$284 million and \$316 million of LHFI carried at fair value at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were excluded from period-end loans in the calculation.

³ Excluding government-guaranteed loans of \$6.0 billion and \$9.0 billion, respectively, from period-end loans in the calculation results in ratios of 1.56% and 1.80%, respectively.

⁴ \$6 million and \$7 million of NPLs carried at fair value at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were excluded from NPLs in the calculation.

⁵ "NM" - not meaningful. Those changes over 100 percent were not considered to be meaningful.

Provision for Credit Losses

The total provision for credit losses includes the provision for loan losses as well as the provision for unfunded commitments. The provision for loan losses is the result of a detailed analysis performed to estimate an appropriate and adequate ALLL. During the third quarter of 2014, the provision for loan losses was relatively stable compared to the third quarter of 2013. For the first nine months of 2014, the provision for loan losses decreased \$173 million, or 39%, compared to the same period in 2013. The decline in the provision for loan losses was largely attributable to improvements in credit quality trends, particularly

in our residential and CRE portfolios, and lower net charge-offs during the first nine months of 2014 compared to 2013, partially offset by the effects of loan growth in the commercial and consumer loan portfolios as well as a valuation adjustment in the first quarter of 2014 related to aircraft that were leased under arrangements that qualified as capital leases.

We expect our provision for loan losses to be fairly stable over the next few quarters, in line with our results for the past five quarters, as continued improvement in asset quality may be offset by loan growth. However, the ultimate level of reserves and provision will be determined by our rigorous quarterly review processes and future economic conditions. The appropriate ALLL level will continue to be determined by our detailed quarterly review process, which considers multiple credit quality indicators. Despite the steady improvement in certain credit quality metrics, the ALLL level is also impacted by leading indicators of credit risk associated with the portfolio. Factors that management considers when estimating the ALLL include: continued economic uncertainty and the strength of the economic recovery, sustainability in the housing recovery, geopolitical risks, and the increasing availability of credit and resultant higher levels of leverage for consumers and commercial borrowers. See "Critical Accounting Policies," in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information related to ALLL.

ALLL and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses by Loan Segment

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	Table 9 December 31, 2013	
ALLL:			
Commercial loans	\$971	\$946	
Residential loans	817	930	
Consumer loans	180	168	
Total	\$1,968	\$2,044	
Segment ALLL as a % of total ALLL:			
Commercial loans	49	% 46	%
Residential loans	42	46	
Consumer loans	9	8	
Total	100	% 100	%
Loan segment as a % of total loans:			
Commercial loans	54	% 50	%
Residential loans	30	34	
Consumer loans	16	16	
Total	100	% 100	%

The ALLL decreased \$76 million, or 4%, during the first nine months of 2014, primarily driven by credit quality improvements in the residential loan portfolio, and the sale of \$149 million of accruing residential mortgage TDRs, which resulted in a \$16 million decline in the allowance. The decrease was partially offset by the effects of loan growth in the commercial loan portfolio as well as the aforementioned leased asset reserves. At September 30, 2014, the ALLL to period-end loans ratio of 1.49% decreased 11 basis points compared to 1.60% at December 31, 2013. When excluding government-guaranteed loans, the ALLL to period-end loans ratio decreased 16 basis points from December 31, 2013 to 1.56% at September 30, 2014. The decline was due to modest asset quality improvements in 2014 and the aforementioned sale of restructured loans. As the loan loss provision remains relatively stable and loan growth continues, we expect the ratio to gradually trend down. The ratio of the ALLL to total NPLs was 260% at September 30, 2014, compared to 212% at December 31, 2013. The increase in this ratio was primarily attributable to the \$209 million decrease in NPLs.

NONPERFORMING ASSETS

The following table presents our NPAs:

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	Table 10 % Change ³
Nonaccrual/NPLs:			
Commercial loans:			
C&I	\$178	\$196	(9)%
CRE	32	39	(18)
Commercial construction	9	12	(25)
Total commercial NPLs	219	247	(11)
Residential loans:			
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	327	441	(26)
Home equity products	178	210	(15)
Residential construction	30	61	(51)
Total residential NPLs	535	712	(25)
Consumer loans:			
Other direct	5	5	—
Indirect	3	7	(57)
Total consumer NPLs	8	12	(33)
Total nonaccrual/NPLs	762	971	(22)
OREO ¹	112	170	(34)
Other repossessed assets	7	7	—
Nonperforming LHFS	53	17	NM
Total NPAs	\$934	\$1,165	(20)%
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$1,066	\$1,228	(13)%
TDRs:			
Accruing restructured loans	\$2,596	\$2,749	(6)%
Nonaccruing restructured loans ²	316	391	(19)
Ratios:			
NPLs to total loans	0.58	% 0.76	% (24)%
Nonperforming assets to total loans plus OREO, other repossessed assets, and nonperforming LHFS	0.71	0.91	(22)

¹ Does not include foreclosed real estate related to loans insured by the FHA or the VA. Proceeds due from the FHA and the VA are recorded as a receivable in other assets until the funds are received and the property is conveyed. The receivable amount related to proceeds due from FHA or the VA totaled \$50 million and \$88 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

² Nonaccruing restructured loans are included in total nonaccrual/NPLs.

³ "NM" - Not meaningful. Those changes over 100 percent were not considered to be meaningful.

NPAs decreased \$231 million, or 20%, during the first nine months of 2014. The decrease was primarily attributable to a \$209 million, or 22%, decrease in NPLs, and a \$58 million, or 34% decline in OREO. All nonaccrual loan classes declined except other consumer direct loans, which did not change from December 31, 2013. Net charge-offs, foreclosures, and loan performance contributed to the decrease in NPLs. At September 30, 2014, our ratio of NPLs to total loans was 0.58%, down from 0.76% at December 31, 2013 as a result of the decline in NPLs and the increase in total loans. We expect further, but moderating, declines in NPLs during the remainder of 2014, led by continuing improvements in residential loans.

Real estate related loans comprise a significant portion of our overall NPAs as a result of the devaluation of U.S. housing during the past economic recession. The amount of time necessary to obtain control of residential real estate

collateral in certain states, primarily Florida, has remained elevated due to delays in the foreclosure process. These delays may continue to impact the resolution of real estate related loans within the NPA portfolio. Nonaccrual loans, loans over 90 days past due and still accruing, and TDR loans, are problem loans or loans with potential weaknesses that are disclosed in the NPA table above. Loans with known potential credit problems that may not otherwise be disclosed in this table include accruing criticized commercial loans, which are disclosed along with additional credit quality information in Note 5, "Loans," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were no known significant potential problem loans that are not otherwise disclosed.

Nonperforming Loans

Nonperforming commercial loans decreased \$28 million, or 11%, during the first nine months of 2014 with reductions in C&I NPLs of \$18 million, or 9%, and \$7 million, or 18%, in CRE NPLs.

Nonperforming residential loans were the largest driver of the overall decline in NPLs, decreasing \$177 million, or 25%, during the first nine months of 2014. Nonguaranteed residential mortgage NPLs and residential construction NPLs decreased \$114 million and \$31 million, or 26% and 51%, respectively, and was primarily the result of net charge-offs and foreclosures, as well as pay-offs and improved loan performance. As previously noted, we also moved \$53 million of nonperforming mortgage loans to LHFS during the current quarter in anticipation of a sale in the fourth quarter, which resulted in a \$9 million charge-off upon transfer.

Interest income on consumer and residential nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized on a cash basis. Interest income on commercial nonaccrual loans is not generally recognized until after the principal has been reduced to zero. We recognized \$5 million and \$6 million of interest income related to nonaccrual loans during the third quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$16 million and \$27 million during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, respectively. If all such loans had been accruing interest according to their original contractual terms, estimated interest income of \$12 million and \$16 million during the third quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$37 million and \$58 million during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, respectively, would have been recognized.

Other Nonperforming Assets

OREO decreased \$58 million, or 34%, during the first nine months of 2014 as a result of net decreases of \$30 million in residential homes, \$17 million in commercial properties, and \$11 million in residential construction related properties. Sales of OREO resulted in proceeds of \$181 million and \$269 million during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, respectively, contributing to net gains on sales of OREO of \$32 million and \$53 million, respectively, inclusive of valuation reserves.

We do not expect net gains from the sale of OREO experienced during 2013 and 2014 to continue at the same level. Gains and losses on the sale of OREO are recorded in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Sales of OREO and the related gains or losses are highly dependent on our disposition strategy and buyer opportunities. See Note 14, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional information.

Geographically, most of our OREO properties are located in Florida, Georgia, and North Carolina. Residential and commercial properties comprised 77% and 14%, respectively, of OREO at September 30, 2014; the remainder is related to land and other properties. Upon foreclosure, the values of these properties were reevaluated and, if necessary, written down to their then-current estimated value less estimated costs to sell. Any further decreases in values could result in additional losses on these properties as we periodically revalue them as further discussed in Note 14, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q. We are actively managing and disposing of these foreclosed assets to minimize future losses.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, total accruing loans past due ninety days or more included LHFI and LHFS and totaled \$1.1 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively, 97% and 96% of which were government guaranteed at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Accruing LHFI past due ninety days or more decreased by \$162 million, or 13%, during the first nine months of 2014, primarily driven by a reduction in residential mortgages that are guaranteed by a federal agency.

Restructured Loans

To maximize the collection of loan balances, we evaluate troubled loans on a case-by-case basis to determine if a loan modification would be appropriate. We pursue loan modifications when there is a reasonable chance that an appropriate modification would allow our client to continue servicing the debt. For loans secured by residential real estate, if the client demonstrates a loss of income such that the client cannot reasonably support a modified loan, we may pursue short sales and/or deed-in-lieu arrangements. For loans secured by income producing commercial properties, we perform an in-depth and ongoing programmatic review. We review a number of factors, including cash flows, loan structures, collateral values, and guarantees to identify loans within our income producing commercial loan portfolio that are most likely to experience distress. Based on our review of these factors and our assessment of

overall risk, we evaluate the benefits of proactively initiating discussions with our clients to improve a loan's risk profile. In some cases, we may renegotiate terms of their loans so that they have a higher likelihood of continuing to perform. To date, we have restructured loans in a variety of ways to help our clients service their debt and to mitigate the potential for additional losses. The primary restructuring methods being offered

to our residential clients are reductions in interest rates and extensions of terms. For commercial loans, the primary restructuring method is the extension of terms.

Loans with modifications deemed to be economic concessions resulting from borrower financial difficulties are reported as TDRs. Accruing loans may retain accruing status at the time of restructure and the status is determined by, among other things, the nature of the restructure, the borrower's repayment history, and the borrower's repayment capacity. Nonaccruing loans that are modified and demonstrate a sustainable history of repayment performance, typically six months, in accordance with their modified terms are generally reclassified to accruing TDR status. Generally, once a residential loan becomes a TDR, we expect that the loan will continue to be reported as a TDR for its remaining life even after returning to accruing status unless the modified rates and terms at the time of modification were available in the market at the time of the modification. We note that some restructurings may not ultimately result in the complete collection of principal and interest (as modified by the terms of the restructuring), culminating in default, which could result in additional incremental losses. These potential incremental losses have been factored into our overall ALLL estimate through the use of loss forecasting methodologies. The level of re-defaults will likely be affected by future economic conditions. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, specific reserves included in the ALLL for residential TDRs were \$313 million and \$345 million, respectively. See Note 5, "Loans," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for more information.

The following table displays our residential real estate TDR portfolio by modification type and payment status. Guaranteed loans that have been repurchased from Ginnie Mae under an early buyout clause and subsequently modified have been excluded from the table. Such loans totaled approximately \$57 million and \$54 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Selected Residential TDR Data

Table 11

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014					
	Accruing TDRs			Nonaccruing TDRs		
	Current	Delinquent ¹	Total	Current	Delinquent ¹	Total
Rate reduction	\$774	\$71	\$845	\$21	\$46	\$67
Term extension	15	2	17	1	2	3
Rate reduction and term extension	1,277	95	1,372	31	92	123
Other ²	172	12	184	14	37	51
Total	\$2,238	\$180	\$2,418	\$67	\$177	\$244
	December 31, 2013					
	Accruing TDRs			Nonaccruing TDRs		
(Dollars in millions)	Current	Delinquent ¹	Total	Current	Delinquent ¹	Total
Rate reduction	\$692	\$90	\$782	\$27	\$50	\$77
Term extension	17	4	21	1	6	7
Rate reduction and term extension	1,439	135	1,574	27	127	154
Other ²	180	13	193	16	54	70
Total	\$2,328	\$242	\$2,570	\$71	\$237	\$308

¹ TDRs considered delinquent for purposes of this table were those at least thirty days past due.

² Primarily consists of extensions and deficiency notes.

At September 30, 2014, our total TDR portfolio was \$2.9 billion and was composed of \$2.7 billion, or 92%, of residential loans (predominantly first and second lien residential mortgages and home equity lines of credit), \$126 million, or 4%, of commercial loans (predominantly income-producing properties), and \$122 million, or 4%, of consumer loans.

Total TDRs decreased \$228 million from December 31, 2013, largely driven by the sale of \$149 million of residential mortgage TDRs in the second quarter of 2014. Accruing TDRs decreased \$153 million, or 6%, and nonaccruing TDRs decreased \$75 million, or 19%.

Generally, interest income on restructured loans that have met sustained performance criteria and have been returned to accruing status is recognized according to the terms of the restructuring. Such recognized interest income was \$29 million and \$31 million during the third quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$92 million and \$87 million for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, respectively. If all such loans had been accruing interest according to their original contractual

105

terms, estimated interest income of \$37 million and \$40 million during the third quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$119 million and \$116 million for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, respectively, would have been recognized.

SELECTED FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE

The following is a discussion of the more significant financial assets and financial liabilities that are currently carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. For a complete discussion of our financial instruments carried at fair value and the methodologies used to estimate the fair values of our financial instruments, see Note 14, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

Trading Assets and Liabilities and Derivatives (Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014	Table 12 December 31, 2013
Trading Assets and Derivatives:		
U.S. Treasury securities	\$252	\$219
Federal agency securities	460	426
U.S. states and political subdivisions	32	65
MBS - agency	498	323
CDO/CLO securities	5	57
ABS	—	6
Corporate and other debt securities	790	534
CP	261	29
Equity securities	59	109
Derivatives ¹	1,237	1,384
Trading loans ²	2,188	1,888
Total trading assets and derivatives	\$5,782	\$5,040
Trading Liabilities and Derivatives:		
U.S. Treasury securities	\$621	\$472
Corporate and other debt securities	250	179
Equity securities	—	5
Derivatives ¹	360	525
Total trading liabilities and derivatives	\$1,231	\$1,181

¹ Amounts include the impact of offsetting cash collateral received from and paid to the same derivative counterparties and the impact of netting derivative assets and derivative liabilities when a legally enforceable master netting agreement or similar agreement exists.

² Includes loans related to TRS.

Trading Assets and Liabilities and Derivatives

Trading assets and derivatives increased \$742 million, or 15%, compared to December 31, 2013, as a result of normal changes in the trading portfolio product mix, primarily due to increases in trading loans, CP, corporate and other debt securities, and agency MBS. The increase was partially offset by decreases primarily related to net derivative assets and equity securities. Trading liabilities and derivatives increased \$50 million, or 4%, compared to December 31, 2013 due to increases in U.S. Treasury and corporate and other debt securities, partially offset by a decrease in net derivative liabilities resulting from normal business activity. See Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional information on derivatives.

Securities Available for Sale

Table 13

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2014			Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
U.S. Treasury securities	\$1,007	\$6	\$2	\$1,011
Federal agency securities	986	15	31	970
U.S. states and political subdivisions	228	9	—	237
MBS - agency	22,508	501	198	22,811
MBS - private	129	3	—	132
ABS	19	2	—	21
Corporate and other debt securities	38	3	—	41
Other equity securities ¹	937	2	—	939
Total securities AFS	\$25,852	\$541	\$231	\$26,162

¹ At September 30, 2014, other equity securities included the following: \$421 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$109 million in mutual fund investments, and \$7 million of other.

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2013			Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
U.S. Treasury securities	\$1,334	\$6	\$47	\$1,293
Federal agency securities	1,028	13	57	984
U.S. states and political subdivisions	232	7	2	237
MBS - agency	18,915	421	425	18,911
MBS - private	155	1	2	154
ABS	78	2	1	79
Corporate and other debt securities	39	3	—	42
Other equity securities ¹	841	1	—	842
Total securities AFS	\$22,622	\$454	\$534	\$22,542

¹ At December 31, 2013, other equity securities included the following: \$336 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$103 million in mutual fund investments, and \$1 million of other.

Securities Available for Sale

The securities AFS portfolio is managed as part of our overall liquidity management and ALM process to optimize income and portfolio value over an entire interest rate cycle while mitigating the associated risks. Changes in the size and composition of the portfolio reflect our efforts to maintain a high quality, liquid portfolio while managing our interest rate risk profile. The amortized cost of the portfolio increased \$3.2 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, largely due to the reinvestment of proceeds from the sale of government-guaranteed residential mortgage loans into highly-quality, liquid agency MBS to help us meet forthcoming LCR requirements. Notwithstanding the overall increase in the amortized cost of the securities AFS portfolio, our holdings of U.S. Treasury securities, ABS, and private MBS declined due to maturities, prepayments, and sales. The fair value of the portfolio increased \$3.6 billion due to portfolio growth and a \$390 million increase in market value due to a decline in market interest rates.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we recorded \$11 million in net realized losses related to the sale of securities AFS, compared to net realized gains of \$2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, including \$1 million in OTTI losses recognized in earnings for both periods. For additional information on composition and valuation assumptions related to securities AFS, see Note 4, "Securities Available for Sale," and the "Trading Assets and Derivatives and Securities Available for Sale" section of Note 14, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

For the third quarter of both 2014 and 2013, the average yield, on a FTE basis, for the securities AFS portfolio was 2.52%. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the average yield on a FTE basis for the securities AFS portfolio was 2.61%, compared with 2.53% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The reduction of MBS premium amortization associated with lower cash flow due to higher mortgage interest rates drove the increase in yield on securities AFS during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Our total investment securities portfolio had an effective duration of 4.0 years at September 30, 2014 compared to 4.7 years at December 31, 2013. The decrease in the effective duration is the result of faster prepayment assumptions associated with lower mortgage rates compared to year end. Effective duration is a measure of price sensitivity of a bond portfolio to an immediate change in market interest rates, taking into consideration embedded options. An effective duration of 4.0 years suggests an expected price change of 4.0% for a one percent instantaneous change in market interest rates.

The credit quality and liquidity profile of the securities portfolio remained strong at September 30, 2014 and, consequently, we have the flexibility to respond to changes in the economic environment and take actions as opportunities arise to manage our interest rate risk profile and balance liquidity against investment returns. Over the longer term, the size and composition of the investment portfolio will reflect balance sheet trends, our overall liquidity position, and interest rate risk management objectives. Accordingly, the size and composition of the investment portfolio could change over time.

Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank Stock

Previously, we purchased capital stock in the FHLB of Atlanta as a precondition for becoming a member of that institution. This enables us to take advantage of competitively priced advances as a wholesale funding source and to access grants and low-cost loans for affordable housing and community-development projects, amongst other benefits. At September 30, 2014, we held a total of \$421 million of capital stock in the FHLB, an increase of \$85 million compared to December 31, 2013. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, we recognized dividends related to FHLB capital stock of \$3 million and \$9 million, respectively, compared to \$2 million and \$6 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Similarly, to become a member of the Federal Reserve System, regulations require that we hold a certain amount of capital stock, determined as either a percentage of the Bank's capital or as a percentage of total depo