

IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2012
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: **0-26056**

Image Sensing Systems, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Minnesota	41-1519168
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

500 Spruce Tree Centre	
1600 University Avenue West	
St. Paul, MN	55104
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(651) 603-7700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes
No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at August 1, 2012
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	4,955,619 shares

IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	1
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (unaudited):</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	3
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	12
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	19
Item 4T. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	19
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	20
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	20
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	20
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	20
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	20
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	20
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	20
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	20
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	21
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	22

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited):

Image Sensing Systems, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$6,462	\$ 5,224
Marketable securities	3,204	2,093
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$627 and \$677, respectively	7,111	10,148
Inventories	5,551	6,142
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,920	1,644
Deferred income taxes	429	429
Total current assets	24,677	25,680
Property and equipment:		
Furniture and fixtures	445	444
Leasehold improvements	445	363
Equipment	3,531	3,364
	4,421	4,171
Accumulated depreciation	3,087	2,736
	1,334	1,435
Deferred income taxes	3,642	3,131
Intangible assets	7,136	7,888
Goodwill	—	3,120
TOTAL ASSETS	\$36,789	\$ 41,254
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$1,628	\$ 1,998
Accrued compensation	686	1,013
Accrued warranty and other accrued liabilities	1,250	1,534
Income taxes payable	533	67
Total current liabilities	4,097	4,612
Income taxes payable	316	316
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding	—	—
	50	49

Edgar Filing: IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized, 4,955,619 and 4,910,619 issued and outstanding, respectively

Additional paid-in capital	22,889	22,619
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(75)	(180)
Retained earnings	9,512	13,838
Total shareholders' equity	32,376	36,326
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$36,789	\$ 41,254

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Image Sensing Systems, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Six-Month Periods Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
Revenue:				
Product sales	\$2,671	\$5,085	\$5,580	\$8,532
Royalties	3,037	3,017	5,385	5,715
	5,708	8,102	10,965	14,247
Costs of revenue (exclusive of amortization shown below):				
Product sales	1,318	2,159	2,632	3,823
Gross profit	4,390	5,943	8,333	10,424
Operating expenses:				
Selling, marketing and product support	1,821	2,638	3,670	5,258
General and administrative	1,309	1,534	2,540	3,006
Research and development	1,017	999	2,287	2,028
Amortization of intangible assets	410	414	818	826
Restructuring	354	—	430	—
Goodwill impairment	3,175	—	3,175	—
	8,086	5,585	12,920	11,118
Income (loss) from operations	(3,696)	358	(4,587)	(694)
Other income, net	19	2	24	6
Income (loss) before income taxes	(3,677)	360	(4,563)	(688)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(19)	150	(237)	(90)
Net income (loss)	\$(3,658)	\$210	\$(4,326)	\$(598)
Net income (loss) per common share:				
Basic	\$(0.75)	\$0.04	\$(0.89)	\$(0.12)
Diluted	\$(0.75)	\$0.04	\$(0.89)	\$(0.12)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:				
Basic	4,877	4,828	4,865	4,826
Diluted	4,877	4,916	4,865	4,826
Comprehensive income (loss):				
Net income (loss)	\$(3,658)	\$210	\$(4,326)	\$(598)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(416)	(81)	105	598
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(4,074)	\$129	\$(4,221)	\$—

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Image Sensing Systems, Inc.
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 (Unaudited)
 (in thousands)

	Six-Month Periods Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(4,326)	\$(598)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation	385	252
Amortization	818	826
Goodwill impairment	3,175	—
Stock-based compensation	183	202
Deferred income taxes	(512)	(3)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	3,037	192
Inventories	591	(629)
Prepaid expenses and other receivables	(276)	(544)
Accounts payable	(369)	(877)
Accrued liabilities	(613)	(485)
Income taxes payable	466	55
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	2,559	(1,609)
Investing activities:		
Payment of earn-outs	—	(2,361)
Purchases of marketable securities	(4,993)	—
Sales and maturities of marketable securities	3,882	774
Purchases of property and equipment	(248)	(441)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,359)	(2,028)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	87	51
Net cash provided by financing activities	87	51
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(49)	599
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,238	(2,987)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5,224	8,021
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$6,462	\$5,034

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2012

Note A: Basis of Presentation

Image Sensing Systems, Inc. (referred to herein as “we,” the “Company,” “us” and “our”) develops and markets software-based computer enabled detection products for use in traffic, security, police and parking applications. We sell our products primarily to distributors and also receive royalties under a license agreement with a manufacturer/distributor for certain of our products. Our products are used primarily by governmental entities.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which requires Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. It is the opinion of management that the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Operating results for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 as filed with the SEC.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company believes that of its significant accounting policies, the following are particularly important to the portrayal of the Company's results of operations and financial position and may require the application of a higher level of judgment by the Company's management and, as a result, are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue on a sales arrangement when it is realized or realizable and earned, which occurs when all of the following criteria have been met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery and title transfer has

occurred or services have been rendered; the sales price is fixed and determinable; collectability is reasonably assured; and all significant obligations to the customer have been fulfilled.

Certain sales may contain multiple elements for revenue recognition purposes. We consider each deliverable that provides value to the customer on a standalone basis as a separable element. Separable elements in these arrangements may include the hardware, software, installation services, training and support. We initially allocate consideration to each separable element using the relative selling price method. Selling prices are determined by us based on either vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) (the actual selling prices of similar products and services sold on a standalone basis) or, in the absence of VSOE, our best estimate of the selling price. Factors considered by us in determining estimated selling prices for applicable elements generally include overall economic conditions, customer demand, costs incurred by us to provide the deliverable, as well as our historical pricing practices. Under these arrangements, revenue associated with each delivered element is recognized in an amount equal to the lesser of the consideration initially allocated to the delivered element or the amount for which payment is not deemed contingent upon future delivery of other elements in the arrangement. Under arrangements where special acceptance protocols exist, installation services and training may not be considered separable. Under those circumstances, revenue for the entire arrangement is recognized upon the completion of installation, training and fulfillment of any other significant obligations specific to the terms of the arrangement. Arrangements that do not contain any separable elements are typically recognized when the products are shipped and title has transferred to the customer.

Revenue from arrangements for services such as maintenance, repair, consulting and technical support are recognized either as the service is performed or ratably over the defined contractual period for service maintenance contracts.

Econolite Control Products, Inc. (Econolite) is a licensee that sells certain of our products in North America, the Caribbean and Latin America. The royalty of approximately 50% of the gross profit on licensed products is recognized when the products are shipped or delivered by Econolite to its customers.

Table of Contents

We record provisions against sales revenue for estimated returns and allowances in the period when the related revenue is recorded based on historical sales returns and changes in end user demand.

Revenue is recorded net of taxes collected from customers that are remitted to governmental authorities, with the collected taxes recorded as current liabilities until remitted to the relevant government authority.

Inventories

Inventories are primarily electronic components and finished goods and are valued at the lower of cost or market on the first-in, first-out accounting method.

Income Taxes

We record a tax provision for the anticipated tax consequences of the reported results of operations. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in effect for the years in which those deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. We record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is believed more likely than not to be realized. We believe it is more likely than not that forecasted income, including income that may be generated as a result of certain tax planning strategies, together with the tax effects of the deferred tax liabilities, will be sufficient to fully recover the remaining net realizable value of its deferred tax assets. In the event that all or part of the net deferred tax assets are determined not to be realizable in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would be charged to earnings in the period such determination is made. In addition, the calculation of tax liabilities involves significant judgment in estimating the impact of uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws. Resolution of these uncertainties in a manner inconsistent with management's expectations could have a material impact on our financial condition and operating results. We recognize penalties and interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition costs over the fair value of the net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead tested at least annually for impairment. Goodwill is also tested for impairment as changes in circumstances occur indicating that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Goodwill impairment testing first requires a comparison of the fair value of each reporting unit to the carrying value. See Note D to the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on goodwill.

Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected period to be benefited by future cash flows and reviewed for impairment. When evaluating intangible assets for potential impairment, we first

compare the carrying value of the asset to the asset's estimated future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges). If the estimated undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, we calculate an impairment loss. The impairment loss calculation compares the carrying value of the asset to the asset's estimated fair value. At both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we determined there was no impairment of intangible assets.

Fair values of goodwill and intangible assets are primarily determined using discounted cash flow analyses.

Note B: Fair Value Measurements and Marketable Securities

The guidance for fair value measurements establishes the authoritative definition of fair value, sets out a framework for measuring fair value and outlines the required disclosures regarding fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We use a three-tier fair value hierarchy based upon observable and non-observable inputs as follows:

- Level 1 – observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- Level 2 – inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3 – unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability.

Table of Contents

Investments are comprised of high-grade municipal bonds, U.S. government securities and commercial paper and are classified as Level 1, as they trade with sufficient frequency and volume to enable us to obtain pricing information on an ongoing basis.

The fair value of our available-for-sale securities by major security type were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
State and municipal bonds	\$2,300	\$ 866
Corporate obligations	904	1,227
	\$3,204	\$ 2,093

We evaluate impairment at each reporting period for securities where the fair value of the investment is less than its cost. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments were immaterial as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Classification of available-for-sale investments as current or noncurrent is dependent upon our intended holding period, the security's maturity date, or both. Contractual maturities were less than one year for all available-for-sale investments as of June 30, 2012. There were no available-for-sale investments with gross unrealized losses that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for more than 12 months as of June 30, 2012.

Realized gains and losses are determined on the specific identification method. Realized gains and losses related to sales of available-for-sale sales during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 were immaterial and included in other income.

Nonfinancial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Our goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets are nonfinancial assets that were acquired either as part of a business combination, individually or with a group of other assets. These nonfinancial assets were initially, and have historically been, measured and recognized at amounts equal to the fair value determined as of the date of acquisition. Periodically these nonfinancial assets are tested for impairment by comparing their respective carrying values to the estimated fair value of the reporting unit or asset group in which they reside. In the quarter ended June 30, 2012, certain of these nonfinancial assets were deemed to be impaired (see Note D) and the Company recognized an

impairment loss. Fair value measurements of the reporting units were estimated using certain Level 3 inputs requiring management judgment, including projections of economic conditions and customer demand, revenue and margins, changes in competition, operating costs, working capital requirements, and new product introductions.

Financial Instruments not Measured at Fair Value

Certain of our financial instruments are not measured at fair value and are recorded at carrying amounts approximating fair value, based on their short-term nature. These financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable.

Note C: Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Electronic components	\$3,375	\$ 2,924
Finished goods	2,176	3,218
Total	\$5,551	\$ 6,142

Table of ContentsNote D: Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Certain goodwill and intangible assets are accounted for in foreign currency and, as a result, balances are impacted by period-end exchange rates and therefore may vary in different reporting periods.

The Company applies a fair value based impairment test to the net book value of goodwill for each reporting unit on an annual basis and on an interim basis if certain events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have occurred. In the second quarter of 2012 the Company experienced a significant and sustained decline in its stock price. The decline in stock resulted in the Company's market capitalization falling significantly below the recorded value of its consolidated net assets. As a result, the Company concluded a triggering event had occurred and performed an impairment test of goodwill for each reporting unit as of the end of the second quarter of 2012.

Based on the results of the Company's initial assessment of impairment of its goodwill (step 1), it was determined that the carrying value of each reporting unit exceeded its estimated fair value. Therefore, the Company performed a second step of the impairment assessment to determine the implied fair value of goodwill. In performing the goodwill assessment, the Company used current market capitalization, discounted cash flows and other factors as the best evidence of fair value.

The Company recorded goodwill impairment charges in the second quarter of 2012 of \$1.8 million and \$1.4 million for the CitySync and RTMS reporting units, respectively.

Goodwill consisted of the following reporting units (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2011	Additions	Impairments	Foreign Currency	June 30, 2012
Flow Traffic:					
Flow Traffic goodwill	\$ 1,050	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$1,050
Accumulated impairment losses	(1,050)	—	—	—	(1,050)
Flow Traffic goodwill	—	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

EIS:						
EIS goodwill	8,239		—	—	—	8,239
Accumulated impairment losses	(6,867)		—	(1,372)	—	(8,239)
EIS goodwill	1,372		—	(1,372)	—	—
CitySync:						
CitySync goodwill	5,516		—	—	55	5,571
Accumulated impairment losses	(3,768)		—	(1,803)	—	(5,571)
CitySync goodwill	1,748		—	(1,803)	—	—
Total goodwill	\$ 3,120	\$	—	\$ (3,175)	\$ 55	\$—

- 7 -

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2012			Weighted
	Gross	Accumulated	Net	Average
	Carrying	Amortization	Carrying	Useful
	Amount		Value	Life (in
				Years)
Developed technology	\$7,389	\$ (3,014) \$ 4,375	5.0
Trade names	3,209	(1,605) 1,604	3.4
Other intangible assets	1,786	(629) 1,157	4.5
Total	\$12,384	\$ (5,248) \$ 7,136	4.5

	December 31, 2011			Weighted
	Gross	Accumulated	Net	Average
	Carrying	Amortization	Carrying	Useful
	Amount		Value	Life (in
				Years)
Developed technology	\$7,352	\$ (2,570) \$ 4,782	5.5
Trade names	3,188	(1,356) 1,832	3.7
Other intangible assets	1,769	(495) 1,274	4.9
Total	\$12,309	\$ (4,421) \$ 7,888	4.9

In connection with the triggering event discussed above, during the second quarter of 2012, the Company reviewed its long-lived assets and determined that none of its long-lived assets were impaired for its asset groups. The determination was based on reviewing estimated undiscounted cash flows for the Company's asset groups, which were greater than their carrying values. As required under GAAP, this impairment analysis occurred before the goodwill impairment assessment.

The evaluation of the recoverability of long-lived assets requires the Company to make significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions primarily include, but are not limited to, the identification of the asset group at the lowest level of independent cash flows and the primary asset of the group; and long-range forecasts of revenue, reflecting management's assessment of general economic and industry conditions, operating income, depreciation and amortization and working capital requirements.

Note E: Credit Facilities

Our current revolving line of credit agreement (“Credit Agreement”) with Associated Bank, National Association provides up to \$5.0 million. The Credit Agreement expires in May 2013. Any advances are secured by inventories, accounts receivable and equipment. We are subject to certain financial covenants under the Credit Agreement, including minimum debt service coverage ratios, minimum cash flow coverage ratios and financial measures. At June 30, 2012, we had no borrowings under the Credit Agreement, and we were in compliance with all financial covenants.

Note F: Warranties

We generally provide a standard two-year warranty on product sales. Reserves to honor warranty claims are estimated and recorded at the time of sale based on historical claim information and are analyzed and adjusted periodically based on claim trends.

Warranty liability and related activity consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Six-Month Periods Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$423	\$624
Warranty provisions	72	90
Warranty claims	(87)	(156)
Adjustments to preexisting warranties	(47)	—
Ending balance	\$361	\$558

Table of ContentsNote G: Stock-Based Compensation

We compensate officers, directors and key employees with stock-based compensation under two stock plans approved by our shareholders and administered under the supervision of our Board of Directors. Stock option awards are granted at exercise prices equal to the closing price of our stock the day before the date of grant. Generally, options vest proportionally over periods of three to five years from the dates of the grant, beginning one year from the date of grant, and have a contractual term of six to ten years. Compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, is recognized ratably over the vesting period. Stock-based compensation expense included in selling, general and administrative expense for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$86,000 and \$108,000, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense included in selling, general and administrative expense for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$183,000 and \$202,000, respectively. At June 30, 2012, a total of 95,500 shares were available for grant under these plans.

A summary of the option activity for the first six months of 2012 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at December 31, 2011	535,333	\$ 9.58	4.1	\$ 250,416
Granted	24,000	6.22	3.8	—
Exercised	(45,000)	1.94	—	187,220
Forfeited or expired	(51,000)	10.43	—	—
Options outstanding at June 30, 2012	463,333	\$ 10.04	4.0	\$ 25,383
Options exercisable at June 30, 2012	287,333	\$ 10.44	2.4	\$ 25,383

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$176,700 and \$39,200 during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$187,220 and \$46,400 during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$509,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options. The weighted average period over which the compensation cost is expected to be recognized is 2.4 years.

Note H: Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net earnings by the daily weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the applicable periods. Diluted earnings per share include the potentially dilutive effect of common shares issued in connection with outstanding stock-based compensation options and grants, using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, shares associated with certain stock options have been excluded from the diluted weighted average shares outstanding calculation because the exercise of those options would lead to a net reduction in common shares outstanding. As a result, stock options to acquire 450,000 and 184,000 weighted common shares have been excluded from the diluted weighted shares outstanding for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 524,000 and 144,000 weighted common shares have been excluded from the diluted weighted shares outstanding for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The potentially dilutive effect of common shares issued in connection with outstanding stock options is determined based on net income. A reconciliation of these amounts is as follows (In thousands, except basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share):

	Three-Month Periods Ended June 30,		Six-Month Periods Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Numerator:				
Net income (loss)	\$(3,658)	\$210	\$(4,326)	\$(598)
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding (net of 57,000 contingent shares in escrow)	4,877	4,828	4,865	4,826
Dilutive potential common shares	—	88	—	—
Shares used in diluted net income (loss) per common share calculations	4,877	4,916	4,865	4,826
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$(0.75)	\$0.04	\$(0.89)	\$(0.12)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$(0.75)	\$0.04	\$(0.89)	\$(0.12)

Table of ContentsNote I: Restructuring

In the fourth quarter of 2011 and second quarter of 2012, we implemented restructuring plans to improve our financial performance. As a result of these actions, we recorded restructuring charges in all reportable segments comprised of termination benefits, facility closure costs and inventory charges. Approximately \$354,000 and \$430,000 was recorded in operating expenses in the three and six-months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table shows the restructuring activity for 2012 (in thousands):

	Termination Benefits	Facility Costs and Contract Termination	Inventory Charges	Total
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$ 163	\$ 65	\$ 384	\$612
Charges	20	56	—	76
Payments/settlements	(121)	(110)	(98)	(329)
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 62	\$ 11	\$ 286	\$359
Charges	339	15	—	354
Payments/settlements	(149)	(11)	(243)	(403)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 252	\$ 15	\$ 43	\$310

We expect to settle the remaining restructuring liability in 2012.

Note J: Segment Information

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and management regularly review financial information for the Company's three discrete operating segments. Based on similarities in the economic characteristics, nature of products and services, production processes, type or class of customer served, method of distribution and regulatory environments, the operating segments have been aggregated for financial statement purposes and categorized into three reportable segments: Autoscope, RTMS and CitySync. Autoscope is our machine-vision product line, and revenue consists of royalties (all of which are received from Econolite), as well as a portion of international product sales. RTMS is our radar product line. CitySync is our automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) product line. All segment revenues are derived from external customers.

Financial information by reportable segment for the three-month period ended is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

	Autoscope		RTMS		CitySync		Total	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$4,154	\$3,699	\$591	\$3,112	\$963	\$1,291	\$5,708	\$8,102
Gross profit	3,660	3,418	176	1,891	554	634	4,390	5,943
Goodwill impairment	—	—	1,372	—	1,803	—	3,175	—
Amortization of intangible assets	—	—	192	192	218	222	410	414
Intangible assets and goodwill	—	525	1,796	11,326	5,340	11,975	7,136	23,826

The following table sets forth selected unaudited financial information for each of the Company's reportable segments for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Autoscope		RTMS		CitySync		Total	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$7,041	\$6,763	\$1,374	\$4,073	\$2,550	\$3,411	\$10,965	\$14,247
Gross profit	6,246	6,323	589	2,332	1,498	1,769	8,333	10,424
Goodwill impairment	—	—	1,372	—	1,803	—	3,175	—
Amortization of intangible assets	—	—	384	384	434	442	818	826
Intangible assets and goodwill	—	525	1,796	11,326	5,340	11,975	7,136	23,826

Table of Contents

Note K: Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved from time to time in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business, including primarily commercial, product liability, employment and intellectual property claims. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, we record a liability in our Consolidated Financial Statements with respect to any of these matters when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. With respect to currently pending legal proceedings, we have not established an estimated range of reasonably possible additional losses either because we believe that we have valid defenses to claims asserted against us or the proceeding has not advanced to a stage of discovery that would enable us to establish an estimate. We currently do not expect the outcome of these matters to have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows. Litigation, however, is inherently unpredictable, and it is possible that the ultimate outcome of one or more claims asserted against us could adversely impact our results of operations, financial position or cash flows. We expense legal costs as incurred.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

General. We provide software based computer enabled detection ("CED") products and solutions that use advanced signal processing software algorithms to detect and monitor objects in a designated field of view. Our technology analyzes signals from a sophisticated sensor and passes the information along to management systems, controllers or directly to users. Our core products, the Autoscope® Video Vehicle Detection System, RTMS® Radar Detection System and CitySync Automatic Number Plate Recognition ("ANPR") System, operate using our proprietary application software in conjunction with video cameras or radar and commonly available electronic components. Our systems are used by traffic managers primarily to improve the flow of vehicle traffic and to enhance safety at intersections, main thoroughfares, freeways and tunnels and by parking and toll managers and law enforcement officials to read license plates for various safety, security, access and enforcement ANPR applications.

Autoscope systems are sold to distributors and end users of traffic management products in North America, the Caribbean and Latin America by Econolite Control Products, Inc. ("Econolite"), our exclusive licensee in these regions. We sell CitySync systems to distributors and end users in North America. As described below, we announced a change to our RTMS selling model in December 2011. We sell all of our systems to distributors and end users in Europe and Asia through our European and Hong Kong subsidiaries, respectively. The majority of our sales are to end users that are funded by government agencies responsible for traffic management or traffic law enforcement.

RTMS Business Model Change and Restructuring. In December 2011, we announced certain changes to our RTMS business model. Beginning in 2012, we gave an exclusive license to manufacture and distribute RTMS products in North America to Econolite under terms substantially the same as our Autoscope royalty arrangement. In conjunction with this agreement, certain of our sales and marketing employees dedicated to RTMS are now employed directly by Econolite. As a result, in 2012, revenue earned from sales of RTMS in North America are in the form of a royalty rather than a sale directly to the purchaser, and there will be no cost of revenue, as Econolite will now be responsible for manufacturing and post-sales support.

Simultaneously with the RTMS changes, we put a plan in place for restructuring aspects of our North American RTMS and CitySync businesses. Most significantly, the assembly of our RTMS product has been moved from our Toronto facility to a third party, and our production and administrative support headcount has been reduced.

Trends and Challenges in Our Business

We believe the growth in our business can be attributed primarily to the following global trends:

worsening traffic caused by increased numbers of vehicles in metropolitan areas without corresponding expansions of road infrastructure and the need to automate safety, security and access applications for automobiles and trucks, which has increased demand for our products;

- advances in information technology, which have made our products easier to market and implement;
- the continued funding allocations for centralized traffic management services and automated enforcement schemes, which has increased the ability of our primary end users to implement our products; and
- general increases in the cost-effectiveness of electronics, which make our products more affordable for end users.

We believe our continued growth primarily depends upon:

- continued adoption and governmental funding of intelligent transportation systems (“ITS”) and other automated applications for traffic control, safety and enforcement in developed countries;
- a propensity by traffic engineers to implement lower cost technology-based solutions rather than civil engineering solutions such as widening roadways;
- countries in the developing world adopting above-ground detection technology, such as video or radar, instead of in-pavement loop technology to manage traffic;
- the use of CED to provide solutions to security/surveillance and environmental issues associated with increasing automobile use in metropolitan areas; and
- our ability to develop new products, such as hybrid CED devices incorporating, for example, radar and video technologies, that provide increasingly accurate information and enhance the end users’ ability to cost-effectively manage traffic, security/surveillance and environmental issues.

Table of Contents

Because the majority of our end users are governmental entities, we are faced with challenges related to potential delays in purchase decisions by those entities and changes in budgetary constraints. These contingencies could result in significant fluctuations in our revenue between periods. The continued European sovereign debt crisis is further adding to the unpredictability of purchase decisions, creating more delays than usual and decreasing governmental budgets, and it is likely to continue to negatively affect our revenue.

Key Financial Terms and Metrics

Revenue. We derive revenue from two sources: (1) royalties received from Econolite for sales of the Autoscope and RTMS systems in North America, the Caribbean and Latin America and (2) revenue received from the direct sales of our CitySync systems in North America, the Caribbean and Latin America and all of our systems in Europe and Asia. We calculate the royalties using a profit sharing model where the gross profit on sales of Autoscope and RTMS products made through Econolite are shared equally with Econolite. This royalty arrangement has the benefit of decreasing our cost of revenues and our selling, marketing and product support expenses because these costs and expenses are borne primarily by Econolite. Although this royalty model has a positive impact on our gross margin, it also negatively impacts our total revenue, which would be higher if all the sales made by Econolite were made directly by us. The royalty arrangement is exclusive under a long-term agreement.

Cost of Revenue. There is no cost of revenue related to royalties, as virtually all manufacturing, warranty and related costs are incurred by Econolite. Cost of revenue related to product sales consists primarily of the amount charged by our third party contractors to manufacture hardware platforms, which is influenced mainly by the cost of electronic components. The cost of revenue also includes logistics costs, estimated expenses for product warranties, restructuring costs and inventory reserves. The key metric that we follow is achieving certain gross margin percentages by geographic region and to a lesser extent by product line.

Operating Expenses. Our operating expenses fall into three categories: (1) selling, marketing and product support; (2) general and administrative; and (3) research and development. Selling, marketing and product support expenses consist of various costs related to sales and support of our products, including salaries, benefits and commissions paid to our personnel; commissions paid to third parties; travel, trade show and advertising costs; second-tier technical support for Econolite; and general product support, where applicable. General and administrative expenses consist of certain corporate and administrative functions that support the development and sales of our products and provide an infrastructure to support future growth. General and administrative expenses reflect management, supervisory and staff salaries and benefits, professional fees, travel, rent and costs associated with being a public company, such as board of director fees, listing fees and annual reporting expenses. Research and development expenses consist mainly of salaries and benefits for our engineers and third party costs for consulting and prototyping. We measure all operating expenses against our annually approved budget, which is developed with achieving a certain operating margin as a key focus. Also included in operating expenses are restructuring costs and non-cash expense for goodwill impairment charges and intangible asset amortization.

Non-GAAP Operating Measure. We use non-GAAP net income (loss) to analyze our business. Non-GAAP net income (loss) excludes the impact, net of tax, of restructuring charges and amortizing the intangible assets. Non-GAAP net income (loss) also excludes the impact, net of tax, of restructuring charges. Management believes that these non-GAAP operating measures, when shown in conjunction with GAAP measures, facilitate the comparison of our current to historical operating results. We use this non-GAAP information to evaluate short-term and long-term operating trends in our core operations. Further, we believe that these non-GAAP measures improves management's and investors' ability to compare our financial performance with other companies in the technology industry. Non-GAAP information is not prepared in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered a substitute for or an alternative to GAAP financial measures and may not be computed the same as similarly titled measures used by other companies.

Table of Contents

Reconciliations of GAAP net income (loss) to non-GAAP net income (loss) are as follows (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2012		Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2011	
GAAP net income (loss)	\$(3,658)	\$210	\$(4,326)	\$(598)
Adjustments to reconcile to non-GAAP net income (loss):				
Restructuring	354	—	430	—
Amortization of intangible assets	410	414	818	826
Goodwill impairment	3,175	—	3,175	—
Impact on income taxes of above items	(50)	(140)	(193)	(280)
Non-GAAP net income (loss)	\$231	\$484	\$(96)	\$(52)
GAAP diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.75)	\$0.04	\$(0.89)	\$(0.12)
Non-GAAP diluted earnings (loss) per share	0.05	0.10	(0.02)	(0.01)

Seasonality. Our quarterly revenues and operating results have varied significantly in the past due to the seasonality of our business. Our first quarter generally is the weakest due to weather conditions that make roadway construction more difficult in North America, Europe and northern Asia. We expect such seasonality to continue for the foreseeable future. Additionally, our international revenues have a significant large project component, resulting in revenue fluctuations. Accordingly, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our financial results should not be relied upon as an indication of our future performance. No assurance can be given that we will be able to achieve or maintain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future.

Segments. We currently operate in three reportable segments: Autoscope, RTMS and CitySync. Autoscope is our machine-vision product line, and revenue consists of royalties (all of which are received from Econolite), as well as a portion of international sales. RTMS is our radar product line. CitySync is our ANPR product. All segment revenues are derived from external customers. As a result of business model changes and modifications in how we manage our business, we may reevaluate our segment definitions in the future.

Financial information by reportable segment for the three and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Autoscope		RTMS		CitySync		Total	
2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011

Edgar Filing: IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Revenue	\$4,154	\$3,699	\$591	\$3,112	\$963	\$1,291	\$5,708	\$8,102
Gross profit	3,660	3,418	176	1,891	554	634	4,390	5,943
Goodwill impairment	—	—	1,372	—	1,803	—	3,175	—
Amortization of intangible assets	—	—	192	192	218	222	410	414
Intangible assets and goodwill	—	525	1,796	11,326	5,340	11,975	7,136	23,826

The following table sets forth selected unaudited financial information for each of the Company's reportable segments for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Autoscope		RTMS		CitySync		Total	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$7,041	\$6,763	\$1,374	\$4,073	\$2,550	\$3,411	\$10,965	\$14,247
Gross profit	6,246	6,323	589	2,332	1,498	1,769	8,333	10,424
Goodwill impairment	—	—	1,372	—	1,803	—	3,175	—
Amortization of intangible assets	—	—	384	384	434	442	818	826
Intangible assets and goodwill	—	525	1,796	11,326	5,340	11,975	7,136	23,826

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain statements of operations data as a percent of total revenue and gross margin on product sales and royalties as a percentage of product sales and royalties, respectively.

	Three-Month Periods Ended June 30,		Quarter Over Quarter Change
	2012	2011	
Product sales	46.8 %	62.8 %	(47.5)%
Royalties	53.2	37.2	0.7
Total revenue	100.0	100.0	(29.5)
Gross profit – product sales	50.7	57.5	(53.8)
Gross profit – royalties	100.0	100.0	0.7
Selling, marketing and product support	31.9	32.6	(31.0)
General and administrative	22.9	18.9	(14.7)
Research and development	17.8	12.3	1.8
Amortization of intangible assets	7.2	5.1	(1.0)
Restructuring	6.2	—	nm
Goodwill impairment	55.6	—	nm
Income (loss) from operations	(64.8)	4.4	nm
Income tax expense (benefit)	(0.3)	1.9	nm
Net income (loss)	(64.1)	2.6	nm

	Six-Month Periods Ended June 30,		Period Over Period Change
	2012	2011	
Product sales	50.9 %	59.9 %	(34.6)%
Royalties	49.1	40.1	(5.8)
Total revenue	100.0	100.0	(23.0)
Gross profit – product sales	52.8	55.2	(37.4)
Gross profit – royalties	100.0	100.0	(5.8)
Selling, marketing and product support	33.5	36.9	(30.2)
General and administrative	23.2	21.1	(15.5)
Research and development	20.9	14.2	12.8
Amortization of intangible assets	7.5	5.8	(1.0)
Restructuring	3.9	—	nm
Goodwill impairment	29.0	—	nm
Loss from operations	(41.8)	(4.9)	561.0

Edgar Filing: IMAGE SENSING SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Income tax benefit	(2.2)	(0.6)	163.3
Net loss	(39.5)	(4.2)	623.4

*nm = not meaningful

Total revenue decreased to \$5.7 million in the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 from \$8.1 million in the same period in 2011, an decrease of 29.5%, and to \$11.0 million in the first half of 2012 from \$14.2 million in the same period in 2011, a decrease of 23.0%. Royalties were \$3.0 million in the second quarter of 2012 the same as in the comparable quarter of 2011, and they decreased to \$5.4 million in the first half of 2012 from \$5.7 million in the same period in 2011, a decrease of 5.8%. Product sales decreased to \$2.7 million in the second quarter of 2012 from \$5.1 million in the same period in 2011, a decrease of 47.5%, and they decreased to \$5.6 million in the first half of 2012 from \$8.5 million in the same period in 2011, a decrease of 34.6%. Royalties for Autoscope were down slightly and total royalties were offset by the inclusion of RTMS royalties. The decreases in product sales in both the quarter and first half of 2012 were mainly due to lower sales volume in North America resulting from the transition of our RTMS product line to a royalty model and to a lesser extent by a general lower volume of sales in each of our geographic areas.

- 15 -

Table of Contents

Revenue for the Autoscope segment increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 to \$4.2 million from \$3.7 million in 2011 and in the first half of 2012 to \$7.0 million from \$6.8 million in 2011 and is reflective of higher sales volume internationally partially offset by lower royalties. Revenue for the RTMS segment decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 to \$591,000 in 2012 from \$3.1 million in 2011 and decreased in the first half of 2012 to \$1.4 million from \$4.1 million in 2011. RTMS product sales and royalties were \$1.0 million and \$363,000, respectively, in the first six months of 2012 and \$352,000 and \$238,000, respectively, in the three-month period ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in revenue for the RTMS segment is mainly due to the transition of this product line to a royalty model in North America offset by an increase in the volume of RTMS products sold to end customers and also due to lower sales volume in North America. The three month period ended March 31, 2012 was the first period in which RTMS revenues included royalty income. Revenue for the CitySync segment decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 to \$1.0 million in 2012 from \$1.3 million in 2011 and decreased in the first half of 2012 to \$2.6 million from \$3.4 million in 2011. The decreases in 2012 are due to lower sales volume and are reflective of a difficult environment for selling security applications to government customers in Europe that we believe was caused by constrained government budgets.

Gross margins for product sales decreased to 50.7% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 57.5% in the same period in 2011, and decreased to 52.8% in the first half of 2012 from 55.2% in the same period in 2011. Gross margins for the CitySync product line have historically been lower than gross margins for the Autoscope and RTMS product lines and therefore the mix of the product lines in any given period can result in varying margins. Generally, lower sales volumes of CitySync products will reduce gross margins because of fixed manufacturing costs for these products. Gross margins on royalty income remained consistent at 100% in each of the periods of 2012 and 2011. We anticipate that gross margins for our product sales will be higher in the remainder of 2012 as compared to the first six-months, while we expect royalty gross margins will be 100%.

Selling, marketing and product support expense decreased to \$1.8 million, or 31.9% of total revenue, in the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$2.6 million, or 32.6% of total revenue, in the second quarter of 2011, and to \$3.7 million, or 33.5% of total revenue, in the first half of 2012 from \$5.3 million, or 36.9% of total revenue, in the first half of 2011. Our selling, marketing and product support expense decreased mainly due to the restructuring activities. We anticipate that selling, marketing and product support expense will decrease both in terms of dollar amount and as a percentage of revenue in the remainder of 2012 as compared to 2011 as we realize the impact of restructuring initiatives and the RTMS business model change.

General and administrative expense decreased to \$1.3 million, or 22.9% of total revenue, in the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$1.5 million, or 18.9% of total revenue, in the same period in 2011, and to \$2.5 million, or 23.2% of total revenue, in the first half of 2012, from to \$3.0 million, or 21.1% of total revenue, in the same period in 2011. General and administrative expenses decreased in 2012 mainly due to the restructuring activities. We anticipate that general and administrative expense will decrease both in terms of dollar amount and as a percentage of revenue in the remainder of 2012 as compared to 2011 as we realize the impact of restructuring initiatives and the RTMS business model change.

Research and development expense was consistent at \$1.0 million, or 17.8% of total revenue, in the second quarter of 2012, to the same period in 2011 which equaled 12.3% of total revenue, and increased to \$2.3 million, or 20.9 % of total revenue, in the first half of 2012, from \$2.0 million, or 14.2% of total revenue, in the same period in 2011. The increase was mainly related to the increased expenditures on our hybrid and other product developments. We anticipate that research and development expense will remain similar or decrease slightly in terms of dollar amount in 2012 as compared to 2011.

Amortization of intangibles expense was \$410,000 in the second quarter of 2012 and \$818,000 in the first half of 2012, and reflects the amortization of intangible assets acquired in the EIS asset purchase and CitySync acquisition. Assuming there are no changes to our intangible assets, we anticipate amortization expense will be \$1.6 million for all of 2012.

As discussed above, in December 2011, we announced a change to our North American business model for the RTMS product line and certain restructuring initiatives. In June 2012, we expanded the initiative to include our Hong Kong facility and personnel as well as aspects of our Europe based CitySync business. The majority of restructuring expense recognized in 2012 related to employee severance.

We recognized a goodwill impairment in the second quarter of 2012 of \$3.2 million that was triggered by a significant decline in our market capitalization as of June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Other income was \$19,000 and \$24,000 in the second quarter and first half of 2012, respectively, as compared to \$2,000 and \$6,000, respectively, in the same periods in 2011. Other income consists primarily of interest income on cash and short-term investments.

Our effective income tax rate for the first half of 2012 was significantly below historic levels for multiple reasons including the impacts of a non-deductible portion of the goodwill impairment charge and our tax credit situation in multiple jurisdictions. As our rate is derived from estimates of full year results, the year-end rate could vary widely from the current rate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2012, we had \$6.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$3.2 million in short-term investments, compared to \$5.2 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$2.1 million in short-term investments at December 31, 2011. Our investment objectives are to preserve principal, maintain liquidity, and achieve the best available return consistent with our primary objectives of safety and liquidity.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2.6 million in the first half of 2012, compared to cash used in operating activities of \$1.6 million in the same period in 2011. The primary reason for the increase in cash was the collection of outstanding receivables and conversion of inventory, offset by payments related to the reduction in accounts payable. We anticipate that average receivable collection days in 2012 will improve compared to 2011 and will not have a material impact on our liquidity.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.4 million for the first half of 2012, compared to cash used in investing activities of \$2.0 million in the first half of 2011. We purchased, on a net basis, \$1.1 million of marketable securities in the first half of 2012. Our planned additions of property and equipment are discretionary, and we do not expect them to exceed historical levels in 2012.

We have a revolving line of credit agreement with Associated Bank, National Association. The revolving line of credit provides for up to \$5.0 million at an annual interest rate equal to the greater of 4.5% or LIBOR plus 2.75%, as reset from time to time by the bank. Advances on the line of credit cannot exceed a borrowing base determined under a formula, which is a percentage of the amounts of eligible receivables. The line of credit currently has no borrowings outstanding and matures on May 1, 2013. We believe that on an ongoing basis, we will have regular availability to draw a minimum of \$3.0 million on our line of credit based on our qualifying assets.

We believe that cash and cash equivalents on hand at June 30, 2012, along with the availability of funds under our \$5.0 million revolving line of credit and cash provided by operating activities, will satisfy our projected working capital needs, investing activities, and other cash requirements for the foreseeable future.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not participate in transactions or have relationships or other arrangements with an unconsolidated entity, including special purpose and similar entities or other off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The accounting policies used in preparing our interim 2012 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements set forth elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are the same as those described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Cautionary Statement:

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange of 1934, as amended.

Forward-looking statements represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events and can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as “expects,” “believes,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates,” or “anticipates,” or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the results described in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

Table of Contents

- historical dependence on a single product for most of our revenue;
- budget constraints by governmental entities that purchase our products, including constraints caused by declining tax revenue;
- continuing ability of our licensee to pay royalties owed;
- the mix of and margin on the products we sell;
- dependence on third parties for manufacturing and marketing our products;
- dependence on single-source suppliers to meet manufacturing needs;
- our increased international presence;
- failure to secure adequate protection for our intellectual property rights;
- development of a competing product by another business using the underlying technology included in the patent we had licensed from the University of Minnesota, which expired in 2006;
- our inability to develop new applications and product enhancements;
- unanticipated delays, costs and expenses inherent in the development and marketing of new products, including ANPR products;
- our inability to respond to low-cost local competitors in Asia and elsewhere;
- our inability to properly manage a growth in revenue and/or production requirements;
 - the influence over our voting stock by affiliates;
 - our inability to hire and retain key scientific and technical personnel;
 - our inability to achieve and maintain effective internal controls;
 - our inability to successfully integrate acquisitions;
- political and economic instability, including recent volatility in the economic environment of the European Union caused by the ongoing sovereign debt crisis in Europe;
- our inability to comply with international regulatory restrictions over hazardous substances and electronic waste; and
 - conditions beyond our control such as war, terrorist attacks, health epidemics and economic recession.

We caution that the forward-looking statements made in this report or in other announcements made by us are further qualified by the risk factors set forth in Item 1A. to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our foreign sales and results of operations are subject to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations. From time to time, we enter into currency hedges to attempt to lower our exposure to translation gains and losses as well as to limit the impact of foreign currency translation upon the consolidation of our foreign subsidiaries. A 10% adverse change in foreign currency rates, if we have not hedged, could have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position. Our current greatest exposure for a negative material impact to our operations is a rising Canadian Dollar versus the U.S. Dollar.

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fiscal quarter covered by this report, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Some of the risk factors to which we and our business are subject are described in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The risks and uncertainties described in our Annual Report are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that our management currently deems immaterial also may impair our business operations. If any of the risks described were to occur, our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012:

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101	The following financial information from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language), (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Image Sensing Systems, Inc.

Dated: August 7, 2012 By: /s/ Kenneth R. Aubrey
Kenneth R. Aubrey
President and Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

Dated: August 7, 2012 By: /s/ Gregory R. L. Smith
Gregory R. L. Smith
Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial and accounting officer)

Table of Contents
EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101	The following financial information from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language), (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.