

Edgar Filing: UNS Energy Corp - Form 10-Q

UNS Energy Corp
 Form 10-Q
 July 30, 2013

UNITED STATES
 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
 (Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
 OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
 OF 1934

| For the transition period from | to | Commission File Number | Registrant; State of Incorporation; Address; and Telephone Number | IRS Employer Identification Number |
|--------------------------------|----|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | UNS ENERGY CORPORATION (An Arizona Corporation) 88 East Broadway Boulevard Tucson, AZ 85701 (520) 571-4000 | |
| 1-13739 | | | | 86-0786732 |
| | | | TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY (An Arizona Corporation) 88 East Broadway Boulevard Tucson, AZ 85701 (520) 571-4000 | |
| 1-5924 | | | | 86-0062700 |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

UNS Energy Corporation Yes No

Tucson Electric Power Company Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

UNS Energy Corporation Yes No

Tucson Electric Power Company Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| UNS Energy Corporation | Large Accelerated Filer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated Filer | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Non-accelerated Filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller Reporting Company | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Tucson Electric Power Company | Large Accelerated Filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated Filer | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Non-accelerated Filer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Smaller Reporting Company | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Edgar Filing: UNS Energy Corp - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| UNS Energy Corporation | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Tucson Electric Power Company | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

As of July 17, 2013, 41,483,662 shares of UNS Energy Corporation Common Stock, no par value (the only class of Common Stock), were outstanding. As of July 17, 2013, Tucson Electric Power Company had 32,139,434 shares of common stock outstanding, no par value, all of which were held by UNS Energy Corporation.

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by UNS Energy Corporation and Tucson Electric Power Company. Information contained in this document relating to Tucson Electric Power Company is filed by UNS Energy Corporation and separately by Tucson Electric Power Company on its own behalf. Tucson Electric Power Company makes no representation as to information relating to UNS Energy Corporation or its subsidiaries, except as it may relate to Tucson Electric Power Company.

ii

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Definitions</u> | v |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 1 |
| PART I | |
| <u>Item 1. – Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>UNS Energy Corporation</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity</u> | 8 |
| <u>Tucson Electric Power Company</u> | 9 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | 9 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | 10 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 11 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 12 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholder’s Equity</u> | 14 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Unaudited</u> | 15 |
| <u>Note 1. Financial Statement Presentation</u> | 15 |
| <u>Note 2. Regulatory Matters</u> | 16 |
| <u>Note 3. Business Segments</u> | 18 |
| <u>Note 4. Commitments, Contingencies, and Environmental Matters</u> | 19 |
| <u>Note 5. Debt and Credit Facilities</u> | 24 |
| <u>Note 6. Income Taxes</u> | 24 |
| <u>Note 7. Employee Benefit Plans</u> | 26 |
| <u>Note 8. Share-Based Compensation Plans</u> | 26 |
| <u>Note 9. UNS Energy Earnings Per Share</u> | 27 |
| <u>Note 10. Supplemental Cash Flow Information</u> | 28 |
| <u>Note 11. Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Instruments</u> | 29 |
| <u>Note 12. Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income by Component</u> | 35 |
| <u>Note 13. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncement</u> | 37 |
| <u>Note 14. Review by Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 2. – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 38 |
| <u>UNS Energy Corporation</u> | 38 |
| <u>Overview of Consolidated Business</u> | 38 |
| <u>Outlook and Strategies</u> | 38 |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | 39 |
| <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | 40 |
| <u>Tucson Electric Power Company</u> | 43 |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | 43 |
| <u>Factors Affecting Results of Operations</u> | 51 |
| <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | 55 |
| <u>UNS Gas</u> | 58 |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | 58 |
| <u>Factors Affecting Results of Operations</u> | 61 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <u>UNS Electric</u> | <u>64</u> |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | <u>64</u> |
| <u>Factors Affecting Results of Operations</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u> | <u>69</u> |
| <u>Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncement</u> | <u>70</u> |
| <u>Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements</u> | <u>70</u> |
| | |
| PART II – OTHER INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1. – Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>70</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. – Risk Factors</u> | <u>71</u> |
| <u>Item 2. – Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>72</u> |
| <u>Item 3. – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>72</u> |
| <u>Item 4. – Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>72</u> |
| <u>Item 5. – Other Information</u> | <u>72</u> |
| <u>Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges</u> | <u>72</u> |
| <u>Environmental Matters</u> | <u>73</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>74</u> |
| | |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | <u>76</u> |

DEFINITIONS

The abbreviations and acronyms used in the 2013 second quarter report on Form 10-Q are defined below:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 1992 Mortgage | TEP's Indenture of Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of December 1, 1992, to the Bank of New York Mellon, successor trustee, as supplemented |
| 2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement | Reimbursement Agreement, dated December 14, 2010, between TEP, as borrower, and a financial institution |
| 2010 UNS Electric Rate Order | A rate order issued by the ACC resulting in a new rate structure for UNS Electric, effective September 1, 2010 |
| 2013 TEP Rate Order | A rate order issued by the ACC resulting in a new rate structure for TEP, effective July 1, 2013 |
| ACC | Arizona Corporation Commission |
| AOCI | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income |
| APS | Arizona Public Service Company |
| ARO | Asset Retirement Obligation |
| BART | Best Available Retrofit Technology |
| Base O&M | A non-GAAP financial measure that represents the fundamental level of operating and maintenance expense related to our business |
| Base Rates | The portion of TEP's and UNS Electric's Retail Rates attributed to generation, transmission, distribution costs, and customer charge; and UNS Gas' delivery costs and customer charge. Base Rates exclude costs that are passed through to customers for fuel and purchased energy costs |
| Btu | British thermal unit(s) |
| Capacity | The ability to produce power; the most power a unit can produce or the maximum that can be taken under a contract, measured in megawatts |
| CC&N | Certificate of Convenience and Necessity |
| Common Stock | UNS Energy Corporation's common stock, without par value |
| Company | UNS Energy Corporation and its subsidiaries |
| Convertible Senior Notes | UNS Energy Corporation's 4.5% Convertible Senior Notes |
| DSM | Demand Side Management |
| ECA | Environmental Compliance Adjustor |
| Electric EE Standards | Electric Energy Efficiency Standards |
| Energy | The amount of power produced over a given period of time measured in megawatt-hours |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency |
| EPS | Earnings Per Share |
| ESP | Electric Service Providers |
| FERC | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| FIP | Federal Implementation Plan |
| FVRB | Fair Value Rate Base |
| Four Corners | Four Corners Generating Station |
| GAAP | Generally Accepted Accounting Principles |
| Gas EE Standards | Gas Energy Efficiency Standards |
| GBtu | Billion British thermal units |
| GWh | Gigawatt-hour(s) |
| Heating Degree Days | An index used to measure the impact of weather on energy usage calculated by subtracting the average of the high and low daily temperatures from 65 |
| IRS | Internal Revenue Service |
| kV | Kilo-volt |

| | |
|--|---|
| kWh | Kilowatt-hour(s) |
| LFCR | Lost Fixed Cost Recovery Mechanism |
| LOC | Letter of Credit |
| LIBOR | London Interbank Offered Rate |
| Millennium | Millennium Energy Holdings, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of UNS Energy Corporation |
| MMBtu | Million British thermal units |
| Mortgage Bonds | Mortgage Bonds issued under the 1992 Mortgage |
| MW | Megawatt(s) |
| MWh | Megawatt-hour(s) |
| Navajo | Navajo Generating Station |
| Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures | A non-GAAP financial measure that compares capital expenditures relative to cash flows from operating activities |
| Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures and Required Payments on Capital Lease Obligations | A non-GAAP financial measure that compares capital expenditures and required payments on capital lease obligations relative to cash flows from operating activities |
| NSP | Negotiated Sales Program. A program in which UNS Gas sells natural gas to some of its large transportation customers. |
| NTUA | Navajo Tribal Utility Authority |
| NOx | Nitrogen Oxide |
| O&M | Operations and Maintenance |
| OCRB | Original Cost Rate Base |
| PBI | Performance-Based Incentives paid to retail customers with solar installations based on metered renewable energy production over periods of 9 to 20 years |
| PGA | Purchased Gas Adjustor, a Retail Rate mechanism designed to recover the cost of gas purchased for retail gas customers |
| PNM | Public Service Company of New Mexico |
| PPA | Power Purchase Agreement |
| PPFAC | Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause |
| PSD | Prevention of Significant Deterioration |
| REC | Renewable Energy Credit |
| RES | Renewable Energy Standard |
| Retail Margin Revenues | A non-GAAP financial measure that demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core utility businesses |
| Regional Haze Rules | Rules promulgated by the EPA to improve visibility at national parks and wilderness areas |
| Retail Rates | Rates designed to allow a regulated utility an opportunity to recover its reasonable operating and capital costs and earn a return on its utility plant in service |
| Rules | Retail Electric Competition Rules established by the ACC in 1999 |
| San Juan | San Juan Generating Station |
| SCR | Selective Catalytic Reduction |
| SEC | Securities and Exchange Commission |
| SERP | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan |
| SJCC | San Juan Coal Company |
| SNCR | Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction |
| SO ₂ | Sulfur Dioxide |

Springerville
Springerville Common
Facilities

Springerville Generating Station
Facilities at Springerville used in common by all four Springerville units

vi

| | |
|--|---|
| Springerville Common Facilities Leases | Leveraged lease arrangements relating to an undivided one-half interest in certain Springerville Common Facilities |
| Springerville Unit 1 | Unit 1 of the Springerville Generating Station |
| Springerville Unit 1 Leases | Leveraged lease arrangement relating to Springerville Unit 1 and an undivided one-half interest in certain Springerville Common Facilities |
| Springerville Unit 2 | Unit 2 of the Springerville Generating Station |
| Springerville Unit 3 | Unit 3 of the Springerville Generating Station |
| Springerville Unit 4 | Unit 4 of the Springerville Generating Station |
| SRP | Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District |
| Sulfur Credits | Credits applied to the fuel invoice by the supplier when the sulfur content of delivered coal exceeds contractual levels |
| Sundt | H. Wilson Sundt Generating Station |
| Sundt Unit 4 | Unit 4 of the H. Wilson Sundt Generating Station |
| TCA | Transmission Cost Adjustor |
| Tenth Circuit | United States Court of Appeals |
| TEP | Tucson Electric Power Company, the principal subsidiary of UNS Energy Corporation |
| TEP Credit Agreement | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between TEP and a syndicate of banks, dated as of November 9, 2010 (as amended) |
| TEP Revolving Credit Facility | Revolving credit facility under the TEP Credit Agreement |
| Therm | A unit of heating value equivalent to 100,000 Btus |
| Tri-State | Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. |
| UED | UniSource Energy Development Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of UNS Energy Corporation |
| UES | UniSource Energy Services, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of UNS Energy, and intermediate holding company established to own the operating companies UNS Gas and UNS Electric |
| UNS Credit Agreement | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between UNS Energy Corporation and a syndicate of banks, dated as of November 9, 2010 (as amended) |
| UNS Electric | UNS Electric, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of UES |
| UNS Energy | UNS Energy Corporation (formally known as UniSource Energy Corporation) |
| UNS Gas | UNS Gas, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of UES |
| UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver | Revolving credit facility under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement among UNS Gas and UNS Electric as borrowers, UES as guarantor, and a syndicate of banks, dated as of November 9, 2010 (as amended) |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
UNS Energy Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of UNS Energy Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2013, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the condensed consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole.

Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet and statement of capitalization as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders' equity for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 26, 2013, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information and consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity information as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Phoenix, Arizona

July 30, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of

Tucson Electric Power Company:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Tucson Electric Power Company and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2013, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the condensed consolidated statement of changes in stockholder's equity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole.

Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet and statement of capitalization as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholder's equity for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 26, 2013, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information and consolidated statement of changes in stockholder's equity information as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statement of changes in stockholder's equity from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Phoenix, Arizona

July 30, 2013

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Three Months Ended

June 30,

2013 2012

(Unaudited)

Thousands of Dollars

(Except Per Share Amounts)

Six Months Ended

June 30,

2013 2012

(Unaudited)

Thousands of Dollars

(Except Per Share

Amounts)

| | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|
| | | Operating Revenues | | |
| \$285,419 | \$292,071 | Electric Retail Sales | \$506,279 | \$497,502 |
| 30,654 | 25,511 | Electric Wholesale Sales | 65,052 | 59,127 |
| 20,013 | 20,006 | Gas Retail Sales | 71,002 | 70,215 |
| 29,131 | 26,410 | Other Revenues | 55,025 | 52,540 |
| 365,217 | 363,998 | Total Operating Revenues | 697,358 | 679,384 |
| | | Operating Expenses | | |
| 86,459 | 82,325 | Fuel | 168,148 | 153,060 |
| 57,796 | 48,203 | Purchased Energy | 121,955 | 107,993 |
| 4,521 | 3,412 | Transmission | 7,707 | 6,238 |
| 2,074 | 14,215 | Increase (Decrease) to Reflect PPFAC/PGA Recovery Treatment | (3,294 |) 11,654 |
| 150,850 | 148,155 | Total Fuel and Purchased Energy | 294,516 | 278,945 |
| 95,143 | 90,926 | Operations and Maintenance | 185,043 | 185,241 |
| 36,671 | 35,190 | Depreciation | 72,970 | 70,174 |
| 8,119 | 9,112 | Amortization | 16,408 | 17,776 |
| 13,631 | 12,556 | Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 27,723 | 24,794 |
| 304,414 | 295,939 | Total Operating Expenses | 596,660 | 576,930 |
| 60,803 | 68,059 | Operating Income | 100,698 | 102,454 |
| | | Other Income (Deductions) | | |
| 19 | 383 | Interest Income | 28 | 641 |
| 1,734 | 1,333 | Other Income | 3,502 | 3,038 |
| (807 |) (484 |) Other Expense | (1,380 |) (937 |
| 94 | (344 |) Appreciation (Depreciation) in Fair Value of Investments | 1,133 | 1,041 |
| 1,040 | 888 | Total Other Income (Deductions) | 3,283 | 3,783 |
| | | Interest Expense | | |
| 17,700 | 17,602 | Long-Term Debt | 35,954 | 36,737 |
| 6,249 | 8,301 | Capital Leases | 12,498 | 16,598 |
| 346 | 315 | Other Interest Expense | (47 |) 1,020 |
| (745 |) (655 |) Interest Capitalized | (1,420 |) (1,186 |
| 23,550 | 25,563 | Total Interest Expense | 46,985 | 53,169 |
| 38,293 | 43,384 | Income Before Income Taxes | 56,996 | 53,068 |
| 3,675 | 17,111 | Income Tax Expense | 11,033 | 20,319 |
| \$34,618 | \$26,273 | Net Income | \$45,963 | \$32,749 |
| | | Weighted-Average Shares of Common Stock Outstanding (000) | | |
| 41,598 | 40,471 | Basic | 41,569 | 39,251 |
| 41,921 | 41,630 | Diluted | 41,898 | 41,646 |
| | | Earnings Per Share | | |
| \$0.83 | \$0.65 | Basic | \$1.11 | \$0.83 |

Edgar Filing: UNS Energy Corp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------|--------|------------------------------|--------|--------|
| \$0.83 | \$0.64 | Diluted | \$1.10 | \$0.81 |
| \$0.435 | \$0.43 | Dividends Declared Per Share | \$0.87 | \$0.86 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------|----------|---|----------------------|----------|
| June 30, | | | June 30, | |
| 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2012 |
| (Unaudited) | | | (Unaudited) | |
| Thousands of Dollars | | | Thousands of Dollars | |
| | | Comprehensive Income | | |
| \$34,618 | \$26,273 | Net Income | \$45,963 | \$32,749 |
| | | Other Comprehensive Income | | |
| | | Net Changes in Fair Value of Cash Flow Hedges: | | |
| | | net of \$(610) and \$(184) income taxes | | |
| 933 | 280 | net of \$(1,009) and \$(177) income taxes | 1,544 | 272 |
| | | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) Benefit | | |
| | | Amortization: | | |
| | | net of \$(43) and \$(34) income taxes | | |
| 68 | 55 | net of \$(85) and \$(15) income taxes | 137 | 163 |
| 1,001 | 335 | Total Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Income Taxes | 1,681 | 435 |
| \$35,619 | \$26,608 | Total Comprehensive Income | \$47,644 | \$33,184 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | Thousands of Dollars | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Cash Receipts from Electric Retail Sales | \$519,154 | \$510,264 |
| Cash Receipts from Gas Retail Sales | 91,207 | 95,063 |
| Cash Receipts from Electric Wholesale Sales | 82,273 | 75,153 |
| Cash Receipts from Operating Springerville Units 3 & 4 | 49,974 | 47,720 |
| Cash Receipts from Gas Wholesale Sales | 3,494 | 438 |
| Interest Received | 516 | 2,277 |
| Other Cash Receipts | 16,914 | 13,117 |
| Fuel Costs Paid | (140,185) | (146,203) |
| Purchased Energy Costs Paid | (135,775) | (126,059) |
| Payment of Operations and Maintenance Costs | (121,272) | (137,634) |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized | (90,554) | (89,972) |
| Wages Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized | (68,004) | (66,680) |
| Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized | (34,662) | (37,986) |
| Capital Lease Interest Paid | (18,630) | (23,177) |
| Other Cash Payments | (6,798) | (3,777) |
| Net Cash Flows—Operating Activities | 147,652 | 112,544 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | |
| Return of Investments in Springerville Lease Debt | 9,104 | 19,278 |
| Proceeds from Note Receivable | — | 5,000 |
| Insurance Proceeds for Replacement Assets | — | 2,875 |
| Other Cash Receipts | 9,482 | 10,540 |
| Capital Expenditures | (155,685) | (166,204) |
| Other Cash Payments | (13,095) | (5,235) |
| Net Cash Flows—Investing Activities | (150,194) | (133,746) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facilities | 114,000 | 324,000 |
| Other Cash Receipts | 4,314 | 2,277 |
| Payments of Capital Lease Obligations | (84,206) | (76,236) |
| Repayments of Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facilities | (48,000) | (159,000) |
| Common Stock Dividends Paid | (36,079) | (34,066) |
| Payment of Debt Issue/Retirement Costs | (982) | (1,948) |
| Repayments of Long-Term Debt | — | (9,341) |
| Other Cash Payments | (730) | (606) |
| Net Cash Flows—Financing Activities | (51,683) | 45,080 |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (54,225) | 23,878 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year | 123,918 | 76,390 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period | \$69,693 | \$100,268 |
| See Note 10 for supplemental cash flow information. | | |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. | | |

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | Thousands of Dollars | | |
| ASSETS | | | |
| Utility Plant | | | |
| Plant in Service | \$5,080,381 | \$5,005,768 | |
| Utility Plant Under Capital Leases | 582,669 | 582,669 | |
| Construction Work in Progress | 170,436 | 128,621 | |
| Total Utility Plant | 5,833,486 | 5,717,058 | |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization | (1,961,489) | (1,921,733) |) |
| Less Accumulated Amortization of Capital Lease Assets | (504,607) | (494,962) |) |
| Total Utility Plant—Net | 3,367,390 | 3,300,363 | |
| Investments and Other Property | | | |
| Investments in Lease Equity | 36,266 | 36,339 | |
| Other | 32,736 | 36,537 | |
| Total Investments and Other Property | 69,002 | 72,876 | |
| Current Assets | | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 69,693 | 123,918 | |
| Accounts Receivable—Customer | 109,022 | 93,742 | |
| Unbilled Accounts Receivable | 63,285 | 53,568 | |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | (6,861) | (6,545) |) |
| Materials and Supplies | 107,795 | 93,322 | |
| Fuel Inventory | 52,166 | 62,019 | |
| Deferred Income Taxes—Current | 41,263 | 34,260 | |
| Regulatory Assets—Current | 54,782 | 51,619 | |
| Investments in Lease Debt | — | 9,118 | |
| Derivative Instruments | 2,961 | 3,165 | |
| Other | 15,526 | 33,567 | |
| Total Current Assets | 509,632 | 551,753 | |
| Regulatory and Other Assets | | | |
| Regulatory Assets—Noncurrent | 204,034 | 191,077 | |
| Other Assets | 26,322 | 24,360 | |
| Total Regulatory and Other Assets | 230,356 | 215,437 | |
| Total Assets | \$4,176,380 | \$4,140,429 | |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | |

(Continued)

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Thousands of Dollars | |
| CAPITALIZATION AND OTHER LIABILITIES | | |
| Capitalization | | |
| Common Stock Equity | \$ 1,078,420 | \$ 1,065,465 |
| Capital Lease Obligations | 176,144 | 262,138 |
| Long-Term Debt | 1,522,504 | 1,498,442 |
| Total Capitalization | 2,777,068 | 2,826,045 |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Current Obligations Under Capital Leases | 100,380 | 90,583 |
| Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facilities | 42,000 | — |
| Accounts Payable—Trade | 98,591 | 107,740 |
| Accrued Taxes Other than Income Taxes | 42,429 | 41,939 |
| Accrued Employee Expenses | 21,573 | 24,094 |
| Interest Accrued | 17,055 | 31,950 |
| Regulatory Liabilities—Current | 54,030 | 43,516 |
| Customer Deposits | 35,479 | 34,048 |
| Derivative Instruments | 13,929 | 14,742 |
| Other | 15,776 | 10,517 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 441,242 | 399,129 |
| Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities | | |
| Deferred Income Taxes—Noncurrent | 415,334 | 364,756 |
| Regulatory Liabilities—Noncurrent | 294,950 | 279,111 |
| Pension and Other Retiree Benefits | 157,276 | 159,401 |
| Derivative Instruments | 9,277 | 12,709 |
| Other | 81,233 | 99,278 |
| Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities | 958,070 | 915,255 |
| Commitments, Contingencies, and Environmental Matters (Note 4) | | |
| Total Capitalization and Other Liabilities | \$ 4,176,380 | \$ 4,140,429 |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. (Concluded) | | |

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | Common Shares Outstanding* | Common Stock | Accumulated Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | Thousands of Shares | (Unaudited) Thousands of Dollars | Thousands of Dollars | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2012 | 41,344 | \$882,138 | \$193,117 | \$ (9,790) | \$1,065,465 |
| Comprehensive Income | | | | | |
| 2013 Year-to-Date Net Income | | | 45,963 | | 45,963 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net of \$(1,094) income taxes | | | | 1,681 | 1,681 |
| Total Comprehensive Income | | | | | 47,644 |
| Dividends, Including Non-Cash Dividend Equivalents | | | (36,380) | | (36,380) |
| Shares Issued Under Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 4 | 191 | | | 191 |
| Shares Issued for Stock Options | 36 | 1,170 | | | 1,170 |
| Shares Issued Under Performance Share Awards | 57 | — | | | — |
| Other | | 330 | | | 330 |
| Balances at June 30, 2013 | 41,441 | \$883,829 | \$202,700 | \$ (8,109) | \$1,078,420 |

* UNS Energy has 75 million authorized shares of Common Stock.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------|-----------|--|----------------------|-----------|
| June 30, | | | June 30, | |
| 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2012 |
| (Unaudited) | | | (Unaudited) | |
| Thousands of Dollars | | | Thousands of Dollars | |
| | | Operating Revenues | | |
| \$243,635 | \$247,770 | Electric Retail Sales | \$428,515 | \$414,101 |
| 29,542 | 22,274 | Electric Wholesale Sales | 63,940 | 52,040 |
| 31,086 | 29,375 | Other Revenues | 59,559 | 57,256 |
| 304,263 | 299,419 | Total Operating Revenues | 552,014 | 523,397 |
| | | Operating Expenses | | |
| 84,553 | 79,554 | Fuel | 165,351 | 149,528 |
| 28,410 | 20,862 | Purchased Power | 47,338 | 34,488 |
| 1,730 | 1,401 | Transmission | 2,595 | 2,363 |
| 5,274 | 12,811 | Increase to Reflect PPFAC Recovery Treatment | 2,914 | 5,125 |
| 119,967 | 114,628 | Total Fuel and Purchased Energy | 218,198 | 191,504 |
| 82,011 | 78,683 | Operations and Maintenance | 159,835 | 161,149 |
| 28,861 | 27,545 | Depreciation | 57,418 | 55,012 |
| 9,052 | 10,028 | Amortization | 18,275 | 19,620 |
| 10,939 | 10,324 | Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 22,108 | 20,009 |
| 250,830 | 241,208 | Total Operating Expenses | 475,834 | 447,294 |
| 53,433 | 58,211 | Operating Income | 76,180 | 76,103 |
| | | Other Income (Deductions) | | |
| 12 | 43 | Interest Income | 8 | 69 |
| 1,270 | 1,209 | Other Income | 2,438 | 2,286 |
| (2,472) | (1,640) | Other Expense | (4,717) | (3,128) |
| 94 | (344) | Appreciation (Depreciation) in Fair Value of Investments | 1,133 | 1,041 |
| (1,096) | (732) | Total Other Income (Deductions) | (1,138) | 268 |
| | | Interest Expense | | |
| 13,991 | 13,378 | Long-Term Debt | 28,564 | 27,294 |
| 6,249 | 8,301 | Capital Leases | 12,498 | 16,598 |
| 192 | 246 | Other Interest Expense | (168) | 777 |
| (534) | (598) | Interest Capitalized | (1,027) | (1,020) |
| 19,898 | 21,327 | Total Interest Expense | 39,867 | 43,649 |
| 32,439 | 36,152 | Income Before Income Taxes | 35,175 | 32,722 |
| 1,652 | 14,242 | Income Tax Expense | 2,909 | 12,273 |
| \$30,787 | \$21,910 | Net Income | \$32,266 | \$20,449 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | 2012 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | 2012 |
|--|--|----------|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------|
| (Unaudited) | | | | (Unaudited) | | |
| Thousands of Dollars | | | | Thousands of Dollars | | |
| | | | Comprehensive Income | | | |
| \$30,787 | | \$21,910 | Net Income | \$32,266 | | \$20,449 |
| | | | Other Comprehensive Income | | | |
| | | | Net Changes in Fair Value of Cash Flow Hedges: | | | |
| 878 | | 389 | net of \$(574) and \$(255) income taxes | | | |
| | | | net of \$(952) and \$(279) income taxes | 1,456 | | 427 |
| | | | SERP Benefit Amortization: | | | |
| 68 | | 55 | net of \$(43) and \$(34) income taxes | | | |
| | | | net of \$(85) and \$(15) income taxes | 137 | | 163 |
| 946 | | 444 | Total Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Income Taxes | 1,593 | | 590 |
| \$31,733 | | \$22,354 | Total Comprehensive Income | \$33,859 | | \$21,039 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | Thousands of Dollars | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Cash Receipts from Electric Retail Sales | \$435,779 | \$420,296 |
| Cash Receipts from Electric Wholesale Sales | 75,803 | 62,884 |
| Cash Receipts from Operating Springerville Units 3 & 4 | 49,974 | 47,720 |
| Reimbursement of Affiliate Charges | 12,695 | 11,437 |
| Cash Receipts from Gas Wholesale Sales | 3,145 | 26 |
| Interest Received | 509 | 1,523 |
| Other Cash Receipts | 13,320 | 9,815 |
| Fuel Costs Paid | (139,596) | (144,929) |
| Payment of Operations and Maintenance Costs | (117,133) | (133,845) |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized | (68,574) | (67,013) |
| Wages Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized | (57,483) | (55,185) |
| Purchased Power Costs Paid | (40,949) | (30,437) |
| Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized | (27,590) | (27,966) |
| Capital Lease Interest Paid | (18,630) | (23,177) |
| Income Taxes Paid | — | (1,796) |
| Other Cash Payments | (5,728) | (2,847) |
| Net Cash Flows—Operating Activities | 115,542 | 66,506 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | |
| Return of Investments in Springerville Lease Debt | 9,104 | 19,278 |
| Insurance Proceeds for Replacement Assets | — | 2,875 |
| Other Cash Receipts | 7,920 | 7,111 |
| Capital Expenditures | (118,210) | (142,385) |
| Purchase of Intangibles—Renewable Energy Credits | (11,390) | (4,207) |
| Net Cash Flows—Investing Activities | (112,576) | (117,328) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facility | 78,000 | 184,000 |
| Other Cash Receipts | 1,130 | 1,087 |
| Payments of Capital Lease Obligations | (84,206) | (76,236) |
| Repayments of Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facility | (48,000) | (45,000) |
| Payment of Debt Issue/Retirement Costs | (982) | (1,948) |
| Repayments of Long-Term Debt | — | (6,535) |
| Other Cash Payments | (534) | (440) |
| Net Cash Flows—Financing Activities | (54,592) | 54,928 |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (51,626) | 4,106 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year | 79,743 | 27,718 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period | \$28,117 | \$31,824 |
| See Note 10 for supplemental cash flow information. | | |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. | | |

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Thousands of Dollars | | | |
| ASSETS | | | |
| Utility Plant | | | |
| Plant in Service | \$4,407,267 | \$4,348,041 | |
| Utility Plant Under Capital Leases | 582,669 | 582,669 | |
| Construction Work in Progress | 123,693 | 98,460 | |
| Total Utility Plant | 5,113,629 | 5,029,170 | |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization | (1,812,994) | (1,783,787) |) |
| Less Accumulated Amortization of Capital Lease Assets | (504,607) | (494,962) |) |
| Total Utility Plant—Net | 2,796,028 | 2,750,421 | |
| Investments and Other Property | | | |
| Investments in Lease Equity | 36,266 | 36,339 | |
| Other | 31,295 | 35,091 | |
| Total Investments and Other Property | 67,561 | 71,430 | |
| Current Assets | | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 28,117 | 79,743 | |
| Accounts Receivable—Customer | 89,172 | 71,813 | |
| Unbilled Accounts Receivable | 52,420 | 33,782 | |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | (4,913) | (4,598) |) |
| Accounts Receivable—Due from Affiliates | 3,018 | 5,720 | |
| Materials and Supplies | 94,717 | 80,377 | |
| Fuel Inventory | 51,871 | 61,737 | |
| Deferred Income Taxes—Current | 45,185 | 37,212 | |
| Regulatory Assets—Current | 36,283 | 34,345 | |
| Investments in Lease Debt | — | 9,118 | |
| Other | 15,571 | 34,393 | |
| Total Current Assets | 411,441 | 443,642 | |
| Regulatory and Other Assets | | | |
| Regulatory Assets—Noncurrent | 190,488 | 178,330 | |
| Other Assets | 19,633 | 17,223 | |
| Total Regulatory and Other Assets | 210,121 | 195,553 | |
| Total Assets | \$3,485,151 | \$3,461,046 | |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

(Continued)

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Thousands of Dollars | |
| CAPITALIZATION AND OTHER LIABILITIES | | |
| Capitalization | | |
| Common Stock Equity | \$894,786 | \$860,927 |
| Capital Lease Obligations | 176,144 | 262,138 |
| Long-Term Debt | 1,223,505 | 1,223,442 |
| Total Capitalization | 2,294,435 | 2,346,507 |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Current Obligations Under Capital Leases | 100,380 | 90,583 |
| Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facility | 30,000 | — |
| Accounts Payable—Trade | 81,406 | 82,122 |
| Accounts Payable—Due to Affiliates | 2,924 | 3,134 |
| Accrued Taxes Other than Income Taxes | 35,355 | 33,060 |
| Accrued Employee Expenses | 18,161 | 20,715 |
| Interest Accrued | 12,069 | 26,965 |
| Regulatory Liabilities—Current | 29,562 | 20,822 |
| Customer Deposits | 26,174 | 24,846 |
| Derivative Instruments | 6,959 | 4,899 |
| Other | 11,247 | 7,085 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 354,237 | 314,231 |
| Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities | | |
| Deferred Income Taxes—Noncurrent | 357,202 | 319,216 |
| Regulatory Liabilities—Noncurrent | 256,292 | 241,189 |
| Pension and Other Retiree Benefits | 147,152 | 149,718 |
| Derivative Instruments | 7,197 | 10,565 |
| Other | 68,636 | 79,620 |
| Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities | 836,479 | 800,308 |
| Commitments, Contingencies, and Environmental Matters (Note 4) | | |
| Total Capitalization and Other Liabilities | \$3,485,151 | \$3,461,046 |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. | | |

(Concluded)

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

| | Common Stock | Capital Stock Expense | Accumulated Earnings (Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Stockholder's Equity |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | | | | |
| | Thousands of Dollars | | | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2012 | \$888,971 | \$(6,357) |) \$(12,157 |) \$ (9,530 |) \$860,927 |
| Comprehensive Income | | | | | |
| 2013 Year-to-Date Net Income | | | 32,266 | | 32,266 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, net of \$(1,037) income taxes | | | | 1,593 | 1,593 |
| Total Comprehensive Income | | | | | 33,859 |
| Balances at June 30, 2013 | \$888,971 | \$(6,357) |) \$20,109 | \$ (7,937 |) \$894,786 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Unaudited

NOTE 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

UNS Energy Corporation (UNS Energy) is a holding company that conducts its business through three regulated public utilities: Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP); UNS Gas, Inc. (UNS Gas); and UNS Electric, Inc. (UNS Electric). References to “we” and “our” are to UNS Energy and its subsidiaries, collectively.

We prepared our condensed consolidated financial statements according to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) and the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) interim reporting requirements. These condensed consolidated financial statements exclude some information and footnotes required by GAAP and the SEC for annual financial statement reporting. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but, in management's opinion, include all recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. Because weather and other factors cause seasonal fluctuations in sales, our quarterly results are not indicative of annual operating results. UNS Energy and TEP reclassified certain amounts in the financial statements to conform to current year presentation.

REVISION OF PRIOR PERIOD UNS ENERGY INCOME STATEMENT

During the first three quarters of 2012, we incorrectly reported UNS Electric's sales and purchase contracts which did not result in the physical delivery of energy. The transactions were reported on a gross basis rather than on a net basis. This error resulted in an equal and offsetting overstatement of Electric Wholesale Sales and Purchased Energy in the income statements of \$3 million for the three months ended and \$7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This error had no impact on operating income, net income, retained earnings, or cash flows.

We assessed the impact of this error on prior period financial statements and concluded it was not material to any period. However, this error was significant to individual income statement line items. As a result, in accordance with GAAP, we revised our prior period income statement as follows:

| | UNS Energy | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | June 30, 2012 | |
| | As Reported | As Revised | As Reported | As Revised |
| | Thousands of Dollars | | Thousands of Dollars | |
| Income Statement | | | | |
| Electric Wholesale Sales | \$28,684 | \$25,511 | \$65,787 | \$59,127 |
| Purchased Energy | 51,376 | 48,203 | 114,653 | 107,993 |
| Total Fuel and Purchased Energy | 151,328 | 148,155 | 285,605 | 278,945 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 299,112 | 295,939 | 583,590 | 576,930 |

RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In 2013, we adopted authoritative guidance that:

- Requires additional disclosures for amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. See Note 12.

- Requires disclosure related to offsetting derivative assets and derivative liabilities in accordance with GAAP. See Note 11.

- Allows an additional option for impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets. We had no impairment indicator as our only indefinite-lived intangible assets, Renewable Energy Credits (RECs), are currently recoverable

under the Renewable Energy Standard (RES) as we use the RECs to comply with the standard's renewable resources requirements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

NOTE 2. REGULATORY MATTERS

RATES AND REGULATION

The Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) each regulate portions of the utility accounting practices and rates of TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric. The ACC regulates rates charged to retail customers, the siting of generation and transmission facilities, the issuance of securities, and transactions with affiliated parties. The FERC regulates terms and prices of transmission services and wholesale electricity sales.

2013 TEP RATE ORDER

In June 2013, the ACC issued the 2013 TEP Rate Order that resolved the rate case filed by TEP in July 2012. The 2013 TEP Rate Order approved new rates effective July 1, 2013.

The provisions of the 2013 TEP Rate Order include, but are not limited to:

- an increase in non-fuel retail Base Rates of approximately \$76 million over adjusted test year revenues;
- an Original Cost Rate Base (OCRB) of approximately \$1.5 billion and a Fair Value Rate Base (FVRB) of approximately \$2.3 billion;
- a return on equity of 10.0%, a long-term cost of debt of 5.18%, and a short-term cost of debt of 1.42%, resulting in a weighted average cost of capital of 7.26%;
- a capital structure of approximately 43.5% equity, 56.0% long-term debt, and 0.5% short-term debt;
- a 0.68% return on the fair value increment of rate base (the fair value increment of rate base represents the difference between OCRB and FVRB of approximately \$800 million);
- a revision in depreciation rates from an average rate of 3.32% to 3.0% for generation and distribution plant, primarily due to revised estimates of asset removal costs, which will have the effect of reducing depreciation expense by approximately \$11 million annually; and
- an agreement by TEP to seek recovery of costs related to the Nogales transmission line from the FERC before seeking rate recovery from the ACC.

The 2013 TEP Rate Order also includes:

- a new Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause (PPFAC) credit of \$0.001388 per kWh effective July 1, 2013. The credit reflects the following:
 - a one-time reduction in the PPFAC bank balance, recorded in June 2013 as an increase to fuel expense, of \$3 million related to prior Sulfur Credits; and
 - a transfer of \$10 million, recorded in June 2013, from the PPFAC bank balance to a new regulatory asset to defer coal costs related to the San Juan mine fire. These costs will be eligible for recovery through the PPFAC upon final insurance settlement.
- modification of the PPFAC mechanism to include recovery of generation related lime costs offset by Sulfur Credits.

a Lost Fixed Cost Recovery mechanism (LFCR) to recover certain non-fuel costs related to kWh sales lost due to energy efficiency programs and distributed generation, subject to ACC approval and a year-over-year cap of 1% of TEP's total retail revenues. TEP expects the LFCR rate, recovering 2013 costs, to be effective on July 1, 2014, upon approval of verified lost kWh sales by the ACC.

an Environmental Compliance Adjustor (ECA) mechanism to recover certain capital carrying costs to comply with government-mandated environmental regulations between rate cases. The ECA rate is capped at \$0.00025 per kWh, which approximates 0.25% of TEP's total retail revenues, and will be charged to customers beginning in May 2014 for any qualifying costs incurred between August 2013 and December 2013.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

an energy efficiency provision which includes a 2013 calendar year budget to fund programs that support the ACC's Electric Energy Efficiency Standards (Electric EE Standards), as well as a performance incentive.

PENDING UNS ELECTRIC RATE CASE

In December 2012, as required in the 2010 UNS Electric Rate Order, UNS Electric filed with the ACC a general rate case, on a cost-of-service basis, requesting the following:

- a non-fuel Base Rate increase of \$7.5 million, or 4.6%;
- an OCRB of approximately \$217 million and a FVRB of \$286 million;
- a return on equity of 10.5%, a long-term cost of debt of 5.97%;
- a capital structure of approximately 53% equity and 47% long-term debt; and
- a 1.6% return on the fair value increment of rate base. The fair value increment of rate base represents the difference between OCRB and FVRB of approximately \$69 million.

UNS Electric also requested the following:

- an LFCR mechanism to recover certain non-fuel costs related to kWh sales lost due to energy efficiency programs and distributed generation.
- a Transmission Cost Adjustor (TCA). The TCA would allow UNS Electric to recover, on a more timely basis, transmission costs associated with serving retail customers.

ACC Staff and other parties have filed testimony with recommendations ranging from no rate increase to a 0.8% rate increase.

REGULATORY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following table summarizes changes in regulatory assets and liabilities since December 31, 2012:

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--|---------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | UNS | TEP | UNS | TEP |
| | Energy | | Energy | |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |
| Regulatory Assets – Current | \$55 | \$36 | \$52 | \$34 |
| Regulatory Assets – Noncurrent ⁽¹⁾ | 204 | 190 | 191 | 178 |
| Regulatory Liabilities—Current ⁽²⁾ | (54 |) (30 |) (44 |) (21 |
| Regulatory Liabilities – Noncurrent ⁽³⁾ | (295 |) (256 |) (279 |) (241 |
| Total Net Regulatory Assets (Liabilities) | \$(90 |) \$(60 |) \$(80 |) \$(50 |

(1) Regulatory Assets – Noncurrent increased reflecting a newly created regulatory asset primarily for the investment tax credit basis adjustment, See Note 6. This regulatory asset does not earn a return and will be recovered through future rates. The increase is also related to the addition of deferred rate case costs that do not earn a return and will be recovered over a four year period.

(2) Regulatory Liabilities – Current increased because purchased energy costs are over recovered following deferral of coal costs related to the San Juan mine fire, as discussed above. The regulatory asset related to these deferred costs

does not earn a return and will be recovered at the time of the final insurance settlement.

- (3) Regulatory Liabilities - Noncurrent increased due to the collection of amounts in rates for future asset removal costs that have not yet been expended.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

FUTURE IMPLICATIONS OF DISCONTINUING APPLICATION OF REGULATORY ACCOUNTING

If our regulated operations no longer met the requirements to apply regulatory accounting we would remove our regulatory assets and liabilities by:

- Reflecting regulatory pension assets as part of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI)
- Writing off the remaining regulatory assets as an expense and regulatory liabilities as income in the income statements

If we had stopped applying regulatory accounting at June 30, 2013:

• TEP would have recorded an extraordinary after-tax gain of \$112 million and an after-tax loss in AOCI of \$76 million;

• UNS Gas would have recorded an extraordinary after-tax gain of \$24 million and an after-tax loss in AOCI of \$2 million; and

• UNS Electric would have recorded an after-tax loss in AOCI of \$3 million.

While future regulatory orders and market conditions may affect cash flows, our cash flows would not be affected if we stopped applying regulatory accounting to our regulated operations.

NOTE 3. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We have three reportable segments regularly reviewed by our chief operating decision makers to evaluate performance and make operating decisions.

(1) TEP, a regulated electric utility and our largest subsidiary

(2) UNS Gas, a regulated gas distribution utility

(3) UNS Electric, a regulated electric utility

We disclose selected financial data for our reportable segments in the following table:

| | Reportable Segments | | | | | Reconciling Adjustments | UNS Energy Consolidated |
|--|---------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | TEP | UNS Gas | UNS Electric | Non-Reportable Segments | | | |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | | | |
| Income Statement | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues – External | \$ 300 | \$ 21 | \$ 44 | \$ — | \$ — | | \$ 365 |
| Operating Revenues – Intersegment ⁽¹⁾ | 4 | 1 | — | 4 | (9 |) | — |
| Net Income | 31 | — | 4 | — | — | | 35 |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues – External | \$ 295 | \$ 21 | \$ 48 | \$ — | \$ — | | \$ 364 |
| Operating Revenues – Intersegment ⁽¹⁾ | 4 | 1 | — | 5 | (10 |) | — |
| Net Income | 22 | — | 4 | — | — | | 26 |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

| | Reportable Segments | | | | Reconciling Adjustments | UNS Energy Consolidated |
|--|---------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | TEP | UNS Gas | UNS Electric | Non-Reportable Segments | | |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | | |
| Income Statement | | | | | | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues – External | \$ 543 | \$ 73 | \$ 80 | \$ 1 | \$ — | \$ 697 |
| Operating Revenues – Intersegment ⁽¹⁾ | 9 | 1 | 1 | 8 | (19) | — |
| Net Income | 32 | 8 | 6 | — | — | 46 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues – External | \$ 515 | \$ 73 | \$ 91 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 679 |
| Operating Revenues – Intersegment ⁽¹⁾ | 8 | 2 | 1 | 9 | (20) | — |
| Net Income | 20 | 5 | 7 | 1 | — | 33 |

Operating Revenues – Intersegment: TEP includes control area services provided to UNS Electric based on a FERC-approved tariff; common costs (systems, facilities, etc.) allocated to affiliates on a cost-causative basis; and sales of power to UNS Electric at third-party market prices. Other primarily includes meter reading services and supplemental workforce provided by an unregulated affiliate to the utilities.

NOTE 4. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES, AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

In addition to those reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we entered into the following new long-term commitments.

TEP COMMITMENTS

Purchase Power Contracts

TEP entered into new forward purchase power commitments that will settle through December 2014. Some of these contracts are at fixed prices per MWh and others are indexed to natural gas prices. Based on projected market prices as of June 30, 2013, TEP's estimated minimum payment obligations for these purchases are \$9 million in 2014.

TEP has a 20-year Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) with a renewable energy generation facility that achieved commercial operation in June 2013. TEP is obligated to purchase 100% of the output from this facility. TEP expects to make minimum payment obligations under this contract of approximately \$4 million per year in each of the next five years and approximately \$56 million total thereafter.

RES Recoverable Incentives

In exchange for the environmental attributes, or RECs, TEP and UNS Electric make two types of incentive payments to customers who install distributed generation: up-front incentive payments and Performance-Based Incentives (PBIs). Both up-front incentive and PBI payments are recovered through the RES tariff. Up-front incentive payments are distinguished by the following:

- residential and small commercial customers;
- small installations; and
- up-front payment upon installation.

PBIs are distinguished by the following:

- large commercial customers;

large installations; and

based on metered renewable energy production over periods ranging from 9 to 20 years.

In the second quarter 2013, TEP's total obligation related to RES PBI payments over future periods increased by \$4 million from \$62 million on December 31, 2012, to \$66 million on June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

UNS GAS COMMITMENTS

UNS Gas entered into new forward energy commitments that settle through May 2016 at fixed prices per million British thermal units (MMBtu). UNS Gas' minimum payment obligations for these purchases are \$3 million in 2014, \$2 million in 2015, and \$1 million in 2016.

UNS ELECTRIC COMMITMENTS

Purchase Power Contracts

UNS Electric entered into new forward purchase power commitments that will settle through September 2015 at fixed prices per MWh. UNS Electric's minimum payment obligations for these purchases are \$4 million in 2014 and \$3 million in 2015.

RES Performance-Based Incentives

UNS Electric is contractually obligated to retail customers with solar installations to make RES PBI payments for environmental attributes, or RECs. In 2013, UNS Electric's total obligation for RES PBIs increased by approximately \$1 million, from \$6 million on December 31, 2012, to \$7 million on June 30, 2013. UNS Electric will make payments over periods ranging from 10 to 20 years based on metered renewable energy production. PBIs are recoverable through the RES tariff.

TEP CONTINGENCIES

Claim Related to San Juan Generating Station

San Juan Coal Company (SJCC) operates an underground coal mine in an area where certain gas producers have oil and gas leases with the federal government, the State of New Mexico, and private parties. These gas producers allege that SJCC's underground coal mine interferes with their operations, reducing the amount of natural gas they can recover. SJCC compensated certain gas producers for any remaining production from wells deemed close enough to the mine to warrant plugging and abandoning them. These settlements, however, do not resolve all potential claims by gas producers in the area. TEP owns 50% of Units 1 and 2 at San Juan Generating Station (San Juan), which represents approximately 20% of the total generation capacity at San Juan, and is responsible for its share of any settlements. TEP cannot estimate the impact of any future claims by these gas producers on the cost of coal at San Juan.

Claims Related to Four Corners Generating Station

In October 2011, EarthJustice, on behalf of several environmental organizations, filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico against Arizona Public Service Company (APS) and the other Four Corners Generating Station (Four Corners) participants alleging violations of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) provisions of the Clean Air Act at Four Corners. In January 2012, EarthJustice amended their complaint alleging violations of New Source Performance Standards resulting from equipment replacements at Four Corners. Among other things, the plaintiffs seek to have the court issue an order to cease operations at Four Corners until any required PSD permits are issued and order the payment of civil penalties, including a beneficial mitigation project. In April 2012, APS filed motions to dismiss with the court for all claims asserted by EarthJustice in the amended complaint. The matter is stayed until August 1, 2013 in furtherance of settlement talks.

TEP owns 7% of Four Corners Units 4 and 5 and is liable for its share of any resulting liabilities. TEP cannot predict the final outcome of the claims relating to Four Corners, and, due to the general and non-specific nature of the claims and the indeterminate scope and nature of the injunctive relief sought for this claim, TEP cannot determine estimates of the range of loss at this time. TEP accrued estimated losses of less than \$1 million in 2011 for this claim based on its share of a settlement offer to resolve the claim.

Mine Closure Reclamation at Generating Stations Not Operated by TEP

TEP pays ongoing reclamation costs related to coal mines that supply generating stations in which TEP has an ownership interest but does not operate. TEP is liable for a portion of final reclamation costs upon closure of the

mines servicing Navajo Generating Station (Navajo), San Juan, and Four Corners. TEP's share of reclamation costs is expected to be \$27 million upon expiration of the coal supply agreements, which expire between 2016 and 2019. The reclamation liability (present value of future liability) recorded was \$17 million at June 30, 2013 and \$16 million at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

Amounts recorded for final reclamation are subject to various assumptions, such as estimations of reclamation costs, the dates when final reclamation will occur, and the credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate to be used to discount future liabilities. As these assumptions change, TEP will prospectively adjust the expense amounts for final reclamation over the remaining coal supply agreements' terms. TEP does not believe that recognition of its final reclamation obligations will be material to TEP in any single year because recognition will occur over the remaining terms of its coal supply agreements.

TEP's PPFAC allows us to pass through most fuel costs, including final reclamation costs, to customers. Therefore, TEP classifies these costs as a regulatory asset by increasing the regulatory asset and the reclamation liability over the remaining life of the coal supply agreements on an accrual basis and recovering the regulatory asset through the PPFAC as final mine reclamation costs are paid to the coal suppliers.

Tucson to Nogales Transmission Line

TEP and UNS Electric are parties to a project development agreement for the joint construction of a 60-mile transmission line from Tucson, Arizona to Nogales, Arizona. This project was initiated in response to an order by the ACC to UNS Electric to improve the reliability of electric service in Nogales. TEP and UNS Electric expect to abandon the project based on the cost of the proposed 345-kV line, the difficulty in reaching agreement with the Forest Service on a path for the line, and concurrence by the ACC of recent transmission plans filed by TEP and UNS Electric supporting elimination of this project. As part of the 2013 TEP Rate Order, TEP agreed to seek recovery of the project costs from FERC before seeking rate recovery from the ACC. See Note 2. In 2012, TEP recorded a regulatory asset of \$5 million and UNS Electric recorded a regulatory asset of \$0.2 million for the balance deemed probable of recovery.

Springerville Unit 1 Leases

The Springerville Unit 1 Leases have an initial term to January 2015 and provide for renewal periods of three or more years through 2030. TEP has a fair market value purchase option for facilities under the Springerville Unit 1 Leases, which requires TEP to issue notification of intent to purchase by August 31, 2013. In December 2011, TEP and the owner participants of the Springerville Unit 1 Leases completed a formal appraisal process to determine the fair market value purchase price, in accordance with the Springerville Unit 1 Leases. Based on that appraisal, TEP has the option to pay \$159 million in 2015 for the 86% interest not already owned by TEP. In 2012, TEP initiated a proceeding seeking judicial confirmation of the results of the appraisal process in Federal District Court. In the proceeding, the owner participants alleged that the appraisal process failed to yield a legitimate purchase price for the leased interest. In January 2013, the Federal District Court denied TEP's petition on the grounds that the court lacks jurisdiction in the matter. In February 2013, TEP appealed the matter to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, where it is currently pending. TEP cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Resolution of Contingencies

Springerville Generating Station Unit 3 Outage

TEP paid Tri-State Generating and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State) \$2 million in March 2013 as a result of an outage at Springerville Unit 3 in 2012. TEP accrued the pre-tax loss in July 2012 as a result of not meeting certain availability requirements under the terms of TEP's operating agreement with Tri-State.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Environmental Regulation

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) limits the amount of sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxide (NO_x), particulate matter, mercury and other emissions released into the atmosphere by power plants. TEP may incur added costs to comply with future changes in federal and state environmental laws, regulations, and permit requirements at its power plants. Complying with these changes may reduce operating efficiency. TEP expects to recover the cost of

environmental compliance from its ratepayers.

Hazardous Air Pollutant Requirements

The Clean Air Act requires the EPA to develop emission limit standards for hazardous air pollutants that reflect the maximum achievable control technology. In February 2012, the EPA issued final rules to set the standards for the control of mercury emissions and other hazardous air pollutants from power plants.

21

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

Navajo

Based on the EPA's final standards, Navajo may require mercury and particulate matter emission control equipment by 2015. TEP's share of the estimated capital cost of this equipment is less than \$1 million for mercury control and about \$43 million if the installation of baghouses to control particulates is necessary. TEP expects its share of the annual operating costs for mercury control and baghouses to be less than \$1 million each. The operator of Navajo is currently analyzing the need for baghouses under various regulatory scenarios, which will be affected by final Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) rules when issued.

San Juan

TEP expects San Juan's current emission controls to be adequate to comply with the EPA's final standards.

Four Corners

Based on the EPA's final standards, Four Corners may need mercury emission control equipment by 2015. TEP's share of the estimated capital cost of this equipment is less than \$1 million. TEP expects its share of the annual operating cost of the mercury emission control equipment to be less than \$1 million.

Springerville Generating Station

Based on the EPA's final standards, Springerville Generating Station (Springerville) may need mercury emission control equipment by 2015. The estimated capital cost of this equipment for Springerville Units 1 and 2 is about \$5 million. TEP expects the annual operating cost of the mercury emission control equipment to be about \$3 million.

Sundt Generating Station

TEP expects the final EPA standards will have little effect on capital expenditures at Sundt Generating Station (Sundt).

Regional Haze Rules

The EPA's Regional Haze Rules require emission controls known as BART for certain industrial facilities emitting air pollutants that reduce visibility. The rules call for all states to establish goals and emission reduction strategies for improving visibility in national parks and wilderness areas. States must submit these goals and strategies to the EPA for approval. Because Navajo and Four Corners are located on the Navajo Indian Reservation, they are not subject to state oversight. The EPA oversees regional haze planning for these power plants.

Complying with the EPA's BART findings, and with other future environmental rules, may make it economically impractical to continue operating the Navajo, San Juan, and Four Corners power plants or for individual owners to continue to participate in these power plants. TEP cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

Navajo

In January 2013, the EPA proposed a BART determination that would require the installation of Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) technology on all three units at Navajo by 2023. In July 2013, SRP, along with other stakeholders including impacted government agencies, environmental organizations, and tribal representatives, reached an agreement that would achieve greater NOx emission reductions than the EPA's proposed BART rule. The stakeholder group has submitted the agreement for the EPA's consideration as a better-than-BART alternative. Among other things, the agreement calls for the shut down of one unit or an equivalent reduction in emissions by 2020. The shutdown of one unit will not impact the total amount of energy delivered to TEP from Navajo. Additionally, the remaining Navajo participants would be required to install SCR or an equivalent technology on the remaining two units by 2030. As part of the agreement, the current owners have committed to cease their operation of conventional coal-fired generation at Navajo no later than December 2044. The Navajo Nation can continue operation after 2044 at its election. If SCR technology is ultimately required at Navajo, TEP estimates its share of the capital cost will be \$42 million. Also, the installation of SCR technology at Navajo could increase the power plant's particulate emissions which may require that baghouses be installed. TEP estimates that its share of the capital expenditure for baghouses would be about \$43 million. TEP's share of annual operating costs for SCR and baghouses is estimated at less than \$1

million each.
San Juan

In August 2011, the EPA issued a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) establishing new emission limits for air pollutants at San Juan. These requirements are more stringent than those proposed by the State of New Mexico. The FIP requires the

22

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

installation of SCR technology with sorbent injection on all four units to reduce NO_x and control sulfuric acid emissions by September 2016. TEP estimates its share of the cost to install SCR technology with sorbent injection to be between \$180 million and \$200 million. TEP expects its share of the annual operating costs for SCR technology to be approximately \$6 million.

In 2011, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM) filed a petition for review of, and a motion to stay, the FIP with the Tenth Circuit United States Court of Appeals (Tenth Circuit). In addition, the operator filed a request for reconsideration of the rule with the EPA and a request to stay the effectiveness of the rule pending the EPA's reconsideration and review by the Tenth Circuit. The State of New Mexico filed similar motions with the Tenth Circuit and the EPA. Several environmental groups were granted permission to join in opposition to PNM's petition to review in the Tenth Circuit. In addition, WildEarth Guardians filed a separate appeal against the EPA challenging the FIP's five-year implementation schedule. PNM was granted permission to join in opposition to that appeal. In March 2012, the Tenth Circuit denied PNM's and the State of New Mexico's motion for stay. Oral argument on the appeal was heard in October 2012 and the parties are currently awaiting the court's decision. In February 2013, the Tenth Circuit referred the litigation to the Tenth Circuit Mediation Office, which has authority to require the parties to attend mediation conferences to informally resolve issues in the pending appeals.

In February 2013, the State of New Mexico, the EPA, and PNM signed a non-binding agreement that outlines an alternative to the FIP. The terms of the agreement include: the retirement of San Juan Units 2 and 3 by December 31, 2017; the replacement by PNM of those units with non-coal generation sources; and the installation of Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction technology (SNCR) on San Juan Units 1 and 4 by January 2016 or later depending on the timing of EPA approvals. TEP estimates its share of the cost to install SNCR technology on San Juan Unit 1 would be approximately \$25 million. TEP's share of incremental annual operating costs for SNCR is estimated at \$1 million. TEP owns 340 MW or 50%, of San Juan Units 1 and 2. At June 30, 2013, the book value of TEP's share of San Juan Units 1 and 2 was \$214 million. If Unit 2 is retired early, we expect to request ACC approval to recover, over a reasonable time period, all costs associated with the early closure of the unit. We are evaluating various replacement resources. Any decision regarding early closure and replacement resources will require various actions by third parties as well as UNS Energy board and regulatory approvals. TEP cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter.

Four Corners

In August 2012, the EPA finalized the regional haze FIP for Four Corners. The final FIP requires SCR technology to be installed on all five units by 2017. However, the FIP also includes an alternative plan that allows APS to close their wholly-owned Units 1, 2, and 3 and install SCR technology on Units 4 and 5. This option allows the installation of SCR technology to be delayed until July 2018. In July 2013, EPA proposed to extend the date that the plant operator must select which FIP alternative to implement to December 31, 2013. In either case, TEP's estimated share of the capital costs to install SCR technology on Units 4 and 5 is approximately \$35 million. TEP's share of incremental annual operating costs for SCR is estimated at \$2 million.

Springerville

Provisions of the Regional Haze Rules requiring emission control upgrades do not apply to Springerville currently and are not likely to impact Springerville operations until after 2018.

Sundt

In July 2013, the EPA rejected the Arizona state implementation plan determination that Sundt Unit 4 is not subject to the BART provisions of the Regional Haze Rule. Under the Regional Haze Rule, Sundt Unit 4 will be required to reduce certain emissions within five years of the final EPA BART determination. The EPA postponed its expected release of a proposed BART requirement for Sundt Unit 4 until September 2013, with a final determination expected in February 2014.

Greenhouse Gas Regulation

On June 25, 2013, President Obama directed the EPA to move forward with regulations to limit carbon emissions from new and existing fossil fueled power plants. Specifically, the President directed the EPA to issue a re-proposed rule for new power plants by September 20, 2013. UNS Energy does not anticipate that a final rule related to new fossil-fueled power plant sources will have a significant impact on operations.

Additionally, the President ordered the EPA to:

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

propose carbon emission standards for existing power plants by June 1, 2014;
 finalize those standards by June 1, 2015; and
 require states to submit their implementation plans to meet the standards by June 30, 2016.

UNS Energy will continue to work with regulatory agencies (both federal and state) to promote compliance flexibility in the rules impacting existing fossil-fuel fired power plants. We cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

NOTE 5. DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES

We summarize below the significant changes to our debt from those reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TEP TAX-EXEMPT BONDS ISSUED

In March 2013, the Industrial Development Authority of Pima County, Arizona issued approximately \$91 million of unsecured tax-exempt industrial development bonds on behalf of TEP. The bonds bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.0%, mature in September 2029, and may be redeemed at par on or after March 1, 2023. The proceeds from the sale of the bonds, together with \$0.5 million accrued interest provided by TEP, were deposited with a trustee to retire approximately \$91 million of 6.375% unsecured tax-exempt bonds in April 2013. TEP's payment of accrued interest was the only cash flow activity since proceeds from the newly-issued bonds were not received nor disbursed by TEP. TEP capitalized approximately \$1 million in costs related to the issuance of the bonds and will amortize the costs to Interest Expense – Long-Term Debt in the income statement through September 2029, the term of the bonds.

UNS ENERGY'S AND TEP'S CREDIT RATING UPGRADES

In June 2013, as a result of an upgrade in the senior secured rating of UNS Energy and a senior unsecured rating of TEP, the following agreements, with pricing tied to credit ratings for short-term borrowings, changed:

• Under the UNS Credit Agreement, the interest rate decreased from London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.75% to LIBOR plus 1.5%;

• Under the TEP Credit Agreement, the interest rate decreased from LIBOR plus 1.125% to LIBOR plus 1.0% ; and the margin rate on the \$186 million letter of credit facility decreased from 1.125% to 1.0% ; and

• Under the 2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement, fees payable on outstanding letters of credit decreased from 1.5% to 1.25% per annum.

COVENANT COMPLIANCE

At June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the terms of our credit agreements, UNS Electric's term loan, and TEP's reimbursement agreement.

NOTE 6. INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the United States statutory federal income tax rate of 35% to pre-tax income due to the following:

| | UNS Energy | | TEP | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|------|------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |
| Federal Income Tax Expense at Statutory Rate | \$14 | \$15 | \$12 | \$13 |
| State Income Tax Expense, Net of Federal Deduction | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Investment Tax Credit Basis Difference | (11 |) — | (11 |) — |
| Other | (1 |) — | (1 |) — |
| Total Federal and State Income Tax Expense | \$4 | \$17 | \$2 | \$14 |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

| | UNS Energy | | TEP | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | | |
| Federal Income Tax Expense at Statutory Rate | \$ 20 | \$ 19 | \$ 12 | \$ 11 | |
| State Income Tax Expense, Net of Federal Deduction | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Cash Surrender Value of Life Insurance | — | (1 |) — | (1 |) |
| Investment Tax Credit Basis Difference | (11 |) — | (11 |) — | |
| Other | (1 |) — | — | — | |
| Total Federal and State Income Tax Expense | \$ 11 | \$ 20 | \$ 3 | \$ 12 | |

Investment Tax Credit Basis Difference Adjustment

We reduce the income tax basis of the qualifying asset by half of the related investment tax credit. Historically, the difference between the income tax basis of the asset and the book basis under GAAP was recorded as a deferred tax liability with an offsetting charge to income tax expense in the year the qualifying asset was placed in service. In June 2013, we recorded a regulatory asset and corresponding reduction of income tax expense of \$11 million to recover previously recorded income tax expense through future rates as a result of the 2013 TEP Rate Order. The regulatory asset will be amortized as income tax expense as the qualifying assets are depreciated.

Uncertain Tax Positions

We recognize tax benefits from uncertain tax positions if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities. Each uncertain tax position is recognized up to the amount most likely to be sustained on examination and adjusted with changes in facts and circumstances. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of unrecognized tax benefits follows:

| | UNS Energy | TEP | |
|--|---------------------|-------|---|
| | Millions of Dollars | | |
| Unrecognized Tax Benefits at December 31, 2012 | \$ 30 | \$ 23 | |
| Additions Based on Tax Positions Taken in the Current Year | 1 | 1 | |
| Reduction of Positions from Prior Year Based on Tax Authority Ruling | (28 |) (22 |) |
| Unrecognized Tax Benefits at June 30, 2013 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | |

In February 2013, we received a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) allowing us to deduct up-front incentive payments to customers who install renewable energy resources. These customers transfer environmental attributes or RECs associated with their renewable installations to us over the expected life of the contract for an up-front incentive payment based on the generating capacity of their installation. As a result of the IRS ruling in the first quarter of 2013, UNS Energy reduced unrecognized tax benefits by \$28 million, and TEP reduced unrecognized tax benefits by \$22 million. The changes in tax benefits primarily affected the balance sheets. The IRS completed its audit of the 2009 and 2010 tax returns in March 2013 resulting in no change to the financial statements.

In April 2013, the IRS provided notice of intent to audit the 2011 tax returns.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

NOTE 7. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

UNS Energy's net periodic benefit plan cost, comprised primarily of TEP's cost, includes the following components:

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Retiree Benefits | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------------------------|------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | 2013 | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |
| Service Cost | \$3 | \$2 | \$1 | \$1 |
| Interest Cost | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Expected Return on Plan Assets | (5 |) (4 |) — | — |
| Actuarial Loss Amortization | 2 | 2 | — | — |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | \$4 | \$4 | \$2 | \$2 |

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Retiree Benefits | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------|------------------------|------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | 2013 | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |
| Service Cost | \$6 | \$5 | \$2 | \$1 |
| Interest Cost | 8 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| Expected Return on Plan Assets | (10 |) (9 |) — | — |
| Actuarial Loss Amortization | 4 | 4 | — | — |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | \$8 | \$8 | \$3 | \$3 |

NOTE 8. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS

In May 2013, the UNS Energy Compensation Committee granted 8,870 restricted stock units to non-employee directors at a grant date fair value of \$48.99 per share. We recognize compensation expense equal to the fair value on the grant date over the one-year vesting period. The grant date fair value was calculated by reducing the grant date share price by the present value of the dividends expected to be paid on the shares during the vesting period. Fully vested but undistributed non-employee director stock unit awards accrue dividend equivalent stock units based on the fair market value of common shares on the date the dividend is paid. We issue UNS Energy Common Stock (Common Stock) for the vested stock units in the January following the year the person is no longer a director.

In February 2013, the UNS Energy Compensation Committee granted 21,560 restricted stock units to certain management employees at a grant date fair value, based on the grant date share price, of \$46.23 per share. The restricted stock units vest on the third anniversary of grant and are distributed in shares of Common Stock upon vesting. We recognize compensation expense equal to the fair value on the grant date over the vesting period. These restricted stock units accrue dividend equivalents, during the vesting period, which are distributed in shares of Common Stock upon vesting.

PERFORMANCE SHARES

In February 2013, the UNS Energy Compensation Committee granted 43,120 performance share awards to certain management employees. Half of the performance share awards had a grant date fair value, based on a Monte Carlo simulation, of \$45.54 per share. Those awards will be paid out in Common Stock based on a comparison of UNS Energy's cumulative Total Shareholder Return to the companies included in the Edison Electric Institute Index during the performance period of January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015. We recognize compensation expense equal to

the fair value on the grant date over the vesting period if the requisite service period is fulfilled, whether or not the threshold is achieved. The remaining half had a grant date fair value, based on the grant date share price, of \$46.23 per share and will be paid out in Common Stock based on cumulative

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

net income for the three-year period ended December 31, 2015. We recognize compensation expense equal to the fair value on the grant date over the requisite service period only for the awards that ultimately vest. The performance shares vest based on the achievement of these goals by the end of the performance period; any unearned awards are forfeited. Performance shares accrue dividend equivalents, during the performance period, which are paid upon vesting.

SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE

UNS Energy and TEP recorded less than \$1 million of share-based compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, UNS Energy and TEP recorded share-based compensation expense of \$1 million .

At June 30, 2013, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation was \$5 million, which will be recorded as compensation expense over the remaining vesting periods through February 2016. At June 30, 2013, 1 million shares were awarded but not yet issued, including target performance based shares, under the share-based compensation plans.

NOTE 9. UNS ENERGY EARNINGS PER SHARE

We compute basic Earnings Per Share (EPS) by dividing Net Income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could result if outstanding stock options, share-based compensation awards, or UNS Energy's Convertible Senior Notes were exercised or converted into Common Stock. We excluded anti-dilutive stock options from the calculation of diluted EPS. The numerator in calculating diluted EPS is Net Income adjusted for the interest on Convertible Senior Notes (net of tax) that would not be paid if the remaining notes, not yet converted, were converted to Common Stock.

The following table illustrates the effect of dilutive securities on net income and weighted average Common Stock outstanding:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Thousands of Dollars | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net Income | \$34,618 | \$26,273 | \$45,963 | \$32,749 |
| Income from Assumed Conversion of Convertible Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾ | — | 237 | — | 1,100 |
| Adjusted Net Income Available for Diluted Common Stock Outstanding | \$34,618 | \$26,510 | \$45,963 | \$33,849 |
| | | | | |
| | Thousands of Shares | | | |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted Average Shares of Common Stock Outstanding: | | | | |
| Common Shares Issued | 41,427 | 40,322 | 41,404 | 39,107 |
| Fully Vested Deferred Stock Units | 171 | 149 | 165 | 144 |
| Total Weighted Average Common Stock Outstanding – Basic | 41,598 | 40,471 | 41,569 | 39,251 |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities: | | | | |
| Convertible Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾ | — | 909 | — | 2,125 |
| Options and Stock Issuable Under Share-Based Compensation Plans | 323 | 250 | 329 | 270 |
| Total Weighted Average Common Stock Outstanding – Diluted | 41,921 | 41,630 | 41,898 | 41,646 |

⁽¹⁾ In 2012, the Convertible Senior Notes were converted to Common Stock or redeemed for cash.

27

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

We excluded the following outstanding stock options, with an exercise price above market, from our diluted EPS computation as their effect would be anti-dilutive:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Thousands of Shares | | | |
| Stock Options | — | 101 | — | 101 |

NOTE 10. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

A reconciliation of Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities follows:

| | UNS Energy | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | 2012 |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Thousands of Dollars | |
| Net Income | \$45,963 | \$32,749 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income | | |
| To Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Depreciation Expense | 72,970 | 70,174 |
| Amortization Expense | 16,408 | 17,776 |
| Depreciation and Amortization Recorded to Fuel and Operations and Maintenance Expense | 3,516 | 3,234 |
| Amortization of Deferred Debt-Related Costs Included in Interest Expense | 1,515 | 1,545 |
| Provision for Retail Customer Bad Debts | 936 | 1,571 |
| Use of RECs for Compliance | 8,106 | 3,055 |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 36,644 | 17,397 |
| Investment Tax Credit Basis Adjustment - Creation of Regulatory Asset | (11,039) |) — |
| Pension and Retiree Expense | 11,391 | 10,927 |
| Pension and Retiree Funding | (8,924) |) (10,957 |
| Share-Based Compensation Expense | 1,390 | 1,170 |
| Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction | (2,463) |) (1,920 |
| Increase (Decrease) to Reflect PPFAC/PGA Recovery | (3,294) |) 11,654 |
| PPFAC Reduction - 2013 TEP Rate Order | 3,000 | — |
| Changes in Assets and Liabilities which Provided (Used) | | |
| Cash Exclusive of Changes Shown Separately | | |
| Accounts Receivable | (20,706) |) (19,547 |
| Materials and Fuel Inventory | 8,777 | (21,597 |
| Accounts Payable | (9,576) |) (2,594 |
| Income Taxes | (15,980) |) 2,062 |
| Interest Accrued | (6,885) |) (7,839 |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 490 | 952 |
| Other | 15,413 | 2,732 |
| Net Cash Flows – Operating Activities | \$147,652 | \$112,544 |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

| | TEP | |
|---|---------------------------|------------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Thousands of Dollars | |
| Net Income | \$32,266 | \$20,449 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income | | |
| To Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Depreciation Expense | 57,418 | 55,012 |
| Amortization Expense | 18,275 | 19,620 |
| Depreciation and Amortization Recorded to Fuel and Operations and Maintenance Expense | 2,987 | 2,506 |
| Amortization of Deferred Debt-Related Costs Included in Interest Expense | 1,216 | 1,072 |
| Provision for Retail Customer Bad Debts | 711 | 1,104 |
| Use of RECs for Compliance | 7,414 | 2,622 |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 24,883 | 10,810 |
| Investment Tax Credit Basis Adjustment - Creation of Regulatory Asset | (10,751) |) — |
| Pension and Retiree Expense | 9,939 | 9,644 |
| Pension and Retiree Funding | (8,493) |) (9,856) |
| Share-Based Compensation Expense | 1,108 | 923 |
| Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction | (1,763) |) (1,646) |
| Increase (Decrease) to Reflect PPFAC Recovery | 2,914 | 5,125 |
| PPFAC Reduction - 2013 TEP Rate Order | 3,000 | — |
| Changes in Assets and Liabilities which Provided (Used) | | |
| Cash Exclusive of Changes Shown Separately | | |
| Accounts Receivable | (30,452) |) (34,287) |
| Materials and Fuel Inventory | 8,923 | (21,189) |
| Accounts Payable | (11) |) 7,686 |
| Income Taxes | (10,798) |) 1,769 |
| Interest Accrued | (6,886) |) (6,805) |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 2,295 | 3,454 |
| Other | 11,347 | (1,507) |
| Net Cash Flows – Operating Activities | \$115,542 | \$66,506 |
| Non-Cash Transactions | | |

In March 2013, TEP issued \$91 million of tax-exempt bonds and used the proceeds to redeem debt using a trustee. Since the cash flowed through a trust account, the issuance and redemption of debt resulted in a non-cash transaction. See Note 5.

In the first six months of 2012, UNS Energy converted \$147 million of the previously outstanding \$150 million Convertible Senior Notes into Common Stock, resulting in non-cash transactions.

In the first six months of 2012, TEP's redemption of \$193 million of tax-exempt bonds resulted in non-cash transactions.

NOTE 11. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

We categorize our assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value into the three-level hierarchy based on inputs used to determine the fair value. Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in non-active markets, and pricing models whose inputs are observable. Level 3 inputs are unobservable and supported by little or no market activity.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS NOT CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE

The fair value of a financial instrument is the market price to sell an asset or transfer a liability at the measurement date. We use the following methods and assumptions for estimating the fair value of our financial instruments:

The carrying amounts of our current assets, current liabilities, including current maturities of long-term debt, and amounts outstanding under our credit agreements approximate the fair values due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments. These items have been excluded from the table below.

For Investment in Lease Debt, we calculate the present value of remaining cash flows using current market rates for instruments with similar characteristics such as credit rating and time-to-maturity. We also incorporate the impact of counterparty credit risk using market credit default swap data.

For Investment in Lease Equity, we estimate the price at which an investor would realize a target internal rate of return. Our estimates include: the mix of debt and equity an investor would use to finance the purchase; the cost of debt; the required return on equity; and income tax rates. The estimate assumes a residual value based on an appraisal of Springerville Unit 1 conducted in 2011.

For Long-Term Debt, we use quoted market prices, when available, or calculate the present value of remaining cash flows at the balance sheet date. When calculating present value, we use current market rates for bonds with similar characteristics such as credit rating and time-to-maturity. We consider the principal amounts of variable rate debt outstanding to be reasonable estimates of the fair value. We also incorporate the impact of our own credit risk using a credit default swap rate.

The use of different estimation methods and/or market assumptions may yield different estimated fair value amounts. The carrying values recorded on the balance sheets and the estimated fair values of our financial instruments include the following:

| | Fair Value Hierarchy | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| TEP Investment in Lease Debt | Level 2 | \$— | \$— | \$9 | \$9 |
| TEP Investment in Lease Equity | Level 3 | 36 | 24 | 36 | 23 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Long-Term Debt | | | | | |
| UNS Energy | Level 2 | 1,523 | 1,572 | 1,498 | 1,583 |
| TEP | Level 2 | 1,224 | 1,245 | 1,223 | 1,271 |

TEP's Investment in Lease Debt matured in January 2013. This investment was stated at amortized cost, which means the purchase cost was adjusted for the amortization of the premium and discount to maturity.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE ON A RECURRING BASIS

The following tables present, by level within the fair value hierarchy, UNS Energy's and TEP's assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis. These assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. There were no transfers between Levels 1, 2, or 3 for either reporting period.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

| | UNS Energy | | | | Counterparty Netting of Energy Contracts Not Offset on the Balance Sheets ⁽⁵⁾ | Net Amount | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|---------|--|---------------|---|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| June 30, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Cash Equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$15 | \$15 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$15 | |
| Restricted Cash ⁽¹⁾ | 2 | 2 | — | — | — | 2 | |
| Rabbi Trust Investments ⁽²⁾ | 20 | — | 20 | — | — | 20 | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | 5 | — | 2 | 3 | (3 |) 2 | |
| Total Assets | 42 | 17 | 22 | 3 | (3 |) 39 | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | (12 |) — | (6 |) (6 |) 3 | (9 |) |
| Energy Contracts - Cash Flow Hedge ⁽³⁾ | (2 |) — | — | (2 |) — | (2 |) |
| Interest Rate Swaps ⁽⁴⁾ | (9 |) — | (9 |) — | — | (9 |) |
| Total Liabilities | (23 |) — | (15 |) (8 |) 3 | (20 |) |
| Net Total Assets (Liabilities) | \$19 | \$17 | \$7 | \$(5 |) \$— | \$19 | |

| | UNS Energy | | | | Counterparty Netting of Energy Contracts Not Offset on the Balance Sheets ⁽⁵⁾ | Net Amount | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|---------|--|---------------|---|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Cash Equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$20 | \$20 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$20 | |
| Restricted Cash ⁽¹⁾ | 7 | 7 | — | — | — | 7 | |
| Rabbi Trust Investments ⁽²⁾ | 19 | — | 19 | — | — | 19 | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | 7 | — | 2 | 5 | (5 |) 2 | |
| Total Assets | 53 | 27 | 21 | 5 | (5 |) 48 | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | (15 |) — | (7 |) (8 |) 5 | (10 |) |
| Energy Contracts - Cash Flow Hedge ⁽³⁾ | (2 |) — | — | (2 |) — | (2 |) |
| Interest Rate Swaps ⁽⁴⁾ | (10 |) — | (10 |) — | — | (10 |) |
| Total Liabilities | (27 |) — | (17 |) (10 |) 5 | (22 |) |
| Net Total Assets (Liabilities) | \$26 | \$27 | \$4 | \$(5 |) \$— | \$26 | |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

| | TEP | | | | Counterparty Netting of Energy Contracts Not Offset on the Balance Sheets ⁽⁵⁾ | Net Amount | |
|--|-------|---------|---------|---------|--|---------------|---|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| June 30, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Cash Equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | |
| Restricted Cash ⁽¹⁾ | 2 | 2 | — | — | — | 2 | |
| Rabbi Trust Investments ⁽²⁾ | 20 | — | 20 | — | — | 20 | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | 3 | — | 1 | 2 | (1 |) 2 | |
| Total Assets | 25 | 2 | 21 | 2 | (1 |) 24 | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | (3 |) — | (2 |) (1 |) 1 | (2 |) |
| Energy Contracts - Cash Flow Hedge ⁽³⁾ | (2 |) — | — | (2 |) — | (2 |) |
| Interest Rate Swaps ⁽⁴⁾ | (9 |) — | (9 |) — | — | (9 |) |
| Total Liabilities | (14 |) — | (11 |) (3 |) 1 | (13 |) |
| Net Total Assets (Liabilities) | \$11 | \$2 | \$10 | \$(1 |) \$— | \$11 | |

| | TEP | | | | Counterparty Netting of Energy Contracts Not Offset on the Balance Sheets ⁽⁵⁾ | Net Amount | |
|--|-------|---------|---------|---------|--|---------------|---|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Cash Equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$1 | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$1 | |
| Restricted Cash ⁽¹⁾ | 7 | 7 | — | — | — | 7 | |
| Rabbi Trust Investments ⁽²⁾ | 19 | — | 19 | — | — | 19 | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | 3 | — | 1 | 2 | (1 |) 2 | |
| Total Assets | 30 | 8 | 20 | 2 | (1 |) 29 | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Energy Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽³⁾ | (3 |) — | (3 |) — | 1 | (2 |) |
| Energy Contracts - Cash Flow Hedge ⁽³⁾ | (2 |) — | — | (2 |) — | (2 |) |
| Interest Rate Swaps ⁽⁴⁾ | (10 |) — | (10 |) — | — | (10 |) |
| Total Liabilities | (15 |) — | (13 |) (2 |) 1 | (14 |) |
| Net Total Assets (Liabilities) | \$15 | \$8 | \$7 | \$— | \$— | \$15 | |

- Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash represent amounts held in money market funds and certificates of deposit
- (1) valued at cost, including interest. Cash Equivalents are included in Cash and Cash Equivalents on the balance sheets. Restricted Cash is included in Investments and Other Property—Other on the balance sheets. Rabbi Trust Investments include amounts related to deferred compensation and Supplement Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) benefits held in mutual and money market funds valued at quoted prices traded in active markets. These investments are included in Investments and Other Property – Other on the balance sheets.
 - (2) Energy Contracts include gas swap agreements (Level 2), gas and power options (Level 3), forward power purchase and sales contracts (Level 3), and forward power purchase contracts indexed to gas (Level 3), entered into
 - (3) to reduce exposure to energy price risk. These contracts are included in Derivative Instruments and Other Assets on the UNS Energy balance sheets and Current Assets - Other, Other Assets, and Derivative Instruments on the TEP balance sheets. The valuation techniques are described below.
 - (4) Interest Rate Swaps are valued based on the 3-month or 6-month LIBOR index or the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association municipal swap index. These interest rate swaps are included in Derivative Instruments on the balance sheets.
 - (5) All energy contracts are subject to legally enforceable master netting arrangements. We have presented the effect of offset by counterparty; however, we present derivatives on a gross basis on the balance sheets.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Regulatory Recovery

We are exposed to energy price risk associated with our gas and purchased power requirements. We reduce our energy price risk through a variety of derivative and non-derivative instruments. The objectives for entering into such contracts include: creating price stability; meeting load and reserve requirements; and reducing exposure to price volatility that may result from delayed recovery under the PPFAC or Purchased Gas Adjustor (PGA). See Note 2. We primarily apply the market approach for recurring fair value measurements. When we have observable inputs for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, such as gas swap derivatives valued using New York Mercantile Exchange pricing, adjusted for basis differences, we categorize the instrument in Level 2. We categorize derivatives in Level 3 when we use an aggregate pricing service or published prices that represent a consensus reporting of multiple brokers.

For both power and gas prices we obtain quotes from brokers, major market participants, exchanges, or industry publications and rely on our own price experience from active transactions in the market. We primarily use one set of quotations each for power and for gas and then validate those prices using other sources. We believe that the market information provided is reflective of market conditions as of the time and date indicated.

Published prices for energy derivative contracts may not be available due to the nature of contract delivery terms such as non-standard time blocks and non-standard delivery points. In these cases, we apply adjustments based on historical price curve relationships, transmission, and line losses.

We estimate the fair value of our options using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model which includes inputs such as implied volatility, correlations, interest rates, and forward price curves.

We also consider the impact of counterparty credit risk using current and historical default and recovery rates, as well as our own credit risk using credit default swap data.

Our assessments of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurements require judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. We review the assumptions underlying our contracts monthly.

Cash Flow Hedges

We enter into interest rate swaps to mitigate the exposure to volatility in variable interest rates on debt. These swap agreements expire through January 2020. We also have a power purchase swap to hedge the cash flow risk associated with a long-term power supply agreement. This swap agreement expires in September 2015. The after-tax unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedge activities and amounts reclassified to earnings are reported in the statements of other comprehensive income and Note 12. The amount expected to be reclassified to earnings within the next twelve months is estimated to be \$4 million.

Financial Impact of Energy Contracts

We record unrealized gains and losses on energy contracts that are recoverable through the PPFAC or PGA on the balance sheets as a regulatory asset or a regulatory liability rather than reporting the transaction in the income statements or in the statements of other comprehensive income, as shown in following table:

| | UNS Energy | | TEP | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |
| Increase (Decrease) to Regulatory Assets/Liabilities | \$9 | \$(17) |) \$3 | \$(6) |
| | UNS Energy | | TEP | |
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |

Edgar Filing: UNS Energy Corp - Form 10-Q

Increase (Decrease) to Regulatory Assets/Liabilities \$— \$(9) \$1 \$(1)

Realized gains and losses on settled contracts are fully recoverable through the PPFAC or PGA. At June 30, 2013, UNS Energy and TEP have energy contracts that will settle through the second quarter of 2016.

33

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

Derivative Volumes

The volumes associated with our energy contracts were as follows:

| | UNS Energy | | TEP | |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Power Contracts GWh | 2,231 | 2,228 | 909 | 820 |
| Gas Contracts GBtu | 20,289 | 17,851 | 8,090 | 7,958 |

Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The following table provides quantitative information regarding significant unobservable inputs in UNS Energy's Level 3 fair value measurements:

| | Valuation Approach | Fair Value at June 30, 2013 | | Unobservable Inputs | Range of Unobservable Input | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----|---------|
| | | Assets | Liabilities | | | | |
| Millions of Dollars | | | | | | | |
| Forward Contracts ⁽¹⁾ | Market approach | \$2 | \$(8) | Market price per MWh | \$24.00 | - | \$53.25 |
| Option Contracts ⁽²⁾ | Option model | 1 | — | Market Price per MWh | \$34.00 | - | \$44.75 |
| | | | | Power Volatility | 29.75 | %- | 77.2 % |
| | | | | Market Price per MMBtu | \$3.38 | - | \$3.65 |
| | | | | Gas Volatility | 29.69 | %- | 32.37 % |
| Level 3 Energy Contracts | | \$3 | \$(8) | | | | |

(1) TEP comprises \$1 million of the forward contract assets and \$3 million of the forward contract liabilities.

(2) All of the option contracts relate to TEP.

Our exposure to risk resulting from changes in the unobservable inputs identified above is mitigated as we report the change in fair value of energy contract derivatives as a regulatory asset or a regulatory liability recoverable through the PPFAC or PGA mechanisms, or as a component of other comprehensive income, rather than in the income statement.

The following tables present a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|
| | UNS Energy | TEP |
| Millions of Dollars | | |
| Balances at March 31, 2013 | \$(3) | \$(1) |
| Realized/Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Recorded to: | | |
| Net Regulatory Assets/Liabilities – Derivative Instruments | (2) | — |
| Settlements | — | — |
| Balances at June 30, 2013 | \$(5) | \$(1) |
| Total Gains/(Losses) Attributable to the Change in Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Relating to Assets/Liabilities Still Held at the End of the Period | \$(4) | \$— |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|
| | UNS Energy | TEP |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Balances at December 31, 2012 | (5 |) — |
| Realized/Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Recorded to: | | |
| Net Regulatory Assets/Liabilities – Derivative Instruments | (2 |) (1 |
| Settlements | 2 | — |
| Balances at June 30, 2013 | (5 |) (1 |
| Total Gains/(Losses) Attributable to the Change in Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Relating to Assets/Liabilities Still Held at the End of the Period | (3 |) (1 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|
| | UNS Energy | TEP |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Balances at March 31, 2012 | \$(13 |) \$— |
| Realized/Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Recorded to: | | |
| Net Regulatory Assets/Liabilities – Derivative Instruments | 2 | (1 |
| Settlements | 4 | — |
| Balances at June 30, 2012 | \$(7 |) \$(1 |
| Total Gains/(Losses) Attributable to the Change in Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Relating to Assets/Liabilities Still Held at the End of the Period | \$2 | \$— |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|
| | UNS Energy | TEP |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Balances at December 31, 2011 | \$(10 |) \$— |
| Realized/Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Recorded to: | | |
| Net Regulatory Assets/Liabilities – Derivative Instruments | (4 |) — |
| Settlements | 7 | (1 |
| Balances at June 30, 2012 | \$(7 |) \$(1 |
| Total Gains/(Losses) Attributable to the Change in Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Relating to Assets/Liabilities Still Held at the End of the Period | \$(1 |) \$— |

CREDIT RISK

We consider the effect of counterparty credit risk in determining the fair value of derivative instruments that are in a net asset position after incorporating collateral posted by counterparties and allocate the credit risk adjustment to individual contracts. We also consider the impact of our own credit risk after considering collateral posted on instruments that are in a net liability position and allocate the credit risk adjustment to all individual contracts. The impact of counterparty credit risk and our own credit risk on the fair value of derivative contracts was less than \$0.1 million at June 30, 2013 and at December 31, 2012.

At June 30, 2013, the fair value of derivative instruments in a net liability position under contracts with credit risk-related contingent features was \$30 million for UNS Energy and \$13 million for TEP. The additional collateral to be posted if credit-risk contingent features were triggered would be \$30 million for UNS Energy and \$13 million for TEP.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) - Unaudited

NOTE 12. CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME BY COMPONENT

The realized changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component are as follows:

| Details About Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components | Amount Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income | | Affected Line Item in the Income Statement |
|--|---|---------|--|
| | UNS Energy | TEP | |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Thousands of Dollars | | | |
| Realized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps - Debt | \$(346) | \$(293) | Interest Expense Long-Term Debt |
| Interest Rate Swaps - Capital Leases | (604) | (604) | Interest Expense Capital Leases |
| Commodity Contracts | (191) | (191) | Purchased Energy/Purchased Power |
| Tax Benefit | 451 | 429 | |
| Realized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges, Net of Taxes | (690) | (659) | |
| Amortization of SERP and Defined Benefit Plans | | | |
| Prior Service Costs | (111) | (111) | Other Expense |
| Tax Benefit | 43 | 43 | |
| Amortization, Net of Taxes | (68) | (68) | |
| Total Reclassifications from Other Comprehensive Income for the Period | \$(758) | \$(727) | |
| Details About Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components | Amount Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income | | Affected Line Item in the Income Statement |
| | UNS Energy | TEP | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Thousands of Dollars | | | |
| Realized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps - Debt | \$(676) | \$(575) | Interest Expense Long-Term Debt |
| Interest Rate Swaps - Capital Leases | (1,208) | (1,208) | Interest Expense Capital Leases |
| Commodity Contracts | (191) | (191) | Purchased Energy/Purchased Power |
| Tax Benefit | 820 | 781 | |
| Realized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges, Net of Taxes | (1,255) | (1,193) | |
| Amortization of SERP and Defined Benefit Plans | | | |
| Prior Service Costs | (222) | (222) | Other Expense |

Edgar Filing: UNS Energy Corp - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|---|
| Tax Benefit | 85 | 85 | |
| Amortization, Net of Taxes | (137 |) (137 |) |
| Total Reclassifications from Other | | | |
| Comprehensive Income for the Period | \$(1,392 |) \$(1,330 |) |

36

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded) - Unaudited

NOTE 13. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENT

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued authoritative guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of certain obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date. Upon implementation entities will continue reporting their obligations under joint and several arrangements. In addition, the entity must measure, recognize, and disclose in the financial statements amounts they expect to pay on behalf of co-obligors for fixed obligations as of the balance sheet date. This guidance will be effective in the first quarter of 2014. We are evaluating the impact to our financial statements and disclosures.

NOTE 14. REVIEW BY INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The UNS Energy and TEP condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2013, and for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, have been reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. Their reports (dated July 30, 2013) are included on pages 1 and 2. The reports of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP state that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their reports on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 for their reports on the unaudited financial information because neither of those reports is a “report” or a “part” of the registration statements prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Securities Act of 1933.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. – MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management’s Discussion and Analysis explains the results of operations, the general financial condition, and the outlook for UNS Energy and its three primary business segments. It includes the following:

- outlook and strategies;
- operating results during the second quarter and first six months of 2013, compared with the same periods in 2012;
- factors affecting our results and outlook;
- liquidity, capital needs, capital resources, and contractual obligations;
- dividends; and
- critical accounting estimates.

Management’s Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with (i) UNS Energy’s and TEP’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K and (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements that begin on page three of this document. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements present the results of operations for the three and six months periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Management’s Discussion and Analysis explains the differences between periods for specific line items of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION

OVERVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED BUSINESS

UNS Energy is a utility services holding company engaged, through its primary subsidiaries, in the electric generation and energy delivery business. Each of UNS Energy’s subsidiaries is a separate legal entity with its own assets and liabilities. UNS Energy owns 100% of TEP and UniSource Energy Services, Inc.

TEP is a regulated public utility and UNS Energy’s largest operating subsidiary, representing approximately 83% of UNS Energy’s total assets as of June 30, 2013. TEP generates, transmits, and distributes electricity to approximately 408,000 retail electric customers in a 1,155 square mile area in southeastern Arizona. TEP also sells electricity to other utilities and power marketing entities, located primarily in the western United States. In addition, TEP operates Springerville Generating Station (Springerville) Unit 3 on behalf of Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State) and Springerville Unit 4 on behalf of Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP).

UES holds the common stock of two regulated public utilities, UNS Gas, Inc. (UNS Gas) and UNS Electric, Inc. (UNS Electric). UNS Gas is a regulated gas distribution company, which services approximately 148,000 retail customers in Mohave, Yavapai, Coconino, and Navajo counties in northern Arizona, as well as in Santa Cruz County in southern Arizona. UNS Electric is a regulated public utility, which generates, transmits, and distributes electricity to approximately 93,000 retail customers in Mohave and Santa Cruz counties.

UNS Energy’s non-reportable business segments include Millennium Energy Holdings, Inc. (Millennium) and UniSource Energy Development (UED). UED’s and Millennium’s investments in unregulated businesses represent less than 1% of UNS Energy’s assets as of June 30, 2013.

References to “we” and “our” are to UNS Energy and its subsidiaries, collectively.

OUTLOOK AND STRATEGIES

Our financial prospects and outlook are affected by many factors including: national, regional, and local economic conditions; volatility in the financial markets; environmental laws and regulations; and other regulatory factors. Our plans and strategies include the following:

- Developing strategic responses to the Arizona Corporation Commission’s inquiry on opening Arizona to retail electric competition that protect the financial stability of our utility businesses and provide benefits to our customers.

Developing a long-term diversification strategy for our generating portfolio. We are evaluating several energy resource options including coal, natural gas, and renewable generating resources. The focus of our resource strategy is to provide long-term rate stability for our customers, mitigate environmental impacts, comply with regulatory requirements, and leverage our existing utility infrastructure.

Table of Contents

Developing strategic responses to new environmental regulations and potential new legislation, including potential limits on greenhouse gas emissions. We are evaluating TEP's existing mix of generation resources and defining steps to achieve environmental objectives that protect the financial stability of our utility businesses.

Focusing on our core utility businesses through operational excellence, investing in utility rate base, emphasizing customer service, and maintaining a strong community presence.

Strengthening the underlying financial condition of our utility subsidiaries by achieving constructive regulatory outcomes, improving our capital structure and our credit ratings, and promoting economic development in our service territories.

Expanding TEP's and UNS Electric's portfolio of renewable energy resources and programs to meet Arizona's Renewable Energy Standard (RES) while creating ownership opportunities for renewable energy projects that benefit customers, shareholders, and the communities we serve.

Developing strategic responses to Arizona's Energy Efficiency Standards that protect the financial stability of our utility businesses and provide benefits to our customers.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Contribution by Business Segment

The table below shows the contributions to our consolidated after-tax earnings by business segment:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |
| TEP | \$31 | \$22 | \$32 | \$20 |
| UNS Gas | — | — | 8 | 5 |
| UNS Electric | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| Other Non-Reportable Segments and Adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | — | — | — | 1 |
| Consolidated Net Income | \$35 | \$26 | \$46 | \$33 |

(1) Includes: UNS Energy parent company expenses; Millennium; UED; and intercompany eliminations.

Executive Overview

Second Quarter of 2013 Compared with the Second Quarter of 2012

TEP reported net income of \$31 million in the second quarter of 2013 compared with net income of \$22 million in the second quarter of 2012. The increase in net income is due in part to: a \$1 million increase in the margin on long-term wholesale sales due to higher market prices for wholesale power; a \$1 million decrease in interest expense due in part to a decline in capital lease obligation balances; partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in retail margin revenues; and a \$1 million increase in Base O&M due in part to unplanned maintenance on TEP's generating facilities.

Additionally, TEP's net income in the second quarter of 2013 includes an income tax benefit of \$11 million. In June 2013, we recorded a regulatory asset and corresponding reduction of income tax expense of \$11 million to recover previously recorded income tax expense through future rates as a result of the 2013 TEP Rate Order. The regulatory asset will be amortized as income tax expense as the qualifying assets are depreciated. See Note 6. TEP's second quarter 2013 results also include additional fuel expense of \$3 million related to a one-time credit to customers resulting from the 2013 TEP Rate Order. See Tucson Electric Power Company, Results of Operations, for more information.

UNS Gas

UNS Gas reported no net income or net loss in the second quarters of 2013 and 2012. See UNS Gas, Results of Operations, for more information.

Table of Contents

UNS Electric

UNS Electric reported net income of \$4 million in the second quarters of 2013 and 2012. See UNS Electric, Results of Operations, for more information.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared with the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

TEP

TEP reported net income of \$32 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with net income of \$20 million in the same period of 2012. The increase in net income is due in part to: a 1.1% increase in retail sales volumes related to cold weather during the first quarter of 2013 that contributed to a \$3 million increase in retail margin revenues; and a \$2 million increase in the margin on long-term wholesale sales due to higher market prices for wholesale power; partially offset by a \$2 million increase in Base O&M due in part to unplanned maintenance on TEP's generating facilities; a \$1 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense related to an increase in net utility plant in service; and a \$2 million increase in taxes other than income taxes due in part to an increase in property tax rates and higher asset balances.

Additionally, TEP's net income in the first six months of 2013 includes an income tax benefit of \$11 million and additional fuel expense of \$3 million related to a one-time credit to customers resulting from the 2013 TEP Rate Order. See Note 6 and Tucson Electric Power Company, Results of Operations, for more information.

UNS Gas

UNS Gas reported net income of \$8 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with net income of \$5 million in the same period of 2012. The increase in net income is due primarily to: a \$2 million increase in retail margin revenues related to cold weather that contributed to a 10.0% increase in retail therm sales; and a non-fuel base rate increase that was effective in May 2012. See UNS Gas, Results of Operations, for more information.

UNS Electric

UNS Electric reported net income of \$6 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with net income of \$7 million in the same period last year. The decrease in net income was due in part to the loss of an industrial customer in the second half of 2012. See UNS Electric, Results of Operations, for more information.

Operations and Maintenance Expense

The table below summarizes the items included in UNS Energy's Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expense:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | Millions of Dollars | |
| UNS Energy Base O&M (Non-GAAP) ⁽¹⁾ | \$71 | \$68 | \$141 | \$137 |
| Reimbursed Expenses Related to Springerville Units 3 and 4 | 16 | 13 | 30 | 27 |
| Expenses Related to Customer-Funded Renewable Energy and Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs ⁽²⁾ | 8 | 10 | 14 | 21 |
| Total UNS Energy O&M (GAAP) | \$95 | \$91 | \$185 | \$185 |

Base O&M, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to O&M, which is determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America.

- (1) We believe Base O&M provides useful information to investors because it represents the fundamental level of operating and maintenance expense related to our core business. Base O&M excludes expenses that are directly offset by revenues collected from customers and other third parties.
- (2) Represents expenses related to customer-funded renewable energy and DSM programs; these expenses are being collected from customers and the corresponding amounts are recorded in retail revenue.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

Dividends from UNS Energy's subsidiaries represent the parent company's main source of liquidity. Under UNS Energy's tax sharing agreement, subsidiaries make income tax payments to UNS Energy, which makes payments on behalf of the consolidated group to taxing authorities. See Income Tax Position, below, for more information.

The table below provides a summary of the liquidity position of UNS Energy and each of its segments:

| Balances as of July 17, 2013 | Cash and Cash Equivalents | Borrowings under Revolving Credit Facility ⁽¹⁾ | Amount Available under Revolving Credit Facility |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | Millions of Dollars | | |
| UNS Energy Stand-Alone | \$2 | \$67 | \$58 |
| TEP | 32 | 31 | 169 |
| UNS Gas ⁽²⁾ | 33 | — | 70 |
| UNS Electric ⁽²⁾ | 13 | 12 | 58 |
| Other ⁽³⁾ | 3 | N/A | N/A |
| Total | \$83 | | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes Letters of Credit (LOCs) issued under revolving credit facilities.

⁽²⁾ Either UNS Gas or UNS Electric may borrow up to a maximum of \$70 million; the total combined amount borrowed by both companies cannot exceed \$100 million.

⁽³⁾ Includes cash and cash equivalents at Millennium and UED.

Dividends from Subsidiaries

UNS Energy received \$10 million in dividends from UNS Gas in the first six months of both 2013 and 2012.

Short-term Investments

UNS Energy's short-term investment policy governs the investment of excess cash balances. We regularly review and update this policy in response to market conditions. As of June 30, 2013, UNS Energy's short-term investments included highly-rated and liquid money market funds and certificates of deposit.

Access to Revolving Credit Facilities

We have access to working capital through revolving credit agreements with lenders. Each of these agreements is a committed facility that expires in November 2016. The TEP Credit Agreement and UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver may be used for revolving borrowings as well as to issue LOCs. TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric each issue LOCs from time to time to provide credit enhancement to counterparties for their energy procurement and hedging activities. The UNS Credit Agreement also may be used to issue LOCs for general corporate purposes.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity under our revolving credit facilities to meet short-term working capital needs and to provide credit enhancement as necessary under energy procurement and hedging agreements. See Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, below.

UNS Energy Consolidated Cash Flows

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Operating Activities | \$148 | \$113 |
| Investing Activities | (150) | (134) |
| Financing Activities | (52) | 45 |

UNS Energy's operating cash flows are generated primarily by retail and wholesale energy sales at TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric, net of the related payments for fuel and purchased power. Generally, cash from operations is lowest in the first quarter and highest in the third quarter due to TEP's summer-peaking load. TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric typically use their revolving credit facilities to assist in funding their business activities during periods when sales are

seasonally lower.

41

Table of Contents

Capital expenditures at TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric represent the primary use of cash for investing activities. Cash used for investing and financing activities can fluctuate year-to-year depending on: capital expenditures; repayments and borrowings under revolving credit facilities; debt issuances or retirements; capital lease payments by TEP; and dividends paid by UNS Energy to its shareholders.

Operating Activities

In the first six months of 2013, net cash flows from operating activities were \$35 million higher than they were in the same period last year. The following items affected the year-over-year change in operating cash flows: an increase in cash receipts from retail sales related to an increase in sales volumes from cold weather during the first three months of 2013, as well as an increase in TEP's PPFA rate that became effective in April 2012; an increase in cash receipts from wholesale sales due in part to higher market prices for wholesale power; lower O&M costs due in part to a reduction in customer rebates for DSM programs to meet the ACC's Electric EE Standards; lower interest paid on capital lease obligations due to a decline in the balance of capital lease obligations; and various timing differences.

Investing Activities

Net cash flows used for investing activities increased by \$16 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with the same period last year due in part to lower proceeds from investments in Springerville lease debt and an increase in REC purchases due to an increase in renewable energy PPAs.

Capital Expenditures

| | Actual Year-to-Date June 30, 2013 | Full Year Estimate 2013 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| TEP | \$118 | \$281 |
| UNS Gas | 9 | 16 |
| UNS Electric | 29 | 50 |
| UNS Energy Consolidated | \$156 | \$347 |

Financing Activities

Net cash flows used for financing activities were \$97 million higher in the first six months of 2013 compared with the same period last year due to: an increase in scheduled capital lease payments; an increase in dividends paid on common stock; and a decrease in proceeds from borrowings (net of repayments) under revolving credit facilities.

UNS Credit Agreement

The UNS Credit Agreement, which expires in November 2016, consists of a \$125 million revolving credit and LOC facility. As of June 30, 2013, there was \$69 million outstanding at a weighted-average interest rate of 1.69%. The UNS Credit Agreement restricts additional indebtedness, liens, mergers, and sales of assets. The UNS Credit Agreement also requires UNS Energy to meet a minimum cash flow to debt service coverage ratio determined on a UNS Energy stand-alone basis. Additionally, UNS Energy cannot exceed a maximum leverage ratio determined on a consolidated basis. Under the terms of the UNS Credit Agreement, UNS Energy may pay dividends so long as it maintains compliance with the agreement. UNS Energy's obligations under the agreement are secured by a pledge of the common stock of Millennium, UES, and UED.

As of June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the terms of the UNS Credit Agreement.

Convertible Senior Notes

In March 2005, UNS Energy issued \$150 million of 4.50% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2035. In the first half of 2012, holders of approximately \$147 million of the Convertible Senior Notes outstanding converted their interests into approximately 4.3 million shares of Common Stock. The remaining \$3 million of outstanding Convertible Senior Notes were redeemed at par for cash.

Interest Rate Risk

UNS Energy is subject to interest rate risk resulting from changes in interest rates on its borrowings under the revolving credit facility. The interest paid on revolving credit borrowings is variable. UNS Energy may be required to pay higher rates of

Table of Contents

interest on borrowings under its revolving credit facility if London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and other benchmark interest rates increase. See Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, below.

Contractual Obligations

There are no changes in our contractual obligations or other commercial commitments from those reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than the following changes in 2013:

Fuel Obligations - We entered into new forward fuel commitments with minimum payment obligations of \$3 million in 2014, \$2 million in 2015 and \$1 million in 2016.

Purchase Power Obligations - We entered into new forward purchase power commitments with minimum payment obligations of \$13 million in 2014 and \$3 million in 2015. TEP also has a 20-year Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) with a renewable energy generation facility that achieved commercial operation in June 2013. TEP is obligated to purchase 100% of the output from this facility. TEP expects to make minimum payment obligations under this contract of approximately \$4 million per year in each of the next five years and \$56 million total thereafter.

RES Performance-Based Incentives - We entered into new purchase agreements to purchase the environmental attributes, or Renewable Energy Credits (RECs), from retail customers with solar installations. Payments for these RECs are termed Performance-Based Incentives (PBIs) and are paid in contractually agreed-upon intervals, usually quarterly, based on metered renewable energy production over periods ranging from 9 to 20 years. Our total obligation related to RES PBI payments over future periods increased by \$5 million from \$68 million on December 31, 2012, to \$73 million on June 30, 2013. PBIs are recoverable through the RES tariff. See Note 4.

In March 2013, \$91 million of unsecured tax-exempt industrial development bonds were issued on behalf of TEP. The bonds bear interest at a rate of 4.0% and are due in September 2029. Proceeds were used to redeem \$91 million of 2008 Pima Bonds bearing interest at a rate of 6.375% with the same maturity date. As a result, our interest obligations decreased by about \$2 million per year. See Note 5.

In the first quarter of 2013, we reduced unrecognized tax benefits by \$28 million based on a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service allowing us to deduct, rather than defer and amortize, up-front incentive payments to customers who install renewable energy resources. See Note 6.

Dividends on Common Stock

The following table shows the dividends declared to UNS Energy shareholders for 2013:

| Declaration Date | Record Date | Payment Date | Dividend Amount Per Share of Common Stock |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| February 25, 2013 | March 13, 2013 | March 25, 2013 | \$ 0.435 |
| May 2, 2013 | June 7, 2013 | June 26, 2013 | \$ 0.435 |

Income Tax Position

The 2010 Federal Tax Relief Act and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 include provisions that make qualified property placed in service during 2012 and 2013 eligible for 50% bonus depreciation for tax purposes. In addition, the IRS issued new guidance related to the treatment of expenditures to maintain, replace, or improve property. These provisions are an acceleration of tax benefits UNS Energy and TEP otherwise would have received over 20 years. As a result of these provisions, UNS Energy and TEP do not expect to pay any federal or state income taxes through 2015.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TEP's financial condition and results of operations are the principal factors affecting the financial condition and results of operations of UNS Energy. The following discussion relates to TEP, unless otherwise noted.

Table of Contents

Second quarter of 2013 compared with the second quarter of 2012

TEP reported net income of \$31 million in the second quarter of 2013 compared with net income of \$22 million in the second quarter of 2012. The following factors affected TEP's results in the second quarter of 2013:

• a \$1 million increase in the margin on long-term wholesale sales due in part to an increase in the market price for wholesale power;

• a \$1 million decrease in interest expense due to a decline in the balance of capital lease obligations; and

• an \$11 million tax benefit related to a regulatory asset recorded in June 2013 to recover previously recorded income tax expense through future rates as a result of the 2013 TEP Rate Order. See Note 6.

partially offset by:

• a \$1 million decrease in retail margin revenues. Mild weather during April and May contributed to a 1.3% decrease in retail kilowatt-hour (kWh) sales;

• a one-time charge of \$3 million recorded to fuel and purchased energy expense resulting from the 2013 TEP Rate Order. See Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustor Clause, below;

• a \$1 million increase in Base O&M due in part to unplanned generating plant maintenance; and

• a \$1 million increase in taxes other than income taxes due in part to an increase in property tax rates and higher asset balances.

Six months ended June 30, 2013 compared with six months ended June 30, 2012

TEP reported net income of \$32 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with net income of \$20 million in the first six months of 2012. The following factors affected TEP's results in the first six months of 2013:

• a \$3 million increase in retail margin revenues. A 29% increase in Heating Degree Days in the first quarter of 2013 contributed to a 1.1% increase in retail kilowatt-hour (kWh) sales during first six months of 2013;

• a \$2 million increase in the margin on long-term wholesale sales due in part to an increase in the market price for wholesale power;

• a \$4 million decrease in interest expense due to a decline in the balance of capital lease obligations; and

• an \$11 million tax benefit related to a regulatory asset recorded in June 2013 to recover previously recorded income tax expense through future rates as a result of the 2013 TEP Rate Order. See Note 6.

partially offset by:

• a one-time charge of \$3 million recorded to fuel and purchased energy expense resulting from the 2013 TEP Rate Order. See Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustor Clause, below;

• a \$2 million increase in Base O&M due in part to unplanned generating plant maintenance;

- \$1 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense as a result of an increase in net plant-in-service; and
- a \$2 million increase in taxes other than income taxes due in part to an increase in property tax rates and higher asset balances.

Table of Contents

Utility Sales and Revenues

Changes in the number of customers, weather, economic conditions, and other factors affect retail sales of electricity. The table below provides a summary of TEP's retail kWh sales, revenues, and weather data during the second quarters of 2013 and 2012:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Energy Sales, kWh (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Electric Retail Sales: | | | | | |
| Residential | 1,002 | 1,022 | (20 |) (2.0 |)% |
| Commercial | 537 | 539 | (2 |) (0.3 |)% |
| Industrial | 543 | 544 | (1 |) (0.2 |)% |
| Mining | 258 | 270 | (12 |) (4.2 |)% |
| Public Authorities | 70 | 65 | 5 | 6.6 | % |
| Total Electric Retail Sales | 2,410 | 2,440 | (30 |) (1.3 |)% |
| Retail Margin Revenues (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | \$65 | \$67 | \$(2 |) (2.1 |)% |
| Commercial | 44 | 44 | — | (0.5 |)% |
| Industrial | 24 | 24 | — | 0.8 | % |
| Mining | 8 | 8 | — | — | % |
| Public Authorities | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9.1 | % |
| Total Retail Margin Revenues (Non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾ | 145 | 146 | (1 |) (0.8 |)% |
| Fuel and Purchased Power Revenues | 87 | 92 | (5 |) (5.8 |)% |
| RES & DSM Revenues | 12 | 10 | 2 | (22.9 |)% |
| Total Retail Revenues (GAAP) | \$244 | \$248 | \$(4 |) (1.7 |)% |
| Average Retail Margin Rate (Cents / kWh): ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Residential | 6.54 | 6.54 | — | — | % |
| Commercial | 8.20 | 8.21 | (0.01 |) (0.1 |)% |
| Industrial | 4.44 | 4.40 | 0.04 | 0.9 | % |
| Mining | 3.09 | 2.97 | 0.12 | 4.0 | % |
| Public Authorities | 5.16 | 5.04 | 0.12 | 2.4 | % |
| Average Retail Margin Revenue | 6.03 | 6.00 | 0.03 | 0.5 | % |
| Average Fuel and Purchased Power Revenue | 3.59 | 3.77 | (0.18 |) (4.8 |)% |
| Average RES & DSM Revenue | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 25.6 | % |
| Total Average Retail Revenue | 10.11 | 10.16 | (0.05 |) (0.5 |)% |
| Weather Data: | | | | | |
| Cooling Degree Days | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 577 | 566 | 11 | 1.9 | % |
| 10-Year Average | 463 | 452 | NM | NM | |
| Wholesale Energy Market Indicators: | | | | | |
| Power Prices (\$ / MWh) ⁽³⁾ | \$37.70 | \$25.62 | \$12.08 | 47.2 | % |
| Natural Gas Prices (\$ / MMBtu) ⁽⁴⁾ | 3.84 | 2.19 | 1.65 | 75.3 | % |

⁽¹⁾ Calculated on un-rounded data and may not correspond exactly to data shown in table.

⁽²⁾ Retail Margin Revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to Total Retail Revenues, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. Retail Margin Revenues exclude: (i) revenues collected from retail customers that are directly offset by expenses recorded in other line items; and (ii) revenues collected from third parties that are unrelated to kWh sales to retail customers. We believe the change in Retail Margin Revenues between periods provides useful information to investors because it demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core utility business. Retail Margin Revenues represents the portion of retail

operating revenues available to cover the non-fuel operating expenses of our core utility business.

- (3) On-peak market price of energy is based on the Dow Jones Palo Verde Index.
- (4) Average market price for natural gas is based on the Permian Index.

Table of Contents

Residential

Residential kWh sales were 2.0% lower in the second quarter of 2013 than they were during the same period last year, leading to a decrease in residential margin revenues of 2.1%, or \$2 million. Residential use per customer decreased by 2.7% due in part to fewer cooling-degree days during April and May compared with last year. The average number of residential customers grew by 0.7% in the second quarter of 2013 compared with the same period last year.

Commercial

Commercial kWh sales decreased by 0.3% compared with the second quarter of 2012, leading to a decrease in commercial margin revenues of 0.5%, or less than \$1 million. Commercial use per customer decreased by 0.4% due in part to fewer cooling-degree days during April and May compared with last year. The average number of commercial customers grew by 0.1% in the second quarter of 2013 compared with the same period last year.

Industrial

Industrial kWh sales decreased by 0.2% compared with the second quarter of 2012, and industrial margin revenues increased by 0.8% when compared with the same period in 2012. The increase in industrial retail margins resulted from a change in usage patterns by certain industrial customers that increased their demand charges paid to TEP.

Mining

Mining kWh sales decreased by 4.2% compared with the second quarter of 2012. One of TEP's mining customers performed maintenance on its facilities resulting in a temporary decrease in production. See Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Sales to Mining Customers, below.

Table of Contents

The table below provides a summary of TEP's retail kWh sales, revenues, and weather data during the first six months of 2013 and 2012:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Energy Sales, kWh (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Electric Retail Sales: | | | | | |
| Residential | 1,795 | 1,753 | 42 | 2.4 | % |
| Commercial | 939 | 934 | 5 | 0.6 | % |
| Industrial | 1,016 | 1,012 | 4 | 0.3 | % |
| Mining | 528 | 543 | (15) | (2.7) |)% |
| Public Authorities | 127 | 116 | 11 | 9.4 | % |
| Total Electric Retail Sales | 4,405 | 4,358 | 47 | 1.1 | % |
| Retail Margin Revenues (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 116 | \$ 113 | \$ 3 | 2.2 | % |
| Commercial | 75 | 75 | — | 0.5 | % |
| Industrial | 44 | 44 | — | — | % |
| Mining | 14 | 14 | — | — | % |
| Public Authorities | 6 | 6 | — | 8.6 | % |
| Total Retail Margin Revenues (Non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾ | 255 | 252 | 3 | 1.4 | % |
| Fuel and Purchased Power Revenues | 151 | 141 | 10 | 7.0 | % |
| RES & DSM Revenues | 23 | 21 | 2 | 5.6 | % |
| Total Retail Revenues (GAAP) | \$429 | \$414 | \$15 | 3.5 | % |
| Average Retail Margin Rate (Cents / kWh): ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Residential | 6.44 | 6.45 | (0.01) | (0.2) |)% |
| Commercial | 8.02 | 8.02 | — | — | % |
| Industrial | 4.28 | 4.30 | (0.02) | (0.5) |)% |
| Mining | 2.75 | 2.67 | 0.08 | 3.0 | % |
| Public Authorities | 4.98 | 5.02 | (0.04) | (0.8) |)% |
| Average Retail Margin Revenue | 5.79 | 5.78 | 0.01 | 0.2 | % |
| Average Fuel and Purchased Power Revenue | 3.42 | 3.24 | 0.18 | 5.6 | % |
| Average RES & DSM Revenue | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 4.1 | % |
| Total Average Retail Revenue | 9.72 | 9.51 | 0.21 | 2.2 | % |
| Weather Data: | | | | | |
| Cooling Degree Days | | | | | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 577 | 566 | 11 | 1.9 | % |
| 10-Year Average | 464 | 453 | NM | NM | |
| Wholesale Energy Market Indicators: | | | | | |
| Power Prices (\$ / MWh) ⁽³⁾ | \$34.85 | \$25.31 | \$9.54 | 37.7 | % |
| Natural Gas Prices (\$ / MMBtu) ⁽⁴⁾ | 3.63 | 2.29 | 1.34 | 58.5 | % |

⁽¹⁾ Calculated on un-rounded data and may not correspond exactly to data shown in table.

Retail Margin Revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to Total Retail Revenues, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. Retail Margin Revenues exclude: (i) revenues collected from retail customers that are directly offset by expenses recorded in other line items; and (ii) revenues collected

⁽²⁾ from third parties that are unrelated to kWh sales to retail customers. We believe the change in Retail Margin Revenues between periods provides useful information to investors because it demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core utility business. Retail Margin Revenues represents the portion of retail operating revenues available to cover the non-fuel operating expenses of our core utility business.

⁽³⁾ On-peak market price of energy is based on the Dow Jones Palo Verde Index.

(4) Average market price for natural gas is based on the Permian Index.

Table of Contents

Residential

Residential kWh sales were 2.4% higher in the first six months of 2013 than they were during the same period last year, leading to an increase in residential margin revenues of 2.2%, or \$3 million. Residential use per customer increased by 1.7% due in part to a 29.0% increase in Heating Degree Days during the first quarter. The average number of residential customers grew by 0.7% in the first six months of 2013 compared with the same period last year.

Commercial

Commercial kWh sales increased by 0.6% compared with the first six months of 2012, leading to an increase in commercial margin revenues of 0.5%, or less than \$1 million. Commercial use per customer increased by 0.5% due in part to a 29.0% increase in Heating Degree Days during the first quarter. The average number of commercial customers increased by 0.1% in the first six months of 2013 when compared with the same period last year.

Industrial

Industrial kWh sales increased by 0.3% compared with the first six months of 2012, while industrial margin revenues were the same when compared with the same period in 2012.

Mining

Mining kWh sales decreased by 2.7% compared with the first six months of 2012. One of TEP's mining customers performed maintenance on its facilities resulting in a temporary decrease in production. See Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Sales to Mining Customers, below.

Wholesale Sales and Transmission Revenues

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Long-Term Wholesale Revenues: | | | | |
| Long-Term Wholesale Margin Revenues (Non-GAAP) ⁽¹⁾ | \$1 | \$— | \$4 | \$2 |
| Fuel and Purchased Power Expense Allocated to Long-Term Wholesale Revenues | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 |
| Total Long-Term Wholesale Revenues | 5 | 5 | 13 | 12 |
| Transmission Revenues | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Short-Term Wholesale Revenues | 21 | 13 | 43 | 32 |
| Electric Wholesale Sales (GAAP) | \$30 | \$22 | \$64 | \$52 |

Long-term Wholesale Margin Revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to Electric Wholesale Sales, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. We believe the change in

- (1) Long-Term Wholesale Margin Revenues between periods provides useful information to investors because it demonstrates the underlying profitability of TEP's long-term wholesale sales contracts. Long-Term Wholesale Margin Revenues represents the portion of long-term wholesale revenues available to cover the operating expenses of our core utility business.

Long-Term Wholesale Margin Revenues in the second quarter and first six months of 2013 were higher than the same periods in 2012 due in part to higher market prices for wholesale power. See Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Long-Term Wholesale Sales, below, for more information.

Short-Term Wholesale Revenues

All revenues from short-term wholesale sales and 10% of the profits from wholesale trading activity are credited against the fuel and purchased power costs eligible for recovery in the Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause (PPFAC).

Table of Contents

Other Revenues

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|------------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Revenue related to Springerville Units 3 and 4 ⁽¹⁾ | \$24 | \$21 | \$45 | \$43 |
| Other Revenue | 7 | 8 | 15 | 14 |
| Total Other Revenue | \$31 | \$29 | \$60 | \$57 |

⁽¹⁾ Represents revenues and reimbursements from Tri-State and SRP, owners of Springerville Units 3 and 4, respectively,
to TEP related to the operation of these plants.

In addition to reimbursements related to Springerville Units 3 and 4, TEP's other revenues include inter-company revenues from UNS Gas and UNS Electric for corporate services provided by TEP, and miscellaneous service-related revenues such as rent on power pole attachments, damage claims, and customer late fees.

Operating Expenses

Fuel and Purchased Power Expense

TEP's fuel and purchased power expense and energy resources for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are detailed below:

| | Generation and Purchased Power | | Fuel and Purchased Power Expense | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of kWh | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Coal-Fired Generation | 2,639 | 2,332 | \$71 | \$60 |
| Gas-Fired Generation | 232 | 405 | 12 | 18 |
| Renewable Generation | 13 | 13 | — | — |
| Reimbursed Fuel Expense for Springerville Units 3 and 4 | — | — | 2 | 2 |
| Total Fuel | 2,884 | 2,750 | 85 | 80 |
| Total Purchased Power | 544 | 649 | 28 | 21 |
| Transmission | — | — | 2 | 1 |
| Increase to Reflect PPFAC Recovery Treatment | — | — | 5 | 13 |
| Total Resources | 3,428 | 3,399 | \$120 | \$115 |
| Less Line Losses and Company Use | (248 |) (233 |) | |
| Total Energy Sold | 3,180 | 3,166 | | |

Table of Contents

| | Generation and Purchased Power | | Fuel and Purchased Power Expense | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | 2013 | 2012 |
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| | Millions of kWh | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Coal-Fired Generation | 5,110 | 4,670 | \$142 | \$118 |
| Gas-Fired Generation | 418 | 695 | 20 | 28 |
| Renewable Generation | 24 | 25 | — | — |
| Reimbursed Fuel Expense for Springerville Units 3 and 4 | — | — | 3 | 4 |
| Total Fuel | 5,552 | 5,390 | 165 | 150 |
| Total Purchased Power | 973 | 1,095 | 47 | 35 |
| Transmission | — | — | 3 | 2 |
| Increase to Reflect PPFAC Recovery Treatment | — | — | 3 | 5 |
| Total Resources | 6,525 | 6,485 | \$218 | \$192 |
| Less Line Losses and Company Use | (420 |) (426 |) | |
| Total Energy Sold | 6,105 | 6,059 | | |

Generation

Total generating output increased during the first six months of 2013 when compared with the same period last year due in part to higher retail kWh sales than the same period last year. Coal-fired generation increased by 9.4% during the first six months of 2013 when compared with the same period last year due in part to the use of coal to fuel Sundt Generating Station (Sundt) Unit 4 instead of natural gas.

Purchased Power

Purchased power volumes decreased during the first six months of 2013 compared with the same period last year due in part to higher output from TEP's generating facilities.

The table below summarizes TEP's average cost per kWh generated or purchased:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | cents per kWh | | cents per kWh | |
| Coal | 2.71 | 2.57 | 2.78 | 2.51 |
| Gas | 4.99 | 4.30 | 4.71 | 4.05 |
| Purchased Power | 5.22 | 3.22 | 4.87 | 3.15 |
| All Sources | 3.61 | 3.22 | 3.53 | 3.08 |

O&M

The table below summarizes the items included in TEP's O&M expense.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Base O&M (Non-GAAP) ⁽¹⁾ | \$61 | \$60 | \$122 | \$120 |
| O&M Recorded in Other Expense | (1 |) (1 |) (3 |) (3 |
| Reimbursed Expenses Related to Springerville Units 3 and 4 | 16 | 13 | 30 | 27 |
| Expenses Related to Customer Funded Renewable Energy and DSM Programs ⁽²⁾ | 6 | 7 | 11 | 17 |
| Total O&M (GAAP) | \$82 | \$79 | \$160 | \$161 |

Table of Contents

Base O&M is a non-GAAP financial measure and should not be considered as an alternative to O&M, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. TEP believes that Base O&M, which is O&M less reimbursed expenses and

(1) expenses related to customer-funded renewable energy and DSM programs, provides useful information to investors because it represents the fundamental level of operating and maintenance expense related to our core business.

(2) Represents expenses related to customer-funded renewable energy and DSM programs; these expenses are being collected from customers and the corresponding amounts are recorded in retail revenue.

FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2013 TEP Rate Order

In June 2013, the ACC issued an order (2013 TEP Rate Order) that resolved the rate case filed by TEP in July 2012. The 2013 TEP Rate Order approved new rates effective July 1, 2013.

The provisions of the 2013 TEP Rate Order include, but are not limited to:

• an increase in non-fuel retail Base Rates of approximately \$76 million over adjusted test year revenues;

• an Original Cost Rate Base (OCRB) of approximately \$1.5 billion and a Fair Value Rate Base (FVRB) of approximately \$2.3 billion;

• a return on equity of 10.0%, a long-term cost of debt of 5.18%, and a short-term cost of debt of 1.42%, resulting in a weighted average cost of capital of 7.26%;

• a capital structure of approximately 43.5% equity, 56.0% long-term debt, and 0.5% short-term debt;

• a 0.68% return on the fair value increment of rate base (the fair value increment of rate base represents the difference between OCRB and FVRB of approximately \$800 million);

• a revision in depreciation rates from an average rate of 3.32% to 3.0% for generation and distribution plant regulated by the ACC, primarily due to revised estimates of asset removal costs, which will have the effect of reducing depreciation expense by approximately \$11 million annually; and

• an agreement by TEP to seek recovery of costs related to the Nogales transmission line from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission before seeking rate recovery from the ACC.

The 2013 TEP Rate Order also approved:

A Lost Fixed Cost Recovery mechanism (LFCR) that allows TEP to recover certain non-fuel costs that would otherwise go unrecovered due to reduced kWh sales attributed to energy efficiency programs and distributed generation. The LFCR rate will be adjusted annually and is subject to ACC approval and a year-over-year cap of 1% of TEP's total retail revenues. TEP expects to file its first LFCR report on or before May 15, 2014. That report will provide an estimate of certain unrecovered non-fuel costs incurred during the calendar year of 2013. TEP expects the new LFCR rate to become effective on July 1, 2014. We estimate that the LFCR could benefit pre-tax income by \$2 million to \$3 million in 2014.

• An Environmental Compliance Adjustor (ECA) mechanism that allows TEP to recover the costs of complying with environmental standards required by federal or other governmental agencies between rate cases. The ECA will be

adjusted annually to recover environmental compliance costs and is subject to ACC approval and a cap of \$0.00025 per kWh, which approximates 0.25% of TEP's total retail revenues. TEP expects to file its first ECA report on or before March 1, 2014. That report will include qualified investments and costs to be included in the ECA. TEP expects the new ECA rate to become effective on May 1, 2014. We estimate that the ECA could benefit pre-tax income by less than \$1 million in 2014.

An energy efficiency provision which includes a 2013 calendar year budget to fund programs that support the ACC's Electric Energy Efficiency Standards, as well as a performance incentive. See Electric Energy Efficiency Standards, below.

Table of Contents

A new rate under TEP's Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause (PPFAC). See Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause, below.
Competition

Retail Electric Competition Rules

In 1999, the ACC approved the Rules that provided a framework for the introduction of retail electric competition in Arizona. Certain portions of the ACC Rules that enabled Electric Service Providers (ESPs) to compete in the retail market were invalidated by an Arizona Court of Appeals decision in 2004. During 2012, a small number of companies filed applications for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CC&N) with the ACC to provide competitive retail electric services in TEP's service territory as an ESP. Unless and until the ACC clarifies the Rules and/or grants a CC&N to an ESP, it is not possible for TEP's retail customers to use an alternative ESP.

In May 2013, the ACC voted to commence a process to consider the possibility of opening Arizona to retail electric competition. The first step in the process was to solicit comments on questions raised by the ACC on the potential benefits and risks to Arizona electric customers associated with retail electric competition. On July 15, 2013, various parties, including TEP and UNS Electric, filed comments. TEP and UNS Electric oppose opening Arizona to retail electric competition. Responsive comments from the parties are due on August 16, 2013. The ACC is expected to schedule an open meeting before the end of 2013 to discuss these comments and possibly determine whether to proceed with the development of retail electric competition rules. If the ACC votes to proceed, it will then request interested parties to propose rules that would govern a competitive retail electric market. We cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Technological Developments and Energy Efficiency

New technological developments and the implementation of Electric EE Standards may reduce energy consumption by TEP's retail customers. TEP's customers also have the ability to install renewable energy technologies and conventional generation units that could reduce their reliance on TEP's services. In the wholesale market, TEP competes with other utilities, power marketers, and independent power producers in the sale of electric capacity and energy.

Coal-Fired Generating Resources

As of June 30, 2013, approximately 70% of TEP's generating capacity was fueled by coal (of which 156 MW can be generated by natural gas or coal). Existing and proposed federal environmental regulations, as well potential changes in state regulation, may increase the cost of operating coal-fired generating facilities. TEP is evaluating various strategies for reducing the proportion of coal in its fuel mix. TEP's ability to reduce its coal-fired generating capacity will depend on several factors, including, but not limited to:

the resolution of the non-binding agreement between the State of New Mexico, the EPA, and PNM as it relates to San Juan (see Note 4); and

TEP's pending decision regarding its purchase options related to Springerville Unit 1 (see Springerville Unit 1, below).

Springerville Unit 1

TEP leases 86% of Springerville Unit 1 and owns the remaining 14%. The terms of the leveraged lease arrangement relating to Springerville Unit 1 and an undivided one-half interest in certain Springerville Common Facilities

(Springerville Unit 1 Leases), expire in 2015 but have optional fair market value renewal and purchase provisions. TEP has until August 31, 2013 to give notice that it will exercise its purchase option, with the purchase occurring in January 2015. TEP can choose to exercise the option to purchase any or all of the lease interests not currently owned by TEP.

In December 2011, TEP and the owner participants of the Springerville Unit 1 Leases completed a formal appraisal procedure to determine the fair market value purchase price. The formal appraisal process was completed in accordance with the Springerville Unit 1 lease agreements. The purchase price was determined to be \$478 per kW of capacity based on a continuous capacity rating of 387 MW. If TEP chooses to purchase all of the remaining interests in Springerville Unit 1 from the owner participants, the aggregate purchase price would be \$159 million. See Item 1. - Legal Proceedings, Springerville Unit 1 Appraisal.

Table of Contents

Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause

In March 2012, the ACC approved a PPFAC rate of 0.77 cents per kWh effective April 2012 to recover \$77 million of under-collected fuel and purchased power costs. At June 30, 2013, TEP had under-collected fuel and purchased power costs on a billed-to-customer basis of \$5 million.

The 2013 TEP Rate Order approved a new PPFAC rate, which includes:

- a one-time reduction in the PPFAC bank balance, recorded in June 2013 as an increase to fuel expense, of \$3 million related to prior Sulfur Credits; and
- a transfer of \$10 million, recorded in June 2013, from the PPFAC bank balance to a new regulatory asset to defer coal costs related to the San Juan mine fire. These costs will be eligible for recovery through the PPFAC upon final insurance settlement.

TEP's existing PPFAC mechanism will continue with certain modifications, including the recovery of the following costs and/or credits: lime costs; Sulfur Credits; broker fees; and 100% of the proceeds from the sale of SO₂ allowances.

Beginning on July 1, 2013, TEP's PPFAC rate was a credit to retail customers of approximately 0.14 cents per kWh. This PPFAC rate will be in effect until the rate is reset by the ACC in the second quarter of 2014. TEP's PPFAC includes the recovery of lime costs (lime is used to control SO₂ emissions), net of Sulfur Credits received from TEP's coal suppliers. TEP estimates that from July 1 to December 31, 2013, approximately \$5 million of net lime expense will be recorded in fuel and purchased energy expense and recovered through the PPFAC. Prior to July 1, 2013, lime costs were recorded in operations and maintenance expense.

Springerville Units 3 and 4

TEP operates and receives annual benefits in the form of rental payments and other fees and cost savings from operating Springerville Unit 3 on behalf of Tri-State and Unit 4 on behalf of SRP.

The table below summarizes the income statement line items in which TEP records revenues and expenses related to Springerville Units 3 and 4:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Other Revenues | \$24 | \$21 | \$45 | \$43 |
| Fuel Expense | (2 |) (2 |) (3 |) (4 |
| O&M Expense | (16 |) (13 |) (30 |) (27 |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | — | — | (1 |) (1 |
| Total Pre-Tax Income | \$6 | \$6 | \$11 | \$11 |

Pension and Retiree Benefit Expense

The table below summarizes TEP's pension and other retiree benefit expenses charged to O&M in 2013 and 2012. See Note 7.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Pension Expense Charged to O&M | \$3 | \$3 | \$5 | \$5 |

Edgar Filing: UNS Energy Corp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Retiree Benefit Expense Charged to O&M | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | \$4 | \$4 | \$7 | \$7 |

53

Table of Contents

Long-Term Wholesale Sales

TEP's two primary long-term wholesale contracts are with SRP and the Navajo Tribal Utility Authority (NTUA).
Salt River Project

From January 1, 2012, through the end of the contract in May 2016, SRP is required to purchase 500,000 MWh of on-peak energy per year. TEP does not receive a demand charge and the price of energy is based on a discount to the price of on-peak power on the Dow Jones Palo Verde Market Index.

Navajo Tribal Utility Authority

TEP serves the portion of NTUA's load that is not served from NTUA's allocation of federal hydroelectric power. Over the last three years, sales to NTUA averaged 225,000 MWh. Prior to June 30, 2013, the power sold to NTUA was at a fixed price. In May 2013, TEP amended its contract with NTUA.

Beginning July 1, 2013, TEP receives monthly capacity payments in exchange for providing 15 MW from July to September (June to September beginning in 2014 and thereafter) and 50 MW for the remainder of the year. Starting in 2016, the July to September capacity increases to 25 MW. Any energy sold above those amounts will be indexed to the wholesale market price of natural gas. TEP's contract with NTUA was extended from December 2015 to December 2022. TEP estimates that sales to NTUA will be approximately 225,000 MWh in 2013 and 2014.

Long-Term Wholesale Margin and Sensitivity

TEP's margin on long-term wholesale sales was \$4 million during the first six months of 2013 and \$2 million during the same period last year.

As of July 17, 2013, the average forward price of on-peak power on the Dow Jones Palo Verde Market Index for the remainder of calendar year 2013 was \$38 per MWh; the average price of on-peak power during the first six months of 2013 was \$35 per MWh. A change of \$5 per MWh in the on-peak market price of power on the Dow Jones Palo Verde Market Index for the balance of the year would change 2013 pre-tax income related to the SRP contract by approximately \$2 million.

Electric Energy Efficiency Standards

In August 2010, the ACC approved new Electric Energy Efficiency Standards (Electric EE Standards) designed to require TEP, UNS Electric, and other affected electric utilities to implement cost-effective programs to reduce customers' energy consumption. In 2013, the Electric EE Standards target total kWh savings of 5% of 2012 retail kWh sales; in 2014, the Electric EE Standards target total kWh savings of 7.25% of 2013 retail kWh sales. The Electric EE Standards increase annually thereafter up to a targeted cumulative annual reduction in retail kWh sales of 22% by 2020.

DSM programs approved by the ACC, direct load control programs, and energy efficient building codes are acceptable means to meet the Electric EE Standards as set forth by the ACC.

As part of the 2013 TEP Rate Order, the ACC approved a 2013 calendar year energy efficiency budget of \$21 million, which includes a performance incentive of approximately \$1 million which may be recognized in 2013. The Electric EE Standards provide for the recovery of costs incurred to implement DSM programs. TEP's programs, and the rates charged to customers for such programs, are subject to annual review and approval by the ACC. See 2013 TEP Rate Order above.

Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff

In January 2013, the ACC approved TEP's 2013 RES implementation plan. Under the plan, TEP expects to collect approximately \$36 million from retail customers during 2013. The plan includes an investment of \$28 million in 2013 for company-owned solar projects, of which \$8 million was previously approved by the ACC, as well as the continuation of the funding mechanism for company-owned solar projects. In accordance with the funding mechanism

approved by the ACC, TEP could earn approximately \$3 million pre-tax in 2013 on solar investments made in 2010, 2011, and 2012. TEP expects to meet the 2013 renewable energy target of 4.0% of retail kWh sales.

Table of Contents

Sales to Mining Customers

Copper prices have triggered an increase in mining activity at the copper mines operating in TEP's service area. TEP's mining customers have indicated they are taking initial steps to increase production either through expansion of their current mining operations or by the re-opening of non-operational mine sites. If efforts to increase production are successful, TEP's mining load could increase by up to 100 MW over the next several years. The market price for copper and the ability to obtain necessary permits could affect the mining industry's expansion plans.

In addition to the mining customers that TEP currently serves, Augusta Resources Corporation filed a plan of operations with the United States Forest Service in 2007 for the proposed Rosemont Copper Mine near Tucson, Arizona. The Rosemont Copper Mine requires electric service from TEP via a 138 kilo-volt (kV) transmission line for the construction and ongoing operation of the mine. The state line siting committee approved a certificate of environmental compatibility (CEC) in 2011 for the 138 kV transmission line. In 2012, the ACC finalized the CEC. If the Rosemont Copper Mine is constructed and reaches full production, it would be expected to become TEP's largest retail customer, with TEP serving the mine's estimated load of approximately 85 MW.

TEP cannot predict if or when existing mines will expand operations or new or re-opened mines will commence operations.

Interest Rates

TEP is exposed to interest rate risk resulting from changes in interest rates on certain of its variable rate debt obligations, as well as borrowings under its revolving credit facility. As a result, TEP may be required to pay significantly higher rates of interest on outstanding variable rate debt and borrowings under its revolving credit facility. At June 30, 2013, TEP had \$215 million in tax-exempt variable rate debt outstanding. The interest rates on TEP's tax-exempt variable rate debt are reset weekly by its remarketing agents. The maximum interest payable under the indentures for the bonds is 10% on \$37 million of bonds and 20% on the other \$178 million. During the first six months of 2013, the average rates paid ranged from 0.08% to 0.25%. At July 17, 2013, the average rate on the debt was 0.07%.

TEP has a fixed-for-floating interest rate swap to hedge \$50 million of its tax-exempt variable rate debt.

TEP is also subject to interest rate risk resulting from changes in interest rates on its borrowings under the revolving credit facility. The interest paid on revolving credit borrowings is variable. If LIBOR and other benchmark interest rates increase, TEP may be required to pay higher rates of interest on borrowings under its revolving credit facility.

See Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, below.

Fair Value Measurements

TEP's income statement exposure to energy price risk is mitigated as TEP reports the change in fair value of energy contract derivatives as either a regulatory asset or regulatory liability, or as a component of other comprehensive income, rather than in the income statement. See Note 11.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

TEP Cash Flows

The tables below show the cash flow realized by TEP after capital expenditures and payments on capital lease obligations:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Net Cash Flows – Operating Activities (GAAP) | \$ 116 | \$ 67 |
| Amounts from Statements of Cash Flows: | | |
| Less: Capital Expenditures | (118 |) (142 |
| Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures (Non-GAAP) ⁽¹⁾ | (2 |) (75 |
| Amounts From Statements of Cash Flows: | | |
| Less: Payments for Capital Lease Obligations | (84 |) (76 |
| Plus: Proceeds from Investment in Lease Debt | 9 | 19 |
| Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures and Required Payments on Capital Lease Obligations (Non-GAAP) ⁽¹⁾ | \$(77 |) \$(132 |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Net Cash Flows – Operating Activities (GAAP) | \$ 116 | \$ 67 |
| Net Cash Flows – Investing Activities (GAAP) | (113 |) (117 |
| Net Cash Flows – Financing Activities (GAAP) | (55 |) 55 |
| Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures (Non-GAAP) ⁽¹⁾ | (2 |) (75 |
| Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures and Required Payments on Capital Lease Obligations (Non-GAAP) ⁽¹⁾ | (77 |) (132 |

Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures and Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures and Required Payments on Capital Lease Obligations, both non-GAAP measures of liquidity, should not be considered as alternatives to Net Cash Flows—Operating Activities, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. We believe that Net Cash

⁽¹⁾ Flows after Capital Expenditures and Net Cash Flows after Capital Expenditures and Required Payments on Capital Lease Obligations provide useful information to investors as measures of TEP's ability to fund capital requirements, make required payments on capital lease obligations, and pay dividends to UNS Energy before consideration of financing activities.

Liquidity Outlook

During 2013, TEP expects to generate sufficient operating cash flows to fund the majority of its capital expenditures. Cash flows may vary during the year, with cash flow from operations typically the lowest in the first quarter and highest in the third quarter due to TEP's summer peaking load. As a result of the varied seasonal cash flow, TEP will use, as needed, its revolving credit facility to assist in funding its business activities.

Operating Activities

In the first six months of 2013, net cash flows from operating activities were \$49 million higher than in the first six months of 2012. The increase was due in part to: higher cash receipts from retail sales related to an increase in sales volumes from cold weather during the first three months of 2013, as well as an increase in TEP's PPFAC rate that became effective in April 2012; an increase in cash receipts from wholesale sales due in part to higher market prices for wholesale power; a decrease in capital lease interest paid due to a decline in capital lease obligation balances; lower O&M costs due in part to a reduction in customer rebates for DSM programs to meet the ACC's Electric EE Standards; and various timing differences.

Table of Contents

Investing Activities

Net cash flows used for investing activities decreased by \$4 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with the same period last year due primarily to lower capital expenditures partially offset by lower proceeds from the investment in lease debt and an increase in purchases of RECs due to an increase in renewable energy PPAs.

TEP's capital expenditures were \$118 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with \$142 million in the same period last year. TEP's estimated capital expenditures for 2013 are \$281 million.

Financing Activities

In the first six months of 2013, net cash from financing activities was \$110 million lower than in the same period in 2012 due to a \$109 million decrease in borrowings (net of repayments) made under TEP's Revolving Credit Facility.

TEP Credit Agreement

The TEP Credit Agreement consists of a \$200 million revolving credit and revolving letter of credit facility and a \$186 million letter of credit facility to support tax-exempt bonds. The TEP Credit Agreement expires in November 2016 and is secured by \$386 million of Mortgage Bonds. As of June 30, 2013, there were \$30 million of outstanding borrowings and \$1 million of LOCs issued under the TEP Revolving Credit Facility.

The TEP Credit Agreement contains restrictions on liens, mergers, and sale of assets. The TEP Credit Agreement also requires TEP not to exceed a maximum leverage ratio. If TEP complies with the terms of the TEP Credit Agreement, TEP may pay dividends to UNS Energy. As of June 30, 2013, TEP was in compliance with the terms of the TEP Credit Agreement.

2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement

In December 2010, TEP entered into a four-year \$37 million reimbursement agreement (2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement). A \$37 million LOC was issued pursuant to the 2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement. The LOC supports \$37 million aggregate principal amount of variable rate tax-exempt pollution control bonds that were issued on behalf of TEP in December 2010.

The 2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement contains substantially the same restrictive covenants as the TEP Credit Agreement described above. As of June 30, 2013, TEP was in compliance with the terms of the 2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement.

2013 Bond Issuances and Redemptions

In March 2013, approximately \$91 million of unsecured tax-exempt industrial development bonds were issued on behalf of TEP. The bonds bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.0%, mature in September 2029 and may be redeemed at par on or after March 1, 2023. In April 2013, the proceeds of the bond issuance were used to redeem approximately \$91 million of unsecured tax-exempt bonds with an interest rate of 6.375% and a maturity date of September 2029. See Note 5.

Capital Lease Obligations

As of June 30, 2013, TEP had \$277 million of total capital lease obligations on its balance sheet. The table below provides a summary of the outstanding lease obligations:

| Capital Leases | Capital Lease Obligation Balance As Of June 30, 2013 Millions of Dollars | Expiration | Renewal/Purchase Option |
|--|--|---------------|---|
| Springerville Unit 1 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 138 | 2015 | Fair market value purchase option of \$159 million ⁽²⁾ |
| Springerville Coal Handling Facilities Lease | 43 | 2015 | Fixed price purchase option of \$120 million ⁽³⁾ |
| Springerville Common Facilities ⁽⁴⁾ | 96 | 2017 and 2021 | Fixed price purchase option of \$106 million ⁽³⁾ |

Total Capital Lease Obligations \$277

57

Table of Contents

- (1) The Springerville Unit 1 Leases cover both Unit 1 and an undivided one-half interest in certain Springerville Common Facilities.
- (2) As determined in December 2011 in an appraisal procedure undertaken pursuant to the Springerville Unit 1 lease agreements. See Part II, Item 1.—Legal Proceedings.
TEP agreed with Tri-State, the lessee of Springerville Unit 3 and SRP, the owner of Springerville Unit 4, that if the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities and Common Leases are not renewed, TEP will exercise the purchase
- (3) options under these contracts. SRP will then be obligated to buy a portion of these facilities and Tri State will then be obligated to either 1) buy a portion of these facilities; or 2) continue making payments to TEP for the use of these facilities.
- (4) The Springerville Common Facilities Leases cover an undivided one-half interest in certain Springerville Common Facilities.

TEP's capital lease obligation balances decline over time due to the normal capital lease payments made by TEP.

Income Tax Position

See UNS Energy Consolidated, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Income Tax Position, above.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no changes in TEP's contractual obligations or other commercial commitments from those reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than the following changes in 2013:

TEP entered into new forward purchase power commitments that will settle through December 2014. Some of these contracts are at fixed prices per MWh and others are indexed to natural gas prices. Based on projected market prices as of March 31, 2013, TEP's estimated minimum payment obligations for these additional purchases are \$9 million in 2014. TEP also has a 20-year Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) with a renewable energy generation facility that achieved commercial operation in June 2013. TEP is obligated to purchase 100% of the output from this facility. TEP expects to make minimum payment obligations under this contract of approximately \$4 million per year in each of the next five years and approximately \$56 million total thereafter.

TEP is contractually obligated to retail customers with solar installations to make RES PBI payments for environmental attributes, or RECs. In the first quarter of 2013, TEP's total obligation for RES PBIs increased by \$4 million dollars from \$62 million on December 31, 2012, to \$66 million on June 30, 2013. TEP will make required payments over periods ranging from 9 to 20 years based on metered renewable energy production. PBIs are recoverable through the RES tariff. See Note 4.

In March 2013, \$91 million of unsecured tax-exempt industrial development bonds were issued on behalf of TEP. The bonds bear interest at a rate of 4.0% and are due in September 2029. Proceeds were used to redeem \$91 million of 2008 Pima Bonds bearing interest at a rate of 6.375% with the same maturity date. As a result, TEP's interest obligations decreased by about \$2 million per year. See Note 5.

In the first quarter of 2013, TEP reduced unrecognized tax benefits by \$22 million based on a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service allowing us to deduct, rather than defer and amortize, up-front incentive payments to customers who install renewable energy resources. See Note 6.

Dividends on Common Stock

TEP can pay dividends if it maintains compliance with the TEP Credit Agreement and the 2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement. As of June 30, 2013, TEP was in compliance with the terms of the TEP Credit Agreement and the 2010 TEP Reimbursement Agreement.

The Federal Power Act states that dividends shall not be paid out of funds properly included in capital accounts. Although the terms of the Federal Power Act are unclear, we believe that there is a reasonable basis for TEP to pay dividends from current year earnings.

UNS GAS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

UNS Gas reported no net income in the second quarters of 2013 and 2012. In the first six months of 2013, UNS Gas reported net income of \$8 million compared with net income of \$5 million in the same period last year. The increase in net income for the six months ended June 30 is due primarily to: cold weather in the first quarter, which contributed to a 10.0% increase in

58

Table of Contents

retail therm sales in the first six months of 2013 relative to 2012; and a non-fuel base rate increase that was effective in May 2012.

The table below provides summary financial information for UNS Gas:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | Millions of Dollars | |
| Gas Revenues | \$22 | \$21 | \$73 | \$72 |
| Other Revenues | — | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 22 | 22 | 74 | 75 |
| Purchased Gas Expense | 12 | 10 | 42 | 40 |
| Increase (Decrease) to Reflect PGA Recovery Treatment | (1 |) 1 | (2 |) 3 |
| O&M | 6 | 6 | 12 | 13 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Other Operating Expenses | 20 | 20 | 58 | 63 |
| Operating Income | 2 | 2 | 16 | 12 |
| Interest Expense | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Income Tax Expense | — | — | 5 | 4 |
| Net Income | \$— | \$— | \$8 | \$5 |

Table of Contents

The table below includes UNS Gas' therm sales and margin revenues for the second quarters of 2013 and 2012:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|---------------------|------------------------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Gas Retail Sales, Therms (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | 9 | 9 | — | 2.3 | % |
| Commercial | 5 | 5 | — | 2.5 | % |
| Industrial | — | — | — | 19.9 | % |
| Public Authorities | 1 | 1 | — | 9.7 | % |
| Total Gas Retail Sales | 15 | 15 | — | 3.2 | % |
| Negotiated Sales Program (NSP) | 7 | 8 | (1) | (18.6) |)% |
| Total Gas Sales | 22 | 23 | (1) | (4.8) |)% |
| Retail Margin Revenues (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | \$7 | \$7 | \$— | 2.9 | % |
| Commercial | 2 | 2 | — | — | % |
| Public Authorities | 1 | — | 1 | 7.3 | % |
| Total Retail Margin Revenues (Non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾ | 10 | 9 | 1 | 2.9 | % |
| Transport and NSP | 4 | 4 | — | 17.5 | % |
| Retail Fuel Revenues | 8 | 8 | — | (7.2) |)% |
| Total Gas Revenues (GAAP) | \$22 | \$21 | \$1 | 1.6 | % |
| Weather Data: | | | | | |
| Heating Degree Days | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 480 | 437 | 43 | 9.8 | % |
| 10-Year Average | 560 | 551 | NM | NM | |

⁽¹⁾ Percent change calculated on un-rounded data and may not correspond exactly to data shown in table.

Total Retail Margin Revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to Total Gas Revenues, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. Total Retail Margin Revenues excludes revenues collected from retail customers that are directly offset by expenses recorded in other line items. We believe the

⁽²⁾ change in Total Retail Margin Revenues between periods provides useful information to investors because it demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core utility business. Total Retail Margin Revenues represents the portion of retail operating revenues available to cover the non-fuel operating expenses of our core utility business.

Retail therm sales during the second quarter of 2013 increased by 3.2% due in part to a 9.8% increase in Heating Degree Days. The increase in retail therm sales, as well as a Base Rate increase implemented in May 2012, contributed to an increase in retail margin revenues of 2.9%, or \$1 million, compared with the second quarter of 2012. UNS Gas supplies natural gas to some of its large transportation customers through an NSP. Approximately one half of the margin earned on these NSP sales is retained by UNS Gas, while the remainder benefits retail customers by reducing the gas commodity price through a credit to the PGA mechanism.

Table of Contents

The table below includes UNS Gas' therm sales and margin revenues for the first six months of 2013 and 2012:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------|---------------------|------------------------|----|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Gas Retail Sales, Therms (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | 44 | 39 | 5 | 11.0 | % |
| Commercial | 17 | 16 | 1 | 6.8 | % |
| Industrial | 1 | 1 | — | 19.4 | % |
| Public Authorities | 4 | 4 | — | 10.1 | % |
| Total Gas Retail Sales | 66 | 60 | 6 | 10.0 | % |
| Negotiated Sales Program (NSP) | 13 | 15 | (2) | (10.6) |)% |
| Total Gas Sales | 79 | 75 | 4 | 5.8 | % |
| Retail Margin Revenues (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | \$23 | \$21 | \$2 | 10.1 | % |
| Commercial | 6 | 6 | — | 8.9 | % |
| Public Authorities | 1 | 1 | — | 14.3 | % |
| Total Retail Margin Revenues (Non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾ | 30 | 28 | 2 | 10.1 | % |
| Transport and NSP | 9 | 7 | 2 | 17.6 | % |
| Retail Fuel Revenues | 34 | 37 | (3) | (7.9) |)% |
| Total Gas Revenues (GAAP) | \$73 | \$72 | \$1 | 1.8 | % |
| Weather Data: | | | | | |
| Heating Degree Days | | | | | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2,668 | 2,450 | 218 | 8.9 | % |
| 10-Year Average | 2,643 | 2,654 | NM | NM | |

⁽¹⁾ Percent change calculated on un-rounded data and may not correspond exactly to data shown in table.

Total Retail Margin Revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to Total Gas Revenues, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. Total Retail Margin Revenues excludes revenues collected from retail customers that are directly offset by expenses recorded in other line items. We believe the

⁽²⁾ change in Total Retail Margin Revenues between periods provides useful information to investors because it demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core utility business. Total Retail Margin Revenues represents the portion of retail operating revenues available to cover the non-fuel operating expenses of our core utility business.

Retail therm sales during the first six months of 2013 increased by 10.0% due in part to an 8.9% increase in Heating Degree Days. The increase in retail therm sales, as well as a Base Rate increase implemented in May 2012, contributed to an increase in retail margin revenues of 10.1%, or \$2 million, compared with the same period in 2012.

FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Competition

New technological developments and the implementation of the ACC's Gas Energy Efficiency Standards (Gas EE Standards) may reduce energy consumption by UNS Gas' retail customers. Customers of UNS Gas also have the ability to switch from gas to an alternate energy source that could reduce their reliance on services provided by UNS Gas.

Rates

2012 UNS Gas Rate Order

In April 2012, the ACC approved a Base Rate increase of \$2.7 million as well as an LFCR mechanism to enable UNS Gas to recover lost fixed-cost revenues as a result of implementing the Gas EE Standards. The LFCR is expected to recover lost fixed-cost revenues of less than \$0.1 million in 2014, based on estimated lost retail therm sales from May

2012 through December 2013.

61

Table of Contents

The new rates became effective on May 1, 2012. The impact of the Base Rate increase on customers' bills was offset by a temporary credit adjustment to the PGA. See Purchased Gas Adjustor, below, for more information.

Purchased Gas Adjustor

The PGA mechanism is intended to address the volatility of natural gas prices and allow UNS Gas to recover its actual commodity costs, including transportation, through a price adjustor. The difference between UNS Gas' actual monthly gas and transportation costs and the rolling 12-month average cost of gas and transportation is deferred and recovered or returned to customers through the PGA mechanism.

The PGA mechanism has two components, the PGA factor and the PGA surcharge or credit. The PGA factor is a mechanism that calculates the twelve-month rolling weighted average gas cost and automatically adjusts monthly, subject to limitations on how much the price per therm may change in a 12-month period. The annual cap on the maximum increase in the PGA factor is 15 cents per therm in a 12-month period.

At any time UNS Gas' PGA balancing account, called the PGA bank balance, is under-recovered, UNS Gas may request a PGA surcharge with the goal of collecting the amount deferred from customers over a period deemed appropriate by the ACC. When the PGA bank balance reaches an over-collected balance of \$10 million on a billed-to-customer basis, UNS Gas is required to make a filing with the ACC to determine how the over-collected balance should be returned to customers.

In April 2012, the ACC approved the temporary PGA credit adjustment of 4.5 cents per therm which became effective on May 1, 2012, and will continue through April 2014 or until the bank balance reaches zero. The credit adjustment over this period is expected to return approximately \$10 million of over-collected PGA costs to customers. At June 30, 2013, the PGA bank balance was over-collected by \$14 million on a billed-to-customer basis.

Gas Energy Efficiency Standards

In 2010, the ACC approved Gas EE Standards which are designed to require UNS Gas and other affected utilities to implement cost-effective DSM programs. In 2012, the Gas EE Standards targeted total retail therm savings equal to 1.2% of 2011 sales; in 2013, the Gas EE Standards target total therm savings of 1.8% of 2012 retail therm sales. Targeted savings increase annually in subsequent years until they reach a cumulative annual reduction in retail therm sales of 6% by 2020. UNS Gas' programs, during 2011 and 2012, saved cumulative energy equal to approximately 0.35% of its 2011 retail therm sales.

Existing DSM programs, renewable energy technology that displaces gas and certain energy efficient building codes are acceptable means to meet the Gas EE Standards. The Gas EE Standards provide for the recovery of costs incurred to implement DSM programs. UNS Gas' DSM programs and rates charged to retail customers for these programs are subject to ACC approval.

In 2011, UNS Gas filed its 2011-2012 Gas Energy Efficiency implementation plan and subsequently filed an update in September 2011, which requested a waiver of the Gas EE Standards. In 2012, UNS Gas filed a request to amend its plan to include its 2013 Energy Efficiency plan and for a modified waiver of the Gas EE Standards. We cannot predict when the ACC will rule on the Energy Efficiency plan or the subsequent requests.

Fair Value Measurements

UNS Gas' income statement exposure to risk is mitigated as UNS Gas reports the change in fair value of energy contract derivatives as a regulatory asset or a regulatory liability rather than in the income statement. See Note 11.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity Outlook

UNS Gas expects operating cash flows to fund all of its construction expenditures during 2013. If natural gas prices rise and UNS Gas is not allowed to recover its projected gas costs or PGA bank balance on a timely basis, UNS Gas may require additional funding to meet operating and capital requirements in future periods. Sources of funding future capital expenditures could include existing cash balances, draws on the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver, additional credit lines, the issuance of long-term debt, or capital contributions from UNS Energy.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows and Capital Expenditures

The table below provides summary cash flow information for UNS Gas:

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Cash Provided By (Used In): | | |
| Operating Activities | \$ 17 | \$ 21 |
| Investing Activities | (8 |) (8 |
| Financing Activities | (10 |) (10 |
| Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash | (1 |) 3 |
| Beginning Cash | 31 | 38 |
| Ending Cash | \$ 30 | \$ 41 |

UNS Gas' operating cash flows during the first six months of 2013 were \$4 million lower than the same period last year due in part to the PGA credit that was effective in April 2012 and higher volumes of gas purchased as a result of increased demand from a cold winter .

UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver

The UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver consists of a \$100 million unsecured revolving credit and revolving letter of credit facility. Either company can borrow up to a maximum of \$70 million as long as the combined amount borrowed does not exceed \$100 million. The UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver expires November 2016.

UNS Gas is only liable for UNS Gas' borrowings, and similarly, UNS Electric is only liable for UNS Electric's borrowings under the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver. As of June 30, 2013, UNS Gas had no outstanding borrowings or LOCs under the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver.

The UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver restricts additional indebtedness, liens, and mergers. It also requires each borrower not to exceed a maximum leverage ratio. Each borrower may pay dividends so long as it maintains compliance with the agreement. As of June 30, 2013, UNS Gas and UNS Electric each were in compliance with the terms of the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver.

Interest Rate Risk

UNS Gas is subject to interest rate risk resulting from changes in interest rates on its borrowings under its revolving credit facility. The interest paid on revolving credit borrowings is variable. If LIBOR or other benchmark interest rates increase, UNS Gas may be required to pay higher rates of interest on borrowings under its revolving credit facility.

See Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, below.

Contractual Obligations

In 2013, UNS Gas entered into new forward energy commitments that settle through May 2016 at fixed prices per MMBtu. UNS Gas' minimum payment obligations for these purchases are \$3 million in 2014, \$2 million in 2015, and \$1 million in 2016. There have been no other significant changes in UNS Gas' contractual obligations or other commercial commitments from those reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Dividends on Common Stock

UNS Gas paid dividends to UNS Energy, through UES, of \$10 million during the first six months of 2013 and 2012. UNS Gas' ability to pay future dividends will depend on the cash needs for capital expenditures and various other factors.

The note purchase agreement for UNS Gas contains restrictions on dividends. UNS Gas may pay dividends so long as (i) no default or event of default exists, (ii) it could incur additional debt under the debt incurrence test. As of June 30, 2013, UNS Gas was in compliance with the terms of its note purchase agreement and had sufficient additional debt under the debt incurrence test to pay dividends.

Table of Contents

UNS ELECTRIC

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

UNS Electric reported net income of \$4 million in the second quarters of 2013 and 2012. In the first six months of 2013, UNS Electric reported net income of \$6 million compared with net income of \$7 million in the same period last year.

Like TEP, UNS Electric's operations are typically seasonal in nature, with peak energy demand occurring in the summer months. The table below provides summary financial information for UNS Electric:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | | | |
| Retail Electric Revenues | \$42 | \$44 | \$78 | \$83 |
| Wholesale Electric Revenues | 2 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Other Revenues | — | — | — | 1 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 44 | 48 | 81 | 92 |
| Purchased Energy Expense | 20 | 19 | 37 | 37 |
| Fuel Expense | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Transmission Expense | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| Increase (Decrease) to Reflect PPFAC Recovery | (2 |) 1 | (4 |) 3 |
| O&M | 7 | 7 | 15 | 16 |
| Depreciation and Amortization Expense | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Total Other Operating Expenses | 36 | 39 | 69 | 76 |
| Operating Income | 8 | 9 | 12 | 16 |
| Interest Expense | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Income Tax Expense | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Net Income | \$4 | \$4 | \$6 | \$7 |

Table of Contents

The table below shows UNS Electric's kWh sales and revenues for the second quarters of 2013 and 2012:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Electric Retail Sales, kWh (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | 201 | 205 | (4 |) | (1.6)% |
| Commercial | 170 | 167 | 3 | | 1.2% |
| Industrial | 49 | 55 | (6 |) | (11.2)% |
| Mining | 16 | 28 | (12 |) | (41.9)% |
| Public Authorities | — | — | — | | (1.6)% |
| Total Electric Retail Sales | 436 | 455 | (19 |) | (4.2)% |
| Retail Margin Revenues (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | \$8 | \$8 | \$— | | (1.3)% |
| Commercial | 8 | 8 | — | | (1.3)% |
| Industrial | 2 | 2 | — | | (13.0)% |
| Mining | 1 | 2 | (1 |) | (41.2)% |
| Public Authorities | — | — | — | | — |
| Total Retail Margin Revenues (Non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾ | 19 | 20 | (1 |) | (6.1)% |
| Fuel and Purchased Power Revenues | 21 | 22 | (1 |) | (2.8)% |
| RES & DSM Revenues | 2 | 2 | — | | (25.9)% |
| Total Retail Revenues (GAAP) | \$42 | \$44 | \$(2 |) | (5.6)% |
| Weather Data: | | | | | |
| Cooling Degree Days | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 1,221 | 1,227 | (6 |) | (0.5)% |
| 10-Year Average | 1,029 | 1,056 | NM | | NM |

⁽¹⁾ Percent change calculated on un-rounded data and may not correspond exactly to data shown in table.

Total Retail Margin Revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to Total Retail Revenues, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. Total Retail Margin Revenues exclude revenues collected from retail customers that are directly offset by expenses recorded in other line items. We believe the

⁽²⁾ change in Total Retail Margin Revenues between periods provides useful information to investors because it demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core utility business. Total Retail Margin Revenues represents the portion of retail operating revenues available to cover the non-fuel operating expenses of our core utility business.

Total retail kWh sales in the second quarter of 2013 decreased by 4.2% compared with the same period last year. Sales volumes to mining customers decreased by 41.9% in the second quarter of 2013 due to one of UNS Electric's mining customers generating a portion of its own electricity. Industrial kWh sales decreased by 11.2% due to the loss of a customer in the fourth quarter of 2012. Total Retail Margin Revenues in the second quarter of 2013 were similar to the level in the second quarter of 2012. See Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Large Customers, below.

Table of Contents

The table below shows UNS Electric's kWh sales and revenues for the first six months of 2013 and 2012:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | Percent ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Electric Retail Sales, kWh (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | 392 | 375 | 17 | 4.3 | % |
| Commercial | 297 | 299 | (2) | (0.5) | % |
| Industrial | 91 | 108 | (17) | (15.7) | % |
| Mining | 29 | 55 | (26) | (47.0) | % |
| Public Authorities | 1 | 1 | — | 14.7 | % |
| Total Electric Retail Sales | 810 | 838 | (28) | (3.4) | % |
| Retail Margin Revenues (in Millions): | | | | | |
| Residential | \$15 | \$15 | \$— | 4.1 | % |
| Commercial | 14 | 14 | — | (0.7) | % |
| Industrial | 4 | 5 | (1) | (15.2) | % |
| Mining | 3 | 3 | — | (26.5) | % |
| Public Authorities | — | — | — | — | |
| Total Retail Margin Revenues (Non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾ | 36 | 37 | (1) | (3.0) | % |
| Fuel and Purchased Power Revenues | 38 | 40 | (2) | (6.3) | % |
| RES & DSM Revenues | 4 | 6 | (2) | (33.9) | % |
| Total Retail Revenues (GAAP) | \$78 | \$83 | \$(5) | (6.7) | % |
| Weather Data: | | | | | |
| Cooling Degree Days | | | | | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 1,304 | 1,274 | 30 | 2.4 | % |
| 10-Year Average | 1,074 | 1,101 | NM | NM | |

⁽¹⁾ Percent change calculated on un-rounded data and may not correspond exactly to data shown in table.

Total Retail Margin Revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure, should not be considered as an alternative to Total Retail Revenues, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. Total Retail Margin Revenues exclude revenues collected from retail customers that are directly offset by expenses recorded in other line items. We believe the

⁽²⁾ change in Total Retail Margin Revenues between periods provides useful information to investors because it demonstrates the underlying revenue trend and performance of our core utility business. Total Retail Margin Revenues represents the portion of retail operating revenues available to cover the non-fuel operating expenses of our core utility business.

Total retail kWh sales in the first six months of 2013 decreased by 3.4% compared with the same period last year. Sales volumes to mining customers decreased by 47.0% in the first six months of 2013 due to one of UNS Electric's mining customers generating a portion of its own electricity. Industrial kWh sales decreased by 15.7% due to the loss of a customer in the fourth quarter of 2012. Total Retail Margin Revenues in the first six months of 2013 were similar to the level in the same period last year. See Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Large Customers, below.

FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2012 UNS Electric Rate Case

In December 2012, UNS Electric filed a rate case application with the ACC as required by the ACC in UNS Electric's 2010 Rate Order.

The key provisions of UNS Electric's rate request are summarized below, as well as ACC Staff's testimony that was filed in June 2013.

Table of Contents

| | UNS Electric Request | ACC Staff Testimony |
|---|---|--|
| Non-fuel Base Rate Increase and % Increase Over Adjusted Test Year Revenues | \$7.5 million or 4.6% | \$1.4 million or 0.8% |
| OCRB | \$217 million, including \$13 million of post test year adjustments | \$212 million, including \$8 million of post test year adjustments |
| FVRB | \$286 million | \$281 million |
| Fair Value Increment of Rate Base (the difference between FVRB and OCRB) | \$69 million | \$69 million |
| Capital Structure | 47% debt / 53% equity | 47% debt / 53% equity |
| Cost of Long-Term Debt | 5.97% | 5.97% |
| Return on Equity | 10.50% | 9.25% |
| Return on Fair Value Increment | 1.61% | 0.0% - 0.5% |

UNS Electric is also seeking the approval of the cost recovery mechanisms described below.

Lost Fixed Cost Recovery Mechanism

UNS Electric proposed a LFCR mechanism that would allow UNS Electric to recover certain non-fuel costs that would otherwise go unrecovered due to reduced kWh sales attributed to compliance with the ACC's Electric EE Standards and distributed generation requirements under the ACC's RES. The LFCR is not a full decoupling mechanism because it is not intended to recover lost fixed costs attributable to weather or economic conditions. In its testimony, ACC Staff recommended the approval of the LFCR.

Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism

UNS Electric proposed a Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism (TCA) that would allow UNS Electric to recover, on a more timely basis, transmission costs associated with serving retail customers. UNS Electric's proposed retail Base Rates include a transmission cost reflective of the current FERC rate. As the FERC rate changes, the TCA would result in a corresponding adjustment to the transmission component of retail Base Rates. In its testimony, ACC Staff recommended the approval of the TCA.

Energy Efficiency Resource Plan

UNS Electric proposed a three-year pilot program that would allow it to invest in energy efficiency programs in order to meet the ACC's Electric EE Standards in the most cost-effective manner. Electric EE Standards investments would be considered regulatory assets and amortized over a four-year period. UNS Electric would earn a return on its investments and recover the return and amortization expense through the existing demand-side management surcharge.

Status of Rate Request

Settlement discussions among the parties commenced on July 29, 2013. Hearings before an ACC administrative law judge are scheduled to begin in October 2013. UNS Electric requested that new rates be effective by January 1, 2014. We cannot predict the outcome of the settlement proceedings or whether UNS Electric's rate request will be adopted by the ACC in whole or in part.

Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff

As part of UNS Electric's rate order in 2010, the ACC authorized UNS Electric to recover operating costs, depreciation, property taxes, and a return on its investment in company-owned solar projects through RES funds until

these costs are reflected in its Base Rates. Under these terms, UNS Electric expects to invest \$5 million annually in 2013 and 2014 in solar photovoltaic projects.

In January 2013, the ACC approved UNS Electric's 2013 RES implementation plan. UNS Electric will collect approximately \$7 million from customers during 2013, a portion of which is expected to provide recovery of operating costs and a return on investment to UNS Electric for company-owned solar projects.

Table of Contents

Electric Energy Efficiency Standards

In 2010, the ACC approved Electric EE Standards. See Tucson Electric Power, Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Electric Energy Efficiency Standards, above for more information.

In June 2012, UNS Electric filed its 2013 Energy Efficiency implementation plan with the ACC. The proposal includes a request for a 2013 performance incentive of approximately \$1 million. UNS Electric requested a waiver from complying with the 2013 Electric EE Standards. UNS Electric is unable to predict when the ACC will issue a final order in this matter.

Competition

See Tucson Electric Power, Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Competition, above.

Large Customers

One of UNS Electric's mining customers began generating a portion of its own electricity needs in 2011. Due to UNS Electric's retail rate structure and the customer's peak electric demand, the margin revenues from this customer in 2012 were near the same level as 2011. Another large retail customer shut down its operations in UNS Electric's service territory. As a result of these two events, we estimate UNS Electric's non-residential retail margin revenues will be approximately \$4 million lower in 2013 than in 2012.

Interest Rates

UNS Electric is subject to interest rate risk resulting from changes in interest rates on its borrowings under its revolving credit facility. The interest paid on revolving credit borrowings is variable. If LIBOR or other benchmark interest rates increase, UNS Electric may be required to pay higher rates of interest on borrowings under its revolving credit facility.

Fair Value Measurements

UNS Electric's income statement exposure to risk is mitigated as UNS Electric reports the change in fair value of energy contract derivatives as a regulatory asset or a regulatory liability rather than in the income statement. See Note 11.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity Outlook

UNS Electric expects operating cash flows to fund a large portion of its construction expenditures during 2013. Additional sources of funding capital expenditures could include draws on the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver, additional credit lines, the issuance of long-term debt, or capital contributions from UNS Energy.

Cash Flows and Capital Expenditures

The table below provides summary cash flow information for UNS Electric:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Millions of Dollars | |
| Cash Provided By (Used In): | | |
| Operating Activities | \$12 | \$26 |
| Investing Activities | (30 |) (15 |
| Financing Activities | 14 | — |
| Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash | (4 |) 11 |
| Beginning Cash | 8 | 5 |
| Ending Cash | \$4 | \$16 |
| Operating Activities | | |

Cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$14 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with the same period in 2012 due to: a \$9 million decrease in cash receipts from electric sales (net of fuel and purchased

energy costs paid) due in part to a lower PPFAC rate that was effective in June 2012 and the loss of an industrial customer; and a \$4 million increase in

68

Table of Contents

income taxes paid (net of income tax refunds received) due primarily to true-up payments related to estimated income tax payments made in 2012.

Investing Activities

UNS Electric had capital expenditures of \$29 million in the first six months of 2013 compared with \$16 million in the same period in 2012. The increase is related to a transmission line that is being constructed to increase reliability to UNS Electric's service territory in Nogales, Arizona. UNS Electric estimates total capital expenditures in 2013 of \$50 million.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities at UNS Electric in the first six months of 2013 increased by \$14 million when compared with the same period in 2012. Financing activities in 2013 included \$12 million of borrowings under its revolving credit facility and a \$2 million receipt related to a contribution in aid of construction from a large customer.

UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver

See UNS Gas, Liquidity and Capital Resources, UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver above for a description of UNS Electric's unsecured revolving credit agreement.

UNS Electric expects to draw upon the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver from time to time for seasonal working capital purposes, to fund a portion of its capital expenditures or to issue LOCs to provide credit enhancement for its energy procurement and hedging activities. As of June 30, 2013, UNS Electric had \$12 million of outstanding borrowings and less than \$1 million of LOCs issued under the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver.

Contractual Obligations

In 2013, UNS Electric entered into new forward purchase power commitments that will settle through September 2015 at fixed prices per MWh. UNS Electric's estimated minimum payment obligations for these purchases are \$4 million in 2014 and \$3 million in 2015.

Additionally, UNS Electric is contractually obligated to retail customers with solar installations to make RES PBI payments for environmental attributes, or RECs. In 2013, UNS Electric's total obligation for RES PBIs increased by approximately \$1 million, from \$6 million on December 31, 2012, to \$7 million on June 30, 2013. PBIs are recoverable through the RES tariff. See Note 4.

There have been no other significant changes in UNS Electric's contractual obligations or other commercial commitments from those reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Dividends on Common Stock

In the first six months of 2013, UNS Electric paid no dividends to UNS Energy. UNS Electric's ability to pay future dividends will depend on the cash needs for capital expenditures and various other factors.

The note purchase agreement for UNS Electric contains restrictions on dividends. UNS Electric may pay dividends so long as (i) no default or event of default exists, and (ii) it could incur additional debt under the debt incurrence test. As of June 30, 2013, UNS Electric was in compliance with the terms of its note purchase agreement and the terms of the UNS Gas/UNS Electric Revolver.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Plant Asset Depreciable Lives

The 2013 TEP Rate Order approved a change in depreciation rates for generation and distribution plant from an average of 3.32% to 3.00% , effective July 1, 2013. The change in depreciation rates will have the effect of reducing depreciation expense by approximately \$11 million annually. The reduction in depreciation expense is primarily due to revised estimates of removal costs, net of estimated salvage value for interim and final retirements. See Note 2.

Table of Contents

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENT

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued authoritative guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of certain obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date. Upon implementation entities will continue reporting their obligations under joint and several arrangements. In addition, the entity must measure, recognize, and disclose in the financial statements amounts they expect to pay on behalf of co-obligors for fixed obligations as of the balance sheet date. This guidance will be effective in the first quarter of 2014. We are evaluating the impact to our financial statements and disclosures.

SAFE HARBOR FOR FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements as defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. UNS Energy and TEP are including the following cautionary statements to make applicable and take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any forward-looking statements made by or for UNS Energy or TEP in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions, and other statements that are not statements of historical facts.

Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as anticipates, estimates, expects, intends, plans, predicts, projects, and similar expressions. From time to time, we may publish or otherwise make available forward-looking statements of this nature. All such forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, and whether made by or on behalf of UNS Energy or TEP, are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements and any other cautionary statements which may accompany the forward-looking statements. In addition, UNS Energy and TEP disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed therein. We express our expectations, beliefs, and projections in good faith and believe them to have a reasonable basis. However, we make no assurances that management's expectations, beliefs or projections will be achieved or accomplished. We have identified the following important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in our forward-looking statements. These may be in addition to other factors and matters discussed in: Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors; Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis; and other parts of this report. These factors include: state and federal regulatory and legislative decisions and actions; regional economic and market conditions which could affect customer growth and energy usage; weather variations affecting energy usage; the cost of debt and equity capital and access to capital markets; the performance of the stock market and changing interest rate environment, which affect the value of our pension and other retiree benefit plan assets and the related contribution requirements and expense; unexpected increases in O&M expense; resolution of pending litigation matters; changes in accounting standards; changes in critical accounting estimates; the ongoing restructuring of the electric industry; changes to long-term contracts; the cost of fuel and power supplies; cyber attacks or challenges to our information security; and the performance of TEP's generating plants.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See the legal proceedings described in Item 3. – Legal Proceedings in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K and in Note 4 and in Item 2. – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which descriptions in Note 4 and Item 2 are incorporated herein by reference.

Springville Unit 1 Appraisal

Springville Unit 1 is leased by TEP under leases which expire in 2015 and which provide TEP with an option to purchase the lease interests upon the lease expiration at fair market value. In December 2011, TEP and the owner

participants of the Springerville Unit 1 Leases completed a formal appraisal procedure with three appraisers in accordance with the lease agreements to determine the fair market value purchase price. The lease agreements provide that the purchase price determined through the appraisal procedure will be final and binding upon the parties. The aggregate purchase price for the owner participants' lease interests was determined to be \$159 million.

On April 26, 2012, TEP filed a petition to confirm the appraisal in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona naming the owner participants (Daimler Capital Services LLC, LDVFI TEP LLC, Alterna Springerville LLC, MWR Capital Inc., and Pacific Harbor Capital Inc.) and the owner trustee and co-trustee (Wilmington Trust Company and William J. Wade) as respondents. The petition states that TEP filed the petition since neither the owner participants nor the owner trustee and co-

Table of Contents

trustee have acknowledged that the purchase price determined by the appraisal procedure in December 2011 is final and binding and that TEP seeks an order from the court confirming the appraisal as an arbitration award under the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA).

On June 1, 2012, the owner participants filed a response in opposition to TEP's petition. In their response, the owner participants allege that the appraisal procedure failed to yield a legitimate purchase price for the lease interests, stating, among other things, that not all of the three appraisers performed their appraisals in accordance with required standards. The owner participants requested that the court dismiss the action and deny TEP's petition on the grounds that there is not a present controversy for the court to decide, since, among other things, TEP has not exercised the purchase option. The owner participants also dispute TEP's position that the appraisal procedure should be treated as an arbitration award for purposes of judicial review. In January 2013, the court denied TEP's petition on the grounds that the court is without jurisdiction under the FAA to confirm the appraisal.

On February 12, 2013, TEP appealed the matter to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, where it is currently pending.

TEP believes that the appraisal procedure was properly conducted in accordance with the lease agreements and that the results are final and binding. TEP intends to continue vigorously pursuing its legal remedies to confirm the results of the appraisal procedure, if any purchase options are exercised.

Under the lease agreements, TEP has until August 31, 2013 to exercise its options to purchase one or more ownership interests in Springerville Unit 1.

ITEM 1A. – RISK FACTORS

The business and financial results of UNS Energy and TEP are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. There are no significant changes to the risks and uncertainties reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than as described below:

TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric are subject to regulation by the ACC, which sets the companies' Retail Rates and oversees many aspects of their business in ways that could negatively affect the companies' results of operations, net income, and cash flows.

The ACC is a constitutionally created body composed of five elected commissioners. Commissioners are elected state-wide for staggered four-year terms and are limited to serving a total of two terms. As a result, the composition of the commission, and therefore its policies, are subject to change every two years.

The ACC is charged with setting retail electric and gas rates that provide utility companies with an opportunity to recover their costs of service and earn a reasonable rate of return. The decisions these elected officials make on such matters impact the net income and cash flows of TEP, UNS Gas, and UNS Electric.

In May 2013, the ACC initiated an inquiry to discuss the possibility of opening Arizona to retail electric competition. If the ACC decides to implement retail electric competition in Arizona, it could negatively impact the results of operations, net income, and cash flows of TEP and UNS Electric.

Developments in technology could reduce the demand for electricity.

Research and development activities are ongoing for new technologies that produce power or reduce power consumption. These technologies include renewable energy, customer-owned generation, energy efficiency, and appliances and equipment. Advances in these, or other technologies, could reduce the cost of producing electricity or make our existing generating facilities less economical. In addition, advances in such technologies could reduce electricity demand, which could negatively impact the results of operations, net income, and cash flows of TEP and

UNS Electric.

71

ITEM 2. – UNREGISTERED SALE OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

See Part I, Item 2. – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, UNS Energy Consolidated, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Convertible Senior Notes.

ITEM 3. – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

UNS Energy’s and TEP’s primary market risks include fluctuations in interest rates, commodity prices and volumes, and counterparty credit. Fluctuations in interest rates can affect earnings and cash flows. We enter into interest rate swaps and financing transactions to manage changes in interest rates. Fluctuations in commodity prices and volumes and counterparty credit losses may temporarily affect cash flows, but are not expected to affect earnings due to expected recovery through regulatory mechanisms.

There have been no additional risks and no material changes to market risks disclosed in Part II, Item 7A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, other than the following:

Commodity Price Risk—TEP

See Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis, Tucson Electric Power, Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Long-Term Wholesale Sales, Long-Term Wholesale Margin and Sensitivity.

ITEM 4. – CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

UNS Energy’s and TEP’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer supervised and participated in UNS Energy’s and TEP’s evaluation of their disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Rule 13a – 15(e) or Rule 15d – 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in UNS Energy’s and TEP’s periodic reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by UNS Energy and TEP in the reports that they file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the principal executive and principal financial officers, or person performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon the evaluation performed, UNS Energy’s and TEP’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that UNS Energy’s and TEP’s disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

While UNS Energy and TEP continually strive to improve their disclosure controls and procedures to enhance the quality of their financial reporting, there has been no change in UNS Energy’s or TEP’s internal control over financial reporting during the second quarter of 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, UNS Energy’s or TEP’s internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 5. – OTHER INFORMATION

Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges

The following table reflects the ratio of earnings to fixed charges for UNS Energy and TEP:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2013 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| UNS Energy | 2.145 | 2.440 |
| TEP | 1.833 | 2.199 |

For purposes of this computation, earnings are defined as pre-tax earnings plus interest expense and amortization of debt discount and expense. Fixed charges are interest expense, including amortization of debt discount and expense.

Environmental Matters

The table below provides a summary of the estimated impact of pending environmental regulations on TEP's annual O&M expense and capital expenditures.

| Generating Station | Estimated Annual O&M Expense Millions of Dollars | Estimated Capital Expenditures | Regulation (Compliance Date) | Upgrades |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| San Juan Unit 1 | \$1 | \$25 | Regional Haze/BART (2016) | SNCRs ⁽¹⁾ |
| Navajo Units 1-3 | 3 | 86 | MATS (2015) Regional Haze/BART (2030) | Mercury Controls; SCRs; Baghouses |
| Four Corners Units 4 & 5 | 3 | 36 | MATS (2015) Regional Haze/BART (2018) | Mercury Controls; SCRs |
| Springerville Units 1 & 2 | 3 | 5 | MATS (2015) | Mercury Controls |

⁽¹⁾ The current plan calls for the installation of SNCR technology on San Juan Unit 1 and the retirement of San Juan Unit 2. If SCR technology is installed on San Juan Units 1 and 2, TEP estimates its share of the cost would be \$180 million to \$200 million.

The table below provides TEP's ownership interest in coal-fired generating facilities.

| Generating Facility | Unit No. | Net Capability MW | Operating Agent | TEP's Share | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----|
| | | | | % | MW |
| Springerville Station ⁽¹⁾ | 1 | 401 | TEP | 100.0 | 401 |
| Springerville Station | 2 | 403 | TEP | 100.0 | 403 |
| San Juan Station | 1 | 340 | PNM | 50.0 | 170 |
| San Juan Station | 2 | 340 | PNM | 50.0 | 170 |
| Navajo Station | 1 | 750 | SRP | 7.5 | 56 |
| Navajo Station | 2 | 750 | SRP | 7.5 | 56 |
| Navajo Station | 3 | 750 | SRP | 7.5 | 56 |
| Four Corners Station | 4 | 784 | APS | 7.0 | 55 |
| Four Corners Station | 5 | 784 | APS | 7.0 | 55 |
| Sundt Station ⁽²⁾ | 4 | 120 | TEP | 100.0 | 120 |

⁽¹⁾ As of June 30, 2013, TEP owned a 14% undivided interest in Springerville Unit 1 and leased the remaining 86%.

⁽²⁾ Sundt Unit 4 is a dual fuel unit that can be operated with coal or natural gas. The net generating capability when Sundt Unit 4 is operated with with natural gas is 156 MW.

Greenhouse Gas Regulation

On June 25, 2013, President Obama directed the EPA to move forward with regulations to limit carbon emissions from new and existing fossil fueled power plants. Specifically, the President directed the EPA to issue a re-proposed rule for new power plants by September 20, 2013. UNS Energy does not anticipate that a final rule related to new fossil-fueled power plant sources will have a significant impact on operations.

Additionally, the President ordered the EPA to:

propose carbon emission standards for existing power plants by June 1, 2014;
finalize those standards by June 1, 2015; and

require states to submit their implementation plans to meet the standards by June 30, 2016.

UNS Energy will continue to work with regulatory agencies (both federal and state) to promote compliance flexibility in the rules impacting existing fossil-fuel fired power plants. We cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

For more information on TEP's environmental matters, please see Note 4.

ITEM 6. – EXHIBITS

See Exhibit Index.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. The signature for each undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company or its subsidiaries.

Date: July 30, 2013

UNS ENERGY CORPORATION
(Registrant)

/s/ Kevin P. Larson
Kevin P. Larson
Senior Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

Date: July 30, 2013

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
(Registrant)

/s/ Kevin P. Larson
Kevin P. Larson
Senior Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

- * 10(a) Severance Agreement between Michael J. DeConcini and Tucson Electric Power Company. (Form 8-K dated July 25, 2013, File 1-13739 - Exhibit 10(a)).
- * 10(b) UNS Energy Corporation Severance Plan, as amended. (Form 8-K dated July 25, 2013, File 1-13739 - Exhibit 10(b)).
- 12(a) — Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – UNS Energy.
- 12(b) — Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges – TEP.
- 15(a) — Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information – UNS Energy.
- 15(b) — Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information – TEP.
- 31(a) — Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act – UNS Energy, by Paul J. Bonavia.
- 31(b) — Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act – UNS Energy, by Kevin P. Larson.
- 31(c) — Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act – TEP, by Paul J. Bonavia.
- 31(d) — Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act – TEP, by Kevin P. Larson.
- **32(a) — Statements of Corporate Officers (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) – UNS Energy.
- **32(b) — Statements of Corporate Officers (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) – TEP.
- 101 — The following materials from UNS Energy Corporation’s and Tucson Electric Power Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language):
 - (a) UNS Energy Corporation’s and Tucson Electric Power Company’s (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (v) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity; and
 - (b) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Previously filed as indicated and incorporated herein by reference.
 ** Not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.