CNA SURETY CORP Form 10-Q July 31, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

þ	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____ to _____ Commission file number: 1-13277 CNA SURETY CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

36-4144905 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

333 S. WABASH AVE., CHICAGO, ILLINOIS (Address of principal executive offices)

60604 (Zip Code)

(312) 822-5000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes β No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes β No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes b No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. o Yes o No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

44,260,446 shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value as of July 22, 2009.

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009 and at December 31, 2008	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and	
<u>2008</u>	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	44
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	44
Item 1A. Risk Factors	44
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	45
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	45
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	45
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	45
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	45
<u>EX-10.40</u>	
EX-10.41	
EX-10.42 EX-10.43	
EX-31.1	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
2	

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

		June 30, 2009 Amounts in t		December 31, 2008
	(1		are da	
Assets				
Invested assets: Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost: \$1,109,007 and				
\$1,041,816)	\$	1,126,812	\$	1,034,646
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: \$1,344 and \$1,231)		1,425		1,231
Short-term investments, at cost (approximates fair value)		68,248		80,606
Total invested assets		1,196,485		1,116,483
Cash		7,503		9,596
Deferred policy acquisition costs Insurance receivables:		105,189		102,092
Premiums, including \$11,186 and \$14,303 from affiliates, (net of allowance				
for doubtful accounts: \$1,049 and \$1,307)		47,505		36,948
Reinsurance, including \$0 and \$46,122 from affiliates		46,299		91,452
Deposit with affiliated ceding company		27,425		29,693
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization: \$25,523 and \$25,523)		138,785		138,785
Current income taxes receivable		431		
Property and equipment, at cost (less accumulated depreciation and				
amortization: \$36,057 and \$33,506)		24,144		24,378
Prepaid reinsurance premiums (including \$0 and \$105 from affiliates)		328		420
Accrued investment income		14,621		13,464
Other assets		2,235		2,208
Total assets	\$	1,610,950	\$	1,565,519
Liabilities				
Reserves:				
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$	419,812	\$	428,724
Unearned premiums		266,020		258,824
Total reserves		685,832		687,548
Long-term debt		30,930		30,892
Deferred income taxes, net		18,457		9,647
Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates		278		1,680
Accrued expenses		13,318		20,056
Liability for postretirement benefits		9,673		9,283
Payable for securities purchased				8,398
Federal income tax payable		22.795		1,581
Other liabilities		23,785		29,139

Total liabilities	782,273	798,224
Commitments and contingencies (See Notes 3, 5, & 8)		
Stockholders Equity		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share, 100,000 shares authorized; 45,626		
shares issued and 44,254 shares outstanding at June 30, 2009 and 45,544		
shares issued and 44,168 shares outstanding at December 31, 2008	456	455
Additional paid-in capital	278,333	276,255
Retained earnings	552,675	509,644
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	11,944	(4,286)
Treasury stock, 1,372 and 1,376 shares, at cost	(14,731)	(14,773)
Total stockholders equity	828,677	767,295
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,610,950	\$ 1,565,519
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated fin	ancial statements	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

		Months Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,								
	2009	2008	2009	2008							
	(Amount in thousands, except per share data										
Revenues:											
Net earned premium	\$ 105,69	5 \$ 108,477	\$ 206,846	\$211,118							
Net investment income	12,57	7 11,746	24,823	23,511							
Net realized investment gains (losses):											
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(1,82)	4)	(1,870)								
Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses											
recognized in other comprehensive income (before											
taxes)	1,70	8	1,708								
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(11	6)	(162)								
Net realized investment gains (losses), excluding	(11)	0)	(102)								
impairment losses on available-for-sale securities	6	9 (21)	49	(30)							
Total net realized investment losses	(4)	7) (21)	(113)	(30)							
Total revenues	118,22	5 120,202	231,556	234,599							
Expenses:											
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	30,97	5 27,390	60,563	53,358							
Net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting	,	,	,	,							
expenses	55,91	7 57,825	110,195	113,112							
Interest expense	359		777	1,151							
	0= 0=		4=4 =0=	467.604							
Total expenses	87,25	1 85,747	171,535	167,621							
Income before income taxes	30,97	4 34,455	60,021	66,978							
Income tax expense	8,80	7 10,405	16,990	20,026							
N	.		h 12.021	. 460 70							
Net income	\$ 22,16	7 \$ 24,050	\$ 43,031	\$ 46,952							
Earnings per common share	\$ 0.5	0 \$ 0.54	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.06							
Earnings per common share, assuming dilution	\$ 0.5	0 \$ 0.54	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.06							
Weighted average shares outstanding	44,25	1 44,132	44,229	44,134							
Weighted average shares outstanding, assuming											
dilution	44,41	2 44,231	44,394	44,255							

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

	Common Stock Shares		Additional n Paid-in C	Com	prehensiv		Com	_	Treasury	Sto	Total ckholders
	Outstandir	ngStock	Capital		Income (Amounts	Earnings s in thousar		ncome (Loss)	At Cost		Equity
Balance, January 1, 2008	44,121	\$ 455	\$ 274,069			\$ 399,241	\$	8,800	\$ (14,860)	\$	667,705
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on securities, after income tax benefit of \$6,100 (ne of reclassification)	I	\$	\$	\$	46,952	\$ 46,952	\$		\$	\$	46,952
adjustment of (\$49), after income tax benefit of \$26) Net change related to postretirement benefits, after income tax benefit of \$204					(11,328)			(11,328)			(11,328)
Total comprehensive income	;			\$	35,379						
Stock-based compensation Stock options exercised and other	20		919 205						41		919 246
Balance, June 30, 2008	44,141	\$ 455	\$ 275,193			\$ 446,193	\$	(2,773)	\$ (14,819)	\$	704,249
Balance, January 1, 2009	44,168	\$ 455	\$ 276,255			\$ 509,644	\$	(4,286)	\$ (14,773)	\$	767,295
Comprehensive income: Net income		\$	\$	\$	43,031	\$ 43,031	\$		\$	\$	43,031

9

Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on securities, after income tax expense of \$9,368 (net of reclassification adjustment of (\$1,380), after income tax benefit of \$743) Other-than-temporary impairment losses not recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of				17,396		17,396		17,396
Income, after income tax benefit of \$598 Net change related to postretirement benefits, after income tax benefit of \$25				(1,110)		(1,110)		(1,110)
Total comprehensive income				\$ 59,261		` ,		` /
Stock-based compensation Stock options exercised and other	86	1	1,022 1,056				42	1,022 1,099
Balance, June 30, 2009	44,254	\$ 456	\$ 278,333		\$ 552,675	\$ 11,944	\$ (14,731)	\$ 828,677

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Six Month June	
	2009	2008
	(Amounts in	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 43,031	\$ 46,952
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for doubtful accounts	112	80
Depreciation and amortization	3,050	2,691
Amortization of bond premium, net	2,138	1,391
(Gain) loss on disposal of property and equipment	(15)	46
Net realized investment losses	113	30
Stock-based compensation	1,022	919
Changes in:		
Insurance receivables	34,484	(23,225)
Reserve for unearned premiums	7,196	13,689
Reserve for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	(8,912)	22,199
Deposit with affiliated ceding company	2,268	(537)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(3,097)	(2,968)
Deferred income taxes, net		101
Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates	(1,402)	(619)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	92	14
Accrued expenses	(6,738)	(5,053)
Other assets and liabilities	(8,177)	(1,431)
Net cash provided by operating activities	65,165	54,279
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Fixed income securities:		
Purchases	(128,846)	(62,290)
Maturities	56,806	20,206
Sales	2,581	24,177
Purchases of equity securities	(677)	(333)
Proceeds from the sale of equity securities	525	460
Changes in short-term investments	12,415	(37,028)
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(2,763)	(3,825)
Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased	(8,398)	
Other, net		200
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(68,357)	(58,433)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Employee stock option exercises and other	1,099	246

11

Net cash provided by financing activities		1,099	246
Decrease in cash		(2,093)	(3,908)
Cash at beginning of period		9,596	10,230
Cash at end of period	\$	7,503	\$ 6,322
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$	789	\$ 1,187
Income taxes	\$	18,824	\$ 19,089
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial s	taten	nents.	
6			

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2009 (UNAUDITED)

1. Significant Accounting Policies

Formation of CNA Surety Corporation and Merger

In December 1996, CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF) and Capsure Holdings Corp. (Capsure) agreed to merge (the Merger) the surety business of CNAF with Capsure s insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company (Western Surety), Surety Bonding Company of America (Surety Bonding) and Universal Surety of America (Universal Surety), into CNA Surety Corporation (CNA Surety or the Company). CNAF, through its operating subsidiaries, writes multiple lines of property and casualty insurance, including surety business that is reinsured by Western Surety. The principal operating subsidiaries of CNAF that wrote the surety line of business for their own account prior to the Merger were Continental Casualty Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, CCC) and The Continental Insurance Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, CIC). Through its insurance subsidiaries, CNAF owns approximately 62% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety. Loews Corporation (Loews) owns approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF.

Principles of Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of CNA Surety and all majority-owned subsidiaries.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. *Basis of Presentation*

These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in the Company s 2008 Form 10-K. Certain financial information that is included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP is not required for interim reporting and has been condensed or omitted. The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial statements. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. The financial results for interim periods may not be indicative of financial results for a full year.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents which is computed using the treasury stock method.

7

Table of Contents

The computation of earnings per common share is as follows (amounts in thousands, except for per share data):

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Montl June	
Net income	2009 \$ 22,167	2008 \$ 24,050	2009 \$ 43,031	2008 \$ 46,952
Shares: Weighted average shares outstanding Weighted average shares of options exercised and	44,240	44,130	44,168	44,121
additional stock issuance	11	2	61	13
Total weighted average shares outstanding Effect of dilutive options	44,251 161	44,132 99	44,229 165	44,134 121
Total weighted average shares outstanding, assuming dilution	44,412	44,231	44,394	44,255
Earnings per share	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.06
Earnings per share, assuming dilution	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.06

No adjustments were made to reported net income in the computation of earnings per share. Options to purchase shares of common stock of 0.8 million and 0.6 million were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for both the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively, because the exercise price of these options was greater than the average market price of CNA Surety s common stock during the respective periods.

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events since the date of these condensed consolidated financial statements through the issuance date of July 31, 2009.

Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

On December 4, 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements (ARB 51), to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary and clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest and requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated statement of income, of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest. SFAS 160 establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent sownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation and clarifies that all of those transactions are equity transactions if the parent retains its controlling financial interest in the subsidiary. SFAS 160 requires expanded disclosures in the consolidated financial statements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent sowners and the interests of the noncontrolling owners of a subsidiary. SFAS 160 was effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 160 had no impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) SFAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP SFAS 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until fiscal years beginning

after November 15, 2008. The Company adopted FSP SFAS 157-2 on January 1, 2009. The adoption of FSP SFAS 157-2 had no impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 161), which amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133), and requires enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations and how derivative instruments and related hedge items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 also requires the disclosure of the fair values of derivative instruments and their gains and losses in a tabular format and requires cross-referencing within the footnotes of important information about derivative instruments. In September 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. SFAS 133 and FIN 45-4, Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees: An Amendment to FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45; and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161 (FSP SFAS 133 and FIN 45-4). FSP SFAS 133 and FIN 45-4 amends SFAS 133

8

Table of Contents

to require disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives, amends FIN 45 to require additional disclosure about the current status of the risk of a guarantee and clarified the effective date of SFAS 161. SFAS 161 and FSP SFAS 133 and FIN 45-4 were effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 161 and FSP SFAS 133 and FIN 45-4 had no impact on the Company s required disclosures.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS 162), which identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP in the United States (the GAAP hierarchy). The Company adopted SFAS 162 on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 162 had no impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP 132(R)-1, Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets (FSP 123(R)-1), which requires additional disclosures regarding plan assets and to provide information regarding the following: how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and procedures; the major categories of plan assets; the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets; the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period; and significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. The disclosures required by this FSP are required for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009 and were effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of FSP 132(R)-1 had no impact on the Company s disclosures as the Company s postretirement benefit plans have no plan assets.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly (FSP SFAS 157-4), which requires entities to assess whether certain factors exist that indicate that the volume and level of market activity for an asset or liability have decreased or that transactions are not orderly. If, after evaluating those factors, the evidence indicates there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity in relation to normal market activity, observed transactional values or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value and adjustment to the observed transactional values or quoted prices may be necessary to estimate fair value. FSP SFAS 157-4 was effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of FSP SFAS 157-4 had no impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-than-Temporary Impairments (FSP SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2), which amends the criteria for the recognition of other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) for debt securities and requires that credit losses be recognized in earnings and losses resulting from factors other than credit of the issuer be recognized in other comprehensive income. Prior to adoption, all OTTI were recorded in earnings in the period of recognition. This FSP also expands and increases the frequency of existing disclosures. FSP SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2 was effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, and requires a cumulative effect adjustment of initially applying the FSP as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income. The adoption of FSP SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2 had no cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings or accumulated other comprehensive income as the Company determined that previously recorded OTTI on fixed income securities were credit-related and would have been recognized through earnings as required by this FSP. The Company recorded OTTI losses in the current period in accordance with FSP SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (FSP SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1), which amends FASB Statement No. 107 Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim as well as annual financial statements. FSP SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is effective for interim and fiscal periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of FSP SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 had no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations. The Company has complied with the interim disclosure requirements required by this standard in Note 4, Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events (SFAS 165), which provides guidance on the recognition and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date, but before financial statements are issued

or are available to be issued. SFAS 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This statement does not change previous guidance regarding the recognition or disclosure of subsequent events, other than the additional requirement regarding the date through which subsequent events have been considered. The Company has disclosed the date through which subsequent events are evaluated.

9

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 (SFAS 166). SFAS 166 removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125, and the related scope exceptions from FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. It also modifies the de-recognition conditions related to legal isolation and effective control and adds additional disclosure requirements for transfers of financial assets. SFAS 166 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 166 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (SFAS 167), which amends the consolidation guidance applicable for variable interest entities as well as requirements for determination of the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, requires an ongoing assessment of whether an entity is the primary beneficiary and requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements information regarding an enterprise s involvement in a variable interest entity. SFAS 167 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 167 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (SFAS 168), which announced that the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) will become the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. On the effective date of SFAS 168, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 168 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

2. Investments

Major categories of net investment income were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30			Six Months Ended June 30				
		2009	2008		2009		2008	
Investment income:								
Fixed income securities	\$	12,724	\$	11,406	\$	25,027	\$	22,804
Equity securities		10		12		19		25
Short-term investments		36		349		84		730
Other		15		11		34		24
Total investment income on available-for-sale								
securities		12,785		11,778		25,164		23,583
Investment income on deposit with affiliated								
ceding company		115		262		272		537
Investment expenses		(323)		(294)		(613)		(609)
Net investment income	\$	12,577	\$	11,746	\$	24,823	\$	23,511
		10						

Table of Contents

Net realized investment gains and losses and the net change in unrealized gains and losses of available-for-sale securities were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30			S	ed June			
		2009	20	2008		2009	30	2008
Net realized investment gains (losses): Fixed income securities:								
Gross realized investment gains Gross realized investment losses:	\$	43	\$		\$	43	\$	
Other-than-temporary impairment losses Realized losses from sales		(116)		(19)		(116)		(19)
Total gross realized investment losses		(116)		(19)		(116)		(19)
Net realized investment losses on fixed income securities		(73)		(19)		(73)		(19)
Equity securities: Gross realized investment gains Gross realized investment losses:		27		8		27		10
Other-than-temporary impairment losses Realized losses from sales				(9)		(46) (20)		(20)
Total gross realized investment losses				(9)		(66)		(20)
Net realized investment gains (losses) on equity securities		27		(1)		(39)		(10)
Other		(1)		(1)		(1)		(1)
Net realized investment losses	\$	(47)	\$	(21)	\$	(113)	\$	(30)
Net change in unrealized gains (losses): Fixed income securities Equity securities	\$	12,248 81	\$	(13,107) (17)	\$	24,975 81	\$	(17,303) (125)
Total net change in unrealized gains (losses)	\$	12,329	\$	(13,124)	\$	25,056	\$	(17,428)
Net realized gains (losses) and change in unrealized gains (losses)	\$	12,282	\$	(13,145)	\$	24,943	\$	(17,458)

Management believes the Company has the ability to hold all fixed income securities to maturity. However, the Company may dispose of securities prior to their scheduled maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayments, tax and credit considerations, liquidity or regulatory capital requirements, or other similar factors. As a result, the Company considers all of its fixed income securities (bonds) and equity securities as available-for-sale, and as such, they are carried at fair value.

The amortized cost of fixed income securities is determined based on cost and the cumulative effect of amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts using the interest method. Such amortization and accretion are

included in net investment income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. For mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, the Company considers estimates of future prepayments in the calculation of the effective yield used to apply the interest method. If a difference arises between the anticipated prepayments and the actual prepayments, the Company recalculates the effective yield based on actual prepayments and the currently anticipated future prepayments. The amortized costs of such securities are adjusted to the amount that would have resulted had the recalculated effective yields been applied since the acquisition of the securities with a corresponding charge or credit to net investment income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Prepayment estimates are based on the structural elements of specific securities, interest rates and generally recognized prepayment speed indices.

11

Table of Contents

The estimated fair value and amortized cost or cost of fixed income and equity securities held by CNA Surety at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, by investment category, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Gross Unrealized Gross Losses									
	A	mortized Cost		realized		ess ian	More Than	Es	stimated	Un	realized
						2	12			(OTTI
June 30, 2009		or Cost	(Gains	Mo	nths	Months	Fa	ir Value	I	Losses
Fixed income securities: U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government and agencies:											
U.S. Treasury	\$	32,770	\$	1,204	\$	(9)	\$	\$	33,965	\$	
U.S. Agencies Collateralized mortgage		33,868		621					34,489		
obligations residential Mortgage pass-through		32,992		1,337					34,329		
securities residential Obligations of states and		61,453		2,006					63,459		
political subdivisions		690,451		24,270	(1	,769)	(9,148)		703,804		
Corporate bonds		188,342		6,360	,	(969)	(1,049)		192,684		
Collateralized mortgage		100,0 .2		0,000		(,,,,	(1,0.7)		1,2,00.		
obligations commercial Other asset-backed securities:		35,054				(121)	(3,129)		31,804		
Second mortgages/home											
equity loans residential Consumer credit		6,576					(2,588)		3,988		(1,708)
receivables		16,766		564			(241)		17,089		
Other		10,735		466			, ,		11,201		
Total fixed income securities		1,109,007		36,828	(2	,868)	(16,155)	1	,126,812	\$	(1,708)
Equity securities		1,344		81					1,425		
Total	\$	1,110,351	\$	36,909	\$ (2	,868)	\$ (16,155)	\$ 1	,128,237		

		Gross Unrealized					
		Gross	Lo	sses			
	Amortized		Less	More			
	Cost	Unrealized	Than	Than	Estimated		
			12	12			
December 31, 2008	or Cost	Gains	Months	Months	Fair Value		

Fixed income securities: U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government and

Edgar Filing: CNA SURETY CORP - Form 10-Q

agencies:						
U.S. Treasury	\$ 33,140	\$ 3,519	\$	\$	\$	36,659
U.S. Agencies	36,476	1,116				37,592
Collateralized mortgage obligations						
residential	35,671	984				36,655
Mortgage pass-through securities						
residential	72,203	1,489				73,692
Obligations of states and political						
subdivisions	697,305	19,730	(6,929)	(13,943)		696,163
Corporate bonds	96,048	1,711	(2,430)	(1,853)		93,476
Collateralized mortgage obligations						
commercial	35,025		(2,040)	(3,607)		29,378
Other asset-backed securities:						
Second mortgages/home equity						
loans residential	7,956		(779)	(2,180)		4,997
Consumer credit receivables	17,239		(1,708)			15,531
Other	10,753	23	(273)			10,503
Total fixed in come accomition	1 041 016	20 572	(14.150)	(21.592)	1	024 646
Total fixed income securities	1,041,816	28,572	(14,159)	(21,583)	1	,034,646
Equity securities	1,231					1,231
Total	\$ 1,043,047	\$ 28,572	\$ (14,159)	\$ (21,583)	\$ 1	,035,877

A security is in an unrealized loss position, or impaired, if the fair value of the security is less than its amortized cost, which includes adjustments for accretion, amortization, and previously recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses. When a security is impaired, the impairment is evaluated to determine whether it is temporary or other-than-temporary.

A significant judgment in the valuation of investments is the determination of when an other-than-temporary decline in value has occurred. The Company follows a consistent and systematic process for identifying securities that sustain other-than-temporary declines in value. The Company has established a watch list that is reviewed by the Chief Financial Officer and one other executive officer on at least a quarterly basis. The watch list includes individual securities that fall below certain thresholds or that exhibit evidence of impairment indicators including, but not limited to, a significant adverse change in the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investment or a significant adverse change in legal factors, the business climate or credit ratings.

When a security is placed on the watch list, it is monitored for further market value changes and additional news related to the issuer s financial condition. The focus is on objective evidence that may influence the evaluation of impairment factors. The decision to record an other-than-temporary impairment loss incorporates both quantitative criteria and qualitative information.

12

Table of Contents

In determining whether an equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company considers a number of factors including, but not limited to: (a) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than book value, (b) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (c) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in value and (d) general market conditions and industry or sector specific factors. Currently, the Company s equity portfolio is comprised solely of mutual funds related to the Company s deferred compensation plan, which is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan for a select group of management or highly compensated employees. Due to the nature of the plan, the Company does not assert the ability to hold these securities until their recovery in value. As such, if any of these securities are in an unrealized loss position, they are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For equity securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment loss has been identified, the security is written down to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in realized gains/losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position that the Company intends to sell, or it more likely than not will be required to sell before recovery of amortized cost, are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. These securities are written down to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in realized gains/losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

The remaining fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position are evaluated to determine if a credit loss exists. To determine if a credit loss exists, the Company considers a number of factors including, but not limited to: (a) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (b) credit ratings of the securities, (c) whether the debtor is current on interest and principal payments, (d) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than book value and (e) general market conditions and industry or sector specific factors.

In addition to these factors, the Company considers the results of discounted cash flow modeling using assumptions representative of current market conditions as well as those specific to the Company s particular security holdings. For asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, the focus of this analysis is on assessing the sufficiency and quality of underlying collateral and timing of cash flows. If the discounted expected cash flows for a security equal or exceed the amortized cost of that security, no credit loss exists and the security is deemed to be temporarily impaired.

Fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position for which management believes a credit loss exists are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. For these fixed income securities, the Company bifurcates OTTI losses into a credit component and a non-credit component. The credit component, which represents the difference between the discounted expected cash flows and the fixed income security s amortized cost, is recognized in earnings. The non-credit component is recognized in other comprehensive income and represents the difference between fair value and the discounted cash flows that the Company expects to collect.

Based on the Company s June 30, 2009 discounted cash flow analysis, the Company recorded an OTTI loss of \$1.8 million on one asset-backed fixed income security which included a credit loss component. This security was rated below investment grade by S&P and collateralized by sub-prime home loans. The significant assumptions considered by the Company in its cash flow projections included deliquency rates, probable risk of default and expected rates of recovery, prepayment expectations, over-collaterization and credit support from lower level tranches. The credit component of the loss, \$0.1 million, was recognized in earnings with \$1.7 million (49.3% of the security s amortized cost) of the loss recognized in other comprehensive income.

The OTTI of fixed income securities for which only the amount related to credit losses was recognized in net realized gains (losses) on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

Beginning balance \$
Credit losses for which an OTTI loss was not previously recognized 116
Credit losses for which an OTTI loss was previously recognized

Ending balance \$ 116

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses of less than \$0.1 million on the equity securities that are related to the Company s nonqualified deferred compensation plan. No other-than-temporary impairments were recorded for the three or six months ended June 30, 2008.

13

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed income securities, by contractual maturity, at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2009 Estimated			December 31, 2008 Estimated				
		ortized		Fair	Aı	mortized		Fair
	(Cost		Value		Cost		Value
Fixed income securities:								
Due within one year	\$	5,585	\$	5,668	\$	4,535	\$	4,590
Due after one year but within five years		259,680		269,278		216,232		222,344
Due after five years but within ten years	3	373,917		386,831		345,285		355,171
Due after ten years	3	306,249		303,165		296,917		281,785
	Ģ	945,431		964,942		862,969		863,890
Mortgage pass-through securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and								
asset-backed securities	-	163,576		161,870		178,847		170,756
	\$ 1,	109,007	\$	1,126,812	\$ 1	,041,816	\$	1,034,646

The following table provides the composition of fixed income securities with an unrealized loss at June 30, 2009 in relation to the total of all fixed income securities by contractual maturities:

	% of	
	Estimated	% of
	Fair	Unrealized
Contractual Maturity	Value	Loss
Due after one year through five years	11%	6%
Due after five years through ten years	22	12
Due after ten years	54	50
Asset-backed securities	13	32
Total	100%	100%

The following table summarizes for fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those securities have been continuously in an unrealized loss position (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2009			December 31, 2008		
		(Gross			Gross
	Estimated Fair	Un	realized	Estimated Fair	Un	realized
Unrealized Loss Aging	Value		Loss	Value		Loss
Fixed income securities:						
Investment grade ^(a) :						
0-6 months	\$ 136,874	\$	2,354	\$ 109,973	\$	3,095
7-12 months	22,724		514	121,419		11,064
13-24 months	46,733		2,921	81,395		12,010

Greater than 24 months	96,619	11,526	33,450	9,573
Total investment grade Non-investment grade:	302,950	17,315	346,237	35,742
Greater than 24 months	1,755	1,708		
Total	\$ 304,705	\$ 19,023	\$ 346,237	\$ 35,742

(a) Investment grade is

determined by

using the

Standard &

Poor s (S&P)

rating. If a

security is not

rated by S&P,

the Moody s

Investor

Services

(Moody s) rating

is used.

Currently, all of

the Company s

fixed income

securities are

rated by S&P or

Moody s.

At June 30, 2009, the Company holds 215 fixed income securities in an unrealized gain position with a total estimated fair value of \$822.1 million and an aggregate gross unrealized gain of \$36.8 million.

14

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes securities in a gross unrealized loss position by investment category and by credit rating ^(a). The table also discloses the corresponding count of securities in an unrealized loss position and estimated fair value by category (in thousands of dollars):

	Gross Unrealized Losses						Estimated Fair
June 30, 2009	AAA	$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$	\mathbf{A}	BBB	Total	Count	Value
Fixed income securities:							
Investment grade:							
U.S. Treasury	\$ 9	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9	1	\$ 3,658
Obligations of states and							
political subdivisions	2,053	3,517	1,993	3,354	10,917	42	219,057
Corporate bonds	8	69	1,241	700	2,018	11	41,441
Collateralized mortgage							
obligations commercial	3,250				3,250	7	31,804
Other asset-backed							
securities:							
Second mortgages/home							
equity loans residential	880				880	1	2,232
Consumer credit receivables			241		241	1	4,758
Total investment grade	6,200	3,586	3,475	4,054	17,315	63	302,950
Non-investment grade:							
Other asset-backed							
securities:							
Second mortgages/home							
equity loans residential					1,708	1	1,755
Total non-investment grade					1,708	1	1,755
Total non-investment grade					1,708	1	1,/33
Total	\$ 6,200	\$ 3,586	\$ 3,475	\$ 4,054	\$ 19,023	64	\$ 304,705

(a) Securities are categorized using the S&P rating. If a security is not rated by S&P, the Moody s rating is used. Currently, all of the Company s fixed income securities are rated by S&P or Moody s.

The one non-investment grade asset-backed security in an unrealized loss position is collateralized by sub-prime home loans originated prior to 2005. At June 30, 2009, the Company determined this security was

other-than-temporarily impaired and recorded OTTI losses of \$0.1 million in earnings in addition to the \$1.7 million unrealized losses shown above and recorded in other comprehensive income. The Company s exposure to sub-prime home loans includes this security and one additional asset-backed security with an estimated fair value of \$2.2 million in an unrealized loss position of \$0.9 million (28.3% of its amortized cost) at June 30, 2009. The Company believes the unrealized losses on these securities are primarily attributable to broader economic conditions and liquidity concerns and are not indicative of the quality of the underlying collateral. In the first six months of 2009, the Company received a total of \$1.3 million of repayments on these securities. The Company has no current intent to sell these securities, nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost and, as such, has not recorded any additional OTTI losses at June 30, 2009.

In addition to the asset-backed securities collateralized by sub-prime home loans, the Company s other asset-backed holding in an unrealized loss position is a consumer credit receivable security collateralized by auto loan receivables, some of which are sub-prime. The unrealized loss position on this security is about 5% (\$0.2 million) of its amortized cost at June 30, 2009 compared to an unrealized loss position of 26.0% (\$1.3 million) of its amortized cost at March 31, 2009. The Company does not believe this unrealized loss is due to factors regarding credit-worthiness. Also, the Company believes that this security will recover in value based on the current performance of the underlying collateral and the amount of credit support available to our holdings. The Company has no current intent to sell this security, nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost. Therefore, no OTTI losses have been recorded at June 30, 2009.

The Company holds seven commercial collateralized mortgage-backed securities that each are in an unrealized loss position ranging from 2% to 15% of the security s amortized cost. The overall unrealized loss position of these securities improved from \$6.0 million at March 31, 2009 to \$3.3 million at June 30, 2009. The Company believes that these securities will recover in value based on the current performance of the underlying collateral, the senior or super-senior position of each of the holdings and the amount of credit support available to our holdings. The Company has no current intent to sell these securities, nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost. The Company does not believe these unrealized losses are due to factors regarding credit-worthiness and as such, has not recorded any OTTI losses on these securities at June 30, 2009.

At June 30, 2009, five of the Company s corporate bond investments were in unrealized loss positions that exceeded 5% of each security s amortized cost. The largest of these unrealized losses, 19.6% (\$0.6 million) of the security s amortized cost, was on a security issued by a

15

large student loan provider. The unrealized loss position of this security improved during second quarter 2009 from 47.2% of amortized cost at March 31, 2009. Further, the overall unrealized loss position on the Company's corporate bond holdings improved \$4.7 million compared to March 31, 2009. The unrealized losses on the Company's corporate bond investments are primarily attributable to deterioration and volatility in the broader credit markets throughout 2008, and continuing in to 2009, that resulted in widening of credit spreads over risk-free rates. The Company has no current intent to sell these securities, nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost. The Company does not believe the unrealized losses on these securities are due to factors regarding credit-worthiness and, as such, has not recorded any OTTI losses on these securities at June 30, 2009.

The unrealized losses on the Company s investments in obligations of states and political subdivisions are due to overall market conditions, changes in credit spreads, and to a lesser extent, changes in interest rates. At June 30, 2009, only six of these securities were in an unrealized loss position exceeding 5% of the security s amortized cost, with the largest unrealized loss percentage being 16.7% of that security s amortized cost resulting in an unrealized loss of \$0.9 million. The largest unrealized loss was \$1.0 million, which was 9.3% of that security s amortized cost. Improving market conditions during the second quarter 2009 resulted in a \$4.0 million improvement in unrealized losses on these securities compared to March 31, 2009. The Company has no current intent to sell these securities, nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost. The Company does not believe the unrealized losses on these securities are due to factors regarding credit-worthiness and, as such, has not recorded any OTTI losses on these securities at June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2009, the Company s fixed income securities include one U.S. Treasury security in a nominal unrealized loss position. The Company has no current intent to sell this security, nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost. The Company does not believe this unrealized loss is due to credit factors and has not recorded an OTTI loss on this security at June 30, 2009.

Based on the current facts and circumstances discussed above for the Company s securities in an unrealized loss position, the Company has determined that no additional OTTI losses related to the securities in an unrealized loss position are required to be recorded.

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain of these invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may significantly affect the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

3. Reinsurance

The effect of reinsurance on the Company s written and earned premium was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Thusa Mantha Endad Irona 20

Six Months Ended June 30.

		I nree Months Ended June 30,						
	20	2009						
	Written	Earned	Written	Earned				
Direct	\$ 92,019	\$ 87,293	\$ 95,317	\$ 88,578				
Assumed	24,815	25,252	28,995	27,741				
Ceded	(6,719)	(6,850)	(7,935)	(7,842)				
	\$ 110,115	\$ 105,695	\$116,377	\$ 108,477				

		Six Worth Ended June 50,						
	20	2009						
	Written	Earned	Written	Earned				
Direct	\$ 181,147	\$ 170,634	\$ 186,377	\$ 172,924				
Assumed	46,812	50,128	54,560	54,324				
Ceded	(13,825)	(13,916)	(16,116)	(16,130)				

\$214,134 \$206,846 \$224,821 \$211,118

Assumed premiums primarily includes all surety business written or renewed, net of reinsurance, by CCC and CIC after September 30, 1997 that is reinsured by Western Surety pursuant to reinsurance and related agreements. Because of certain regulatory restrictions that limit the Company s ability to write certain business on a direct basis, the Company continues to utilize the underwriting capacity available through these agreements. The Company is in full control of all aspects of the underwriting and claim management of the business assumed from these affiliates.

16

Assumed premium also includes surety business written by another affiliate, First Insurance Company of Hawaii, Ltd. and its subsidiaries First Indemnity Insurance of Hawaii, Inc., First Fire and Casualty Insurance of Hawaii, Inc. and First Security Insurance of Hawaii, Inc. (collectively, FICOH). Through its insurance subsidiaries, CNAF owns approximately 50% of the outstanding common stock of First Insurance Company of Hawaii, Ltd. Under the terms of this excess of loss agreement that covers certain contract business, FICOH retains losses of \$2 million per principal and Western Surety assumes 80% of \$5 million per principal in excess of \$2 million subject to an aggregate annual limit of \$8 million. Premiums assumed by Western Surety under this agreement were less than \$0.1 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

The effect of reinsurance on the Company s provision for loss and loss adjustment expenses and the corresponding ratio to earned premium was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,						
	2009		200	8			
	\$	Ratio	\$	Ratio			
Gross losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 32,745	29.1%	\$31,433	27.0%			
Ceded amounts	(1,770)	25.8%	(4,043)	51.6%			
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 30,975	29.3%	\$ 27,390	25.2%			

	Six Months Ended June 30,						
	200	2008					
	\$	Ratio	\$	Ratio			
Gross losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 64,053	29.0%	\$ 70,538	31.0%			
Ceded amounts	(3,490)	25.1%	(17,180)	106.5%			
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$60,563	29.3%	\$ 53,358	25.3%			

The Company s reinsurance program is predominantly comprised of excess of loss reinsurance contracts that limit the Company s retention on a per principal basis. The Company s reinsurance coverage is provided by third party reinsurers and related parties. Due to the terms of conditions of these excess of loss treaties, reinsurers may cover some principals in one year but then exclude these same principals in subsequent years. As a result, the Company may have exposures to these principals that have limited or no reinsurance coverage.

2009 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2009, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty (2009 Excess of Loss Treaty) with a group of third party reinsurers on terms similar to the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty discussed below. Under the 2009 Excess of Loss Treaty, the Company s net retention per principal is \$15 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$90 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company s retention. The contract provides aggregate coverage of \$185 million and includes an optional extended discovery period, for an additional premium (a percentage of the original premium based on any unexhausted aggregate limit by layer), which will provide coverage for losses discovered beyond 2009 on bonds that were in force during 2009. The contract also includes a provision for additional premiums of up to \$13.8 million based on losses ceded under the contract. The base annual premium for the 2009 Excess of Loss Treaty is \$28.0 million. Only the large national contractor discussed below remains excluded from the 2009 Excess of Loss Treaty.

2008 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2008, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty (2008 Excess of Loss Treaty) with a group of third party reinsurers on terms similar to the excess of loss treaty effective in 2007. Under the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty, the Company s net retention per principal remained at \$10 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$90 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company s retention. The contract provided aggregate

coverage of \$185 million and included an optional extended discovery period, which was not exercised. The contract also included a provision for additional premiums of up to \$26.1 million based on losses ceded under the contract. The actual ceded premiums for the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty were \$30.4 million. Only the large national contractor discussed below was excluded from the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty. There were no additional premiums or loss recoveries under the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty as no losses were discovered to this treaty in 2008. *Related Party Reinsurance*

Reinsurance agreements together with the Services and Indemnity Agreement that are described below provide for the transfer of the surety business written by CCC and CIC to Western Surety. All of these agreements originally were entered into on September 30,

17

Table of Contents

1997 (the Merger Date): (i) the Surety Quota Share Treaty (the Quota Share Treaty); (ii) the Aggregate Stop Loss Reinsurance Contract (the Stop Loss Contract); and (iii) the Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract (the Excess of Loss Contract). All of these contracts have expired. Some have been renewed on different terms as described below.

The Services and Indemnity Agreement provides the Company s insurance subsidiaries with the authority to perform various administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions in order to conduct the business of CCC and CIC and to be reimbursed by CCC for services rendered. In consideration for providing the foregoing services, CCC has agreed to pay Western Surety a quarterly fee of \$50,000. This agreement was renewed with the same terms on January 1, 2009 and expires on December 31, 2009 and is annually renewable thereafter. Effective June 30, 2009, the Company and CCC agreed to an addendum to this agreement that is discussed below.

Through the Quota Share Treaty, CCC and CIC transfer to Western Surety all surety business written or renewed by CCC and CIC after the Merger Date. The Quota Share Treaty was renewed on January 1, 2009 and expires on December 31, 2009 and is annually renewable thereafter. CCC and CIC transfer the related liabilities of such business and pay to Western Surety an amount in cash equal to CCC s and CIC s net written premiums written on all such business, minus a quarterly ceding commission to be retained by CCC and CIC equal to \$50,000 plus 25% of net written premiums written on all such business. For 2008, this resulted in an override commission on their actual direct acquisition costs of 5.9% to CCC and CIC.

Under the terms of the Quota Share Treaty, CCC has guaranteed the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves transferred to Western Surety as of the Merger Date by agreeing to pay Western Surety, within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter, the amount of any adverse development on such reserves, as re-estimated as of the end of such calendar quarter. There was no adverse reserve development for the period from the Merger Date through June 30, 2009.

Through the Stop Loss Contract, the Company s insurance subsidiaries were protected from adverse loss development on certain business underwritten after the Merger Date. The Stop Loss Contract between the insurance subsidiaries and CCC limited the insurance subsidiaries prospective net loss ratios with respect to certain accounts and lines of insured business for three full accident years following the Merger Date. In the event the insurance subsidiaries accident year net loss ratio exceeds 24% in any of the accident years 1997 through 2000 on certain insured accounts (the Loss Ratio Cap), the Stop Loss Contract requires CCC at the end of each calendar quarter following the Merger Date, to pay to the insurance subsidiaries a dollar amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, by which the Company s actual accident year net loss ratio exceeds the applicable Loss Ratio Cap, multiplied by (ii) the applicable net earned premiums. In consideration for the coverage provided by the Stop Loss Contract, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC an annual premium of \$20,000. The CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries have paid CCC all required annual premiums. Through both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, losses incurred under the Stop Loss Contract were \$48.9 million. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 these losses incurred are net of \$2.1 million related to expected indemnity recoveries. As a result of favorable development during the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company paid CCC \$0.7 million in 2009 under the Stop Loss Contract.

The Company and CCC previously participated in a \$40 million excess of \$60 million reinsurance contract effective from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 providing coverage exclusively for the one large national contractor excluded from the Company s third party reinsurance. The premium for this contract was \$3.0 million plus an additional premium of \$6.0 million if a loss was ceded under this contract. In the second quarter of 2005, this contract was amended to provide unlimited coverage in excess of the \$60 million retention, to increase the premium to \$7.0 million and to eliminate the additional premium provision. This treaty provides coverage for the life of bonds either in force or written during the term of the treaty which was from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005. The Company and CCC agreed by addendum to extend this contract for twelve months beginning on January 1, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009. Additional premiums for these periods were \$0.8 million, \$0.5 million, \$0.2 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively, and were based on the level of actual premiums written on bonds for the large national contractor.

On June 30, 2009, the Company and CCC agreed by addendum to terminate the reinsurance contract discussed in the preceding paragraph under which the Company had ceded losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$50.0 million

through both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Unpaid ceded losses under this contract on June 30, 2009, prior to the termination becoming effective, were \$50.0 million compared to \$46.8 million at December 31, 2008. As a result of indemnification recoveries during the first quarter of 2009, \$3.2 million was returned to CCC. In addition to the termination of this contract, the Company and CCC agreed to commute the Quota Share Treaty as regards premium and losses for the large national contractor. The impact of this commutation was a decrease of gross loss reserves of \$51.8 million. Further, the Services and Indemnity Agreement was amended by addendum to specifically extend the Company s authority to conduct administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions as respects business of the large national contractor until CCC s bonds have expired and claims have been settled or closed.

18

Table of Contents

Under the terms of the agreements effecting this commutation, the Company paid CCC \$1.8 million. This settlement reflects the difference between the Company s \$60.0 million retention under the reinsurance contract and \$58.2 million paid by the Company for losses of the large national contractor through June 30, 2009. These transactions had no net impact on the results of operations for the three or six months ended June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2009, CNA Surety had an insurance receivable balance from CCC and CIC of \$11.2 million. As a result of the commutation, this amount includes only premiums receivable. At December 31, 2008, the Company s insurance receivable balance from CCC and CIC was \$60.4 million, including \$46.1 million of reinsurance recoverables and \$14.3 million of premiums receivable, respectively. CNA Surety had no reinsurance payables to CCC and CIC as of June 30, 2009. CNA Surety had reinsurance payables to CCC and CIC of \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2008.

The Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets also include a Deposit with affiliated ceding company of \$27.4 million and \$29.7 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. In 2005, pursuant to an agreement with the claimant on a bond regarding certain aspects of the claim resolution, the Company deposited \$32.7 million with an affiliate to enable the affiliate to establish a trust to fund future payments under the bond. The bond was written by the affiliate and assumed by one of the Company s insurance subsidiaries pursuant to the Quota Share Treaty. The Company is entitled to the interest income earned by the trust. Prior to the establishment of the trust, the Company had fully reserved its obligation under the bond and the claim remains fully reserved.

4. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Company uses the following fair value hierarchy in selecting inputs, with the highest priority given to Level 1, as these are the most transparent or reliable:

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets.

Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs are unobservable. The Company utilizes a pricing service for the valuation of the majority of securities held. This pricing service is an independent, third party vendor recognized to be an industry leader with access to market information who obtains or computes fair market values from quoted market prices, pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions, cash flow models with yield curves and other pricing models. For valuations obtained from the pricing service, the Company performs due diligence to understand how the valuation was calculated or derived, focusing on the valuation technique used and the nature of the inputs.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies used to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the instrument is generally classified. *Fixed Income Securities*

Securities valued using Level 1 inputs include highly liquid government bonds for which quoted market prices are available. Securities using Level 2 inputs are valued using pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions, cash flow models with yield curves and other pricing models utilizing observable inputs. Most fixed income securities are valued using Level 2 inputs. Level 2 includes corporate bonds, municipal bonds, asset-backed securities and mortgage pass-through securities.

Equity Securities

Level 1 includes publicly traded securities valued using quoted market prices.

Short-Term Investments

The valuation of securities that are actively traded or have quoted prices are classified as Level 1. These securities include money market funds and U.S. Treasury bills. Level 2 includes commercial paper, for which all significant inputs are observable.

Table of Contents

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are summarized below (amounts in thousands):

	June 30, 2009 Fair Value Measurements Using				
			Level		Assets at Fair
	Level 1	Level 2	3		Value
Assets:					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.					
Government and agencies: U.S. Treasury	\$ 33,965	\$	\$	\$	33,965
U.S. Agencies	\$ 55,905	34,489	φ	Φ	34,489
Collateralized mortgage obligations residential		34,329			34,329
Mortgage pass-through securities residential		63,459			63,459
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		703,804			703,804
Corporate bonds		192,684			192,684
Collateralized mortgage obligations commercial		31,804			31,804
Other asset-backed securities:					
Second mortgages/home equity loans residential		3,988			3,988
Consumer credit receivables		17,089			17,089
Other		11,201			11,201
Total fixed income securities	33,965	1,092,847			1,126,812
Equity securities at fair value	1,425				1,425
Short-term investments at fair value (a)	27,502	40,746			68,248
Total assets	\$ 62,892	\$ 1,133,593	\$	\$	1,196,485
(a) Includes commercial					
paper and					
money market					
funds.					

Table of Contents 37

20

Table of Contents

December 31, 2008 Fair Value Measurements Using

			-	Assets at Fair
			Level	
	Level 1	Level 2	3	Value
Assets:				
Fixed income securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.				
Government and agencies:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 36,659	\$	\$	\$ 36,659
U.S. Agencies		37,592		37,592
Collateralized mortgage obligations residential		36,655		36,655
Mortgage pass-through securities residential		73,692		73,692
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		696,163		696,163
Corporate bonds		93,476		93,476
Collateralized mortgage obligations commercial		29,378		29,378
Other asset-backed securities:				
Second mortgages/home equity loans residential		4,997		4,997
Consumer credit receivables		15,531		15,531
Other		10,503		10,503
Total fixed income securities	36,659	997,987		1,034,646
Equity securities at fair value	1,231			1,231
Short-term investments at fair value (a)	30,616	49,990		80,606
Total assets	\$ 68,506	\$ 1,047,977	\$	\$ 1,116,483

⁽a) Includes commercial paper and money market funds.

5. Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Activity in the reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses was as follows (dollars in thousands):

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Reserves at beginning of period:					
Gross	\$453,724	\$482,188	\$428,724	\$472,842	
Ceded reinsurance	91,659	157,547	83,691	150,496	
Net reserves at beginning of period	362,065	324,641	345,033	322,346	
Net incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses: Provision for insured events of current year	30,979	27,453	60,616	53,419	

Edgar Filing: CNA SURETY CORP - Form 10-Q

Decrease in provision for insured events of prior years	(4)	(63)	(53)	(61)
Total net incurred	30,975	27,390	60,563	53,358
Net payments attributable to:				
Current year events	2,825	1,725	3,606	2,540
Prior year events	11,199	7,561	22,974	30,419
Total net payments	14,024	9,286	26,580	32,959
Net reserves at end of period	379,016	342,745	379,016	342,745
Ceded reinsurance at end of period	40,796	152,296	40,796	152,296
Gross reserves at end of period	\$419,812	\$ 495,041	\$419,812	\$ 495,041

6. Debt

In May 2004, the Company, through a wholly-owned trust, privately issued \$30.0 million of preferred securities through two pooled transactions. These securities, issued by CNA Surety Capital Trust I (the Issuer Trust), bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 337.5 basis points with a 30-year term. Beginning in May 2009, these securities may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at par value at any scheduled quarterly interest payment date. As of June 30, 2009, none of these preferred securities have been redeemed.

21

Table of Contents

The Company s investment of \$0.9 million in the Issuer Trust is carried at cost in Other assets in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The sole asset of the Issuer Trust consists of a \$30.9 million junior subordinated debenture issued by the Company to the Issuer Trust. Due to the underlying characteristics of this debt, the carrying value of the debenture approximates its estimated fair value.

The Company has also guaranteed the dividend payments and redemption of the preferred securities issued by the Issuer Trust. The maximum amount of undiscounted future payments the Company could make under the guarantee is approximately \$62.8 million, consisting of annual dividend payments of approximately \$1.3 million until maturity and the redemption value of the preferred securities of \$30.0 million. Because payment under the guarantee would only be required if the Company does not fulfill its obligations under the debentures held by the Issuer Trust, the Company has not recorded any additional liabilities related to this guarantee.

The junior subordinated debenture bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 337.5 basis points and matures in April 2034. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, the interest rate on the junior subordinated debenture was 4.258% and 6.051%, respectively.

On June 30, 2008, the Company s credit facility matured. There was no outstanding balance under this facility (the 2005 Credit Facility) during 2008. The 2005 Credit Facility was entered into on July 27, 2005, when the Company refinanced \$30.0 million in outstanding borrowings under its previous credit facility. The 2005 Credit Facility provided an aggregate of up to \$50.0 million in borrowings under a revolving credit facility. In September 2006, the Company reduced the available aggregate revolving credit facility to \$25.0 million in borrowings. The 2005 Credit Facility also contained certain conditions and limitations on the Company. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

The term of borrowings under the 2005 Credit Facility was fixed, at the Company s option, for a period of one, two, three, or six months. The interest rate was based on, among other rates, LIBOR plus the applicable margin. The margin, including a utilization fee, varied based on the Company s leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 0.80% to 1.00%. There was no outstanding balance under the 2005 Credit Facility during the six months ended June 30, 2008. As such, the Company incurred only the facility fee of 0.300% through the first six months of 2008.

7. Employee Benefits

Western Surety sponsors two postretirement benefit plans covering certain employees. One plan provides medical benefits and the other plan provides sick leave termination payments. The medical benefit plan provides coverage for employees, and their eligible dependents, hired by Western Surety before November 1, 1991 and who retire at age 55 or later with at least 15 years of service. Only employees hired by Western Surety prior to 1988 are eligible for the sick leave plan. Further, benefits for the sick leave plan are based on unused accrued sick leave as of December 31, 2003, the date the accruals were frozen. The postretirement medical benefit plan is contributory and the sick leave plan is non-contributory. Western Surety uses a December 31 measurement date for both of its postretirement benefit plans. There were no plan assets for either of the postretirement benefit plans.

The plans combined net periodic postretirement benefit cost included the following components (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2	009	2	008	2	009	2	800
Net periodic benefit cost:								
Service cost	\$	53	\$	59	\$	106	\$	118
Interest cost		135		145		269		290
Amortization of prior service cost		(41)		(26)		(81)		(53)
Net amortization of actuarial loss				6				12
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	147	\$	184	\$	294	\$	367

The Company expects to contribute \$0.2 million to the postretirement benefit plans to pay benefits in 2009. As of June 30, 2009, less than \$0.1 million of contributions have been made to the postretirement benefit plans.

22

Table of Contents

8. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is party to various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business. The Company believes the resolution of these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or its results of operations.

9. Income Taxes

The Company is subject to taxation in the United States and various state jurisdictions. On July 22, 2009, the Internal Revenue Service notified the Company that the ongoing examination of the Company s tax return for the year 2006 had been completed and no changes were made to the Company s reported tax. The Company s tax years 2005 through 2008 remain open as to the applicable statute of limitations and are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

The Company has not recognized any liabilities for uncertain income taxes as of June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008, respectively. Also, the Company does not anticipate any material change in the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to occur within the next twelve months.

10. Stockholders Equity

The compensation expense recorded for the Company s stock-based compensation plan was \$0.4 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$1.0 million and \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for stock-based compensation arrangements was \$0.1 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for stock-based compensation was \$0.3 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the amount of cash received was \$1.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. *Eauity Compensation Plans*

The Company reserved shares of its common stock for issuance to directors, officers, employees and certain advisors of the Company through incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, bonus shares or stock appreciation rights (SARs) to be granted under the CNA Surety 2006 Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan (the 2006 Plan), approved by shareholders on April 25, 2006. The aggregate number of shares initially available for which options may be granted under the 2006 Plan was 3,000,000. Option exercises under the 2006 Plan are settled in newly issued common shares.

The 2006 Plan is administered by a committee (the Committee) of the Board of Directors, consisting of two or more directors of the Company. Subject to the provisions set forth in the 2006 Plan, all of the members of the Committee shall be independent members of the Board of Directors. The Committee determines the option exercise prices. Exercise prices may not be less than the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant for incentive stock options and may not be less than the par value of the Company s common stock for nonqualified stock options.

The 2006 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options as defined under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. All nonqualified stock options and incentive stock options granted under the 2006 Plan expire ten years after the date of grant and vest ratably over the four-year period following the date of grant.

On February 6, 2009, 217,960 options were granted under the 2006 Plan. The fair market value (at grant date) per option granted was \$8.95 for these options. The fair value of these options was estimated at the grant date using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: risk free interest rate of 1.95%; dividend yield of 0.0%; expected option life of 5.3 years and volatility of 51.8%. The Company estimated the expected option life of the 2009 grant based on its analysis of past exercise patterns for similar options. As of June 30, 2009, the number of shares available for granting of options under the 2006 Plan was 2,238,255.

On February 8, 2008, 259,380 options were granted under the 2006 Plan. The fair market value (at grant date) per option granted was \$6.32 for these options. The fair value of these options was estimated at the grant date using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: risk free interest rate of 2.7%; dividend yield of 0.0%; expected option life of 5.3 years and volatility of 38.3%. The Company estimated the

expected option life of the 2008 grant based on its analysis of past exercise

23

Table of Contents

patterns for similar options. As of June 30, 2008, the number of shares available for granting of options under the 2006 Plan was 2,432,005.

A summary of option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is presented below:

		Weighted Average
	Shares Subject	Exercise Price Per
	To Option	Share
Outstanding options at January 1, 2008	1,054,588	\$14.53
Options granted	259,380	\$16.35
Options forfeited	(20,060)	\$16.79
Options expired	(4,700)	\$12.11
Options exercised	(16,300)	\$11.32
Outstanding options at June 30, 2008	1,272,908	\$14.92
Outstanding options at January 1, 2009	1,221,118	\$14.93
Options granted	217,960	\$18.85
Options forfeited	(4,030)	\$17.74
Options expired	(1,690)	\$15.79
Options exercised	(81,680)	\$11.54
Outstanding options at June 30, 2009	1,351,678	\$15.76

A summary of the status of the Company s non-vested options as of June 30, 2009 and 2008 and changes during the six months then ended is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant
	Subject	Date
	To Option	Fair Value
Non-vested options at January 1, 2008	554,557	\$ 7.23
Options granted	259,380	\$ 6.32
Options vested	(79,085)	\$ 9.04
Options forfeited	(20,060)	\$ 6.87
Non-vested options at June 30, 2008	714,792	\$ 6.71
Non-vested options at January 1, 2009	545,095	\$7.29
Options granted	217,960	\$ 8.95
Options vested	(136,849)	\$ 7.82
Options forfeited	(4,030)	\$ 7.57
Non-vested options at June 30, 2009	622,176	\$ 7.76

A summary of the options vested or expected to vest and options exercisable as of June 30, 2009 is presented below:

Options Vested or Expected to Vest

June 30, 2009	Number 1,270,966	Weighted Average Exercise Price \$ 15.58	Aggregate Intrinsic Value \$ 993,191	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life 6.9 years
		Options 1	Exercisable	
	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
June 30, 2009	729,502	\$ 13.94	\$ 962,683	5.8 years

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$0.1 million and less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$0.5 million and less than \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The tax benefits recognized by the Company for these exercises were \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, the tax benefits recognized for these exercises were negligible.

24

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, there was \$2.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company s equity compensation plans. That cost is expected to be recognized as follows: 2009 \$0.8 million; 2010 \$0.8 million; 2011 \$0.4 million; and 2012 \$0.1 million.

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

The following is a discussion and analysis of CNA Surety Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, CNA Surety or the Company) operating results, liquidity and capital resources, and financial condition. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management believes the most significant accounting policies and related disclosures for purposes of understanding the Company s results of operations and financial condition pertain to reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and reinsurance, investments, goodwill and other intangible assets, recognition of premium revenue and the related unearned premium liability and deferred policy acquisition costs. The Company s accounting policies related to reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and related estimates of reinsurance recoverables are particularly critical to an assessment of the Company s financial results. Given the nature of the surety business, the determination of these balances is inherently a highly subjective exercise, which requires management to analyze, weigh, and balance numerous macroeconomic, customer specific, and claim specific factors and trends, most of which, in themselves, are inherently uncertain and difficult to predict.

Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses and Reinsurance

CNA Surety accrues liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) under its surety and property and casualty insurance contracts based upon estimates of the ultimate amounts payable under the contracts related to losses occurring on or before the balance sheet date.

Reported claims are in various stages of the settlement process. Due to the nature of surety, which is the relationship among three parties whereby the surety guarantees the performance of the principal to a third party (the obligee), the investigation of claims and the establishment of case estimates on claim files can be a complex process that can occur over a period of time depending on the type of bond(s) and the facts and circumstances involving the particular bond(s), the claim(s) and the principal. Case reserves are typically established after a claim is filed and an investigation and analysis has been conducted as to the validity of the claim, the principal s response to the claim and the principal s financial viability. To the extent it is determined that there are no bona fide defenses to the claim and the principal is unwilling or financially unable to resolve the claim, a case estimate is established on the claim file for the amount the Company estimates it will have to pay to honor its obligations under the provisions of the bond(s).

While the Company intends to establish initial case reserve estimates that are sufficient to cover the ultimate anticipated loss on a claim file, some estimates need to be adjusted during the life cycle of the claim file as matters continue to develop. Factors that can necessitate case estimate increases or decreases are the complexity of the bond(s) and/or underlying contract(s), if additional and/or unexpected claims are filed, if the financial condition of the principal or obligee changes or as claims develop and more information is discovered that was unknown and/or unexpected at the time the initial case reserve estimate was established. Ultimately, claims are resolved through payment and/or a determination that, based on the information available, a case reserve is no longer required.

As of any balance sheet date, not all claims have been reported and some claims may not be reported for many years. As a result, the liability for unpaid losses includes significant estimates for incurred-but-not-reported (IBNR) claims. The IBNR reserves also include provisions for losses in excess of the current case reserve for previously reported claims and for claims that may be reopened. The IBNR reserves also include offsets for anticipated indemnity recoveries.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the estimated liability as of June 30, 2009 for unpaid claims applicable to reported claims and to IBNR for each sub-line of business (dollars in thousands):

	Gross Case Loss and LAE		Gross IBNR Loss and LAE		Total Gross
	Reserves	I	Reserves	R	Reserves
Contract	\$ 45,982	\$	253,893	\$	299,875
Commercial	53,448		51,283		104,731
Fidelity and other	4,586		10,620		15,206
Total	\$ 104,016	\$	315,796	\$	419,812

Periodic actuarial analyses of the Company s loss reserves are performed. These analyses typically include a comprehensive review performed in the third quarter based on data as of June 30 and an update of the comprehensive review performed in January based on data as of December 31. In between these analyses, management monitors claim activity against benchmarks of expected claim activity prepared in connection with the comprehensive review. Beginning in 2009, the Company intends to change the timing of the comprehensive review to occur in the fourth quarter using data as of September 30.

The actuarial analyses are based upon multiple projection methodologies that involve detailed statistical analysis of past claim reporting, settlement activity, and indemnification activity, as well as claim frequency and severity data when sufficient information exists to lend statistical credibility to the analysis. The analysis may be based upon internal loss experience or industry experience. Methodologies may vary depending on the type of claim being estimated. While methodologies may vary, each employs significant judgments and assumptions.

Each of the projection methodologies employed rely to varying degrees on the basic assumption that the Company s historical claim experience is indicative of the Company s future claim development. The amount of weight given to any individual projection method is based on an assessment of the volatility of the historical data and development patterns, an understanding of the changes in the overall surety industry over time and the resultant potential impact of these changes on the Company s prospective claims development, an understanding of the changes to the Company s processes and procedures within its underwriting, claims handling and data systems functions, among other things. The decision as to how much weight to give to any particular projection methodology is ultimately a matter of experience and professional judgment.

Surety results, especially for contract and certain commercial products like insurance program bonds, workers compensation insurance bonds and reclamation bonds, tend to be impacted by fewer, but more severe, losses. With this type of loss experience, it is more difficult to estimate the required reserves, particularly for the most current accident years which may have few reported claims. Therefore, assumptions related to the frequency and magnitude of severe loss are key in estimating surety loss reserves.

The indicated reserve, or actuarial point estimate, was developed by reviewing the Company s claims experience by accident year for several individual sub-lines of business. Within each sub-line, the selection of the point estimate was made after consideration of the appropriateness of the various projection methodologies in light of the sub-line s loss characteristics and historical data. In general, for the older, more mature, accident years the historical development method (i.e., link ratio method) was relied upon more heavily. For the more recent years, the indicated reserves were more heavily based on the Bornhuetter-Ferguson and loss ratio methods since these are not as reliant on the Company s large (i.e., leveraged) development factors and thus are believed to represent a more stable set of methods from which to select indicated reserves for the more recent years.

The actuarial analysis is the primary tool that management utilizes in determining its best estimate of loss reserves. However, the carried reserve may differ from the actuarial point estimate as a result of management s consideration of the impact of factors such as the following, especially as they relate to the current accident year:

Current claim activity, including the frequency and severity of current claims;

Changes in underwriting standards and business mix such as the Company s efforts to reduce exposures to large commercial bonds;

Changes in the claims handling process; and

Current economic conditions, especially corporate default rates and the condition of the construction economy.

26

Table of Contents

Management believes that the impact of the factors listed above, and others, may not be fully quantifiable through actuarial analysis. Accordingly, management may apply its judgment of the impact of these factors, and others, to its selection of the recorded loss reserves.

Receivables recorded with respect to insurance losses ceded to reinsurers under reinsurance contracts are estimated in a manner similar to liabilities for insurance losses and, therefore, are also subject to uncertainty. In addition to the factors cited above, estimates of reinsurance recoveries may prove uncollectible if the reinsurer is unable to perform under the contract. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve the ceding company of its obligations to indemnify its own policyholders.

Casualty insurance loss reserves are subject to a significant amount of uncertainty. Given the nature of surety losses with its low frequency, high severity characteristics, this is particularly true for surety loss reserves. As a result, the range of reasonable loss reserve estimates may be broader than that associated with traditional property/casualty insurance products. While the loss reserve estimates represent the best professional judgments, arrived at after careful actuarial analysis of the available data, it is important to note that variation from the estimates is not only possible but, in fact, probable. The sources of this inherent variability are numerous future economic conditions, court decisions, legislative actions, and individual large claim impacts, for example.

Due to the inherent uncertainties in the process of establishing the liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, the actual ultimate claims amounts will differ from the currently recorded amounts. This difference could have a material effect on reported earnings and financial condition. Future effects from changes in these estimates will be recorded in the period such changes are determined to be needed.

Investments

Management believes the Company has the ability to hold all fixed income securities to maturity. However, the Company may dispose of securities prior to their scheduled maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayments, tax and credit considerations, liquidity or regulatory capital requirements, or other similar factors. As a result, the Company considers all of its fixed income securities (bonds) and equity securities as available-for-sale. These securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred income taxes, reported in stockholders equity as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position that the Company intends to sell, or it more likely than not will be required to sell before recovery of amortized cost, are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. These securities are written down to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in realized gains/losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position for which management believes a credit loss exists are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. For those securities, the Company bifurcates the impairment into a credit component and a non-credit component. The credit component, which represents the difference between discounted cash flows and the fixed income security—s amortized cost, is recognized in earnings and the non-credit component is recognized in other comprehensive income. Cash flows from purchases, sales and maturities of fixed income and equity securities are reported gross in the investing activities section of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The amortized cost of fixed income securities is determined based on cost and the cumulative effect of amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts using the interest method. Such amortization and accretion are included in investment income. For mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, the Company considers estimates of future prepayments in the calculation of the effective yield used to apply the interest method. If a difference arises between the anticipated prepayments and the actual prepayments, the Company recalculates the effective yield based on actual prepayments and the currently anticipated future prepayments. The amortized costs of such securities are adjusted to the amount that would have resulted had the recalculated effective yields been applied since the acquisition of the securities with a corresponding charge or credit to investment income. Prepayment estimates are based on the structural elements of specific securities, interest rates and generally recognized prepayment speed indices.

Short-term investments that generally include U.S. Treasury bills, corporate notes, money market funds and investment grade commercial paper equivalents, are carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate risk, market risk and credit risk. Due to the level of risk associated with invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may materially affect the amounts reported in the Condensed

Consolidated Balance Sheets and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

27

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets

CNA Surety s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 include intangible assets of approximately \$138.8 million. This amount primarily represents goodwill and identified intangibles with indefinite useful lives arising from the acquisition of Capsure Holdings Corp. (Capsure).

A significant amount of judgment is required in performing intangible assets impairment tests. Such tests include periodically determining or reviewing the estimated fair value of CNA Surety s reporting units. Under the relevant standard, fair value refers to the amount for which the entire reporting unit may be bought or sold. There are several methods of estimating fair value, including market quotations, asset and liability fair values and other valuation techniques, such as discounted cash flows and multiples of earnings or revenues. The Company uses a valuation technique based on discounted cash flows. Significant inputs to the Company s discounted cash flow model include estimated capital requirements to support the business, expected cash flows from underwriting activity, required capital investment to support growth and the selected discount rates. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit, including goodwill, exceeds the estimated fair value, then individual assets, including identifiable intangible assets, and liabilities of the reporting unit are estimated at fair value. The excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit over the estimated fair value of net assets would establish the implied value of intangible assets. The excess of the recorded amount of intangible assets over the implied value of intangible assets is recorded as an impairment loss. *Insurance Premiums*

Insurance premiums are recognized as revenue ratably over the term of the related policies in proportion to the insurance protection provided. Contract bonds provide coverage for the length of the bonded project and not a fixed time period. As such, the Company uses estimates of the contract length as the basis for recognizing premium revenue on these bonds. Premium revenues are net of amounts ceded to reinsurers. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written, before ceded reinsurance which is shown as an asset, applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force determined on a pro rata basis.

Deferred Policy Acquisitions Costs

Policy acquisition costs, consisting of commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses which vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of business, net of reinsurance commissions, are deferred and amortized as a charge to income as the related premiums are earned. The Company periodically tests that deferred policy acquisition costs are recoverable based on the expected profitability embedded in the reserve for unearned premium. If the expected profitability is less than the balance of deferred policy acquisition costs, a charge to net income is taken and the deferred policy acquisition cost balance is reduced to the amount determined to be recoverable. Anticipated investment income is considered in the determination of the recoverability of deferred policy acquisition costs.

Results of Operations

Financial Measures

The Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) discusses certain accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and non-GAAP financial measures in order to provide information used by management to monitor the Company s operating performance. Management utilizes various financial measures to monitor the Company s insurance operations and investment portfolio. Underwriting results, which are derived from certain income statement amounts, are considered a non-GAAP financial measure and are used by management to monitor performance of the Company s insurance operations.

Underwriting results are computed as net earned premiums less net loss and loss adjustment expenses and net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses. Management uses underwriting results to monitor its insurance operations—results without the impact of certain factors, including net investment income, net realized investment gains (losses) and interest expense. Management excludes these factors in order to analyze the direct relationship between net earned premiums and the related net loss and loss adjustment expenses along with net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses.

Operating ratios are calculated using insurance results and are widely used by the insurance industry and regulators such as state departments of insurance and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners for financial

regulation and as a basis of comparison among companies. The ratios discussed in the Company s MD&A are calculated using GAAP financial results and include the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio (loss ratiol) as well as the net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting

28

Table of Contents

expense ratio (expense ratio) and combined ratio. The loss ratio is the percentage of net incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses to net earned premiums. The expense ratio is the percentage of net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses, including the amortization of deferred acquisition costs, to net earned premiums. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and expense ratios.

While management uses various GAAP and non-GAAP financial measures to monitor various aspects of the Company's performance, net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure and represents a more comprehensive measure of operating performance. Management believes that its process of evaluating performance through the use of these non-GAAP financial measures provides a basis for enhanced understanding of the operating performance and the impact to net income as a whole. Management also believes that investors may find these widely used financial measures described above useful in interpreting the underlying trends and performance, as well as to provide visibility into the significant components of net income.

Comparison of CNA Surety Actual Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 *Analysis of Net Income*

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$22.2 million, or \$0.50 per diluted share, compared to \$24.1 million, or \$0.54 per diluted share, for the same period in 2008. The decrease in net income reflects lower earned premium and the impact of a higher loss ratio, partially offset by a lower expense ratio and higher net investment income.

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$43.0 million, or \$0.97 per diluted share, compared to \$47.0 million, or \$1.06 per diluted share, in 2008. The decrease in net income reflects lower earned premium and the impact of a higher loss ratio, partially offset by a lower expense ratio and higher net investment income.

The components of net income are discussed in the following sections.

Results of Insurance Operations

Underwriting components for the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are summarized in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,			
Gross written premiums	\$	2009 116,834	,	2008 124,312	2009 \$ 227,959	2008 \$ 240.937
Net written premiums		110,115		116,377	\$ 214,134	\$ 224,821
Net earned premiums	\$	105,695	\$	108,477	\$ 206,846	\$ 211,118
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$	30,975	\$	27,390	\$ 60,563	\$ 53,358
Net commissions, brokerage and other expenses	\$	55,917	\$	57,825	\$ 110,195	\$ 113,112
Loss ratio Expense ratio		29.3% 52.9		25.2% 53.3	29.3% 53.3	25.3% 53.6
Combined ratio		82.2%		78.5%	82.6%	78.9%

Premiums Written/Earned

CNA Surety primarily markets contract and commercial surety bonds. Contract surety bonds generally secure a contractor s performance and/or payment obligation with respect to a construction project. Contract surety bonds are generally required by federal, state and local governments for public works projects. The most common types include bid, performance and payment bonds. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract and cover

obligations typically required by law or regulation. The commercial surety market includes numerous types of bonds categorized as court judicial, court fiduciary, public official, license and permit and many miscellaneous bonds that include guarantees of financial performance. The Company also writes fidelity bonds that cover losses arising from employee dishonesty and other insurance products that are generally companion products to certain surety bonds. For example, the Company writes surety bonds for notaries and also offers related errors and omissions (E&O) insurance coverage.

Through one of its insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company (Western Surety), the Company assumes significant amounts of premiums primarily from affiliates. This includes all surety business written or renewed, net of reinsurance, by Continental Casualty Company (CCC) and The Continental Insurance Company (CIC), and their affiliates, after September 30, 1997 that is reinsured by Western Surety pursuant to reinsurance and related agreements. Because of certain regulatory restrictions that limit the

29

Table of Contents

Company s ability to write certain business on a direct basis, the Company continues to utilize the underwriting capacity available through these agreements. The Company is in full control of all aspects of the underwriting and claim management of this assumed business.

Gross written premium, which is the aggregate of direct written premiums and assumed written premiums, for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is shown in the table below (dollars in thousands) for each sub-line of business:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	0, 2008
Contract	\$ 76,129	\$ 83,396	\$ 143,484	\$ 155,348
Commercial	33,438	33,266	68,416	68,861
Fidelity and other	7,267	7,650	16,059	16,728
	\$ 116,834	\$ 124,312	\$ 227,959	\$ 240,937

For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, gross written premiums decreased 6.0 percent to \$116.8 million compared to \$124.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Contract surety gross written premiums decreased 8.7 percent to \$76.1 million in the second quarter due to lower demand resulting from fewer new construction projects. Commercial surety written premiums increased slightly to \$33.4 million in the quarter due to selective growth opportunities in large commercial business.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, gross written premiums decreased 5.4 percent to \$228.0 million compared to \$240.9 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2008. Gross written premiums for contract surety decreased 7.6 percent to \$143.5 million due to lower demand resulting from fewer new construction projects. Commercial surety decreased 0.6 percent to \$68.4 million in the first half of 2009, reflecting adverse economic conditions.

The Company s insurance subsidiaries purchase reinsurance from other insurance companies and affiliates. Reinsurance arrangements are used to limit maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk and minimize exposure on larger risks. The cost of this reinsurance is recorded as ceded written premium. Ceded written premium decreased \$1.2 million and \$2.3 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. The Company s decision to increase the per principal retention from \$10.0 million to \$15.0 million resulted in lower ceded premiums on the core reinsurance program.

Net written premiums, which is gross written premiums less ceded written premiums, for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are shown in the table below (dollars in thousands) for each sub-line of business:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Contract	\$ 70,127	\$ 76,206	\$ 131,152	\$ 140,798
Commercial	32,721	32,521	66,923	67,295
Fidelity and other	7,267	7,650	16,059	16,728
	\$ 110,115	\$ 116,377	\$ 214,134	\$ 224,821

For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, net written premiums decreased 5.4 percent to \$110.1 million compared to \$116.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 reflecting the decrease in gross written premium, slightly offset by lower ceded premium.

Net written premiums for the six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased 4.8 percent to \$214.1 million compared to \$224.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 reflecting the decrease in gross written premium, partially

offset by lower ceded premium.

Net written premiums are recognized as revenue over the policy term as net earned premiums. Net earned premiums for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are shown in the table below (dollars in thousands) for each sub-line of business:

	Th	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2009		2008		2009		2008		
Contract	\$	65,207	\$	67,708	\$	126,499	\$	130,498		
Commercial		32,821		32,917		65,038		64,994		
Fidelity and other		7,667		7,852		15,309		15,626		
	\$	105,695	\$	108,477	\$	206,846	\$	211,118		

For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, net earned premiums decreased 2.6 percent to \$105.7 million as compared to the second quarter of 2008, primarily due to the decrease in net written premiums described above.

30

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, net earned premiums decreased 2.0 percent to \$206.8 million, primarily due to the decrease in net written premiums described above.

Net Loss Ratio

The net loss ratio was 29.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with 25.2% for the same period in 2008. The net loss ratio was 29.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with 25.3% for the same period last year. The increase in the ratio reflects a higher loss ratio selection for the current accident year in light of economic conditions. These loss ratios include revisions of prior accident year reserves, known as reserve development. These nominal reductions had no effect on the loss ratio for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009. The loss ratio for the three months ended June 30, 2008 reflected a 0.1 percentage point decrease due to such revisions. The loss ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was not impacted by similar reductions. *Expense Ratio*

The expense ratio was 52.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared with 53.3% for the same period in 2008. The expense ratio was 53.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared with 53.6% for the same period in 2008. These ratios decreased primarily due to lower ceded premium which more than offset the upward pressure on the ratio generally associated with a period of declining written premiums.

Investment Income and Realized Investment Gains/Losses

Net investment income for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 was \$12.6 million compared to \$11.7 million during the second quarter of 2008 due to an increase in invested assets, partially offset by lower yields, particularly on short-term investments. The annualized pre-tax yields were 4.3% and 4.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Net investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$24.8 million compared to \$23.5 million for the same period in 2008. The increase reflects the impact of higher overall invested assets. The annualized pre-tax yields were 4.3% and 4.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in annualized yields was primarily due to a decline in short-term yields.

The following summarizes net realized investment gains (losses) activity (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30					Six Months Ended June 30				
	2	009	20	008	2	009	2008			
Net realized investment gains (losses): Fixed income securities:										
Gross realized investment gains Gross realized investment losses:	\$	43	\$		\$	43	\$			
Other-than-temporary impairment losses		(116)				(116)				
Realized losses from sales				(19)			(19)			
Total gross realized investment losses		(116)		(19)		(116)	(19)			
Net realized investment losses on fixed income securities		(73)		(19)		(73)	(19)			
Equity securities: Gross realized investment gains Gross realized investment losses:		27		8		27	10			
Other-than-temporary impairment losses Realized losses from sales				(9)		(46) (20)	(20)			
Total gross realized investment losses				(9)		(66)	(20)			

Net realized investment gains (losses) on equity securities	27	(1)	(39)	(10)
Other	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Net realized investment losses	\$ (47)	\$ (21)	\$ (113)	\$ (30)

The Company s investment portfolio is generally managed to maximize after-tax investment return, while minimizing credit risk with investments concentrated in high quality fixed income securities. CNA Surety s portfolio is managed to provide diversification by limiting exposures to any one industry, issue or issuer, and to provide liquidity by investing in the public securities markets. The portfolio is structured to support CNA Surety s insurance underwriting operations and to consider the expected duration of liabilities

31

Table of Contents

and short-term cash needs. In achieving these goals, assets may be sold to take advantage of market conditions or other investment opportunities or regulatory, credit and tax considerations. These activities will produce realized gains and losses.

Interest Expense

The benchmark interest rate for the Company s variable interest rate debt is the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). Due to lower three-month LIBOR rates, interest expense decreased by 32.5 percent for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared with the same periods in 2008. Weighted average debt outstanding was \$30.9 million for each of these periods. The weighted average interest rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was 4.4% as compared with 6.2% for the same period in 2008. The weighted average interest rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 4.8% as compared with 6.8% for the same period in 2008. *Income Taxes*

The Company s income tax expense was \$8.8 million and \$17.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The Company s income tax expense was \$10.4 million and \$20.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 were 28.4% and 28.3%, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 were 30.2% and 29.9%, respectively. The Company s effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate due primarily to tax-exempt investment income. Tax-exempt investment income was \$6.5 million and \$13.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Tax-exempt investment income was \$5.9 million and \$11.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. *Exposure Management*

The Company s business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties associated with the current economic environment and corporate credit conditions. In response to these risks and uncertainties, the Company has enacted various exposure management initiatives. With respect to risks on large commercial accounts, the Company generally limits its exposure to \$25.0 million per account, but will selectively accept higher exposures.

With respect to contract surety, the Company s portfolio is predominantly comprised of contractors with bonded backlog of less than \$30.0 million. Bonded backlog is an estimate of the Company s exposure in the event of default before indemnification. The Company does have accounts with bonded backlogs greater than \$30.0 million.

The Company manages its exposure to any one contract credit and aggressively looks for co-surety, shared accounts and other means to support or reduce larger exposures. Reinsurance and indemnification rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, exist that substantially reduce CNA Surety s exposure to loss.

Excess of Loss Reinsurance

The Company s reinsurance program is predominantly comprised of excess of loss reinsurance contracts that limit the Company s retention on a per principal basis. The Company s reinsurance coverage is provided by third party reinsurers and related parties. Due to the terms and conditions of these excess of loss treaties, reinsurers may cover some principals in one year but then exclude these same principals in subsequent years. As a result, the Company may have exposures to these principals that have limited or no reinsurance coverage.

2009 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2009, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty (2009 Excess of Loss Treaty) with a group of third party reinsurers on terms similar to the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty discussed below. Under the 2009 Excess of Loss Treaty, the Company s net retention per principal is \$15 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$90 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company s retention. The contract provides aggregate coverage of \$185 million and includes an optional extended discovery period, for an additional premium (a percentage of the original premium based on any unexhausted aggregate limit by layer), which will provide coverage for losses discovered beyond 2009 on bonds that were in force during 2009. The contract also includes a provision for additional premiums of up to \$13.8 million based on losses ceded under the contract. The base annual premium for the 2009 Excess of Loss Treaty is \$28.0 million. Only the large national contractor discussed below remains excluded from the 2009 Excess of Loss Treaty.

Table of Contents

2008 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2008, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty (2008 Excess of Loss Treaty) with a group of third party reinsurers on terms similar to the excess of loss treaty effective in 2007. Under the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty, the Company s net retention per principal remained at \$10 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$90 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company s retention. The contract provided aggregate coverage of \$185 million and included an optional extended discovery period, which was not exercised. The contract also included a provision for additional premiums of up to \$26.1 million based on losses ceded under the contract. The actual cost for the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty was \$30.4 million. Only the large national contractor discussed below was excluded from the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty. There were no additional premiums or loss recoveries under the 2008 Excess of Loss Treaty as no losses were discovered to this treaty in 2008. *Related Party Reinsurance*

Reinsurance agreements together with the Services and Indemnity Agreement that are described below provide for the transfer of the surety business written by CCC and CIC to Western Surety. All of these agreements originally were entered into on September 30, 1997 (the Merger Date): (i) the Surety Quota Share Treaty (the Quota Share Treaty); (ii) the Aggregate Stop Loss Reinsurance Contract (the Stop Loss Contract); and (iii) the Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract (the Excess of Loss Contract). All of these contracts have expired. Some have been renewed on different terms as described below.

The Services and Indemnity Agreement provides the Company s insurance subsidiaries with the authority to perform various administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions in order to conduct the business of CCC and CIC and to be reimbursed by CCC for services rendered. In consideration for providing the foregoing services, CCC has agreed to pay Western Surety a quarterly fee of \$50,000. This agreement was renewed with the same terms on January 1, 2009 and expires on December 31, 2009 and is annually renewable thereafter. Effective June 30, 2009, the Company and CCC agreed to an addendum to this agreement that is discussed below.

Through the Quota Share Treaty, CCC and CIC transfer to Western Surety all surety business written or renewed by CCC and CIC after the Merger Date. The Quota Share Treaty was renewed on January 1, 2009 and expires on December 31, 2009 and is annually renewable thereafter. CCC and CIC transfer the related liabilities of such business and pay to Western Surety an amount in cash equal to CCC s and CIC s net written premiums written on all such business, minus a quarterly ceding commission to be retained by CCC and CIC equal to \$50,000 plus 25% of net written premiums written on all such business. For 2008, this resulted in an override commission on their actual direct acquisition costs of 5.9% to CCC and CIC.

Under the terms of the Quota Share Treaty, CCC has guaranteed the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves transferred to Western Surety as of the Merger Date by agreeing to pay Western Surety, within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter, the amount of any adverse development on such reserves, as re-estimated as of the end of such calendar quarter. There was no adverse reserve development for the period from the Merger Date through June 30, 2009.

Through the Stop Loss Contract, the Company s insurance subsidiaries were protected from adverse loss development on certain business underwritten after the Merger Date. The Stop Loss Contract between the insurance subsidiaries and CCC limited the insurance subsidiaries prospective net loss ratios with respect to certain accounts and lines of insured business for three full accident years following the Merger Date. In the event the insurance subsidiaries accident year net loss ratio exceeds 24% in any of the accident years 1997 through 2000 on certain insured accounts (the Loss Ratio Cap), the Stop Loss Contract requires CCC at the end of each calendar quarter following the Merger Date, to pay to the insurance subsidiaries a dollar amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, by which the Company s actual accident year net loss ratio exceeds the applicable Loss Ratio Cap, multiplied by (ii) the applicable net earned premiums. In consideration for the coverage provided by the Stop Loss Contract, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC an annual premium of \$20,000. The CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries have paid CCC all required annual premiums. Through both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, losses incurred under the Stop Loss Contract were \$48.9 million. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 these losses incurred are net of \$2.1 million related to expected indemnity recoveries. As a result of favorable development during the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company paid CCC \$0.7 million in 2009 under the Stop Loss Contract.

The Company and CCC previously participated in a \$40 million excess of \$60 million reinsurance contract effective from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 providing coverage exclusively for the one large national contractor excluded from the Company s third party reinsurance. The premium for this contract was \$3.0 million plus an additional premium of \$6.0 million if a loss was ceded under this contract. In the second quarter of 2005, this contract was amended to provide unlimited coverage in excess of the \$60 million retention, to increase the premium to \$7.0 million and to eliminate the additional premium provision. This treaty provides

33

Table of Contents

coverage for the life of bonds either in force or written during the term of the treaty which was from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005. The Company and CCC agreed by addendum to extend this contract for twelve months beginning on January 1, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009. Additional premiums for these periods were \$0.8 million, \$0.5 million, \$0.2 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively, and were based on the level of actual premiums written on bonds for the large national contractor.

On June 30, 2009, the Company and CCC agreed by addendum to terminate the reinsurance contract discussed in the preceding paragraph under which the Company had ceded losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$50.0 million through both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Unpaid ceded losses under this contract on June 30, 2009, prior to the termination becoming effective, were \$50.0 million compared to \$46.8 million at December 31, 2008. As a result of indemnification recoveries during the first quarter of 2009, \$3.2 million was returned to CCC. In addition to the termination of this contract, the Company and CCC agreed to commute the Quota Share Treaty as regards premium and losses for the large national contractor. The impact of this commutation was a decrease of gross loss reserves of \$51.8 million. Further, the Services and Indemnity Agreement was amended by addendum to specifically extend the Company s authority to conduct administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions as respects business of the large national contractor until CCC s bonds have expired and claims have been settled or closed.

Under the terms of the agreements effecting this commutation, the Company paid CCC \$1.8 million. This settlement reflects the difference between the Company s \$60.0 million retention under the reinsurance contract and \$58.2 million paid by the Company for losses of the large national contractor through June 30, 2009. These transactions had no net impact on the results of operations for the three or six months ended June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2009, CNA Surety had an insurance receivable balance from CCC and CIC of \$11.2 million. As a result of the commutation, this amount includes only premiums receivable. At December 31, 2008, the Company s insurance receivable balance from CCC and CIC was \$60.4 million, including \$46.1 million of reinsurance recoverables and \$14.3 million of premiums receivable, respectively. CNA Surety had no reinsurance payables to CCC and CIC as of June 30, 2009. CNA Surety had reinsurance payables to CCC and CIC of \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2008.

The Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets also include a Deposit with affiliated ceding company of \$27.4 million and \$29.7 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. In 2005, pursuant to an agreement with the claimant on a bond regarding certain aspects of the claim resolution, the Company deposited \$32.7 million with an affiliate to enable the affiliate to establish a trust to fund future payments under the bond. The bond was written by the affiliate and assumed by one of the Company s insurance subsidiaries pursuant to the Quota Share Treaty. The Company is entitled to the interest income earned by the trust. Prior to the establishment of the trust, the Company had fully reserved its obligation under the bond and the claim remains fully reserved. Liquidity and Capital Resources

It is anticipated that the liquidity requirements of CNA Surety will be met primarily by funds generated from operations. The principal sources of operating cash flows are premiums, investment income and recoveries under reinsurance contracts. The primary cash flow uses are payments for claims, operating expenses, federal income taxes and debt service. In general, surety operations generate premium collections from customers in advance of cash outlays for claims. Premiums are invested until such time as funds are required to pay claims and claims adjusting expenses.

The Company believes that total invested assets, including cash and short-term investments, are sufficient in the aggregate and have suitably scheduled maturities to satisfy all policy claims and other operating liabilities, including dividend and income tax sharing payments of its insurance subsidiaries. If cash requirements unexpectedly exceed cash inflows, the Company may raise additional cash by liquidating fixed income securities ahead of their scheduled maturity. Depending on the interest rate environment at that time, the Company could generate realized gains or losses that would increase or decrease net income for the period. The extent of these gains or losses would depend on a number of factors such as the prevailing interest rates and credit spreads, the duration of the assets sold and the marketability of the assets. The need to liquidate fixed income securities would be expected to cause a reduction in future investment income.

At June 30, 2009, the carrying value of the Company s insurance subsidiaries invested assets was comprised of \$1,126.8 million of fixed income securities, \$64.3 million of short-term investments and \$3.3 million of cash. At December 31, 2008, the carrying value of the Company s insurance subsidiaries invested assets was comprised of \$1,034.6 million of fixed income securities, \$72.1 million of short-term investments and \$4.1 million of cash.

Cash flow at the parent company level is derived principally from dividend and tax sharing payments from its insurance subsidiaries, and to a lesser extent, investment income. The principal obligations at the parent company level are to service debt and

34

Table of Contents

pay operating expenses, including income taxes. At June 30, 2009, the parent company s invested assets consisted of \$1.4 million of equity securities, \$3.9 million of short-term investments and \$3.1 million of cash. At December 31, 2008, the parent company s invested assets consisted of \$1.2 million of equity securities, \$8.5 million of short-term investments and \$4.6 million of cash. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, parent company short-term investments and cash included \$5.5 million and \$11.5 million, respectively, of restricted cash primarily related to premium receipt collections ultimately due to the Company s insurance subsidiaries.

The Company s consolidated net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$31.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to net cash flow provided by operating activities of \$35.1 million for the comparable period in 2008. The decrease in net cash flow provided by operating activities primarily relates to higher loss and loss adjustment expense payments, which included the payment related to the commutation of the reinsurance treaties discussed above, partially offset by lower ceded premiums.

The Company s consolidated net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$65.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to net cash flow provided by operating activities of \$54.3 million for the comparable period in 2008. The increase in net cash flow provided by operating activities primarily relates to lower net loss and loss adjustment expense payments, lower ceded premiums and lower payments for income taxes and interest on the Company s debt.

In May 2004, the Company, through a wholly-owned trust, privately issued \$30.0 million of preferred securities through two pooled transactions. These securities, issued by CNA Surety Capital Trust I (the Issuer Trust), bear interest at LIBOR plus 337.5 basis points with a 30-year term. Beginning in May 2009, these securities may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at par value at any scheduled quarterly interest payment date. As of June 30, 2009, none of these preferred securities have been redeemed.

The Company s investment of \$0.9 million in the Issuer Trust is carried at cost in Other assets in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The sole asset of the Issuer Trust consists of a \$30.9 million junior subordinated debenture issued by the Company to the Issuer Trust. Due to the underlying characteristics of this debt, the carrying value of the debenture approximates its estimated fair value.

The Company has also guaranteed the dividend payments and redemption of the preferred securities issued by the Issuer Trust. The maximum amount of undiscounted future payments the Company could make under the guarantee is approximately \$62.8 million, consisting of annual dividend payments of approximately \$1.3 million until maturity and the redemption value of the preferred securities of \$30.0 million. Because payment under the guarantee would only be required if the Company does not fulfill its obligations under the debentures held by the Issuer Trust, the Company has not recorded any additional liabilities related to this guarantee.

The junior subordinated debenture bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 337.5 basis points and matures in April 2034. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, the interest rate on the junior subordinated debenture was 4.258% and 6.051%, respectively.

On June 30, 2008, the Company s credit facility matured. There was no outstanding balance under this facility (the 2005 Credit Facility) during 2008. The 2005 Credit Facility was entered into on July 27, 2005, when the Company refinanced \$30.0 million in outstanding borrowings under its previous credit facility. The 2005 Credit Facility provided an aggregate of up to \$50.0 million in borrowings under a revolving credit facility. In September 2006, the Company reduced the available aggregate revolving credit facility to \$25.0 million in borrowings. The 2005 Credit Facility also contained certain conditions and limitations on the Company. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

The term of borrowings under the 2005 Credit Facility was fixed, at the Company s option, for a period of one, two, three, or six months. The interest rate was based on, among other rates, LIBOR plus the applicable margin. The margin, including a utilization fee, varied based on the Company s leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 0.80% to 1.00%. There was no outstanding balance under the 2005 Credit Facility during the six months ended June 30, 2008. As such, the Company incurred only the facility fee of 0.300% through the first six months of 2008.

The Company does not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements as defined by Item 303 of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Acts of 1933 and 1934.

Table of Contents

A summary of the Company s commitments as of June 30, 2009 is presented in the following table (in millions):

Contractual Obligations as of June 30, 2009	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter	Total
Debt (a)	\$ 0.7	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.3	\$ 57.9	\$ 63.8
Operating leases	1.1	2.0	1.9	0.9			5.9
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	61.6	124.1	91.4	46.8	30.2	65.7	419.8
Other long-term liabilities (b)	0.3	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.4	9.1	12.4
Total	\$ 63.7	\$ 128.5	\$ 95.5	\$ 49.6	\$ 31.9	\$ 132.7	\$ 501.9

- (a) Reflects expected principal and interest payments.
- (b) Reflects
 unfunded
 postretirement
 benefit plans
 and long-term
 incentive plan
 payments to
 certain
 executives.

As an insurance holding company, CNA Surety is dependent upon dividends and other permitted payments from its insurance subsidiaries to pay operating expenses and meet debt service requirements, as well as to potentially pay cash dividends. The payment of dividends by the insurance subsidiaries is subject to varying degrees of supervision by the insurance regulatory authorities in the insurance subsidiaries states of domicile. Western Surety, Surety Bonding Company of America (Surety Bonding) and Universal Surety of America (Universal Surety) are domiciled in South Dakota. In South Dakota, insurance companies may only pay dividends from earned surplus excluding surplus arising from unrealized capital gains or revaluation of assets. The insurance subsidiaries may pay dividends without obtaining prior regulatory approval only if such dividend or distribution (together with dividends or distributions made within the preceding 12-month period) is less than, as of the end of the immediately preceding year, the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer surplus to policyholders or (ii) statutory net income. In South Dakota, net income includes net realized capital gains in an amount not to exceed 20% of net unrealized capital gains. All dividends must be reported to the South Dakota Division of Insurance prior to payment.

The dividends that may be paid without prior regulatory approval are determined by formulas established by the applicable insurance regulations, as described above. The formulas that determine dividend capacity in the current year are dependent on, among other items, the prior year s ending statutory surplus and statutory net income. Dividend capacity for 2009 is based on statutory surplus and income at and for the year ended December 31, 2008. Without prior regulatory approval in 2009, Western Surety may pay dividends of \$108.5 million to CNA Surety. CNA Surety received dividends of \$2.0 million from its insurance subsidiaries during the first six months of 2009. CNA Surety received no dividends from its non-insurance subsidiaries during the first six months of 2009. CNA Surety received \$3.0 million in dividends from its insurance subsidiaries and no dividends from its non-insurance subsidiaries during the first six months of 2008.

Combined statutory surplus totaled \$597.1 million at June 30, 2009, resulting in an annualized net written premium to statutory surplus ratio of to 0.7 to 1. Insurance regulations restrict Western Surety s maximum net retention on a

single surety bond to 10 percent of statutory surplus. Under the 2009 Excess of Loss Treaty, the Company s net retention on new bonds would generally be \$15 million plus a 5% co-participation in the \$90 million layer of excess reinsurance above the Company s retention. Based on statutory surplus as of June 30, 2009, this regulation would limit Western Surety s largest gross risk to \$145.2 million. This surplus requirement may limit the amount of future dividends Western Surety could otherwise pay to CNA Surety.

In accordance with the provisions of intercompany tax sharing agreements between CNA Surety and its subsidiaries, the income tax of each subsidiary shall be determined based upon each subsidiary s separate return liability. Intercompany tax payments are made at such times when estimated tax payments would be required by the Internal Revenue Service. CNA Surety received tax sharing payments of \$19.5 million and \$19.9 million from its subsidiaries for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively.

Western Surety and Surety Bonding each qualify as an acceptable surety for federal and other public works project bonds pursuant to U.S. Department of Treasury regulations. U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations, the maximum net retention on a single federal surety bond, are based on an insurer s statutory surplus. Effective July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009, the underwriting limitations of Western Surety and Surety Bonding were \$43.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Effective July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, the underwriting limitations of Western Surety and Surety Bonding are \$54.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Through the Quota Share Treaty previously discussed, CNA Surety has access to CCC and its affiliates U.S. Department of Treasury underwriting limitations. Effective July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009, the underwriting limitations of CCC and its affiliates utilized under the Quota Share Treaty totaled \$783.7 million. Effective July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, the underwriting limitations of CCC and its affiliates utilized under the Quota Share Treaty total \$732.3 million. CNA Surety management believes that the foregoing U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are sufficient for the conduct of its business.

36

Table of Contents

CNA Surety management believes that the Company has sufficient available resources, including capital protection against large losses provided by the Company s excess of loss reinsurance arrangements, to meet its present capital needs.

Insurance Regulation and Supervision

CNA Surety s insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by the various insurance regulatory authorities of the states in which they conduct business. Such supervision includes periodic financial and market conduct examinations. These examinations are generally performed by the domiciliary state insurance regulatory authorities; however, they may be performed by any jurisdiction in which the insurer transacts business. During 2008, the South Dakota Division of Insurance began preliminary work on its financial examination of Western Surety, Surety Bonding and Universal Surety as of and for the period January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2008. The information systems portion of the examination was completed in March 2009 and the Company s responses to the comments and recommendations provided were comprehensive and will be summarized in the final report. The Company does not expect the results of the ongoing examination to have a material impact on the results of operations and financial condition of its insurance subsidiaries.

Financial Condition

Investment Portfolio

The estimated fair value, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses, other-than temporary impairments (OTTI) and amortized cost of fixed income securities and the fair value, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and cost of equity securities held by CNA Surety at June 30, 2009, by investment category, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

					(Gross U	nrealized			
			(Gross		Lo	sses			
	A	mortized			Less		More			
		Cost	Un	realized		han	Than	Es	stimated	Unrealized
						12	12	_		OTTI
June 30, 2009		or Cost	(Gains	Mo	onths	Months	Fa	ir Value	Losses
Fixed income securities:										
U.S. Treasury securities										
and obligations of U.S.										
Government and agencies:										
U.S. Treasury	\$	32,770	\$	1,204	\$	(9)	\$	\$	33,965	\$
U.S. Agencies		33,868		621					34,489	
Collateralized mortgage										
obligations residential		32,992		1,337					34,329	
Mortgage pass-through										
securities residential		61,453		2,006					63,459	
Obligations of states and										
political subdivisions		690,451		24,270	(1,769)	(9,148)		703,804	
Corporate bonds		188,342		6,360		(969)	(1,049)		192,684	
Collateralized mortgage										
obligations commercial		35,054				(121)	(3,129)		31,804	
Other asset-backed										
securities:										
Second mortgages/home										
equity loans residential		6,576					(2,588)		3,988	(1,708)
Consumer credit										
receivables		16,766		564			(241)		17,089	
Other		10,735		466					11,201	

Edgar Filing: CNA SURETY CORP - Form 10-Q

Total fixed income securities	1,109,007	36,828	(2,868)	(16,155)	1,126,812	\$ (1,708)
Equity securities	1,344	81			1,425	
Total	\$ 1,110,351	\$ 36,909	\$ (2,868)	\$ (16,155)	\$ 1,128,237	
		37				

Table of Contents

The estimated fair value and amortized cost or cost of fixed income and equity securities held by CNA Surety at December 31, 2008, by investment category, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

				Gross	Gross U Lo				
	A	Amortized Cost				More Than 12		Es	timated
December 31, 2008		or Cost		Gains	Months	Months		Fa	ir Value
Fixed income securities:									
U.S. Treasury securities and									
obligations of U.S. Government and									
agencies:									
U.S. Treasury	\$	33,140	\$	3,519	\$	\$		\$	36,659
U.S. Agencies		36,476		1,116					37,592
Collateralized mortgage obligations		25.574		004					2665
residential		35,671		984					36,655
Mortgage pass-through securities									
residential		72,203		1,489					73,692
Obligations of states and political		60 = 2 0=		10 = 20	(6.000)		(10.010)		
subdivisions		697,305		19,730	(6,929)		(13,943)		696,163
Corporate bonds		96,048		1,711	(2,430)		(1,853)		93,476
Collateralized mortgage obligations					(= 0.40)		(=)		
commercial		35,025			(2,040)		(3,607)		29,378
Other asset-backed securities:									
Second mortgages/home equity		7.056			(770)		(2.100)		4.005
loans residential		7,956			(779)		(2,180)		4,997
Consumer credit receivables		17,239		•	(1,708)				15,531
Other		10,753		23	(273)				10,503
Total fixed income securities		1,041,816		28,572	(14,159)		(21,583)	1	,034,646
Equity securities		1,231							1,231
Total	\$	1,043,047	\$	28,572	\$ (14,159)	\$	(21,583)	\$ 1	,035,877

The following table provides the composition of fixed income securities with an unrealized loss at June 30, 2009 in relation to the total of all fixed income securities by contractual maturities:

	% of	
	Estimated	% of
	Fair	Unrealized
Contractual Maturity	Value	Loss
Due after one year through five years	11%	6%
Due after five years through ten years	22	12
Due after ten years	54	50
Asset-backed securities	13	32
Total	100%	100%

The following table summarizes for fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those securities have been continuously in an unrealized loss position (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Estimated Fair		Gross realized	Estimated Fair		Gross realized
Unrealized Loss Aging	Value		Loss	Value		Loss
Fixed income securities:						
Investment grade ^(a) :						
0-6 months	\$ 136,874	\$	2,354	\$ 109,973	\$	3,095
7-12 months	22,724		514	121,419		11,064
13-24 months	46,733		2,921	81,395		12,010
Greater than 24 months	96,619		11,526	33,450		9,573
Total investment grade Non-investment grade:	302,950		17,315	346,237		35,742
Greater than 24 months	1,755		1,708			
Total	\$ 304,705	\$	19,023	\$ 346,237	\$	35,742

(a) Investment

grade is

determined by

using the

Standard &

Poor s (S&P)

rating. If a

security is not

rated by S&P,

the Moody s

Investor

Services

(Moody s) rating

is used.

Currently, all of

the Company s

fixed income

securities are

rated by S&P or

Moody s.

Management believes the Company has the ability to hold all fixed income securities to maturity. However, the Company may dispose of securities prior to their scheduled maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayments, tax and credit considerations, liquidity or regulatory capital requirements, or other similar factors. As a result, the Company considers all of its fixed income securities (bonds) and equity securities as available-for-sale, and as such, they are carried at fair value.

Table of Contents

A security is in an unrealized loss position, or impaired, if the fair value of the security is less than its amortized cost adjusted for accretion, amortization and previously recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses. When a security is impaired, the impairment is evaluated to determine whether it is temporary or other-than-temporary.

A significant judgment in the valuation of investments is the determination of when an other-than-temporary decline in value has occurred. The Company follows a consistent and systematic process for identifying securities that sustain other-than-temporary declines in value. The Company has established a watch list that is reviewed by the Chief Financial Officer and one other executive officer on at least a quarterly basis. The watch list includes individual securities that fall below certain thresholds or that exhibit evidence of impairment indicators including, but not limited to, a significant adverse change in the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investment or a significant adverse change in legal factors, the business climate or credit ratings.

When a security is placed on the watch list, it is monitored for further market value changes and additional news related to the issuer s financial condition. The focus is on objective evidence that may influence the evaluation of impairment factors. The decision to record an other-than-temporary impairment loss incorporates both quantitative criteria and qualitative information.

In determining whether an equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company considers a number of factors including, but not limited to: (a) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than book value, (b) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (c) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in value and (d) general market conditions and industry or sector specific factors. Currently, the Company s equity portfolio is comprised solely of mutual funds related to the Company s deferred compensation plan, which is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan for a select group of management or highly compensated employees. Due to the nature of the plan, the Company does not assert the ability to hold these securities until their recovery in value. As such, if any of these securities are in an unrealized loss position, they are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For equity securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment loss has been identified, the security is written down to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in realized gains/losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position that the Company intends to sell, or it more likely than not will be required to sell before recovery of amortized cost, are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. These securities are written down to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in realized gains/losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

The remaining fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position are evaluated to determine if a credit loss exists. To determine if a credit loss exists, the Company considers a number of factors including, but not limited to: (a) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (b) credit ratings of the securities, (c) whether the debtor is current on interest and principal payments, (d) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than book value and (e) general market conditions and industry or sector specific factors.

In addition to these factors, the Company considers the results of discounted cash flow modeling using assumptions representative of current market conditions as well as those specific to the Company s particular security holdings. For asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, the focus of this analysis is on assessing the sufficiency and quality of underlying collateral and timing of cash flows. If the discounted expected cash flows for a security equal or exceed the amortized cost of that security, no credit loss exists and the security is deemed to be temporarily impaired.

Fixed income securities in an unrealized loss position for which management believes a credit loss exists are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. For these fixed income securities, the Company bifurcates OTTI losses into a credit component and a non-credit component. The credit component, which represents the difference between the discounted expected cash flows and the fixed income security s amortized cost, is recognized in earnings. The non-credit component is recognized in other comprehensive income and represents the difference between fair value and the discounted cash flows that the Company expects to collect.

At June 30, 2009, the Company holds 215 fixed income securities in an unrealized gain position with a total estimated fair value of \$822.1 million and an aggregate gross unrealized gain of \$36.8 million.

39

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes securities in a gross unrealized loss position by investment category and by credit rating ^(a). The table also discloses the corresponding count of securities in an unrealized loss position and estimated fair value by category (in thousands of dollars):

	Gross Unrealized Losses							Estimated
June 30, 2009	$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$	4	$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$	A	BBB	Total	Count	Fair Value
Fixed income securities:								
Investment grade:								
U.S. Treasury	\$	9	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9	1	\$ 3,658
Obligations of states and								
political subdivisions	2,0	53	3,517	1,993	3,354	10,917	42	219,057
Corporate bonds		8	69	1,241	700	2,018	11	41,441
Collateralized mortgage								
obligations commercial	3,2	50				3,250	7	31,804
Other asset-backed securities:								
Second mortgages/home equity								
loans residential	8	80				880	1	2,232
Consumer credit receivables				241		241	1	4,758
Total investment grade	6,2	00	3,586	3,475	4,054	17,315	63	302,950
Non-investment grade:								
Other asset-backed securities:								
Second mortgages/home equity								
loans residential						1,708	1	1,755
Total non-investment grade						1,708	1	1,755
Total	\$ 6,2	00	\$ 3,586	\$ 3,475	\$ 4,054	\$ 19,023	64	\$ 304,705

(a) Securities are categorized using the S&P rating. If a security is not rated by S&P, the Moody s rating is used. Currently, all of the Company s fixed income securities are rated by S&P or Moody s.

The one non-investment grade asset-backed security in an unrealized loss position is collateralized by sub-prime home loans originated prior to 2005. Based on the Company s June 30, 2009 discounted cash flow analysis, the Company determined this security was other-than-temporarily impaired. The significant assumptions considered by the Company in its cash flow projections included delinquency rates, probable risk of default, over-collateralization and credit support from lower level tranches. The Company recorded OTTI losses of \$0.1 million in earnings in

addition to the \$1.7 million unrealized losses shown above and recorded in other comprehensive income. The Company s exposure to sub-prime home loans includes this security and one additional asset-backed security with an estimated fair value of \$2.2 million and is an unrealized loss position of \$0.9 million (28.3% of its amortized cost) at June 30, 2009. The Company believes the unrealized losses on these securities are primarily attributable to broader economic conditions and liquidity concerns and are not indicative of the quality of the underlying collateral. In the first six months of 2009, the Company received a total of \$1.3 million of repayments on these securities.

Of the 63 investment grade securities in an unrealized loss position, three were in a loss position that exceeded 20% of the security s amortized cost. Eight other securities were in an unrealized loss position that exceeded 10% of each security s amortized cost and seven additional securities were in an unrealized loss position that exceeded 5% of each security s amortized cost. The security with the largest unrealized loss in dollars was issued by a governmental utility authority and was in an unrealized loss position of \$2.5 million (22.6% of its amortized cost). Gross unrealized losses on the Company s fixed income portfolio have improved from \$35.7 million at December 31, 2008 and \$31.8 million at March 31, 2009. The Company believes that the unrealized losses are primarily due to credit spread widening, and to a lesser extent, market illiquidity and certain asset classes being out of favor with investors.

In addition to the asset-backed securities collateralized by sub-prime home loans, the Company s other asset-backed holding in an unrealized loss position is a consumer credit receivable security collateralized by auto loan receivables, some of which are sub-prime. The unrealized loss position on this security is about 5% of the security s amortized cost. The Company believes that this security will recover in value based on the current performance of the underlying collateral and the amount of credit support available to our holdings.

The Company holds seven commercial collateralized mortgage-backed securities that each are in an unrealized loss position ranging from 2% to 15% of the security s amortized cost. The overall unrealized loss position of these securities improved from \$6.0 million at March 31, 2009 to \$3.3 million at June 30, 2009. The Company believes that these securities will recover in value based on the current performance of the underlying collateral, the senior or super-senior position of each of the holdings and the amount of credit support available to our holdings.

As of June 30, 2009, \$419.5 million of the Company s investments were guaranteed by one of three major mono-line bond insurers. This includes \$413.0 million of bonds of states and political subdivisions, or about 59% of the Company s investment in this type of security. Investments in obligations of states and political subdivisions

40

Table of Contents

represent approximately 59% of the Company s invested assets. The ratings on these securities reflect the higher of the underlying rating of the issuer or the insurer s rating. Of the \$413.0 million of bonds that were insured, only \$110.9 million of these securities reflect credit rating enhancement due to the guarantee. The underlying ratings of the enhanced securities are \$85.0 million AA, \$25.4 million A and \$0.5 million BBB. The underlying ratings of these holdings remain very strong and carry an average rating of AA. The Company views bond insurance as credit enhancement and not credit substitution and a credit review is performed on each issuer of bonds purchased. Based on the strong underlying credit quality of its insured bonds of states and political subdivisions, the Company believes that any impact of potential ratings downgrades or other difficulties of the mono-line bond insurers would not have a significant impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

The Company has no current intent to sell any of the securities in an unrealized loss position, nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities prior to recovery of amortized cost. The Company believes that all of the securities in an unrealized loss position will recover in value and that none of these unrealized losses were due to factors regarding credit-worthiness. Based on the current facts and circumstances of the Company s particular security holdings, the Company has determined that no additional OTTI losses related to the securities in an unrealized loss position are required to be recorded.

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain of these invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may significantly affect the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Impact of Pending Accounting Standards

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 (SFAS 166). SFAS 166 removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from SFAS 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125, and the related scope exceptions from FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. It also modifies the de-recognition conditions related to legal isolation and effective control and adds additional disclosure requirements for transfers of financial assets. SFAS 166 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 166 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (SFAS 167), which amends the requirements for determination of the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, requires an ongoing assessment of whether an entity is the primary beneficiary and requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements information regarding an enterprise s involvement in a variable interest entity. SFAS 167 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 167 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (SFAS 168), which announced that the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) will become the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. On the effective date of SFAS 168, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 168 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes a number of statements, which relate to anticipated future events (forward-looking statements) rather than actual present conditions or historical events. Forward-looking statements generally include words such as believes, expects, intends, anticipates, estimates and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this rep include expected developments in the Company s insurance business, including losses and loss reserves; the impact of

routine ongoing insurance reserve reviews being conducted by the Company; the routine state regulatory examinations of the Company s primary insurance company subsidiaries, and the Company s responses to the results of those reviews and examinations; the Company s expectations

41

Table of Contents

concerning its revenues, earnings, expenses and investment activities; expected cost savings and other results from the Company s expense reduction and restructuring activities; and the Company s proposed actions in response to trends in its business.

Forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to a variety of inherent risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected. Many of these risks and uncertainties cannot be controlled by the Company.

Some examples of these risks and uncertainties are:

general economic and business conditions;

changes in financial markets such as fluctuations in interest rates, long-term periods of low interest rates, credit conditions and currency, commodity and stock prices;

the ability of the Company s contract principals to fulfill their bonded obligations;

the effects of corporate bankruptcies on surety bond claims, as well as on capital markets;

changes in foreign or domestic political, social and economic conditions;

regulatory initiatives and compliance with governmental regulations, judicial decisions, including interpretation of policy provisions, decisions regarding coverage, trends in litigation and the outcome of any litigation involving the Company, and rulings and changes in tax laws and regulations;

regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions upon the Company, including the effects of assessments and other surcharges for guaranty funds and other mandatory pooling arrangements;

the impact of competitive products, policies and pricing and the competitive environment in which the Company operates, including changes in the Company s books of business;

product and policy availability and demand and market responses, including the level of ability to obtain rate increases and decline or non-renew underpriced accounts, to achieve premium targets and profitability and to realize growth and retention estimates;

development of claims and the impact on loss reserves, including changes in claim settlement practices;

the performance of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts with the Company;

results of financing efforts, including the Company s ability to access capital markets;

changes in the Company s composition of operating segments;

the sufficiency of the Company s loss reserves and the possibility of future increases in reserves;

the risks and uncertainties associated with the Company s loss reserves; and,

the possibility of further changes in the Company s ratings by ratings agencies, including the inability to access certain markets or distribution channels and the required collateralization of future payment obligations as a result of such changes, and changes in rating agency policies and practices.

Any forward-looking statements made in this report are made by the Company as of the date of this report. The Company does not have any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in this report,

even if the Company s expectations or any related events, conditions or circumstances change.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

CNA Surety s investment portfolio is subject to economic losses due to adverse changes in the fair value of its financial instruments, or market risk. Interest rate risk represents the largest market risk factor affecting the Company s consolidated financial

42

Table of Contents

condition due to its significant level of investments in fixed income securities. Increases and decreases in prevailing interest rates generally translate into decreases and increases in the fair value of the Company s fixed income portfolio. The fair value of these interest rate sensitive instruments may also be affected by the credit-worthiness of the issuer, prepayment options, relative value of alternative investments, the liquidity of the instrument, income tax considerations and general market conditions. The Company manages its exposure to interest rate risk primarily through an asset/liability matching strategy. The Company s exposure to interest rate risk is mitigated by the relative short-term nature of its insurance and other liabilities. The targeted effective duration of the Company s investment portfolio is approximately 5 years, consistent with the expected duration of its insurance and other liabilities.

The tables below summarize the estimated effects of certain hypothetical increases and decreases in interest rates. It is assumed that the changes occur immediately and uniformly across each investment category. The hypothetical changes in market interest rates selected at June 30, 2009 reflect the Company s expectations of the reasonably possible scenarios over a one-year period. The hypothetical fair values are based upon the same prepayment assumptions that were utilized in computing fair values as of June 30, 2009. At December 31, 2008, the hypothetical changes in market interest rates reflected the Company s expectations of the reasonably possible best or worst case scenarios over a one year period. Significant variations in market interest rates could produce changes in the timing of repayments due to prepayment options available. The fair value of such instruments could be affected and therefore actual results might differ from those reflected in the following tables.

	Fair Value at June 30,		Hypothetical Change in Interest Rate	Va Hyj	stimated Fair llue After pothetical hange in	Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Stockholders	
		2009	(bp=basis points)	Inte	erest Rate	Equity	
			(Dollars i	n thous	sands)	1 0	
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities	\$	166,242	200 bp increase 150 bp	\$	154,870	(0.9)%	
			increase 100 bp		157,967	(0.6)	
			increase 50 bp		160,947	(0.4)	
States, municipalities and political			increase 200 bp		163,760	(0.2)	
subdivisions		703,804	increase 150 bp		618,970	(6.7)	
			increase 100 bp		638,364	(5.1)	
			increase 50 bp		661,434	(3.3)	
			increase 200 bp		682,255	(1.7)	
Corporate bonds		192,684	increase		172,805	(1.6)	

		150 bp		
		increase	177,498	(1.2)
		100 bp		
		increase	182,370	(0.8)
		50 bp		
		increase	187,429	(0.4)
		200 bp		
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed	64,082	increase	60,886	(0.3)
		150 bp		
		increase	61,659	(0.2)
		100 bp		
		increase	62,450	(0.1)
		50 bp		
		increase	63,257	(0.1)
Total fixed income securities		200 bp		
available-for-sale	\$1,126,812	increase	1,007,531	(9.4)
		150 bp		
		increase	1,035,488	(7.2)
		100 bp		
		increase	1,067,201	(4.7)
		50 bp		
		increase	1,096,701	(2.4)
	43			

Table of Contents

			I.	ati at a J	Hypothetical		
	Fair Value at December		Hypothetical Change in Interest	Estimated Fair Value After Hypothetical		Percentage Increase (Decrease) in	
	ע	31,	Rate (bp=basis	C	hange in	Stockholders	
		2008	points) (Dollars in		erest Rate sands)	Equity	
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities	\$	184,598	200 bp increase 100 bp	\$	172,966	(1.0)%	
			increase 100 bp		179,485	(0.4)	
			decrease 200 bp		187,485	0.2	
			decrease		189,197	0.4	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions		696,163	200 bp increase		613,030	(7.0)	
			100 bp increase 100 bp		652,614	(3.7)	
			decrease 200 bp		743,635	4.0	
			decrease		786,829	7.7	
Corporate bonds		93,476	200 bp increase 100 bp		84,743	(0.7)	
			increase 100 bp		88,962	(0.4)	
			decrease 200 bp		98,115	0.4	
			decrease		101,424	0.7	
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed		60,409	200 bp increase 100 bp		56,769	(0.3)	
			increase 100 bp		58,563	(0.2)	
			decrease 200 bp		62,039	0.1	
			decrease		62,557	0.2	
	\$ 1	1,034,646			927,508	(9.1)	

Total fixed income securities	200 bp		
available-for-sale	increase		
	100 bp		
	increase	979,624	(4.7)
	100 bp		
	decrease	1,091,274	4.8
	200 bp		
	decrease	1,140,007	8.9

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, including this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management on a timely basis to allow decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company s principal executive officer and its principal financial officer undertook an evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a 15(e) and 15d 15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report and concluded that the Company s controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS Information on the Company s legal proceedings is set forth in Note 8 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part 1, Item 1.

ITEM 1a. RISK FACTORS Information on the Company s risk factors is set forth in Item 1A Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008.

44

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION Reports on Form 8-K:

May 1, 2009; CNA Surety Corporation Earnings Press Release issued on May 1, 2009.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

the Securities Exchange Act

	Exhibit Number
Form of Commutation and Release Agreement as respects certain business under the Surety Quota Share Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company (filed on February 19, 2008 as Exhibit 10(38) to CNA Surety Corporation s Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference)	10(40)
Form of Termination Addendum to Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America and Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company (filed on March 1, 2005 as Exhibit 10(13) to CNA Surety Corporation s Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference)	10(41)
Form of Addendum No. 1 to the Services and Indemnity Agreement by and between Western Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company (filed on November 14, 2002 as Exhibit 10(5) to CNA Surety Corporation s Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference)	10(42)
Form of Administrative Services Agreement by and between Western Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company	10(43)
Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	31(1)
Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	31(2)
Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	32(1)
Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	32(2)
* Exhibits 32(1) and 32(2) are being furnished and shall not be deemed filed for the purpose of Section 18 of	

of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section. These Exhibits shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

45

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CNA SURETY CORPORATION (Registrant)

/s/ John F. Welch

John F. Welch President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ John F. Corcoran

John F. Corcoran Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: July 31, 2009

46

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 10(40) Form of Commutation and Release Agreement as respects certain business under the Surety Quota Share Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company (filed on February 19, 2008 as Exhibit 10(38) to CNA Surety Corporation s Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Termination Addendum to Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract by and between Western Surety Company, Universal Surety of America and Surety Bonding Company of America and Continental Casualty Company (filed on March 1, 2005 as Exhibit 10(13) to CNA Surety Corporation s Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10(42) Form of Addendum No.1 to the Services and Indemnity Agreement by and between Western Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company (filed on November 14, 2002 as Exhibit 10(5) to CNA Surety Corporation s Form 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Administrative Services Agreement by and between Western Surety Company and Continental Casualty Company.
- Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Chief Executive Officer.
- Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Chief Financial Officer.
- 32(1) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Chief Executive Officer.
- 32(2) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Chief Financial Officer.

47