

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC

Form 10-Q

August 05, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended July 2, 2010

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from N/A to N/A

Commission file number 0-1424

ADC Telecommunications, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Minnesota

41-0743912

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

13625 Technology Drive, Eden Prairie, MN 55344-2252

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(952) 938-8080

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

☒ YES ☐ NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

☐ YES ☐ NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting
company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

☐ YES ☒ NO

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock, \$.20 par value: 97,030,803 shares as of August 3, 2010

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

On July 12, 2010, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with Tyco Electronics Ltd., a Swiss company, and its indirect subsidiary, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc., which was amended as of July 24, 2010. Pursuant to that agreement, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. commenced a tender offer to purchase all of our outstanding shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$12.75 per share in cash on July 26, 2010. More information on the transaction is included below in Note 15 and Note 18 to our financial statements and at the beginning of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. We have also filed additional information regarding the transaction with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), all of which is available online at www.sec.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	4
<u>ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	24
<u>ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	36
<u>ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	37
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	37
<u>ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	37
<u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u>	38
<u>ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	38
<u>ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	38
<u>ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)</u>	38
<u>ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	38
<u>ITEM 6. EXHIBITS</u>	38
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	39
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	40
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS UNAUDITED

	July 2, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In millions)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 449.2	\$ 535.5
Available-for-sale securities	181.0	
Accounts receivable, net of reserves of \$11.0 and \$9.8	192.6	180.1
Unbilled revenue	31.3	17.5
Inventories, net of reserves of \$36.1 and \$41.8	116.3	124.6
Prepaid and other current assets	36.6	33.3
Assets of discontinued operations		9.8
Total current assets	1,007.0	900.8
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$398.5 and \$410.1	147.9	162.8
Restricted cash	8.1	25.0
Goodwill	6.0	0.2
Intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$164.4 and \$144.4	79.4	93.3
Long-term available-for-sale securities	85.3	75.4
Other assets	81.3	86.1
Total assets	\$ 1,415.0	\$ 1,343.6
LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS INVESTMENT		
Current Liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.6
Accounts payable	82.2	83.0
Accrued compensation and benefits	78.2	57.8
Other accrued liabilities	80.4	63.8
Income taxes payable	3.1	5.9
Restructuring accrual	11.3	22.5
Liabilities of discontinued operations	0.4	2.5
Total current liabilities	255.9	236.1
Pension obligations and other long-term liabilities	78.8	95.6
Long-term notes payable	650.9	651.0
Total liabilities	985.6	982.7
ADC Shareowners Investment:		
Total ADC shareowners investment (97.0 and 96.6 shares outstanding, respectively)	424.6	356.2

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Non-controlling interest	4.8	4.7
Total shareowners' investment	429.4	360.9
Total liabilities and shareowners' investment	\$ 1,415.0	\$ 1,343.6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS UNAUDITED

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions, except earnings per share)			
Net Sales:				
Products	\$ 264.4	\$ 258.4	\$ 732.0	\$ 748.5
Services	40.0	31.9	112.0	98.1
Total net sales	304.4	290.3	844.0	846.6
Cost of Sales:				
Products	164.7	164.7	459.2	512.4
Services	26.7	23.4	79.8	74.9
Total cost of sales	191.4	188.1	539.0	587.3
Gross Profit	113.0	102.2	305.0	259.3
Operating Expenses:				
Research and development	16.2	16.0	49.4	50.3
Selling and administration	71.8	59.7	212.2	196.6
Impairment charges	(0.1)	0.3	0.6	412.4
Restructuring charges	(1.1)	4.3	12.5	16.6
Total operating expenses	86.8	80.3	274.7	675.9
Operating Income (Loss)	26.2	21.9	30.3	(416.6)
Other Income (Expense), net	51.5	(5.8)	40.7	(56.7)
Income (Loss) before income taxes	77.7	16.1	71.0	(473.3)
Provision (Benefit) for income taxes	1.9	0.9	4.1	(6.1)
Income (Loss) from continuing operations	75.8	15.2	66.9	(467.2)
Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax				
Income (Loss) from discontinued operations	(0.1)	(8.3)	(14.9)	(20.0)
Net Income (Loss)	75.7	6.9	52.0	(487.2)
Net Income (Loss) Available to Non-controlling Interest	(0.1)	0.1	0.6	(1.3)
Net Income (Loss) Available to Common Shareowners	\$ 75.8	\$ 6.8	\$ 51.4	\$ (485.9)
Comprehensive Income (Loss) Available to ADC Common Shareowners	\$ 71.5 (0.1)	\$ 19.9 0.1	\$ 52.9 0.6	\$ (495.5) (1.3)

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Comprehensive Income (Loss) Available to
Non-controlling Interest

Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$ 71.4	\$ 20.0	\$ 53.5	\$ (496.8)
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding (Basic)	97.0	96.6	96.9	99.6
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding (Diluted)	121.6	97.4	98.0	99.6
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share:				
Continuing operations available to ADC common shareowners	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.68	\$ (4.68)
Discontinued operations available to ADC common shareowners		\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.20)
Net income (loss) available to ADC common shareowners	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.53	\$ (4.88)
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share:				
Continuing operations available to ADC common shareowners	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.68	\$ (4.68)
Discontinued operations available to ADC common shareowners		\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.20)
Net income (loss) available to ADC common shareowners	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.53	\$ (4.88)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS UNAUDITED

	Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions)	
Operating Activities:		
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 66.9	\$ (467.2)
Adjustments to reconcile income/(loss) from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations:		
Inventory write-offs	5.2	23.7
Write-down of goodwill, intangibles and fixed assets	0.6	412.4
Write-down of cost method investment	5.3	3.0
Write-down of available-for-sale securities	3.1	41.3
Depreciation and amortization	46.4	51.4
Restructuring charges	12.5	16.5
Provision for bad debt	1.0	2.0
Change in warranty reserves	(0.9)	1.5
Non-cash stock compensation	11.9	10.2
Change in deferred income taxes	(0.2)	(4.4)
(Gain)/loss on sale of property and equipment	1.3	(0.6)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1.7	2.5
Gain on sale of investments	(2.2)	(0.4)
Gain on sale of RF signal management product line	(15.9)	
Other, net	4.8	5.1
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and divestitures:		
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues (increase)/decrease	(32.3)	29.5
Inventories (increase)/decrease	(0.2)	20.2
Prepaid and other assets (increase)/decrease	(9.3)	0.8
Accounts payable increase/(decrease)	0.6	(6.3)
Accrued liabilities increase/(decrease)	6.0	(65.1)
Total cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations	106.3	76.1
Total cash used for operating activities from discontinued operations	(4.1)	(7.2)
Total cash provided by operating activities	102.2	68.9
Investing Activities:		
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(0.6)	(2.7)
Purchases of interests in affiliates	(1.0)	(1.2)
Divestitures, net of cash disposed	11.9	
Property, equipment and patent additions	(21.8)	(28.0)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	0.3	4.6
Decrease/(increase) in restricted cash	16.3	(7.9)
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(214.3)	(52.0)
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	27.1	11.9
Other, net		1.1

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Total cash used for investing activities from continuing operations	(182.1)	(74.2)
Total cash used for investing activities from discontinued operations		(0.1)
Total cash used for investing activities	(182.1)	(74.3)
Financing Activities:		
Payments of financing costs	(1.6)	
Debt payments	(0.5)	(2.0)
Common stock repurchase		(101.2)
Total cash used for financing activities	(2.1)	(103.2)
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash	(4.3)	(5.0)
Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(86.3)	(113.6)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period	535.5	601.9
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	\$ 449.2	\$ 488.3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED****Note 1: Basis of Presentation**

These interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The interim information furnished in this report reflects all normal recurring adjustments, which are necessary, in the opinion of our management, for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods. The operating results for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full fiscal year. These statements should be read in conjunction with our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest our GSM base station and switching business (GSM Business). During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest our professional services business in Germany (APS Germany). During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, our Board of Directors approved a plan to divest our professional services business in France (APS France). These businesses were classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Fiscal Year

Our first three quarters end on the Friday nearest to the end of December, March and June, respectively, and our fiscal year ends on September 30.

Due to the change in our fiscal year end date from October 31 to September 30, which was completed in fiscal 2009, the financial statements and financial comparisons included in this Form 10-Q relate to the three and nine month periods ended July 2, 2010 and the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2009. The financial results for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2009 have been recast to allow for comparison based on our new fiscal periods.

Warranty

We provide reserves for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. We estimate the costs of our warranty obligations based on our warranty policy or applicable contractual warranty, our historical experience of known product failure rates, and our use of materials and service delivery costs incurred in correcting product failures. In addition, from time to time, specific warranty accruals may be made if unforeseen technical problems arise.

The following table provides detail on the activity in the warranty reserve accrual balance as of July 2, 2010:

	Accrual September 30, 2009	Charged to costs and expenses	Deductions	Accrual July 2, 2010
Warranty Reserve	\$ 6.2	\$ (0.9)	\$ 0.7	\$ 4.6

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation recognized under the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) Topic 718 for the three and nine month periods ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009 was \$3.0 million, \$11.9 million, \$2.8 million and \$10.2 million, respectively. The increase in share-based compensation for the nine month period ended July 2, 2010 was due primarily to an expense adjustment to recognize the difference between actual and estimated forfeitures related to grants that became fully vested during the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A detailed description of our significant accounting policies can be found in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Business combinations and non-controlling interests

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to business combinations and non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements. In addition to other changes in practice, the guidance requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize and measure all assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their respective acquisition date fair values. The guidance also requires non-controlling (minority) interests in a subsidiary to be reported as equity in the financial statements, separate from the parent's equity. We have adopted this guidance effective October 1, 2009. We have reclassified financial statement line items within our condensed consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations for the prior period to conform to the non-controlling interest guidance. Additionally, see Notes 10 and 11 for disclosures reflecting the impact of the new guidance on our reconciliations of comprehensive income and equity, respectively.

Fair Value Measurements

In January 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance improving disclosures about fair value measurements. The guidance requires additional disclosures concerning transfers between the levels within the fair value hierarchy and information in the reconciliation of recurring Level 3 measurements about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis. The new guidance also clarifies the requirement to provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of asset and liability and clarifies the requirement to disclose information about both the valuation techniques and inputs used to estimate Level 2 and Level 3 measurements. We adopted this guidance effective January 2, 2010. The adoption of this guidance resulted in additional disclosures and had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued guidance delaying the effective date of the accounting guidance for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until the beginning of fiscal 2010, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). We adopted this guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2009, the FASB issued guidance regarding measuring liabilities at fair value. This guidance clarifies how the fair value of a liability should be determined. Among other things, the guidance clarifies how the price of a traded debt security (i.e., an asset value) should be considered in estimating the fair value of the issuer's liability. We adopted this guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)

In May 2008, the FASB issued accounting guidance that clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (including partial cash settlement) upon conversion. The accounting guidance requires issuers to account separately for the liability and equity components of certain convertible debt instruments in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt (unsecured debt) borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized. The guidance requires bifurcation of a component of the debt, classification of that component in equity and the accretion of the resulting discount on debt to be recognized as part of interest expense. The guidance requires retrospective application to the terms of the instruments as they existed for all periods presented. We adopted the guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance did not impact our consolidated financial statements because our convertible debt cannot be settled in cash upon conversion.

Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock

In June 2008, the FASB issued accounting guidance regarding the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock. The guidance provides that the entity should use a two step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock. This includes evaluating the instrument's

Table of Contents

contingent exercise and settlement provisions. It also clarifies the impact of foreign currency denominated strike prices and market-based employee stock option valuation instruments on the evaluation. We adopted the guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2: Discontinued Operations***GSM Business***

On December 31, 2009, we divested substantially all of the assets related to our GSM Business to Altobridge Limited (Altobridge). In connection with the transaction, we also provided Altobridge \$4.3 million in cash, a portion of which was held back for certain transition services. Altobridge also assumed various liabilities related to the business. We recorded a loss on the sale in the amount of \$13.0 million.

During the nine months ended July 2, 2010, in connection with the sale of our GSM Business, we wrote off the value of inventory and fixed assets having carrying amounts of \$6.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The amounts written off were recognized as part of the loss on sale of this business.

APS Germany

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, our Board approved a plan to divest APS Germany. On July 31, 2009, we sold all of the capital stock of our subsidiary that operated APS Germany to telent Investments Limited for a cash purchase price of \$3.3 million resulting in a total loss on sale of \$5.2 million.

APS France

On January 12, 2007, we completed the sale of certain assets of APS France to a subsidiary of Groupe Circet, a French company, for a cash price of \$0.1 million. We recorded a total loss on this sale of \$27.3 million. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010 we recognized income of \$0.5 million within discontinued operations resulting from the reversal of a reserve for an uncertain tax position related to APS France for which the statute of limitations expired.

The financial results of the GSM Business, APS Germany, and APS France are reported separately as discontinued operations for all periods presented in accordance with the accounting guidance related to discontinued operations. The following are the consolidated financial results of the GSM Business, APS Germany, and APS France included in discontinued operations:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions)		(In millions)	
Net sales	\$	\$ 8.2	\$ 2.3	\$ 27.4
Loss from discontinued operations	\$ (0.1)	\$ (3.1)	\$ (1.9)	\$ (14.8)
Loss on sale of discontinued operations		(5.2)	(13.0)	(5.2)
Total loss of discontinued operations	\$ (0.1)	\$ (8.3)	\$ (14.9)	\$ (20.0)

Note 3: Net Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations Per Share

The following table presents a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted income (loss) per share from continuing operations available to common shareowners:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions, except per share amounts)		(In millions, except per share amounts)	
Numerator:				
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 75.8	\$ 15.2	\$ 66.9	\$ (467.2)

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss) available to non-controlling interest	(0.1)	0.1	0.6	(1.3)
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to common shareowners	\$ 75.9	\$ 15.1	\$ 66.3	\$ (465.9)
Interest and amortization expense for convertible notes	6.6			
	9			

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions, except per share amounts)		(In millions, except per share amounts)	
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations available to common shareowners	\$ 82.5	\$ 15.1	\$ 66.3	\$ (465.9)
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	97.0	96.6	96.9	99.6
Convertible bonds converted to common stock	23.3			
Employee options and other	1.3	0.8	1.1	
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	121.6	97.4	98.0	99.6
Basic Income (loss) per share from continuing operations available to ADC common shareowners	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.68	\$ (4.68)
Diluted Income (loss) per share from continuing operations available to ADC common shareowners	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.68	\$ (4.68)

Excluded from the dilutive securities described above are employee stock options to acquire 6.2 million and 6.5 million shares for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009, respectively. Also excluded are employee stock options to acquire 6.4 million and 7.5 million shares for the nine months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009, respectively. These exclusions are made if the exercise prices of these options are greater than the average market price of our common stock for the period, if the number of shares we can repurchase with all the forms of exercise proceeds exceeds the weighted shares outstanding in the options, or if we have net losses. All of these circumstances have an anti-dilutive effect.

We are required to use the if-converted method for computing diluted earnings per share with respect to the shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of the notes (described below in detail). Under this method, we add back the interest expense on the convertible notes and the amortization of financing expenses to net income and then divide this amount by our total outstanding shares, including those shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of the notes. The following details our convertible notes:

Convertible Subordinated Notes	Convertible Shares July 2, 2010	Conversion Price
\$200 million, 6-month LIBOR plus 0.375%, due June 15, 2013	7.1	28.091
\$225 million, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2015	8.3	27.000
\$225 million, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2017	7.9	28.550
Total	23.3	

The 2013 notes are evaluated separately by adding back the appropriate interest expense and amortization of financing expenses and dividing this amount by our total shares, including the 7.1 million shares that could be issued upon conversion of these notes. Additionally, the 2015 notes and 2017 notes are evaluated separately by adding back

the appropriate interest expense and amortization of financing expenses from each issuance and dividing by our total shares, including all 8.3 million and 7.9 million shares, respectively, that could be issued upon conversion of each of these notes. Based upon these calculations, all shares reserved for issuance upon conversion of our convertible notes were included for the three months ended July 2, 2010. These shares were excluded due to their anti-dilutive effect for the nine months ended July 2, 2010 as well as the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009.

Note 4: Inventories

Our inventories are:

	July 2, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In millions)	
Manufactured products	\$ 94.9	\$ 111.9
Purchased materials	50.8	48.6
Work-in-process	6.7	5.9
Less: Inventory reserve	(36.1)	(41.8)
Total inventories, net	\$ 116.3	\$ 124.6

Table of Contents**Note 5: Property and Equipment**

Our property and equipment are:

	July 2, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In millions)	
Land and buildings	\$ 135.0	\$ 135.5
Machinery and equipment	368.9	390.3
Furniture and fixtures	32.3	38.0
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(398.5)	(410.1)
Total	137.7	153.7
Construction-in-progress	10.2	9.1
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 147.9	\$ 162.8

Note 6: Investments

As of July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009, our available-for-sale securities were:

	Cost Basis	Unrealized Gain (3)	Realized Loss (3) (In millions)	Other-Than- Temporary Impairment Loss (3)	Fair Value
July 2, 2010					
Corporate commercial paper, CDs and bonds	\$ 174.4	\$ 0.1	\$	\$	\$ 174.5
Government bonds	80.3	0.1			80.4
Auction rate securities	83.7	2.7			11.4(1)
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 338.4	\$ 2.9	\$	\$	\$ 266.3
September 30, 2009					
Corporate bonds	\$ 50.7	\$ 0.4	\$	\$	\$ 51.1
Government bonds					
Equity securities	0.1	0.1	0.1		
Auction rate securities	169.8	2.3		18.4	24.3(2)
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 220.6	\$ 2.8	\$ 0.1	\$ 18.4	\$ 75.4

(1) Net of cumulative unrealized gains of \$3.2 million and other-than-temporary losses of \$75.5

million

- (2) Net of cumulative
unrealized gains of
\$2.9 million and
other-than-temporary
losses of \$148.4
million

- (3) For the nine and
eleven months ended
July 2, 2010 and
September 30, 2009,
respectively

Securities classified as available-for-sale are carried at estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax if applicable, recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Upon the sale of a security classified as available-for-sale, the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings is based on the specific identification method.

As of July 2, 2010, we held auction rate securities with a fair value of \$11.4 million having an original par value of \$83.7 million. Although contractual maturities of these securities range from 23 to 42 years, we have classified them as short-term due to our intention to sell them within one year.

During the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, we sold auction rate securities having a par value of \$71.2 million and \$86.1 million for proceeds of \$11.7 million and \$17.9 million, respectively. As a result of these sales, we recorded net gains of \$4.6 million and \$2.2 million for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively, within Other Income (Expense), Net (refer to Note 14). During July of 2010, we sold auction rate securities having a par value of \$66.2 million and a fair value of \$9.5 million as of July 2, 2010, for proceeds for \$10.9 million. As a result of these sales, we recorded gains of \$5.3 million.

During the nine months ended July 2, 2010, we recorded \$1.4 million of other-than-temporary impairment charges related to the auction rate securities we held, (which is included in the \$3.1 million loss recognized on impairment of available-for-sale securities within Other Income (Expense), Net, refer to Note 14). During the eleven months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded \$18.4 million of other-than-temporary losses on our auction rate securities.

On June 8, 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with Merrill Lynch and its agent/broker in connection with certain auction rate securities they sold to us. The settlement agreement resulted in our receiving \$56.5 million in cash and allowed us to

Table of Contents

retain ownership in the auction rate securities (refer to Note 14). During 2009, we made a claim in the Lehman Brothers bankruptcy proceeding with respect to auction rate securities they sold to us, but at this time we are uncertain whether we will recover any of our losses associated with these securities. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we began selling auction rate securities that we held and have since sold substantially all of the remaining auction rate securities, including those that we acquired through Lehman Brothers.

During fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, we invested \$1.2 million, \$4.0 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, in ip.access Ltd., a U.K.-based company. On April 26, 2010, we invested an additional \$1.0 million in ip.access Ltd, for a total investment of \$14.3 million. These investments are accounted for under the cost method and are included in the other assets line item of the balance sheet. We evaluate the recovery of our investments in ip.access Ltd. on a quarterly basis due to the existence of certain impairment indicators. Based on the results of an analysis of the expected cash flows of ip.access Ltd., we recorded an other-than-temporary impairment on our investment during the three months ended April 2, 2010 in the amount of \$5.3 million (refer to Note 14). We believe that our analysis of expected cash flows qualifies as a Level 3 fair value measurement as described in Note 16. Our valuation is based on the income approach and is based on our share of the expected cash flows of the business. The carrying amount of our investment in ip.access Ltd. was \$9.0 million and \$13.3 million at July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2010, we had net purchases of \$205.0 million of short and long-term corporate, government and U.S. agency obligations. These securities are categorized as available-for-sale. The contractual maturities of the securities classified as short-term are one to twelve months. The contractual maturities of the securities classified as long-term are thirteen to twenty-one months.

During fiscal 2009, we purchased \$51.4 million, including accrued interest, of unsecured notes backed by a guarantee from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). These holdings are classified as short-term available-for-sale securities. The contractual maturity of these notes is December 1, 2010.

After evaluating the recoverability of our cost method investments during the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$3.0 million other-than-temporary impairment of our entire investment in E-Band Communications Corporation.

We regularly evaluate the recoverability of our cost method investments based on the performance and financial position of the underlying business.

Note 7: Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if potential interim indicators exist that could result in an impairment. We perform impairment reviews at a business unit level and use a discounted cash flow model based on management's judgment and assumptions to determine the estimated fair value of each business unit. Our three operating segments, Connectivity, Network Solutions and Professional Services, are considered the business units. An impairment loss generally would be recognized when the carrying amount of the business unit's net assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the business unit.

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations and finite lived intangible assets when events and circumstances indicate the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. Any impairment loss is measured by comparing the fair value of the asset to its carrying amount.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded charges of \$4.1 million to impair certain intellectual property and fixed assets associated with our legacy outdoor wireless product lines that were shut down during that timeframe.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, due to the global recession and related adverse business conditions that resulted in reduced estimates to our near-term cash flows and a sustained decline in our market capitalization, we performed a goodwill impairment analysis for our two reporting units that contained goodwill, Connectivity and Network Solutions. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges for both reporting units. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$366.6 million to reduce the carrying value of goodwill.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we also performed an impairment analysis of intangible assets held in our Connectivity and Network Solutions reporting units. The analysis, which utilized forecasts and estimates based on

assumptions that were consistent with the forecasts and estimates we were using to manage our business at that time, resulted in the recognition of impairment charges

Table of Contents

for Network Solutions. Accordingly, we recorded impairment charges of \$41.4 million to reduce the carrying value of these long-lived intangible assets.

For the nine months ended June 26, 2009, we recorded charges of \$412.4 million to impair goodwill, intangible assets, intellectual property, and fixed assets.

The following are changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine months ended July 2, 2010:

	Connectivity	Network Solutions (In millions)	Total
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$ 0.2	\$	\$ 0.2
Century Man 2009 Earn-out	5.8		5.8
Balance as of July 2, 2010	\$ 6.0	\$	\$ 6.0

During the first quarter of 2010, we recorded an accrual of \$5.5 million due to the attainment of certain earn-out thresholds during 2009 related to the Century Man acquisition as certain financial results were achieved by the Century Man business. During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded \$0.3 million of goodwill related to a foreign exchange rate guarantee on the release of the escrow related to the acquisition. This accrual increased goodwill associated with the acquisition.

Note 8: Notes Payable

The following describes our notes payable as of July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009:

	July 2, 2010	September 30, 2009 (In millions)
Convertible subordinated notes, six-month LIBOR plus 0.375%, due June 15, 2013	\$ 200.0	\$ 200.0
Convertible subordinated notes, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2015	225.0	225.0
Convertible subordinated notes, 3.5% fixed rate, due July 15, 2017	225.0	225.0
Total convertible subordinated notes	650.0	650.0
Other, variable rate debt, various due dates	1.2	1.6
Total notes payable and other debt	651.2	651.6
Less: Current portion of notes payable and other debt	0.3	0.6
Long-term notes payable	\$ 650.9	\$ 651.0

We estimated the fair market value of our long-term notes payable to be approximately \$519.2 million and \$476.2 million as of July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively, based on recent market quotes of the securities. Upon the completion of the merger, noteholders under each indenture would have the option to require us to redeem the notes at par value, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Credit Facility

On December 18, 2009, we entered into a new asset-backed revolving credit facility with Wachovia Bank National Association (the Credit Facility) in the amount of up to \$75.0 million. Drawings under the Credit Facility may be used for general operating, working capital and other corporate purposes. Additionally, availability under the Credit

Facility may be used to issue letters of credit or to secure hedging obligations. Along with the parent company, two U.S.-based subsidiaries are borrowers and three other U.S.-based subsidiaries provide guarantees of obligations under the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility has a scheduled expiration of March 15, 2013 and is secured by various U.S. assets including accounts receivable, inventory, and machinery and equipment. We also granted a security interest in the capital stock of the two subsidiary borrowers and one of the guarantors. Borrowings under the Credit Facility will rank on parity in right of payment with all other senior indebtedness that may be outstanding from time to time. Availability of borrowings is based on measurements of accounts receivable and inventory less standard reserves. The Credit Facility size may be increased up to \$100.0 million, subject to certain terms and conditions.

Under the Credit Facility, we must comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. Among other things, the financial covenants require us to maintain a minimum amount of liquidity, defined as cash and certain investments located in the U.S. plus availability under the Credit Facility, equal to \$150.0 million. Additionally, when borrowing availability under the Credit Facility

Table of Contents

drops below a specified level, we must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0. This ratio is defined as consolidated EBITDA divided by the sum of certain fixed payments. Non-financial covenants include limitations on, among other things, asset dispositions and acquisitions, liens, and debt issuances. Our ability to repurchase debt, equity and pay cash dividends is contingent upon ADC maintaining certain levels of liquidity. As of July 2, 2010 we were in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at the one, two or three month LIBOR or a base rate plus a specified margin. We pay an annual commitment fee of 1% on any unused portion of the facility. The amount available under the Credit Facility will fluctuate based on seasonality of our sales and the value of any hedging obligations secured under the Credit Facility. As of July 2, 2010, although there were no borrowings outstanding, a \$15.2 million collateral requirement under our interest rate swap agreement (refer to Note 17) was secured under the Credit Facility, releasing us from a cash collateral requirement of \$15.2 million. The amount secured under the Credit Facility could fluctuate significantly as the interest rate swap termination value fluctuates with the forward LIBOR. As of July 2, 2010, we have deferred \$1.7 million of financing fees, \$1.6 million of which was incurred during the nine months ended July 2, 2010, related to this facility that will be amortized as interest expense over the term of the Credit Facility. The merger qualifies as a change in control under the Credit Facility, and would require that we obtain the consent of Wachovia Bank National Association to maintain the Facility upon completion of the merger. No borrowings were outstanding under the Credit Facility as of August 5, 2010.

Note 9: Income Taxes

Our income tax expense for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 of \$1.9 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, primarily relates to foreign income taxes. Our income tax expense for the three months ended June 26, 2009 of \$0.9 million primarily relates to foreign income taxes. Our income tax benefit for the nine months ended June 26, 2009 of \$6.1 million primarily relates to reversal of deferred tax liabilities attributable to U.S. tax amortization of purchased goodwill from the acquisition of KRONE as well as foreign income taxes. The reversal of these deferred tax liabilities results from the goodwill impairment charge discussed in Note 7 of the financial statements.

As of July 2, 2010, our net deferred tax assets were \$865.3 million with a related valuation allowance of \$809.6 million. Deferred tax assets represent future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses and losses previously recognized in the financial statements become deductible under applicable income tax laws. The realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on future taxable income against which these deductions can be applied. ASC 740 requires that a valuation allowance be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, and requires periodic adjustments to the valuation allowance when there are changes in the evidence of realizability. Most of our deferred tax assets are related to U.S. income tax net operating losses and are not expected to expire until after fiscal 2021.

As of July 2, 2010, the gross amount of unrecognized income tax benefits (excluding interest and penalties) was \$19.1 million. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate was \$4.3 million. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits are recorded in our income tax provision and the amount accrued as of July 2, 2010 totaled \$2.0 million.

Note 10: Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) has no impact on our net income (loss) but is reflected in our balance sheet through adjustments to shareowners' investment. Comprehensive income (loss) is derived from foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains (losses) and related adjustments on available-for-sale securities and hedging activities, and unrealized gains (losses) on pension obligations.

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2,	June 26,	July 2,	June 26,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In millions)		(In millions)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 75.8	\$ 6.8	\$ 51.4	\$ (485.9)

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Change in foreign currency translation adjustment	(1.8)	6.4	4.3	(7.4)
Net change in fair value of interest rate swap	(2.9)	3.6	(2.7)	(10.5)
Net change in fair value of Mexican peso hedge	(0.7)	2.1	(0.2)	0.6
Pension obligation adjustment, net				6.4
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	1.1	1.0	0.1	1.3

14

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions)		(In millions)	
Total comprehensive income (loss) available to ADC common shareowners	71.5	19.9	52.9	(495.5)
Comprehensive earnings (loss) available to non-controlling interests	(0.1)	0.1	0.6	(1.3)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 71.4	\$ 20.0	\$ 53.5	\$ (496.8)

There is no net tax impact for the components of comprehensive income due to the valuation allowance on our net deferred tax assets.

Note 11: Changes in Shareowners Investment

The following are changes in shareowners investment for the nine months ended July 2, 2010:

	Common Stock		Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non-Controlling Interests	Total
	Shares	Amount			(In millions)		
Beginning Balance September 30, 2009	96.6	\$ 23.6	\$ 1,311.9	\$ (965.9)	\$ (13.4)	\$ 4.7	\$ 360.9
Net income				51.4		0.6	52.0
Other comprehensive income					1.5		1.5
Total comprehensive income				51.4	1.5	0.6	53.5
Exercise of common stock options and restricted stock releases	0.4	0.1	(1.5)				(1.4)
Share-based compensation expense			11.6				11.6
Purchase of subsidiary shares from non-controlling interests			(0.2)			(0.4)	(0.6)
Distributions to non-controlling interests						(0.1)	(0.1)
Other (1)				5.5			5.5
Balance as of July 2, 2010	97.0	\$ 23.7	\$ 1,321.8	\$ (909.0)	\$ (11.9)	\$ 4.8	\$ 429.4

- (1) Reclassification
of credit losses
related to
auction rate
securities.

Note 12: Segment and Geographic Information

ADC is organized into operating segments based on product grouping. The reportable segments are determined in accordance with how our executive managers develop and execute our global strategies to drive growth and profitability. These strategies include product positioning, research and development programs, cost management, capacity and capital investments for each of the reportable segments. Segment performance is evaluated on several factors, including operating income. Segment operating income excludes restructuring and impairment charges, interest income or expense, other income or expense and provision for income taxes. Assets are not allocated to the segments.

Our three reportable business segments are:

Connectivity

Network Solutions

Professional Services

Our *Connectivity* products connect wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast communications networks over fiber-optic, copper (twisted pair), coaxial, and wireless media. These products provide the physical interconnections between network components and access points into networks.

Our *Network Solutions* products help improve coverage and capacity for wireless networks and broadband access for wireline networks. These products improve signal quality, increase coverage and capacity, enhance the delivery and capacity of networks, and help reduce the capital and operating costs of delivering wireline and wireless services. Applications for these products include in-building solutions and outdoor coverage solutions.

Table of Contents

Our *Professional Services* business provides integration services for broadband and multiservice communications over wireline, wireless, cable and enterprise networks. Our Professional Services business unit helps customers plan, deploy and maintain communications networks that deliver Internet, data, video and voice services.

We have two significant customers who each account for more than 10% of our sales. AT&T accounted for 27.2% and 24.9% of our net sales in the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, AT&T represented 20.7% and 19.7% of net sales, respectively. In addition, for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, Verizon represented 13.2% and 13.1% of net sales, respectively. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, Verizon represented 20.0% and 18.6% of net sales, respectively. Revenues from AT&T and Verizon are included in each of our three reportable segments.

The following table sets forth certain financial information for each of our above described reportable segments:

			Network	Professional		Restructuring and	GAAP					
	Connectivity		Solutions	Services	Consolidated	Impairment	Consolidated					
					(In millions)							
Three Months Ended July 2, 2010												
External net sales:												
Products	\$	229.2	\$	22.9	\$	264.4	\$	264.4				
Services		0.9		5.9		40.0		40.0				
Total external net sales		230.1		28.8		304.4		304.4				
Depreciation and amortization		13.4		1.1		15.2		15.2				
Operating income (loss)	\$	24.7	\$	(2.6)	\$	25.0	\$	1.2	\$	26.2		
Three Months Ended June 26, 2009												
External net sales:												
Products	\$	232.0	\$	16.7	\$	9.7	\$	258.4	\$	258.4		
Services		0.7		3.7		27.5		31.9		31.9		
Total external net sales		232.7		20.4		37.2		290.3		290.3		
Depreciation and amortization		14.0		0.7		0.6		15.3		15.3		
Operating income (loss)	\$	28.0	\$	(4.4)	\$	2.9	\$	26.5	\$	(4.6)	\$	21.9
			Network	Professional		Restructuring and	GAAP					
	Connectivity		Solutions	Services	Consolidated	Impairment	Consolidated					
					(In millions)							
Nine Months Ended July 2, 2010												
External net sales:												
Products	\$	642.7	\$	57.8	\$	31.5	\$	732.0	\$	732.0		
Services		2.8		16.4		92.8		112.0		112.0		
Total external net sales		645.5		74.2		124.3		844.0		844.0		

Depreciation and amortization		41.1	3.1	2.2	46.4		46.4
Operating income (loss)	\$	50.7	\$ (13.1)	\$ 5.8	\$ 43.4	\$ (13.1)	\$ 30.3

Nine Months Ended**June 26, 2009**

External net sales:

Products	\$	673.3	\$ 44.8	\$ 30.4	\$ 748.5	\$	748.5
Services		0.8	14.0	83.3	98.1		98.1
Total external net sales		674.1	58.8	113.7	846.6		846.6

Depreciation and amortization		44.8	4.6	2.0	51.4		51.4
Operating income (loss)	\$	34.0	\$ (27.3)	\$ 5.7	\$ 12.4	\$ (429.0)	\$ (416.6)

Geographic Information

The following table sets forth certain geographic information concerning our U.S. and foreign net sales and ownership of property and equipment:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2,	June 26,	July 2,	June 26,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In millions)		(In millions)	
Geographic Sales Information:				
Inside the United States	\$ 191.4	\$ 178.8	\$ 510.2	\$ 499.1
Outside the United States:				
Asia Pacific (Australia, Hong Kong, India, Japan, Korea, New Zealand, Southeast Asia and Taiwan)	30.1	24.2	90.2	77.5

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions)		(In millions)	
China (1)	17.0	22.0	54.5	61.0
EMEA (Europe, Middle East and Africa)	44.2	47.6	129.7	146.9
Americas (Canada, Central and South America)	21.7	17.7	59.4	62.1
Total net sales	\$ 304.4	\$ 290.3	\$ 844.0	\$ 846.6

Property and Equipment, Net: (2)

Inside the United States	\$ 104.2
Outside the United States	43.7
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 147.9

(1) Due to the significance of its net sales, China is broken out for geographic purposes.

(2) Other than the United States, no single country has property and equipment sufficiently material to disclose.

Note 13: Impairment, Restructuring and Other Disposal Charges

During the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009, we incurred restructuring charges associated with workforce reductions as well as the consolidation of excess facilities. For the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009, restructuring charges resulting from our actions, by category of expenditures, adjusted to exclude those activities specifically related to discontinued operations, are:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions)		(In millions)	
Impairments:				
Fixed asset write-downs	\$ (0.1)	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.6	\$ 1.0
Goodwill and intangibles				411.4

Total impairment charges	(0.1)	0.3	0.6	412.4
Restructuring charges:				
Employee severance	(1.3)	4.6	11.8	15.6
Facilities consolidation and lease termination	0.2	(0.3)	0.7	1.0
Total restructuring charges	(1.1)	4.3	12.5	16.6
Other disposal charges: Inventory write-offs				14.0
Total impairment, restructuring and other disposal charges	\$ (1.2)	\$ 4.6	\$ 13.1	\$ 443.0

Impairment Charges: During the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, we recorded impairment charges of \$(0.1) million and \$0.6 million, respectively, related to fixed assets. See Note 7 to the financial statements for a discussion of the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets for the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009.

Restructuring Charges: Restructuring charges relate principally to employee severance and facility consolidation costs resulting from the closure of leased facilities and other workforce reductions attributable to our efforts to reduce costs. During the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, 28 and 310 employees, respectively, were impacted by reductions in force. These reductions in force occurred mainly in our Connectivity segment in the Asia-Pacific region as a result of the consolidation of certain facilities.

During the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, 127 and 970 employees, respectively, were impacted by reductions in force. These reductions impacted all business units and regions, primarily Connectivity in the Americas and EMEA.

During the three months ended July 2, 2010, we reduced estimated employee severance costs by \$1.3 million due primarily to a reduction in the estimated cost of our EMEA workforce reduction efforts that were announced and originally recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. For the nine months ended July 2, 2010, restructuring charges were \$11.8 million. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, restructuring charges were \$4.6 million and \$15.6 million, respectively. The costs of these reductions have been and will be funded through cash from operations.

Facility consolidation and lease termination costs represent costs associated with our decision to consolidate and close duplicative or excess manufacturing and office facilities. Due to our decision to close unproductive and excess facilities and the continued softening of real estate markets, which resulted in lower estimated sublease income, we incurred restructuring charges of \$0.2 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010. For the three months ended June 26, 2009, we recorded a credit of \$0.3 million due to a change in estimated sublease income. For the nine months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009, these charges were \$0.7 million and

Table of Contents

\$1.0 million, respectively.

Other Disposal Charges: During the nine months ended June 26, 2009, we recorded \$14.0 million for the write-off of obsolete inventory associated with exit activities. The inventory write-offs consisted of \$10.8 million related to our decision to exit several outdoor wireless and wireline product lines and \$3.2 million due to a change in the estimate made in fiscal 2007 related to the automated cross-connect product line. All inventory charges were recorded as cost of goods sold.

The following table provides detail on the activity described above and our remaining restructuring accrual balance by category as of July 2, 2010:

Type of Charge	Accrual September 30, 2009	Continuing Operations Net Additions	Cash payments Charged to accrual	Accrual July 2, 2010
			(In millions)	
Employee severance costs	\$ 29.1	\$ 11.8	\$ 25.3	\$ 15.6
Facilities consolidation	7.6	0.7	1.5	6.8
Total restructuring accrual	\$ 36.7	\$ 12.5	\$ 26.8	\$ 22.4

The following is a schedule of future payments of accrued costs associated with employee severance and consolidation of facilities as of July 2, 2010:

	Severance (In millions)	Facilities (In millions)
2010	\$ 6.6	\$ 0.7
2011	3.1	1.7
2012	2.6	1.2
2013	1.7	1.1
2014	1.0	1.1
Thereafter	0.6	1.0
Total	\$ 15.6	\$ 6.8

Based on our intention to continue to consolidate and close duplicative or excess manufacturing operations in order to reduce our cost structure, we may incur additional restructuring charges (both cash and non-cash) in future periods. These restructuring charges may have a material effect on our operating results.

Note 14: Other Income (Expense), Net

Other Income (Expense), net is:

	Three Months ended		Nine Months ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
			(In millions)	
Interest income on investments	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.8	\$ 3.5	\$ 9.4
Interest expense on borrowings	(6.9)	(6.7)	(20.5)	(21.5)

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Interest expense, net	(5.8)	(4.9)	(17.0)	(12.1)
Loss recognized on impairment of available-for-sale securities		(0.7)	(3.1)	(41.3)
Gain realized on sale of available-for-sale-securities	4.6		2.2	0.4
Write-down of cost method investments			(5.3)	(3.0)
Foreign exchange loss	(1.0)		(4.0)	(0.8)
Gain (loss) on sale of fixed assets	(0.2)	(0.1)	(1.3)	0.6
Gain on sale of product line			15.9	
Settlement of auction rate securities claims, net of \$2.1 million in fees	54.4		54.4	
Other, net	(0.5)	(0.1)	(1.1)	(0.5)
Subtotal	57.3	(0.9)	57.7	(44.6)
Total other income (expense), net	\$ 51.5	\$ (5.8)	\$ 40.7	\$ (56.7)

The change in net interest income (expense) predominately is due to significantly lower interest income on cash investments in more recent periods.

Table of Contents

During June 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with Merrill Lynch and its agent/broker in connection with certain auction rate securities they sold to us. The settlement agreement resulted in our receiving \$56.5 million in cash and allowed us to retain ownership in the auction rate securities. In addition, we have commenced the sale of the remaining auction rate securities we held, realizing proceeds of \$11.7 million and \$17.9 million and gains of \$4.6 million and \$2.2 million, during the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively, within Other Income (Expense), Net. As of July 2, 2010, we held auction rate securities with a fair value of \$11.4 million and an original par value of \$83.7 million. During July of 2010, we sold auction rate securities having a par value of \$66.2 million and a fair value of \$9.5 million as of July 2, 2010, for proceeds for \$10.9 million. As a result of these sales, we recorded gains of \$5.3 million.

During the nine months ended July 2, 2010 we recorded \$1.4 million of other-than-temporary impairment charges on available-for-sale securities (which is included in the \$3.1 million of loss recognized on impairment of available-for-sale securities). During the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.7 million and \$41.3 million, respectively, to reduce the carrying value of certain auction rate securities we held.

On October 30, 2009, we completed the sale of our copper-based RF signal management business to ATX Networks, Corp. (ATX). This sale of a non-strategic product line supported our ongoing effort to focus our Connectivity segment on fiber-based technology. ATX paid us \$17.0 million in cash for the business. The assets sold consisted primarily of inventory, fixed assets and intellectual property. ATX assumed future product warranty liabilities for products sold prior to October 30, 2009, subject to our reimbursement of expenses and costs related to certain of those future product warranty claims, if any. As part of the sale transaction, we agreed to manufacture the RF signal management products on behalf of ATX for up to 12 months and assist in other transitional activities. We recorded a gain of \$15.9 million in connection with the transaction within Other Income (Expense), Net.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded a \$5.3 million other-than-temporary impairment related to our investment in ip.access Ltd. (refer to Note 6).

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$3.0 million other-than-temporary impairment related to our investment in E-Band Communications Corporation.

Note 15: Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Contingencies: Following the announcement of the merger transaction with Tyco Electronics Ltd., a number of putative shareholder class action lawsuits were filed in the District Court of Hennepin County, Minnesota, Fourth Judicial District and two lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota against various combinations of Tyco Electronics Ltd., Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc., ADC Telecommunications, Inc., the individual members of our board of directors, and one of our non-director officers. The Hennepin County actions have been consolidated into a single lawsuit. The complaints generally allege, among other things, that the members of our board breached their fiduciary duties owed to the shareowners of the company by entering into the merger agreement, approving the offer and the proposed merger and failing to take steps to maximize the value of the company to its shareowners, and that the Company, Parent and Purchaser aided and abetted such breaches of fiduciary duties. In addition, the complaints allege that the transaction improperly favors Tyco Electronics, Ltd.; that certain provisions of the merger agreement unduly restrict the company's ability to negotiate with rival bidders; and that shareowners of the company have been deprived of the ability to make an informed decision as to whether to tender their shares. In several of these actions, plaintiffs also purport to bring derivative actions on behalf of the company against the individual members of our board of directors, alleging that the individual members of our board of directors are wasting corporate assets, abusing their ability to control the company and breaching their fiduciary duties. The federal court actions also allege that the Company and our board violated sections 14(d)(4) and 14(e) of the Exchange Act by making misrepresentations and omissions in the Schedule 14D-9. The complaints generally seek, among other things, declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged fiduciary breaches, injunctive relief prohibiting the defendants from consummating the merger and other forms of equitable relief. We have not established a reserve for these matters since we do not consider a loss to be probable, nor estimable at this time.

We are a party to various other lawsuits, proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. Many of these disputes may be resolved without formal litigation. The amount of monetary liability

resulting from the ultimate resolution of these matters cannot be determined at this time. As of July 2, 2010, we had recorded \$6.9 million in loss reserves for certain of these matters. In light of the reserves we have recorded, at this time we believe the ultimate resolution of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims will not have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Because of the uncertainty inherent in litigation, however, it is possible that unfavorable resolutions of one or more of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims could exceed the amount currently reserved and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

On August 17, 2009, we met with representatives from the Office of the Inspector General of the United States, where we disclosed a potential breach of the country of origin requirements for certain products sold under a supply agreement with the federal government's General Services Administration. We self-reported this potential breach as a precautionary matter and it is unclear at this time whether any penalties will be imposed. Following the meeting, we provided the Office of the Inspector General with additional documentation related to this matter. We expect a further response from the Office of the Inspector General following its review of this information. At this time we do not believe the ultimate resolution of this matter will have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Change of Control: We maintain certain employee benefits, including severance to key employees, in the event of a change of control.

Note 16: Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact business and consider assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability.

Fair Value Hierarchy

ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. ASC 820 establishes the following three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1

Level 1 applies to assets and liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market and do not entail a significant degree of judgment. As of July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009, our assets utilizing Level 1 inputs include money market funds and certain available-for-sale securities that are traded in an active market with sufficient volume and frequency of transactions.

Level 2

Level 2 applies to assets and liabilities for which there are other than Level 1 observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets), or model-derived valuations in which significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data. As of July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009, our assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include auction rate securities, derivative instruments and foreign currency hedges.

Level 2 instruments require more management judgment and subjectivity as compared to Level 1 instruments. For instance:

Determining which instruments are most similar to the instrument being priced requires management to identify a sample of similar securities based on the coupon rates, maturity, issuer, credit rating and instrument type, as well as to select, on a subjective basis, an individual security or multiple securities that are deemed most similar to the security being priced; and

Determining whether a market is considered active requires management judgment.

Level 3

Level 3 applies to assets and liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value. The determination of fair value for Level 3 instruments requires the most management judgment and subjectivity. As of July 2, 2010, we do not have any assets or liabilities being valued utilizing the Level 3 inputs. As of September 30, 2009, our assets and liabilities utilizing Level 3 inputs included auction rate securities.

At July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009, our financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, available-for-sale securities, accounts payable and other accrued liabilities related to the divestitures. The

Table of Contents

fair values of these financial instruments (except for auction rate-securities) approximated carrying values because of the nature of these instruments. In addition, we have long-term notes payable, the fair value of which is disclosed in Note 8.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009 were (in millions):

Description	July 2, 2010	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 449.2	\$ 449.2	\$	\$
Corporate commercial paper, CD s and bonds	174.5	174.5		
Government bonds	80.4	80.4		
Restricted cash	8.1	8.1		
Auction rate securities	11.4		11.4	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 723.6	\$ 712.2	\$ 11.4	\$
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap liabilities (1)	14.9		14.9	
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 14.9	\$	\$ 14.9	\$

Description	September 30, 2009	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 535.5	\$ 535.5	\$	\$
Corporate commercial paper, CD s and bonds	51.1	51.1		

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Foreign currency hedges	0.4		0.4	
Restricted cash	25.0	25.0		
Auction rate securities	24.3			24.3
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 636.3	\$ 611.6	\$ 0.4	\$ 24.3

Liabilities:

Interest rate swap liabilities (1)	12.2		12.2	
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 12.2	\$	\$ 12.2	\$

(1) Short-term portion included in other accrued liabilities and long-term portion included in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. The short-term and long-term portions for July 2, 2010 were liabilities of \$9.4 million and \$5.5 million, respectively. The short-term and long-term portions for September 30, 2009 were liabilities of \$5.0 million and \$7.2 million, respectively.

The following table provides detail on the activity related to the auction rate securities balance as of July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009 (in millions):

**Fair Value
Measurements
Using
Significant
Unobservable
Inputs**

	(Level 3)
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$ 24.3
Total gains or losses (realized or unrealized)	
Included in earnings Other Income (expense), net	(1.1)
Included in other comprehensive income	2.7
Sales	(14.5)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	(11.4)
Balance as of July 2, 2010	\$

Table of Contents

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Balance as of October 31, 2008	\$ 40.4
Total gains or losses (realized or unrealized)	
Included in earnings Other Income (expense), net	(18.4)
Included in other comprehensive income	2.3
Sales	
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$24.3

At the end the third quarter of fiscal 2010, we transferred \$11.4 million of auction rate securities out of the Level 3 classification into Level 2 based on a change in our valuation methodology. Due to our intention to sell our auction rate securities, we have received a range of quoted market prices and have used the lower end of these ranges to value the securities. We believe that the use of market price quotes to value these securities falls under the Level 2 classification as there is a limited market for these securities at this time. The Company's policy is to recognize transfers in and transfers out as of the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer.

Note 17: Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Our results of operations may be impacted materially by changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. In an effort to manage our exposure to these risks, we periodically enter into various derivative instruments, including interest rate hedges and foreign currency hedges. We are required to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets and to recognize certain changes in the fair value of derivative instruments in our consolidated statements of operations.

We perform, at least quarterly, both a prospective and retrospective assessment of the effectiveness of our hedge contracts, including assessing the possibility of counterparty default. If we determine that a derivative is no longer expected to be highly effective, we discontinue hedge accounting prospectively and recognize subsequent changes in the fair value of the hedge in earnings.

As a result of our effectiveness assessment at July 2, 2010, we believe our hedge contracts will continue to be highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows attributable to the hedged risks.

Our foreign currency management objective is to mitigate the potential impact of currency fluctuations on the value of our U.S. dollar cash flows and to reduce the variability of certain cash flows at the subsidiary level. We actively manage certain forecasted foreign currency exposures and use a centralized currency management operation to take advantage of potential opportunities to offset foreign currency exposures against each other. The decision of whether and when to execute derivative instruments, along with the duration of the instrument, can vary from period to period depending on market conditions, the relative costs of the instruments and our capacity to hedge. The duration is linked to the timing of the underlying exposure, with the connection between the two being regularly monitored. We do not use any financial contracts for trading purposes. At July 2, 2010, we had open Mexican peso hedge contracts with notional amounts totaling \$19.4 million and unrealized gains of \$0.2 million. Generally our peso hedge contracts have consisted of either forward contracts to purchase the peso at previously determined exchange rates or collars, which limit our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations by entering into the purchase and sale of calls and puts at specific exchange rates that settle at the same time. These contracts, with maturities through June 2011, met the criteria for cash flow hedges. As a result, unrealized gains and losses, after tax, are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Interest rate swaps are entered into in order to manage interest rate risk associated with our variable-rate borrowings. We entered into the following interest rate swap agreement to manage exposures to fluctuations in interest rates by fixing the LIBOR interest rate related to our convertible notes that mature in June 2013:

22

Table of Contents**Year Swap
entered into****Fixed Rate****Notional Amount****Expiration Date**

2008

4.0%

\$200,000,000

June 2013

This interest rate swap was designated as, and met the criteria of, a cash flow hedge. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement on July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009 was a liability of \$14.9 million and \$12.2 million, respectively.

We also are exposed to foreign currency exchange risk as a result of changes in intercompany balance sheet accounts and other balance sheet items. At July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009, these balance sheet exposures were mitigated through the use of foreign exchange forward contracts with maturities of approximately one month. These contracts did not meet the criteria for hedge accounting. The fair value of these hedges was nominal at July 2, 2010 and September 30, 2009.

The following table provides detail on the activity of our derivative instruments as of July 2, 2010 (in millions):

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Interest rate swap (1)	Mexican peso hedge (2)	Total
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$ (12.2)	\$ 0.4	\$ (11.8)
Amount of (gain) loss recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on derivative (effective portion)	(7.7)	0.6	(7.1)
Amount of (gain) loss reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income into income (effective portion) (3)	5.0	(1.0)	4.0
Balance as of July 2, 2010	\$ (14.9)	\$	\$ (14.9)

(1) Short-term portion included in other accrued liabilities and long-term portion included in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. The short-term and long-term portions for July 2, 2010 were liabilities of \$9.4 million and \$5.5 million, respectively. The short-term and long-term portions for September 30,

2009 were liabilities of \$5.0 million and \$7.2 million, respectively.

- (2) Assets are included in prepaid expenses and other current assets and liabilities are included in other accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

- (3) Gains and losses are reclassified to interest income (expense) for the interest rate swap and cost of goods sold for the Mexican peso hedge.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The effective portion of the derivative represents the change in fair value of the hedge that offsets the change in fair value of the hedged item. To the extent the change in the fair value of the hedge does not fully offset the change in the fair value of the hedged item, the ineffective portion of the hedge is recognized immediately in other (expense) income in our consolidated statements of operations.

As of July 2, 2010, the interest rate swap termination value of \$15.2 million was secured under the Credit Facility releasing us from a cash collateral requirement of the same amount. The amount secured under the Credit Facility could increase or decrease significantly as the interest rate swap termination value fluctuates with the forward LIBOR.

We expect all of the \$0.2 million unrealized gain on our Mexican peso hedge and approximately \$6.6 million of unrealized loss on our interest rate swap at July 2, 2010 to be reclassified into the income statement within the next twelve months.

The table below provides data about the amount of gains and losses recognized in income on derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments (in millions):

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in income on derivative	
	Three months ended	Nine Months ended
Classification of gain (loss) recognized in income on derivative		

		July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
Foreign currency hedges	Other income (expense), net	\$1.2	\$(1.5)	\$1.1	\$2.9
	23				

Table of Contents

Note 18: Subsequent Event

On July 12, 2010, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with Tyco Electronics Ltd., a Swiss company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc, which was amended on July 24, 2010. Pursuant to that agreement, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. commenced a tender offer to purchase all of our outstanding shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$12.75 per share in cash on July 26, 2010. The tender offer will expire, unless extended, on August 23, 2010. As soon as practicable after the consummation of the tender offer and satisfaction or waiver of certain conditions set forth in the merger agreement, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. will merge with and into ADC Telecommunications, Inc., and ADC Telecommunications, Inc. will become an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Tyco Electronics Ltd.

The consummation of the tender offer is subject to certain conditions. Among other things, these conditions include satisfaction of applicable antitrust reviews in the United States and other jurisdictions. The tender offer also is conditioned on Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. acquiring a majority of our shares of common stock on a fully diluted basis. The transactions are not subject to Tyco Electronics Ltd. obtaining financing. The merger agreement also includes customary covenants and termination provisions. Among other things, we are required to operate our business in the ordinary course until the merger is complete, and a number of covenants limit or restrict our ability to take certain actions prior to that time. Under specified circumstances, we will be required to pay Tyco Electronics Ltd. a termination fee of \$38.0 million in connection with a termination of the merger agreement.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

Merger

On July 12, 2010, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with Tyco Electronics Ltd., a Swiss company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc, which was amended on July 24, 2010. Pursuant to that agreement, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. commenced a tender offer to purchase all of our outstanding shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$12.75 per share in cash on July 26, 2010. The tender offer will expire, unless extended, on August 23, 2010. As soon as practicable after the consummation of the tender offer and satisfaction or waiver of certain conditions set forth in the merger agreement, Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. will merge with and into ADC Telecommunications, Inc., and ADC Telecommunications, Inc. will become an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Tyco Electronics Ltd.

The consummation of the tender offer is subject to certain conditions. Among other things, these conditions include satisfaction of applicable antitrust reviews in the United States and other jurisdictions. The tender offer also is conditioned on Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. acquiring a majority of our shares of common stock on a fully diluted basis. The transactions are not subject to Tyco Electronics Ltd. obtaining financing. The merger agreement also includes customary covenants and termination provisions. Among other things, we are required to operate our business in the ordinary course until the merger is complete, and a number of covenants limit or restrict our ability to take certain actions prior to that time. Under specified circumstances, we will be required to pay Tyco Electronics Ltd. a termination fee of \$38.0 million in connection with a termination of the merger agreement.

Our Business

We are a leading global provider of broadband communications network infrastructure products and related services. Our products offer comprehensive solutions that enable the delivery of high-speed Internet, data, video and voice communications over wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast networks. These products include fiber-optic, copper and coaxial based frames, cabinets, cables, connectors and cards, wireless capacity and coverage solutions, network access devices and other physical infrastructure components. Our products and services are deployed primarily by communications service providers and owners and operators of private enterprise networks. Our products are used mainly at the edge of communications networks where Internet, data, video and voice traffic are linked from the serving office of a communications service provider to the end-user of communication services.

We also provide professional services to our customers. These services help our customers plan, deploy and maintain Internet, data, video and voice communication networks. We also assist our customers in their integration of broadband communications equipment used in wireline, wireless, cable and enterprise networks. By providing these

services, we have additional opportunities to sell our products.

Our customers consist primarily of long-distance and local communications service providers and private enterprises that operate their own communication networks. In addition, our customers include cable television operators, wireless service providers, new competitive telephone service providers, broadcasters, government agencies, system integrators and communications equipment manufacturers and distributors.

Table of Contents

We have the following three reportable business segments:

Connectivity

Network Solutions

Professional Services

Our *Connectivity* products connect wireline, wireless, cable, enterprise and broadcast communications networks over fiber-optic, copper (twisted pair), coaxial, and wireless media. These products provide the physical interconnections between network components and access points into networks.

Our *Network Solutions* products help improve coverage and capacity for wireless networks. These products improve signal quality, increase coverage and capacity into expanded geographic areas, enhance the delivery and capacity of networks, and help reduce the capital and operating costs of delivering wireless services. Applications for these products include in-building solutions, outdoor coverage solutions, and cell site amplifiers.

Our *Professional Services* business provides integration services for broadband and multiservice communications over wireline, wireless, cable and enterprise networks. Our Professional Services business unit helps customers plan, deploy and maintain communications networks that deliver Internet, data, video and voice services.

Marketplace Conditions

We believe there are indicators that certain geographies and markets around the world are emerging from the adverse impacts of the global economic downturn, although the timing, strength and continuity of a global economic recovery remain uncertain. During the economic downturn we took steps, and we continue to take steps, to lower our operating cost structure. We believe these steps have built leverage into our operating model without significantly compromising our ongoing investment for the future. The actions we have taken include reductions in our global work force, an increased use of resources in low cost locations, and the consolidation of facilities and activities. We also have realigned and refocused our resources on our most strategic initiatives through the rationalization or sale of certain non-strategic product and service offerings.

Industry Conditions

Over the longer-term, we believe that the ever-increasing consumption of bandwidth will continue to drive an ongoing migration to next-generation networks that can deliver reliable broadband services at low, often flat-rate prices over virtually any medium anytime and anywhere. We believe this evolution particularly will impact the edge of the network where our products and services primarily are used and where constraints in the high-speed delivery of communications services are most likely to occur. For us to participate as fully as possible in this evolution we must focus a significant amount of our resources on the development and sale of next-generation network infrastructure products.

We believe there are two key elements driving the migration to next-generation networks:

First, businesses and consumers worldwide are becoming increasingly dependent on broadband, multi-service communications networks to conduct a wide range of daily communications tasks (*e.g.*, emails with large amounts of data, teleconferencing, social networking, video streaming and photo sharing).

Second, end-users of communications services increasingly expect to do business over a single network connection at a low price. Both public networks operated by communications service providers and private enterprise networks are evolving to provide combinations of Internet, data, video and voice services that can be offered over the same high-speed network.

This evolution to next-generation networks impacts our industry significantly. Many of our communications service provider customers now focus their investments in these next-generation networks to differentiate themselves from their competitors by providing more robust services at increasing speeds. They believe such network advancements will attract business and consumer customers and allow them to grow their businesses.

Table of Contents

Next-generation network investment by communications service providers has tended to come in the form of large, multi-year projects, which have attracted many equipment vendors, including us. We believe that it is important for us to participate in these projects to grow our business and therefore have focused our strategy on the products that will be used in these projects. These include central office fiber-based equipment, wireless coverage and capacity equipment, and equipment to aid the deployment of fiber-based networks closer to the ultimate customer (*i.e.*, fiber to the node, curb, residence, cell site, or business, which we collectively refer to as our FTTX products).

Spending on these next-generation initiatives by our customers has not resulted in significant aggregate overall spending increases on all categories of network infrastructure equipment as spending on mature, legacy products has decreased over time. We therefore believe our ability to compete in the communications equipment marketplace depends in significant part on whether we can continue to develop and market next-generation network infrastructure products effectively to drive growth in our business.

Strategy

Given conditions in the global economy and our industry, we believe we must continue to focus on the following business priorities to advance our market goals:

Business growth in fiber-based and wireless communications networks, as well as in growing markets and geographies;

Operational excellence that drives low-cost industry leadership and provides our customers with superior products and support; and

High levels of customer service and focus through alignment with the next generation network needs of our global customer base.

Business Growth in Areas of High Strategic Importance. We are focused on growing our business in markets and geographies we consider to be of high strategic importance. We will service these high growth market segments, which are largely within fiber-based and wireless communications networks, with central office fiber, FTTX, enterprise data center fiber and microcellular wireless coverage and capacity product solutions. We will also focus on markets in developing countries.

Operational Excellence and Low Cost Industry Leadership. We continue to implement initiatives designed to better align our business with changing macro-economic and market conditions. We believe this will enable us to meet the needs of our global customer base more efficiently and effectively. These initiatives are designed to reduce our operating cost structure and improve organizational efficiency through a variety of actions that include, among others, relocating certain manufacturing, engineering and other operations from higher-cost geographic areas to lower-cost areas and implementing new operating methods designed to uncover increased operational efficiencies.

These initiatives have yielded significant ongoing cost savings to our operations and have allowed us to manage effectively through the global economic downturn. For instance, during fiscal 2009, as a result of these initiatives, we kept our gross margins in line with fiscal 2008 margins despite substantially lower sales volumes and, in the first nine months of fiscal 2010, have experienced gross margin expansion. These savings have helped to generate leverage in our operating model and offset pricing pressures and unfavorable mixes in product sales that can have negative impacts on our operating results. Our ability to continue to implement these initiatives is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties and no assurance can be given that this strategy will continue to be successful. Our gross profit percentages will continue to fluctuate from period to period due to several factors, including, but not limited to, sales volume, raw material and freight costs, product mix and the impact of future potential efficiency and cost saving initiatives.

Improved Customer Service and Focus. We remain highly committed to creating a compelling value proposition for our customers. This includes helping our customers maximize their return on investment, expand capacity in their networks and simplify deployment challenges in providing communications services to end-users. We strive to offer customer-specific solutions, price-competitive products with high functionality and quality, and world-class customer service and support that collectively will better position us to grow our business in a cost-effective manner. We also are focused on developing ways to sell more of our current portfolio and our newly developed products to existing

customers and to introduce our products to new customers. The cornerstone of these initiatives is our commitment to understand and respond to our customers' needs.

We also seek to partner with other companies as a means to serve the public and private communication network markets and to offer more complete solutions for our customers' needs. Many of our connectivity products in particular are conducive to

Table of Contents

incorporation by other equipment vendors into a systems-level solution. We also believe there are opportunities for us to sell more of our products through indirect sales channels, including systems integrators and value-added resellers. We have over 500 value-added reseller partners worldwide. In addition, we are expanding our relationships with distributors to make our products more readily available to a wider base of customers globally.

A more detailed description of the risks to our business can be found in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, in Item 1A of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 2010 and in Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 2, 2010.

Results of Operations***Net Sales***

Due to the change in our fiscal year end date from October 31 to September 30, which was completed in fiscal 2009, the financial statements and financial comparisons included in this Form 10-Q relate to the three and nine month periods ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009. The financial results for the three and nine month periods ended June 26, 2009 have been recast to allow for comparison based on our new fiscal periods.

The following table shows net sales and expense items from continuing operations for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009:

	Three months ended		Percentage Increase (Decrease) Between Periods
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	
	(In millions)		
Net sales	\$ 304.4	\$ 290.3	4.9%
Cost of sales	191.4	188.1	1.8
Gross profit	113.0	102.2	10.6
Gross margin	37.1%	35.2%	
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	16.2	16.0	1.3
Selling and administration	71.8	59.7	20.3
Impairment charges	(0.1)	0.3	(133.3)
Restructuring charges	(1.1)	4.3	(125.6)
Total operating expenses	86.8	80.3	8.1
Operating income (loss)	26.2	21.9	19.6
Operating margin	8.6%	7.5%	
Other income (expense), net:			
Interest income, net	(5.8)	(4.9)	18.4
Other, net	57.3	(0.9)	
Income (loss) before income taxes	77.7	16.1	382.6
Provision for income taxes	1.9	0.9	111.1
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 75.8	\$ 15.2	398.7%

Percentage

	Nine Months ended		Increase (Decrease) Between Periods
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	
	(In millions)		
Net sales	\$ 844.0	\$ 846.6	(0.3)%
Cost of sales	539.0	587.3	(8.2)
Gross profit	305.0	259.3	17.6
Gross margin	36.1%	30.6%	
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	49.4	50.3	(1.8)
Selling and administration	212.2	196.6	7.9
Impairment charges	0.6	412.4	(99.9)
Restructuring charges	12.5	16.6	(24.7)
Total operating expenses	274.7	675.9	(59.4)
Operating income (loss)	30.3	(416.6)	107.3
Operating margin	3.6%	(49.2)%	
Other income (expense), net:			
Interest income, net	(17.0)	(12.1)	40.5
Other, net	57.7	(44.6)	229.4
Income (loss) before income taxes	71.0	(473.3)	115.0
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	4.1	(6.1)	167.2
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 66.9	\$ (467.2)	114.3%

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth our net sales for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009 for each of our reportable segments:

Reportable Segment	Three months ended		Percentage Increase (Decrease) Between Periods
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	
	(In millions)		
Connectivity			
Products	\$ 229.2	\$ 232.0	(1.2)%
Services	0.9	0.7	28.6
Total Connectivity	230.1	232.7	(1.1)
Network Solutions:			
Products	22.9	16.7	37.1
Services	5.9	3.7	59.5
Total Network Solutions	28.8	20.4	41.2
Professional Services:			
Products	12.3	9.7	26.8
Services	33.2	27.5	20.7
Total Professional Services	45.5	37.2	22.3
Total Net Sales	\$ 304.4	\$ 290.3	4.9%

Reportable Segment	Nine Months ended		Percentage Increase (Decrease) Between Periods
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	
	(In millions)		
Connectivity			
Products	\$ 642.7	\$ 673.3	(4.5)%
Services	2.8	0.8	250.0
Total Connectivity	645.5	674.1	(4.2)
Network Solutions:			
Products	57.8	44.8	29.0
Services	16.4	14.0	17.1
Total Network Solutions	74.2	58.8	26.2
Professional Services:			
Products	31.5	30.4	3.6

Services	92.8	83.3	11.4
Total Professional Services	124.3	113.7	9.3
Total Net Sales	\$ 844.0	\$ 846.6	(0.3)%

Our net sales increased by 4.9% for the three months ended July 2, 2010 compared to the three months ended June 26, 2009 due to continued growth in our Network Solutions and Professional Services segments, which more than offset a slight revenue decline in our Connectivity segment. Compared to the nine months ended June 26, 2009, our net sales decreased 0.3% for the nine months ended July 2, 2010. This decrease was primarily driven by weakness in Connectivity products in our EMEA region.

Connectivity net sales decreased from \$232.7 million during the three months ended June 26, 2009 to \$230.1 million during the three months ended July 2, 2010. The decrease in net sales is due to declines in our EMEA region and legacy copper connectivity business globally, which has been partially offset by the strengthening in our global fiber connectivity and structured cable product lines primarily in the Americas and Asia-Pacific regions. Net sales for this segment decreased from \$674.1 million for the nine months ended June 26, 2009 to \$645.5 million during the nine months ended July 2, 2010. This decrease is due to the factors described above and reduced spending on FTTX initiatives by a major customer in the United States. This reduced spending by this U.S. customer was expected due to their completion of the majority of a key strategic initiative, and going forward spending is expected to continue at this lower level.

Table of Contents

Network Solutions net sales increased from \$20.4 million during the three months ended June 26, 2009 to \$28.8 million during the three months ended July 2, 2010. Net sales for this segment increased from \$58.8 million for the nine months ended June 26, 2009 to \$74.2 million during the nine months ended July 2, 2010. These increases were driven by growth in both our in-building and outdoor wireless products.

Professional Services net sales increased from \$37.2 million during the three months ended June 26, 2009 to \$45.5 million during the three months ended July 2, 2010. Net sales for this segment increased from \$113.7 million for the nine months ended June 26, 2009 to \$124.3 million during the nine months ended July 2, 2010. These increases were due to increased spending and an expanded presence with a key customer.

International sales comprised 37.1% and 39.5% of our net sales for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively. This compares to 38.4% and 41.0% for the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, respectively. As a result of significant international sales, our net sales can and have fluctuated historically with movements in foreign exchange rates. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates positively impacted sales in the three months ended July 2, 2010 by approximately \$0.8 million and negatively impacted sales in the nine months ended July 2, 2010 by approximately \$14.0 million, versus the same periods in fiscal 2009.

We have two significant customers who each account for more than 10% of our sales. AT&T accounted for 27.2% and 24.9% of our net sales in the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, AT&T represented 20.7% and 19.7% of net sales, respectively. In addition, for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, Verizon represented 13.2% and 13.1% of net sales, respectively. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, Verizon represented 20.0% and 18.6% of net sales, respectively. Revenues from AT&T and Verizon are included in each of our three reportable segments.

Gross Margins

During the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, our gross margin percentages were 37.1% and 36.1%, respectively, compared to 35.2% and 30.6%, respectively, for the comparable periods ended June 26, 2009.

The increase in gross margins for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 compared to the same periods in the prior year was due to a combination of operating efficiencies realized across most of our businesses and leverage from increased volumes in our Network Solutions and Professional Services businesses. These increases were also driven by the absence of approximately \$14.0 million in inventory-related charges in our Network Solutions and Connectivity businesses recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, which were caused by the shutdown of certain outdoor wireless and copper-based connectivity product lines.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 represented 28.5% and 32.5% of net sales, respectively. Because our fiscal 2009 year-to-date results included a \$412.4 million impairment of goodwill and intangible assets (refer to Note 7), total operating expenses represented 27.7% and 79.8% of net sales for the same fiscal 2009 periods, respectively. As discussed below, operating expenses include research and development, selling and administration expenses and restructuring and impairment charges.

Research and development: Research and development expenses for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 represented 5.3% and 5.9% of net sales, respectively. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, these expenses represented 5.5% and 5.9% of net sales, respectively. This decrease for the three months ended July 2, 2010 as a percent of sales is due to higher sales volumes as actual spending was slightly higher in fiscal 2010. Research and development expenses were \$16.2 million and \$49.4 million for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively, compared to \$16.0 million and \$50.3 million for the same fiscal 2009 periods. Given the rapidly changing technological and competitive environment in the communications equipment industry, continued commitment to product development efforts will be required for us to remain competitive. Accordingly, we intend to continue to allocate substantial resources, as a percentage of our net sales, to product development. Most of our research is directed towards projects that we believe directly advance our strategic aims in segments in the marketplace that we believe are most likely to grow.

Selling and administration: Selling and administration expenses for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 represented 23.6% and 25.1% of net sales, respectively. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, these expenses represented 20.6% and 23.2% of net sales, respectively. Selling and administration expenses increased to

\$71.8 million and \$212.2 million for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively, compared to \$59.7 million and \$196.6 million for the same fiscal 2009 periods. The

Table of Contents

increases were due to a combination of higher stock-based compensation expense and increased employee incentive expenses of approximately \$27.0 million, driven by fiscal 2009 employee incentives that were limited due to the global economic recession and fiscal 2010 financial performance to date has been well above target levels. These increases were offset partially by cost savings due to operational efficiencies.

Restructuring charges: Restructuring charges relate principally to employee severance and facility consolidation costs resulting from the closure of leased facilities and other workforce reductions attributable to our efforts to reduce costs. During the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, 28 and 310 employees, respectively, were impacted by reductions in force. These reductions in force occurred mainly in our Connectivity segment in the Asia-Pacific region as a result of the consolidation of certain facilities.

During the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, 127 and 970 employees, respectively, were impacted by reductions in force. These reductions impacted all business units and regions, primarily Connectivity in the Americas and EMEA.

During the three months ended July 2, 2010, we reduced estimated employee severance costs by \$1.3 million due primarily to a reduction in the estimated cost of our EMEA workforce reduction efforts that were announced and originally recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. For the nine months ended July 2, 2010, restructuring charges were \$11.8 million. For the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, restructuring charges were \$4.6 million and \$15.6 million, respectively. The costs of these reductions have been and will be funded through cash from operations.

Facility consolidation and lease termination costs represent costs associated with our decision to consolidate and close duplicative or excess manufacturing and office facilities. Due to our decision to close unproductive and excess facilities and the continued softening of real estate markets, which resulted in lower estimated sublease income, we incurred restructuring charges of \$0.2 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010. For the three months ended June 26, 2009, we recorded a credit of \$0.3 million due to a change in estimated sublease income. For the nine months ended July 2, 2010 and June 26, 2009, these charges were \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Other Disposal Charges: During the nine months ended June 26, 2009, we recorded \$14.0 million for the write-off of obsolete inventory associated with exit activities. The inventory write-offs consisted of \$10.8 million related to our decision to exit several outdoor wireless and wireline product lines and \$3.2 million due to a change in the estimate made in fiscal 2007 related to the automated cross-connect product line. All inventory charges were recorded as cost of goods sold.

Impairment Charges: During the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, we recorded impairment charges of \$(0.1) million and \$0.6 million, respectively, related to fixed assets. See Note 7 to the financial statements for a discussion of the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets for the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other Income (Expense), net is:

	Three Months ended		Nine Months ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions)			
Interest income on investments	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.8	\$ 3.5	\$ 9.4
Interest expense on borrowings	(6.9)	(6.7)	(20.5)	(21.5)
Interest expense, net	(5.8)	(4.9)	(17.0)	(12.1)
Loss recognized on impairment of available-for-sale securities		(0.7)	(3.1)	(41.3)
Gain realized on sale of available-for-sale-securities	4.6		2.2	0.4
Write-down of cost method investments			(5.3)	(3.0)
Foreign exchange loss	(1.0)		(4.0)	(0.8)

Edgar Filing: ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-Q

Gain (loss) on sale of fixed assets	(0.2)	(0.1)	(1.3)	0.6
Gain on sale of product line			15.9	
Settlement of auction rate securities claims, net of \$2.1 million in fees	54.4		54.4	
Other, net	(0.5)	(0.1)	(1.1)	(0.5)
Subtotal	57.3	(0.9)	57.7	(44.6)
Total other income (expense), net	\$ 51.5	\$ (5.8)	\$ 40.7	\$ (56.7)

30

Table of Contents

The change in net interest income (expense) predominately is due to significantly lower interest income on cash investments in more recent periods.

During June 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with Merrill Lynch and its agent/broker in connection with certain auction rate securities they sold to us. The settlement agreement resulted in our receiving \$56.5 million in cash and allowed us to retain ownership in the auction rate securities. In addition, we have commenced the sale of the remaining auction rate securities we held, realizing proceeds of \$11.7 million and \$17.9 million and gains of \$4.6 million and \$2.2 million, during the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010, respectively, within Other Income (Expense), Net. As of July 2, 2010, we held auction rate securities with a fair value of \$11.4 million and an original par value of \$83.7 million. During July of 2010, we sold auction rate securities having a par value of \$66.2 million and a fair value of \$9.5 million as of July 2, 2010, for proceeds for \$10.9 million. As a result of these sales, we recorded gains of \$5.3 million.

During the nine months ended July 2, 2010 we recorded \$1.4 million of other-than-temporary impairment charges on available-for-sale securities (which is included in the \$3.1 million of loss recognized on impairment of available-for-sale securities). During the three and nine months ended June 26, 2009, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.7 million and \$41.3 million, respectively, to reduce the carrying value of certain auction rate securities we held.

On October 30, 2009, we completed the sale of our copper-based RF signal management business to ATX Networks, Corp. (ATX). This sale of a non-strategic product line supported our ongoing effort to focus our Connectivity segment on fiber-based technology. ATX paid us \$17.0 million in cash for the business. The assets sold consisted primarily of inventory, fixed assets and intellectual property. ATX assumed future product warranty liabilities for products sold prior to October 30, 2009, subject to our reimbursement of expenses and costs related to certain of those future product warranty claims, if any. As part of the sale transaction, we agreed to manufacture the RF signal management products on behalf of ATX for up to 12 months and assist in other transitional activities. We recorded a gain of \$15.9 million in connection with the transaction within Other Income (Expense), Net.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded a \$5.3 million other-than-temporary impairment related to our investment in ip.access Ltd. (refer to Note 6).

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$3.0 million other-than-temporary impairment related to our investment in E-Band Communications Corporation.

Income Taxes

Our income tax expense for the three and nine months ended July 2, 2010 primarily relates to foreign income taxes. Our income tax expense for the three months ended June 26, 2009 primarily relates to foreign income taxes. Our income tax benefit for nine months ended June 26, 2009 primarily relates to reversal of deferred tax liabilities attributable to U.S. tax amortization of purchased goodwill from the acquisition of KRONE as well as foreign income taxes. The reversal of these deferred tax liabilities results from the goodwill impairment charge discussed in Note 7 of the financial statements.

The following table illustrates our effective income tax rate:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009	July 2, 2010	June 26, 2009
	(In millions)			
Income tax provision (benefit)	\$ 1.9	\$ 0.9	\$ 4.1	\$ (6.1)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 77.7	\$ 16.1	\$ 71.0	\$ (473.3)
Effective income tax rate	2.4%	5.6%	5.8%	1.3%

Our effective income tax rate is affected by changes in the valuation allowance recorded for our deferred tax assets. See Note 9 to the financial statements for a description of the accounting standards related to our recording of the valuation allowance. In addition, our effective income tax rate is impacted by items discrete to the reporting period

that will not impact our effective rate in future periods such as impairment charges and certain gains and losses.

We do not record income tax benefits in most jurisdictions where we incur pretax losses because the deferred tax assets generated by the losses have been offset with a corresponding increase in the valuation allowance. Likewise, we do not record income tax

Table of Contents

expense in most jurisdictions where we have pretax income because the deferred tax assets utilized to reduce income taxes payable have been offset with a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance.

Discontinued Operations

GSM Business

On December 31, 2009, we divested substantially all of the assets related to our GSM Business to Altobridge Limited (Altobridge). In connection with the transaction, we also provided Altobridge \$4.3 million in cash, a portion of which was held back for certain transition services. Altobridge also assumed various liabilities related to the business. We recorded a loss on the sale in the amount of \$13.0 million.

During the nine months ended July 2, 2010, in connection with the sale of our GSM Business, we wrote off the value of inventory and fixed assets having carrying amounts of \$6.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The amounts written off were recognized as part of the loss on sale of this business.

APS Germany

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, our Board approved a plan to divest APS Germany. On July 31, 2009, we sold all of the capital stock of our subsidiary that operated APS Germany to telent Investments Limited for a cash purchase price of \$3.3 million, resulting in a total loss on sale of \$5.2 million.

APS France

On January 12, 2007, we completed the sale of certain assets of APS France to a subsidiary of Groupe Circet, a French company, for a cash price of \$0.1 million. We recorded a total loss on this sale of \$27.3 million. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010 we recognized income of \$0.5 million within discontinued operations resulting from the reversal of a reserve for an uncertain tax position related to APS France for which the statute of limitations expired.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

A detailed description of our significant accounting policies can be found in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

Business combinations and non-controlling interests

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to business combinations and non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements. In addition to other changes in practice, the guidance requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize and measure all assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their respective acquisition date fair values. The guidance also requires non-controlling (minority) interests in a subsidiary to be reported as equity in the financial statements, separate from the parent's equity. We have adopted this guidance effective October 1, 2009. We have reclassified financial statement line items within our condensed consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations for the prior period to conform to the non-controlling interest guidance. Additionally, see Notes 10 and 11 for disclosures reflecting the impact of the new guidance on our reconciliations of comprehensive income and equity, respectively.

Fair Value Measurements

In January 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance improving disclosures about fair value measurements. The guidance requires additional disclosures concerning transfers between the levels within the fair value hierarchy and information in the reconciliation of recurring level 3 measurements about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis. The new guidance also clarifies the requirement to provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of asset and liability and clarifies the requirement to disclose information about both the valuation techniques and inputs used to estimate level 2 and level 3 measurements. We adopted this guidance effective January 2, 2010. The adoption of this guidance resulted in additional disclosures and had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In September 2006, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued guidance delaying the effective date of the accounting guidance for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until the beginning of fiscal 2010, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). We adopted this guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2009, the FASB issued guidance regarding measuring liabilities at fair value. This guidance clarifies how the fair value of a liability should be determined. Among other things, the guidance clarifies how the price of a traded debt security (i.e., an asset value) should be considered in estimating the fair value of the issuer's liability. We adopted this guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)

In May 2008, the FASB issued accounting guidance that clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (including partial cash settlement) upon conversion. The accounting guidance requires issuers to account separately for the liability and equity components of certain convertible debt instruments in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt (unsecured debt) borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized. The guidance requires bifurcation of a component of the debt, classification of that component in equity and the accretion of the resulting discount on debt to be recognized as part of interest expense. The guidance requires retrospective application to the terms of the instruments as they existed for all periods presented. We adopted the guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance did not impact our consolidated financial statements because our convertible debt cannot be settled in cash upon conversion.

Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock

In June 2008, the FASB issued accounting guidance regarding the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock. The guidance provides that the entity should use a two step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock. This includes evaluating the instrument's contingent exercise and settlement provisions. It also clarifies the impact of foreign currency denominated strike prices and market-based employee stock option valuation instruments on the evaluation. We adopted the guidance effective October 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance had no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources***Liquidity***

The table below summarizes our cash and cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities:

	July 2, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In millions)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 449.2	\$ 535.5
Short-term available-for-sale securities		
Corporate commercial paper, CD's and bonds	133.0	
Government bonds	36.6	
Auction rate securities	11.4	
Total short-term available-for-sale securities	181.0	
Long-term available-for-sale securities		
Corporate commercial paper, CD's and bonds	41.5	51.1
Government bonds	43.8	
Auction rate securities		24.3

Total long-term available-for-sale securities	85.3		75.4
Total	\$ 715.5	\$	610.9

Cash and cash equivalents not subject to restrictions were \$449.2 million at July 2, 2010, a decrease of \$86.3 million compared to \$535.5 million as of September 30, 2009. This decrease does not represent a decrease in liquidity, but was primarily driven by \$187.2

Table of Contents

million of net purchases of available-for-sale securities. The securities purchased primarily include corporate commercial paper, certificates of deposits, bonds and U.S. government and agency obligations. In accordance with our investment policy, the securities carry a credit rating of A+ or above.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2010, we sold auction rate securities at prices that exceeded the fair market values at which they had been recorded. The securities had a par value of \$86.1 million and we received proceeds of \$17.9 million. The remaining auction rate securities, with a fair market value of \$11.4 million at July 2, 2010, are classified as short-term available-for-sale securities. During July of 2010, we sold auction rate securities having a par value of \$66.2 million and a fair value of \$9.5 million as of July 2, 2010, for proceeds for \$10.9 million. As a result of these sales, we recorded gains of \$5.3 million. Our intent is to continue liquidating the remaining positions in the secondary markets at prices approximating the amounts at which they are recorded. Due to fluctuating market conditions, a secondary market sale of any of these securities could potentially result in a realized loss.

On June 8, 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with Merrill Lynch and its agent/broker in connection with certain auction rate securities they sold to us. The settlement agreement resulted in our receiving \$56.5 million in cash and allowed us to retain ownership in the auction rate securities (refer to Note 14). During 2009, we made a claim in the Lehman Brothers bankruptcy proceeding with respect to auction rate securities they sold to us, but at this time we are uncertain whether we will recover any of our losses associated with these securities. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we began selling auction rate securities that we held and have since sold substantially all of the remaining auction rate securities, including those that we acquired through Lehman Brothers.

Restricted cash balances that are pledged primarily as collateral for letters of credit and derivative transactions also affect our liquidity. As of July 2, 2010, we had restricted cash of \$8.1 million compared to \$25.0 million as of September 30, 2009, a decrease of \$16.9 million. The decrease is a result primarily of the release of \$13.2 million of cash collateral relating to the interest rate swap as this requirement is now secured under the Credit Facility, as defined below. Restricted cash is expected to become available to us upon satisfaction of the obligations pursuant to which the letters of credit or guarantees were issued.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations for the nine months ended July 2, 2010 was \$106.3 million. Cash inflows included \$74.6 million of non-cash adjustments to reconcile the net income of \$66.9 million from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities (which includes the \$56.5 million settlement related to auction rate securities and an increase of \$6.6 million in operating liabilities). These cash inflows were offset by a \$41.8 million increase in operating assets. The non-cash adjustments of \$74.6 million to reconcile net income from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities also included a \$15.9 million gain on the sale of our copper-based RF signal management business, \$12.5 million of restructuring expenses, \$11.9 million of non-cash stock compensation, a \$5.3 million write-down of our investment in ip.access Ltd., a \$2.2 million gain on the sale of auction rate securities and a \$3.1 million write-down of auction rate securities that we still held, which are classified as available-for-sale securities. Working capital requirements typically will increase or decrease with changes in the level of net sales. In addition, the timing of certain incentive payments will affect the annual cash flow as these expenses are accrued throughout the fiscal year but paid during the first quarter of the subsequent year.

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations for the nine months ended June 26, 2009 was \$76.1 million. This cash inflow was due to \$564.2 million of non-cash adjustments to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities and a \$50.5 million decrease in operating assets. This cash inflow was partially offset by a loss from continuing operations of \$467.2 million and a \$71.4 million increase in operating liabilities. The non-cash adjustments of \$564.2 million to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities includes the \$412.4 million write-down of intangibles and fixed assets.

Investing Activities

Cash used by investing activities from continuing operations was \$182.1 million for the nine months ended July 2, 2010, which was driven by \$214.3 million of purchases of available-for-sale securities and \$21.8 million of property, equipment and patent additions. These amounts were offset by a decrease in restricted cash of \$16.3 million,

\$27.1 million in proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale securities, and \$11.9 million of cash received for the divestitures of certain businesses.

Table of Contents

Cash used by investing activities from continuing operations was \$74.2 million for the nine months ended June 26, 2009. This was driven by \$52.0 million of purchases of available-for-sale securities, \$28.0 million of property, equipment and patent additions, and an increase in restricted cash of \$7.9 million. These cash uses were partially offset by \$11.9 million of proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale securities and \$4.6 million of cash received upon the disposal of property and equipment.

Financing Activities

Cash used by financing activities from continuing operations was \$2.1 million for the nine months ended July 2, 2010. Cash used by financing activities was \$103.2 million for the nine months ended June 26, 2009, of which \$101.2 million was due to common stock repurchases.

Credit Facility

On December 18, 2009, we entered into a new asset-backed revolving credit facility with Wachovia Bank National Association (the Credit Facility) in the amount of up to \$75.0 million. Drawings under the Credit Facility may be used for general operating, working capital and other corporate purposes. Additionally, availability under the Credit Facility may be used to issue letters of credit or to secure hedging obligations. Along with the parent company, two U.S.-based subsidiaries are borrowers and three other U.S.-based subsidiaries provide guarantees of obligations under the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility has a scheduled expiration of March 15, 2013 and is secured by various U.S. assets including accounts receivable, inventory, and machinery and equipment. We also granted a security interest in the capital stock of the two subsidiary borrowers and one of the guarantors. Borrowings under the Credit Facility will rank on parity in right of payment with all other senior indebtedness that may be outstanding from time to time. Availability of borrowings is based on measurements of accounts receivable and inventory less standard reserves. The Credit Facility size may be increased up to \$100.0 million, subject to certain terms and conditions.

Under the Credit Facility, we must comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. Among other things, the financial covenants require us to maintain a minimum amount of liquidity, defined as cash and certain investments located in the U.S. plus availability under the Credit Facility, equal to \$150.0 million. Additionally, when borrowing availability under the Credit Facility drops below a specified level, we must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0. This ratio is defined as consolidated EBITDA divided by the sum of certain fixed payments. Non-financial covenants include limitations on, among other things, asset dispositions and acquisitions, liens, and debt issuances. Our ability to repurchase debt, equity and pay cash dividends is contingent upon ADC maintaining certain levels of liquidity. As of July 2, 2010 we were in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at the one, two or three month LIBOR or a base rate plus a specified margin. We pay an annual commitment fee of 1% on any unused portion of the facility. The amount available under the Credit Facility will fluctuate based on seasonality of our sales and the value of any hedging obligations secured under the Credit Facility. As of July 2, 2010, although there were no borrowings outstanding, a \$15.2 million collateral requirement under our interest rate swap agreement (refer to Note 17) was secured under the Credit Facility, releasing us from a cash collateral requirement of \$15.2 million. The amount secured under the Credit Facility could fluctuate significantly as the interest rate swap termination value fluctuates with the forward LIBOR. As of July 2, 2010, we have deferred \$1.7 million of financing fees, \$1.6 million of which was incurred during the nine months ended July 2, 2010, related to this facility that will be amortized as interest expense over the term of the Credit Facility. The merger qualifies as a change in control under the Credit Facility, and would require that we obtain the consent of Wachovia Bank National Association to maintain the Facility upon completion of the merger. No borrowings were outstanding under the Credit Facility as of August 5, 2010.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

We do not have any off-balance-sheet arrangements. There has been no material change in our contractual obligations outside of the ordinary course of our business since the end of fiscal 2009. See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, for additional information regarding our contractual obligations.

Working Capital and Liquidity Outlook

Our main source of liquidity continues to be our unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and short-term available-for-sale securities. We also consider our long-term available-for-sale securities to be highly liquid. We

currently expect that our existing cash resources

Table of Contents

will be sufficient to meet our anticipated needs for working capital and capital expenditures to execute our near-term business plan. This expectation is based on current business operations and economic conditions and assumes we are able to maintain breakeven or positive cash flows from operations.

In addition, our deferred tax assets, which are substantially reserved at this time, should reduce our income tax payable on taxable earnings in future years.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Information

The discussion herein, including, but not limited to, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as well as the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, contains various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Forward-looking statements represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward looking statements. These statements may include, among others, statements regarding the merger transaction with Tyco Electronics Ltd. and the related litigation, statements about future sales, profit percentages, earnings per share and other results of operations; statements about shareholder value; expectations or beliefs regarding the industry in which we operate and the macro-economy generally; statements about our cost cutting initiatives; the prices of raw materials and transportation costs; the sufficiency of our cash balances and cash generated from operating and financing activities for our future liquidity; capital resource needs, and the effect of regulatory changes. These statements could be affected by a variety of factors, such as: uncertainties as to the timing of the merger transaction with Tyco Electronics Ltd.; the possibility that various closing conditions for the merger transaction may not be satisfied or waived, including that a governmental entity may prohibit, delay or refuse to grant approval for the consummation of the transaction; the effects of the merger transaction on our relationships with employees, customers, vendors and other business partners; the risk that shareholder litigation in connection with the merger transaction may result in significant costs of defense, indemnification and liability; demand for equipment by telecommunication service providers and large enterprises; variations in demand for particular products in our portfolio and other factors that can impact our overall margins; our ability to operate our business to achieve, maintain and grow operating profitability; our ability to reduce costs without adversely affecting our ability to serve our customers; changing regulatory conditions and macro-economic conditions, both in our industry and in local and global markets that can influence the demand for our products and services; fluctuations in the market value of our common stock, which can be caused by many factors outside of our control and could cause us to record additional impairment charges on our goodwill or other intangible assets in the future if our market capitalization drops below the book value of our assets for a continued time period; consolidation among our customers, competitors or vendors that can disrupt or displace customer relationships; our ability to keep pace with rapid technological change in our industry; our ability to make the proper strategic choices regarding acquisitions or divestitures; our ability to integrate the operations of any acquired business; increased competition within our industry and increased pricing pressure from our customers; our dependence on relatively few customers for a majority of our sales as well as potential sales growth in market segments we believe have the greatest potential; fluctuations in our operating results from quarter-to-quarter that can be caused by many factors beyond our control; financial problems, work interruptions in operations or other difficulties faced by customers or vendors that can impact our sales, sales collections and ability to procure necessary materials, components and services to operate our business; our ability to protect our intellectual property rights and defend against potential infringement claims; possible limitations on our ability to raise any additional required capital; our ability to attract and retain qualified employees; potential liabilities that can arise if any of our products have design or manufacturing defects; our ability to obtain, and the prices of, raw materials, components and services; our dependence on contract manufacturers to make certain products as well as our reliance on our operation of a limited number of significant manufacturing facilities around the world; changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity securities prices, all of which will impact our operating results; political, economic and legal uncertainties related to doing business in China or other developing countries; our ability to defend or settle satisfactorily any litigation; and other risks and uncertainties including those identified in the sections captioned Risk Factors in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, Item 1A of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the

quarter ended April 2, 2010, and in Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 2, 2010. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, our major market risk exposure relates to adverse fluctuations in certain commodity prices, security prices and foreign currency exchange rates. We believe our exposure associated with these market risks has not changed materially since September 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the third quarter of fiscal 2010, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Following the announcement of the merger transaction with Tyco Electronics Ltd., a number of putative shareholder class action lawsuits were filed in the District Court of Hennepin County, Minnesota, Fourth Judicial District and two lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota against various combinations of Tyco Electronics Ltd., Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc., ADC Telecommunications, Inc., the individual members of our board of directors, and one of our non-director officers. The Hennepin County actions have been consolidated into a single lawsuit. The complaints generally allege, among other things, that the members of our board breached their fiduciary duties owed to the shareowners of the company by entering into the merger agreement, approving the offer and the proposed merger and failing to take steps to maximize the value of the company to its shareowners, and that the Company, Parent and Purchaser aided and abetted such breaches of fiduciary duties. In addition, the complaints allege that the transaction improperly favors Tyco Electronics, Ltd.; that certain provisions of the merger agreement unduly restrict the company's ability to negotiate with rival bidders; and that shareowners of the company have been deprived of the ability to make an informed decision as to whether to tender their shares. In several of these actions, plaintiffs also purport to bring derivative actions on behalf of the company against the individual members of our board of directors, alleging that the individual members of our board of directors are wasting corporate assets, abusing their ability to control the company and breaching their fiduciary duties. The federal court actions also allege that the Company and our board violated sections 14(d)(4) of the Exchange Act by making misrepresentations and omissions in the Schedule 14D-9. The complaints generally seek, among other things, declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged fiduciary breaches, injunctive relief prohibiting the defendants from consummating the merger and other forms of equitable relief. We have not established a reserve for these matters since we do not consider a loss to be probable, nor estimable at this time.

We are a party to various other lawsuits, proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. Many of these disputes may be resolved without formal litigation. The amount of monetary liability resulting from the ultimate resolution of these matters cannot be determined at this time. As of July 2, 2010, we had recorded \$6.9 million in loss reserves for certain of these matters. In light of the reserves we have recorded, at this time we believe the ultimate resolution of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims will not have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Because of the uncertainty inherent in litigation, however, it is possible that unfavorable resolutions of one or more of these lawsuits, proceedings and claims could exceed the amount currently reserved and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

On August 17, 2009, we met with representatives from the Office of the Inspector General of the United States, where we disclosed a potential breach of the country of origin requirements for certain products sold under a supply agreement with the federal government's General Services Administration. We self-reported this potential breach as a precautionary matter and it is unclear at this time whether any penalties will be imposed. Following the meeting, we provided the Office of the Inspector General with additional documentation related to this matter. We expect a further response from the Office of the Inspector General following its review of this information. At this time we do not believe the ultimate resolution of this matter will have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations

or financial condition.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the specific factors described below, together with the cautionary statement under the caption Forward-Looking Statements in Part I, Item 2 of this report and other information included in this report, in evaluating our business. The following risk factors are in addition to the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 2010.

If our merger transaction with Tyco Electronics Ltd. is not completed or is delayed, our share price, business and results of operations may materially suffer.

It is possible the merger transaction with Tyco Electronics Ltd. might not be completed or could be delayed for a number of reasons. If the consummation of the merger is delayed or otherwise not consummated within the contemplated time periods or not at all, we could suffer a number of consequences that may adversely affect our business, results of operations and share price materially, including:

- a loss of revenue and market position that we may not be able to regain if the proposed transactions are not consummated;
- damage to our relationships with our customers, suppliers, and other business partners;
- a potential obligation to pay a \$38.0 million termination fee depending on the reasons for terminating the merger transaction;
- significant costs related to the proposed transaction, including substantial legal, accounting and investment banking expenses;
- key employees may be lost during the pendency of the merger and our relationships with employees may be damaged; and
- business and organizational opportunities may be lost due to covenants in the merger agreement that restrict certain actions by us prior to completion of the merger.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

See the Exhibit Index for a description of the documents that are filed as exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or incorporated by reference herein. Any document incorporated by reference is identified by a parenthetical referencing the SEC filing which included the document.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 5, 2010

ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By: /s/ James G. Mathews
James G. Mathews
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized
Officer)

39

Table of Contents

**ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 2, 2010**

Exhibit

No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated July 12, 2010 among ADC Telecommunications, Inc., Tyco Electronics Ltd. and Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to ADC's Form 8-K filed on July 13, 2010.)
2.2	Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement and Plan of Merger between ADC Telecommunications, Inc., Tyco Electronics Ltd. and Tyco Electronics Minnesota, Inc. dated as of July 24, 2010. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2010.)
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of ADC Telecommunications, Inc., conformed to incorporate amendments dated January 20, 2000, June 30, 2000, August 13, 2001, March 2, 2004 and May 9, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3-a to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 29, 2005.)
3.2	Restated Bylaws of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. effective December 9, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to ADC's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2008.)
4.1	Form of certificate for shares of Common Stock of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-a to ADC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 29, 2005.)
4.2	Rights Agreement, as amended and restated as of May 9, 2007, between ADC Telecommunications, Inc. and Computershare Investor Services, LLC, as Rights Agent (which includes as Exhibit A, the Form of Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Right of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, as Exhibit B, the Form of Right Certificate, and as Exhibit C, the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4-b to ADC's Form 8-A/A filed on May 11, 2007.)
31.1	Certification of principal executive officer required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).*
31.2	Certification of principal financial officer required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).*
32	Certifications furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

* Filed herewith