

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

LANNETT CO INC
Form 10KSB/A
October 25, 2004

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB/A
AMMENDMENT #2

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

Commission File No. 0-9036

LANNETT COMPANY, INC.
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

STATE OF DELAWARE
State of Incorporation

23-0787-699
I.R.S. Employer I.D. No.

9000 STATE ROAD
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19136
(215) 333-9000

(Address of principal executive offices and telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:
NONE

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:
COMMON STOCK, \$.001 PAR VALUE
(Title of class)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Yes No

The issuer had net sales of \$42,486,758 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

As of August 26, 2003, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$106,812,000 computed by reference to the closing price of the stock on the American Stock Exchange.

As of August 26, 2003, there were 20,045,390 shares of the issuer's common stock, \$.001 par value, outstanding.

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

GENERAL

Lannett Company, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated in 1942 under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. In 1991, the Company merged into Lannett Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation. The sole purpose of the merger was to reincorporate the Company as a Delaware corporation. The Company develops, manufactures, packages, markets and distributes pharmaceutical products sold under generic chemical names. References herein to a fiscal year refer to the Company's fiscal year ending June 30.

Historically, the Company has competed for an increasing share of the generic market. During each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, the Company surpassed its historical highs in terms of net sales, gross profit, operating income, net income and total market capitalization value. This growth is a result of additions to the Company's line of generic products, new customers, higher unit sales, increased product prices and a management focus on minimizing unnecessary overhead and administrative costs. Some of the new generic products sold by Lannett during Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002 were developed and are manufactured by Lannett while others are manufactured by Jerome Stevens Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("JSP"), one of Lannett's primary suppliers. The products manufactured by Lannett and those manufactured by JSP are identified in the section entitled "PRODUCTS" in Item 1 of this Form 10-KSB.

Over the past several years, Lannett has consistently invested a portion of its profits into research and development ("R&D") projects, including new generic product offerings. The cost of these R&D efforts are expensed during the periods incurred. However, the Company believes that such investments may be paid back in future years as it submits applications to the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), if it receives marketing approval from the FDA to distribute such products. In addition to profits earned on new products internally developed and manufactured, the Company sells products that are manufactured by JSP. The products are sold with the Lannett label and logo and to the same customers and the same distribution channels as the products internally manufactured. The Company has made no previous investments in R&D for these products because such investments were paid by JSP, the owner of the FDA-approved licenses. In addition to using cash generated from its operations, the Company has entered into a number of financing agreements with third parties to provide for additional cash when it is needed. These financing agreements are more fully described in the section entitled "LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES" in Item 6 of this Form 10-KSB. The Company has embarked on an industrious plan to grow in future years. In addition to organic growth to be achieved through its own R&D effects, the Company has also initiated marketing projects with other companies in order to expand future revenue projections. The Company, however, expects that its growing list of generic drugs under development will drive future growth. The Company also intends to use the infrastructure it has created, and to continually reinvest a portion of its profits into additional R&D projects. The following strategies highlight Lannett's plan:

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

There are numerous stages in the generic drug development process:

2

- 1.) **Formulation and Analytical Method Development:** Once a drug candidate is selected for research, product development scientists perform various experiments on the active ingredient. These experiments include the creation of a number of product recipes to determine which recipe will be most suitable for the Company's subsequent development process. Various recipes, or formulations, are tested in the laboratory to measure results against the innovator drug. During this time, the Company may use reverse engineering methods on samples of the innovator drug to determine the type and quantity of inactive ingredients in the brand named drug. During the formulation phase, the Company's research and development chemists begin to develop an analytical, laboratory testing method. The successful development of this test method will allow the Company to test developmental and commercial batches of the product in the future. All of the information used in the final formulation, including the analytical test methods adopted for the generic drug candidate, will be included as part of the documentation submitted to the FDA in the generic drug application.
- 2.) **Scale-up:** After the product developments scientists and the R&D chemists agree on a final formulation to use in moving the drug candidate forward in the developmental process, the company will attempt to increase the batch size of the product. The batch size represents the standard magnitude to be used in manufacturing a batch of the product. The determination of batch size will affect the amount of raw material that is input into the manufacturing process, and the number of expected tablets or capsules to be created during the production sequence. The Company attempts to determine batch size based on the amount of active ingredient in each dosage, the available production equipment and unit sales projections. The scaled-up batch is then generally produced in the Company's commercial manufacturing facilities. During this manufacturing process, the Company will document the equipment used, the amount of time in each major processing step and any other steps needed to consistently produce a batch of that product. This information, generally referred to as the validated manufacturing process, will be included in the Company's generic drug application submitted to the FDA.
- 3.) **Clinical testing:** After a successful scale-up of the generic drug batch, the Company then schedules and performs clinical testing procedures on the product. These procedures, which are generally outsourced to third parties, include testing the absorption of the generic product in the human bloodstream, compared to the absorption of the innovator drug. The results of this testing are then documented and reported to the generic company to determine the "success" of the generic drug product. Success, in this context, means the successful comparison of the generic company's product related to the innovator product. Since bioequivalence and a stable formula are the primary requirements for a generic drug approval (assuming the manufacturing plant is in compliance with the FDA's manufacturing quality standards), lengthy and costly clinical trials proving safety and efficacy, which are generally required by the FDA for innovator drug approvals, are unnecessary for generic companies. If the results are successful, the Company will continue the collection of documentation and information for assembly of the drug

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

application.

- 4.) Submission of the ANDA for FDA review and approval: The Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) process became formalized under The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, also known as the Hatch-Waxman Act. An ANDA represents a generic drug company's application to the FDA to manufacture and/or distribute a drug, which is the generic equivalent to an already-approved brand named ("innovator") drug. Once bioequivalence studies are complete, the generic drug company submits an ANDA to the FDA for marketing approval.

3

In a presentation to the Generic Pharmaceutical Association on March 2, 2004, Gary J. Buehler, R.Ph., and Director of the FDA's Office of Generic Drugs, said that the median approval time for a new ANDA for the FDA's Fiscal 2003 year was 17.3 months. When including the amount of time necessary to develop the generic drug, and prepare the ANDA submission, the Company estimates that the total development and approval time of a generic drug may take three years or more. Additionally, there is no guarantee that the FDA will approve a company's ANDA.

When a generic drug company files an ANDA to the FDA, it must certify that no patents are listed in the Orange Book, the FDA's reference listing of approved drugs, or listed patents have expired. If there are patents covering some aspect of the innovator drug, the applicant must state whether it is seeking approval for marketing after the expiration of the Orange Book patents; or the patents listed therein are invalid, unenforceable, or not infringed -- usually referred to as a Paragraph IV Certification. ANDA's containing Paragraph IV certifications frequently result in legal actions by the innovator drug companies. These legal activities may delay the approval of the generic company's ANDA. Currently, Lannett has not filed any Paragraph IV certifications in its ANDAs because the ANDAs submitted did not contend with any patents for the applicable innovator drugs.

Lannett conducts R&D activities in carefully targeted areas where its qualified research personnel have accumulated a related body of expertise. Such targeted areas include solid oral dosage forms. During Fiscal 2003 and 2002, the Company has hired additional experienced personnel in product development, production, formulation and the R&D laboratory. Lannett believes that its ability to select appropriate products for development, develop such products on a timely basis, obtain FDA approval, and achieve economies in production will be critical for its success in the generic industry. Generally, Lannett believes in avoiding the well-known billion dollar drugs. The strategy involves a combination of decisions focusing on long-term profitability and a secure market position with fewer challenges from competitors. In addition to its market strategy, the Company pursues long-term alliances with API (active pharmaceutical ingredient) suppliers, whereby the Company attempts to arrange to have an API made for it on an exclusive basis. This practice has the effect of limiting competition without violating any federal antitrust laws. Other API manufacturers may produce the chemical ingredient for other generic competitors, but the Company believes that entering into exclusive arrangements when possible will prevent a specific API manufacturer from soliciting additional customers for their API, thereby reducing the number of generic competitors for the finished dosage product. At this time, Lannett has no exclusive agreements for APIs for its current commercial products. Lannett does have exclusive agreements for APIs for two of its developmental products, which the Company does not believe to be material in nature.

Competition in generic pharmaceutical manufacturing will continue to grow

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

as more pharmaceutical products lose patent protection. However, the Company believes with strong technical know-how, low overhead expenses, and efficient product development, manufacturing and marketing, it can remain competitive. It is the intention of the Company to reinvest as much capital as possible to develop new products since the success of any generic pharmaceutical manufacturer depends on its ability to continually introduce new generic products to the market. Over time, if a generic drug market for a specific product remains stable and consumer demand remains consistent, there is likelihood that additional generic manufacturing companies will pursue a generic product market by developing the generic drug, submitting an ANDA, and potentially receiving marketing approval from the FDA. If this occurs, the generic competition for

4

the drug increases, and a company's market share may drop. In addition to reduced unit sales, the unit selling price may also drop due to the product's availability from additional suppliers. This may have the effect of reducing a generic company's future net sales of the product. Due to these factors that may potentially affect a generic company's future results of operations, the ability to properly assess the competitive effect of new products, including market share, the number of competitors and the generic unit price erosion, is critical to a generic company's R&D plan. A generic company may be able to reduce the potential exposure to competitive influences that negatively affect its sales and profits by having several drug candidates in its R&D pipeline queue. As such, a generic company may be able to avoid becoming materially dependent on the sales of one drug. The Company has invested approximately \$2.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, in Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002, or 12% and 14%, respectively, of its Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002 pre-tax income, exclusive of R&D expenses, in R&D resources related to several R&D projects. These costs are expensed in the period incurred. During Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002, no individual R&D project incurred costs in excess of materially significant amounts. Additionally, no individual R&D product candidate is expected to be materially significant to the Company's results of operations. For more information regarding Lannett's R&D projects, please see the section entitled 'PRODUCTS' of Item 1 in this Form 10-KSB.

Unlike the branded, drug-discovery companies, Lannett currently does not own proprietary drug patents. However, the typical intellectual property in the generic drug industry are the ANDAs that generic drug companies own.

VALIDATED PHARMACEUTICAL CAPABILITIES

Lannett's quality manufacturing facility consists of 31,000 square feet on 3.5 acres owned by the Company. In July 2003, the Company signed a lease purchase agreement for a 63,000 square feet building located at 9001 Torresdale Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The renovation of the building has been initiated; and the Company expects to begin to move some of its staff and operations into that building in Fiscal 2004. Lannett currently leases another 24,000 square feet approximately 2 miles from the Company's headquarters (9000 State Road). This leased facility serves as the Company's main warehousing operation, and also houses certain R&D personnel. This facility's lease expires in April 2004, at around which time the Company plans to move its operations to the Torresdale Avenue building. The Company intends to renew its lease on a short-term basis on the rented property after April 2004.

Many FDA regulations relating to cGMP (current Good Manufacturing Practices) have been adopted by the Company in the last several years. In designing its laboratory, full attention was given to material flow, equipment and automation, quality control and inspection. A granulator, an automatic film coating machine, high-speed tablet presses, blenders, encapsulators, fluid bed

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

dryers, high shear mixers and high-speed bottle filling are a few examples of the sophisticated product development, manufacturing and packaging equipment the Company uses. In addition, the Company's Quality Control laboratory facilities are equipped with high precision instruments, like automated high-pressure liquid chromatographs, gas chromatographs and laser particle sizers.

Lannett continues to pursue its comprehensive plan for improving and maintaining adequate quality control and quality assurance programs for its pharmaceutical development and manufacturing facilities. The FDA periodically inspects the Company's production facilities to determine the Company's compliance with the FDA's manufacturing standards. Typically, after the FDA completes its inspection, it will issue the Company a report, entitled a Form 483,

5

containing the FDA's observations of possible violations of cGMP. Such observations may be minor or severe in nature. The degree of severity of the observation is generally determined by the time necessary to remediate the cGMP violation, and not have a serious impact upon the consumer of the Company's drug products, and whether the observation is subject to a Warning Letter from the FDA and/or attempts by the FDA to shutdown a manufacturing plant. By strictly enforcing the various FDA guidelines, namely Good Laboratory Practices, Standard Operating Procedures and current Good Manufacturing Practices, the Company has successfully reduced the number of observations in its latest FDA inspection. The Company believes that such observations are minor in nature, and will be remediated in a timely fashion with no material effect on Lannett's results of operations.

SALES AND CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIPS

The Company sells its pharmaceutical products to generic pharmaceutical distributors, drug wholesalers, chain drug retailers, prime vendors, private label distributors, mail-order pharmacies, other pharmaceutical manufacturers, managed care, hospital buying groups and health maintenance organizations. It promotes its products through direct sales, the Internet, trade shows, trade publications, and bids. The Company also licenses the marketing of its products to other manufacturers and/or marketers in private label agreements.

In Fiscal 2003 and 2002, the Company's record sales levels can be attributed to growth in most market segments. The Company continued to expand its sales to the major chain drug stores, including CVS, Eckerd, Rite Aid and Walgreen's. The mail order segment continued to be one of the fastest growing classes of the Company's distribution efforts. Such companies, as Medco Health, Express Scripts, Caremark and AdvancePCS were leaders in the Company's sales growth. Lannett also increased its sales in the wholesaler segment led by AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal Health and McKesson Corporation. Lannett is recognized by its customers as a dependable supplier of high quality generic pharmaceuticals. The Company's policy of maintaining an adequate inventory and fulfilling orders in a timely manner has contributed to this reputation. The Company believes that retail-level consumer demand dictates the total volume of sales for various products. In the event that the Company's wholesale and retail customers adjust their purchasing volumes, the Company believes that consumer demand will be fulfilled by other wholesale or retail sources of supply. As such, Lannett attempts to obtain strong relationships with most of the major retail chains, wholesale distributors and mail-order wholesalers in order to facilitate the supply of the Company's products through whatever channel the consumer prefers. Although the Company has agreements with several customers governing the transaction terms of its sales, there are no agreements with customers which would require them to purchase any of the Company's products in the future.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

MANAGEMENT

As the Company continues to grow, additional managers will be hired to complement the Company's skilled team. These new managers will serve in a variety of functions, including Research, Sales, Finance, Quality Control, Quality Assurance, Regulatory Compliance and Production. Ultimately, the execution of a sound business strategy requires a capable and knowledgeable management team.

6

PRODUCTS

As of the date of this filing, the Company manufactured and/or distributed twenty-three products:

NAME OF PRODUCT	MANUFACTURE SOURCE	MEDICAL INDICATION
1.) Butalbital, Aspirin and Caffeine Capsules	Lannett	Migraine Headache
2.) Butalbital, Aspirin, Caffeine with Codeine Capsules	JSP	Migraine Headache
3.) Digoxin 0.125 mg Tablets	JSP	Heart Failure
4.) Digoxin 0.25 mg Tablets	JSP	Heart Failure
5.) Primidone 50 mg Tablets	Lannett	Epilepsy
6.) Primidone 250 mg Tablets	Lannett	Epilepsy
7.) Dicyclomine 10 mg Capsules	Lannett	Irritable Bowels
8.) Dicyclomine 20 mg Tablets	Lannett	Irritable Bowels
9.) Acetazolamide 250 mg Tablets	Lannett	Glaucoma
10.) Prednisolone 5 mg Tablets	Lannett	Corticosteroid
11.) Diphenoxylate with Atropine Sulfate Tablets	Lannett	Diarrhea
12.) Isoniazid 300 mg Tablets	Lannett	Tuberculosis
13.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.025 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
14.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.050 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
15.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.075 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
16.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.088 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
17.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.100 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
18.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.112 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
19.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.125 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
20.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.150 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
21.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.175 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
22.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.200 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency
23.) Levothyroxine Sodium 0.300 mg Tablets	JSP	Thyroid Deficiency

All of the products currently manufactured and/or sold by the Company are ethical, or prescription products. Of the products listed above, those containing butalbital, digoxin, primidone and levothyroxine sodium were the Company's key products, contributing to more than 95% of the Company's total net sales in Fiscal 2003.

The Company has two products containing butalbital. One of the products, Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine capsules has been manufactured and sold by Lannett for more than five years. The other butalbital product, Butalbital with Aspirin, Caffeine and Codeine Phosphate capsules is manufactured by JSP. Lannett began buying this product from JSP and selling it to its customers in December

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

2001. Both products, which are in orally-administered capsule dosage forms, are prescribed to treat tension headaches caused by contractions of the muscles in the neck and shoulder area and migraine. The drug is prescribed primarily for adults of various backgrounds. Migraine headache is an increasingly prevalent condition in the United States. As such conditions continue to grow, the demand for effective medical treatments will continue to grow. Common side effects of drugs which contain butalbital include dizziness and drowsiness. The Company notes that although new innovator drugs to treat migraine headache have been introduced by brand name drug companies, there is still a loyal following of doctors and consumers who prefer to use butalbital products for treatment. As the brand name companies continue to promote products containing butalbital, like Fiorinal(R), the Company expects to continue to produce and sell its generic butalbital products.

7

The Company has two products containing digoxin. These products are manufactured by JSP. Lannett began buying this product from JSP, and selling it to its customers in September 2002. Digoxin tablets are used to treat congestive heart failure in patients of various ages and demographic backgrounds. The beneficial effects of digoxin result from direct actions on cardiac muscle, as well as indirect actions on the cardiovascular system mediated by effects on the autonomic nervous system. Side effects of digoxin may include apathy, blurred vision, change in heartbeat, confusion, dizziness, headache, loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting and weakness.

The Company has two products containing primidone. These products were developed and manufactured by Lannett. Lannett has been manufacturing and selling primidone 250 milligram tablets for more than five years. Lannett began selling primidone 50 milligram tablets in June 2001. Both products, which are in orally-administered tablet dosage forms, are prescribed to treat convulsion and seizures in epileptic patients of all ages and backgrounds. Common side effects of primidone include lack of muscle coordination, vertigo and severe dizziness.

The Company has eleven products containing levothyroxine sodium. The levothyroxine sodium products are manufactured by JSP. Lannett began buying this product from JSP, and selling it to its customers in April 2003. Levothyroxine Sodium Tablets are used to treat hypothyroidism and other thyroid disorders. It is currently one of the most prescribed drugs in the United States with over 13 million patients of various ages and demographic backgrounds. Side effects from levothyroxine sodium are rare, but may include allergic reactions, such as rash or hives. With its distribution of this product, Lannett competes in a market which is currently controlled by two branded Levothyroxine Sodium tablet products--Abbott Laboratories' Synthroid(R) and Monarch Pharmaceutical's Levoxyl(R). JSP's Levothyroxine Sodium product, which JSP registered under the brand name Unithroid(R) was the first FDA approved (August 2000) Levothyroxine Sodium Tablet formulation. Both Synthroid(R) and Levoxyl(R) were approved by the FDA in the following years. Currently, Synthroid(R) and Levoxyl(R) control the majority of the market. However, JSP has applied to the FDA, through supplements to its NDA, to approve its product's bioequivalence to both Synthroid(R) and Levoxyl(R). If the FDA approves JSP's supplemental applications, Lannett expects the sales of its marketed Levothyroxine product to increase, relative to the market size of the two dominant brands.

Additional products are currently under development. These products are all orally-administered, solid-dosage (i.e. tablet/capsule) products designed to be generic equivalents to brand named innovator drugs. The Company's developmental drug products are intended to treat a diverse range of indications. One of these developmental products, an orally-administered obesity product, represents a generic ANDA currently owned by the Company, but not currently manufactured and distributed for commercial consumption. As one of the

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

oldest generic drug manufacturers in the country, formed in 1942, Lannett currently owns several ANDAs for products which it does not manufacture and market. These ANDAs are simply dormant on the Company's records. Occasionally, the Company reviews such ANDAs to determine if the market potential for any of these older drugs has recently changed, so as to make it attractive for Lannett to reconsider manufacturing and selling it. If the Company makes the determination to introduce one of these products into the consumer marketplace, it must review the ANDA and related documentation to ensure that the approved product specifications, formulation and other features are feasible in the Company's current environment. Generally, in these situations, the Company must file a supplement to the FDA for the applicable ANDA, informing the FDA of any significant changes in the manufacturing process, the formulation, the raw material supplier or another major feature of the previously-approved ANDA. The Company would then redevelop the product and submit it to the FDA for supplemental approval. The FDA's approval process for ANDA supplements is similar to that of a new ANDA.

8

Another developmental product, also an orally-administered obesity product, is a new ANDA submitted to the FDA in July 2003 for approval. In a presentation to the Generic Pharmaceutical Association on March 2, 2004, Gary J. Buehler, R.Ph., and Director of the FDA's Office of Generic Drugs, said that the median approval time for a new ANDA for the FDA's Fiscal 2003 year was 17.3 months. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin commercially producing and shipping this product.

The remainder of the products in development represent either previously approved ANDAs that the Company is planning to reintroduce (ANDA supplements), or new formulations (new ANDAs). The products under development are at various stages in the development cycle--formulation, scale-up, and/or clinical testing. Depending on the complexity of the active ingredient's chemical characteristics, the cost of the raw material, the FDA-mandated requirement of bioequivalence studies, the cost of such studies and other developmental factors, the cost to develop a new generic product varies. It can range from \$100,000 to \$1 million. Some of Lannett's developmental products will require bioequivalence studies, while others will not--depending on the FDA's Orange Book classification. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin producing and shipping additional products.

In addition to the efforts of its internal product development group, Lannett has contracted with two outside firms (Pharmatek Laboratories Inc. in California and The PharmaNetwork LLC in New Jersey) for the formulation and development of several new generic drug products. These outsourced R&D products are at various stages in the development cycle--formulation, analytical method development and testing and manufacturing scale-up. These products are orally-administered solid dosage products intended to treat a diverse range of medical indications. It is the Company's intention to ultimately transfer the formulation technology and manufacturing process for all of these R&D products to the Company's own commercial manufacturing sites. The Company initiated these outsourced R&D efforts to compliment the progress of its own internal R&D efforts.

The Company is also developing a drug product that does not require FDA approval. The FDA allows generic manufacturers to manufacture and sell products which are equivalent to innovator drugs which are grand-fathered, under FDA rules, prior to the passage of the Hatch-Waxman Act of 1984. The FDA allows generic manufacturers to produce and sell generic versions of such grand-fathered products by simply performing and internally documenting the

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

product's stability over a period of time. Under this scenario, a generic company can forego the time and costs related to a FDA-mandated ANDA approval process. The Company currently has one product under development in this category. The developmental drug is an orally-administered, prescription solid dosage product.

The Company has also contracted with Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., based in California, to market generic products developed and manufactured by Spectrum and/or its partners. The first applicable product under this agreement is ciprofloxacin tablets, the generic version of Cipro(R), an anti-bacterial drug, marketed by Bayer Corporation, prescribed to treat infections. The Company has also initiated discussions with other firms for similar new product initiatives, in which Lannett will market and distribute products manufactured by third parties.

9

Lannett intends to use its strong customer relationships to build its market share for such products, and increase future revenues and income.

The majority of the Company's R&D projects are being developed in-house under Lannett's direct supervision and with Company personnel. Hence, the Company does not believe that its outside contracts for product development, including those for Pharmatek Laboratories Inc. and The PharmaNetwork LLC, or manufacturing supply, including Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., are material in nature, nor is the Company substantially dependent on the services rendered by such outside firms. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin producing and shipping such additional products.

The following table summarizes key information related to the Company's R&D products. The column headings are defined as follows:

- 1.) Stage of R&D - Defines the current stage of the R&D product in the development process, as of the date of this filing.
- 2.) Regulatory Requirement - Defines whether the R&D product is or is expected to be a new ANDA submission, an ANDA supplement, or a grand-fathered product not requiring specific FDA approval.
- 3.) Number of Products - Defines the number of products in R&D at the stage noted. In this context, a product means any finished dosage form, including all potencies, containing the same API or combination of APIs and which represents a generic version of the same Reference Listed Drug (RLD) or innovator drug, identified in the FDA's Orange Book.

STAGE OF R&D	REGULATORY REQUIREMENT	NUMBER OF PRODUCTS
FDA Review	ANDA	7
FDA Review	ANDA supplement	1
Clinical Testing	ANDA	4
Scale-Up	Grand-fathered	1
Scale-Up	ANDA supplement	5
Scale-Up	ANDA	2
Formulation/Method Development	ANDA	9

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

RAW MATERIAL AND INVENTORY SUPPLIERS

The raw materials used by the Company in the production process consist of pharmaceutical chemicals in various forms, which are generally available from various sources. FDA approval is required in connection with the process of using active ingredient suppliers. In addition to the raw materials purchased for the production process, the Company purchases certain finished dosage inventories, including capsule and tablet products. The Company then sells these finished dosage products directly to its customers along with the finished dosage products internally manufactured.

Currently, the only finished product inventory supplier of the Company is Jerome Stevens Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (JSP), in Bohemia, New York. Purchases of finished goods inventory from JSP accounted for approximately 62% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2003. During Fiscal 2003, the Company did not have a supply agreement with JSP.

Another supplier, Siegfried (USA) Inc., which supplies primidone and butalbital, the raw materials in the Company's commercial products containing the same ingredient name, to the Company, accounted for 12% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2003. Purchases of

10

finished goods inventory from JSP accounted for approximately 26% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2002. Siegfried (USA) Inc. supplied 30% of the Company's inventory purchases in Fiscal 2002. Generally, the raw materials purchased from suppliers are available from a number of vendors. The finished products purchased from JSP may not be available from other sources due to the limited number of FDA approvals of competitive products. If suppliers of a certain material or finished product are limited, the Company will generally take certain precautionary steps to avoid a disruption in supply. This includes building a satisfactory inventory level, and obtaining contractual supply commitments. The Company currently has an agreement with Siegfried (USA) Inc. for the supply of primidone. The agreement is a standard supply agreement evidencing the terms of the supply of material. There are no guaranteed purchase volume commitments; however the agreement does require Lannett to purchase 100% of its primidone raw material requirements from Siegfried. The price of the material may vary depending on the quantity of material purchased during the term of the agreement. The term of the agreement is October 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003. At the expiration of the term, the Company expects to renew the supply agreement for an additional period under terms similar to the old agreement. In the interim, Siegfried (USA) Inc. is continuing to supply raw materials to the Company under terms similar to the old agreement. The agreement is included in the Exhibits of this Form 10-KSB.

11

CUSTOMERS AND MARKETING

The Company sells its products primarily to wholesale distributors, generic drug distributors, mail-order pharmacies, drug chains, and other pharmaceutical companies. Sales of the Company's pharmaceutical products are made on an individual order basis. One customer, Cardinal Health, one of the largest wholesale distributors in the country, accounted for approximately 13% of net sales in Fiscal 2003. Another customer, Qualitest Pharmaceuticals, a large private-label wholesale distributor, accounted for approximately 12% and 22% of net sales in Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002, respectively. Another customer, United Research Laboratories, a large private-label wholesale distributor, accounted for 19% of net sales in Fiscal 2002. The Company performs ongoing

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition, and has experienced no significant collection problems to date. Generally, the Company requires no collateral from its customers. As previously noted, a significant portion of Lannett's sales were to wholesale customers, such as Cardinal Health. Sales to these wholesale customers include "indirect sales," which represent sales to third-party entities, such as independent pharmacies, managed care organizations, hospitals, nursing homes and group purchasing organizations, collectively referred to as "indirect customers." Lannett enters into agreements with its indirect customers to establish pricing for certain products. The indirect customers then independently select a wholesaler from which to actually purchase the products at these agreed-upon prices. Lannett will provide credit to the wholesaler for the difference between the agreed-upon price with the indirect customer and the wholesaler's invoice price. This credit is called a chargeback. For more information on chargebacks, see the section entitled "Chargebacks" in Item 6, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Significant Accounting Policies" of this Form 10-KSB. These indirect sale transactions are recorded on Lannett's books as sales to the wholesale customers. This has the effect of over-emphasizing the sales volume attributable to such wholesalers because it includes such "indirect sales." The Company believes that retail-level consumer demand dictates the total volume of sales for various products. In the event that the Company's wholesale and retail customers adjust their purchasing volumes, the Company believes that consumer demand will be fulfilled by other wholesale or retail sources of supply. As such, Lannett attempts to obtain strong relationships with most of the major retail chains, wholesale distributors and mail-order wholesalers in order to facilitate the supply of the Company's products through whatever channel the consumer prefers. Although the Company has agreements with several customers governing the transaction terms of its sales, there are no long-term supply agreements with customers which would require them to purchase the Company's products.

The Company promotes its products through direct sales, the Internet, trade shows, trade publications, and bids. The Company also markets its products through private label arrangements, whereby Lannett produces its products with a label containing the name and logo of a customer. This practice is commonly referred to as private label business. It allows the Company to expand on its own internal sales efforts by using the marketing services from other well-respected pharmaceutical dosage suppliers. The focus of the Company's sales efforts are the relationships it creates with its customer accounts. Strong customer relationships have created a positive platform for Lannett to increase its sales volumes. Advertising in the generic pharmaceutical industry is generally limited to trade publications, read by retail pharmacists, wholesale purchasing agents and other pharmaceutical decision-makers. Historically and in Fiscal 2003 and 2002, the Company's advertising expenses were immaterial. When the customer and the Company's sales representatives make contact, the Company will generally offer to supply the customer its products at fixed prices. If accepted, the customer's purchasing department will coordinate the purchase, receipt and distribution of the products throughout its distribution centers and retail outlets. Once a customer accepts the Company's supply of product, the customer generally expects a high standard of service. This service standard includes shipping product in a timely manner on receipt of customer purchase orders, maintaining convenient and effective customer service functions and retaining a mutually-beneficial dialogue of communication. The Company believes that although the generic pharmaceutical industry is a commodity industry, where price is the primary factor for sales success, these additional service standards are equally important to the customers that rely on a consistent source of supply.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

The manufacture and distribution of generic pharmaceutical products is a highly competitive industry. Competition is based primarily on price, service and quality. The Company competes primarily on this basis, as well as by flexibility (reacting to customer needs quickly and decisively--for example shipping product via overnight delivery when the customer is in critical need of inventory), availability of inventory, and by the fact that the Company's products are available only from a limited number of suppliers. The modernization of its facilities, hiring of experienced staff, and implementation of inventory and quality control programs have improved the Company's competitive position over the past five years.

The Company competes with other manufacturers and marketers of generic drugs. Each product manufactured and/or sold by Lannett has a different set of competitors. The list below identifies the companies in which Lannett primarily competes for each of its major products.

Product	Primary Competitors
Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine, with and without codeine phosphate capsules	Watson Pharmaceuticals Inc., Anabolic Laboratories (marketed by Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc.)
Digoxin tablets	GlaxoSmithKline, Amide Pharmaceutical, Inc. (marketed by Bertek Pharmaceuticals Inc.), Caraco Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Primidone tablets	Watson Pharmaceuticals Inc.
Levothyroxine Sodium tablets	Abbott Laboratories, Monarch Pharmaceutical

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

Pharmaceutical manufacturers are subject to extensive regulation by the federal government, principally by the FDA and the Drug Enforcement Agency ("DEA"), and, to a lesser extent, by other federal regulatory bodies and state governments. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the Controlled Substance Act and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the testing, manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record keeping, approval, pricing, advertising and promotion of the Company's generic drug products. Noncompliance with applicable regulations can result in fines, recall and seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, personal and/or corporate prosecution and debarment, and refusal of the government to approve new drug applications. The FDA also has the authority to revoke previously approved drug products.

Generally, FDA approval is required before a prescription drug can be marketed. The approval procedures are quite extensive. A new drug is one not generally recognized by qualified experts as safe and effective for its intended use. New drugs are typically developed and submitted to the FDA by companies expecting to brand the product, and sell it as a new medical treatment. The FDA review process for new drugs is very extensive; and it requires a substantial investment to research and test the drug candidate. However, less burdensome approval procedures may be used for generic equivalents. Typically, the investment required to develop a generic drug is less costly than the brand innovator drug. There are currently three ways to obtain FDA approval of a drug:

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS ("NDA"): Unless one of the two procedures discussed in the following paragraphs is available, a manufacturer must conduct and submit to the FDA complete clinical studies to establish a drug's safety and efficacy.

ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS ("ANDA"): An ANDA is similar to an NDA, except that the FDA waives the requirement of complete clinical studies of safety and efficacy, although it may require bioavailability and bioequivalence studies. The FDA has recently stated that the average review and approval time for a new ANDA is approximately 18 months. "Bioavailability" indicates the rate of absorption and levels of concentration of a drug in the bloodstream needed to produce a therapeutic effect. "Bioequivalence" compares one drug product with another, and indicates if the rate of absorption and the levels of concentration of a generic drug in the body are within prescribed statistical limits to those of a previously approved drug. Under the Drug Price Act, an ANDA may be submitted for a drug on the basis that it is the equivalent of an approved drug, regardless of when such other drug was approved. The Drug Price Act, in addition to establishing a new ANDA procedure, created statutory protections for approved brand name drugs. Under the Drug Price Act, an ANDA for a generic drug may not be made effective until all relevant product and use patents for the brand name drug have expired or have been determined to be invalid. Prior to enactment of the Drug Price Act, the FDA gave no consideration to the patent status of a previously approved drug. Additionally, the Drug Price Act extends for up to five years the term of a product or use patent covering a drug to compensate the patent holder for the reduction of the effective market life of a patent due to federal regulatory review. With respect to certain drugs not covered by patents, the Drug Price Act sets specified time periods of two to ten years during which ANDAs for generic drugs cannot become effective or, under certain circumstances, cannot be filed if the brand name drug was approved after December 31, 1981. Lannett, like most other generic drug companies, uses the ANDA process for the submission of their developmental generic drug candidates.

PAPER NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS ("PAPER NDA"): For a drug that is identical to a drug first approved after 1962, a prospective manufacturer need not go through the full NDA procedure. Instead, it may demonstrate safety and efficacy by relying on published literature and reports. The manufacturer must also submit, if the FDA so requires, bioavailability or bioequivalence data illustrating that the generic drug formulation produces the same effects, within an acceptable range, as the previously approved innovator drug. Because published literature to support the safety and efficacy of post-1962 drugs may not be available, this procedure is of limited utility to generic drug manufacturers. Moreover, the utility of Paper NDAs has been further diminished by the recently broadened availability of the ANDA process, as described above.

Among the requirements for new drug approval is the requirement that the prospective manufacturer's methods conform to the FDA's current good manufacturing practices ("CGMP Regulations"). The CGMP Regulations must be followed at all times during which the approved drug is manufactured. In complying with the standards set forth in the CGMP Regulations, the Company must continue to expend time, money and effort in the areas of production and quality control to ensure full technical compliance. Failure to comply with the CGMP Regulations risks possible FDA action such as the seizure of noncomplying drug products or, through the Department of Justice, enjoining the manufacture of such products.

The Company is also subject to federal, state and local laws of general applicability, such as laws regulating working conditions, and the storage, transportation or discharge of items that may be considered hazardous substances, hazardous waste or environmental contaminants. The Company monitors its compliance with all environmental laws. Compliance costs are charged against

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

operations when incurred. The Company incurred no monitoring costs during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

During Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002, the Company incurred research and development costs of approximately \$2,575,000 and \$1,749,000, respectively.

EMPLOYEES

The Company currently has 160 employees, of which 158 are full-time.

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT REPORTS

The Company maintains an Internet website at the following address: www.lannett.com. The Company makes available on or through its Internet website certain reports and amendments to those reports that are filed with the SEC in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These include annual reports on Form 10-KSB, quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB and current reports on Form 8-K. This information is available on the Company's website free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files the information with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. The contents of the Company's website are not incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB and shall not be deemed "filed" under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Company's headquarters, administrative offices, quality control laboratory, and manufacturing and production facilities, consisting of approximately 31,000 square feet, are located at 9000 State Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

In December 1997, the Company entered into a three-year and three-month lease for a 23,500 square foot facility located at 500 State Road, Bensalem Bucks County, Pennsylvania. This facility houses laboratory research, warehousing and distribution operations. The leased facility is located approximately 1.5 miles from the Company headquarters in Philadelphia. In January 2001, the Company extended this lease through April 30, 2004. The Company does not expect to extend the term on this lease beyond April 30, 2004.

On July 1, 2003, the Company entered into a lease for a 62,000 square foot facility at 9001 Torresdale Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, approximately 1 mile from the Company's headquarters. The lease expires on November 30, 2003; and the Company has the contractual right and option to purchase the facility at any time during the lease term. The Company currently expects to exercise this purchase option prior to the lease termination date of November 30, 2003. Prior to the expiration of the lease term at 500 State Road, the Company is planning to move all operations currently performed at 500 State Road to 9001 Torresdale Avenue. In addition to the laboratory research, warehousing and distribution operations currently performed at 500 State Road, other operational functions may be moved from the Company headquarters to 9001 Torresdale Avenue. This move will occur gradually, and will allow the Company to maximize its FDA approved production facility at 9000 State Road for production output.

15

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS

The Company is engaged in an industry which is subject to considerable

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

government regulation relating to the development, manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products. Accordingly, incidental to its business, the Company periodically responds to inquiries or engages in administrative and judicial proceedings involving regulatory authorities, particularly the FDA and the DEA.

EMPLOYEE CLAIMS

A claim of retaliatory discrimination has been filed by a former employee with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC") and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). The Company was notified of the complaint in March 1997. The Company has denied liability in this matter. The PHRC has made a determination that the complaint against the Company should be dismissed because the facts do not establish probable cause of the allegations of discrimination. The matter is still pending before the EEOC. At this time, management is unable to estimate a range of loss, if any, related to this action. Management believes that the outcome of this claim will not have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

A claim of discrimination has been filed against the Company with the EEOC and the PHRC. The Company was notified of the complaint in June 2001. The Company has filed an answer with the EEOC denying the allegations. The EEOC has made a determination that the complaint against the Company should be dismissed because the facts do not establish probable cause of the allegations of discrimination. The matter is still pending before the PHRC. At this time, management is unable to estimate a range of loss, if any, related to this action. Management believes that the outcome of this claim will not have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

A claim of discrimination has been filed against the Company with the PHRC and the EEOC. The Company was notified of the complaint in July 2001. The Company has filed an answer with the PHRC denying the allegations. The PHRC has made a determination that the complaint against the Company should be dismissed because the facts do not establish probable cause of the allegations of discrimination. The matter is still pending before the EEOC. At this time, management is unable to estimate a range of loss, if any, related to this action. Management believes that the outcome of this claim will not have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

DES CASES

The Company is currently engaged in several civil actions as a co-defendant with many other manufacturers of Diethylstilbestrol ("DES"), a synthetic hormone. Prior litigation established that the Company's pro rata share of any liability is less than one-tenth of one percent. The Company was represented in many of these actions by the insurance company with which the Company maintained coverage during the time period that damages were alleged to have occurred. The insurance company denies coverage for actions alleging involvement of the Company filed after January 1, 1992. With respect to these actions, the Company paid nominal damages or stipulated to its pro rata share of any liability. The Company has either settled or had dismissed approximately 250 claims. An additional 283 claims are currently being defended. At this time, management is unable to estimate a range of loss, if any, related to these actions. Prior settlements had been in the \$500 to \$3,500 range. Management believes that the outcome of these cases will not have a material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters have been submitted to a vote of the Company's security holders

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

during the quarter ended June 30, 2003.

16

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

MARKET INFORMATION

On April 15, 2002, the Company's common stock began trading on the American Stock Exchange. Prior to this, the Company's common stock traded in the over-the-counter market through the use of the inter-dealer "pink-sheets" published by Pink Sheets LLC. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the high and low daily closing prices of the Company's common stock during Fiscal 2003 and 2002, as quoted by the American Stock Exchange (on and after April 15, 2002) and Pink Sheets LLC (prior to April 15, 2002). Such quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions. All share and per share amounts on this Annual Report and Form 10-KSB have been adjusted to reflect a three-for-two stock split, which was effective on February 14, 2003.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

	HIGH	LOW
	-----	-----
First quarter	\$ 7.41	\$ 4.63
Second quarter	\$ 13.97	\$ 5.67
Third quarter	\$ 15.52	\$ 11.05
Fourth quarter	\$ 23.44	\$ 11.36

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

	HIGH	LOW
	-----	-----
First quarter	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.69
Second quarter	\$ 2.69	\$ 1.13
Third quarter	\$ 3.77	\$ 2.13
Fourth quarter	\$ 8.00	\$ 3.50

HOLDERS

As of August 26, 2003, there were approximately 302 holders of record of the Company's common stock.

DIVIDENDS

The Company did not pay cash dividends in Fiscal 2003 or 2002. The Company intends to use available funds for working capital, plant and equipment additions, and various product extension ventures. It does not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

17

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table summarizes the equity compensation plans as of June 30, 2003.

Plan Category -----	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) ---	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) ---
Equity Compensation plans approved by security holders	411,939	\$7.48
Equity Compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-
Total	411,939	\$7.48

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL
CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

In addition to historical information, this Form 10-KSB contains forward-looking information. The forward-looking information is subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the following section entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of this Form 10-KSB. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances, which arise later. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described in other documents the Company files from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Quarterly reports on Form 10-Q to be filed by the Company in Fiscal 2004, and any Current Reports on Form 8-K filed by the Company. All share and per share amounts on this Annual Report and Form 10-KSB have been adjusted to reflect a three-for-two stock split, which was effective on February 14, 2003.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that our critical accounting policies include those described below. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company recognizes revenue when its products are shipped. At this point, title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer, and provisions for estimates, including rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments are reasonably determinable. Accruals for these provisions are presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements as reductions to net sales and accounts receivable. Accounts receivable are presented net of allowances relating to these provisions, which were approximately \$2,772,000 and \$630,000 at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively. The change in the reserves for various sales adjustments was not proportionally equal to the change in sales from Fiscal 2002 to Fiscal 2003 because of changes in the product mix and the customer mix. Provisions for rebates, promotional and other credits are estimated based on historical payment experience, estimated customer inventory levels and contract terms. Provisions for other customer credits, such as price adjustments, returns and chargebacks require management to make subjective judgments. Unlike branded innovator companies, Lannett does not use information about product levels in distribution channels from third-party sources, such as IMS Health and NDC Health in estimating future returns and other credits. These provisions are discussed in more detail below and in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

CHARGEBACKS - The provision for chargebacks is the most significant and complex estimate used in the recognition of revenue. The Company sells its products directly to wholesale distributors, generic distributors, retail pharmacy chains and mail-order pharmacies. The Company also sells its products indirectly to independent pharmacies, managed care organizations, hospitals, nursing homes and group purchasing organizations, collectively referred to as "indirect customers." Lannett enters into agreements with its indirect customers to establish pricing for certain products. The indirect customers then independently select a wholesaler from which to actually purchase the products at these agreed-upon prices. Lannett will provide credit to the wholesaler for the difference between the agreed-upon price with the indirect customer and the wholesaler's invoice price. This credit is called a chargeback. The provision for chargebacks is based on expected sell-through levels by the Company's wholesale customers to the indirect customers, and estimated wholesaler inventory levels. As sales to the large wholesale customers, such as Cardinal Health, AmerisourceBergen and McKesson Corporation, increase, the reserve for chargebacks will also generally increase. However, the size of the increase depends on the product mix. The Company continually monitors the reserve for chargebacks and makes adjustments when it believes that actual chargebacks may differ from estimated reserves.

REBATES - Rebates are offered to the Company's key customers to promote customer loyalty and encourage greater product sales. These rebate programs provide customers with rebate credits upon attainment of pre-established volumes or attainment of net sales milestones for a specified period. Other promotional programs are incentive programs offered to the customers.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

At the time of shipment, the Company estimates reserves for rebates and other promotional credit programs based on the specific terms in each agreement. The reserve for rebates increases as sales to certain wholesale and retail customers increase. However, these rebate programs are tailored to the customers' individual programs. Hence, the reserve will depend on the mix of customers that comprise such rebate programs.

RETURNS - Consistent with industry practice, the Company has a product returns policy that allows select customers to return product within a specified period prior to and subsequent to the product's lot expiration date, in exchange for a credit to be applied to future purchases. The Company's policy requires that the customer obtain pre-approval from the Company for any qualifying return. The Company estimates its provision for returns based on historical experience, changes to business practices and credit terms. While such experience has allowed for reasonable estimations in the past, history may not always be an accurate indicator of future returns. The Company continually monitors the provisions for returns, and makes adjustments when it believes that actual product returns may differ from established reserves. Generally, the reserve for returns increases as net sales increase.

PRICE ADJUSTMENTS - Price adjustments, also known as "shelf stock adjustments," are credits issued to reflect decreases in the selling prices of the Company's products that customers have remaining in their inventories at the time of the price reduction. Decreases in selling prices are discretionary decisions made by management to reflect competitive market conditions. Amounts recorded for estimated shelf stock adjustments are based upon specified terms with direct customers, estimated declines in market prices and estimates of inventory held by customers. The Company regularly monitors these and other factors and evaluates the reserve as additional information becomes available.

The following table identifies the reserves for each major category of revenue allowance:

Reserve Category/ Period Ended -----	Chargebacks -----	Rebates -----	Returns -----	Other -----	Total -----
June 30, 2003	\$ 1,638,079	\$ 889,808	\$ 210,000	\$ 33,800	\$ 2,771,687
September 30, 2003	\$ 3,127,799	\$ 1,283,924	\$ 230,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 5,141,723
December 31, 2003	\$ 2,236,466	\$ 1,294,170	\$ 260,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 3,940,636
March 31, 2004	\$ 2,181,185	\$ 1,283,815	\$ 285,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 3,800,000

The Company ships its products to the warehouses of its wholesale and retail chain customers. When the Company and a customer come to an agreement for the supply of a product, the customer will generally continue to purchase the product, stock its warehouse(s) and resell the product to its own customers. The Company's customer will continually reorder the product as its warehouse is depleted. Lannett generally has no minimum size orders for its customers. Additionally, most warehousing customers prefer not to stock excess inventory levels due to the additional carrying costs and inefficiencies created by holding extra inventory. As such, Lannett's

customers continually reorder the Company's products. It is common for Lannett's customers to order the same products on a monthly basis. For generic

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

pharmaceutical manufacturers, it is critical to ensure that customers' warehouses are adequately stocked with its products. This is important due to the fact that several generic competitors compete for the consumer demand for a given product. Availability of inventory ensures that a manufacturer's product is considered. Otherwise, retail prescriptions would be filled with competitors' products. For this reason, the Company periodically offers incentives to its customers to purchase its products. These incentives are generally up-front discounts off its standard prices at the beginning of a generic campaign launch for a newly-approved or newly-introduced product, or when a customer purchases a Lannett product for the first time. Customers generally inform the Company that such purchases represent an estimate of expected resales for a period of time. This period of time is generally up to three months. The Company records this revenue, net of any discounts offered and accepted by its customers, and net of any estimated returns and other credits, at the time of shipment. The Company's products have either 24 months or 36 months shelf-life at the time of manufacture. The Company monitors its customers' purchasing trends to attempt to identify any significant lapses in purchasing activity. If the Company observes a lack of recent activity, inquiries will be made to such customer regarding the success of the customer's resale efforts. The Company will attempt to minimize any potential return (or shelf life issues) by maintaining an active dialogue with the customers.

The products that the Company sells are generic versions of brand named drugs. The consumer markets for such drugs are well-established markets with many years of historically-confirmed consumer demand. Such consumer demand may be affected by several factors, including alternative treatments, cost, etc. However, the effects of changes in such consumer demand for Lannett's products, like generic products manufactured by other generic companies, are gradual in nature. Any overall decrease in consumer demand for generic products generally occurs over an extended period of time. This is because there are thousands of doctors, prescribers, third-party payers, institutional formularies and other buyers of drugs that must change prescribing habits, and medicinal practices before such a decrease would affect a generic drug market. If the historical data the Company uses, and the assumptions management makes to calculate its estimates of future returns, chargebacks and other credits do not accurately approximate future activity, its net sales, gross profit, net income and earnings per share could change. However, management believes that these estimates are reasonable based upon historical experience and current conditions.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and adjusts credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined by a review of their current credit information. The Company continuously monitors collections and payments from its customers and maintains a provision for estimated credit losses based upon historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. While such credit losses have historically been within the Company's expectations and the provisions established, the Company cannot guarantee that it will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that it has in the past.

INVENTORIES

The Company values its inventory at the lower of cost or market and regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand and records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on estimated forecasts of product demand and production requirements. The Company's estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case it may have understated or overstated the provision required for excess and obsolete inventory. In the future, if the Company's inventory is determined to be overvalued, the Company

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

would be required to recognize such costs in cost of goods sold at the time of such determination. Likewise, if inventory is determined to be undervalued, the Company may have recognized excess cost of goods sold in previous periods and would be required to recognize such additional operating income at the time of sale.

21

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - FISCAL 2003 TO FISCAL 2002

Net sales increased by 69%, from \$25,126,214 in Fiscal 2002 to \$42,486,758 in Fiscal 2003. Sales increased as a result of additions to the Company's prescription line of products, including Prednisolone tablets, first marketed in October 2001, Butalbital with Aspirin, Caffeine and Codeine Phosphate capsules, first marketed in December 2001, Isoniazid tablets, first marketed in January 2002, Digoxin tablets, first marketed in September 2002 and Levothyroxine Sodium tablets, first marketed in April 2003. These product additions had the effect of increasing the total annual sales in Fiscal 2003, compared to Fiscal 2002, due to the fact that the Company sold the products for longer periods of time in Fiscal 2003, compared to Fiscal 2002. Of these product additions, Butalbital with Aspirin, Caffeine and Codeine Phosphate capsules, Digoxin tablets and Levothyroxine Sodium tablets accounted for approximately \$9.7 million of the increase in net sales from Fiscal 2002 to Fiscal 2003. Additionally, sales of a portion of the Company's previously marketed products, including Primidone tablets and Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine capsules, increased due to new customer accounts, increased unit sales, and higher unit sales prices. The Company raised its sales prices for Primidone 50 milligram tablets in Fiscal 2003 subsequent to an increase in the price of the brand named drug. Generally, the Company sells its products at the accepted market prices for such products. If the competitive environment changes, the Company monitors such changes to determine the effect on the market prices for its products. Such changes may include new competitors, fewer competitors, or an increase in the price of the innovator drug. The increase in sales of a portion of the Company's products was offset by a decrease of approximately \$2.6 million in net sales of certain other products, including pseudoephedrine hydrochloride tablets and guaifenesin/ephedrine hydrochloride tablets. Due to increased competition for these two products, and the Company's decision to allocate its production capacity to higher margin prescription products, the Company discontinued its production, marketing and distribution of these two products in Fiscal 2003. Such higher margin products included Primidone 50 and 250 milligram tablets and Butalbital with Aspirin and Caffeine capsules.

The Company sells its products to customers in various categories. The table below identifies the Company's net sales to each category.

Customer Category	Fiscal 2003 Net Sales	Fiscal 2002 Net Sales	Fiscal 2001 Net Sales
Wholesaler/Distributor	\$20.6 million	\$10.4 million	\$ 6.9 million
Retail Chain	\$ 9.9 million	\$ 3.3 million	\$ 800,000
Mail-Order Pharmacy	\$ 2.6 million	\$ 1.1 million	\$ 300,000
Private Label	\$ 9.4 million	\$10.3 million	\$ 4.1 million
	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$42.5 million	\$25.1 million	\$12.1 million

Sales in every category, with the exception of 'Private Label,' increased during the past two years. This is a result of the factors described in the previous paragraph. Sales to 'Private Label' customers decreased in Fiscal 2003 as a

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

result of the Company's successful efforts in growing the Lannett label accounts. Increasing sales to customers that purchased the Lannett label products (i.e. the 'Wholesale,' 'Retail' and 'Mail-Order' customer categories) had the effect of reducing sales to 'Private Label' customers.

22

Cost of sales increased by 92%, from \$8,452,677 in Fiscal 2002 to \$16,257,794 in Fiscal 2003. The cost of sales increase is due to an increase in direct variable costs and certain indirect overhead costs as a result of the increase in sales volume and related production activities. These costs include raw materials/cost of finished goods purchased and resold, which increased by approximately \$6,308,000, labor and benefits expenses, which increased by approximately \$1,126,000, depreciation expense, which increased by approximately \$140,000 and other miscellaneous production-related expenses, which increased in total by approximately \$231,000. Gross profit margins for Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002 were 62% and 66%, respectively. The decrease in the gross profit percentage is due to the product sales mix. Incremental sales in Fiscal 2003 of some or all of the Company's new products were at gross profit percentages less than the Company's average gross profit percentage from Fiscal 2002. This is a result of more competition for such drugs, and an erosion in generic market pricing for such drugs. During Fiscal 2003, a larger percentage of the Company's total net sales were of JSP-manufactured products, as compared to the percentage of the Company's total net sales during Fiscal 2002. The Company's average gross profit margin for the JSP products is less than the average gross profit margin for products internally manufactured. As such, the change in product sales mix reduced the gross profit percentage in Fiscal 2003. Depending on future market conditions for each of the Company's products, changes in the future sales product mix may occur. These changes may affect the gross profit percentage in future periods.

Research and development expenses increased by 47%, from \$1,748,631 in Fiscal 2002 to \$2,575,178 in Fiscal 2003. This increase is a result of an increase in the cost of clinical bioequivalence testing fees, which increased by approximately \$261,000, outsourced product development consulting services, which increased by approximately \$300,000, payroll and benefits expenses, which increased by approximately \$202,000, raw materials used in the development and formulation of new products not yet approved by the FDA, which increased by approximately \$22,000 and miscellaneous other R&D expenses, which increased by a total of approximately \$41,000.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 31%, from \$3,298,564 in Fiscal 2002 to \$4,337,558 in Fiscal 2003. This increase is a result of an increase in the following expenses: payroll and benefits, which increased by approximately \$746,000, consulting services, which increased by approximately \$180,000, travel and entertainment expenses, which increased by approximately \$95,000, investor relations/marketing expenses, which increased by approximately \$166,000, advertising expenses, which increased by approximately \$102,000, professional services fees, which increased by approximately \$244,000, computer support expenses, which increased by approximately \$119,000 and miscellaneous other administrative expenses, which increased by a total of approximately \$430,000. These increases were due to the hiring of additional administrative employees and a general increase in administrative expenses due to the growth of the Company in terms of employees, production volume and sales. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in commissions expense to outside sales

23

representatives of approximately \$1,043,000. In Fiscal 2002, the Company created

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

its own internal sales and marketing department, replacing the service previously performed by outside sales brokers. At this time, the Company's infrastructure includes employee resources for all of the major administrative functions, with the exception of a general counsel. As the Company continues to grow in the future, it may consider hiring an employee to fulfill this role, which is currently performed by outside professional services firms. During Fiscal 2003, the Company has surpassed its historical highs in terms of net sales, gross profit, operating income, net income and total market capitalization value. This growth is a result of additions to the Company's line of generic products, new customers, higher unit sales, increased product prices and a management focus on minimizing unnecessary overhead and administrative costs. Some of the new generic products sold by Lannett during Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002 were developed and manufactured by Lannett while others are manufactured by JSP, one of Lannett's primary suppliers. The products manufactured by Lannett and those manufactured by JSP are identified in the section entitled "PRODUCTS" in Item 1 of this Form 10-KSB.

As a result of the foregoing, the Company increased its operating income by 67%, from \$11,425,483 in Fiscal 2002 to \$19,060,106 in Fiscal 2003.

The Company's income tax expense increased from \$3,984,135 in Fiscal 2002 to \$7,334,740 in Fiscal 2003 as a result of the increase in taxable income.

The Company reported net income of \$11,666,887 for Fiscal 2003, or \$0.58 basic and diluted income per share, compared to net income of \$7,195,990 for Fiscal 2002, or \$0.36 basic and diluted income per share.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$6,652,406 in Fiscal 2003 was attributable to net income of \$11,666,887, as adjusted for the effects of non-cash items (primarily depreciation and amortization) of \$1,399,700 and changes in operating assets and liabilities totaling (\$6,414,181). Significant changes in operating assets and liabilities were comprised of:

1. an increase in accounts receivable of \$4,050,596 due to the increase in the Company's net sales. The days sales in accounts receivable increased primarily due to changes in the customer and product mix. In Fiscal 2003, a portion of the Company's sales were of over-the-counter products, including pseudoephedrine hydrochloride tablets and guaifenesin/ephedrine hydrochloride tablets. Sales of these products were made to small distribution companies that focused on convenience store outlets. The Company's payment terms for these customers were primarily payment on delivery of goods, as opposed to extended payment terms offered to larger customers. As a result of the decrease in sales to these smaller customers in 2003, the average days sales in accounts receivable increased;
2. an increase in inventories of \$3,238,591 due to increases in raw materials and finished goods inventory. Due to the Company's sales growth, additional investments were made in raw material and finished goods inventory. It is the Company's goal to stock an adequate inventory of finished goods and raw materials. Such a strategy will allow the Company to minimize stock-outs and back-orders, and to provide a high level of customer order fulfillment. Additionally, the Company has increased its inventory carrying amounts of certain raw materials and finished products to ensure supply continuity;
3. an increase in accounts payable, net of the decrease in accrued expenses, of \$1,799,171 due to the growth of the Company's purchasing activities to support the overall Company growth, and the Company's receipt of finished goods inventories in the last quarter of Fiscal 2003. In April 2003, the Company launched its distribution campaign for

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

Levothyroxine Sodium tablets. Due to the timing of the Company's receipt of finished goods inventory related to this new product launch and beneficial credit payment terms, the Company's accounts payable balance increased accordingly.

24

The net cash used in investing activities of \$2,243,933 for Fiscal 2003 was attributable to \$2,618,936 expended for equipment and building additions, offset by \$375,003 in proceeds received from the sale of equipment. The Company's anticipated budget for capital expenditures in Fiscal 2004 is approximately \$9,300,000. The anticipated capital expenditure requirements will support the Company's growth related to new product introductions and increased production output due to expected higher sales levels. As of June 30, 2003, none of the financing proceeds received from the bonds issued during Fiscal 1999 were available for future capital expenditures; however approximately \$352,000 was paid by the Company prior to June 30, 2003 for production equipment expected to arrive, and be placed in service in Fiscal 2004. This balance is included in Other Assets, as a long-term asset, at June 30, 2003.

The Company had a \$4,250,000 revolving line of credit from a shareholder, William Farber, who is also the Chairman of the Board ("Shareholder Line of Credit"). The maturity date on the Shareholder Line of Credit was December 1, 2002. The Company did not renew this line of credit because the cash available from its current and prospective loan agreements and the cash generated from its operations were estimated to be sufficient to support the Company's anticipated growth, in terms of cash requirements. The line of credit had a stated interest rate equal to the prime interest rate plus 1%. At June 30, 2003, the Company had no amount outstanding and \$4,250,000 available under this line of credit. There was no accrued interest at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002.

In April 1999, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the "Agreement") with a governmental authority, the Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development, (the "Authority") to finance future construction and growth projects of the Company. The Authority issued \$3,700,000 in tax-exempt variable rate demand and fixed rate revenue bonds to provide the funds to finance such growth projects pursuant to a trust indenture ("the "Trust indenture"). A portion of the Company's proceeds from the bonds was used to pay for bond issuance costs of approximately \$170,000. The remainder of the proceeds was deposited into a money market account, which was restricted for future plant and equipment needs of the Company, as specified in the Agreement. The Trust Indenture requires that the Company repay the Authority loan through installment payments beginning in May 2003 and continuing through May 2014, the year the bonds mature. The bonds bear interest at the floating variable rate determined by the organization responsible for selling the bonds (the "remarketing agent"). The remarketing agent sets the interest rate, based on its judgment, in order to sell the bonds at a price (interest rate) equal to the principal amount thereof. If for any reason the interest rate is not determined by the remarketing agent, or a court holds the interest rate invalid or unenforceable, the interest rate will be the rate per annum equal to 85% of the interest rate per annum for 30 day commercial paper having a rating of A-2/P-2 as reported in The Wall Street Journal on the determination date. The interest rate fluctuates on a weekly basis. The effective interest rate at June 30, 2003 was 1.2%. At June 30, 2003, the Company has \$3,097,802 outstanding on the Authority loan, of which \$718,333 is classified as currently due. The remainder is classified as a long-term liability. In April 1999, an irrevocable letter of credit of \$3,770,000 was issued by a bank, First Union National Bank (First Union), to secure payment of the Authority Loan and a portion of the related accrued interest. At June 30, 2003, no portion of the letter of credit has been utilized.

25

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

In April 1999, the Company authorized and directed the issuance of \$2,300,000 in taxable variable rate demand and fixed rate revenue bonds pursuant to a trust indenture between the Company and First Union, as trustee (the "Trust Indenture"). From the proceeds of the bonds, \$750,000 was utilized to pay deferred interest owed to Mr. Farber, the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and approximately \$1,440,000 was paid to a bank to refinance a mortgage term loan and equipment term loans. The remainder of the proceeds was used to pay bond issuance costs of approximately \$109,000. The Trust Indenture required that the Company repay the bonds through installment payments beginning in June 1999 and continuing through May 2003, the year the bonds matured. The bonds bear interest at the floating variable rate determined by the organization responsible for selling the bonds (the "remarketing agent"). The interest rate fluctuates on a weekly basis. At June 30, 2003, the Company has no balance outstanding on the bonds.

The Company has a \$3,000,000 line of credit from First Union which bears interest at the prime interest rate less 0.25%. The line of credit was renewed and extended to November 30, 2003, at which time the Company expects to renew and extend the due date. At June 30, 2003, the Company had \$0 outstanding and \$3,000,000 available under the line of credit. The Company does not currently expect to borrow cash under this line of credit in the future due to the available cash on hand, and the cash expected to be provided by its results of operations in the future. The line of credit is collateralized by substantially all Company assets. Further, the line of credit and a related letter of credit contain certain financial covenants (see Notes to Financial Statements, Number 6), including the attainment of standard financial liquidity and net worth ratios. As of June 30, 2003, the Company successfully met these covenants. Additionally, it is the Company's opinion that such covenants are not material in nature.

The Company believes that cash generated from its operations and the balances available under the Company's existing loans and line of credit as of June 30, 2003, are sufficient to finance its level of operations, and currently anticipated capital expenditures. However, to benefit from the low interest rates in the current financial markets, the Company is planning to finance some or all of the capital expenditures in Fiscal 2004.

Except as set forth in this report, the Company is not aware of any trends, events or uncertainties that have or are reasonably likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company's short-term or long-term liquidity or financial condition.

PROSPECTS FOR THE FUTURE

The Company has several generic products under development. These products are all orally-administered, solid-dosage (i.e. tablet/capsule) products designed to be generic equivalents to brand named innovator drugs. The Company's developmental drug products are intended to treat a diverse range of indications. One of these developmental products, an orally-administered obesity product, represents a generic ANDA currently owned by the Company, but not currently manufactured and distributed for commercial consumption. As one of the oldest generic drug manufacturers in the country, formed in 1942, Lannett currently owns several ANDAs for products which it does not manufacture and market. These ANDAs are simply dormant on the Company's records. Occasionally, the Company reviews such ANDAs to determine if the market potential for any of these older drugs has recently changed, so as to make it attractive for Lannett to reconsider manufacturing and selling it. If the Company makes the determination to introduce one of these

products into the consumer marketplace, it must review the ANDA and related documentation to ensure that the approved product specifications, formulation and other features are feasible in the Company's current environment. Generally, in these situations, the Company must file a supplement to the FDA for the applicable ANDA, informing the FDA of any significant changes in the manufacturing process, the formulation, the raw material supplier or another major feature of the previously-approved ANDA. The Company would then redevelop the product and submit it to the FDA for supplemental approval. The FDA's approval process for ANDA supplements is similar to that of a new ANDA.

Another developmental product, also an orally-administered obesity product, is a new ANDA submitted to the FDA in July 2003 for approval. The FDA has recently disclosed that the average amount of time to review and approve a new ANDA is approximately eighteen months. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin commercially producing and shipping this product.

The remainder of the products in development represent either previously approved ANDAs that the Company is planning to reintroduce (ANDA supplements), or new formulations (new ANDAs). The products under development are at various stages in the development cycle--formulation, scale-up, and/or clinical testing. Depending on the complexity of the active ingredient's chemical characteristics, the cost of the raw material, the FDA-mandated requirement of bioequivalence studies, the cost of such studies and other developmental factors, the cost to develop a new generic product varies. It can range from \$100,000 to \$1 million. Some of Lannett's developmental products will require bioequivalence studies, while others will not--depending on the FDA's Orange Book classification. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin producing and shipping additional products.

In addition to the efforts of its internal product development group, Lannett has contracted with two outside firms (Pharmatek Laboratories Inc. in California and The PharmaNetwork LLC in New Jersey) for the formulation and development of several new generic drug products. These outsourced R&D products are at various stages in the development cycle--formulation, analytical method development and testing and manufacturing scale-up. These products are orally-administered solid dosage products intended to treat a diverse range of medical indications. It is the Company's intention to ultimately transfer the formulation technology and manufacturing process for all of these R&D products to the Company's own commercial manufacturing sites. The Company initiated these outsourced R&D efforts to compliment the progress of its own internal R&D efforts.

The Company is also developing a drug product that does not require FDA approval. The FDA allows generic manufacturers to manufacture and sell products which are equivalent to innovator drugs which are grand-fathered, under FDA rules, prior to the passage of the Hatch-Waxman Act of 1984. The FDA allows generic manufacturers to produce and sell generic versions of such grand-fathered products by simply performing and internally documenting the product's stability over a period of time. Under this scenario, a generic company can forego the time and costs related to a FDA-mandated ANDA approval process. The Company currently has one product under development in this category. The developmental drug is an orally-administered, prescription solid dosage product.

The Company has also contracted with Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., based in California, to market generic products developed and manufactured by Spectrum and/or its partners. The first applicable product under this agreement is ciprofloxacin tablets, the generic version of Cipro(R), an anti-bacterial drug,

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

marketed by Bayer Corporation, prescribed to treat infections. The Company has also initiated discussions with other firms for similar new product initiatives, in which Lannett will market and distribute products manufactured by third parties. Lannett intends to use its strong customer relationships to build its market share for such products, and increase future revenues and income.

27

The majority of the Company's R&D projects are being developed in-house under Lannett's direct supervision and with Company personnel. Hence, the Company does not believe that its outside contracts for product development, including those for Pharmatek Laboratories Inc. and The PharmaNetwork LLC, or manufacturing supply, including Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc., are material in nature, nor is the Company substantially dependent on the services rendered by such outside firms. Since the Company has no control over the FDA review process, management is unable to anticipate whether or when it will be able to begin producing and shipping such additional products.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 and Independent Auditor Report filed as a part of this Form 10-KSB are listed in the Exhibit Index filed herewith.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management necessarily applies its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding management's control objectives.

With the participation of management, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures at the conclusion of the year ended June 30, 2003. Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that material information required to be disclosed is included in the reports that it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or, to the knowledge of management of the Company, in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of the Company's most recent evaluation of its disclosure controls and procedures utilized to compile information included in this filing.

PART III

ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The directors and executive officers of the Company are set forth below:

	Age ---	Position -----
Directors:		
William Farber	71	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Marvin Novick	72	Director
Ronald A. West	69	Director
Myron Winkelman	65	Director
Executive Officers:		
Arthur P. Bedrosian	57	President
Larry Dalesandro	31	Chief Financial Officer

WILLIAM FARBER was elected as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer in August 1991. From April 1993 to the end of 1993, Mr. Farber was the President and a director of Auburn Pharmaceutical Company. From 1990 through March 1993, Mr. Farber served as Director of Purchasing for Major Pharmaceutical Corporation. From 1965 through 1990, Mr. Farber was the Chief Executive Officer of Michigan Pharmacal Corporation. Mr. Farber is a registered pharmacist in the State of Michigan.

MARVIN NOVICK was elected a Director of the Company in February 2000. Mr. Novick has been an advisor, consultant and financial planner for multiple companies in the past thirty-five years. He is currently President of R&M Resources, Inc., an investment and consulting services company. He has served in this position of this private company since 1988. From 1984 to 1987, he served as Vice Chairman of Dura Corporation, a major automotive supplier. From 1969 to 1971, he served as Chief Financial Officer of Meadowbrook Insurance Company. In addition to these positions, he served as Partner of international accounting firms, J.K. Lasser & Co., and Touche Ross & Co, and Senior Vice President of Michigan Blue Shield, a major healthcare organization. Mr. Novick holds Bachelor's and Master's Degrees, and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

RONALD A. WEST was elected a Director of the Company in January 2002. Mr. West is currently a Director of Beecher Associates, an industrial real estate investment company, R&M Resources, an investment and consulting services company and North East Staffing, Inc., an employee services company. Prior to this, from 1983 to 1987, Mr. West served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Dura Corporation, an original equipment manufacturer of automotive products and other engineered equipment components. In 1987, Mr. West sold his ownership position in Dura Corporation, at which time he retired from active management positions. Mr. West was employed at Dura Corporation since 1969. Prior to this, he served

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

in various financial management positions with TRW, Inc., Marlin Rockwell Corporation and National Machine Products Group, a division of Standard Pressed Steel Company. Mr. West studied Business Administration at Michigan State University and the University of Detroit.

29

MYRON WINKELMAN, R. PH. was elected a Director of the Company in June 2003. Mr. Winkelman has significant career experience in various aspects of pharmacy and health care. He is currently President of Winkelman Management Consulting (WMC), which provides consulting services to both commercial and governmental clients. He has served in this position since 1994. Mr. Winkelman has recently managed multi-state drug purchasing initiatives for both Medicaid and state entities. Prior to creating WMC, he was a senior executive with ValueRx, a large pharmacy benefits manager, and served for many years as a senior executive for the Revco, Rite Aid and Perry Drug chains. While at ValueRx, Mr. Winkelman served on the Board of Directors of the Pharmaceutical Care Management Association. He belongs to a number of pharmacy organizations, including the Academy of Managed Care Pharmacy and the Michigan Pharmacy Association. Mr. Winkelman is a registered pharmacist and holds a Bachelor of Science Degree in Pharmacy from Wayne State University.

ARTHUR P. BEDROSIAN, J.D. was elected President of the Company in May 2002. Prior to this, he served as the Company's Vice President of Business Development from January 2002 to April 2002, and as a Director from February 2000 to January 2002. Mr. Bedrosian has operated generic drug manufacturing, sales, and marketing businesses in the healthcare industry for many years. Prior to joining the Company, from 1999 to 2001, Mr. Bedrosian served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Trinity Laboratories, Inc., a medical device and drug manufacturer. Mr. Bedrosian also operated Pharmaceutical Ventures Ltd, a healthcare consultancy and Interlat Corporation, a computer consultancy to Fortune 100 companies. Mr. Bedrosian holds a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Political Science from Queens College of the City University of New York and a Juris Doctorate from Newport University in California.

LARRY DALESANDRO was elected Chief Financial Officer of the Company in June 2003. Prior to this, he served as the Company's Chief Operating Officer from November 1999 to June 2003. Mr. Dalesandro joined the Company in January 1999 to manage the Company's financial operations. Previously, he was the Controller and Director of Financial Reporting of Criterion Communications, Inc., a technology and new media services firm, Controller of Crown Contractors, Inc., a contract construction company, and Senior Auditor of Grant Thornton LLP, an international professional services firm. Mr. Dalesandro graduated Magna Cum Laude with a Bachelor's of Science Degree in Accountancy from Villanova University, and is a Certified Public Accountant.

SIGNIFICANT EMPLOYEES

In addition to the directors and executive officers, the following table identifies certain key employees of the Company.

Name	Age	Position
----	---	-----
Kevin Smith	43	Vice President of Sales and Marketing
Bernard Sandiford	74	Vice President of Operations

30

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

KEVIN SMITH joined the Company in January 2002 as Vice President of Sales and Marketing. Prior to this, from 2000 to 2001, he served as Director of National Accounts for Bi-Coastal Pharmaceutical, Inc., a pharmaceutical sales representation company. Prior to this, from 1999 to 2000, he served as National Accounts Manager for Mova Laboratories Inc., a pharmaceutical manufacturer. Prior to this, from 1991 to 1999, Mr. Smith served as National Sales Manager at Sidmak Laboratories, a pharmaceutical manufacturer. Kevin has extensive experience in the generic sales market, and brings to the Company a vast network of customers, including retail chain pharmacies, wholesale distributors, mail-order wholesalers and generic distributors. Mr. Smith has a Bachelors' Degree in Business Administration from Gettysburg College.

BERNARD SANDIFORD joined the Company in November 2002 as Vice President of Operations. Prior to this, from 1998 to 2002, he was the President of Sandiford Consultants, a firm specializing in providing consulting services to drug manufacturers for Good Manufacturing Practices and process validations. His previous employment included senior operating positions with Halsey Drug Company, Barr Laboratories, Inc., Duramed Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Revlon Health Care Group. In addition to these positions, Mr. Sandiford performed various consulting assignments regarding Good Manufacturing Practices for several companies in the pharmaceutical industry. Mr. Sandiford has a Bachelors of Science Degree in Chemistry from Long Island University.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, there have been no events under any bankruptcy act, no criminal proceedings and no judgments or injunctions that are material to the evaluation of the ability or integrity of any director, executive officer, or significant employee during the past five years.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's directors, officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC reports of ownership and changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater-than-10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company or written representations that no other reports were required, the Company believes that during Fiscal 2003, all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and greater-than-10% beneficial owners were complied with, except for the following:

On August 15, 2003, Ronald West reported a purchase of shares in May 2002, a purchase of shares in July 2002, a sale of shares in November 2002, and a purchase of shares in January 2003.

On August 15, 2003, Marvin Novick reported a sale of shares in November 2002, a bona-fide gift of shares in December 2002, a sale of shares in January 2003, and a sale of shares in May 2003. The shares transacted on the above dates were owned by Margaret Novick, spouse of Marvin Novick.

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

The following table summarizes all compensation paid to or earned by the named executive officers of the Company for Fiscal 2003, Fiscal 2002 and Fiscal 2001.

(a) Name and Principal Position -----	Annual Compensation -----				(e) Other Annual Compensation -----	Long Term Compensation -----	
	(b) Fiscal Year ----	(c) Salary -----	(d) Bonus -----	(f) Restricted Stock Award(s) -----		(g) Securities Under- lying Options/ SARs -----	
William Farber Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	2003	0	0	0	0	37,500	
	2002	0	0	0	0		
	2001	0	0	0	0		
Arthur P. Bedrosian (2) President	2003	179,175 (1)	77,500	0	0	114,600	
	2002	64,385	0	0	0		
	2001	0	0	0	0		
Larry Dalesandro (3) Chief Financial Officer	2003	134,984 (1)	59,675	0	0	74,500	
	2002	116,698 (1)	25,000	0	0		
	2001	102,049 (1)	5,000	0	0	15,000	
Eugene Livshits (4) Vice President of Technical Affairs	2003	67,706 (1)	38,874	0	0	7,500	
	2002	126,715 (1)	25,000	0	0		
	2001	109,669 (1)	5,000	0	0	18,000	
Kevin Smith (6) Vice President of Sales & Marketing	2003	167,187 (1)	46,500	0	0	38,700	
	2002	66,769	0	0	0	15,000	
	2001	0	0	0	0		

(1) Includes matching contribution payments made to the Company's 401(k) Plan (3% of eligible compensation) for the benefit of the employee noted.

(2) Mr. Bedrosian joined the Company on January 24, 2002 as Vice President of Business Development. On May 5, 2002, he was elected President of the Company.

(3) Mr. Dalesandro joined the Company on January 11, 1999 as Controller.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

He was elected Chief Operating Officer on November 1, 1999. On June 18, 2003, he was elected Chief Financial Officer, and voluntarily resigned the position of Chief Operating Officer.

- (4) Mr. Livshits joined the Company on February 20, 1997 as Director of Analytical Services. He was elected Vice President of Technical Affairs on November 1, 1999. On January 6, 2003, his employment with the Company was terminated. The Company agreed to pay him severance pay at his current rate through December 31, 2003. See footnote (5).
- (5) This amount represents \$76,230 in severance compensation paid from January 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003, plus \$64,790 in severance compensation accrued at June 30, 2003.
- (6) Mr. Smith joined the Company on January 21, 2002 as Vice President of Sales and Marketing.
- (7) These amounts represent payments to Mr. Farber for participation and attendance at Board of Director Meetings.

32

OPTION/SAR GRANTS IN FISCAL 2003

(a) NAME ----	(b) NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/SARS GRANTED (#) -----	(c) % OF TOTAL OPTIONS/SARS GRANTED TO EMPLOYEES IN FISCAL YEAR -----	(d) EXERCISE OR BASE PRICE (\$/SHARE) -----	(e) EXPIRATION -----
William Farber Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	37,500	10%	\$ 7.97	10/2
Arthur Bedrosian President	18,000	3%	\$ 4.63	7/2
Arthur Bedrosian President	96,900	25%	\$ 7.97	10/2
Larry Dalesandro Chief Financial Officer	74,595	19%	\$ 7.97	10/2
Eugene Livshits Vice President of Technical Affairs	7,500	2%	\$ 7.97	10/2
Kevin Smith Vice President of Sales and Marketing	38,760	10%	\$ 7.97	10/2

33

AGGREGATED OPTIONS/SAR EXERCISES AND FISCAL YEAR-END OPTIONS/SAR VALUES

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

(a) NAME ----	(b) SHARES ACQUIRED ON EXERCISE -----	(c) VALUE REALIZED -----	(d) NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING UNEXERCISED OPTIONS AT FY-END EXERCISABLE/ UNEXERCISABLE -----	VA UNE IN-T OPT F EXER UNEXE -----
William Farber Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	0	\$ 0	37,500/ 0	\$ \$
Arthur Bedrosian President	0	\$ 0	0/ 114,900	\$ \$ 1,
Larry Dalesandro Chief Financial Officer	5,001	\$ 48,860	0/ 74,595	\$ \$ 1,
Eugene Livshits Vice President - of Technical Affairs	13,500	\$108,520	0/ 0	
Kevin Smith Vice President of Sales and Marketing	5,000	\$ 46,495	0/ 48,761	\$ \$

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors received compensation of \$1,000 per Board meeting attended during Fiscal 2003. There were three Board meetings held in Fiscal 2003. Audit Committee members received compensation of \$1,000 per Audit Committee meeting attended during Fiscal 2003. There were four Audit Committee meetings held in Fiscal 2003. Directors are reimbursed for expenses incurred in attending Board meetings. Directors also receive a monthly allowance of \$1,350 to cover the cost of medical benefits insurance, and automobile expenses. Directors also received stock options during Fiscal 2003 as compensation for their services. The following table identifies the stock options granted to directors in Fiscal 2003.

(a) NAME ----	(b) NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/SARs GRANTED (#) -----	(c) % OF TOTAL OPTIONS/SARs GRANTED TO RECIPIENTS IN FISCAL YEAR -----	(d) EXERCISE OR BASE PRICE (\$/SHARE) -----	EXP -----
William Farber Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	37,500	10%	\$7.97	
Marvin Novick	22,500	6%	\$7.97	

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

Director

Ronald West Director	22,500	6%	\$7.97
-------------------------	--------	----	--------

Myron Winkelman Director	-	-	-
-----------------------------	---	---	---

34

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

The Company has entered into employment agreements with Arthur Bedrosian, Larry Dalesandro and Kevin Smith (the "Named Executives"). Each of the agreements provide for an annual base salary and eligibility to receive a bonus. The salary and bonus amounts of the Named Executives are determined by the Board of Directors. Additionally, the Named Executives are eligible to receive stock options, which are granted at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and in accordance with the Company's policies regarding stock option grants.

The Named Executives' employment may be terminated at any time with or without cause, or by reason of death or disability; and the Named Executives may voluntarily resign at any time with or without good reason. In the event of termination of employment without cause, the Company will provide the Named Executive with: (a) severance compensation, subject to the Company's standard payroll withholdings or deductions, for a period of no less than one year, in the amount of the then current base salary rate, subject to certain limitations; and (b) continued group health insurance benefits (i.e. medical, dental, prescription insurance, etc) for the Named Executive and his eligible dependents for a period of up to six months at no cost to the Named Executive.

In the event of a change in the control of the Company, or if the Company sells a majority of the ANDAs it owns, the Company will provide the Named Executives with: (a) a lump sum payment in the amount equal to six months of the Named Executive's current salary, subject to minimum limitations. In this scenario, if the Named Executive's employment is terminated without cause, the Company will provide the Named Executive with severance compensation and benefits consisting of: (a) severance compensation, subject to the Company's standard payroll withholdings or deductions, for a period of no less than one year, in the amount of the then current base salary rate, subject to certain limitations; (b) continued group health insurance benefits (i.e. medical, dental, prescription insurance, etc) for the Named Executive and his eligible dependents for a period of up to one year at no cost to the Named Executive; and (c) all unvested stock options held by the Named Executive will become one hundred percent (100%) vested and immediately exercisable as of the date of termination.

35

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth, as of August 26, 2003, information regarding the security ownership of the directors and certain executive officers of the Company and persons known to the Company to be beneficial owners of more than five (5%) percent of the Company's common stock:

Excluding Options

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner -----	Office -----	and Debentures		Including Options (*)	
		Number of Shares -----	Percent of Class -----	Number of Shares -----	Percent Class -----
Directors/Executive Officers:					
Arthur Bedrosian 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	President	496,860 (1)	2.48%	502,860 (2)	2.50%
Larry Dalesandro 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Chief Financial Officer	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
William Farber 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Chairman of the Board	13,676,679 (3)	68.23%	13,714,179 (4)	68.10%
Marvin Novick 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Director	100,000	0.50%	122,500 (5)	0.61%
Ronald A. West 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Director	12,810	0.06%	22,758 (6)	0.11%
Myron Winkelman 9000 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Director	1,000	0.00%	1,000	0.00%
All directors and executive officers as a group (6 persons)		14,287,349	71.27%	14,363,297	71.32%

(1) Includes 52,125 shares owned jointly by Arthur Bedrosian and Shari Bedrosian, Arthur Bedrosian's spouse, and 12,000 shares owned by Talin Bedrosian, Arthur Bedrosian's daughter.

(2) Includes 6,000 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$4.63 per share.

(3) Includes 300,000 shares owned jointly by William Farber and Audrey Farber, the Secretary of the Company and William's Farber's spouse.

(4) Includes 37,500 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$7.97 per share.

(5) Includes 22,500 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$7.97 per share.

(6) Includes 9,948 vested options to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$7.97 per share.

* Assumes that all options exercisable within sixty days have been exercised, which results in 20,139,113 shares outstanding.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

William Farber, the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, had provided the Company with a revolving line of credit due December 1, 2002 of \$4,250,000, which the Company used to renovate its manufacturing facility, acquire new equipment, retain new management and provide working capital. The line of credit had a stated interest rate equal to the prime interest rate plus 1%. In the Company's opinion, the terms of these transactions were not more favorable to the related party than would have been to a non-related party. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS -- Liquidity and Capital Resources." Mr. Farber is currently the holder of 13,676,679 shares of common stock of the Company, or approximately 68% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares. See "SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT."

The Company had sales of approximately \$348,000 and \$174,000 during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, to a generic distributor, Auburn Pharmaceutical Company (the "related party") in which the owner, Jeffrey Farber, is the son of the Chairman of the Board of Directors and principal shareholder of the Company, William Farber. The Company also incurred sales commissions payable to the related party of approximately \$68,000 and \$221,000 during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Accounts receivable includes amounts due from the related party of approximately \$95,000 and \$59,000 at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively. Accrued expenses include amounts due to the related party of approximately \$0 and \$8,000 at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively. In the Company's opinion, the terms of these transactions were not more favorable to the related party than would have been to a non-related party.

Stuart Novick, the son of Marvin Novick, a Director on the Company's Board of Directors, was employed by two insurance brokerage companies (the "Insurance Companies") that provide insurance agency services to the Company. The Company paid approximately \$28,000 and \$224,000 during Fiscal 2003 and 2002, respectively, to the Insurance Companies for various insurance coverage policies. There were no amounts due to the Insurance Companies as of June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002. In the Company's opinion, the terms of these transactions were not more favorable to the related party than would have been to a non-related party.

ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) A list of the exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-B to be filed as a part of this Form 10-KSB is shown on the Exhibit Index filed herewith.
- (b) The Company did not file any reports on Form 8-K during the Quarter ended June 30, 2003.

37

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Grant Thornton LLP served as the independent auditors of the Company during Fiscal 2003; and no relationship exists other than the usual relationship between independent public accountant and client. The following table identifies the fees paid to Grant Thornton LLP in Fiscal 2003.

AUDIT FEES	AUDIT-RELATED FEES (1)	TAX FEES (2)	ALL OTHER FEES (3)	TOTAL FEES
------------	---------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	------------

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

Fiscal 2003:				
\$72,561	\$7,700	\$ 17,816	\$ 45,343	\$ 143,420
Fiscal 2002:				
\$63,833	\$ 0	\$ 56,087	\$ 40,378	\$ 160,298

(1) Audit-related fees include fees paid for preparation and participation in Board of Director meetings, and Audit Committee meetings.

(2) Tax fees include fees paid for preparation of annual federal, state and local income tax returns, quarterly estimated income tax payments, and various tax planning services. Included in the Fiscal 2002 fees for this category is \$46,670 paid in connection with services rendered by Grant Thornton LLP in the Company's application and receipt of a tax refund due to an amended state income tax return.

(3) Other fees include:

Fiscal 2003 - Fees paid for services rendered in connection with the Company's application to various local and state entities for benefits related to the Company's potential facility expansion; and services rendered in connection with an engagement for interest expense arbitrage calculations on certain tax exempt bond issues.

Fiscal 2002 - Fees paid for valuation services related to the Company's creation of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Lannett Holdings, Inc.

The non-audit services provided to the Company by Grant Thornton LLP in Fiscal 2003 were pre-approved by the Company's audit committee. Prior to engaging its auditor to perform non-audit services, the Company's audit committee reviews the particular service to be provided and the fee to be paid by the Company for such service and assesses the impact of the service on the auditor's independence.

38

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LANNETT COMPANY, INC.

Date: May 10, 2004

By: / s / William Farber

 William Farber,
 Chairman of the Board and
 Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 10, 2004

By: / s / Larry Dalesandro

 Larry Dalesandro,
 Chief Financial Officer
 Chief Accounting Officer

Date: May 10, 2004

By: / s / Arthur Bedrosian

 Arthur Bedrosian,

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

President

Date: May 10, 2004

By: / s /Marvin Novick

Marvin Novick,
Director

Date: May 10, 2004

By: / s /Ronald West

Ronald West,
Director

Date: May 10, 2004

By: / s /Myron Winkelman

Myron Winkelman,
Director

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature -----	Date ----
/ s / William Farber ----- William Farber, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer	May 10, 2004
/ s / Larry Dalesandro ----- Larry Dalesandro, Chief Financial Officer	May 10, 2004
/ s / Arthur Bedrosian ----- Arthur Bedrosian, President	May 10, 2004
/ s / Marvin Novick ----- Marvin Novick, Director	May 10, 2004
/ s / Ronald West ----- Ronald West, Director	May 10, 2004
/ s / Myron Winkelman ----- Myron Winkelman, Director	May 10, 2004

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants

Shareholders and Board of Directors
Lannett Company, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Lannett Company, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Lannett Company, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the consolidated results of their operations and cash flows for each of the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Grant Thornton LLP
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
August 12, 2003

40

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
JUNE 30,

	2003	2002
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash	\$ 3,528,511	\$ -
Trade accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$128,000 and \$42,000, respectively)	8,516,481	4,465,885
Inventories	8,175,798	4,937,207
Prepaid expenses and other assets	367,400	106,170
Deferred tax asset	569,858	300,368
	-----	-----
Total current assets	21,158,048	9,809,630
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	11,885,728	10,144,968
Less accumulated depreciation	4,477,928	3,616,044
	-----	-----
	7,407,800	6,528,924

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

OTHER ASSETS	496,696	369,949
	-----	-----
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 29,062,544	\$ 16,708,503
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Line of credit	\$ -	\$ 202,688
Current portion of long-term debt	718,333	596,517
Accounts payable	2,664,616	733,984
Accrued expenses	526,430	657,891
Income taxes payable	63,617	726,552
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	3,972,996	2,917,632
LONG-TERM DEBT, LESS CURRENT PORTION	2,379,469	3,343,333
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITY	1,112,369	681,489
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Common stock - authorized 50,000,000 shares, par value \$0.001; issued and outstanding, 20,025,871 and 19,894,257 shares, respectively	20,026	19,894
Additional paid-in capital	2,526,077	2,360,261
Retained earnings	19,051,607	7,385,894
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' equity	21,597,710	9,766,049
	-----	-----
TOTAL LIABILITES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 29,062,544	\$ 16,708,503
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

41

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2003	2002
NET SALES	\$ 42,486,758	\$ 25,126,214
COST OF SALES	16,257,794	8,452,677
	-----	-----
Gross profit	26,228,964	16,673,537
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES	2,575,178	1,748,631
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	4,337,558	3,298,564
LOSS ON SALE OF ASSETS	119,279	63,682
LOSS ON IMPAIRMENT/ABANDONMENT OF ASSETS	136,843	137,177

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

	-----	-----
Operating profit	19,060,106	11,425,483
	-----	-----
OTHER INCOME/ (EXPENSE) :		
Interest income	2,297	25,135
Interest expense, including \$0 and \$131,245 to shareholder	(60,776)	(270,493)
	-----	-----
	(58,479)	(245,358)
	-----	-----
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE	19,001,627	11,180,125
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	7,334,740	3,984,135
	-----	-----
NET INCOME	\$ 11,666,887	\$ 7,195,990
	=====	=====
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.36
	=====	=====
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.36
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

42

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003 AND 2002

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	RETAINED EARNINGS
	SHARES ISSUED	AMOUNT		
BALANCE, JULY 1, 2001	19,809,192	19,809	\$ 2,305,972	\$ 189,904
Exercise of stock options	85,065	85	54,289	-
Net income				7,195,990

BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2002	19,894,257	19,894	2,360,261	7,385,894
Exercise of stock options	131,709	132	165,816	-
Stock Split-shares repurchased due to odd quantity holders	(95)	-		(1,174)
Net income	-	-	-	11,666,887
	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2003	20,025,871	\$ 20,026	\$ 2,526,077	\$ 19,051,607
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Note: All share amounts have been restated to reflect a 3 for 2 stock split, effective February 14, 2003.

43

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2003	2002
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 11,666,887	\$ 7,195,990
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	982,188	789,304
Loss on disposal/impairment of assets	256,122	200,859
Deferred tax expense	161,390	723,239
Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash:		
Trade accounts receivable	(4,050,596)	(99,297)
Inventories	(3,238,591)	(1,781,098)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(261,230)	24,863
Accounts payable	1,930,632	(183,413)
Accrued expenses	(131,461)	87,972
Income taxes payable	(662,935)	478,443
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	6,652,406	7,436,861
	-----	-----
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(2,618,936)	(1,952,535)
Deposits paid on machinery and equipment not yet received	-	(187,665)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	375,003	54,000
	-----	-----
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,243,933)	(2,086,200)
	-----	-----
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net repayments under line of credit	(202,688)	(1,797,312)
Repayments under line of credit - shareholder	-	(4,225,000)
Repayments of debt	(842,048)	(608,372)
Proceeds from debt, net of restricted cash released	-	1,225,649
Proceeds from issuance of stock	165,948	54,374
Payments made in lieu of stock split	(1,174)	-
	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(879,962)	(5,350,661)
	-----	-----
NET INCREASE IN CASH	3,528,511	-
CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR	-	-

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

CASH, END OF YEAR	\$ 3,528,511	\$ -
	=====	=====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION -		
Interest paid during year	\$ 57,688	\$ 293,323
	=====	=====
Income taxes paid	\$ 7,436,964	\$ 2,782,453
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

44

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003 AND 2002

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Lannett Company, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation, develops, manufactures, packages, markets and distributes pharmaceutical products sold under generic chemical names.

The Company is engaged in an industry which is subject to considerable government regulation related to the development, manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products. In the normal course of business, the Company periodically responds to inquiries or engages in administrative and judicial proceedings involving regulatory authorities, particularly the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA).

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the operating parent company, Lannett Company, Inc., its inactive wholly owned subsidiary, Astrochem Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Lannett Holdings, Inc. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

REVENUE RECOGNITION - The Company recognizes revenue when its products are shipped. At this point, title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer, and provisions for estimates, including rebates, promotional adjustments, price adjustments, returns, chargebacks, and other potential adjustments are reasonably determinable. Accruals for these provisions are presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements as reductions to net sales and accounts receivable. Accounts receivable are presented net of allowances relating to these provisions, which were approximately \$2,772,000 and \$630,000 at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively. Provisions for estimated rebates, promotional and other credits are estimated based on historical payment experience, estimated customer inventory levels and contract terms. Provisions for other customer credits, such as price adjustments, returns and chargebacks require management to make subjective judgments. These provisions are discussed in further detail below. If the historical data the Company uses, and the assumptions management makes to calculate these estimates do not accurately approximate future activity, its net sales, gross profit, net income and earnings per share could change. However, management believes that these estimates are reasonable based upon historical experience and current conditions.

CHARGEBACKS - The provision for chargebacks is the most significant and complex

estimate used in the recognition of revenue. The Company sells its products directly to wholesale distributors, generic distributors, retail pharmacy chains and mail-order wholesalers. The Company also sells its products indirectly to independent pharmacies, managed care organizations, hospitals, nursing homes and group purchasing organizations, collectively referred to as "indirect customers." Lannett enters into agreements with its indirect customers to establish pricing for certain products. The indirect customers then independently select a wholesaler from which to actually purchase the products at these agreed-upon prices. Lannett will provide credit to the wholesaler for the difference between the agreed-upon price with the indirect customer and the wholesaler's invoice price. This credit is called a chargeback. The provision for chargebacks is based on expected sell-through levels by the Company's wholesale customers to the indirect customers, and estimated wholesaler inventory levels. As sales to the large wholesale customers, such as Cardinal Health, AmerisourceBergen and McKesson Corporation, increase, the reserve for chargebacks will also generally increase. However, the size of the increase depends on the product mix. The Company continually monitors the reserve for chargebacks and makes adjustments when it believes that actual chargebacks may differ from estimated reserves.

45

REBATES - Rebates are offered to the Company's key customers to promote customer loyalty and encourage greater product sales. These rebate programs provide customers with rebate credits upon attainment of pre-established volumes or attainment of net sales milestones for a specified period. Other promotional programs are incentive programs offered to the customers. At the time of shipment, the Company estimates reserves for rebates and other promotional credit programs based on the specific terms in each agreement. The reserve for rebates increases as sales to certain wholesale and retail customers increase. However, these rebate programs are tailored to the customers' individual programs. Hence, the reserve will depend on the mix of customers that comprise such rebate programs.

RETURNS - Consistent with industry practice, the Company has a product returns policy that allows select customers to return product within a specified period prior to and subsequent to the product's lot expiration date, in exchange for a credit to be applied to future purchases. The Company's policy requires that the customer obtain pre-approval from the Company for any qualifying return. The Company estimates its provision for returns based on historical experience, changes to business practices and credit terms. While such experience has allowed for reasonable estimations in the past, history may not always be an accurate indicator of future returns. The Company continually monitors the provisions for returns, and makes adjustments when it believes that actual product returns may differ from established reserves. Generally, the reserve for returns increases as net sales increase.

PRICE ADJUSTMENTS - Price adjustments, also known as "shelf stock adjustments," are credits issued to reflect decreases in the selling prices of the Company's products that customers have remaining in their inventories at the time of the price reduction. Decreases in selling prices are discretionary decisions made by management to reflect competitive market conditions. Amounts recorded for estimated shelf stock adjustments are based upon specified terms with direct customers, estimated declines in market prices and estimates of inventory held by customers. The Company regularly monitors these and other factors and evaluates the reserve as additional information becomes available.

INVENTORIES - Inventories are valued at the lower of cost (determined under the first-in, first-out method) or market.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT - Property, plant and equipment are stated at

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

cost. Depreciation and amortization are provided for by the straight-line and accelerated methods over estimated useful lives of the assets. Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was approximately \$945,000 and \$747,000, respectively.

DEFERRED DEBT ACQUISITION COSTS - Costs incurred in connection with obtaining financing are amortized by the straight-line method over the term of the loan arrangements. Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was approximately \$37,000 and \$42,000, respectively.

SHIPPING AND HANDLING COSTS - The cost of shipping products to customers is recognized at the time the products are shipped, and is included in COST OF SALES.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - Research and development expenses are charged to operations as incurred.

46

ADVERTISING COSTS - The Company charges advertising costs to operations as incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was approximately \$118,000 and \$16,000, respectively.

INCOME TAXES - The Company uses the liability method specified by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when these differences reverse. Deferred tax expense/(benefit) is the result of changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

LONG-LIVED ASSETS - SFAS No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of, provides guidance on when to recognize and how to measure impairment losses of long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles and how to value long-lived assets to be disposed of. Impairment losses recognized during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$136,843 and \$137,177, respectively (See NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS). The impairment losses recognized during Fiscal 2003 represent a reduction in the net book value of certain leasehold improvements at the 500 State Road facility. The Company has made a preliminary decision to move the operations currently performed at this facility to a new facility at 9001 Torresdale Avenue. As a result of this decision, the Company expects to abandon certain leasehold improvements at the 500 State Road building.

EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE - SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share, requires a dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share on the face of the Company's consolidated statement of income and a reconciliation of the computation of basic earnings per share to diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share excludes the dilutive impact of common stock equivalents and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share includes the effect of potential dilution from the exercise of outstanding common stock equivalents into common stock using the treasury stock method. Earnings per share amounts for all periods presented have been calculated in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 128. A reconciliation of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share follows:

2003

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

	NET INCOME (NUMERATOR)	SHARES (DENOMINATOR)	NET INCOME (NUMERATOR)
Basic earnings per share factors	\$ 11,666,887	19,968,633	\$ 7,195,9
Effect of potentially dilutive option plans and debentures	-----	152,681	-----
Diluted earnings per share factors	\$ 11,666,887	20,121,314	\$ 7,195,9
	=====	=====	=====
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.58		\$ 0.
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.58		\$ 0.

The number of shares have been adjusted for the Company's 3 for 2 stock split in February 2003.

Options to purchase 15,525 shares, 10,001 shares, 50,625 shares, 292,755 shares and 40,815 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share, \$2.30 per share, \$4.63 per share, \$7.97 per share and \$11.27 per share, respectively, were outstanding at June 30, 2003. Adjusted for the effect of the Company's 3 for 2 stock split in February 2003, options to purchase 66,533 shares, 23,753 shares, 15,000 shares, 45,000 shares and 1,575 shares of common stock at \$0.75 per share, \$0.53 per share, \$2.30 per share, \$0.92 per share and \$2.52 per share, respectively, were outstanding at June 30, 2002.

47

SEGMENT INFORMATION - The Company reports segment information in accordance with SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. The Company operates one business segment--generic pharmaceuticals. In accordance with SFAS No. 131, the Company aggregates its financial information for all products, and reports on one operating segment. The Company's products contain various active pharmaceutical ingredients aimed at treating a diverse range of medical indications. The following table identifies the Company's net product sales by medical indication for Fiscal 2003 and 2002.

MEDICAL INDICATION	FISCAL 2003	FISCAL 2002
-----	-----	-----
Migraine Headache	\$18,969,000	\$14,294,000
Epilepsy	15,279,000	6,446,000
Heart Failure	4,977,000	0
Thyroid Deficiency	902,000	0
Cough/Cold	681,000	3,266,000
Other	1,679,000	1,120,000
	-----	-----
Total	\$42,487,000	\$25,126,000
	=====	=====

CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK AND ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE - One customer accounted for approximately 13% of net sales in Fiscal 2003. Another customer accounted for approximately 12% and 22% of net sales in Fiscal 2003 and Fiscal 2002, respectively. Another customer accounted for 19% of net sales in Fiscal 2002.

One of the Company's products accounted for approximately 35% and 54%, respectively, of net sales in fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and June 30,

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

2002. Another product accounted for approximately 26% of net sales in fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. The Company expects these percentages to decrease as it continues to market additional products.

Credit terms are offered to customers based on evaluations of the customers' financial condition. Generally, collateral is not required from customers. Accounts receivable payment terms vary, and are stated in the financial statements at amounts due from customers net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Accounts outstanding longer than the payment terms are considered past due. The Company determines its allowance by considering a number of factors, including the length of time trade accounts receivable are past due, the Company's previous loss history, the customer's current ability to pay its obligation to the Company, and the condition of the general economy and the industry as a whole. The Company writes-off accounts receivable when they become uncollectible, and payments subsequently received on such receivables are credited to the allowance for doubtful accounts.

STOCK OPTIONS - At June 30, 2003, the Company had two stock-based employee compensation plans (See Note 9). The Company accounts for stock options under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," as amended by SFAS No. 148. Under this statement, companies may use a fair value-based method for valuing stock-based compensation, which measures compensation cost at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award. Compensation is then recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period. Alternatively, SFAS No. 123 permits entities to continue accounting for employee stock options

48

and similar equity instruments under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Entities that continue to account for stock options using APB Opinion 25 are required to make pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share, as if the fair value-based method of accounting defined in SFAS No.123 had been applied. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock-based employee compensation.

	FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2003	2002
Net income, as reported	\$ 11,666,887	\$ 7,195,990
Deduct: Total compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all stock awards	(539,029)	(90,302)
Add: Tax savings at effective rate	208,065	32,148
	-----	-----
Pro forma net income	11,335,923	7,137,836
	=====	=====
Earnings per share:		
Basic, as reported	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.36
	=====	=====
Basic, pro forma	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.36
	=====	=====
Diluted, as reported	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.36
	=====	=====
Diluted, pro forma	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.36
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

USE OF ESTIMATES - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On July 20, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 141, Business Combinations, and SFAS 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets. SFAS 141 is effective for all business combinations completed after June 30, 2001. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001; however, certain provisions of this Statement apply to goodwill and other intangible assets acquired between July 1, 2001 and the effective date of SFAS 142. Major provisions of these Statements and their effective dates for the Company are as follows:

- all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 must use the purchase method of accounting. The pooling of interest method of accounting is prohibited except for transactions initiated before July 1, 2001.

- intangible assets acquired in a business combination must be recorded separately from goodwill if they arise from contractual or other legal rights or are separable from the acquired entity and can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or as part of a related contract, asset or liability

49

- goodwill, as well as intangible assets with indefinite lives, acquired after June 30, 2001, are not amortized. Effective July 1, 2002, all previously recognized goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer subject to amortization.

- Effective July 1, 2002, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are to be tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an impairment indicator

- all acquired goodwill must be assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing and segment reporting.

Management's assessment is that these Statements did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. SFAS 143 applies to all entities, including rate-regulated entities, that have legal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset that results from acquisition, construction or development and (or) normal operations of the long-lived asset. The application of this Statement is not limited to certain specialized industries, such as the extractive or nuclear industries. This Statement also applies, for example, to a company that operates a manufacturing facility and has a legal obligation to dismantle the manufacturing plant and restore the underlying land when it ceases operation of that plant. A liability for an asset retirement obligation should be recognized if the obligation meets the definition of a liability and can be reasonably estimated. The initial recording should be at fair value. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002, with earlier application encouraged. The provisions of this Statement do not have a material impact on the financial condition or results of

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

operations of the Company.

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS No. 144 retains the existing requirements to recognize and measure the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used or to be disposed of by sale. However, SFAS 144 makes changes to the scope and certain measurement requirements of existing accounting guidance. SFAS 144 also changes the requirements relating to reporting the effects of a disposal or discontinuation of a segment of a business. SFAS 144 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this statement did not have a significant impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

The Company adopted SFAS 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB No. 13, and Technical Corrections. SFAS No. 145 changes the accounting principles governing extraordinary items by clarifying and, to some extent, modifying the existing definition and criteria, specifying disclosure for extraordinary items and specifying disclosure requirements for other unusual or infrequently occurring events and transactions that are not extraordinary items. SFAS 145 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002, with early adoption encouraged. The adoption of this statement did not have a significant impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

In July 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" (SFAS 146). SFAS 146 requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS 146 is effective prospectively for exit and disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. The adoption of this statement did not have a significant impact on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

50

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS No. 148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 have been adopted by the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2003. See Note 9.

In November 2002, FASB Interpretation 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN 45), was issued. FIN 45 requires a guarantor entity, at the inception of a guarantee covered by the measurement provisions of the interpretation, to record a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The Company previously did not record a liability when guaranteeing obligations unless it became probable that the Company would have to perform under the guarantee. FIN 45 applies prospectively to guarantees the Company issues or modifies subsequent to December 31, 2002, but has certain disclosure requirements effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. The Company has not historically issued guarantees and does not anticipate FIN 45 will have a material effect on its fiscal 2004 consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

In January 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. FIN 46 clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, for certain entities that do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties or in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest ("variable interest entities"). Variable interest entities within the scope of FIN 46 will be required to be consolidated by their primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity is determined to be the party that absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of its expected returns, or both. FIN 46 applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2002, and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. It applies in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2002, to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2002. The adoption of FIN 46 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

On May 15, 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). Many of those instruments were

51

previously classified as equity. SFAS No. 150 affects the issuer's accounting for three types of freestanding financial instruments:

- mandatorily redeemable shares, which the issuing company is obligated to buy back in exchange for cash or other assets;
- instruments that do or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets, including put options and forward purchase contracts; and
- obligations that can be settled with shares, the monetary value of which is fixed, tied solely or predominantly to a variable such as a market index, or varies inversely with the value of the issuers' shares.

SFAS No. 150 does not apply to features embedded in a financial instrument that is not a derivative in its entirety.

Most of the guidance in SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

RECLASSIFICATIONS - Certain reclassifications were made to the 2002 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2003 presentation.

2. INVENTORIES

Inventories at June 30, 2003 and 2002 consist of the following:

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

	2003	2002
Raw materials	\$2,625,463	\$2,479,344
Work-in-process	992,330	691,346
Finished goods	4,363,432	1,560,029
Packaging supplies	194,573	206,488
	-----	-----
	\$8,175,798	\$4,937,207
	=====	=====

3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment at June 30, 2003 and 2002 consist of the following:

	USEFUL LIVES	2003	2002
Land	-	\$ 33,414	\$ 33,414
Building and improvements	10 - 39 years	3,487,261	3,124,268
Machinery and equipment	5 - 10 years	7,896,058	6,877,429
Furniture and fixtures	5 - 7 years	146,570	109,857
Construction in Progress	-	322,425	-
		-----	-----
		\$11,885,728	\$ 10,144,968
		=====	=====

52

4. BANK LINE OF CREDIT

The Company has a \$3,000,000 line of credit with a bank that bears interest at the prime interest rate minus 0.25% per annum (4.00% at June 30, 2003). The line of credit is due November 30, 2003. The Company expects to extend the maturity date before the scheduled due date. At June 30, 2003, the Company had \$0 outstanding, and \$3,000,000 available under the line of credit. The line of credit is collateralized by substantially all Company assets. Further, the line of credit and a related letter of credit contain certain financial covenants (see Note 5).

5. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt at June 30, 2003 and 2002 consists of the following:

	2003	2002
Tax-exempt Bond Loan	\$3,097,802	\$3,700,000
Taxable Bond Loan		239,850
	-----	-----
	3,097,802	3,939,850
Less current portion	718,333	596,517
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

\$2,379,469 \$3,343,333
 =====

In April 1999, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the "Agreement") with a governmental authority (the "Authority") to finance future construction and growth projects of the Company. The Authority has issued \$3,700,000 in tax-exempt variable rate demand and fixed rate revenue bonds to provide the funds to finance such growth projects pursuant to a trust indenture (the "Trust Indenture"). The bonds were issued under and secured by a Trust Indenture between the Authority and a bank, as trustee. A portion of the Company's proceeds from the bonds was used to pay for bond issuance costs of approximately \$170,000. The remainder of the proceeds was deposited into a money market account, which was restricted to future plant and equipment needs of the Company as specified in the Agreement. The Agreement requires the Company to repay the Authority loan through installment payments beginning in May 2003 and continuing through May 2014, the year the bonds mature. Such payments will be deposited into an interest-bearing debt service money market account. The bonds bear interest at the floating variable rate determined by the organization responsible for selling the bonds (the "remarketing agent"). The interest rate fluctuates on a weekly basis. The effective interest rate at June 30, 2003 was 1.2%. The Company has an option to convert the bonds to a fixed rate of interest under certain conditions. At June 30, 2003, the Company has \$3,097,802 outstanding on the Authority loan, of which \$718,333 is classified as currently due. The remainder is classified as a long-term liability. In April 1999, an irrevocable letter of credit of \$3,770,000 was issued by a bank to secure payment of the Authority loan and a portion of the related accrued interest. At June 30, 2003, no portion of the letter of credit has been utilized.

53

In April 1999, the Company authorized and directed the issuance of \$2,300,000 in taxable variable rate demand and fixed rate revenue bonds pursuant to a trust indenture between the Company and a bank, as trustee (the "Trust Indenture (Taxable)"). From the proceeds of the bonds, \$750,000 was utilized to pay deferred interest owed to the principal shareholder of the Company and approximately \$1,440,000 was paid to a bank to refinance a mortgage term loan and equipment term loans. The remainder of the proceeds was used to pay bond issuance costs of approximately \$109,000. The Trust Indenture (Taxable) required the Company to repay the bonds through installment payments beginning in May 2000 and continuing through May 2003, the year the bonds matured.

Annual repayments of debt, including sinking fund requirements, as of June 30, 2003 are as follows:

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30,	AMOUNTS PAYABLE TO INSTITUTIONS
2004	\$ 718,333
2005	706,667
2006	678,333
2007	300,000
2008	108,333
Thereafter	586,136

	\$3,097,802
	=====

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

6. LINE OF CREDIT PAYABLE TO SHAREHOLDER

On October 1, 2001, a debt modification agreement was consummated, by and between, the Company and its principal shareholder relating to the line of credit agreement described below. The Company and its principal shareholder had previously modified the debt agreement relating to the line of credit as of March 15, 1993, August 1, 1994, May 15, 1995, December 31, 1995, June 30, 1996, November 1, 1996, September 9, 1997, June 30, 1998, December 30, 1998, December 31, 1999 and October 1, 2000. In each of the modifications, the maturity date of the debt was extended.

The Company had a \$4,250,000 revolving line of credit from a shareholder who is also the Chairman of the Board ("Shareholder Line of Credit"). The maturity date on the Shareholder Line of Credit was December 1, 2002. The Company did not renew this line of credit because the cash available from its current and prospective loan agreements, and the cash generated from its operations were estimated to be sufficient to support the Company's anticipated growth, in terms of cash requirements. At June 30, 2002, the Company had no amount outstanding and \$4,250,000 available under this line of credit.

The interest rate on the line of credit was the prime rate published by Michigan National Bank plus 1% per annum. Interest expense during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was approximately \$0, and \$131,245, respectively. Accrued interest at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002 was \$0. The line of credit was collateralized by substantially all Company assets, and was subordinated to the bank letters of credit and line of credit.

54

7. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes consists of the following for the years ended June 30.

	2003	2002
Current Income Taxes	\$ 7,173,350	\$ 3,260,896
Deferred Income Taxes	161,390	723,239
	-----	-----
	\$ 7,334,740	\$ 3,984,135
	=====	=====

A reconciliation of the differences between the effective rates and statutory rates is as follows:

	2003	2002
Federal income tax at statutory rate	35.0%	34.0%
State and local income tax, net	6.5%	3.1%
Other	-2.9%	-1.5%
	----	----
Income taxes expense/(benefit)	38.6%	35.6%
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

The principal types of differences between assets and liabilities for financial statement and tax return purposes are net operating loss carryforwards and accumulated depreciation. As of June 30, 2003, the Company has utilized all of its available federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$2,457,000. A deferred tax liability is recorded for the future liability created by different depreciation methods for financial statement and tax return purposes. As of June 30, 2003 and 2002, temporary differences which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	2003	2002
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses	\$ 30,077	\$ 38,370
Reserves for Accounts Receivable and Inventory	539,781	261,998
	-----	-----
	569,858	300,368
Valuation allowance	-	-
	-----	-----
Total	569,858	300,368
Deferred tax liability - Accumulated Depreciation on Property, Plant and Equipment	1,112,369	681,489
	-----	-----
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (542,511)	\$ (381,121)
	=====	=====

8. STOCK OPTIONS

In Fiscal 1993, the Company adopted the 1993 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1993 Plan"). Pursuant to the 1993 Plan and its amendments, employees and non-employees of the Company may be granted stock options, which qualify as incentive stock options, as well as stock options which are nonqualified. The exercise price of the options granted were at least equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. There were 2,000,000 shares originally reserved for under the 1993 Plan. Of this amount, options for 390,419 shares were granted, and were either exercised by the recipient, or are currently outstanding. Pursuant to the plan provisions, the 1993 Plan terminated on February 13, 2003. No additional shares were granted under this Plan after this date.

In February 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "2003 Plan"). Pursuant to the 2003 Plan, employees and non-employees of the Company may be granted stock options which may qualify as incentive stock options, as well as stock options which are nonqualified. The exercise price of the incentive stock options is at least the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The exercise price of nonqualified options may be above or below the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant. The options generally vest over a three-year period and expire no later than 10 years from the date of grant. There are 1,125,000 shares reserved for under the 2003 Plan. Of this amount, options for 40,815 shares were granted in Fiscal 2003, and were either exercised by the recipient, or are currently outstanding. Options for 1,084,185 shares remain available for grants under the Plan.

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

A summary of the status of the combined options for both the 1993 Plan and the 2003 Plan, as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the changes during the years then ended is represented below:

	2003		2002	
	SHARES	WEIGHTED AVG. EXERCISE PRICE	SHARES	WEIGHTED AVG. EXERCISE PRICE
Outstanding beginning of year	151,860	\$ 0.94	226,875	\$ 0.73
Granted	398,820	7.82	15,000	2.30
Exercised	(131,709)	1.26	(85,014)	0.63
Terminated	(9,250)	3.74	(5,001)	0.53
	-----		-----	
Outstanding end of year	409,721	\$ 7.47	151,860	\$ 0.94
	=====		=====	
Options exercisable at year-end	98,025	\$ 6.82	95,933	\$ 0.77
	=====		=====	
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year		\$ 7.82		\$ 2.30
		=====		=====

Note: The number of shares and the prices per share in the above table have been adjusted proportionately, based on the Company's 3 for 2 stock split in February 2003.

EXERCISE PRICE	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING AT JUNE 30, 2003			OPTIONS EXERCISABLE AT JUNE 30, 2003		
	# OF SHARES	AVERAGE LIFE	AVERAGE PRICE	# OF SHARES	AVERAGE LIFE	AVERAGE PRICE
\$ 0.75	15,525	6.4	\$ 0.75	15,525	6.4	\$ 0.75
\$ 2.30	10,001	8.5	\$ 2.30	0	8.5	\$ 2.30
\$ 4.63	50,625	9.0	\$ 4.63	0	9.0	\$ 4.63
\$ 7.97	292,755	9.3	\$ 7.97	82,500	9.3	\$ 7.97
\$ 11.27	40,815	9.7	\$ 11.27	0	9.7	\$ 11.27
	-----			-----		
	409,721			98,025		
	-----			-----		

The fair value of the options granted were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions for grants during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002: risk-free interest rates ranging from 3.89% to 5.15%, expected volatility of 79.1% and 70.6%, dividend yield of 0%, and expected life of 10 years.

The Company accounts for stock options under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," as amended by SFAS No. 148. Under this statement, companies may use a fair value-based method for valuing stock-based compensation, which measures compensation cost at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award. Compensation is then recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period. Alternatively, SFAS No. 123 permits

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

entities to continue accounting for employee stock options and similar equity instruments under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Entities that continue to account for stock options using APB Opinion 25 are required to make pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share, as if the fair value-based method of accounting defined in SFAS No.123 had been applied. This disclosure is presented in Note 2.

56

9. EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

In February 2003, the Company's shareholders approved an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"). Employees eligible to participate in the ESPP may purchase shares of the Company's stock at 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the common stock on the first day of the calendar quarter, or the last day of the calendar quarter. Under the ESPP, employees can authorize the Company to withhold up to 10% of their compensation during any quarterly offering period, subject to certain limitations. The ESPP was implemented on April 1, 2003 and is qualified under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Board of Directors authorized an aggregate total of 1,125,000 shares (adjusted for the Company's 3 for 2 stock split in February 2003) of the Company's common stock for issuance under the ESPP. As of June 30, 2003, no shares have been issued under the ESPP. As of June 30, 2003, employees participating in the ESPP have been granted options to purchase 2,218 shares.

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

The Company has a defined contribution 401k plan (the "Plan") covering substantially all employees. Pursuant to the Plan provisions, the Company is required to make matching contributions equal to each employee's contribution, but not to exceed 3% of the employee's compensation for the Plan year. Contributions to the Plan during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$103,077 and \$86,222, respectively.

11. CONTINGENCIES

The Company monitors its compliance with all environmental laws. Any compliance costs which may be incurred are contingent upon the results of future site monitoring and will be charged to operations when incurred. No monitoring costs were incurred during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

The Company is currently engaged in several civil actions as a co-defendant with many other manufacturers of Diethylstilbestrol ("DES"), a synthetic hormone. Prior litigation established that the Company's pro rata share of any liability is less than one-tenth of one percent. Due to the fact that prior litigation established the "market share" method of prorating liability amongst the companies that manufactured DES during the drug's commercial distribution, which ended in 1971, management has accepted this method as the most reasonably expected method of determining liability for future outcomes of claims. The Company was represented in many of these actions by the insurance company with which the Company maintained coverage (subject to limits of liability) during the time period that damages were alleged to have occurred. The Company has either settled or had dismissed approximately 250 claims. An additional 283 claims are currently being defended. Prior settlements have been in the range of \$500 to \$3,500. Management believes that the outcome will not have a material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In addition to the matters reported herein, the Company is involved in litigation which arises in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these lawsuits will not have a material adverse

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations.

57

12. COMMITMENTS

In January 1997, the Company entered into an operating lease for additional space at 500 State Road, in Bensalem, Pennsylvania. Currently, this leased facility houses the shipping and receiving department, warehousing, and the research and development laboratory. The lease was extended through April 30, 2004. On July 1, 2003, the Company entered into another lease for a 62,000 square foot facility at 9001 Torresdale Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, approximately 1 mile from the Company's headquarters. The lease expires on November 30, 2003; and the Company has the contractual right and option to purchase the facility at any time during the lease term. The Company currently expects to exercise this purchase option prior to the lease termination date of November 30, 2003. The purchase price of this facility is included in the Company's estimate of \$9.3 million in capital expenditures in Fiscal 2004 (See LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES). Prior to the expiration of the lease term at 500 State Road, the Company is planning to move all operations currently performed at 500 State Road to 9001 Torresdale Avenue. In addition to the laboratory research, warehousing and distribution operations currently performed at 500 State Road, other operational functions may be moved from the Company headquarters to 9001 Torresdale Avenue. This move will occur gradually, and will allow the Company to maximize its FDA approved production facility at 9000 State Road for production output. In addition to these two facility leases, the Company also has an operating lease, expiring in 2005, for office equipment. Future minimum lease payments under these agreements are as follows:

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30,	AMOUNT
2004	248,382
2005	11,935

	\$ 260,317
	=====

Rental expense for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was \$138,000 and \$124,000, respectively.

13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company had sales of approximately \$348,000 and \$174,000 during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, to a distributor (the "related party") in which the owner is a relative of the Chairman of the Board of Directors and principal shareholder of the Company. The Company also incurred sales commissions payable to the related party of approximately \$68,000 and \$221,000 during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Accounts receivable includes amounts due from the related party of approximately \$95,000 and \$59,000 at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively. Accrued expenses include amounts due to the related party of approximately \$0 and \$8,000 at June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively.

58

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

Lannett's unaudited quarterly consolidated results of operations, and market price information are shown below:

	FOURTH QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	FIRST QUARTER
FISCAL 2003				
Net Sales	\$ 12,157,035	\$ 11,019,906	\$ 10,183,161	\$ 9,126,656
Cost of goods sold	4,479,690	3,976,519	3,965,474	3,836,110
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Gross Profit	7,677,345	7,043,387	6,217,687	5,290,546
Other Operating Expenses	2,020,151	1,750,420	1,791,829	1,350,336
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating Income	5,657,194	5,292,967	4,425,858	3,940,210
Other Income/(Expense)	(154,087)	(123,253)	(13,321)	(23,940)
Income Taxes	2,406,418	1,914,081	1,649,624	1,364,617
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net Income	3,096,689	3,255,633	2,762,913	2,551,653
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.13
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Diluted Earnings per share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.13
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Market Price per share				
High	\$ 23.44	\$ 15.52	\$ 13.97	\$ 7.41
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Low	\$ 11.36	\$ 11.05	\$ 5.67	\$ 4.63
	=====	=====	=====	=====
FISCAL 2002				
Net Sales	\$ 7,023,812	\$ 8,638,229	\$ 5,391,341	\$ 4,072,832
Cost of goods sold	2,593,663	2,075,856	2,236,715	1,546,444
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Gross Profit	4,430,149	6,562,373	3,154,626	2,526,388
Other Operating Expenses	1,275,188	1,623,557	1,136,340	1,012,108
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating Income	3,154,961	4,938,816	2,018,286	1,514,280
Other Income/(Expense)	(220,166)	(32,252)	(84,404)	(109,395)
Income Taxes	952,854	1,862,281	677,290	491,710
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net Income	1,981,941	3,044,283	1,256,592	913,175
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Diluted Earnings per share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Market Price per share				
High	\$ 8.00	\$ 3.77	\$ 2.69	\$ 1.33
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Low	\$ 3.50	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.69
	-----	-----	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

Exhibit Number	Description	Method of Filing	Page
3.1	Articles of Incorporation	Incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement filed with respect to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on December 6, 1991 (the "1991 Proxy Statement").	
3.2	By-Laws, as amended	Incorporated by reference to the 1991 Proxy Statement.	
4	Specimen Certificate for Common Stock	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form 8 dated April 23, 1993 (Amendment No. 3 to Form 10-KSB for Fiscal 1992) ("Form 8")	
10.1	Line of Credit Note dated March 11, 1999 between the Company and First Union National Bank	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ad) to the Annual Report on 1999 Form 10-KSB	
10.2	Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development Taxable Variable Rate Demand/Fixed Rate Revenue Bonds, Series of 1999	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ae) to the Annual Report on 1999 Form 10-KSB	
10.3	Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development Tax-Exempt Variable Rate Demand/Fixed Revenue Bonds (Lannett Company, Inc. Project) Series of 1999	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(af) to the Annual Report on 1999 Form 10-KSB	
10.4	Letter of Credit and Agreements supporting bond issues between the Company and First Union National Bank	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ag) to the Annual Report on 1999 Form 10-KSB	
10.5	2003 Stock Option Plan	Incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2002	
10.6	Terms of Employment Agreement with Kevin Smith	Filed Herewith	54-

60

Exhibit Number	Description	Method of Filing	Page
10.7	Terms of Employment Agreement with Arthur Bedrosian	Filed Herewith	56-57
10.8	Terms of Employment Agreement with Larry Dalesandro	Filed Herewith	58
10.9 (Note A)	Agreement between Lannett Company, Inc and Siegfried	Filed Herewith	

Edgar Filing: LANNETT CO INC - Form 10KSB/A

(USA), Inc.

11	Computation of Earnings Per Share	Filed Herewith	59
13	Annual Report on Form 10-KSB	Filed Herewith	29-51
21	Subsidiaries of the Company	Filed Herewith	60
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed Herewith	61-62
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed Herewith	63-64
32	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed Herewith	65

Note A: Portions of Exhibits 10.9 have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment. Complete copies of this agreement, including the redacted portions, have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.