CNA SURETY CORP Form 10-K/A March 15, 2004

\_\_\_\_\_\_

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

-----

FORM 10-K/A

-----

|X| ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

| | TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 1-13277

-----

CNA SURETY CORPORATION
(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE
(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

36-4144905 (I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

CNA PLAZA, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS (ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

60685 (ZIP CODE)

(312) 822-5000 (REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

-----

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

COMMON STOCK, \$0.01 PAR VALUE

(Title of Class)

\_\_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes |X| No |

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes |X| No |

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by nonaffiliates was \$122.7 million based upon the closing price of \$7.90 per share on March 3, 2003, using beneficial ownership of stock rules adopted pursuant to Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to exclude voting stock owned by Directors, Officers and Major Stockholders, some of whom may not be held to be affiliates upon judicial determination.

At March 3, 2003, 42,958,207 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the CNA Surety Corporation Proxy Statement prepared for the 2003 annual meeting of shareholders, pursuant to Regulation 14A, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A amends the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2002 as filed by the Registrant on March 26, 2003 and is being filed to amend and restate Item 1 (Business), Item 6 (Selected Financial Data), Item 7 (Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations), Item 8 (Financial Statements and Supplementary Data), Item 14 (Controls and Procedures) and Item 15 (Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K). Subsequent to the filing of the CNA Surety Corporation ("CNA Surety" or "Company") Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, the Company revised its accounting for ceded premiums under a multiple year excess of loss reinsurance treaty with its affiliate, Continental Casualty Company ("CCC"), which has been in place since October 1, 2002. Under the contract CNA Surety pays a quarterly premium of \$3.125 million, of which 70% is added to an experience account that is reduced by any losses ceded to the treaty. To the extent CNA Surety commutes the treaty, it is entitled to receive payment from CCC of its experience account balance. Under Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue 93-6 "Accounting for Multiple-Year Retrospectively Rated Contracts by Ceding and Assuming Enterprises" ("EITF 93-6"), a receivable for return premium based on experience under the contract should be established at December 31, 2002. CNA Surety had not previously established such a receivable, and has restated its 2002 consolidated financial statements to comply with EITF 93-6.

This report on Form 10-K/A does not reflect the effect of any events subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-K, except the aforementioned restatement.

2

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

Item 1.	Business	4
	Formation of CNA Surety and Merger	4
	Description of Business	4
	Financial Strength Ratings	4
	Product Information	4 - 5
	Marketing	7
	Underwriting	8
	Competition	8
	Reinsurance	8
	Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses	9
	Claims	11
	Environmental Claims	11
	Regulation	11
	Investments	12
	Employees	12
PART II		
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	14
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	
	and Results of Operations	15
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	35
PART III		
Item 14.	Controls and Procedures	61
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form	
	-	

3

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

CNA Surety Corporation ("CNA Surety" or the "Company") is an insurance holding company in the United States formed through the September 30, 1997 combination of the surety business of CNA Financial Corporation with Capsure Holdings Corp.'s ("Capsure") insurance subsidiaries. CNA Surety is currently one of the largest surety providers in the United States with approximately an 8.8% market share (based upon 2001 A.M. Best Company, Inc. written premium data). Its wide selection of products range from very small commercial bonds to large contract bonds.

### FORMATION OF CNA SURETY AND MERGER

In December 1996, CNA Financial Corporation ("CNAF") and Capsure agreed to merge (the "Merger") the surety business of CNAF with Capsure's insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company ("Western Surety") and Universal Surety of America ("USA"), into CNA Surety. CNAF, through its operating subsidiaries, writes multiple lines of property and casualty insurance, including surety business that is reinsured by Western Surety. CNAF owns approximately 64% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety. Loews Corporation owns approximately 90%

of the outstanding common stock of CNAF. The principal operating subsidiaries of CNAF that wrote the surety line of business for their own account prior to the Merger were Continental Casualty Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CCC") and The Continental Insurance Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CIC"). CIC was acquired by CNAF on May 10, 1995. The combined surety operations of CCC and CIC are referred to herein as CCC Surety Operations.

#### DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries write surety and fidelity bonds in all 50 states through a combined network of approximately 34,000 independent agencies. CNA Surety's principal insurance subsidiaries are Western Surety and USA. The insurance subsidiaries write, on a direct basis or as business assumed from CCC and CIC, small fidelity and small, medium and large non-contract surety bonds, referred to as commercial bonds; small, medium and large contract bonds; and errors and omissions ("E&O") liability insurance. Western Surety is a licensed insurer in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. USA is licensed in 44 states and the District of Columbia. Western Surety's affiliated company, Surety Bonding Company of America ("SBCA"), is licensed in 28 states and the District of Columbia.

#### FINANCIAL STRENGTH RATINGS

#### A.M. BEST COMPANY, INC. ("A.M. BEST")

Western Surety and USA are both currently rated A+ (Superior), by A.M. Best. An A+ (Superior) rating is assigned to those companies which A.M. Best believes have achieved superior overall performance when compared to the norms of the property and casualty insurance industry. A+ (Superior) rated insurers have been shown to be among the strongest in ability to meet policyholder and other contractual obligations. Through intercompany reinsurance and related agreements, CNA Surety's customers have access to CCC's broader underwriting capacity. CCC is currently rated A (Excellent) by A.M. Best. A.M. Best's letter ratings range from A++ (Superior) to F (In Liquidation) with A++ being highest.

#### STANDARD AND POOR'S ("S&P")

CCC, Western Surety and USA are all currently rated A- (Strong), by S&P. S&P's letter ratings range from AAA+ (Extremely Strong) to CC (Extremely Weak) with AAA+ being highest. Ratings from "AA" to "CCC" may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories. An insurer rated "A" has strong financial security characteristics, but is somewhat more likely to be affected by adverse business conditions than are insurers with higher ratings.

#### PRODUCT INFORMATION

4

According to the Surety Association of America ("SAA") industry estimates for 2000, approximately 75% of the United States surety market is represented by bonds required by federal statutes, state laws, and local ordinances. These bonding requirements range from federal construction projects, where the contractor is required to post performance and payment bonds which guarantee performance of contracts to the government as well as payment of bills to subcontractors and suppliers, to license and permit bonds which guarantee compliance with legal requirements for business operations.

#### PRODUCTS AND POLICIES

Unlike a standard, two-party insurance policy, surety bonds are three-party agreements in which the issuer of the bond (the surety) joins with a second party (the principal) in guaranteeing to a third party (the owner/obligee) the fulfillment of some obligation on the part of the principal. The surety is the party who guarantees fulfillment of the principal's obligation to the obligee. In addition, sureties are generally entitled to recover from the principal any losses and expenses paid to third parties. The surety's responsibility is to evaluate the risk and determine if the principal meets the underwriting requirements for the bond. Accordingly, surety bond premiums primarily reflect the type and class of risk and related costs associated with both processing the bond transaction and investigating the applicant including, if necessary, an analysis of the applicant's creditworthiness and ability to perform.

There are two broad types of surety products — contract surety and commercial surety bonds. Contract surety bonds secure a contractor's performance and/or payment obligation generally with respect to a construction project. Contract surety bonds are generally required by federal, state and local governments for public works projects. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract and cover obligations typically required by law or regulation.

Contract bond guarantee obligations include the following:

 $\,$  Bid bonds: used by contractors submitting proposals on potential contracts.

Performance bonds: guarantee to the owner the performance of the contractor's obligations according to the terms and conditions of the contract.

Payment bonds: guarantee payment of the contractor's obligations under the contract for labor, subcontractors, and materials supplied to the project. Payment bonds are utilized in public projects where liens are not permitted.

Other examples of contract bonds are completion, maintenance and supply bonds.

Commercial surety business is comprised of bonds covering obligations typically required by law or regulation, such as the following:

License and Permit bonds: required by statutes or ordinances for a number of purposes including guaranteeing the payment of certain taxes and fees and providing consumer protection as a condition to granting licenses related to selling real estate or motor vehicles and contracting services.

Judicial and Fiduciary bonds: required by statutes, courts or legal documents for the protection of those on whose behalf a fiduciary acts. Examples of such fiduciaries include executors and administrators of estates, and guardians of minors and incompetents.

Public Official bonds: required by statutes and ordinances to guarantee the lawful and faithful performance of the duties of office by public officials.

In 1997, CNA Surety expanded into the international surety and credit insurance market through a 50% U.S. dollar denominated quota share treaty with an affiliate of CCC, CNA Reinsurance Company, Limited (London) ("CNA Re"). In 2000, CNA Re made the decision to discontinue writing assumed international credit and surety business.

CNA Surety also writes direct contract and commercial surety bonds for international risks. Such bonds are written to satisfy the international bond requirements of the Company's domestic customers and for foreign clients.

In 2000, CNA Surety purchased an equity interest in De Montfort Insurance Company PLC, a United Kingdom-based insurance

5

company, which specializes in providing surety bonds and credit insurance. The investment in De Montfort further supports CNA Surety's international growth strategy by enhancing the company's international distribution capabilities in the European surety marketplace.

In addition, the Company markets surety related products such as fidelity bonds and E&O insurance. Fidelity bonds cover losses arising from employee dishonesty. Examples of purchasers of fidelity bonds are law firms, insurance agencies and janitorial service companies. CNA Surety writes E&O policies for two classes of insureds: notaries public and tax preparers. The notary public E&O policy is marketed as a companion product to the notary public bond and the tax preparer E&O policy is marketed to small tax return preparation firms.

Although all of its products are sold through the same independent insurance agent and broker distribution network, the Company's underwriting is organized by the two broad types of surety products — contract surety and commercial surety, which also includes fidelity bonds and other insurance products for these purposes. These two operating segments have been aggregated into one reportable business segment for financial reporting purposes because of their similar economic and operating characteristics.

The following tables set forth, for each principal class of bonds, gross written premiums, net written premiums and number of domestic bonds and policies in force and the respective percentages of the total for the past three years (amounts in thousands, except average bond amounts):

			GROSS WRITTE	N PREMIUMS
	2002	% OF TOTAL	2001	% OF TOTAL
Contract	\$197,875	55.0%	\$180,588	54.2%
License and permit	85 <b>,</b> 787	23.9	77,052	23.1
Judicial and fiduciary	25 <b>,</b> 358	7.0	26 <b>,</b> 279	7.9
Public official	18,861	5.2	18,530	5.6
Other	4,033	1.1	3,165	1.0
Total commercial	134,039	37.2	125,026	37.6
Fidelity and other	27,978	7.8	27,389	8.2
	\$359,892	100.0%	\$333,003	100.0%
Domestic	\$348,010	==== 96.7%	====== \$322 <b>,</b> 106	===== 96.7%
International	11,882	3.3	10,897	3.3
	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	100.0%	\$333 <b>,</b> 003	100.0%
	=======	=====	=======	=====

			NET WRITTEN	PREMIUMS
	2002	% OF TOTAL	2001	% OF TOTAL
Contract  Commercial  Fidelity and other	\$172,633 107,290 26,731	56.3% 35.0 8.7	\$165,603 122,812 27,389	52.4% 38.9 8.7
	\$306 <b>,</b> 654	100.0%	\$315 <b>,</b> 804	100.0%
Domestic International	\$297,385 9,269	97.0% 3.0	\$305,483 10,321	96.7% 3.3
	\$306,654 =====	100.0% =====	\$315,804 =====	100.0%

#### DOMESTIC BONDS/POLICIES IN F

	2002	% OF TOTAL	2001	% OF TOTAL
Contract	39 1,611 507	1.8% 74.7 23.5	37 1,541 462	1.8% 75.6 22.6
	2,157 ======	100.0%	2,040 =====	100.0% =====

# AVERAGE BOND PENALTY/POLICY LIMIT(1)

	2002	2001	2000
Contract	\$905,896 \$ 19,493 \$ 11,152	\$900,768 \$ 22,049 \$ 13,307	\$972,588 \$ 22,869 \$ 14,183

6

In 2002, no individual agency generated more than 1.7% of aggregate gross written premiums. Approximately \$72.4 million, or 20.1%, of gross written premiums were generated from national insurance brokers in 2002 with the single largest national broker production comprising \$28.3 million, or 7.9%, of gross

<sup>(1)</sup> The average bond penalty is a measure of the average limit of liability associated with bonds in force at each reporting period.

written premiums.

#### MARKETING

The Company principally markets its products in all 50 states, as well as the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Its products are marketed primarily through independent producers, including multi-line agents and brokers such as surety specialists, many of whom are members of the National Association of Surety Bond Producers. CNA Surety enjoys broad national distribution of its products, which are marketed through approximately 34,000 of the approximately 44,000 independent property and casualty insurance agencies in the United States. In addition, the Company employs 42 full-time salaried marketing representatives and 5 telemarketing representatives to continually service its vast producer network. Relationships with these independent producers are maintained through the Company's 41 local branch offices.

The following table sets forth the distribution of the domestic business of CNA Surety, by state based upon gross written premiums in each of the last three years:

YEARS	ENI	ED
DECEME	BER	31,

	2002	2001	2000
Gross Written Premiums by State:			
Texas	12.6%	12.9%	11.7%
California	10.8	9.8	8.1
Florida	7.0	6.8	6.0
New York	4.9	4.9	5.1
Pennsylvania	4.5	3.3	3.5
Georgia	4.3	3.7	3.7
North Carolina	3.4	2.9	2.9
Michigan	3.3	3.1	3.0
Massachusetts	3.2	3.0	3.0
All Other	46.0	49.6	53.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	=====	=====	=====

#### Contract Surety

With respect to standard contract surety, the core focus for the Company is contractors with less than \$50 million in contracted work in progress. This segment is comprised of small contractors (less than \$5 million in work in progress), medium contractors (\$5-\$30 million) and the lower end of the large contractors (greater than \$30 million). These small and medium contractors, as a group, represent a significant portion of the United States construction market. While the Company's emphasis continues to be on small and medium contractors, the Company's aggregate exposures to very large accounts has increased in recent years. The Company has approximately 41 very large accounts with contracted work programs of at least \$150 million, the majority of which have been clients of the Company for more than ten years. The Company is actively reducing both the number of these large accounts and the exposure on each account through a variety of underwriting methods. Some of these accounts are maintained on a "co-surety" or joint insurer basis with other sureties in order to manage aggregate exposure.

In addition to standard contract surety, the Company has underwriters that focus on serving the bond requirements of small and emerging specialty contractors. These contractors typically have limited operating history, financial resources or other special characteristics that require different underwriting techniques than standard contract surety. For example, CNA Surety participates in the non-standard contract surety market through the federal government's Small Business Administration ("SBA") surety bond guarantee programs. These programs provide that the SBA assumes 70% -- 90% of the coverage in exchange for 10% -- 30% of the premium.

#### Commercial Surety

A large portion of the commercial surety market is comprised of small obligations such as license and permit bonds that are routine in nature and require minimal underwriting. Customers are focused principally on prompt and efficient service. These small transactional bonds represent more than 75% of the Company's commercial gross written premiums.

7

The Company continues to focus its marketing efforts on this small commercial bond market through its Sioux Falls, South Dakota service center. In this market segment, CNA Surety emphasizes one-day response service, easy-to-use forms and an extensive array of commercial bond products. In addition, independent agents are provided pre-executed bond forms, powers of attorney, and facsimile authorizations that allow them to issue many standard bonds in their offices.

CNA Surety also maintains a specific underwriting staff in Chicago dedicated to middle market and "Fortune 1000" accounts. CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may also direct their marketing to particular industries or classes of bonds on a broad basis. For instance, the Company maintains programs directed at notary bonds, mortgage broker compliance bonds and grain warehouse dealer bonds (protecting funds associated with grain storage). The Company's large commercial account business is estimated to represent less than 25% of the Company's commercial gross written premiums.

#### UNDERWRITING

CNA Surety is focused on consistent underwriting profitability. The extent and sophistication of underwriting activity varies by type of risk. Contractor accounts and large commercial surety customers undergo credit, financial and managerial review and analysis on a regular basis. Certain classifications of bonds, such as fiduciary and court appeal bonds, also require more extensive underwriting.

CNA Surety also targets various products in the surety and fidelity bond market which are characterized by relatively low-risk exposure and small bond amounts. The underwriting criteria, including the extent of bonding authority granted to independent agents, varies depending on the class of business and the type of bond. For example, relatively little underwriting information is typically required of certain low-exposure risks such as notary bonds.

#### COMPETITION

The surety and fidelity market is highly competitive. According to 2001 data from A.M. Best, the U.S. market aggregates approximately \$4.4 billion in direct written premiums, comprised of approximately \$3.5 billion in surety premiums and approximately \$0.9 billion in fidelity premiums. The large diversified insurance companies hold the largest market shares. For example, the 20 largest surety companies account for approximately 80% of the domestic surety

market and 95% of the domestic fidelity market. In 2001, CNA Surety was the third largest surety provider with an 8.8% market share.

Primary competitors of CNA Surety are approximately 20 national, multi-line companies participating in the surety market throughout the country. Management believes that its principal strengths are diverse product offerings, service and accessibility and long-term relationships with agents and accounts. Competition has increased as a result of ten years of profitable underwriting experience through 1999. This competition has typically manifested itself through reduced premium rates and greater tolerance for relaxation of underwriting standards. Beginning in 2000 and through the end of 2002, the surety industry's underwriting performance began to be impacted by the significant increases in corporate defaults. Although premium rates began firming in 2001, particularly on large accounts, management believes such competition will continue.

#### REINSURANCE

The Company's insurance subsidiaries, in the ordinary course of business, cede reinsurance to other insurance companies and affiliates. Reinsurance arrangements are used to limit maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk and minimize exposure on larger risks. Reinsurance contracts do not ordinarily relieve the Company of its primary obligations to claimants. Therefore, a contingent liability exists with respect to reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet the obligations assumed under reinsurance contracts. The Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers, establishes allowances for uncollectible amounts and monitors concentrations of credit risk.

The Company's reinsurance program is predominantly comprised of excess of loss reinsurance contracts that limit the Company's retention on a per principal basis. The Company's reinsurance coverage is provided by third party reinsurers and related parties. Refer to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reinsurance, for further discussion.

At December 31, 2002, CNA Surety's largest reinsurance receivable from an affiliate, CCC, an A rated company by A.M. Best, was approximately \$17.6 million. At December 31, 2002, CNA Surety's largest reinsurance receivable from a non-affiliated reinsurer

8

was approximately \$25.6 million with a company rated A++ (Superior) by A.M. Best.

## RESERVES FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries employ generally accepted reserving approaches in establishing the estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses that give consideration to the inherent difficulty and variability in the estimation process. In addition, CNA Surety utilizes an independent actuarial firm of national standing to conduct periodic reviews of loss reserving practices and annually obtains actuarial certification as to the reasonableness of actuarial assumptions used and the sufficiency of year-end reserves for each of its principal insurance subsidiaries.

The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes, on an undiscounted basis, estimates of (a) the ultimate settlement value of reported claims, (b) incurred but not reported ("IBNR") claims, (c) future expenses to be incurred in the settlement of claims and (d) claim

recoveries, exclusive of reinsurance recoveries which are reported as an asset. These estimates are determined based on the Company's and surety industry loss experience as well as consideration of current trends and conditions. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is an estimate and there is the potential that actual future loss payments will differ significantly from initial estimates. The methods of determining such estimates and the resulting estimated liability are regularly reviewed and updated. Changes in the estimated liability are reflected in operating income in the period in which such changes are determined to be needed.

A table is included in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, that presents the activity in the reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the Company and is incorporated herein by reference. This table highlights the impact of revisions to the estimated liability established in prior years.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the consolidated loss reserves reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), and the reserves reported to state insurance regulatory authorities in accordance with statutory accounting principles ("SAP") for the year ended December 31, 2002 (dollars in thousands):

Net reserves at end of year, GAAP basis	\$ 166,132 137,301
Gross reserves at end of year, GAAP basis  Estimated reinsurance recoverable netted against gross	303,433
reserves for SAP	(137,301)
Net reserves at end of year, SAP basis	\$ 166,132 ======

The loss reserve development table below illustrates the change over time of reserves established for the Company's estimated losses and loss adjustment expenses at the end of various calendar years. The first section shows the reserves as originally reported at the end of the stated year. The second section shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that reserve liability. The third section shows re-estimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year which is the result of management's expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims. The last section compares the latest re-estimated reserve to the reserve originally established, and indicates whether or not the original reserve was adequate or inadequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims.

The loss reserve development table is cumulative as of each December 31, and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. The loss reserve development table reflects, on a pro forma basis, the reserves of the CCC Surety Operations and Capsure since 1992 and CIC since its acquisition in May of 1995. Such historical development is not necessarily indicative of the financial results that would have occurred under the ownership and management of CNA Surety or of future operating results.

	1992	1993	AS OF DEC 1994	EMBER 31, 1995	1996
				THOUSANDS)	
Net reserves for					
losses and loss					
adjustment					
expenses	\$61 <b>,</b> 998	\$64 <b>,</b> 627	\$70 <b>,</b> 398	\$147 <b>,</b> 911	\$137,064
Net Paid					
(Cumulative) as of:					
One year later	17,636	12,923	12,018	42,552	9,866
Two years later	25,854	19,671	18,149	43,179	20,171
Three years					
later	29,495	21,990	21,229	46,782	25,206
Four years later	30,582	23,070	22,313	48,960	32,918
Five years later	30,817	23,864	24,776	56 <b>,</b> 891	35,214
Six years later	32,150	24,706	24,102	54 <b>,</b> 724	38,371
Seven years					
later	32,811	23,180	22,167	57 <b>,</b> 275	
Eight years					
later	31,126	21,279	23,212		
Nine years later	29,220	22,271			
Ten years later	30,198				
Net Reserves					
Re-estimated as of:					
End of initial					
year	61,998	64 <b>,</b> 627	70,398	147,911	137,064
One year later	58,603	54 <b>,</b> 568	51 <b>,</b> 471	132,267	96 <b>,</b> 178
Two years later	54,585	44,749	44,135	103,466	90,796
Three years					
later	47,911	38 <b>,</b> 972	38,829	101,745	77,086
Four years later	42,542	28,094	38,628	89,348	62 <b>,</b> 217
Five years later	33,699	30,335	31,362	77,477	60 <b>,</b> 882
Six years later	37,188	27,842	27,327	72 <b>,</b> 879	61,443
Seven years					
later	34,966	26,010	24,497	73,428	
Eight years					
later	31,672	23,221	25,024		
Nine years later	29,727	22,821			
Ten years later	30,718				
	======	======	======	=======	=======
Total net					
(deficiency)					
redundancy	\$31,280	\$41,806	\$45,374	\$ 74 <b>,</b> 483	\$ 75 <b>,</b> 621
	======	======	======	=======	=======
Cumulative redundancy					
(deficiency) as a					
percentage of					
original					
estimate	50.5%	64.7%	64.5%	50.4%	55.2%
	======	======	======	=======	=======

AS OF DECEMBER 31,
1998 1999 2000 2001 2002

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

Net reserves for

losses and loss					
adjustment					
expenses	\$142,034	\$137,469	\$ 134,298	\$ 149,493	\$166 <b>,</b> 13
Net Paid					
(Cumulative) as of:					
One year later	32,428	35,825	44,763	64,832	_
Two years later	52 <b>,</b> 524	47 <b>,</b> 795	75 <b>,</b> 825		_!
Three years	,		•		
later	58,421	73,341			_
Four years later	67,451				_
Five years later	07 <b>,</b> 15±				_
Six years later					_
-					ļ
Seven years					ļ
later					٦
Eight years					
later					=
Nine years later					-
Ten years later					4
Net Reserves					ļ
Re-estimated as of:					ļ
End of initial					ļ
year	142,034	137,469	134,298	149,493	166,13
One year later	128,949	130,376	139,110	155,673	_
Two years later	114,605	128,134	140,094		_
Three years	,		•		
later	110,462	130,280			_
Four years later	113,748				_
Five years later	113 <b>,</b> / 10				_
Six years later					_
_					
Seven years					
later					_
Eight years					
later					_
Nine years later					_
Ten years later					-
	======	======	=======	=======	======
Total net					
(deficiency)					
redundancy	\$ 28,286	\$ 7,190	\$ (5,796)	\$ (6,180)	-
		=======	=======	=======	
Cumulative redundancy					
(deficiency) as a					
percentage of					
original					
estimate	19.9%	5.2%	(4.3)%	(4.1)%	
escimace	=======	J.Z.	(4.5) 0	=======	

10

### CLAIMS

Proactive claims management is an important factor for the profitable underwriting of surety and fidelity products. The Company maintains an experienced and dedicated staff of in-house claim specialists. Claim handling is performed in Chicago. The disposition of claims and other claim-related activity is done in accordance with established policies, procedures and expense controls designed to minimize loss costs and maximize salvage and subrogation recoveries. Indemnity and subrogation rights exist on a significant portion of the business written, enabling the Company to pursue loss recovery from the principal.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CLAIMS

The Company does not typically bond contractors that specialize in hazardous environmental remediation work. The Company does however bond several accounts that have incidental environmental exposure with respect to which the Company provides bonding programs. In the commercial surety market, the Company provides bonds to large corporations that are in the business of mining various minerals and are obligated to post reclamation bonds that guarantee that property which was disturbed during mining is returned to an acceptable condition when the mining is completed. The Company also provides court and other surety bonds for large corporations wherein the underlying action involves environmental related issues. While no environmental responsibility is overtly provided by commercial or contract bonds, some risk of environmental exposure may exist if the surety were to assume certain rights in the completion of a defaulted project or through salvage recovery. The Company estimates its net case incurred losses on known claims of this nature to be approximately \$12 million as of December 31, 2002.

#### REGULATION

The Company's insurance subsidiaries are subject to varying degrees of regulation and supervision in the jurisdictions in which they transact business under statutes which delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative powers to state insurance regulators. In general, an insurer's state of domicile has principal responsibility for such regulation which is designed generally to protect policyholders rather than investors and relates to matters such as the standards of solvency which must be maintained; the licensing of insurers and their agents; the examination of the affairs of insurance companies, including periodic financial and market conduct examinations; the filing of annual and other reports, prepared on a statutory basis, on the financial condition of insurers or for other purposes; establishment and maintenance of reserves for unearned premiums and losses; and requirements regarding numerous other matters. Licensed or admitted insurers generally must file with the insurance regulators of such states, or have filed on its behalf, the premium rates and bond and policy forms used within each state. In some states, approval of such rates and forms must be received from the insurance regulators in advance of their use.

Western Surety is domiciled in South Dakota and licensed in all 50 states and the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. SBCA is domiciled in South Dakota and licensed in 28 states and the District of Columbia. USA is domiciled in Texas and licensed in 44 states and the District of Columbia.

Insurance regulations generally also require registration and periodic disclosure of certain information concerning ownership, financial condition, capital structure, general business operations and any material transactions or agreements by or among affiliates. Such regulation also typically restricts the ability of any one person to acquire 10% or more, either directly or indirectly, of a company's stock without prior approval of the applicable insurance regulatory authority. In addition, dividends and other distributions to stockholders generally may be paid only out of unreserved and unrestricted statutory earned surplus. Such distributions may be subject to prior regulatory approval, including a review of the implications on Risk-Based Capital requirements. A discussion of Risk-Based Capital requirements for property and casualty insurance companies is included in both Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Statutory Financial Data. Without prior regulatory approval in 2003, CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may pay stockholder dividends of \$32.1 million in the aggregate. For the year ended December 31, 2002, CNA Surety received \$31.2 million in dividends from its insurance subsidiaries.

In March of 1998, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners

adopted the Codification of Statutory Accounting Principles ("Codification"). Codification, which intended to standardize regulatory accounting and reporting to state insurance departments, became effective on January 1, 2001. However, statutory accounting principles will continue to be established by individual state laws and permitted practices. The states in which CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries conduct business required adoption of Codification for the preparation of statutory financial statements effective January 1, 2001. The adoption of Codification increased the Company's statutory capital and surplus as of January 1, 2001 by approximately \$27.0 million primarily due to recording of deferred tax assets and the recognition of anticipated salvage and subrogation.

11

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries are subject to periodic financial and market conduct examinations. These examinations are generally performed by the domiciliary state insurance regulatory authorities. The South Dakota Department of Commerce and Regulation -- Division of Insurance (the "South Dakota Department") conducted its financial and market conduct examination of Western Surety for the five year period ended December 31, 1996. The South Dakota Department made a finding of non--compliance with respect to the Company's practices regarding return of premiums and recommended that Western Surety change its current procedures regarding the return of premiums. The regulation in question was subsequently amended to exclude surety products which eliminated any non-compliance by the Company. The Texas Department of Insurance (the "Texas Department") conducted its last examination of USA's financial matters as of December 31, 1996. There were no significant issues noted which required corrective action by USA. In 2002, the South Dakota Department and the Texas Department completed fieldwork for their respective financial examinations of Western Surety and USA, respectively, for the five year period ended December 31, 2001. The Company is currently awaiting the final financial examination reports from both the South Dakota Department and the Texas Department.

Certain states in which CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries conduct their business require insurers to join a guaranty association. Guaranty associations provide protection to policyholders of insurers licensed in such states against the insolvency of those insurers. In order to provide the associations with funds to pay certain claims under policies issued by insolvent insurers, the guaranty associations charge members assessments based on the amount of direct premiums written in that state. Such assessments were not material to CNA Surety's results of operations in 2002.

Western Surety, SBCA and USA qualify as acceptable surety companies for federal and other public works project bonds pursuant to U.S. Department of Treasury regulations. U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are based on an insurer's statutory surplus. Effective July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003, the underwriting limitations of Western Surety, SBCA and USA are \$20.7 million, \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Through the Surety Quota Share Treaty between CCC and Western Surety Company, CNA Surety has access to CCC and its affiliates' U.S. Department of Treasury underwriting limitations. The Surety Quota Share Treaty had an original term of five years from the Merger Date and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms. Effective July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003, the underwriting limitations of CCC and its affiliates total \$382.9 million. CNA Surety management believes that the foregoing U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are sufficient for the conduct of its business.

#### INVESTMENTS

CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries' investment practices must comply with insurance laws and regulations and must also comply with certain covenants under

CNA Surety's credit facility. Generally, insurance laws and regulations prescribe the nature and quality of, and set limits on, the various types of investments which may be made by CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries.

The Company's investment portfolio generally is managed to maximize after-tax investment return, while minimizing credit risk with investments concentrated in high quality income securities. CNA Surety's portfolio is managed to provide diversification by limiting exposures to any one industry, issue or issuer, and to provide liquidity by investing in the public securities markets. The portfolio is structured to support CNA Surety's insurance underwriting operations and to consider the expected duration of liabilities and short-term cash needs.

An investment committee of CNA Surety's Board of Directors establishes investment policy and oversees the management of each portfolio. A professional independent investment adviser has been engaged to assist in the management of each insurance subsidiary investment portfolio pursuant to established investment committee guidelines. The insurance subsidiaries pay an advisory fee based on the market value of the assets under management.

#### EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2002, the Company employed 774 persons. CNA Surety has not experienced any work stoppages. Management of CNA Surety believes its relations with its employees are good.

#### AVAILABILITY OF SEC REPORTS

A copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, as well as CNA Surety's subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to such reports are available, free of charge, on the Internet at CNA Surety's website (www.cnasurety.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after being filed with or submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Prior to the filing of this Form 10-K/A, CNA Surety provided links to the SEC's website (www.sec.gov)

12

which contained the equivalent of the reports described above. Any materials the Company files with the SEC may be read and obtained at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. Information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. This reference to CNA Surety's website or the SEC's address does not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on the website and should not be considered part of this document.

13

#### PART II.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following financial information has been derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto.

The following information presented for CNA Surety is as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, 2000, 1999 and 1998.

	AS RESTATED (3)		1
		OLLARS IN THOUSAN	NDS, EX
Total revenues	\$ 318,487 ========	\$ 350,471 =======	\$332 ====
Gross written premiums	\$ 359,892 ========	\$ 333,003 ======	\$316 ====
Net written premiums	\$ 306,654 ======	\$ 315,804 ======	\$304 ====
Net earned premiums	\$ 298,319 94,198	\$ 320,910 80,836	\$301 55
underwriting expenses  Net investment income  Net realized investment gains	179 <b>,</b> 827 27 <b>,</b> 754	202,877 29,515	181 29
(losses)	(7,586)	46	•
Interest expense	1,708	3 <b>,</b> 925	6
Non-recurring charges			
assets(2)		6 <b>,</b> 097	6 
Income before income taxes	42,754	56,736	81
Income taxes	12,635	19,828	27
Net income	\$ 30,119 ======	\$ 36,908 ======	\$ 53 ====
Basic and diluted earnings per common			,
share	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.86	\$
Loss ratio(1)	31.6%	25.2%	,
Expense ratio	60.3	63.2	
Combined ratio(1)	91.9%	88.4%	====
Invested assets and cash	\$ 638,204	\$ 579 <b>,</b> 657	\$555 \$555
amortization	143,785	143,785	149
Total assets	1,096,365	1,061,598	950
Insurance reserves	519,646	516,190	406
Debt	60,816	76,195	101
Total liabilities	675,804	673,170	576
Stockholders' equity	420,561	388,428	374
Book value per share	\$ 9.79	\$ 9.08	\$
Dividends paid per share	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.54	\$

2001

20

2002

<sup>(1)</sup> These amounts include the effect of recording revisions of prior year reserves. The dollar amount and the percentage point effect on the loss ratio of these reserve revisions were an addition of \$6,180, or 2.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2002, an addition of \$4,812, or 1.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2001, a reduction of \$7,093, or 2.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2000, a reduction of \$13,085, or 4.6%, for the year ended December 31, 1999 and a reduction of \$4,352, or 1.7%, for the year ended December 31, 1998.

<sup>(2)</sup> As of January 1, 2002, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 concerning the accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets. The

adoption of this standard eliminated the Company's amortization of goodwill and intangibles as of December 31, 2001 and therefore, increased the Company's reported 2002 net income by \$5.7 million, or \$0.13 per share, respectively, as compared to the same period in 2001. If the provisions of this standard were applied to prior periods, net income for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, 1999 and 1998 would have been \$42.6 million, or \$0.99 per share; \$59.3 million, or \$1.38 per share; \$62.1 million, or \$1.41 per share; \$51.0 million, or \$1.16 per share respectively.

(3) See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

14

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion and analysis of CNA Surety Corporation ("CNA Surety" or the "Company") and its subsidiaries' operating results, liquidity and capital resources, and financial condition. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of CNA Surety and notes thereto.

#### RESTATEMENT

Subsequent to the filing of CNA Surety's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, the Company revised its accounting for ceded premiums under a multiple year excess of loss reinsurance treaty with its affiliate, Continental Casualty Company, which has been in place since October 1, 2002 (this treaty is discussed in greater detail in Note 7 (Reinsurance)). Under the contract CNA Surety pays a quarterly premium of \$3.125 million, of which 70% is added to an experience account that is reduced by any losses ceded to the treaty. On dates specified under the contract, CNA Surety can commute the treaty and receive payment of the experience account balance. Under Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue 93-6 "Accounting for Multiple-Year Retrospectively Rated Contracts by Ceding and Assuming Enterprises" ("EITF 93-6"), a receivable for return premium based on experience under the contract should be established at December 31, 2002. CNA Surety had not previously established such a receivable, and has restated its 2002 consolidated financial statements to comply with EITF 93-6. See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. This Management's Discussion and Analysis reflects the effects of the restatement.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management believes the most significant accounting policies and related disclosures for purposes of understanding the Company's results of operations and financial condition pertain to investments, deferred acquisition costs, goodwill and other intangible assets, reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and reinsurance. The Company's accounting policies related to reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and related estimates of reinsurance recoverables, are particularly critical to an assessment of the Company's financial results. These areas are highly subjective and require management's most complex judgments because of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. For these reasons, disclosure on these topics is contained herein and in Note 1, Significant Accounting Policies, and Notes 7 and 8, Reinsurance and Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investments

Management believes the Company has the ability to hold all fixed income securities to maturity. However, the Company may dispose of securities prior to their scheduled maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayments, tax and credit considerations, liquidity or regulatory capital requirements, or other similar factors. As a result, the Company considers all of its fixed income securities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks) and equity securities as available-for-sale. These securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred income taxes, reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Cash flows from purchases, sales and maturities are reported gross in the investing activities section of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The amortized cost of fixed income securities is determined based on cost and the cumulative effect of amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization and accretion are included in investment income. For mortgage-backed and certain asset-backed securities, the Company recognizes income using the effective-yield method based on estimated cash flows. All securities transactions are recorded on the trade date. Investment gains or losses realized on the sale of securities are determined using the specific identification method. Investments with an other-than-temporary decline in value are written down to fair value, resulting in losses that are included in realized investment gains and losses.

Short-term investments which generally include U.S. Treasury bills, corporate notes, money market funds and investment grade commercial paper equivalents, are carried at amortized cost which approximates fair value.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

15

Policy acquisition costs, consisting of commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses which vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of business, net of reinsurance commissions, are deferred and amortized as a charge to income as the related premiums are earned. Anticipated investment income is considered in the determination of the recoverability of deferred acquisition costs.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

CNA Surety's Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2002 includes goodwill and identified intangibles of approximately \$143.8 million. These amounts represent goodwill and identified intangibles arising from the acquisition of Capsure Holdings Corp. ("Capsure"). Prior to 2002, goodwill from this acquisition was generally amortized as a charge to earnings over periods not exceeding 30 years. Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142") which was adopted by CNA Surety as of January 1, 2002, periodic amortization ceased, in accordance with an impairment-only accounting model.

A significant amount of judgment is required in performing goodwill impairment tests. Such tests include periodically determining or reviewing the estimated fair value of CNA Surety's reporting units. Under SFAS No. 142, fair value refers to the amount for which the entire reporting unit may be bought or sold. There are several methods of estimating fair value, including market quotations, asset and liability fair values and other valuation techniques, such as discounted cash flows and multiples of earnings or revenues. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit, including goodwill, exceeds the estimated fair value, then individual assets, including identifiable intangible assets, and liabilities of the reporting unit are estimated at fair value. The excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit over the estimated fair value of net

assets would establish the implied value of goodwill. The excess of the recorded amount of goodwill over the implied value of goodwill is recorded as an impairment loss.

Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses and Reinsurance

CNA Surety accrues liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses under its surety and property and casualty insurance contracts based upon estimates of the ultimate amounts payable under the contracts related to losses occurring on or before the balance sheet date. As of any balance sheet date, all claims have not yet been reported and some claims may not be reported for many years. As a result, the liability for unpaid losses includes significant estimates for incurred-but-not-reported claims. Additionally, reported claims are in various stages of the settlement process. Each claim is settled individually based upon its merits and certain claim liabilities may take years to settle, especially if legal action is involved.

The Company uses a variety of techniques to establish the liabilities for unpaid claims recorded at the balance sheet date. While techniques may vary, each employs significant judgments and assumptions. Techniques may involve detailed statistical analysis of past claim reporting, settlement activity, salvage and subrogation activity, claim frequency and severity data when sufficient information exists to lend statistical credibility to the analysis. The analysis may be based upon internal loss experience or industry experience. Techniques may vary depending on the type of claim being estimated. Liabilities may also reflect implicit or explicit assumptions regarding the potential effects of future economic and social inflation, judicial decisions, law changes, and recent trends in such factors.

Receivables recorded with respect to insurance losses ceded to reinsurers under reinsurance contracts are estimated in a manner similar to liabilities for insurance losses and, therefore, are also subject to uncertainty. In addition to the factors cited above, estimates of reinsurance recoveries may prove uncollectible if the reinsurer is unable to perform under the contract. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve the ceding company of its obligations to indemnify its own policyholders.

CNA Surety's Consolidated Balance Sheet includes estimated liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$303.4 million and reinsurance receivables related to losses of \$137.3 million at December 31, 2002. Due to the inherent uncertainties in the process of establishing these amounts, the actual ultimate claims amounts will differ from the currently recorded amounts. An incremental percentage change in estimates of this magnitude could result in a material effect on reported earnings. For example, a 10% increase in the December 31, 2002 net estimate for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses would produce approximately a \$16.6 million charge to pre-tax earnings. Future effects from changes in these estimates will be recorded as a component of losses incurred in the period such changes are determined to be needed.

FORMATION OF CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND MERGER

16

In December 1996, CNA Financial Corporation ("CNAF") and Capsure agreed to merge (the "Merger") the surety business of CNAF with Capsure's insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company ("Western Surety") and Universal Surety of America ("USA"), into CNA Surety. CNAF, through its operating subsidiaries, writes multiple lines of property and casualty insurance, including surety business that is reinsured by Western Surety. CNAF owns approximately 64% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety. Loews Corporation owns approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF. The principal operating subsidiaries of

CNAF that wrote the surety line of business for their own account prior to the Merger were Continental Casualty Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CCC") and The Continental Insurance Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CIC"). CIC was acquired by CNAF on May 10, 1995. The combined surety operations of CCC and CIC are referred to herein as CCC Surety Operations.

#### BUSINESS

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries write surety and fidelity bonds in all 50 states through a combined network of approximately 34,000 independent agencies. CNA Surety's principal insurance subsidiaries are Western Surety and USA. The insurance subsidiaries write, on a direct basis or as business assumed from CCC and CIC, small fidelity and small, medium and large non-contract surety bonds, referred to as commercial bonds; small, medium and large contract bonds; and errors and omissions ("E&O") liability insurance. Western Surety is a licensed insurer in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. USA is licensed in 44 states and the District of Columbia. Western Surety's affiliated company, Surety Bonding Company of America ("SBCA"), is licensed in 28 states and the District of Columbia.

The Company's corporate objective is to be the leading provider of surety and surety-related products in the United States and in select international markets and to be the surety of choice for its customers and independent agents and brokers.

Western Surety and USA are both currently rated A+ (Superior) by A.M. Best Company, Inc. ("A.M. Best"). Through intercompany reinsurance and related agreements, CNA Surety's customers have access to CCC's broader underwriting capacity. CCC is currently rated A (Excellent) by A.M. Best. A.M. Best's letter ratings range from A++ (Superior) to F (In Liquidation) with A++ being highest. An A+ (Superior) rating is assigned to those companies which A.M. Best believes have achieved superior overall performance when compared to the norms of the property and casualty insurance industry. A+ (Superior) rated insurers have been shown to be among the strongest in ability to meet policyholder and other contractual obligations. A rating of A (Excellent) is assigned to those companies which A.M. Best believes have achieved excellent overall performance when compared to the norms of the property and casualty insurance industry and generally have demonstrated a strong ability to meet their respective policyholder and other contractual obligations.

CCC, Western Surety and USA are all currently rated A- (Strong), by Standard and Poor's ("S&P"). S&P's letter ratings range from AAA+ (Extremely Strong) to CC (Extremely Weak) with AAA+ being highest. Ratings from "AA" to "CCC" may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories. An insurer rated `A' has strong financial security characteristics, but is somewhat more likely to be affected by adverse business conditions than are insurers with higher ratings.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Financial Measures

The Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") discusses certain generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and non-GAAP financial measures in order to provide information used by management to monitor the Company's operating performance. Management utilizes various financial measures to monitor the Company's insurance operations and investment portfolio. Underwriting results, which are derived from certain income statement amounts, are considered a non-GAAP financial measure and are used by management to monitor performance of the Company's insurance operations. The Company's investment portfolio is monitored

through analysis of various quantitative and qualitative factors and certain decisions related to the sale or impairment of investments produce realized gains and losses, which is also a component used in the calculation of net income and is a non-GAAP financial measure.

Underwriting results are computed as net earned premiums less net loss and loss adjustment expenses and net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses. Management uses underwriting results to monitor its insurance operations' results without the impact of certain factors, including net investment income, net realized investment gains (losses) and interest expense. Management excludes these factors in order to analyze the direct relationship between net earned premiums and the related net loss and loss adjustment expenses along with net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses.

17

Operating ratios are calculated using insurance results and are widely used by the insurance industry and regulators such as state departments of insurance and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners for financial regulation and as a basis of comparison among companies. The ratios discussed in the Company's MD&A are calculated using GAAP financial results and include the net loss and loss adjustment expense ratio ("loss ratio") as well as the net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expense ratio ("expense ratio") and combined ratio. The loss ratio is the percentage of net incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses to net earned premiums. The expense ratio is the percentage of net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses, including the amortization of deferred acquisition costs, to net earned premiums. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and expense ratios.

The Company's investment portfolio is monitored by management through analyses of various factors including unrealized gains and losses on securities, portfolio duration and exposure to interest rate, market and credit risk. Based on such analyses, the Company may impair an investment security in accordance with its policy, or sell a security. Such activities will produce net realized investment gains and losses.

While management uses various GAAP and non-GAAP financial measures to monitor various aspects of the Company's performance, net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure and represents a more comprehensive measure of operating performance. Management believes that its process of evaluating performance through the use of these non-GAAP financial measures provides a basis for enhanced understanding of the operating performance and the impact to net income as a whole. Management also believes that investors may find these widely used financial measures described above useful in interpreting the underlying trends and performance, as well as to provide visibility into the significant components of net income.

18

Analysis of Net Income

The Company had net income of \$30.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, compared with \$36.9 million and \$53.6 million for the comparable periods in 2001 and 2000, respectively. The principal drivers of the difference in net income from December 31, 2002 as compared to December 31, 2001, were a decrease in net realized investment gains of \$7.6 million and an increase in net losses, commissions and related expenses, partially offset by increased net earned premiums, a decrease in interest expense of \$2.2 million and the cessation of amortization expense of \$6.1 million.

Net income was \$36.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001, compared with \$53.6 million in the same period in 2000. The principal drivers of the difference in net income were an increase in the Company's net losses and loss adjustment expenses, which was partially offset by a decrease in net earned premiums, net commissions, broker and other expenses and interest expense.

Analysis of the components of net income are discussed in the following sections.

Results of Insurance Operations

Underwriting components for the Company for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 are summarized in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2002	2001 	2000	
Gross written premium	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	\$333,003	\$316 <b>,</b> 667	
Net written premium	\$306,654	\$315,804	\$304 <b>,</b> 468	
Net earned premium	\$298 <b>,</b> 319	\$320 <b>,</b> 910	\$301,819	
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 94,198	\$ 80,836	\$ 55,683	
Net commissions, brokerage and other	\$179 <b>,</b> 827	\$202,877	\$181 <b>,</b> 655	
Loss ratio Expense ratio	31.6% 60.3	======= 25.2% 63.2	18.4 60.2	
Combined ratio	91.9%	88.4% ======	78.6 =====	

#### Premiums Written

CNA Surety primarily markets contract and commercial surety bonds. Contract surety bonds generally secure a contractor's performance and/or payment obligation with respect to a construction project. Contract surety bonds are generally required by federal, state and local governments for public works projects. The most common types include bid, performance and payment bonds. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract and cover obligations typically required by law or regulation. The commercial surety market includes numerous types of bonds categorized as court judicial, court fiduciary, public official, license and permit and many miscellaneous bonds that include guarantees of financial performance. The Company also writes fidelity bonds that cover losses arising from employee dishonesty and other insurance products.

Gross written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 are shown in the table below (dollars in thousands):

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	2002	2001	2000
	\$1.07.07F	\$100 F00	41.61 500
Contract	\$197 <b>,</b> 875	\$180 <b>,</b> 588	\$161 <b>,</b> 539
Commercial	134,039	125,026	128,556
Fidelity and other	27 <b>,</b> 978	27 <b>,</b> 389	26,572
	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	\$333,003	\$316,667
	=======		

19

Gross written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2002 increased 8.1%, or \$26.9 million, over the comparable period in 2001. Gross written premiums for contract surety increased 9.6%, or \$17.3 million, reflecting modest growth in public construction spending and improving rates. Gross written premiums for commercial surety increased 7.2%, or \$9.0 million, for the year ended December 31, 2002 reflecting continued volume growth of small commercial products and improving rates on large commercial bonds partially offset by the impacts of the Company's ongoing efforts to reduce aggregate exposures on large commercial accounts. The estimated impact of the Company's exposure reduction efforts to date represents approximately \$10 million in annual premium, assuming an average rate per \$1,000 of bond exposure of \$2.68, or 27 basis points and approximately \$3.8 billion of bond exposure. Fidelity and other products increased 2.2% to \$28.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the same period in 2001 due primarily to an increase in fidelity business partially offset by the discontinuance of the Company's agents' E&O business.

Gross written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased 5.2%, or \$16.3 million, for the year ended December 31, 2001 over the comparable period in 2000. Effective January 1, 2001, the Company began recording written premium on the effective date of the bond, rather than recording on the date the bond is processed ("processed premium"). This change did not impact the recognition of net earned premium but reduced gross written premiums by \$8.0 million. In addition, gross written premiums reflect a \$6.0 million reduction due to the discontinuance of the CNA Reinsurance Company, Limited (London) ("CNA Re"), an affiliate of CCC, assumed international credit and surety business in 2000. Core direct gross processed premiums (gross processed premiums, excluding international reinsurance business assumed from CNA Re) increased 9.8% to \$341.0 million. Gross written premiums for contract surety increased 11.8%, or \$19.1 million, as compared to 2000 due to continued strength in public construction nationwide particularly highway and road, airport and school-related projects. Gross written premiums for core direct commercial surety increased 2.0%, or \$2.5 million, primarily due to favorable trends in the commercial segment including increased small transactional business and beneficial pricing actions within the large commercial segment offset by a \$6.3 million reduction due to the recording change on written premium. Fidelity and other products increased 3.0%, or \$0.8 million, to \$27.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Net written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 are shown in the table below (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS	ENDED DECEMBE	R 31,
	2002	2001	2000
Contract	\$172 <b>,</b> 633	\$165 <b>,</b> 603	\$150,504

	=======	=======	=======
	\$306,654	\$315,804	\$304,468
Fidelity and other	26,731	27 <b>,</b> 389	27,041
Commercial	107,290	122,812	126,923

For the year ended December 31, 2002 net written premiums decreased 2.9% to \$306.7 million as compared to the same period in 2001, reflecting the aforementioned gross production variances, the effects of higher reinsurance costs and the Company's efforts to reduce large commercial bond exposures. Ceded written premiums increased \$36.0 million to \$53.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Ceded written premiums for 2002 include \$30.0 million for the Company's \$40 million excess of \$20 million per principal excess of loss coverage and \$8.5 million for the purchase of extended discovery coverage on the Company's \$55 million excess of \$5 million per principal excess of loss coverage. Net written premiums for contract surety business increased 4.2% to \$172.6 million. Net written premiums for commercial surety decreased 12.6% to \$107.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Fidelity and other products decreased 2.4% to \$26.7 million for the year 2002 as compared to 2001 due to a new quota share reinsurance arrangement effective January 1, 2002.

Net written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased 3.7% percent, or \$11.3 million, reflecting the aforementioned gross production variances and the effects of higher reinsurance costs. The reinsurance costs reflected in ceded premiums are based upon reinsurers' loss experience under the Company's surety excess of loss reinsurance contract. Due primarily to increased large losses reported to the Company's excess of loss reinsurers, ceded written premiums increased \$5.0 million to \$17.2 million in 2001. Net written premiums increased 10.0%, or \$15.1 million, for the contract surety business. Commercial surety net written premiums, excluding international reinsurance business assumed, increased 1.6%, or \$1.9 million, in 2001. The fidelity and other products increased 1.3%, or \$0.3 million, for the year ended December 31, 2001.

#### Excess of Loss Reinsurance

Since the second half of calendar year 1999, the Company has experienced an increase in claim severity and frequency in the most recent accident years. The increase in claim severity in more recent accident years has generally resulted in higher gross accident year loss ratios and greater losses ceded to reinsurers under the Company's \$5 million per principal excess of loss reinsurance contract in place in 2001, as well as changes in the Company's 2002 reinsurance program. CNA Surety is paying higher costs for reinsurance as a

20

result of this loss experience.

The Company's reinsurance program is predominantly comprised of excess of loss reinsurance contracts that limit the Company's retention on a per principal basis. The Company's reinsurance coverage is provided by third party reinsurers and related parties.

2002 Third Party Reinsurance Compared to 2001 Third Party Reinsurance

The Company's ceded reinsurance program changed significantly in 2002 as compared to 2001. The material differences between the 2002 excess of loss reinsurance program ("2002 Excess of Loss Treaty") and the Company's 2001 program are as follows. The annual aggregate coverage decreased from \$115 million in 2001 to \$100 million in 2002 with a sub-limit of \$60 million for large commercial accounts. The minimum annual premium for the 2002 Excess of

Loss Treaty is \$30.0 million compared to \$17.2 million of reinsurance premiums paid in 2001. The 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty provides the Company with coverage on a per principal basis of 90% of \$40 million excess of \$20 million retained by the Company. In addition, CCC is providing reinsurance coverage (the "10% Quota Share Agreement") to the Company for 10% of any losses between \$20 million and \$60 million with respect to single large surety bonds written effective January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002. CCC receives 10% of the written premium the Company received in exchange for the coverage provided.

In addition, the terms of the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty required a special acceptance process for certain larger contract accounts in-force at the inception date of the treaty. The reinsurers conducted an underwriting file review and approval process for these risks that would otherwise be excluded. This file review process resulted in one large national contract principal being excluded from the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty. In addition, the treaty excludes certain classes of business relating to two other principals. The Company no longer writes these classes but has exposures that are in run-off. Should the Company incur a loss on these excluded principals, the Company would be subject to a maximum retention of \$60 million per principal.

The higher net retention of \$20 million per principal together with other changes in reinsurance coverage associated with the 2002 Excess of Loss Reinsurance Treaty and the extended discovery and related provisions of the excess of loss reinsurance contract in place for 2001 may increase the variability of the Company's future results of operations and cash flows. Moreover, as stated above, if CNA Surety suffered any losses arising from bonds issued to the large national contractor, CNA Surety would retain the first \$60 million per principal under its various excess of loss reinsurance contracts.

In December 2002 and January 2003, CNAF provided loans in an aggregate amount of approximately \$45 million to the large national contractor that undertakes projects for the construction of government and private facilities. CNA Surety has provided significant surety bond protection for this contractor's projects through surety bonds underwritten by CCC or its affiliates. The loans were provided by CNAF to help the contractor meet its liquidity needs. The loans are evidenced by demand notes and, until replaced by the credit facility described below, accrue interest at 10%. The owners of the contractor have pledged to CNAF substantially all the assets of the contractor as collateral for these loans.

In March 2003, CNAF entered into an agreement to provide a credit facility with the contractor. The closing of this agreement is subject to the execution of certain agreements and the provision to CNAF of specified security interests in addition to those already provided. Under this credit facility, CNAF would be obligated to provide up to \$86.4 million of loans to the contractor and certain of its subsidiaries, including a refinancing of the already advanced \$45 million described above. The credit facility and all loans thereunder would mature in March 2006. Advances under the credit facility, including the already funded \$45 million, would bear interest at the prime rate plus 6%, with 50% of the interest due monthly and the remainder deferred until the credit facility matures.

Loews Corporation and CNAF have entered into a participation agreement, pursuant to which Loews has agreed to purchase a one-third participation share in the new credit facility, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, up to a maximum of \$25 million. Although Loews does not have rights against the contractor directly under the participation agreement, it shares recoveries and fees under the facility on a proportional basis with CNAF.

In March 2003, CNAF also purchased approximately \$28 million principal amount of the contractor's outstanding bank debt for \$16.4 million. Under the new credit facility, CNAF agreed to sell the bank debt to the contractor for \$16.4 million, with \$11.4 million of the purchase price being funded under the

new credit facility and \$5 million from amounts loaned to the contractor by its shareholders. Under its purchase agreement with the banks, CNAF is also required to reimburse the banks for any draws upon approximately \$6.5 million in outstanding letters of credit issued by the banks for the contractor's benefit that expire between May and August of 2003. Any CNAF reimbursements for draws upon the banks' letters of credit will become obligations of the contractor to CNAF as draws upon the credit facility.

2.1

The contractor has initiated a restructuring plan that is intended to reduce costs and improve cash flow, and a chief restructuring officer has been appointed to manage execution of the plan. CNA Surety intends to continue to provide surety bonds on behalf of the contractor during this restructuring period, subject to the contractor's initial and ongoing compliance with CNA Surety's underwriting standards. Indemnification and subrogation rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, reduce CNA Surety's exposure to loss. If the contractor does not perform its contractual obligations underlying all of the Company's surety bonds, the Company estimates that possible losses, net of indemnification and subrogation recoveries, but before recoveries under reinsurance contracts, could be up to \$200 million. However, the related party reinsurance treaties discussed below should limit the Company's per principal exposure to approximately \$60 million. While CNA Surety believes that the contractor's restructuring efforts will be successful and provide sufficient cash flow for its operations, the contractor's failure to achieve its restructuring plan could have a material adverse effect on CNA Surety's future results of operations, cash flows and capital resources.

In connection with the changes in the Company's 2002 reinsurance program, CNA Surety purchased extended discovery coverage, available under the excess of loss reinsurance coverage in place in 2001, at a cost of approximately \$8.5 million. This covers losses on surety bonds written prior to January 1, 2002 and discovered in the two years after January 1, 2002. The limit of this extended discovery coverage is the unused portion of the annual aggregate limit of \$115 million under the 2001 excess of loss treaty. This limit has two layers comprised of a \$65 million aggregate limit for per principal losses between \$5 million and \$25 million and \$50 million aggregate for per principal losses between \$25 million and \$60 million. Based upon the Company's settlement of its exposure to Enron and its current claim estimates of other discovered losses, the Company estimates that as of December 31, 2002 the first layer of the annual aggregate has been exhausted and approximately \$30 million of limit remains under the second layer to cover adverse development on losses discovered prior to December 31, 2001 as well as newly discovered losses in the extended discovery period. It is possible that these remaining annual aggregate limits could be insufficient to fully cover all adverse development that could occur on losses discovered prior to December 31, 2001 or any newly discovered losses under the extended discovery provisions. The unfavorable resolution of these uncertainties could have a material adverse impact on the Company's future results of operations and cash flows.

2003 Third Party Reinsurance Compared to 2002 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2003, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty ("2003 Excess of Loss Treaty") with a group of third party reinsurers that reduced its net retention per principal on new bonds to \$15 million with a 5% co-participation in the \$45 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company's retention. This new excess of loss treaty replaces the \$40 million excess of \$20 million per principal coverage. The material differences between the new excess of loss reinsurance program and the Company's 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty are as follows. The annual aggregate coverage increases from \$100

million in 2002 to \$110 million in 2003. The minimum annual premium for the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty is \$38.0 million compared to \$30.0 million of reinsurance premiums paid in 2002. The 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty provides the Company with coverage on a per principal basis of 95% of \$45 million excess of \$15 million retained by the Company. The contract also includes similar special acceptance provisions for larger contract accounts contained in the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty. In addition to the one large contract principal and the two commercial principals excluded (based upon class of business in 2002), the Company's reinsurers have initially excluded three other contract principals from the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty. The three additional contract principals are in the process of completing asset sales and other reorganization efforts that management believes will result in the reinsurers' acceptance of the accounts in the treaty.

#### Related Party Reinsurance

Intercompany reinsurance agreements together with the Services and Indemnity Agreement that are described below provide for the transfer of the surety business written by CCC and CIC to Western Surety. All these agreements originally were entered into on September 30, 1997 (the "Merger Date"): (i) the Surety Quota Share Treaty (the "Quota Share Treaty"); (ii) the Aggregate Stop Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Stop Loss Contract"); and (iii) the Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Excess of Loss Contract"). All of these contracts have expired. Some have been renewed on different terms as described below.

The Services and Indemnity Agreement provides the Company's insurance subsidiaries with the authority to perform various administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions in order to conduct the business of CCC and CIC and to be reimbursed by CCC for services rendered. In consideration for providing the foregoing services, CCC has agreed to pay Western Surety a quarterly fee of \$50,000. This agreement had an original term of five years that expired on September 30, 2002 and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of December 31, 2003; and is annually renewable thereafter.

22

Through the Quota Share Treaty, CCC and CIC transfer to Western Surety all surety business written or renewed by CCC and CIC after the Merger Date. CCC and CIC transfer the related liabilities of such business and pay to Western Surety an amount in cash equal to CCC's and CIC's net written premiums written on all such business, minus a quarterly ceding commission to be retained by CCC and CIC equal to \$50,000 plus 28% of net written premiums written on such business.

The Quota Share Treaty was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of December 31, 2003; and is annually renewable thereafter. The ceding commission paid to CCC and CIC by Western Surety remained at 28% of net written premiums and contemplates an approximate 4% override commission for fronting fees to CCC and CIC on top of their actual direct acquisition costs for the year ended December 31, 2002.

The Stop Loss Contract terminated on December 31, 2000 and was not renewed. The Stop Loss Contract protected the insurance subsidiaries from adverse loss experience on certain business underwritten after the Merger Date. The Stop Loss Contract between the insurance subsidiaries and CCC limited the insurance subsidiaries' prospective net loss ratios with respect to certain accounts and lines of insured business for three full accident years following the Merger Date. In the event the insurance subsidiaries' accident year net loss ratio exceeds 24% in any of 1997 through 2000 on certain insured accounts (the "Loss Ratio Cap"), the Stop Loss Contract requires CCC at the end of each

calendar quarter following the Merger Date, to pay to the insurance subsidiaries a dollar amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, by which their actual accident year net loss ratio exceeds the applicable Loss Ratio Cap, multiplied by (ii) the applicable net earned premiums. In consideration for the coverage provided by the Stop Loss Contract, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC an annual premium of \$20,000. The CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries have paid CCC all required annual premiums. The Company recorded estimated reinsurance recoveries from CCC of \$2.5 million in 2002, \$16.6 million in 2001 and \$5.8 million in 2000 under this contract. As of December 31, 2002, the Company billed and received approximately \$25 million from CCC under the Stop Loss Contract. This amount exceeds the Company's current estimate of paid loss recoverable under this agreement by \$20.3 million, which is reflected as reinsurance payable to CCC at December 31, 2002.

The Excess of Loss Contracts provided the insurance subsidiaries of CNA Surety with the capacity to underwrite large surety bond exposures by providing reinsurance support from CCC. The Excess of Loss Contract provides \$75 million of coverage for losses in excess of the \$60 million per principal. Subsequent to the Merger Date, the Company entered into a second excess of loss contract with CCC ("Second Excess of Loss Contract"). The Second Excess of Loss Contract provides additional coverage for principal losses that exceed the foregoing coverage of \$75 million per principal provided by the Excess of Loss Contract, or aggregate losses per principal in excess of \$135 million. In consideration for the reinsurance coverage provided by the Excess of Loss Contracts, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC, on a quarterly basis, a premium equal to 1% of the net written premiums applicable to the Excess of Loss Contract, subject to a minimum premium of \$20,000 and \$5,000 per quarter under the Excess of Loss Contract and Second Excess of Loss Contract, respectively. The two Excess of Loss Contracts collectively provided coverage for losses discovered on surety bonds in force as of the Merger Date and for losses discovered on new and renewal business written during the term of the Excess of Loss Contracts. Both Excess of Loss Contracts commenced following the Merger Date and continued until September 30, 2002. The discovery period for losses covered by the Excess of Loss Contracts extends until September 30, 2005.

Effective October 1, 2002, the Company secured replacement excess of loss protection from CCC for per principal losses that exceed \$60 million in two parts -- a) \$40 million excess of \$60 million and b) \$50 million excess of \$100 million. This excess of loss protection is primarily necessary to support contract surety accounts with bonded backlogs or work-in-process in excess of \$60 million. The Company's goal is to generally limit support to large commercial surety accounts to \$25 million. In addition to the foregoing structural changes in its high layer excess of loss reinsurance programs, the cost for these protections increased significantly as compared to the cost of the previous two Excess of Loss Contracts. The \$40 million excess of \$60 million contract is for a three year term beginning October 1, 2002 and provides annual aggregate coverage of \$80 million and \$120 million aggregate coverage for the entire three year term. The Company will pay CCC annual reinsurance premiums of \$12.5 million in year one and \$17.5 million in years two and three, payable quarterly. The Company may commute the contract at the end of each contract year under certain circumstances. If the treaty is commuted, the Company may be entitled to a return premium payment. Based on the experience under the treaty to December 31, 2002, the Company established a return premium receivable of \$2.2 million in connection with the restatement described in Note 17 to the accompanying financial statements. The reinsurance premium for the coverage provided by the \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract was \$4.0 million. This contract was effective October 1, 2002 and expires on December 31, 2003.

CCC also provided reinsurance coverage (the "10% Quota Share Agreement") to the Company for 10% of any losses between \$20 million and \$60 million with respect to single large surety bonds written effective January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002. CCC received 10% of the written premium in exchange for the

coverage provided.

23

Net Loss Ratio

The loss ratios for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 were 31.6%, 25.2% and 18.4%, respectively. The loss ratios included \$6.2 million and \$4.8 million in net unfavorable loss reserve development related to prior years for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and \$7.1 million in net favorable loss reserve development for the year ended December 31, 2000. The net adverse loss reserve development in 2002 includes \$2.4 million associated with the assumed international credit and surety business from CNA Re that was discontinued in 2000. The remainder is primarily related to increased claim frequency in the small and specialty contract business. The increases in the adjusted loss ratio for 2002 of 5.8 percentage points relates primarily to estimated net incurred losses of \$12.0 million with respect to the bankruptcy filing of a large national trucking concern.

For the first half of 2002, the Company used an initial 2002 accident year net loss ratio of 30.0 percent to reserve for its medium to large commercial and contract branch business compared to 22.5 percent when the per principal retention was \$5\$ million. This business represents about 58% of the Company's gross premiums.

The occurrence of the \$12.0 million bankruptcy related loss in the third quarter of 2002 significantly increased the estimated branch contract and commercial net accident year loss ratio for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. Due to the occurrence of this loss and other adverse loss trends, together with the uncertain outlook for the economy and credit markets, the Company raised its expected baseline accident year net loss ratio on the branch contract and commercial business to 36.0 percent for the fourth quarter from the initial 2002 accident year net loss ratio of 30.0 percent.

The \$4.8 million of adverse reserve development in 2001 includes approximately \$1 million of adverse development for the Company's discontinued insurance agent E&O product. The remainder is primarily related to increased claim frequency in the small and specialty contract business. In addition to the \$4.8 million of net unfavorable loss reserve development during 2001, the 2001 loss ratio includes approximately \$7.8 million pertaining to the Company's exposure to Enron Corporation. Gross incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2001 reflect \$78 million for this exposure.

On January 2, 2003, CNA Surety settled litigation brought by J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. ("Chase") in connection with three surety bonds issued on behalf of Enron Corporation subsidiaries. The penal sums of the three bonds totaled approximately \$78 million. Although the Company believed it had valid defenses to the litigation, based on the uncertainty and risk of an adverse jury verdict, pursuant to the settlement agreement, the Company paid Chase approximately \$40.7 million and assigned its recovery rights in the Enron bankruptcy to Chase in exchange for a full release of its obligations under the bonds. The Company has no other exposure related to the Enron Corporation. CNA Surety's net loss related to the settlement, after anticipated recoveries under excess of loss reinsurance treaties, was previously fully reserved. Immediately upon execution of the settlement documents, the Company sent written notice for reimbursement to its reinsurers. A number of those reinsurers have requested a variety of documents and reserved their rights before making a decision concerning coverage of the settlement under the reinsurance treaties. Management believes that the reinsurers have no valid defense under the reinsurance treaties to avoid payment, and that the Company will fully recover all

2.4

reinsurance recoverables recorded related to this settlement. As such, the Company has not recorded a reduction with respect to these reinsurance recoverables as of December 31, 2002.

The surety business assumed from CCC and CIC is subject to an aggregate stop loss reinsurance contract between CCC and the Company that limits the Company's accident year net loss ratio on this business to 24% for accident years 1997 (October 1, 1997 to December 31, 1997), 1998, 1999 and 2000. The Company recorded estimated reinsurance recoveries from CCC of \$2.5 million in 2002, \$16.6 million in 2001 and \$5.8 million in 2000 under this contract.

#### Expense Ratio

The expense ratio decreased to 60.3% for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to 63.2% for 2001. The decrease in the expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2002 primarily reflects reduced acquisition and underwriting expenses which were partially offset by the effect of higher reinsurance costs on net earned premiums. Net earned premiums declined 7.0% and operating expenses decreased at a higher rate of 11.4% for the year ended December 31, 2002. The Company continues to work to offset higher reinsurance and loss costs with premium rate actions, acquisition cost reductions and continued productivity improvements and operating efficiencies.

The expense ratio increased to 63.2% for the year ended December 31, 2001 as compared to 60.2% for 2000. The increase in the expense ratio for the year ended December 31, 2001 primarily reflects the impact of higher reinsurance costs and operating expenses. Operating expenses reflect higher technology related expenditures and \$2.2 million of fourth quarter charges consisting primarily of asset write-offs. Net earned premiums increased 6.3% in 2001 and operating expenses increased at a higher rate of 11.7%.

## Exposure Management

As the foregoing results indicate, the Company's business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties associated with the current economic environment and corporate credit conditions. In response to these risks and uncertainties, the Company has enacted various exposure management initiatives, particularly to reduce its risks on large commercial accounts. As the following table depicts, the Company has reduced its exposure, before the effects of reinsurance, by 40% in 2002 on large commercial accounts, which are defined as accounts with exposures in excess of \$10 million:

	ACCO AS	ER OF UNTS OF BER 31,	A	TOTAL EXPO	
COMMERCIAL ACCOUNT EXPOSURE	2002	2001	2002	2001	% REDUC
		(DOLL	ARS IN BILLI	ONS)	
\$100 million and larger	13	20	\$2.5	\$5.0	49.3
\$50 to \$100 million	19	27	1.2	1.8	32.9
\$25 to \$50 million	16	35	0.6	1.2	52.2
\$10 to \$25 million	75	80	1.2	1.3	5.8
Total	123	162	\$5.5	\$9.3	40.4

With respect to contract surety, the Company's portfolio is predominantly comprised of contractors with work programs of less than \$50 million. "Work program" is the estimated contract value of uncompleted bonded and unbonded work. Bonded backlog is a measure of the Company's exposure in the event of default before indemnification, salvage and subrogation recoveries.

The following table summarizes the composition of the Company's contractor portfolio by size of estimated work program at December 31, 2002:

		ESTIMATED
	NUMBER OF	BONDED
	ACCOUNTS	BACKLOG
	AS OF	AS OF
	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,
CONTRACT WORK PROGRAM	2002	2002
		(DOLLARS IN
		BILLIONS)
\$150 million and larger	41	\$ 7.1
\$100 to \$150 million	33	1.9
\$50 to \$100 million	136	4.1
\$30 to \$50 million	152	2.0
Less than \$30 million	3 <b>,</b> 574	3.2
Total	3,936	\$18.3
	=====	=====

The Company will manage its exposure to any one contract credit and aggressively looks for co-surety, shared accounts and other means to support or reduce larger exposures. Reinsurance, indemnification and subrogation rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, exist that substantially reduce CNA Surety's exposure to loss.

#### Investment Income

For the year ended December 31, 2002, net investment income was \$27.8 million compared to net investment income for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 of \$29.5 million and \$29.9 million, respectively. The annualized pretax yield was 4.8%, 5.3% and 5.6% for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The annualized after-tax yield was 3.8%, 4.0% and 4.3% for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The decrease in investment income for the year ended December 31, 2002 is attributable to the impact of lower investment yields. The decrease in investment income for the year ended December 31, 2001 is attributable to the impact of lower investment yields and reduced invested assets primarily associated with increased dividend payments to shareholders and the retirement of debt.

Net realized investment losses were approximately \$7.6 million, for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared to net realized investment gains of approximately \$46,000 and \$0.6 million, for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The net realized investment losses in 2002 reflect the Company's insurance subsidiaries decision to liquidate their common equity portfolios during the fourth quarter of 2002. The volatility of the equity markets was adversely impacting the Company's reported regulatory capital requirements.

The following summarizes net realized investment gains (losses) for the three years ended December 31, 2002:

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Gross realized investment gains	\$ 11,183	\$ 1,118	\$ 3,251
Gross realized investment losses	(18,769)	(1,072)	(2,694)
Net realized investment (loss) gain	\$ (7,586)	\$ 46	\$ 557
	=======	======	

The Company's investment portfolio generally is managed to maximize after-tax investment return, while minimizing credit risk with investments concentrated in high quality income securities. CNA Surety's portfolio is managed to provide diversification by limiting exposures to any one industry, issue or issuer, and to provide liquidity by investing in the public securities markets. The portfolio is structured to support CNA Surety's insurance underwriting operations and to consider the expected duration of liabilities and short-term cash needs. In achieving these goals, assets may be sold to take advantage of market conditions or other investment opportunities or regulatory, credit and tax considerations. These activities will produce realized gains and losses.

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit. Due to the level of risk associated with certain of these invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may significantly affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Income.

25

Analysis of Other Operations

As of January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142 which requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. The periodic amortization of goodwill and intangibles ceased as of December 31, 2001. Amortization expense was \$6.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000. Intangible assets primarily represent goodwill and identified intangibles arising from the acquisition of Capsure.

During 2002, the Company completed its initial goodwill impairment testing and an annual goodwill impairment testing on October 1, 2002 whereby no impairment was indicated. In the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company again tested for goodwill impairment. Based upon the Company's analysis supported by an outside valuation study, the Company concluded that there was no impairment.

Interest expense decreased \$2.2 million, or 56.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same period in 2001, primarily due to lower outstanding debt levels and lower interest rates. The weighted average interest rate for the year ended December 31, 2002 was 2.2% compared to 4.4% and 6.6% for the periods ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Interest expense decreased \$3.0 million, or 43.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared

to the same period in 2000, primarily due to lower outstanding debt levels and lower interest rates. Average debt outstanding was \$73.4 million in 2002 compared to \$82.8 million and \$101.8 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively.

#### Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$12.6 million, \$19.8 million and \$27.8 million and the effective income tax rates were 29.6%, 35.0% and 34.1% for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The decrease in the estimated effective tax rate in 2002 primarily relates to the increased tax exempt income and the adoption of SFAS No. 142 which ended the periodic amortization the Company's goodwill and intangibles.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

It is anticipated that the liquidity requirements of CNA Surety will be met primarily by funds generated from operations. The principal sources of operating cash flows are premiums, investment income, and sales and maturities of investments. CNA Surety also may generate funds from additional borrowings under the credit facility described below. The primary cash flow uses are payments for claims, operating expenses, federal income taxes, debt service, as well as dividends to CNA Surety stockholders. In general, surety operations generate premium collections from customers in advance of cash outlays for claims. Premiums are invested until such time as funds are required to pay claims and claims adjusting expenses.

The Company believes that total invested assets, including cash and short-term investments, are sufficient in the aggregate and have suitably scheduled maturities to satisfy all policy claims and other operating liabilities, including dividend and income tax sharing payments of its insurance subsidiaries. At December 31, 2002, the carrying value of the Company's insurance subsidiaries' invested assets was comprised of \$564.8 million of fixed income securities, \$41.9 million of short-term investments, \$1.3 million of other investments and \$10.7 million of cash. At December 31, 2001, the carrying value of the Company's insurance subsidiaries' invested assets was comprised of \$466.6 million of fixed income securities, \$35.8 million of equity securities, \$38.9 million of short-term investments, \$5.3 million of other investments and \$0.8 million of cash.

Cash flow at the parent company level is derived principally from dividend and tax sharing payments from its insurance subsidiaries. The principal obligations at the parent company level are to service debt, pay operating expenses, including income taxes, and pay dividends to stockholders. At December 31, 2002, the parent company's invested assets consisted of \$5.7 million of fixed income securities, \$0.8 million of equity securities, \$8.8 million of short-term investments and \$4.3 million of cash. At December 31, 2001, the parent company's invested assets consisted of \$5.3 million of fixed income securities, \$14.7 million of short-term investments and \$12.4 million of cash. As of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, parent company short-term investments and cash included \$4.8 million and \$13.8 million, respectively, of restricted cash related to premium receipt collections ultimately due to the Company's insurance subsidiaries.

The Company's consolidated net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$85.5 million, \$57.0 million and \$79.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The increase in net cash flow provided by operating activities in 2002 primarily relates to decreases in insurance receivables, primarily reinsurance recoverables from affiliates.

CNA Surety's bank borrowings were previously under a five-year unsecured revolving credit facility effective September 30, 1997 (the "1997 Credit Facility") that provided for borrowings of up to \$130 million. The Company paid down outstanding borrowings under the 1997 Credit Facility by \$10 million to \$65 million on July 29, 2002. The 1997 Credit Facility matured September 30, 2002.

The Company refinanced \$65 million in outstanding borrowings under the 1997 Credit Facility under a new credit facility (the "2002 Credit Facility"). The 2002 Credit Facility provided an aggregate of up to \$65 million in initial borrowings divided between a 364 day revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") of \$35 million and a three year term loan facility (the "Term Loan") of \$30 million. The Revolving Credit Facility may be extended, with the consent of lenders, for up to two additional periods of up to 364 days each, but in no case shall the Revolving Credit Facility be extended to mature on a date later than three years from the effective date of the Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility may be increased from time to time by the amount of amortization under the Term Loan facility. Such increase is subject to consent by each Revolving Credit Bank, and will take place upon receipt by the Banks of the respective installment payments under the Term Loan facility.

Of the \$65 million in initial outstanding borrowings, \$15 million was provided pursuant to a guarantee by CNAF which expired on November 30, 2002. On November 29, 2002, the Company repaid \$11 million of the \$15 million of the Company's revolving credit loan that was due on November 30, 2002. The due date on the remaining \$4 million was extended until another lender joined the credit facility. On December 30, 2002, a second lender joined the credit facility for \$10 million resulting in net additional funds of \$6 million to CNA Surety. Outstanding borrowings under the credit facility were \$60 million as of December 31, 2002, consisting of \$30 million under the Revolving Credit Facility and \$30 million under the Term Loan Facility. Effective January 28, 2003, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on the \$30 million term loan that fixed the interest rate at 2.75%.

Amortization of the Term Loan will take place at \$10,000,000 per year, in equal installments of \$5,000,000 on the following dates:

DATE	AMORTIZATION	OUTSTANDING BALANCE
June 30, 2003	\$5,000,000	\$25,000,000
September 30, 2003	5,000,000	20,000,000
March 31, 2004	5,000,000	15,000,000
September 30, 2004	5,000,000	10,000,000
March 31, 2005	5,000,000	5,000,000
September 30, 2005	5,000,000	0

The interest rate on borrowings under the 2002 Credit Facility may be fixed, at CNA Surety's option, for a period of one, two, three, or six months and is based on, among other rates, the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), plus the applicable margin. The margin, including a facility fee and utilization fee on the 2002 Credit Facility, was 0.625% at December 31, 2002 and can vary based on CNA Surety's leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 0.48% to 0.80%. As of December 31, 2002, the weighted average interest rate was 1.9% on the \$60 million of outstanding borrowings. As of December 31, 2001, the weighted average interest rate on the 1997 Credit Facility was 2.5% on the \$75.0 million of outstanding borrowings.

The 2002 Credit Facility contains, among other conditions, limitations on CNA Surety with respect to the incurrence of additional indebtedness and

maintenance of a rating of at least "A" by A.M. Best for each of the Company's insurance subsidiaries. The 2002 Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios as follows: a) maximum funded debt to total capitalization ratio of 25%, b) minimum net worth of \$350.0 million and c) minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.5 times. As of December 31, 2002, the Company was in compliance with all restrictions and covenants contained in the 2002 Credit Facility.

In 1999 CNA Surety acquired certain assets of Clark Bonding Company, Inc., a Charlotte, North Carolina, insurance agency and brokerage doing business as The Bond Exchange for \$5.9 million. As part of this acquisition, the Company incurred an additional \$1.9 million of debt in the form of a promissory note. The promissory note matures on July 27, 2004 and has an interest rate of 5.0%. The balance of this promissory note at December 31, 2002 was \$0.8 million.

As an insurance holding company, CNA Surety is dependent upon dividends and other permitted payments from its insurance subsidiaries to pay operating expenses, meet debt service requirements, as well as to pay cash dividends. The payment of dividends by the insurance subsidiaries is subject to varying degrees of supervision by the insurance regulatory authorities in South Dakota and Texas. In South Dakota, where Western Surety and SBCA are domiciled, insurance companies may only pay dividends from earned surplus excluding surplus arising from unrealized capital gains or revaluation of assets. In Texas, where USA is domiciled, an insurance company may only declare or pay dividends to stockholders from the insurer's earned surplus. The insurance subsidiaries

27

may pay dividends without obtaining prior regulatory approval only if such dividend or distribution (together with dividends or distributions made within the preceding 12-month period) is less than, as of the end of the immediately preceding year, the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer's surplus to policyholders or (ii) statutory net income. In South Dakota, net income includes net realized capital gains in an amount not to exceed 20% of net unrealized capital gains. All dividends must be reported to the appropriate insurance department prior to payment.

The dividends that may be paid without prior regulatory approval are determined by formulas established by the applicable insurance regulations, as described above. The formulas that determine dividend capacity in the current year are dependent on, among other items, the prior year's ending statutory surplus and statutory net income. Dividend capacity for 2003 is based on statutory surplus and income at and for the year ended December 31, 2002. Without prior regulatory approval in 2003, CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may pay stockholder dividends of \$32.1 million in the aggregate. CNA Surety received \$31.2 million in dividends from its insurance subsidiaries in 2002 and \$56.9 million in 2001.

Combined statutory surplus totaled \$231.4 million at year-end, resulting in a net written premium to statutory surplus ratio of 1.3 to 1. Approximately \$219 million of the combined surplus relates to Western Surety. Insurance regulations restrict Western Surety's maximum net retention on a single surety bond to 10 percent of statutory surplus. Under the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty, the Company's net retention on new bonds would generally be \$15 million plus a 5% co-participation in the \$45 million layer of excess reinsurance above the Company's retention and this regulation would require minimum statutory surplus of \$172.5 million at Western Surety. This surplus constraint may limit the amount of future dividends Western Surety could otherwise pay to CNA Surety Corporation.

In accordance with the provisions of intercompany tax sharing agreements

between CNA Surety and its subsidiaries, the tax of each subsidiary shall be determined based upon each subsidiary's separate return liability. Intercompany tax payments are made at such times as estimated tax payments would be required by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). CNA Surety received tax sharing payments from its subsidiaries of \$9.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 and \$18.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Western Surety, SBCA and USA qualify as acceptable surety companies for federal and other public works project bonds pursuant to U.S. Department of Treasury regulations. U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are based on an insurer's statutory surplus. Effective July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003, the underwriting limitations of Western Surety, SBCA and USA are \$20.7 million, \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Through the Surety Quota Share Treaty between CCC and Western Surety Company, CNA Surety has access to CCC and its affiliates' U.S. Department of Treasury underwriting limitations. The Surety Quota Share Treaty had an original term of five years from the Merger Date and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms. Effective July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003, the underwriting limitations of CCC and its affiliates total \$382.9 million. CNA Surety management believes that the foregoing U.S. Treasury underwriting limitations are sufficient for the conduct of its business.

Subject to the aforementioned uncertainties concerning the Company's per principal net retentions, CNA Surety management believes that the Company has sufficient available resources, including capital protection against large losses provided by the Company's excess of loss reinsurance arrangements, to meet its present capital needs.

28

### FINANCIAL CONDITION

### Investment Portfolio

The following table summarizes the distribution of the Company's fixed income and equity portfolios at estimated fair values as of December 31, 2002 and 2001:

	DECEMBER 31, 2002 ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	% OF TOTAL
Fixed income securities:		
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of		
U.S. Government and agencies:		
U.S. Treasury	\$ 17,100	3.0%
U.S. Agencies	29,930	5.2
Collateralized mortgage obligations	163	0.0
Mortgage pass-through securities	22,047	3.9
Obligations of states and political		
subdivisions	367 <b>,</b> 934	64.4
Corporate bonds	82,145	14.4
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	10,916	1.9
Other asset-backed securities:		
Second mortgages/home equity loans	12,456	2.2
Credit card receivables	5,087	0.9

Other  Redeemable preferred stock	8,491 14,269	1.5 2.5
Total fixed income securities	570 <b>,</b> 538	99.9%
Equity securities	761	0.1
Total	\$571,299	100.0%

The Company's investment portfolio generally is managed to maximize after-tax investment return, while minimizing credit risk with investments concentrated in high quality income securities. CNA Surety's portfolio is managed to provide diversification by limiting exposures to any one industry, issue or issuer, and to provide liquidity by investing in the public securities markets. The portfolio is structured to support CNA Surety's insurance underwriting operations and to consider the expected duration of liabilities and short-term cash needs.

CNA Surety classifies its fixed maturity securities and its equity securities as available-for-sale, and as such, they are carried at fair value. The amortized cost of fixed maturity securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity, which is included in net investment income. Changes in fair value are reported as a component of other comprehensive income.

The estimated fair value and amortized cost of fixed income and equity securities held by CNA Surety by investment category, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

DECEMBER 31, 2002	AMORTIZED COST OR COST	
Fixed income securities:		
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of		
U.S. Government and agencies:		
U.S. Treasury	\$ 16,140	\$ 960
U.S. Agencies	29,396	537
Collateralized mortgage	,	
obligations	156	7
Mortgage pass-through securities	20,981	1,066
Obligations of states and political	•	,
subdivisions	347,918	20,099
Corporate bonds	76,181	6,154
Non-agency collateralized mortgage		
obligations	10,497	477
Other asset-backed securities:		
Second mortgages/home equity loans	11,842	614
Credit card receivables	5,000	87
Other	7,838	653
Redeemable preferred stock	13,415	854
Total fixed income securities	539,364	31,508
Equity securities	852	,
Total	\$540,216	\$31 <b>,</b> 508
	=======	======

29

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit. Due to the level of risk associated with certain of these invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term may significantly affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company's Quantitative and Qualitative Discussion about Market Risk is contained in Item 7A of this Form 10-K/A.

The following table sets forth the ratings assigned by The Standard & Poor's Corporation ("S&P") or Moody's Investor Services, Inc. ("Moody's") of the fixed income securities portfolio of the Company as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 (dollars in thousands):

	20	02	200	01
CREDIT RATING	FAIR VALUE	% OF TOTAL	FAIR VALUE	% OF TOTAL
AAA/Aaa	\$358 <b>,</b> 976	62.9%	\$305 <b>,</b> 178	64.7%
AA/Aa	110,593	19.4	75 <b>,</b> 189	15.9
A/A	52,447	9.2	56,107	11.9
BBB	41,612	7.3	28,849	6.1
Not Rated	6,910	1.2	6,518	1.4
Total	\$570 <b>,</b> 538	\$100.0%	\$471,841	\$100.0%
	=======	======	=======	======

As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, 99% of the Company's fixed income securities were considered investment grade by S&P or Moody's and 82% and 81% were rated at least AA by those agencies for 2002 and 2001, respectively. The Company's investments in fixed income securities do not contain any industry concentration of credit risk.

As of December 31, 2002, municipal securities of the State of Texas, the State of Michigan and the State of Illinois and each state's related political subdivisions each represent 6.2%, 4.7% and 4.5%, respectively, of the estimated fair value of the Company's fixed income portfolio. Municipal securities of each other state individually represent less than 4% of the Company's fixed income portfolio.

Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries employ generally accepted reserving approaches in establishing the estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses that give consideration to the inherent difficulty and variability in the estimation process. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes, on an undiscounted basis, estimates of (a) the ultimate settlement value of reported claims, (b) incurred but not reported ("IBNR") claims, (c) future expenses to be incurred in the settlement of claims and (d) claim recoveries, exclusive of reinsurance recoveries which are reported as an asset. These estimates are determined based on the Company's and surety industry loss experience as well as consideration of current trends and conditions. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is an estimate and there is the potential that actual future loss payments will differ significantly from initial estimates. The methods of

determining such estimates and the resulting estimated liability are regularly reviewed and updated. Changes in the estimated liability are reflected in operating income in the period in which such changes are determined to be needed.

The Company's estimated liability for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses are recorded at management's best estimate which is based on various statistical reviews and analyses performed by the Company and management's judgment as to the responsiveness of these reviews and analyses to the factors affecting the Company's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Management considers factors such as changes in inflation, changes in claim handling and case reserving, changes in underwriting and pricing, changes in reinsurance programs, the Company's net retained liability and changes in the legal environment.

CNA Surety utilizes an independent actuarial firm of national standing to conduct periodic reviews of claim procedures and loss reserving practices, and annually obtains actuarial certification as to the reasonableness of actuarial assumptions used and the

30

sufficiency of year-end reserves for each of its principal insurance subsidiaries. In connection with this actuarial certification, the Company's independent actuarial firm provides management with additional reports and analysis and its independent judgments regarding loss and loss adjustment expense reserve estimates for management's review.

The Company recorded net unfavorable loss reserve development which resulted in increases in the estimated liability of \$6.2 million and \$4.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively and net favorable loss reserve development which resulted in reductions in the estimated liability of \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Note 8 to the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements presents a table of the activity in the reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the Company. This table highlights the impact of revisions to the estimated liability established in prior years.

Risk Based Capital ("RBC") and Other Regulatory Ratios

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has promulgated RBC requirements for property and casualty insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks such as asset quality, loss reserve adequacy, and other business factors. The RBC information is used by state insurance regulators as an early warning mechanism to identify insurance companies that potentially are inadequately capitalized. In addition, the formula defines minimum capital standards that supplement the current system of fixed minimum capital and surplus requirements on a state-by-state basis. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio (the "Ratio") of the enterprise's regulatory total adjusted capital, as defined by the NAIC, to its authorized control level RBC, as defined by the NAIC. Generally, a Ratio in excess of 200% of authorized control level RBC requires no corrective actions on behalf of a company or regulators. As of December 31, 2002, each of CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries had a Ratio that was in compliance with minimum RBC requirements.

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries require capital to support premium writings. In accordance with industry and regulatory guidelines, the net written premiums to surplus ratio of a property and casualty insurer generally should not exceed 3 to 1. On December 31, 2002, the Company had a combined statutory surplus of \$231.4 million. The combined statutory surplus of Western Surety and

SBCA was \$223.7 million and its net written premiums to surplus ratio was 1.3 to 1. USA's statutory surplus was \$7.6 million and the net written premiums to surplus ratio was 0.8 to 1. On December 31, 2001, the Company had a combined statutory surplus of \$227.5 million. The combined statutory surplus of Western Surety and SBCA was \$212.0 million and its net written premiums to surplus ratio was 1.5 to 1. USA's statutory surplus was \$15.4 million and the net written premiums to surplus ratio was 0.5 to 1. The Company believes that each insurance company's statutory surplus is sufficient to support its current and anticipated premium levels.

The NAIC has also developed a rating system, the Insurance Regulatory Information System ("IRIS"), primarily intended to assist state insurance departments in overseeing the financial condition of all insurance companies operating within their respective states. IRIS consists of twelve financial ratios that address various aspects of each insurer's financial condition and stability. In 2002 and 2001, Western's IRIS ratios were within all the "usual" ranges as defined by the NAIC, as were most of the ratios for USA and SBCA except as noted. In 2002 and 2001, USA's Two-Year Overall Operating ratio was outside of the usual range, primarily due to increases in the loss and expense ratios. In 2002, USA's Change in Policyholders' Surplus ratio was outside of the usual range primarily due to USA's declaration and payment of an extraordinary dividend to CNA Surety. In 2002, SBCA's Change in Net Writings ratio was outside of the usual range due to increased notary bond volume. SBCA's Investment Yield for 2002 was outside of the usual range primarily due to generally lower investment rates along with an increased tax exempt portfolio. In 2001, SBCA's IRIS ratios were within all the "usual" ranges as defined by the NAIC.

### IMPACT OF ADOPTING ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 141 and No. 142 entitled "Business Combinations" ("SFAS No. 141") and "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"), respectively. SFAS No. 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations subsequent to June 30, 2001 and specifies criteria for recognizing intangible assets acquired in a business combination. The Company will adopt this standard for any future business combinations. SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. Any impairment loss for the excess of the carrying amount of an intangible asset over its fair value would be recognized as a charge to operations. Intangible assets with definite useful lives will continue to be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives. The Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 142 effective January 1, 2002.

During 2002, the Company completed its initial goodwill impairment testing and an annual goodwill impairment testing as of

31

October 1, 2002 whereby no impairment was indicated. In the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company again tested for goodwill impairment. Based upon the Company's analysis supported by an outside valuation study, the Company concluded that there was no impairment.

In determining whether there is an impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, the Company calculated its estimated fair value using the present value of estimated expected future cash flows. The resulting estimated fair value was then compared to the net book value, including goodwill. If the net book value exceeded the estimated fair value, the Company would have measured the amount of impairment loss by comparing the implied estimated fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. To the extent that

the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, a goodwill impairment loss would be recognized. This impairment test will be performed annually and whenever facts and circumstances indicate that there is a possible impairment of goodwill. The Company believes the methodology it uses in testing impairment of goodwill provides a reasonable basis in determining whether an impairment charge is required.

The adoption of this standard eliminated the Company's amortization of goodwill and intangible assets as of December 31, 2001 and therefore, increased the Company's reported net income in 2002 by \$5.7 million, or \$0.13 per share as compared to prior years. If the provisions of this standard were applied to prior periods, net income for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 would have been \$42.6 million, or \$0.99 per share, and \$59.3 million, or \$1.38 per share, respectively.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144 entitled "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS No. 144"). SFAS No. 144 addresses accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of." The provisions of this statement were effective for CNA Surety beginning January 1, 2002. The initial adoption of this standard had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June of 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146 entitled "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" ("SFAS No. 146"). SFAS No. 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and supercedes Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)" ("EITF 94-3"). The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 146 for all disposal activities initiated after June 30, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 entitled "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No. 148"). SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", ("SFAS No. 123") to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The Company has adopted this standard beginning with the 2002 annual financial statements and will meet the disclosure requirements in all subsequent annual and interim financial statements. The Company has not determined if it will adopt fair value accounting in 2003.

### IMPACT OF ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET ADOPTED

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143 entitled "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS No 143"). SFAS No. 143 addresses accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. The provisions of this standard are effective for CNA Surety beginning January 1, 2003. The Company is in the process of quantifying the impact this new standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In November of 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB statements Nos. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34)"

("FIN No. 45"). FIN No. 45 clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies" ("SFAS No. 5") relating to a guarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. FIN No. 45 provides for additional disclosure requirements related to guarantees, effective for financial periods ending after December 15, 2002. Additionally, FIN No. 45 outlines provisions for initial recognition and measurement of the liability incurred in providing a guarantee. These provisions are to be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company has adopted the disclosure requirements of FIN No. 45 and will adopt the provisions for initial recognition and

32

measurement for all guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The adoption of FIN No. 45 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January of 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ("ARB No. 51")" ("FIN No. 46"). As a general rule, ownership by the parent, either directly or indirectly, of over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares of a subsidiary is a condition pointing toward preparation of consolidated financial statements of the parent and its subsidiary. FIN No. 46 clarifies the exceptions to this general rule, as enunciated in paragraph 2 of ARB No. 51. FIN No. 46 requires an entity to consolidate a variable interest entity ("VIE") even though the entity does not, either directly or indirectly, own over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares.

FIN No. 46 defines a VIE as one in which a) the equity investment is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties which is provided through other interests that will absorb some or all of the expected losses of the entity or b) the equity investors lack one or more of the following essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest i) direct or indirect ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting rights or similar rights or ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, if they occur or receive residual returns of the entity, if they occur or iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity if they occur. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is required to consolidate the results of operations of the VIE.

Financial statements issued after January 31, 2003 are required to disclose the nature, purpose, activities and size of the VIE and maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIE. The Company reviewed FIN No. 46 and is of the opinion that at the present time the Company is neither a primary beneficiary of a VIE nor does it have a significant involvement with a VIE.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes a number of statements which relate to anticipated future events (forward-looking statements) rather than actual present conditions or historical events. You can identify forward-looking statements because generally they include words such as "believes," "expects," "intends," "anticipates," "estimates," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include expected developments in the Company's insurance business, including losses and loss reserves; the impact of routine ongoing insurance reserve reviews being conducted by the Company; the ongoing state regulatory examinations of the Company's primary insurance company subsidiaries, and the Company's responses to the results of those reviews and examinations;

the Company's expectations concerning its revenues, earnings, expenses and investment activities; expected cost savings and other results from the Company's expense reduction and restructuring activities; and the Company's proposed actions in response to trends in its business.

Forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to a variety of inherent risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected. Many of these risks and uncertainties cannot be controlled by the Company. Some examples of these risks and uncertainties are:

- general economic and business conditions;
- changes in financial markets such as fluctuations in interest rates, long-term periods of low interest rates, credit conditions and currency, commodity and stock prices;
- the effects of corporate bankruptcies, such as Enron and WorldCom, on surety bond claims, as well as on capital markets;
- changes in foreign or domestic political, social and economic conditions;
- regulatory initiatives and compliance with governmental regulations, judicial decisions, including interpretation of policy provisions, decisions regarding coverage, trends in litigation and the outcome of any litigation involving the Company, and rulings and changes in tax laws and regulations;
- regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions upon the Company, including the effects of assessments and other surcharges for guaranty funds and other mandatory pooling arrangements;
- the impact of competitive products, policies and pricing and the competitive environment in which the Company operates, including changes in the Company's books of business;
- product and policy availability and demand and market responses, including the level of ability to obtain rate increases and decline or non-renew underpriced accounts, to achieve premium targets and profitability and to realize growth and retention estimates;

33

- development of claims and the impact on loss reserves, including changes in claim settlement practices;
- the performance of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts with the Company;
- results of financing efforts, including the availability of bank credit facilities;
- changes in the Company's composition of operating segments;
- the sufficiency of the Company's loss reserves and the possibility of future increases in reserves;
- the risks and uncertainties associated with the Company's loss reserves as outlined in the Reserves section of this MDA and,

- the possibility of further changes in the Company's ratings by ratings agencies, including the inability to access certain markets or distribution channels and the required collateralization of future payment obligations as a result of such changes, and changes in rating agency policies and practices;

Any forward-looking statements made in this report are made by the Company as of the date of this report. The Company does not have any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in this report, even if the Company's expectations or any related events, conditions or circumstances change.

34

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Directors and Shareholders CNA Surety Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CNA Surety Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CNA Surety Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 17, the accompanying 2002 consolidated financial statements have been restated.

Also, as discussed in Note 1, the Company changed its method of accounting for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in 2002.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois February 10, 2003

(March 12, 2004 as to the effects of the restatement described in Note 17.)

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# ASSETS

Invested assets and cash:
Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost:
\$539,364 and \$464,102)
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: \$852 and \$42,614)
Short-term investments, at cost (approximates fair value)
Other investments, at fair value
Cash
Total invested assets and cash
Deferred policy acquisition costs
Insurance receivables:
Premiums, including \$34,097 and \$29,829 from affiliates (net of allowance for doubtful
accounts: \$1,365 and \$2,614)
Reinsurance, including \$17,589 and \$58,027 from affiliates
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization: \$25,523 and \$25,523)
Property and equipment, at cost (less accumulated depreciation: \$16,047 and \$14,138)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums
Other assets
Total assets
LIABILITIES
Reserves:
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses
Unearned premiums
Total reserves
Debt
Deferred income taxes, net
Payable for securities purchased
Current income taxes payable
Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates
Other liabilities
Total liabilities
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 9)
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, 20,000 shares authorized;
none issued and outstanding
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share, 100,000 shares authorized; 44,386 shares issued and
42,947 shares outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 44,229 shares issued and 42,780 shares
outstanding at December 31, 2001
Additional paid-in capital
Retained earnings
Accumulated other comprehensive income
Treasury stock, at cost

Total	stockholders'	equity			 	 • • • •	 	• • •	 	 • •	 	•
Total	liabilities a	ınd stockl	holders'	equity	 	 	 		 	 	 	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(a) See Note 17.

36

# CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	YE	EARS ENDED DEC
	2002	200
	AS RESTATED	
Revenues:  Net earned premium	\$ 298,319 27,754 (7,586)	\$320, 29,
Total revenues	318,487	350,
Expenses:  Net losses and loss adjustment expenses  Net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting	94,198	80,
expenses  Interest expense  Non-recurring charge	179,827 1,708 	202, 3,
Amortization of intangible assets		6, 
Total expenses	275,733	293 <b>,</b>
Income before income taxes	42,754 12,635	56, 19,
Net income	\$ 30,119	\$ 36,
Earnings per share	\$ 0.70	===== \$ 0
Earnings per share, assuming dilution	\$ 0.70	===== \$ 0 =====
Weighted average shares outstanding	42,910 ======	42, ====
Weighted average shares outstanding, assuming dilution	43,028 =======	42, ====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated

financial statements.

(a) See Note 17.

37

### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	COMMON STOCK SHARES OUTSTANDING	COMMON STOCK	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL
		(AMOUNTS I	N THOUSANDS)
Balance, December 31, 1999	43,006 =====	\$ 441	\$253 <b>,</b> 366
Comprehensive income:			
Net income Other comprehensive income:			
Change in unrealized (losses) on securities			
<pre>(after income taxes), net of reclassification</pre>			
adjustment of \$(1,263)			
Total comprehensive			
income			
Purchase of treasury stock	(327)		
other	23		131
stockholders			
Balance, December 31, 2000	42,702 =====	\$ 441 =======	\$253 <b>,</b> 497
Comprehensive income:			
Net income Other comprehensive income:			
Change in unrealized gains on securities (after income taxes), net of			
reclassification			
adjustment of \$(823)			
Total comprehensive income			
Purchase of treasury stock Employee Stock Purchase			
Program issuance from			F
treasury stock			5
other	78	1	631
stockholders			

Balance, December 31, 2001	42,780 =====	\$ 442 =======	\$254 <b>,</b> 133
Comprehensive income: Net income (As restated (a)) Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains on securities (after income taxes), net of reclassification adjustment of			
\$(16,627)  Total comprehensive  Income (As restated (a))			
Purchase of treasury stock  Employee Stock Purchase Program issuance from			
treasury stock	10		15
other	157	2	1,617
stockholders			
Balance, December 31, 2002			
(As restated (a))	42,947 =====	\$ 444 =======	\$255 <b>,</b> 765 ======
	RETAINED EARNINGS	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	TREASURY STOCK (AT COST)
		OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	STOCK
Balance, December 31, 1999		OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	STOCK (AT COST)
Comprehensive income: Net income	EARNINGS 	OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (AMOUNTS IN	STOCK (AT COST) N THOUSANDS) \$(11,772)
Comprehensive income: Net income	\$ 95,419	OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (AMOUNTS IN	STOCK (AT COST) N THOUSANDS) \$(11,772)
Comprehensive income: Net income	\$ 95,419 ====================================	OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (AMOUNTS IN \$(11,150)	STOCK (AT COST) N THOUSANDS) \$(11,772)
Comprehensive income: Net income	\$ 95,419 ====================================	OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (AMOUNTS IN \$(11,150)	STOCK (AT COST) N THOUSANDS) \$(11,772)
Comprehensive income: Net income	\$ 95,419 ====================================	OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (AMOUNTS IN \$(11,150)	STOCK (AT COST) N THOUSANDS) \$(11,772)
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized (losses) on securities (after income taxes), net of reclassification adjustment of \$(1,263)  Total comprehensive income  Purchase of treasury stock Stock options exercised and other Dividends paid to	\$ 95,419 ======== 53,602	OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (AMOUNTS IN \$(11,150)	STOCK (AT COST) N THOUSANDS) \$(11,772)

Net income Other comprehensive income: Change in unrealized gains	36,908		
on securities (after			
income taxes), net of			
reclassification			
adjustment of \$(823)		11	
Total comprehensive			
income			
Purchase of treasury stock Employee Stock Purchase			(124)
Program issuance from			
treasury stock			52
other			
stockholders	(23,088)		
Balance, December 31, 2001	\$ 149,128	\$ 278	\$ (15,553)
Consideration to the constant	=======	======	======
Comprehensive income: Net income (As restated (a))	30 110		
Other comprehensive income:	30,113		
Change in unrealized gains			
on securities (after			
income taxes), net of			
reclassification			
adjustment of			
\$ (16,627)		19,583	
Total comprehensive			
Income (As restated (a))			
Purchase of treasury stock			
Program issuance from treasury stock			107
Stock options exercised and			107
other			
Dividends paid to			
stockholders	(19,310)		
Balance, December 31, 2002			
(As restated (a))	\$ 159 <b>,</b> 937	\$ 19,861	\$(15,446)
	======	======	======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(a) See Note 17.

38

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

AS RESTATED (a)   AS RESTATED (b)			
AS RESTATED   (a) (AMOUNTS		2002	
Net income			(a)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:  Depreciation and amortization	OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Depreciation and amortization	Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided	\$ 30,119	\$
Net realized investment losses (gains)	Depreciation and amortization		
Changes in:  Insurance receivables			
Insurance receivables   37,774   Reserve for unearned premiums   15,834   Reserve for unpaid losses and loss adjustment   expenses   (12,378)   Deferred policy acquisition costs   (6,598)   Deferred policy acquisition costs   (6,598)   Deferred income taxes, net   171   Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates   15,614   Prepaid reinsurance premiums   (7,499)   (7,499		7,586	
Reserve for unearned premiums Reserve for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (12,378)  Deferred policy acquisition costs (6,598)  Deferred income taxes, net 171 Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates 15,614 Prepaid reinsurance premiums (7,499) Other assets and liabilities 21 Net cash provided by operating activities  Fixed income securities: Furchases (239,019) Maturities Purchases (239,019) Maturities 29,303 Sales 29,303 Sales 29,303 Sales 20,208 Purchases of equity securities Proceeds from the sale of equity securities 29,402 Changes in short-term investments 29,42 Changes in other investments 3,209 Purchases of property and equipment (3,881) Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased Other, net (62) Net cash used in investing activities (50,565)  FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from debt (86,379) Dividends to stockholders Usuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan Usuance of treasury stock Employee stock option exercises 1,414 Net cash used in financing activities (33,153)  Increase (decrease) in cash 1,820 Cash at end of period 3,14,979 5		37.774	
Reserve for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses		•	
Deferred policy acquisition costs   171	<u>-</u>	•	
Deferred income taxes, net 171 Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates 15,614 Prepald reinsurance premiums (7,499) Other assets and liabilities 21  Net cash provided by operating activities 35,538  INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Fixed income securities: Purchases (239,019) Maturities 29,303 Sales 142,322 Purchases of equity securities (22,870) Proceeds from the sale of equity securities 48,900 Changes in short-term investments 2,942 Changes in other investments 3,209 Purchases of property and equipment (3,881) Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased (11,409) Other, net (62)  Net cash used in investing activities (50,565)  FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from debt 71,000 Principal payments on debt (86,379) Dividends to stockholders (19,310) Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan 122 Purchase of treasury stock ————————————————————————————————————	-		
Reinsurance and other payables to affiliates			
Prepaid reinsurance premiums			
Other assets and liabilities         21           Net cash provided by operating activities         85,538           INVESTING ACTIVITIES:         Fixed income securities:           Purchases         (239,019)           Maturities         29,303           Sales         142,322           Purchases of equity securities         (22,870)           Proceeds from the sale of equity securities         48,900           Changes in short-term investments         2,942           Changes in other investments         3,209           Purchases of property and equipment         (3,881)           Changes in receivables/payables for securities         (11,409)           Sold/purchased         (11,409)           Other, net         (62)           Net cash used in investing activities         (50,565)           FINANCING ACTIVITIES:         71,000           Principal payments on debt         (86,379)           Dividends to stockholders         (19,310)           Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase         19,310           Issuance of treasury stock		•	
Net cash provided by operating activities   85,538			
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:   Fixed income securities:   Purchases	Office assers did frantifices		
Fixed income securities:         (239,019)           Purchases         (239,019)           Maturities         29,303           Sales         142,322           Purchases of equity securities         (22,870)           Proceeds from the sale of equity securities         48,900           Changes in short-term investments         2,942           Changes in other investments         3,209           Purchases of property and equipment         (3,881)           Changes in receivables/payables for securities         (11,409)           Other, net         (62)           Net cash used in investing activities         (50,565)           FINANCING ACTIVITIES:         (50,565)           Proceeds from debt         71,000           Principal payments on debt         (86,379)           Dividends to stockholders         (19,310)           Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan         122           Purchase of treasury stock         —           Employee stock option exercises         1,414           Net cash used in financing activities         (33,153)           Increase (decrease) in cash         1,820           Cash at beginning of period         \$ 14,979         \$           Cash at end of period         \$ 14,97	Net cash provided by operating activities	85 <b>,</b> 538	
Purchases         (239,019)         (239,019)         (29,303)           Sales         142,322         (22,870)         (22,970)         (23,912)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,81)         (23,91)         (23,91)         (23,91)	INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Maturities       29,303         Sales       142,322         Purchases of equity securities       (22,870)         Proceeds from the sale of equity securities       48,900         Changes in short-term investments       2,942         Changes in other investments       3,209         Purchases of property and equipment       (3,881)         Changes in receivables/payables for securities       (62)         sold/purchased       (11,409)         Other, net       (62)         Net cash used in investing activities       (50,565)         FINANCING ACTIVITIES:       71,000         Principal payments on debt       (86,379)         Dividends to stockholders       (19,310)         Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase       19310         Issuance of treasury stock			
Sales       142,322         Purchases of equity securities       (22,870)         Proceeds from the sale of equity securities       48,900         Changes in short-term investments       2,942         Changes in other investments       3,209         Purchases of property and equipment       (3,881)         Changes in receivables/payables for securities       (11,409)         Sold/purchased       (62)         Net cash used in investing activities       (50,565)         FINANCING ACTIVITIES:       71,000         Principal payments on debt       (86,379)         Dividends to stockholders       (19,310)         Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan       122         Purchase of treasury stock			(
Purchases of equity securities (22,870) Proceeds from the sale of equity securities 48,900 Changes in short-term investments 2,942 Changes in other investments 3,209 Purchases of property and equipment (3,881) Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased (11,409) Other, net (62)  Net cash used in investing activities (50,565)  FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from debt (86,379) Dividends to stockholders (19,310) Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan 122 Purchase of treasury stock		·	
Proceeds from the sale of equity securities			
Changes in short-term investments 2,942 Changes in other investments 3,209 Purchases of property and equipment (3,881) Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased (11,409) Other, net (62)  Net cash used in investing activities (50,565)  FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from debt (86,379) Dividends to stockholders (19,310) Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan 122 Purchase of treasury stock 1414  Net cash used in financing activities (33,153)  Increase (decrease) in cash (1,820) Cash at beginning of period (\$14,979 \$			
Changes in other investments 3,209 Purchases of property and equipment (3,881) Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased (11,409) Other, net (62)  Net cash used in investing activities (50,565)  FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from debt 71,000 Principal payments on debt (86,379) Dividends to stockholders (19,310) Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan 122 Purchase of treasury stock ————————————————————————————————————		·	
Purchases of property and equipment (3,881)  Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased (11,409)  Other, net (62)  Net cash used in investing activities (50,565)  FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from debt 71,000 Principal payments on debt (86,379) Dividends to stockholders (19,310)  Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan 122 Purchase of treasury stock —— Employee stock option exercises 1,414  Net cash used in financing activities (33,153)  Increase (decrease) in cash 1,820 Cash at beginning of period \$14,979 \$  ———————————————————————————————————			
Changes in receivables/payables for securities sold/purchased		•	
Other, net		\ - <i>,</i> ,	
Net cash used in investing activities	sold/purchased	(11,409)	
Net cash used in investing activities (50,565)  FINANCING ACTIVITIES:  Proceeds from debt 71,000 Principal payments on debt (86,379) Dividends to stockholders (19,310) Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan 122 Purchase of treasury stock Employee stock option exercises 1,414  Net cash used in financing activities (33,153)  Increase (decrease) in cash 1,820 Cash at beginning of period \$14,979 \$	Other, net		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:  Proceeds from debt	Net cash used in investing activities		
Proceeds from debt       71,000         Principal payments on debt       (86,379)         Dividends to stockholders       (19,310)         Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase       122         Purchase of treasury stock          Employee stock option exercises       1,414         Net cash used in financing activities       (33,153)         Increase (decrease) in cash       1,820         Cash at beginning of period       13,159         Cash at end of period       \$ 14,979       \$	Net cash used in thresting activities		
Principal payments on debt			
Dividends to stockholders		•	
Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase plan			
plan		(19,310)	
Purchase of treasury stock —— Employee stock option exercises ——  Net cash used in financing activities ————————————————————————————————————		122	
Employee stock option exercises			
Net cash used in financing activities	-	1,414	
Increase (decrease) in cash			
Cash at beginning of period	Net cash used in financing activities		
Cash at end of period	Increase (decrease) in cash	1,820	
Cash at end of period	Cash at beginning of period	•	
	Cash at end of period		 \$
	Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:	=======	==

Cash paid during the period for:

YEARS END

Interest	\$ 2,136
Income taxes	\$ 8,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(a) See Note 17

39

### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Formation of CNA Surety Corporation and Merger

In December 1996, CNA Financial Corporation ("CNAF") and Capsure Holdings Corp. ("Capsure") agreed to merge (the "Merger") the surety business of CNAF with Capsure's insurance subsidiaries, Western Surety Company ("Western Surety") and Universal Surety of America ("USA"), into CNA Surety Corporation ("CNA Surety" or the "Company"). CNAF, through its operating subsidiaries, writes multiple lines of property and casualty insurance, including surety business that is reinsured by Western Surety. CNAF owns approximately 64% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety. Loews Corporation owns approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF. The principal operating subsidiaries of CNAF that wrote the surety line of business for their own account prior to the Merger were Continental Casualty Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CCC") and The Continental Insurance Company and its property and casualty affiliates (collectively, "CIC"). CIC was acquired by CNAF on May 10, 1995.

### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CNA Surety Corporation and all majority-owned subsidiaries.

### Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Investments

Management believes the Company has the ability to hold all fixed income securities to maturity. However, the Company may dispose of securities prior to their scheduled maturity due to changes in interest rates, prepayments, tax and credit considerations, liquidity or regulatory capital requirements, or other similar factors. As a result, the Company considers all of its fixed income securities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks) and equity securities as available-for-sale. These securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred income taxes, reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Cash flows from purchases, sales and maturities are reported gross in the investing activities section of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The amortized cost of fixed income securities is determined based on cost and the cumulative effect of amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization and accretion are included in investment income. For mortgage-backed and certain asset-backed securities, the Company recognizes income using the effective-yield method based on estimated cash flows. All securities transactions are recorded on the trade date. Investment gains or losses realized on the sale of securities are determined using the specific identification method. Investments with an other-than-temporary decline in value are written down to fair value, resulting in losses that are included in realized investment gains and losses.

Short-term investments which generally include U.S. Treasury bills, corporate notes, money market funds and investment grade commercial paper equivalents, are carried at amortized cost which approximates fair value.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Policy acquisition costs, consisting of commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses which vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of business, net of reinsurance commissions, are deferred and amortized as a charge to income as the related premiums are earned. Anticipated investment income is considered in the determination of the recoverability of deferred acquisition costs.

40

#### Intangible Assets

The acquisition of CCC Surety Operations and Capsure was accounted for under purchase accounting by CNA Surety. Intangible assets represent goodwill and identified intangibles arising from the acquisition of Capsure and goodwill arising from the May 1995 acquisition of CIC by CNAF that was allocated to the surety business of CIC. Intangible assets arising from the Merger were \$20.5 million related to the agency force and \$134.5 million for goodwill.

In 1999, CNA Surety acquired certain assets of Clark Bonding Company, Inc., a Charlotte, North Carolina, insurance agency and brokerage doing business as The Bond Exchange. Goodwill arising from this acquisition was \$5.9 million.

Prior to 2002, goodwill from each acquisition was generally amortized as a charge to earnings over periods not exceeding 30 years. Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142 entitled "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"), which was adopted by CNA Surety as of January 1, 2002, periodic amortization ceased, in accordance with an impairment-only accounting model.

A significant amount of judgment is required in performing goodwill impairment tests. Such tests include periodically determining or reviewing the estimated fair value of CNA Surety's reporting units. Under SFAS No. 142, fair value refers to the amount for which the entire reporting unit may be bought or sold. There are several methods of estimating fair value, including market quotations, asset and liability fair values and other valuation techniques, such as discounted cash flows and multiples of earnings or revenues. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit, including goodwill, exceeds the estimated fair value, then individual assets, including identifiable intangible assets, and liabilities of the reporting unit are estimated at fair value. The excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit over the estimated fair value of net assets would establish the implied value of goodwill. The excess of the recorded amount of goodwill over the implied value of goodwill is charged-off as an impairment loss.

Reserves for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes, on an undiscounted basis, estimates of (a) the ultimate settlement value of reported claims, (b) incurred but not reported ("IBNR") claims, (c) future expenses to be incurred in the settlement of claims and (d) claim recoveries, before reinsurance recoveries which are reported as an asset. These estimates are determined based on the Company's loss experience as well as consideration of industry experience, current trends and conditions. The estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is an estimate and there is the potential that actual future loss payments will differ significantly from initial estimates. The methods of determining such estimates and the resulting estimated liability are regularly reviewed and updated. Changes in the estimated liability are reflected in operating income in the period in which such changes are determined to be needed.

### Insurance Premiums

Insurance premiums are recognized as revenue ratably over the terms of the related policies in proportion to the insurance protection provided. Premium revenues are net of amounts ceded to reinsurers. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written, before ceded reinsurance which is shown as an asset, applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force determined on a pro rata basis.

### Reinsurance

The Company assumes and cedes insurance with other insurers and reinsurers to limit maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk and minimize exposure on larger risks. Premiums and loss and loss adjustment expenses that are ceded under reinsurance arrangements reduce the respective revenues and expenses. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claim liability associated with the reinsured policy and are reported as reinsurance receivables.

### Stock-Based Compensation

As allowed under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," the Company accounts for its stock option plans in accordance with Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." The Company has not issued stock options where the exercise price is less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and, accordingly, no compensation expense has been recognized.

41

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share data if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock based compensation under the Company's stock-based compensation plan.

(in thousands, except per share data)

2002

Years

Net income

Less: Total stock based compensation cost determined under the fair value

\$ 30,119

(12)
\$ 30,107
======
\$ 0.70
\$ 0.70

#### Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method. Under the liability method, deferred income taxes are established for the future tax effects of temporary differences between the tax and financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates. Such temporary differences primarily relate to insurance reserves, deferred policy acquisition costs and intangible assets. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period of enactment.

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is based on the estimated useful lives of the various classes of property and equipment and determined principally on a straight-line basis. The cost of maintenance and repairs is charged to income as incurred; major improvements are capitalized.

#### Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents which is computed using the treasury stock method.

The computation of earnings per share is as follows (amounts in thousands, except for per share data):

	Years Ended Dec	
	2002	2001
Net income	\$30 <b>,</b> 119	\$36 <b>,</b> 908
Shares: Weighted average shares outstanding	42 <b>,</b> 780	42 <b>,</b> 702
Total weighted average shares outstanding Effect of dilutive options	42,910 118	42,744 194
Total weighted average shares outstanding, assuming dilution	43,028	42,938 =====
Earnings per share	\$ 0.70 =====	\$ 0.86 =====

No adjustments were made to reported net income in the computation of earnings per share.

42

### Accounting Changes

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 141 entitled "Business Combinations" ("SFAS No. 141") and SFAS No. 142. SFAS No. 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations subsequent to June 30, 2001 and specifies criteria for recognizing intangible assets acquired in a business combination. The Company will adopt this standard for any future business combinations. SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. Any impairment loss for the excess of the carrying amount of an intangible asset over its fair value would be recognized as a charge to operations. Intangible assets with definite useful lives will continue to be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives. The Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 142 effective January 1, 2002.

During 2002, the Company completed its initial goodwill impairment testing and an annual goodwill impairment testing as of October 1, 2002 whereby no impairment was indicated. In the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company again tested for goodwill impairment. Based upon the Company's analysis supported by an outside valuation study, the Company concluded that there was no impairment.

In determining whether there is an impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, the Company calculated its estimated fair value using the present value of estimated expected future cash flows. The resulting estimated fair value was then compared to the net book value, including goodwill. If the net book value exceeded the estimated fair value, the Company would have measured the amount of impairment loss by comparing the implied estimated fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. To the extent that the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, a goodwill impairment loss would be recognized. This impairment test will be performed annually and whenever facts and circumstances indicate that there is a possible impairment of goodwill. The Company believes the methodology it uses in testing impairment of goodwill provides a reasonable basis in determining whether an impairment charge is required.

The adoption of this standard eliminated the Company's amortization of goodwill and intangibles as of December 31, 2001 and therefore, increased the Company's reported net income in 2002 by \$5.7 million, or \$0.13 per share as compared to prior years. If the provisions of this standard were applied to prior periods, net income for the year ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 would have been \$42.6 million, or \$0.99 per share and \$59.3 million, or \$1.38 per share, respectively, and is illustrated in the following table:

(in thousands except per share data)

20

Net income
Add: Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets amortization, after tax

Pro forma net income

Basic and diluted earnings per share, as reported

Basic and diluted earnings per share, pro forma

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144 entitled "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS No. 144"). SFAS No. 144 addresses accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of." The provisions of this statement were effective for CNA Surety beginning January 1, 2002. The initial adoption of this standard had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June of 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146 entitled "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" ("SFAS No. 146"). SFAS No. 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and supercedes Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)" ("EITF 94-3"). The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 146 for all disposal activities initiated after June 30, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

43

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 entitled "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No. 148"). SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The Company has adopted this standard beginning with the 2002 annual financial statements and will meet the disclosure requirements in all subsequent annual and interim financial statements. The Company has not determined if it will adopt fair value accounting in 2003.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143 entitled "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS No 143"). SFAS No. 143 addresses accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. The provisions of this standard are effective for CNA Surety beginning January 1, 2003. The Company is in the process of quantifying the impact this new standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In November of 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB statements Nos. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34)" ("FIN No. 45"). FIN No. 45 clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies" ("SFAS No. 5") relating to a guarantor's accounting for, and

\$ 30

\$ 30

\$

====

disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. FIN No. 45 provides for additional disclosure requirements related to guarantees effective for financial periods ending after December 15, 2002. Additionally, FIN No. 45 outlines provisions for initial recognition and measurement of the liability incurred in providing a guarantee. These provisions are to be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company has adopted the disclosure requirements of FIN No. 45 and will adopt the provisions for initial recognition and measurement for all guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The adoption of FIN No. 45 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January of 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ("ARB No. 51") ("FIN No. 46"). As a general rule, ownership by the parent, either directly or indirectly, of over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares of a subsidiary is a condition pointing toward preparation of consolidated financial statements of the parent and its subsidiary. FIN No. 46 clarifies the exceptions to this general rule, as enunciated in paragraph 2 of ARB No. 51. FIN No. 46 requires an entity to consolidate a variable interest entity ("VIE") even though the entity does not, either directly or indirectly, own over fifty percent of the outstanding voting shares.

FIN No. 46 defines a VIE as one in which a) the equity investment is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties which is provided through other interests that will absorb some or all of the expected losses of the entity or b) the equity investors lack one or more of the following essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest i) direct or indirect ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting rights or similar rights or ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, if they occur or receive residual returns of the entity, if they occur or iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity if they occur. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is required to consolidate the results of operations of the VIE.

Financial statements issued after January 31, 2003 are required to disclose the nature, purpose, activities and size of the VIE and maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIE. The Company reviewed FIN No. 46 and is of the opinion that at the present time the Company is neither a primary beneficiary of a VIE nor does it have a significant involvement with a VIE.

#### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2001 and 2000 financial statements and notes to conform with the presentation in the 2002 Consolidated Financial Statements.

### 2. PROPOSED TENDER OFFER

On March 20, 2000, CCC proposed a cash tender offer to purchase the remaining common stock of CNA Surety that it and its affiliates did not own for \$13.00 per share. On May 26, 2000, CCC informed CNA Surety that CCC did not intend to pursue the proposed tender offer to acquire the remaining equity interests of the Company not currently owned by CCC. The Company recorded a non-recurring charge of \$0.5 million before income taxes, or \$0.3 million after tax, for costs incurred with respect to the proposed

tender offer by CCC.

As reported in CNAF public filings, CCC and other insurance subsidiaries of CNAF that own shares of CNA Surety may review their respective positions of CNA Surety shares from time to time and may acquire additional shares or dispose of shares depending upon market conditions or other factors existing at the time of such review, resulting in increases or decreases in their respective ownership positions.

### 3. INVESTMENTS

The estimated fair value and amortized cost of fixed income and equity securities held by CNA Surety by investment category, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

DECEMBER 31, 2002	AMORTIZED COST OR COST	GROSS UNREALIZE GAINS
DECEMBER 31, 2002		OWING
Fixed income securities:		
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of		
U.S. Government and agencies:	^ 1C 1AO	^ 060
U.S. Treasury	\$ 16,140	\$ 960
U.S. Agencies	29 <b>,</b> 396	537
Collateralized mortgage obligations	156	1 066
Mortgage pass-through securities Obligations of states and political	20,981	1,066
subdivisions	347,918	20,099
Corporate bonds	76,181	6,154
Non-agency collateralized mortgage		
obligations	10,497	477
Other asset-backed securities:		
Second mortgages/home equity loans	11,842	614
Credit card receivables	5,000	87
Other	7,838	653
Redeemable preferred stock	13,415	854
Total fixed income securities	539,364	31,508
Equity securities	852	
Total	\$540,216	\$31,508
	======	======
	AMORTIZED	GROSS
	AMORTIZED COST OR	GROSS UNREALIZ
DECEMBER 31, 2001		
DECEMBER 31, 2001	COST OR	UNREALI
DECEMBER 31, 2001 dincome securities:	COST OR	UNREALI
l income securities: Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.	COST OR	UNREALI
d income securities: Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Ternment and agencies:	COST OR COST	UNREALI GAINS
d income securities: Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. vernment and agencies: U.S. Treasury	COST OR	UNREALI GAINS  \$ 56
d income securities: Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. vernment and agencies: U.S. Treasury	COST OR COST \$ 24,751 67,539	UNREALI GAINS \$ 56 2,11
d income securities: Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. vernment and agencies: U.S. Treasury	COST OR	UNREALI GAINS

Obligations of states and political subdivisions	217,757	5,029
Corporate bonds	71,029	2,007
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	12,898	132
Other asset-backed securities:		
Second mortgages/home equity loans	15 <b>,</b> 784	537
Credit card receivables	10,000	327
Other	8,333	450
Redeemable preferred stock	13,435	122
Total fixed income securities	464,102	11,519
Equity securities	42,614	2,620
Total	\$506 <b>,</b> 716	\$14 <b>,</b> 139
	=======	======

The Company's insurance subsidiaries, as required by state law, deposit certain securities with state insurance regulatory authorities. At December 31, 2002, securities on deposit had an aggregate carrying value of \$3.6 million.

45

Short-term investments are generally comprised of U.S. Treasury bills, corporate notes, money market funds and investment grade commercial paper equivalents.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed income securities, by contractual maturity, at December 31, 2002 and 2001 are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties (dollars in thousands):

	20		
	AMORTIZED COST	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	 AMO C
FIXED INCOME SECURITIES:			
Due within one year  Due after one year but within five	\$ 1 <b>,</b> 327	\$ 1 <b>,</b> 329	\$
years  Due after five years but within ten	55 <b>,</b> 040	57,632	7
years	215,008	227,425	14
Due after ten years	211 <b>,</b> 675	224 <b>,</b> 992	18
	483,050	511 <b>,</b> 378	39
Mortgage pass-through securities, collateralized mortgage obligations			
and asset-backed securities	56,314	59 <b>,</b> 160	6
	\$539 <b>,</b> 364	\$570 <b>,</b> 538	\$46
	======	======	===

Major categories of net investment income and gross realized investment gains and (losses) from sales of available-for-sale securities were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2002 2001		
<pre>Investment income:   Fixed income securities</pre>	\$ 25 <b>,</b> 764	\$ 26 <b>,</b> 819	\$ 25,623
Equity securities	1,359 135	393 2,536 402	3,998 563
Total investment income	28,471 (717)	30,150 (635)	30,556
Net investment income	\$ 27 <b>,</b> 754	•	•
Gross realized investment gains  Gross realized investment losses		\$ 1,118 (1,072)	
Net realized investment gain (losses)	\$ (7 <b>,</b> 586)	\$ 46 =====	\$ 557 ======

Net unrealized gain and loss on securities included in stockholders' equity at December 31, 2002 and 2001 was comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

		200	2		
	GAINS	LOSS	ES 	NET	GAINS
Fixed income securities Equity securities Other	\$31,508  		334) (91) 527)	\$ 31,174 (91) (527)	\$ 11,519 2,620 
	\$31 <b>,</b> 508	\$ (	952)	30 <b>,</b> 556	\$ 14 <b>,</b> 139
Deferred income taxes				(10,695)	
Net unrealized gain on securities				\$ 19,861 ======	

### 4. DEBT

CNA Surety's bank borrowings were previously under a five-year unsecured revolving credit facility effective September 30, 1997 (the "1997 Credit Facility") that provided for borrowings of up to \$130 million. The Company paid down outstanding borrowings under the 1997 Credit Facility by \$10 million to \$65 million on July 29, 2002. The 1997 Credit Facility matured September 30, 2002.

The Company refinanced \$65 million in outstanding borrowings under the 1997 Credit Facility under a new credit facility (the "2002 Credit Facility"). The 2002 Credit Facility provided an aggregate of up to \$65 million in initial borrowings divided between a 364 day revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") of \$35 million and a three year term loan facility (the "Term Loan") of \$30 million. The Revolving Credit Facility may be extended, with the consent of lenders, for up to two additional periods of up to 364 days each, but in no case shall the Revolving Credit Facility be extended to mature on a date later than three years from the effective date of the Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility may be increased from time to time by the amount of amortization under the Term Loan facility. Such increase is subject to consent by each Revolving Credit Bank, and will take place upon receipt by the Banks of the respective installment payments under the Term Loan facility.

Of the \$65 million in initial outstanding borrowings, \$15 million was provided pursuant to a guarantee by CNAF which expired on November 30, 2002. On November 29, 2002, the Company repaid \$11 million of the \$15 million of the Company's revolving credit loan that was due on November 30, 2002. The due date on the remaining \$4 million was extended until another lender joined the credit facility. On December 30, 2002, a second lender joined the credit facility for \$10 million resulting in net additional funds of \$6 million to CNA Surety. Outstanding borrowings under the credit facility were \$60 million as of December 31, 2002, consisting of \$30 million under the Revolving Credit Facility and \$30 million under the Term Loan Facility. Effective January 30, 2003, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on the \$30 million term loan that fixed the interest rate at 2.75%.

Amortization of the Term Loan will take place at \$10,000,000 per year, in equal installments of \$5,000,000 on the following dates:

DATE	AMORTIZATION	OUTSTANDING BALANCE
June 30, 2003	\$5,000,000	\$25,000,000
September 30, 2003	5,000,000	20,000,000
March 31, 2004	5,000,000	15,000,000
September 30, 2004	5,000,000	10,000,000
March 31, 2005	5,000,000	5,000,000
September 30, 2005	5,000,000	0

The interest rate on borrowings under the 2002 Credit Facility may be fixed, at CNA Surety's option, for a period of one, two, three, or six months and is based on, among other rates, the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), plus the applicable margin. The margin, including a facility fee and utilization fee on the 2002 Credit Facility, was 0.625% at December 31, 2002 and can vary based on CNA Surety's leverage ratio (debt to total capitalization) from 0.48% to 0.80%. As of December 31, 2002, the weighted average interest rate was 1.9% on the \$60 million of outstanding borrowings. As of December 31, 2001, the weighted average interest rate on the 1997 Credit Facility was 2.5% on the \$75.0 million of outstanding borrowings.

The 2002 Credit Facility contains, among other conditions, limitations on CNA Surety with respect to the incurrence of additional indebtedness and maintenance of a rating of at least "A" by A.M. Best Company Inc. ("A.M. Best") for each of the Company's insurance subsidiaries. The 2002 Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios as follows: a) maximum funded debt to total capitalization ratio of 25%, b) minimum net worth of \$350.0 million and c) minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.5 times. As of December 31, 2002, the Company was in compliance with all restrictions and covenants

contained in the 2002 Credit Facility.

In 1999 CNA Surety acquired certain assets of Clark Bonding Company, Inc., a Charlotte, North Carolina, insurance agency and brokerage doing business as The Bond Exchange, for \$5.9 million. As part of this acquisition, the Company incurred an additional \$1.9 million of debt in the form of a promissory note. The promissory note matures on July 27, 2004 and has an interest rate of 5.0%. The balance of this promissory note at December 31, 2002 was \$0.8 million.

The consolidated balance sheet reflects total debt of \$60.8 and \$76.2 million at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively. The weighted average interest rate on outstanding borrowings was 2.0% and 2.6% at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 respectively.

### 5. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table summarizes fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values may be based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used,

47

including the discount rates and estimates of future cash flows. Potential taxes and other transaction costs have not been considered in estimating fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are subjective in nature and are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. This information excludes certain financial instruments such as insurance contracts and all non-financial instruments from fair value disclosure. Therefore, these fair value amounts cannot be aggregated to determine the underlying economic value of the Company.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at December 31, 2002 and 2001 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2	002		2001
	CARRYING AMOUNT	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE	CARRYING AMOUNT	 E FA 
Fixed income securities Equity securities	\$570 <b>,</b> 538 761	\$570 <b>,</b> 538 761	\$471,841 35,754	\$
Short-term investments Other investments	50,669 1,257	50,669 1,257	53,600 5,303	
Cash Debt	14,979 60,816	14,979 60,816	13,159 76,195	

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair values of financial instruments:

Investments -- The estimated fair values for the fixed income securities and equity securities are based upon quoted market prices, where available. For fixed income securities not actively traded, the estimated fair values are determined using values obtained from independent pricing services or, in the case of private placements, by

discounting expected future cash flows using a current market rate applicable to the yield, credit quality and maturity of the investments.

Cash, Short-Term Investments and Other Investments -- The carrying value for these instruments approximates their estimated fair values.

Debt -- The estimated fair value of the Company's debt is based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturity.

# 6. DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

Policy acquisition costs deferred and the related amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs were as follows (dollars in thousands):

YEARS	ENDED	DECEMBER	31.

	2002	2001	2000
Balance at beginning of period  Costs deferred	\$ 89,788	\$ 91,403	\$ 84,924
	145,589	145,885	140,114
	(138,991)	(147,500)	(133,635)
Balance at end of period	\$ 96,386	\$ 89,788	\$ 91,403
	======	======	======

Net commissions, brokerage and other underwriting expenses were comprised as follows (dollars in thousands):

YEARS ENDE	D DECEMBER 31,
------------	----------------

	2002	2001	2000
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition			
costs	\$138 <b>,</b> 991	\$147,500	\$133,6
Other operating expenses	40,836	55,377	48,0
Net commissions, brokerage and other			
underwriting expenses	\$179,827	\$202,877	\$181,6
*	=======	=======	=====

### 7. REINSURANCE

The Company's insurance subsidiaries, in the ordinary course of business, cede reinsurance to other insurance companies and affiliates. Reinsurance arrangements are used to limit maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk and minimize exposure on larger risks. Reinsurance contracts do not ordinarily relieve the Company of its primary obligations to claimants. Therefore, a

48

contingent liability exists with respect to reinsurance ceded to the extent that

any reinsurer is unable to meet the obligations assumed under the reinsurance contracts. The Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers, establishes allowances for uncollectible amounts and monitors concentrations of credit risk. At December 31, 2002, CNA Surety's largest reinsurance receivable from an affiliate, CCC, an A (Excellent) rated company by A.M. Best, was approximately \$17.6 million. At December 31, 2002, CNA Surety's largest reinsurance receivable from a non-affiliate reinsurer was approximately \$25.6 million with a company rated A++ (Superior) by A.M. Best.

The effect of reinsurance on premiums written and earned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

			YEARS ENDED	DECEMBER 31,		
	20	2002		2001		
	WRITTEN	EARNED	WRITTEN	EARNED		
Direct	\$ 148,186	\$ 137 <b>,</b> 957	\$ 127 <b>,</b> 494	\$ 120 <b>,</b> 687		
Assumed	211,706	206,146	205,509	214,206		
Ceded	(53,238)	(45,784)	(17,199)	(13,983)		
Net premiums	\$ 306,654	\$ 298,319	\$ 315,804	\$ 320,910		
	=======	=======	=======	=======		

Assumed premiums primarily includes all surety business written or renewed, net of reinsurance, by CCC and CIC, and their affiliates, after the Merger Date that is reinsured by Western Surety pursuant to intercompany reinsurance and related agreements.

The effect of reinsurance on the Company's provision for loss and loss adjustment expenses and the corresponding ratio to earned premium was as follows (dollars in thousands):

			YEARS ENDED D	ECEMBER 31,
	2002		2001	
	\$	RATIO	\$	RATIO
Gross losses and loss				
adjustment expenses	\$76 <b>,</b> 199	22.1%	\$ 206 <b>,</b> 980	61.8%
Reinsurance recoveries	17 <b>,</b> 999	39.3%	(126,144)	(902.1)%
Net losses and loss				
	****	0.1	* 00 006	0.5.00
adjustment expenses	\$94 <b>,</b> 198	31.6%	\$ 80 <b>,</b> 836	25.2%
	======	=====	=======	=====

The Company's reinsurance program is predominantly comprised of excess of loss reinsurance contracts that limit the Company's retention on a per principal basis. The Company's reinsurance coverage is provided by third party reinsurers and related parties.

2002 Third Party Reinsurance Compared to 2001 Third Party Reinsurance

The Company's ceded reinsurance program changed significantly in 2002 as compared to 2001. The material differences between the 2002 excess of loss reinsurance program ("2002 Excess of Loss Treaty") and the Company's 2001 program are as follows. The annual aggregate coverage decreased from \$115 million in 2001 to \$100 million in 2002 with a sub-limit of \$60 million for large commercial accounts. The minimum annual premium for the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty is \$30.0 million compared to \$17.2 million of reinsurance premiums paid in 2001. The 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty provides the Company with coverage on a per principal basis of 90% of \$40 million excess of \$20 million retained by the Company.

In addition, the terms of the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty required a special acceptance process for certain larger contract accounts in-force at the inception date of the treaty. The reinsurers conducted an underwriting file review and approval process for these risks that would otherwise be excluded. This file review process resulted in one large national contract principal being excluded from the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty. In addition, the treaty excludes certain classes of business relating to two other principals. The Company no longer writes these classes but has exposures that are in run-off. Should the Company incur a loss on these excluded principals, the Company would be subject to a maximum retention of \$60 million per principal.

The higher net retention of \$20 million per principal together with other changes in reinsurance coverage associated with the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty and the extended discovery and related provisions of the excess of loss reinsurance contract in place for 2001 may increase the variability of the Company's future results of operations and cash flows. Moreover, as stated above, if CNA Surety suffered any losses arising from bonds issued to the large national contractor, CNA Surety would retain the first \$60 million per principal under its various excess of loss reinsurance contracts.

In December 2002 and January 2003, CNAF provided loans in an aggregate amount of approximately \$45\$ million to the large

49

national contractor that undertakes projects for the construction of government and private facilities. CNA Surety has provided significant surety bond protection for this contractor's projects through surety bonds underwritten by CCC or its affiliates. The loans were provided by CNAF to help the contractor meet its liquidity needs. The loans were evidenced by demand notes and, until replaced by the credit facility described below, accrue interest at 10%. The owners of the contractor have pledged to CNAF substantially all the assets of the contractor as collateral for these loans.

In March 2003, CNAF entered into an agreement to provide a credit facility with the contractor. The closing of this agreement is subject to the execution of certain agreements and the provision to CNAF of specified security interests in addition to those already provided. Under this credit facility, CNAF would be obligated to provide up to \$86.4 million of loans to the contractor and certain of its subsidiaries, including a refinancing of the already advanced \$45 million described above. The credit facility and all loans thereunder would mature in March 2006. Advances under the credit facility, including the already funded \$45 million, would bear interest at the prime rate plus 6%, with 50% of the interest due monthly and the remainder deferred until the credit facility matures.

Loews Corporation and CNAF have entered into a participation agreement, pursuant to which Loews has agreed to purchase a one-third participation share in the new credit facility, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, up to a maximum of \$25

million. Although Loews does not have rights against the contractor directly under the participation agreement, it shares recoveries and fees under the facility on a proportional basis with CNAF.

In March 2003, CNAF also purchased approximately \$28 million principal amount of the contractor's outstanding bank debt for \$16.4 million. Under the new credit facility, CNAF agreed to sell the bank debt to the contractor for \$16.4 million, with \$11.4 million of the purchase price being funded under the new credit facility and \$5 million from amounts loaned to the contractor by its shareholders. Under its purchase agreement with the banks, CNAF is also required to reimburse the banks for any draws upon approximately \$6.5 million in outstanding letters of credit issued by the banks for the contractor's benefit that expire between May and August of 2003. Any CNAF reimbursements for draws upon the banks' letters of credit will become obligations of the contractor to CNAF as draws upon the credit facility.

The contractor has initiated a restructuring plan that is intended to reduce costs and improve cash flow, and a chief restructuring officer has been appointed to manage execution of the plan. CNA Surety intends to continue to provide surety bonds on behalf of the contractor during this restructuring period, subject to the contractor's initial and ongoing compliance with CNA Surety's underwriting standards. Indemnification and subrogation rights, including rights to contract proceeds on construction projects in the event of default, reduce CNA Surety's exposure to loss. If the contractor does not perform its contractual obligations underlying all of the Company's surety bonds, the Company estimates that possible losses, net of indemnification and subrogation recoveries, but before recoveries under reinsurance contracts, could be up to \$200 million. However, the related party reinsurance treaties discussed below should limit the Company's per principal exposure to approximately \$60 million. While CNA Surety believes that the contractor's restructuring efforts will be successful and provide sufficient cash flow for its operations, the contractor's failure to achieve its restructuring plan could have a material adverse effect on CNA Surety's future results of operations, cash flows and capital resources.

In connection with the changes in the Company's 2002 reinsurance program, CNA Surety purchased extended discovery coverage, available under the excess of loss reinsurance coverage in place in 2001, at a cost of approximately \$8.5 million. This covers losses on surety bonds written prior to January 1, 2002 and discovered in the two years after January 1, 2002. The limit of this extended discovery coverage is the unused portion of the annual aggregate limit of \$115 million under the 2001 excess of loss treaty. This limit has two layers comprised of a \$65 million aggregate limit for per principal losses between \$5 million and \$25 million and \$50 million aggregate for per principal losses between \$25 million and \$60 million. Based upon the Company's settlement of its exposure to Enron and its current claim estimates of other discovered losses, the Company estimates that as of December 31, 2002 the first layer of the annual aggregate has been exhausted and approximately \$30 million of limit remains under the second layer to cover adverse development on losses discovered prior to December 31, 2001 as well as newly discovered losses in the extended discovery period. It is possible that these remaining annual aggregate limits could be insufficient to fully cover all adverse development that could occur on losses discovered prior to December 31, 2001 or any newly discovered losses under the extended discovery provisions. The unfavorable resolution of these uncertainties could have a material adverse impact on the Company's future results of operations and cash flows.

2003 Third Party Reinsurance Compared to 2002 Third Party Reinsurance

Effective January 1, 2003, CNA Surety entered into a new excess of loss treaty ("2003 Excess of Loss Treaty") with a group of third party reinsurers that reduced its net retention per principal on new bonds to \$15 million with a

5% co-participation in the \$45 million layer of third party reinsurance coverage above the Company's retention. This new excess of loss treaty replaces the \$40

50

million excess of \$20 million per principal coverage. The material differences between the new excess of loss reinsurance program and the Company's 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty are as follows. The annual aggregate coverage increases from \$100 million in 2002 to \$110 million in 2003. The minimum annual premium for the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty is \$38.0 million compared to \$30.0 million of reinsurance premiums paid in 2002. The 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty provides the Company with coverage on a per principal basis of 95% of \$45 million excess of \$15 million retained by the Company. The contract also includes similar special acceptance provisions for larger contract accounts contained in the 2002 Excess of Loss Treaty. In addition to the one large contract principal and the two commercial principals excluded (based upon class of business in 2002), the Company's reinsurers have initially excluded three other contract principals from the 2003 Excess of Loss Treaty. The three additional contract principals are in the process of completing asset sales and other reorganization efforts that management believes will result in the reinsurers' acceptance of the accounts in the treaty.

### Related Party Reinsurance

Intercompany reinsurance agreements together with the Services and Indemnity Agreement that are described below provide for the transfer of the surety business written by CCC and CIC to Western Surety. All these agreements originally were entered into on September 30, 1997 (the "Merger Date"): (i) the Surety Quota Share Treaty (the "Quota Share Treaty"); (ii) the Aggregate Stop Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Stop Loss Contract"); and (iii) the Surety Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contract (the "Excess of Loss Contract"). All of these contracts have expired. Some have been renewed on different terms as described below.

The Services and Indemnity Agreement provides the Company's insurance subsidiaries with the authority to perform various administrative, management, underwriting and claim functions in order to conduct the business of CCC and CIC and to be reimbursed by CCC for services rendered. In consideration for providing the foregoing services, CCC has agreed to pay Western Surety a quarterly fee of \$50,000. This agreement had an original term of five years that expired on September 30, 2002 and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of December 31, 2003; and is annually renewable thereafter. There was no amount due to the CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002.

Through the Quota Share Treaty, CCC and CIC transfer to Western Surety all surety business written or renewed by CCC and CIC after the Merger Date. CCC and CIC transfer the related liabilities of such business and pay to Western Surety an amount in cash equal to CCC's and CIC's net written premiums written on all such business, minus a quarterly ceding commission to be retained by CCC and CIC equal to \$50,000 plus 28% of net written premiums written on such business.

CCC and CIC paid Western Surety, net of commissions and reinsured loss payments, \$94.4 million, \$77.2 million and \$88.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000. As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, CNA Surety had an insurance receivable balance from CCC and CIC of \$51.7 million and \$87.9 million, respectively. The December 31, 2002 balance is comprised of \$34.1 million of direct premium receivables from CCC and CIC with respect to the surety business ceded to Western Surety and \$17.6 million of reinsurance recoverables under the Stop Loss Contract and the Excess of Loss Contracts written by CCC. CNA Surety had reinsurance payables to CCC and CIC of \$24.3

million and \$6.2 million as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, primarily related to reinsured losses.

Under the terms of the Quota Share Treaty, CCC has guaranteed the loss and loss adjustment expenses transferred to Western Surety by agreeing to pay Western Surety, within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter, the amount of any adverse development on such reserves, as reestimated as of the end of such calendar quarter. There was not any adverse reserve development for the period from September 30, 1997 (date of inception) through December 31, 2002.

The Quota Share Treaty had an original term of five years from the Merger Date and was renewed on October 1, 2002 on substantially the same terms with an expiration date of December 31, 2003; and is annually renewable thereafter. The ceding commission paid to CCC and CIC by Western Surety remained at 28% of net written premiums and contemplates an approximate 4% override commission for fronting fees to CCC and CIC on their actual direct acquisition costs for the year ended December 31, 2002.

The Stop Loss Contract terminated on December 31, 2000 and was not renewed. The Stop Loss Contract protected the insurance subsidiaries from adverse loss experience on certain business underwritten after the Merger Date. The Stop Loss Contract between the insurance subsidiaries and CCC limited the insurance subsidiaries' prospective net loss ratios with respect to certain accounts and lines of insured business for three full accident years following the Merger Date. In the event the insurance subsidiaries' accident year net loss ratio exceeds 24% in any of 1997 through 2000 on certain insured accounts (the "Loss Ratio Cap"), the Stop Loss Contract requires CCC at the end of each calendar quarter following the Merger Date, to pay to the insurance subsidiaries a dollar amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, by which their actual accident year net loss ratio exceeds the applicable Loss Ratio Cap, multiplied by (ii) the

51

applicable net earned premiums. In consideration for the coverage provided by the Stop Loss Contract, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC an annual premium of \$20,000. The CNA Surety insurance subsidiaries have paid CCC all required annual premiums. As of December 31, 2002, the Company billed and received approximately \$25 million from CCC under the Stop Loss Contract. This amount exceeds the Company's paid loss recoverable under this agreement by \$20.3 million, which is reflected as reinsurance payable to CCC at December 31, 2002.

The Excess of Loss Contracts provided the insurance subsidiaries of CNA Surety with the capacity to underwrite large surety bond exposures by providing reinsurance support from CCC. The Excess of Loss Contract provides \$75 million of coverage for losses in excess of the \$60 million per principal. Subsequent to the Merger Date, the Company entered into a second excess of loss contract with CCC ("Second Excess of Loss Contract"). The Second Excess of Loss Contract provides additional coverage for principal losses that exceed the foregoing coverage of \$75 million per principal provided by the Excess of Loss Contract, or aggregate losses per principal in excess of \$135 million. In consideration for the reinsurance coverage provided by the Excess of Loss Contracts, the insurance subsidiaries paid to CCC, on a quarterly basis, a premium equal to 1% of the net written premiums applicable to the Excess of Loss Contract, subject to a minimum premium of \$20,000 and \$5,000 per quarter under the Excess of Loss Contract and Second Excess of Loss Contract, respectively. The two Excess of Loss Contracts collectively provided coverage for losses discovered on surety bonds in force as of the Merger Date and for losses discovered on new and renewal business written during the term of the Excess of Loss Contracts. Both Excess of Loss Contracts commenced following the Merger Date and continued until September 30, 2002. The discovery period for losses covered by the Excess of

Loss Contracts extends until September 30, 2005.

Effective October 1, 2002, the Company secured replacement excess of loss protection from CCC for per principal losses that exceed \$60 million in two parts -- a) \$40 million excess of \$60 million and b) \$50 million excess of \$100 million. This excess of loss protection is primarily necessary to support contract surety accounts with bonded backlogs or work-in-process in excess of \$60 million. The Company's goal is to generally limit support to large commercial surety accounts to \$25 million. In addition to the foregoing structural changes in its high layer excess of loss reinsurance programs, the cost for these protections increased significantly as compared to the cost of the previous two Excess of Loss Contracts. The \$40 million excess of \$60 million contract is for a three year term beginning October 1, 2002 and provides annual aggregate coverage of \$80 million and \$120 million aggregate coverage for the entire three year term. The Company will pay CCC annual reinsurance premiums of \$12.5 million in year one and \$17.5 million in years two and three, payable quarterly. The Company may commute the contract at the end of each contract year under certain circumstances. If the treaty is commuted, the Company may be entitled to a return premium payment. Based on the experience under the treaty to December 31, 2002, the Company established a return premium receivable of \$2.2 million in connection with the restatement described in Note 17 to the accompanying financial statements. The reinsurance premium for the coverage provided by the \$50 million excess of \$100 million contract was \$4.0 million. This contract was effective October 1, 2002 and expires on December 31, 2003.

CCC also provided reinsurance coverage (the "10% Quota Share Agreement") to the Company for 10% of any losses between \$20 million and \$60 million with respect to single large surety bonds written effective January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002. CCC received 10% of the written premium in exchange for the coverage provided.

### 8. RESERVES FOR LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

Activity in the reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED	
		2001
Reserves at beginning of period: Gross	\$315,811 166,318	70,1
Net reserves at beginning of period	149,493	
Net incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses:  Provision for insured events of current period  Increase (decrease) in provision for insured events of prior periods	88,018 6,180	76,0 4,8
Total net incurred	94,198	 80 <b>,</b> 8
Net payments attributable to: Current period events	12,727 64,832	44,7
Total net payments	77,559	

Net reserves at end of period	166,132 137,301	149,4 166,3
•		
Gross reserves at end of period	\$303,433	\$315 <b>,</b> 8
	======	=====

52

The Company recorded net unfavorable loss reserve development which resulted in increases in the estimated liability of \$6.2 million and \$4.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and net favorable loss reserve development which resulted in reductions in the estimated liability of \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Adverse claim trends in more recent accident years, particularly increased claim severity on large commercial accounts, have resulted in increased gross and net incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the two years ended December 31, 2002.

Incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2002 include \$17 million on a gross basis and \$12 million on a net basis (after reinsurance) related to the September 2002 bankruptcy of a large national trucking concern. Incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2001 reflect \$78 million on a gross basis and approximately \$7.8 million on a net basis (after reinsurance) for the Company's exposure to Enron Corporation.

On January 2, 2003, CNA Surety settled litigation brought by J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. ("Chase") in connection with three surety bonds issued on behalf of Enron Corporation subsidiaries. The penal sums of the three bonds totaled approximately \$78 million. Although the Company believed it had valid defenses to the litigation, based on the uncertainty and risk of an adverse jury verdict, pursuant to the settlement agreement, the Company paid Chase approximately \$40.7 million and assigned its recovery rights in the Enron bankruptcy to Chase in exchange for a full release of its obligations under the bonds. The Company has no other exposure related to the Enron Corporation. CNA Surety's net loss related to the settlement, after anticipated recoveries under excess of loss reinsurance treaties, was previously fully reserved. Immediately upon execution of the settlement documents, the Company sent written notice for reimbursement to its reinsurers. A number of those reinsurers have requested a variety of documents and reserved their rights before making a decision concerning coverage of the settlement under the reinsurance treaties. Management believes that the reinsurers have no valid defense under the reinsurance treaties to avoid payment, and that the Company will fully recover all reinsurance recoverables recorded related to this settlement. As such, the Company has not recorded a reduction with respect to these reinsurance recoverables as of December 31, 2002.

### 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

At December 31, 2002 the future minimum commitment under operating leases was as follows: 2003 -- \$2.2 million; 2004 -- \$1.9 million; 2005 -- \$1.7 million, 2006 -- \$1.5 million and 2007 and thereafter -- \$5.8 million. Total rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 was \$4.0 million, \$4.4 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

The Company is party to various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, some seeking material damages. The Company believes the resolution of these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on its financial position or its results of operations.

### 10. INCOME TAXES

The components of deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2001
Deferred tax assets related to:		
Unearned premium reserve	\$13 <b>,</b> 621	\$13 <b>,</b> 177
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserve	3,675	3,096
Accrued expenses	1,320	1,382
Capital loss carryforward	1,952	
Other	1,376	3,340
Total deferred tax assets	21,944	20,995
Deferred tax liabilities related to:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	33 <b>,</b> 735	31,426
Intangible assets	5,650	5,650
Unrealized gains on securities	10,695	150
Other	2,591	3,738
Total deferred tax liabilities	52 <b>,</b> 671	40,964
Net deferred tax liability	\$30 <b>,</b> 727	\$19 <b>,</b> 969
		======

53

 ${\tt CNA}$  Surety and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return.

The income tax provisions consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2002	2001	2000
Federal current	\$12,210	\$19 <b>,</b> 053	\$23 <b>,</b> 753
Federal deferred	171	181	3,078
State	254	594	949
Total income tax expense	\$12 <b>,</b> 635	\$19 <b>,</b> 828	\$27 <b>,</b> 780
	======	======	

A reconciliation from the federal statutory tax rate to the effective tax rate is as follows:

YEARS ENDED
DECEMBER 31,

	2002	2001
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%
Tax exempt income deduction	(9.4)	(5.0)
Non-deductible expenses	0.5	3.4
State income tax, net of federal income tax benefit	0.4	0.7
Other	3.1	0.9
Total income tax expense	29.6%	35.0%
	=====	

#### 11. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

CNA Surety sponsors a tax deferred savings plan ("401(k) plan") covering substantially all of its employees. Prior to December 31, 1999, the Company matched 70% of the participating employee's contribution up to 6% of eligible compensation (4.2% maximum matching). Effective January 1, 2000, the Company match was increased to 100% of the participating employees contribution up to 3% of eligible compensation and 50% of the participating employees contribution between 3% and 6% of eligible compensation (4.5% maximum matching). In addition, the Company may also make an annual discretionary profit sharing contribution to the 401(k) plan, subject to the approval of the Company's Board of Directors. The profit sharing contribution may be restricted by plan and regulatory limitations. The Company contribution, including profit sharing, to the 401(k) plan was \$2.9 million, \$2.8 million and \$3.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

CNA Surety established the CNA Surety Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Plan"), effective April 1, 2000. The Company established and maintains the Plan as an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan for a select group of management or highly compensated employees. The purpose of the Plan is to permit designated employees of the Company and participating affiliates to accumulate additional retirement income through a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that enables them to defer compensation to which they will become entitled in the future. The Company holds equity securities with a fair value of \$0.8 million as of December 31, 2002 in respect of this deferred compensation plan.

Western Surety sponsors two post-retirement benefit plans covering certain employees. One plan provides medical benefits, and the other plan provides sick leave termination payments. The post-retirement health care plan is contributory and the sick leave plan is non-contributory.

The following table sets forth the plans combined accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at the beginning and end of the last two fiscal years (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2001
Reconciliation of benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of the year	\$ 6,412	\$ 6,152
Service cost	137	196
Interest cost	302	372
Plan amendment		(480)
Curtailment	(187)	
Actuarial (gain)/loss	(1,082)	346
Benefits and expenses paid	(184)	(174)

Benefit	${\tt obligation}$	at	end	of	year	 \$ 5,398	\$ 6	5,412
							===	

54

The following table sets forth the plans combined funded status reconciled with the amount shown in the Company's statement of financial position at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 (dollars in thousands).

	2002	2001
Reconciliation of funded status		
Funded status	\$(5,398)	\$(6,412)
Unrecognized prior service cost	(1,060)	(714)
Unrecognized net loss	199	938
Accrued benefit cost	\$(6 <b>,</b> 259)	\$(6,188)
	======	======

The plans combined net periodic post-retirement benefit cost for the last three fiscal years included the following components (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2001	20
Net periodic benefit cost			
Service cost at end of year	\$ 137	\$ 196	\$ 2
Interest cost	302	372	3
Expected return on assets			
Prior service cost	(131)	(72)	(
Curtailment	(44)		
Recognized net actuarial loss/(gain)	(10)	24	
Net periodic benefit cost	 \$ 254	 \$ 520	 \$ 5
	=====	=====	===
	2002	2001	20
Key Assumptions			
Discount rate	6.5%	6.5%	6
Initial health care cost trend, pre-Medicare	7.0%	7.0%	8
Initial health care cost trend, post-Medicare	9.0%	9.0%	10
Ultimate health care cost trend	5.0%	5.0%	5
Year in which ultimate trend is reached	2006	2005	20

2002

2001

20

## 12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company has reserved shares of its common stock for issuance to

directors, officers, employees and certain advisors of the Company through incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and stock appreciation rights ("SARs") to be granted under the CNA Surety 1997 Long-Term Equity Compensation Plan ("CNA Surety Plan"). The Company has also reserved shares of its common stock for issuance to Capsure option holders under the CNA Surety Corporation Replacement Stock Option Plan ("Replacement Plan"). The CNA Surety Plan and Replacement Plan are collectively referred to as the "Plan". The aggregate number of shares initially available for which options may be granted under the Plan is 3,000,000.

Options issued under the Replacement Plan have the same exercise price, rights, benefits, terms and conditions as the Capsure options replaced. The number of unexercised and outstanding Capsure options issued to the holders under the Replacement Plan on September 30, 1997 was 335,235. The exercise prices of the replacement options ranged between \$0.05 and \$8.00 per share on September 30, 1997.

The Compensation Committee (the "Committee") of the Board of Directors, consisting of independent members of the Board of Directors, administers the Plan. The Committee determines the option prices. Prices may not be less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant for incentive stock options and may not be less than the par value of the Company's common stock for non-qualified stock options.

The Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options as defined under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. All non-qualified stock options and incentive stock options granted under the Plan expire ten years after the date of grant and in the case of the Replacement Plan the options expire ten years from the original Capsure grant date.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the three years ending December 31, 2002.

55

		WEIGHTED AVERAGE OPTION
	SHARES SUBJECT TO OPTION	
Delener of December 21, 1000	1 221 520	\$12.50
Balance at December 31, 1999	1,221,529	\$12.50
Options granted	323,200	\$11.50
Options cancelled	(68,342)	\$13.24
Options exercised	(22,897)	\$ 4.51
Balance at December 31, 2000	1,453,490 ======	\$12.37
Options granted	407,886	\$14.42
Options cancelled	(120,250)	\$12.32
Options exercised	(77,839)	\$ 5.93
Balance at December 31, 2001	1,663,287	\$13.18
Options granted	325,800	\$ 9.35
Options cancelled	(172 <b>,</b> 419)	\$13.03
Options exercised	(146,725)	\$ 9.63

Balance at December 31, 2002 ....

1,669,943

\$12.76

As of December 31, 2002, the number of shares available for granting of options under the Plan were 882,128.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2002:

OPTIONS	OUTSTANDING
OFITONS	OUISIMIDING

		WEIGHTED AVERAGE		
RANGE OF	NUMBER	REMAINING	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
EXERCISE PRICES	OUTSTANDING	CONTRACTUAL LIFE	EXERCISE PRICE	EXE
\$2.25 to \$3.50	9,268	1.6 years	\$ 2.96	
\$9.35 to \$11.50	838 <b>,</b> 089	8.2 years	\$ 10.53	3
\$13.05 to \$15.875	822 <b>,</b> 586	6.6 years	\$ 15.14	5

The weighted average fair market value (at grant date) per option granted was \$3.69, \$3.72 and \$4.33 respectively, for options granted during 2002, 2001 and 2000. The fair value of these options was estimated at grant date using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for the year ended December 31, 2002: risk free interest rate of 1.1%; dividend yield of 0.0%; expected option life of six years; and volatility of 39.8%. Similar assumptions for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 were: risk free interest rate of 1.50% and 5.50%; dividend yield of 4.0% and 3.0%; expected option life of 6 years; and volatility of 38.7% and 42.3%, respectively.

The Company adopted the financial disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" with respect to its stock-based incentive plans. The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations, in accounting for its plans as allowed for under the provisions of SFAS No. 123. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for its stock-based incentive plans as the exercise price of the granted options equals the market price at the grant date. Had compensation cost for these plans been determined on the fair value at the grant date for options granted, the Company's pro forma net income for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 would have been \$30.1 million, \$36.4 million and \$53.0 million, respectively. Diluted net income per share would have been \$0.70, \$0.85 and \$1.23 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Effective January 1, 1998, the Company established the CNA Surety Corporation Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Under this plan, each director who is not a full-time employee of the Company or any of its affiliates may defer all or a portion of the annual retainer fee that would otherwise be paid to such director. The deferral amount, which must be in multiples of 10% of the retainer fee, will be credited to a deferred compensation account and will be deemed invested in Common Stock Units equal to the deferred fees divided by the fair market value of CNA Surety common stock as of each quarterly meeting date. Each director will be fully vested in his or her deferred compensation amount. Aggregate common stock units outstanding as of December 31, 2002 were 23,089. Common Stock Units are convertible into CNA Surety common stock at the election of the director.

#### 13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company is a leading provider of surety and fidelity bonds in the United States. According to A.M. Best, the surety and fidelity segment of the domestic property and casualty insurance industry aggregates approximately \$4.4 billion in direct written

56

premiums, comprised of approximately \$3.5 billion in surety premiums and \$0.9 billion in fidelity premiums.

Surety bonds are three-party agreements in which the issuer of the bond (the surety) joins with a second party (the principal) in guaranteeing to a third party (the owner/obligee) the fulfillment of some obligation on the part of the principal. The surety is the party who guarantees fulfillment of the principal's obligation to the obligee. There are two broad types of surety products — contract surety and commercial surety bonds.

Contract surety bonds secure a contractor's performance and/or payment obligation generally with respect to a construction project. Contract surety bonds are generally required by federal, state and local governments for public works projects. Commercial surety bonds include all surety bonds other than contract and cover obligations typically required by law or regulation. Fidelity bonds cover losses arising from employee dishonesty.

Although all of its products are sold through the same independent insurance agent and broker distribution network, the Company's underwriting is organized by the two broad types of surety products — contract surety and commercial surety, which also includes fidelity bonds and other insurance products for these purposes. These two operating segments have been aggregated into one reportable business segment for financial reporting purposes because of their similar economic and operating characteristics.

The following tables set forth gross and net written premiums, dollars in thousands, by product and between domestic and international risks and the respective percentage of the total for the past three years.

Gross Written Premium

FOR	THE	YEAR	ENDED	DECEMBER	31.

	2002		2001		2000
Contract	\$197 <b>,</b> 875	55.0%	\$180 <b>,</b> 588	54.2%	\$161 <b>,</b> 539
Commercial	134,039	37.2	125,026	37.5	128 <b>,</b> 556
Fidelity and other	27,978	7.8	27,389	8.3	26 <b>,</b> 572
Total	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	100.0	\$333,003	100.0	\$316 <b>,</b> 667
	=======	=====	======	=====	
Domestic	\$348 <b>,</b> 010	96.7%	\$322 <b>,</b> 106	96.7%	\$300 <b>,</b> 079
International	11,882	3.3	10,897	3.3	16,588
Total	\$359 <b>,</b> 892	100.0	\$333 <b>,</b> 003	100.0	\$316 <b>,</b> 667
	=======	=====	=======	=====	======

Net Written Premium

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	2002		2001		2000
Contract	\$172 <b>,</b> 633	56.3%	\$165,603	52.4%	\$150 <b>,</b> 504
Commercial	107,290	35.0	122,812	38.9	126,923
Fidelity and other	26,731	8.7	27,389	8.7	27,041
Total	\$306,654	100.0	\$315,804	100.0	\$304 <b>,</b> 468
	=======	=====	=======	=====	=======
Domestic	\$297 <b>,</b> 385	97.0%	\$305,483	96.7%	\$289 <b>,</b> 049
International	9,269	3.0	10,321	3.3	15,419
Total	\$306,654	100.0	\$315,804	100.0	\$304,468
	=======	=====	=======	=====	

Approximately \$72.4 million, or 20.1%, of gross written premiums were generated from national insurance brokers in 2002 with the single largest national broker production comprising \$28.3 million, or 7.9%, of gross written premiums.

#### 14. STATUTORY FINANCIAL DATA

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries file financial statements prepared in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by applicable insurance regulatory authorities. Prescribed statutory accounting practices include state laws, regulations and general administrative rules, as well as quidance provided in a variety of publications of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). Permitted statutory accounting practices encompass all accounting practices that are not prescribed. The Company's insurance subsidiaries follow two permitted accounting practices which did not have a material effect on reported statutory surplus or income. The Company was given permission to report activity in the Small Business Administration's Surety Bond Guarantee program as a reinsurance program and to report all salvage and subrogation recoveries as recoveries of loss rather than allocating recoveries between loss and loss adjustment expenses. Historically, the principal differences between statutory financial statements and financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles are that statutory financial statements do not reflect deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred income taxes and fixed income securities are generally carried at amortized cost in statutory financial statements.

57

In March of 1998, the NAIC adopted the Codification of Statutory Accounting Principles ("Codification"). Codification, which intended to standardize regulatory accounting and reporting to state insurance departments, became effective on January 1, 2001. However, statutory accounting principles will continue to be established by individual state laws and permitted practices. The states in which CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries conduct business required adoption of Codification for the preparation of statutory financial statements effective January 1, 2001. The adoption of Codification increased the Company's statutory capital and surplus as of January 1, 2001 by approximately \$27.0 million primarily due to recording of deferred tax assets and the recognition of anticipated salvage and subrogation.

The following table reconciles consolidated stockholders' equity at December 31, 2002 and 2001 as reported herein in conformity with GAAP with total statutory capital and surplus of CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries, determined in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities (dollars in thousands):

	2002	200
Balance per GAAP  Parent company and undistributed net income of certain	\$ 420,561	\$ 388,
subsidiaries	54 <b>,</b> 179	65 <b>,</b>
Intangible assets	(143,785)	(143,
Net unrealized gain/loss on fixed income securities	(30,734)	(7,
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(96 <b>,</b> 386)	(89,
Deferred income taxes, net	43,869	30,
Non-admitted assets and statutory reserves	(14,861)	(12,
Prior period accounting restatement (see Note 17)	(1,422)	
Other assets and liabilities	(50)	(3,
Balance per statutory accounting practices	231,371	227,

The NAIC has promulgated Risk Based Capital ("RBC") requirements for property and casualty insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks such as asset quality, loss reserve adequacy, and other business factors. The RBC information is used by state insurance regulators as an early warning mechanism to identify insurance companies that potentially are inadequately capitalized. In addition, the formula defines new minimum capital standards that supplement the current system of fixed minimum capital and surplus requirements on a state-by-state basis. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio (the "Ratio") of the enterprise's regulatory total adjusted capital, as defined by the NAIC, to its authorized control level RBC, as defined by the NAIC. Generally, a Ratio in excess of 200% of authorized control level RBC requires no corrective actions by a company or regulators. As of December 31, 2002, each of CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries had a Ratio that was in compliance with the minimum RBC requirements.

CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by the various state insurance regulatory authorities in which they conduct business. Such regulation is generally designed to protect policyholders and includes such matters as maintenance of minimum statutory surplus and restrictions on the payment of dividends. Generally, statutory surplus of each insurance subsidiary in excess of a statutorily prescribed minimum is available for payment of dividends to the parent company. However, such distributions as dividends may be subject to prior regulatory approval. Without prior regulatory approval in 2002, CNA Surety's insurance subsidiaries may pay dividends of \$32.1 million in the aggregate. Combined statutory surplus for the insurance subsidiaries at December 31, 2002 was \$231.4 million.

#### 15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has the following related party transactions not previously described in Note 7. Reinsurance.

Effective January 1, 2001, CNA Surety renewed an Administrative Services

Agreement with CCC. The agreement allows the Company to purchase and/or have access to certain services provided by CNAF. The Company will also pay CNAF a management fee for its proportionate share of administrative and overhead costs incurred in supporting the services provided pursuant to this agreement. The management fee for the year 2003 is \$1.7 million which shall be paid by CNA Surety to CNAF in equal monthly installments by the last day of each month. The management fee shall be increased as of January 1, the "adjustment date", of each year this Administrative Services Agreement is in force by the greater of 5% or the amount of the increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the Chicago, Illinois area as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the 12 month period immediately preceding the adjustment date. This agreement shall be effective so long as CNAF or their affiliates or shareholders shall continue to

58

own a majority interest in CNA Surety. This agreement may be terminated by either party upon the provision of 30 days prior notice of such termination to the other party.

The Company was charged \$5.2 million, \$5.7 million, and \$5.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, for rents and services provided under a previous Administrative Services Agreement. In addition, the Company was charged \$1.0 million, \$2.1 million and \$1.9 million for direct costs incurred by CCC on the Company's behalf during 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The Company owed \$0.5 million which was reflected in other liabilities in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2002.

Western Surety has entered into two series of business transactions with entities in which either or both CCC or affiliates of CCC have an interest. The first series involves five separate real estate residual value insurance policies issued by R.V.I. America Insurance Company ("RVI America") reinsured by Western Surety through the Quota Share Treaty. RVI America is a wholly owned subsidiary of R.V.I. America Corporation which is a wholly owned subsidiary of R.V.I. Guaranty Company Ltd. of Bermuda ("RVI Bermuda"). RVI Bermuda is an unconsolidated affiliate of CCC. The transactions involve policies with limits totaling approximately \$11.5 million. CCC is reinsuring the full extent of RVI America's exposure on the policies. Pursuant to the Quota Share Treaty, Western Surety is, in turn, reinsuring all of CCC's exposures on the policies. Western Surety is reinsuring all of its exposure on the policies with RVI Bermuda, a non-admitted reinsurer. The policy limits range from approximately \$1.7 million to \$3.0 million, with an average policy limit of approximately \$2.3 million and total limits of all policies of \$11.5 million. Net premium amounts to be retained in 2000 relative to these reinsurance transactions total \$519,278 as follows: RVI America, \$51,928; CCC, \$130,858; Western Surety, \$67,298; and RVI Bermuda, \$269,194.

The second series of transactions is that Western Surety is participating in various transactions involving the issuance of bonds for certain subsidiaries of CNA UniSource of America, Inc. (collectively referred to as "CNA UniSource"). CNAF owns 100% of CNA UniSource. Western Surety, with the approval of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee, wrote insurance program bonds guaranteeing CNA UniSource payment obligations under the April 1, 2000, Paid Loss Retro and WC Deductible Finance Agreement with CCC insurance affiliates, American Casualty Company of Reading, PA and Transportation Insurance Company. These CCC affiliates provided certain general liability and worker's compensation insurance to CNA UniSource. Effective December 31, 2002, the Paid Loss Retro and WC Deductible Finance Agreement between CNA UniSource and CCC was settled for approximately \$31.0 million cash. CNA UniSource is waiting for a written release before the bond is canceled. In addition, CCC has issued eight professional license, mortgage broker, employer leasing, nonresident license and financial

guarantee bonds for CNA UniSource to various state government obligees. Western Surety reinsures these bonds through the Quota Share Treaty. The combined penal amount of the bonds at December 31, 2002 was approximately \$15 million. Effective December 31, 2002, CNA UniSource is no longer operating and management expects the bonds to be cancelled in 2003. CNAF has entered into an agreement with Western Surety indemnifying Western Surety from any loss arising from the issuance of bonds for CNA UniSource.

#### 16. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of the results of operations of CNA Surety for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000. (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts.)

	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD
	QUARTER	QUARTER	QUARTER
2002 Revenues	\$74 <b>,</b> 049	\$84,464	\$85 <b>,</b> 529
Income before income taxes	\$15,392	\$18,819	\$ 3,715
	4,835	5,917	1,098
Net income	\$10,557	\$12,902	\$ 2,617
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.06
	=====	=====	=====
2001 Revenues	\$84 <b>,</b> 455	\$86 <b>,</b> 522	\$89 <b>,</b> 386
Income before income taxes	\$18,420	\$19,044	\$18,242
	6,476	6,701	6,228
Net income	\$11,944	\$12,343	\$12,014
	======	======	======
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.28
	=====	=====	=====
2000 Revenues	\$82 <b>,</b> 884	\$83 <b>,</b> 055	\$80 <b>,</b> 930
Income before income taxes	\$21,358	\$21,590	\$19,588
	7,245	7,483	6,250
Net income	\$14,113 ======	\$14 <b>,</b> 107	\$13,338 ======
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.31

<sup>(1)</sup> See Note 17.

59

#### 17. RESTATEMENT

Subsequent to the filing of CNA Surety's Report on Form 10-K for the

fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, the Company revised its accounting for ceded premiums under a multiple year excess of loss reinsurance treaty with its affiliate, Continental Casualty Company, which has been in place since October 1, 2002 (this treaty is discussed in greater detail in Note 7 (Reinsurance)). Under the contract CNA Surety pays a quarterly premium of \$3.125 million, of which 70% is added to an experience account that is reduced by any losses ceded to the treaty. On dates specified under the contract, CNA Surety can commute the treaty and receive payment of the experience account balance. Under Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue 93-6 "Accounting for Multiple-Year Retrospectively Rated Contracts by Ceding and Assuming Enterprises" ("EITF 93-6"), a receivable for return premium based on experience under the contract should be established at December 31, 2002. CNA Surety had not previously established such a receivable, and has restated its 2002 consolidated financial statements to comply with EITF 93-6. A summary of the significant effects of the restatement is as follows:

	AS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED	AS RESTATED
	2002	2002
AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31 (in thousands, except per share data)		
Consolidated balance sheet:		
Insurance receivables: Reinsurance	\$130,761	\$132 <b>,</b> 949
Current income taxes payable	5,123	5 <b>,</b> 889
Retained earnings	158,515	159 <b>,</b> 937
Consolidated statement of income:		
Net earned premium	\$296,131	\$298 <b>,</b> 319
Total revenue	316,299	318,487
Income before income taxes	40,566	42,754
Income taxes	11,869	12,635
Net income	28,697	30,119
Basic and Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.70

AC DDEVITORELY

PAGE

60

#### ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures which

are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, including this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management on a timely basis to allow decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer undertook an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report and concluded that the Company's controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company's management has reviewed the circumstances that led to the restatement of results reflected in this report and concluded that this was an isolated incident and not indicative of a systemic failure of the controls over financial reporting.

61

PART IV.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) (1) Financial Statements: A separate index to the Consolidated Financial Statements is presented in Part II, Item 8...... (a) (2) Financial Statement Schedules: Independent Auditors' Report..... Schedule I -- Summary of Investments..... Schedule II -- Condensed Financial Information of Registrant..... Schedule III -- Supplementary Insurance Information..... Schedule IV -- Reinsurance..... Schedule V -- Valuation and Qualifying Accounts..... Schedule VI -- Supplemental Information Concerning Property -- Casualty Insurance Operations.....

62

SCHEDULE I

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS
OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

	AS	OF DECEMBER 31,
	COST OR AMORTIZED COST	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE
	(A	 MOUNTS IN THOUS
Fixed Income Securities:		
U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities  States, municipalities and political subdivisions  All other corporate bonds	\$ 66,673 347,918 124,773	\$ 69,240 367,934 133,364
Total fixed income securities	539,364	\$570 <b>,</b> 538
Equity securities	852 50,669 1,784	\$ 761
Total investments	\$592,669 ======	OF DECEMBER 31,
Total investments	\$592,669 AS COST OR AMORTIZED	ESTIMATED FAIR
Total investments	\$592,669   AS  COST OR  AMORTIZED  COST	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE
	\$592,669   AS  COST OR  AMORTIZED  COST	ESTIMATED FAIR
Fixed Income Securities:  U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities	\$592,669  AS  COST OR AMORTIZED  COST  (AI	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE MOUNTS IN THOUS
Fixed Income Securities:	\$592,669  AS  COST OR AMORTIZED  COST  (A)  \$114,866 217,757 131,479	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE MOUNTS IN THOUS \$117,069 220,799 133,973
Fixed Income Securities:  U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities  States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$592,669 ======  AS  COST OR  AMORTIZED  COST   (AI  \$114,866 217,757 131,479 464,102	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE MOUNTS IN THOUS \$117,069 220,799 133,973 \$471,841
Fixed Income Securities:  U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities  States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$592,669 =======  COST OR AMORTIZED COST (AI  \$114,866 217,757 131,479 464,102 42,614 53,600 5,754	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE MOUNTS IN THOUS \$117,069 220,799 133,973
Fixed Income Securities:  U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities States, municipalities and political subdivisions All other corporate bonds  Total fixed income securities  Equity securities Short-term investments	\$592,669 ======  AS  COST OR  AMORTIZED  COST   (AI  \$114,866 217,757 131,479 464,102 42,614 53,600	ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE MOUNTS IN THOUS \$117,069 220,799 133,973 \$471,841

63

SCHEDULE II

CNA SURETY CORPORATION

CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

(PARENT COMPANY)
BALANCE SHEETS

	DECEMBER 31,		
	2002		
	AS RESTATED (b) (AMOUNTS IN		
ASSETS			
Investments in and advances to subsidiaries	\$ 480,638	\$ 449,457	
Fixed income securities	5,682	5,261	
Equity investments	761		
Short-term investments (restricted: \$601 and \$1,345)	8,761	14,661	
Cash (restricted: \$4,226 and \$12,436)	4,228	12,438	
Other assets		154	
Total assets	\$ 500,070	\$ 481 <b>,</b> 971	
	=======	=======	
LIABILITIES			
Debt	\$ 60,000	\$ 75,000	
Other liabilities	19,509	18,543	
Total liabilities	79,509	93,543	
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Common stock	444	442	
Additional paid-in capital	255,765	254,133	
Retained earnings	159 <b>,</b> 937	149,128	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	19,861	278	
Treasury stock, at cost	(15,446)	(15,553)	
Total stockholders' equity	420,561	388,428	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 500,070	\$ 481,971	
	=======	=======	

(b) See Note 2.

64

SCHEDULE II

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION

CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT (PARENT COMPANY) -- (CONTINUED)
STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	YEARS	ENDED	DECEMB
2002		20	001
AC DECTATE			

AS RESTATED (b)

(AMOUNTS IN THOUS

Revenues:		
Net investment income	\$ 713	\$ 1,891
Net realized investment losses	(4)	
Total revenues	709	1,891
Expenses:		
Interest expense	1,656	3,855
Corporate expense	3,706	5,243
Total expenses	5,362	9,098
Loss from operations before income taxes and equity in net		
income of subsidiaries	(4,653)	(7,207)
Income taxes	(1,629)	(2,523)
Net loss before equity in net income of		
subsidiaries Parent Company only	(3,024)	(4,684)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	33,143	41,592
Net income	\$ 30,119	\$ 36 <b>,</b> 908
	=======	=======

(b) See Note 2.

65

SCHEDULE II

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION

# CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT (PARENT COMPANY) -- (CONTINUED) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 3		
	2002	2001	
	AS RESTATED	(b) (AMOUNTS IN THOUSAND:	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 30,119	\$ 36,908	
Cash dividends from subsidiaries	31,162	56,900	
Tax payments received from subsidiaries	9,894	26,314	
Federal and state income tax payments	(8,000)	(23,750)	
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	(33, 143)	(41,592)	
Change in other assets and liabilities	(759)	(736)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	29,273	54,044	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:  Net advances from (to) subsidiaries	(9,713) 	1,222 (26,158)	

Net sales of fixed income securities	19	(210)
Net purchases of equity securities	(853)	
Changes in short-term investments	5 <b>,</b> 900	29 <b>,</b> 323
Other	(62)	(54)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(4,709)	4,123
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from debt	71,000	
Principal payments on debt	(86,000)	(25,000)
Dividends to stockholders	(19,310)	(23,088)
Purchase of treasury stock		(124)
Issuance of treasury stock to employee stock purchase		, ,
Plan	122	
Employee stock option exercises	1,414	518
Net cash used in financing activities	(32,774)	(47,694)
Decrease (increase) in cash	(8,210)	10,473
Cash at beginning of period	12,438	1,965
Cash at end of period	\$ 4,228	\$ 12,438
•	======	=======

(b) See Note 2.

66

SCHEDULE II

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### 1. RESTRICTED CASH AND SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS

As of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, parent company short-term investments and cash included \$4.8 million and \$13.8 million, respectively, of restricted cash related to premium receipt collections ultimately due to the Company's insurance subsidiaries.

#### 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The condensed financial information of CNA Surety Corporation ("CNA Surety" or "Company") should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes hereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K/A. CNA Surety's subsidiaries are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Equity in net income of these affiliates is reported as equity in net income of subsidiaries.

The Company has revised its accounting for ceded premiums under a multiple year excess of loss reinsurance treaty with its affiliate, Continental Casualty Company, which has been in place since October 1, 2002 (this treaty is discussed in greater detail in Note 7 (Reinsurance) to the Consolidated Financial Statements). As a result, the Company has restated its previously reported financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2002. See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

The preparation of Condensed Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent

assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

67

SCHEDULE III

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION
CNA SURETY CORPORATION AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 3		
	2002	2001	
	AS RESTATED (a)		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	\$ 96,386 ======		
Reserves for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses	\$303,433 ======	\$315 <b>,</b> 811	
Unearned premiums	\$216,213	\$200,379	
Other policy claims and benefits payable	\$	\$	
Net premium revenue	\$298,319	\$320 <b>,</b> 910	
Net investment income	\$ 27 <b>,</b> 754	\$ 29 <b>,</b> 515	
Benefits, claims, losses and settlement expenses	\$ 94,198	\$ 80,836	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	\$138,991	\$147 <b>,</b> 500	
Other operating expenses	\$ 40,836	\$ 55,377	
Net premiums written	\$306,654	\$315,804	
	=======	=======	

(a) See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

68

SCHEDULE IV

CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

REINSURANCE CNA SURETY CORPORATION FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 (AS RESTATED (a)), 2001 AND 2000

	GROSS AMOUNT	CEDED TO OTHER COMPANIES	ASSUMED FROM OTHER COMPANIES	
			MOUNTS IN THOUSA	- ANDS)
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002				
Premiums written:				
Property and casualty insurance	\$148 <b>,</b> 186	\$53 <b>,</b> 238	\$211 <b>,</b> 706	\$
Total premiums written	\$148 <b>,</b> 186	\$53 <b>,</b> 238	\$211 <b>,</b> 706	\$
Premiums earned:				
Property and casualty insurance	\$137 <b>,</b> 957	\$45 <b>,</b> 784	\$206 <b>,</b> 146	\$
Total premiums earned	\$137 <b>,</b> 957	\$45,784 ======	\$206,146 ======	\$
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 Premiums written:	======			
Property and casualty insurance	\$127 <b>,</b> 494	\$17 <b>,</b> 199	\$205 <b>,</b> 509	\$
Total premiums written	\$127 <b>,</b> 494	\$17,199	\$205,509	\$
December 2000 and a	======	======	======	=
Premiums earned:	\$120,687	\$13,983	\$214,206	\$
Property and casualty insurance	\$120 <b>,</b> 687	\$13 <b>,</b> 983	\$214 <b>,</b> 206	7
Total premiums earned	\$120,687	\$13,983	\$214,206	\$
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	=======	======	=======	=
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000				
Premiums written:				
Property and casualty insurance	\$115 <b>,</b> 100	\$12 <b>,</b> 199	\$201 <b>,</b> 567	\$
Total premiums written	\$115 <b>,</b> 100	\$12 <b>,</b> 199	\$201,567	\$
D. and an arranged	======	======	======	=
Premiums earned:	\$109,472	\$14,831	\$207 <b>,</b> 178	ċ
Property and casualty insurance	9109 <b>,</b> 472	914 <b>,</b> 031	\$207 <b>,</b> 170	ې _
Total premiums earned	\$109 <b>,</b> 472	\$14,831	\$207,178	\$
	=======	======	=======	=

(a) See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

69

SCHEDULE V

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
CNA SURETY CORPORATION AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,
2002, 2001 AND 2000

	ADDITIONS			
BALANCE AT	CHARGED TO	CHARGED TO		
BEGINNING OF	COSTS AND	OTHER		
PERIOD	EXPENSES	ACCOUNTS		

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSAND

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002		(Ar.	1001115 111 111
Allowance for possible losses on			
premiums receivable	\$2,614	\$ (363)	\$
	=====	=====	======
Allowance for possible losses on			
reinsurance receivable	\$	\$	\$
	=====	=====	======
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001			
Allowance for possible losses on			
premiums receivable	\$2 <b>,</b> 650	\$ 866	\$
	=====	=====	======
Allowance for possible losses on			
reinsurance receivable	\$	\$	\$
	=====	=====	======
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000			
Allowance for possible losses on			
premiums receivable	\$2 <b>,</b> 826	\$ 837	\$
	=====	=====	======
Allowance for possible losses on			
reinsurance receivable	\$	Ş	\$
	=====	=====	======

-----

70

SCHEDULE VI

#### CNA SURETY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING PROPERTY-CASUALTY
INSURANCE OPERATIONS
CNA SURETY CORPORATION AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,
2002, 2001 AND 2000

	YEARS ENDED			DECEMBER 31	
	 2002		2001		
	RESTATED		IN '	THOUSANI	
Deferred policy acquisition costs	\$ 96,38		89,		
Reserves for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses	\$303,433	3 \$	315,	811	
Discount (if any) deducted	\$	-	> =====		
Unearned premiums	\$216,21	3 \$	200 <b>,</b>	379	
Net premium revenue	\$298,31	9 \$	320,	910	
Net investment income	\$ 27 <b>,</b> 75	4 \$	29 <b>,</b>	515	

<sup>(1)</sup> Accounts charged against allowance.

Net claims and claim expenses incurred related to:  Current year	\$ 88,018	\$ 76,025
	=======	=======
Prior years	\$ 6,180	\$ 4,812
	=======	=======
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	\$138,991	\$147,500
	=======	=======
Net paid claims and claim adjustment expenses	\$ 77 <b>,</b> 559	\$ 65,642
	=======	=======
Net premiums written	\$306,654	\$315 <b>,</b> 804
		=======

(a) See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

71

#### (A)(3) EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
11	Earnings Per Share Computation.
23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP dated March 12, 2004.
31(1)	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act o as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002-Chie
31(2)	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act o as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002-Chie
32(1)	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002-Chief Executive Officer.
32 (2)	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002-Chief Financial Officer.
(b)	Reports on Form 8-K.
	None

72

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CNA SURETY CORPORATION

/s/ JOHN F. WELCH

John F. Welch
President and Chief Executive Officer

resident and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ JOHN F. CORCORAN

\_\_\_\_\_

John F. Corcoran

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: March 12, 2004

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

DATE	TITLE	SIGNATURE	
March 12, 2004	Chairman of the Board and Director	/s/ JAMES R. LEWIS  James R. Lewis	
March 12, 2004	Director	/s/ PHILIP H. BRITTPhilip H. Britt	
March 12, 2004	Director	/s/ KEN MILLER  Ken Miller	
March 12, 2004	Director	/s/ THOMAS PONTARELLI Thomas Pontarelli	
March 12, 2004	Director	/s/ ROY E. POSNER Roy E. Posner	
March 12, 2004	Director	/s/ ADRIAN M. TOCKLIN Adrian M. Tocklin	
March 12, 2004	Director	/s/ JOHN F. WELCH John F. Welch	