NATURES SUNSHINE PRODUCTS INC Form 10-Q December 31, 2008 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)
x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008
OR
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
for the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 0-8707

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Utah (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

87-0327982 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

75 East 1700 South Provo, Utah 84606

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(801) 342-4300

(Registrant s telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one).

Large accelerated filer O Accelerated filer X

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) O Smaller reporting company O

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x.

The number of shares of Common Stock, no par value, outstanding on November 30, 2008 was 15,510,159 shares.

When we refer in this Form 10-Q to the Company, we, our, and us, we mean Nature s Sunshine Products, Inc., a Utah corporation, together without subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC.

FORM 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2008

Table of Contents

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited	<u>4)</u>	
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	3 4 6 7
Item 2.		Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3.		Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	24
Item 4.		<u>Disclosure Controls and Procedures</u>	27
Part II. Other Informa	<u>ation</u>		
Item 1.		<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	32
Item 5.		Other Information	32
<u>Item 6.</u>		<u>Exhibits</u>	32
		2	

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in Thousands)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,886	\$ 45,299
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,623 and \$739, respectively	7,616	7,450
Investments available for sale	3,876	4,755
Inventories, net	38,571	35,249
Deferred income tax assets	8,492	8,071
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	9,967	8,153
Total current assets	108,408	108,977
Property, plant and equipment, net	30,526	28,282
Investment securities	1,537	1,674
Restricted investments	2,050	2,075
Intangible assets	1,557	1,656
Deferred income tax assets	5,828	5,828
Other assets	17,230	16,846
	\$ 167,136	\$ 165,338
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 7,946	\$ 7,009
Accrued volume incentives	17,397	15,922
Accrued liabilities	46,259	44,322
Deferred revenue	3,434	5,207
Income taxes payable	7,350	4,500
Total current liabilities	82,386	76,960
Liability related to unrecognized tax positions	26,075	25,888
Deferred compensation payable	1,537	1,674
Other liabilities	311	424
Total long-term liabilities	27,923	27,986
Shareholders Equity:		
Common Stock, no par value; 20,000 shares authorized, 15,510 shares issued and outstanding		
as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	66,705	66,619

Retained earnings	5,476	9,112
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(15,354)	(15,339)
Total shareholders equity	56,827	60,392
	\$ 167.136 \$	165,338

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share information)

(Unaudited)

		Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2008	3		2007
Net Sales Revenue (net of the rebate portion of volume incentives of \$10,259 and \$10,205,				
respectively)	\$	94,669	\$	88,213
Cost and Expenses:				
Cost of goods sold		17,146		18,325
Volume incentives		36,588		35,140
Selling, general and administrative		38,864		39,558
		92,598		93,023
Operating Income (Loss)		2,071		(4,810)
Other (Expense) Income, Net		(448)		426
Income (Loss) Before Provision for Income Taxes		1,623		(4,384)
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes		1,789		(2,761)
Net Loss	\$	(166)	\$	(1,623)
Basic Net Loss Per Common Share	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.10)
Diluted Net Loss Per Common Share	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.10)
Weighted Average Basic Common Shares Outstanding		15,510		15,510
Weighted Average Diluted Common Shares Outstanding		15,510		15,510

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements. \\

Table of Contents

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share information)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2008		2007
Net Sales Revenue (net of the rebate portion of volume incentives of \$32,717 and \$32,224,			
respectively)	\$ 291,105	\$	272,427
Cost and Expenses:			
Cost of goods sold	53,159		52,784
Volume incentives	113,716		107,540
Selling, general and administrative	117,882		110,684
	284,757		271,008
Operating Income	6,348		1,419
Other (Expense) Income, Net	(495)		1,535
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	5,853		2,954
Provision for Income Taxes	7,163		8,952
Net Loss	\$ (1,310)	\$	(5,998)
Basic Net Loss Per Common Share	\$ (0.08)	\$	(0.39)
Diluted Net Loss Per Common Share	\$ (0.08)	\$	(0.39)
Weighted Average Basic Common Shares Outstanding	15,510		15,495
Weighted Average Diluted Common Shares Outstanding	15,510		15,495

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	2008	Nine Month Septembe		2007
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	2006			2007
	\$	(1,310)	\$	(5,998)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:	Ψ	(1,510)	Ψ	(3,770)
Provision of doubtful accounts		1,027		405
Depreciation and amortization		4,381		4,734
Share-based compensation expense		86		244
Tax benefit from stock option exercises				(246)
Loss (Gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment		62		(18)
Deferred income taxes		(531)		(1,184)
Amortization of bond discount		29		36
Purchase of trading investment securities		(119)		(115)
Proceeds from sale of trading investment securities		78		134
Realized and unrealized losses (gains) on investments		261		(394)
Amortization of prepaid taxes related to gain on intercompany sales		942		1,103
Foreign exchange (gain) loss		(100)		621
Changes in assets and liabilities				
Accounts receivable		(1,207)		(272)
Inventories		(3,523)		3,217
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(1,843)		(9,841)
Other assets		(942)		364
Accounts payable		1,299		282
Accrued volume incentives		1,591		1,237
Accrued liabilities		2,614		16,324
Deferred revenue		(1,773)		(1,280)
Income taxes payable		2,745		(2,193)
Liability related to unrecognized tax positions		187		
Deferred compensation payable		(137)		72
Net cash provided by operating activities		3,817		7,232
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchases of property, plant and equipment		(6,767)		(3,540)
Proceeds from sale of investments available for sale		640		1,432
Purchase of restricted investments				(2,075)
Proceeds from sale of restricted investments		25		
Purchase of intangible assets				(1,000)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		79		398
Net cash used in investing activities		(6,023)		(4,785)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Payment of cash dividends		(2,327)		(2,327)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options				1,252
Tax benefit from stock option exercises				246

Edgar Filing: NATURES SUNSHINE PRODUCTS INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash used in financing activities	(2,327)	(829)
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(880)	651
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(5,413)	2,269
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD	45,299	39,061
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF THE PERIOD	\$ 39,886	\$ 41,330
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 2,169	\$ 7,800
Cash paid for interest	\$ 59	\$ 40

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per-Share Information)

(Unaudited)

(1) Basis of Presentation

Nature s Sunshine Products, Inc. and its subsidiaries (hereinafter referred to collectively as the Company) are primarily engaged in the manufacturing and marketing of herbal products, vitamin and mineral supplements, personal care, and miscellaneous products. Nature s Sunshine Products, Inc. is a Utah corporation with its principal place of business in Provo, Utah. The Company sells its products to a sales force of independent Distributors and Managers who use the products themselves or resell them to other Distributors or consumers. The formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, advertising, distribution and sale of each of the Company s major product groups are subject to regulation by one or more governmental agencies.

The Company markets its products in the United States, China, Hong Kong, Poland, South Korea, Mexico, Venezuela, Japan, Brazil, Canada, Central America, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Peru, the United Kingdom, Austria, Germany, the Netherlands, Israel, Taiwan, Thailand, Singapore, Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Australia, Russia, Ukraine, Latvia, Lithuania, Kazakhstan, Mongolia, and Belarus. The Company also exports its products to several other countries, including Argentina, Australia, Chile, New Zealand, and Norway.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its Subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Company s financial information as of September 30, 2008, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The results of operations of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10K/A for the year ended December 31, 2007.

(2) Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

		September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Raw Materials		\$ 9,151	\$ 8,175
Works in Progress		885	912
Finished Goods		28,535	26,162
Total		\$ 38,571	\$ 35,249
	7		

Table of Contents

(3) Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per common share or Basic EPS is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per common share Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The computation of Diluted EPS does not assume exercise or conversion of common stock equivalents that would have an anti-dilutive effect on net loss per common share.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of Basic EPS to the numerator and denominator of Diluted EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

	Net Loss (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)]	Per Share Amount
Three Months Ended September 30, 2008				
Basic EPS	\$ (166)	15,510	\$	(0.01)
Effect of stock options				
Diluted EPS	\$ (166)	15,510	\$	(0.01)
Three Months Ended September 30, 2007				
Basic EPS	\$ (1,623)	15,510	\$	(0.10)
Effect of stock options				
Diluted EPS	\$ (1,623)	15,510	\$	(0.10)

	Net Loss (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008			
Basic EPS	\$ (1,310)	15,510	\$ (0.08)
Effect of stock options			
Diluted EPS	\$ (1,310)	15,510	\$ (0.08)
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007			
Basic EPS	\$ (5,998)	15,495	\$ (0.39)
Effect of stock options			
Diluted EPS	\$ (5,998)	15,495	\$ (0.39)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, as a result of the Company s net loss for each period, there were no options outstanding to purchase shares of common stock included in the computation of Diluted EPS, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

(4) Comprehensive Loss

The components of comprehensive loss, net of related tax, for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, are as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30,			
	200	8		2007	
Net loss	\$	(166)	\$	(1,623)	
Foreign currency translation gain (loss)		(408)		(325)	
Net unrealized (losses) gains on investment securities		(24)		44	
Total comprehensive loss	\$	(598)	\$	(1,904)	

The components of comprehensive net loss, net of related tax for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, are as follows:

		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2	008		2007		
Net loss	\$	(1,310)	\$	(5,998)		
Foreign currency translation gain		87		211		
Net unrealized (losses) gains on investment securities		(101)		58		
Total comprehensive loss	\$	(1,324)	\$	(5,729)		

(5) Share-based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense from nonqualified stock options for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007 was approximately \$81; the related tax benefit was approximately \$31. Share-based compensation expense from non-qualified stock options for the nine-months periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$86 and \$244; the related tax benefit was approximately \$33 and \$93 respectively. As of September 30, 2008, there was no unrecognized share based compensation related to issued qualified or non-qualified employee stock options.

Stock option activity for the nine-months ended September 30, 2008 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
Options outstanding at December 31, 2007	307	\$ 12.01

Granted

Forfeited or canceled	(25)	12.65
Exercised		
Options outstanding at September 30, 2008	282	11.95
Exercisable at September 30, 2008	282 \$	11.95

No options were granted during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and the three month period ended September 30, 2007. The Company issued 140 nonqualified stock options outside the stock plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2007, with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$3.85 per share.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company issued 164 shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options at an average price of \$11.85. The aggregate intrinsic values on the dates of exercise of options exercised during the nine month period ended March 31, 2007 was \$624. No options were exercised during the three month period ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, or the nine month period ended September 30, 2008. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2008 was \$6.

Table of Contents

(6) Segment Information

The Company has three operating business segments. These operating segments are components of the Company for which separate information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

The Company has two operating business segments based on geographic operations that include a United States segment and an international segment that operate under the Nature s Sunshine Products name. The Company s third operating business segment is Synergy Worldwide, which offers products with formulations different from those of the Nature s Sunshine Products offerings. In addition, Synergy Worldwide s marketing and Distributor compensation plans are substantially different from those of Nature s Sunshine Products. Sales revenues for each segment have been reduced by any intercompany sales as they are not included in the measure of segment profit or loss reviewed by the chief operating decision maker. The Company evaluates performance based on operating income (loss) by segment before consideration of certain inter-segment transfers and expenses.

Operating segment information is as follows:

	Three Mon	ths Er	ıded		Nine Months Ended				
	Septem	ber 30	*		September 30,				
	2008 2007				2008		2007		
Net Sales Revenue:									
Nature s Sunshine Products:									
United States	\$ 37,288	\$	35,447	\$	115,380	\$	112,189		
International	43,424		36,222		132,758		107,994		
	80,712		71,669		248,138		220,183		
Synergy Worldwide	13,957		16,544		42,967		52,244		
Total net sales revenues	94,669		88,213		291,105		272,427		
Operating Expenses:									
Nature s Sunshine Products:									
United States	37,108		39,709		113,925		117,333		
International	40,423		33,687		123,431		96,420		
	77,531		73,396		237,356		213,753		
Synergy Worldwide	15,067		19,627		47,401		57,255		
~ ygy	92,598		93,023		284,757		271,008		
	,2,0,0		70,020		201,707		2,1,000		
Operating Income (Loss):									
Nature s Sunshine Products:									
United States	180		(4,262)		1,455		(5,144)		
International	3,001		2,535		9.327		11,574		
	3,181		(1,727)		10,782		6,430		
Synergy Worldwide	(1,110)		(3,083)		(4,434)		(5,011)		
-, - , -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,	2,071		(4,810)		6,348		1,419		
	2,071		(.,510)		- 0,2 .3		1,.17		
Other (Expenses) Income, Net	(448)		426		(495)		1,535		
Income (Loss) Before Provision for Income Taxes	\$ 1,623	\$	(4,384)	\$	5,853	\$	2,954		

Table of Contents

From an individual country perspective, only the United States, Japan, Russia and Ukraine comprise approximately 10 percent or more of consolidated net sales revenue for any of the three and six months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 as follows:

	Three Mo Septen	led		led				
	2008		2007		2008		2007	
Sales Revenue:								
United States	\$ 38,412	\$	38,132	\$	116,147	\$	121,337	
Japan	8,669		11,239		29,164		34,283	
Russia	10,344		7,082		32,848		22,155	
Ukraine	9,343		6,236		28,127		18,970	
Other	27,901		25,524		84,819		75,682	
Total Sales Revenue	\$ 94,669	\$	88.213	\$	291,105	\$	272,427	

Segment long-lived assets as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, are as follows:

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Long-Lived Assets:		
United States	\$ 23,588	\$ 25,022
Venezuela	4,513	377
Other	3,982	4,539
Total Long-Lived Assets	\$ 32,083	\$ 29,938

(7) Income Taxes

Interim income taxes are based on an estimated annualized effective tax rate applied to the respective quarterly periods, adjusted for discrete tax items in the period in which they occur. For the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes, as a percentage of income before income taxes, is 110 percent and (63) percent, respectively, compared with a US federal statutory rate of 35 percent. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company's provision for income taxes, as a percentage of income before income taxes, is 122 percent and 303 percent, respectively, compared with a US federal statutory rate of 35 percent. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the differences between the effective rate and the federal statutory rate are primarily attributed to increases in tax liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions and increases in foreign valuation allowances primarily related to foreign subsidiary net losses for which no tax benefit is currently being recognized. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, the difference between the effective rate and the federal statutory rate are primarily attributed to changes in uncertain tax positions and valuation allowances and adjustments to the Company's effective tax rate expected for the full fiscal year.

The increase in the Company's effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the corresponding period in 2007 is primarily the result of the pre-tax loss of the 2007 period compared to the pre-tax income for the 2008 period. The decrease in the Company's effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the corresponding period in 2007 is primarily related to a increase in the pretax income balance in 2008 where similar tax expense items, including uncertain tax positions and valuation allowances, have a smaller impact on the effective rate.

As of September 30, 2008, the Company had accrued \$16.0 million (net of \$10.1 million of other assets related to competent authority and royalty benefits) related to unrecognized tax positions compared with \$15.8 million (net of \$10.1 million of other assets related to competent authority and royalty benefits) as of December 31, 2007. This net increase is primarily attributed to additional liabilities recorded related to commission payment withholdings in foreign jurisdictions and transfer pricing.

Although the Company believes its estimates are reasonable, the Company can make no assurance that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different from that which it has reflected in its historical income tax provisions and accruals. Such difference could have a material impact on the Company s income tax provision and operating results in the period in which the Company makes such determination.

Table of Contents

(8) Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to governmental regulations pertaining to product formulation, labeling and packaging, product claims and advertising, and to the Company s direct selling system. The Company is also subject to the jurisdiction of numerous foreign tax and customs authorities. Any assertions or determinations that either the Company or the Company s Distributors are not in compliance with existing statutes, laws, rules or regulations could potentially have a material adverse effect on the Company s operations. In addition, in any country or jurisdiction, the adoption of new statutes, laws, rules or regulations, or changes in the interpretation of existing statutes, laws, rules or regulations could have a material adverse effect on the Company and its operations. Although management believes that the Company is in compliance, in all material respects, with the statutes, laws, rules and regulations of every jurisdiction in which it operates, no assurance can be given that the Company s compliance with applicable statutes, laws, rules and regulations will not be challenged by foreign authorities or that such challenges will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position or results of operations or cash flows.

Legal Proceedings

Currently, the Company is a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted below. Management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, or their resulting effect on the Company s business, financial position, results of operations or prospects as litigation and related matters are subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. Were an unfavorable outcome to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the business, financial position, results of operations or prospects for the period in which the ruling occurs or future periods. The Company maintains directors and officers liability, product liability, general liability and excess liability insurance coverage. However, no assurances can be given that such insurance will continue to be available at an acceptable cost to the Company, that such coverage will be sufficient to cover one or more large claims, or that the insurers will not successfully disclaim coverage as to a pending or future claim.

Class Action Litigation

Between April 3, 2006 and June 2, 2006, five separate shareholder class action lawsuits were filed against the Company and certain of its present and former officers and directors in the United States District Court for the District of Utah. These matters were consolidated and on November 3, 2006, the plaintiffs filed a Consolidated Complaint against the Company, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and former director, Douglas Faggioli, the Company s former Chief Financial Officer, Craig D. Huff, and a former director and former Chair of the Company s Audit Committee, Franz L. Cristiani. The Consolidated Complaint asserts three separate claims on behalf of purchasers of the Company s common stock: (1) a claim against Mr. Faggioli and the Company for violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, alleging that Mr. Faggioli made a series of alleged material misrepresentations to the investing public; (2) a claim against Mr. Faggioli and the Company for violation of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5, alleging that Mr. Faggioli made a series of misrepresentations to the Company s then independent auditor, KPMG, LLP (KPMG), for the purpose of obtaining unqualified or clean audit opinions and review opinions from KPMG concerning certain of the Company s annual and quarterly financial statements; and (3) a claim against Messrs. Faggioli, Huff and Cristiani for violation of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), alleging that the individual defendants have control person liability for the

Table of Contents

previously-alleged violations by the Company. The Consolidated Complaint seeks an unspecified amount of compensatory damages, together with interest thereon, litigation costs and expenses, including attorneys fees and expert fees, and any such other and further relief as may be allowed by law.

On January 5, 2007, the Company and Messrs. Faggioli, Huff and Cristiani moved to dismiss the Consolidated Complaint in its entirety. On May 21, 2007, the court issued its decision denying the motion in large part, but shortening the proposed class period on one of the plaintiffs claims. On June 6, 2007, the Company and the other defendants answered the Consolidated Complaint, wherein they denied all allegations of wrongdoing and raised a number of affirmative defenses. On November 1, 2007, the plaintiffs filed their motion for class certification, which the Company opposed. On September 25, 2008, the court granted the plaintiffs motion for class certification in part, establishing the class as all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the Company s common stock, and were damaged thereby, from March 16, 2005 to March 20, 2006. On May 9, 2008, at the invitation of the Court based upon recent case law developments, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Plaintiffs second cause of action (a 10b-5 claim based on non-public representations to KPMG). The Plaintiffs opposed this motion. On September 23, 2008, the Court granted the Company s motion and dismissed the Plaintiffs second cause of action.

The case is currently in the early stages of discovery. The trial is not scheduled to commence until April 19, 2010. Although the Company and the other defendants are vigorously defending against the allegations in the lawsuit, and the Company intends to continue doing so, the Company is not able at this time to predict the outcome of this litigation or whether the Company will incur any liability associated to the litigation, or to estimate the effect such outcome would have on the financial condition of the Company.

The Company maintains insurance that may provide coverage for the potential consequences of a negative outcome of the litigation described above. The Company has given notice to its insurers of the claims and the insurers have responded by requesting additional information and by reserving their rights under the policies, including the rights to deny coverage under various policy exclusions or to rescind the policies in question as a result of the Company s restatement of its financial statements. There can be no assurance that the insurers will not seek to deny coverage or rescind the policies; that some or all of the claims will not be covered by such policies; or that, even if covered, the Company s ultimate liability will exceed the available insurance.

Threatened Derivative Lawsuits

By letter dated October 4, 2007, a shareholder of the Company alleged that a number of the current and former officers and directors of the Company breached their fiduciary duties to the Company by supposedly engaging in the same alleged wrongdoing that is the subject of the class action lawsuit. The shareholder demanded that the Company take action to recover from the specified officers and directors all damages sustained by the Company as a result of the alleged misconduct, and threatened to commence a derivative action if the Company failed to act on the shareholder s demand within a reasonable period of time.

On December 26, 2007, before the expiration of the Company s allotted 90-day period for responding to the demand, the shareholder presented a second but substantively identical demand on the Company, thereby triggering a new 90-day response period. The Company s Board of Directors responded to this demand on March 20, 2008, rejecting the shareholder s demands.

On May 21, 2008, this same shareholder filed a summons and complaint in the Fourth Judicial District Court for the State of Utah seeking an order compelling the Company to produce certain books and records to the shareholder. The Company filed its answer to the complaint on June 12, 2008.

Although the Company and the other defendants are vigorously defending against the allegations in the threatened derivative lawsuit above, management believes that it is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of this litigation or whether the Company will incur any liability associated to the litigation, or to estimate the effect such outcome would have on the financial condition of the Company.

SEC and DOJ Investigations

In March 2006, the Company voluntarily disclosed to the SEC certain information related to the independent investigation by the Company s Audit Committee. Since that time, the SEC has subpoenaed certain documents and voluntarily requested other information in connection with its subsequent investigation related to these events. The

13

Table of Contents

Company is cooperating fully with this investigation. The Company cannot predict what impact, if any, and the materiality of such impact, if any, the conclusion of this matter may have on our financial statements.

In March 2006, the Company voluntarily disclosed to the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) certain information related to the independent investigation by the Company s Audit Committee. Since that time, the DOJ has requested that the Company voluntarily provide documents and other information in connection with its subsequent investigation related to these events. The Company is cooperating fully with this investigation. The Company cannot predict what impact, if any, and the materiality of such impact, if any, the conclusion of this matter may have on the Company s financial statements.

SEC Section 12(j) Proceeding

On July 12, 2007, the Company announced that the SEC had instituted administrative proceedings pursuant to Section 12(j). On November 8, 2007, an administrative law judge in the administrative proceeding issued an Initial Decision to revoke the registration of the Company s common stock. Shortly thereafter, the Company filed a petition for review with the SEC. On December 5, 2007, the SEC granted our petition for review. The SEC had scheduled oral argument regarding the Company s petition on October 1, 2008. The SEC has now rescheduled the oral argument for January 7, 2009. The Company cannot predict the outcome of such review at this time. The Initial Decision of the administrative law judge will not become effective pending the review. The Company cannot presently predict what, if any, impact the SEC s ultimate determination may have on our financial statements or the materiality of such impact, if any. If a final order is issued by the SEC revoking the registration of our common stock, broker-dealers would not be permitted to effect transactions in shares of our common stock until the Company files a new registration with the SEC under the Exchange Act and that registration is made effective.

Non Income Tax Contingencies

The Company has reserved for certain state sales/use tax and other non income tax contingencies based on the likelihood of an obligation in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. Under SFAS No. 5, loss contingency provisions are recorded for probable losses at management s best estimate of a loss, or when a best estimate cannot be made, a minimum loss contingency amount is recorded. The Company provides provisions for potential payments of tax to various tax authorities for contingencies related to uncertain positions for non income tax matters. The Company also provides provisions for state sales taxes in each of the states where the Company has potential nexus. As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, accrued liabilities includes \$19,337 and \$14,393, respectively, related to non-income tax contingencies. While management believes that the assumptions and estimates used to determine this liability are reasonable, the ultimate outcome of those matters cannot presently be determined. The Company is not able at this time to predict the ultimate outcomes of those matters or to estimate the effect the ultimate outcomes, if greater than the amounts accrued, would have on the financial condition of the Company.

(9) Fair Value

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the effective portions of SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements . In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FSP No. 157-2, which provides a one year deferral of the effect date of SFAS No. 157 for all non financial assets and non financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Therefore, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 with respect to only financial assets and liabilities.

SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value. This statement does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for that asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. On a quarterly basis, the Company measures at fair value certain financial assets, including cash equivalents, available-for-sale securities, restricted investments and investment securities. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the 2008 consolidated financial statements.

As a basis for categorizing these inputs, SFAS 157 establishes the following hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value from market based assumptions to entity specific assumptions:

Level 1: Inputs based upon quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measurement date.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Inputs that are management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. The inputs are unobservable in the market and significant to the instruments valuation.

14

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company s hierarchy for its asset and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2008:

	I	Level 1 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for entical Assets	Level 2 Significant Other Observable Inputs	Level 3 Significant Unobservable Inputs	Total
Investments available for sale	\$	1,034	\$ 2,842	\$	\$ 3,876
Investment securities		1,537			1,537
Restricted investments				2,050	2,050
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring					
basis.	\$	2,571	\$ 2,842	\$ 2,050	\$ 7,463

Investments available for sale The majority of the Company s investment portfolio consist of various fixed income securities such as U.S government and state and municipal bonds, mutual funds, and equity securities. The Level 1 securities are valued using quoted prices for identical assets in active markets including equity securities, U.S. government treasuries, and various mutual funds. The Level 2 securities include investments in state and municipal bonds, as well as various mutual funds whereby all significant inputs are observable or can be derived from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset.

Investment securities The majority of the Company s trading portfolio consists of various mutual funds that are using quoted prices in active markets.

Restricted investments The Company's restricted investments include auction rate preferred investments that have failed at auction during the first quarter of 2008. Despite the underlying long-term contractual maturity of ARS, there was historically a ready liquid market for these securities based on the interest reset mechanism. However, as a result of current negative liquidity and uncertainty in financial credit markets, the Company experienced failed auctions associated with our ARS. In the case of a failed auction, the ARS become illiquid investments (until a future auction is successful or the security is called prior to the contractual maturity date by the issuer) and the rates are reset in accordance with terms in the prospectus/offering circular. At September 30, 2008, restricted investments included \$2,050 in ARS which experienced remarketing failures and are included in long-term assets.

The ARS consist primarily of AAA securities. In determining the fair value of the Company s restricted investments at September 30, 2008, the Company has taken into consideration fair values determined by the financial institutions, current credit rating of the underlying securities, insurance provisions, discounted cash flow analysis, as deemed appropriate, and its current liquidity position. The Company believes the remaining ARS will ultimately be liquidated at or near our current carrying value. In December 2008, the Company received an offer from a registered broker dealer to redeem these securities at par in 2009.

The following table shows a reconciliation of the beginning and ending fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs for the three months ended September 30, 2008:

	Total
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$ 2,050
Net unrealized gains included in earnings	
Sales of restricted investments	
Purchases	
Balance at September 30, 2008	\$ 2,050
15	

Table of Contents

The following table shows a reconciliation of the beginning and ending fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs for the nine months ended September 30, 2008:

	Total
Balance at January 1, 2008	\$ 2,075
Net unrealized gains included in earnings	
Sales of restricted investments	(25)
Purchases	
Balance at September 30, 2008	\$ 2,050

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this Form 10-Q, as well as the consolidated financial statements, the notes thereto, and management s discussion and analysis included in our Annual Report on Form 10K/A for the year ended December 31, 2007, and our other filings, including Current Reports on Form 8-K, that have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) through the date of this report.

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Nature s Sunshine Products, Inc. and its subsidiaries are primarily engaged in the manufacturing and marketing of herbal products, vitamin and mineral supplements, personal care, and miscellaneous products. Nature s Sunshine Products, Inc. is a Utah corporation with its principal place of business in Provo, Utah. We sell our products to a sales force of independent Distributors and Managers who use the products themselves or resell them to other Distributors or consumers. The formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, advertising, distribution and sale of each of our major product groups are subject to regulation by one or more governmental agencies.

We market our products in the United States, Mexico, Central America, Canada, Venezuela, the Dominican Republic, Japan, Ecuador, the United Kingdom, Colombia, Peru, Israel, Russia, Ukraine, Latvia, Lithuania, Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Belarus, China, Poland, and Brazil. We also export our products to several other countries, including Argentina, Australia, Chile, New Zealand, and Norway.

We also sell our products through a separate division and operating business segment, Synergy Worldwide, which was acquired in 2000. Synergy Worldwide offers products with formulations different from those of the Nature s Sunshine Products offerings. In addition, Synergy Worldwide s marketing and Distributor compensation plans are sufficiently different from those of Nature s Sunshine Products. Synergy Worldwide sells products in Japan, the United States, South Korea, Singapore, Thailand, Taiwan, Malaysia, Hong Kong, the Philippines, Indonesia, the United Kingdom, Germany, Austria, the Netherlands, and Australia.

During the first three quarters of 2008, we experienced healthy net sales revenue growth overseas in our Nature s Sunshine Products International business segment of approximately 23 percent compared to the same period in the prior year, while our domestic business segment sales decreased slightly and our Synergy Worldwide business segment experienced a decline in net sales revenue of approximately 18 percent due

primarily to the loss of several key distributor networks. Over the same period, our cost of goods sold decreased as a percentage of net sales revenue primarily as a result of decreased importation costs in some of our foreign markets, while volume incentives remained constant. Our selling, general and administrative expenses increased somewhat primarily as a result of costs associated with increased spending in our Russian and other foreign markets as we continue to see growth, as well as costs related to entry into new markets. On our consolidated balance sheet, we maintained a fairly consistent profile from December 31, 2007 to September 30, 2008.

16

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table summarizes our unaudited consolidated operating results in dollars and as a percentage of net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

	2008			2007		Change from 2008 to 2007			
		Total dollars	% of net sales	Total dollars	% of net sales	Dollar	Percentage		
Net sales revenue	\$	94,669	100.0%	\$ 88,213	100.0% \$	6,456	7.3%		
Cost of goods sold		17,146	18.1	18,325	20.8	(1,179)	(6.4)		
Volume incentives		36,588	38.6	35,140	39.8	1,448	4.1		
SG&A expenses		38,864	41.1	39,558	44.8	(694)	(1.8)		
Total operating expenses		92,598	97.8	93,023	105.4	(425)	(0.5)		
Operating income (loss)		2,071	2.2	(4,810)	(5.4)	6,881	143.1		
Other (expense) income, net		(448)	(0.5)	426	0.5	(874)	(205.2)		
Income (loss) before provision									
for income taxes		1,623	1.7	(4,384)	(4.9)	6,007	137.0		
Provision (benefit) for income									
taxes		1,789	1.9	(2,761)	(3.1)	4,550	164.8		
Net loss	\$	(166)	(0.2)%	\$ (1,623)	(1.8)% \$	1,457	89.8%		

The following table summarizes our unaudited consolidated operating results in dollars and as a percentage of net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

	2008				2007	Change from 2008 to 2007		
		Total dollars	% of net sales	Total dollars	% of net sales	Dollar	Percentage	
Net sales revenue	\$	291,105	100.0%	272,42	27 100.0%	\$ 18,678	6.9%	
Cost of goods sold		53,159	18.2	52,78	34 19.4	375	0.7	
Volume incentives		113,716	39.1	107,54	40 39.5	6,176	5.7	
SG&A expenses		117,882	40.5	110,68	34 40.6	7,198	6.5	
Total operating expenses		284,757	97.8	271,00	99.5	13,749	5.1	
Operating income		6,348	2.2	1,41	19 0.5	4,929	347.4	
Other (expense) income, net		(495)	(0.2)	1,53	35 0.6	(2,030)	(132.2)	
Income before provision for								
income taxes		5,853	2.0	2,93	54 1.1	2,899	98.1	
Provision for income taxes		7,163	2.5	8,9	52 3.3	(1,789)	(20.0)	
Net loss	\$	(1,310)	(0.5)	(5,99	98) (2.2)	\$ 4,688	78.2%	

Net Sales Revenue

Net sales revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2008 was \$94.7 million compared to \$88.2 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of approximately 7.3 percent. Net sales revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$291.1 million compared to \$272.4 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of approximately 6.9 percent. The increase in net sales revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 reflects higher net sales revenue in the Company s international business segment.

Table of Contents

Net sales revenue in our United States operation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, was \$37.3 million, compared to \$35.4 million, an increase of 5.2 percent, compared to the same period in the prior year. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, United States net sales revenue totaled \$115.4 million, a 2.8 percent increase over the same period in the prior year. This growth was partially due to a decrease in rebates provided to distributors after the purchase of some of our products which are reflected as a reduction in net sales revenue, and modest growth in our overall sales volumes for the quarter.

Our international operations reported net sales revenue of \$43.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$36.2 million, an increase of approximately 19.9 percent compared to the same period in the prior year. For the first nine months in 2008, international net sales revenue totaled \$132.8 million, a 22.9 percent increase over the same period of the prior year. Third quarter performances were particularly strong in the Russia, Ukraine, Canada and Europe. The increase in net sales revenue reflects the continued increase in Distributors and Managers in the Company s international operations as well as the foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations which positively impacted revenues for the three month period ended by \$0.8 million or 2.2 percent and the nine month period \$3.7 million or 3.4 percent compared to the prior year period.

Net sales revenue in Synergy Worldwide was \$14.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared to \$16.5 million for the same period of the prior year, a decrease of 15.2 percent, due to the loss of key distributor networks as a result of increased competition in the United States and Japanese markets. For the first nine months, Synergy Worldwide net sales revenue totaled \$43.0 million, compared to \$52.2 million, a 17.6 percent decrease over the prior year due to the loss of key distributor networks as a result of increased competition in the United States and Japanese markets. This decrease is partially offset by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations that contributed \$0.7 million and \$2.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the prior year period.

We distribute our products to customers through an independent sales force comprised of Distributors and Managers. Active Managers totaled approximately 26,200 and 27,300 at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Active Distributors totaled approximately 713,200 and 701,500, at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Further information related to the Nature s Sunshine Products United States and International segments and the Synergy Worldwide business segment is set forth in Note 6 to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of this Report.

Cost of Goods Sold

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, cost of goods sold, as a percent of net sales revenue, decreased to 18.1 and 18.2 percent, respectively, compared to 20.8 and 19.4 percent, respectively, for the same periods in the prior year as a result decreased importation costs in some of our foreign markets.

Volume Incentives

Volume incentives are a significant part of our direct sales marketing program and represent commission payments made to our independent Distributors and Managers. These payments are designed to provide incentives for reaching higher sales levels and for recruiting additional Distributors. Volume incentives vary slightly, on a percentage basis, by product due to our pricing policies and commission plans in place in our international operations. Volume incentives as a percent of net sales revenue decreased from 39.8 percent to 38.6 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007, and from 39.5 percent to 39.1 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The decrease is partially due to changes in the volume incentive programs for some products sold within the United States and determining the qualifying incentive.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percent of net sales revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 decreased to 41.1 percent and 40.5 percent, respectively, compared to 44.8 percent and 40.6 percent, respectively, for the same period in the prior year. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.7 million, or 1.7 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2008, and increased \$7.2 million, or 6.5 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared to the same periods of the prior year. The increase in expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, is primarily as a result of increased spending in our Russian and Eastern European markets for infrastructure to support our continued growth of approximately \$6.2 million and cost for entering new markets in China and Europe of approximately \$1.7 million. Foreign currency fluctuations negatively impacted general and administrative expenses by approximately \$0.6 million and \$2.3 million compared to the three and nine month periods in the prior year. These costs were offset by decreases of approximately \$2.8 million in our Synergy Worldwide operations as a result of cost

18

Table of Contents

cutting initiatives to help bring operating costs more in line with the division s reduced net sales revenue.

Other (Expense) Income, Net

Other (expense) income, net for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, decreased \$0.9 million or 205.2 percent, and \$2.0 million or 132.2 percent compared to the same periods of the prior year primarily due to foreign exchange losses in certain markets.

Provision for Income Tax

Interim income taxes are based on an estimated annualized effective tax rate applied to the respective quarterly periods, adjusted for discrete tax items in the period in which they occur. For the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company s provision (benefit) for income taxes, as a percentage of income before income taxes, is 110 percent and (63) percent, respectively, compared with a US federal statutory rate of 35 percent. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company s provision for income taxes, as a percentage of income before income taxes, is 122 percent and 303 percent, respectively, compared with a US federal statutory rate of 35 percent. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the differences between the effective rate and the federal statutory rate are primarily attributed to increases in tax liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions and increases in foreign valuation allowances primarily related to foreign subsidiary net losses for which no tax benefit is currently being recognized. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, the difference between the effective rate and the federal statutory rate are primarily attributed to changes in uncertain tax positions and valuation allowances and adjustments to the Company s effective tax rate expected for the full fiscal year.

The increase in the Company s effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the corresponding period in 2007 is primarily the result of the pre-tax loss of the 2007 period compared to the pre-tax income for the 2008 period. The decrease in the Company s effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the corresponding period in 2007 is primarily related to a increase in the pretax income balance in 2008 where similar tax expense items, including uncertain tax positions and valuation allowances, have a smaller impact on the effective rate.

As of September 30, 2008, the Company had accrued \$16.0 million (net of \$10.1 million of other assets related to competent authority and royalty benefits) related to unrecognized tax positions compared with \$15.8 million (net of \$10.1 million of other assets related to competent authority and royalty benefits) as of December 31, 2007. This net increase is primarily attributed to additional liabilities recorded related to commission payment withholdings in foreign jurisdictions and transfer pricing.

Although the Company believes its estimates are reasonable, the Company can make no assurance that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different from that which it has reflected in its historical income tax provisions and accruals. Such difference could have a material impact on the Company s income tax provision and operating results in the period in which the Company makes such determination.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our principal use of cash is to pay for operating expenses, including volume incentives, capital assets, inventory purchases, the funding of international expansion, and the payment of quarterly dividends. As of September 30, 2008, working capital was \$26.0 million compared to \$32.0 million as of December 31, 2007. At September 30, 2008 we had \$39.9 million in cash and cash equivalents, and \$3.9 million of in short-term investments, which are available to be used along with our normal cash flows from operations to fund any unanticipated shortfalls in future cash flows.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we generated \$3.8 million of operating cash flow compared to \$7.2 million for the same period in 2007. The decrease in cash generated from operating cash flows is primarily impacted by the timing of cash payments related to fluctuations in operating assets and liabilities. We used a significant amount of cash during the nine month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the prior period, for the purchase of inventory, as well as the pre-payment of significant convention costs for our Top Achiever Convention (TAC) and Rising Star programs, that have previously been accrued for in prior years, which were offset by increases in our accruals for income taxes payable due to the timing of tax related payments and a decrease in our net loss of \$4.2 million for the period in comparison to the same period in 2007.

Capital expenditures for the nine months were \$6.8 million, of which \$4.0 million is related to the purchase of a warehouse in Venezuela, with cash balances from Venezuela, compared to \$3.5 million for capital expenditures for equipment, computer systems and software, for the same period in the prior year.

Table of Contents

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, our cash proceeds from investing activities have been limited to proceeds from the sale of investments of \$0.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, as well as the proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. In addition, we used cash of approximately \$2.1 million in the prior year to purchase auction rate securities, which has been classified as restricted investments on our financial statements. We also used cash of \$1.0 million to purchase certain product formulations, which are classified as intangible assets in the prior year. We used cash to pay quarterly dividends totaling \$2.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as well as the same period in 2007.

Cash flows from financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 also included \$1.2 million of cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options, as well as \$0.2 million from the related tax benefit. There were no stock options exercised during the same period in 2008.

We believe that working capital requirements can be met for the foreseeable future through our available cash and cash equivalents, cash generated from operating activities for the foreseeable future; however, a prolonged economic downturn or a decrease in the demand for our products could adversely affect our long-term liquidity. In the event of a significant decrease in cash provided by operating activities, it might be necessary for us to obtain additional external sources of funding.

We do not currently maintain a long-term credit facility or any other external sources of long-term funding; however, we believe that such funding could be obtained on competitive terms in the event additional sources of funding become necessary.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and form the basis for the following discussion and analysis on critical accounting policies and estimates. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On a regular basis we evaluate our estimates and assumptions. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates and those differences could have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations. Management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of these estimates with the Board of Directors and its Audit Committee.

A summary of our significant accounting policies is provided in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of our Annual Report of Form 10K/A for the year ended December 31, 2007. We believe the critical accounting policies and estimates described below reflect our more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The impact and any associated risks on our business that are related to these policies are also discussed throughout this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations where such policies affect reported and expected financial results.

Revenue Recognition

Net sales revenue and related volume incentive expenses are recorded when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, collectability is reasonably assured, the amount is fixed and determinable, and title and risk of loss have passed, generally when the merchandise has been delivered. Amounts received for undelivered merchandise are recorded as deferred revenue. Sales revenue is recorded net of the rebate portion of volume incentives, and a reserve for product returns, which reduces revenue, is accrued based on historical experience. From time to time, the Company s United States operation extends short-term credit associated with product promotions. In addition for certain of the Company s international operations, the Company offers credit terms consistent with industry standards within the country of operation. Payments to Distributors and Managers for sales incentives or rebates are recorded as a reduction of revenue. Membership fees are recorded as revenue over the life of the membership, primarily one year. Prepaid event registration fees are deferred and recognized as revenues when the related event is held.

Table of Contents
Inventories
Inventories are stated at the lower-of-cost-or-market, using the first-in, first-out method. The components of inventory cost include raw materials, labor, and overhead. To estimate any necessary lower-of-cost-or-market adjustments, various assumptions are made in regard to excess or slow-moving inventories, non-conforming inventories, expiration dates, current and future product demand, production planning, and market conditions.
Self-insurance Liabilities
As a manufacturer and distributor of products that are ingested, we face an inherent risk of exposure to product liability claims in the event that, among other things, the use of our products results in injury to consumers due to tampering by unauthorized third parties or product contamination. We have historically had a very limited number of product claims or reports from individuals who have asserted that they have suffered adverse consequences as a result of using our products. These matters have historically been settled to our satisfaction and have not resulted in material payments. We have established a wholly owned captive insurance company to provide us with product liability insurance coverage and have accrued an amount that we believe is sufficient to cover probable and reasonable estimable liabilities related to product liability claims based upon our history. However, there can be no assurance that these estimates will prove to be sufficient nor can there be any assurance that the ultimate outcome of any litigation for product liability will not have a material negative impact on our business prospects, financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.
We self-insure for certain employee medical benefits. The recorded liabilities for self-insured risks are calculated using actuarial methods and are not discounted. The liabilities include amounts for actual claims and claims incurred but not reported. Actual experience, including claim frequency and severity as well as health care inflation, could result in actual liabilities being more or less than the amounts currently recorded.
Incentive Trip Accrual
We accrue for expenses for incentive trips associated with our direct sales marketing program, which rewards independent Distributors and Managers with paid attendance at our conventions and meetings. Expenses associated with incentive trips are accrued over qualification periods as they are earned. We specifically analyze incentive trip accruals based on historical and current sales trends as well as contractual obligations when evaluating the adequacy of the incentive trip accrual. Actual results could result in liabilities being more or less than the amounts recorded
Impairment of Long-Lived Assets
The Company reviews its long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment and intangible assets, for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company uses an estimate of future undiscounted net cash flows of the related assets or groups of assets over their remaining lives in measuring whether the assets are recoverable. An impairment

loss is calculated by determining the difference between the carrying values and the fair values of these assets. As of September 30, 2008 and

December 31, 2007, the Company did not consider any of its long-lived assets to be impaired.

Contingencies

We are involved in certain legal proceedings. When a loss is considered probable in connection with litigation, income tax or non-income tax contingencies and when the loss can be reasonably estimated with a range, we record our best estimate within the range related to the contingency. If there is no best estimate, we record the minimum of the range. As additional information becomes available, we assess the potential liability related to the contingency and revise the estimates. Revision in estimates of the potential liabilities could materially impact our results of operations in the period of adjustment.

Income Taxes

Our income tax expense, deferred tax assets and liabilities and contingent reserves reflect management s best assessment of estimated future taxes to be paid. We are subject to income taxes in both the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgments and estimates are required in determining the consolidated income tax expense.

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense. In evaluating our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, we consider all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. In projecting future taxable income, we develop assumptions including the amount of future state, federal and foreign pretax operating income, the reversal of temporary differences, and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require significant judgment about the forecasts of future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates we are using to manage the underlying businesses.

Changes in tax laws and rates could also affect recorded deferred tax assets and liabilities in the future. Management is not aware of any such changes that would have a material effect on the Company s results of operations, cash flows or financial position. The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions across our global operations.

In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48), income tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold to be recognized. FIN 48 also provides guidance on measurement, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. We adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which became effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but applies to assets and liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value under other accounting standards. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-2 (FSP No. 157-2), Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delays the Company s January 1, 2008, effective date of FSP No. 157-2 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those recognized or disclosed at fair value in the consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) until January 1, 2009. We adopted the effective portion of SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008, and that adoption did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 9, Fair Value, for additional information.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS No. 159). The fair value option established by this statement permits all entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. A business entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings (or another performance indicator if the business entity does not report earnings) at each subsequent reporting date. Although this statement is voluntary, it is effective as of the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. We adopted SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008, and the adoption of the standard did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations , (SFAS No. 141R), which changes how business combinations are accounted for and will impact financial statements both on the acquisition date and in subsequent periods. SFAS No. 141R is effective January 1, 2009, and will be applied prospectively. The effect of adopting SFAS No. 141R will depend on the nature and terms of future acquisitions.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (SFAS No. 160), which changes the accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interests in a subsidiary in consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 recharacterizes minority interest as noncontrolling interests and requires noncontrolling interests to be classified as a component of shareholders—equity. SFAS No. 160 is effective January 1, 2009 and requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interest. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 160 on the Company—s consolidated financial statements, but does not expect SFAS to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 110. SAB No. 110 expresses the views of the SEC regarding the use of a simplified or shortcut method, as discussed in SAB No. 107, Share-Based Payment, in developing an estimate of expected term of plain vanilla share options in accordance with SFAS No. 123R. The guidance in SAB No. 110 is effective as of January 1, 2008. The adoption of SAB No. 110 did not have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an Amendment of SFAS No. 133 (SFAS 161). This Standard requires enhanced disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including: (a) the manner in which an entity uses derivative instruments; (b) the manner in which derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities; and (c) the effect of derivative instruments and related hedged items on a entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. As SFAS 161 relates specifically to disclosures, the Standard will have no impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS No. 162). This SFAS identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of the financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. SFAS No. 162 directs the hierarchy to the entity, rather than the independent auditors, as the entity is responsible for selecting accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. This SFAS is effective 60 days following SEC approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to remove the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles from auditing standards. SFAS No. 162 is not expected to have an impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the FASB or other standard setting bodies, which the Company evaluates and adopts as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise discussed, the Company believes recently issued standards and pronouncements that are no yet effective will not have a material impact upon its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows upon adoption.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of this Form 10-Q may contain forward-looking statements . Such forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, statements relating to our objectives, plans and strategies. All statements (other than statements of historical fact) that address activities, events or developments that we intend, expect, project, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. These statements are often characterized by terminology plan, intend, such as believe, hope, anticipate, should, will, project, may, expect, estimate, positioned, strategy and s based on assumptions and assessments made by management in light of their experience and their perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors they believe to be appropriate. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified. Future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by, or underlying the forward-looking statements.

Important factors that could cause our actual results, performance and achievements, or industry results to differ materially from estimates or projections contained in our forward-looking statements includes, among others, the following:

our relationship with and our ability to influence the actions of our independent Distributors and Managers;

•	our ability to attract and retain a sufficient number of independent Distributors and Managers;
• existing m	changes in laws and regulations regarding network marketing that may prohibit or restrict our ability to sell our products in new or arkets;
	23

Table of Contents

•	our products and manufacturing activities are subject to extensive government regulations and restrictions;
•	general economic conditions;
•	an economic slowdown in the markets in which we do business could reduce consumer demand for our products;
•	currency and exchange rates fluctuations could lower our revenue and net income;
•	the availability and integrity of raw materials could be compromised;
•	geopolitical issues and conflicts could adversely affect our business;
•	our business is subject to the effects of adverse publicity and negative public perception;
•	changes in taxation and transfer pricing affect our operations;
•	our business is subject to intellectual property risks;
•	product and liability claims could harm our business;
•	changing consumer preferences and demands;
•	inventory obsolescence due to finite shelf lives and changing product demand;

•	product concentration;
•	system failures could harm our business;
•	changes in key management;
•	and the competitive nature of our business.
	factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements are set forth in our Annual Report on A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.
documents update or r	oking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q speak only as of the date hereof, and forward-looking statements in attached that are incorporated by reference speak only as of the date of those documents. We do not undertake any obligation to elease any revisions to any forward-looking statement or to report any events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the of unanticipated events, except as required by law.
Item 3.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We conduct our business in several countries and intend to continue to expand our foreign operations. Net sales revenue, operating income and net income are affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates, interest rates and other uncertainties inherent in doing business and selling product in more than one currency. In addition, our operations are exposed to risks associated with changes in social, political and economic conditions inherent in foreign operations, including changes in the laws and policies that govern foreign investment in countries where we have operations as well as, to a lesser extent, changes in United States laws and regulations relating to foreign trade and investment.

Foreign Currency Risk

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, approximately 60.2 percent of our net sales revenue and approximately 61.2 percent of our operating expenses were realized outside of the United States. Inventory purchases are transacted primarily in U.S. dollars from vendors located in the United States. The local currency of each international subsidiary is considered its functional currency, while certain regions, including Russia and the Ukraine, are served by a U.S. subsidiary through third party entities, for which all business is conducted in U.S. dollars. We conduct business in twenty-three different currencies with exchange rates that are not on a 1:1 relationship with the U.S. dollar. All revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates for the periods reported. Therefore, our operating results generally will be positively impacted by a weakening of the U.S. dollar vis-à-vis another fluctuating currency and will be negatively impacted by a strengthening of the U.S. dollar vis-à-vis another fluctuating currency. Given the uncertainty and diversity of exchange rate fluctuations, we cannot estimate the effect of these fluctuations on our future business, product pricing, results of operations or financial condition, but we have provided

Table of Contents

consolidated sensitivity analyses below of functional currency/reporting currency exchange rate risks. Our exposure to local currency/functional currency exchange rate risk is not significant. Changes in various currency exchange rates affect the relative prices at which we sell our products. We regularly monitor our foreign currency risks and periodically take measures to reduce the risk of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on our operating results. We do not use derivative instruments for hedging, trading or speculating on foreign exchange rate fluctuations. Additional discussion of the impact on the effect of currency fluctuations has been included in our Management s Discussion and Analysis included in Item 2 of this Report on Form 10-Q.

The following table sets forth a composite sensitivity analysis of our net sales revenue, costs and expenses, and operating income in connection with strengthening of the U.S. dollar (our reporting currency) by 10%, 15% and 25% against every other fluctuating functional currency in which we conduct business. We note that while our individual net sales revenue and cost and expenses components were less sensitive to increases in the strength of the U.S. dollar, our operating income was sensitive to such increases on almost a one-to-one percentage point basis, assuming a strengthening of the U.S. dollar by 10%, 15% and 25% against every other fluctuating currency in which we conduct business.

Exchange Rate Sensitivity of Operating Income for the three months ended September 30, 2008 (dollar amounts in thousands)

	the three nonths			Wit	h St	trengthening o	of U.S. Dollar by	·:		
	ended		10%			15%			25%	
	ptember 0, 2008	D	ecrease (\$)	Decrease (%)]	Decrease (\$)	Decrease (%)	I	Decrease (\$)	Decrease (%)
Net sales revenue	\$ 94,669	\$	(3,043)	(3.2)%	\$	(4,366)	(4.6)%	\$	(6,695)	(7.1)%
Cost and Expenses										
Cost of goods sold	17,146		(507)	(3.0)%		(728)	(4.2)%		(1,116)	(6.5)%
Volume incentives	36,588		(1,198)	(3.3)%		(1,719)	(4.7)%		(2,636)	(7.2)%
Selling, general and administrative	38.864		(1.215)	(2.1)0/		(1.742)	(4.5)0/		(2.672)	(6.0)0/
administrative	30,004		(1,215)	(3.1)%		(1,743)	(4.5)%		(2,672)	(6.9)%
Operating income	\$ 2,071	\$	(123)	(5.9)%	\$	(176)	(8.5)%	\$	(271)	(13.1)%

Exchange Rate Sensitivity of Operating Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 (dollar amounts in thousands)

]	For the nine months			Wit	th S	Strengthening o	of U.S. Dollar by	/ :		
		ended		10%			15%			25%	
		September 30, 2008	Ι	Decrease (\$)	Decrease (%)		Decrease (\$)	Decrease (%)		Decrease (\$)	Decrease (%)
Net sales revenue	\$	291,105	\$	(9,361)	(3.2)%	\$	(13,431)	(4.6)%	\$	(20,594)	(7.1)%
Cost and Expenses											
Cost of goods sold		53,159		(1,552)	(2.9)%		(2,227)	(4.2)%		(3,415)	(6.4)%
Volume incentives		113,716		(3,724)	(3.3)%		(5,343)	(4.7)%		(8,193)	(7.2)%
Selling, general and											
administrative		117,882		(3,726)	(3.2)%		(5,346)	(4.5)%		(8,198)	(7.0)%
Operating income	\$	6,348	\$	(359)	(5.7)%	\$	(515)	(8.1)%	\$	(788)	(12.4)%

The following table sets forth a composite sensitivity analysis of our assets and liabilities by balance sheet line items that are subject to exchange rate risk, together with the total gain or loss from the strengthening of the U.S. dollar vis-à-vis our various fluctuating functional currencies. The sensitivity of our assets and liabilities, taken by balance sheet line items, was somewhat less than the sensitivity of our operating income to increases in the strength of the U.S. dollar vis-à-vis other fluctuating currencies in which we conduct business.

Table of Contents

Exchange Rate Sensitivity of Balance Sheet (dollar amounts in thousands)

					\mathbf{W}	ith	Strengthening	of U.S. Dollar by:				
		As of	109	%			15%	b		25%	6	
	Sep	tember 30,	Gain		Gain		Gain	Gain		Gain	Gain	
		2008	(Loss) (\$)	(L	oss) (%)		(Loss) (\$)	(Loss) (%)	(Loss) (\$)	(Loss) (%)	
Current Assets subject to												
Exchange Rate Risk												
Cash and cash												
equivalents	\$	39,886	\$ (2,986)		(7.5)%	\$	(4,285)	(10.7)%	\$	(6,570)	$(16.5)^{\circ}$	%
Accounts receivable, net		7,616	(511)		(6.7)%		(734)	(9.6)%		(1,125)	(14.8)	%
Current Liabilities												
subject to Exchange												
Rate Risk												
Accounts payable	\$	7,946	\$ 209		(2.6)%	\$	300	(3.8)%	\$	460	(5.8)	%
Total Loss from												
Strengthening of U.S.												
Dollar			\$ (3,288)			\$	(4,719)		\$	(7,235)		

The following table sets forth the local currencies other than the U.S. dollar in which our assets and liabilities that are subject to exchange rate risk were denominated as of September 30, 2008 and exceeded \$1 million upon translation into U.S. dollars. We use the spot exchange rate for translating balance sheet items from local currencies into our reporting currency. The respective spot exchange rate for each such local currency meeting the foregoing thresholds is provided in the table as well.

Translation of Balance Sheet Amounts Denominated in Local Currency (dollar amounts in thousands)

Translated into U.S. Dollars	At Spot Exchange Rate per One U.S. Dollar as of September 30, 2008
\$ 3,275	1.06
2,067	2,189.14
8,299	106.35
2,483	10.92
4,129	2.14
12,595	Varies
\$ 32,848	
\$ 1,718	106.35
1,665	2.98
2,243	Varies
\$ 5,626	
\$	\$ 3,275 2,067 8,299 2,483 4,129 12,595 \$ 32,848 \$ 1,718 1,665 2,243

Finally, the following table sets forth the annual weighted average of fluctuating currency exchange rates of each of the local currencies per one U.S. dollar for each of the local currencies in which sales revenue exceeded \$10.0 million during any of the three years presented. We use the

annual weighted average exchange rate for translating items from the statement of operations from local currencies into our reporting currency.

Nine months ended September 30,	2008	2007
Canada (Dollar)	1.02	1.10
Japan (Yen)	105.78	119.27
Mexico (Peso)	10.51	10.95
Venezuela (Bolivar)	2.14	2.14

Table of Contents

The functional currency in highly inflationary economies is the U.S. dollar and transactions denominated in the local currency are re-measured as if the functional currency were the U.S. dollar if they are considered material to the consolidated financial statements. The remeasurement of local currencies into U.S. dollars creates translation adjustments, which are included in the consolidated statements of operations. There were no countries considered to have a highly inflationary economy during 2007 or the three months ended September 30, 2008.

As of September 30, 2008, the Company has approximately \$4.1 million denominated in Venezuelan bolivars. Currency restrictions enacted by the government of Venezuela have had a negative impact on the ability of our subsidiary in Venezuela to obtain U.S. dollars at the official exchange rate to pay for imported products or to repatriate dividends back to the Company. Our Venezuelan subsidiary continues to expect to convert its bolivars into U.S. dollars at the official exchange rate to pay for imported products or repatriate dividends. As a result, we continue to use the official exchange rate to translate the financial statements of our Venezuelan subsidiary into U.S. dollars. Unless the official exchange rate is made more readily available, however, our subsidiary s operations could be adversely affected as it may need to obtain U.S. dollars at less favorable exchange rates from non-government sources.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest Rate Risk 52

The primary objectives of our investment activities are to preserve principal while maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. These objectives are accomplished by purchasing investment grade securities, substantially all of which either mature within the next 12 months or have characteristics of marketable securities. On September 30, 2008, we had investments of \$3.9 million, of which \$2.9 million were municipal obligations, which carry an average fixed interest rate of 5.1 percent and mature over a 5-year period. A hypothetical 1.0 percent change in interest rates would not have had a material effect on our liquidity, financial position, or results of operations. A portion of our long-term investments are auction rate securities. While the recent auction failures will limit our ability to liquidate these investments for some period of time, we do not believe the auction failures will materially impact our ability to fund our working capital needs, capital expenditures, or other business requirements.

Item 4. DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Management identified certain material weaknesses which are described in our annual report on Form 10K/A for the year ended December 31, 2007. During 2008 and through the date of this filing, management has been focused on remediating these material weaknesses. This overview discusses management s evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2008, June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008. In addition, this item provides a summary of the status of each of the previously identified material weaknesses, followed by a discussion of management s evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures, and management s efforts to remediate the material weaknesses, as set forth in the table below.

As shown below, the six material weaknesses reported as of December 31, 2007 remain material weaknesses as of March 31, 2008, June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008, for which management continues to remediate.

Material Weakness Rep as of December 31, 200		Status as of March 31, 2008	Status as of June 30, 2008	Status as of September 30, 2008
1.	Maintenance of Risk	Remediation in	Remediation in	Remediation in process
	Assessment	process	process	
	Programs			
2.	Application of GAAP	Remediation in	Remediation in	Remediation in process
		process	process	
3.	Accounting for Income Taxes	Remediation in	Remediation in	Remediation in process
		process	process	
4.	Financial Reporting Process	Remediation in	Remediation in	Remediation in process
		process	process	
5.	Monitoring of Service	Remediation in	Remediation in	Remediation in process
	Provider	process	process	
6.	Information Technology:	Remediation in	Remediation in	Remediation in process
		process	process	
	Access Control			
	Change Management			
	Spreadsheets			

Table of Contents

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in rules and forms adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

In connection with the preparation of this report, the Company s management, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2008, June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2008, June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008, as a result of the existence of material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting.

Since December 31, 2007, we have made significant progress in improving our disclosure controls and procedures. We have taken, and are taking, the actions described below under Remediation Actions Relating to Remaining Material Weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting.

We continue to strive to improve our processes to enable us to provide complete and accurate public disclosure on a timely basis. Management believes that we will not be able to conclude that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective until the material weaknesses have been fully remediated and we are able to file required reports with the SEC on a timely basis.

To address the material weaknesses reported in our 2007 Form 10K/A, management performed additional analyses and other post-closing procedures designed to ensure that our consolidated interim financial statements were prepared in accordance with GAAP. These procedures included documentation and testing of processes, data validation procedures from the systems into the general ledger, testing of systems, validation of results, disclosure review, and other analytics. As a result, management believes that the consolidated interim financial statements included in this report fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of the dates, and for the periods presented.

Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Overview

Management with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has assessed whether any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period from January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008 have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on management s assessment, significant changes were implemented and tested during the period from January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008 to continue the remediation of our material

weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting.

Management believes the measures that we have implemented during 2008 to remediate the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting have had a favorable impact on our internal control over financial reporting since December 31, 2007. Changes in our internal control over financial reporting from January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting are described below.

Remediation Actions Relating to Remaining Material Weaknesses

The discussion below describes the actions that management has taken during 2008 and is in the process of taking to remediate our remaining material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting.

28

Tabl	le d	of (ากท	tents
1 au	ı v	лι	اللال	wiits

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2007

Maintenance of Risk Assessment Programs We did not maintain an adequate risk oversight function to evaluate and report on risks to financial reporting throughout the organization, including completion of a comprehensive, worldwide risk assessment to identify all potential risk areas and evaluate the adequacy of controls to mitigate identified risks.

Description of 2008 Remediation Actions

Management has, in conjunction with the assessment of internal controls for 2008, identified key financial reporting risks and has evaluated the adequacy of the internal control procedures to address the identified risks. This included the completion of a comprehensive, worldwide entity level control questionnaires and fraud risk assessments in 2008 by senior management, the Company s General Counsel and the Manager of Internal Audit. This has provided enhanced assistance to the Audit Committee in monitoring identified risks and in establishing more robust internal audit plans. In addition, management is continuing to provide compliance training, including on-line compliance training in various languages, throughout its worldwide organization.

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2007

Application of GAAP The Company did not maintain effective internal controls relating to the application of generally accepted accounting principles, including revenue recognition for certain items, the proper recording of certain accruals and contingencies, and the proper classification of certain balance sheet and income statement accounts.

Description of 2008 Remediation Actions

We have implemented procedures to address revenue recognition issues. With respect to other non-income tax accruals and contingencies, in 2008 our management performed an analysis with the assistance of outside legal counsel to assess and estimate the exposure related to such positions. To address income statement and balance sheet classification issues, we have enhanced our close processes and procedures as described in the financial reporting process remediation activities below.

We have also increased the training of international subsidiary controllers to provide for consistent communication of our policies and procedures over financial reporting and internal control over financial reporting.

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2007

Accounting for Income Taxes The Company s processes, procedures and controls related to the preparation and review of the annual tax provision were not effective to ensure that amounts related to the tax provision and related current or deferred income tax asset and liability accounts were accurate, recorded in the proper period, and determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Specifically, we did not (i) analyze and reconcile certain deferred income and tax payable accounts, (ii) appropriately consider the need to record or disclose contingencies for certain income tax positions in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and (iii) file tax returns in certain foreign jurisdictions. Additionally, we had insufficient personnel with appropriate qualifications and training in accounting for income taxes.

Description of 2008 Remediation Actions

In late 2008, we hired a second Tax Director and during 2008 have utilized various outsourced service providers for tax consulting services to assist in our accounting for income taxes. We continue to evaluate our tax process and tax resource requirements. In 2007 and 2008, we performed a comprehensive review of our foreign statutory tax filings, and have assessed the impact of the delinquent filings and the process that we need to complete these filings. In addition, in 2007 and 2008 our management performed an analysis with the assistance of outside legal counsel to assess, estimate, and record the exposure related to our uncertain tax positions in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. We continue to evaluate the adequacy of our tax resources.

29

Table of Contents

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2007

Financial Reporting Process The Company did not maintain an effective financial reporting process to prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Specifically, our process lacked timely and complete financial statement reviews, effective journal entry controls, and appropriate reconciliation processes. Further, we were unable to complete regulatory filings as required by the rules of the SEC.

Description of 2008 Remediation Actions

During 2007 and 2008, we redesigned and implemented improved procedures to provide for enhanced retention of documentation to support sub-ledger and account reconciliations. We are continuing to evaluate the reconciliation process related to clearing accounts and controls over journal entries. During 2008, we enhanced monthly close procedures to include completion of a formal monthly close checklist, recurring journal entry checklist, variance analysis of financial statement fluctuations, enhanced budget to actual reviews, and review and approval of all accrued liability accounts. In 2008, we hired a Financial Reporting Manager, who brings additional knowledge of GAAP and financial reporting practices. In addition, the Company has added a disclosure committee and enhanced our procedures to provide for additional management review of financial statements and disclosures.

We believe that we will not have fully remediated this material weakness until we are able to file required reports with the SEC on a timely basis. We have filed our Annual Reports on Form 10K/A for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 and with this filing we have filed our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2008, June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008.

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2007

Monitoring of a Service Provider The Company did not effectively monitor a service provider responsible for managing the entire operations for one of our foreign locations in terms of an out-sourced arrangement. Transactions are recorded in the Company s system based on monthly reporting from the service provider. The Company had established a monitoring process including a recalculation of commissions expense based on sales reported by the service provider, and certain cash and inventory shipment reconciliation procedures. These monitoring processes and procedures were not, however, performed on a regular basis, and were not adequately documented or reviewed which increased the likelihood of material misstatements in our financial statements.

Description of 2008 Remediation Actions

During 2008, we redesigned our procedures to more effectively monitor the service provider responsible for the management of the operations of one of our foreign locations. In particular, we are improving our monitoring process to ensure that the commissions expense recalculations and other reconciliations processes (based on information reported by the outside service provider) are performed on a regular basis, documented and reviewed. In addition, in conjunction with our 2008 assessment of internal controls, our Manager of Internal Audit and our out-sourced internal auditor performed two site visits to the foreign location to observe the facility, interview on site employees of the service provider, and evaluate the internal control procedures of the service provider. These visits increased monitoring of other critical business processes performed by the service provider, such as product registration, product shipment and operating expenses. Additional future visits to the location will be conducted as part of internal audit s overall plan.

Description of Material Weakness as of December 31, 2007

Information Technology Systems The Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting related to certain information technology applications and general computer controls which are considered to have an impact on financial reporting and which resulted in a more than reasonable possibility that material misstatements in our financial statements would not be prevented or detected. Specifically, we lacked effective controls in the following areas:

Access Control The Company did not maintain effectively designed controls to prevent unauthorized access to certain programs and data, and provide for periodic review and monitoring of access including reviews of security logs and analysis of segregation of duties conflicts.

Table of Contents

Change Management The Company did not maintain effectively designed controls to ensure that all information technology program and data changes were authorized, developer access to the production environment was limited, and that all program and data changes were adequately tested for accuracy and appropriate implementation.

Spreadsheets The Company did not maintain effectively designed controls to ensure that critical spreadsheets were identified, access to these spreadsheets was restricted to appropriate personnel, changes to data or formulas were authorized and appropriate, or that the spreadsheets were adequately reviewed by someone other than the preparer.

Description of 2008 Remediation Actions

Access Control Management performed a broad and detailed analysis of user access to the applications we have determined to have a material impact on our financial reporting for our domestic locations. We have implemented formal training of managers and others who grant and approve access to these data programs. In addition, the Company is providing better data program descriptions and other tools to those managers and employees that approve user access to assist in their understanding if the access of their subordinates violates segregation of duties or access controls.

Change Management During 2008, management continued implementation of enhanced procedures to control changes to the production environment, and we continue to evaluate additional measures to ensure that adequate documentation is retained. In addition, during 2007 and 2008, management redesigned job descriptions within the change control process of certain of the Company s application systems to improve segregation of duties, including removing the ability of the Information Technology Director to perform code changes and increasing his responsibilities to conduct code review. In addition, an additional developer s job responsibilities were changed to assist in reviewing code before it is placed into production, including code changes regarding financial reports. Procedures are being implemented to ensure that data changes are adequately tested for accuracy and appropriate implementation.

Spreadsheets During 2007 and 2008, management has designed and implemented procedures to inventory, assess the risk of, and rank our critical financial reporting spreadsheets for locations that have a material impact on our financial reporting. In conjunction with the assessment of internal controls for 2008, management performed detailed testing at locations that have a material impact on our financial reporting around security and change management for spreadsheets. Management intends to further enhance the design of our controls over spreadsheets by establishing procedures for benchmarking critical spreadsheets to ensure that formulas are appropriate and protected, and that the logic and design of the spreadsheets is appropriate and consistent. Management is also implementing enhanced review procedures and we will extend any or all of our enhanced procedures to additional international locations as considered necessary.

Management is also in the process of implementing an application package that will facilitate a worldwide consolidation and reduce our reliance on spreadsheets. In addition, our information technology management has selected an application to provide for a world-wide standardization of the general ledger system. Management has developed a timeline for implementation of this application.

Conclusion

We believe the measures described above will facilitate remediation of the material weaknesses we have identified and will continue to strengthen our internal control over financial reporting. We are committed to continually improving our internal control processes and will diligently and vigorously review our financial reporting controls and procedures. As we continue to evaluate and work to improve our internal control over financial reporting, we may determine that additional measures are necessary to address control deficiencies. Moreover, we may decide to modify, or in appropriate circumstances not to complete, certain of the remediation measures described above.

Table of Contents

Item 5.

OTHER INFORMATION

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
	of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our recent SEC filings, including our Annual Report on Form 10K/A/A for and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Forms 10-Q for information regarding the status of certain legal proceedings that have ed.
Item 1A.	RISK FACTORS
In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors our Annual Report on Form 10K/A/A for the year ended December 31, 2007, which could materially affect our business or our consolidated financial statements, results of operations, and cash flows	
Item 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS
None.	
Item 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES
None.	
Item 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS
None.	

in

Board Compensation

The Board of Directors annually adopts a compensation policy for non-employee directors, which sets out fees and other compensation payable to non-employee directors of our Company. The Non-Employee Director Compensation Policy for 2008, which was adopted December 7, 2007, is attached as Exhibit 10.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

- a) Exhibits -
- 10.1 Non-Employee Director Compensation Policy
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer under SEC Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer under SEC Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NATURE S SUNSHINE PRODUCTS, INC.

Date: December 30, 2008 /s/ Douglas Faggioli

Douglas Faggioli, President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: December 30, 2008 /s/ Stephen M. Bunker

Stephen M. Bunker, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial

Officer and Treasurer

33