

SUPERMEDIA INC.  
Form 10-Q  
April 26, 2013  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 1-32939

---

# SUPERMEDIA INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

---

**Delaware**  
(State of Incorporation)

**20-5095175**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**2200 West Airfield Drive, P.O. Box 619810 D/FW Airport, TX**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**75261**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(972) 453-7000**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO REGISTRANTS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY

PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:

Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by the court.  Yes  No

As of April 19, 2013, there were 15,638,010 shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding.

---

Table of Contents

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			<b>Page No.</b>
<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>			i
 <u>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>			
<u>Item</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>Item</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	25
<u>Item</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	26
 <u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>			
<u>Item</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	27
<u>Item</u>	<u>1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	29
<u>Item</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	30
<u>Item</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	30
<u>Item</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	30
<u>Item</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	30
<u>Item</u>	<u>6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	30

---

Table of Contents

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Some statements included in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and the federal securities laws. Statements that include the words may, will, could, should, would, believe, anticipate, forecast, estimate, expect, preliminary, intend, plan, project, outlook and similar statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these statements. These forward-looking statements include statements that reflect the current views of our senior management with respect to our financial performance and future events with respect to our business and industry in general. Forward-looking statements address matters that involve risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in these statements. We believe that these factors include, but are not limited to, the risks related to the following:

- the potential adverse impacts of failure to complete, or delay in completing, the proposed merger with Dex One Corporation ( Dex One );
- the business uncertainties and contractual restrictions arising from the timing and closing of the proposed merger with Dex One, including the possible inability to consummate the proposed merger on the terms originally contemplated;
- the risk that anticipated cost savings, growth opportunities and other financial and operating benefits as a result of the proposed merger may not be realized or may take longer to realize than expected;
- the risk that benefits from the transaction may be significantly offset by costs incurred in integrating Dex One and the Company;
- difficulties in connection with the process of integrating Dex One and the Company if the transaction with Dex One is consummated, including: coordinating geographically separate organizations; integrating business cultures, which could prove to be incompatible; difficulties and costs of integrating information technology systems; and the potential difficulty in retaining key officers and personnel;
- the risks related to the impact either Dex One's or the Company's voluntary case under Chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the Bankruptcy Code ) to consummate the proposed merger (together, Chapter 11 cases ) could have on the Company's business operations, financial condition, liquidity or cash flow;
- the risks related to other parties objecting to the Chapter 11 cases and the resulting cost and expenses of delays in either Chapter 11 case;

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

- risks that the combined company will incur significant, non-recurring costs in connection with the administration of the Chapter 11 cases.
- our inability to provide assurance for the long-term continued viability of our business;
- reduced advertising spending and increased contract cancellations by our clients, which causes reduced revenue;
- declining use of print yellow pages directories by consumers;
- competition from other yellow pages directory publishers and other traditional and new media;
- our ability to anticipate or respond to changes in technology and user preferences;
- changes in our operating performance;
- limitations on our operating and strategic flexibility and the ability to operate our business, finance our capital needs or expand business strategies under the terms of our credit agreement;
- failure to comply with the financial covenants and other restrictive covenants in our credit agreement;
- limited access to capital markets and increased borrowing costs resulting from our leveraged capital structure and debt ratings;

Table of Contents

- changes in the availability and cost of paper and other raw materials used to print our directories;
- our reliance on third-party providers for printing, publishing and distribution services;
- credit risk associated with our reliance on small- and medium-sized businesses as clients;
- our ability to attract and retain qualified key personnel;
- our ability to maintain good relations with our unionized employees;
- changes in labor, business, political and economic conditions;
- changes in governmental regulations and policies and actions of federal, state and local municipalities; and
- the outcome of pending or future litigation and other claims.

The foregoing factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read together with the other cautionary statements included in this and other reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), including the information in Item 1A. Risk Factors in Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. If one or more events related to these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may differ materially from what we anticipate. All forward-looking statements included in this report are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and, other than as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements.****SuperMedia Inc. and Subsidiaries****Debtor and Debtor-In-Possession****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
	<b>(in millions, except per share amounts)</b>	
<b>Operating Revenue</b>	<b>\$ 293</b>	<b>\$ 363</b>
<b>Operating Expense</b>		
Selling	75	90
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	75	86
General and administrative	11	41
Depreciation and amortization	31	40
<b>Total Operating Expense</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>257</b>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>106</b>
Interest expense, net	38	46
<b>Income Before Gains on Early Extinguishment of Debt and Provision for Income Taxes</b>		
Taxes	63	60
Gains on early extinguishment of debt		28
<b>Income Before Provision for Income Taxes</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>88</b>
Provision for income taxes	23	26
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>\$ 40</b>	<b>\$ 62</b>
<b>Basic and diluted earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 2.55</b>	<b>\$ 3.97</b>
<b>Basic and diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding</b>	<b>15.4</b>	<b>15.2</b>
<b>Comprehensive Income</b>		
Net income	\$ 40	\$ 62
Adjustments for pension and post-employment benefits, net of taxes	(18)	
<b>Total Comprehensive Income</b>	<b>\$ 22</b>	<b>\$ 62</b>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.





Table of Contents**SuperMedia Inc. and Subsidiaries****Debtor and Debtor-In-Possession****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Unaudited)**

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
	(in millions)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 152	\$ 105
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$36 and \$39	115	119
Accrued taxes receivable		2
Deferred directory costs	123	128
Prepaid expenses and other	21	22
Assets held for sale	21	21
Total current assets	432	397
Property, plant and equipment	102	99
Less: accumulated depreciation	73	70
	29	29
Goodwill	704	704
Intangible assets, net	195	221
Pension assets	58	56
Other non-current assets	3	3
Total assets	\$ 1,421	\$ 1,410
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 36	\$
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	110	109
Deferred revenue	65	66
Deferred tax liabilities	6	3
Other	14	17
Total current liabilities	231	195
Long-term debt	1,406	1,442
Employee benefit obligations	104	109
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	73	81
Unrecognized tax benefits	45	44
Stockholders (deficit):		
Common stock (\$.01 par value; 60 million shares authorized, 15,638,956 and 15,664,432 shares issued and outstanding in 2013 and 2012, respectively)		
Additional paid-in capital	215	214
Retained (deficit)	(704)	(744)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	51	69
Total stockholders (deficit)	(438)	(461)
Total liabilities and stockholders (deficit)	\$ 1,421	\$ 1,410

Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

## SuperMedia Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Debtor and Debtor-In-Possession

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in millions)	
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 40	\$ 62
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	31	40
Gains on early extinguishment of debt		(28)
Employee retirement benefits	(31)	6
Deferred income taxes	6	(8)
Provision for uncollectible accounts	3	6
Stock-based compensation expense	1	1
Changes in current assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable and unbilled accounts receivable	1	8
Deferred directory costs	5	10
Other current assets	1	1
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(5)	8
Other, net	(1)	(1)
Net cash provided by operating activities	51	105
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>		
Capital expenditures (including capitalized software)	(4)	(2)
Net cash used in investing activities	(4)	(2)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>		
Repayment of long-term debt		(35)
Other, net		(1)
Net cash used in financing activities		(36)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	47	67
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	105	90
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 152	\$ 157

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

**SuperMedia Inc. and Subsidiaries**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 1**

**General**

SuperMedia Inc. ( SuperMedia, we, our, us or the Company ), is one of the largest yellow pages directory publishers in the United States as measured by revenue. We also offer digital advertising solutions. We place our clients' business information into our portfolio of local media solutions, which includes the Superpages directories, Superpages.com, our digital local search resource on both desktop and mobile devices, the Superpages.com network, a digital syndication network that places local business information across more than 250 websites, and our mobile sites and mobile applications. In addition, we offer solutions for social media, digital content creation and management, reputation management and search engine optimization.

We primarily operate and are the official publisher in the markets in which Verizon Communications Inc. ( Verizon ), or its formerly owned properties now owned by FairPoint Communications, Inc. ( FairPoint ) and Frontier Communications Corporation ( Frontier ), are the incumbent local exchange carriers. We use their brands on our print directories in these and other specified markets. We have a number of agreements with them that govern our publishing relationship; including publishing agreements, branding agreements, and non-competition agreements, each of which has a term expiring in 2036.

***Basis of Presentation***

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( U.S. GAAP ). Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ), the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items and accruals, necessary to fairly present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of SuperMedia Inc. and its subsidiaries. These unaudited interim financial statements do not contain all information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and, as such, should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the 2013 fiscal year.

The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02 ( ASU 2012-02 ), *Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*, which amends Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*. The amended guidance allows entities to use a qualitative approach to test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. ASU 2012-02 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset with its carrying value. Otherwise, the quantitative impairment test is not required. ASU 2012-02 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after September 15, 2012. The Company has adopted the provisions of ASU 2012-02 as required.

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02 ( ASU 2013-02 ), *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Comprehensive Income*, which amends ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*. The amended guidance requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under GAAP that

Table of Contents

provide additional detail about those amounts. ASU 2013-02 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company has adopted the provisions of ASU 2013-02 as required.

**Note 2**

**Bankruptcy Filing and Proposed Merger with Dex One**

***Bankruptcy Filing***

On March 18, 2013, SuperMedia and all of its domestic subsidiaries filed voluntary bankruptcy petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the Bankruptcy Court) for reorganization relief under the provisions of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Concurrently with the bankruptcy petition, SuperMedia filed and requested confirmation of a prepackaged plan of reorganization (the prepackaged plan). The prepackaged plan seeks to effect the proposed merger and related transactions contemplated by our Merger Agreement (as defined below) with Dex One Corporation (Dex One), which is discussed in more detail below. Also on March 18, 2013, Dex One and its domestic subsidiaries filed separate voluntary bankruptcy petitions in the Bankruptcy Court, and Dex One is seeking approval of its separate prepackaged plan of reorganization (together with SuperMedia's prepackaged plan, the prepackaged plans).

The Merger Agreement allows us to complete the proposed merger and the other transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including required amendments (the financing amendments) to SuperMedia's and Dex One's respective credit agreements (collectively, the transaction) through Chapter 11 cases, if either SuperMedia or Dex One does not obtain its stockholders' approval of the Merger Agreement or unanimous lender approval of the financing amendments.

At a special meeting on March 13, 2013, our stockholders voted to approve and adopt the Merger Agreement and the proposed merger in the event that we were able to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction. In addition, prior to the March 13, 2013 voting deadline, our stockholders and lenders voted to accept the prepackaged plan in the event that we were unable to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction and, alternatively, elected to effect the transaction through Chapter 11 cases. Similarly, Dex One's stockholders also voted to approve and adopt the Merger Agreement and the proposed merger in the event that Dex One was able to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction, and Dex One's stockholders and lenders voted to accept the prepackaged plan in the event Dex One was not able to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction.

Subsequent to the special meeting, our board of directors determined that we had not obtained the unanimous lender approval required to effect the transaction outside of court. Accordingly, on March 18, 2013, we filed our voluntary bankruptcy petition in order to seek approval of the prepackaged plan and the completion of the transaction. The hearing to consider confirmation of the prepackaged plan is scheduled to commence on April 29, 2013 with April 18, 2013 as the last date for filing and serving objections to confirmation of the prepackaged plan.

There can be no assurance that the Bankruptcy Court will confirm the prepackaged plans in a timely manner. While operating under Chapter 11, the Company's operations are subject to oversight by the Bankruptcy Court, which could lead to uncertainties as to the realization of assets and satisfaction of obligations in the normal course of business.

Our prepackaged plan, including the effects of the transaction, could result in changes to our current debt and equity ownership structure. The prepackaged plan and the effects of the transaction will also result in our assets and liabilities being re-valued under applicable accounting guidelines.

***Merger Agreement***

On August 20, 2012, SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex Inc. ( "Newdex" ), and Spruce Acquisition Sub, Inc. ( "Merger Sub" ) entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Original Merger Agreement," and as amended and restated, the "Merger Agreement" ), providing for a business combination of SuperMedia and Dex One. The Merger Agreement provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, Dex One will be merged with and into Newdex, with Newdex continuing as the surviving corporation, and Merger Sub will be merged with and into SuperMedia, with SuperMedia continuing as the surviving corporation (the "Mergers" ). As a result of the Mergers, Newdex will become a newly listed company and SuperMedia will become a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Newdex.



Table of Contents

Following the announcement of the proposed Mergers, the current senior secured lenders for SuperMedia and Dex One formed a joint steering committee to evaluate the proposed amendments to the parties' respective credit agreements as set forth in the Original Merger Agreement.

On December 5, 2012, SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex, and Merger Sub entered into an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger which amended and restated the Original Merger Agreement to, among other things, (i) extend the date on which a party may unilaterally terminate the Merger Agreement from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013, (ii) reduce the number of directors of Newdex after the effectiveness of the Mergers from eleven to ten, and (iii) provide that if either Dex One or SuperMedia is unable to obtain the requisite consents to the Mergers from its stockholders and to the contemplated amendments to its respective financing agreements from its senior secured lenders, the Mergers could be effected through the prepackaged plans.

Also on December 5, 2012, we entered into a Support and Limited Waiver Agreement (the "Support Agreement") with certain of our senior secured lenders and the administrative agent under our senior secured credit facility. The Support Agreement sets forth the obligations and commitments of the parties with respect to the transaction. Specifically, the lenders party to the Support Agreement agreed, subject to the terms of the Support Agreement, (i) to support and take reasonable action in furtherance of the financing amendments and the Support Agreement, (ii) to timely vote to accept our prepackaged plan, and (iii) in the event that we elected to effect the Mergers through Chapter 11 cases, (a) to support approval of our lender disclosure statement and confirmation of our prepackaged plan, (b) to support certain relief to be requested by SuperMedia from the Bankruptcy Court, (c) to refrain from taking any action inconsistent with the confirmation of our prepackaged plan, and (d) not to propose, support, solicit, or participate in the formulation of any plan other than our prepackaged plan. On the same date, Dex One entered into a support agreement on substantially similar terms with the lenders and administrative agents under its senior secured credit facilities.

Subject to the terms of the Merger Agreement, which has been approved by the boards of directors of SuperMedia and Dex One, in each case by the unanimous vote of all directors voting (Messrs. Slater and McDonald, directors who may be deemed to have personal interests in the transaction, abstained from voting), at the effective time of the Mergers, (i) each outstanding share of Dex One common stock (other than shares held by SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex or any of their respective subsidiaries) will be converted into the right to receive 0.20 shares of Newdex common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the "Newdex Common Stock"), which reflects a 1-for-5 reverse stock split of Dex One common stock, and (ii) each outstanding share of SuperMedia common stock (other than shares held by SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex or any of their respective subsidiaries) will be converted into the right to receive 0.4386 shares of Newdex Common Stock. Outstanding SuperMedia stock options will be cancelled at the effective time of the Mergers and, to the extent that SuperMedia's closing stock price on the date of the Mergers exceeds the option strike price, will be settled in cash. All other outstanding SuperMedia equity awards will generally convert into Newdex Common Stock, after giving effect to the exchange ratio. Immediately after the completion of the Mergers, we anticipate that current SuperMedia stockholders will own approximately 40% and current Dex One stockholders will own approximately 60% of Newdex, the combined company.

Completion of the Mergers is subject to conditions, including, among others: (i) the Bankruptcy Court having confirmed the prepackaged plan of reorganization of such party substantially in the form provided in the Merger Agreement, (ii) SuperMedia and Dex One, and certain of their respective subsidiaries, having entered into a tax sharing agreement and a shared services agreement, and (iii) authorization having been obtained for the listing on the New York Stock Exchange or the Nasdaq Stock Market of the Newdex Common Stock to be issued as consideration in the Mergers.

As more fully described below, we are a party to that certain loan agreement with certain financial institutions and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent (as amended, the "Loan Agreement"), providing for the issuance of \$2,750 million of senior secured term loans with a maturity date of December 31, 2015. As part of the prepackaged plan, the Loan Agreement will be amended and restated to extend the maturity date to December 31, 2016 as well as modify certain other provisions, including the revision of interest rate spreads as

follows:

	<b>ABR</b>	<b>Eurodollar</b>
Current Spread	7.00%	8.00%
Revised Spread	7.60%	8.60%

Table of Contents

The prepackaged plan will effect the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including the financing amendments, the tax sharing agreement and the shared services agreement.

The Merger Agreement contains certain termination rights for both SuperMedia and Dex One, including, among others, if the Mergers are not consummated on or before June 30, 2013. The Merger Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Merger Agreement under specified circumstances following receipt from or announcement by a third party of an alternative transaction proposal, including termination of the Merger Agreement by SuperMedia or Dex One as a result of an adverse change in the recommendation of the Mergers by the other party's board of directors, SuperMedia may be required to pay to Dex One, or Dex One may be required to pay to SuperMedia, an expense reimbursement up to a maximum amount of \$7.5 million.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going-concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is predicated upon, among other things, the successful completion of the SuperMedia prepackaged plan. While the Company is committed to pursuing completion of the SuperMedia prepackaged plan and the Mergers, there can be no assurance that the SuperMedia prepackaged plan will be approved as submitted to the Bankruptcy Court; and therefore, there is uncertainty about the Company's ability to realize its assets or satisfy its liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company's consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from this uncertainty.

The Company is currently operating as debtors-in-possession under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court. In general, debtors-in-possession are authorized under Chapter 11 to continue to operate as an ongoing business, but may not engage in transactions outside the ordinary course of business without prior approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company business continues to generate positive cash flow necessary for daily operations.

While operating under bankruptcy, applicable accounting guidance requires that the financial statements distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business. Accordingly, certain expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized from the reorganization and restructuring process will be classified as reorganization items on the consolidated statements of comprehensive income. There were no reorganization items during the three months ended March 31, 2013. Based on the terms of the prepackaged plan, no liabilities were identified as being subject to compromise at March 31, 2013.

**Note 3**

**Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the number of weighted-average common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share are calculated to give effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the reporting period. The effect of potentially dilutive common shares for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was not material.

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

Certain employees and certain non-management directors have been granted restricted stock awards, which entitles those participants to receive non-forfeitable dividends during the vesting period on a basis equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of the Company's common stock. As such, these unvested restricted stock awards meet the definition of a participating security. Participating securities are defined as unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) and are included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. At March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, there were 167,802 and 372,388 such participating securities outstanding. Under the two-class method, all earnings, whether distributed or undistributed, are allocated to each class of common stock and participating securities based on their respective rights to receive dividends.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the calculation of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in millions, except per share amounts)	
Net income	\$ 40	\$ 62
Less allocation of income to participating unvested restricted stock units	(1)	(1)
Net income available to common stockholders	39	61
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	15.4	15.2
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 2.55	\$ 3.97

**Note 4**

**Additional Financial Information**

*Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income*

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded a \$32 million credit to expense associated with the amortization of a deferred gain related to certain plan amendments associated with other post-employment benefits. This credit was recorded in general and administrative expense on the Company's consolidated statement of comprehensive income. For additional information related to the Company's other post-employment benefits, see Note 7.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$6 million of merger transaction costs associated with our proposed merger with Dex One, all of which represents professional fees, and was recorded as part of general and administrative expense on the Company's consolidated statement of comprehensive income.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company recorded a non-taxable gain of \$28 million related to the early extinguishment of a portion of our senior secured term loans at below par. For additional information related to the Company's debt obligations, see Note 6.

The following table sets forth the components of the Company's comprehensive income adjustments for pension and post-employment benefits for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Gross	2013 Taxes	Three Months Ended March 31,		2012 Taxes	Net
		Net	Gross		
(in millions)					

Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

Net income				\$	40			\$	62
Reclassifications included in net income:									
Amortization of prior service cost	\$	(32)	\$	12	(20)	\$		\$	
Amortization of unrecognized net loss		3		(1)	2		1		(1)
Total reclassifications included in net income	\$	(29)	\$	11	(18)	\$	1	\$	(1)
Total other comprehensive income				\$	22			\$	62

The reclassifications included in net income reflected in the table above were recorded as part of general and administrative expense on the Company's consolidated statement of comprehensive income.

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the balance of the Company's accumulated other comprehensive income. All balances in accumulated other comprehensive income are related to pension and other post-employment benefits.

	Gross	Taxes (in millions)	Net
Accumulated other comprehensive income December 31, 2012	\$ 110	\$ (41)	\$ 69
Adjustments to pension and other post-employment benefits, net of amortization	(29)	11	(18)
Accumulated other comprehensive income March 31, 2013	\$ 81	\$ (30)	\$ 51

### **Balance Sheet**

#### *Assets held for sale*

During 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell its land and building in Los Alamitos, CA, for \$21 million, subject to due diligence by the purchaser. The sale is scheduled to close during 2013. As such, the Company has reflected these assets as assets held for sale on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

The following tables set forth additional financial information related to the Company's consolidated financial statements at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
	(in millions)	
<i>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:</i>		
Accounts payable	\$ 7	\$ 10
Accrued expenses	25	23
Accrued salaries and wages	50	62
Accrued taxes	26	14
Accrued interest	2	
Total accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 110	\$ 109
<i>Other current liabilities:</i>		
Customer prepayments	\$ 13	\$ 14
Other	1	3
Total other current liabilities	\$ 14	\$ 17

### **Cash Flow**

The following table sets forth certain financial information related to cash payments made by the Company during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in millions)	
Income taxes, net of amounts refunded	\$ 1	\$
Interest, net	37	46

Interest payments on our secured loans were \$38 million and \$47 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The lower interest payments in 2013 were the result of principal payments which lowered our senior secured term loans balance.

***Fair Values of Financial Instruments***

The Company's financial assets or liabilities required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis include cash and cash equivalents held in money market funds. The Company's money market funds of \$77 million, both, as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, have been recorded at fair value using Level 2 inputs. The Company had \$6 million held in certificates of deposit ( CD s ) both, as March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, that serve as collateral against letters of credit held with our insurance carriers. These CD s are classified as prepaid expenses and other on the consolidated balance sheets and are valued using Level 2 inputs. The fair value of



Table of Contents

the Company's money market funds and CD's classified as Level 2 are determined based on observable market data. The fair values of trade receivables and accounts payable approximate their carrying amounts due to their short-term nature. The fair values of debt instruments are determined using Level 2 inputs based on the observable market data of a private exchange.

The following table sets forth the carrying amount and fair value of the Company's total debt obligations at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	At March 31, 2013		At December 31, 2012	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Total debt obligations	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,059	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,031

*Severance Benefits*

During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded severance expense of \$3 million and \$2 million, respectively. The Company paid severance benefits of \$2 million in each of the same periods.

**Note 5**

**Intangible Assets**

The following table sets forth the details of the Company's intangible assets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	At March 31, 2013			At December 31, 2012		
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
	(in millions)					
Intangible assets:						
Client relationships	\$ 497	\$ 323	\$ 174	\$ 497	\$ 299	\$ 198
Internal use software	110	97	13	108	93	15
Patented technologies	34	34		34	34	
Marketing-related intangibles	8		8	8		8
Total intangible assets	\$ 649	\$ 454	\$ 195	\$ 647	\$ 426	\$ 221

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$28 million and \$34 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These amounts include amortization expense related to capitalized internal-use software of \$4 million and \$6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Amortization expense is estimated to be \$108 million in 2013, \$103 million in 2014, and \$2 million in 2015 for the intangible assets as of March 31, 2013.

**Note 6**

**Debt Obligations**

The following table sets forth the Company's outstanding debt obligations on the consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Interest Rates	Maturity	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
			(in millions)	
Senior secured term loans	ABR + 7.00%	2015	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,442
Less current maturities of long-term debt			36	
Long-term debt			\$ 1,406	\$ 1,442

Table of Contents

***Senior Secured Term Loan Agreement***

On December 31, 2009, the Company emerged from bankruptcy and entered into a loan agreement with certain financial institutions and with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent. As discussed below, on December 13, 2010 and November 8, 2011, the loan agreement was amended (the "Loan Agreement"). Under the Loan Agreement, the senior secured term loans bear interest at an annual rate equal to, at the Company's option, either (i) the Alternate Base Rate ("ABR") plus an Applicable Margin, or (ii) adjusted London Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus an Applicable Margin. The Applicable Margin is 7.0% for loans with interest rates determined by reference to ABR and 8.0% for loans with interest rates determined by reference to adjusted LIBOR. The senior secured term loans have a floor interest rate of 4.0% in the case of ABR and 3.0% in the case of LIBOR. As long as interest rates remain at or below 4.0% for ABR and 3.0% for LIBOR, which is currently the case, our effective interest rate will be 11.0%.

All of the Company's present and future domestic subsidiaries (other than a certain insignificant subsidiary) are guarantors under the Loan Agreement. In addition, the obligations under the Loan Agreement are secured by a lien on substantially all of the Company's and its domestic subsidiaries' tangible and intangible assets, including a mortgage on certain real property.

***Loan Agreement Amendments***

On December 13, 2010, the Company entered into the First Amendment to the Loan Agreement. The terms of the First Amendment allowed a one-time repurchase and retirement of debt below par.

On November 8, 2011, the Company entered into the Second Amendment to the Loan Agreement. The terms of the Second Amendment allow the Company, effective upon the execution of the amendment and until January 1, 2014, to repurchase and retire debt below par, subject to certain requirements.

As a condition of and contingent upon the proposed merger with Dex One, the Company has negotiated with its lenders amendments to extend the maturity of the senior secured term loans by one year from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016 as well as to modify certain other provisions, including an increase to the interest rate to a floor of 11.6%. If approved, the prepackaged plan will effect the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including the financing amendments. For additional information related to the pending merger and conditions to complete the transaction, see Note 2.

***Debt Covenants and Maturities***

As of March 31, 2013, the Company is in compliance with all of the covenants of its Loan Agreement.

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

The Company has a mandatory debt principal payment due after each fiscal quarter prior to the December 31, 2015 maturity date on the outstanding senior secured term loans in an aggregate amount equal to 67.5% of the amount of any increase in the Company's Available Cash, as defined in the Loan Agreement. The Company has the right to make early payments at par on the senior secured term loans in whole or in part, from time to time, without premium or penalty, subject to requirements as to size and manner of payments. Additionally, the Company can make below par voluntary repurchases of the senior secured term loans, subject to the terms and conditions of the Second Amendment to the Loan Agreement.

The Company expects to make a \$36 million mandatory principal payment related to the three months ended March 31, 2013, under the terms of the Loan Agreement. This amount has been classified as current maturities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2013.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company made cash debt payments of \$35 million, which reduced the Company's debt obligations by \$64 million. On March 2, 2012, the Company utilized \$31 million in cash to prepay \$60 million of the senior secured term loans at a rate of 52% of par. This transaction resulted in the Company recording a \$28 million non-taxable gain (\$29 million gain offset by \$1 million in administrative fees), which was recorded as early extinguishment of debt on the Company's 2012 consolidated statement of comprehensive income. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company also made additional debt principal payments, at par, of \$4 million.

Table of Contents

**Note 7**

**Employee Benefits**

*Pension*

The Company provides pension benefits to many of its employees. The Company's pension plans are non-contributory defined benefit pension plans. The pension plans include the SuperMedia Pension Plan for Management Employees and the SuperMedia Pension Plan for Collectively Bargained Employees. The assets of the two plans are held in a master trust. The Company also maintains a non-qualified pension plan for certain employees.

Participants in the management pension plan no longer earn pension benefits. In addition, new management employees hired after December 31, 2005 are not eligible for pension benefits. Certain participants in the Company's collective bargaining units no longer earn pension benefits effective December 31, 2012. Pension assets held in trust and recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2013 are valued in accordance with applicable accounting guidance on fair value measurements.

*Other Post-Employment Benefits*

The Company provides other post-employment benefits ( OPEB ) to select employees. The Company's OPEB includes post-employment health care and life insurance plans for the Company's retirees and their dependents that are both contributory and noncontributory and include a limit on the Company's share of cost for recent and future retirees.

During 2012, the Company amended its other post-employment benefit plans. The changes limit and/or eliminate company subsidies associated with other post-employment benefits including medical, prescription drug, dental and life insurance coverage for retirees, certain employees, and their respective dependents effective September 1, 2012. Certain retirees will continue to receive a reduced company subsidy through December 31, 2013. In addition, the 2012 plan amendments resulted in a pretax reduction of \$257 million to employee benefit obligations and an after-tax deferred gain to accumulated other comprehensive income of \$161 million. The accumulated other comprehensive income associated with OPEB will be amortized over the periods prescribed by ASC 715-60 *Compensation - Retirement Benefits, Defined Benefit Plans - Other Postretirement* . The amortization associated with the deferred gain for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was a credit to expense of \$32 million recorded as part of general and administrative expense in the Company's consolidated statement of comprehensive income.

*Net Periodic Cost*

The following table sets forth the benefit costs (income) related to the Company's pension and post-employment health care and life insurance plans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended March 31,	Pension		Health Care and Life	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in millions)			
Service cost	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$	\$
Interest cost	5	6		4
Expected return on plan assets	(8)	(10)		
Actuarial loss, net			3	1
Prior service (credit)			(32)	
Net periodic cost (income)	\$ (2)	\$ (3)	\$ (29)	\$ 5

The Company recorded a charge of \$4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012, later reversed in the three months ended June 30, 2012, associated with a nonqualified pension benefit for certain employees. This charge is not included in the net periodic cost table above.

*Savings Plans Benefits*

The Company sponsors a defined contribution savings plan to provide opportunities for eligible employees to save for retirement on a tax-deferred basis. Substantially all of the Company's employees are eligible to participate

Table of Contents

in the plan. Under the plan, a certain percentage of eligible employee contributions are matched with Company cash allocated to the participants current investment elections. The Company recognizes savings plan expenses based on its matching obligation attributable to participating employees. The Company recorded total savings plan expenses of \$1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**Note 8**

**Stock-Based Compensation**

The 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2009 Plan ) provides for several forms of incentive awards to be granted to designated eligible employees, non-management directors, consultants and independent contractors providing services to the Company. The maximum number of shares of SuperMedia common stock authorized for issuance under the 2009 Plan is 1,500,000. During 2010, 2011, and 2012, the Company granted equity awards under the 2009 Plan to certain employees and to certain of our non-management directors.

***Restricted Stock***

The 2009 Plan provides for grants of restricted stock. These awards are classified as equity awards based on the criteria established by the applicable accounting rules for stock-based compensation. The fair value of the restricted stock awards was determined based on the price of SuperMedia common stock on the date of grant.

During 2010 and 2012, certain employees were granted restricted stock awards that vest over three years in equal installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. All unvested shares of restricted stock will immediately terminate upon the employee s termination of employment with the Company for any reason on or before the third anniversary date of the award, except that the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, at its sole option and election, may permit the unvested shares not to terminate if the employee is terminated without cause. If a change in control occurs on or before the third anniversary of the grant date, all unvested shares of restricted stock will immediately vest. Grant award recipients would receive all regular cash dividends if the Company were to declare dividends.

During 2011 and 2012, certain non-management directors were granted restricted stock awards that vest one year after the grant date. All unvested shares of restricted stock will immediately terminate if a non-management director ceases to be a member of the board of directors of the Company on or before the vesting date. If a change in control occurs on or before the vesting date, all unvested shares of restricted stock will immediately vest. Grant award recipients would receive all regular cash dividends if the Company were to declare dividends.

A portion of the cost related to these awards is included in the Company s compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Changes in the Company s outstanding restricted stock awards were as follows:

	Restricted Stock Awards	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Outstanding restricted stock at January 1, 2013	322,873	\$ 10.47
Granted		
Vested	(147,823)	18.00
Forfeitures	(7,248)	2.83
Outstanding restricted stock at March 31, 2013	167,802	\$ 4.17

### ***Restricted Stock Units***

The 2009 Plan provides for grants of restricted stock units ( RSUs ) that can be settled in cash, shares of SuperMedia common stock or a combination thereof. These awards are classified as either liability or equity awards based on the criteria established by the applicable accounting rules for stock-based compensation.

During 2010, certain non-management directors were granted RSU awards that vest over three years in equal installments of one-third on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. If a director ceases to be a member of the board of directors of the Company on or before the third anniversary date of the award, the RSUs will vest on a prorated basis by dividing the number of days commencing on the anniversary vesting date or date of



Table of Contents

award, as applicable, and ending on the date of separation from service by, (i) 1,095 days if the date of separation from service occurs prior to the first anniversary date of the award, (ii) 730 days if the date of separation from service occurs after the first anniversary date of the award but before the second anniversary date of the award, and (iii) 365 days if the date of separation from service occurs after the second anniversary date of the award but before the third anniversary date of the award, and the number of RSUs remaining will immediately terminate. If a change in control occurs on or before the third anniversary date of the award, all unvested shares of restricted stock units will immediately vest. The restricted stock units settle upon a director's departure from the board in good standing.

During 2011, certain employees were granted RSU awards that vest over three years in equal installments of one-third on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. All unvested RSUs will immediately terminate upon the employee's termination of employment with the Company for any reason on or before the third anniversary date of the award, except that the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, at its sole option and election, may permit the unvested RSUs not to terminate if the employee is terminated without cause. If a change in control occurs on or before the third anniversary date of the award, all unvested shares of restricted stock units will immediately vest.

The fair value of the RSUs was determined based on the price of SuperMedia common stock on the date of grant. The RSUs are settled in stock, and therefore, classified as an equity award. No dividends are payable on the RSUs. However, dividend equivalents, equal to the amount of the dividend that would have been paid on an equivalent number of shares of SuperMedia common stock, are granted in the form of additional RSUs. The dividend equivalent RSUs are subject to the same vesting, forfeiture and other terms and conditions applicable to the RSUs.

A portion of the cost related to these RSU awards is included in the Company's compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Changes in the Company's outstanding restricted stock units were as follows:

	<b>Restricted Stock Unit Awards</b>		<b>Weighted- Average Fair Value</b>
Outstanding RSUs at January 1, 2013	55,776	\$	12.31
Granted			
Dividend equivalents			
Payments	(22,500)		7.47
Forfeitures			
Outstanding RSUs at March 31, 2013	33,276	\$	15.57

**Stock Options**

The 2009 Plan provides for grants of stock options. These awards are classified as equity awards based on the criteria established by the applicable accounting rules for stock-based compensation.

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

During 2010 and 2011, certain employees were granted stock option awards that vest over three years in equal installments of one-third on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date and have a ten year term from the date of grant.

A stock option holder may pay the option exercise price in cash by delivering unrestricted shares to the Company having a value at the time of exercise equal to the exercise price, by a cashless broker-assisted exercise, by a combination of these methods or by any other method approved by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. Options may not be re-priced without the approval of the Company's stockholders.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The model incorporates assumptions regarding inputs as follows:

- Expected volatility is a blend of the historical volatility of SuperMedia common stock over its history and the historical volatility of thirteen of SuperMedia's peers;
- Expected life is calculated based on the average life of the remaining vesting term and the remaining contractual life of each award; and

Table of Contents

- The risk-free interest rate is determined using the U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issue with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the option.

A portion of the cost related to these awards has been included in the Company's compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Changes in the Company's outstanding stock option awards were as follows:

	Number of Stock Option Awards	Weighted- Average Exercise price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (per share)
Outstanding stock option awards at January 1, 2013	332,573	\$ 7.92	8.06	\$ 0.00
Granted				
Exercises				
Forfeitures/expirations				
Outstanding stock option awards at March 31, 2013	332,573	\$ 7.93	7.81	\$ 0.00

***Stock-Based Compensation Expense***

The compensation expense recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, related to stock-based compensation was \$1 million, respectively. These costs were recorded as part of general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

As of March 31, 2013, unrecognized compensation expense related to the unvested portion of the Company's restricted stock, RSU, and stock options awards was approximately \$1 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.1 years.

**Note 9****Income Taxes**

Income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements on the basis of an estimated annual effective tax rate. In determining the estimated annual effective tax rate, the Company included interest expense and the tax effect of other one-time discrete items. The Company anticipates the effective tax rate, including interest expense and other one-time discrete items, to approximate 26% for 2013 which includes an estimated rate reduction for lapsing of uncertain tax positions due to expiration of the statute of limitations in various jurisdictions. Without this reduction from lapsing uncertain tax positions, our anticipated effective tax rate would approximate 37% for 2013. Our estimated effective tax rate for 2013 may be subject to changes in future periods. The

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

full year effective tax rate for 2012 was 30.3%. The full year effective tax rate for 2012 was primarily impacted by non-taxable cancellation of indebtedness income ( CODI ) related to the Company's below par debt repurchases. Generally, the discharge of a debt obligation for an amount less than its adjusted issue price creates CODI, which must be included in the Company's taxable income; however, provisions of the Internal Revenue Code allowed the Company to permanently exclude this CODI from taxation.

### **Note 10**

#### **Litigation**

The Company is subject to various lawsuits and other claims in the normal course of business. In addition, from time to time, the Company receives communications from government or regulatory agencies concerning investigations or allegations of noncompliance with laws or regulations in jurisdictions in which the Company operates.

The Company establishes reserves for the estimated losses on specific contingent liabilities, for regulatory and legal actions where the Company deems a loss to be probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In other instances, the Company is not able to make a reasonable estimate of liability because of the uncertainties related to the outcome or the amount or range of potential loss. The Company does not expect that the ultimate resolution of pending regulatory and legal matters in future periods, including the matters described below will have a material adverse effect on its statement of comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

On April 30, 2009, May 21, 2009, and June 5, 2009, three separate putative class action securities lawsuits were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against certain of the Company's current and former officers (but not against the Company or its subsidiaries). The suits were filed by Jan Buettgen, John Heffner, and Alan Goldberg as three separate named plaintiffs on behalf of purchasers of the Company's common stock between August 10, 2007 and March 31, 2009, inclusive. On May 22, 2009, a putative class action securities lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas against two of the Company's current officers (but not against the Company or its subsidiaries). The suit was filed by Wade L. Jones on behalf of purchasers of the Company's bonds between March 27, 2008 and March 30, 2009, inclusive. On August 18, 2009, the Wade Jones case from Arkansas federal district court was transferred to be consolidated with the cases filed in Texas. The complaints are virtually identical and generally allege that the defendants violated federal securities laws by issuing false and misleading statements regarding the Company's financial performance and condition. Specifically, the complaints allege violations by the defendants of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), Rule 10b-5 under the Exchange Act and Section 20 of the Exchange Act. The plaintiffs are seeking unspecified compensatory damages and reimbursement for litigation expenses. Since the filing of the complaints, all four cases have been consolidated into one court in the Northern District of Texas and a lead plaintiff and lead plaintiffs' attorney have been selected (Buettgen case). On April 12, 2010, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the entire Buettgen complaint. On August 11, 2010, in a one line order without an opinion, the court denied the Company's motion to dismiss. On May 19, 2011, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion certifying a class. Subsequently, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals denied the Company's petition for an interlocutory appeal of the class certification order. On September 24, 2012, the Company defendants filed a motion for summary judgment seeking a complete dismissal which was denied on February 20, 2013. The parties entered into a tentative settlement of the matter on April 1, 2013. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On April 20, 2009, a lawsuit was filed in the district court of Tarrant County, Texas, against certain of the Company's officers and directors (but not against the Company or its subsidiaries) on behalf of Jack B. Corwin as Trustee of The Jack B. Corwin Revocable Trust, and Charitable Remainder Stewardship Company of Nevada, and as Trustee of the Jack B. Corwin 2006 Charitable Remainder Unitrust (the Corwin case). The Corwin case generally alleges that at various times in 2008 and 2009, the named Company officers and directors made false and misleading representations, or failed to state material facts, which made their statements misleading regarding the Company's financial performance and condition. The suit brings fraud and negligent misrepresentation claims and alleges violations of the Texas Securities Act and Section 27 of the Texas Business Commerce Code. The plaintiffs seek unspecified compensatory damages, exemplary damages, and reimbursement for litigation expenses. On June 3, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint with the same allegations adding two additional Company directors as party defendants. On June 10, 2010, the court in the Buettgen case granted the Company's motion staying discovery in the Corwin case pursuant to the provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. After the adverse decision in the Buettgen case, the parties agreed to a scheduling order consistent with the prior Buettgen stay order. Several of the Company defendants have filed motions for summary judgment claiming that there is no evidence of any wrongdoing elicited during the discovery phase. The Company awaits a hearing date on the motions. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On November 25, 2009, three former Bell retirees brought a putative class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against both the Verizon employee benefits committee and pension plans and the Company's employee benefits committee (EBC) and pension plans. All three named plaintiffs are receiving the single life monthly annuity pension benefits. All complain that Verizon transferred them against their will from the Verizon pension plans to the Company pension plans at or near the Company's spin-off from Verizon. The complaint alleges that both the Verizon and Company defendants failed to provide requested plan documents, which would entitle the plaintiffs to statutory penalties under the Employee Retirement Income Securities Act (ERISA); that both the Verizon and Company defendants breached their fiduciary duty for refusal to disclose pension plan information; and other class action counts aimed solely at the Verizon defendants. The plaintiffs seek class action status, statutory penalties, damages and a reversal of the employee transfers. The Company defendants filed their motion to dismiss the entire complaint on March 10, 2010. On October 18, 2010, the court ruled on the pending motion dismissing all the claims against the Company pension plans and all of the claims against the Company's EBC relating to the production of documents and statutory penalties for failure to produce same. The only claims remaining against the Company are procedural ERISA claims against the Company's EBC. On November 1, 2010, the Company's EBC filed its answer to the complaint. On November 4, 2010, the Company's EBC filed a motion to dismiss one of the two remaining procedural ERISA claims against the EBC. Pursuant to an agreed order, the plaintiffs have obtained class certification against the Verizon defendants and discovery has commenced. After obtaining permission from the court, the plaintiffs filed another amendment to the



Table of Contents

complaint, alleging a new count against the Company's EBC. The Company's EBC filed another motion to dismiss the amended complaint and have filed a summary judgment motion before the deadline set by the scheduling order. On March 26, 2012, the court denied the Company's EBC's motion to dismiss. The parties' summary judgments remain pending. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On June 26, 2012, the Company filed a class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division where the Company seeks a declaratory judgment concerning the Company's right to enact several amendments that were recently made to its retiree health and welfare benefit plans, and more generally the Company's right to modify, amend or terminate these plans. Although the court initially consolidated this case with the above case, it later reversed itself and kept the case separate. Several of the defendants have filed motions to dismiss as well as a counterclaim. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the counterclaim. The Company awaits the order of the court.

On December 10, 2009, a former employee with a history of litigation against the Company filed a putative class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against certain of the Company's current and former officers, directors and members of the Company's EBC. The complaint attempts to recover alleged losses to the various savings plans that were allegedly caused by the breach of fiduciary duties in violation of ERISA by the defendants in administering the plans from November 17, 2006 to March 31, 2009. The complaint alleges that: (i) the defendants wrongfully allowed all the plans to invest in Idearc common stock, (ii) the defendants made material misrepresentations regarding the Company's financial performance and condition, (iii) the defendants had divided loyalties, (iv) the defendants mismanaged the plan assets, and (v) certain defendants breached their duty to monitor and inform the EBC of required disclosures. The plaintiffs are seeking unspecified compensatory damages and reimbursement for litigation expenses. At this time, a class has not been certified. The plaintiffs have filed a consolidated complaint. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the entire complaint on June 22, 2010. On March 16, 2011, the court granted the Company defendants' motion to dismiss the entire complaint; however, the plaintiffs have repleaded their complaint. The Company defendants have filed another motion to dismiss the new complaint. On March 15, 2012, the court granted the Company defendants' second motion dismissing the case with prejudice. The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal and briefing in the 5th Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals has been completed. Oral argument was held on March 7, 2013, and the Company awaits the ruling of the court. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On November 15, 2010, a group of publishers including the Company led by the Local Search Association (formerly the Yellow Pages Association), ( Publishers ), filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington challenging an ordinance enacted by the City of Seattle requiring the Publishers of yellow pages directories distributed in the City of Seattle to obtain a license from the City, and pay a tax to distribute the directory publications and permitting all the potential recipients of the yellow pages to opt out of receiving the directory using a common City-sanctioned opt out registry, ( Ordinance ). The suit challenged the Ordinance as a content-based restriction on speech, violating the first amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and violating the commerce clause of the U.S. Constitution. On February 10, 2011, the Publishers filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking to stop the operation of the Ordinance before the first publication of the Dex One Seattle directory. After no order was forthcoming from the court, the Publishers filed a motion for temporary restraining order with the court seeking to immediately enjoin the operation of the Ordinance. On May 8, 2011, the court denied both motions. On May 13, 2011, the Publishers filed a motion with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit seeking to enjoin the Ordinance pending the appeal and to expedite an appeal. On May 24, 2011, the court of Appeals denied the Publishers' motion for an injunction, but granted the Motion for an expedited appeal. After briefing was complete, an oral argument was made in front of a 9th Circuit appellate panel. Meanwhile, on September 16, 2011, the district court granted the City's summary judgment motion and denied the Publishers' summary judgment motion ruling that the Ordinance did not violate the First Amendment. This final order gave the Publishers the opportunity to file a full consolidated appeal to the 9th Circuit, which has been fully briefed and argued. On October 15, 2012, in a unanimous ruling by the 9th Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals, the court ruled that yellow pages qualify for full protection of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Accordingly, the Ordinance does not survive. The court reversed the trial court and instructed the trial court to enter judgment on behalf of the Publishers. The City's request for the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals to review the decision, *en banc*, was denied. The City has settled and paid the Publishers' fee request and the trial court has entered a judgment in favor of the Publishers, consistent with the 9th Circuit's decision.

Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

On July 1, 2011, several former employees filed a Fair Labor Standards Act ( FLSA ) collective action against the Company, all its subsidiaries, the current chief executive officer and the former chief executive officer in the



Table of Contents

U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division. The complaint alleges that the Company improperly calculated the rate of pay when it paid overtime to its hourly sales employees. On July 29, 2011, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. In response, the plaintiffs amended their complaint to allege that the individual defendants had off-the-clock claims for unpaid overtime. Subsequently, the Company amended its motion to dismiss in light of the new allegations. On October 25, 2011, the Plaintiffs filed a motion to conditionally certify a collective action and to issue notice. On March 29, 2012, the court denied the Company's motion to dismiss and granted the plaintiffs motion to conditionally certify the class. The Company's motion seeking permission to file an interlocutory appeal of the order was denied and a notice has been sent to the Company's former and current employees. The time for opting into the class has expired. The plaintiffs that failed to file their opt-ins on time have filed a companion case with the same allegations.

On March 29, 2013, a former unsecured note holder that was impacted by the Company's bankruptcy in 2009, filed a notice and summons against Verizon Communications and the former chief financial officer (CFO) of the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County. The filing alleges that Verizon improperly formed the Company prior to the spin-off by not having the requisite number of directors under Delaware law. Since the Company was improperly formed, the former CFO did not have the authority to execute the note on behalf of the Company and accordingly both Verizon and the former CFO are liable for the unpaid principal and interest when the notes were impacted by the bankruptcy. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligation and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendant's behalf.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

**Overview**

SuperMedia Inc. ( SuperMedia, we, our, us or the Company ), is one of the largest yellow pages directory publishers in the United States as measured by revenue. We also offer digital advertising solutions. We place our clients' business information into our portfolio of local media solutions, which includes the Superpages directories, Superpages.com, our digital local search resource on both desktop and mobile devices, the Superpages.com network, a digital syndication network that places local business information across more than 250 websites, and our mobile sites and mobile applications. In addition, we offer solutions for social media, digital content creation and management, reputation management and search engine optimization.

We primarily operate and are the official publisher in the markets in which Verizon Communications Inc. ( Verizon ), or its formerly owned properties now owned by FairPoint Communications, Inc. ( FairPoint ) and Frontier Communications Corporation ( Frontier ), are the incumbent local exchange carriers. We use their brands on our print directories in these and other specified markets. We have a number of agreements with them that govern our publishing relationship, including publishing agreements, branding agreements, and non-competition agreements, each of which has a term expiring in 2036.

***Basis of Presentation***

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( U.S. GAAP ). Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ), the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items and accruals, necessary to fairly present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of SuperMedia Inc. and its subsidiaries. These unaudited interim financial statements do not contain all information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and, as such, should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the 2013 fiscal year.

The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

**Bankruptcy Filing and Proposed Merger with Dex One**

***Bankruptcy Filing***

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

On March 18, 2013, SuperMedia and all of its domestic subsidiaries filed voluntary bankruptcy petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the Bankruptcy Court ) for reorganization relief under the provisions of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Concurrently with the bankruptcy petition, SuperMedia filed and requested confirmation of a prepackaged plan of reorganization (the prepackaged plan ). The prepackaged plan seeks to effect the proposed merger and related transactions contemplated by our Merger Agreement (as defined below) with Dex One Corporation ( Dex One ), which is discussed in more detail below. Also on March 18, 2013, Dex One and its domestic subsidiaries filed separate voluntary bankruptcy petitions in the Bankruptcy Court, and Dex One is seeking approval of its separate prepackaged plan of reorganization (together with SuperMedia s prepackaged plan, the prepackaged plans ).

The Merger Agreement allows us to complete the proposed merger and the other transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including required amendments (the financing amendments ) to SuperMedia s and Dex One s respective credit agreements (collectively, the transaction ) through Chapter 11 cases, if either SuperMedia or Dex One does not obtain its stockholders approval of the Merger Agreement or unanimous lender approval of the financing amendments.

At a special meeting on March 13, 2013, our stockholders voted to approve and adopt the Merger Agreement and the proposed merger in the event that we were able to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction. In addition, prior to the March 13, 2013 voting deadline, our stockholders and lenders voted to accept the prepackaged plan in the event that we were unable to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction and, alternatively,

Table of Contents

elected to effect the transaction through Chapter 11 cases. Similarly, Dex One's stockholders also voted to approve and adopt the Merger Agreement and the proposed merger in the event that Dex One was able to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction, and Dex One's stockholders and lenders voted to accept the prepackaged plan in the event Dex One was not able to obtain unanimous lender approval of the transaction.

Subsequent to the special meeting, our board of directors determined that we had not obtained the unanimous lender approval required to effect the transaction outside of court. Accordingly, on March 18, 2013, we filed our voluntary bankruptcy petition in order to seek approval of the prepackaged plan and the completion of the transaction. The hearing to consider confirmation of the prepackaged plan is scheduled to commence on April 29, 2013 with April 18, 2013 as the last date for filing and serving objections to confirmation of the prepackaged plan.

There can be no assurance that the Bankruptcy Court will confirm the prepackaged plans in a timely manner. While operating under Chapter 11, the Company's operations are subject to oversight by the Bankruptcy Court, which could lead to uncertainties as to the realization of assets and satisfaction of obligations in the normal course of business.

Our prepackaged plan, including the effects of the transaction, could result in changes to our current debt and equity ownership structure. The prepackaged plan and the effects of the transaction will also result in our assets and liabilities being re-valued under applicable accounting guidelines.

***Merger Agreement***

On August 20, 2012, SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex Inc. (Newdex), and Spruce Acquisition Sub, Inc. (Merger Sub) entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Original Merger Agreement, and as amended and restated, the Merger Agreement), providing for a business combination of SuperMedia and Dex One. The Merger Agreement provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, Dex One will be merged with and into Newdex, with Newdex continuing as the surviving corporation, and Merger Sub will be merged with and into SuperMedia, with SuperMedia continuing as the surviving corporation (the Mergers). As a result of the Mergers, Newdex will become a newly listed company and SuperMedia will become a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Newdex.

Following the announcement of the proposed Mergers, the current senior secured lenders for SuperMedia and Dex One formed a joint steering committee to evaluate the proposed amendments to the parties' respective credit agreements as set forth in the Original Merger Agreement.

On December 5, 2012, SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex, and Merger Sub entered into an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger which amended and restated the Original Merger Agreement to, among other things, (i) extend the date on which a party may unilaterally terminate the Merger Agreement from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013, (ii) reduce the number of directors of Newdex after the effectiveness of the Mergers from eleven to ten, and (iii) provide that if either Dex One or SuperMedia is unable to obtain the requisite consents to the Mergers from its stockholders and to the contemplated amendments to its respective financing agreements from its senior secured lenders, the Mergers could be effected through the prepackaged plans.

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

Also on December 5, 2012, we entered into a Support and Limited Waiver Agreement (the Support Agreement ) with certain of our senior secured lenders and the administrative agent under our senior secured credit facility. The Support Agreement sets forth the obligations and commitments of the parties with respect to the transaction. Specifically, the lenders party to the Support Agreement agreed, subject to the terms of the Support Agreement, (i) to support and take reasonable action in furtherance of the financing amendments and the Support Agreement, (ii) to timely vote to accept our prepackaged plan, and (iii) in the event that we elected to effect the Mergers through Chapter 11 cases, (a) to support approval of our lender disclosure statement and confirmation of our prepackaged plan, (b) to support certain relief to be requested by SuperMedia from the Bankruptcy Court, (c) to refrain from taking any action inconsistent with the confirmation of our prepackaged plan, and (d) not to propose, support, solicit, or participate in the formulation of any plan other than our prepackaged plan. On the same date, Dex One entered into a support agreement on substantially similar terms with the lenders and administrative agents under its senior secured credit facilities.

Subject to the terms of the Merger Agreement, which has been approved by the boards of directors of SuperMedia and Dex One, in each case by the unanimous vote of all directors voting (Messrs. Slater and McDonald, directors who may be deemed to have personal interests in the transaction, abstained from voting), at the effective

Table of Contents

time of the Mergers, (i) each outstanding share of Dex One common stock (other than shares held by SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex or any of their respective subsidiaries) will be converted into the right to receive 0.20 shares of Newdex common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the Newdex Common Stock ), which reflects a 1-for-5 reverse stock split of Dex One common stock, and (ii) each outstanding share of SuperMedia common stock (other than shares held by SuperMedia, Dex One, Newdex or any of their respective subsidiaries) will be converted into the right to receive 0.4386 shares of Newdex Common Stock. Outstanding SuperMedia stock options will be cancelled at the effective time of the Mergers and, to the extent that SuperMedia's closing stock price on the date of the Mergers exceeds the option strike price, will be settled in cash. All other outstanding SuperMedia equity awards will generally convert into Newdex Common Stock, after giving effect to the exchange ratio. Immediately after the completion of the Mergers, we anticipate that current SuperMedia stockholders will own approximately 40% and current Dex One stockholders will own approximately 60% of Newdex, the combined company.

Completion of the Mergers is subject to conditions, including, among others: (i) the Bankruptcy Court having confirmed the prepackaged plan of reorganization of such party substantially in the form provided in the Merger Agreement, (ii) SuperMedia and Dex One, and certain of their respective subsidiaries, having entered into a tax sharing agreement and a shared services agreement, and (iii) authorization having been obtained for the listing on the New York Stock Exchange or the Nasdaq Stock Market of the Newdex Common Stock to be issued as consideration in the Mergers.

As more fully described below, we are a party to that certain loan agreement with certain financial institutions and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent (as amended, the Loan Agreement ), providing for the issuance of \$2,750 million of senior secured term loans with a maturity date of December 31, 2015. As part of the prepackaged plan, the Loan Agreement will be amended and restated to extend the maturity date to December 31, 2016 as well as modify certain other provisions, including the revision of interest rate spreads as follows:

	<b>ABR</b>	<b>Eurodollar</b>
Current Spread	7.00%	8.00%
Revised Spread	7.60%	8.60%

The prepackaged plan will effect the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including the financing amendments, the tax sharing agreement and the shared services agreement.

The Merger Agreement contains certain termination rights for both SuperMedia and Dex One, including, among others, if the Mergers are not consummated on or before June 30, 2013. The Merger Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Merger Agreement under specified circumstances following receipt from or announcement by a third party of an alternative transaction proposal, including termination of the Merger Agreement by SuperMedia or Dex One as a result of an adverse change in the recommendation of the Mergers by the other party's board of directors, SuperMedia may be required to pay to Dex One, or Dex One may be required to pay to SuperMedia, an expense reimbursement up to a maximum amount of \$7.5 million.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going-concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is predicated upon, among other things, the successful completion of the SuperMedia prepackaged plan. While the Company is committed to pursuing completion of the SuperMedia prepackaged plan and the Mergers, there can be no assurance that the SuperMedia prepackaged plan will be approved as submitted to the Bankruptcy Court; and therefore, there is uncertainty about the Company's ability to realize its assets or satisfy its liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company's consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from this uncertainty.

The Company is currently operating as debtors-in-possession under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court. In general, debtors-in-possession are authorized under Chapter 11 to continue to operate as an ongoing business, but may not engage in transactions outside the ordinary course of business without prior approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company business continues to generate positive cash flow necessary for daily operations.

While operating under bankruptcy, applicable accounting guidance requires that the financial statements distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations

Table of Contents

of the business. Accordingly, certain expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized from the reorganization and restructuring process will be classified as reorganization items on the consolidated statements of comprehensive income. There were no reorganization items during the three months ended March 31, 2013. Based on the terms of the prepackaged plan, no liabilities were identified as being subject to compromise at March 31, 2013.

On March 21, 2013, we received notice from The NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Staff (the "Staff") stating that the Staff has determined that the Company's securities will be delisted from The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. The decision was reached by the Staff under NASDAQ Listing Rules 5101, 5110(b) and IM-5101-1 following the Company's announcement on March 18, 2013 that it and all of its domestic subsidiaries filed voluntary bankruptcy petitions and requested confirmation of the prepackaged plan. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in the NASDAQ Listing Rules, we have appealed the Staff's determination to the NASDAQ Hearings Panel.

**Advertising Sales and Revenue**

We have been experiencing reduced advertising sales and revenue over the past several years driven by reduced advertiser spending, reflecting continued competition from other advertising media (including the Internet, cable television, newspaper and radio) and a weak economy. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net advertising sales declined 17.0% compared to the same period in 2012. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, net advertising sales declined 17.0% compared to the same period in 2011. If the factors driving these declines continue, then we will continue to experience declining advertising sales and revenues.

Advertising sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 included negative adjustments of \$9 million related to the financial distress and operational wind down of a single certified marketing representative in our third-party national sales channel. Excluding this impact, advertising sales for the three months ended March 31, 2012 would have reflected a decline of 18.9%. As of June 2011, these accounts have been transitioned to other certified marketing representative firms.

To mitigate the effect of declining advertising sales and revenues, we continue to actively manage expenses and streamline operations to reduce our cost structure.

**Results of Operations**

The financial information and the discussion below should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. Our operating results for any quarter may not be indicative of our operating results in any future period.



Table of Contents*Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012*

The following table sets forth our consolidated operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Three Months Ended March 31,	2013	2012	Change	% Change
	(in millions, except %)			
<b>Operating Revenue</b>	\$ 293	\$ 363	\$ (70)	(19.3)%
<b>Operating Expense</b>				
Selling	75	90	(15)	(16.7)
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	75	86	(11)	(12.8)
General and administrative	11	41	(30)	(73.2)
Depreciation and amortization	31	40	(9)	(22.5)
<b>Total Operating Expense</b>	192	257	(65)	(25.3)
<b>Operating Income</b>	101	106	(5)	(4.7)
Interest expense, net	38	46	(8)	(17.4)
<b>Income Before Gains on Early Extinguishment of Debt and Provision for Income Taxes</b>	63	60	3	5.0
Gains on early extinguishment of debt		28	(28)	(100.0)
<b>Income Before Provision for Income Taxes</b>	63	88	(25)	(28.4)
Provision for income taxes	23	26	(3)	(11.5)
<b>Net Income</b>	\$ 40	\$ 62	\$ (22)	(35.5)

*Operating Revenue*

Operating revenue of \$293 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$70 million, or 19.3%, compared to \$363 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to reduced advertiser spending, reflecting continued competition from other advertising media (including the Internet, cable television, newspaper and radio).

*Operating Expense*

Operating expense of \$192 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$65 million, or 25.3%, compared to \$257 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012, for the reasons described below.

*Selling.* Selling expense of \$75 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$15 million, or 16.7%, compared to \$90 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease resulted primarily from lower employee related costs, lower sales commissions due to lower sales volumes and lower employee benefit costs.

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

*Cost of Sales.* Cost of sales expense of \$75 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$11 million, or 12.8%, compared to \$86 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to lower printing and distribution costs as a result of lower volumes and reduced Internet traffic costs.

*General and Administrative.* General and administrative expense of \$11 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$30 million, or 73.2%, compared to \$41 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to a \$29 million credit to expense in 2013 associated with the amortization of a deferred gain related to certain plan amendments and amortization of unrecognized losses associated with other post-employment benefits, a \$4 million charge in 2012 associated with a nonqualified pension benefit for certain employees and reduced bad debt expense of \$3 million. These decreases were partially offset by \$6 million of merger transaction costs in 2013 associated with our proposed merger with Dex One. Bad debt expense of \$3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased by \$3 million, or 50.0%, compared to \$6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012. Bad debt expense as a percent of total operating revenue was 1.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to 1.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

*Depreciation and Amortization.* Depreciation and amortization expense of \$31 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$9 million, or 22.5%, compared to \$40 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was due to lower amortization expense of \$6 million associated with intangible assets,

Table of Contents

including capitalized internal use software, and lower depreciation expense of \$3 million associated with property, plant and equipment.

***Interest Expense***

Interest expense, net of interest income, of \$38 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$8 million, or 17.4%, compared to \$46 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012 due to lower outstanding debt obligations.

***Gains on Early Extinguishment of Debt***

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company recorded a non-taxable gain of \$28 million related to the early extinguishment of a portion of our senior secured term loans. The Company utilized \$31 million in cash to prepay \$60 million of the senior secured term loans at a rate of 52% of par. This transaction resulted in the Company recording a non-taxable gain of \$28 million (\$29 million gain offset by \$1 million in administrative fees).

***Provision for Income Taxes***

Provision for income taxes of \$23 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$3 million, or 11.5%, compared to \$26 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012, primarily due to the impact of the items listed above. Our effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 36.5% and 29.5%, respectively. The lower March 31, 2012 rate was primarily impacted by non-taxable cancellation of indebtedness income ( CODI ). Generally, the discharge of a debt obligation for an amount less than its adjusted issue price creates CODI, which must be included in the Company's taxable income; however, provisions of the Internal Revenue Code allowed the Company to permanently exclude this CODI from taxation. The results for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, include the effects of one-time discrete items. The Company anticipates the effective tax rate, including interest expense and other one-time discrete items, to approximate 26% for 2013 which includes an estimated rate reduction for lapsing of uncertain tax positions due to expiration of the statute of limitations in various jurisdictions. Without this reduction from lapsing uncertain tax positions, our anticipated effective tax rate would approximate 37% for 2013. Our estimated effective tax rate for 2013 may be subject to changes in future periods. The full year effective tax rate for 2012 was 30.3%. The full year effective tax rate for 2012 was primarily impacted by non-taxable CODI related to the Company's below par debt repurchases.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company's cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Three Months Ended March 31,	2013	2012 (in millions)	Change
------------------------------	------	-----------------------	--------

Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

<b>Cash Flows Provided By (Used In):</b>					
Operating activities	\$	51	\$	105	\$ (54)
Investing activities		(4)		(2)	(2)
Financing activities				(36)	36
<b>Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	\$	47	\$	67	\$ (20)

Our primary source of funds continues to be cash generated from operations. Net cash provided by operating activities of \$51 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$54 million compared to \$105 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012 primary due to lower cash collections associated with lower revenues and merger transaction costs of \$6 million, representing professional fees, associated with our proposed merger with Dex One. These decreases in net cash provided by operating activities were partially offset by reduced expenditures in 2013 and lower debt interest payments associated with reduced debt obligations.

Cash used in investing activities of \$4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 increased \$2 million compared to \$2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012, primarily due to increased capital expenditures.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$36 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 primarily represents the repayment of debt principal. The Company did not make any debt principal payments during the

Table of Contents

three months ended March 31, 2013. The Company expects to make a \$36 million mandatory principal payment related to the three months ended March 31, 2013, under the terms of the Loan Agreement.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company made cash debt payments of \$35 million, which reduced the Company's debt obligations by \$64 million. On March 2, 2012, the Company utilized \$31 million in cash to prepay \$60 million of the senior secured term loans at a rate of 52% of par. This transaction resulted in the Company recording a \$28 million non-taxable gain (\$29 million gain offset by \$1 million in administrative fees), which was recorded as early extinguishment of debt on the Company's 2012 consolidated statement of comprehensive income. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company also made additional debt principal payments, at par, of \$4 million.

We believe the net cash provided by our operating activities and existing cash and cash equivalents will provide sufficient resources to meet our working capital requirements, estimated principal and interest debt service requirements and other cash needs for the remainder of 2013. As of March 31, 2013, the Company is in compliance with all of the covenants of its Loan Agreement.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02 (ASU 2012-02), *Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*, which amends Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*. The amended guidance allows entities to use a qualitative approach to test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. ASU 2012-02 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset with its carrying value. Otherwise, the quantitative impairment test is not required. ASU 2012-02 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after September 15, 2012. The Company has adopted the provisions of ASU 2012-02 as required.

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02 (ASU 2013-02), *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Comprehensive Income*, which amends ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*. The amended guidance requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. ASU 2013-02 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company has adopted the provisions of ASU 2013-02 as required.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

There were no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates since December 31, 2012. For additional information on critical accounting policies, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that are material to our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

Our exposures to market risk have not changed materially since December 31, 2012. For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about our market risk, see Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

**Disclosure Controls**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that are filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission. We note that the design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving the stated goals under all potential future conditions.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The Company is subject to various lawsuits and other claims in the normal course of business. In addition, from time to time, the Company receives communications from government or regulatory agencies concerning investigations or allegations of noncompliance with laws or regulations in jurisdictions in which the Company operates.

The Company establishes reserves for the estimated losses on specific contingent liabilities, for regulatory and legal actions where the Company deems a loss to be probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In other instances, the Company is not able to make a reasonable estimate of liability because of the uncertainties related to the outcome or the amount or range of potential loss. The Company does not expect that the ultimate resolution of pending regulatory and legal matters in future periods, including the matters described below will have a material adverse effect on its statement of comprehensive income.

On April 30, 2009, May 21, 2009, and June 5, 2009, three separate putative class action securities lawsuits were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against certain of the Company's current and former officers (but not against the Company or its subsidiaries). The suits were filed by Jan Buettgen, John Heffner, and Alan Goldberg as three separate named plaintiffs on behalf of purchasers of the Company's common stock between August 10, 2007 and March 31, 2009, inclusive. On May 22, 2009, a putative class action securities lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas against two of the Company's current officers (but not against the Company or its subsidiaries). The suit was filed by Wade L. Jones on behalf of purchasers of the Company's bonds between March 27, 2008 and March 30, 2009, inclusive. On August 18, 2009, the Wade Jones case from Arkansas federal district court was transferred to be consolidated with the cases filed in Texas. The complaints are virtually identical and generally allege that the defendants violated federal securities laws by issuing false and misleading statements regarding the Company's financial performance and condition. Specifically, the complaints allege violations by the defendants of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), Rule 10b-5 under the Exchange Act and Section 20 of the Exchange Act. The plaintiffs are seeking unspecified compensatory damages and reimbursement for litigation expenses. Since the filing of the complaints, all four cases have been consolidated into one court in the Northern District of Texas and a lead plaintiff and lead plaintiffs' attorney have been selected (Buettgen case). On April 12, 2010, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the entire Buettgen complaint. On August 11, 2010, in a one line order without an opinion, the court denied the Company's motion to dismiss. On May 19, 2011, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion certifying a class. Subsequently, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals denied the Company's petition for an interlocutory appeal of the class certification order. On September 24, 2012, the Company defendants filed a motion for summary judgment seeking a complete dismissal which was denied on February 20, 2013. The parties entered into a tentative settlement of the matter on April 1, 2013. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On April 20, 2009, a lawsuit was filed in the district court of Tarrant County, Texas, against certain of the Company's officers and directors (but not against the Company or its subsidiaries) on behalf of Jack B. Corwin as Trustee of The Jack B. Corwin Revocable Trust, and Charitable Remainder Stewardship Company of Nevada, and as Trustee of the Jack B. Corwin 2006 Charitable Remainder Unitrust (the Corwin case). The Corwin case generally alleges that at various times in 2008 and 2009, the named Company officers and directors made false and misleading representations, or failed to state material facts, which made their statements misleading regarding the Company's financial performance and condition. The suit brings fraud and negligent misrepresentation claims and alleges violations of the Texas Securities Act and Section 27 of the Texas Business Commerce Code. The plaintiffs seek unspecified compensatory damages, exemplary damages, and reimbursement for litigation expenses. On June 3, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint with the same allegations adding two additional Company directors as party defendants. On June 10, 2010, the court in the Buettgen case granted the Company's motion staying discovery in the Corwin case pursuant to the provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. After the adverse decision in the Buettgen case, the parties agreed to a



Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

scheduling order consistent with the prior *Buettgen* stay order. Several of the Company defendants have filed motions for summary judgment claiming that there is no evidence of any wrongdoing elicited during the discovery phase. The Company awaits a hearing date on

Table of Contents

the motions. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On November 25, 2009, three former Bell retirees brought a putative class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against both the Verizon employee benefits committee and pension plans and the Company's employee benefits committee (EBC) and pension plans. All three named plaintiffs are receiving the single life monthly annuity pension benefits. All complain that Verizon transferred them against their will from the Verizon pension plans to the Company pension plans at or near the Company's spin-off from Verizon. The complaint alleges that both the Verizon and Company defendants failed to provide requested plan documents, which would entitle the plaintiffs to statutory penalties under the Employee Retirement Income Securities Act (ERISA); that both the Verizon and Company defendants breached their fiduciary duty for refusal to disclose pension plan information; and other class action counts aimed solely at the Verizon defendants. The plaintiffs seek class action status, statutory penalties, damages and a reversal of the employee transfers. The Company defendants filed their motion to dismiss the entire complaint on March 10, 2010. On October 18, 2010, the court ruled on the pending motion dismissing all the claims against the Company pension plans and all of the claims against the Company's EBC relating to the production of documents and statutory penalties for failure to produce same. The only claims remaining against the Company are procedural ERISA claims against the Company's EBC. On November 1, 2010, the Company's EBC filed its answer to the complaint. On November 4, 2010, the Company's EBC filed a motion to dismiss one of the two remaining procedural ERISA claims against the EBC. Pursuant to an agreed order, the plaintiffs have obtained class certification against the Verizon defendants and discovery has commenced. After obtaining permission from the court, the plaintiffs filed another amendment to the complaint, alleging a new count against the Company's EBC. The Company's EBC filed another motion to dismiss the amended complaint and have filed a summary judgment motion before the deadline set by the scheduling order. On March 26, 2012, the court denied the Company's EBC's motion to dismiss. The parties' summary judgments remain pending. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On June 26, 2012, the Company filed a class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division where the Company seeks a declaratory judgment concerning the Company's right to enact several amendments that were recently made to its retiree health and welfare benefit plans, and more generally the Company's right to modify, amend or terminate these plans. Although the court initially consolidated this case with the above case, it later reversed itself and kept the case separate. Several of the defendants have filed motions to dismiss as well as a counterclaim. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the counterclaim. The Company awaits the order of the court.

On December 10, 2009, a former employee with a history of litigation against the Company filed a putative class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against certain of the Company's current and former officers, directors and members of the Company's EBC. The complaint attempts to recover alleged losses to the various savings plans that were allegedly caused by the breach of fiduciary duties in violation of ERISA by the defendants in administering the plans from November 17, 2006 to March 31, 2009. The complaint alleges that: (i) the defendants wrongfully allowed all the plans to invest in Idearc common stock, (ii) the defendants made material misrepresentations regarding the Company's financial performance and condition, (iii) the defendants had divided loyalties, (iv) the defendants mismanaged the plan assets, and (v) certain defendants breached their duty to monitor and inform the EBC of required disclosures. The plaintiffs are seeking unspecified compensatory damages and reimbursement for litigation expenses. At this time, a class has not been certified. The plaintiffs have filed a consolidated complaint. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the entire complaint on June 22, 2010. On March 16, 2011, the court granted the Company defendants' motion to dismiss the entire complaint; however, the plaintiffs have repleaded their complaint. The Company defendants have filed another motion to dismiss the new complaint. On March 15, 2012, the court granted the Company defendants' second motion dismissing the case with prejudice. The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal and briefing in the 5th Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals has been completed. Oral argument was held on March 7, 2013, and the Company awaits the ruling of the court. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligations and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendants' behalf.

On November 15, 2010, a group of publishers including the Company led by the Local Search Association (formerly the Yellow Pages Association), (Publishers), filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Western



Table of Contents

District of Washington challenging an ordinance enacted by the City of Seattle requiring the Publishers of yellow pages directories distributed in the City of Seattle to obtain a license from the City, and pay a tax to distribute the directory publications and permitting all the potential recipients of the yellow pages to opt out of receiving the directory using a common City-sanctioned opt out registry, ( Ordinance ). The suit challenged the Ordinance as a content-based restriction on speech, violating the first amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and violating the commerce clause of the U.S. Constitution. On February 10, 2011, the Publishers filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking to stop the operation of the Ordinance before the first publication of the Dex One Seattle directory. After no order was forthcoming from the court, the Publishers filed a motion for temporary restraining order with the court seeking to immediately enjoin the operation of the Ordinance. On May 8, 2011, the court denied both motions. On May 13, 2011, the Publishers filed a motion with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit seeking to enjoin the Ordinance pending the appeal and to expedite an appeal. On May 24, 2011, the court of Appeals denied the Publishers motion for an injunction, but granted the Motion for an expedited appeal. After briefing was complete, an oral argument was made in front of a 9th Circuit appellate panel. Meanwhile, on September 16, 2011, the district court granted the City s summary judgment motion and denied the Publishers summary judgment motion ruling that the Ordinance did not violate the First Amendment. This final order gave the Publishers the opportunity to file a full consolidated appeal to the 9th Circuit, which has been fully briefed and argued. On October 15, 2012, in a unanimous ruling by the 9th Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals, the court ruled that yellow pages qualify for full protection of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Accordingly, the Ordinance does not survive. The court reversed the trial court and instructed the trial court to enter judgment on behalf of the Publishers. The City s request for the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals to review the decision, *en banc*, was denied. The City has settled and paid the Publishers fee request and the trial court has entered a judgment in favor of the Publishers, consistent with the 9th Circuit s decision.

On July 1, 2011, several former employees filed a Fair Labor Standards Act ( FLSA ) collective action against the Company, all its subsidiaries, the current chief executive officer and the former chief executive officer in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division. The complaint alleges that the Company improperly calculated the rate of pay when it paid overtime to its hourly sales employees. On July 29, 2011, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. In response, the plaintiffs amended their complaint to allege that the individual defendants had off-the-clock claims for unpaid overtime. Subsequently, the Company amended its motion to dismiss in light of the new allegations. On October 25, 2011, the Plaintiffs filed a motion to conditionally certify a collective action and to issue notice. On March 29, 2012, the court denied the Company s motion to dismiss and granted the plaintiffs motion to conditionally certify the class. The Company s motion seeking permission to file an interlocutory appeal of the order was denied and a notice has been sent to the Company s former and current employees. The time for opting into the class has expired. The plaintiffs that failed to file their opt-ins on time have filed a companion case with the same allegations.

On March 29, 2013, a former unsecured note holder that was impacted by the Company s bankruptcy in 2009, filed a notice and summons against Verizon Communications and the former chief financial officer (CFO) of the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County. The filing alleges that Verizon improperly formed the Company prior to the spin-off by not having the requisite number of directors under Delaware law. Since the Company was improperly formed, the former CFO did not have the authority to execute the note on behalf of the Company and accordingly both Verizon and the former CFO are liable for the unpaid principal and interest when the notes were impacted by the bankruptcy. The Company plans to honor its indemnification obligation and vigorously defend the lawsuit on the defendant s behalf.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

There are numerous factors that affect our business and results of operations, many of which are beyond our control. In addition to other information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, you should carefully read and consider Item 1A. Risk Factors in Part I, and Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which contain a description of significant factors that might cause the actual results of operations in future periods to differ materially from those currently expected or desired. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or currently deemed immaterial based on management s assessment of



Table of Contents

currently available information, which remains subject to change, also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flow.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

The following table provides information about shares repurchased from employees during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as payment of withholding taxes in connection with the vesting of restricted stock awarded to employees pursuant to the 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan:

Period		Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
January 1	January 31		\$		
February 1	February 28	19,020	3.87		
March 1	March 31	21,708	4.96		
Total		40,728	4.45		

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.**

None.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not applicable.

**Item 5. Other Information.**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

## Edgar Filing: SUPERMEDIA INC. - Form 10-Q

### Exhibits:

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registrant Statement on Form 8-A, filed December 30, 2009).
  - 3.2 Third Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant, dated July 28, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed July 29, 2010).
  - 3.3 First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant, dated as of October 4, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 8, 2010).
  - 10.1 Form of Employee Award Agreement pursuant to the SuperMedia Inc. 2013 Cash Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed April 4, 2013).\*
  - 31.1 Certification of Peter J. McDonald filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
  - 31.2 Certification of Samuel D. Jones filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
  - 32.1 Certification of Peter J. McDonald and Samuel D. Jones filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 101.INS\*\* XBRL Instance Document  
101.SCH\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document  
101.CAL\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

Table of Contents

101.DEF\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document  
101.LAB\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document  
101.PRE\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\* Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement

\*\* These exhibits are furnished herewith. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these exhibits are not deemed to be filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are not deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1934 as amended and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.



Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SUPERMEDIA INC.

April 26, 2013

/s/ Peter J. McDonald  
Peter J. McDonald  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

April 26, 2013

/s/ Samuel D. Jones  
Samuel D. Jones  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

**Exhibits:**

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registrant Statement on Form 8-A, filed December 30, 2009).
- 3.2 Third Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant, dated July 28, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed July 29, 2010).
- 3.3 First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant, dated as of October 4, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 8, 2010).
- 10.1 Form of Employee Award Agreement pursuant to the SuperMedia Inc. 2013 Cash Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed April 4, 2013).\*
- 31.1 Certification of Peter J. McDonald filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of Samuel D. Jones filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Peter J. McDonald and Samuel D. Jones filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 101.INS\*\* XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\* Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement

\*\* These exhibits are furnished herewith. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these exhibits are not deemed to be filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are not deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1934 as amended and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.