ANZA CAPITAL INC Form PRER14C December 01, 2005

SECOND AMENDED SCHEDULE 14C INFORMATION

Information Statement Pursuant to Section 14(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Check the appropriate box:

- |X| Preliminary Information Statement
- $|_|$ Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14c-5(d)(2))
- |_| Definitive Information Statement

Anza Capital, Inc. (Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- |X| No fee required
- |_| Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14c-5(g) and 0-11
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule O-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - 5) Total fee paid:
- |_| Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- |_| Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule O-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - 1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - 2) Form Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - 3) Filing Party:
 - 4) Date Filed:

WE ARE NOT ASKING YOU FOR A PROXY
AND YOU ARE REQUESTED NOT TO SEND US A PROXY

INFORMATION STATEMENT

INTRODUCTION

This information statement is being mailed or otherwise furnished to stockholders of Anza Capital, Inc., a Nevada corporation (the "Company") in connection with the prior receipt by the Board of Directors of the Company and approval by written consent of the holders of a majority of the Company's voting stock (the "Voting Capital Stock") of proposals (the "Proposals") to approve (i) the sale of substantially all of the Company's assets to AMRES Holding, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("AMRES Holding"), including but not limited to all of the Company's ownership interest in American Residential Funding, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("AMRES") (the "Asset Sale"); (ii) the sale by AMRES Holding and Vince Rinehart ("Rinehart"), a shareholder and the sole officer and director of the Company, of their entire ownership interests in the Company to Viking Investments USA, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Viking") (the "Securities Sale"); and (iii) the election of a new director to the Company's Board of Directors (the "Director Elections"). This information statement is being first sent to stockholders on or about December [__], 2005. The Company anticipates that the Asset Sale, Securities Sale and Director Elections will become effective on January [__], 2006.

Vote Required

The vote which is required to approve the above Proposals is the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the Company's voting stock. Each holder of common stock is entitled to One (1) vote for each share held. Each holder of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock is entitled to One Hundred Twenty Six and Eighty One Hundredths (126.81) votes for each share held. Each holder of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock is entitled to One Hundred (100) votes for each share held.

The record date for purposes of determining the number of outstanding shares of voting stock of the Company, and for determining stockholders entitled to vote, is the close of business on October 7, 2005 (the "Record Date"). As of the Record Date, the Company had outstanding 6,348,898 shares of common stock, 8,201.5 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock. Holders of the Voting Capital Stock have no preemptive rights. All outstanding shares are fully paid and nonassessable. The transfer agent for the common stock is Securities Transfer Corporation, 2591 Dallas Parkway, Suite 102, Frisco, Texas 95034, telephone (469) 633-0101.

Vote Obtained - Section 78.320 Nevada Revised Statutes

Section 78.320 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (the "Nevada Law") provides that the written consent of the holders of the outstanding shares of voting stock, having not less than the minimum number of votes which would be necessary to authorize or take such action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote thereon were present and voted, may be substituted for such a meeting. In order to eliminate the costs and management time involved in obtaining proxies and in order to effect the Proposals as early as possible in order to accomplish the purposes of the Company as hereafter described, the Board of Directors of the Company voted to utilize, and did in fact obtain, the written consent of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the Company. The consenting shareholders and their respective approximate ownership percentage of the Voting Capital Stock of the Company, which total in the aggregate 79.68% of the outstanding Voting Capital Stock, are as follows: (i) Rinehart (34.86%), Keyway Investments, Ltd. (28.05%), Cranshire Capital, L.P. (12.92%) and The dotCom Fund, LLC (3.85%).

required to provide prompt notice of the taking of the corporate action without a meeting to the stockholders of record who have not consented in writing to such action. This Information Statement is intended to provide such notice. No dissenters' or appraisal rights under the Nevada Law are afforded to the Company's stockholders as a result of the approval of the Proposals.

PROPOSAL ONE ASSET SALE

General

On September 30, 2005, the Board of Directors approved, subject to stockholder approval, the sale of substantially all of the Company's assets to AMRES Holding, including but not limited to all of the Corporation's ownership interest in AMRES. Effective on September 30, 2005, the Asset Sale was approved by written consent of a majority of the Company's stockholders.

Asset Sale

On September 30, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company approved, declared it advisable and in the Company's best interests and directed that there be submitted to the holders of a majority of the Company's voting stock for action by written consent the proposed sale of substantially all of the Company's assets to AMRES Holding, including but not limited to all of the Company's ownership interest in AMRES in exchange for (i) the termination by Rinehart, the managing member of AMRES Holding, of that certain Employment Agreement dated June 1, 2001, by and between Rinehart and the Company, including the waiver of \$500,000 in severance thereunder and (ii) the assumption by AMRES of all obligations under that certain real property lease by and between the Company and Fifth Street Properties-DS, LLC. In conjunction with the abovementioned exchange, the following transactions will occur: (i) the delivery by Rinehart, a shareholder and the sole officer and director of the Company, of his entire ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 988,275 shares of common stock, and 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock, to Viking; (ii) the delivery by AMRES to Viking of its ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 4,137,500 shares of Company common stock; and (iii) delivery by AMRES Holding of warrants to acquire 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Viking.

Rinehart, a shareholder and the sole officer and director of the Company, is the managing member of AMRES Holding and an officer and director of AMRES, and as such there may have existed a conflict of interest in the related-party transaction, which conflict of interest was waived by the Board of Directors and the majority of the voting stockholders of the Company. Viking does not bear a related-party relationship to the Company or its management.

2

Purpose

The purpose of the Asset Sale, in conjunction with the Securities Sale described below, is to promote the interests of the Company's stockholders by selling unprofitable assets to prevent further losses and provide them with a reasonable exit from their equity holdings in the Company.

Transaction Information

Summary Term Sheet

The material terms of the Asset Sale are as follows:

- o The Company will sell substantially all of its assets to AMRES Holding, including but not limited to all of the Company's ownership interest in AMRES
- o Rinehart will deliver his entire ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 988,275 shares of common stock, and 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock, to Viking
- o Rinehart will terminate that certain Employment Agreement dated June 1, 2001, by and between Rinehart and the Company
- o AMRES will assume all obligations under that certain real property lease by and between the Company and Fifth Street Properties-DS, LLC
- o AMRES will deliver to Viking its ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 4,137,500 shares of Company common stock
- o AMRES Holding will deliver warrants to acquire 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Viking

Contact Information

AMRES Holding, LLC is located at 3200 Bristol Street, Suite 700 Costa Mesa, CA 92626, telephone number: (714) 866-2103.

American Residential Funding, Inc. is located at 3200 Bristol Street, Suite 700 Costa Mesa, CA 92626, telephone number: (714) 866-2103.

Anza Capital, Inc. is located at 3200 Bristol Street, Suite 700 Costa Mesa, CA 92626, telephone number: (714) 866-2103.

Viking Investments USA, Inc. is located at 1562 First Avenue, New York, NY 10028, telephone number (212) 222-4253.

Business Conducted

AMRES Holding, LLC is a holding company under control of Vince Rinehart.

American Residential Funding, Inc. provides home financing through the brokerage of residential home loans.

Anza Capital, Inc. is a holding company that currently operates primarily through one active subsidiary, American Residential Funding, Inc.

3

Viking Investments USA, Inc. is an investment banking firm that facilitates capital raises and liquidity strategies for its clients.

Terms of the Transaction

(i) A brief description of the transaction.

The Company will sell substantially all of the Company's assets to AMRES Holding, including but not limited to all of the Company's ownership interest in AMRES in exchange for (i) the termination by Rinehart, the managing member of AMRES Holding, of that certain Employment Agreement dated June 1, 2001, by and between Rinehart and the Company, including the waiver of \$500,000 in severance thereunder and (ii) the assumption by AMRES of all obligations under that

certain real property lease by and between the Company and Fifth Street Properties-DS, LLC. In conjunction with the abovementioned exchange, the following transactions will occur: (i) the delivery by Rinehart, a shareholder and the sole officer and director of the Company, of his entire ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 988,275 shares of common stock, and 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock, to Viking; (ii) the delivery by AMRES to Viking of its ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 4,137,500 shares of Company common stock; and (iii) delivery by AMRES Holding of warrants to acquire 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Viking.

(ii) The consideration offered to security holders.

Not Applicable

(iii) The reasons for engaging in the transaction.

The reason for engaging in the Asset Sale, in conjunction with the Securities Sale described below, is to promote the interests of the Company's stockholders by selling unprofitable assets to prevent further losses and provide them with a reasonable exit from their equity holdings in the Company.

(iv) The vote required for approval of the transaction.

The vote which is required to approve the Asset Sale is the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the Company's voting stock.

(v) An explanation of any material differences in the rights of security holders as a result of the transaction, if material.

The differences in the rights of security holders as a result of the transaction are not material.

(vi) A brief statement as to the accounting treatment of the transaction, if material.

The accounting treatment of the transaction is not material.

(vii) The federal income tax consequences of the transaction, if material.

The federal income tax consequences of the transaction are not material.

4

Regulatory approvals

No federal or state regulatory requirements must be complied with or approval obtained in connection with the Asset Sale.

Reports, opinions, appraisals

No reports, opinions or appraisals materially relating to the Asset Sale have been received from an outside party or are referred to in the proxy statement.

Past contacts, transactions or negotiations

Not Applicable.

Selected financial data of Anza Capital, Inc.

Items	FY-Ended 2001	FY-Ended 2002	FY-Ended 2003	FY-Ended 2004
Net Sales or Operating Revenues	\$10,991,250	\$26,273,877	\$57,843,518	\$63,059,520
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	N/A	(\$454,656)	\$736,392	(\$1,183,576)
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations per Common Share	N/A	(\$0.25)	\$0.27	(\$0.24)
Total Assets	\$1,607,352	\$3,775,971	\$13,343,019	\$8,269,462
Long-term Obligations and Redeemable Preferred Stock	\$1,335,964	\$409,223	\$471,849	0
Cash Dividends Declared per Common Share	0	0	0	0

Pro forma selected financial data

The pro forma selected financial data is not material.

Pro forma information

(i) Historical and Equivalent Pro Forma per Share Data of the Company

5

Items	FY-Ende				FY-Ended 2003		
		Pro Forma	Historical	Pro Forma	Historical	Pro Forma	Historical
			\$0.25				
Cash Dividends Declared per Common Share	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations per Common Share	N/A	N/A	(\$0.25)	N/A	\$0.27	N/A	(\$0.24)

(ii) Historical and Equivalent Pro Forma per Share Data of Target Company This item is not applicable because there is no target company.

Financial information

The information required by Article 11 of Regulation S-X with respect to the Asset Sale is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Information about the Parties to the Transaction

The information required by Part B of Form S-4 with respect to the Asset Sale is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

PROPOSAL TWO SECURITIES SALE

General

On September 19, 2005, the Board of Directors approved, subject to stockholder approval, the sale by AMRES Holding and Rinehart, a shareholder and the sole officer and director of the Company, of their entire ownership interests in the Company to Viking. Approval of the Securities Sale by a majority of the Company's stockholders was not required, nonetheless, effective on September 30, 2005, the Securities Sale was approved by written consent of a majority of the Company's stockholders.

Securities Sale

On September 19, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company approved, declared it advisable and in the Company's best interests and directed that there be submitted to the holders of a majority of the Company's voting stock for action by written consent the proposed sale by AMRES Holding and Rinehart to Viking of their entire ownership interests in the Company consisting of an aggregate of approximately 10,379,731 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 and warrants to purchase a total of 3,450,000 shares of the Company's common stock (collectively, the "Securities") in exchange for an aggregate purchase price of \$375,000 (the "Purchase Price"), which will be paid to the Company as additional consideration in connection with the transactions contemplated by the Asset Sale.

6

The Company will distribute \$150,000 of the Purchase Price as a cash dividend to the Company shareholders as of the Closing Date. The dividend will be paid on approximately 3,026,688 shares and be equal to approximately \$0.0495 per share. The balance of the funds will be used to resolve all outstanding obligations of the Company and AMRES prior to the consummation of the Securities Sale (the "Closing").

Rinehart, a shareholder and the sole officer and director of the Company, is the managing member of AMRES Holding and an officer and director of AMRES, and as such there may have existed a conflict of interest in the related-party transaction, which conflict of interest was waived by the Board of Directors of the Company. Viking does not bear a related-party relationship to the Company or its management.

Purpose

The purpose of the Securities Sale, in conjunction with the Asset Sale described above, was to promote the interests of the Company's stockholders by providing them with a reasonable exit from their equity holdings in the Company.

PROPOSAL THREE DIRECTOR ELECTIONS

Pursuant to Section 78.330 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, Directors may be elected by written consent signed by stockholders holding at least a majority of the voting power to hold office until their respective successors are elected and qualified, or until they resign or are removed and need not be shareholders of the Company or residents of the State of Nevada. Directors may receive compensation for their services as determined by the Board of Directors. See "Compensation of Directors." The number of Directors shall be set by the Board of Directors. Presently, the Board consists of one (1) member, namely Mr. Vincent Rinehart. In connection with the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale, the Board subject to the closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale.

Voting for the election of directors is non-cumulative, which means that a simple majority of the shares voting may elect all of the directors. Each share of common stock is entitled to One (1) vote and, therefore, has a number of votes equal to the number of authorized directors. Each holder of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock is entitled to One Hundred Twenty Six and Eighty One Hundredths (126.81) votes for each share held. Each holder of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock is entitled to One Hundred (100) votes for each share held.

Although management of the Company expects that the following nominee will be available to serve as a director, in the event that he should become unavailable prior to the shareholders meeting, a replacement will be appointed by a majority of the then-existing Board of Directors. Management has no reason to believe that its nominee, if elected, will be unavailable to serve. All nominees are expected to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

7

Nominees For Election As Director

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to persons nominated by the Board of Directors of the Company for election as Directors of the Company and who will be elected subject to the closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale:

Name	Age	Position(s)
Tom Simeo	54	Director Nominee

Tom Simeo is the President of Viking Investments USA, Inc., a boutique Investment Banking firm focused on reverse mergers, international corporate finance and investor relations for publicly listed companies. During the past 12 years, Mr. Simeo has initiated and/or participated as a principal in numerous investment banking transactions. Viking Investments is not a parent, subsidiary or other affiliate of the Company.

To the Company's knowledge, Mr. Simeo is currently not a director,

nominated or chosen to become a director, $\,$ in any public $\,$ corporation other than Anza Capital, Inc.

Compensation of Directors

There are currently no agreements with any of the directors, or director nominees for additional compensation, and the Company does not anticipate paying any additional compensation. Directors of the Company are entitled to reimbursement for their travel expenses. The Company does not pay additional amounts for committee participation or special assignments of the Board of Directors.

Board Meetings and Committees

During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2005, the Board of Directors met on numerous occasions and took written action on numerous other occasions. All the members of the Board attended the meetings. The written actions were by unanimous consent.

On April 11, 2003, an Audit Committee of the Board of Directors was formed. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004, the Audit Committee met on one occasion. In accordance with a written charter adopted by the Company's Board of Directors, the Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibility for oversight of the quality and integrity of the Company's financial reporting process, including the system of internal controls. In connection with the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004, the Audit Committee (i) reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management, (ii) discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by SAS 61, (iii) received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, (iv) discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant's independence, and (v) made appropriate recommendations to the Company's Board of Directors concerning inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K. Mr. Arevalo and Mr. Svicarovich were members of the Audit Committee, and as a result of the resignation of all of our directors except for Mr. Rinehart, the Audit Committee has disbanded in July 2004.

8

On April 11, 2003, a Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors was formed, consisting of Vincent Rinehart and Scott A. Presta. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004, the Compensation Committee took action by unanimous written consent on one occasion. Following Mr. Presta's resignation from the Board of Directors effective April 1, 2004, the Compensation Committee was disbanded.

OTHER INFORMATION

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth the names and ages of our current directors and executive officers, the principal offices and positions held by each person, and the date such person became a director or executive officer. Our executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors. The directors serve one year terms until their successors are elected. The executive officers serve terms of one year or until their death, resignation or removal by the Board of Directors. Unless described below, there are no family relationships among any of the directors and officers.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Vincent Rinehart (1)	55	Director, President, Chief Executive Officer, Secretary, and Principal Accounting Officer

(1) Upon closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale, Vincent Rinehart will resign as a member of the Company's Board of Directors.

Vincent Rinehart has been a director and the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since April 12, 2000, and its Chairman since January 1, 2001. He also serves in the following capacities: Chairman of the Board, President, and CEO of AMRES (commencing in 1997); California Department of Real Estate Broker for Firstline Mortgage, Inc., a HUD-approved originator of FHA, VA, and Title 1 loans (commencing in 1985); and a director of Firstline Relocation Services, Inc., a three-office enterprise that provides real estate sales, financing, destination, and departure services to Fortune 500 companies (commencing in 1995). Mr. Rinehart received his B.A. in Business Administration from California State University at Long Beach in 1972.

To the Company's knowledge, none of the directors presently serve as directors of public corporations other than Anza Capital, Inc.

Board Meetings and Committees

During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2005, the Board of Directors met on numerous occasions and took written action on numerous other occasions. All the members of the Board attended the meetings. The written actions were by unanimous consent.

On April 11, 2003, an Audit Committee of the Board of Directors was formed. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004, the Audit Committee met on one occasion. In accordance with a written charter adopted by the Company's Board of Directors, the Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibility for oversight of the quality and integrity of the Company's financial reporting process, including the system of internal controls. In connection with the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004, the Audit Committee (i) reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management, (ii) discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by SAS 61, (iii) received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, (iv) discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant's independence, and (v) made appropriate recommendations to the Company's Board of Directors concerning inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K. Mr. Arevalo and Mr. Svicarovich were members of the Audit Committee, and as a result of the resignation of all of our directors except for Mr. Rinehart, the Audit Committee has disbanded in July 2004.

9

On April 11, 2003, a Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors was formed, consisting of Vincent Rinehart and Scott A. Presta. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004, the Compensation Committee took action by unanimous written consent on one occasion. Following Mr. Presta's resignation from the Board of Directors effective April 1, 2004, the Compensation Committee was disbanded.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's directors and executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of Common Stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

During the two most recent fiscal years, to the Company's $\,$ knowledge, $\,$ the following delinquencies occurred:

Name	No. of Late Reports	No. of Transactions Reported Late	No. of Failures to File
Vincent Rinehart	5 	5	-0-
Mitchell P. Kopin (Cranshire Capital, L.P.)	3	3	-0-
Kenneth Arevalo	1	1	-0-

Executive Officer and Director Compensation

On June 1, 2001, we entered into an Employment Agreement with Vincent Rinehart. Under the terms of the agreement, we are to pay to Mr. Rinehart a salary equal to \$275,000 per year, subject to an annual increase of 10% commencing January 1, 2002, plus an automobile allowance of \$1,200 per month and other benefits, including life insurance. The agreement is for a term of 5 years and provides for a severance payment in the amount of \$500,000 and immediate vesting of all stock options in the event his employment is terminated for any reason, including cause. Mr. Rinehart's Employment Agreement was ratified by the shareholders of the Company at our 2001 Annual Shareholders Meeting.

10

2000 Stock Compensation Program

In December 1999, our Board of Directors approved the 2000 Stock Compensation Program (the "2000 Plan"), as amended. A total of 440,000 shares (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split effective April 21, 2003) of common stock are reserved for issuance under the 2000 Plan, all of which have been issued. The 2000 Plan terminated automatically in December of 2004.

2003 Omnibus Securities Plan

On February 28, 2003, our Board of Directors approved the Anza Capital, Inc. 2003 Omnibus Securities Plan, which was approved by our shareholders on April 11, 2003. The Plan offers selected employees, directors, and consultants an opportunity to acquire our common stock, and serves to encourage such persons to remain employed by us and to attract new employees. The plan allows for the award of stock and options, up to 750,000 shares (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split effective April 21, 2003) of our common stock. On

May 1 of each year, the number of shares in the 2003 Securities Plan shall automatically be adjusted to an amount equal to ten percent (10%) of the outstanding stock of the Company on April 30 of the immediately preceding year. On May 4, 2004, pursuant to this provision, our Board of Directors increased the number of shares available under the plan by 936,746 shares. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004, we issued 400,000 shares under the plan, and subsequent to the year-end 260,000 of the shares were returned. As of the date of this Annual Report, there are 796,746 shares available for issuance under the plan.

Board Compensation

In November 2002, Scott Presta received 42,500 shares (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split effective April 21, 2003) of our common stock for past services as a director and for agreeing to stand for re-election as a director, and Kenneth Arevalo and L. Wade Svicarovich each received 25,000 shares (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split effective April 21, 2003) of common stock for agreeing to stand for election as a director. In connection with his resignation from the Board of Directors on July 23, 2004, Mr. Arevalo returned the 25,000 shares. There are currently no agreements with any of the directors, or director nominees for additional compensation, and the Company does not anticipate paying any additional compensation. Directors of the Company are entitled to reimbursement for their travel expenses. The Company does not pay additional amounts for committee participation or special assignments of the Board of Directors.

Summary Compensation Table

The Summary Compensation Table shows certain compensation information for services rendered in all capacities for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2005, 2004, and 2003. Other than as set forth herein, no executive officer's salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000 in any of the applicable years. The following information includes the dollar value of base salaries, bonus awards, the number of stock options granted and certain other compensation (adjusted to reflect the 1-for-20 reverse stock split effective April 21, 2003), if any, whether paid or deferred.

11

		Annual Compensation				Long Te
					A	wards
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Other Annual Compensation (\$)	Restricted Stock Awards (\$)	Securities Underlying Options SARs (#)
Vincent Rinehart Pres., CEO, Chairman	2005 2004 2003	362,397 329,452 312,583	-0- -0- 5,000	14,400 14,400 14,400	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -
Scott A. Presta (1) Director	2005 2004 2003	-0- -0- -0-	-0- -0- -0-	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -	-0- -0- 22 , 950	-0- -0- -0-
Kenneth Arevalo (2)	2005	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

Director		2004 2003	-0- -0-	- 0 - - 0 -	- 0 - - 0 -	-0- 13,500	-0- -0-
L. Wade	Svicarovich	2005	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Director		2004	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
		2003	-0-	-0-	-0-	13,500	-0-
		2002	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

- (1) Mr. Scott Presta resigned as an officer and director of the company effective April 1, 2004.
- (2) Mr. Ken Arevalo resigned as a director of the company effective July 23, 2004.
- (3) Mr. L. Wade Svicarovich resigned as a director of the company effective September 17, 2004.

OPTION/SAR GRANTS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR (Individual Grants)

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options/SARs Granted (#)	Percent of Total Options/SARs Granted to Employees In Fiscal Year	Exercise or Base Price (\$/Sh)	Expir
Vincent Rinehart	-0-	N/A	N/A	
Scott A. Presta	-0-	N/A	N/A	
Kenneth Arevalo	-0-	N/A	N/A	
L. Wade Svicarovich	-0-	N/A	N/A	

12

AGGREGATED OPTIONS/SAR EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FY-END OPTION/SAR VALUES

	Shares Acquired On Exercise	Value Realized	Number of Unexercised Securities Underlying Options/SARs at FY-End (#)	Value of Une In-The-M Option/ at FY (\$)
Name	(#)	(\$)	Exercisable/Unexercisable	Exercisable/Un
Vincent Rinehart Scott A. Presta	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Kenneth Arevalo	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
L. Wade Svicarovich	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

On July 1, 2001, the Company entered into an Employment Agreement with Vincent Rinehart. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company is to pay to Mr.

Rinehart a salary equal to \$275,000 per year, subject to an annual increase of 10% commencing January 1, 2002, plus an automobile allowance of \$1,200 per month and other benefits, including life insurance. The agreement is for a term of 5 years and provides for a severance payment in the amount of \$500,000 and immediate vesting of all stock options in the event his employment is terminated for any reason, including cause. Mr. Rinehart's Employment Agreement was ratified by the shareholders of the Company at the 2001 Annual Shareholders Meeting.

In November 2002, Scott Presta received 42,500 shares (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split effective April 21, 2003) of our common stock for past services as a director and for agreeing to stand for re-election as a director, and Kenneth Arevalo and L. Wade Svicarovich each received 25,000 shares (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split effective April 21, 2003) of common stock for agreeing to stand for election as a director. In connection with his resignation from the Board of Directors on July 23, 2004, Mr. Arevalo returned the 25,000 shares.

On February 28, 2003, the Company entered into a Debt Exchange Agreement with Vincent Rinehart, Chairman, CEO, Secretary, and Chief Financial Officer. Under the terms of the agreement, Rinehart (i) cancelled options to acquire 2,500,000 shares of common stock previously acquired as part of his Employment Agreement, and (ii) converted an aggregate of \$433,489.06 in principal and interest under a promissory into (y) 6,000,000 shares of common stock and (z) 18,800 shares of newly created Series F Convertible Preferred Stock.

On April 30, 2004, and again on June 20, 2005, we issued to Vincent Rinehart a total of 164,500 shares of our common stock as payment of dividends accrued through that date on the Series F Convertible Preferred Stock.

On October 11, 2004, we entered into a Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement whereby our subsidiary, American Residential Funding, Inc., borrowed \$125,000 from Amres Holding, LLC, a related party partially owned and controlled by our sole officer and director, Vincent Rinehart. American Residential Funding, Inc. issued a secured convertible note to the borrower, convertible into our common stock at 75% of the average closing bid price for the five trading days before conversion. As additional consideration, we issued a warrant to the borrower to purchaser 250,000 shares of our common stock at \$0.10 per share.

13

In April, 2005, Bravorealty.com and Bravo Real Estate, Inc. were sold to an entity controlled by David Villareal, an officer and director of our subsidiary, AMRES, in exchange for the assets and interests in American Union Escrow.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management (Before Effectiveness of Proposals)

The following table sets forth, as of September 22, 2005, certain information with respect to the Company's equity securities owned of record or beneficially by (i) each Officer and Director of the Company; (ii) each person who owns beneficially more than 5% of each class of the Company's outstanding equity securities; and (iii) all Directors and Executive Officers as a group.

COMMON STOCK

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	
Common Stock	Vincent Rinehart	3,118,275 (3)(9)	36.8%
Common Stock	Keyway Investments, Ltd. 19 Mount Havlock Douglas, Isle of Man United Kingdom 1M1 2QG	2,292,363 (4)(7)(8)	31.7%
Common Stock	Cranshire Capital, L.P. c/o Downsview Capital, Inc. 666 Dundee Road, Suite 1901 Northbrook, Illinois 60062	1,151,593 (5)(8)	16.4%
Common Stock	The dotCom Fund, LLC 666 Dundee Road, Suite 1901 Northbrook, Illinois 60062	349,989 (6)(8)	5.3%
Common Stock	All officers and directors as a group (1 person)	3,118,275 (3)	36.8%

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, the address of each beneficial owner is c/o Anza Capital, Inc., 3200 Bristol Street, Suite 700, Costa Mesa, California 92626.
- (2) Unless otherwise indicated, based on 6,348,898 shares of common stock outstanding. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants currently exercisable, or exercisable within 60 days, are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of the person holding such options or warrants, but are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of any other person.
- (3) Includes 1,880,000 shares of common stock which may be acquired by Rinehart upon the conversion of 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock. The shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock shall be voted equally with the common stock on all matters submitted to the shareholders, with the holder thereof having 100 votes per share of Series F. Also includes 250,000 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of warrants issued to AMRES Holding, LLC.

14

- (4) Includes 510,854 shares of common stock which may be acquired by Keyway upon the conversion of 4,028.5 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock. The shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock shall be voted equally with the common stock on all matters submitted to the shareholders, with the holder thereof having 126.81 votes per share of Series D. Also includes 368,394 shares of common stock which may be acquired upon the exercise of warrants.
- (5) Includes 390,004 shares of common stock which may be acquired by Cranshire upon the conversion of 3,075.5 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock. The shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock shall be voted equally with the common stock on all matters submitted to the shareholders, with the holder thereof having 126.81 votes per share of Series D. Also includes 281,244 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of warrants.

- (6) Includes 139,174 shares of common stock which may be acquired by dotCom Fund upon the conversion of 1,097.5 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock. The shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock shall be voted equally with the common stock on all matters submitted to the shareholders, with the holder thereof having 126.81 votes per share of Series D. Also includes 100,362 shares of common stock which may be acquired upon the exercise of warrants.
- (7) Keyway Investments Ltd. has advised us that they beneficially own all of our securities owned of record by EURAM Cap Strat "A" Fund Limited.
- (8) Upon closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale, Keyway Investments Ltd., Cranshire Capital, L.P. and The dotCom Fund, LLC will sell a total of 1,923,969; 870,349; and 249,627 shares of common stock, respectively, (the "Lakeshore Shares"), to AMRES Holding who will then sell the Lakeshore Shares to Viking.
- (9) Upon closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale, Vincent Rinehart will sell a total of 2,868,275 shares of common stock to Viking pursuant to the terms of the Asset Sale.

15

PREFERRED STOCK

Title of Class		Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	of Class
Series D Preferred (1)	Keyway Investments, Ltd. 19 Mount Havlock Douglas, Isle of Man United Kingdom 1M1 2QG	4,028.5 (7)	49.1% (2)
Series D Preferred (1)	Cranshire Capital, L.P. c/o Downsview Capital, Inc. 666 Dundee Road, Suite 1901 Northbrook, Illinois 60062	3,075.5 (7)	37.5% (2)
Series D Preferred (1)	The dotCom Fund, LLC 666 Dundee Road, Suite 1901 Northbrook, Illinois 60062	1,097.5 (7)	13.4% (2)
Series F Preferred (3)	Vincent Rinehart c/o Anza Capital, Inc. 3200 Bristol Street, Suite 700 Costa Mesa, California 92626	18,800 (8)	100% (4)
	All officers and directors as a group (1 person)	18,800 (5)	100% (5)

(1) Each share of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split) (i) has a liquidation preference equal to \$126.81 per share, (ii) is entitled to receive a quarterly non-cumulative dividend equal to 7% per annum, which may be paid in cash or in common stock at

the discretion of the Company based on the average of the closing bid price for the last ten trading days of the applicable quarter, (iii) may be converted, after February 28, 2004, into 126.81 shares of Company common stock at the option of the holder, and (iv) is entitled to 126.81 votes on all matters submitted to the shareholders for approval.

- (2) Based on 8,201.5 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding.
- (3) Each share of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split) (i) has a liquidation preference (after the Series D and Series E Convertible Preferred Stock) equal to \$16.675 per share, (ii) is entitled to a quarterly, non-cumulative dividend of 1.75 shares of Company common stock, which may be paid in cash at the Company's discretion based on the average of the closing bid price for the last ten trading days of the applicable quarter, (iii) may be converted, after February 28, 2004, into 100 shares of Company common stock at the option of the holder, and (iv) is entitled to 100 votes on all matters submitted to the shareholders for approval.
- (4) Based on 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding.
- (5) Represents Series F Convertible Preferred Stock only.
- (6) Keyway Investments Ltd. has advised us that they beneficially own all of our securities owned of record by EURAM Cap Strat "A" Fund Limited.

16

- (7) Upon closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale, Keyway Investments Ltd., Cranshire Capital, L.P. and The dotCom Fund, LLC will sell a total of 4,028.5; 3,075.5; and 1,097.5 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock, respectively, (the "Lakeshore Preferred Shares"), to AMRES Holding who will then sell the Lakeshore Preferred Shares to Viking.
- (8) Upon closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale, Vincent Rinehart will convert all of his shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock into 1,880,000 shares of common stock (the "Rinehart Converted Shares") and transfer the Rinehart Converted Shares to Viking pursuant to the terms of the Asset Sale.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management (After Effectiveness of Proposals)

The following table sets forth, as of a date after giving effect to each of the Proposals set forth herein, certain information with respect to the Company's equity securities owned of record or beneficially by (i) each officer and director of the Company; (ii) each person who owns beneficially more than 5% of each class of the Company's outstanding equity securities; and (iii) all directors and executive officers as a group.

COMMON STOCK

	Name and Address of	Amount and Nature of	Percent
Title of Class	Beneficial Owner (1)	Beneficial Ownership	of Class (2)
Common	Viking Investments USA,	13,499,720 (1)	80.09% (2)
Stock	Inc.		

- (1) Upon closing of the Asset Sale and the Securities Sale, Viking Investments USA, Inc. will purchase common stock from Vincent Rinehart and AMRES Holding, in the amounts of 2,868,275 shares and 3,043,945, respectively. The amount purchased from AMRES Holding comprises shares which will be purchased from Keyway Investments Ltd., Cranshire Capital, L.P. and The dotCom Fund, LLC in the amounts of 1,923,969 shares, 870,349 shares and 249,627 shares, respectively. Includes 4,137,500 shares which will be purchased from AMRES. Includes warrants to purchase 3,450,000 shares of common stock.
- (2) Based on 13,406,419 shares of common stock outstanding.

By order of the Board of Directors

Vincent Rinehart, President

Costa Mesa, California December [__], 2005

17

EXHIBIT A

Financial Information Required by Article 11 of Regulation S-X

INDEX TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Condensed Financial Statements:

Introduction	A-2
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Balance Sheet as of July 31, 2005	A-4
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended July 31, 2005	A-5
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Statements of Operations for the Year Ended April 30, 2005	A-6
Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Financial Statements	A-7

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED FINANCIAL DATA

Effective November 8, 2005, Anza Capital, Inc. (the "Company" or "Anza") approved the sale of substantially all of the Company's assets ("Asset Sale") to AMRES Holding, LLC ("AMRES Holding"), including but not limited to all of the Company's ownership interest in American Residential Funding, Inc. ("AMRES").

The Asset Sale was made in exchange for (i) the delivery by Vince Rinehart and AMRES Holding of their entire ownership interests in the Company to Viking

Investments USA, Inc ("Viking"), consisting of approximately 4,032,220 shares of common stock, 8,201.5 shares of Series D Convertible Preferred stock, 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock, and warrants to acquire 3,450,000 shares of the Company's common stock; (ii) the termination by Vince Rinehart of his Employment Agreement dated June 1, 2001, by and between Vince Rinehart and the Company; (iii) the assumption by AMRES of all obligations under that certain real property lease by and between the Company and Fifth Street Properties - DS, LLC; and (iv) and the delivery by AMRES to Viking of its ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 4,137,500 shares of the Company's common stock. In return Viking paid \$375,000 for the ownership interests transferred from Vince Rinehart, AMRES Holding and AMRES.

The Company will distribute \$150,000 of the \$375,000 purchase price as a cash dividend to the Company's shareholders on November [__], 2005. The dividend will be distributed to approximately 3,026,688 shares and was equal to approximately \$0.0495 per share. The balance of the funds will be used to resolve all outstanding obligations of the Company including the security purchases made by AMRES Holding.

Effective November 8, 2005, AMRES Holding entered into an agreement with three investors to purchase an aggregate of 3,043,945 shares of Anza common stock, 8,201.5 shares of Anza Series D Preferred stock, and warrants to purchase 750,000 shares of Anza common stock, in exchange for the total purchase price of \$125,000. The securities were subsequently sold to Viking as part of the Asset sale discuss above.

Effective October 28, 2005, AMRES Holding entered into an agreement to purchase the rights to warrants to acquire 2,000,000 and 450,000 shares of common stock from two investors for \$10,000 and \$5,000, respectively. The warrants were subsequently sold to Viking as part of the Asset sale discussed above.

Vince Rinehart is the managing member of AMRES Holding and an officer and director of AMRES, and as such a conflict of interest in the related-party transaction may have existed, which conflict of interest was waived by the Company's Board of Directors. Viking does not bear a related-party relationship to the Company or its management.

The purpose of the Asset Sale is to promote the interests of the Company's stockholders by selling unprofitable assets to prevent further losses and provide them with a reasonable exit from their equity holdings in the Company.

This pro forma presentation has been prepared utilizing historical financial statements and notes thereto, certain of which are included herein, as well as pro forma adjustments as described below.

A-2

The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Balance Sheet has been prepared assuming the Asset Sale occurred on July 31, 2005. The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Statement of Operations for the three months ended July 31, 2005, and the year ended April 30, 2005, includes the operating results of the Company and AMRES assuming the Asset Sale occurred on May 1, 2004. The unaudited pro forma condensed statements of operations presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations that would have resulted had the acquisition been completed at May 1, 2004, nor is it necessarily indicative of the results of operations in future periods of the separate companies. These pro forma statements of operations are, therefore, qualified in their entirety. They should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of the Company.

The unaudited pro forma adjustments represent management's estimates based on information available at this time. Actual adjustments to these unaudited pro forma financial statements could differ from those reflected herein.

A-3

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET As of July 31, 2005

	Inc.	Residential	
Assets			
		(A)	
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,477,263	\$ (1,381,142)	375,000 (150,000) (125,000) (15,000)
Commissions and accounts	1 400 420	(1 400 420)	
receivable Loans held for sale, net		(1,489,438) (1,122,750)	
Prepaids and other current assets		(54,633)	
Total current assets		(4,047,963	
Property and equipment, net	171,501	(171,501)	
Other assets	47 , 334		
Total assets	\$ 4,364,891 =======	\$ (4,219,464) =======	
Liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)			
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Commissions payable Warehouse line of credit Accrued liabilities Other current liabilities Convertible notes payable, net	2,758,742 1,098,320 1,514,459 48,378	(1,505,459)	
Total liabilities	5,588,608	(5,579,608)	
Stockholders' equity (deficit):			
Class D Convertible Preferred Stock	1,040,222		
Class F Convertible Preferred Stock	313,490		
Common stock	6,298		4,138
Additional paid-in capital	16,024,219		(4,138) 375,000 (125,000)
Accumulated deficit	(18,607,946)	1,360,144	(150,000)

			(15,000)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,223,717)	1,360,144	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 1 361 891	\$ (4,219,464)	
equity (deficit)	========	~ (4,213,404)	

A-4

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS For the Three Months Ended July 31, 2005

Weighted average shares outstanding

	Consolidated Anza Capital, Inc.	American Residential Funding, Inc.	Adjustments
Revenues: Brokerage Sale of loans, net	\$ 13,486,668 8,184	\$ (13,486,668) (8,184)	
Total revenues		(13,494,852)	
Cost of revenues Brokerage	9,677,118	 (9,677,118)	
Gross profit	3,817,734	(3,817,734)	
Operating expenses: General and administrative Selling and marketing	2,870,083 1,039,071	(1,039,071)	25,000
Total operating expenses		(3,886,049)	
Operating loss	(91,420)	68,315	
<pre>Interest expense Other income (expense), net</pre>		382,228 17,221	
Net loss		\$ 467,764	
Preferred stock dividends	(1,760)		
Net loss available to common Shareholders		\$ 467,764 ======	
Loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.08) ======	\$	

basic and diluted 6,324,532 -- 4,137,500

A-5

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS For the Year Ended April 30, 2005

		American Residential Funding, Inc.	Adjustments
Revenues: Brokerage Sale of loans, net Real estate services and other	\$ 48,772,625 745,459 771,348	\$ (48,772,625) (745,459) 	
Total revenues	50,289,432	(49,518,084)	
Cost of revenues Brokerage Real estate services and other	36,382,604 664,766	(36,382,604)	
Total cost of revenues		(36, 382, 604)	
Gross profit	13,242,062	(13,135,480)	
Operating expenses: General and administrative Stock based compensation Selling and marketing Provision for litigation losses	2,445,404 740,178	(1,650,207) (2,445,404) (740,178)	100,000
Total operating expenses	16,639,836	(16,419,831)	
Operating loss	(3,397,774)	3,284,351	
<pre>Interest expense Other income (expense), net</pre>	(252,415) 122,052	240,622 (131,965)	
Net loss before minority interest in losses of consolidated subsidiary	(3,528,137)	3,393,008	
Minority interest in losses of consolidated subsidiary	75 , 245	(75,245)	
Net loss	\$ (3,452,892) =======	\$ 3,317,763	
Preferred stock dividends	(126,750)		
Net loss available to common Shareholders	\$ (3,579,642)	\$ 3,317,763	

	=====		======	
Loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ =====	(0.73)	\$	
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted	4,8	885 , 038		 4,137,500

A-6

Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Financial Statements

The following unaudited pro forma adjustments result from the sale of AMRES and for the security purchases performed by the Company. The amounts and descriptions related to the preliminary adjustments are as follows:

- A. The amounts reflect the elimination of AMRES' financial data as a stand alone entity as of July 31, 2005 and the assumptions of Anza's liabilities.
- B. To account for the par value of 4,137,500 shares of Anza common stock held by AMRES to Viking. The AMRES shares are reported as outstanding since they were eliminated in consolidation.
- C. Reflects the \$375,000 received by Anza from the Asset Sale to Viking.
- D. Accounts for the \$150,000 cash dividend that will be distributed to the Company's shareholders on November [__], 2005. The dividend will be distributed to approximately 3,026,688 shares and was equal to approximately \$0.0495 per share.
- E. Accounts for the purchase by AMRES Holding of an aggregate of 3,043,945 shares of common stock, 8,201.5 shares of Series D Preferred stock, and warrants to purchase 750,000 shares of common stock, in exchange for the total purchase price of \$125,000. The \$125,000 was reimbursed to AMRES Holding by Anza upon receipt of the \$375,000.
- F. Accounts for the purchase by AMRES Holding for the rights to warrants to acquire 2,000,000 and 450,000 shares of common stock from two investors for \$10,000 and \$5,000, respectively. The \$15,000 was reimbursed to AMRES Holding by Anza upon receipt of the \$375,000.
- G. Reflects the estimated costs of operating a public company. Costs include legal, accounting, investor relations, stock transfer agent, etc.

A - 7

EXHIBIT B

Information Required by Part B of Form S-4

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Business Overview

We are a holding company that currently operates primarily through one active subsidiary.

o American Residential Funding, Inc., a Nevada Corporation (AMRES) provides home financing through the brokerage of residential home

Inactive Subsidiaries

- o AMRES Direct, Inc., formerly Red Carpet Holdings, Inc., was activated in 2004 to focus on direct-to-consumer marketing. The Company has not generated revenue and has incurred minimal expenses.
- o Expidoc.Com, a California Corporation (Expidoc) arranged for notaries to provide document signing services for lenders across the country. Effective January 31, 2004, we suspended operations at Expidoc. This decision was a result of a sudden shift in customer mix, as Expidoc's largest customer (Ditech.com) ceased using Expidoc as a third party provider of notary services.
- o Titus Real Estate LLC, a California limited liability company (Titus Real Estate) is currently non-operational.
- o Bravo Realty.com, a Nevada Corporation (Bravo), was a real estate sales company focused solely in California. Bravo Real Estate Services, Inc. (Bravo Real Estate Network) and Bravo Realty.com were sold in April 2005.

AMRES

As shown below, AMRES has consistently provided the majority of our consolidated revenue. The industry in which AMRES operates can be highly volatile and is largely dependent on interest rates.

Percentage of Total Revenues by Service

	% YTD Revenue % YTD Revenue		% YTD Revenue
	April 30, 2005	April 30, 2004	April 30, 2003
Broker Commissions	97.0%	98.5 %	98.4 %
Real Estate Services	1.5%	1.0 %	1.0 %
Sale of Loans	1.5%	0.5 %	0.6 %
Total	100.0%	100.0 %	100.0 %

B-1

Loan Making

AMRES is primarily a loan broker, arranging during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2005 a total of \$2,250,459,456 in home loans, or an average of greater than \$170,000,000 in loans per month. AMRES, through its agents in some 86 branches (an average of 1-8 agents in each branch) is licensed in 14 states to originate loans. AMRES, through its loan agents, locates prospective borrowers from real estate brokers, home developers, and marketing to the general public. After taking a loan application, AMRES processes the loan package, including obtaining credit and appraisal reports. AMRES then presents the loan to one of approximately 400 approved lenders, who then approve the loan, draw loan documents, and fund the loan. AMRES receives a commission for each brokered

loan, less what is paid to each agent.

Termination of Mortgage Banking

Effective May 31, 2005, our warehouse line of credit was not renewed, and as a result we terminated our mortgage banking activities.

Loan Standards

Mortgage loans arranged by AMRES are generally loans with fixed or adjustable rates of interest, secured by first mortgages, deeds of trust or security deeds on residential real estate. Generally, mortgage loans having a loan-to-value ratio in excess of 80% will be covered by a Mortgage Insurance Policy, FHA Insurance Policy or VA Guaranty insuring against default of all or a specified portion of the principal amount thereof.

The mortgage loans are generally "one-to-four-family" mortgage loans, which are permanent loans (as opposed to construction or land development loans) secured by mortgages on non-farm properties, including attached or detached single-family or second/vacation homes, one-to-four-family primary residences and condominiums or other attached dwelling units, including individual condominiums, row houses, townhouses and other separate dwelling units even when located in buildings containing five or more such units. Each mortgage loan may be secured by an owner-occupied primary residence or second/vacation home, or by a non-owner occupied residence. The mortgaged property may be a mobile home.

In general, no mortgage loan is expected to have an original principal balance less than \$30,000. While most loans will be less than \$700,000, loans of any size may be brokered to unaffiliated third-party mortgage lenders.

Credit, Appraisal and Underwriting Standards

Each mortgage loan must (i) be an FHA-insured or VA-guaranteed loan meeting the credit and underwriting requirements of such agency, or (ii) meet the credit, appraisal and underwriting standards established by the lender for which the loan is brokered or sold. A lender's underwriting standards are intended to evaluate the prospective mortgagor's credit standing and repayment ability, and the value and adequacy of the proposed mortgaged property as collateral. The various lenders underwriting standards generally follow guidelines acceptable to FNMA ("Fannie Mae") and FHLMC ("Freddie Mac"). The lender's underwriting policies may be varied in appropriate cases, especially in sub-prime loans.

B-2

Mortgage Software and Technology

AMRES currently uses loan origination software developed by an independent third party. The software allows the routing of pertinent information to the automated underwriting systems employed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the primary secondary-market purchasers of mortgages, and the automated systems of independent lenders such as IndyMac.

Recent Developments

Public Listing of AMRES Common Stock

On October 19, 2004, AMRES was issued a trading symbol sufficient to be quoted on the Pink Sheets. The trading symbol is ARFG. Prior to the issuance of a trading symbol, we recapitalized the subsidiary so that it is authorized to

issue 500,000,000 shares of common stock, and as of October 18, 2004 had 105,000,000 shares of common stock outstanding. In connection with services rendered by consultants to help us obtain this listing, and in exchange for working capital, we issued 20,000,000 shares of common stock of the subsidiary, reducing our ownership interest to 81%. As of October 18, 2004, the subsidiary is authorized to issue 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, of which 500,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock are outstanding and held by us, and 1,000,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are outstanding and held by us. As a result of the conversion provisions of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, we have a minimum of 80% of the votes on all issues submitted to the subsidiary shareholders, and can acquire upon conversion shares of common stock representing a minimum of 80% of the outstanding common stock. As of July 18, 2005, American Residential Funding, Inc. had 133,520,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

The purpose of getting AMRES listed on the Pink Sheets was to create liquidity for us and to assist us in raising capital to fund AMRES growth. A total of approximately \$150,000 was raised by AMRES, an amount insufficient to carry out the growth plans we had. Because of excessive dilution that was resulting from our efforts to raise capital, given a falling stock price, we terminated the capital raising efforts through AMRES.

Discussion of Other Operations

ExpiDoc -- Nationwide Notary Services

ExpiDoc was an Internet-based nationwide notary service that specialized in providing mortgage brokers and bankers with a solution to assist with the final step of the loan process: notarizing signatures of the loan documents. ExpiDoc provided its clients with real-time access to the status of their documents, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. ExpiDoc's proprietary software executed both the front office notary coordination and the back office administration. During its busiest period, ExpiDoc employed 5 people, all located in Costa Mesa. In January 2004, due to a sharp decline in demand for its services driven primarily by the decreased business from DiTech.com, we discontinued operations at ExpiDoc.

Bravorealty.com and Bravo Real Estate, Inc.

Bravorealty.com was a real estate brokerage that was incorporated in May 2000 and began operations in January 2001. In 2003, we reviewed the business model of Bravorealty.com and Brave Real Estate, Inc. and determined that a franchise type model for Bravo Real Estate, Inc. would provide us the best opportunity to grow our real estate business quicker and with a higher degree of profitability. As such, during 2003 and 2004 we attempted to launch Bravo Real Estate Network, a franchise-type real estate brokerage division of Bravo Real Estate, Inc. It was anticipated that the majority of the Bravorealty.com agents would be transitioned to Bravo Real Estate Network. This business model was not successful.

В-3

In April, 2005, Bravorealty.com and Bravo Real Estate, Inc. were sold to an entity controlled by an officer and director of our subsidiary, AMRES.

Titus Real Estate

Titus Real Estate is the management company of Titus REIT. Titus REIT sold its last property and has distributed the final proceeds to the REIT shareholders, and thus Titus Real Estate is now non-operational.

AMRES Direct, Inc.

AMRES Direct, Inc., formerly Red Carpet Holdings, Inc., was activated in 2004 to focus on direct-to-consumer marketing. The company has no revenue or business at this time.

Sales and Marketing

We have marketed and sold our mortgage brokerage services primarily through a direct sales force of loan agents totaling between 20 and 40 persons throughout the year based in Costa Mesa, California, as well as over 500 loan agents at branch locations. We maintain 2 Company-leased offices in Southern California and more than 86 branch offices in 14 states.

Our sales efforts are headquartered primarily in our Costa Mesa, California office. Once a branch is opened, a branch manager supervises a licensed branch office and its employees, and receives all of the profits of that branch, after all relevant expenses and corporate fees have been collected. AMRES provides accounting, licensing, legal, compliance and lender access for each branch, retaining a percentage of commission generated by loan correspondents at each branch. The branch managers must follow all guidelines set forth by AMRES as well as all regulations of various government agencies and in most cases are independently responsible for the expenses incurred at the branch level, including personnel expenses. However, both State and Federal regulations are increasingly shifting various liabilities to AMRES.

Competition

We face intense competition in the origination and brokering of our mortgage loans. Such competition can be expected from banks, savings and loan associations and other entities, including real estate investment trusts. Many of our competitors have significantly more assets and greater financial resources than us. In addition, there may be other competitors that we have not identified. We can make no representations or assurances that there will not be increased competition or that our projections will ever be realized. Competition among companies similar to AMRES that are seeking to acquire or establish branches, continues to intensify.

Proprietary Rights and Licensing

We may rely on a combination of trademark laws, trade secrets, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions with our employees, consultants and business partners to protect our proprietary rights. We may seek to protect our electronic mortgage product delivery systems, documentation and other written materials under trade secret and copyright laws, which afford only limited protection. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our systems or to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. While we are not aware that any of our systems infringe upon the proprietary rights of third parties, there can be no assurance that third parties will not claim infringement by us with respect to current or future products.

B-4

In addition, we rely on certain software that we license from third parties, including software that is used in conjunction with our mortgage products delivery systems. There can be no assurance that such firms will remain in business, that they will continue to support their products or that their products will otherwise continue to be available to us on commercially

reasonable terms. The loss or inability to maintain any of these software or data licenses could result in delays or cancellations of loans being brokered or banked.

Environmental Matters

We have not been required to perform any investigation or clean up activities, nor have we been subject to any environmental claims. There can be no assurance, however, that this will remain the case in the future.

Trade Names and Service Marks

We devote substantial time, effort, and expense toward developing name recognition and goodwill for our trade names for our operations. We intend to maintain the integrity of our trade names, service marks and other proprietary names against unauthorized use and to protect the licensees' use against claims of infringement and unfair competition where circumstances warrant. Failure to defend and protect such trade name and other proprietary names and marks could adversely affect our sales of licenses under such trade name and other proprietary names and marks. We know of no current materially infringing uses. In June 2005 we received trademark protection for the AMRES logo and the American Residential Funding name.

Employees

As of August 10, 2005, we employed a total of approximately 453 persons. Of the total, 55 officers and employees were employed at the principal executive offices of the Company in Costa Mesa, California, all of whom were engaged in Finance and Administration. In addition, we employ approximately 398 individuals through our Branch operations, 98 of which were engaged in loan administration and 300 of which were engaged in loan production. None of our employees is represented by a labor union with respect to his or her employment.

Historical Changes in Business Strategy and Changes in Control

Anza Capital, Inc. ("Anza" or the "Company") was incorporated in the State of Nevada on August 18, 1988 as Solutions, Incorporated. Since that time, we have undergone a series of name changes as follows: Suarro Communications, Inc., e-Net Corporation, e-Net Financial Corp., e-Net.Com Corporation, e-Net Financial.Com Corporation, and finally, effective on January 2, 2002, Anza Capital, Inc.

We have undergone two recapitalizations. In November 1999, our outstanding common stock underwent a two-for-one forward split. Effective in April 2003, (a) our preferred stockholders exchanged their Series A and Series C preferred stock for newly created Series E and Series D preferred stock, respectively, (b) our President exchanged cancelled options and converted debt into common stock and newly created Series F preferred stock, and (c) our common stock underwent a one-for-twenty reverse stock split, resulting in a decrease in our outstanding common stock at the time from 99,350,000 shares to 4,967,500 shares.

B-5

Properties

Our principal place of business is in Costa Mesa, California, where we lease an approximately 14,000 square foot facility for approximately \$367,000 per annum (subject to usual and customary adjustments), under a written lease which terminates in June 2008. This location houses our corporate finance, administration, and sales and marketing functions. Approximately 1,253 square

feet of space at this same facility is subleased to a third party on a month-to-month basis for \$2,631.

AMRES leases additional facilities in Riverside, California (term expiring in 2006, \$2,599 per month).

As of September 1, 2005 only the Corporate $\,$ office in Costa Mesa will have the HUD license and will not be renewed after 2005.

We believe that our current facilities will be adequate to meet our needs, and that we will be able to obtain additional or alternative space when and as needed on acceptable terms.

We may also hold real estate for sale from time to time as a result of our foreclosure on mortgage loans that may become in default. As of April 30, 2005, no such real estate is owned.

Legal Proceedings

Oaktree Funding

In March 2003, our subsidiary, American Residential Funding, Inc., was served with a lawsuit brought by Oaktree Funding Corporation. On June 14, 2004, AMRES settled this matter but maintained a cross-complaint and attempted to recover its losses from the remaining cross-defendants. Subsequent to the settlement, AMRES elected not to pursue its cross-complaint and this matter is now closed.

The Irvine Company

In June 2004 a lawsuit was filed against our subsidiary, American Residential Funding, Inc. ("AMRES") by the Irvine Company. The action was filed in the Superior Court of California in the County of Orange, case number 04CC006842. The suit alleges that AMRES breached a building lease and claims damages for the entire term of the lease through August 2007 of \$886,332.44. AMRES recently filed an Answer to the Complaint and a Cross-Complaint against a former Branch Manager and his business associate who signed the lease in question purporting to be officers of the corporation. AMRES believes that this matter lacks merit and will litigate the case vigorously to hold the proper parties accountable for any damages that are due the plaintiff.

B-6

First American Title Insurance

In November 2004, a lawsuit was filed against our subsidiary, American Residential Funding, Inc., by First American Title Insurance in the State of Arkansas, County of Saline, case number CV-2004-875-1. The Complaint alleges breach of contract and warranty, breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and conspiracy, and requests damages of \$294,700, plus accrued interest. AMRES filed an Answer and is vigorously defending the action as we believe it lacks merit.

Other Proceedings

On June 8, 2005 a former consultant of the Company filed a complaint alleging that the Company owes him \$125,000 plus attorneys' fees due to a breach of contract. The Company is defending vigorously and has filed a Cross-Complaint against the plaintiff as the Company believes that the plaintiff fraudulently induced the Company into entering into the subject contract. The Company

believes this matter has been settled, pending a formal settlement agreement and dismissals of the complaint and cross-complaint.

On June 17, 2005 a Lender filed a Complaint against the Company alleging \$70,000 in damages resulting from the Company breaching a repurchase agreement. The Company is vigorously defending the matter and has filed a Cross-Complaint against the Lender, the Lender's President and one of the Lender's executives as the Company believes that the Cross-Defendants induced the Company into entering into the repurchase agreement under false pretenses. The Company also believes that Cross-Defendants should be forced to return the amounts already paid out by the Company on the repurchase agreement. The Company believes the matter is also being settled and is also pending a formal settlement agreement.

In the ordinary course of business, we are from time to time involved in various pending or threatened legal actions. The litigation process is inherently uncertain and it is possible that the resolution of such matters might have a material adverse effect upon our financial condition and/or results of operations. The aggregate amount of all claims from the various other legal proceedings pending against us is approximately \$500,000. In the opinion of our management, other than as set forth herein, matters currently pending or threatened against us are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

B - 7

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors Anza Capital, Inc. and subsidiaries Costa Mesa, California

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Anza Capital, Inc. and subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") as of April 30, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Anza Capital, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations, has an accumulated deficit, and has a working capital deficiency. These factors,

among others, raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do no include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Singer Lewak Greenbaum & Goldstein LLP

SINGER LEWAK GREENBAUM & GOLDSTEIN LLP

Los Angeles, California July 29, 2005

B-8

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors Anza Capital, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Anza Capital, Inc. and subsidiaries (collectively, "ANZA") as of April 30, 2004, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended April 30, 2004. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of ANZA's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Anza Capital, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended April 30, 2004, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred losses from operations in fiscal 2004, and at April 30, 2004 the Company has an accumulated deficit and limited working capital. In the event losses continue, the Company may require additional working capital for which it currently has no commitments. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding these matters are described in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ McKennon, Wilson & Morgan LLP

Irvine, California

August 11, 2004

B-9

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	April 30, 200
ACCITIC	
ASSETS Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$ 1,316,84
Commissions and accounts receivable	1,234,65
Marketable securities, subject to rescission	1,090,00
Loans held for sale, net (Note 3)	5,886,95
Prepaids and other current assets	18,10
Total current assets	9,546,55
Property and equipment, net (Note 4)	183,79
Other assets	47,33
Total assets	\$ 9,777,67
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)	
Current liabilities:	
Warehouse line of credit (Notes 6 and 14)	\$ 5,778,29
Accounts payable	215,15
Accrued liabilities (Note 7)	1,593,56
Unsecured line of credit	75,00
Commissions payable	2,091,12
Other current liabilities	26,91
Convertible notes payable, net of discount of \$51,141 (Note 9)	56,09
Redeemable securities, net of discount of \$281,322	-
Total current liabilities	\$ 10,510,52
Minority interest of consolidated subsidiary	-
Stockholders' equity (deficit) (Note 10): Preferred stock, 2,500,000 shares	
authorized:	
Series D convertible preferred stock, no par value; liquidation value of	
\$126.81 per share; 15,000 shares authorized; 8,201.5	
shares outstanding as of April 30, 2005 and 2004 respectively	1,040,22
Series F convertible preferred stock, no par value; liquidation value of	
\$16.675 per share; 25,000 shares authorized; 18,800	
shares issued and outstanding as of April 30, 2005 and 2004,	313,49
Respectively	
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 10,486,398 and	
9,007,460 shares issued at April 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and 6,315,998 and 4,869,896 shares outstanding as of April 30, 2005	
	c 21
and 2004, respectively Additional paid-in capital	6,31 16,022,44
Additional pard-in capital	10,022,44

Accumulated deficit	(18,115,31
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	473,18
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 9,777,67 =======

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements

B-10

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended

	April 30, 2005
Revenues:	
Brokerage Sales of loans, net Real estate services and other	\$ 48,772,625 745,459 771,348
	50,289,432
Cost of revenues: Brokerage Real estate services and other	36,382,604 664,766
	37,047,370
Gross profit	13,242,062
Operating expenses: General and administrative Stock-based compensation and consulting fees Selling and marketing Provision for litigation losses Non-recurring settlement expenses, net Impairment of goodwill	11,804,047 1,650,207 2,445,404 740,178 (78,543)
	16,639,836
Operating income (loss)	(3,397,774)
Other income (expense) Interest expense Other income (expense), net	(252,415) 122,052

Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (3,528,137)
Income from discontinued operations	\$
Net income (loss), before minority interest in losses of consolidated subsidiary	\$ (3,528,137) ========
Minority interest in losses of consolidated subsidiary	75 , 245
Net income (loss)	(3,452,892)
Preferred stock dividends	(126,750)
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (3,579,642) =======
Earnings (loss) per common share: Basic: Weighted average number of common shares	4,885,038
Net income (loss) per common share from continuing operations	\$ (.73)

B-11

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (CON'T)

Year Ended

	April	30,	2005
Net income (loss) per common share from discontinued			
operations	\$		
Net income (loss) per basic common share	\$		(.73)
	=====		:====
Diluted:			
Weighted average number of common shares	4	1,885	,038
Net income (loss) per common share from continuing			
operations	\$		(.73)
Net income per common share from discontinued operations	\$		
Net income (loss) per diluted common share	\$		(.73)
	=====		

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements

B-12

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED APRIL 30, 2003, 2004, AND 2005

		A Preferred		C Preferred	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	A	
Balances, April 30, 2002	486,820	\$243,410	17,459	\$ 1,	
Shares issued for contract buyout					
Shares issued as payment on loan payable					
Shares issued to consultants					
Shares issued as part of Global Settlement					
Value of beneficial conversion feature on AMRES holding note					
Issuance of Series A convertible preferred					
Repurchase of Series A convertible preferred	(52 , 266)	(26, 132)			
Amortization of deferred stock compensation					
Conversion of Series C convertible preferred			(1,056)	(
Restructuring of Series A convertible preferred into Series E convertible preferred	(434,554)	(217,278)			
Restructuring of Series C convertible preferred into Series D convertible preferred			(16,403)	(1,	
Value of warrants granted in connection with debt					
Net Loss					
Balances, April 30, 2003				====	
Shares issued to employees and directors					
Shares issued to consultants					
Amortization of deferred compensation					
Conversion of Series C convertible preferred					
Repurchase of Series A convertible preferred					
Restructuring of Series A convertible preferred					

into Series E convertible preferred

Restructuring of Series C convertible preferred into Series D convertible preferred

Value of warrants ascribed to Series D convertible preferred

Restructuring of AMRES holding note

Issuance of Laguna settlement shares

Cancellation of Laguna warrants

Net income

Balances, April 30, 2004 -- -- -- --

Dividends - Series D convertible preferred

Dividends - Series F convertible preferred

Issuance of dividends shares declared in 2004 - Series $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}$

Issuance of dividends shares declared in 2004 - Series ${\tt F}$

Issuance of common stock as consulting expense

Issuance of AMRES shares to consultants

B-13

Reclassification of minority interest portion of ${\tt AMRES}$ equity from the issuance of shares to minority interests

Issuance of stock for cash

Issuance of stock as compensation expense

Issuance of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ warrants with Series G $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ preferred stock

Issuance of warrants as consulting expense

Issuance of warrants with convertible debt

Beneficial conversion feature on convertible debt.s

Beneficial conversion feature on issuance of Series G preferred stock

Conversion of AMRES debt to equity, net gain of \$110,398

Net loss attributable to common shareholders

Balances as of April 30, 2005

-- -- \$ ------ -----

217,278 217,278

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements

B-14

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED APRIL 30, 2003, 2004 AND 2005 (CON'T)

	E Preferred			F Preferre		
	Shares Amount			Shares		Am
Balances, April 30, 2002	-	\$	-	\$	-	

Shares issued for employees and directors

Shares issued as payment on loan payable

Shares issued to consultants

Shares issued as part of Global Settlement

Value of beneficial conversion feature on ${\tt AMRES}$ holding note

Restructuring of Series A convertible preferred into Series E convertible preferred

Repurchase of Series A convertible preferred and distribution of dividends

Restructuring of Series C convertible preferred into Series D convertible preferred

Conversion of Series C convertible preferred

Shares issued in settlement with consultant

Eugai i iling. ANZA OAI ITAL INO - 10	IIIII IILIII40		•
Restructuring of AMRES holding note			18 , 800 3
Issuance of Laguna settlement shares Cancellation of Laguna warrants Net income			
Balances, April 30, 2003	217,278	217,278	
Shares issued to employees and directors			
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred	(92,278)	(92,278)	
Shares Issued to Consultant			
Conversion of Series C convertible preferred			
Liquidation of Series E convertible preferred through exchange of interest on secured notes receivable	(125,000)	(125,000)	
Restructuring of Series A convertible preferred into Series E convertible preferred			
Restructuring of Series C convertible preferred into Series D convertible preferred			
Value of warrants ascribed to Series D convertible preferred			
Restructuring of AMRES holding note			
Issuance of Laguna settlement shares Cancellation of Laguna warrants Net income			
Balances, April 30, 2004	- :	-	18,800 3 ===================================
Dividends - Series D convertible preferred			
Dividends - Series F convertible preferred			1
Issuance of dividend shares declared in 2004 - Series D			

Issuance of common stock as consulting expense $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

Issuance of dividend shares declared in 2004

- Series F

Issuance of AMRES shares to consultants

Reclassification of minority interest portion of AMRES equity from the issuance of shares to minority interests

Issuance of stock for cash

Issuance of stock as compensation expense

Issuance of warrants with Series ${\tt G}$ preferred stock

Issuance of warrants as consulting expense

Issuance of warrants with convertible debt

Beneficial conversion feature on convertible debt

Beneficial conversion feature on issuance of Series G preferred stock

Conversion of AMRES debt to equity, net gain of \$110,398

Net loss attributable to common shareholders

Balances as of April 30, 2005 - - 18,800

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

B-16

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) FOR THE YEARS ENDED APRIL 30, 2003, 2004 AND 2005 (CON'T)

	Additional Paid-in Deferred Capital Compensation		Accumulated Deficit	
Balances, April 30, 2002	\$ 2,321,894	\$ (57,958) 	\$ (13 ,	
Shares issued to employees and directors	84,131			

39

Shares issued to consultants	85,247	
Amortization of deferred compensation		57,958
Conversion of Series C convertible preferred	122,664	(17,
Repurchase of Series A convertible preferred		(21,
Restructuring of Series A preferred into Series E convertible preferred		
Restructuring of Series C preferred into Series D convertible preferred	902,825	(337,
Value of warrants ascribed to Series D convertible preferred	39,346	
Restructuring of AMRES holding note	161,700	
Issuance of Laguna settlement shares	53,850	
Cancellation of Laguna warrants	(132,543)	
Net income		902,
Value of warrants granted in connection with debt		
Net loss		
Balances, April 30, 2003	13,639,114	- (13,283, - ====================================
Balances, April 30, 2003 Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred	13,639,114	
	13,639,114	
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred	13,639,114	
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred Shares Issued to Consultant	13,639,114	
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred Shares Issued to Consultant Dividends - Series E convertible preferred	13,639,114	(20,
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred Shares Issued to Consultant Dividends - Series E convertible preferred Dividends - Series F convertible preferred Liquidation of Series E convertible preferred through exchange of interest on	13,639,114 ===================================	(20,
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred Shares Issued to Consultant Dividends - Series E convertible preferred Dividends - Series F convertible preferred Liquidation of Series E convertible preferred through exchange of interest on secured notes receivable	13,639,114	(20,
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred Shares Issued to Consultant Dividends - Series E convertible preferred Dividends - Series F convertible preferred Liquidation of Series E convertible preferred through exchange of interest on secured notes receivable Net loss	13,639,114 ===================================	(20, (108, (1,122,
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred Shares Issued to Consultant Dividends - Series E convertible preferred Dividends - Series F convertible preferred Liquidation of Series E convertible preferred through exchange of interest on secured notes receivable Net loss Balances, April 30, 2004	13,639,114 ===================================	(20, (108, (1,122, (14,535

Issuance of dividend shares declared in 2004-Series F	45,895	
Issuance of common stock as consulting expense	703,780	
Issuance of AMRES shares to consultants	900,000	
Reclassification of minority interest portion of AMRES equity from the issuance of shares to minority interests	(75,245)	
Issuance of stock for cash	19,500	
Issuance of stock as compensation expense	7,000	
Issuance of warrants with Series G preferred stock	96,718	(12,

B-17

	Additional Paid-in Capital	Deferred Compensation	
Issuance of warrants as consulting expense	39,427		
Issuance of warrants with convertible debt	10,175		
Beneficial conversion feature on convertible debt	240,412		
Beneficial conversion feature on issuance of Series G preferred stock	225,821		(28,
Conversion of AMRES debt to equity, net gain of \$110,398	11,602		
Net Loss attributable to common shareholders			(3,452,
Balances as of April 30, 2005	\$ 16,022,441 ========	========	\$(18,115 ======

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Apr	Twelve Months Ended April 30, 2005		Ended	
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$	(3,579,642)	\$	(1,122,663)	
Stock-based consulting fees		1,643,207			
Stock-based compensation		7,000		11,200	
Provision for losses on brokered loans Loss on disposal of assets				 45 , 409	
Impairment of goodwill				195,247	
Gain on settlement of obligations Gain on conversion of AMRES notes					
payable		(110,398)			
Depreciation Minority interest in losses of consolidated subsidiary Amortization of discounts on convertible notes payable		68,541 (75,245)		90,185	
		189,272			
Amortization of deferred stock compensation Interest and beneficial conversion related charges Accrued interest and accretion on notes		136,926 4,620			
Changes in Assets/Liabilities Increase (decrease) in other current liabilities				(75,601)	
Increase (decrease) in commissions payable		(828,136)		231,753	
Increase in accrued liabilities		669,749		339,242	
Increase in accrued interest expense					
Decrease in other current assets Decrease (increase) in prepaid and other		74,410			
current assets Decrease (increase) in loans held for sale,		1,796		56,850	
net		(2,236,039)		3,950,712	
Decrease (increase) in commissions and accounts receivable		793 , 574		484,509	
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable		(134,307)		(462,800)	

B-19

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CON'T)

	Twelve Months Ended April 30, 2005	Ended
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(3,374,672)	3,744,043
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisitions of property and equipment	(8,181)	(126,023)
Issuance of secured note receivable Proceeds from sale of portion of secured		(200,000)
note receivable		50,000
Other assets		(73,867)
Net cash used in investing activities	(8,181)	(349,890)
Cash flows from financing activities: Borrowings from (repayments of) unsecured line of credit	75,000	
(Repayments) advances on warehouse line of credit, net	2,171,433	(3,907,344)
Payments on notes payable and capital leases	(78,765)	74,534
Proceeds from convertible notes payable	308,000	
Issuance of stocks	19,500	
Repurchase of Series E convertible preferred stock		(92,278)
Dividends on Series E convertible preferred stock		(20,199)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2,495,168	(3,945,287)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(887,685)	(551,134)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	2,204,525	2,755,659

B-20

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CON'T)

	Twelve Months Ended April 30, 2005		ns Twelve Mont Ended 05 April 30, 200				Twe Ap
Non-cash financing activities:							
Exchange of Series E convertible preferred stock for interest in secured note receivable	\$		\$	125,000	\$		
Settlement of debt with issuance of common stock	\$ 		\$		\$		
Conversion of Series C convertible preferred stock to common stock	\$		\$		\$		
Exchange of Series A convertible preferred stock to Series E convertible preferred stock	\$		\$		\$		
Exchange of Series C convertible preferred to Series D convertible preferred, common stock and accrued dividends	\$		\$		\$		
Warrants issued for bridge financing, debt conversions, marketable securities, subject to rescission	=====		=====		=== \$		
Conversion of AMRES notes payable to	=====	======	=====	=======	===		
equity	•	11,602 ======	\$ ====		\$ ===		
Supplemental cash flow information: Cash paid for interest	\$	66 , 504	•	37,155	\$		

Income taxes were not significant during the periods presented $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements

B-21

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - GENERAL

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. ("ANZA" or the "Company"), a Nevada corporation, was originally incorporated on August 18, 1988, under the name of Solutions, Inc. Subsequently, its name was changed to Suarro Communications, Inc. on August 16, 1996. On February 12, 1999, May 12, 1999, January 18, 2000, and February 2, 2000, the entity changed its name to e-Net Corporation, e-Net Financial Corporation, e-Net.Com Corporation and e-Net Financial.Com Corporation, respectively. On January 2, 2002, the entity changed its name to Anza Capital, Inc.

On April 12, 2000, ANZA acquired American Residential Funding, Inc. ("AMRES") and Bravo Real Estate, Inc. from EMB Corporation ("EMB"). AMRES is a Nevada corporation organized on March 13, 1998 for the purpose of brokering conventional loans. ANZA is a holding company which operated through these two subsidiaries. Bravo Real Estate, Inc. was exchanged for 100% interest in American Union Escrow on April 20, 2005. AMRES represents 99% of the revenues and 92% of the assets of ANZA.

Effective in April 2003, (a) our preferred stockholders exchanged their Series A and Series C preferred stock for newly created Series E and Series D preferred stock, respectively, (b) our President exchanged cancelled options and converted debt into common stock and newly created Series F preferred stock, and (c) our common stock underwent a one-for-twenty reverse stock split, resulting in a decrease in our outstanding common stock from 99,350,000 shares to 4,829,896 shares. All common shares and per share amounts in the accompanying financial statements have been adjusted retroactively to effect the reverse stock split.

On April 20, 2005, the Company agreed to assign all assets and interests known as Bravo Real Estate Network, BravoRealty.com to the Company's Chief Operating Officer in exchange for certain assets and interests of one of its non-affiliated branch offices. Total assets transferred in the exchange and operations of the interests were immaterial to the company's financial position and its results of operations. Total losses associated with the transfer amounted to approximately \$2,800 and have been included in other income (expense) in the accompanying financial statements.

NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Going Concern Considerations

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming ANZA will continue as a going concern, which contemplates that assets and liabilities will be settled at amounts in the normal course of business. ANZA incurred a loss from operations during the year ended April 30, 2005 of \$3,579,642, had an accumulated deficit of \$18,115,317 at April 30, 2005, and working capital deficiency of \$732,848. In addition, AMRES is still a defendant in a significant amount of litigation for which the outcome is uncertain. During the year ended April 30, 2005, ANZA settled some of the significant cases and the insurance covered most of the losses. However, because of the claims, the insurance company increased the deductible which caused the loss of the warehouse line of credit and the discontinuance of the mortgage banking operations which has a major impact in the profitability of AMRES. Management has implemented cost control and reduction of personnel costs. Management has also evaluated the branches and has closed a few that were not profitable. In addition, some branches have closed voluntarily. If ANZA continues to experience losses, management will require additional working capital through debt or equity sources. At present, ANZA has no commitments for long-term financing. There are no assurances that management will be successful in its plans. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

B-22

Significant Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management relate to provisions for loss on loans brokered, which enter into default immediately after closing, loans held for sale, litigation loss provisions, and allowances for deferred tax assets.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ANZA and its subsidiaries, collectively, the "Company." All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

Commissions generated from brokering loans are recognized at the date of close. Notary services related revenue were recognized when the services were performed. Loan origination fees are deferred and recorded upon the sale of loans to third parties without recourse, and whereby ANZA has no continuing involvement.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale represent mortgage loans originated and held by ANZA, pending sale, to interim and permanent investors. ANZA sells loans it originates, typically within 30 days of origination, rather than holding them for investment. ANZA sells loans to institutional loan buyers under existing contracts. ANZA sells the servicing rights to its loans at the time it sells those loans. Typically, ANZA sells the loans with limited recourse. This means that, with some exceptions, the Company reduces its exposure to default risk at the time it sells the loan, except that it may be required to repurchase the loan if it breaches the representations or warranties that it makes in connection with the sale of the loan, in the event of an early payment default, or if the loan does not comply with the underwriting standards or other requirements of the ultimate investor. In the event ANZA is required to repurchase a loan, management will assess the impact of losses, which results from a repurchased loan. To date, no loans have been repurchased which were originated, funded and sold by ANZA; however, the Company has participated in settlements for damages as a result of default loans. The mortgage banking operations have been discontinued as of May 31, 2005 because of the closure of the warehouse line of credit due to ANZA's inability to meet the maximum deductible requirement for the errors and omissions insurance. There is one loan left to be sold and is being re-underwritten.

B-23

Gains and losses on loans sold are recognized at the time legal title transfers to the investor based upon the difference between the sales proceeds and the basis of the loan sold. Basis in the loans held for sale includes the cost of the loan, less loan and processing fees charged to the borrower, plus certain direct costs. The mortgages are carried at the lower of cost or market as

determined by outstanding commitments from investors or current investor yield requirements calculated on the aggregate loan basis. Management evaluates impairment of loans held for sale based on their estimated fair value. If impairment exists, ANZA records a charge to earnings. During the year ended April 30, 2005, ANZA realized a net gain on the sale of loans of \$745,459.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 140") in September of 2000. SFAS 140 is a replacement of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 125 ("SFAS 125"), revising the standards for accounting for securitizations and other transfers of financial assets and collateral and requires certain disclosures. However, SFAS 140 retains most of SFAS 125's provisions without reconsideration. All sales of loans and servicing rights are legally transferred at the time of sale; therefore, transfer of these assets and liabilities at the time of sale is appropriate. Management reviews reports of 30 day defaults or events of fraud, which could require the Company to reacquire the loans. At April 30, 2005, such amounts for 30 day defaults are not significant.

The FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantors' Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" ("FIN 45"). FIN 45 elaborates on the existing disclosure requirements for most guarantees, including loan guarantees such as standby letters of credit. It also requires that at all times a company issues a guarantee, that a company must recognize an initial liability for the fair market value of the obligations it assumes under that guarantee and must disclose that information in its financial statements. Guarantees by the officer cannot easily be fairly valued because of the related-party nature of the guarantees and significant equity interest in affiliates held by the guarantor.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

ANZA considers all liquid investments with an original maturity of 90 days or less to be cash equivalents. Balances in bank accounts may, from time to time, exceed federally insured limits. As of April 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company maintained standby letters of credit, collateralized by the balances of two cash accounts. As of April 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$383,463 and \$391,864, respectively of restricted cash located in cash on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The purpose of the letters of credit is to comply with certain states' bond requirements.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Significant renewals and betterments, which extend the life of the related assets, are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Property and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, ranging from three to seven years. Assets, which have a separable life, are depreciated over the life of those assets. At the time of retirement or other disposition of property and equipment, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations.

B-24

Goodwill

Goodwill during the periods presented was not amortized in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". As of January 31, 2004,

management suspended all operations relating to Expidoc due to a sudden shift in customer base and the loss of Expidoc's major customer. Thus, an impairment charge in the amount of \$175,247 was recorded during the quarter ended January 31, 2004 to write off all unamortized goodwill related to Expidoc. In addition, the Company recorded an impairment charge in the amount of \$20,000 to reduce the carrying value of Titus to zero as of January 31, 2004. For the year ended April 30, 2003, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$150,000 relating to goodwill associated with Titus. As of April 30, 2004 and 2005, goodwill amounts are zero.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed when the advertising or promotion is published or presented to consumers. Advertising expenses for the years ended April 30, 2005, 2004, and 2003 were \$929,245, \$1,062,093 and \$505,959, respectively.

Gains or Losses from the Extinguishment of Debt

ANZA follows the provisions of SFAS 145. SFAS 145 impacts ANZA only with respect to the rescission of SFAS 4, relating to how gains and losses from the extinguishment of debt are classified. ANZA reports all gains and losses on settlements of debt as components of operating income and losses. During the year ended April 30, 2003, ANZA had a gain on settlement of debt in the amount of \$78,543; see Note 9 for additional information.

Income Taxes

ANZA accounts for income taxes under the provisions of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between bases used for financial reporting and income tax reporting purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is provided for certain deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that ANZA will not realize tax assets through future operations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 107, "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments" ("SFAS 107"), requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instruments. SFAS 107 excludes certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

The following methods and assumptions were used by ANZA in estimating fair values of financial instruments as disclosed herein:

B-25

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate their fair value. Accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments.

Loans Held for Sale

For variable-rate loans that re-price frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for fixed rate loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis or underlying collateral values, where applicable. All loans held for sale, except one, were sold shortly after the year ended April 30, 2005 without any losses recognized, hence the carrying values of these loans approximate the fair value.

Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings maturing within 90 days approximate their fair values. Fair values of other short-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Accrued Interest

The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate their fair values.

Off-Balance-Sheet Instruments

The Company generally does not charge commitment fees. Fees for standby letters of credit and their off-balance-sheet instruments are not significant for the periods presented.

Stock-Based Compensation

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123"), defines a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. However, SFAS 123 allows an entity to continue to measure compensation cost related to stock and stock options issued to employees using the intrinsic method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25") Entities electing to remain with the accounting method of APB 25 must make pro forma disclosures of net income (loss) and earnings (loss) per share, as if the fair value method of accounting defined in SFAS 123 had been applied. ANZA continues to account for stock-based compensation under APB 25. Stock-based compensation for non-employees is accounted for using the fair value approach consistent with SFAS 123. See below for discussion of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS 148") which addresses financial accounting and reporting for recording expenses for the fair value of stock options.

B-26

Earnings Per Common Share

ANZA presents basic earnings per share ("EPS") and diluted EPS on the face of the consolidated statement of operations. Basic EPS is computed as net income (loss) divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for

the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options, warrants, and other convertible securities. Dilutive securities, including the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and the Series F Convertible Preferred Stock, were not included in the computations of loss per share for the twelve months ended April 30, 2005 and 2004 since their effects are anti-dilutive. As of April 30, 2003, dilutive shares related to the Series D preferred amounted to 1,040,222, while dilutive shares relating to the Series F and Series E preferred amounted to 1,880,000 and 434,556, respectively.

Reporting Comprehensive Income

ANZA reports the components of comprehensive income using the income statement approach. Comprehensive income includes net income (loss), as well as certain non-shareholder items that are reported directly within a separate component of stockholders' equity (deficit) and bypass net income (loss). The provisions of this statement had no impact on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information

Management discloses financial and descriptive information about an enterprise's operating segments in annual and interim financial reports issued to stockholders. An operating segment is a component of an enterprise that engages in business activities that generate revenue and incur expense, whose operating results are reviewed by the chief operating decision-maker in the determination of resource allocation performance, and for which discrete financial information is available. See Note 12 for these disclosures.

Significant Customer Concentration

For the years ended April 30, 2005 and 2004, four investors accounted for one hundred percent of the purchasers of loans held for sale and accounted for one hundred percent of the revenues from the mortgage banking business. One investor purchased approximately 70% and 64% of the funded loans in the year ended April 30, 2005 and 2004 respectively.

Minority Interest in Losses of Consolidated Subsidiary

The Company has recorded the pro-rata share of AMRES' equity owned by the minority interests of \$75,245 as of April 30, 2005 as minority interest in consolidated subsidiary, and has recorded the pro-rata allocation of losses of AMRES as "Minority Interest in Losses of Consolidated Subsidiary" on the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. All gains and losses on the sales and issuances of minority shares have been recorded in accordance with Topic 5-H, which requires gain recognition when the sales proceeds exceed the pro-rata book value of the equity transferred. These gains totaled \$110,398 as of April 30, 2005.

Recently Issued Accounting Statements

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure" which addresses financial accounting and

B-27

reporting for recording expenses for the fair value of stock options. SFAS 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to fair value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Additionally, SFAS 148 requires more prominent and more frequent disclosures in

financial statements about the effects of stock-based compensation. ANZA has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting as allowed by APB 25 for employee stock-based compensation. The disclosure effects of SFAS 148 are not significant to ANZA for years presented since minimal activity occurred in fiscal 2004 or 2003 and no grants were made to employees during the years ended April 30, 2004 and 2003.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS 150"). SFAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measurers in its statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. In accordance with SFAS 150, financial instruments that embody obligations for the issuer are required to be classified as liabilities. SFAS 150 shall be effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company's implementation of SFAS 150 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 153, "Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets," ("SFAS 153") an amendment to Accounting Principle Board Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions" ("APB 29"). SFAS 153 eliminates certain differences in the guidance in APB 29 as compared to the guidance contained in standards issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. The amendment to APB 29 eliminates the fair value exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. Such an exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. SFAS 153 is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in periods beginning after December 16, 2004. Management does not expect adoption of SFAS 153 to have a material impact, if any, on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123(R)"). SFAS 123(R) amends SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", and APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees". SFAS 123(R) requires that the cost of share-based payment transactions (including those with employees and non-employees) be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS 123(R) applies to all share-based payment transactions in which an entity acquires goods or services by issuing (or offering to issue) its shares, share options, or other equity instruments (except for those held by an ESOP) or by incurring liabilities (1) in amounts based (even in part) on the price of the company's shares or other equity instruments, or (2) that require (or may require) settlement by the issuance of a company's shares or other equity instruments. This statement is effective (1) for public companies qualifying as SEC small business issuers, as of the first interim period or fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2005, or (2) for all other public companies, as of the first interim period or fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005, or (3) for all nonpublic entities, as of the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2005. In March 2005, the SEC announced it will permit companies to delay implementation until the beginning of their next fiscal year, instead of the next reporting period. Management has determined that they will adopt SFAS 123(R) as of the beginning of their next fiscal year, and is currently assessing

the impact of this statement on its consolidated financial position and results of operations in 2006. In the interim, the Company is continuing to use the intrinsic value method in estimating employee stock compensation expense based on the fair value method of accounting. This method is allowed under SFAS 148, which amended SFAS 123 in December 2002, and proforma disclosure of fair value amounts is provided.

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections" ("SFAS 154"), that addresses accounting for changes in accounting principle, changes in accounting estimates and changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions and error correction. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle and error correction unless impracticable to do so. SFAS 154 states an exception to retrospective application when a change in accounting principle, or the method of applying it, may be inseparable from the effect of a change in accounting estimate. When a change in principle is inseparable from a change in estimate, such as depreciation, amortization or depletion, the change to the financial statements is to be presented in a prospective manner. SFAS 154 and the required disclosures are effective for accounting changes and error corrections in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

NOTE 3 - LOANS HELD FOR SALE

Loans held for sale as of April 30, 2005 and 2004 consist of 18 and 22 conventional uninsured mortgages originated by the Company, with various interest rates, respectively. Loans outstanding at April 30, 2005 were sold to investors subsequent to April 30, 2005. Details of the loans held for sale as of April 30, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

		April 30, 2005		Apr
Loans Range	Number of	Total Loan	Average	Number of
	Loans	Amount	Interest Rate	Loans
\$10,000 to \$100,000	4	\$ 200,620	9.69%	14
\$100,001 to \$200,000	3	422,774	7.12%	2
\$200,001 to \$300,000	2	530,880	3.70%	4
\$300,001 to \$400,000	1	361,580	1.00%	1
Over \$400,000	8	4,390,340	2.23%	1
	18	5,906,194		22
Deferred fees, net of costs		(19,244)		=======
		\$ 5,886,950		
		========		

These loans were funded and collateralized by the warehouse credit line (Note 6).

B-29

Property and equipment consist of the following as of April 30, 2005 and 2004:

	2005	2004
Equipment Furniture and fixtures	\$ 320,462 84,403	\$ 382,961 51,713
runiture and lixtures		J1 , /15
Less: accumulated depreciation	404,865 (221,073)	434,674 (190,522)
	\$ 183,792	\$ 244,152
	=======	=======

During the years ended April 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, depreciation expense totaled \$68,541, \$90,185 and \$48,054, respectively.

NOTE 5 - GOODWILL

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", goodwill during the periods presented was not amortized. As of January 31, 2004, management suspended and subsequently discontinued all operations relating to Expidoc due to a sudden shift in customer base and the loss of Expidoc's major customer. An impairment charge of \$175,247 was recorded during the year ended April 30, 2004 to write off all unamortized goodwill related to Expidoc. The Company recorded a \$150,000 impairment charge recorded related to Titus during the year ended April 30, 2003. In addition, the Company recorded an impairment charge in the amount of \$20,000 to reduce the carrying value of Titus to zero as of January 31, 2004. As of April 30, 2005 and 2004, goodwill amounts are zero.

NOTE 6 - WAREHOUSE LINE OF CREDIT

The Company maintained a \$10,000,000 warehousing line of credit dated May 20, 2004, which expired on May 31, 2005. The agreement was guaranteed by ANZA and its Chief Executive Officer. In addition, the agreement increased the various ratios and net worth requirements, minimum utilization requirements, and limits the warehouse period from 45 to 60 days depending on the type of loan. The interest rate was adjustable, based upon a published prime rate, plus an additional 0.5% to 2% and was payable monthly. In addition, the Company was required to pay a commitment fee equal to one quarter of 1% (.25%) per annum on the average unused credit limit if the usage of the line falls below 50% of the credit limit on an average basis, calculated monthly. The rate varies depending on the type of loan (conforming or non-conforming) with higher rates on non-conforming loans. The average interest rate charged during the year ended April 30, 2005, under the previous arrangement was 6.50% per annum; the range of interest rates was 5.50% per annum at April 30, 2005 from 5.00% per annum at April 30, 2004. The line of credit was collateralized by the loans held for sale. As of May 31, 2005 the Company lost the warehouse line of credit because AMRES could not get the Error and Omissions coverage with the deductible set by the bank's quidelines. No demand for repayment of the loan has been presented to the Company. The line of credit is classified as a current liability.

B-30

NOTE 7 - ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities consist of the following as of:

April 30, 2005 April 30, 2004

\$	633,500
	161,610
	61,557
	108,888
	14,910
\$	980,465
===	
	\$ \$ ===

NOTE 8 - CONVERTIBLE NOTES PAYABLE

On June 27, 2001, ANZA obtained a short-term bridge loan from Laguna Pacific Capital Partners in the amount of \$225,000, with a stated rate of interest at 7% per annum. Anza also executed a warrant agreement, which entitled Laguna Pacific to acquire up to \$225,000 worth of Anza common stock for the total purchase price of \$1.00, calculated at 70% of the closing stock price on the date immediately preceding the exercise date. The relative value of the warrant amounted to \$132,543, and such amount was reflected as a discount to the note. Management of Anza sought relief, since the general partners of Laguna Pacific did not perform under certain terms of the agreement. On or about June 27, 2002, Anza entered into a settlement agreement and general mutual release with Laguna Pacific (the "Laguna Settlement"). As consideration under the Laguna Settlement, Anza repaid the \$225,000 note, plus \$9,000 in accrued interest, and the note was cancelled.

Subsequent to the Laguna Settlement, a dispute arose regarding whether or not the Laguna Settlement included and consequently canceled the warrants. On October 25, 2002, the board of directors authorized the issuance of 150,000 shares of ANZA's common stock upon exercise of the Laguna warrant. The stock was valued at the fair market value on the date the settlement which was calculated at \$0.40 per share, less a 10% reduction based on the Rule 144 restriction. The value of the 150,000 shares issued to Laguna was determined to be \$54,000. The value of the warrant immediately prior to the settlement was determined to be equal to the original relative value of the warrant, since no economic changes impacted the value of the warrant since the date of issuance. During the year ended April 30, 2003, management recorded a gain on the settlement as other income in the amount of \$78,543.

NOTE 9 - ISSUANCE OF CONVERTIBLE NOTES AND WARRANTS BY A SUBSIDIARY

On October 11, 2004, the Company issued a secured convertible note payable totaling \$125,000 to AMRES Holding, LLC, a related party partially owned and controlled by the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The note is secured by substantially all of AMRES' assets. Interest on this note is payable quarterly beginning on January 1, 2005 at 12% per annum, and the note matures on October 11, 2006. The note is convertible into the Company's common stock at 75% of the average closing bid price for the five days preceding the date of the conversion notice. As additional consideration, the Company issued a warrant to AMRES Holding, LLC to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.10 per share. The warrant is exercisable at any time between the closing date and a date which is five years from the closing date. The Company allocated the proceeds of the note to the note and warrants based on their relative fair values, resulting in a discount related to the warrant of \$10,175. The discount is being amortized over the life of the note. As the conversion feature of the note at the time of issuance was beneficial to the holder, the Company recorded a discount on the note of \$57,413. The discount is being amortized over the term of the note as interest expense. During the year ended April 30, 2005, the Company repaid \$78,765 of the original \$125,000 borrowed. As of April 30, 2005, the outstanding balance on this note was \$46,235, and the unamortized discount was \$17,185.

B - 31

On January 7, 2005, the Company issued a convertible note payable to a private investor totaling \$100,000. The Company received proceeds, net of all costs and fees, in the amount of \$86,000. Interest on this note is payable monthly at 8% per annum, and the note matures on June 15, 2005. The note is convertible into shares of AMRES common stock at 50% of the bid price of AMRES common stock as reported on the Pink Sheet Market for the three trading days immediately preceding the date of the conversion notice. As the conversion feature of the note at the time of issuance was beneficial to the holder, the Company recorded a discount on the note of \$100,000. The discount is being amortized over the term of the note as interest expense. During the year ended April 30, 2005, this convertible note payable was converted into 10,000,000 shares of AMRES common stock. The unamortized discount of \$37,634 at the time of the conversion was immediately charged to interest expense.

On January 18, 2005, the Company issued a convertible note payable to a private investor totaling \$55,000. The Company received proceeds, net of all costs and fees, in the amount of \$47,980. Interest on this note is payable monthly at 10% per annum, and the note matures on June 15, 2005. The note is convertible into shares of AMRES common stock at 50% of the bid price of AMRES common stock as reported on the Pink Sheet Market for the three trading days immediately preceding the date of the conversion notice. As the conversion feature of the note at the time of issuance was beneficial to the holder, the Company recorded a discount on the note of \$55,000. The discount is being amortized over the term of the note as interest expense. During the year ended April 30, 2005, \$17,500 of this convertible note payable was converted into 2,000,000 shares of AMRES common stock. The unamortized discount amount of \$7,621 at the time of conversion was immediately charged to interest expense. At April 30, 2005, the unamortized discount amounted to \$16,331 and is reflected as a reduction in the convertible notes payable balance.

On February 10, 2005 the Company issued convertible notes payable to two private investors totaling \$14,000 and \$14,000. Interest on these notes are payable monthly at 8% per annum, and the notes mature on February 10, 2006. Both notes are immediately convertible into shares of AMRES common stock at a price equal to 50% of the average market price for the last three days prior to the conversion notice. As the conversion features of the notes at the time of issuance were beneficial to the holders, the Company recorded a discount on the notes of \$14,000 and \$14,000, respectively. The discounts are being amortized over the term of the notes as interest expense. During the year ended April 30, 2005, \$0 and \$4,500, respectively, of these convertible notes payable were converted into 0 and 525,862 shares, respectively, of AMRES common stock. The unamortized discount amount of \$0 and \$3,375, respectively, were immediately charged to interest expense. At April 30, 2005, the unamortized discounts amounted to \$10,500 and \$7,125, respectively, and are reflected as reductions in the convertible notes payable balance.

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Capital Leases

As of April 30, 2005 and 2004, ANZA had no significant capital leases outstanding.

B-32

ANZA leases its corporate office located in Costa Mesa, California, under a non-cancelable operating lease arrangement, which expires in June of 2008. In addition, ANZA leases certain of its branch offices under non-cancelable operating leases that expire through 2009. Also, business operations are conducted from numerous facilities, which are leased under month-to-month arrangements. Rent expense for the years ended April 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$1,388,616, \$1,596,261 and, \$1,611,161 respectively, under the various leasing arrangements.

From time to time, the Company enters into month-to-month agreements with some of its non-affiliated branches, where by it makes lease payments on behalf of the branch.

Minimum future annual rental payments under the lease agreements with a term in excess of one year at April 30, 2005, are as follows:

Years	Ending	April	30
2006	\$	451,9	946
2007		416,6	88
2008		404,4	118
2009		133,8	357
2010			
	\$ 1	1,406,9	09

Indemnifications

On December 9, 2002, the Company received notification from HUD requesting indemnification on up to 23 loans brokered by a former loan officer of the Company. AMRES executed and provided an indemnification agreement to HUD, as requested. On February 13, 2003, HUD notified AMRES that (i) without the loans originated by this particular loan officer, AMRES' default and claim rate would be an acceptable level to HUD, and (ii) as a result of the termination of that loan officer, and the execution of the indemnification agreement, the matter was closed.

During the year ended April 30, 2004, the Company received two demands for payment from HUD on claims totaling approximately \$170,000. The first demand involved losses on five properties and the second demand involved losses on an additional property. All six properties were part of the original 23 properties referred to above. The Company carries errors and omissions insurance coverage, however, the Company received notification from their errors and omissions insurance carrier that their claim for coverage was denied. As a result of this denial, the Company estimated that their total liability under the indemnification agreement is approximately \$200,000.

To date, the Company received demands for payments in the approximate amount of \$197,000 and has paid all of the outstanding balance except for \$60,000 for which the Company is requesting a credit for from HUD. The \$60,000 represents a surplus that HUD received on the sale of two of the indemnified properties.

In May 2005, HUD conducted another audit of approximately 11 loan files originated by two of the company's branches in Riverside County, California. The Company recently received the findings from this audit, and while there were various minor discrepancies noted, there were only a few nominal monetary assessments against the Company.

State Audits

The Company is subject to certain state audits, which are typical in this industry. Often these audits uncover instances of non-compliance with various state licensing requirements. These instances of non-compliance may also translate into a particular state levying a fine or penalty against the Company along with the Company refunding any overpaid fees to the borrower. During the year ended April 30, 2004, the Company resolved actions with the states of Arizona, Kansas, Nevada and Virginia paying settlements totaling \$93,000. The Company believes it is likely that a total of an additional \$25,000 in the accompanying balance sheet as of April 30, 2004, which management believes is sufficient to cover any liability related to the audits. Subsequent to April 30, 2004, the company paid \$145,170 in state and HUD audit settlement.

In June 2005, the Company was audited by the State of Virginia. The Company has not received the results of this audit.

Settlements or Resolved Matters

In November 2003, a former employee filed a lawsuit against the Company, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and AMRES. The Complaint alleged breach of contract and fraud arising out of the plaintiff's employment with the Company, and requested damages in excess of \$5,000,000, plus attorney fees, interest, penalties, and punitive damages. The trial date was continued until December 6, 2004, but the matter was settled through mediation on November 24, 2004. By the terms of the settlement agreement, the amount of the settlement is confidential but the terms were very favorable and resulted in no material impact to the Company.

On June 1, 2004, the Company agreed to settle a claim by a lender who sought recovery on two loans involving alleged misrepresentation by the borrowers. The claims were for amounts of approximately \$200,000. On or about June 1, 2004, the Company executed a settlement agreement for a total amount of \$120,000, with an initial payment of \$60,000 on June 1, 2004 and subsequent monthly payments of \$10,000 for six months. The \$120,000 is accrued in the financial statements as of April 30, 2004. As of the date of this disclosure, (August 26, 2005) the Company has paid this obligation in full.

During the current fiscal year, a lender requested that the Company reimburse them for two loans which went into default and were subsequently sold for a \$150,000 loss. The loans were brokered by a branch of the Company. On July 19, 2004, the Company settled with the lender agreeing to make monthly payments of \$10,000 starting on August 1, 2004 until a total of \$138,000 was paid. As of April 30, 2005, the Company has paid \$80,000 related to this settlement, with the balance of \$58,000 included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This matter is currently in litigation. Due to later discovered facts, the Company is disputing the basis for the settlement and believes that the lender, and not the Company, is responsible for the losses. The Company has filed a Cross-Complaint to recover the moneys the Company already paid.

In October 2003, a former employee filed a lawsuit against the Company, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and AMRES. The Complaint alleged breach of contract and fraud arising out of the plaintiff's employment with the Company and requested damages in excess of \$2,000,000, plus attorney fees, interest, penalties, and punitive damages. The trial date was continued until March 2005, but the matter was settled through mediation on February 17, 2005. By the terms of the settlement agreement, the settlement amount is confidential, but the terms were favorable and resulted in no material impact to the Company.

B-34

On or about July 3, 2003, the Company filed a complaint against a former branch manager and filed an Amended Complaint on or about October 16, 2003. The allegations included breach of written contract; intentional and negligent misrepresentation; misappropriation of trade secrets; interference with economic relations; violation of Business & Professions Code 17200; breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; conversion and conspiracy. The defendant filed a cross-complaint against the Company alleging that the Company misclassified her employment status and that the Company was liable for money advanced on its behalf of approximately \$250,000. The entire matter was settled on or about March 4, 2005. The terms of the settlement are confidential but were very favorable and resulted in no material impact to the Company.

On December 11, 2003, a competitor of the Company filed a suit alleging intentional interference with contract, conversion and trade name infringement, among other causes of action. The case settled through mediation in June 2005. The settlement agreement imposes a duty of good faith to refer at least one loan per month (on a broker basis) to the plaintiff until \$8M in loan volume has been funded. Since the average loan amount to \$400,000 approximately 20 loans will need to be referred and funded. The agreement does not contain a liquidated damages clause.

On or about May 18, 2004, a former assistant in one of the Company's branches filed a complaint alleging violations of California Labor Code Sections 202 and 203, claiming that the plaintiff was owed back commissions. The Company believes that this claim lacks merit as the plaintiff was not licensed at the time of her claims and thus not entitled to any commissions by law. This case settled on April 1, 2005 after a mandatory settlement conference. The Company was not impacted by this settlement.

On or about September 7, 2004, a complaint was filed against the Company and its Chief Executive Officer alleging fraud, negligent misrepresentation and a promise made without intent to perform The amount of damages claimed is approximately \$250,000. On March 11, 2005, the Court sustained (without leave to amend) Defendants' Demurrer to plaintiff's Complaint. On May 25, 2005, the Court entered a Judgment of Dismissal of the entire action in the defendant's favor.

In March 2003, AMRES was served with a lawsuit brought by Oaktree Funding Corporation ("Oaktree") against nineteen defendants, including AMRES, the appraiser, escrow company, notary public, and borrowers involved in six (6) different loan transactions brokered by AMRES and funded by Oaktree. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants committed fraud, breach of contract, negligent misrepresentation, RICO violations, and unfair business practices. The Complaint requests damages in excess of \$1,500,000, plus attorneys' fees, interest, penalties, and punitive damages. As of April 30, 2003, AMRES recorded a provision of \$140,000 related to the belief of AMRES and of legal counsel that this was the maximum exposure attributable to this lawsuit. Subsequent to April 30, 2004, although AMRES believed the case lacked merit, AMRES agreed to mediation and on June 14, 2004, the matter settled in mediation for a maximum exposure to AMRES of \$46,500. Of this amount, AMRES agreed to pay \$31,500 up front and indemnify Oaktree for up to an additional \$15,000 on three (3) additional properties. AMRES has maintained its cross action and will attempt to recover its losses from the remaining cross defendants. Based on the mediation, AMRES decreased their initial provision of \$140,000 to \$46,500 a decrease of \$96,500, which has been reflected as a decrease to provision for litigation loss in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended April 30, 2004. As of April 30, 2004, the \$46,500 is included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

B-35

On June 14, 2004, the matter settled in mediation for a total potential exposure to the Company of \$46,500. Of this amount, the Company agreed to pay \$31,500 up front and indemnify Oaktree for up to an additional \$15,000 on three additional properties.

To date, no claims have been made on the three additional properties.

On December 10, 2003, First Franklin Financial Corporation filed claim against the Company in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange, alleging a breach of written mortgage purchase agreement. The original claim amount was for approximately \$108,000. On May 4, 2004, the Company settled the matter with First Franklin for \$52,500. Based on the settlement, the Company recorded a provision of \$52,500 during the year ended April 30, 2004, which is included in accrued liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet. During the year ended April 30, 2005, the Company paid the settlement in full.

On May 28, 2004, the Company settled a claim from a borrower for alleged overcharges by one of the Company's former branches located in Kansas. The total settlement was \$32,500.00, of which the company was responsible for \$18,250. Another Company and potential defendant acknowledged responsibility for the remaining balance and, did in fact contribute said balance towards the total settlement amount. The \$32,500 is accrued in the accompanying financial statements as of April 30, 2004. Subsequent to year-end the \$32,500 was paid.

Active Litigation

On January 23, 2004, a former employee filed a claim against the Company in the Superior Court of California, for the County of Orange. The Complaint alleged breach of oral contract, claimed damages arising out of the plaintiff's employment with the Company, and requested damages in excess of \$50,000 plus attorney fees, interest, penalties and punitive damages. On February 17, 2005, the Court granted the Company's Motion for Summary Adjudication and dismissed all but one cause of action. Plaintiff is considering an appeal.

On November 6, 2003, a borrower filed claim against the Company in the Superior Court of California, City and County of Alameda. Amongst others also named as defendants in this matter, is a former Loan Officer in the Company's San Francisco Branch Office. The complaint alleges fraudulent inducement of contract, rescission, conversion and negligence. Plaintiff's claim is for a total amount of \$121,000 but the Company believes that the plaintiff has not shown any viable claim through the discovery process and believes that the Company will prevail at trial or even at a pretrial motion for Summary Judgment.

In May of 2004 a borrower filed suit against the Company, a branch manager and an individual, for allegations of fraud amongst other causes of action. The suit alleges that the individual named Paul Robertson deceived the borrowers who were seeking a construction loan to build a house on a vacant lot. The plaintiffs claim that they never received the house or the funds to construct the house and are seeking "compensatory damages exceeding \$75,000" and "punitive damages exceeding \$75,000". The plaintiffs are also seeking "reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The Company is defending on the grounds that Robertson was not their agent and to the extent that he and the agent were somehow defrauding borrowers, it was being done outside of the course and scope of any agency relationship with the Company. The Company believes that the case lacks merit and is defending vigorously.

B-36

In June 2004, an Orange County, California based landlord filed a lawsuit was filed against the Company. The suit alleges that the Company breached a building lease and claims damages for the entire term of the lease through August 2007 of \$886,332. The Company filed an Answer to the Complaint and a Cross-Complaint against a former Branch Manager and his business associate who signed the lease in question purporting to be officers of the corporation. The Company believes that this matter lacks merit and is defending vigorously in order to assure that the proper parties be accountable for any damages that are due the plaintiff. The Company obtained information and believes that the office leases, which are the subject of this litigation, have been re-leased to new tenants and that fact alone significantly reduces any damages to the plaintiff.

On or about July 30, 2004, a borrower filed a complaint against the Company, alleging violations of Michigan Consumer Protection Act, breach of contract, and intentional infliction of emotional distress. The Company believes that there are third parties that, at the very least, share in the liability to the plaintiff and is vigorously seeking to show same through the formal discovery process.

On or about September 20, 2004, a Class Action Complaint was filed, alleging the Company sent unsolicited advertisements to fax machines in violation of TCPA 47USC section 227. The Company is defending vigorously and also tendered the matter to People's Home Loans (a company owned by a former branch manager of the Company) for indemnification, as they were responsible for the actions that are subject to the Complaint. The Company recently received an indication that this matter will be resolved with nominal financial impact to the Company.

On or about November 10, 2004 a complaint was filed against the Company alleging breach of contract and warranty; deceptive trade practices; fraud; conversion; negligence; breach of fiduciary duty; unjust enrichment and conspiracy. The Complaint alleges damages in the approximate amount of \$295,000. The Company is defending vigorously and has information and belief that there are third parties that will ultimately have liability in this matter and that plaintiff will also be found to share in the liability for its own damages. The plaintiff has expressed an interest in mediating the matter to a resolution.

On or about November 24, 2004, a Class Action case was filed against the Company, one of its former Branch Managers, and a third party entity, Spectrum Funding Group, Inc., which is operated by said former Branch Manager. The Complaint alleges damages & equitable relief for violations of the California Labor Codes; and California Unfair Business Practices Act. The matter was tendered to the former Branch Manager for indemnification based on his contract with the Company. The Company believes that the matter lacks merit and is defending vigorously. The Company also recently learned that this matter will likely be resolved with a nominal financial impact on the Company.

On or about December 15, 2004, a former loan officer filed a complaint against the Company, alleging Breach of Contract and Conversion. The Company believes that the matter lacks merit and is defending vigorously. The Company has had very positive informal negotiations with plaintiff and his counsel and believes that the two sides are very close to resolving this matter without a significant financial impact on the Company.

B-37

On March 31, 2005 a borrower filed a Complaint against the Company, as well as, an Investor of the Company and a Company to whom said Investor sold plaintiff's

loan. The Complaint alleges Fraud; RESPA (12 U.S.C.A. section 2601 and TILA (15 U.S.C.A. section 1601 and its Regulation Z) violations. Defendants have filed for removal of case to Arbitration and are vigorously defending.

On April 22, 2005 an individual filed a Complaint against the Company and third parties, alleging counts of fraud, conversion, intentional infliction of emotional distress, (MCPA) MCL 445.901, (CSPA) MCL 445.1822(b), temporary & permanent injunction, breach of fiduciary duty, allegations of MCLA 440.3420 - conversion and negligence. The Company is defending vigorously and is preparing a motion for summary judgment requesting that the Court dismiss the Company as it is never closed a transaction for the individual and received no compensation from the company that did close a transaction for the individual.

On May 2, 2005 a Lender filed a Complaint against the Company alleging money agreements between the parties were breached. The Complaint states that plaintiff is owed \$50,531 in monetary damages and attorneys' fees in the amount of \$2,165. The Company is defending vigorously and believes that it will show that plaintiff is not entitled to any money from the Company.

On June 8, 2005 a former consultant of the Company filed a complaint alleging that the Company owes him \$125,000 plus attorneys' fees due to a breach of contract. The Company is defending vigorously and has filed a Cross-Complaint against the plaintiff as the Company believes that the plaintiff fraudulently induced the Company into entering into the contract in the first place.

On June 17, 2005 a Lender filed a Complaint against the Company alleging \$70,000 in damages resulting from the Company breaching a repurchase agreement. The Company is vigorously defending the matter and has filed a Cross-Complaint against the Lender, the Lender's President and one of the Lender's executives as the Company believes that the Cross-Defendants induced the Company into entering into the repurchase agreement under false pretenses. The Company also believes that Cross-Defendants should be forced to return the amounts already paid out by the Company on the repurchase agreement.

On July 26, 2005 a Lender filed a Complaint against the Company alleging breach of contract, negligence, negligent misrepresentation. The Complaint alleges damages in excess of \$25,000, punitive damages, attorney's fees, interest and costs. The complaint involves approximately 14 loans that allegedly originated at a former branch of the company in Michigan. Although the matter was recently filed, the company believes that substantial liability in this case wrests with the plaintiff itself as well as various individuals that were employed by the company but were acting outside the course and scope of their employment in relationship to the alleged damages suffered by plaintiff.

Additional Demands

On July 7, 2005 counsel for a Lender sent a demand letter to the Company involving a number of loans allegedly originated by various branches of the company. The Company is awaiting a formal demand from the Lender but anticipates that this claim will result in litigation as the Company believes that there are numerous third parties responsible for plaintiff's damages (as well as plaintiff being responsible to a certain degree for its own damages). Thus Cross-Complaints will need to be filed in order to properly place responsibility for plaintiff's damages.

The Company is subject to a limited number of claims and actions, which arise in the ordinary course of business. The litigation process is inherently uncertain, and it is possible that the resolution of the Company's existing and future litigation may adversely affect the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

B - 38

Employment Agreements

On June 1, 2001, ANZA entered into an employment agreement with Vincent Rinehart, its chief executive officer. Under the terms of the agreement, ANZA is to pay a salary equal to \$275,000 per year, subject to an annual increase of 10% commencing January 1, 2002, plus an automobile allowance of \$1,200 per month and other benefits, including life insurance. The agreement is for a term of five years and provides for a severance payment in the amount of \$500,000 and immediate vesting of all stock options in the event his employment is terminated for any reason, including cause. In addition, ANZA granted options to acquire 2,500,000 shares of ANZA common stock at \$0.08 per share, which vested monthly over a three-year period. The options were cancelled as part of the restructuring transactions.

Future annual minimum payments for employment compensation packages as of April 30, 2006, are as follows:

Year End
April 30, 2006 402,628
----\$ 402,628

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

Preferred Stock

On February 28, 2003, the board of directors of ANZA approved an amendment to ANZA's Articles of Incorporation to increase the authorized preferred stock from 1,000,000 shares to 2,500,000 shares, par value \$0.001 per share, the rights, privileges, and preferences of which would be determined by the board of directors, in their sole discretion, from time to time. The preferred stock may be divided into and issued in one or more series. On March 5, 2003, the proposal was approved by written consent of a majority of ANZA's stockholders and became effective after ANZA's annual shareholders meeting on April 11, 2003.

Effective in April 2003, (a) the Company's preferred stockholders exchanged their Series A and Series C preferred stock for newly created Series E and Series D preferred stock, respectively, (b) the Company's President exchanged cancelled options and converted debt into common stock and newly created Series F preferred stock, and (c) the Company's common stock underwent a one-for-twenty reverse stock split, resulting in a decrease in outstanding common stock from 99,350,000 shares to 4,967,500 shares.

Series A / Series E Convertible Preferred Stock

During the years ended April 30 2002 and 2003, ANZA repurchased 13,180 and 52,266 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock for \$6,590 and \$26,132 respectively. Also during the year ended April 30, 2003, ANZA declared and distributed \$21,995 of dividends relating to the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

B-39

On February 28, 2003, the Company entered into an agreement, whereby the holders agreed to exchange 434,554 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock for total of 217,278 shares of newly created Series E Convertible Preferred Stock.

The effective date of the exchange was April 21, 2003. The Series A Convertible Preferred Stock had a liquidation value of \$0.50 per share, or \$217,278, which equates to the liquidation value of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock of \$1.00 per share, or \$217,278 total. As such, ANZA did not incur any financial impact related to the exchange.

During the year ended April 30, 2004, the Company repurchased 92,278 shares of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock for \$92,278. In addition, the Company declared and distributed \$20,201 of dividends relating to the Series E Preferred Stock. The Company also executed an exchange agreement with the holders of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock such that the Company exchanged an asset worth \$125,000 as satisfaction of all outstanding amounts due to Series E Convertible Preferred holders. No gain or loss was recognized on the exchange. As of April 30, 2004, there were no shares of Series E Preferred Stock outstanding.

Each share of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split) (i) has a liquidation preference (after the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock) equal to \$1.00 per share, (ii) is entitled to a monthly, non-cumulative dividend equal to 12% per annum, payable in cash, and (iii) may be converted, only upon the mutual written consent of the holder and ANZA, into common stock at the average of the closing bid price for the last ten days prior to the conversion date. The Series E Convertible Preferred Stock does not have any voting rights. In April 2004, the company executed an exchange agreement with the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock holders such that all outstanding principal and dividends were liquidated in exchange for an asset owned by the Company. No gain or loss was recognized on the exchange.

Series C / Series D Convertible Preferred Stock

On May 14, 2002 and November 17, 2002, holders of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock converted 1,059 shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock into 286,426 shares of ANZA's restricted common stock. The number of shares received upon conversion was determined based on the conversion discount specified in the agreement of 17.5%, taking into account the dividends which were due on the Series C Convertible Preferred shares. The beneficial conversion feature embedded in the Series C Convertible Preferred was originally charged to ANZA's accumulated deficit. No expense was associated with the transaction. Series C Convertible Preferred stock dividends totaling \$17,050 were charged to ANZA's accumulated deficit during the year ended April 30, 2003.

On February 28, 2003, the Company entered into an agreement to exchanged 16,403 shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock for (i) 1,675,000 shares of common stock, (ii) 8,203 shares of newly created Series D Convertible Preferred Stock, and (iii) warrants to acquire 750,000 shares of common stock under the 2003 Stock Option Plan, exercisable ratably over a period of five years, with each one-third at an exercise price of \$0.50, \$0.75, and \$0.90 per share, respectively. The effective date of the exchange of the common stock was February 28, 2003, and the effective date of the exchange of Series C for Series D and warrants was April 21, 2003. On the date of the agreement, the value of the Series C Preferred Stock, plus accrued dividends, was determined to be \$1,977,426. The total shares of common stock were valued at \$871,001 based on the fair market value of the shares as of February 28, 2003, less a 10% discount for transferability restrictions. The Series D Convertible Preferred Stock has a liquidation value of \$1,040,222 and the warrants were attributed a value of \$39,346 using the Black Scholes option pricing model. The value of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and the warrants differ from the value of the previously outstanding Series C Convertible Preferred Stock by \$6,643. The Company charged the difference to interest expense during the year ended April 30, 2003.

B - 40

Each share of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock (assuming the 1-for-20 reverse stock split is effected) (i) has a liquidation preference equal to \$126.81 per share, (ii) is entitled to receive a quarterly non-cumulative dividend equal to 7% per annum, which may be paid in cash or in common stock at the discretion of ANZA based on the average of the closing bid price for the last ten trading days of the applicable quarter, (iii) may be converted, after February 28, 2004, into 126.81 shares of Company common stock at the option of the holder, and (iv) is entitled to 126.81 votes on all matters submitted to the shareholders for approval.

On April 30, 2004, the Company declared the issuance of a total of 224,386 shares of common stock valued at \$62,828 as payment of accrued dividends through the declaration date. The amount was included in accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet as of April 30, 2004, as the shares were issued subsequent to year end.

On April 30, 2005, the Company issued a total of 825,552 shares of common stock, valued at \$72,802 as payment of accrued dividends on the Series D Preferred Stock through the declaration date.

Series F Convertible Preferred Stock

On February 28, 2003, as part of the recapitalization, the convertible note plus accrued interest due AMRES Holding, Inc., which is owned by Mr. Vincent Rinehart, ANZA's CEO, was liquidated in exchange for 300,000 shares of ANZA's common stock, plus 18,800 shares of Series F convertible preferred stock. The effective date of the exchange for preferred stock was April 21, 2003.

Each share of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock (after giving effect to the 1-for-20 reverse stock split) (i) has a liquidation preference (after the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and Series E Convertible Preferred Stock) equal to \$16.675 per share, (ii) is entitled to a quarterly, non-cumulative dividend of 1.75 shares of Company common stock, which may be paid in cash at ANZA's discretion based on the average of the closing bid price for the last ten trading days of the applicable quarter, (iii) may be converted, after February 28, 2004, into 100 shares of Company's common stock at the option of the holder, and (iv) is entitled to 100 votes on all matters submitted to the shareholders for approval.

On April 30, 2004, the Company declared the issuance of a total of 164,500 shares of common stock fairly valued at \$46,060 as payment of accrued dividends through the declaration date. The amount was included in accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet as of April 30, 2004, as the shares were issued subsequent to year end.

On April 30, 2005, the Company issued a total of 131,600 shares of common stock, valued at \$12,732 as payment of accrued dividends on the Series F Convertible Preferred Stock through the declaration date.

B - 41

Series G Preferred Stock and Marketable Securities, Subject to Rescission

On September 17, 2004, the Company entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement (the "Agreement") and Escrow Agreement with an unrelated party (the "Party"). Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company exchanged 500,000 shares of its newly created Series G Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series G") and warrants

to purchase 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock for 1,000,000 shares of common stock of Cash Technologies, Inc. ("TQ Shares"), a publicly traded company.

The initial value of the TQ Shares was approximately \$1,320,000 at the inception of the Agreement. The Company is required to make certain adjustments as follows to the value of the TQ Shares:

Within 10 business days of the end of each calendar quarter, beginning with the quarter ended December 31, 2004 (each, a "Supplemental TQ Share Valuation Date"), the escrow agent will update the value of the TQ Shares held in escrow by multiplying the average closing price for the 30 days before the end of the applicable quarters times the number of TQ Shares then held in escrow, and then adding the value of any cash or other assets (valued in the same manner as the TQ Shares, or otherwise at their fair market value) then held in escrow (the "Supplemental TQ Shares Value").

If the Supplemental TQ Shares Value exceeds \$1,000,000, then either (i) upon the receipt of a written request from the Party, that number of TQ Shares may be released from escrow to the Party so that the Supplemental TQ Share Value is approximately \$1,000,000, or (ii) upon the mutual consent of the Company and the Party, the Company will issue additional shares of the Series G equal to the then-Supplemental TQ Share Value. In the event that any of the TQ Shares have been previously released from escrow, and the Supplemental TQ Share Value is subsequently less than \$1,000,000, upon the receipt of a written request from the Company, the Party will re-deposit that number of TQ Shares (up to the original 1,000,000 TQ Shares), or cash or other assets acceptable to the Company, with the escrow agent so that the Supplemental TQ Share Value is approximately \$1,000,000.

If the Supplemental TQ Share Value is less than \$1,000,000, and all of the TQ Shares are already held in escrow, then upon the receipt of a written request from the Company, that number of the Series G will be released from escrow to the Company so that the original issue price of the Series G then held in escrow will be approximately equal to the Supplemental TQ Share Value. If, on a subsequent Supplemental TQ Share Valuation Date, the Supplemental TQ Share Value exceeds \$1,000,000, then the Company will have the choice of re-depositing any withdrawn Series G to bring the Supplemental TQ Share Value back to \$1,000,000, or adjusting the number of TQ Shares as set forth above.

The Company has recorded the fair market value of the TQ Shares as available-for-sale securities, subject to rescission in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Additionally, the Agreement has certain rescission rights as follows:

Upon the receipt of notice by the Party of any claim or demand, not currently known to them, that is reasonably likely to have an effect on the warehouse line of credit, the TQ Shares, and/or the Series G then held in escrow, or if the Company fails to make a dividend payment on the Series G within 10 days of its due date, or if there is a change in control of the Company, then the Party may rescind the Agreement. Upon rescission of this Agreement, the escrow agent will return any TQ Shares (or other assets) held in escrow to the Party, and any Series G held in escrow to the Company.

B-42

The Company may rescind this Agreement at any time after the date which is 6 months after the Closing Date (the "Exclusion Period") by providing 30 days advance written notice to the Party (the "Anza Termination Notice Period").

However, if the Company rescinds the Agreement during the 30-day period immediately following the Exclusion Period, the Company is limited to rescinding the transaction only with respect to one-half of the then-outstanding Series G. The Exclusion Period and the Anza Termination Notice Period is waived for the Company if the Party exercises a conversion of the Series G. After the expiration of the Anza Termination Notice Period (if applicable), the escrow agent will return any TQ Shares held in escrow to the Party, and any Series G held in escrow to the Company.

The Agreement calls for the various parties to deposit their consideration with an escrow agent, until such a time as either (i) all of the Series G are converted into shares of the Company's common stock, or (ii) the escrow is terminated in accordance with the Agreement, as noted above. In either case, the warrants are transferred to the Party within two days from depositing in the escrow.

The Series G, par value \$0.001 per share, with original issue price of \$2.00 per share, have non-cumulative dividends at 12% per annum, payable when declared. The Series G are immediately convertible into shares of the Company's common stock, subject to certain adjustments, at a price equal to the lesser of \$0.08 per share or 80% of the 30-day average closing bid price for the 30 trading days prior to the date the Company receives a conversion notice. All outstanding shares of the Series G are automatically converted into the Company's common stock on September 17, 2009, 5 years after the original issue date.

The warrants to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock have an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and expire in 5 years. In relation to this transaction, a beneficial conversion feature of \$225,821 was assessed for the issuance of the Series G, and a warrant valuation was assessed at \$96,716. These amounts are being amortized as Preferred dividends over the term of the Series G, and the remaining unamortized balance is reflected as a discount on the Series G Preferred Stock. At April 30, 2005, the unamortized discount was \$281,322.

On October 30, 2004, the Escrow Agreement was amended such that upon termination of the Securities Purchase Agreement, the TQ Shares will be released to the Company and the Series G will be released to the Party.

On May 5, 2005 the Company exercised its right to rescind the agreement, closed the escrow and returned the TQ shares to the other party. As such, the Series G Preferred stock has been recorded as redeemable securities in the liabilities section of the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. In May 2005, the Company will record an immediate charge to earnings for the complete unamortized portion of the discount.

Common Stock

On February 28, 2003, the board of directors approved, subject to stockholder approval, an amendment to ANZA's Articles of Incorporation to effectuate a one (1) for twenty (20) reverse stock split of ANZA's issued and outstanding common stock. On March 5, 2003, the proposal was approved by written consent of a majority of ANZA's stockholders and became effective after ANZA's annual shareholders meeting on April 11, 2003. The effects of the reverse stock split have been retroactively applied to all periods presented.

B-43

From time to time, ANZA's board of directors authorizes the issuance of common stock. ANZA values shares of common stock based on the closing ask price of the securities on the date the directors approve such issuance. In the event ANZA

issues common stock subject to transferability restrictions under Rule 144 of the Exchange Act of 1933, ANZA discounts the closing ask prices by 10% to value its common stock transactions.

On November 4, 2002, ANZA issued 152,500 shares to consultants and legal counsel for services rendered prior to October 31, 2002, valued at \$85,400. The value of the shares was recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as consulting expense for the year ended April 30, 2003.

On November 4, 2002, ANZA issued 199,000 shares to current employees and directors for services rendered prior to October 31, 2002. The shares were valued at \$84,330 and were recorded as compensation expense for the year ended April 30, 2003.

Shares issued for services during the year ended April 30, 2003, are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended April	
	Costs Incurred	Shares Issued
Incentives - Employees and Directors Consulting - Legal	\$ 84,330 85,400	199,000 152,500
Total	\$169 , 730	351 , 500

On February 28, 2003, Anza Capital, Inc. and Vincent Rinehart entered into an agreement, whereby Rinehart agreed to (i) cancel options to acquire 125,000 shares of common stock and (ii) convert an aggregate of \$433,489 in principal and interest under a promissory note into (y) 300,000 shares of common stock and (z) 18,800 shares of newly created Series F Convertible Preferred Stock. The value attributed to the 300,000 shares of common stock was \$162,000 based on the fair market value of the stock as of the exchange date less a 10% discount. The value attributed to the Series F Convertible Preferred Stock is \$313,490 based on 18,800 shares at a liquidation value of \$16.675 per share. The value of the Series F Convertible Preferred Stock and the common stock differ from the amount of the note payable by \$42,001, which was charged to interest expense during the year ended April 30, 2003.

On April 1, 2004 the Company entered into a consulting agreement for marketing and sales with two individuals. Under the terms of the agreement, the was to pay each individual \$55,000 over ten months in common stock of the company, valued at \$10,000 the first month and declining by \$1,000 each month. Under the agreement, the company issued 100,000 shares during 2005 and 40,000 shares in 2004, before canceling the contract. The company has recorded the fair market value of the shares as consulting expenses of \$11,200 in the year ended April 30, 2004 and \$24,800 during the year ended April 30, 2005.

B-44

Stock Options and Warrants

2003 Securities Plan

On February 28, 2003, the Board of directors of ANZA approved, declared it advisable and in ANZA's best interests, and directed that there be submitted to

the holders of a majority of ANZA's voting stock for action by written consent the Anza Capital, Inc. 2003 Omnibus Securities Plan (the "2003 Securities Plan"). On March 5, 2003, the proposal was approved by written consent of a majority of ANZA's stockholders; and became effective after ANZA's annual shareholders meeting on April 11, 2003.

The 2003 Securities Plan authorizes the granting of the following types of stock-based awards (each, an "Award"):

- o stock options (including incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options);
- o restricted stock awards;
- o unrestricted stock awards; and
- o performance stock awards.

A total of 750,000 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance under the 2003 Securities Plan. Additional annual increases in shares available cannot exceed 10% of the outstanding common stock. In the event the Company issues stock options or warrants, each Award shall specify the date when options or warrants are to become exercisable. To the extent required by applicable law, stock options or warrants shall become exercisable no less rapidly than the rate of 20% per year for each of the first five years from the date of grant. Subject to the preceding sentence, the exercisability of any stock options or warrants shall be determined by the compensation committee in its sole discretion. Forfeitures pursuant to the terms under which such shares were issued, will again become available for the grant of further awards. No stock option may be exercised after the expiration of ten years from the date of grant (or five years in the case of incentive stock options granted to certain employees owning more than 10% of the outstanding voting stock). Pursuant to the 2003 Securities Plan, the aggregate fair market value of the common stock for which one or more incentive stock options granted to any participant may for the first time become exercisable as incentive stock options under the federal tax laws during any one calendar year shall not exceed \$100,000. Subsequent to April 30 2004, the board authorized a resolution to increase the amount of shares reserved for issuance under the 2003 Securities Plan to 936,746 shares.

As of April 30, 2005 and 2004, there were no outstanding employee options.

On February 28, 2003, warrants to purchase 750,000 shares of common stock were granted which vest and are exercisable, over a period of five years. These warrants are in connection with the conversion of Series C convertible preferred stock into Series D convertible preferred stock as discussed in Note 11, Series C / Series D Convertible Preferred Stock. One-third each have an exercise price of \$0.50, \$0.75, and \$0.90 per share, respectively and expire 10 years from the grant.

B - 45

Warrants issued to non-employees:

	Range of	Weighted Average
	Exercise	Exercise
Warrants	Prices	Price

Weighte Average Fair Valu Warrants Gr

	========	==========	========	=======
Exercised Outstanding, April 30, 2005	3,200,000	\$0.10	\$0.51	\$0.0
Canceled				
Granted	2,450,000	\$0.10 - 0.25	\$0.13	\$0.0
Outstanding, April 30, 2003 and 2004	750,000	\$0.50 - 0.90	\$0.72	\$0.0
Exercised				
Canceled	(24,234)	60.00- 134.60	83.20	57.0
Granted	750,000	0.50 - 0.90	0.72	0.0
Outstanding, April 30, 2002	24,234	\$60.00- 134.6	\$83.20	\$57.0

The warrants issued in February 2003 were attributed a value of \$39,346 using the Black Scholes option pricing model. The closing stock price and the date of grant of the warrants was \$0.60 per share. The option life assumed is five years, risk-free interest rate of 2.5%, and an expected volatility of 15%. Management determined the measurement date to be February 28, 2003, since consent of a majority of the shareholders was obtained on that date. On September 17, 2004, warrants to purchase 2,000,000 shares were issued in connection with the Securities Exchange Agreement discussed in the Series G Preferred Stock section. The fair value according to the Black Scholes option pricing model was \$96,716. This assumed a closing stock price of \$0.10 per share, an option life of 5 years, risk free rate of 3.4% an expected volatility of 165%

On September 15, 2004, in accordance with an advisory agreement, the Company issued to financial consultants warrants to acquire a total of 250,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share and 200,000 shares of common stock at \$0.10 per share. Both warrants expire in five years and are immediately exercisable. The company valued the warrants using the Black Scholes method and determined the aggregate value of the warrants to be \$39,427. These amounts have been recorded as consulting expense in the accompanying statement of operations. Additionally, for a period of five years, the consultants are to receive 50,000 warrants at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share for each \$1,250,000 of new capital raised. The Agreement also calls for the payment of \$12,000 cash per month through August 25, 2005, and any delay in payment of this fee would increase fees due by 10% and an additional 20,000 \$0.10 warrants to be issued.

On October 11, 2004, warrants to purchase 250,000 shares were issued to the Company's Chief Executive Officer in connection with a convertible promissory note. The fair value of the warrants was estimated at \$10,175 using the Black Scholes option pricing model. The closing stock price and the date of grant of the warrants was \$0.10 per share. The option life is assumed at 5 years, the risk free rate at 2.56%, and an expected volatility of 164%

B - 46

Warrants to purchase 2,450,000 shares which are outstanding are exercisable ratably over a five-year period. As of April 30, 2005 and 2004, 2,450,000 and 150,000 warrants were exercisable, respectively. As of April 30, 2005 and 2004, the remaining contractual life on the warrants are 5.53 and 8.83 years, respectively.

Preferred Stock of Consolidated Subsidiary (AMRES)

AMRES authorized 1,250,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock on July 18, 2003. The Series A preferred stock has no par value and accrues dividends at a rate of 10% per annum. There are no voting, liquidation, redemption or conversion rights associated with the Series A Preferred Stock. On December 23, 2003, AMRES amended the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock so that it has a face value of \$4.00 per share, pays a 3% quarterly cumulative cash dividend, and has a liquidation preference.

On July 18, 2003, the Company entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement with AMRES and Sutter Holding Company, Inc. ("Sutter").

On December 18, 2003, the parties to the Agreement entered into a Mutual Rescission of Securities Exchange Agreement whereby they agreed to rescind the transactions contemplated by the Agreement in their entirety, and all parties returned all consideration. The Company returned to Sutter the 66,496 shares of Sutter common stock, Sutter returned to AMRES the 1,000,000 shares of Series A preferred stock, and Sutter returned to ANZA the Warrants.

On December 23, 2003, the AMRES amended the terms of its unissued Series A preferred stock. Under the amendment the Series A preferred stock is non-redeemable with no par value and accrues dividends at a rate of 3%, per annum, payable quarterly. In addition, the dividends are cumulative and the holders of the Series A preferred stock have priority to all distributions. There are no voting, redemption or conversion rights associated with the Series A preferred stock.

On December 23, 2003, AMRES issued 500,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for 4,000,000 shares of restricted common stock of ANZA. On July 28, 2004, the agreement was modified and the AMRES returned 2,400,000 shares of ANZA's common stock to ANZA. The subsequent transaction was accounted for retroactively to the original agreement date on December 23, 2003. At April 30, 2004, AMRES held a total of 1,737,500 shares of ANZA common stock. AMRES accounts for these shares as an investment in a related entity. For purposes of consolidation, however, this transaction is eliminated.

As of January 31, 2005, the subsidiary is authorized to issue 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, of which \$500,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock are outstanding and held by the Company, and 1,000,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are outstanding and held by the Company. As a result of the conversion provisions of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, the Company has a minimum of 80% of the votes on all issues submitted to the subsidiary shareholders, and can acquire upon conversion shares of common stock representing a minimum of 80% of the outstanding common stock.

 $\hbox{\tt Common Stock Registration of Subsidiary and Issuance of Subsidiary Common Shares}$

On October 18, 2004, the Company's subsidiary, American Residential Funding, ("AMRES") filed Form D with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a listing on the Pink Sheets. In connection with the filing, the Company issued 15,000,000 shares of common stock to consultants as advisors to facilitate the transaction and concurrently sold 3,000,000 shares at \$0.01 per share (representing a total of 17.5% of AMRES's common shares outstanding). In December 2004, the shares began trading at \$0.05 per share. Therefore, the Company has valued the shares issued to the consultants and the concurrent nominal investments at \$0.05 per share resulting in consulting expense of approximately \$900,000.

During the year ended April 30, 2005, AMRES issued shares of common stock as follows: 140,000 shares of common stock as compensation expense totaling \$7,000, 17,354,138 shares of common stock as consulting expense totaling \$678,980 and 10,525,862 shares of common stock on the conversion of notes payable totaling \$122,000. The gain on the conversion of this debt totaled \$110,398.

During the year ended April 30, 2005, AMRES recorded a beneficial conversion feature totaling \$183,000 on the issuance of convertible notes payable.

A summary of the transactions in the minority interest of consolidated subsidiary account is as follows:

	April	30, 2005
Initial recapitalization		
•	\$	0
Issuance of AMRES shares to consultants and pro-rata		
allocation of related equity		53 , 591
Pro-rata allocation of additional paid-in capital due to		
to this issuance of AMRES shares to various parties		21,654
Minority interest in losses of consolidated subsidiary		(75,245)
Ending balance, minority interest in equity		
of consolidated subsidiary		
	====	

NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES

At April 30, 2005, ANZA had net operating loss carry-forwards for federal and state income tax purposes totaling approximately \$9.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, which for federal reporting purposes, begin to expire in 2012 and fully expire in 2024. For state purposes, the net operating loss carry-forwards begin to expire in 2006 and fully expire in 2011. The utilization of these net operating losses may be substantially limited by the occurrence of certain events, including changes in ownership. The net deferred tax assets at April 30, 2005 and 2004, before considering the effects of ANZA's valuation allowance amounted to approximately \$5.0 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. ANZA provided an allowance for substantially all its net deferred tax assets since they are unlikely to be realized through future operations. The valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets decreased approximately \$699,542 during the year ended April 30, 2005, and increased\$708,942 during the year ended 2004. ANZA's provision for income taxes differs from the benefit that would have been recorded, assuming the federal rate of 34%, due to the valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets.

The Company's effective income tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate due to the following:

B-48

	YEARS ENDED APRIL 30,		
	2005	2004	2003
Federal statutory income tax rate	37%	37%	37%
State taxes, net of federal benefit	4	4	4
Valuation allowance	(41)	(41)	(41)
Total	0%	0%	0%
	=====	=====	=====

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets for federal income taxes at April 30, 2005 and 2004 consisted of the following:

	2005	2004
Deferred tax assets (net of tax)		
Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 3,918,742	\$ 4,896,131
Accrued liabilities	350,310	68 , 880
Goodwill		615 , 125
Stock based consulting fees	676 , 585	101,219
Other	8,074	
Valuation allowance	(4,981,813)	(5,681,355)
mated the Council to the council of		
Total deferred tax assets	\$	\$

NOTE 13- SEGMENT AND OTHER INFORMATION

Segments were determined based on services provided by each segment. Performance of the segments is evaluated on revenues and net income. For the twelve months ended April 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, management has provided the following information with respect to its operating segments (in thousands).

 $$B{-}49$$ As of and for twelve months ended April 30, 2005, 2004, 2003

	Revenues N			Net Income (loss)		
	2005	2004	2003	2005	2004	2003
Loan brokering Mortgage banking Real estate brokerage	745 771	\$ 62,143 319 598 \$ 63,060	\$ 56,918 337 589 \$ 57,844	\$ (3,679) 108 \$ (3,571)	319 (23)	337 (5)
Corporate				\$	\$ (267)	\$ (150)
Escrow Discontinued operations	214			(9) \$ 0	\$ 61 	\$ 166
Total	\$ 50,289 ======	\$ 63,060 =====	\$ 57,844 ======	\$ (3,580) ======	\$ (1,123) ======	\$ 902 =====

Unallocated corporate expenses include salaries for corporate personnel, professional fees for legal and accounting services, non-recurring settlement expenses and goodwill impairment related to Titus and Expidoc which totaled \$195,247 for the year ended April 30, 2004 and \$150,000 for the year ended April 30, 2003.

NOTE 14 - SECURED NOTE RECEIVABLE

On November 7, 2003, the Company loaned \$200,000 to an individual for a property purchase. The loan is secured by a first trust deed on the property. The borrower is required to make interest only payments, at 7.5% per annum, and the entire loan is due on December 1, 2008. During the year, a related investor, and a direct relative of the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, purchased a portion of the loan for \$50,000, leaving the amount owed to the Company at \$150,000. In addition, prior to the end of the fiscal year, the Company executed an exchange agreement with the Series E convertible preferred stock holders whereby the Company exchanged \$125,000 of the secured note receivable for all outstanding principal and interest owed the Series E convertible preferred stock holders. There was no gain or loss recorded on the sale of the loan. As of April 30, 2004, the Company owned a \$25,000 interest in the loan which is included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance.

NOTE 15 - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Effective January 31, 2004, ANZA suspended operations at its wholly owned subsidiary, Expidoc. This decision was a result of a sudden shift in customer mix, as Expidoc's largest customer ceased using Expidoc as a third party provider of notary services. The results of Expidoc's business have been reflected as Discontinued Operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Operating results of the discontinued operations are as follows:

B-50

	April 30, 2005	April 30, 2004	April 30, 2003
Net sales	\$	\$ 1,224,099	\$ 1,219,982
Income from discontinued operations	\$	\$ 60,913	\$ 166,000

NOTE 16 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

As of May 31, 2005 the Company lost its warehouse line of credit because AMRES could not get the Error and Omissions coverage with the deductible set by the bank's guidelines. No demand for repayment of the loan has been presented to the Company. Accordingly, the line of credit is classified as a current liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet (See Note 10).

On May 5, 2005 the Gauld's exercised its right to rescind the Securities Exchange Agreement related to its Series G Preferred Stock, closed the escrow and returned the marketable securities to the other party. As such, the Series G Preferred Stock have been recorded as redeemable securities in the liabilities section of the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (See Note 10).

B-51

ANZA CAPITAL, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	July 31, 200 (Unaudited
ASSETS Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,477,26
Commissions receivable and accounts receivable	1,489,43
Marketable securities, subject to rescission	=, ==, ==, ==,
Loans held for sale, net	1,122,75
Prepaids and other current assets	56,60
•	
Total current assets	\$ 4,146,05
Property and equipment, net	171,50
Other assets	47,33
Total assets	\$ 4,364,89
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$ 118 , 33
Commissions payable	2,758,74
Warehouse line of credit	1,098,32
Accrued liabilities	1,514,45
Unsecured line of credit	86
Other current liabilities	47,51
Convertible notes payable, net of discount of \$10,625 Redeemable securities, net of discount	50 , 37 -
Total liabilities	\$ 5,588,60
Stockholders' equity: Preferred stock, 2,500,000 shares authorized: Class D convertible preferred stock, no par value; liquidation value of \$126.81 per share; 15,000 shares authorized; 8,201.5 shares outstanding as of July 31, 2005 and April 30, 2005	
respectively	1,040,22
Class F convertible preferred stock, no par value; liquidation value of \$16.675 per share; 25,000 shares authorized, 18,800 shares issued and outstanding as of July31, 2005 and April 30, 2005	-,,
respectively Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 10,435,148 and	313,49
10,486,398 shares issued at July 31, 2005 and April 30, 2005 respectively; 6,297,648 and 6,315,998 shares outstanding as of July 31, 2005 and April 30, 2005, respectively	6,29
Additional paid in capital	16,024,21
Accumulated deficit	(18,607,94
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 732,84
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	\$ 4,364,89
	========

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statement

B-52

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		
	July 31, 2005	July 31, 2004	
Revenues: Broker commissions Sales of loans, net Notary and other	\$ 13,486,668 8,184 	\$ 13,377,202 118,826 127,906	
	13,494,852	13,623,934	
Cost of revenues: Cost of mortgage related revenues Notary and other	9,677,118 	10,690,311 118,717	
	9,677,118	10,809,028	
Gross profit	3,817,734	2,814,906	
Operating expenses: General and administrative Selling and marketing	2,870,083 1,039,071 3,909,154	2,325,388 461,763 2,787,151	
Operating income (loss)	27,755	(91,420)	
Interest expense Interest income Other income	(382,228) 26,342 	(49,753) 73,263 (43,563)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (490,869)	\$ 51,265	
Preferred Stock Dividends Net Income (loss) available to common shareholders	(1,760) \$ (492,629)	\$ 51,265	
Earnings (loss) per common share: Basic:			
Weighted average number of common shares Net income (loss) per common share	6,324,532 \$ (0.08)	4,869,096 \$ 0.01	

Diluted:

Weighted average number of common shares 6,324,532 8,046,316
Net income (loss) per common share \$ (0.08) \$ 0.01

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements

B-53

ANZA CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended July 31, 2005
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used	\$ (490,869)
<pre>in operating activities: Depreciation Amortization of discounts on convertible notes payable</pre>	13,517 40,516
Loss on cancellation of Series G Preferred Stock Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	281,322
(Increase) Decrease in commissions and accounts receivable Decrease (Increase) in loans held for sale, net (Increase) in prepaids and other current assets Increase (Decrease) in accounts payable Increase in commissions payable	(254,780) 4,764,200 (38,503) 37,488 667,613
(Decrease) in accrued and other liabilities	(69,745)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	4,950,759
Cash flows from investing activities: Acquisitions of property and equipment	(1,226)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(1,226)
Cash flows from financing activities: Payments on warehouse line of credit, net Payment on unsecured line of credit, net Payment on convertible notes payable	(4,679,978) (74,132) (35,000)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(4,789,110)
Net increase in cash Cash at beginning of period	160,423 1,316,840

Th

Jul

\$

Cash at end of period	1,477,263			
	====		===	
Non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Dividends on Series F Preferred Stock	\$	1,760	\$	
providends on series r received seeds.	====		===	
Loss on cancellation of Series G Preferred Stock		281,322		
Supplemental cash flow information:	====		===	
Cash paid for interest	\$	55,455	\$	
	====		===	

Income taxes were not significant during the periods presented

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements

B - 54

NOTES TO INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Unaudited interim financial statements

The interim financial data as of July 31, 2005 is unaudited; however, in the opinion of management, the interim data includes all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the Company's consolidated financial position as of July 31, 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2004. The results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the operations, which may result for the year ending April 30, 2006. Also, in the opinion of management, all disclosures required on Form 10-Q were fully furnished.

ANZA is a holding company with one active subsidiary. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2005 should be read in connection with this quarterly report.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified for comparative purposes, these reclassifications have no effect on previously reported income or loss.

Note 2. Going Concern

In connection with the audit of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended April 30, 2005, the Company received a report from its independent auditors that included an explanatory paragraph describing uncertainty as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, which contemplated that assets and liabilities would be settled at amounts in the normal course of business. ANZA incurred a loss from operations during the year ended April 30, 2005 and had an accumulated deficit as of April 30, 2005. In addition, AMRES is a defendant in a significant amount of litigation for which the outcome is uncertain. In some cases, management believes losses are covered by insurance. ANZA's industry in recent years has experienced increased competition. In addition, home sales have decreased during the past 4 months, having a slowing effect on the industry. Management's immediate plans are to reduce spending through management level pay decreases and the management of expenses. There are no assurances that management will be successful in its plans. These factors

raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Note 3. Significant Customer Concentration

For the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2004, three investors accounted for eighty percent of the purchases of loans held for sale and accounted for eighty percent of the revenues from the mortgage banking business.

Note 4. Segment disclosure

Segments were determined based on services provided by each segment. Performance of the segments is evaluated on net income. For the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2004, management has provided the following information with respect to its operating segments (in thousands).

B-55

	Revenues		Net Inco	me (Loss)	Asset	
	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	
Loan brokering	\$ 13 , 404	\$ 13 , 377	\$ (437)	\$ 21	\$ 3 , 232	
Mortgage banking	8	119	(56)	30	1,134	
Real Estate Brokerage		128		0		
	\$ 13 , 512	\$ 13 , 624	\$ (493)	\$ 51	\$ 4,366	
	======	======	======	======	======	
Corporate						
Escrow	82		8		10	
Total	\$ 13 , 494	\$ 13,624	\$ (485)	\$ 51	\$ 4,376	
	=======	=======	=======	=======	=======	

Note 5. Impact of recently issued accounting statements

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure" which addresses financial accounting and reporting for recording expenses for the fair value of stock options. SFAS 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to fair value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Additionally, SFAS 148 requires more prominent and more frequent disclosures in financial statements about the effects of stock-based compensation. ANZA has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting as allowed by APB 25 for employee stock-based compensation. The disclosure effects of SFAS 148 are not significant to ANZA for years presented since minimal activity occurred in fiscal 2004 or 2003 and no grants were made to employees during the years ended April 30, 2004 and 2003.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS 150"). SFAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measurers in its statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. In

accordance with SFAS 150, financial instruments that embody obligations for the issuer are required to be classified as liabilities. SFAS 150 shall be effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company's implementation of SFAS 150 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 153, "Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets," ("SFAS 153") an amendment to Accounting Principle Board Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions" ("APB 29"). SFAS 153 eliminates certain differences in the guidance in APB 29 as compared to the guidance contained in standards issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. The amendment to APB 29 eliminates the fair value exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. Such an exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. SFAS 153 is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in periods beginning after December 16, 2004. Management does not expect adoption of SFAS 153 to have a material impact, if any, on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

B-56

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123(R)"). SFAS 123(R) amends SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", and APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees". SFAS 123(R) requires that the cost of share-based payment transactions (including those with employees and non-employees) be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS 123(R) applies to all share-based payment transactions in which an entity acquires goods or services by issuing (or offering to issue) its shares, share options, or other equity instruments (except for those held by an ESOP) or by incurring liabilities (1) in amounts based (even in part) on the price of the company's shares or other equity instruments, or (2) that require (or may require) settlement by the issuance of a company's shares or other equity instruments. This statement is effective (1) for public companies qualifying as SEC small business issuers, as of the first interim period or fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2005, or (2) for all other public companies, as of the first interim period or fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005, or (3) for all nonpublic entities, as of the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2005. In March 2005, the SEC announced it will permit companies to delay implementation until the beginning of their next fiscal year, instead of the next reporting period. Management has determined that they will adopt SFAS 123(R) as of the beginning of their next fiscal year, and is currently assessing the impact of this statement on its consolidated financial position and results of operations in 2006. In the interim, the Company is continuing to use the intrinsic value method in estimating employee stock compensation expense based on the fair value method of accounting. This method is allowed under SFAS 148, which amended SFAS 123 in December 2002, and proforma disclosure of fair value amounts is provided.

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections" ("SFAS 154"), that addresses accounting for changes in accounting principle, changes in accounting estimates and changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions and error correction. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods'

financial statements of changes in accounting principle and error correction unless impracticable to do so. SFAS 154 states an exception to retrospective application when a change in accounting principle, or the method of applying it, may be inseparable from the effect of a change in accounting estimate. When a change in principle is inseparable from a change in estimate, such as depreciation, amortization or depletion, the change to the financial statements is to be presented in a prospective manner. SFAS 154 and the required disclosures are effective for accounting changes and error corrections in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

Note 6. Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale consist of conventional uninsured mortgages originated by the Company, with various interest rates.

B-57

	Ju	ly 31, 2005		A
		(Unaudited)		
Loans Range	Number of	Total Loan	Average	Number of
	Loans	Amount	Interest Rate	Loans
400,000 + 4100,000	4	20.750	0.7500	4
\$20,000 to \$100,000	1	38,750	9.750%	4
\$100,001 to \$200,000	0			3
\$200,001 to \$300,000	0			2
\$300,001 to \$400,000	0			1
Over \$400,000	2	1,090,200	6.06%	8
Deferred form and affine	3	\$ 1,128,950		18
Deferred fees, net of costs		(6,200)		
		\$ 1,122,750		
		========		

Note7. Warehouse Line of Credit

The Company maintained a \$10,000,000 warehousing line of credit dated May 20, 2004, which expired on May 31, 2005. The agreement was guaranteed by ANZA and its Chief Executive Officer. In addition, the agreement increased the various ratios and net worth requirements, minimum utilization requirements, and limits the warehouse period from 45 to 60 days depending on the type of loan. The interest rate was adjustable, based upon a published prime rate, plus an additional 0.5% to 2% and was payable monthly. In addition, the Company was required to pay a commitment fee equal to one quarter of 1% (.25%) per annum on the average unused credit limit if the usage of the line falls below 50% of the credit limit on an average basis, calculated monthly. The rate varies depending on the type of loan (conforming or non-conforming) with higher rates on non-conforming loans. The line of credit was collateralized by the loans held for sale. As of May 31, 2005 the Company lost the warehouse line of credit because AMRES could not get the Error and Omissions coverage with the deductible set by the bank's quidelines. No demand for repayment of the loan has been presented to the Company. The line of credit is classified as a current

liability.

As of the quarter ended July 31, 2005, there were three loans remaining on the line collateralized by loans held for sale. All loans were sold subsequent to the quarter ended July 31, 2005. The loans were charged the post maturity interest rate of 10.50% therefore creating a negative spread between the interest income collected from the borrower and the interest paid.

Note 8. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following :

		ly 31, 2005 unaudited)	Apı	cil 30, 2005
Accrued salary and benefits Accrued loss contingencies Accrued professional fees Accrued interest	\$	299,835 887,251 316,435 10,938	\$	352,721 887,052 312,500 41,289
	\$ ===	1,514,459	\$ ===	1,593,562

B-58

Note 9. Unsecured Line of Credit

The Company maintains a \$75,000 unsecured line of credit. The line of credit is personally guaranteed by ANZA's chief executive officer. The interest rate is adjustable, based upon a published prime rate, plus an additional 7.75%. As of July 31, 2005, the Company had \$868 outstanding related to this line of credit.

Note 10. Issuance of Convertible Notes and Warrants by a Subsidiary

On October 11, 2004, the Company issued a secured convertible note payable totaling \$125,000 to AMRES Holding, LLC, a related party partially owned and controlled by the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The note is secured by substantially all of AMRES' assets. Interest on this note is payable quarterly beginning on January 1, 2005 at 12% per annum, and the note matures on October 11, 2006. The note is convertible into the Company's common stock at 75% of the average closing bid price for the five days preceding the date of the conversion notice. As additional consideration, the Company issued a warrant to AMRES Holding, LLC to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.10 per share. The warrant is exercisable at any time between the closing date and a date which is five years from the closing date. The Company allocated the proceeds of the note to the note and warrants based on their relative fair values, resulting in a discount related to the warrant of \$10,175. The discount is being amortized over the life of the note. As the conversion feature of the note at the time of issuance was beneficial to the holder, the Company recorded a discount on the note of \$57,413. The discount is being amortized over the term of the note as interest expense. During the quarter ended July 31, 2005, the note was fully repaid, and the unamortized discount of \$17,185 was immediately charged to interest expense.

On January 18, 2005, the Company issued a convertible note payable to a private investor totaling \$55,000. The Company received proceeds, net of all costs and fees, in the amount of \$47,980. Interest on this note is payable monthly at 10% per annum, and the note matures on June 15, 2005. The note is convertible into shares of AMRES common stock at 50% of the bid price of AMRES common stock as reported on the Pink Sheet Market for the three trading days immediately

preceding the date of the conversion notice. As the conversion feature of the note at the time of issuance was beneficial to the holder, the Company recorded a discount on the note of \$55,000. The discount is being amortized over the term of the note as interest expense. During the year ended April 30, 2005, \$17,500 of this convertible note payable was converted into 2,000,000 shares of AMRES common stock. The unamortized discount amount of \$7,621 at the time of conversion was immediately charged to interest expense. The convertible note payable matured on June 15, 2005 and the discount was fully amortized on the same day. The company is currently in the process of negotiation with the note payable holder on repayment.

On February 10, 2005 the Company issued convertible notes payable to two private investors totaling \$14,000 and \$14,000. Interest on these notes are payable monthly at 8% per annum, and the notes mature on February 10, 2006. Both notes are immediately convertible into shares of AMRES common stock at a price equal to 50% of the average market price for the last three days prior to the conversion notice. As the conversion features of the notes at the time of issuance were beneficial to the holders, the Company recorded a discount on the notes of \$14,000 and \$14,000, respectively. The discounts are being amortized over the term of the notes as interest expense. During the year ended April 30, 2005, \$0 and \$4,500, respectively, of these convertible notes payable were converted into 0 and 525,862 shares, respectively, of AMRES common stock. The unamortized discount amount of \$0 and \$3,375, respectively, were immediately charged to interest expense. At July 31, 2005, the unamortized discounts amounted to \$7,000 and \$3,625, respectively, and are reflected as reductions in the convertible notes payable balance.

B - 59

Note 8. Earnings Per Common Share

ANZA presents basic earnings per share ("EPS") and diluted EPS on the face of the consolidated statement of operations. Basic EPS is computed as net income (loss) divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options, warrants, and other convertible securities. Dilutive securities, including the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and the Series F Convertible Preferred Stock, were not included in the computations of loss per share for the three months ended July 31, 2005 since their effects are anti-dilutive.

Note 9. Stockholders' Equity

From time to time, the Company's board of directors authorizes the issuance of common stock. The Company values shares of common stock based on the closing ask price of the securities on the date the directors approve such issuance. In the event the Company issues common stock subject to transferability restrictions under Rule 144 of the Exchange Act of 1933, the Company discounts the closing ask prices by 10% to value its common stock transactions. No such issuances occurred for either period presented.

During the quarter ended July 31, 2005, the company issued a total of 32,900 shares of common stock valued at \$1,760 as a payment of dividends on the Series F convertible preferred stocks.

During the quarter ended July 31, 2005, 51,250 shares issued to Jeff Hemm were cancelled as a result of the legal settlement. See Note 10 on Contingencies, Settlements and Resolved Matters.

Note 10. Contingencies

Indemnifications

On December 9, 2002, the Company received notification from HUD requesting indemnification on up to 23 loans brokered by a former loan officer of the Company. AMRES executed and provided an indemnification agreement to HUD, as requested. On February 13, 2003, HUD notified AMRES that (i) without the loans originated by this particular loan officer, AMRES' default and claim rate would be an acceptable level to HUD, and (ii) as a result of the termination of that loan officer, and the execution of the indemnification agreement, the matter was closed.

During the year ended April 30, 2004, the Company received two demands for payment from HUD on claims totaling approximately \$170,000. The first demand involved losses on five properties and the second demand involved losses on an additional property. All six properties were part of the original 23 properties referred to above. The Company carries errors and omissions insurance coverage, however, the Company received notification from their errors and omissions insurance carrier that their claim for coverage was denied. As a result of this denial, the Company estimated that their total liability under the indemnification agreement is approximately \$200,000.

To date, the Company received demands for payments in the approximate amount of \$197,000\$ and has paid all of the outstanding balance except for <math>\$60,000\$ for which the Company is requesting a credit for from HUD. The <math>\$60,000\$ represents a surplus that HUD received on the sale of two of the indemnified properties.

B-60

In May 2005, HUD conducted another audit of approximately 11 loan files originated by two of the company's branches in Riverside County, California. The Company recently received the findings from this audit, and while there were various minor discrepancies noted, there were only a few nominal monetary assessments against the Company.

State Audits

The Company is subject to certain state audits, which are typical in this industry. Often these audits uncover instances of non-compliance with various state licensing requirements. These instances of non-compliance may also translate into a particular state levying a fine or penalty against the Company along with the Company refunding any overpaid fees to the borrower. During the year ended April 30, 2004, the Company resolved actions with the states of Arizona, Kansas, Nevada and Virginia paying settlements totaling \$93,000. The Company believes it is likely that a total of an additional \$25,000 in the accompanying balance sheet as of April 30, 2004, which management believes is sufficient to cover any liability related to the audits. Subsequent to April 30, 2004, the company paid \$145,170 in state and HUD audit settlement.

In June 2005, the Company was audited by the State of Virginia. The Company has not received the results of this audit.

Settlements or Resolved Matters

In November 2003, a former employee filed a lawsuit against the Company, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and AMRES. The Complaint alleged breach of contract and fraud arising out of the plaintiff's employment with the Company, and requested damages in excess of \$5,000,000, plus attorney fees, interest, penalties, and punitive damages. The trial date was continued until December 6, 2004, but the matter was settled through mediation on November 24,

2004. During the quarter ended July 31, 2005, 51,250 shares issued to Jeff Hemm were cancelled as a result of the legal settlement. By the terms of the settlement agreement, the amount of the settlement is confidential but the terms were very favorable and resulted in no material impact to the Company.

On June 1, 2004, the Company agreed to settle a claim by a lender who sought recovery on two loans involving alleged misrepresentation by the borrowers. The claims were for amounts of approximately \$200,000. On or about June 1, 2004, the Company executed a settlement agreement for a total amount of \$120,000, with an initial payment of \$60,000 on June 1, 2004 and subsequent monthly payments of \$10,000 for six months. The \$120,000 is accrued in the financial statements as of April 30, 2004. As of the date of this disclosure, (August 26, 2005) the Company has paid this obligation in full.

During the current fiscal year, a lender requested that the Company reimburse them for two loans which went into default and were subsequently sold for a \$150,000 loss. The loans were brokered by a branch of the Company. On July 19, 2004, the Company settled with the lender agreeing to make monthly payments of \$10,000 starting on August 1, 2004 until a total of \$138,000 was paid. As of April 30, 2005, the Company has paid \$80,000 related to this settlement, with the balance of \$58,000 included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This matter is currently in litigation. Due to later discovered facts, the Company is disputing the basis for the settlement and believes that the lender, and not the Company, is responsible for the losses. The Company has filed a Cross-Complaint to recover the moneys the Company already paid.

B-61

In October 2003, a former employee filed a lawsuit against the Company, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and AMRES. The Complaint alleged breach of contract and fraud arising out of the plaintiff's employment with the Company and requested damages in excess of \$2,000,000, plus attorney fees, interest, penalties, and punitive damages. The trial date was continued until March 2005, but the matter was settled through mediation on February 17, 2005. By the terms of the settlement agreement, the settlement amount is confidential, but the terms were favorable and resulted in no material impact to the Company.

On or about July 3, 2003, the Company filed a complaint against a former branch manager and filed an Amended Complaint on or about October 16, 2003. The allegations included breach of written contract; intentional and negligent misrepresentation; misappropriation of trade secrets; interference with economic relations; violation of Business & Professions Code 17200; breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; conversion and conspiracy. The defendant filed a cross-complaint against the Company alleging that the Company misclassified her employment status and that the Company was liable for money advanced on its behalf of approximately \$250,000. The entire matter was settled on or about March 4, 2005. The terms of the settlement are confidential but were very favorable and resulted in no material impact to the Company.

On December 11, 2003, a competitor of the Company filed a suit alleging intentional interference with contract, conversion and trade name infringement, among other causes of action. The case settled through mediation in June 2005. The settlement agreement imposes a duty of good faith to refer at least one loan per month (on a broker basis) to the plaintiff until \$8M in loan volume has been funded. Since the average loan amount to \$400,000 approximately 20 loans will need to be referred and funded. The agreement does not contain a liquidated damages clause.

On or about May 18, 2004, a former assistant in one of the Company's branches

filed a complaint alleging violations of California Labor Code Sections 202 and 203, claiming that the plaintiff was owed back commissions. The Company believes that this claim lacks merit as the plaintiff was not licensed at the time of her claims and thus not entitled to any commissions by law. This case settled on April 1, 2005 after a mandatory settlement conference. The Company was not impacted by this settlement.

On or about September 7, 2004, a complaint was filed against the Company and its Chief Executive Officer alleging fraud, negligent misrepresentation and a promise made without intent to perform The amount of damages claimed is approximately \$250,000. On March 11, 2005, the Court sustained (without leave to amend) Defendants' Demurrer to plaintiff's Complaint. On May 25, 2005, the Court entered a Judgment of Dismissal of the entire action in the defendant's favor.

Active Litigation

On January 23, 2004, a former employee filed a claim against the Company in the Superior Court of California, for the County of Orange. The Complaint alleged breach of oral contract, claimed damages arising out of the plaintiff's employment with the Company, and requested damages in excess of \$50,000 plus attorney fees, interest, penalties and punitive damages. On February 17, 2005, the Court granted the Company's Motion for Summary Adjudication and dismissed all but one cause of action. Plaintiff is considering an appeal.

B-62

On November 6, 2003, a borrower filed claim against the Company in the Superior Court of California, City and County of Alameda. Amongst others also named as defendants in this matter, is a former Loan Officer in the Company's San Francisco Branch Office. The complaint alleges fraudulent inducement of contract, rescission, conversion and negligence. Plaintiff's claim is for a total amount of \$121,000 but the Company believes that the plaintiff has not shown any viable claim through the discovery process and believes that the Company will prevail at trial or even at a pretrial motion for Summary Judgment.

In May of 2004 a borrower filed suit against the Company, a branch manager and an individual, for allegations of fraud amongst other causes of action. The suit alleges that the individual named Paul Robertson deceived the borrowers who were seeking a construction loan to build a house on a vacant lot. The plaintiffs claim that they never received the house or the funds to construct the house and are seeking "compensatory damages exceeding \$75,000" and "punitive damages exceeding \$75,000". The plaintiffs are also seeking "reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The Company is defending on the grounds that Robertson was not their agent and to the extent that he and the agent were somehow defrauding borrowers, it was being done outside of the course and scope of any agency relationship with the Company. The Company believes that the case lacks merit and is defending vigorously.

In June 2004, an Orange County, California based landlord filed a lawsuit was filed against the Company. The suit alleges that the Company breached a building lease and claims damages for the entire term of the lease through August 2007 of \$886,332. The Company filed an Answer to the Complaint and a Cross-Complaint against a former Branch Manager and his business associate who signed the lease in question purporting to be officers of the corporation. The Company believes that this matter lacks merit and is defending vigorously in order to assure that the proper parties be accountable for any damages that are due the plaintiff. The Company obtained information and believes that the office leases, which are the subject of this litigation, have been re-leased to new tenants and that fact alone significantly reduces any damages to the plaintiff.

On or about July 30, 2004, a borrower filed a complaint against the Company, alleging violations of Michigan Consumer Protection Act, breach of contract, and intentional infliction of emotional distress. The Company believes that there are third parties that, at the very least, share in the liability to the plaintiff and is vigorously seeking to show same through the formal discovery process.

On or about September 20, 2004, a Class Action Complaint was filed, alleging the Company sent unsolicited advertisements to fax machines in violation of TCPA 47USC section 227. The Company is defending vigorously and also tendered the matter to People's Home Loans (a company owned by a former branch manager of the Company) for indemnification, as they were responsible for the actions that are subject to the Complaint. The Company recently received an indication that this matter will be resolved with nominal financial impact to the Company.

On or about November 10, 2004 a complaint was filed against the Company alleging breach of contract and warranty; deceptive trade practices; fraud; conversion; negligence; breach of fiduciary duty; unjust enrichment and conspiracy. The Complaint alleges damages in the approximate amount of \$295,000. The Company is defending vigorously and has information and belief that there are third parties that will ultimately have liability in this matter and that plaintiff will also be found to share in the liability for its own damages. The plaintiff has expressed an interest in mediating the matter to a resolution.

B-63

On or about November 24, 2004, a Class Action case was filed against the Company, one of its former Branch Managers, and a third party entity, Spectrum Funding Group, Inc., which is operated by said former Branch Manager. The Complaint alleges damages & equitable relief for violations of the California Labor Codes; and California Unfair Business Practices Act. The matter was tendered to the former Branch Manager for indemnification based on his contract with the Company. The Company believes that the matter lacks merit and is defending vigorously. The Company also recently learned that this matter will likely be resolved with a nominal financial impact on the Company.

On or about December 15, 2004, a former loan officer filed a complaint against the Company, alleging Breach of Contract and Conversion. The Company believes that the matter lacks merit and is defending vigorously. The Company has had very positive informal negotiations with plaintiff and his counsel and believes that the two sides are very close to resolving this matter without a significant financial impact on the Company.

On March 31, 2005 a borrower filed a Complaint against the Company, as well as, an Investor of the Company and a Company to whom said Investor sold plaintiff's loan. The Complaint alleges Fraud; RESPA (12 U.S.C.A. section 2601 and TILA (15 U.S.C.A. section 1601 and its Regulation Z) violations. Defendants have filed for removal of case to Arbitration and are vigorously defending.

On April 22, 2005 an individual filed a Complaint against the Company and third parties, alleging counts of fraud, conversion, intentional infliction of emotional distress, (MCPA) MCL 445.901, (CSPA) MCL 445.1822(b), temporary & permanent injunction, breach of fiduciary duty, allegations of MCLA 440.3420 - conversion and negligence. The Company is defending vigorously and is preparing a motion for summary judgment requesting that the Court dismiss the Company as it is never closed a transaction for the individual and received no compensation from the company that did close a transaction for the individual.

On May 2, 2005 a Lender filed a Complaint against the Company alleging money agreements between the parties were breached. The Complaint states that

plaintiff is owed \$50,531 in monetary damages and attorneys' fees in the amount of \$2,165. The Company is defending vigorously and believes that it will show that plaintiff is not entitled to any money from the Company.

On June 8, 2005 a former consultant of the Company filed a complaint alleging that the Company owes him \$125,000 plus attorneys' fees due to a breach of contract. The Company is defending vigorously and has filed a Cross-Complaint against the plaintiff as the Company believes that the plaintiff fraudulently induced the Company into entering into the contract in the first place.

On June 17, 2005 a Lender filed a Complaint against the Company alleging \$70,000 in damages resulting from the Company breaching a repurchase agreement. The Company is vigorously defending the matter and has filed a Cross-Complaint against the Lender, the Lender's President and one of the Lender's executives as the Company believes that the Cross-Defendants induced the Company into entering into the repurchase agreement under false pretenses. The Company also believes that Cross-Defendants should be forced to return the amounts already paid out by the Company on the repurchase agreement.

On July 26, 2005 a Lender filed a Complaint against the Company alleging breach of contract, negligence, negligent misrepresentation. The Complaint alleges damages in excess of \$25,000, punitive damages, attorney's fees, interest and costs. The complaint involves approximately 14 loans that allegedly originated at a former branch of the company in Michigan. Although the matter was recently filed, the company believes that substantial liability in this case wrests with the plaintiff itself as well as various individuals that were employed by the company but were acting outside the course and scope of their employment in relationship to the alleged damages suffered by plaintiff.

B - 64

Additional Demands

On June 22, 2005, a Class Action Complaint was filed alleging that American Residential Funding unlawfully used a telephone facsimile machine to send at least one (1) unsolicited advertisement (unsolicited fax) defined in and in violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C., section 227(a)(4

On June 28, 2005 a Complaint alleging violations of the following: Truth and Lending, Michigan Credit Services Protection Act, Michigan Home Solicitation Sales Act, Michigan Consumer Protection Act, Mortgage Brokers, Lenders & Servicers Act and Usury.

On July 7, 2005 counsel for a Lender sent a demand letter to the Company involving a number of loans allegedly originated by various branches of the company. The Company is awaiting a formal demand from the Lender but anticipates that this claim will result in litigation as the Company believes that there are numerous third parties responsible for plaintiff's damages (as well as plaintiff being responsible to a certain degree for its own damages). Thus Cross-Complaints will need to be filed in order to properly place responsibility for plaintiff's damages.

On September 26, 2005 a complaint alleging breach of contract was filed stating that defendant AMRES breached the terms contained within the AMRES Branch Operating and Management Agreement as follows: failure to provide the necessary qualifications and licensing from the Arizona State Banking Department; failure to provide and maintain qualified responsible individuals to represent AMRES; inability and failure to handle, process, and close residential loans in a timely manner; change of branch lender status without prior notice; charging loan fees which were in excess of the agreed fee structure.

The Company is subject to a limited number of claims and actions, which arise in the ordinary course of business. The litigation process is inherently uncertain, and it is possible that the resolution of the Company's existing and future litigation may adversely affect the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Notell. Subsequent Events

Viking Investments Common Stock Purchase Agreement

On September 19, 2005, the Company entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement whereby Vince Rinehart, a shareholder and the Company's sole officer and director ("Rinehart") and AMRES Holding, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company under control of Rinehart ("AMRES Holding") will sell a total combined amount of 10,279,369 shares of the Company's common stock and warrants to purchase a total of 3,450,000 shares of the Company's common stock (the "Securities"), to Viking Investments USA, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Viking"), on or about October 28, 2005, for an aggregate purchase price of \$375,000. Viking does not bear a related-party relationship to Anza or its management.

B-65

Gaulds Transaction

On September 23, 2005, the Company received a signed Securities Purchase Agreement dated September 16, 2005 from Peter and Irene Gauld (the "Gaulds"), by and between AMRES Holding and the Gaulds, whereby the Gaulds will sell to AMRES Holding, on or about October 28, 2005, warrants to acquire 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock in exchange for the total purchase price of \$10,000. The Gaulds do not bear a related-party relationship to the Company or its management.

Asset Sale Agreement

On September 30, 2005, the Company entered into a Reorganization, Stock and Asset Purchase Agreement by and among the Company and AMRES, on the one hand, and Rinehart and AMRES Holding, on the other hand, whereby the Company will sell substantially all of its assets to AMRES Holding, on or about November 8, 2005, including but not limited to all of the Company's ownership interest in its subsidiary, AMRES, in exchange for (i) the termination by Rinehart, the managing member of AMRES Holding, of that certain Employment Agreement dated June 1, 2001, by and between Rinehart and the Company, including the waiver of \$500,000 in severance thereunder and (ii) the assumption by AMRES of all obligations under that certain real property lease by and between the Company and Fifth Street Properties-DS, LLC. In conjunction with the abovementioned exchange, the following transactions are to occur: (i) the delivery by Rinehart, a shareholder and the sole officer and director of the Company, of his entire ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 988,275 shares of common stock, and 18,800 shares of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock, to Viking; (ii) the delivery by AMRES to Viking of its ownership interest in the Company, consisting of 4,137,500 shares of Company common stock; and (iii) delivery by AMRES Holding of warrants to acquire 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Viking. The Company will not be removing assets or liabilities from this transaction until the transaction closes.

Series D Preferred Stock and Common Stock Transaction

On September 30, 2005, AMRES Holding entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement

with Cranshire Capital, L.P. ("Cranshire"), The dotCom Fund, LLC ("dotCom"), and Keyway Investments, Ltd. ("Keyway") (each a "Seller" and collectively the "Sellers"), whereby the Sellers will sell to AMRES Holding, on or about November 8, 2005, an aggregate of 3,043,945 shares of the Company's common stock, 8,201.5 shares of the Company's Series D Preferred stock, and warrants to purchase 750,000 shares of the Company's common stock, in exchange for the total purchase price of \$125,000. The Sellers do not bear a related-party relationship to Anza or its management. These securities will all be sold to Viking pursuant to the terms of Common Stock Purchase Agreement as reported above.

GunAllen Transaction

On October 12, 2005, the Company received a signed Securities Purchase Agreement dated September 16, 2005, by and between AMRES Holding and GunnAllen Financial, Inc., a Florida corporation ("GunnAllen"), whereby GunnAllen will sell to AMRES Holding, on or about October 28, 2005, warrants to acquire 450,000 shares of the Company's common stock in exchange for the total purchase price of \$5,000. GunnAllen does not bear a related-party relationship to Anza or its management.

B-66

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those set forth under "Risk Factors." The following discussion should be read together with our financial statements and the notes to those financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Except for historical information, the materials contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis are forward-looking (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) and involve a number of risks and uncertainties. These include the Company's historical losses, the need to manage its growth, general economic downturns, intense competition in the financial services and mortgage banking industries, seasonality of quarterly results, and other risks detailed from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Although forward-looking statements in this Annual Report reflect the good faith judgment of management, such statements can only be based on facts and factors currently known by the Company. Consequently, forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, actual results and outcomes may differ materially from the results and outcomes discussed in the forward-looking statements. Readers are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by the Company in this Annual Report, as an attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations and prospects.

OVERVIEW

We are a holding company that currently operates primarily through one active subsidiary.

o American Residential Funding, Inc., a Nevada Corporation (AMRES) provides home financing through the brokerage of residential home loans.

Inactive Subsidiaries

- o Titus Real Estate LLC, a California limited liability company (Titus Real Estate) is currently non-operational.
- o Bravo Realty.com, a Nevada Corporation (Bravo), was a real estate sales company focused solely in California. Bravo Real Estate Services, Inc. (Bravo Real Estate Network) and Bravo Realty.com were sold in April 2005.

Discontinued Operations

- o AMRES Direct, Inc., formerly Red Carpet Holdings, Inc., was activated in 2004 to focus on direct-to-consumer marketing. The Company has not generated revenue and has incurred minimal expenses.
- Expidoc.Com, a California Corporation (Expidoc) arranged for notaries to provide document signing services for lenders across the country. Effective January 31, 2004, we suspended operations at Expidoc. This decision was a result of a sudden shift in customer mix, as Expidoc's largest customer (Ditech.com) ceased using Expidoc as a third party provider of notary services.

B-67

Continuing Operations

AMRES

As shown below, AMRES has consistently generated the majority of our consolidated revenues. The industry in which AMRES operates is very competitive, and is dependent on a favorable interest-rate environment. Increases in interest rates can have a slowing effect on the number of new and refinance loans.

Percentage of Total Revenues by Service

	% YTD Revenue April 30, 2005	% YTD Revenue April 30, 2004	% YTD Revenue April 30, 2003
Broker Commissions	97.0%	98.5%	98.4%
Real Estate Services	1.5%	1.0%	1.0%
Sale of Loans	1.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Brokerage activities are greatly influenced by changes in interest rates and comprise substantially all of the revenues of AMRES. Our production has been consistently between 600-700 loans per month as compared to 800-1000 loans during the prior fiscal year. The decline in production is primarily due to reduction of the number of branches. Our mortgage banking division operated until May 31st, 2005. It generated \$745,459 in gain on sale of the loans. The warehouse line of credit was not renewed after May 31st due to our inability to meet the insurance guidelines of our lender, and as a result we shut down the mortgage banking division.

During the year ended April 30, 2005, we suffered a net loss available to common shareholders of \$3,579,642 compared to a net loss available to common shareholders of \$1,122,663 during the year ended April 30, 2004. The losses were results of decreased production and lower earnings per loan. Furthermore, we recorded \$900,000 in consulting fees for services related to a listing on the Pink Sheets for our AMRES subsidiary. The consultants were issued 15,000,000 shares of common stock to facilitate the transaction and the company

concurrently sold 3,000,000 shares at \$0.01 per share. Therefore, the company valued the shares issued to the consultants and the concurrent nominal investment at \$0.05 per share.

We have slowed down the number of new branch additions due to an increase in quality standards, minimum volume requirements, and State preferences. At April 30, 2005, our branch count is approximately 60 down from approximately 121 at April 30, 2004. We continue to monitor all of our branches for "probation" and possible termination to continually ensure that we are focusing our resources on the most productive branches. AMRES has been fortunate to lure loan production officers from our competitors. As the mortgage industry contracts, AMRES will attempt to attract additional branches, production and staff from other firms in the industry. While our net worth does not allow any major acquisition efforts, we have made various contacts in our industry soliciting referrals of new business.

Our production count has been consistent during the fiscal year. We have reduced our operating expenses by as much as 25%. However, our banking division is discontinued, and thus AMRES will be acting only as a broker. We will set our operating budget to our revenues so we can recover from the losses. Furthermore, in an attempt to increase revenue, AMRES is establishing various business initiatives:

B-68

- O Building strategic alliances with other business models such as loan lead generators, builders, labor unions, realtors and trade associations.
- o Promoting more direct-to-consumer lending, through marketing, with products that are less sensitive to fluctuations in interest rates, such as home equity loans, construction loans and sub-prime loans.
- O Continuing to solicit new branches to join our network, especially those branch operations that are "purchase-home sensitive."
- o Reducing operating costs through efficiencies generated by new software and operating systems.

Loans Held for Sale

Mortgage loans held for sale represent mortgage loans originated and held by AMRES, pending sale, to interim and permanent investors. AMRES sells loans it originates, typically within 30 days of origination, rather than hold them for investment. AMRES sells loans to institutional loan buyers under an existing contract. AMRES sells the servicing rights to its loans at the time it sells those loans. At the time a loan is sold, AMRES has no continuing interest since servicing rights are transferred at the time of sale in accordance with paragraph 5 of SFAS 140 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities". Recourse provisions generally relate to first payment defaults, or breach of representations and warranties, or fraud, with respect to the loans sold. The recourse provision, because of its very brief term (30 days), is not practical to value in accordance with paragraph 6 of SFAS 140, since the value is minimal. In the event AMRES management becomes aware of a default, the financial asset and liability are reinstated and an assessment of the impact of losses is made.

Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed In Purchase Combinations and Review for Impairments

The purchase combinations we evaluate and complete require us to estimate the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the combinations. These estimates of fair value may be based on independent appraisal or our business plan for the entities acquired including planned redundancies, restructuring, use of assets acquired and assumptions as to the ultimate resolution of obligations assumed for which no future benefit will be received. Should actual use of assets or resolution of obligations differ from our estimates, revisions to the estimated fair values would be required. If a change in estimate occurs after one year of the acquisition, the change would be recorded in our statement of operations.

Valuation of Long-Lived and Intangible Assets

The recoverability of these assets requires considerable judgment and is evaluated on an annual basis or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the assets may be impaired. As it relates to goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets, we apply the impairment rules in accordance with SFAS No. 142. As required by SFAS No. 142, the recoverability of these assets is subject to a fair value assessment, which includes several significant judgments regarding financial projections and comparable market values. As it relates to definite life intangible assets, we apply the impairment rules as required by SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of ${\tt Long-Lived} \quad {\tt Assets"} \ \, {\tt which \ also \ \ } \ \, {\tt requires} \quad {\tt significant \ \ } \ \, {\tt judgment \ and \ \ } \ \, {\tt assumptions}$ related to the expected future cash flows attributable to the intangible asset. The impact of modifying any of these assumptions can have a significant impact on the estimate of fair value and, thus, the recoverability of the asset. During the year ended April 30, 2004, we recorded impairments of Expidoc's goodwill in the amount of \$175,247 because the business was discontinued and management does not believe there is a significant residual or terminal value to the entity.

B-69

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. We review our deferred tax assets for recoverability and establish a valuation allowance based upon historical losses, projected future taxable income and the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences. During the years ended April 30, 2005 and 2004, we estimated the allowance on net deferred tax assets to be one hundred percent (100%) of the net deferred tax assets.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED APRIL 30, 2005 COMPARED TO THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED APRIL 30, 2004

Introduction

We have incurred significant non-cash expenses for the years ending April 30, 2005 and April 30, 2004 of \$1,650,207 and \$195,247. In April 30, 2005, the non-cash expenses related to getting our AMRES subsidiary listed on the Pink Sheets and in April 30, 2004, non-cash was a permanent impairment of goodwill during the year of \$195,247. In addition, we continue to incur costs and expenses relating to contingencies for on-going legal claims and have accrued approximately \$750,000 in legal matters.

> Year Ended Year Ended Year Ended
> April 30, 2005 April 30, 2004 Dollar Change %Change

Year Ended

\$ 50,289,432	\$ 63,059,520	\$(12,770,088)	(20
26.33%	23.1%	N/A	3
11,804,047	12,863,232	(1,059,185)	(8
2,445,404	2,201,119	244,285	11
	60,913	(60,913)	(100
(3,579,642)	(1,122,663)	(2,456,979)	218
	26.33% 11,804,047 2,445,404	26.33% 23.1% 11,804,047 12,863,232 2,445,404 2,201,119 60,913	26.33% 23.1% N/A 11,804,047 12,863,232 (1,059,185) 2,445,404 2,201,119 244,285 60,913 (60,913)

B-70

Revenues decreased by \$12,770,088 or (20.25)% for the year ended April 30, 2005, compared to the year ended April 30, 2004. The decrease in revenues is directly related to decrease in loan production and elimination of several branch offices of AMRES. Management has taken measures to reduce costs and increase production while maintaining quality branches.

Costs of Revenues

Costs of revenues are comprised of salaries to employees along with commissions. Commissions are paid on loans funded. Other costs include other various loan related expenses, such as referral fees, processing fees, underwriter fees, and other miscellaneous fees related to brokered revenues. Costs of revenues decreased by \$11,437,662 or 23.59%, for the year ended April 30, 2005, to \$37,047,370 from \$48,485,032 for the year ended April 30, 2004.

Consolidated gross profit decreased by \$1,332,427, or 9.1% for the year ended April 30, 2005 to \$13,242,061 from \$14,574,488 for the year ended April 30, 2004. As a percentage of revenue, the gross profit increased by approximately 3.23%. The decrease is attributable to the decline in loan production and the profitability of the loans. AMRES has reduced the fees collected on each loan funded by the independent branches to be competitive. Ultimately the commissions paid to a loan officer or branch manager is more, increasing commission expense which is a direct cost of the loan and reducing the gross profit.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses totaled \$11,804,047 for the year ended April 30, 2005, compared to \$12,863,232 for the year ended April 30, 2004. This decrease of \$1,059,185 can be directly attributed to the cost reduction measures implemented by management.

In recent months, we have continued to reduce headcount in support functions. Should we continue to experience downward pressure on our sources of revenue, we will need to implement additional cost reduction measures in the area of general and administrative personnel. We have already implemented some of these types of cost containment measures by not re-hiring for certain positions that have become open due to terminations or resignations.

Selling and Marketing Expense

Selling and marketing expense relates primarily to costs incurred for prospecting activities to obtain new clients (borrowers). These costs include acquiring "leads" which translate into funded loans. Selling and marketing expenses for the year ended April 30, 2005 amounted to \$2,445,404 compared to \$2,201,119 in the comparative prior year. The increase from the prior year is

due to the attempt of management to increase production to replace the lost production from terminated branches. Furthermore, the industry has competition and we needed to increase marketing efforts to attract good borrowers.

Consulting Expenses

We have recorded \$1,643,207 in consulting fees for the value of stocks issued to consultants acting as advisors in getting our AMRES subsidiary listed on the Pink sheets. All other payments to consultants are for tax and business matters.

B-71

Goodwill Impairment

During the twelve months ended April 30, 2005, we did not record an impairment charge compared to April 2004 in the amount of \$195,247 (\$175,247 relating to Expidoc and \$20,000 relating to Titus). Effective January 31, 2004, all operations at Expidoc have been discontinued, and thus, an impairment charge for all remaining goodwill has been recorded. Similarly, we have recorded an impairment charge for all remaining goodwill of Titus as we believe sufficient time has passed without any activity in terms of parties interested in purchasing Titus in its current state. As of April 30, 2004, all goodwill related to either Titus or Expidoc is considered by management to be impaired and thus has been written down to zero in the consolidated financial statements. Therefore, there are no impairments in the current year.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$252,415 for the year ended April 30, 2005, compared to \$37,155 for the year ended April 30, 2004. In the current year, interest expense is primarily related to interest paid on our warehouse line of credit. The warehouse line of credit was terminated on May 31, 2005. In prior years, our banking division was not fully operational so there were only a few loans funded through our warehouse line of credit, therefore our interest paid on the line was very low. Interest expense in the prior year included interest paid on the notes payable as of April 30, 2004.

Income Taxes

Our income taxes have not been material during the periods presented because of the current losses and utilization of ANZA's net operating loss carry-forwards for federal income tax reporting purposes. California suspended net operating losses usage for fiscal 2003, 2004, and 2005. The Company has no significant current or deferred income tax expense during the periods presented.

Net Loss Available to Common Shareholders

We incurred a net loss available to common shareholders for the year ended April 30, 2005 in the amount of \$3,579,642, or \$(0.73) per share compared with a net loss available to common shareholders of \$1,122,663 \$(0.23) per share for the year ended April 30, 2004. The loss experienced during the year ended April 30, 2005 is mostly due to the provision for contingent liabilities related to ongoing lawsuits in the amount of \$740,178, increases in general and administrative as discussed above, and equity issuance costs paid to consultants in the amount of \$1,643,207.

As we continue to experience a significant slow down in the refinance business, and if we are unsuccessful in the business initiatives described above to expand our sources of revenue, we are prepared to take immediate actions to

reduce our cost structure. If our total loan volume continues to decline, we will need fewer personnel to carry out the functions needed to support the loan process. Specifically, we would further reduce headcount in such areas as compliance, accounting and marketing. We are prepared to reduce our operating expenses by as much as 25%, if conditions warrant. If we are unable to generate additional sources of revenue, our results will continue to fluctuate and it may be difficult for us to curtail losses from operations. AMRES, in particular, is establishing various business initiatives to reduce its reliance on the refinancing market (as discussed under continuing operations).

B-72

Income from Discontinued Operations

The Company did not to incur any costs involving any discontinued operations for the year ended April 30, 2005.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Flows for the Twelve Months $\,$ Ended April 30, $\,$ 2005 $\,$ Compared to the Twelve $\,$ Months $\,$ Ended $\,$ April 30, $\,$ 2004

Our cash balance is just over \$1.3 million on hand as of April 30, 2005. Net cash used in operating activities was \$3,374,672 for the twelve months ended April 30, 2005, compared to \$3,744,043 of cash provided by operating activities for the twelve months ended April 30, 2004. For the twelve months ended April 30, 2005, we recorded a net loss available to common shareholders of \$3,579,642 compared to a net loss available to common shareholders of \$1,122,663 for the twelve months ended April 30, 2004. In both periods, changes in our loans held for sale was the primary contributor to the net cash provided by or used in operating activities (\$2,236,039 used by increase in our loans held for sale in the current year compared to \$3,950,712 provided by decreases in our loans held for sale in the prior year). In addition, for the current twelve months, a decrease in commissions and accounts receivable in the amount of \$793,574 and an increase in accrued liabilities in the amount of \$669,749 were contributors to the cash provided by operating activities. In the prior period, other contributors to the cash provided by operating activities was a decrease in commissions and accounts receivable in the amount of \$484,509 and a decrease in prepaid and other current assets of \$56,850.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$8,181 and \$349,890 for the twelve months ended April 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. For the twelve months ended April 30, 2005, net cash used in investing activities relates to the purchase of equipment in the amount of \$8,181. For the prior period, net cash used in investing activities related primarily to purchases of equipment in the amount of \$126,023 and issuance of note receivable for \$200,000. The increase in equipment in both years was directly related to the increased need for updated equipment. We upgraded our computers and related equipment and purchased software that is used in the mortgage banking operations.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2,495,168 for the twelve months ended April 30, 2005, compared to net cash used in financing activities in the amount of \$3,945,287 for the twelve months ended April 30, 2004. The most significant contributor to the cash used or provided in financing activities during both periods relates primarily to advances and repayments on our warehouse line of credit in the amount of \$2,171,433 in advances during the current year compared to net repayments of \$3,907,344 for the twelve months ended April 30, 2004. The warehouse line of credit is secured by first and second trust deed mortgages.

Interest Rates

We are vulnerable to increases in interest rates. Our business over the past two years has increased due to mortgage refinancing which resulted from declining interest rates. Purchases, despite increasing values of real estate have been consistent because of different loan programs that qualifies many home buyers. The sub-prime lending market is less vulnerable to increases in interest rates, because interest rates charges to these borrowers is significantly higher and less volatile to changes in interest rates. Significant increases in interest rates could have an adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

B-73

Seasonality

We experience slow loan production January through March because of the low number of applications we receive in December and January relative to the other months during the year. We may incur losses during the months of February and March because of seasonality.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2005 COMPARED TO THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2004

Introduction

Interest rates have continued to put downward pressure on revenues. Our cost containment measures have been unable to fully offset the impact of our reduced revenues.

	Quarter Ended July 31, 2005	Quarter Ended July 31, 2004	Dollar Change	% Chang
Revenues	\$ 13,494,852	\$ 13,623,934	\$ (129,082)	(0.9
Gross Profit % General and	28.3%	20.7%	N/A	7.6
Administrative Expenses	2,870,083	2,325,388	544,695	23.4
Selling and Marketing Net Income (Loss) available to common	1,039,071	461,763	577 , 308	125.0
stockholders	(492,629)	51,265	(543,894)	(1,060.9

Revenues

Out of revenues of \$13,494,852 for the quarter ended July 31, 2005, \$13,486,668, or 99%, were generated from broker commissions, compared to total revenues of \$13,623,934 and revenues from broker commissions of \$13,377,202 (98%) for the quarter ended July 31, 2004. Total revenues decreased by \$129,082 or .95% for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 compared to the quarter ended July 31, 2004. The decrease in revenues is directly related to the decline in the refinance market and increase in property values.

AMRES is the only active subsidiary under ANZA and has generated 100% of the revenue this quarter.

Costs of Revenues

Cost of revenues are comprised of salaries to employees along with commissions. Commissions are paid on loans funded. Other costs include other various loan related expenses, such as referral fees, processing fees, underwriting fees, and other miscellaneous fees related to brokered revenues. Cost of revenues decreased by \$1,131,910 or 10.47%, for the quarter ended July 31, 2005, to \$9,677,118 from \$10,809,028 for the quarter ended July 31, 2004. Notary and other costs associated with Expidoc.com and Bravorealty.com decreased by \$118,717, or 100%. These decreases are directly related to the discontinued operations of Expidoc.com and the transfer of ownership of bravorealty.com.

B-74

Consolidated gross profit increased by \$1,002,828, or 35.6% for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 to \$3,817,734 from \$2,814,906 for the quarter ended July 31, 2004. As a percentage of revenue, the gross profit increased by approximately 7.6%. The increase in the gross profit was attributable to the decrease in commissions paid and more of the income residuals were transferred to branch accounts. The increase of the gross profit as a percentage of the revenue was due to decreased commissions paid and increase in rebates from lenders

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses totaled \$2,870,083 for the quarter ended July 31, 2005, compared to \$2,325,388 for the quarter ended July 31, 2004. This increase of \$544,695 can be directly attributed to increase in branch personnel expenses and branch management expenses.

Selling and Marketing Expense

Selling and marketing expense relates primarily to costs incurred for prospecting activities to obtain new clients (borrowers). These costs include acquiring "leads" which translate into funded loans. Selling and marketing expenses for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 amounted to \$1,039,071 compared to \$461,763 in the quarter ended July 31, 2004. We may see increased spending in this area in future periods as the marketplace for qualified borrowers becomes more and more competitive.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$382,228 as of July 31, 2005, compared to \$49,753 as of July 31, 2004. Interest expense is primarily related to interest paid on our warehouse line of credit. The line of credit was not renewed upon maturity on May 31, 2005 due to Anza's inability to meet the errors and omissions maximum deductible guideline of the warehouse line provider. The increase in interest expense for the quarter ended July 31, 2005, was also attributed to the amortization of discounts related to Series G Preferred stocks totaling \$281,322.

Income Taxes

Our income taxes have not been material during the periods presented because of utilization of Anza's net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax reporting purposes. California suspended net operating losses usage for fiscal 2004 and 2005. The Company has no significant current or deferred income tax expense during the periods presented.

Net Income (Loss)

AMRES realized a net loss of \$490,869 for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 compared to a net income of \$51,265 for the quarter ended July 31, 2004. This was due to the significant drop in production attributed to the decline in the refinance market.

B-75

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Introduction

Our cash position remains strong with over \$1.4 million on hand as of July 31, 2005. Our current liabilities exceed our current assets by \$1,442,552. However, if our revenues continue to decline and we are unable to offset the declines by shedding overhead costs, our cash balances will decrease noticeably. In addition, any significant changes to our estimates of exposure from contingent liabilities could have a severe adverse effect on our liquidity and capital resources

Cash Flows

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities was \$4,950,759 for the three months ended July 31, 2005, compared to (\$3,107,574) for the three months ended July 31, 2004. For the three months ended July 31, 2005, we recorded a net (loss) of (\$490,869) compared to a net income of \$51,265 for the three months ended July 31, 2004. In both periods, the changes in our loans held for sale was the primary contributor to the net cash provided by (used in) operating activities in the amount of \$4,764,200 and (\$3,817,012) as of July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, for the current three months, an increase in commissions payable in the amount of \$667,613 and an increase in accounts payable in the amount of \$37,488 were contributors to the cash provided by operating activities.

Net cash (used in) investing activities was (\$1,226) for the three months ended July 31, 2005 compared to cash (used in) investing activities of (\$3,500) for the three months ended July 31, 2004. For the three months ended July 31, 2005, net cash used in investing activities relates acquisition of property and equipment.

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities was (\$4,789,110) and \$3,679,761 for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and July 31, 2004, respectively. The most significant contributor to the cash used in financing activities during the quarter ended July 31, 2005, relates primarily to payments on our warehouse line of credit in the amount of \$(4,789,978). In the quarter ended July 31, 2004, the only inclusion in the net cash provided by financing activities was from advances from our warehouse line of credit.

Liquidity

Our cash on hand at July 31, 2005 amounted to \$1,477,263 and our working capital shortfall was \$1,442,552. Our current obligations consist primarily of liabilities generated in the ordinary course of business, which included our warehouse line of credit. We have no long-term debt which we need to service in the near term.

Interest Rates

We are vulnerable to increases in interest rates. Our business over the

past two years has increased due to mortgage refinancings which resulted from declining interest rates. The sub-prime lending market is less vulnerable to increases in interest rates, because interest rates charges to these borrowers is significantly higher and less volatile to changes in interest rates. Significant increases in interest rates could have an adverse impact on our the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

B - 76

Seasonality

We experience slow loan production in the months of January through March because of the low number of applications we receive in December and January relative to the other months during the year. We historically have incurred losses during the months of February and March because of seasonality.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

ANZA's consolidated financial statements and related public financial information are based on the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). GAAP requires the use of estimates, assumptions, judgments and subjective interpretations of accounting principles that have an impact on the assets, liabilities, revenue and expense amounts reported. These estimates can also affect supplemental information contained in the external disclosures of Anza, including information regarding contingencies, risk and financial condition. Anza believes its use of estimates and underlying accounting assumptions adhere to GAAP and are consistently and conservatively applied. Valuations based on estimates are reviewed for reasonableness and conservatism on a consistent basis throughout Anza. Primary areas where financial information of Anza is subject to the use of estimates, assumptions and the application of judgment include accounts receivable allowances, and losses on loans held for sale and indemnifications associated with loans brokered. In addition, we are subject to litigation in the normal course of business. We assess the probability and financial exposure when determining when a liability for losses should be recorded. These significant estimates also include our evaluation of impairments of intangible assets (see further discussion below). In addition, the recoverability of deferred tax assets must be assessed as to whether these assets are likely to be recovered by Anza through future operations. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We continue to monitor significant estimates made during the preparation of our financial statements.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest rate movements significantly impact our volume of closed loans and represent the primary component of market risk to us. In a higher interest rate environment, consumer demand for mortgage loans, particularly refinancing of existing mortgages, declines. Interest rate movements affect the interest income earned on loans held for sale, interest expense on the warehouse lines payable, the value of mortgage loans held for sale and ultimately the gain on sale of mortgage loans.

Our primary financial instruments are cash in banks and money market instruments. We do not believe that these instruments are subject to material potential near-term losses in future earnings from reasonably possible near-term changes in market rates or prices. We do not have derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. We are not currently exposed to any material currency exchange risk.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There have been no events required to be reported by this Item.

B-77