CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC Form DEF 14A March 08, 2019

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

b Filed by the Registrant o Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

oPreliminary Proxy Statement
oCONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14a-6(e)(2))
bDefinitive Proxy Statement
oDefinitive Additional Materials
oSoliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

Capital City Bank Group, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

b No fee required.

- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (a)(2) and identify the filing ofor which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

Notice of

2019 Annual Meeting of Shareowners

and Proxy Statement

217 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

LETTER TO SHAREOWNERS

217 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

March 8, 2019

Dear Fellow Shareowners:

Please join us for our 2019 Annual Shareowners Meeting at 10 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, April 23, 2019, at the Florida State University Turnbull Conference Center, 555 West Pensacola Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301. I look forward to this opportunity to share highlights from 2018 and discuss near- and longer-term plans for Capital City. I will also be calling for a vote on two important matters.

As a valued Capital City Bank supporter, your vote is important and your Board of Directors encourages you to let your voice be heard. Proxy materials are enclosed for your convenience and are accessible at *www.proxyvote.com* along with the 2018 Annual Report. We are distributing Proxy Materials online rather than mailing printed copies as it allows us to expedite delivery to our shareowners through a lower-cost, more environmentally responsible option. You will not receive printed copies unless you request them by following the instructions contained in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

Whether or not you are able to attend the meeting in person, I encourage you to vote as soon as possible. Voting your proxy ensures your representation at the meeting, and we offer several methods of voting for your convenience: by telephone, online at *www.proxyvote.com* or via mailed proxy card if you received paper copies of your materials.

Thank you for your vote and for your continued support. I look forward to seeing you in April and hope you will be able to join us for light refreshments at 9:30 a.m. before the meeting begins.

Your banker.

William G. Smith, Jr.

Chairman, President,

and Chief Executive Officer

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREOWNERS

BUSINESS

- (1) Vote on four Class I nominees for election to the Board of Directors;
- (2) Ask for ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year; and
- (3) Transact other business properly coming before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

RECORD DATE

Shareowners owning Capital City Bank Group shares at the close of business on February 26, 2019, are entitled to notice of, attend, and vote at the meeting. A list of these shareowners will be available at the Annual Meeting and for 10 days before the Annual Meeting between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Eastern Time, at our principal executive offices at 217 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

TIME

10:00 a.m., local time, April 23, 2019

PLACE

Florida State University Turnbull Conference Center 555 West Pensacola Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

VOTING

Even if you plan to attend the meeting in Tallahassee, Florida, please provide us your voting instructions in one of the following ways as soon as possible:

- : Use the Internet address on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the proxy card;
- , Use the toll-free number on the proxy card, if you received one. You can also find the toll-free number to vote your shares when you access the Internet address on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials; or
- Mark, sign, and date the proxy card and return in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. This option is available only to those shareowners who have received a paper copy of a proxy card by mail.

By Order of the Board of Directors

J. Kimbrough Davis Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Corporate Secretary

Tallahassee, Florida March 8, 2019

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareowners' Meeting to be Held on April 23, 2019. The Proxy Statement and the Annual Report are available at: www.proxyvote.com.

CONTENTS

Proxy Statement	1
Voting Information	1
Proposal No. 1 – Election of Directors	2
Corporate Governance at Capital City	8
Board Committee Membership	12
Director Compensation	13
Transactions with Related Persons	14
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	16
Executive Compensation	29
Proposal No. 2 – Ratification of Independent Auditors	34
Audit Committee Matters	34
Share Ownership	36
Proxy Statement – General Information	38
Other Matters	41

PROXY STATEMENT

We are providing these Proxy Materials in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors (the "Board") of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., a Florida corporation ("Capital City"), of proxies to be voted at our 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareowners and at any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

We will hold our 2019 Annual Meeting at 10:00 a.m., local time, Tuesday, April 23, 2019, at the Florida State University Turnbull Conference Center, 555 West Pensacola Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301. We are making these Proxy Materials available to our shareowners on or about March 8, 2019.

At Capital City, and in this Proxy Statement, we refer to our employees as "associates." Also in the Proxy Statement, we refer to Capital City as the "Company," "we," or "us" and to the 2019 Annual Meeting as the "Annual Meeting."

VOTING INFORMATION

Who can vote?

All shareowners of record at the close of business on the record date of February 26, 2019 are entitled to receive these Proxy Materials and to vote at the Annual Meeting. On that date, there were 16,807,883 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

How do I vote my shares in person at the Annual Meeting?

Shares held in your name as the shareowner of record may be voted in person at the Annual Meeting. Shares for which you are the beneficial owner but not the shareowner of record may be voted in person at the Annual Meeting only if you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, trustee, or other nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we recommend that you vote by proxy as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the Annual Meeting. The vote you cast in person will supersede any previous votes that you submitted, whether by Internet, phone, or mail.

How do I vote my shares in the 401(k) plan?

If you are an associate who participates in Capital City's 401(k) Plan, you may instruct the Plan trustee on how to vote your shares in the Plan by mail, by telephone, or on the Internet as described above, except that, if you vote by mail, the card that you use will be a voting instruction card rather than a proxy card. If you own shares through the Plan and you do not vote, the Plan trustee will vote the shares in the same proportion as other Plan participants vote their Plan shares.

How can I vote my shares without attending the Annual Meeting?

Whether you hold shares directly as a shareowner of record or beneficially, you may direct how your shares are voted without attending the Annual Meeting. You may give voting instructions by the Internet or by telephone. If you requested and received a paper copy of a proxy card by mail, you may vote by mail. Instructions are on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the proxy card.

Whether you provide voting instructions by the Internet or by telephone, or vote by mail, you are designating certain individuals to vote on your behalf as your legal Proxy. We have designated Bethany H. Corum and Dale A. Thompson each as a Proxy. The Proxies will vote all valid voting instructions and proxy cards that are delivered in response to this solicitation, and not later revoked, in accordance with the instructions given by you.

What is the deadline for voting my shares?

If you hold shares as the shareowner of record, then your vote by proxy must be received before 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on April 22, 2019 (the day before the Annual Meeting). If you are the beneficial owner of shares held through a broker, trustee, or other nominee, please follow the instructions provided by your broker, trustee, or other nominee.

PROPOSAL NO. 1 – ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board is divided into three classes, designated Class I, Class II, and Class III. The directors in each class are elected for terms of three years. At the Annual Meeting, the shareowners will elect four Class I directors. Our Board proposes the following four nominees for election as directors at the Annual Meeting. Each of the nominees is currently serving as a member of the Board. The proxies will vote, unless instructed otherwise, each valid voting instruction and proxy card for the election of the following nominees as directors.

If a nominee is unable to serve, the shares represented by all valid proxies that have not been revoked will be voted for the election of a substitute as the Board may recommend, or the Board may by resolution reduce the size of the Board to eliminate the resulting vacancy. At this time, the Board knows of no reason why any nominee might be unable to serve.

If the four director nominees are elected, the Board will not have any vacancies. Brokers do not have discretion to vote on this proposal without your instructions. If you do not instruct your broker how to vote on this proposal, your broker will deliver a broker nonvote on this proposal.

The following paragraphs provide information as of the date of this Proxy Statement about each nominee and each incumbent director not up for re-election in the categories of: age, positions held, principal occupation and business experience for the past five years, and names of other publicly-held companies for which he or she serves as a director or has served as a director during the past five years. While the following paragraphs note certain individual qualifications and skills of our directors that contribute to the Board's effectiveness as a whole, we also believe that all of our nominees and incumbent directors not up for re-election have a reputation for integrity, honesty, and adherence to high ethical standards. They each have demonstrated strong leadership skills, business acumen and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment of service to our shareowners.

NOMINEES TO SERVE FOR A THREE-YEAR TERM EXPIRING IN 2022

Independent director

ALLAN G. BENSE

Age: 67

Mr. Bense is currently Chairman, President and CEO of Bense Enterprises, Inc., which is affiliated with companies that have interests in road building, general construction, mechanical

Director since: 2013

contracting, insurance, healthcare, golf courses, pavement marking and farming since the early 1980s. Mr. Bense received his M.B.A. from Florida State University. He served as Speaker of the Florida House of Representatives from 2004 to 2006. Mr. Bense has also served as the chairman

Board committees:

of the Florida State University Board of Trustees, Chairman of the Board of the James Madison Institute, and Chairman of the Florida Chamber of Commerce and the Bay Economic Alliance.

Audit and Compensation

Mr. Bense also previously served as a director for the Gulf Power Company. We believe Mr. Bense's qualifications to sit on our Board include his executive leadership and management

experience and his operational and financial expertise gained from the successful operation of his

Other current public company

own businesses.

boards: None

Independent director

Age: 69 CADER B. COX, III

Director since:

1994

From 1976 to 2006, Mr. Cox served as President, and from 2006 until 2013, he served as CEO of Riverview Plantation, Inc., a resort and agricultural company located in Georgia. He currently serves as Chairman and Secretary of Riverview Plantation, Inc. and an officer and a director of

Board committees: Plantation Precooler, Inc., a large fresh vegetable operation. He is extensively involved in the

Compensation (Chair) and

Corporate Governance and

Nominating

Foundation Board, trustee of AgriTrust, and he serves on the Board and Executive Committee of the Georgia Agribuisness Council. We believe Mr. Cox's qualifications to sit on our Board include his operational and financial expertise gained from the successful operation of his own

community, including serving as a board member for The University of Georgia Research

businesses, as well as his executive leadership and management experience.

Other current public company boards: None

JOHN G. SAMPLE, JR.

Independent

Age: 62

director Mr. Sample previously served, until his retirement in July 2017, as Senior Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer of Atlantic American Corporation, an Atlanta, Georgia-based holding company that operates through its subsidiaries in specialty markets within the life, health and property and casualty insurance industries, from July 2002 until July 2017 and Corporate

Director since:

2016

Secretary from May 2010 until July 2017. Prior to joining Atlantic American Corporation in July 2002, he had been a partner of Arthur Andersen LLP since 1990. Mr. Sample has also served as a director and chairman of the audit committee of the board of directors of 1st Franklin Financial

Board committees: Corporation, a consumer finance company that originates and services direct cash loans, real Audit (Chair) estate loans and sales finance contracts through 314 branch offices located throughout the

> southeastern United States, since 2004. We believe Mr. Sample's qualifications to sit on our Board include his executive leadership and management experience, his extensive accounting and

Other current financial background, and his experience in the financial services industry.

public

company boards:

1st

Franklin Financial Corp.

Chairman

WILLIAM G. SMITH, JR.

Age: 65

1982

Mr. Smith currently serves as our Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer. He was elected Chairman in 2003 and has been President and Chief Executive Officer since 1995. Mr. Smith also serves as Chairman of Capital City Bank, a position he has held since 1995. In addition,

Similar also serves as Chamman of Capital City Bank, a position lie has held since 1775. In addition

Other current public company

Director since:

Mr. Smith has served as a director of Southern Company since 2006. We believe Mr. Smith's qualifications to sit on our Board include his four decades of banking experience, including more

than 20 years as our President and Chief Executive Officer.

boards: Southern

boards: Souther Company

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the nominees.

CONTINUING DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Continuing Class II Directors (term expiring in 2020)

President-Capital City

Bank

THOMAS A. BARRON

Age: 66

Mr. Barron is our Treasurer and was appointed President of Capital City Bank in 1995. We believe Mr. Barron's qualifications to sit on our Board include his more than four decades of banking experience, including more than 20 years as the President of Capital City Bank.

Other current public company boards: None

Director since: 1982

Independent director

STANLEY W. CONNALLY, JR.

Age: 49

Director since: 2017

Board committees: Audit and Corporate Governance and Nominating (Chair)

Other current public company boards: None

Mr. Connally has been employed by Southern Company since 1989, currently serving as executive vice president of operations for Southern Company Services, Inc. From 2012, until the end of 2018, Mr. Connally served as Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Gulf Power Company, a subsidiary of Southern Company. Mr. Connally serves on the board of the Electric Power Research Institute and formerly served on the boards of the Florida Chamber of Commerce, Florida Council of 100, Enterprise Florida and Aerospace Alliance. We believe Mr. Connally's qualifications to sit on our Board include his executive leadership and management experience and his operational and financial expertise gained from almost three decades of increasing responsibility at a Fortune 500 company.

Independent director J. EVERITT DREW

Age: 63 Since 2007, Mr. Drew has been President of SouthGroup Equities, Inc., a private real estate

investment and development company. From 2000 to 2007, Mr. Drew was President of St.

Director since: 2003 Joe Land Company where his duties included overseeing the sale and development efforts of several thousand acres of St. Joe property in northwest Florida and southwest Georgia. We

believe Mr. Drew's qualifications to sit on our Board include his experience as President of St. Joe Land Company, which at the time was the largest landowner in Florida, as well as his

operational and financial expertise gained from the successful operation of his own business.

Other current public company boards: None

Board committees:

Audit and

Compensation

Independent director

Age: 43 ERIC GRANT

Director since: Mr. Grant has been employed by Municipal Code Corporation, the nation's largest provider of municipal solutions, including printing and hosting municipal codes online, since 2007. Mr. Grant

served as Vice President of the Supplement Department of Municipal Code Corporation until 2012.

Board Since 2012, Mr. Grant has served as President. Prior to receiving his Juris Doctorate from the **committees:** University of Virginia, Mr. Grant attended the United States Naval Academy and Georgetown

Audit University's School of Foreign Service while serving as a member of the United States Marine Corps.

In addition to being stationed in Virginia, Kentucky, California and abroad, Grant and his unit, the 15th Marine Expeditionary Unit, were deployed during Operation Enduring Freedom in 2001. Mr.

and 15th Marine Expeditionary Unit, were deployed during Operation Enduring Freedom in 2001. Mr. Compensation Grant also serves on the boards of the Community Foundation of North Florida and the United Way

of the Big Bend. We believe Mr. Grant's qualifications to sit on our Board include his executive

Other current leadership and management experience.

public

company
boards: None

CONTINUING CLASS III DIRECTORS (TERM EXPIRING IN 2021)

FREDERICK CARROLL, III

Independent

director From 1990 to 2014, Mr. Carroll was the Managing Partner of Carroll and Company, CPAs, an

accounting firm specializing in tax and audit based in Tallahassee, Florida. He currently serves as a

Age: 68 tax professional for Carroll and Company, CPAs. Mr. Carroll has practiced as a Certified Public

Accountant since 1977. Mr. Carroll has a master's degree in accounting. We believe Mr. Carroll's

Director since: qualifications to sit on our Board include his long business career and extensive accounting and

2003 financial background.

Board

committees:

Audit

and

Compensation

Other current public

company
boards: None

Independent director

MARSHALL M. CRISER III

Age: 60

Audit

Mr. Criser has served as the chancellor of the State University System of Florida since 2014. Prior **Director since**: to this post, he worked for AT&T and its predecessor, BellSouth, since 1980, where he most recently served as its President. Mr. Criser is a member of the Boards of Directors of the Florida

recently served as its President. Mr. Criser is a member of the Boards of Directors of the Florida

Chamber of Commerce and Enterprise Florida. Inc. and a past member of the Scripps Research.

Chamber of Commerce and Enterprise Florida, Inc. and a past member of the Scripps Research

Board Institute Board of Trustees in California and the Florida Council of 100, where he is a former

committees: chairman. Mr. Criser's community service includes terms as the vice chairman of the University of

Florida's Board of Trustees, chairman of the Florida Chamber of Commerce, and chairman of Florida TaxWatch. A Florida native, Mr. Criser graduated from the University of Florida with a

and bachelor's degree in business administration in 1980, and later completed an Advanced Management

Compensation Programme at INSEAD in Fontainebleau, France. We believe Mr. Criser's qualifications to sit on our

Board include his extensive executive leadership and management experience.

Other current public company boards: None

Independent director

Age: 56

LAURA L. JOHNSON **Director since:**

2017

For over two decades, Ms. Johnson has been the founding artist and Chief Executive Officer of Coton Colors, a leading lifestyle brand in the giftware and home décor industries headquartered in **Board committees:** Tallahassee, Florida. We believe Ms. Johnson's qualifications to sit on our Board include her executive leadership and management experience and her operational and financial expertise

Audit

and Corporate Governance

and Nominating

Other current public company boards: None

Executive Officers

Executive officers are elected annually by the Board at its meeting following the annual meeting of shareowners to serve for a one-year term and until their successors are elected and qualified. Thomas A. Barron and William G. Smith, Jr. serve as directors and executive officers and J. Kimbrough Davis is an executive officer.

gained from the successful operation of her own businesses.

J. KIMBROUGH DAVIS

Mr. Davis, 65, was appointed our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in 1997. He served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 1991 to 1997. In 1998, he was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE at capital city

GOVERNING PRINCIPLES

We are committed to maintaining a business atmosphere where only the highest ethical standards and integrity prevail. An unwavering adherence to high ethical standards provides a strong foundation on which our business and reputation can thrive, and is integral to creating and sustaining a successful, high-caliber company.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Report

During 2018, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee focused its efforts on:

§Board refreshment through the addition of Marshall M. Criser, III to the board;

§ Management succession planning, specifically for the positions of Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chief Financial Officer; and

Reviewing risk management practices, including scheduling time with senior executive officers to discuss § cybersecurity practices, posture, and response, as well as insurance coverages in place to help protect the Company and its shareowners in the event a cyberattack occurs.

2018 Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee:

Stanley W. Connally, Jr. (Chair)

Cader B. Cox, III

Eric Grant

Laura L. Johnson

BOARD'S RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES

Succession Planning

The Board plans for succession to the position of Chief Executive Officer as well as certain other senior management positions. To assist the Board, William G. Smith, Jr., our Chairman, President, and CEO, annually provides the Board with an assessment of senior managers and of their potential to succeed him. He also provides the Board with an assessment of persons considered potential successors to certain other senior management positions. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and our independent directors in an executive session annually review this updated assessment. In addition, the Board interacts with members of senior management who are potential successors to our executive management.

Board Refreshment

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines reflect our belief that directors should not be subject to term limits because it would likely cause us to lose directors who have developed insight into our strategies and operations and risks. Nevertheless, we have several policies in place to support board refreshment such as a mandatory retirement age, mandatory resignation if the director does not receive a majority vote of support from our shareowners, mandatory tender of a resignation upon a change in our director's principal employment, and a strict prohibition on serving on too many boards. Nevertheless, our Board regularly reviews its own composition, and considers and plans for an orderly transition and refreshment process, which includes planning for potential retirements and identifying potential candidates for service as new directors. As part of this process, over the past six years, the Board has added six new independent directors, Ms. Johnson and Messrs. Bense, Connally, Criser, Grant, and Sample, whom the Board believes have the relevant experience and expertise to complement that of our other directors and to further contribute to the Board's ongoing guidance of our company.

Risk Management

Risk management is an important component of our corporate strategy. While we assess specific risks at our committee levels, the Board, as a whole, oversees our risk management process, and discusses and reviews with management major policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The Board is regularly informed through committee reports about our risks. In addition, we have an Enterprise Risk Oversight Committee, which reports to the Board at least twice per year. The Enterprise Risk Oversight Committee serves to assist the Board in establishing and monitoring our key risks, and meets at least on a quarterly basis.

Board and Committee Evaluations

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee uses a variety of methods to annually evaluate the Board as a whole and its committees. In most years, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee uses written questionnaires for the evaluation. Directors submit completed questionnaires to the Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, who summarizes the results without attribution. The full Board discusses the summary of the Board evaluation, and each committee discusses the summary of its own evaluation. In other years, the evaluation is conducted by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee by sending each director a series of questions for the director to consider. The evaluation is then conducted verbally in executive session led by the Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. For 2018's evaluation, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee engaged an outside firm, Bank Director, to conduct the assessment.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to implement the Nasdaq corporate governance listing standards and various other corporate governance matters.

Codes of Conduct and Ethics

The Board has adopted Codes of Conduct applicable to all directors, officers, and associates, and a Code of Ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer and our financial and accounting officers, all of which are available, without charge, upon written request to:

Capital City Bank Group, Inc. c/o Corporate Secretary 217 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

These codes are designed to comply with Nasdaq and SEC requirements. We will disclose any amendments to, or waivers from, the Code of Ethics on our website (*www.ccbg.com*) within four business days of such determination.

Board structure and process

Independent Directors

Our common stock is listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market. Nasdaq requires that a majority of our directors be "independent," as defined by Nasdaq's rules. Generally, a director does not qualify as an independent director if the director or a member of a director's immediate family has had in the past three years certain relationships or affiliations with us, our external or internal auditors, or other companies that do business with us. Our Board has adopted categorical independence standards that include all objective standards of independence set forth in the Nasdaq rules.

The categorical independence standards are posted to the Corporate Governance section of our website, www.ccbg.com. Based on these standards, our Board has affirmatively determined that the following current directors, constituting a majority of our directors, are independent: Allan G. Bense, Frederick Carroll, III, Stanley W. Connally, Jr., Cader B. Cox, III, Marshall M. Criser III, J. Everitt Drew, Eric Grant, Laura L. Johnson, and John G. Sample, Jr.

Board Leadership

The Board does not have a policy with respect to separation of the positions of Chairman and CEO or with respect to whether the Chairman should be a member of management or an independent director, and believes that these are matters that should be discussed and determined by the Board from time to time. When the Chairman of the Board is a member of management or is otherwise not independent, the independent directors elect a lead director, which we discuss below. Currently, William G. Smith, Jr. serves as our Chairman and CEO. Given the fact that Mr. Smith is tasked with the responsibility of implementing our corporate strategy, we believe he is best suited for leading discussions regarding performance relative to our corporate strategy, and these discussions represent a significant portion of our Board meetings.

Lead Director

The independent directors of our Board of Directors annually elect an independent director to serve in a lead capacity. Although elected annually, the lead director is generally expected to serve for more than one year. Mr. Drew serves as our lead director. The lead director's duties, which are listed in a Board approved charter, include:

§presiding at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present;

§ calling meetings of the independent directors;

§ coordinating with the Chairman the planning of meeting agenda items; and

§ serving as an independent point of contact for shareowners wishing to communicate with the Board other than through the Chairman.

We have posted the Lead Director Charter on our website, www.ccbg.com.

Independent Director Meetings In Executive Sessions

Our independent directors have established a policy to meet separately without any Company associates present in regularly scheduled executive sessions at least twice annually, and at such other times as may be deemed appropriate by our independent directors. Any independent director may call an executive session of independent directors at any time. In 2018, the independent directors met in an executive session five times.

Director Nominating Process

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee annually reviews and makes recommendations to the full Board regarding the composition and size of the Board so that the Board consists of members with the proper expertise, skills, attributes, and personal and professional backgrounds needed by the Board, consistent with applicable Nasdaq and regulatory requirements.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee believes that all directors, including nominees, should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity, and values, and be committed to representing the long-term interests of our shareowners. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will consider criteria including the nominee's current or recent experience as a senior executive officer, whether the nominee is independent, as that term is defined by the Nasdaq listing standards, the business experience currently desired on the Board, geography, the nominee's banking industry experience, and the nominee's general ability to enhance the overall composition of the Board. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy on diversity; however, the Board and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee believe that it is essential that the Board members represent diverse viewpoints and generally considers this issue during the annual board and committee evaluation process.

Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee identifies nominees for directors primarily based upon suggestions from shareowners, current directors, and executives. The Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and at least one other member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee interviews director candidates. The full Board formally nominates candidates for director to be included in the slate of directors presented for shareowner vote based upon the recommendations of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee following this process.

Voting Standard

Our Bylaws provide that in an uncontested election, if a nominee for director does not receive at least a majority of the votes cast at any meeting for his or her election at which a quorum is present, then the director must promptly tender

his or her resignation to the Board. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee would then recommend to the Board whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation, or whether other action should be taken. The Board will act on the tendered resignation and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind the decision within 90 days from the date of the certification of the election results. If a director's resignation is not accepted by the Board, then such director will continue to serve the remainder of the director's term. If a nominee's resignation is accepted by the Board, then the Board, in its sole discretion, may fill any remaining vacancy or decrease the size of the Board. To be eligible to be a nominee for election or reelection as our director, a person must deliver to our Corporate Secretary a written agreement that such person will abide by these requirements.

Mandatory Retirement Policy

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide for mandatory director retirement at age 72.

Director Service on Other Boards

To ensure that our directors can provide sufficient time and attention to the Company, our directors may not serve on more than three other boards of directors of public companies in addition to our Board. Our CEO may not serve on more than two other boards of directors of public companies in addition to our Board.

Change in Director Occupation

A director whose principal occupation or business association changes substantially during the director's tenure must tender a resignation for consideration by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will recommend to the Board the action, if any, to be taken with respect to the resignation.

DIRECTOR ATTENDANCE

Our Board met 12 times in 2018. Each of our directors attended at least 88.2 percent of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and Committees on which they served. We expect all directors to attend our Annual Meeting. Each of our directors, who was a director at the time of our Annual Meeting in 2018, attended the 2018 Annual Meeting.

SHAREOWNER COMMUNICATIONS

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide for a process by which shareowners may communicate with the Board, a Board committee, the independent directors as a group, or individual directors. Shareowners who wish to communicate with the Board, a Board committee, or any other directors or individual directors may do so by sending written communications to the address below:

Capital City Bank Group, Inc. c/o Corporate Secretary 217 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Communications will be compiled by our Corporate Secretary and submitted to the Board, a committee of the Board, or the appropriate group of directors or individual directors, as appropriate, at the next regular meeting of the Board. The Board has requested that the Corporate Secretary submit to the Board all communications received, excluding those items that are not related to board duties and responsibilities, such as: mass mailings, job inquiries, resumes, advertisements, solicitations, and surveys.

BOARD COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee The Committee assists the Board in its oversight of:
The Committee assists the Board in its oversight of:	The Committee assists the Board in its oversight of:	§ Director
§ the integrity of our financial reporting process, system of internal controls, and the independence and performance of our internal auditors;	§ our compensation and benefits policies and programs generally;	qualification standards and nominations;
§ our compliance with Section 112 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991;	§ the performance evaluation of designated senior managers, including our named executive officers;	§ appointing directors to committees;
§ the hiring, qualifications, independence, and performance of our independent auditors, for which the Committee bears primary responsibility; and	§ the compensation of our designated senio managers, including our named executive officers;	r § Board, committee, and director performance;
§ our policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management.	§ assessing the relationship between incentive compensation arrangements and risk management policies and practices;	§ managerial succession
Our Board has determined that each member of the Committee, other than Ms. Johnson, is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined under applicab SEC rules.	§ stock ownership guidelines for directors and executive officers; and	§ our policies and practices relating to corporate
SEC Tules.	§ Board compensation.	governance; and
Current committee members	Current committee members	§ shareowner proposals. Current committee
Allan G. Bense	Allan G. Bense	members
Frederick Carroll, III	Frederick Carroll, III	Stanley W. Connally, Jr.
Stanley W. Connally, Jr.	Cader B. Cox, III (Chair)	(Chair)
Marshall M. Criser III	J. Everitt Drew	Cader B. Cox, III
J. Everitt Drew	Eric Grant	Marshall M. Criser III
Eric Grant		Laura L. Johnson

Laura L. Johnson

John G. Sample, Jr. (Chair)

Meetings in 2018	Meetings in 2018	Meetings in 2018
13	5	4
Committee report on page 35	Committee report on page 18	Committee report on page 8

Each member of each committee is independent under the rules of Nasdaq. The Board has adopted written charters for each of its standing committees. The charter for each committee may be viewed on the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.ccbg.com.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Compensation elements

We currently have nine independent directors who qualify for compensation for Board service. In 2017, the Compensation Committee engaged Blanchard Consulting to measure Capital City's board compensation against the same peer group used to measure executive management compensation. Additionally, the Compensation Committee amended our Compensation Philosophy to include targeting total annual fees, including retainer, equity compensation, board meeting fees, committee chairmen fees, committee meeting fees, and Lead Director fees for our directors at the 50th percentile of our selected peer group unless an exception is stated by the Compensation Committee due to Company performance or market demand. Based on results from a study provided by Blanchard Consulting, the Compensation Committee implemented committee meeting fees in lieu of committee retainers. While the Compensation Committee deems attendance by directors to be mandatory at all meetings, having a variable compensation structure better aligns compensation with the volume of business conducted by each committee. The elements of director compensation are as follows:

2019 Cash Compensation

Cash Payment	Fees Earned in Cash (\$)
Annual Retainer	\$18,000
Member of Board Committee	\$1,000 per meeting attended
Audit Committee Chair – Annual Retainer	\$8,000
Compensation Committee Chair – Annual Retainer	\$8,000
Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Chair – Annual Retainer	\$5,000
Lead Outside Director – Annual Retainer	\$8,000
Board Meeting Fees	\$1,000 per board meeting and annual strategic meeting attended

Equity Compensation

Stock Grant. Each independent director earns restricted shares of our common stock valued at \$24,000. The restricted shares are granted at the February Compensation Committee meeting and vest on December 31st of the same calendar year, provided that these shares will be forfeited if we incur a net loss for the year in which the grants were made. The restricted stock is issued under the terms of the 2011 Associate Incentive Plan.

Director Stock Purchase Plan. Directors are also permitted to purchase shares of common stock at a 10% discount from fair market value under the 2011 Director Stock Purchase Plan. During 2018, 14,470 shares were purchased. As of December 31, 2018, there were 33,910 shares of common stock available for issuance to directors under this plan. Purchases under this plan were not permitted to exceed the annual retainer and meeting fees received. Our shareowners adopted the Director Stock Purchase Plan at our 2011 Annual Meeting.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

We provide directors with perquisites and other personal benefits that we believe are reasonable, competitive and consistent with our overall director compensation program. The value of the perquisites for each director in the aggregate is less than \$10,000.

Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our directors, other than directors who are also executive officers, in 2018:

	Fees Earned or	Stock	All Other	Total
Name	Paid in Cash	Awards ⁽¹⁾	Compensation	
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Allan G. Bense	49,000	24,008	0	73,008
Frederick Carroll, III	48,000	24,008	0	72,008
Stanley W. Connally, Jr.	48,000	24,008	0	72,008
Cader B. Cox, III	48,000	24,008	0	72,008
Marshall M. Criser III	29,000	0	0	29,000
J. Everitt Drew	57,000	24,008	0	81,008
Eric Grant	47,000	24,008	0	71,008
John K. Humphress ⁽²⁾	22,000	0	0	22,000
Laura L. Johnson	44,000	24,008	0	68,008
Henry Lewis III ⁽²⁾	19,000	0	0	19,000
John G. Sample, Jr.	49,000	24,008	0	73,008

In 2018, we granted each independent director 988 shares of our common stock under our 2011 Associate (1)Incentive Plan. The fair value of each share at the time of the grant was \$24.30. The column represents the fair value of the award as calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

(2) Mr. Humphress and Dr. Lewis retired at our 2018 Annual Meeting. Fees paid related to their board service from January 1, 2018 until our 2018 Annual Meeting.

Stock Ownership Expectations

We maintain stock ownership guidelines for all independent directors. In 2018, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Board approved a change to stock ownership expectations for directors. Under our current guidelines, each independent director is expected to own our common stock equal in value to 10 times their annual director retainer fees (including annual cash and equity grants). Directors have 10 years from the date they are first appointed or elected to our Board to meet the stock ownership requirement. The Compensation Committee has determined that as of December 31, 2018, all directors have met our share ownership expectations or are on track to meet these expectations within the stated time period of 10 years from date of appointment or election.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

PROCEDURES FOR REVIEW, APPROVAL, OR RATIFICATION OF RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

We recognize that related person transactions may raise questions among our shareowners as to whether the transactions are consistent with our best interests and our shareowners' best interests. We generally do not enter into or ratify a related person transaction unless our Board, acting through the Audit Committee or otherwise, determines that the related person transaction is in, or is not inconsistent with, our best interests and our shareowners' best interests. We have adopted a written Related Person Transaction Policy.

Under our procedures, each director, executive officer, and nominee for director submits to our designated compliance officer certain information to assist us in monitoring the presence of related party transactions. On an ongoing basis, and to the best of their knowledge, directors and executive officers are expected to notify our designated compliance officer of any updates to that information. We use our best efforts to have our Audit Committee pre-approve all related person transactions. In the event a related person transaction was not pre-approved by the Audit Committee, the transaction is immediately submitted for the Audit Committee's review for ratification or attempted rescission.

In addition to the policy described above, we circulate a questionnaire each quarter to our directors and executive officers, in which each respondent is required to disclose, to the best of their knowledge, all related person transactions that occurred in the previous quarter.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

Some of our directors and officers and their affiliates, are clients of, and have, in the ordinary course of business and banking, transacted with, Capital City Bank. These transactions include loans, commitments, lines of credit, and letters of credit, any of which may, from time to time, exceed \$120,000. All loans included in these transactions were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral requirements, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons who were not affiliates of Capital City Bank and, in the opinion of management, did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or presented other unfavorable features. Our Board of Directors approved each of these transactions.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we have not identified any transactions or series of similar transactions (other than the ordinary course of business transactions discussed above) for which we are a party in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000 and in which any current director, executive officer, or holder of more than 5% of our capital stock had or will have a direct or indirect material interest other than as follows:

Capital City Bank's Apalachee Parkway Office is located on land leased from the Smith Interests General Partnership L.L.P. ("SIGP") in which William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive is a partner. William G. Smith, Jr. owns approximately 33.3% of SIGP interests. Under a lease agreement expiring in 2024, Capital City Bank makes monthly lease payments to SIGP. Lease payments are adjusted periodically for inflation. Actual lease payments made by Capital City Bank to SIGP in 2018 amounted to approximately \$170,000. The approximate dollar value of Mr. Smith's interest in the transaction, without regard to profit and loss, was approximately \$57,000. We believe the terms of this lease are comparable to the terms we would have received if we had leased the property from a third party.

William G. Smith, III, the son of our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, William G. Smith, Jr., is employed as a Vice President of Capital City Bank. In 2018, William G. Smith, III's total compensation (consisting of annual base salary, annual bonus, and stock-based compensation) was approximately \$147,000. His compensation was determined in accordance with our standard employment and compensation practices applicable to associates with similar responsibilities and positions.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Overview

Capital City's Performance Highlights

Despite the challenging operating environment over the past several years, we believe that Capital City has positioned itself to take advantage of new opportunities. Consider that:

During 2018, we experienced accelerating broad-based loan growth of \$123 million or 7.4%. Our loan growth in \$2018 built upon strong growth in 2017 of \$86 million or 5.5%. We have experienced five consecutive years of loan growth;

We posted a further 18% reduction in nonperforming assets in 2018. As of December 31, 2018, our nonperforming assets to total assets was 0.31%. We believe our strategy for the disposition of repossessed assets has worked and provided the best possible outcome for our shareowners by selling the repossessed assets at generally higher prices than we believe we could have sold the assets if we opted to immediately liquidate the assets;

- We have not taken on excessive interest rate risk or relaxed our credit standards, and believe our balance sheet is well positioned for an improving economy;
- We increased our cash dividends in the third and fourth quarter of 2018 to \$0.09 per share, an increase of 28% from the first two quarters of 2018; and
- §We believe we have sufficient capital and liquidity to pursue and implement our long-term strategic initiatives. We are Careful with How We Spend our Shareowners' Money

We have an experienced and hardworking management team. In fact, members of our executive management team have more than 38 years of experience in the banking industry on average. We have been able to retain our management team by strongly linking pay to performance. Compare how our CEO's base salary matches up to the median base salary of the CEOs of our peer group:

- The Peer Group CEO Median Base Salary is the median salary of the CEO for our peer group for that particular year. Our peer group for 2018 is listed on page 26.
- 16 Capital City Bank Group, Inc. | Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement

Mr. Smith's relatively low base salary does not reflect his performance level, but rather it reflects our conservative compensation philosophy. Superior compensation should be earned through superior performance. Because Capital City's performance was adversely affected during last decade's recession, we significantly limited the raises our named executive officers received during that time frame. On the other hand, as Capital City has thrived, we have recognized our executives' performance through base salary increases.

We Strive to Maximize Shareowner Return

At Capital City, we strive to foster a culture of accountability to our shareowners and work to maximize shareowner return each day. In fact, that is why we refer to our shareholders as "shareowners" – we understand that we work for the benefit of Capital City's owners. We also believe that a good way to align the interests of our associates with the interests of our shareowners is to encourage our associates to become shareowners. We are proud to offer our associates the ability to purchase shares of Capital City through our 2011 Associate Stock Purchase Plan and through the Capital City 401(k) Plan. We also pay our directors, executive officers and senior management team partially in shares of Capital City stock and maintain robust share ownership requirements for many of these individuals.

Pay for Performance is Integral to the Capital City Culture

Our focus on maximizing shareowner return and the strong ownership culture among our associates makes it much easier to implement a strong pay-for-performance compensation plan for your management team. Your executive officers do not expect to get paid well when Capital City's results do not meet our expectations. On the other hand, when Capital City achieves its board approved performance goals as it did over the past three years, your management team should be rewarded. In 2018, a substantial majority of Mr. Smith's eligible compensation was "at risk."

(1) Eligible Incentive Pay assumes performance goals met at the 100% level.

Capital City has been operating in a difficult environment over the past decade. We continue to experience low interest rates, which have reduced our net interest margin significantly. While we can't control general economic factors, we believe that we can ensure that our management team's compensation is in line with Capital City's performance.

CEO Realized Pay is based on the total compensation we reported in the Summary Compensation Table in that (1) year's proxy statement excluding the change in pension value amount because we believe it does not necessarily reflect the actual value received or to be received by the CEO, but rather it reflects an actuarial amount. Compensation Best Practices

We are proud of the strong corporate governance practices we have implemented. Below we have summarized some of the important policies we have implemented to ensure that we provide compensation to align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our shareowners. More importantly, we have summarized the compensation practices that we do not have because we believe that these particular practices are not in the best interests of our shareowners.

W	hat	We	Dο

PPay for performance

PBalanced mix of fixed and variable pay

PMeaningful stock ownership requirements

PUse an independent compensation adviser

PAllocate a significant portion of pay in stock

PIndependent compensation committee

PMitigate undue risk in compensation programs

PBroad clawback policy for incentive compensation

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

What We Don't Do

OEmployment contracts

OStock option grants

O Separate change in control agreements

OExcise tax gross-ups upon change in control

OGuaranteed bonuses

OExcessive perquisites

OIncome tax "gross-up bonuses"

We, as a Compensation Committee, met five times in 2018, including two executive sessions with only the Compensation Committee members present. Mr. Cox, the chairman of our Committee, sets the meeting dates and agenda for the committee. In the past year, we:

Held an executive session to discuss the 2017 performance of Mr. Smith. In accordance with our charter, Mr. Cox § distributed an evaluation to all outside directors, and then collected and compiled the results of the evaluations. He presented the summarized and aggregated results for review by our Committee;

- § Approved Mr. Smith's 2018 base salary of \$400,000, and targeted short-term incentive compensation of \$350,000;
- Approved Mr. Smith's 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan targeted at \$250,000 and approved similar plans for Messrs. Barron and Davis each targeted at \$100,000;
- Reviewed and approved Mr. Barron's 2018 base salary of \$350,000, and target incentive compensation of \$239,000 and Mr. Davis's 2018 base salary of \$300,000 and target incentive compensation of \$150,000;
- Reviewed total compensation for nine senior managers, including a review of incentive plans and relative risk to the Company;
- § Reviewed executive perquisites and found them to be reasonable;
- § Reviewed stock ownership positions for all senior managers and directors;
- § Reviewed our director compensation and recommended no changes for 2019 as disclosed on page 13;
- § Discussed strategic compensation issues; and
- § Continued a review of current design and expense of the Company's defined benefit pension plan.

We have also reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K included in this Proxy Statement. Based on that review and discussion, we have recommended to the Board of Directors of the Company that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

2018 Compensation Committee

Cader B. Cox, III (Chair)

Allan G. Bense

Frederick Carroll, III

J. Everitt Drew

Compensation and Benefits Strategy

Our compensation strategy provides broad guidance on senior management compensation and more specifically on the compensation of the named executive officers. Our compensation objectives are to provide compensation programs that:

- Align compensation with § shareowner value:
- § Provide a direct and transparent link between our performance and pay for our CEO and senior management;
- § Make wise use of our equity resources to ensure compatibility between senior management and shareowner interests;
- Align the interests of our executive officers with those of our shareowners through performance-based incentive plans; and
- § Award total compensation that is both reasonable and effective in attracting, motivating and retaining key associates. We believe that accomplishing corporate goals is essential for our continued success and sustained financial performance. Therefore, we believe that executive officer compensation should be largely at-risk and performance based. Specific targets and weightings used for establishing short-term and long-term performance goals are subject to change at the beginning of each measurement period, and are influenced by the Board's desire to emphasize performance in certain areas. Each year, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves all executive officer performance-based goals.

The compensation and benefits programs for our executives are designed with the goal of providing compensation that is fair, reasonable and competitive. These programs are intended to help us recruit and retain qualified executives, and provide rewards that are linked to performance while also aligning the interests of executives with those of our shareowners.

Compensation Philosophy

performance by management;

The Compensation Committee, with Board approval, adopted the compensation philosophy and set the following compensation objectives:

- Target base salaries for our senior executives at the 50th percentile of our selected peer group unless an exception is approved by our Compensation Committee due to performance, experience, or market demand; Position direct compensation (salary, cash and equity compensation) of our senior executives at the 75th percentile of § our selected peer group of banks dependent upon performance, to attract top talent and to recognize exceptional
- § Target variable (pay for performance) compensation to at least 30% of total compensation mix;
- Continue, over time, the alignment of senior management's interests with those of our shareowners (the percentage of equity compensation should increase relative to total incentive compensation); and
- Target total annual fees, including retainer, equity compensation, board meeting fees, committee chairman fees,
- § committee meeting fees, and Lead Director fees, at the 50th percentile of our selected peer group unless an exemption is approved by our Compensation Committee due to company performance or market demand.

We discuss the composition of our peer group and our benchmarking practices in further detail below.

Executive Compensation Policy Decisions

The Compensation Committee has adopted a number of policies to further the goals of our executive compensation program, particularly with respect to strengthening the alignment of our executives' interests with our shareowners' long-term interests. Further, the Compensation Committee believes the policies set forth below are effective based on the stability of our management team and our ability to attract talent from outside the Company.

Stock Ownership Expectations

We maintain stock ownership expectations for all senior managers, including our executive officers. Under our current guidelines, all senior managers are expected to own shares of our common stock equal in value to at least two times their annual base salary; Mr. Barron and Mr. Davis are expected to own shares of our common stock equal in value to at least three times their annual base salary; and Mr. Smith is expected to own shares of our common stock equal in value to at least five times his annual base salary. Compliance is expected within six years of becoming a senior manager or executive officer.

The Compensation Committee has determined that as of December 31, 2018, all executive officers have met our share ownership expectations and all other senior managers covered by this program are making significant strides in meeting the ownership expectations.

Stock Options

We ceased granting stock options in 2007 and there are currently no stock options outstanding.

Prohibition on Repricing Stock Options

By the terms of the 2011 Associate Incentive Plan, which is the only plan we may use to grant stock options, repricing stock options is prohibited without shareowner approval.

Employment Agreements and Severance Agreements

We believe the employment of our executive officers should remain "at will." Therefore, none of our executive officers have an employment agreement or severance agreement with us.

Incentive Compensation Clawback

Effective, January 1, 2017, all participants in the 2011 Associate Incentive Plan have their incentive compensation subject to a clawback where: (i) we issue a material restatement of our financial statements; (ii) we make a subsequent finding that the financial information or performance metrics used to determine the amount of the incentive compensation are materially inaccurate regardless of individual fault; (iii) a plan participant engages in intentional misconduct; or (iv) the plan participant has committed ethical or criminal violations. In addition, we may recover any incentive compensation awarded or paid based on a participant's conduct which is not in good faith and which materially disrupts, damages, impairs or interferes with our business or which causes harm to our reputation.

Compensation Program Design

Compensation Framework

We design our specific compensation elements based on the following:

Performance: We believe that the best way to accomplish alignment of compensation plans with the participants' interests is to directly link pay to individual and Company performance.

Competitiveness: Compensation and benefits programs are designed to be competitive with those provided by companies with whom we compete for talent. Benefits programs are not based on performance.

Cost: Compensation and benefit programs are designed to be cost-effective and affordable, ensuring that the interests of our shareowners are considered.

Peer Group: The relevant peer group for comparison of compensation and benefits programs consists of commercial banks and thrifts with a geographic footprint or asset base similar to ours.

Specific Compensation Elements

Our executive compensation program is comprised of four discrete elements (which are each described in further detail below):

§Base salary

§Incentive compensation

§ Benefits and perquisites

§ Post-termination compensation and retirement benefits

Discussion of Specific Compensation Elements

Base Salary

Our compensation philosophy guideline is to target base salaries at the 50th percentile of our peer group; however, superior performance may warrant a base salary in excess of this target. Our Compensation Committee believes that this target level will allow us to attract and retain the talent necessary to achieve our performance goals. The base salaries for the named executive officers are determined by analyzing available market data as well as taking into account the experience and performance of the individual. In 2018, two of our named executive officers received an increase in their base salary. The increases were Mr. Barron, 9.4% and Mr. Davis, 5.3%. Mr. Smith's base salary is 21.5% below the 50th percentile base salary of our peer group. His relatively low base salary is not reflective of his

performance or experience level. It has been historically low compared to our peer group benchmark and the Compensation Committee continues to place great emphasis on bringing Mr. Smith's base salary in line with the stated target level. Mr. Barron's base salary is 15.1% below the 50th percentile and Mr. Davis's base salary was 8.6% below the 50th percentile. We consider an officer's salary to be in line with the benchmark if it is within 15% of the benchmark.

Incentive Compensation

In addition to their base salary, each named executive officer has the opportunity to earn annual incentive compensation. The Compensation Committee believes a competitive overall compensation package should include incentive compensation in the form of both cash and equity awards. The Compensation Committee believes, based on past advice from its compensation consultant, targeting incentive compensation equal to or greater than 30% of total compensation is sufficient to change behaviors relative to performance. As a result, we believe our total incentive-based compensation target of at least 30% of total compensation is appropriate.

In 2018, Mr. Smith's targeted incentive compensation was 60.0% of his total targeted compensation, Mr. Barron's was 49.2% and Mr. Davis's was 42.0%. We believe the differences of potential impact each executive officer has on our performance warrants differences in how much compensation they individually have at risk. Each executive officer has an opportunity to earn incentives under a long term plan.

In 2018, Mr. Smith's total targeted incentive compensation of \$600,000 was comprised of 47% cash and 53% equity and includes both short term and long term incentive plans. Mr. Barron's total target incentive compensation of \$339,000 was comprised of 57% cash and 43% equity, and includes both short-term and long-term incentive plans. Mr. Davis's total target incentive compensation of \$217,000 was comprised of 56% cash and 44% equity, and includes both short-term and long-term incentive plans. The difference in allocation between cash and equity among the named executive officers is due to each executive's responsibilities.

The base and incentive (cash and equity) compensation for Messrs. Smith, Barron, and Davis placed them below the 75th percentile for total compensation, relative to their respective peers.

Cash Incentive Plan. Cash incentives for each of the named executive officers are based primarily on performance with regard to goals established by the Compensation Committee. In 2018, measurement was based on using four distinct goals that were weighted based on their relative relationship to overall performance including:

§ achieving an efficiency ratio of less than 77% (weighted at 35%);

§ achieving return on assets of 0.96% (weighted at 25%);

§ achieving a classified asset level of no more than \$30.173 million (weighted at 20%); and

§ achieving risk-adjusted loan income (interest and fee income less loan loss provision expense) at a level greater than \$79.819 million (weighted at 20%).

Messrs. Smith, Barron, and Davis received a payout of \$211,418, \$173,242, and \$108,729, respectively, under the Cash Incentive Plan. The payout represented 120.81% of the target.

Although the goals are generally consistent from year to year, the Compensation Committee evaluates the exact goals each year to better align incentives with the Company's strategy. The goals can be based upon performing loan levels; loan growth; classified or non-performing asset levels; net charge-offs; deposit levels, including growth; increase in shareowner value; stock price; revenue, including growth; net interest income; fee income; net interest margin; non-interest income; non-interest expense; loan loss provision expense; expense management; net income; earnings per share; return on assets; return on shareowners' equity; return on capital; efficiency ratio; or the extent to which direct reporting managers meet their own goals based on these metrics.

Stock-Based Incentive Plan. The Stock-Based Incentive Plan is a performance-based equity bonus plan in which selected members of management, including all named executive officers, are eligible to participate. The Stock-Based Incentive Plan is administered under our 2011 Associate Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee believes the equity component of our incentive compensation package creates ownership in the Company and aligns the goals of our shareowners and named executive officers because it provides incentives to our named executive officers to focus

on improving long-term performance. Payments under the Stock-Based Incentive Plan consists of an award payable 75% in performance shares and 25% in cash, and is designed to align the economic interests of management with those of our shareowners.

Under the Stock-Based Incentive Plan, all participants were eligible to earn an equity award tied to achievement of four distinct objectives weighted based on their relative relationship to overall performance of the Company:

§ achieving an efficiency ratio of less than 77% (weighted at 35%);

§ achieving return on assets of 0.96% (weighted at 25%);

§ achieving a classified asset level of no more than \$30.173 million (weighted at 20%); and

§ achieving risk-adjusted loan income (interest and fee income less loan loss provision expense) at a level greater than \$79.819 million (weighted at 20%).

Depending on performance, component payouts under the plan could have ranged from 0% to 200% of the target, and the maximum payout, in aggregate, could have been 200%.

Two of four goals were achieved at the 100% level or better. Return on assets of 0.96% paid out at 74.82%. Efficiency ratio paid out at 95.38%, classified assets paid out at 200%, and risk-adjusted loan income paid out at 143.60%. Factoring in the component weighting of each goal, the total payout was 120.81% under the 2018 Stock-Based Incentive Plan.

Similar to the Cash Incentive Plan, the goals are generally consistent from year to year, but may change to align management's incentive with the Company's strategy. The goals are generally selected from the same group of metrics as available to be used in the Cash Incentive Plan.

The total economic value of the award for each named executive officer is set as a percentage of total incentive compensation. The total economic value of the target award at the 100% payout level is split 75% in shares and 25% in cash. The formula used a stock price of \$24.18 to arrive at the number of performance shares granted. The price was derived based on the average high and low of the previous 10 trading days from date of grant (February 21, 2018). This formula was set by the terms of the 2011 Associate Incentive Plan.

For 2018, the named executive officers received the following shares:

Name	100%	Maximum	2018
Name	Payout	Payout	Actual Payout
William G. Smith, Jr.	5,428	10,856	6,558
Thomas A. Barron	2,965	5,931	3,582
J. Kimbrough Davis	1,861	3,722	2,248

The cash portion of the Stock-Based Incentive Plan award was as follows:

Nomo	100%	Maximum	2018
Name	Payout	Payout	Actual Payout
William G. Smith, Jr.	\$43,750	\$ 87,500	\$ 52,854
Thomas A. Barron	23,900	47,800	28,874
J. Kimbrough Davis	15,000	30,000	18,122

Awards earned under the Stock-Based Incentive Plan are issued in the calendar quarter following the calendar year in which the awards were earned. Generally, any awards earned are issued in late January or early February.

Long-term Incentive Plan (LTIP) - Compound Annual Growth Rate in Diluted EPS. The Compensation Committee awards Messrs. Smith, Barron, and Davis performance shares as provided in the 2011 Associate Incentive Plan. This plan is designed to correlate compensation with the long-term growth of the Company. Pursuant to this three-year plan, which is adopted each year to allow for the annual adoption of rolling three-year goals, we award performance shares and cash with an economic value equivalent ranging from \$0 to \$500,000 after the conclusion of each three-year performance period for Mr. Smith. For Messrs. Barron and Davis, we award performance shares and cash with an economic value equivalent ranging from \$0 to \$200,000 after the conclusion of each performance period. In 2017, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Davis the right to participate in this plan with a phased in approach in years 2017 and 2018.

Details of Mr. Smith's long-term incentive plan.

For the 2016 plan (with a payout in 2019 based on 2016-18 performance), the target award of \$250,000 is based on Capital City achieving a 10% three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$0.53 per share (2015 earnings) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$500,000 would be earned if the compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level. Actual diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2018 was \$1.54 per share, however, Mr. Smith requested that the Compensation Committee exercise negative discretion and not award him a bonus. The Compensation Committee honored his request.

For the 2017 plan (with a possible payout in 2020 based on 2017-19 performance), the target award of \$250,000 is based on Capital City achieving a 10% three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$0.69 per share (2016 earnings) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$500,000 would be earned if the compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level.

For the 2018 plan (with a possible payout in 2021 based on 2018-20 performance), the target award of \$250,000 is based on Capital City achieving a 10% three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$1.03 per share (2017 earnings, as upwardly adjusted to reverse certain effects from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "TCJA")) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$500,000 would be earned if the compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level.

Details of Mr. Barron's long-term incentive plan.

For the 2016 plan (with a payout in 2019 based on 2016-18 performance), the target award of \$100,000 is based on Capital City achieving a 10% three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$0.53 per share (2015 earnings) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$200,000 would be earned if the three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level. Actual diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2018 was \$1.54 per share, therefore, Mr. Barron earned the maximum level of 200%, equaling 9,810 shares and \$50,000 in cash.

For the 2017 plan (with a possible payout in 2020 based on 2017-19 performance), the target award of \$100,000 is based on Capital City achieving a 10% three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$0.69 per share (2016 earnings) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$200,000 would be earned if the compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level.

For the 2018 plan (with a possible payout in 2021 based on 2018-20 performance), the target award of \$100,000 is based on achieving a goal of a 10% three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$1.03 per share (2017 earnings, as upwardly adjusted to reverse certain effects from the TCJA) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$200,000 would be earned if the three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level.

Details of Mr. Davis's long-term incentive plan.

For the Year 2 Phase-in 2017 plan (with a payout in 2019 based on 2017-18 performance), the target award of \$66,667 is based on achieving a goal of a 10% two-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$0.69 per share (2016 earnings) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$133,334 would be earned if the two-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level. Actual diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2018 was \$1.54 per share, therefore, Mr. Davis earned the maximum level of 200%, equaling 4,812 shares and \$33,333 in cash.

For the Year 3 Phase-in 2017 plan (with a possible payout in 2020 based on 2017-19 performance), the target award of \$100,000 is based on achieving a goal of a 10% three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$0.69 per share (2016 earnings) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$200,000 would be earned if the three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level.

For the 2018 plan (with a possible payout in 2021 based on 2018-20 performance), the target award of \$100,000 was based on achieving a goal of a 10% three-year annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share using \$1.03 per share (2017 earnings, as upwardly adjusted to reverse certain effects from the TCJA) as the base amount. A maximum award of \$200,000 would be earned if the three-year compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share equals or exceeds 12.5%, the maximum performance level.

Benefits and Perquisites

Determining Benefit Levels. Benefit levels are reviewed periodically to ensure that the plans and programs provided are competitive and cost-effective for us, and support our human capital needs. Benefit levels are not tied to company, business area or individual performance.

Perquisites. We provide our named executive officers with perquisites that we believe are reasonable, competitive and consistent with our overall executive compensation program. The value of the perquisites for each named executive officer in the aggregate is less than \$10,000.

Health, Dental, Disability and Life Insurance Coverage. The core insurance package for our named executive officers and senior management team includes health, dental, disability and basic group life insurance coverage. Our named executives and senior management participate in these benefits on the same basis as our other associates.

Paid Time-Off Benefits. We provide vacation and other paid holidays to all associates, including our named executive officers and senior management team, which are comparable to those provided at similarly sized financial institutions.

Post-Termination Compensation and Retirement Benefits.

We provide retirement benefits to named executive officers and senior management through a combination of qualified (under the Internal Revenue Code) and nonqualified plans.

Retirement Plan. The Retirement Plan is a tax-qualified, noncontributory defined benefit plan intended to provide for an associate's financial security in retirement. All full-time and part-time associates with 1,000 hours of service annually are eligible for the Retirement Plan.

401(k) *Plan.* The 401(k) plan provides associates the opportunity to save for retirement on a tax-favored basis. For associates hired after January 1, 2002, the associates receive a reduced pension benefit under the Retirement Plan and a 50% matching contribution (matched up to 6% of cash compensation) under the 401(k) Plan. This change was intended to be cost-neutral. Executives may elect to participate in the 401(k) Plan on the same basis as our other similarly situated associates. No named executive officers are currently eligible for the company-sponsored match.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. Each of our named executive officers participates in our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP"), a nonqualified plan which provides benefits in excess of the Retirement Plan. The SERP is designed to restore a portion of the benefits Messrs. Smith, Barron, and Davis would otherwise receive under our Retirement Plan, if these benefits were not limited by U.S. tax laws. This more closely aligns the benefits of Messrs. Smith, Barron, and Davis with those of other Retirement Plan participants. We have no obligation to fund the SERP, but accrue for our anticipated obligations under the SERP on an annual basis.

Benchmarking

We use benchmarking as a method to understand what similar positions pay in similar organizations. In setting 2018 executive compensation and director compensation, the Compensation Committee engaged Blanchard Consulting to create a custom peer group, based on the following traits: publicly traded bank holding company with assets between \$1.9 billion and \$7.9 billion as of fiscal year end 2017, at least 30 branches, and located in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Virginia. The states selected represent southeastern U.S. states where financial institutions with a similar profile to Capital City Bank were located. At the time the members of the peer group were selected, each member was a publicly traded company. (See the chart below for the names of the financial institutions in our selected peer group as well as their asset size.)

CCBG Peer Group Comparison

Blanchard to ISS – Blanchard's custom peer group development for CCBG focused on peers within the same industry; all peers have the same eight digit GICS classification as CCBG. All of CCBG's peers are within the asset size range recommended by ISS (0.4 to 2.5 times) as well. CCBG's peer group has 18 peers, while ISS peer groups \$ contain between 14 and 24 peers. ISS has indicated that it will use as many as 24 peers when enough peers with the same eight digit GICS classification are available. CCBG is at the 26th percentile of the peer group in assets as of 2017 fiscal year end. Choosing peers which keep the subject company near the group median is an ISS peer group selection priority.

Blanchard to Glass Lewis/Equilar – Glass Lewis/Equilar does not separately assess industry or size (or any factors other than strength of relationship) but states that since industry, revenue/assets, and market capitalization are the three most popular metrics companies use to develop their peer groups these are inherently prioritized in the Equilar network algorithms. CCBG's peer group has 18 peers while the Glass Lewis/Equilar peer groups have 15.

Summary – Overall, the CCBG custom peer group was developed using metrics in line with the methods of the two largest international proxy advisory groups. Potential peer groups developed for CCBG by either of these groups to analyze executive pay and company performance should have overlap with the CCBG peer group used in this analysis. However, additional peers with smaller assets would also likely be included.

Approximately 30% of the peer group includes institutions that serve the same geographic region as we do. Benchmarking is the primary tool we use to set executive compensation; however, we also review individual performance and industry trends in terms of increases to executive compensation. For Mr. Smith, we also undertake a 10-year historical compensation review.

The compensation peer group is not identical to the peer group used to measure company performance, due to difficulty in gathering compensation data from nonpublic companies. Company performance data can be gathered from financial institution call reports for public and nonpublic financial institutions. However, some of the institutions represented on the compensation peer group are included in the Company performance peer group.

Financial Institution	Total Assets		
Financial institution	(\$ in thousands) ⁽¹⁾		
Ameris Bancorp	7,856,203		
Carolina Financial Corporation	3,519,017		
Carter Bank & Trust	4,112,292		
CenterState Banks of Florida, Inc.	7,123,975		
Community Trust Bancorp, Inc.	4,136,231		
FB Financial Corporation	4,727,713		
Fidelity Southern Corporation	4,576,858		
First Bancorp	5,547,037		
First Community Bancshares, Inc.	2,388,460		
Home Bancorp, Inc.	2,228,121		
HomeTrust BancShares, Inc.	3,206,533		
MidSouth Bancorp, Inc.	1,881,152		
National Commerce Corp.	2,737,676		
Republic Bancorp, Inc.	5,085,362		
Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida	5,810,129		
Southern National Bncp of VA	2,614,252		

State Bank Financial Corporation 4,958,582 Stock Yards Bancorp, Inc. 3,239,646

(1) All data for fiscal year end 2017, which was the latest available data when we set 2018 compensation.

Pay ratio disclosure

The following information discloses the ratio of our median employee's annual total compensation to the annual total compensation of the principal executive officer ("CEO"), Mr. Smith.

In determining the median employee, we prepared a list of all employees (excluding our CEO) as of December 31, 2017 (other than employees on leave of absence). Wages and salaries were annualized for employees who began employment after January 1, 2017 or who took a leave of absence during 2017. We had 997 employees during calendar year 2017, including short-term and partial-year employees (i.e., new hires, temporary, etc.). We annualized compensation figures for all employees newly hired, or rehired, during 2017. For simplicity, we excluded the value of the our 401(k) plan and medical benefits provided in selecting the median employee because all employees including the CEO are provided the exact same benefits. We then selected the employee who earned the median compensation for 2017.

For purposes of determining our CEO pay ratio, we are permitted to use the same median employee for up to three years, provided there is no material change to the employment population or change in employee compensation arrangements that would significantly impact our pay ratio disclosure. After reviewing data related to our employees and employee compensation, we determined that there were no material changes to our employment population or employee compensation arrangements in 2018 as compared to 2017. Therefore, we have elected to use the same median employee for our 2018 analysis.

Once we identified the median employee, we added that individual's *Change in Pension Value* to his/her annual compensation, continuing to mirror the *Executive Compensation – Summary Compensation Table* structure.

In determining the ratio, we included all compensation paid to the median employee, including base salary, incentive awards, and overtime; however, we did not include any benefits (i.e., employer paid premiums, group term life values, etc.), consistent with the compensation disclosures in *Executive Compensation – Summary Compensation Table*.

The median of the annual total compensation of all of our employees (excluding our CEO) was \$47,252. The annual total compensation of our CEO was \$1,176,183 resulting in an estimated ratio of CEO to Median Employee Total Compensation of 24.9 to 1.

Because of the high variability of pension value each year, particularly when the age of our CEO and our identified median employee is significant, we are also providing a ratio excluding the change in pension value. The median of the annual total compensation of all of our employees (excluding our CEO) was \$37,615 excluding the change in pension value. The annual total compensation of our CEO (excluding the change in pension value) was \$1,412,622, resulting in an estimated ratio of CEO to Median Employee Total Compensation excluding the change in pension value of 37.6 to 1.

Impact of Regulatory Requirements

Federal Reserve and FDIC Guidance.

In 2010, the Federal Reserve issued final comprehensive guidance regarding the manner in which banks and bank holding companies pay incentive compensation. In accordance with the final guidance, all banking organizations supervised by the Federal Reserve are required to review the incentive compensation arrangements of: senior executive officers and others responsible for oversight of company-wide activities or material business lines; individual employees, including nonexecutive employees, whose activities may expose the bank to material amounts of risk; and groups of employees who are subject to the same or similar incentive compensation arrangements and who, in the aggregate, may expose the bank to material amounts of risk. Our Compensation Committee has conducted a review to ensure that compensation is structured in a manner so as not to encourage excessive risk-taking.

In 2011, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, the federal banking regulators issued a proposed rule which, if adopted, could affect incentive-based compensation for our executive officers. The proposed rule, among other things, would prohibit certain types of incentive-based compensation including (i) compensation which would be unreasonable or disproportionate to the individual's services (excessive compensation) and (ii) compensation that would encourage inappropriate risk taking that could lead to a material loss. The Compensation Committee is monitoring the progress of the proposed rule. The final rule has not yet been adopted.

Impact on Say-on-Pay Vote

We provide our shareowners with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on executive compensation ("Say-on-Pay") every three years. At our Annual Meeting in 2017, out of the 13,238,167 votes cast (excluding abstentions and broker

nonvotes), our shareowners cast 13,040,351 shares, or 98.5%, "For" approval of our executive compensation. The Compensation Committee believes this vote affirmed shareowners' support of our approach to executive compensation. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the outcome of the Say-on-Pay vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers. Our next Say-on-Pay vote will take place at our Annual Meeting in 2020

Compensation Committee Process and Procedures

Scope of Authority

The Compensation Committee has strategic and administrative responsibility for a broad range of issues, including reviewing, authorizing, and approving compensation to be paid to our executive officers, directors, and our senior management team. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee recommends to the Board, and the Board appoints, each member of the Compensation Committee. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee has evaluated, and the Board has determined, that each member of the Compensation Committee is an independent director.

The Compensation Committee's policy is to review executive compensation, including incentive goals, at least annually. The Compensation Committee also periodically reviews benefits and perquisites, reviews and provides oversight of our compensation philosophy, serves as the administrative committee for our equity-based plans, and reviews stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers and directors.

Delegation of Authority

The Compensation Committee's charter permits the delegation of its authority to our Chief People Office to administer compensation and benefits programs. In 2018, the Compensation Committee delegated the administration of all associate compensation, benefit and welfare plans to Bethany H. Corum, Capital City Bank's Executive Vice President and Co-Chief Operating Officer and Chief People Officer.

None of the members of the Compensation Committee is an executive officer of a public company of which one of our executive officers is a director.

Independent Consultants

In carrying out its responsibilities, the Compensation Committee evaluates the information and recommendations put forth by management and its independent advisors in making its decisions regarding executive compensation. The Compensation Committee's decisions are made with the objective of providing fair, equitable and performance-based compensation to executives in a manner that is affordable and cost effective for our shareowners. In 2018, the Compensation Committee engaged Blanchard Consulting to create a custom peer group for the purpose of benchmarking executive officer compensation.

Management's Role

The Compensation Committee sets compensation for the Chief Executive Officer based on data provided by the Chief People Officer and a review of peer group statistics. In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations for other executive officers' compensation. In making these decisions, the Compensation Committee relies on information and recommendations provided by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief People Officer. The key roles played by management in assisting the Compensation Committee to determine compensation levels for our named executive officers are as follows:

- **Develop performance measures:** Identify appropriate performance measures and recommend performance targets that are used to determine annual and long-term awards.
- § Compile benchmark data: Management participates in compensation surveys through reputable third-party firms which are used to gather data on base salary, annual cash and long-term performance awards. In reviewing and setting 2018 senior management compensation, we used custom compensation profiles created by an independent

consultant engaged by the Compensation Committee in 2017. Senior management compensation profiles are updated every two years and time-adjusted in alternate years. For executive officers and senior management, we used peer group data gathered by Blanchard Consulting. The Chief People Officer also provides historical compensation data for each position reviewed by the Compensation Committee.

Develop compensation guidelines: Using the benchmark survey data and publicly disclosed compensation information as the foundation, management develops compensation guidelines for each executive position, such as targeting base salaries for our senior executives at the 50th percentile of our selected peer group and total direct compensation (salary, cash and equity compensation) at the 75th percentile. These guidelines are provided to the CEO as the basis for his recommendations regarding individual compensation actions. In addition, executives are briefed on the guidelines.

The key members of management involved in the executive compensation process were Mr. Smith and Mrs. Corum. Mr. Smith has no involvement in setting his own compensation and was not present during the Compensation Committee's deliberations regarding his own compensation. Mrs. Corum attends all meetings of the Compensation Committee to provide information to the Compensation Committee members and to take minutes of the meetings. At times, the Compensation Committee conducts executive sessions. Mrs. Corum is excused from all executive sessions and the Chairman of the Committee communicates any decisions for inclusion in the minutes. Management (other than Mr. Smith and Mr. Barron solely in their roles as directors approving the Compensation Committee's recommendations) had no role in setting compensation for the independent directors.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table for 2018, 2017, and 2016

The following summary compensation table shows compensation information for our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and our president (the only other executive officer whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000) for each of the three previous fiscal years. Amounts listed under column (f), "Nonequity Incentive Plan Compensation" are determined by the Compensation Committee at its first meeting following the year in which the compensation is earned and paid to the executives shortly after such determination is made.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bon (\$)	Stock ius Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Nonequity Incentive Plan Compensar (\$) ⁽³⁾	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) ⁽⁴⁾		Total ensá s jon	Total Without Change in Pension Value (\$)(6)
William G. Smith, Jr.	2018	400,000	0	508,974	264,272	(236,439) 2,937	1,412,622	1,176,183
Chairman, President, and	2017	400,000	0	522,812	188,935	2,225,911	1,584	3,339,242	1,113,331
Chief Executive Officer	2016	386,000	0	346,402	388,004	537,476	1,584	1,659,466	1,121,990
Thomas A. Barron	2018	350,000	0	223,155	252,116	433,551	1,715	1,260,537	826,986
President, Capital City	2017	320,000	0	224,335	194,497	709,898	1,584	1,450,314	740,416
Bank	2016	305,000	0	161,806	234,478	213,708	1,584	916,576	702,868
J. Kimbrough Davis	2018	300,000	0	196,278	160,184	(94,846	1,584	563,200	658,046
Executive Vice President	2017	285,000	0	356,270	107,355	423,347	1,584	1,173,556	750,209
and Chief Financial Officer	2016	275,000	0	54,481	126,242	198,810	1,584	656,117	457,307

⁽¹⁾ We have no employment agreements with our named executive officers.

⁽²⁾ The amounts in column (e) reflect the grant date fair value of stock awards under our Stock-Based Incentive Plan and LTIP, as determined under applicable SEC and accounting rules. See "Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2018," on page 31, below, for additional information. Among other things, these rules require that the amounts shown in column (e) for the LTIP awards be based upon the probable outcome of the performance conditions. The named executive officers may never realize any value from the awards under the LTIP and, to the extent that they do, the amounts realized may be different than the amounts reported above. As discussed in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis, for Mr. Smith, the maximum value of the stock portion of the LTIP award each year is \$375,000; for Messrs. Barron and Davis, the maximum value of the stock portion of the LTIP award each year is \$150,000. In 2017 and 2018, Mr. Smith declined his LTIP awards. Although the LTIP awards declined or not earned are cancelled, we do not adjust the related amounts previously reported as compensation in the year of the award. In

2017, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Davis the right to participate in the LTIP with a phased in approach in years 2017 through 2019 and, therefore, the value in this column for 2017 reflects the grant date fair value for potential awards payable over three years.

The amounts in column (f) reflect the cash awards to the named individuals under the Cash Incentive Plan, the cash portion of the awards under the Stock-Based Incentive Plan, and the cash portion of the awards under the LTIP, all (3) of which is discussed further in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis. For each of the named executive officers, awards under the Cash Incentive Plan were paid out at approximately 120.81%, 86.37% and 120.20% of the target awards for 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Cash awards under the Stock-Based Incentive Plan were as follows:

	2018	2017	2016
William G. Smith, Jr.	\$52,854	\$37,787	\$52,601
Thomas A. Barron	28,874	20,642	28,735
J. Kimbrough Davis	18,122	12,956	18,035

Cash awards under the LTIP are shown in the third year of the three-year performance period, but only if actually paid out. Mr. Smith declined his awards in 2017 and 2018. Awards were as follows:

	2018	2017	2016
William G. Smith, Jr.	\$0	\$0	\$125,000
Thomas A. Barron	50,000	50,000	33,333
J. Kimbrough Davis	33,333	16,666	

These amounts were earned during the years indicated and were paid to our named executive officers in January of the following year.

(4) The amounts in column (g) reflect the actuarial increase in the present value of the named