BOSTON PROPERTIES INC Form 10-K March 02, 2009 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

t

Commission file number 1-13087

BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction 04-2473675 (I.R.S. Employer

of incorporation or organization)

Identification Number)

Prudential Center, 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900

Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)

02199-8103 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (617) 236-3300

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each classCommon Stock, par value \$.01 per share

Name of exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

Preferred Stock Purchase Rights

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x

As of June 30, 2008, the aggregate market value of the 117,049,198 shares of common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$10,560,178,643 based upon the last reported sale price of \$90.22 per share on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2008. (For this computation, the Registrant has excluded the market value of all shares of Common Stock reported as beneficially owned by executive officers and directors of the Registrant; such exclusion shall not be deemed to constitute an admission that any such person is an affiliate of the Registrant.)

As of February 23, 2009, there were 121,265,984 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

Certain information contained in the Registrant s Proxy Statement relating to its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 19, 2009 is incorporated by reference in Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III. The Registrant intends to file such Proxy Statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of its fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ITEM NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
|-----------------|--|----------|
| PART I | | 1 |
| 1. | <u>BUSINESS</u> | 1 |
| 1A. | RISK FACTORS | 17 |
| 1B. | UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | 34 |
| 2. | <u>PROPERTIES</u> | 34 |
| 3. | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 40 |
| 4. | SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS | 40 |
| PART II | | 41 |
| 5. | MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND | |
| | ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES | 41 |
| 6. | SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA | 43 |
| 7. | MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF | |
| | <u>OPERATIONS</u> | 45 |
| 7A. | QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | 100 |
| 8. | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA | 101 |
| 9. | CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL | |
| | <u>DISCLOSURE</u> | 156 |
| 9A. | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 156 |
| 9B. | OTHER INFORMATION | 156 |
| <u>PART III</u> | | 157 |
| 10. | DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | 157 |
| 11. | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 157 |
| 12. | SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND | |
| | RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | 157 |
| 13. | CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE | 158 |
| 14. | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES | 158 |
| PART IV | | 159 |
| 15. | EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES | 159 |
| | | |

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

As used herein, the terms we, us, our and the Company refer to Boston Properties, Inc., a Delaware corporation organized in 1997, individually or together with its subsidiaries, including Boston Properties Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, and our predecessors. We are a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, and one of the largest owners and developers of office properties in the United States.

Our properties are concentrated in five markets Boston, Washington, DC, midtown Manhattan, San Francisco and Princeton, NJ. We conduct substantially all of our business through our subsidiary, Boston Properties Limited Partnership. At December 31, 2008, we owned or had interests in 147 properties, totaling approximately 49.8 million net rentable square feet and structured parking for vehicles containing approximately 11.2 million square feet. Our properties consisted of:

143 office properties comprised of 123 Class A office properties (including 10 properties under construction) and 20 Office/Technical properties;

one hotel; and

three retail properties.

We own or control undeveloped land totaling approximately 509.3 acres, which will support approximately 12.0 million square feet of development. In addition, we have a minority interest in the Boston Properties Office Value-Added Fund, L.P., which we refer to as the Value-Added Fund, which is a strategic partnership with two institutional investors through which we have pursued the acquisition of assets within our existing markets that have deficiencies in property characteristics which provide an opportunity to create value through repositioning, refurbishment or renovation. Our investments through the Value-Added Fund are not included in our portfolio information tables or any other portfolio level statistics. At December 31, 2008, the Value-Added Fund had investments in an office complex in San Carlos, California, an office property in Chelmsford, Massachusetts and office/technical properties in Mountain View, California.

We consider Class A office properties to be centrally-located buildings that are professionally managed and maintained, attract high-quality tenants and command upper-tier rental rates, and that are modern structures or have been modernized to compete with newer buildings. We consider Office/Technical properties to be properties that support office, research and development, laboratory and other technical uses. Our definitions of Class A office and Office/Technical properties may be different than those used by other companies.

We are a full-service real estate company, with substantial in-house expertise and resources in acquisitions, development, financing, capital markets, construction management, property management, marketing, leasing, accounting, tax and legal services. As of December 31, 2008, we had approximately 700 employees. Our thirty-three senior officers have an average of twenty-five years experience in the real estate industry and an average of fifteen years of experience with us. Our principal executive office and Boston regional office is located at The Prudential

Center, 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, Massachusetts 02199 and our telephone number is (617) 236-3300. In addition, we have regional offices at 505 9th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20004; 599 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10022; Four Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California 94111; and 302 Carnegie Center, Princeton, New Jersey 08540.

Our Web site is located at http://www.bostonproperties.com. On our Web site, you can obtain a free copy of our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. The name Boston Properties and our logo (consisting of a stylized b) are registered service marks of Boston Properties Limited Partnership.

1

Boston Properties Limited Partnership

Boston Properties Limited Partnership, or BPLP, is a Delaware limited partnership, and the entity through which we conduct substantially all of our business and own, either directly or through subsidiaries, substantially all of our assets. We are the sole general partner and, as of February 23, 2009, the owner of approximately 84.2% of the economic interests in BPLP. Economic interest was calculated as the number of common partnership units of BPLP owned by the Company as a percentage of the sum of (1) the actual aggregate number of outstanding common partnership units of BPLP, (2) the number of common partnership units issuable upon conversion of outstanding preferred partnership units of BPLP and (3) the number of common units issuable upon conversion of all outstanding long term incentive plan units of BPLP, or LTIP Units, other than LTIP Units issued in the form of 2008 Outperformance Awards, assuming all conditions have been met for the conversion of the LTIP Units. An LTIP Unit is generally the economic equivalent of a share of our restricted common stock, although LTIP Units issued in the form of 2008 Outperformance Awards are only entitled to receive one-tenth (1/10th) of the regular quarterly distributions (and no special distributions) prior to being earned. See 2008 Outperformance Awards on page 7. Our general and limited partnership interests in BPLP entitle us to share in cash distributions from, and in the profits and losses of, BPLP in proportion to our percentage interest and entitle us to vote on all matters requiring a vote of the limited partners. The other limited partners of BPLP are persons who contributed their direct or indirect interests in properties to BPLP in exchange for common units or preferred units of limited partnership interest in BPLP or recipients of LTIP Units pursuant to the Second Amendment and Restatement of our 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the 1997 Plan). Under the limited partnership agreement of BPLP, unitholders may present their common units of BPLP for redemption at any time (subject to restrictions agreed upon at the time of issuance of the units that may restrict such right for a period of time, generally one year from issuance). Upon presentation of a unit for redemption, BPLP must redeem the unit for cash equal to the then value of a share of our common stock. In lieu of cash redemption by BPLP, however, we may elect to acquire any common units so tendered by issuing shares of our common stock in exchange for the common units. If we so elect, our common stock will be exchanged for common units on a one-for-one basis. This one-for-one exchange ratio is subject to specified adjustments to prevent dilution. We generally expect that we will elect to issue our common stock in connection with each such presentation for redemption rather than having BPLP pay cash. With each such exchange or redemption, our percentage ownership in BPLP will increase. In addition, whenever we issue shares of our common stock other than to acquire common units of BPLP, we must contribute any net proceeds we receive to BPLP and BPLP must issue to us an equivalent number of common units of BPLP. This structure is commonly referred to as an umbrella partnership REIT, or UPREIT.

Preferred units of BPLP have the rights, preferences and other privileges, including the right to convert into common units of BPLP, as are set forth in an amendment to the limited partnership agreement of BPLP. As of December 31, 2008 and February 23, 2009, BPLP had one series of its preferred units outstanding. The Series Two preferred units have a liquidation preference of \$50.00 per unit (or an aggregate of approximately \$55.7 million at December 31, 2008 and February 23, 2009). The Series Two preferred units are convertible, at the holder selection, into common units at a conversion price of \$38.10 per common unit (equivalent to a ratio of 1.312336 common units per Series Two preferred unit). Distributions on the Series Two preferred units are payable quarterly and, unless the greater rate described in the next sentence applies, accrue at 7.0% until May 12, 2009 and 6.0% thereafter. If distributions on the number of common units into which the Series Two preferred units are convertible are greater than distributions calculated using the rates described in the preceding sentence for the applicable quarterly period, then the greater distributions are payable instead. Since May 2005, distributions have been made at the greater rate determined on the basis of distributions paid on the common units into which the Series Two preferred units are convertible. The terms of the Series Two preferred units provide that they may be redeemed for cash in six annual tranches, beginning on May 12, 2009, at our election or at the election of the holders. We also have the right to convert into common units of BPLP any Series Two preferred units that are not redeemed when they are eligible for redemption.

2

Transactions During 2008

Real Estate Acquisitions/Dispositions

On January 7, 2008, we transferred at cost Mountain View Research Park and Mountain View Technology Park to our Value-Added Fund for an aggregate of approximately \$221.6 million. The Research Park properties are comprised of sixteen Class A office and office/technical properties aggregating approximately 601,000 net rentable square feet located in Mountain View, California. The Technology Park properties are comprised of seven office/technical properties aggregating approximately 135,000 net rentable square feet located in Mountain View, California. In consideration for the transfer, we received approximately \$98.6 million of cash and a promissory note having a principal amount of \$123.0 million. The promissory note bore interest at a fixed rate of 7% per annum and was repaid in 2008. In connection with the transfer of the Research Park and Technology Park properties to the Value-Added Fund, we and our partners agreed to certain modifications to the Value-Added Fund s original terms, including bifurcating the Value-Added Fund s promote structure such that the Mountain View Research Park and Technology Park properties will be accounted for separately from the non-Mountain View properties owned by the Value-Added Fund (i.e., Circle Star and 300 Billerica Road). As a result of the modifications, our interest in the Mountain View properties is approximately 39.5% and our interest in the non-Mountain View properties from the Value-Added Fund partners.

On April 14, 2008, we sold a parcel of land located in Washington, DC for approximately \$33.7 million. We had previously entered into a development management agreement with the buyer to develop a Class A office property on the parcel totaling approximately 165,000 net rentable square feet.

On May 12, 2008, we acquired the remaining development rights for our 250 West 55th Street development project located in New York City for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$34.2 million. The acquisition was financed with approximately \$19.2 million of cash and the issuance to the selling entity of 150,000 common units of partnership interest (also referred to as OP Units).

On June 9, 2008, we completed the acquisition of the General Motors Building at 767 Fifth Avenue in New York City for a purchase price of approximately \$2.8 billion. The General Motors Building is an approximately 1,770,000 rentable square foot office building located at the corner of 5th Avenue and Central Park South in New York City. The acquisition was completed through a joint venture with US Real Estate Opportunities I, L.P., which is a partnership managed by Goldman Sachs, and Meraas Capital LLC, a Dubai-based private equity firm. We have a 60% interest in the venture and will provide customary property management and leasing services for the venture. The purchase price consisted of approximately \$890 million of cash, the issuance to the selling entity of 102,883 OP Units and the assumption of approximately \$1.9 billion of secured and mezzanine loans having a weighted average fixed interest rate of 5.97% per annum, all of which mature in October 2017. In addition, the venture acquired the lenders interest in a portion of the assumed mezzanine loans having an aggregate principal amount of \$294.0 million and a stated interest rate of 6.02% per annum for a purchase price of approximately \$263.1 million in cash. The purchase price was financed in part with loans from the venture s partners on a pro rata basis totaling \$450.0 million, which bear interest at a fixed rate of 11.0% per annum and mature on June 9, 2017. Our share of the partner loans totaling \$270.0 million has been reflected in Related Party Note Receivable on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We have eliminated interest income from the partner loan totaling approximately \$16.9 million. In connection with the closing, we and the joint venture entered into a tax protection agreement with the seller that restricts the joint venture s ability to sell the General Motors Building in a taxable transaction and requires the joint venture and us to maintain certain amounts of indebtedness associated with the property and its acquisition for a period of up

On August 12, 2008, we completed the acquisitions of 540 Madison Avenue and Two Grand Central Tower located in New York City, New York for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$705.0 million, including \$309.9 million of assumed indebtedness. On August 13, 2008, we completed the acquisition of 125 West 55th Street also located in New York City, New York for a purchase price of approximately \$444.0

million, including \$263.5 million of assumed indebtedness. Each acquisition was completed through a joint venture with US Real

3

Table of Contents

Estate Opportunities I, L.P. and Meraas Capital LLC. We have a 60% interest in each venture and provide customary property management and leasing services for the ventures. The acquisitions were financed with cash contributions from the ventures partners aggregating approximately \$575.6 million and the assumption of approximately \$573.4 million of secured and mezzanine loans. The debt that was assumed as part of the transactions consists of the following:

540 Madison Avenue two secured loans having an aggregate principal amount of \$119.9 million and a weighted-average fixed interest rate of 5.20% per annum, each of which matures in July 2013;

Two Grand Central Tower a \$190.0 million secured loan having a fixed interest rate of 5.10% per annum, which matures in July 2010; and

125 West 55th Street \$263.5 million of secured and mezzanine loans having a weighted-average fixed interest rate of 6.25% per annum, all of which mature in March 2010.

On September 26, 2008, we acquired from National Public Radio (NPR) its headquarters building at 635 Massachusetts Avenue (the NPR Building) comprised of approximately 211,000 net rentable square feet located in Washington, DC for a purchase price of approximately \$119.5 million in cash. In addition, we entered into a development management agreement pursuant to which we will act as development manager for NPR s new headquarters building on NPR-owned land at 1111 North Capitol Street in Washington, DC. We have entered into a lease for the NPR Building for a five-year term at the conclusion of which NPR will occupy its new headquarters. Following the expiration of the lease with NPR, we expect to redevelop the NPR Building site into a Class A office property comprised of approximately 450,000 net rentable square feet.

Developments

On February 5, 2008, we executed a 60-year ground lease with The George Washington University for the redevelopment of a site at Pennsylvania Avenue and Washington Circle in the District of Columbia (2200 Pennsylvania Avenue) as a mixed-use project comprised of approximately 450,000 square feet of office and retail and 330,000 square feet of residential space. On December 18, 2008, we executed a 15-year lease with the law firm of Hunton & Williams LLP for the development project. Hunton & Williams will occupy approximately 190,000 square feet out of the approximately 450,000 square feet of office and retail space (approximately 42%). We have commenced construction on this project and the lease is scheduled to commence in the second quarter of 2011.

On April 22, 2008, we executed a 15-year lease with Wellington Management Company, LLP for our development project located at 280 Congress Street (Russia Wharf) in Boston, Massachusetts. Wellington Management will occupy approximately 450,000 square feet out of the approximately 552,000 square feet of office space in this approximately 815,000 net rentable square foot mixed-use project. We have commenced construction on this project and the lease is scheduled to commence in the first quarter of 2011. In addition, we are pursuing the necessary state and local permits to change the intended use of approximately 186,000 square feet from residential to office space.

On November 26, 2008, we entered into a 15-year lease with Biogen Idec for 100% of a build-to-suit development project with approximately 356,000 net rentable square feet of Class A office space located on land owned by us and known as the Corporate Center of Weston in Weston, Massachusetts. We have commenced construction on this project and we expect that the project will be complete and available for occupancy during the third quarter of 2010.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we placed in-service the following development properties:

505 9th Street, a Class A office project with approximately 323,000 net rentable square feet located in Washington, DC (owned by a consolidated joint venture in which we have a 50% interest);

77 CityPoint, a Class A office project with approximately 210,000 net rentable square feet located in Waltham, Massachusetts;

4

South of Market, comprised of three Class A office properties aggregating approximately 652,000 net rentable square feet located in Reston, Virginia;

One Preserve Parkway, a Class A office project with approximately 183,000 net rentable square feet located in Rockville, Maryland (partially placed in-service); and

Annapolis Junction, a Class A office project with approximately 118,000 net rentable square feet located in Annapolis, Maryland (owned by an unconsolidated joint venture in which we have a 50% interest).

On February 6, 2009, we announced that we are suspending construction on our 1,000,000 square foot office building at 250 West 55th Street in New York City as a result of our inability to conclude a lease transaction with a major law firm with which we had been negotiating over the last year. While we had reached agreement on financial terms with that firm, they recently informed us that they could not proceed on those terms thereby rendering the project economically infeasible in today s environment. As a result of the decision to suspend construction, we expect to reduce our capital commitments through 2011 by approximately \$450 million. We anticipate ceasing all remaining development activity late in the third quarter or early in the fourth quarter of 2009. We expect the suspension of development will reduce our 2009 capitalized interest by approximately \$5 million to \$9 million and will reduce 2009 capitalized wages by a modest amount. These reductions will result in corresponding incremental increases to our anticipated interest expense and general and administrative expense. We may also incur one-time costs related to our one existing signed lease, possible write-offs of leasing commissions, arrangements in place with contractors and subcontractors for the project and other possible costs. There can be no assurance that the decision to suspend construction will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

As of December 31, 2008, we had eight projects under construction comprised of ten buildings, which aggregate an estimated total investment of \$2.3 billion and 3.8 million square feet. The investment through December 31, 2008 and estimated total investment for our properties under construction as of December 31, 2008 are detailed below (in thousands):

| Description II also Construction | | Estimated Stabilization Date Location | | Investment through December 31, 2008(1)(2) | | Estimated Total Investment(1)(2) | |
|---|-----------|--|-----------------|---|---------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Properties Under Construction 250 West 55 th Street(3) | | N/A | | \$ | 425.500 | \$ | |
| . , | | - " | New York, NY | Ф | - , | Ф | 980,000 |
| Russia Wharf | | First Quarter, 2012 | Boston, MA | | 216,700 | | 550,000 |
| Wisconsin Place (66.67% ownership)(4) | | Fourth Quarter 2009 | Chevy Chase, MD | | 73,600 | | 93,500 |
| Democracy Tower (formerly South of Market | Phase II) | Third Quarter 2009 | Reston, VA | | 58,000 | | 87,200 |
| One Preserve Parkway | | Fourth Quarter 2009 | Rockville, MD | | 47,000 | | 60,500 |
| 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue | | Second Quarter 2012 | Washington, DC | | 36,700 | | 380,000 |
| Weston Corporate Center | | Third Quarter 2010 | Weston, MA | | 34,500 | | 150,000 |
| 701 Carnegie Center | | Fourth Quarter 2009 | Princeton, NJ | | 16,800 | | 34,000 |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | \$ | 908.800 | \$ | 2,335,200 |

- (1) Represents our share of the investment.
- (2) Includes net revenue during lease up period.
- (3) On February 6, 2009, we announced that we are suspending construction of this building.
- (4) Includes costs associated with the land and infrastructure project in which we have a 23.89% interest.

Table of Contents

12

Debt Transactions

On January 29, 2008, the Wisconsin Place joint venture entity that owns and is developing the office component of the project (a consolidated joint venture entity in which we own a 66.67% interest) obtained construction financing totaling \$115.0 million collateralized by the office property. Wisconsin Place is a mixed-use development project consisting of office, retail and residential properties located in Chevy Chase, Maryland. The construction financing bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.25% per annum and matures on January 29, 2011 with two, one-year extension options.

On January 29, 2008, the Wisconsin Place joint venture entity that owns and is developing the land and infrastructure components of the project (the Land and Infrastructure Entity) (a joint venture entity in which we own an effective interest of approximately 23.89%) executed a second amendment to its construction loan agreement. The construction financing consisted of a \$69.1 million commitment, bearing interest at a per annum variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.50% and maturing on March 11, 2009. The outstanding balance on the construction loan was approximately \$52.6 million out of the \$69.1 million commitment. The amended agreement provides for a reduction in the loan commitment amount to \$36.9 million. The reduction relates to the repayment of the office portion of the outstanding balance totaling approximately \$24.9 million and an additional reduction in the borrowing capacity of approximately \$7.3 million with a corresponding release of collateral in conjunction with the Wisconsin Place joint venture entity that owns and is developing the office component of the project (a consolidated joint venture entity in which we own a 66.67% interest) obtaining new construction financing for its project. On April 29, 2008, the Land and Infrastructure Entity repaid the balance of the construction loan totaling approximately \$29.4 million. The repayment relates to the repayment of the residential portion of the outstanding balance in conjunction with the Wisconsin Place joint venture entity that owns and is developing the residential component of the project (a joint venture entity in which we do not own an interest) obtaining new construction financing for its project.

On February 1, 2008, we used available cash to repay the mortgage loan collateralized by our Reston Corporate Center property located in Reston, Virginia totaling approximately \$20.5 million. There was no prepayment penalty associated with the repayment. The mortgage loan bore interest at a fixed rate of 6.56% per annum and was scheduled to mature on May 1, 2008.

On March 27, 2008, our Value-Added Fund obtained third-party mortgage financing totaling \$26.0 million (of which \$24.0 million was drawn at closing and approximately \$38,000 was drawn to fund tenant and capital costs, with the remaining amount available to fund future tenant and capital costs) collateralized by the Mountain View Technology Park properties. The third-party mortgage financing bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.50% per annum and matures on March 31, 2011 with two, one-year extension options. The proceeds of the third-party mortgage financing were used to repay \$23.0 million of the financing provided by us. On June 12, 2008, the Value-Added Fund entered into an interest rate swap contract related to the mortgage loan collateralized by the Mountain View Technology Park properties with a notional amount of \$24.0 million to fix the one-month LIBOR index rate at 4.085% per annum through maturity on March 31, 2011.

On April 1, 2008, we used available cash to repay the mortgage loan collateralized by our Prudential Center property located in Boston, Massachusetts totaling approximately \$258.2 million. There was no prepayment penalty associated with the repayment. The mortgage loan bore interest at a fixed rate of 6.72% per annum and was scheduled to mature on July 1, 2008.

On May 30, 2008, our Value-Added Fund obtained mortgage financing totaling \$120.0 million (of which \$103.0 million was drawn at closing, \$3.3 million was drawn to fund tenant and capital costs, with the remaining \$13.7 million available to fund future tenant and capital costs) collateralized by the Mountain View Research Park properties. The mortgage financing bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.75% per annum and matures on May 31, 2011 with two, one-year extension options. The Value-Added Fund entered into three interest rate swap contracts with notional amounts aggregating \$103.0 million to fix the one-month LIBOR index rate at 3.63% per annum through April 1, 2011. The proceeds of the mortgage financing were used to repay the remaining \$100.0 million of financing provided by us.

Table of Contents

On June 19, 2008, we obtained construction financing totaling \$65.0 million collateralized by our Democracy Tower (formerly South of Market Phase II) development project located in Reston, Virginia. The Democracy Tower development project consists of a Class A office property with approximately 225,000 net rentable square feet. The construction financing bears interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.75% per annum and matures on December 19, 2010 with two, one-year extension options.

On September 10, 2008, we used available cash to repay the mortgage loan collateralized by our One and Two Embarcadero Center properties located in San Francisco, California totaling approximately \$274.8 million. There was no prepayment penalty associated with the repayment. The mortgage loan bore interest at a fixed rate of 6.74% per annum and was scheduled to mature on December 10, 2008.

On October 10, 2008, we used available cash to repay the mortgage loan collateralized by our Bedford Business Park properties located in Bedford, Massachusetts totaling approximately \$16.1 million. There was no prepayment penalty associated with the repayment. The mortgage loan bore interest at a fixed rate of 8.60% per annum and was scheduled to mature on December 10, 2008.

On November 13, 2008, we closed on an eight-year, \$375.0 million mortgage loan collateralized by Four Embarcadero Center located in San Francisco, California. The mortgage loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.10% per annum. Under our interest rate hedging program, we will reclassify into earnings over the eight-year term of the loan as an increase in interest expense approximately \$26.4 million (approximately \$3.3 million per year) of the amounts recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet within Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, which amounts represent the effective portion of the applicable interest rate hedging contracts.

Equity Transactions

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we acquired an aggregate of 631,297 common units of limited partnership interest, including 16,147 common units issued upon the conversion of LTIP units, presented by the holders for redemption, in exchange for an equal number of shares of common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we issued 1,058,133 shares of common stock as a result of stock options being exercised.

Exchangeable Notes Offering

On August 19, 2008, our Operating Partnership completed an offering of \$747.5 million in aggregate principal amount (including \$97.5 million as a result of the exercise by the initial purchasers of their over-allotment option) of its 3.625% exchangeable senior notes due 2014. The notes were priced at 99.0% of their face amount, resulting in aggregate net proceeds to us, after deducting the initial purchasers discounts and offering expenses, of approximately \$731.6 million, resulting in an effective interest rate of approximately 4.037% per annum. The notes mature on February 15, 2014, unless earlier repurchased, exchanged or redeemed. On and after January 1, 2014, the notes may be exchanged at any time prior to the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date at the option of the holders into cash up to their principal amount and, at the Operating Partnership's option, cash or shares of our common stock for the remainder, if any, of the exchange value in excess of such principal amount at the applicable exchange rate, which initially equals 8.5051 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (or an initial exchange price of approximately \$117.58 per share of our common stock). Prior to the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding January 1, 2014, holders of the notes may only exchange their notes only upon the occurrence of certain events. The notes were issued in an offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933. In addition, in connection with the offering, we entered into capped call transactions with affiliates of certain of the initial purchasers, which are intended to reduce the potential dilution upon future exchange of the notes. The capped call transactions are expected to have the effect of increasing the effective exchange price to us of the notes from \$117.58 to approximately \$137.17 per share, representing an overall effective premium of approximately 40% over

the closing price of \$97.98 per share of our common stock on August 13, 2008. The net cost of the capped call transactions was approximately \$44.4 million. (See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.)

7

2008 Outperformance Awards

On January 24, 2008, the Compensation Committee (the Committee) of our Board approved outperformance awards under the 1997 Plan to officers and key employees. These awards (the 2008 OPP Awards) are part of a broad-based, long-term incentive compensation program designed to provide our management team at several levels within the organization with the potential to earn equity awards subject to our outperforming and creating shareholder value in a pay-for-performance structure. 2008 OPP Awards utilize total return to shareholders (TRS) over a three-year measurement period as the performance metric and include two years of time-based vesting after the end of the performance measurement period (subject to acceleration in certain events) as a retention tool. Recipients of 2008 OPP Awards will share in an outperformance pool if our TRS, including both share appreciation and dividends, exceeds absolute and relative hurdles over a three-year measurement period from February 5, 2008 to February 5, 2011, based on the average closing price of a share of our common stock of \$92.8240 for the five trading days prior to and including February 5, 2008. Assuming no changes in the aggregate annual per share dividend through February 2011, our common stock price would have to exceed \$104.15 per share for recipients of 2008 OPP Awards to be eligible to earn any rewards. The aggregate reward that recipients of all 2008 OPP Awards can earn, as measured by the outperformance pool, is subject to a maximum cap of \$110 million, although OPP Awards for an aggregate of up to approximately \$104.8 million have been allocated to date and were granted on February 5, 2008. The balance remains available for future grants, with OPP Awards exceeding a potential reward of \$1 million requiring the approval of the Committee (See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Business and Growth Strategies

Business Strategy

Our primary business objective is to maximize return on investment so as to provide our investors with the greatest possible total return. Our strategy to achieve this objective is:

to concentrate on a few carefully selected geographic markets, including Boston, Washington, DC, midtown Manhattan, San Francisco and Princeton, NJ, and to be one of the leading, if not the leading, owners and developers in each of those markets. We select markets and submarkets where tenants have demonstrated a preference for high-quality office buildings and other facilities;

to emphasize markets and submarkets within those markets where the lack of available sites and the difficulty of receiving the necessary approvals for development and the necessary financing constitute high barriers to the creation of new supply, and where skill, financial strength and diligence are required to successfully develop, finance and manage high-quality office, research and development space, as well as selected retail space;

to take on complex, technically challenging projects, leveraging the skills of our management team to successfully develop, acquire or reposition properties that other organizations may not have the capacity or resources to pursue;

to concentrate on high-quality real estate designed to meet the demands of today s tenants who require sophisticated telecommunications and related infrastructure and support services, and to manage those facilities so as to become the landlord of choice for both existing and prospective clients;

to opportunistically acquire assets which increase our penetration in the markets in which we have chosen to concentrate and which exhibit an opportunity to improve or preserve returns through repositioning (through a combination of capital improvements and shift

in marketing strategy), changes in management focus and re-leasing as existing leases terminate;

to explore joint venture opportunities primarily with existing owners of land parcels located in desirable locations, who seek to benefit from the depth of development and management expertise we are able to provide and our access to capital, and/or to explore joint venture opportunities with strategic institutional partners, leveraging our skills as owners, operators and developers of Class A office space, as well as partners with expertise in mixed-use opportunities;

8

Table of Contents

to pursue on a selective basis the sale of properties, including core properties, to take advantage of our value creation and the demand for our premier properties;

to seek third-party development contracts, which can be a significant source of revenue and enable us to retain and utilize our existing development and construction management staff, especially when our internal development is less active or when new development is less-warranted due to market conditions; and

to enhance our capital structure through our access to a variety of sources of capital.

Growth Strategies

External Growth

We believe that our development experience and our organizational depth position us to continue to selectively develop a range of property types, including low-rise suburban office properties, high-rise urban developments, mixed-use developments and research and laboratory space, within budget and on schedule. Other factors that contribute to our competitive position include:

our control of sites (including sites under contract or option to acquire) in our markets that will support approximately 12.0 million square feet of new office, retail, hotel and residential development;

our reputation gained through 39 years of successful operations and the stability and strength of our existing portfolio of properties;

our relationships with leading national corporations and public institutions seeking new facilities and development services;

our relationships with nationally recognized financial institutions that provide capital to the real estate industry;

our track record and reputation for executing acquisitions efficiently provides comfort to domestic and foreign institutions, private investors and corporations who seek to sell commercial real estate in our market areas;

our ability to act quickly on due diligence and financing; and

our relationships with institutional buyers and sellers of high-quality real estate assets.

Opportunities to execute our external growth strategy fall into three categories:

Development in selected submarkets. We believe the continued development of well-positioned office buildings will be justified in many of our submarkets. We believe in acquiring land after taking into consideration timing factors relating to economic cycles and in

response to market conditions that allow for its development at the appropriate time. While we purposely concentrate in markets with high barriers-to-entry, we have demonstrated throughout our 39-year history, an ability to make carefully timed land acquisitions in submarkets where we can become one of the market leaders in establishing rent and other business terms. We believe that there are opportunities at key locations in our existing and other markets for a well-capitalized developer to acquire land with development potential.

In the past, we have been particularly successful at acquiring sites or options to purchase sites that need governmental approvals for development. Because of our development expertise, knowledge of the governmental approval process and reputation for quality development with local government regulatory bodies, we generally have been able to secure the permits necessary to allow development and to profit from the resulting increase in land value. We seek complex projects where we can add value through the efforts of our experienced and skilled management team leading to attractive returns on investment.

Our strong regional relationships and recognized development expertise have enabled us to capitalize on unique build-to-suit opportunities. We intend to seek and expect to continue to be presented with such

9

opportunities in the near term allowing us to earn relatively significant returns on these development opportunities through multiple business cycles.

Acquisition of assets and portfolios of assets from institutions or individuals. We believe that due to our size, management strength and reputation, we are well positioned to acquire portfolios of assets or individual properties from institutions or individuals if valuations meet our criteria. In addition, we believe that our relatively low leverage and our liquidity and access to capital may provide us with a competitive advantage when pursuing acquisitions in the current credit-constrained environment. There may be enhanced opportunities to purchase assets with near-term financing maturities or possibly provide debt on assets at enhanced yields given the limited availability of traditional sources of debt. We may acquire properties for cash, but we are also particularly well-positioned to appeal to sellers wishing to contribute on a tax-deferred basis their ownership of property for equity in a diversified real estate operating company that offers liquidity through access to the public equity markets in addition to a quarterly distribution. Our ability to offer common and preferred units of limited partnership in BPLP to sellers who would otherwise recognize a taxable gain upon a sale of assets for cash or our common stock may facilitate this type of transaction on a tax-efficient basis. In addition, we may consider mergers with and acquisitions of compatible real estate firms.

Acquisition of underperforming assets and portfolios of assets. We believe that because of our in-depth market knowledge and development experience in each of our markets, our national reputation with brokers, financial institutions and others involved in the real estate market and our access to competitively-priced capital, we are well-positioned to identify and acquire existing, underperforming properties for competitive prices and to add significant additional value to such properties through our effective marketing strategies and a responsive property management program. We have developed this strategy and program for our existing portfolio, where we provide high-quality property management services using our own employees in order to encourage tenants to renew, expand and relocate in our properties. We are able to achieve speed and transaction cost efficiency in replacing departing tenants through the use of in-house and third-party vendors—services for marketing, including calls and presentations to prospective tenants, print advertisements, lease negotiation and construction of tenant improvements. Our tenants benefit from cost efficiencies produced by our experienced work force, which is attentive to preventive maintenance and energy management.

Internal Growth

We believe that opportunities will exist to increase cash flow from our existing properties because they are of high quality and in desirable locations. In addition, our properties are in markets where, in general, the creation of new supply is limited by the lack of available sites, the difficulty of receiving the necessary approvals for development on vacant land and the difficulty of obtaining financing. Our strategy for maximizing the benefits from these opportunities is three-fold: (1) to provide high-quality property management services using our employees in order to encourage tenants to renew, expand and relocate in our properties, (2) to achieve speed and transaction cost efficiency in replacing departing tenants through the use of in-house services for marketing, lease negotiation and construction of tenant improvements and (3) to work with new or existing tenants with space expansion or contraction needs maximizing the cash flow from our assets. We believe that our office properties will add to our internal growth because of their desirable locations and the fact that our in-place rents are currently lower than market rents. We expect to continue our internal growth as a result of our ability to:

Cultivate existing submarkets and long-term relationships with credit tenants. In choosing locations for our properties, we have paid particular attention to transportation and commuting patterns, physical environment, adjacency to established business centers, proximity to sources of business growth and other local factors.

We had an average lease term of 7.2 years at December 31, 2008 and continue to cultivate long-term leasing relationships with a diverse base of high quality, financially stable tenants. Based on leases in place at December 31, 2008, leases with respect to 6.7% of the total square feet in our portfolio will expire in calendar year 2009.

10

Directly manage properties to maximize the potential for tenant retention. We provide property management services ourselves, rather than contracting for this service, to maintain awareness of and responsiveness to tenant needs. We and our properties also benefit from cost efficiencies produced by an experienced work force attentive to preventive maintenance and energy management and from our continuing programs to assure that our property management personnel at all levels remain aware of their important role in tenant relations.

Replace tenants quickly at best available market terms and lowest possible transaction costs. We believe that we are well-positioned to attract new tenants and achieve relatively high rental rates as a result of our well-located, well-designed and well-maintained properties, our reputation for high-quality building services and responsiveness to tenants, and our ability to offer expansion and relocation alternatives within our submarkets.

Extend terms of existing leases to existing tenants prior to expiration. We have also successfully structured early tenant renewals, which have reduced the cost associated with lease downtime while securing the tenancy of our highest quality credit-worthy tenants on a long-term basis and enhancing relationships.

Policies with Respect to Certain Activities

The discussion below sets forth certain additional information regarding our investment, financing and other policies. These policies have been determined by our Board of Directors and, in general, may be amended or revised from time to time by our Board of Directors.

Investment Policies

Investments in Real Estate or Interests in Real Estate

Our investment objectives are to provide quarterly cash dividends to our securityholders and to achieve long-term capital appreciation through increases in the value of Boston Properties, Inc. We have not established a specific policy regarding the relative priority of these investment objectives.

We expect to continue to pursue our investment objectives primarily through the ownership of our current properties, development projects and other acquired properties. We currently intend to continue to invest primarily in developments of properties and acquisitions of existing improved properties or properties in need of redevelopment, and acquisitions of land that we believe have development potential, primarily in our markets Boston, Washington, DC, midtown Manhattan, San Francisco and Princeton, NJ. Future investment or development activities will not be limited to a specified percentage of our assets. We intend to engage in such future investment or development activities in a manner that is consistent with the maintenance of our status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In addition, we may purchase or lease income-producing commercial and other types of properties for long-term investment, expand and improve the real estate presently owned or other properties purchased, or sell such real estate properties, in whole or in part, when circumstances warrant. We do not have a policy that restricts the amount or percentage of assets that will be invested in any specific property, however, our investments may be restricted by our debt covenants.

We may also continue to participate with third parties in property ownership, through joint ventures or other types of co-ownership, including third parties with expertise in mixed-use opportunities. These investments may permit us to own interests in larger assets without unduly

restricting diversification and, therefore, add flexibility in structuring our portfolio.

Equity investments may be subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or such financing or indebtedness as may be incurred in connection with acquiring or refinancing these investments. Debt service on such financing or indebtedness will have a priority over any distributions with respect to our common stock. Investments are also subject to our policy not to be treated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act).

11

Investments in Real Estate Mortgages

While our current portfolio consists of, and our business objectives emphasize, equity investments in commercial real estate, we may, at the discretion of the Board of Directors, invest in mortgages and other types of real estate interests consistent with our qualification as a REIT. Investments in real estate mortgages run the risk that one or more borrowers may default under such mortgages and that the collateral securing such mortgages may not be sufficient to enable us to recoup our full investment. Although we currently do not have any investments in mortgages or deeds of trust, we may invest in participating or convertible mortgages if we conclude that we may benefit from the cash flow or any appreciation in value of the property.

Securities of or Interests in Persons Primarily Engaged in Real Estate Activities

Subject to the percentage of ownership limitations and gross income tests necessary for our REIT qualification, we also may invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers, including for the purpose of exercising control over such entities.

Dispositions

Our disposition of properties is based upon the periodic review of our portfolio and the determination by the Board of Directors that such action would be in our best interests. Any decision to dispose of a property will be authorized by the Board of Directors or a committee thereof. Some holders of limited partnership interests in BPLP, including Mortimer B. Zuckerman and Edward H. Linde, would incur adverse tax consequences upon the sale of certain of our properties that differ from the tax consequences to us. Consequently, holders of limited partnership interests in BPLP may have different objectives regarding the appropriate pricing and timing of any such sale. Such different tax treatment derives in most cases from the fact that we acquired these properties in exchange for partnership interests in contribution transactions structured to allow the prior owners to defer taxable gain. Generally this deferral continues so long as we do not dispose of the properties in a taxable transaction. Unless a sale by us of these properties is structured as a like-kind exchange under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code or in a manner that otherwise allows deferral to continue, recognition of the deferred tax gain allocable to these prior owners is generally triggered by the sale. Some of our assets are subject to tax protection agreements, which may limit our ability to dispose of the assets or require us to pay damages to the prior owners in the event of a taxable sale.

Financing Policies

The agreement of limited partnership of BPLP and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness that we may incur. We do not have a policy limiting the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. However, our mortgages, credit facilities and unsecured debt securities contain customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness. We have not established any limit on the number or amount of mortgages that may be placed on any single property or on our portfolio as a whole.

Our Board of Directors will consider a number of factors when evaluating our level of indebtedness and when making decisions regarding the incurrence of indebtedness, including the purchase price of properties to be acquired with debt financing, the estimated market value of our properties upon refinancing, the entering into agreements such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors and other interest rate hedging contracts and

the ability of particular properties and BPLP as a whole to generate cash flow to cover expected debt service.

Policies with Respect to Other Activities

As the sole general partner of BPLP, we have the authority to issue additional common and preferred units of limited partnership interest of BPLP. We have in the past, and may in the future, issue common or preferred units of limited partnership interest of BPLP to persons who contribute their direct or indirect interests in properties to us in exchange for such common or preferred units of limited partnership interest in BPLP. We have

12

not engaged in trading, underwriting or agency distribution or sale of securities of issuers other than BPLP and we do not intend to do so. At all times, we intend to make investments in such a manner as to maintain our qualification as a REIT, unless because of circumstances or changes in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the Treasury Regulations), our Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in our best interest to qualify as a REIT. We may make loans to third parties, including, without limitation, to joint ventures in which we participate or in connection with the disposition of a property. We intend to make investments in such a way that we will not be treated as an investment company under the 1940 Act. Our policies with respect to these and other activities may be reviewed and modified or amended from time to time by the Board of Directors.

Energy and Natural Resource Conservation

As one of the largest owners and developers of office properties in the United States, we strive to limit our energy and natural resource consumption through active management at our properties. On an annual basis, our property managers identify capital improvement projects and building systems enhancements that have the potential to reduce the use of energy at each property. The identified projects and enhancements are then reviewed with senior management, and the projects and enhancements that offer material energy or resource savings and meet our investment criteria are then implemented.

In 2008, this process was improved through a more holistic, corporate-wide approach. Our management team formed a Sustainability Committee to (1) identify and execute new strategies for promoting sustainability in new construction, existing buildings and corporate operations, (2) promote communication across regions, (3) share best practices and (4) assess the cost effectiveness of small and large scale projects and programs. Over the past several years, we have implemented numerous improvement projects and system enhancements, including, without limitation, the following:

installation of higher efficiency lighting in public spaces, garages, stairways and elevators;

installation of new, high-efficiency motors, air compressors, chillers and other heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system components;

replacing and upgrading energy management systems, including installation of carbon dioxide controls;

installation of solar reflective window film to reduce solar heat gain, glare and ultraviolet radiation, and adding wall and ceiling insulation to reduce thermal losses;

modernizing cooling towers with high-efficiency fill and distribution pans;

implementing programs to minimize waste of water and reclaim steam condensate for our cooling towers;

upgrading water treatment, plumbing and irrigation operations; and

implementing extensive recycling programs.

In addition to the physical improvements and systems enhancements described above, our property managers also benchmark building energy and resource consumption with the goal of optimizing equipment use and operation. Across our regions we provide training for our property management staff and strive to make our tenants more aware of energy codes and energy saving opportunities. For example, we have worked collaboratively with our tenants at many of our buildings to implement new policies for providing HVAC on weekends only upon request. We also continue to increase the use of shuttle services between certain of our properties and the local bus and subway stations to encourage the use of mass transportation. These management initiatives are intended to not only help reduce energy consumption in the short term, but also heighten awareness of the issue to help ensure energy efficiency over the long term.

Properties across our portfolio are routinely rated and benchmarked on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency s Energy Star program. In 2008, 17 of our properties earned the Energy Star Award, accounting for over 7.8 million square feet of exemplary energy performance, and we expect additional properties across our

13

portfolio will receive the same recognition in 2009. We believe our efforts described above have led to a meaningful reduction in the number of kilowatt-hours (kWh) used in the operation of our properties and a reduction in our operating expenses. We estimate that the efforts we undertook in 2008 alone will reduce the amount of electrical usage throughout our portfolio by millions of kWh per year.

In addition to the efforts described above, we participate in utility rebate programs when making significant capital improvements and, when economically practicable, we subscribe to long-term, fixed utility contracts on a regional basis.

On an annual basis, we intend to continue to explore ways of reducing our energy consumption and related expenses, and conserving natural resources, across our portfolio.

Environmentally Sound Development

Green buildings are designed, constructed and operated to provide greater environmental, economic, health and productivity performance than conventional buildings. As a developer, we participate in the U.S. Green Building Council s Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) program. The LEED Green Building Rating System® is a voluntary, consensus-based national standard of design guidelines for high-performance, sustainable Green buildings. The USGBC s LEED certification follows a rigorous registration process which evaluates and gives Certified, Silver, Gold, and Platinum ratings to green buildings.

We currently have LEED registered projects under development and placed in service throughout our portfolio, including the following:

77 CityPoint in Waltham, MA This approximately 210,000 square foot Class A office property in Waltham, MA has been certified Gold LEED.

Annapolis Junction in Annapolis, MD This approximately 118,000 square foot Class A office property has been pre-certified Silver LEED.

Russia Wharf in Boston, MA This 815,000 square foot Class A office tower has been pre-certified Gold LEED.

2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC This 780,000 square foot Class A office property has been pre-certified Silver LEED.

Democracy Tower, Reston, VA This 225,000 square foot Class A office property has been pre-certified Silver LEED.

Numerous other development projects are LEED registered and targeting LEED Silver ratings or better. In addition, we actively seek opportunities to achieve LEED ratings on commercial interiors as tenants build-out or renovate their space. We have numerous commercial interior projects either planned or currently under construction that have been designed to achieve LEED certification, and we have already completed various projects that either already received, or we expect will receive, LEED certifications, including our headquarters in the Prudential Center in Boston.

Many of the local jurisdictions in which we operate and develop buildings are also making efforts to promote environmentally sound developments by adopting aspects of the LEED program. As a result, we intend to continue to be proactive in evaluating each new development to determine whether it is physically practical and economically feasible to produce a LEED certified building. Barring unusual use, site or design constraints, we target LEED-Silver or better on all new developments.

Competition

We compete in the leasing of office space with a considerable number of other real estate companies, some of which may have greater marketing and financial resources than are available to us. In addition, our hotel

14

property competes for guests with other hotels, some of which may have greater marketing and financial resources than are available to us and to the manager of our one hotel, Marriott International, Inc.

Principal factors of competition in our primary business of owning, acquiring and developing office properties are the quality of properties, leasing terms (including rent and other charges and allowances for tenant improvements), attractiveness and convenience of location, the quality and breadth of tenant services provided, and reputation as an owner and operator of quality office properties in the relevant market. Additionally, our ability to compete depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national and local economies, investment alternatives, financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, taxes, utilities, governmental regulations, legislation and population trends.

Our Hotel Property

We operate our hotel property through a taxable REIT subsidiary. The taxable REIT subsidiary, a wholly-owned subsidiary of BPLP, is the lessee pursuant to leases for the hotel property. As lessor, BPLP is entitled to a percentage of gross receipts from the hotel property. The hotel lease allows all the economic benefits of ownership to flow to us. Marriott International, Inc. continues to manage the hotel property under the Marriott name and under terms of the existing management agreements. Marriott has been engaged under a separate long-term incentive management agreement to operate and manage the hotel on behalf of the taxable REIT subsidiary. In connection with these arrangements, Marriott has agreed to operate and maintain our hotel in accordance with its system-wide standard for comparable hotels and to provide the hotel with the benefits of its central reservation system and other chain-wide programs and services. Under a management agreement for the hotel, Marriott acts as the taxable REIT subsidiary s agent to supervise, direct and control the management and operation of the hotel and receives as compensation base management fees that are calculated as a percentage of the hotel s gross revenues, and supplemental incentive fees if the hotel exceeds negotiated profitability breakpoints. In addition, the taxable REIT subsidiary compensates Marriott, on the basis of a formula applied to the hotel s gross revenues, for certain system-wide services provided by Marriott, including central reservations, marketing and training. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, Marriott received an aggregate of approximately \$3.0 million, \$3.2 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, from our taxable REIT subsidiary. For 2006 and a portion of 2007, these amounts include payments related to the Long Wharf Marriott, which was sold by us in March 2007.

Seasonality

Our hotel property traditionally has experienced significant seasonality in its operating income, with the percentage of net operating income by quarter over the year ended December 31, 2008 shown below.

| First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 7% | 35% | 23% | 35% |

Corporate Governance

Boston Properties is currently managed by a ten member Board of Directors, which is divided into three classes (Class I, Class II and Class III). Our Board of Directors is currently composed of three Class I directors (Mortimer B. Zuckerman, Carol B. Einiger and Richard E. Salomon), four Class II directors (Lawrence S. Bacow, Zoë Baird, Alan J. Patricof and Martin Turchin) and three Class III directors (Fredrick J. Iseman, Edward H. Linde and David A. Twardock). The members of each class of our Board of Directors serve for staggered three-year terms, and the

terms of our current Class I, Class II and Class III directors expire upon the election and qualification of directors at the annual meetings of stockholders to be held in 2010, 2011 and 2009, respectively. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors will be elected or re-elected for a full term of three years to succeed those directors whose terms are expiring.

15

Frederick J. Iseman was appointed to serve as a new independent member of our Board of Directors on December 15, 2008. Mr. Iseman is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of CI Capital Partners LLC, a private equity investment firm which he founded in 1993. In addition, the Board appointed Mr. Iseman to the Compensation Committee effective January 1, 2009.

Our Board of Directors has Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. The membership of each of these committees is described below.

| | | | Nominating and Corporate |
|--------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Name of Director | Audit | Compensation | Governance |
| Lawrence S. Bacow | X | | |
| Zoë Baird | | X | X* |
| Carol B. Einiger | X | | |
| Fredrick J. Iseman | | X | |
| Alan J. Patricof | X* | | |
| Richard E. Salomon | | X* | |
| David A. Twardock | | X | X |

X=Committee member, *=Chair

Our Board of Directors has adopted charters for each of its Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. The Compensation Committee is comprised of four (4) independent directors. The Audit Committee is comprised of three (3) independent directors, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is comprised of two (2) independent directors. A copy of each of these charters is available on our website at http://www.bostonproperties.com under the heading Corporate Governance and subheading Committees and Charters. A copy of each of these charters is also available in print to any stockholder upon written request addressed to Investor Relations, Boston Properties, Inc., The Prudential Center, 800 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02199.

Our Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, a copy of which is available on our website at http://www.bostonproperties.com under the heading Corporate Governance and subheading Governance Guidelines. A copy of these guidelines is also available in print to any stockholder upon written request addressed to Investor Relations, Boston Properties, Inc., The Prudential Center, 800 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02199.

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which governs business decisions made and actions taken by our directors, officers and employees. A copy of this code is available on our website at http://www.bostonproperties.com under the heading Corporate Governance and subheading Code of Conduct and Ethics. We intend to disclose on this website any amendment to, or waiver of, any provision of this Code applicable to our directors and executive officers that would otherwise be required to be disclosed under the rules of the SEC or the New York Stock Exchange. A copy of this Code is also available in print to any stockholder upon written request addressed to Investor Relations, Boston Properties, Inc., The Prudential Center, 800 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02199.

Our Board of Directors has established an ethics reporting system that employees may use to anonymously report possible violations of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, including concerns regarding questionable accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, by telephone or over the internet.

On May 22, 2008, Edward H. Linde, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, submitted to the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) the Annual CEO Certification required by Section 303A of the Corporate Governance Rules of the NYSE certifying that he was not aware of any violation by the Company of NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Set forth below are the risks that we believe are material to our investors. We refer to the shares of our common stock and the units of limited partnership interest in BPLP together as our securities, and the investors who own shares or units, or both, as our securityholders. This section contains forward-looking statements. You should refer to the explanation of the qualifications and limitations on forward-looking statements beginning on page 45.

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with our real estate assets and with the real estate industry.

Our economic performance and the value of our real estate assets, and consequently the value of our securities, are subject to the risk that if our office and hotel properties do not generate revenues sufficient to meet our operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our cash flow and ability to pay distributions to our securityholders will be adversely affected. The following factors, among others, may adversely affect the income generated by our office and hotel properties:

downturns in the national, regional and local economic conditions (particularly increases in unemployment);

competition from other office, hotel and commercial buildings;

local real estate market conditions, such as oversupply or reduction in demand for office, hotel or other commercial space;

changes in interest rates and availability of financing;

vacancies, changes in market rental rates and the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-let space;

increased operating costs, including insurance expense, utilities, real estate taxes, state and local taxes and heightened security costs;

civil disturbances, earthquakes and other natural disasters, or terrorist acts or acts of war which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses;

significant expenditures associated with each investment, such as debt service payments, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs which are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in revenues from a property;

declines in the financial condition of our tenants and our ability to collect rents from our tenants; and

decreases in the underlying value of our real estate.

We are dependent upon the economic climates of our markets Boston, Washington, DC, midtown Manhattan, San Francisco and Princeton, NJ.

Substantially all of our revenue is derived from properties located in five markets: Boston, Washington, DC, midtown Manhattan, San Francisco and Princeton, NJ. A downturn in the economies of these markets, or the impact that a downturn in the overall national economy may have upon these economies, could result in reduced demand for office space. Because our portfolio consists primarily of office buildings (as compared to a more diversified real estate portfolio), a decrease in demand for office space in turn could adversely affect our results of operations. Additionally, there are submarkets within our markets that are dependent upon a limited number of industries. For example, in our Washington, DC market we focus on leasing office properties to governmental agencies and contractors, as well as legal firms. In our midtown Manhattan market we have historically leased properties to financial, legal and other professional firms. A significant downturn in one or more of these sectors could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our investment in property development may be more costly than anticipated.

We intend to continue to develop and substantially renovate office properties. Our current and future development and construction activities may be exposed to the following risks:

we may be unable to proceed with the development of properties because we cannot obtain financing on favorable terms or at all;

we may incur construction costs for a development project which exceed our original estimates due to increases in interest rates and increased materials, labor, leasing or other costs, which could make completion of the project less profitable because market rents may not increase sufficiently to compensate for the increase in construction costs;

we may be unable to obtain, or face delays in obtaining, required zoning, land-use, building, occupancy, and other governmental permits and authorizations, which could result in increased costs and could require us to abandon our activities entirely with respect to a project;

we may abandon development opportunities after we begin to explore them and as a result we may lose deposits or fail to recover expenses already incurred;

we may expend funds on and devote management s time to projects which we do not complete;

we may be unable to complete construction and/or leasing of a property on schedule; and

we may suspend development projects after construction has begun due to changes in economic conditions or other factors, and this may result in the write-off of costs or increases in overall costs when the development project is restarted.

Investment returns from our developed properties may be lower than anticipated.

Our developed properties may be exposed to the following risks:

we may lease developed properties at rental rates that are less than the rates projected at the time we decide to undertake the development; and

occupancy rates and rents at newly developed properties may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, and may result in our investments being less profitable than we expected or not profitable at all.

We face risks associated with the development of mixed-use commercial properties.

We may develop properties, either alone or through joint ventures with other persons, that are known as mixed-use developments. This means that in addition to the development of office space, the project may also include space for other commercial purposes. We have limited experience in developing and managing non-office and non-retail real estate. As a result, if a development project includes a non-office or non-retail use, we may seek to sell the rights to that component to a third-party developer with experience in that use or we may seek to partner with such a developer. If we are not able to sell the rights or partner with such a developer, or if we choose to develop the other component ourselves, we would be exposed not only to those risks typically associated with the development of commercial real estate generally, but also to specific risks associated with the development and ownership of non-office and non-retail real estate. In addition, even if we sell the rights to develop the other component or elect to participate in the development through a joint venture, we may be exposed to the risks associated with the failure of the other party to complete the development as expected. These include the risk that the other party would default on its obligations necessitating that we complete the other component ourselves (including providing any necessary financing).

18

We face risks associated with the use of debt to fund acquisitions and developments, including refinancing risk.

We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest. We anticipate that only a small portion of the principal of our debt will be repaid prior to maturity. Therefore, we are likely to need to refinance at least a portion of our outstanding debt as it matures. There is a risk that we may not be able to refinance existing debt or that the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of our existing debt. If principal payments due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or repaid with proceeds from other sources, such as new equity capital, our cash flow may not be sufficient to repay all maturing debt in years when significant balloon payments come due. In addition, we may rely on debt to fund a portion of our new investments such as our acquisition and development activity. There is a risk that we may be unable to finance these activities on favorable terms or at all. This risk is currently heightened because the debt market is experiencing volatility, including reduced liquidity and increased credit risk premiums. These conditions, which increase the cost and reduce the availability of debt, may continue or worsen in the future.

We have agreements with a number of limited partners of BPLP who contributed properties in exchange for partnership interests that require BPLP to maintain for specified periods of time secured debt on certain of our assets and/or allocate partnership debt to such limited partners to enable them to continue to defer recognition of their taxable gain with respect to the contributed property. These tax protection and debt allocation agreements may restrict our ability to repay or refinance debt.

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions and dislocations in the credit markets could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions to you.

Our business may be affected by market and economic challenges experienced by the U.S. economy or real estate industry as a whole or by the local economic conditions in the markets in which our properties are located, including the current dislocations in the credit markets and general global economic recession. These current conditions, or similar conditions existing in the future, may adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions as a result of the following, among other potential consequences:

the financial condition of our tenants, many of which are financial, legal and other professional firms such as Lehman Brothers, which filed for bankruptcy protection in the third quarter of 2008, and Heller Ehrman LLP, which filed for bankruptcy protection in the fourth quarter of 2008, may be adversely affected, which may result in tenant defaults under leases due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, operational failures or for other reasons;

significant job losses in the financial and professional services industries may occur, which may decrease demand for our office space, causing market rental rates and property values to be negatively impacted;

our ability to borrow on terms and conditions that we find acceptable, or at all, may be limited, which could reduce our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt, reduce our returns from our acquisition and development activities and increase our future interest expense;

reduced values of our properties may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or to obtain debt financing secured by our properties and may reduce the availability of unsecured loans;

the value and liquidity of our short-term investments and cash deposits could be reduced as a result of a deterioration of the financial condition of the institutions that hold our cash deposits or the institutions or assets in which we have made short-term investments, the

dislocation of the markets for our short-term investments, increased volatility in market rates for such investments or other factors;

one or more lenders under our line of credit could refuse to fund their financing commitment to us or could fail and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all; and

19

one or more counterparties to our derivative financial instruments could default on their obligations to us, including the capped call transactions we entered into in connection with our offering of our 3.625% exchangeable senior notes due 2014 and any interest hedging contracts we may enter into from time to time, or could fail, increasing the risk that we may not realize the benefits of these instruments.

An increase in interest rates would increase our interest costs on variable rate debt and could adversely impact our ability to refinance existing debt or sell assets.

As of February 23, 2009, we had approximately \$396.9 million of indebtedness that bears interest at variable rates, and we may incur more of such indebtedness in the future. If interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our securityholders. Further, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures. From time to time, we enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors.

While these agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amount third-parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

Covenants in our debt agreements could adversely affect our financial condition.

The mortgages on our properties contain customary covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable property or to discontinue insurance coverage. Our unsecured credit facility, unsecured debt securities and certain secured loans contain customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, including total debt to asset ratios, secured debt to total asset ratios, debt service coverage ratios and minimum ratios of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt, which we must maintain. Our continued ability to borrow under our credit facilities is subject to compliance with our financial and other covenants. In addition, our failure to comply with such covenants could cause a default under the applicable debt agreement, and we may then be required to repay such debt with capital from other sources. Under those circumstances, other sources of capital may not be available to us, or be available only on unattractive terms. Additionally, in the future our ability to satisfy current or prospective lenders insurance requirements may be adversely affected if lenders generally insist upon greater insurance coverage against acts of terrorism or losses resulting from earthquakes than is available to us in the marketplace or on commercially reasonable terms.

We rely on debt financing, including borrowings under our unsecured credit facility, issuances of unsecured debt securities and debt securities and debt securities and debt securities and for working capital. If we are unable to obtain debt financing from these or other sources, or to refinance existing indebtedness upon maturity, our financial condition and results of operations would likely be adversely affected. If we breach covenants in our debt agreements, the lenders can declare a default and, if the debt is secured, can take possession of the property securing the defaulted loan. In addition, our unsecured debt agreements contain specific cross-default provisions with respect to specified other indebtedness, giving the unsecured lenders the right to declare a default if we are in default under other loans in some circumstances. Defaults under our debt agreements could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our degree of leverage could limit our ability to obtain additional financing or affect the market price of our common stock or debt securities.

On February 23, 2009, our total consolidated debt was approximately \$6.3 billion (i.e., excluding unconsolidated joint venture debt). Consolidated debt to consolidated market capitalization ratio, which measures

20

total consolidated debt as a percentage of the aggregate of total consolidated debt plus the market value of outstanding equity securities, is often used by analysts to gauge leverage for equity REITs such as us. Our market value is calculated using the price per share of our common stock. Using the closing stock price of \$35.73 per share of our common stock on February 23, 2009, multiplied by the sum of (1) shares of our common stock, (2) outstanding common units of limited partnership interest in Boston Properties Limited Partnership (excluding common units held by Boston Properties, Inc.), and (3) an aggregate of common units issuable upon conversion of all outstanding Series Two Preferred Units of partnership interest in Boston Properties Limited Partnership, (4) an aggregate of common units issuable upon conversion of all outstanding LTIP Units, assuming all conditions have been met for the conversion of the LTIP Units, our consolidated debt to total consolidated market capitalization ratio was approximately 55.1% as of February 23, 2009. The calculation of total consolidated market capitalization does not include 2008 OPP Awards because, unlike other LTIP Units, they are not earned until certain return thresholds are achieved.

Our degree of leverage could affect our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, development or other general corporate purposes. Our senior unsecured debt is currently rated investment grade by the three major rating agencies. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain this rating, and in the event our senior debt is downgraded from its current rating, we would likely incur higher borrowing costs and/or difficulty in obtaining additional financing. Our degree of leverage could also make us more vulnerable to a downturn in business or the economy generally. There is a risk that changes in our debt to market capitalization ratio, which is in part a function of our stock price, or our ratio of indebtedness to other measures of asset value used by financial analysts may have an adverse effect on the market price of our equity or debt securities.

We face risks associated with property acquisitions.

We have acquired in the past and intend to continue to pursue the acquisition of properties and portfolios of properties, including large portfolios that could increase our size and result in alterations to our capital structure. Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to the following risks:

even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for a property, we may be unable to complete that acquisition after making a non-refundable deposit and incurring certain other acquisition-related costs;

we may be unable to obtain financing for acquisitions on favorable terms or at all;

acquired properties may fail to perform as expected;

the actual costs of repositioning or redeveloping acquired properties may be greater than our estimates;

the acquisition agreement will likely contain conditions to closing, including completion of due diligence investigations to our satisfaction or other conditions that are not within our control, which may not be satisfied;

acquired properties may be located in new markets where we may face risks associated with a lack of market knowledge or understanding of the local economy, lack of business relationships in the area and unfamiliarity with local governmental and permitting procedures; and

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations, and this could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We have acquired in the past and in the future may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for partnership interests in BPLP. This acquisition structure has the effect, among others, of reducing the amount of tax depreciation we can deduct over the tax life of the acquired properties, and typically requires that we agree to protect the contributors ability to defer recognition of

21

Table of Contents

taxable gain through restrictions on our ability to dispose of the acquired properties and/or the allocation of partnership debt to the contributors to maintain their tax bases. These restrictions could limit our ability to sell an asset at a time, or on terms, that would be favorable absent such restrictions.

Acquired properties may expose us to unknown liability.

We may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, against the prior owners or other third parties with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if a liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of those properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle or contest it, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow. Unknown liabilities with respect to acquired properties might include:

liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination;

claims by tenants, vendors or other persons against the former owners of the properties;

liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and

claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

Competition for acquisitions may result in increased prices for properties.

We plan to continue to acquire properties as we are presented with attractive opportunities. We may face competition for acquisition opportunities with other investors, and this competition may adversely affect us by subjecting us to the following risks:

we may be unable to acquire a desired property because of competition from other well-capitalized real estate investors, including publicly traded and private REITs, institutional investment funds and other real estate investors; and

even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other real estate investors may significantly increase the purchase price.

Our use of joint ventures may limit our flexibility with jointly owned investments.

In appropriate circumstances, we intend to develop and acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons or entities when circumstances warrant the use of these structures. We currently have twelve joint ventures that are not consolidated with our financial statements. Our share of the aggregate revenue of these joint ventures represented approximately 11.6% of our total revenue (the sum of our total consolidated revenue and our share of such joint venture revenue) for the year ended December 31, 2008. Our participation in joint ventures is subject to the risks that:

we could become engaged in a dispute with any of our joint venture partners that might affect our ability to develop or operate a property;

our joint ventures are subject to debt and in the current volatile credit market the refinancing of such debt may require equity capital calls;

our joint venture partners may default on their obligations necessitating that we fulfill their obligation ourselves;

our joint venture partners may have different objectives than we have regarding the appropriate timing and terms of any sale or refinancing of properties; and

our joint venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflict of interest issues.

22

Our properties face significant competition.

We face significant competition from developers, owners and operators of office properties and other commercial real estate, including sublease space available from our tenants. Substantially all of our properties face competition from similar properties in the same market. This competition may affect our ability to attract and retain tenants and may reduce the rents we are able to charge. These competing properties may have vacancy rates higher than our properties, which may result in their owners being willing to lease available space at lower rates than the space in our properties.

We face potential difficulties or delays renewing leases or re-leasing space.

We derive most of our income from rent received from our tenants. If a tenant experiences a downturn in its business or other types of financial distress, it may be unable to make timely rental payments. Also, when our tenants decide not to renew their leases or terminate early, we may not be able to re-let the space. Even if tenants decide to renew or lease new space, the terms of renewals or new leases, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, may be less favorable to us than current lease terms. As a result, our cash flow could decrease and our ability to make distributions to our securityholders could be adversely affected.

We face potential adverse effects from major tenants bankruptcies or insolvencies.

The bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant may adversely affect the income produced by our properties. Our tenants could file for bankruptcy protection or become insolvent in the future. We cannot evict a tenant solely because of its bankruptcy. On the other hand, a bankrupt tenant may reject and terminate its lease with us. In such case, our claim against the bankrupt tenant for unpaid and future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent actually owed under the lease, and, even so, our claim for unpaid rent would likely not be paid in full. This shortfall could adversely affect our cash flow and results of operations.

We may have difficulty selling our properties, which may limit our flexibility.

Large and high-quality office and hotel properties like the ones that we own could be difficult to sell. This may limit our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, federal tax laws limit our ability to sell properties and this may affect our ability to sell properties without adversely affecting returns to our securityholders. These restrictions reduce our ability to respond to changes in the performance of our investments and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to dispose of some of our properties is constrained by their tax attributes. Properties which we developed and have owned for a significant period of time or which we acquired through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for partnership interests in BPLP often have low tax bases. If we dispose of these properties outright in taxable transactions, we may be required to distribute a significant amount of the taxable gain to our securityholders under the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code for REITs, which in turn would impact our cash flow and increase our leverage. In some cases, without incurring additional costs we may be restricted from disposing of properties contributed in exchange for our partnership interests under tax protection agreements with contributors. To dispose of low basis or tax-protected properties efficiently we from time to time use like-kind exchanges, which qualify for non-recognition of taxable gain, but can be difficult to consummate and result in the property for which the disposed assets are exchanged inheriting their low tax bases and other tax attributes (including tax protection covenants).

Because we own a hotel property, we face the risks associated with the hospitality industry.

Because the lease payments we receive under our hotel lease are based on a participation in the gross receipts of the hotel, if the hotel does not generate sufficient receipts, our cash flow would be decreased, which

23

Table of Contents

could reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our securityholders. The following factors, among others, are common to the hotel industry, and may reduce the receipts generated by our hotel property:

our hotel property competes for guests with other hotels, a number of which have greater marketing and financial resources than our hotel-operating business partners;

if there is an increase in operating costs resulting from inflation and other factors, our hotel-operating business partners may not be able to offset such increase by increasing room rates;

our hotel property is subject to the fluctuating and seasonal demands of business travelers and tourism; and

our hotel property is subject to general and local economic and social conditions that may affect demand for travel in general, including war and terrorism.

In addition, because our hotel property is located in Cambridge, Massachusetts, it is subject to the Cambridge market s fluctuations in demand, increases in operating costs and increased competition from additions in supply.

We face risks associated with short-term liquid investments.

We continue to have significant cash balances that we invest in a variety of short-term investments that are intended to preserve principal value and maintain a high degree of liquidity while providing current income. From time to time, these investments may include (either directly or indirectly):

direct obligations issued by the U.S. Treasury;

obligations issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government or its agencies;

taxable municipal securities;

obligations (including certificates of deposit) of banks and thrifts;

commercial paper and other instruments consisting of short-term U.S. dollar denominated obligations issued by corporations and banks;

repurchase agreements collateralized by corporate and asset-backed obligations;

both registered and unregistered money market funds; and

other highly rated short-term securities.

Investments in these securities and funds are not insured against loss of principal. Under certain circumstances we may be required to redeem all or part of our investment, and our right to redeem some or all of our investment may be delayed or suspended. In addition, there is no guarantee that our investments in these securities or funds will be redeemable at par value. A decline in the value of our investment or a delay or suspension of our right to redeem may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust would cause us to be taxed as a corporation, which would substantially reduce funds available for payment of dividends.

If we fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes, we will be taxed as a corporation. We believe that we are organized and qualified as a REIT and intend to operate in a manner that will allow us to continue to qualify as a REIT. However, we cannot assure you that we are qualified as such, or that we will remain qualified as such in the future. This is because qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code as to which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations and involves the determination of facts and circumstances

24

not entirely within our control. Future legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may significantly change the tax laws or the application of the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification.

In addition, we currently hold certain of our properties, and the Value-Added Fund holds its properties, through a subsidiary that has elected to be taxed as a REIT and we may in the future determine that it is in our best interests to hold one or more of our other properties through one or more subsidiaries that elect to be taxed as REITs. If any of these subsidiaries fails to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, then we may also fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT we will face serious tax consequences that will substantially reduce the funds available for payment of dividends for each of the years involved because:

we would not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes;

unless we are entitled to relief under statutory provisions, we could not elect to be subject to tax as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified; and

all dividends will be subject to tax as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will no longer be required to pay dividends. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and it would adversely affect the value of our common stock.

In order to maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions.

In order to maintain our REIT status, we may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis to meet the REIT distribution requirements, even if the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. To qualify as REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our net taxable income each year, excluding capital gains. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which dividends paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. We may need short-term debt or long-term debt or proceeds from asset sales, creation of joint ventures or sales of common stock to fund required distributions as a result of differences in timing between the actual receipt of income and the recognition of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. The inability of our cash flows to cover our distribution requirements could have an adverse impact on our ability to raise short and long-term debt or sell equity securities in order to fund distributions required to maintain our REIT status.

Congress has introduced legislation that, if enacted, could cause Boston Properties Limited Partnership to be taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the publicly traded partnership rules.

Legislation was recently introduced in Congress that would treat publicly traded partnerships as corporations for federal income tax purposes if the partnership directly or indirectly derives income from certain investment adviser or asset management services. Because certain of BPLP s current activities could constitute investment adviser or asset management services as defined for these purposes, unless transfers of ownership of interests in BPLP are limited in a manner that complies with certain regulatory safe harbors or another exception applies, it is possible that this legislation, if enacted, could cause BPLP to be taxable as a corporation. Classification of BPLP

as a corporation would also cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT. Under a transitional rule contained in one version of the proposed legislation BPLP would be exempt from the new rules until its taxable year beginning January 1, 2013. It is possible, however, that any legislation enacted may include a shorter transition period, may be effective immediately, or possibly even retroactively.

Congress is also considering legislative proposals to treat all or part of certain income allocated to a partner by a partnership in respect of certain services provided to or for the benefit of the partnership (carried interest revenue) as ordinary income for U.S. federal income tax purposes. While the current legislative proposal provides that such income will nevertheless retain its original character for purposes of the REIT qualification tests, it is not clear what form any such final legislation would take. Furthermore, under the proposed legislation, carried interest revenue could be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of the qualifying income exception to the publicly-traded partnership rules. If enacted, this could result in BPLP being taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes if the amount of any such carried interest revenue plus any other non-qualifying income earned by BPLP exceeds 10% of its gross income in any taxable year.

Limits on changes in control may discourage takeover attempts beneficial to stockholders.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, our shareholder rights agreement and the limited partnership agreement of BPLP, as well as provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and Delaware corporate law, may:

delay or prevent a change of control over us or a tender offer, even if such action might be beneficial to our stockholders; and

limit our stockholders opportunity to receive a potential premium for their shares of common stock over then-prevailing market prices.

Stock Ownership Limit

To facilitate maintenance of our qualification as a REIT and to otherwise address concerns relating to concentration of capital stock ownership, our certificate of incorporation generally prohibits ownership, directly, indirectly or beneficially, by any single stockholder of more than 6.6% of the number of outstanding shares of any class or series of our common stock. We refer to this limitation as the ownership limit. Our board of directors may waive, in its sole discretion, or modify the ownership limit with respect to one or more persons if it is satisfied that ownership in excess of this limit will not jeopardize our status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In addition, under our certificate of incorporation each of Mortimer B. Zuckerman and Edward H. Linde, along with their respective families and affiliates, as well as, in general, pension plans and mutual funds, may actually and beneficially own up to 15% of the number of outstanding shares of any class or series of our equity common stock. Shares owned in violation of the ownership limit will be subject to the loss of rights to distributions and voting and other penalties. The ownership limit may have the effect of inhibiting or impeding a change in control.

BPLP s Partnership Agreement

We have agreed in the limited partnership agreement of BPLP not to engage in specified extraordinary transactions, including, among others, business combinations, unless limited partners of BPLP other than Boston Properties, Inc. receive, or have the opportunity to receive, either (1) the same consideration for their partnership interests as holders of our common stock in the transaction or (2) limited partnership units that, among other things, would entitle the holders, upon redemption of these units, to receive shares of common equity of a publicly traded company

or the same consideration as holders of our common stock received in the transaction. If these limited partners would not receive such consideration, we cannot engage in the transaction unless limited partners holding at least 75% of the common units of limited partnership interest, other than those held by Boston Properties, Inc. or its affiliates, consent to the transaction. In addition, we have agreed in the limited partnership

26

Table of Contents

agreement of BPLP that we will not complete specified extraordinary transactions, including among others, business combinations, in which we receive the approval of our common stockholders unless (1) limited partners holding at least 75% of the common units of limited partnership interest, other than those held by Boston Properties, Inc. or its affiliates, consent to the transaction or (2) the limited partners of BPLP are also allowed to vote and the transaction would have been approved had these limited partners been able to vote as common stockholders on the transaction. Therefore, if our common stockholders approve a specified extraordinary transaction, the partnership agreement requires the following before we can complete the transaction:

holders of partnership interests in BPLP, including Boston Properties, Inc., must vote on the matter;

Boston Properties, Inc. must vote its partnership interests in the same proportion as our stockholders voted on the transaction; and

the result of the vote of holders of partnership interests in BPLP must be such that had such vote been a vote of stockholders, the business combination would have been approved.

As a result of these provisions, a potential acquirer may be deterred from making an acquisition proposal, and we may be prohibited by contract from engaging in a proposed extraordinary transaction, including a proposed business combination, even though our stockholders approve of the transaction.

Shareholder Rights Plan

We have a shareholder rights plan. Under the terms of this plan, we can in effect prevent a person or group from acquiring more than 15% of the outstanding shares of our common stock because, unless we approve of the acquisition, after the person acquires more than 15% of our outstanding common stock, all other stockholders will have the right to purchase securities from us at a price that is less than their then fair market value. This would substantially reduce the value and influence of the stock owned by the acquiring person. Our board of directors can prevent the plan from operating by approving the transaction in advance, which gives us significant power to approve or disapprove of the efforts of a person or group to acquire a large interest in our company.

Changes in market conditions could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

As with other publicly traded equity securities, the value of our common stock depends on various market conditions that may change from time to time. Among the market conditions that may affect the value of our common stock are the following:

the extent of investor interest in our securities;

the general reputation of REITs and the attractiveness of our equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate-based companies;

our underlying asset value;

| investor confidence in the stock and bond | d markets, generally; | |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| national economic conditions; | | |
| changes in tax laws; | | |
| our financial performance; | | |
| changes in our credit ratings; and | | |
| general stock and bond market conditions | s. | |

The market value of our common stock is based primarily upon the market sperception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings and cash dividends. Consequently, our common stock may trade at prices that are greater or less than our net asset value per share of common stock. If our future earnings or cash dividends are less than expected, it is likely that the market price of our common stock will diminish.

27

Further issuances of equity securities may be dilutive to current securityholders.

The interests of our existing securityholders could be diluted if additional equity securities are issued to finance future developments, acquisitions, or repay indebtedness. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including common and preferred equity.

The number of shares available for future sale could adversely affect the market price of our stock.

In connection with and subsequent to our initial public offering, we have completed many private placement transactions in which shares of capital stock of Boston Properties, Inc. or partnership interests in BPLP were issued to owners of properties we acquired or to institutional investors. This common stock, or common stock issuable in exchange for such partnership interests in BPLP, may be sold in the public securities markets over time under registration rights we granted to these investors. Additional common stock issuable under our employee benefit and other incentive plans, including as a result of the grant of stock options and restricted equity securities, may also be sold in the market at some time in the future. Future sales of our common stock in the market could adversely affect the price of our common stock. We cannot predict the effect the perception in the market that such sales may occur will have on the market price of our common stock.

We may change our policies without obtaining the approval of our stockholders.

Our operating and financial policies, including our policies with respect to acquisitions of real estate, growth, operations, indebtedness, capitalization and dividends, are exclusively determined by our Board of Directors. Accordingly, our securityholders do not control these policies.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

We depend on the efforts of key personnel, particularly Mortimer B. Zuckerman, Chairman of our Board of Directors, Edward H. Linde, our Chief Executive Officer, and Douglas T. Linde, our President. Among the reasons that Messrs. Zuckerman, E. Linde and D. Linde are important to our success is that each has a national reputation, which attracts business and investment opportunities and assists us in negotiations with lenders. If we lost their services, our relationships with lenders, potential tenants and industry personnel could diminish. Mr. Zuckerman has substantial outside business interests that could interfere with his ability to devote his full time to our business and affairs.

Our two Executive Vice Presidents and five Regional Managers also have strong reputations. Their reputations aid us in identifying opportunities, having opportunities brought to us, and negotiating with tenants and build-to-suit prospects. While we believe that we could find replacements for these key personnel, the loss of their services could materially and adversely affect our operations because of diminished relationships with lenders, prospective tenants and industry personnel.

Conflicts of interest exist with holders of interests in BPLP.

Sales of properties and repayment of related indebtedness will have different effects on holders of interests in BPLP than on our stockholders.

Some holders of interests in BPLP, including Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde, would incur adverse tax consequences upon the sale of certain of our properties and on the repayment of related debt which differ from the tax consequences to us and our stockholders. Consequently, these holders of partnership interests in BPLP may have different objectives regarding the appropriate pricing and timing of any such sale or repayment of debt. While we have exclusive authority under the limited partnership agreement of BPLP to determine when to refinance or repay debt or whether, when, and on what terms to sell a property, subject, in the case of certain properties, to the contractual commitments described below, any such decision would require the approval of our

28

Board of Directors. While the Board of Directors has a policy with respect to these matters, as directors and executive officers, Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde could exercise their influence in a manner inconsistent with the interests of some, or a majority, of our stockholders, including in a manner which could prevent completion of a sale of a property or the repayment of indebtedness.

Agreement not to sell some properties.

We have entered into agreements with respect to some properties that we have acquired in exchange for partnership interests in BPLP. Pursuant to those agreements, we have agreed not to sell or otherwise transfer some of our properties, prior to specified dates, in any transaction that would trigger taxable income and we are responsible for the reimbursement of certain tax-related costs to the prior owners if the subject properties are sold in a taxable sale. In general, our obligations to the prior owners are limited in time and only apply to actual damages suffered. As of December 31, 2008 there were a total of 6 properties subject to these restrictions. In the aggregate, all properties subject to the restrictions are estimated to have accounted for approximately 29% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2008.

BPLP has also entered into agreements providing prior owners of properties with the right to guarantee specific amounts of indebtedness and, in the event that the specific indebtedness they guarantee is repaid or reduced, additional and/or substitute indebtedness. These agreements may hinder actions that we may otherwise desire to take to repay or refinance guaranteed indebtedness because we would be required to make payments to the beneficiaries of such agreements if we violate these agreements.

Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde will continue to engage in other activities.

Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde have a broad and varied range of investment interests. Either one could acquire an interest in a company which is not currently involved in real estate investment activities but which may acquire real property in the future. However, pursuant to each of their employment agreements, Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde will not, in general, have management control over such companies and, therefore, they may not be able to prevent one or more of such companies from engaging in activities that are in competition with our activities.

Compliance or failure to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act or other safety regulations and requirements could result in substantial costs.

The Americans with Disabilities Act generally requires that public buildings, including office buildings and hotels, be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. If, under the Americans with Disabilities Act, we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties, including the removal of access barriers, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, as well as the amount of cash available for distribution to our securityholders.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements will require significant unanticipated expenditures that will affect our cash flow and results of operations.

Some potential losses are not covered by insurance.

We carry insurance coverage on our properties of types and in amounts and with deductibles that we believe are in line with coverage customarily obtained by owners of similar properties. In response to the uncertainty in the insurance market following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance

29

Table of Contents

Act (as amended, TRIA) was enacted in November 2002 to require regulated insurers to make available coverage for certified acts of terrorism (as defined by the statute). The expiration date of TRIA was extended to December 31, 2014 by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (TRIPRA). Currently, the per occurrence limits of our portfolio property insurance program are \$1.0 billion, including coverage for acts of terrorism certified under TRIA. We currently insure certain properties, including the General Motors Building located at 767 Fifth Avenue in New York, New York (767 Fifth Avenue), in a separate stand alone insurance program. The property insurance program per occurrence limits for 767 Fifth Avenue are \$1.625 billion, including coverage for acts of terrorism certified under TRIA, with \$1.375 billion of coverage for losses in excess of \$250 million being provided by NYXP, LLC, as a direct insurer. We also currently carry nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological terrorism insurance coverage (NBCR Coverage) for acts of terrorism certified under TRIA, which is provided by IXP, LLC as a direct insurer, for the properties in our portfolio, including 767 Fifth Avenue, but excluding the properties owned by our Value-Added Fund and certain other properties owned in joint ventures with third parties or which we manage. The per occurrence limit for NBCR Coverage is \$1 billion. Under TRIA, after the payment of the required deductible and coinsurance, the NBCR Coverage is backstopped by the Federal Government if the aggregate industry insured losses resulting from a certified act of terrorism exceed a program trigger. The program trigger is \$100 million and the coinsurance is 15%. Under TRIPRA, if the Federal Government pays out for a loss under TRIA, it is mandatory that the Federal Government recoup the full amount of the loss from insurers offering TRIA coverage after the payment of the loss pursuant to a formula in TRIPRA. We may elect to terminate the NBCR Coverage if the Federal Government seeks recoupment for losses paid under TRIA, if there is a change in our portfolio or for any other reason. We intend to continue to monitor the scope, nature and cost of available terrorism insurance and maintain insurance in amounts and on terms that are commercially reasonable.

We also currently carry earthquake insurance on our properties located in areas known to be subject to earthquakes in an amount and subject to self-insurance that we believe are commercially reasonable. In addition, this insurance is subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property. Specifically, we currently carry earthquake insurance which covers our San Francisco region with a \$120 million per occurrence limit and a \$120 million annual aggregate limit, \$20 million of which is provided by IXP LLC, as a direct insurer. The amount of our earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, the amount of earthquake coverage could impact our ability to finance properties subject to earthquake risk. We may discontinue earthquake insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the premiums exceed our estimation of the value of the coverage.

IXP LLC, (IXP), a captive insurance company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary, acts as a direct insurer with respect to a portion of our earthquake insurance coverage for our Greater San Francisco properties and our NBCR Coverage for acts of terrorism certified under TRIA. NYXP, LLC (NYXP), a captive insurance company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary, acts as a direct insurer with respect to a portion of our coverage for acts of terrorism certified under TRIA for 767 Fifth Avenue. Currently, NYXP only insures losses which exceed the program trigger under TRIA and NYXP reinsures with a third-party insurance company any coinsurance payable under TRIA. Insofar as we own IXP and NYXP, we are responsible for their liquidity and capital resources, and the accounts of IXP and NYXP are part of our consolidated financial statements. In particular, if a loss occurs which is covered by our NBCR Coverage but is less than the applicable program trigger under TRIA, IXP would be responsible for the full amount of the loss without any backstop by the Federal Government. IXP and NYXP would also be responsible for any recoupment charges by the Federal Government in the event losses are paid out and their insurance policies are maintained after the payout by the Federal Government. If we experience a loss and IXP or NYXP are required to pay under their insurance policies, we would ultimately record the loss to the extent of the required payment. Therefore, insurance coverage provided by IXP and NYXP should not be considered as the equivalent of third-party insurance, but rather as a modified form of self-insurance.

The mortgages on our properties typically contain requirements concerning the financial ratings of the insurers who provide policies covering the property. We provide the lenders on a regular basis with the identity of the insurance companies in our insurance programs. The ratings of some of our insurers are below the rating

30

requirements in some of our loan agreements and the lenders for these loans could attempt to claim an event of default has occurred under the loan. We believe we could obtain insurance with insurers which satisfy the rating requirements. Additionally, in the future our ability to obtain debt financing secured by individual properties, or the terms of such financing, may be adversely affected if lenders generally insist on ratings for insurers which are difficult to obtain or which result in a commercially unreasonable premium. There can be no assurance that a deficiency in the financial ratings of one or more of our insurers will not have a material adverse effect on us.

We continue to monitor the state of the insurance market in general, and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism and California earthquake risk in particular, but we cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years. There are other types of losses, such as from wars or the presence of mold at our properties, for which we cannot obtain insurance at all or at a reasonable cost. With respect to such losses and losses from acts of terrorism, earthquakes or other catastrophic events, if we experience a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties, as well as the anticipated future revenues from those properties. Depending on the specific circumstances of each affected property, it is possible that we could be liable for mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the property. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect our business and financial condition and results of operations.

Actual or threatened terrorist attacks may adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and the value of our properties.

We have significant investments in large metropolitan markets that have been or may be in the future the targets of actual or threatened terrorism attacks, including midtown Manhattan, Washington, DC, Boston and San Francisco. As a result, some tenants in these markets may choose to relocate their businesses to other markets or to lower-profile office buildings within these markets that may be perceived to be less likely targets of future terrorist activity. This could result in an overall decrease in the demand for office space in these markets generally or in our properties in particular, which could increase vacancies in our properties or necessitate that we lease our properties on less favorable terms or both. In addition, future terrorist attacks in these markets could directly or indirectly damage our properties, both physically and financially, or cause losses that materially exceed our insurance coverage. As a result of the foregoing, our ability to generate revenues and the value of our properties could decline materially. See also Some potential losses are not covered by insurance.

We face risks associated with our tenants being designated Prohibited Persons by the Office of Foreign Assets Control.

Pursuant to Executive Order 13224 and other laws, the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the United States Department of the Treasury (OFAC) maintains a list of persons designated as terrorists or who are otherwise blocked or banned (Prohibited Persons). OFAC regulations and other laws prohibit conducting business or engaging in transactions with Prohibited Persons (the OFAC Requirements). Certain of our loan and other agreements require us to comply with OFAC Requirements. We have established a compliance program whereby tenants and others with whom we conduct business are checked against the OFAC list of Prohibited Persons prior to entering into any agreement and on a periodic basis thereafter. Our leases and other agreements require the other party to comply with OFAC Requirements. If a tenant or other party with whom we contract is placed on the OFAC list we may be required by the OFAC Requirements to terminate the lease or other agreement. Any such termination could result in a loss of revenue or a damage claim by the other party that the termination was wrongful.

Potential liability for environmental contamination could result in substantial costs.

Under federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be required to investigate and clean up the effects of releases of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum products at our properties simply because of our current or past ownership or operation of the real estate. If unidentified

Table of Contents

environmental problems arise, we may have to make substantial payments, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to our securityholders, because:

as owner or operator we may have to pay for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with the contamination;

the law typically imposes clean-up responsibility and liability regardless of whether the owner or operator knew of or caused the contamination;

even if more than one person may be responsible for the contamination, each person who shares legal liability under the environmental laws may be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs; and

governmental entities and third parties may sue the owner or operator of a contaminated site for damages and costs.

These costs could be substantial and in extreme cases could exceed the amount of our insurance or the value of the contaminated property. We currently carry environmental insurance in an amount and subject to deductibles that we believe are commercially reasonable. Specifically, we carry a pollution legal liability policy with a \$10 million limit per incident and a policy aggregate limit of \$30 million. The presence of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum products or the failure to properly remediate contamination may materially and adversely affect our ability to borrow against, sell or rent an affected property. In addition, applicable environmental laws create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with a contamination. Changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing at our properties, or increasing the restrictions on the handling, storage or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum products or other actions may result in significant unanticipated expenditures.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos. Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing asbestos:

properly manage and maintain the asbestos;

notify and train those who may come into contact with asbestos; and

undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building.

Such laws may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators who fail to comply with these requirements and may allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos fibers.

Some of our properties are located in urban and previously developed areas where fill or current or historic industrial uses of the areas have caused site contamination. It is our policy to retain independent environmental consultants to conduct Phase I environmental site assessments and asbestos surveys with respect to our acquisition of properties. These assessments generally include a visual inspection of the properties and the surrounding areas, an examination of current and historical uses of the properties and the surrounding areas and a review of relevant state, federal and historical documents, but do not involve invasive techniques such as soil and ground water sampling. Where appropriate, on a

property-by-property basis, our practice is to have these consultants conduct additional testing, including sampling for asbestos, for lead in drinking water, for soil contamination where underground storage tanks are or were located or where other past site usage creates a potential environmental problem, and for contamination in groundwater. Even though these environmental assessments are conducted, there is still the risk that:

the environmental assessments and updates did not identify all potential environmental liabilities;

a prior owner created a material environmental condition that is not known to us or the independent consultants preparing the assessments;

32

Table of Contents

new environmental liabilities have developed since the environmental assessments were conducted; and

future uses or conditions such as changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations could result in environmental liability for us.

Inquiries about indoor air quality may necessitate special investigation and, depending on the results, remediation beyond our regular indoor air quality testing and maintenance programs. Indoor air quality issues can stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contaminants from indoor or outdoor sources, and biological contaminants such as molds, pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to chemical or biological contaminants above certain levels can be alleged to be connected to allergic reactions or other health effects and symptoms in susceptible individuals. If these conditions were to occur at one of our properties, we may need to undertake a targeted remediation program, including without limitation, steps to increase indoor ventilation rates and eliminate sources of contaminants. Such remediation programs could be costly, necessitate the temporary relocation of some or all of the property s tenants or require rehabilitation of the affected property.

We did not obtain new owner stitle insurance policies in connection with properties acquired during our initial public offering.

We acquired many of our properties from our predecessors at the completion of our initial public offering in June 1997. Before we acquired these properties, each of them was insured by a title insurance policy. We did not obtain new owner stitle insurance policies in connection with the acquisition of these properties. However, to the extent we have financed properties after acquiring them in connection with the IPO, we have obtained new title insurance policies, however, the amount of these policies may be less than the current or future value of the applicable properties. Nevertheless, because in many instances we acquired these properties indirectly by acquiring ownership of the entity that owned the property and those owners remain in existence as our subsidiaries, some of these title insurance policies may continue to benefit us. Many of these title insurance policies may be for amounts less than the current or future values of the applicable properties. If there was a title defect related to any of these properties, or to any of the properties acquired at the time of our initial public offering, that is no longer covered by a title insurance policy, we could lose both our capital invested in and our anticipated profits from such property. We have obtained title insurance policies for all properties that we have acquired after our initial public offering, however, these policies may be for amounts less than the current or future values of the applicable properties.

Because of the ownership structure of our hotel property, we face potential adverse effects from changes to the applicable tax laws.

We own one hotel property. However, under the Internal Revenue Code, REITs like us are not allowed to operate hotels directly or indirectly. Accordingly, we lease our hotel property to one of our taxable REIT subsidiaries. As lessor, we are entitled to a percentage of the gross receipts from the operation of the hotel property. Marriott International, Inc. manages the hotel under the Marriott name pursuant to a management contract with the taxable REIT subsidiary as lessee. While the taxable REIT subsidiary structure allows the economic benefits of ownership to flow to us, the taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to tax on its income from the operations of the hotel at the federal and state level. In addition, the taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to detailed tax regulations that affect how it may be capitalized and operated. If the tax laws applicable to taxable REIT subsidiaries are modified, we may be forced to modify the structure for owning our hotel property, and such changes may adversely affect the cash flows from our hotel. In addition, the Internal Revenue Service, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, and we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any of such actions may prospectively or retroactively modify the tax treatment of the taxable REIT subsidiary and, therefore, may adversely affect our after-tax returns from our hotel property.

Table of Contents 66

33

We face possible adverse changes in tax laws.

From time to time changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. A shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in which we operate may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional taxes on our assets or income. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the amount of cash available for the payment of dividends.

We face possible state and local tax audits.

Because we are organized and qualify as a REIT, we are generally not subject to federal income taxes, but are subject to certain state and local taxes. In the normal course of business, certain entities through which we own real estate either have undergone, or are currently undergoing, tax audits. Although we believe that we have substantial arguments in favor of our positions in the ongoing audits, in some instances there is no controlling precedent or interpretive guidance on the specific point at issue. Collectively, tax deficiency notices received to date from the jurisdictions conducting the ongoing audits have not been material. However, there can be no assurance that future audits will not occur with increased frequency or that the ultimate result of such audits will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties

At December 31, 2008, our portfolio consisted of 147 properties totaling 49.8 million net rentable square feet. Our properties consisted of (1) 143 office properties, comprised of 123 Class A office buildings, including 10 properties under construction, and 20 properties that support both office and technical uses, (2) three retail properties and (3) one hotel. In addition, we own or control 509.3 acres of land for future development. The table set forth below shows information relating to the properties we owned, or in which we had an ownership interest, at December 31, 2008. On January 7, 2008, we transferred the Mountain View properties to the Value-Added Fund. For the year ended December 31, 2008, six days of financial results for these properties are included in our consolidated financial results, but not included in any of our portfolio information tables or any other portfolio level statistics. Information relating to properties owned by the Value-Added Fund is not included in our portfolio information tables or any other portfolio level statistics because the Value-Added Fund invests in assets within our existing markets that have deficiencies in property characteristics which provide an opportunity to create value through repositioning, refurbishment or renovation. We therefore believe including such information in our portfolio tables and statistics would render the portfolio information less useful to investors. Information relating to the Value-Added Fund is set forth separately below.

| Properties | Location | % Leased | Number of Buildings | Net Rentable Square Feet |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Class A Office | | | | |
| General Motors Building (60% ownership) | New York, NY | 98.0% | 1 | 1,770,298 |
| 399 Park Avenue | New York, NY | 99.7% | 1 | 1,700,331 |
| Citigroup Center | New York, NY | 97.7% | 1 | 1,590,013 |

Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| Times Square Tower | New York, NY | 97.3% | 1 | 1,242,384 |
|---|-------------------|-------|---|-----------|
| 800 Boylston Street The Prudential Center | Boston, MA | 93.9% | 1 | 1,192,899 |
| 599 Lexington Avenue | New York, NY | 99.2% | 1 | 1,037,338 |
| Embarcadero Center Four | San Francisco, CA | 96.6% | 1 | 936,561 |
| 111 Huntington Avenue The Prudential Center | Boston, MA | 99.6% | 1 | 859,642 |

| | | % | Number of | Net Rentable Square |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Properties | Location | Leased | Buildings | Feet |
| Embarcadero Center One | San Francisco, CA | 85.5% | 1 | 830,280 |
| Embarcadero Center Two | San Francisco, CA | 98.6% | 1 | 778,337 |
| Embarcadero Center Three | San Francisco, CA | 84.2% | 1 | 774,946 |
| South of Market | Reston, VA | 83.0% | 3 | 648,279 |
| Two Grand Central Tower (60% ownership) | New York, NY | 99.8% | 1 | 635,275 |
| Capital Gallery | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 619,586 |
| Metropolitan Square (51% ownership) | Washington, DC | 99.9% | 1 | 586,887 |
| 125 West 55 th Street (60% ownership) | New York, NY | 100.0% | 1 | 558,008 |
| 3200 Zanker Road | San Jose, CA | 100.0% | 4 | 543,900 |
| 901 New York Avenue (25% ownership) | Washington, DC | 99.4% | 1 | 539,229 |
| Reservoir Place | Waltham, MA | 93.1% | 1 | 527,590 |
| 601 and 651 Gateway | South San Francisco, CA | 96.6% | 2 | 506,045 |
| 101 Huntington Avenue The Prudential Center | Boston, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 505,939 |
| Two Freedom Square | Reston, VA | 98.8% | 1 | 421,676 |
| One Freedom Square | Reston, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 414,433 |
| One Tower Center | East Brunswick, NJ | 49.5% | 1 | 413,677 |
| Market Square North (50% ownership) | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 401,279 |
| 140 Kendrick Street | Needham, MA | 100.0% | 3 | 380,987 |
| One and Two Discovery Square | Reston, VA | 100.0% | 2 | 366,990 |
| 505 9th Street, N.W. (50% ownership) | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 321,926 |
| 1333 New Hampshire Avenue | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 315,371 |
| One Reston Overlook | Reston, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 312,685 |
| Waltham Weston Corporate Center | Waltham, MA | 98.1% | 1 | 306,789 |
| 230 CityPoint (formerly Prospect Place) | Waltham, MA | 92.6% | 1 | 301,815 |
| 540 Madison Avenue (60% ownership) | New York, NY | 92.9% | 1 | 284,185 |
| 12310 Sunrise Valley | Reston, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 263,870 |
| Reston Corporate Center | Reston, VA | 100.0% | 2 | 261,046 |
| Quorum Office Park | Chelmsford, MA | 100.0% | 2 | 259,918 |
| New Dominion Technology Park Building Two | Herndon, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 257,400 |
| 611 Gateway | South San Francisco, CA | 100.0% | 1 | 256,302 |
| 12300 Sunrise Valley | Reston, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 255,244 |
| 1330 Connecticut Avenue | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 252,136 |
| 500 E Street, S. W. | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 248,336 |
| 200 West Street | Waltham, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 248.311 |
| Five Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 99.3% | 1 | 240,480 |
| New Dominion Technology Park Building One | Herndon, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 235,201 |
| 510 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 234,160 |
| One Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 81.7% | 1 | 215,385 |
| 635 Massachusetts Avenue(1) | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 211,000 |
| 77 CityPoint | Waltham, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 209,707 |
| Sumner Square | Washington, DC | 100.0% | 1 | 208,665 |
| Four Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 95.1% | 1 | 198,295 |
| University Place | Cambridge, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 195,282 |
| North First Business Park(1) | San Jose, CA | 75.8% | 5 | 193,282 |
| | Washington, DC | | 1 | 188,358 |
| 1301 New York Avenue | Reston, VA | 100.0% 100.0% | 1 | 188,338 |
| 12290 Sunrise Valley 2600 Tower Oaks Boulevard | Reston, VA Rockville, MD | 90.8% | 1 | 178,887 |
| | | | | |
| Eight Cambridge Center Lexington Office Park | Cambridge, MA Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 177,226 |
| Lexington Office Park | Lexington, MA | 71.1% | 2 | 166,373 |

35

| | | % | Number of | Net Rentable Square | |
|--|------------------|--------|--------------|---------------------------|--|
| Properties | Location | Leased | Buildings | Feet | |
| 210 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 93.7% | 1 | 161,776 | |
| 206 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 161,763 | |
| 191 Spring Street | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 158,900 | |
| 303 Almaden | San Jose, CA | 94.1% | 1 | 156,859 | |
| Kingstowne Two | Alexandria, VA | 95.7% | 1 | 156,251 | |
| 10 & 20 Burlington Mall Road | Burlington, MA | 92.4% | 2 | 153,180 | |
| Ten Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 152,664 | |
| Kingstowne One | Alexandria, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 150,838 | |
| 214 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 80.1% | 1 | 150,774 | |
| 212 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 95.7% | 1 | 149,354 | |
| 506 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 136,213 | |
| Two Reston Overlook | Reston, VA | 93.8% | 1 | 134,615 | |
| 508 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 56.1% | 1 | 132,653 | |
| 202 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 81.1% | 1 | 130,582 | |
| Waltham Office Center(1) | Waltham, MA | 53.3% | 3 | 129,262 | |
| 101 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 123,659 | |
| Montvale Center | Gaithersburg, MD | 82.5% | 1 | 122,808 | |
| 504 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 121,990 | |
| 91 Hartwell Avenue | Lexington, MA | 83.8% | 1 | 121,425 | |
| 40 Shattuck Road | Andover, MA | 64.4% | 1 | 120,773 | |
| Annapolis Junction (50% ownership) | Annapolis, MD | 0.0% | 1 | 117,599 | |
| 502 Carnegie Center | Princeton NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 116,855 | |
| Three Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 77.7% | 1 | 108,152 | |
| 201 Spring Street | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 106,300 | |
| 104 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 94.4% | 1 | 102,830 | |
| Bedford Business Park | Bedford, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 92,207 | |
| 33 Hayden Avenue | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 80,128 | |
| Eleven Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 90.2% | 1 | 79,616 | |
| Reservoir Place North | Waltham, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 73,258 | |
| 105 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 55.3% | 1 | 69,955 | |
| 32 Hartwell Avenue | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 69,154 | |
| 302 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 64,926 | |
| 195 West Street | Waltham, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 63,500 | |
| 100 Hayden Avenue | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 55,924 | |
| 181 Spring Street | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 55,793 | |
| 211 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | 1 | 47,025 | |
| 92 Hayden Avenue | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 31,100 | |
| 201 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100.0% | | 6,500 | |
| Subtotal for Class A Office Properties | | 95.1% | 113 | 32,127,383 | |
| Retail | | | | | |
| Shops at The Prudential Center | Boston, MA | 98.5% | 1 | 509,813 | |
| Kingstowne Retail | Alexandria, VA | 94.3% | 1 | 88,288 | |
| Shaws Supermarket at The Prudential Center | Boston, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 57,235 | |
| Subtotal for Retail Properties | | 98.0% | 3 | 655,336 | |

| Properties | Location | % Leased | Number of Buildings | Net Rentable Square Feet |
|--|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Office/Technical Properties | D 10 1 16 | 62 E | 2 | 250.056 |
| Bedford Business Park | Bedford, MA | 62.7% | 2 | 379,056 |
| Seven Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 231,028 |
| 7601 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 103,750 |
| 7435 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 103,557 |
| 8000 Grainger Court | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 88,775 |
| 7500 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 79,971 |
| 7501 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 75,756 |
| 6605 Springfield Center Drive(1) | Springfield, VA | 0.0% | 1 | 68,907 |
| Fourteen Cambridge Center | Cambridge, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 67,362 |
| 164 Lexington Road | Billerica, MA | 0.0% | 1 | 64,140 |
| 103 Fourth Avenue(1) | Waltham, MA | 58.5% | 1 | 62,476 |
| 7450 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 62,402 |
| 7374 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 57,321 |
| 8000 Corporate Court | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 52,539 |
| 7451 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 47,001 |
| 7300 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 32,000 |
| 17 Hartwell Avenue | Lexington, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 30,000 |
| 7375 Boston Boulevard | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 26,865 |
| 6601 Springfield Center Drive(1) | Springfield, VA | 100.0% | 1 | 26,388 |
| Subtotal for Office/Technical Properties | | 81.9% | 20 | 1,659,294 |
| Hotel Property | | | | |
| Cambridge Center Marriott | Cambridge, MA | 77.7%(2) | 1 | 330,400 |
| Camorage Center Marriott | Cambridge, WA | 11.170(2) | 1 | 330,400 |
| Subtotal for Hotel Property | | 77.7% | 1 | 330,400 |
| Structured Parking | | n/a | | 11,219,345 |
| Structured Larking | | 11/4 | | 11,217,513 |
| Subtotal for In-Service Properties | | 94.5% | 137 | 45,991,758 |
| Properties Under Construction | | | | |
| 250 West 55 th Street | New York, NY | 22%(3)(4) | 1 | 1,000,000 |
| Russia Wharf | Boston, MA | 78%(3)(5) | 2 | 815,000 |
| 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue | Washington, DC | 42%(3)(6) | 2 | 780,000 |
| Weston Corporate Center | Weston, MA | 100%(3) | 1 | 356,367 |
| Wisconsin Place (66.67% ownership) | Chevy Chase, MD | 91%(3) | 1 | 290,000 |
| Democracy Tower (formerly South of Market- Phase II) | <u> </u> | 100%(3) | 1 | 290,000 |
| | Reston, VA | ` ' | | |
| One Preserve Parkway | Rockville, MD | 20 %(3)(7) | 1 | 183,000 |
| 701 Carnegie Center | Princeton, NJ | 100%(3) | 1 | 120,000 |
| Subtotal for Properties Under Construction | | 58% | 10 | 3,769,367 |
| Total Portfolio | | | 147 | 49,761,125 |

⁽¹⁾ Property held for redevelopment as of December 31, 2008.

⁽²⁾ Represents the weighted-average room occupancy for the year ended December 31, 2008. Note that this amount is not included in the calculation of the Total Portfolio occupancy rate for In-Service Properties as of December 31, 2008.

(3) Represents percentage leased as of February 23, 2009.

37

- (4) On February 6, 2009, we announced that we were suspending construction of this building.
- (5) Percentage leased excludes 235,000 square feet of residential space and includes 28,000 square feet of retail space.
- (6) Percentage leased excludes 330,000 square feet of residential space for rent or sale.
- (7) Property was partially placed in-service during the second quarter of 2008.

On January 7, 2008, we transferred the Mountain View properties to the Value-Added Fund. For the year ended December 31, 2008, six days of financial results for these properties are included in our consolidated financial results, but not included in any of our portfolio information tables or any other portfolio level statistics. The following table shows information relating to properties owned through the Value-Added Fund at December 31, 2008:

| Property | Location | % Leased | Number of Buildings | Net Rentable Square Feet |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Mountain View Research Park | Mountain View, CA | 60.8% | 16 | 600,449 |
| One and Two Circle Star Way | San Carlos, CA | 45.2% | 2 | 206,945 |
| Mountain View Technology Park | Mountain View, CA | 70.6% | 7 | 135,279 |
| 300 Billerica Road | Chelmsford, MA | 100.0% | 1 | 110,882 |
| Total Value-Added Fund | | 63.1% | 26 | 1,053,555 |

Top 20 Tenants by Square Feet

Our 20 largest tenants by square feet as of December 31, 2008 were as follows:

| | Tenant | Square Feet | % of In-Service Portfolio |
|----|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | US Government | 1,825,576(1) | 5.30% |
| 2 | Lockheed Martin | 1,292,429 | 3.75% |
| 3 | Citibank | 1,085,570(2) | 3.15% |
| 4 | Genentech | 546,750 | 1.59% |
| 5 | Kirkland & Ellis | 502,046(3) | 1.46% |
| 6 | Gillette | 484,051 | 1.41% |
| 7 | Shearman & Sterling | 472,808 | 1.37% |
| 8 | Weil Gotshal Manges | 456,744(4) | 1.33% |
| 9 | O Melveny & Myers | 446,039 | 1.30% |
| 10 | Lehman Brothers | 436,723(5) | 1.27% |
| 11 | Parametric Technology | 380,987 | 1.11% |
| 12 | Accenture | 378,867 | 1.10% |
| 13 | Finnegan Henderson Farabow | 356,195(6) | 1.03% |
| 14 | Ann Taylor | 338,942 | 0.98% |
| 15 | Northrop Grumman | 327,677 | 0.95% |
| 16 | Biogen Idec | 317,341(7) | 0.92% |
| 17 | Washington Group International | 299,079 | 0.87% |
| 18 | Aramis (Estee Lauder) | 295,610(8) | 0.86% |
| 19 | Bingham McCutchen | 291,415 | 0.85% |
| 20 | Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld | 290,132 | 0.84% |

(1) Includes 116,353, 68,252 and 28,384 square feet of space in properties in which Boston Properties has a 60%, 51% and 50% interest, respectively.

38

Table of Contents

- (2) Includes 10,080 and 2,761 square feet of space in properties in which Boston Properties has a 60% and 51% interest, respectively.
- (3) Includes 218,134 square feet of space in a property in which Boston Properties has a 51% interest.
- (4) Includes 456,744 square feet of space in a property in which Boston Properties has a 60% interest.
- (5) Lehman Brothers Inc. has filed for bankruptcy.
- (6) Includes 258,990 square feet of space in a property in which Boston Properties has a 25% interest.
- (7) Excludes 356,367 square feet leased for a future development in Weston, MA.
- (8) Includes 295,610 square feet of space in a property in which Boston Properties has a 60% interest.

Tenant Diversification (Gross Rent)*

Our tenant diversification as of December 31, 2008 was as follows:

| | Percentage of of Gross |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Sector | Rent |
| Legal Services | 26% |
| Financial Services | 25% |
| Technical and Scientific Services | 11% |
| Retail | 9% |
| Other Professional Services | 8% |
| Manufacturing | 7% |
| Other | 5% |
| Government / Public Administration | 4% |
| Media / Telecommunications | 3% |
| Real Estate and Insurance | 2% |

^{*} The classification of our tenants is based on the U.S. Government s North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), which has replaced the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system.

39

Lease Expirations (1)

| Year of Lease Expiration | Rentable Square Feet Subject to Expiring Leases | Current Annualized(2) Contractual Rent Under Expiring Leases Without Future Step-Ups | Current Annualized(2) Contractual Rent Under Expiring Leases Without Future Step-Ups p.s.f. | Current Annualized Contractual Rent Under Expiring Leases With Future Step-ups(3) | Current Annualized Contractual Rent Under Expiring Leases With Future Step- ups p.s.f.(3) | Percentage of Total Square Feet |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2009 | 2,295,421 | \$ 93,017,946 | \$ 40.52 | \$ 94,670,486 | \$ 41.24 | 6.7% |
| 2010 | 3,206,394 | 125,793,989 | 39.23 | 128,793,296 | 40.17 | 9.3% |
| 2011 | 3,237,825 | 154,478,643 | 47.71 | 158,444,134 | 48.94 | 9.4% |
| 2012 | 3,163,836 | 149,580,349 | 47.28 | 154,113,400 | 48.71 | 9.2% |
| 2013 | 1,396,615 | 61,486,594 | 44.03 | 65,185,886 | 46.67 | 4.1% |
| 2014 | 2,737,687 | 107,713,897 | 39.34 | 114,799,171 | 41.93 | 7.9% |
| 2015 | 1,894,419 | 91,562,625 | 48.33 | 103,723,910 | 54.75 | 5.5% |
| 2016 | 2,889,182 | 184,496,405 | 63.86 | 199,290,537 | 68.98 | 8.4% |
| 2017 | 2,925,119 | 199,612,564 | 68.24 | 218,535,840 | 74.71 | 8.5% |
| 2018 | 723,310 | 46,233,541 | 63.92 | 53,304,665 | 73.70 | 2.1% |
| Thereafter | 7,869,508 | 453,349,356 | 57.61 | 577,919,237 | 73.44 | 22.8% |

- (1) Includes 100% of unconsolidated joint venture properties. Does not include any data on properties owned by the Value-Added Fund.
- (2) Represents the monthly contractual base rent and recoveries from tenants under existing leases as of December 31, 2008 multiplied by twelve. This amount reflects total rent before any rent abatements and includes expense reimbursements, which may be estimates as of such date.
- (3) Represents the monthly contractual base rent under expiring leases with future contractual increases upon expiration and recoveries from tenants under existing leases as of December 31, 2008 multiplied by twelve. This amount reflects total rent before any rent abatements and includes expense reimbursements, which may be estimates as of such date.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance. Management believes that the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of our stockholders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2008.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a) Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol BXP. The high and low sales prices and distributions for the periods indicated in the table below were:

| | W. 1 | * | con | ibutions per nmon |
|--------------------|----------|----------|-----|-------------------------|
| Quarter Ended | High | Low | | nare |
| December 31, 2008 | \$ 92.41 | \$ 37.52 | \$ | .68 |
| September 30, 2008 | 105.80 | 82.00 | | .68 |
| June 30, 2008 | 106.53 | 89.04 | | .68 |
| March 31, 2008 | 98.72 | 79.88 | | .68 |
| December 31, 2007 | 113.60 | 87.78 | | 6.66(1) |
| September 30, 2007 | 108.25 | 91.25 | | .68 |
| June 30, 2007 | 119.95 | 98.55 | | .68 |
| March 31, 2007 | 133.02 | 109.07 | | .68 |

(1) Paid on January 30, 2008 to stockholders of record as of the close of business on December 31, 2007. Amount includes a \$5.98 per common share special dividend.

At February 23, 2009, we had approximately 1,038 stockholders of record. This does not include beneficial owners for whom Cede & Co. or others act as nominee.

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must make annual distributions to our stockholders of at least 90% of our taxable income (not including net capital gains). We have adopted a policy of paying regular quarterly distributions on our common stock, and we have adopted a policy of paying regular quarterly distributions on the common units of BPLP. Cash distributions have been paid on our common stock and BPLP s common units since our initial public offering. Distributions are declared at the discretion of the Board of Directors and depend on actual and anticipated cash from operations, our financial condition, capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and other factors the Board of Directors may consider relevant.

During the three months ended December 31, 2008, we issued an aggregate of 286,715 common shares in connection with the redemption of 286,715 common units of limited partnership held by certain limited partners of BPLP. These shares were issued in reliance on an exemption from registration under Section 4(2). We relied on the exemption under Section 4(2) based upon factual representations received from the limited partners who received the common shares.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph provides a comparison of cumulative total stockholder return for the period from December 31, 2003 through December 31, 2008, among Boston Properties, the Standard & Poor s (S&P) 500 Index, the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. (NAREIT) Equity REIT Total Return Index (the Equity REIT Index) and the NAREIT Office REIT Index (the Office REIT Index). The Equity REIT Index includes all tax-qualified equity REITs listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange and the NASDAQ Stock Market. Equity REITs are defined as those with 75% or more of their gross invested book value of assets invested directly or indirectly in the equity ownership of real estate. The Office REIT Index includes all office REITs included in the Equity REIT Index. Data for Boston Properties, the S&P 500 Index, the Equity REIT Index and the Office REIT Index was provided to us by NAREIT. Upon written request, Boston Properties will provide any stockholder with a list of the REITs included in the Equity REIT

41

Index and the Office REIT Index. The stock performance graph assumes an investment of \$100 in each of Boston Properties and the three indices, and the reinvestment of any dividends. The historical information set forth below is not necessarily indicative of future performance. The data shown is based on the share prices or index values, as applicable, at the end of each month shown.

| | Dec. 03 | Dec. 04 | Dec. 05 | Dec. 06 | Dec. 07 | Dec. 08 |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Boston Properties | \$ 100.00 | \$ 140.60 | \$ 173.69 | \$ 282.59 | \$ 253.30 | \$ 157.20 |
| S&P 500 | \$ 100.00 | \$ 110.87 | \$ 116.32 | \$ 134.69 | \$ 142.09 | \$ 89.52 |
| Equity REIT Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 131.58 | \$ 147.59 | \$ 199.33 | \$ 168.05 | \$ 104.65 |
| Office REIT Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 123.28 | \$ 139.44 | \$ 202.50 | \$ 164.11 | \$ 96.72 |

(b) None.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Period