

STAR GAS FINANCE CO
Form 10-Q
February 07, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-14129

Commission File Number: 333-103873-01

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P.

STAR GAS FINANCE COMPANY

(Exact name of registrants as specified in its charters)

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Delaware | 06-1437793 |
| Delaware | 75-3094991 |
| (State or other jurisdiction | (I.R.S. Employer |
| of incorporation or organization) | Identification No.) |
| 2187 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut | 06902 |
| (Address of principal executive office) | |
| (203) 328-7310 | |

(Registrants telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).* Yes No

* The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, non-accelerated filers or smaller reporting companies. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | |
|--|---|
| Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

At January 31, 2011, the registrants had units and shares of each issuer's classes of common stock outstanding as follows:

| | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Star Gas Partners, L.P. | Common Units | 67,077,553 |
| Star Gas Partners, L.P. | General Partner Units | 325,729 |

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Part I Financial Information | |
| Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 (unaudited) and September 30, 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Partners' Capital and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended December 31, 2010 (unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 7-18 |
| Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 19-30 |
| Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 30 |
| Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 30 |
| Part II Other Information: | |
| Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 31 |
| Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u> | 31 |
| Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 31 |
| Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u> | 31 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 32 |

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2010 (unaudited) | September 30, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 13,804 | \$ 61,062 |
| Receivables, net of allowance of \$7,534 and \$5,443, respectively | 182,965 | 70,443 |
| Inventories | 77,357 | 66,734 |
| Fair asset value of derivative instruments | 18,092 | 7,158 |
| Current deferred tax asset, net | 6,599 | 20,247 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 30,114 | 21,219 |
| Total current assets | 328,931 | 246,863 |
| Property and equipment, net | 45,078 | 44,712 |
| Goodwill | 199,052 | 199,052 |
| Intangibles, net | 56,383 | 58,894 |
| Long-term deferred tax asset, net | 24,932 | 26,551 |
| Deferred charges and other assets, net | 9,425 | 6,436 |
| Total assets | \$ 663,801 | \$ 582,508 |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 33,407 | \$ 16,626 |
| Revolving credit facility borrowings | 13,076 | |
| Fair liability value of derivative instruments | | 1,586 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 78,672 | 68,854 |
| Unearned service contract revenue | 49,233 | 40,110 |
| Customer credit balances | 45,737 | 68,762 |
| Total current liabilities | 220,125 | 195,938 |
| Long-term debt | 124,198 | 82,770 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 23,510 | 23,889 |
| Partners' capital | | |
| Common unitholders | 322,687 | 307,092 |
| General partner | 348 | 290 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes | (27,067) | (27,471) |
| Total partners' capital | 295,968 | 279,911 |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital | \$ 663,801 | \$ 582,508 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| (in thousands, except per unit data - unaudited) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|--|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Sales: | | |
| Product | \$ 404,968 | \$ 301,765 |
| Installations and service | 54,533 | 47,054 |
| Total sales | 459,501 | 348,819 |
| Cost and expenses: | | |
| Cost of product | 301,672 | 214,515 |
| Cost of installations and service | 52,622 | 45,672 |
| (Increase) decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments | (13,906) | (3,392) |
| Delivery and branch expenses | 65,961 | 56,822 |
| Depreciation and amortization expenses | 4,577 | 3,535 |
| General and administrative expenses | 4,924 | 5,053 |
| Operating income | 43,651 | 26,614 |
| Interest expense | (4,220) | (4,270) |
| Interest income | 532 | 394 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | (694) | (656) |
| Loss on redemption of debt | (1,700) | |
| Income before income taxes | 37,569 | 22,082 |
| Income tax expense | 17,011 | 10,077 |
| Net income | \$ 20,558 | \$ 12,005 |
| General Partner's interest in net income | 99 | 54 |
| Limited Partners' interest in net income | \$ 20,459 | \$ 11,951 |
| Basic and Diluted income per Limited Partner Unit (1) | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.15 |
| Weighted average number of Limited Partner units outstanding: | | |
| Basic and Diluted | 67,078 | 72,661 |

(1) See Note 3 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Net Income (Loss) per Limited Partner Unit.
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (in thousands) | Number of Units | | Common | General Partner | Accum. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Partners Capital |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|
| | Common | General Partner | | | | |
| Balance as of September 30, 2010 | 67,078 | 326 | \$ 307,092 | \$ 290 | \$ (27,471) | \$ 279,911 |
| Comprehensive income (unaudited): | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 20,459 | 99 | | 20,558 |
| Unrealized gain on pension plan obligation | | | | | 691 | 691 |
| Tax effect of unrealized gain on pension plan | | | | | (287) | (287) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | 20,459 | 99 | 404 | 20,962 |
| Distributions | | | (4,864) | (41) | | (4,905) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 (unaudited) | 67,078 | 326 | \$ 322,687 | \$ 348 | \$ (27,067) | \$ 295,968 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| (in thousands - unaudited) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 20,558 | \$ 12,005 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| (Increase) decrease in fair value of derivative instruments | (13,906) | (3,392) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5,271 | 4,191 |
| Loss on redemption of debt | 1,700 | |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 2,648 | 2,148 |
| Change in deferred taxes | 14,980 | 9,482 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Increase in receivables | (115,161) | (76,952) |
| Increase in inventories | (10,324) | (9,387) |
| Increase in other assets | (7,358) | (10,282) |
| Increase in accounts payable | 16,781 | 8,114 |
| Decrease in customer credit balances | (23,134) | (21,790) |
| Increase in other current and long-term liabilities | 19,235 | 12,876 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (88,710) | (72,987) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (1,558) | (1,636) |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed assets | 14 | 81 |
| Acquisitions | (1,638) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,182) | (1,555) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities: | | |
| Revolving credit facility borrowings | 13,076 | |
| Revolving credit facility repayments | | |
| Repayment of debt | (82,499) | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of debt | 124,188 | |
| Debt extinguishment costs | (1,409) | |
| Distributions | (4,905) | (5,059) |
| Unit repurchase | | (16,888) |
| Deferred charges | (3,817) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 44,634 | (21,947) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (47,258) | (96,489) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 61,062 | 195,160 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 13,804 | \$ 98,671 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1) Partnership Organization

Star Gas Partners, L.P. (Star Gas Partners, the Partnership, we, us, or our) is a home heating oil distributor and services provider with one reportable operating segment that principally provides services to residential and commercial customers to heat their homes and buildings. Star Gas Partners is a master limited partnership, which at December 31, 2010, had outstanding 67.1 million common units (NYSE: SGU) representing 99.5% limited partner interest in Star Gas Partners, and 0.3 million general partner units, representing 0.5% general partner interest in Star Gas Partners.

The Partnership is organized as follows:

The general partner of the Partnership is Kestrel Heat, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Kestrel Heat or the general partner). The Board of Directors of Kestrel Heat is appointed by its sole member, Kestrel Energy Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Kestrel).

The Partnership's operations are conducted through Petro Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Petro). Petro is a Minnesota corporation that is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership. Petro is a Northeast and Mid-Atlantic region retail distributor of home heating oil and propane that at December 31, 2010 served approximately 414,000 full-service residential and commercial home heating oil and propane customers. Petro also sold home heating oil, gasoline and diesel fuel to approximately 37,000 customers on a delivery only basis. In addition, Petro installed, maintained, and repaired heating and air conditioning equipment for its customers, and provided ancillary home services, including home security and plumbing, to approximately 11,000 customers.

Star Gas Finance Company is a 100% owned subsidiary of the Partnership. Star Gas Finance Company serves as the co-issuer, jointly and severally with the Partnership, of its \$125 million (excluding discount) 8.875% Senior Notes due 2017. The Partnership is dependent on distributions including inter-company interest payments from its subsidiaries to service the Partnership's debt obligations. The distributions from the Partnership's subsidiaries are not guaranteed and are subject to certain loan restrictions. Star Gas Finance Company has nominal assets and conducts no business operations. (See Note 6 Long-Term Debt and Bank Facility Borrowings)

2) Common Unit Repurchase and Retirement

On July 19, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Partnership's General Partner authorized the repurchase of up to 7.0 million of the Partnership's common units (Plan II). The authorized common unit repurchases may be made from time-to-time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or in such other manner deemed appropriate by management. In order to facilitate the repurchase program, the Partnership entered into a prearranged unit repurchase plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, for up to 4.0 million common units with a third party broker. There is no guarantee of the exact number of units that will be purchased under the program and the Partnership may discontinue purchases at any time. The program does not have a time limit. The Partnership's repurchase activities take into account SEC safe harbor rules and guidance for issuer repurchases. All of the common units purchased in the repurchase program will be retired.

Table of Contents

(in thousands, except per unit amounts)

| Period | Total Number of Units Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan or Program | Average Price Paid per Unit (a) | Maximum Number of Units that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan II Program |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Plan II - Number of units authorized | | | 7,000 |
| Plan II - Fiscal year 2010 total | 1,197 | \$ 4.44 | 5,803 |
| Plan II - First quarter fiscal year 2011 total | | \$ | 5,803 |

(a) Amounts include repurchase costs.

3) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Basis of Presentation***

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Star Gas Partners, L.P. and its subsidiaries. All material inter-company items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The financial information included herein is unaudited; however, such information reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair statement of financial condition and results for the interim periods. Due to the seasonal nature of the Partnership's business, the results of operations and cash flows for the three month period ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

These interim financial statements of the Partnership have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements included in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2010.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Sales of heating oil and other fuels are recognized at the time of delivery of the product to the customer and sales of heating and air conditioning equipment are recognized at the time of installation. Revenue from repairs and maintenance service is recognized upon completion of the service. Payments received from customers for heating oil equipment service contracts are deferred and amortized into income over the terms of the respective service contracts, on a straight-line basis, which generally do not exceed one year. To the extent that the Partnership anticipates that future costs for fulfilling its contractual obligations under its service maintenance contracts will exceed the amount of deferred revenue currently attributable to these contracts, the Partnership recognizes a loss in current period earnings equal to the amount that anticipated future costs exceed related deferred revenues.

Cost of Product

Cost of product includes the cost of heating oil, diesel, propane, kerosene, heavy oil, gasoline, throughput costs, barging costs, option costs, and realized gains/losses on closed derivative positions for product sales.

Cost of Installations and Service

Cost of installations and service includes equipment and material costs, wages and benefits for equipment technicians, dispatchers and other support personnel, subcontractor expenses, commissions and vehicle related costs.

Table of Contents

Delivery and Branch Expenses

Delivery and branch expenses include wages and benefits and department related costs for drivers, dispatchers, mechanics, customer service, sales and marketing, compliance, credit and branch accounting, information technology, insurance and operational support.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include wages and benefits and department related costs for human resources, finance and accounting, administrative support and supply.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts, which includes the allowance for long-term receivables, is the Partnership's best estimate of the amount of trade receivables that may not be collectible. The level of the allowance is based on many quantitative and qualitative factors, including historical loss experience, overdue status, delinquency trends, economic conditions and credit risk quality. The Partnership has an established process to periodically review current and past due trade receivable balances to determine the adequacy of the allowance. No single statistic or measurement determines the adequacy of the allowance. Historical trade receivable recoveries and charge-offs are considered as part of this periodical review. Different assumptions or changes in economic conditions could result in changes to the allowance for doubtful accounts.

The allowance is determined at an aggregate level for all trade receivables that are performing in accordance with payment terms and are not materially past due. The Partnership assigns possible loss factors to each trade receivable type aging category to determine its allowance level. The loss factors are determined based on quantitative and qualitative factors, including historical loss experience, trade receivable duration, aging trends, economic conditions and credit risk quality.

The Partnership also reviews its trade receivables for impairment based on delinquencies. These trade receivables consist of materially past due amounts and other trade receivables requiring significant collection efforts including litigation. The Partnership considers the impairment on non-performing trade receivables as a component included in the allowance.

In addition to the calculations discussed above, other qualitative factors are taken into account to arrive at the allowance balance. The total allowance reflects management's estimate of losses inherent in its trade receivables at the balance sheet date.

Allocation of Net Income (Loss)

Net income (loss) for partners' capital and statement of operations is allocated to the general partner and the limited partners in accordance with their respective ownership percentages, after giving effect to cash distributions paid to the general partner in excess of its ownership interest, if any.

Net Income (Loss) per Limited Partner Unit

Income per limited partner unit is computed in accordance with FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share topic, Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method subtopic (EITF 03-06), by dividing the limited partners' interest in net income by the weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding. The pro forma nature of the allocation required by this standard provides that in any accounting period where the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distribution for such period, the Partnership is required to present net income per limited partner unit as if all of the earnings for the periods were distributed, regardless of whether those earnings would actually be distributed during a particular period from an economic or practical perspective. This allocation does not impact the Partnership's overall net income or other financial results. However, for periods in which the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distributions for such period, it will have the impact of reducing the earnings per limited partner unit, as the calculation according to this standard results in a theoretical increased allocation of undistributed earnings to the general partner. In accounting periods where aggregate net income does not exceed aggregate distributions for such period, this standard does not have any impact on the Partnership's net income per limited partner unit calculation. A separate and independent calculation for each quarter and year-to-date period is required.

Table of Contents

The following presents the net income allocation and per unit data using this method for the periods presented:

| Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Limited Partner: (in thousands, except per unit data) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 20,558 | \$ 12,005 |
| Less General Partners' interest in net income | 99 | 54 |
| Net income available to limited partners | 20,459 | 11,951 |
| Less dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | 2,774 | 994 |
| Limited Partner's interest in net income under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | \$ 17,685 | \$ 10,957 |
| Per unit data: | | |
| Basic and diluted net income available to limited partners | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.16 |
| Less dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| Limited Partner's interest in net income under FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.15 |
| Weighted average number of Limited Partner units outstanding | 67,078 | 72,661 |

Cash Equivalents

The Partnership considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Inventories

The Partnership's inventory of heating oil and other fuels are stated at the lower of cost computed on the weighted average cost (WAC) method, or market. All other inventories, representing parts and equipment are stated at the lower of cost computed on the FIFO method, or market.

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2010 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Heating oil and other fuels | \$ 61,938 | \$ 51,678 |
| Fuel oil parts and equipment | 15,419 | 15,056 |
| | \$ 77,357 | \$ 66,734 |

Derivatives and Hedging Disclosures and Fair Value Measurements

The Partnership uses derivative instruments such as futures, options, and swap agreements, in order to mitigate exposure to market risk associated with the purchase of home heating oil for price-protected customers, physical inventory on hand, inventory in transit and priced purchase commitments.

To hedge a substantial majority of the purchase price associated with heating oil gallons anticipated to be sold to its price-protected customers, as of December 31, 2010, the Partnership had 2.9 million gallons of physical inventory and had 6.6 million gallons of swap contracts to buy heating oil; 34.0 million gallons of call options; 5.6 million gallons of put options and 64.0 million net gallons of synthetic calls. To hedge the

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

inter-month differentials for our price-protected customers, its physical inventory on hand, and inventory in transit, the Partnership as of December 31, 2010 had 14.1 million gallons of future contracts to buy heating oil; 22.0 million gallons of future contracts to sell heating oil; and 29.4 million gallons of swap contracts to sell heating oil. To hedge a portion of its internal fuel usage, the Partnership as of December 31, 2010, had 1.1 million gallons of swap contracts to buy gasoline and 0.9 million gallons of swap contracts to buy diesel.

Table of Contents

To hedge a substantial majority of the purchase price associated with heating oil gallons anticipated to be sold to its price-protected customers, as of December 31, 2009, the Partnership had 2.3 million gallons of swap contracts to buy heating oil; 0.1 million gallons of futures contracts to sell heating oil; 87.9 million gallons of call options; 1.3 million gallons of put options and 17.2 million net gallons of synthetic calls. To hedge the inter-month differentials for our price-protected customers, its physical inventory on hand, and inventory in transit, the Partnership as of December 31, 2009 had 10.6 million gallons of future contracts to buy heating oil; 11.3 million gallons of future contracts to sell heating oil; and 30.2 million gallons of swap contracts to sell heating oil. To hedge a portion of its internal fuel usage, the Partnership as of December 31, 2009, had 1.1 million gallons of swap contracts to buy gasoline and 0.9 million gallons of swap contracts to buy diesel.

The Partnership's derivative instruments are with the following counterparties: Cargill, Inc., Societe Generale, Key Bank National Association, JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA, Bank of America, N.A., Newedge USA, LLC, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The Partnership assesses counterparty credit risk and maintains master netting arrangements with its counterparties to help manage the risks, and records its derivative positions on a net basis. Based on its assessment, the Partnership considers counterparty credit risk to be low. At December 31, 2010, the aggregate cash posted as collateral in the normal course of business at counterparties was \$0.7 million. Positions with counterparties who are also parties to our revolving credit facility are collateralized under that facility. As of December 31, 2010, \$3.5 million of hedging losses was secured under the credit facility.

FASB ASC 815-10-05 Derivatives and Hedging topic, established accounting and reporting standards requiring that derivative instruments be recorded at fair value and included in the consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities, along with qualitative disclosures regarding the derivative activity. To the extent derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are effective and the standard's documentation requirements have been met, changes in fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the underlying hedged item is recognized in earnings. The Partnership has elected not to designate its derivative instruments as hedging instruments under this standard and the change in fair value of the derivative instruments is recognized in our statement of operations in the line item (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments. Realized gains and losses are recorded in cost of product.

FASB ASC 820-10 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic, established a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which classified the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions. The Partnership's Level 1 derivative assets and liabilities represent the fair value of commodity contracts used in its hedging activities that are identical and traded in active markets. The Partnership's Level 2 derivative assets and liabilities represent the fair value of commodity contracts used in its hedging activities that are valued using either directly or indirectly observable inputs, whose nature, risk and class are similar. No significant transfers of assets or liabilities have been made into and out of the Level 1 or Level 2 tiers. All derivative instruments were non-trading positions. The market prices used to value the Partnership's derivatives have been determined using the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) and independent third party prices that are reviewed for reasonableness.

The Partnership had no assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition. The Partnership's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are listed on the following table.

Table of Contents

(In thousands)

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Balance Sheet Location | Total | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: | | |
|---|---|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 | Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2 | Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |
| Under FASB ASC 815-10 | | | | | |
| Asset Derivatives at December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair asset and fair liability value of derivative instruments | \$ 21,030 | \$ 1,691 | \$ 19,339 | \$ |
| Commodity contracts | Long-term derivative assets netted with long-term derivative liabilities, included in other long-term liabilities | 165 | 129 | 36 | |
| Commodity contract assets at December 31, 2010 | | \$ 21,195 | \$ 1,820 | \$ 19,375 | \$ |
| Liability Derivatives at December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair liability and fair asset value of derivative instruments | \$ (2,938) | \$ (1,519) | \$ (1,419) | \$ |
| Commodity contracts | Long-term derivative liabilities included in other long-term liabilities | (483) | (483) | | |
| Commodity contract liabilities at December 31, 2010 | | \$ (3,421) | \$ (2,002) | \$ (1,419) | \$ |
| Asset Derivatives at September 30, 2010 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair asset and fair liability value of derivative instruments | \$ 11,991 | \$ 29 | \$ 11,962 | \$ |
| Commodity contracts | Long-term derivative assets included in the deferred charges and other assets, net balance | 43 | | 43 | |
| Commodity contract assets at September 30, 2010 | | \$ 12,034 | \$ 29 | \$ 12,005 | \$ |
| Liability Derivatives at September 30, 2010 | | | | | |
| Commodity contracts | Fair liability and fair asset value of derivative instruments | \$ (6,419) | \$ (101) | \$ (6,318) | \$ |
| Commodity contract liabilities at September 30, 2010 | | \$ (6,419) | \$ (101) | \$ (6,318) | \$ |

(In thousands)

| The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations | | Amount of (Gain) or Loss Recognized | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Location of (Gain) or Loss Recognized in Income on | Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 |
| Under FASB ASC 815-10 | Derivative | | |
| Commodity contracts | Cost of product (a) | \$ 9,546 | \$ 9,878 |
| Commodity contracts | (Increase) / decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments | \$ (13,906) | \$ (3,392) |

(a) Represents realized closed positions and includes the cost of options as they expire.

Table of Contents***Weather Hedge Contract***

Weather hedge contract is recorded in accordance with the intrinsic value method defined by FASB ASC 815-45-15 Derivatives and Hedging topic, Weather Derivatives subtopic (EITF 99-2). The premium paid is amortized over the life of the contract and the intrinsic value method is applied at each interim period.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed over the estimated useful lives of the depreciable assets using the straight-line method.

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2010 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Property and equipment | \$ 148,533 | \$ 146,494 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | 103,455 | 101,782 |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 45,078 | \$ 44,712 |

Business Combinations

The Partnership uses the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with FASB ASC 805 Business Combinations. The acquisition method of accounting requires the Partnership to use significant estimates and assumptions, including fair value estimates, as of the business combination date, and to refine those estimates as necessary during the measurement period (defined as the period, not to exceed one year, in which the amounts recognized for a business combination may be adjusted). Each acquired company's operating results are included in the Partnership's consolidated financial statements starting on the date of acquisition. The purchase price is equivalent to the fair value of consideration transferred. Tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition, are recorded at the acquisition date fair value. The separately identifiable intangible assets generally are comprised of customer lists, trade names and covenants not to compete. Goodwill is recognized for the excess of the purchase price over the net fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Costs that are incurred to complete the business combination such as investment banking, legal and other professional fees are not considered part of consideration transferred, and are charged to general and administrative expense as they are incurred. For any given acquisition, certain contingent consideration may be identified. Estimates of the fair value of liability or asset classified contingent consideration are included under the acquisition method as part of the assets acquired or liabilities assumed. At each reporting date, these estimates are remeasured to fair value, with changes recognized in earnings.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets include goodwill, customer lists and covenants not to compete.

Goodwill is the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets in the acquisition of a company. Under FASB ASC 350-10-05 Intangibles-Goodwill and Other, a potential goodwill impairment is deemed to exist if the net book value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value. If goodwill of a reporting unit is determined to be impaired, the amount of impairment is measured based on the excess of the net book value of the goodwill over the implied fair value of the goodwill.

The Partnership has selected August 31 of each year to perform its annual impairment review under this standard. The evaluations utilize an Income Approach and Market Approach (consisting of the Market Comparable and the Market Transaction Approach), which contain reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections reflecting management's best estimate in deriving the Partnership's total enterprise value. The Income Approach calculates over a discrete period the free cash flow generated by the Partnership to determine the enterprise value. The Market Comparable approach compares the Partnership to comparable companies in similar industries to determine the enterprise value. The Market Transaction approach uses exchange prices in actual sales and purchases of comparable businesses to determine the enterprise value.

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

The total enterprise value as indicated by these two approaches is compared to the Partnership's book value of net assets and reviewed in light of the Partnership's market capitalization.

Customer lists are the names and addresses of an acquired company's customers. Based on historical retention experience, these lists are amortized on a straight-line basis over seven to ten years.

Table of Contents

Trade names are the names of acquired companies. Based on the economic benefit expected and historical retention experience of customers, trade names are amortized on a straight-line basis over seven to twenty years.

Covenants not to compete are agreements with the owners of acquired companies and are amortized over the respective lives of the covenants on a straight-line basis, which are generally five years.

Partners' Capital

Comprehensive income includes net income, plus certain other items that are recorded directly to partners' capital. Accumulated other comprehensive income reported on the Partnerships' consolidated balance sheets consists of unrealized gains/losses on pension plan obligations and the tax affect. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, comprehensive income was \$21.0 million, comprised of net income of \$20.6 million, an unrealized gain on pension plan obligation of \$0.7 million and the tax effect of \$(0.3) million. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, comprehensive income was \$12.4 million, comprised of net income of \$12.0 million, an unrealized gain on pension plan obligation of \$0.6 million and the tax affect of \$0.2 million.

Income Taxes

The Partnership is a master limited partnership and is not subject to tax at the entity level for Federal and state income tax purposes. Rather, income and losses of the Partnership are allocated directly to the individual partners. While the Partnership will generate non-qualifying Master Limited Partnership revenue, distributions from the corporate subsidiaries to the Partnership are generally included in the determination of qualified Master Limited Partnership income. All or a portion of the distributions received by the Partnership from the corporate subsidiaries could be taxable as a dividend or capital gain to the partners.

The accompanying financial statements are reported on a fiscal year, however, the Partnership and its Corporate subsidiaries file Federal and state income tax returns on a calendar year.

As most of the Partnership's income is derived from its corporate subsidiaries, these financial statements reflect significant Federal and state income taxes. For corporate subsidiaries of the Partnership, a consolidated Federal income tax return is filed. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

The current and deferred income tax expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2010, and 2009 are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 37,569 | \$ 22,082 |
| Current tax expense | \$ 2,031 | \$ 849 |
| Deferred tax expense | 14,980 | 9,228 |
| Total tax expense | \$ 17,011 | \$ 10,077 |

As of the calendar tax year ended December 31, 2010, Star Acquisitions, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership, had a Federal net operating loss carry forward (NOL) of approximately \$16.4 million of which \$7.3 million is related to the acquisition of Champion Energy Corporation. The Federal NOLs, which will expire between 2018 and 2024, are generally available to offset any future taxable income. In general, the Partnership would be deemed to have an ownership change under Section 382 if, immediately after any owner shift involving a 5% unitholder or any equity structure shift, the percentage of units of the Partnership owned by one or more 5% unitholder has increased by more than 50% over the lowest percentage of units of the Partnership (or any predecessor entity) owned by such unitholder at any time during the three-year testing period.

In June 2007, the Partnership amended its Amended and Restated Unit Purchase Rights Agreement dated as of July 20, 2006 in order to protect the Partnership's NOLs for Federal income tax purposes by adding provisions which would have the effect of deterring any person or group from

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

acquiring more than 5% (reduced from 15% prior to the amendment) of the Partnership's issued and outstanding common units. The amendment also discouraged existing 5% or greater unitholders

Table of Contents

(including the General Partner) from acquiring additional common units equal to 1% or more of the outstanding common units. A person or group that acquires units in excess of these amounts would be subject to substantial dilution under the Rights Agreement. In May 2009, the Partnership entered into a further amendment to its Amended and Restated Unit Purchase Rights Agreement to amend the definition of acquiring person to restore the acquisition threshold to 15% of the outstanding common units. The Amended and Restated Unit Purchase Rights Agreement expires on April 16, 2011.

FASB ASC 740-10-05-6 Income Taxes topic, Tax Position subtopic (SFAS No. 109 and FIN 48), provides financial statement accounting guidance for uncertainty in income taxes and tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return.

At December 31, 2010, we had unrecognized income tax benefits totaling \$2.4 million including related accrued interest and penalties of \$0.2 million. These unrecognized tax benefits are primarily the result of Federal tax uncertainties. If recognized, these tax benefits and related interest and penalties would be recorded as a benefit to the effective tax rate.

We believe that the total liability for unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$0.01 million during the next 12 months ending December 31, 2012. Our continuing practice is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters as a component of income tax expense.

We file U.S. Federal income tax returns and various state and local returns. A number of years may elapse before an uncertain tax position is audited and finally resolved. For our Federal income tax returns we have four tax years subject to examination. In our major state tax jurisdictions of New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania and New Jersey, we have four, four, five, and five tax years, respectively, that are subject to examination. While it is often difficult to predict the final outcome or the timing of resolution of any particular uncertain tax position, based on our assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretation of tax law, we believe that our provision for income taxes reflect the most probable outcome. This assessment relies on estimates and assumptions and may involve a series of complex judgments about future events.

Sales, Use and Value Added Taxes

Taxes are assessed by various governmental authorities on many different types of transactions. Sales reported for product, installation and service exclude taxes.

4) Goodwill and Intangibles, net

Goodwill

A summary of changes in the Partnership's goodwill is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Balance as of September 30, 2010 | \$ 199,052 |
| Fiscal year 2011 activity | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 199,052 |

The Partnership performed its annual goodwill impairment valuation for the period ending August 31, 2010 and determined that there was no goodwill impairment. The preparation of this analysis (see Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Goodwill and Intangible Assets) was based upon management's estimates and assumptions, and future impairment calculations would be affected by actual results that are materially different from projected amounts. To provide for a sensitivity of the discount rates and transaction multiples used, ranges of high and low values are employed in the analysis, with the low values examined to ensure that a reasonably likely change in an assumption would not cause the Partnership to reach a different conclusion.

Table of Contents**Intangibles, net**

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets subject to amortization are as follows:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | September 30, 2010 | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accum. Amortization | Net | Gross Carrying Amount | Accum. Amortization | Net |
| Customer lists and other intangibles | \$ 252,655 | \$ 196,272 | \$ 56,383 | \$ 252,385 | \$ 193,491 | \$ 58,894 |

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$2.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$2.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. Total estimated annual amortization expense related to intangible assets subject to amortization, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011 and the four succeeding fiscal years ending September 30, is as follows (in thousands):

| | Estimated Annual Book Amortization Expense |
|------|--|
| 2011 | \$ 10,260 |
| 2012 | \$ 5,897 |
| 2013 | \$ 5,895 |
| 2014 | \$ 5,819 |
| 2015 | \$ 5,684 |

5) Business Combinations

On December 16, 2010 the Partnership acquired a heating oil and propane dealer for \$1.6 million in cash. The operating results of this acquisition have been included in the Partnership's consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition, and are not material to the Partnership's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. Preliminary fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed comprised primarily of intangibles, certain working capital items, and are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010.

6) Long-Term Debt and Bank Facility Borrowings

The Partnership's debt is as follows (in thousands):

| | At December 31, 2010 | | At September 30, 2010 | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value (a) | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value (a) |
| 8.875% Senior Notes (b) | \$ 124,198 | \$ 125,938 | \$ | \$ |
| 10.25% Senior Notes (c) | | | 82,770 | 83,908 |
| Revolving Credit Facility Borrowings (d) | 13,076 | 13,076 | | |
| Total debt | \$ 137,274 | \$ 139,014 | \$ 82,770 | \$ 83,908 |
| Total long-term portion of debt | \$ 124,198 | \$ 125,938 | \$ 82,770 | \$ 83,908 |

(a) The Partnership's fair value estimates of long-term debt are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information, open market quotations and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

matters of significant judgment. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

- (b) The Partnership issued these notes in November 2010 in a private placement offering pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Private Notes). These notes mature in December 2017 and accrue interest at an annual rate of 8.875% requiring semi-annual interest payments on June 1 and December

Table of Contents

1 of each year. The discount on these notes included above was \$0.8 million at December 31, 2010. Under the terms of the indenture, these notes permit restricted payments of \$22 million, permit the Partnership to incur debt up to \$100 million for acquisitions without passing certain financial tests, and restrict the proceeds of asset sales from being invested in current assets for purposes of the asset sale covenant. In January 2011, an exchange offer of the Private Notes for substantially identical public notes registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission was issued. Unless extended, the exchange offer expires on February 16, 2011.

- (c) In December 2010, the Partnership redeemed its 10.25% Senior Notes due February 2013, at a price equal to 101.708% of face value plus any accrued and unpaid interest. The Partnership reported a \$1.7 million loss on this redemption.
- (d) In July 2009, the Partnership entered into an amended and restated asset based revolving credit facility agreement with a bank syndication comprised of nine banks. This amended facility, that extends to July 2012, provides the Partnership with the ability to borrow up to \$240 million (\$290 million during the heating season from November to April each year) for working capital purposes (subject to certain borrowing base limitations and coverage ratios), including the issuance of up to \$100 million in letters of credit which reduce availability under this facility. The Partnership can increase the facility size by \$50 million without the consent of the bank group. The bank group is not obligated to fund the \$50 million increase. If the bank group elects not to fund the increase, the Partnership can add additional lenders to the group, with the consent of the Agent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. The interest rate is LIBOR plus (i) 3.50% (if availability, as defined in the revolving credit facility agreement is greater than or equal to \$150 million), or (ii) 3.75% (if availability is greater than \$75 million but less than \$150 million), or (iii) 4.00% (if availability is less than or equal to \$75 million). The commitment fee on the unused portion of the facility is 0.75% per annum.

In January 2010, the Partnership entered into a first amendment to the amended and restated asset based revolving credit facility agreement that updated the consolidated fixed charges defined term.

At December 31, 2010, \$13.1 million was outstanding under the revolving credit facility and \$42.7 million of letters of credit were issued. No amount was outstanding under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2010, and \$42.3 million of letters of credit were issued.

Obligations under the revolving credit facility are secured by liens on substantially all assets and are guaranteed by the Partnership. The revolving credit facility imposes certain restrictions on the Partnership, including restrictions on its ability to incur additional indebtedness, to pay distributions to its unitholders, to pay inter-company dividends or distributions, make investments, grant liens, sell assets, make acquisitions and engage in certain other activities. The revolving credit facility also requires the Partnership to maintain certain financial ratios, and contains borrowing conditions and customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, violation of covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties, cross-defaults to other indebtedness, bankruptcy and other insolvency events. The occurrence of an event of default or an acceleration under the revolving credit facility would result in the Partnership's inability to obtain further borrowings under that facility, which could adversely affect its results of operations. Such a default may also restrict the ability of the Partnership to obtain funds from its subsidiaries in order to pay interest or paydown debt. An acceleration under the revolving credit facility would result in a default under the Partnership's other funded debt.

Under the terms of the revolving credit facility, the Partnership must maintain at all times either availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of \$43.5 million or a fixed charge coverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of not less than 1.10x. In addition, the Partnership must maintain availability of at least \$40 million and a fixed charge coverage ratio for the trailing twelve months of 1.15x in order to make its minimum quarterly distributions of \$0.0675 per unit, and 1.25x to make any distributions in excess of the minimum quarterly distributions. No inter-company dividends or distributions can be made (including those needed to pay interest or principle on the 8.875% Senior Notes) if the relevant covenant described above has not been met.

As of December 31, 2010, availability was \$155.1 million, and the Partnership was in compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio. As of September 30, 2010, availability was \$104.8 million, and the Partnership was in compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio.

Table of Contents**7) Employee Pension Plan**

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| <u>Components of net periodic benefit cost:</u> | | |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ |
| Interest cost | 748 | 811 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (879) | (665) |
| Net amortization | 691 | 616 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 560 | \$ 762 |

During the three months ended December 31, 2010, the Partnership contributed \$0.6 million and expects to make an additional \$2.6 million contribution in fiscal 2011 to fund its pension obligation.

8) Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| <u>Cash paid during the period for:</u> | | |
| Income taxes, net | \$ 117 | \$ 634 |
| Interest | \$ 3,829 | \$ 825 |
| Debt extinguishment costs | \$ 1,409 | \$ |
| <u>Non-cash financing activities:</u> | | |
| Decrease in interest expense amortization of net debt premium 10.25% and debt discount 8.875% | \$ (13) | \$ 40 |
| Decrease in net debt premium attributable to redemption of debt | \$ 247 | \$ |

9) Commitments and Contingencies

The Partnership's operations are subject to all operating hazards and risks normally incidental to handling, storing and transporting and otherwise providing for use by consumers of combustible liquids such as home heating oil and propane. As a result, at any given time the Partnership is a defendant in various legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The Partnership maintains insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverages and deductibles we believe are reasonable and prudent. However, the Partnership cannot assure that this insurance will be adequate to protect it from all material expenses related to potential future claims for personal and property damage or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economical prices. In the opinion of management the Partnership is not a party to any litigation, which individually or in the aggregate could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

10) Subsequent Events**Offer to Exchange \$125.0 Million 8.875% Senior Notes due 2017**

On January 12, 2011, an exchange offer of the Partnership's currently outstanding \$125.0 million private 8.875% Senior Notes due 2017 for substantially identical public notes registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission was issued. Unless extended, the exchange offer expires on February 16, 2011.

Quarterly Distribution Declared

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

On January 25, 2011, the Partnership declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.0775 per common unit, payable on February 14, 2011, to holders of record on February 4, 2011.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements which represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events that involve risks and uncertainties, including those associated with the effect of weather conditions on our financial performance, the price and supply of home heating oil and propane, the consumption patterns of our customers, our ability to obtain satisfactory gross profit margins, our ability to obtain new customers and retain existing customers, our ability to make strategic acquisitions, the impact of litigation, our ability to contract for our current and future supply needs, natural gas conversions, future union relations and the outcome of current and future union negotiations, the impact of future governmental regulations, including environmental, health, and safety regulations, the ability to attract and retain employees, customer credit worthiness, counterparty credit worthiness, marketing plans, general economic conditions and new technology. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this Report including, without limitation, the statements under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere herein, are forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, the words believe, anticipate, plan, expect, seek, estimate, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct and actual results may differ materially from those projected as a result of certain risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those set forth under the heading Risk Factors and Business Strategy in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (the Form 10-K) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 and under the heading Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations (Cautionary Statements) are disclosed in the Form 10-K and in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Partnership or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the Cautionary Statements. Unless otherwise required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise after the date of this Report.

Overview

The following is a discussion of the historical financial condition and results of our operations and should be read in conjunction with the description of our business in Item 1. Business of the Form 10-K and the historical financial and operating data and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

Seasonality

The following matters should be considered in analyzing our financial results. Our fiscal year ends on September 30. All references to quarters and years respectively in this document are to fiscal quarters and years unless otherwise noted. The seasonal nature of our business has resulted on average in the last five years in the sale of approximately 30% of our volume of home heating oil and propane in the first fiscal quarter and 50% of our volume in the second fiscal quarter of each fiscal year, the peak heating season. We generally realize net income in both of these quarters and net losses during the quarters ending June and September. In addition, sales volume typically fluctuates from year to year in response to variations in weather, wholesale energy prices and other factors.

Degree Day

A degree day is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption. Degree days are based on how far the average temperature departs from 65°F. Each degree of temperature above 65°F is counted as one cooling degree day, and each degree of temperature below 65°F is counted as one heating degree day. Degree days are accumulated each day over the course of a year and can be compared to a monthly or a long-term (multi-year) average to see if a month or a year was warmer or cooler than usual. Degree days are officially observed by the National Weather Service and officially archived by the National Climatic Data Center. For purposes of evaluating our results of operations, we use the normal heating degree day amount as reported by the National Weather Service in our operating areas.

Table of Contents**Weather Hedge Contract Warm Weather**

Weather conditions have a significant impact on the demand for home heating oil and propane because our customers depend on this product principally for heating purposes. Actual weather conditions can vary substantially from year to year, significantly affecting our financial performance. To partially mitigate the adverse effect of warm weather on our cash flows, we have entered into a weather hedge contract with Renaissance Trading Ltd. Under the weather hedge contract we will receive a payment of \$35,000 per heating degree-day, when the total number of heating degree-days in the period covered is less than 92.5% of the 10-year average. The hedge covers the period from November 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011 taken as a whole and has a maximum payout of \$12.5 million.

Per Gallon Gross Profit Margins

We believe the change in home heating oil and propane margins should be evaluated on a cents per gallon basis, before the effects of increases or decreases in the fair value of derivative instruments, as we believe that realized per gallon margins should not include the impact of non-cash changes in the market value of hedges before the settlement of the underlying transaction.

A significant portion of our home heating oil and propane volume is sold to individual customers under an arrangement pre-establishing a ceiling sales price or fixed price for home heating oil and propane over a fixed period of time. When these price-protected customers agree to purchase home heating oil and propane from us for the next heating season, we purchase option contracts, swaps and futures contracts for a substantial majority of the heating oil that we expect to sell to these customers. The amount of home heating oil and propane volume that we hedge per price-protected customer is based upon the estimated fuel consumption per average customer, per month. In the event that the actual usage exceeds the amount of the hedged volume on a monthly basis, we could be required to obtain additional volume at unfavorable margins. In addition, should actual usage in any month be less than the hedged volume, our hedging losses could be greater.

Derivatives

FASB ASC 815-10-05 Derivatives and Hedging topic, established accounting and reporting standards requiring that derivative instruments be recorded at fair value and included in the consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities. To the extent derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are effective, as defined under this standard, changes in fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the forecasted hedged item is recognized in earnings. We have elected not to designate our derivative instruments as hedging instruments under this standard, and, as a result, the changes in fair value of the derivative instruments are recognized in our statement of operations. Therefore, we experience great volatility in earnings as outstanding home heating oil and propane derivative instruments are marked to market and non-cash gains and losses are recorded prior to the sale of the commodity to the customer. The volatility in any given period related to unrealized non-cash gains or losses on derivative home heating oil and propane instruments can be significant to our overall results. However, we ultimately expect those gains and losses to be offset by the cost of product when purchased.

Home Heating Oil Price Volatility

In recent years, the wholesale price of home heating oil has been extremely volatile, resulting in increased consumer price sensitivity to heating costs and increased gross customer attrition. Like any other market commodity, the price of home heating oil is generally impacted by many factors, including economic and geopolitical forces. The price of home heating oil is closely linked to the price refiners pay for crude oil, which is the principal cost component of home heating oil. The volatility in the wholesale cost of home heating oil, as measured by the New York Mercantile Exchange (Nymex) price per gallon for fiscal 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 by quarter, is illustrated by the following chart:

Impact on Liquidity of Wholesale Product Cost Volatility

| Quarter Ended | Fiscal 2011 | | Fiscal 2010 | | Fiscal 2009 | | Fiscal 2008 | |
|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| December 31 | \$ 2.19 | \$ 2.54 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 2.12 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 2.85 | \$ 2.16 | \$ 2.71 |
| March 31 (a) | 2.49 | 2.75 | 1.89 | 2.20 | 1.13 | 1.63 | 2.42 | 3.15 |
| June 30 | | | 1.87 | 2.35 | 1.31 | 1.86 | 2.88 | 3.97 |
| September 30 | | | 1.92 | 2.24 | 1.50 | 1.96 | 2.72 | 4.11 |

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

(a) to January 31, 2011

The wholesale price of home heating oil has been extremely volatile over the last several years. Our liquidity is adversely impacted in times of increasing heating oil prices, as we must use cash to pay for our hedging requirements and to

Table of Contents

fund a portion of the increased levels of accounts receivable and inventory. Our liquidity is also adversely impacted at times by sudden and sharp decreases in heating oil prices due to the increased margin requirements for futures contracts and collateral requirements for swaps that we use to manage market risks related to our fixed price customers and physical inventory that are not immediately offset by lower inventory and accounts receivable carrying costs.

Income Taxes Net Operating Loss Carry Forward

At December 31, 2006, we had Federal NOLs of \$160.8 million and at December 31, 2010, we estimate that our Federal NOLs will be \$9.1 million, excluding \$7.3 million of NOLs acquired with Champion. Over this four year period, we utilized \$37.9 million of Federal NOLs on average each year to offset our taxable income. We expect that over the next twelve months we will utilize substantially all of the remaining unlimited Federal NOLs. After we exhaust the Federal NOLs, the amount of cash taxes that we will pay will increase significantly and will reduce the annual amount of cash available for distribution to unitholders. For example, in calendar 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 we paid or expect to pay Federal cash taxes of \$1.0 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million respectively. If we did not have the Federal NOLs available to us, our Federal cash taxes would have increased to \$17.2 million, \$11.1 million, \$9.9 million and \$14.7 million for calendar 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively.

8.875% Senior Note Offering

In November 2010, we issued \$125.0 million of Senior Notes due 2017. The notes will accrue interest at a rate of 8.875% and were priced at 99.350% for total gross proceeds of \$124.2 million. A portion of the proceeds were used to redeem all of the remaining \$82.5 million in face value of 10.25% Senior Notes due 2013, with the remainder available for general partnership purposes.

On January 12, 2011, an exchange offer of the Partnership's currently outstanding \$125.0 million private 8.875% Senior Notes due 2017 for substantially identical public notes registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission was issued. Unless extended, the exchange offer expires on February 16, 2011.

Income Taxes Book Versus Tax Deductions

The amount of cash flow that we generate in any given year depends upon a variety of factors including the amount of cash income taxes that our subsidiaries will pay. The amount of depreciation and amortization that we deduct for book (i.e. financial reporting) purposes will differ from the amount that our subsidiaries can deduct for tax purposes. The table below compares the estimated depreciation and amortization for book purposes to the amount that our subsidiaries expect to deduct for tax purposes. Our subsidiaries file their tax returns based on a calendar year. The amounts below are based on our fiscal year.

Estimated Depreciation and Amortization Expense

| Fiscal Year | Book | Tax |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| 2011 | \$ 20,314 | \$ 31,215 |
| 2012 | 14,294 | 27,935 |
| 2013 | 11,083 | 24,536 |
| 2014 | 9,644 | 21,071 |
| 2015 | 8,749 | 18,258 |

Table of Contents

Income Taxes Election to be Taxed as an Association or C Corporation

Currently, our main asset and source of income is our 100% ownership interest in Star Acquisitions, Inc. (Star Acquisitions), which is the parent company of Petro Holdings, Inc. Our unitholders do not receive any of the tax benefits normally associated with owning units in a publicly traded partnership, as any cash coming from Star Acquisitions to us will generally have been taxed first at a corporate level and then may also be taxable to our unitholders as dividends, reported via annual Forms K-1. The production of the Forms K-1 themselves is an expensive and administratively intensive process. Thus, we have all the administrative issues and costs associated with being a large, publicly traded partnership, but our unitholders do not currently receive any material tax benefits from this structure.

To reduce these administrative expenses and to better rationalize our tax reporting structure we are considering making an election sometime in the future to be treated as a corporation for Federal and State income tax purposes. While we would still remain a publicly traded partnership for legal and governance purposes, for income tax purposes our unitholders would be treated as owning stock in a corporation rather than being partners in a partnership. Subsequent to the year of election unitholders would receive Forms 1099-DIV annually for any dividends and would no longer receive Form K-1. In the year of election unitholders would receive both, each form covering part of the year.

While there could be negative income tax consequences to our unitholders with this election, we intend to only make this election if we believe that it will have no overall material adverse impact on our unitholders, of which there can be no assurance. Since determining this is a function of projecting taxable earnings, making assumptions regarding the payment of distributions, and trying to determine when, during any particular calendar year, making the election will have the least impact on the most number of unitholders, when or, even if, we will make this election is not determinable at this time. Unitholders are encouraged to consult their tax advisors with respect to these possible outcomes.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA (non-GAAP financial measures)

EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) and Adjusted EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivatives, gain or loss on debt redemption, goodwill impairment, and other non-cash and non-operating charges) are non-GAAP financial measures that are used as supplemental financial measures by management and external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;

our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;

our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;

our operating performance and return on invested capital compared to those of other companies in the retail distribution of refined petroleum products business, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and

the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities.

The method of calculating Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with that of other companies and each of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA has its limitations as an analytical tool, should not be considered in isolation and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements that are computed in accordance with GAAP. Some of the limitations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are:

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our cash used for capital expenditures;

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated or amortized often will have to be replaced and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash requirements for such replacements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital requirements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash necessary to make payments of interest or principal on our indebtedness; and

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash required to pay taxes.

Table of Contents**Acquisitions**

During fiscal 2011, the Partnership has thus far completed one acquisition and added approximately 1,500 home heating oil and propane accounts. From April 1 to September 30, 2010 (after the fiscal 2010 heating season), the Partnership completed five acquisitions and added approximately 56,100 home heating oil, propane and security accounts. While these acquisitions provided additional revenues in fiscal 2010, the Partnership's profitability measures such as operating income and net income were adversely impacted as product costs and operating expenses from these acquisitions exceeded revenues, which is normal for this non-heating period. We expect that the fiscal 2010 and 2011 acquisitions should positively impact our profitability measures in fiscal 2011 when compared to fiscal 2010.

Customer Attrition

We measure net customer attrition for our full service residential and commercial home heating oil and propane customers. (Starting October 1, 2010, we have included propane customers as several of our recent acquisitions included propane.) Net customer attrition is the difference between gross customer losses and customers added through marketing efforts. Customers purchased through acquisitions are not included in the calculation of gross customer gains. Marketing activity for our acquisitions from the date that the acquisitions took place is included in the results below. Gross customer losses are the result of a number of factors, including price competition, move-outs, service issues, credit losses and conversion to natural gas. When a customer moves out of an existing home, we count the move out as a loss and, if we are successful in signing up the new homeowner, the move in is treated as a gain.

Gross Customer Gains, Gross Customer Losses and Net Customer Attrition:

| 2010 | | | Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (a) | | | 2008 (a) | | |
|----------------------|--------|---------------|---|--------|---------------|----------------------|--------|---------------|
| Gross Customer Gains | Losses | Net Attrition | Gross Customer Gains | Losses | Net Attrition | Gross Customer Gains | Losses | Net Attrition |
| 21,900 | 24,100 | (2,200) | 19,000 | 21,600 | (2,600) | 26,300 | 31,800 | (5,500) |

Gross Customer Gains, Gross Customer Losses and Net Customer Attrition as a Percentage of the Customer Base:

| 2010 | | | Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (a) | | | 2008 (a) | | |
|----------------------|--------|---------------|---|--------|---------------|----------------------|--------|---------------|
| Gross Customer Gains | Losses | Net Attrition | Gross Customer Gains | Losses | Net Attrition | Gross Customer Gains | Losses | Net Attrition |
| 5.3% | 5.8% | (0.5%) | 5.1% | 5.8% | (0.7%) | 6.5% | 7.9% | (1.4%) |

(a) Prior to October 1, 2010, we measured only home heating oil net customer attrition.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we lost 2,200 accounts (net), or 0.5% of our home heating oil and propane customer base, slightly less than our loss of 2,600 accounts (net), or 0.7% of our home heating oil customer base during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Net of attrition the Partnership's customer base increased by approximately 11.0% year-over-year due to acquisitions. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, gross gains and gross losses increased by 15.3% and 11.6%, respectively, versus the prior year period, due in part to the increase in size of the Partnership's customer base.

Table of Contents**Three Months Ended December 31, 2010****Compared to the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009****Volume**

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, retail volume of home heating oil and propane increased by 16.7 million gallons, or 17.4%, to 112.7 million gallons, compared to 96.0 million gallons for the three months ended December 31, 2009. An analysis of the change in the retail volume of home heating oil and propane, which is based on management's estimates, sampling and other mathematical calculations, is found below:

| (in millions of gallons) | Heating Oil and Propane |
|---|----------------------------|
| Volume - Three months ended December 31, 2009 | 96.0 |
| Impact of colder temperatures | 5.3 |
| Acquisitions | 13.2 |
| Net customer attrition | (4.6) |
| Other | 2.8 |
| Change | 16.7 |
| Volume - Three months ended December 31, 2010 | 112.7 |

Temperatures in our geographic areas of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2010 were 5.4% colder than the three months ended December 31, 2009 and approximately 2.4% colder than normal, as reported by the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). From January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010, net customer attrition was approximately 4.9%, and the above table reflects the lost volume related to this net attrition. Due to the significant increase in the price per gallon of the products that we sell over the last several years, we believe that customers are using less given similar temperatures when compared to prior periods.

The percentage of heating oil and propane volume sold to residential variable price customers increased to 44.7% for the three months ended December 31, 2010, compared to 41.6% for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The percentage of heating oil and propane volume sold to residential price-protected customers decreased to 42.6% for the three months ended December 31, 2010, compared to 44.2% for the three months ended December 31, 2009. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, sales to commercial/industrial customers decreased to 12.8% of total heating oil and propane volume sales, compared to 14.2% for the three months ended December 31, 2009. Generally, the shift to variable pricing was largely due to our customers' reluctance to lock in or cap their prices, as home heating oil and propane prices for our price protected offerings were higher during the peak renewal season preceding fiscal 2011 than during the comparable renewal period preceding fiscal 2010.

Volume of other petroleum products for the three months ended December 31, 2010 increased by 2.3 million gallons, or 25.0%, to 11.5 million gallons, compared to 9.2 million gallons of other petroleum products sold during the three months ended December 31, 2009. The increase was largely due to the additional volume provided from acquisitions.

Product Sales

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, product sales increased \$103.2 million, or 34.2%, to \$405.0 million, compared to \$301.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, due to the previously described increases in sales volume and higher product selling prices in response to an increase in the wholesale product costs.

Installation and Service Sales

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, service and installation sales increased \$7.5 million, or 15.9%, to \$54.5 million, compared to \$47.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, largely due to the additional revenues from acquisitions of \$6.1 million.

Table of Contents**Cost of Product**

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, cost of product increased \$87.2 million, or 40.6%, to \$301.7 million, compared to \$214.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, due to increases in volume sales of home heating oil and propane and other petroleum products sold and higher per gallon product costs.

Gross Profit Product

The table below calculates the Partnership's per gallon margins and reconciles product gross profit for home heating oil and propane and other petroleum products. We believe the change in home heating oil and propane margins should be evaluated before the effects of increases or decreases in the fair value of derivative instruments, as we believe that realized per gallon margins should not include the impact of non-cash changes in the market value of hedges before the settlement of the underlying transaction. On that basis, home heating oil and propane margins for the three months ended December 31, 2010 increased by \$0.0079 per gallon, or 0.9%, to \$0.8925 per gallon, from \$0.8846 per gallon in the three months ended December 31, 2009. Our fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011 acquisitions have a different per gallon gross profit margin profile and operating cost structure than our base business. Generally, the per gallon margins from our recent acquisitions have been lower than the base business. Excluding acquisitions, home heating oil and propane margins rose \$0.0176 per gallon, or 2.0%. Product sales and cost of product include home heating oil and propane, and other petroleum products.

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
| (in millions except per gallon amounts) | Amount (000) | Per Gallon | Amount (000) | Per Gallon |
| Home Heating Oil/Propane | | | | |
| Volume (in millions of gallons) | 112,663 | | 95,984 | |
| Sales | \$ 373,798 | \$ 3.3178 | \$ 280,818 | \$ 2.9257 |
| Cost | 273,247 | 2.4253 | 195,915 | 2.0411 |
| Gross Profit | \$ 100,551 | \$ 0.8925 | \$ 84,903 | \$ 0.8846 |
| | Amount (000) | Per Gallon | Amount (000) | Per Gallon |
| Other Petroleum Products | | | | |
| Volume (in millions of gallons) | 11,531 | | 9,223 | |
| Sales | \$ 31,170 | \$ 2.7031 | \$ 20,947 | \$ 2.2712 |
| Cost | 28,425 | 2.4651 | 18,600 | 2.0166 |
| Gross Profit | \$ 2,745 | \$ 0.2381 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 0.2546 |
| | Amount (000) | | Amount (000) | Change |
| Total Product | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 404,968 | | \$ 301,765 | \$ 103,203 |
| Cost | 301,672 | | \$ 214,515 | 87,157 |
| Gross Profit | \$ 103,296 | | \$ 87,250 | \$ 16,046 |

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, total product gross profit increased by \$16.0 million to \$103.3 million, compared to \$87.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, due to the impact of higher home heating oil and propane volume (\$15.6 million), higher home heating oil and propane margins (\$0.9 million) and the additional gross profit from other petroleum products sold (\$0.4 million).

(Increase) / Decrease in the Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

During the three months ended December 31, 2010, the increase in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in the recording of a \$13.9 million credit due to the expiration of certain hedged positions or their realization to cost of product (a \$4.0 million credit) and an increase in the market value for unexpired hedges (a \$9.9 million credit).

Table of Contents

During the three months ended December 31, 2009, the increase in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in the recording of a \$3.4 million credit due to the expiration of certain hedged positions or their realization to cost of product (a \$3.5 million credit) and a decrease in the market value for unexpired hedges (a \$0.1 million charge).

Cost of Installations and Service

During the three months ended December 31, 2010, cost of installations and service increased \$6.9 million, or 15.2%, to \$52.6 million, compared to \$45.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, due primarily to an estimated \$5.2 million of additional costs associated with acquisitions. Management views the service and installation department on a combined basis because many expenses cannot be separated or allocated to either service or installation billings. Many overhead functions and direct expenses such as service technician time cannot be precisely allocated.

Installation costs increased by \$3.1 million to \$18.5 million, or 82.8% of installation sales, during the three months ended December 31, 2010, versus \$15.4 million, or 83.6% of installation sales during the three months ended December 31, 2009. The increase in installation costs was largely due to acquisitions (\$2.3 million) and higher heating equipment sales in the base business. Service expenses increased by \$3.8 million to \$34.1 million, or 106.0% of service sales, during the three months ended December 31, 2010, from \$30.3 million in the three months ended December 31, 2009, or 105.7% of sales. The increase in service costs was largely due to acquisitions (\$2.9 million) and additional costs associated with 5.4% colder temperatures. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, a net gross profit from service and installation of \$1.9 million was generated, compared to a net gross profit of \$1.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Delivery and Branch Expenses

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, delivery and branch expenses increased \$9.2 million, or 16.1%, to \$66.0 million, compared to \$56.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. Acquisitions added \$7.4 million in delivery and branch expenses, while in the base business, delivery and branch expenses increased by \$1.8 million largely due to higher delivery expenses associated with the increase in volume and a major snow storm experienced in December 2010.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, depreciation and amortization expenses increased by \$1.1 million, or 29.5%, to \$4.6 million, compared to \$3.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Amortization expense was higher by \$0.7 million as the additional amortization expense from fiscal 2010 and 2011 acquisitions of \$1.0 million was reduced by a decline in amortization expense attributable to fiscal 2003 acquisitions with a 7 year life that became fully amortized in fiscal 2010.

General and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, general and administrative expenses were \$4.9 million, slightly less when compared to \$5.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Operating Income

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, operating income increased \$17.1 million to \$43.7 million, compared to \$26.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as an increase in gross profit of \$16.0 million and a favorable change in the fair value of derivative instruments of \$10.5 million was reduced by higher delivery and branch expenses of \$9.2 million.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, interest expense decreased slightly to \$4.2 million compared to \$4.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Interest Income

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, interest income increased \$0.1 million, or 35.2%, to \$0.5 million, compared to \$0.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs**

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, amortization of debt issuance costs was unchanged at \$0.7 million when compared to the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Loss on Debt Repurchase

During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Partnership repurchased \$82.5 million face value of its 10.25% Senior Notes due February 2013 at an average price of \$101.7 per \$100 of principal plus accrued interest. The Partnership recorded a loss of \$1.7 million for this transaction.

Income Tax Expense

For the three months ended December 31, 2010 income tax expense increased \$6.9 million, to \$17.0 million, from \$10.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The increase in income tax expense was mainly due to the higher level of pretax income of \$15.5 million.

Net Income

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, the Partnership generated net income of \$20.6 million, compared to \$12.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as the \$17.1 million of increased operating income was offset by the \$1.7 million loss on redemption of debt and increased income tax expense of \$6.9 million.

Adjusted EBITDA

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$7.6 million, or 28.3%, to \$34.3 million as the impact of colder temperatures of 5.4% and the \$4.9 million of Adjusted EBITDA provided by fiscal 2010 and 2011 acquisitions was reduced by net customer attrition in the base business.

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 20,558 | \$ 12,005 |
| Plus: | | |
| Income tax expense | 17,011 | 10,077 |
| Amortization of debt issuance cost | 694 | 656 |
| Interest expense, net | 3,688 | 3,876 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,577 | 3,535 |
| EBITDA from continuing operations (a) | 46,528 | 30,149 |
| (Increase) / decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments | (13,906) | (3,392) |
| Loss on redemption of debt | 1,700 | |
| Adjusted EBITDA (a) | 34,322 | 26,757 |
| Add / (subtract) | | |
| Income tax expense | (17,011) | (10,077) |
| Interest expense, net | (3,688) | (3,876) |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 2,648 | 2,148 |
| Increase in accounts receivables | (115,161) | (76,952) |
| Increase in inventories | (10,324) | (9,387) |
| Decrease in customer credit balances | (23,134) | (21,790) |
| Change in deferred taxes | 14,980 | 9,482 |
| Change in other operating assets and liabilities | 28,658 | 10,708 |

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$ (88,710) | \$ (72,987) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ (3,182) | \$ (1,555) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$ 44,634 | \$ 21,947 |

Table of Contents

- (a) EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) and Adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures that are used as supplemental financial measures by management and external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;

our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;

our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;

our operating performance and return on invested capital compared to those of other companies in the retail distribution of refined petroleum products business, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and

the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities. The method of calculating Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with that of other companies and each of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA has its limitations as an analytical tool, should not be considered in isolation and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements that are computed in accordance with GAAP. Some of the limitations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are:

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our cash used for capital expenditures;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated or amortized often will have to be replaced and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash requirements for such replacements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital requirements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash necessary to make payments of interest or principal on our indebtedness; and

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash required to pay taxes.

DISCUSSION OF CASH FLOWS

We use the indirect method to prepare our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Under this method, we reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities by adjusting net income for those items that impact net income but may not result in actual cash receipts or payment during the period.

Operating Activities

Due to the seasonal nature of the home heating oil and propane business, cash is generally used in operations during the winter (our first and second fiscal quarters) as customers receive deliveries and pay for products purchased within our payment terms, and cash is generally provided by operating activities during the spring and summer (our third and fourth quarters) when customer payments exceed deliveries. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, cash used in operating activities increased by \$15.7 million to \$88.7 million, compared to \$73.0 million of

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

cash used in operating activities during the three months ended December 31, 2009, as a favorable change in cash generated from operations of \$6.8 million, lower cash needs to fund trade payables of \$7.5 million, and the favorable timing of certain cash payments totaling \$8.2 million was reduced by an increase in cash needs to fund accounts receivable of \$38.2 million. The increase in accounts receivable can be attributed to colder temperatures of 5.4%, an increase in wholesale product costs and an overall increase in our home heating oil and propane customer base of approximately 11.0%. Days sales outstanding as December 31, 2010 were 37.7 days compared to 36.2 days at December 31, 2009 and 35.2 days at December 2008. The increase in trade payables is primarily due to the increase in our trade credit to \$65.6 million.

Investing Activities

During the three months ended December 31, 2010, we spent \$1.6 million for fixed assets as we invested in computer hardware and software (\$0.5 million), refurbished certain physical plants (\$0.3 million) and made additions to our fleet and other equipment (\$0.8 million). We also completed one acquisition for \$1.6 million.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended December 31, 2009, our capital expenditures totaled \$1.6 million, as we invested in computer hardware and software (\$0.6 million), refurbished certain physical plants (\$0.1 million) and made additions to our fleet and other equipment (\$0.9 million).

Financing Activities

During the three months ended December 31, 2010, we sold \$125.0 million 8.875% Senior Notes due 2017 at a price of 99.35%. A portion of the net proceeds were used on December 20, 2010, to repurchase \$82.5 million 10.25% Senior Notes due February 2013. After paying expenses of \$3.5 million and a call premium of \$1.4 million, our cash balance increased by \$36.8 million, which can be utilized for general partnership purposes. Also during the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we paid distributions of \$4.9 million and we borrowed \$13.1 million under our revolving credit facility.

During the three months ended December 31, 2009, we repurchased 4.3 million common units for \$16.9 million in connection with our unit repurchase plan program and paid distributions to our unit holders of \$5.1 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our ability to satisfy our financial obligations depends on our future performance, which will be subject to prevailing economic, financial, business and weather conditions, the ability to pass on the full impact of high wholesale heating oil prices to customers, the effects of high net customer attrition, conservation and other economic and geo-political factors, most of which are beyond our control. In the near term, capital requirements are expected to be provided by cash flows from operating activities, cash on hand at December 31, 2010, or a combination thereof. To the extent future capital requirements exceed cash on hand plus cash flows from operating activities, we anticipate that working capital will be financed by our revolving credit facility.

Our asset based revolving credit facility provides us with the ability to borrow up to \$240 million (\$290 million during the heating season from November through April of each year) for working capital purposes (subject to certain borrowing base limitations and coverage ratios), including the issuance of up to \$100 million in letters of credit. We can increase the facility size by \$50 million without the consent of the bank group. However, the bank group is not obligated to fund the \$50 million increase. If the bank group elects not to fund the increase, we can add additional lenders to the group, with the consent of the Agent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. Obligations under the revolving credit facility are secured by liens on substantially all of our assets including accounts receivable, inventory, general intangibles, real property, fixtures and equipment. As of December 31, 2010, \$42.7 million in letters of credit were outstanding, of which \$42.4 million are for current and future insurance reserves and bonds and \$0.3 million are for seasonal inventory purchases and other working capital purposes. Working capital borrowing outstanding as of December 31, 2010 were \$13.1 million.

Under the terms of the credit facility, we must maintain at all times either availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of \$43.5 million (15% of the maximum facility size) or a fixed charge coverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of not less than 1.1x. As of December 31, 2010, availability, as defined in the amended and restated credit agreement, was \$155.1 million and we were in compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio. The fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated based upon Adjusted EBITDA. In the event that we are not able to comply with these covenants it could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and results of operations.

The scheduled interest payment on our 8.875% Senior Notes for the remainder of fiscal 2011 is \$6.0 million, and maintenance capital expenditures for fixed assets are estimated to be approximately \$5.0 to \$7.0 million, excluding the capital requirements for leased fleet. Based on the funding levels required by the Pension Protection Act of 2006, and certain actuarial assumptions, we estimate that the Partnership will make cash contributions to fund its frozen defined benefit pension obligations of approximately \$2.6 million for the balance of fiscal 2011. We anticipate paying distributions of approximately \$15.7 million for the remainder of fiscal 2011. In addition, we will continue to seek strategic acquisitions.

Partnership Distribution Provisions

We are required to make distributions in an amount equal to our Available Cash, as defined in our Partnership Agreement, no more than 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter, to holders of record on the applicable record dates. Available Cash, as defined in our Partnership Agreement, generally means all cash on hand at the end of the relevant fiscal quarter less the amount of cash reserves established by the Board of Directors of our general partner in its reasonable discretion for future cash requirements. These reserves are established for the proper conduct of our business, including acquisitions, the payment of debt principal and interest and for distributions during the next four quarters and to comply with applicable laws and the terms of any debt agreements or other agreements to which we are subject. Under the terms of our

Table of Contents

credit facility, we must have availability of at least \$40 million and a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.15x to pay the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.0675. Any distribution in excess of the minimum quarterly distribution requires us to have a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25x. These tests restrict the amount of cash that we can use to pay distributions with respect to any fiscal quarter. The Board of Directors of our General Partner reviews the level of Available Cash each quarter based upon information provided by management.

On January 25, 2011, we declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.0775 on all of our common units, payable on February 14, 2011 to holders of record on February 4, 2011.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There has been no material change to Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements since September 30, 2010, and therefore, the table has not been included in this Form 10-Q.

Item 3.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk primarily through our bank credit facilities. We utilize these borrowings to meet our working capital needs.

At December 31, 2010, we had outstanding borrowings totaling \$138.1 million (excluding discounts), of which approximately \$13.1 million is subject to variable interest rates under our revolving credit facility. In the event that interest rates associated with this facility were to increase 100 basis points, the impact on future cash flows would be a decrease of \$0.1 million.

We also use derivative financial instruments to manage our exposure to market risk related to changes in the current and future market price of home heating oil. The value of market sensitive derivative instruments is subject to change as a result of movements in market prices. Sensitivity analysis is a technique used to evaluate the impact of hypothetical market value changes. Based on a hypothetical ten percent increase in the cost of product at December 31, 2010, the potential impact on our hedging activity would be to increase the fair market value of these outstanding derivatives by \$13.7 million to a fair market value of \$31.5 million; and conversely a hypothetical ten percent decrease in the cost of product would decrease the fair market value of these outstanding derivatives by \$(9.0) million to a fair market value of \$8.8 million.

Item 4.

Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

The General Partner's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of December 31, 2010. Based on that evaluation, such principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010 at the reasonable level of assurance. For purposes of Rule 13a-15(e), the term *disclosure controls and procedures* means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

On May 10, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of Champion Energy Corporation (CEC). The Company is in process of integrating CEC. The Company is analyzing, evaluating and, where necessary, is implementing changes in controls and procedures relating to the CEC business as integration proceeds. As a result, this process may result in additions or changes to our internal control over financial reporting. Otherwise, there was no change in the Partnership's

Table of Contents

internal control over financial reporting during the Partnership's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

- (c) The General Partner and the Partnership believe that a controls system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. Therefore, a control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide such reasonable assurances of achieving our desired control objectives, and the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of our general partner have concluded, as of December 31, 2010, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in achieving that level of reasonable assurance.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1

Legal Proceedings

In the opinion of management, we are not a party to any litigation, which individually or in the aggregate could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Item 1A

Risk Factors

Investors should carefully review and consider the information regarding certain factors which could materially affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows and set forth under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Fiscal 2010 Form 10-K. We may disclose changes to such factors or disclose additional factors from time to time in our future filings with the SEC. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe not to be material may also adversely impact our business, results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Item 2.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

See Note 2. to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information concerning the Partnership's repurchase of common units in the three months ended December 31, 2010.

Item 6.

Exhibits

- (a) *Exhibits Included Within:*

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Partners, L.P.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Finance Company
- 31.3 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Partners, L.P.
- 31.4 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Finance Company
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification.

32.2 Section 906 Certification.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf of the undersigned thereunto duly authorized:

Star Gas Partners, L.P.

(Registrant)

By: Kestrel Heat LLC AS GENERAL PARTNER

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|------------------|
| /s/ RICHARD F. AMBURY Richard F. Ambury | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary Kestrel Heat LLC (Principal Financial Officer) | February 7, 2011 |

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|------------------|
| /s/ RICHARD G. OAKLEY Richard G. Oakley | Vice President - Controller Kestrel Heat LLC (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 7, 2011 |

Star Gas Finance Company

(Registrant)

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--|------------------|
| /s/ RICHARD F. AMBURY Richard F. Ambury | Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary (Principal Financial Officer) | February 7, 2011 |

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|------------------|
| /s/ RICHARD G. OAKLEY Richard G. Oakley | Vice President - Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 7, 2011 |