EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 27, 2012

### **UNITED STATES**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Form N-CSR

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21147** 

# **Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

**September 30, 2012** 

**Date of Reporting Period** 

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

# Annual Report

September 30, 2012

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

#### Annual Report September 30, 2012

## Eaton Vance

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund	4 5 6
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	7
Financial Statements	8
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	38
Federal Tax Information	39
Notice to Shareholders	40
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	41
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	42
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	44
Management and Organization	47
Important Notices	49

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

In the early months of the period, investors appeared to worry about intensifying debt problems in Europe, high U.S. unemployment, a stagnant housing market, and the lingering Congressional deadlock that left businesses perplexed about the direction of tax and regulatory policy. The S&P 500 Index,<sup>2</sup> which had fallen sharply in the late summer of 2011, continued to drift down through November of 2011.

Beginning in mid-December of 2011, however, an equity rally took hold and continued into early April 2012, fueled by stronger economic growth in the fourth quarter, falling unemployment, and what the markets perceived as a successful restructuring of Greek debt. Then in May 2012, the third annual mid-year economic slowdown arrived, instigated by renewed concerns in Europe, slowing growth in China, and continuing political uncertainty in the United States with the upcoming presidential election. Despite a slowdown in consumer spending and weakening employment data, however, stocks moved upward intermittently from June through the end of the period in part because investors anticipated that worsening economic news would prompt the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) to initiate another round of quantitative easing to stimulate the economy. This also drove U.S. Treasury yields to all time lows in July 2012. The Fed proved the markets right when it initiated a new, open-ended round of quantitative easing just weeks before period-end.

Against this backdrop, municipal bonds rallied during the one-year period ending September 30, 2012, led by the long end of the yield curve. The Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States returned 8.32% for the period, while the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), returned 12.21%. As yields on high quality bonds fell, investors moved out on the yield curve, buying longer maturity municipal bonds to potentially take advantage of higher yields. In their quest for income during a period of historically low interest rates, investors also favored lower quality, higher yielding issues over higher quality bonds. As a result, longer duration, lower credit quality bonds were the best performers in the municipals space during the period.

Municipal bonds offered higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries during the period. The ratio of 30-year AAA<sup>6</sup> municipal yields to 30-year Treasury

yields which historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt began the period at 122.4%, making municipal bonds very attractive relative to Treasuries. Investor recognition of this anomaly was likely the main factor that drove the ratio down to 101.6% by period-end, and the increased interest in municipal bonds caused them to outperform Treasuries for the one-year period ending September 30, 2012.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund, and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) all outperformed the 12.21% return of the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher) with maturities of ten years or more, in order to capture their generally higher yields and greater income payments at the long end of the yield curve. Management tends to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps in seeking to provide downside protection.

The Funds use of leverageaided performance for the period. In managing these closed-end mutual funds, management employs leverage in seeking to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market.

Leverage magnifies a Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to the Funds relative performance versus the Index.

An overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, which were the best performing coupon in the Index during the period, also helped performance versus the Index for all Funds.

As a risk management tactic within the overall Fund strategy mentioned above, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period, however, municipal bonds strongly outperformed Treasuries. As a result, the hedging strategy did not have a notable effect on relative performance versus the Index.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV had a total return of 19.33%, outpacing the 12.21% return of the Index. The main drivers of outperformance versus the Index were leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. The chief detractors from performance versus the Index were underweightings in two areas that saw strong performance during the period: bonds rated BBB and below and bonds with maturities of 20 years and longer.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 17.34%, surpassing the 12.21% return of the Index. In addition to leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, contributors to performance versus the Index included an overweighting in 4% 4.5% coupon bonds which had greater duration relative to bonds with higher coupons, and thus benefited more when rates declined. Underweighted positions in bonds rated BBB and below, in industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds, and in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more all detracted from performance versus the Index.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 15.87%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Key contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and an overweighting in IDR bonds. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included an underweighting in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more and an underweighting in hospital bonds which, along with IDRs, were the strongest performing municipal sectors during the period.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	19.33%	5.96%	6.55%
Fund at Market Price		24.45	6.20	6.69
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	12.21%	6.20%	5.67%

#### % Premium/Discount to NAV

2.55%

#### Distributions<sup>4</sup>

Distributions	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.807
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.43%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.35%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.30%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.15%

#### % Total Leverage<sup>5</sup>

Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	38.97%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	14.4%	BBB	8.8%
AA	62.1	BB	0.2
A	14.0	D	0.5

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

#### Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	17.34%	4.16%	5.30%
Fund at Market Price		9.42	4.02	4.86
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	12.21%	6.20%	5.67%

#### % Premium/Discount to NAV

2.54%

#### Distributions<sup>4</sup>

DISTINUTION	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$0.731
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.04%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.64%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.17%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.87%

### % Total Leverage<sup>5</sup>

RIB 39.75%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	14.9%	BBB	7.6%
AA	54.1	BB	2.4
A	20.1	Not Rated	0.9

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	15.87%	5.64%	5.90%
Fund at Market Price		15.03	6.36	5.78
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	12.21%	6.20%	5.67%

#### % Premium/Discount to NAV

1.38%

#### Distributions<sup>4</sup>

Distributions	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.746
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.76%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.03%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.69%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	7.91%

#### % Total Leverage<sup>5</sup>

RIB	36.14%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA AA	16.1% 48.4
A	23.7
BBB	9.6

Not Rated 2.2

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

**Endnotes and Additional Disclosures** 

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of large-cap stocks commonly used as a measure of U.S. stock market performance. Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- <sup>4</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of ordinary income, tax-exempt income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- <sup>5</sup> Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes. Floating Rate Notes reflect adjustments for executed but unsettled RIB transactions for California Municipal Bond Fund.
- 6 Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment grade quality.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

# Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 162.3%

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 15.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (State University Educational Facilities), 4.00%, 5/15/28 North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Tennessee School Bond Authority, 5.50%, 5/1/38 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 5.00%, 4/1/32	\$	14,700 15,000 5,810 8,325 2,000 8,790 15,300 8,025 13,500 5,000 4,450 10,000 2,090	\$ 11,582,902 16,628,493 17,538,900 7,852,738 11,239,166 2,342,520 10,708,330 17,394,111 8,900,688 15,813,495 5,877,350 5,101,080 11,836,900 2,509,170 \$ 145,325,843
Electric Utilities 2.4%  JEA St. Johns River Power Park System Revenue, FL,  4.00%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38  Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	\$	10,000 7,110 3,425	\$ 10,431,000 8,464,455 3,887,444 \$ 22,782,899
General Obligations 17.0% Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> City & County of San Francisco, CA, (Earthquake Safety & Emergency Response), 4.00%, 6/15/27 Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Florida Board of Education, 5.00%, 6/1/31  Security	\$ (000	8,320 9,080 3,000 10,000 <b>Principal</b> <b>Amount</b> s <b>omitted</b> )	\$ 9,442,118 9,983,006 3,663,330 11,942,800 <b>Value</b>

General O	bliga	ations (	continued)	
-----------	-------	----------	------------	--

Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 8/15/37	\$ 6,465	\$ 7,545,819
Georgia, 5.00%, 7/1/29	10,000	12,286,200
Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/29	7,620	9,274,150
Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/30	6,500	7,875,140
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,000	2,327,660
Mississippi, (Capital Improvements Projects), 5.00%, 10/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	12,081,500
Mississippi, (Capital Improvements Projects), 5.00%, 10/1/36	15	17,540
Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,075	14,119,539
New York, 5.00%, 12/15/30	7,660	9,279,630
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/36	5,000	5,766,000
North East Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.25%, 2/1/28	2,000	2,644,500
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35	180	202,963
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,250	13,812,732
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,750	8,025,007
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36	2,000	2,362,180
Pasadena, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/15/35	4,355	5,170,125
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35	7,500	9,135,675
Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/27	2,690	3,049,788
Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/28	2,820	3,179,804

#### \$ 163,187,206

#### Hospital 7.2%

110001441 71270		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,146,580
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	1,770	2,018,526
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	11,760	12,863,794
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36	4,215	4,474,644
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35	2,610	2,699,471
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27	860	899,345
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34	4,535	4,634,634
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33	3,900	3,948,243

8

# Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(006	Principal Amount Somitted	Value
Hospital (continued) Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46 Orange County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Orlando Health, Inc.), 5.00%, 10/1/42 South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health South Florida Obligated Group), 5.00%, 8/15/42 South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health South Florida Obligated Group), 5.00%, 8/15/42(1) Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40	\$	7,190 8,310 10,000 8,165 5,355 2,000 100 900 6,105	\$ 7,696,823 2,385,136 2,449,000 8,357,204 5,689,580 2,165,940 107,159 964,431 6,739,859
			\$ 69,240,369
Industrial Development Revenue 0.6% St. Charles Parish, LA, (Valero Energy Corp.), 4.00% to 6/1/22 (Put Date), 12/1/40 St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	\$	1,000 4,370	\$ 1,089,540 4,652,258 \$ <b>5,741,798</b>
Insured-Electric Utilities 3.5%  American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39  Long Island Power Authority, NY, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33  Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41  Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35  South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	5,000 1,350 13,895 2,735 7,840	\$ 5,753,750 1,589,746 14,165,814 3,051,002 9,401,963 \$ 33,962,275
Security		Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured-Education 2.9%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32  Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31	\$	14,400 7,865	\$ 19,401,840 8,842,305
			\$ 28,244,145

Insured-General Obligations 11.5%		
Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	\$ 3,750	\$ 5,080,538
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36	11,845	9,850,657
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39	9,530	8,899,686
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 4.00%, 8/15/40	1,010	1,034,967
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22	49,750	36,560,777
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37(1)	7,000	7,669,760
Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32	8,955	10,224,461
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38	95	104,966
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,950	12,098,655
Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,750	13,677,047
Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31	5,240	5,407,732
		\$ 110 609 246
		\$ 110,609,246
		\$ 110,609,246
		\$ 110,609,246
Insured-Hospital 16.6%		
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$ 8,250	\$ 9,105,360
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 11,000	\$ 9,105,360 12,351,350
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39	\$ 	\$ 9,105,360
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 11,000	\$ 9,105,360 12,351,350
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39	\$ 11,000 3,950	\$ 9,105,360 12,351,350 4,201,575
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39 Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/44	\$ 11,000 3,950 1,050	\$ 9,105,360 12,351,350 4,201,575 1,117,074
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39 Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/44 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 11,000 3,950 1,050	\$ 9,105,360 12,351,350 4,201,575 1,117,074

Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35

See Notes to Financial Statements.

4,085,887

3,795

# Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured-Hospital (continued) Illinois Finance Authority, (Children's Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41(1) Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37 Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1) Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1)	\$	15,000 2,500 2,625 1,675 19,150 5,250 545 410 3,250 13,115 5,795 8,700 12,605	\$ 16,141,644 2,693,375 2,993,498 1,909,416 19,906,999 5,691,420 585,946 440,803 3,494,173 14,659,816 6,804,953 9,630,897 13,947,042
			\$ 159,601,158
Insured-Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Project), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	9,000	\$ 10,114,920 <b>\$ 10,114,920</b>
Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 9.1%	ф	2.010	Ф. 2.250 ((1
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,910 24,000 Principal Amount	\$ 3,350,661 26,407,920
Security	(00	0 s omitted)	Value
Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation (continued) San Jose, CA, Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	42,750 13,000	\$ 42,887,655 14,359,540 <b>\$ 87,005,776</b>

Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45 <sup>(1)</sup> Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 25,875 16,795 6,750	\$ 26,533,778 4,859,297 8,297,910
		\$ 39,690,985
Insured-Solid Waste 0.5%  Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24  Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$ 2,760 1,575	\$ 3,276,506 1,851,255
		\$ 5,127,761
Insured-Special Tax Revenue 7.5%		
Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Jacksonville, FL, Excise Tax, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 10/1/27	\$ 17,940 18,035 1,175	\$ 17,706,959 10,830,919 1,178,948
Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39	15,000	12,696,000
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	96,650 54,710	8,252,944 8,469,108
Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.75%, 6/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,800	12,437,383
		\$ 71,572,261
Insured-Student Loan 0.9%  Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$ 7,855	\$ 8,973,552
		\$ 8,973,552

10

# Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

	Amount				
		Amount			
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value	
Insured-Transportation 20.6%					
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/3(4)	\$	21,640	\$	23,083,171	
Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39		8,080		9,108,665	
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23(2)		10,070		900,157	
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 <sup>(2)</sup>		3,100		184,419	
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40(2)		15,000		3,673,350	
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21		10,200		7,025,148	
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39		25,000		5,535,000	
Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>		7,800		8,974,446	
Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30		6,710		7,471,182	
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>		20,995		24,073,075	
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		14,000		15,920,380	
Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29		1,785		2,030,277	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38		11,700		13,349,934	
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29		1,015		1,173,482	
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39		1,160		1,340,368	
North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 <sup>(1)</sup>		20,000		23,274,400	
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000		11,585,900	
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24		1,605		798,584	
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25		1,950		903,669	
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26		1,000		430,380	
San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25		26,215		13,556,825	
Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20		23,845		18,244,763	
Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42		5,475		5,512,778	
			\$	198,150,353	
Security		Principal Amount s omitted)		Value	
Insured-Water and Sewer 15.0%					
Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater, (AGM), (BHAC),					
5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$		\$	2,279,400	
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26		3,185		3,676,191	
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27		1,985		2,281,579	
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38		3,170		3,544,535	
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38		3,060		3,417,592	
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23 DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM),		13,670		9,465,655	
5.25%, 10/1/32(1)		10,000		12,577,600	
District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		8,500		9,913,295	

Principal

Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM),	27,570 5,540	31,905,380 7,438,281
5.25%, 8/1/38 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)</sup> San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	1,070 9,095 9,500 3,535 27,670	1,464,049 9,291,270 11,520,555 3,681,384 31,353,148
		\$ 143,809,914
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.6% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30(1)	\$ 2,565 335 10,000	\$ 3,033,882 401,246 11,977,500 \$ 15,412,628
Other Revenue 2.5%  New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup> Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30	\$ 10,750 9,200	\$ 12,378,733 11,330,352 \$ 23,709,085

11

# Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Special Tax Revenue 5.2%  Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/30  Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31  Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/33  Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36  New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/32  New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/31	\$ 1,390 1,500 1,285 1,020 20,000 7,180 10,000	\$ 1,650,222 1,774,155 1,505,120 1,186,709 23,228,000 8,627,488 11,767,000 \$ 49,738,694
Senior Living/Life Care 0.2% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,369,275 \$ 1,369,275
Transportation 9.5% Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.00%, 7/15/32(1) Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/31 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39  Security	8,275 3,285 4,640 6,735 10,940 10,000 1,275 1,385 2,915 2,590 5,000 9,650 4,300 5,000 ncipal nount mitted)	\$ 9,372,844 3,937,959 5,310,712 7,656,887 12,073,384 12,235,400 1,450,466 1,566,144 3,271,767 2,876,920 4,941,050 10,391,024 4,800,520 5,710,950  Value
Transportation (continued) Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	\$ 5,000 \$	5,670,850
	\$	91,266,877

Water and Sewer 7.7%			
California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000	\$	12,170,900
Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35	2,735		3,209,905
Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42	5,000		5,731,350
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32	1,070		1,146,302
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39	1,785		1,913,716
Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,750		11,581,050
King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000		11,520,100
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	1,445		1,625,842
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40	6,325		7,045,670
New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/34	10,000		11,652,400
Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	5,385		6,277,295
		\$	73,874,530
		Ψ	
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 162.3%			

(identified cost \$1,424,276,702)

\$ 1,558,511,550

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (62.3)%

\$ (597,984,042)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 960,527,508

12

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF Permanent School Fund XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

### Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

At September 30, 2012, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

California	12.8%
Texas	12.4%
New York	12.0%
Others representing less than 10% individually	62.8%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 57.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.0% to 20.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- $^{(2)}$  Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.

13

# California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 163.6%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Va	alue
Education 15.5% California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/23 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 1/1/130 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	\$	10,000 2,680 550 940 395 365 1,375 3,630 650 6,200 1,790 1,175 800 2,270 2,395 2,520	\$ 11,402, 3,126, 648, 1,082, 470, 431, 1,539, 4,239, 801, 7,300, 2,040, 1,340, 887, 2,692, 2,824, 2,961,	,676 ,829 ,034 ,595 ,507 ,807 ,114 ,040 ,810 ,117 ,875 ,792 ,220 ,855
			\$ 43,790,	,074
Electric Utilities 3.1% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/29 <sup>(2)</sup> Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	3,905 1,890 2,375	\$ 4,067, 2,151, 2,652, \$ <b>8,871</b> ,	,935 ,281
General Obligations 19.6% California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	4,600 10,000 <b>Principal</b> <b>Amount</b>	\$ 5,458, 11,589,	*
Security	(000	s omitted)	Va	alue
General Obligations (continued) Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32	\$	545	\$ 610,	,623

Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33	600	664,206
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34	655	719,668
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35	645	716,982
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36	785	867,425
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39	2,815	3,165,130
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,020	8,108,311
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32	1,375	1,618,086
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31	2,545	3,005,874
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2004), 5.00%, 8/1/35	5,000	5,725,600
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33	1,910	2,262,892
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	2,230	2,612,735
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37	15	17,325
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	4,975	5,746,175
Tamalpais Union High School District, 5.00%, 8/1/26	1,000	1,231,510
Tamalpais Union High School District, 5.00%, 8/1/28	1,000	1,222,480

#### \$ 55,342,896

#### Hospital 16.2%

Hospital 10.2%			
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$	2,000	\$ 2,127,820
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27		1,750	2,006,515
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28		550	627,226
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39		4,580	5,009,879
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Lucile Salter Packard Children s Hospital),			
5.00%, 8/15/51(1)	1	0,000	10,907,200
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Stanford Hospital and Clinics), 5.00%, 8/15/51		6,000	6,711,540
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>		5,000	5,801,400

14

# California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34 Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 <sup>(2)</sup> Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$	2,170 3,950 3,165 3,005	\$ 2,317,538 3,955,727 3,300,019 3,011,851
			\$ 45,776,715
Insured-Education 11.7% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup> University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/28 <sup>(1)</sup> University of California, (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,300 8,250 3,095 6,690 10,750	\$ 2,539,959 9,015,600 3,372,992 7,095,481 11,100,665 \$ 33,124,697
Insured-Electric Utilities 14.3%  Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31  Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup> Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	\$	20,000 2,240 6,750 2,000 1,000 4,000	\$ 21,452,582 2,496,368 7,883,325 2,276,880 1,145,020 5,030,320
			\$ 40,284,495
Insured-Escrowed/Prerefunded 1.5% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36	\$	3,090	\$ 4,237,564 <b>\$ 4,237,564</b>
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value

Insured-General Obligations 20.9%		
Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39	\$ 4,175	\$ 4,793,067
Burbank Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21	4,135	3,097,818
Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34	23,150	7,186,454
El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG), 4.45%, 8/1/36	2,385	2,480,996
Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33	4,500	5,113,845
Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32	5,705	6,537,987
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32	15	17,190
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,100	6,990,661
San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22	2,300	1,615,980
San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23	5,000	3,306,900
San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21	5,630	4,181,345
San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22	4,840	3,578,793
San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23	4,365	3,078,416
San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25	3,955	2,508,103
San Mateo Union High School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21	5,240	4,048,319
Ventura County, Community College District,, (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/27	350	358,460
		A =0.004.224
		\$ 58,894,334

#### Insured-Hospital 7.0%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34	\$ 2,205	\$ 2,435,489
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC),		
5.00%, 4/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,270,100
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC),		
5.00%, 3/1/41(1)	3,500	3,799,705
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,000	2,207,340

15

\$ 19,712,634

# California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 11.2%  Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27  San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37  San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	3,885 10,000 1,000 14,000	1	5,425,636 1,003,300 1,003,220 4,045,080
			\$ 3	31,477,236
Insured-Special Tax Revenue 13.6%  Ceres, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (AMBAC), 4.00%, 11/1/36  Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31  Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37  Pomona, Public Financing Authority, (NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/33  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45  San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.25%, 7/1/36  San Jose Redevelopment Agency, (Merged Area Redevelopment Project), (XLCA), 4.25%, 8/1/36  Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32(1)	\$	7,765 595 7,240 5,940 29,265 18,040 1,600 3,680 7,500		6,878,237 533,787 6,160,516 5,940,416 2,498,938 2,792,592 1,651,248 3,333,528 8,577,825
Insured-Transportation 1.9% San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/30 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	\$	3,445 1,885 2,040	\$	1,339,830 1,997,949 2,144,305 <b>5,482,084</b>
Insured-Water and Sewer 9.8%  Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32  East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Security	A	7,000 345 6,500 rincipal Amount omitted)		7,484,750 396,854 7,476,950 <b>Value</b>
Insured-Water and Sewer (continued) Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38	\$	1,595 \$	;	1,762,268

San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	5,000 2,750 2,225		5,458,150 2,863,877 2,314,467
		\$	27,757,316
Special Tax Revenue 6.7% San Diego County Regional Transportation Commission, 5.00%, 4/1/42 <sup>(1)</sup> San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 10,000 6,250	\$ <b>\$</b>	11,576,600 7,378,687 <b>18,955,287</b>
Transportation 8.2%  Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup> Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27  Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup> San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35  San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	\$ 6,500 1,960 7,500 2,190 1,750	\$	7,864,740 2,328,519 8,524,200 2,440,492 1,951,548
		\$	23,109,499
Water and Sewer 2.4% Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37(1)	\$ 10 5,725	\$ <b>\$</b>	11,876 6,799,125 <b>6,811,001</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments (identified cost \$425,786,444)		\$	461,994,622
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (63.6)%		\$ (	179,642,063)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$	282,352,559

### California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 56.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.1% to 22.1% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (3) When-issued security.

17

# New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 155.0%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 4.8%  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35(1)	\$	3,360 50 6,100	\$ 3,888,158 57,135 6,970,409 \$ 10,915,702
Education 25.5%  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/20  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/21  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/31  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/32  Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23  Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/24  Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/25  New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39  New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 1/0/1/41(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Comell University), 5.00%, 7/1/37(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/40  New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/26  New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.25%, 7/1/30  New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40  Security	\$ (000	760 950 800 300 405 210 135 240  10,000 10,000 5,700 10,000 2,000 2,700 1,175 250 5,250  Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 908,496 1,141,624 904,088 336,864 464,284 238,646 152,651 277,865 11,577,700 11,684,200 6,549,528 11,316,000 2,238,940 3,069,549 1,387,499 294,613 6,028,785 \$ 58,571,332
Electric Utilities 1.6% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/30	\$	3,560	\$ 3,703,397

\$ 3,703,397

Escrowed/Prerefunded 0.7%	¢.	1.620	¢ 1.600.216
Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate University), Prerefunded to 7/1/13, 5.00%, 7/1/33	\$	1,630	\$ 1,689,316
			\$ 1,689,316
General Obligations 8.7% Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/29	\$	2,360	\$ 2,604,472
Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/30 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26		2,330 4,715	2,560,507 5,370,998
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		7,250	8,436,825
Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36		465 490	518,703 544,689
			\$ 20,036,194
Hospital 5.7% New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26	\$	620	\$ 687,345
New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32	T	820	913,070
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20		1,065	1,285,817
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28		2,055 6,900	2,221,311 7,929,894
			\$ 13,037,437
Housing 1.2% New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39	\$	2,500	\$ 2,674,000
Ten Total Total Total Colp., 170 %, Th The	Ψ	2,000	4 2,07 1,000
			\$ 2,674,000
Industrial Development Revenue 1.0%  New York Liberty Development Com. (Coldman Socks Crown, Inc.) 5.25% 10/1/25	¢	500	¢ 507.100
New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35 New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37	\$	500 1,440	\$ 587,180 1,757,362
			\$ 2,344,542

18

# New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted	) Value
Insured-Education 19.0%  Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/39  New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35  New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23  New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34  New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/37  New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1)  Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36  Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36  Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/37	\$ 4,000 925 1,750 10,750 1,555 2,405 3,750 8,500 5,555 8,455 4,000	\$ 4,136,880 1,141,274 2,079,543 12,038,710 1,718,353 2,655,793 4,094,400 9,418,595 2,119,455 2,927,290 1,320,200
		\$ 43,650,493
Insured-Electric Utilities 6.0%  Long Island Power Authority Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33  New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 11/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 5,000 7,210	\$ 6,126,900 7,699,269 \$ 13,826,169
Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.5% New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), (XLCA), Prerefunded to 7/1/13, 5.125%, 7/1/30	\$ 3,280	\$ 3,399,129 \$ 3,399,129
Insured-General Obligations 9.0%  Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23  Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24  East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20  East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21  East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22  East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23  Security	\$ 2,290 2,390 200 200 200 200 Principal Amount (000 s omitted	\$ 2,727,298 2,873,545 241,570 239,060 237,106 234,892 Value

Insured-General Obligations (continued)

Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/15/21 Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20 Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21 Hauppauge Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 7/15/24 Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24 New York, (AGM), 5.00%, 4/1/22 Oneida County, (AGC), 4.00%, 4/15/22 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/20 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	\$ 255 175 185 195 940 1,110 820 860 2,250 645 785 825 905 950 1,590	\$ 284,539 194,334 225,620 235,517 1,048,965 1,251,259 911,143 955,976 2,577,622 718,472 916,841 953,568 1,038,659 1,082,934 1,778,336
		\$ 20,727,256
Insured-Hospital 7.8%  New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36  New York Dormitory Authority, (Maimonides Medical Center), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/33  New York Dormitory Authority, (New York and Presbyterian Hospital), (AGM), (BHAC), (FHA), 5.25%, 2/15/31(1)	\$ 4,355 2,525 9,840	\$ 4,740,330 2,692,761 10,530,079 \$ 17,963,170
Insured-Housing 1.1% New York Housing Development Corp., (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/25	\$ 2,350	\$ 2,508,484 \$ 2,508,484

19

# New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured-Other Revenue 6.1%  New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (American Museum of Natural History), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/44  New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (NPFG), 4.75%, 3/1/46  New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$	2,055 6,930 4,050		2,191,370 7,095,142 4,707,679
			\$ 1	3,994,191
Insured-Solid Waste 2.0% Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25	\$	1,490 1,090 3,635		1,216,570 822,787 2,510,876 <b>4,550,233</b>
Insured-Special Tax Revenue 6.4%  New York State Housing Finance Agency, (AGM), 5.00%, 3/15/37  New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20  Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36  Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/32  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45  Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29  Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32	\$	2,415 2,175 3,000 4,000 12,675 850 4,185	:	2,608,755 2,786,697 668,970 1,208,840 1,962,090 922,437 4,531,811
Insured-Transportation 8.3%  Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/24 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	5,600 11,000	\$ 1	4,689,600 6,652,856 2,376,430 9,029,286
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured-Water and Sewer 2.8% Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$	300	\$	358,392

Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.375%, 11/1/28 Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	3,835 1,475	4,567,715 1,558,441
		\$ 6,484,548
Other Revenue 6.8% Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/40	\$ 4,925 4,900 6,500	\$ 6,071,146 2,114,987 7,423,065
		\$ 15,609,198
Special Tax Revenue 11.3%  New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33  New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26  New York Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> (2)  New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/32	\$ 10,000 6,500 500 2,370 1,000 1,765	\$ 11,549,700 7,862,010 585,045 2,804,113 1,228,800 2,016,389 \$ 26,046,057
Transportation 12.2%  Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38  Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35  Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40  New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37  New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42  Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38(1)	\$ 3,430 1,565 300 8,755 275 10,000	\$ 3,925,807 1,741,000 332,184 9,959,863 310,967 11,735,200 \$ 28,005,021
Water and Sewer 5.5% Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26 Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29	\$ 755 500	\$ 905,169 591,330

20

### New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer (continued) New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/34 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/44(1)	\$	1,000 8,750	\$ <b>\$</b>	1,177,090 9,942,625 <b>12,616,214</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 155.0% (identified cost \$320,387,354)			\$	356,070,969
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (55.0)%			\$ (	(126,278,482)
Net Assets 100.0%			\$	229,792,487

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AGM AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc. BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. Financial Guaranty Insurance Company **FGIC** Federal Housing Administration **FHA** NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 45.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.0% to 16.9% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$478,800.

21

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

Assets	Municipal Fund	•	ember 30, 2012 difornia Fund	Ne	w York Fund
Investments				_	
Identified cost	\$ 1,424,276,702	\$	425,786,444	\$	320,387,354
Unrealized appreciation	134,234,848		36,208,178		35,683,615
Investments, at value	\$ 1,558,511,550	\$	461,994,622	\$	356,070,969
Restricted cash*	\$ 1,236,000	\$	875,000	\$	240,000
Interest receivable	18,885,615		5,529,628		4,175,754
Receivable for investments sold	76,441		5,500,000		
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	41,375		27,375		9,375
Receivable from the transfer agent	93,779				20,736
Deferred debt issuance costs	848,971		221,258		72,165
Total assets	\$ 1,579,693,731	\$	474,147,883	\$	360,588,999
Liabilities					
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 613,330,000	\$	181,275,000	\$	130,035,000
Payable for when-issued securities			7,292,312		
Due to custodian	3,466,104		2,411,906		233,820
Payable to affiliates:					
Investment adviser fee	807,401		222,110		172,226
Interest expense and fees payable	1,346,796		446,631		221,587
Accrued expenses	215,922		147,365		133,879
Total liabilities	\$ 619,166,223	\$	191,795,324	\$	130,796,512
Net Assets	\$ 960,527,508	\$	282,352,559	\$	229,792,487
Sources of Net Assets					
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 681,433	\$	217,562	\$	158,938
Additional paid-in capital	957,878,978		306,726,139		223,931,848
Accumulated net realized loss	(131,948,476)		(61,135,470)		(30,292,430)
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income	(411,732)		417,199		283,114
Net unrealized appreciation	134,327,305		36,127,129		35,711,017
Net Assets	\$ 960,527,508	\$	282,352,559	\$	229,792,487
Common Shares Outstanding	68,143,279		21,756,186		15,893,773
Net Asset Value					
Net assets ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 14.10	\$	12.98	\$	14.46

 $<sup>{\</sup>rm * \ \ Represents \ restricted \ cash \ on \ deposit \ at \ the \ broker \ for \ open \ financial \ futures \ contracts.}$ 

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2012				2	
Investment Income	Municipal Fund			ifornia Fund	New York Fund	
Interest	\$	68,031,207	\$	19,634,379	\$	15,165,712
Total investment income	\$	68,031,207	\$	19,634,379	\$	15,165,712
Expenses						
Investment adviser fee	\$	9.842.269	\$	2.964.378	\$	2,314,931
Trustees fees and expenses	Ψ	60,891	Ψ	18,927	Ψ	14,898
Custodian fee		319.384		198.873		160.683
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		23,610		19,627		20.014
Legal and accounting services		1.250.066		83,098		79,497
Printing and postage		96,421		27,696		25,151
Interest expense and fees		4,365,867		1,283,603		937,362
Miscellaneous		119,005		54,162		49,500
Total expenses	¢	16,077,513	\$	4,650,364	\$	3,602,036
Deduct	Ψ	10,077,515	Ψ	4,050,504	Ψ	3,002,030
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	5,340	\$	2,263	\$	783
Total expense reductions	\$		\$	2,263 2,263	\$	<b>783</b>
Total expense reductions	Ψ	3,340	Ψ	2,203	Ψ	763
Net expenses	\$	16,072,173	\$	4,648,101	\$	3,601,253
Net investment income	\$	51,959,034	\$	14,986,278	\$	11,564,459
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)						
Net realized gain (loss)						
Investment transactions	\$	2,752,001	\$	(1,506,405)	\$	4,000,250
Extinguishment of debt		(178,342)		(42,160)		(177)
Financial futures contracts		(5,496,143)		(4,351,972)		(845,139)
Swap contracts		(929,946)				(381,278)
Net realized gain (loss)	\$	(3,852,430)	\$	(5,900,537)	\$	2,773,656
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)						
Investments	\$	107,358,572	\$	32,274,261	\$	16,403,576
Financial futures contracts		350,801		1,491,500		130,710
Swap contracts		3,525,400				1,445,414
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	111,234,773	\$	33,765,761	\$	17,979,700
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$	107,382,343	\$	27,865,224	\$	20,753,356
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	159,341,377	\$	42,851,502	\$	32,317,815

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended September 30, 2012					2
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		unicipal ınd	Ca	lifornia Fund	Ne	w York Fund
From operations						
Net investment income	\$	51,959,034	\$	14,986,278	\$	11,564,459
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt, financial futures						
contracts and swap contracts		(3,852,430)		(5,900,537)		2,773,656
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts	;					
and swap contracts	1	11,234,773		33,765,761		17,979,700
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 1	59,341,377	\$	42,851,502	\$	32,317,815
Distributions to common shareholders						
From net investment income	\$ (	(54,964,947)	\$	(15,903,902)	\$	(11,840,617)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (	(54,964,947)	\$	(15,903,902)	\$	(11,840,617)
Capital share transactions						
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	446,577	\$	110,639	\$	312,111
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	446,577	\$	110,639	\$	312,111
Net increase in net assets	\$ 1	104,823,007	\$	27,058,239	\$	20,789,309
Net Assets						
At beginning of year	\$ 8	355,704,501	\$	255,294,320	\$	209,003,178
At end of year		060,527,508	\$	282,352,559	\$	229,792,487
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	,,,,,
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income included in net assets						
At end of year	\$	(411,732)	\$	417,199	\$	283,114
At the of year	Φ	(411,/34)	Φ	417,199	Φ	203,114

24

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended September 30, 2011				1	
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	N	Iunicipal Fund	Ca	lifornia Fund	Ne	w York Fund
From operations		_				
Net investment income	\$	59,775,936	\$	17,409,457	\$	12,638,739
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts						
and swap contracts		(35,203,163)		(17,357,508)		(8,994,586)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts						
and swap contracts		2,630,225		(542,668)		2,521,605
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations	\$	27,202,998	\$	(490,719)	\$	6,165,758
Distributions to common shareholders						
From net investment income	\$	(62,345,602)	\$	(18,450,457)	\$	(13,072,034)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(62,345,602)	\$	(18,450,457)	\$	(13,072,034)
Capital share transactions						
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	1,307,692	\$	321,761	\$	456,451
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	1,307,692	\$	321,761	\$	456,451
Net decrease in net assets	\$	(33,834,912)	\$	(18,619,415)	\$	(6,449,825)
Net Assets						
At beginning of year	\$	889,539,413	\$	273,913,735	\$	215,453,003
At end of year		855,704,501	\$	255,294,320	\$	209,003,178
	Ψ	000,701,001	Ψ	100,25 1,020	Ψ	202,000,270
Accumulated undistributed net investment income						
included in net assets						
At end of year	\$	2,673,223	\$	1,370,924	\$	608,504

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended September 30, 2012				2	
		lunicipal				
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		und		lifornia Fund		w York Fund
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	159,341,377	\$	42,851,502	\$	32,317,815
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by						
operating activities:						
Investments purchased		(249,762,649)		(124,975,155)		(59,293,513)
Investments sold		255,993,028		137,142,994		69,691,370
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)		(5,983,657)		(861,953)		(200,791)
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs		129,261		29,349		30,661
Increase in restricted cash		(1,236,000)		(875,000)		(240,000)
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable		(463,271)		287,946		263,379
Increase in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		(41,375)		(27,375)		(9,375)
Decrease (increase) in receivable from the transfer agent		(93,779)		29,491		18,853
Decrease in miscellaneous receivable		614,666				
Decrease in payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		(21,078)		(124,938)		(10,938)
Decrease in payable for open swap contracts		(3,525,400)				(1,445,414)
Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee		30,507		(17,030)		(15,091)
Increase (decrease) in interest expense and fees payable		132,656		29,372		(11,475)
Increase in accrued expenses		8,976		24,372		13,233
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments		(107,358,572)		(32,274,261)		(16,403,576)
Net realized (gain) loss from investments		(2,752,001)		1,506,405		(4,000,250)
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt		178,342		42,160		177
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	45,191,031	\$	22,787,879	\$	20,705,065
Cash Flows From Financing Activities						
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$	(54,518,370)	\$	(15,793,263)	\$	(11,528,506)
Proceeds from secured borrowings		76,835,000		47,820,000		23,840,000
Repayment of secured borrowings		(75,390,000)		(64,035,000)		(37,965,000)
Increase in due to custodian		3,466,104		2,411,906		233,820
Net cash used in financing activities	\$	(49,607,266)	\$	(29,596,357)	\$	(25,419,686)
Net decrease in cash	\$	(4,416,235)	\$	(6,808,478)	\$	(4,714,621)
Cash at beginning of year	\$	4,416,235	\$	6,808,478	\$	4,714,621
Cash at end of year	\$		\$		\$	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:						
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:						
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$	446,577	\$	110,639	\$	312,111
Cash paid for interest and fees	Ψ	4.103.950	Ψ	1.224.882	Ψ	918,176
Cash para for interest and rees		1,100,700		1,221,002		710,170

26

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights

	Municipal Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.560	\$ 13.080	\$ 13.170	\$ 11.080	\$ 15.100
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ 0.763 1.584	\$ 0.878 (0.482)	\$ 0.878 (0.059)	\$ 0.846 2.051	\$ 0.959 (3.797) (0.171) (0.051)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.347	\$ 0.396	\$ 0.819	\$ 2.897	\$ (3.060)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)	\$ (0.909)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.773) (0.187)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)	\$ (0.909)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.960)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.100	\$ 12.560	\$ 13.080	\$ 13.170	\$ 11.080
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.460	\$ 12.350	\$ 13.900	\$ 13.160	\$ 11.140
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	19.33%	3.89%	6.77%	28.15%	(21.24)%
<b>Total Investment Return on Market Value</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	24.45%	(3.87)%	13.55%	27.36%	(21.90)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 960,528	\$ 855,705	\$ 889,539	\$ 893,391	\$ 719,392
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.30%	1.25%	1.12%	1.04%	0.89%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.48%	0.56%	0.54%	1.33%	0.59%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.78%	1.81%	1.66%	2.37%	1.48%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.30%	1.25%	1.12%	1.04%	0.86%
Net investment income	5.75%	7.54%	7.04%	7.94%	6.94%
Portfolio Turnover	17%	18%	18%	19%	54%

<sup>(1)</sup> Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend	payments to preferred shareholders.	

27

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	California Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.740	\$ 12.610	\$ 12.940	\$ 11.310	\$ 15.000
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ 0.689 1.282	\$ 0.801 (0.822)	\$ 0.847 (0.331)	\$ 0.827 1.570	\$ 0.930 (3.418) (0.153) (0.094)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 1.971	\$ (0.021)	\$ 0.516	\$ 2.397	\$ (2.735)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ (0.731)	\$ (0.849)	\$ (0.846)	\$ (0.767)	\$ (0.724) (0.231)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.731)	\$ (0.849)	\$ (0.846)	\$ (0.767)	\$ (0.955)
Net asset value	\$ 12.980	\$ 11.740	\$ 12.610	\$ 12.940	\$ 11.310
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.650	\$ 12.270	\$ 13.300	\$ 12.970	\$ 11.090
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	17.34%	0.48%	4.53%	22.99%	(19.08)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	9.42%	(0.43)%	10.00%	25.72%	(19.15)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 282,353	\$ 255,294	\$ 273,914	\$ 280,743	\$ 245,011
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.25%	1.42%	1.16%	1.06%	0.95%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.48%	0.57%	0.56%	1.28%	0.51%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.73%	1.99%	1.72%	2.34%	1.46%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.25%	1.42%	1.16%	1.04%	0.92%
Net investment income	5.57%	7.20%	7.01%	7.64%	6.74%
Portfolio Turnover	27%	21%	11%	8%	39%

<sup>(1)</sup> Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

(3) F	tatios do not reflect the effect of dividend	payments to preferred snareholders.	

(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

28

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	New York Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.610	\$ 13.640	\$ 11.650	\$ 14.800
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income	\$ 0.728 1.308	\$ 0.797 (0.412)	\$ 0.831 (0.041)	\$ 0.790 1.934	\$ 0.923 (3.152) (0.215)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.036	\$ 0.385	\$ 0.790	\$ 2.724	\$ (2.444)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.746)	\$ (0.825)	\$ (0.820)	\$ (0.734)	\$ (0.706)
Total distributions to common shareholders	<b>\$</b> (0.746)	<b>\$</b> (0.825)	\$ (0.820)	\$ (0.734)	\$ (0.706)
Net asset value	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.610	\$ 13.640	\$ 11.650
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.660	\$ 13.450	\$ 14.010	\$ 14.120	\$ 10.980
Total Investment Return on Net Asset $Value^{(2)}$	15.87%	3.37%	6.16%	24.78%	(17.07)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	15.03%	2.56%	5.56%	37.06%	(20.22)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 229,792	\$ 209,003	\$ 215,453	\$ 215,303	\$ 183,643
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.22%	1.39%	1.12%	1.04%	0.99%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.43%	0.52%	0.55%	1.34%	0.55%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.65%	1.91%	1.67%	2.38%	1.54%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.22%	1.39%	1.12%	1.03%	0.95%
Net investment income	5.29%	6.37%	6.30%	6.83%	6.63%
Portfolio Turnover	17%	29%	11%	21%	48%

<sup>(1)</sup> Computed using average common shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

<sup>(3)</sup> Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

29

#### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements

#### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Funds seek to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Fund s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

At September 30, 2012, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and current year deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Fund s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The current year deferred capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Funds next taxable year and are treated as realized prior to the utilization of the capital loss carryforward. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards and the amounts of the current year deferred capital losses are as follows:

•	California Fund	New York Fund
runu	runu	runu
\$	\$	\$ 125,998
31,250		
6,857,645	533,889	
18,034,628	4,562,453	7,946,914
56,183,712	23,169,615	8,909,352
16,458,561	7,665,268	6,463,209
\$ 97,565,796	\$ 35,931,225	\$ 23,445,473
\$ 37,911,988	\$ 24,178,821	\$ 6,316,331
	6,857,645 18,034,628 56,183,712 16,458,561 \$ 97,565,796	Fund Fund  \$ 31,250 6,857,645 533,889 18,034,628 4,562,453 56,183,712 23,169,615 16,458,561 7,665,268 \$ 97,565,796 \$ 35,931,225

#### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

As of September 30, 2012, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G Indemnifications Under each Fund s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 9) at September 30, 2012. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At September 30, 2012, the amounts of the Funds Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 613,330,000	\$ 181,275,000	\$ 130,035,000
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.18 - 0.60	0.18 - 0.28	0.18 - 0.25
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 743,932,854	\$ 221,095,607	\$ 160,638,485

#### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

For the year ended September 30, 2012, the Funds average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 613,285,423	\$ 187,123,265	\$ 137,780,178
Average Interest Rate	0.71%	0.69%	0.68%

The Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds had no shortfalls as of September 30, 2012.

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Funds investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.

J Interest Rate Swaps Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Fund makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Fund is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.

K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

#### 2 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years, if any). Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2012			
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund	
Distributions declared from:				
Tax-exempt income	\$ 54,887,510	\$ 15,878,359	\$ 11,824,850	
Ordinary income	\$ 77,437	\$ 25,543	\$ 15,767	

	Year Ended September 30, 2011			
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund	
Distributions declared from:				
Tax-exempt income	\$ 62,334,562	\$ 18,365,683	\$ 13,057,383	
Ordinary income	\$ 11,040	\$ 84,774	\$ 14,651	

During the year ended September 30, 2012, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Change in:			
Paid-in capital	\$ (314,751)	\$	\$
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ 393,793	\$ 36,101	\$ 49,232
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income	\$ (79,042)	\$ (36,101)	\$ (49,232)
These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Funds			

As of September 30, 2012, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 706,884	\$ 417,199	\$ 283,114
Capital loss carryforward and deferred capital losses	\$ (135,477,784)	\$ (60,110,046)	\$ (29,761,804)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 136,737,997	\$ 35,101,705	\$ 35,180,391

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, residual interest bonds, expenditures on defaulted bonds, futures contracts and accretion of market discount.

#### 3 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of each Fund s average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

limited to the value of the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the year ended September 30, 2012, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 9,842,269	\$ 2,964,378	\$ 2,314,931

Officers and Trustees of the Funds who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2012, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

#### 4 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Purchases	\$ 249,762,649	\$ 122,478,301	\$ 59,293,513
Sales	\$ 255,243,139	\$ 139,209,575	\$ 69,560,906
5 C			

<sup>5</sup> Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Common shares issued pursuant to the Funds dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Year Ended September 30, 2012	33,515	9,232	22,680
Year Ended September 30, 2011	114,120	29,025	36,395
6 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments			

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at September 30, 2012, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

Municipal	California	New York
Fund	Fund	Fund

Aggregate cost	\$ 808,443,553	\$ 245,617,917	\$ 190,855,578
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 165,984,763 (29,246,766)	\$ 37,421,958 (2,320,253)	\$ 36,426,335 (1,245,944)
Net unrealized appreciation 7 Overdraft Advances	\$ 136,737,997	\$ 35,101,705	\$ 35,180,391

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund sassets to the extent of any overdraft. At

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

September 30, 2012, the Municipal Fund, California Fund and New York Fund had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$3,466,104, \$2,411,906 and \$233,820, respectively. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at September 30, 2012. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 9) at September 30, 2012. The Funds average overdraft advances during the year ended September 30, 2012 were not significant.

#### 8 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and interest rate swaps and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at September 30, 2012 is as follows:

#### **Futures Contracts**

Fund	Expiration Month/Year	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	App	Unrealized reciation preciation)
Municipal		331					
-	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (49,535,582)	\$ (49,443,125)	\$	92,457
California		200					
	12/12	U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 194	Short	\$ (26,544,946)	\$ (26,696,875)	\$	(151,929)
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	(29,049,629)	(28,978,749)		70,880
New York		75					
	12/12	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (11,230,527)	\$ (11,203,125)	\$	27,402
A + C + 1 20 2012	41 T 1- 1 1 CC: -: -			41 4 4			

At September 30, 2012, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. To hedge against this risk, the Municipal Fund and New York Fund entered into interest rate swap contracts. The Funds also purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Asset Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$ 92,457(1)	\$ 70,880(1)	\$ 27,402(1)

Total	\$ 92,457	\$	70,880	\$ 27,402
Liability Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$	\$ (	(151,929)(1)	\$
Total	\$	\$ (	(151,929)	\$

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents cumulative unrealized appreciation or (depreciation) on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended September 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (6,426,089) <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (4,351,972) <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (1,226,417) <sup>(1)</sup>
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ 3,876,201 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 1,491,500 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 1,576,124 <sup>(3)</sup>

- (1) Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.
- (2) Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.
- (3) Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.
- (4) Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

  The average notional amounts of futures contracts and interest rate swaps outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2012, which are indicative of the volume of these derivative types, were approximately as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Average Notional Amount:			
Futures Contracts	\$ 36,715,000	\$ 39,400,000	\$ 8,077,000
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 2,308,000	\$	\$ 946,000
9 Fair Value Measurements			

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At September 30, 2012, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Municipal Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 1,558,511,550	\$	\$ 1,558,511,550
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$	\$ 1,558,511,550	\$	\$ 1,558,511,550
Futures Contracts	\$ 92,457	\$	\$	\$ 92,457
Total	\$ 92,457	\$ 1,558,511,550	\$	\$ 1,558,604,007

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

California Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 461,994,622	\$	\$ 461,994,622
Total Investments	\$	\$ 461,994,622	\$	\$ 461,994,622
Futures Contracts	\$ 70,880	\$	\$	\$ 70,880
Total	\$ 70,880	\$ 461,994,622	\$	\$ 462,065,502
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (151,929)	\$	\$	\$ (151,929)
Total	\$ (151,929)	\$	\$	\$ (151,929)
New York Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 356,070,969	\$	\$ 356,070,969
Total Investments	\$	\$ 356,070,969	\$	\$ 356,070,969
Futures Contracts	\$ 27,402	\$	\$	\$ 27,402
Total	\$ 27,402	\$ 356,070,969	\$	\$ 356,098,371

The Funds held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2011 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At September 30, 2012, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (collectively, the Funds), including the portfolios of investments, as of September 30, 2012, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of September 30, 2012, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2012, the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 16, 2012

#### **Eaton Vance**

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2013 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2012. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Funds. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. The Funds designate the following percentages of dividends from net investment income as exempt-interest dividends:

Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund 99.86%

99.84%

99.87%

### Eaton Vance

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Notice to Shareholders (Unaudited)

At the August 8, 2011 Board Meeting, the Trustees approved the following defensive investing policy: During unusual market conditions, the Funds may invest up to 100% of its assets in cash or cash equivalents temporarily, which may be inconsistent with a Fund s investment objective(s) and other policies.

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

The Funds held their Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 20, 2012. The following action was taken by the shareholders:

Item 1: The election of William H. Park, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni as Class I Trustees of each Fund for a three-year term expiring in 2015, Scott E. Eston as a Class II Trustee of each Fund for a one-year term expiring in 2013 and Harriett Tee Taggart as a Class III Trustee of each Fund for a two-year term expiring in 2014.

				Nominee for		
	Nominee for		Nominee for	Class II Trustee	Nominee for	
	Class I Trustee	Nominee for Class I Trustee	Nominee for	Class I Trustee	Elected by All	Class III Trustee
	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	Shareholders:	Elected by All Shareholders:	
	William H. Park	Lynn A. Stout	Ralph F. Verni	Scott E. Eston	Harriett Tee Taggart	
Municipal Fund						
For	62,926,599	62,425,679	62,866,078	62,981,916	62,800,444	
Withheld	1,516,457	2,017,377	1,576,978	1,461,140	1,642,612	
California Fund						
For	19,337,964	19,317,831	19,349,897	19,333,199	19,307,332	
Withheld	1,096,022	1,116,155	1,084,089	1,100,787	1,126,654	
New York Fund						
For	14,604,397	14,530,819	14,608,073	14,613,797	14,565,600	
Withheld	527,089	600,667	523,413	517,689	565,886	

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Fund offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders may elect to have distributions automatically reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Fund. You may elect to participate in the Plan by completing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan Application Form. If you do not participate, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (AST) as dividend paying agent. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by AST, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that each Fund s transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent s service fee for handling distributions will be paid by each Fund. Plan participants will be charged their pro-rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

# Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds September 30, 2012 Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan. The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan. Please print exact name on account: Date Shareholder signature Shareholder signature Date Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign. YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY. This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

P.O. Box 922

Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company

### **Number of Employees**

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

### **Number of Shareholders**

As of September 30, 2012, Fund records indicate that there are 717, 135 and 139 registered shareholders for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and approximately 23,925, 5,457 and 4,961 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

### NYSE MKT symbols

Municipal Bond Fund EIM

California Municipal Bond Fund EVM

New York Municipal Bond Fund ENX

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Board of Trustees Contract Approval

### Overview of the Contract Review Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuation is approved at least annually by the fund s board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund (Independent Trustees), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a Board ) of the Eaton Vance group of mutual funds (the Eaton Vance Funds ) held on April 23, 2012, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of the Contract Review Committee of the Board, which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished by each adviser to the Eaton Vance Funds (including information specifically requested by the Board) for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held between February and April 2012, as well as information considered during prior meetings of the committee. Such information included, among other things, the following:

Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses

An independent report comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds;

An independent report comparing each fund s total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds;

An independent report comparing the investment performance of each fund (including, where relevant, yield data, Sharpe ratios and information ratios) to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to benchmark indices and customized peer groups, in each case as approved by the Board with respect to the funds;

For each fund, comparative information concerning the fees charged and the services provided by each adviser in managing other accounts (including mutual funds, other collective investment funds and institutional accounts) using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing such fund;

Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund; Information about Portfolio Management and Trading

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes employed, and any changes in portfolio management processes and personnel;

Information about the allocation of brokerage and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through client commission arrangements and the fund s policies with respect to soft dollar arrangements;

Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

Information about each adviser s processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions, and other policies and practices of each adviser with respect to trading;

Information about each Adviser

Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;

Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts;

Copies of the Codes of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;

Copies of or descriptions of each adviser s policies and procedures relating to proxy voting, the handling of corporate actions and class actions;

Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates on behalf of the funds (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance with investment policies and restrictions, including policies with respect to market-timing, late trading and selective portfolio disclosure, and with policies on personal securities transactions;

Descriptions of the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;

A description of Eaton Vance Management s procedures for overseeing third party advisers and sub-advisers, including with respect to regulatory and compliance issues, investment management and other matters;

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

Other Relevant Information

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

The terms of each advisory agreement.

In addition to the information identified above, the Contract Review Committee considered information provided from time to time by each adviser throughout the year at meetings of the Board and its committees. Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2012, with respect to one or more funds, the Board met ten times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met ten, nineteen, seven, eight and fourteen times respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees participated in investment and performance reviews with the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each adviser relating to each fund. The Board and its Committees considered the investment and trading strategies used in pursuing each fund s investment objective, including, where relevant, the use of derivative instruments, as well as risk management techniques. The Board and its Committees also evaluated issues pertaining to industry and regulatory developments, compliance procedures, fund governance and other issues with respect to the funds, and received and participated in reports and presentations provided by Eaton Vance Management and other fund advisers with respect to such matters.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund s investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each advisory agreement.

### Results of the Process

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuation of the investment advisory agreements of the following funds:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

### Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

(the Funds ), each with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser ), including their fee structures, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of each agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee as well as the factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to each agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund.

### Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreements of the Funds, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Funds by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser s management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by each Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Funds. In particular, the Board considered, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment personnel in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser s large municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Board also took into account the resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, including the compensation methods of the Adviser to recruit and retain investment personnel, and the time and attention devoted to each Fund by senior management.

The Board reviewed the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment personnel, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also evaluated the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests in recent years from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the investment advisory agreements.

### Fund Performance

The Board compared each Fund s investment performance to a relevant universe of similarly managed funds identified by an independent data provider and appropriate benchmark indices and, where relevant, a peer group of similarly managed funds, and assessed each Fund s performance on the basis of total return and current income return. The Board s review included comparative performance data for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2011 for each Fund. The Board considered the impact of extraordinary market conditions in recent years on each Fund s performance relative to its peer universe in light of, among other things, the Adviser s efforts to generate competitive levels of tax exempt current income over time through investments in higher quality municipal bonds with longer maturities. The Board noted that the Adviser had taken action to restructure each Fund s portfolio as part of a long-term strategy for managing interest rate risk, consistent with each Fund s objective of providing current income, and that performance had improved relative to peer funds over recent periods. The Board concluded that each Fund s performance had been satisfactory on the basis of current income return, and that it was appropriate to continue to monitor the effectiveness of the actions taken by the Adviser to improve Fund performance on the basis of total return, which it noted had improved for periods ended as of December 31, 2011.

### Management Fees and Expenses

The Board reviewed contractual investment advisory fee rates payable by each Fund (referred to as management fees ). As part of its review, the Board considered the management fees and each Fund s total expense ratio for the year ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a group of similarly managed funds selected by an independent data provider. The Board also considered factors that had an impact on Fund expense ratios, as identified by management in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee, as well as actions taken by management in recent years to reduce expenses at the Eaton Vance fund complex level, including the negotiation of reduced fees for transfer agency and custody services.

After reviewing the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the management fees charged for advisory and related services are reasonable.

### Profitability

The Board reviewed the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to each Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized without regard to revenue sharing or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect benefits received by the Adviser and its affiliates in connection with their relationships with the Funds, including the benefits of research services that may be available to the Adviser as a result of securities transactions effected for the Funds and other investment advisory clients.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are reasonable.

### **Economies of Scale**

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and each Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of each Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from the economies of scale with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board reviewed data

summarizing the increases and decreases in the assets of each Fund and of all Eaton Vance Funds as a group over various time periods, and evaluated the extent to which the total expense ratio of each Fund and the profitability of the Adviser and its affiliates may have been affected by such increases or decreases. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that each Fund currently shares in the benefits from economies of scale. The Board also considered the fact that the Funds are not continuously offered and concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser s profits with respect to each Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in each Fund s advisory fee schedule is not appropriate at this time.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Management and Organization

Fund Management. The Trustees of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund (EIM), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund (EVM) and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (ENX), (the Funds) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Funds affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Funds are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Funds, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 186 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

	Position(s)	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships			
Name and Year of Birth Interested Trustee	with the Funds	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience			
Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Class II	Until 2013.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD.			
1958	Trustee	3 years. Trustee since 2007.	Trustee and/or officer of 186 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Funds.			
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.			
Noninterested Trustees						
Scott E. Eston	Class II	Until 2013.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief Operating			
1956	Trustee	1 year. Trustee since 2011.	Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer, GM Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coand Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).			
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. None.			
Benjamin C. Esty	Class II	Until 2013.	Roy and Elizabeth Simmons Professor of Business Administration and Finance Unit Head, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration.			
1963	Trustee	2 years. Trustee since 2005.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.			
Allen R. Freedman	Class II	Until 2013.	Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education). Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman			

1940	Trustee	3 years. Trustee since 2007.	and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000).  Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011).
William H. Park 1947	Class I Trustee	Until 2015. 3 years. Trustee since 2003.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Ronald A. Pearlman	Class III	Until 2014. 3 years. Trustee	Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the
1940	Trustee	since 2003.	Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990).

Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

Management and Organization continued

	<b>D</b> . *** ( )	Term of				
	Position(s)	Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships			
Name and Year of Birth Noninterested Trustees (c	with the Funds	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience			
`	,	11 - 12 2014	D.C. CE' C. HOLLICM . D.C. CH. E. I.			
Helen Frame Peters 1948	Class III  Trustee	Until 2014. 3 years. Trustee since 2008.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm) (1991-1998).			
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> (1) Formerly, Director of BJ s Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).			
Lynn A. Stout	Class I	Until 2015. 3 years. Trustee	Distinguished Professor of Corporate and Business Law, Jack G. Clarke Business Law Institute, Cornell University Law School. Formerly, the Paul Hastings Professor of			
1957	Trustee	since 2002.	Corporate and Securities Law (2006-2012) and Professor of Law (2001-2006), University of California at Los Angeles School of Law.			
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.			
Harriett Tee Taggart	Class III	Until 2014. 2 years. Trustee	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP			
1948	Trustee	since 2011.	(investment management firm) (1983-2006).			
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).			
Ralph F. Verni	Chairman of the Board and Class	Until 2015. 3 years. Chairman	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life.			
1943	I	of the Board since 2007 and Trustee	Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000).			
	Trustee	since 2005.	Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly, Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp. (2002-2006).			
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.			
Principal Officers who are Name and Year of	e not Trustees Position(s)	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)			
Birth	with the Funds	9	• • •			

Cynthia J. Clemson	President of EVM and ENX	Service Since 2005	<b>During Past Five Years</b> Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1963 Thomas M. Metzold	President of EIM	Since 2010	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1958 Payson F. Swaffield	Vice President	Since 2011	Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956 Barbara E. Campbell	Treasurer	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1957			
Maureen A. Gemma	Vice President, Secretary and	Vice President since 2011,	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1960	Chief Legal Officer	Secretary since 2007 and Chief Legal Officer since 2008	
Paul M. O Neil	Chief Compliance	Since 2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1953	Officer		

<sup>(1)</sup> During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Ms. Taggart) also served as trustees of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009).

# Eaton Vance Funds

### IMPORTANT NOTICES

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ( Privacy Policy ) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise. If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. A Fund also may purchase shares of its common stock in the open market when they trade at a discount to net asset value or at other times if the Fund determines such purchases are advisable. There can be no assurance that a Fund will take such action or that such purchases would reduce the discount. If applicable, a Fund may also redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

Closed-End Fund Information. The Eaton Vance closed-end funds make certain fund performance data and information about portfolio characteristics (such as top holdings and asset allocation) available on the Eaton Vance website after the end of each month. Certain fund performance data for the funds, including total returns, are posted to the website shortly after the end of each month. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# Custodian State Street Bank and Trust Company 200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116 Transfer Agent American Stock Transfer & Trust Company 59 Maiden Lane Plaza Level New York, NY 10038 Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP 200 Berkeley Street Boston, MA 02116-5022 Fund Offices Two International Place

Investment Adviser and Administrator

**Eaton Vance Management** 

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Boston, MA 02110

1453-11/12 CE-IMBSRC

### Item 2. Code of Ethics

Not required in this filing.

# Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

### **Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

### (a) (d)

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012 by the registrant s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP ( D&T ), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/11	9/30/12
Audit Fees	\$ 59,970	\$ 68,210
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0	\$ 0
Tax Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 13,200	\$ 13,550
All Other Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 300	\$ 310
Total	\$ 73,470	\$ 82,070

- (1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees.
- (2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.
- (3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the registrant s principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.

(e)(1) The registrant s audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant s principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant s audit committee at least annually. The registrant s audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant s principal accountant.

(e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant s audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01 (c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/11	9/30/12
Registrant	\$ 13,500	\$ 13,860
Eaton Vance <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 226,431	\$ 606,619

- (1) The investment adviser to the registrant, as well as any of its affiliates that provide ongoing services to the registrant, are subsidiaries of Eaton Vance Corp.
- (h) The registrant s audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant s principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

### Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Helen Frame Peters, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant s audit committee.

### Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

## Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure

services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at <a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>.

# Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

### Portfolio Management

Cynthia J. Clemson, William H. Ahern, Jr. and Craig R. Brandon are the portfolio managers of Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund s investments.

Ms. Clemson has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1991 and is a Vice President of Eaton Vance Management ( EVM ) and Boston Management and Research ( BMR ). Mr. Ahern has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1993 and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998 and a portfolio manager since 2004, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following tables show, as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.

Cynthia J. Clemson	Number of All Accounts	 al Assets of All Accounts	Number of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee	Acce	Assets of ounts ing a nance Fee
Registered Investment Companies	10	\$ 2,411.7	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
William H. Ahern, Jr.					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 2,513.2	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	1	\$ 23.2	0	\$	0
Craig R. Brandon					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 1,452.1	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end.

	Dollar Range of Equity
	Securities Owned
	in the Fund
California Municipal Bond Fund	
Cynthia J. Clemson	None
Municipal Bond Fund	
William H. Ahern, Jr.	None
New York Municipal Bond Fund	
Craig R. Brandon	None

Potential for Conflicts of Interest. It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager s management of a Fund s investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities

among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between a Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities. Whenever conflicts of interest arise, a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser s trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

### **Compensation Structure for EVM**

Compensation of EVM s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock and/or restricted shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock. EVM s investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM s employees. Compensation of EVM s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

Method to Determine Compensation. EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund speer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM smanagement not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in

determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus operating income. While the salaries of EVM s portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

### Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

No such purchases this period.

### Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No Material Changes.

### **Item 11. Controls and Procedures**

(a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

### Item 12. Exhibits

- (a)(1) Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
- (a)(2)(i) Treasurer s Section 302 certification.
- (a)(2)(ii) President s Section 302 certification.
- (b) Combined Section 906 certification.

## **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: November 9, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell Barbara E. Campbell Treasurer

Date: November 9, 2012

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: November 9, 2012