

ICEWEB INC  
Form 10-Q  
May 15, 2013

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the period ended March 31, 2013

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 0-27865

**ICEWEB, INC.**

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**13-2640971**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**22900 Shaw Road, Suite 111**

**Sterling, VA 20166**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**(571) 287-2380**

(Issuer's telephone number)

(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: At May 15, 2013, there were 285,469,412 outstanding shares of common stock, \$.001 par value per share.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes  No

### **CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION**

This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. You should not unduly rely on these statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They use words such as “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “project,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” “project,” “contemplate,” “would,” “should,” “could,” or “may.” With respect to any forward-looking statement that includes a statement of its underlying assumptions or bases, we believe such assumptions or bases to be reasonable and have formed them in good faith, assumed facts or bases almost always vary from actual results, and the differences between assumed facts or bases and actual results can be material depending on the circumstances. When, in any forward-looking statement, we express an expectation or belief as to future results, that expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and is believed to have a reasonable basis, but there can be no assurance that the stated expectation or belief will result or be achieved or accomplished. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or anyone acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements.

### **OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION**

When used in this quarterly report, the terms “IceWEB”, the “Company”, “we”, “our”, and “us” refers to IceWEB, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and our subsidiaries. The information which appears on our web site at [www.iceweb.com](http://www.iceweb.com) is not part of this quarterly report.

- 2 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**FORM 10-Q**

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-Q  
**QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED March 31, 2013**

INDEX

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| <b>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>  |      |
| Item 1 - Consolidated Financial Statements                                       |      |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) at March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012 | 4    |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)                                |      |
| For the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012                       | 5    |
| Statement of Consolidated Comprehensive Income (unaudited)                       |      |
| For the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012                       | 6    |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)                                |      |
| For the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012                                 | 7    |
| Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements                             | 8    |
| Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation               | 26   |
| Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk              | 35   |
| Item 4 - Controls and Procedures   | 35   |
| <b>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</b>   |      |
| Item 1 - Legal Proceedings   | 36   |
| Item 1A - Risk Factors   | 36   |
| Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds             | 36   |
| Item 3 - Default upon Senior Securities  | 36   |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 37 |
| Item 5 - Other Information                                   | 37 |
| Item 6 – Exhibits  | 37 |
| Signatures   | 37 |

- 3 -

**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements**

**ICEWEB, Inc.**

**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

|                                | <b>March 31,<br/>2013<br/>(Unaudited)</b> | <b>September<br/>30, 2012<sup>(1)</sup></b> |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>         |   |   |
| Cash                           | \$ 59,322                                 | \$ 269,594                                  |
| Other receivable               | 48,535                                    | -   |
| Accounts receivable, net       | 546,815                                   | 563,320                                     |
| Marketable securities, current | 10,250                                    | 72,000                                      |
| Inventory                      | 267,663                                   | 282,231                                     |
| Other current assets           | 61,375                                    | 6,875                                       |
| Prepaid expenses               | 40,457                                    | 19,702                                      |
|                                | 1,034,417                                 | 1,213,722                                   |
| <b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>           |   |   |
| Property and equipment, net    | 428,793                                   | 499,785                                     |
| Deposits                       | 13,320                                    | 13,320                                      |
| Other assets                   | 1,545                                     | 1,545                                       |
| Marketable Securities          | -   | 237,600                                     |
| Deferred financing costs, net  | -   | 114,395                                     |

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-Q

|   |    |              |    |              |
|---|----|--------------|----|--------------|
| Total Assets  | \$ | 1,478,075    | \$ | 2,080,367    |
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>   |    |              |    |              |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  | \$ | 969,155      | \$ | 824,128      |
| Note payable  |    | 2,139,235    |    | 2,059,582    |
| Convertible notes payable, net of discount  |    | -            |    | 105,176      |
| Notes payable – related party   |    | 111,000      |    | -            |
| Derivative liability  |    | 170,375      |    | 1,104,499    |
| Deferred revenue  |    | 2,995        |    | 24,896       |
| Total Liabilities   |    | 3,392,760    |    | 4,118,281    |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>  |    |              |    |              |
| Preferred Stock (\$.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized)  |    |              |    |              |
| Series B convertible preferred stock (\$.001 par value; 626,667 shares issued and outstanding)  |    | 626          |    | 626          |
| Common stock (\$.001 par value; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 281,619,739 shares issued and 281,457,239 shares outstanding and 216,443,809 shares issued and 215,943,809 shares outstanding, respectively) |    | 281,620      |    | 216,444      |
| Additional paid in capital  |    | 41,615,350   |    | 38,342,544   |
| Accumulated deficit   |    | (43,728,531) |    | (40,813,128) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income  |    | (70,750)     |    | 228,600      |
| Treasury stock, at cost, (162,500 shares)   |    | (13,000)     |    | (13,000)     |
| Total stockholders' Deficit   |    | (1,914,685)  |    | (2,037,914)  |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit   | \$ | 1,478,075    | \$ | 2,080,367    |

(1) Derived from audited financial statements

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

**Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Unaudited)**

|  | <b>Three Months Ended</b> |                | <b>Six Months Ended</b> |                |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
|  | <b>March 31</b>           |                | <b>March 31</b>         |                |
|  | <b>2013</b>               | <b>2012</b>    | <b>2013</b>             | <b>2012</b>    |
| Sales  | \$ 455,144                | \$ 1,132,958   | \$ 768,555              | \$ 1,891,856   |
| Cost of sales  | 254,119                   | 753,077        | 428,214                 | 1,225,689      |
| Gross profit   | 201,025                   | 379,881        | 340,341                 | 666,167        |
| Operating expenses:  |                           |                |                         |                |
| Sales and marketing  | 480,175                   | 220,187        | 616,309                 | 332,557        |
| Depreciation and amortization expense                        | 52,977                    | 46,772         | 70,993                  | 115,639        |
| Research and development expense                             | 198,418                   | 210,309        | 480,035                 | 419,082        |
| General and administrative                                   | 1,700,966                 | 541,900        | 2,703,873               | 893,144        |
| Total Operating Expenses                                     | 2,432,536                 | 1,019,168      | 3,871,210               | 1,760,422      |
| Loss from operations   | (2,231,511)               | (639,287)      | (3,530,869)             | (1,094,255)    |
| Other (expenses):  |                           |                |                         |                |
| Gain/(loss) on change in fair value of derivative liability  | 313,993                   | (329,683)      | 934,124                 | (617,079)      |
| Interest income  | -                         | 22             | -                       | 22             |
| Interest expense   | (206,759)                 | (525,321)      | (318,658)               | (811,743)      |
| Total other income (expenses):                               | 107,234                   | (854,982)      | 615,466                 | (1,428,800)    |
| Net loss   | \$ (2,124,277)            | \$ (1,494,269) | \$ (2,915,403)          | \$ (2,523,055) |
| Loss per common share basic and diluted                      | \$ (0.01)                 | \$ (0.01)      | \$ (0.01)               | \$ (0.02)      |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted | 251,338,384               | 159,728,621    | 237,412,078             | 158,969,777    |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

**ICEWEB, Inc.****Statement of Consolidated Comprehensive Income**

|                                       | <b>Three Months Ended</b> |               | <b>Six Months Ended</b> |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
|                                       | <b>March 31</b>           |               | <b>March 31</b>         |               |
|                                       | <b>2013</b>               | <b>2012</b>   | <b>2013</b>             | <b>2012</b>   |
| Net loss                              | (\$2,124,277)             | (\$1,494,269) | (\$2,915,403)           | (\$2,523,055) |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: |                           |               |                         |               |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities  | (196,150)                 | 243,800       | (299,350)               | 179,800       |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)     | (196,150)                 | 243,800       | (299,350)               | 179,800       |
| Comprehensive income (loss)           | (\$2,320,427)             | (\$1,250,469) | (\$3,214,753)           | (\$2,343,255) |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

- 6 -

**ICEWEB, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**



(Unaudited)

|   | <b>Six Months Ended</b> |                |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|
|   | <b>March 31,</b>        |                |
|   | <b>2013</b>             | <b>2012</b>    |
| NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES             | \$ (1,435,498)          | \$ (3,131,723) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:             |                         |                |
| Investment in marketable securities               | -                       | (33,000)       |
| Purchase of property and equipment                | -                       | (129,395)      |
| NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES             | -                       | (162,395)      |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:             |                         |                |
| Proceeds from convertible note payable            | -                       | 1,750,000      |
| Proceeds from subscription receivable             | -                       | 1,171,520      |
| Proceeds from conversion of warrants              | -                       | 79,000         |
| Payment of financing costs                        | -                       | (571,270)      |
| Proceeds from notes payable – related party       | 111,000                 | -              |
| Proceeds from the sale of restricted common stock | 149,000                 | 365,000        |
| Proceeds from notes payable                       | 280,486                 | 200,936        |
| Proceeds from exercise of common stock options    | 885,573                 | 180,717        |
| Proceeds from payments on convertible debenture   | -                       | 471,394        |
| Payments on notes payable                         | (200,833)               | (244,940)      |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES         | 1,225,226               | 3,402,357      |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH                   | (210,272 )              | 108,239        |
| CASH - beginning of period                        | 269,594                 | 4,120          |
| CASH - end of period                              | \$ 59,322               | \$ 112,359     |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: |                         |                |
| Cash paid for :                                   |                         |                |
| Interest  | \$ 125,833              | \$ 214,686     |
| Income taxes                                      | —                       | —              |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 1 - NATURE OF BUSINESS**

Headquartered just outside of Washington, D.C., we manufacture high performance unified data storage appliances with enterprise storage management capabilities, and provide Cloud Computing Products/Services. Through thin provisioning, target deduplication and inline compression managed through IceWEB's proprietary IceSTORM™ Operating System, IceWEB's unified storage appliances enable standardization, consolidation and optimized storage utilization for virtual and cloud environments, saving up to 90% of storage costs, while reducing space, power and cooling requirements and simplifying storage management. Our customer base includes mid-sized businesses, large enterprises, and government organizations.

Major shifts in data center environments toward virtual and cloud based infrastructures have compounded the need for storage resources that can handle the complex and mixed systems that combine both file and block data. Unified Data Storage from IceWEB reduces this complexity by providing a simplified environment to enable virtualization and cloud computing deployments, protection, and cost savings. Unified Data Storage also provides cost savings through optimized storage utilization, made possible through IceWEB's thin provisioning, storage pooling, compression and deduplication.

We generate revenue from the manufacture and sale of high-performance unified data storage appliances with IceWEB's proprietary IceSTORM (STorage Optimization and Resource Management) Operating System and Cloud Computing Services.

**NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Principles of Consolidation*

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

*Going Concern*

We have had losses since inception that raise doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. For the year ended September 30, 2012 we incurred a net loss of \$6,485,048 and for the six months ended March 31, 2013 we incurred a net loss of \$2,915,403, had a use of cash in operating activities of \$1,435,498, and had negative working capital of \$2,358,342. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recovery and classification of recorded assets, or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary in the event we cannot continue in existence.

Management has established plans intended to increase the sales of our products and services. Management intends to seek new capital from new equity securities offerings to provide funds needed to increase liquidity, fund growth, and implement its business plan. However, no assurances can be given that we will be able to raise any additional funds.

*Investments in Marketable Securities*

IceWEB accounts for marketable equity securities in accordance with ASC 320, "Investment – Debt and Equity Securities" with any unrealized gains and losses included as a net amount as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Certain securities that we may invest in may be determined to be non-marketable. Non-marketable securities where we own less than 20% of the investee are accounted for at cost pursuant to ASC 323-10-35, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock".

- 8 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES,**  
(continued)

Management determines the appropriate classification of its investments at the time of acquisition and reevaluates such determination at each balance sheet date. Trading securities that we may hold are treated in accordance with ASC 320 with any unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity (deficit). Investments classified as held-to-maturity are carried at amortized cost. In determining realized gains and losses, the cost of the securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

Under the guidance of ASC 320, "Investments", we periodically evaluate other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) of securities to determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. Management utilizes criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, in addition to the reasons underlying the decline, to determine whether the loss in value is other than temporary. The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent. It indicates that the prospects for a near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values equal to, or greater than, the carrying value of the investment. Once a decline in value is determined to be other than temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding impairment charge to earnings is recognized. In the assessment of OTTI for various securities at September 30, 2012 the guidance in ASC 320, "the Investment-Debt and Equity Securities," is carefully followed.

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the balance sheets and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates in 2012 and 2011 include the allowance for doubtful accounts, the valuation of stock-based compensation, the allowance for inventory obsolescence, derivative liabilities, and the useful life and valuation of property and equipment and intangible assets, and litigation reserves.

*Cash and Cash Equivalents*

We consider all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

*Accounts Receivable*

Accounts receivable consists of normal trade receivables. We recorded a bad debt allowance of \$409,000 as of March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012. Management performs ongoing evaluations of its accounts receivable and has provided a general reserve for bad debt. Management believes that all remaining receivables are fully collectable. Bad debt expense amounted to \$0 for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

- 9 -

## **ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

### **NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

#### **NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, (continued)**

##### *Derivative Liability*

Derivatives are required to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value (see Note 11). These derivatives, including embedded derivatives in the Company's structured borrowings and warrants, are separately valued and accounted for on the Company's balance sheet with changes in fair value charged to operations. Fair values for exchange traded securities and derivatives are based on quoted market prices. Where market prices are not readily available, fair values are determined using market based pricing models incorporating readily observable market data and requiring judgment and estimates. In addition, additional disclosures is required about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows.

##### *Fair Value Measurements*

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market

participants on the measurement date. The established fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities that are not active; and model-driven valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable. Valuations may be obtained from, or corroborated by, third-party pricing services.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs to measure fair value of assets and liabilities for which there is little, if any market activity at the measurement date, using reasonable inputs and assumptions based upon the best information at the time, to the extent that inputs are available without undue cost and effort.

#### *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and notes payable are carried at cost, which approximates their fair value, due to the relatively short maturity of these instruments.

Our derivative financial instruments, consisting of embedded conversion features in our convertible debt, which are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis under FASB ASC 815-15-25 or FASB ASC 815 as of March 31, 2013 are measured at fair value, using a Black-Scholes valuation model which approximates a binomial lattice valuation methodology utilizing Level 3 inputs. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities (see Note 8).

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES,**  
(continued)

*Inventory*

Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market, on an average cost basis.

*Property and Equipment*

Property and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation expense is recorded by using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets.

*Intangible Assets*

Intangible assets, net consists of the cost of acquired customer relationships. We capitalize and amortize the cost of acquired intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. The Company periodically reevaluates the carrying value of its intangible assets for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset. If the sum of the expected future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value of the intangible asset to the estimated fair value of the asset.

*Long-lived Assets*

In accordance with ASC Topic 360, "Property, Plant, and Equipment" (formerly SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets"), we review the carrying value of intangibles and other long-lived assets for impairment at least annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of long-lived assets is measured by comparison of its carrying amount to the undiscounted cash flows that the asset or asset group is expected to generate. If such assets are

considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the property, if any, exceeds its fair market value.

*Advertising*

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and amounted to \$18,754 and \$40,311 for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

*Revenue Recognition*

We follow the guidance of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition" (formerly Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, "Revenue Recognition") for revenue recognition. In general, we record revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or product delivery has occurred, the sales price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. The following policies reflect specific criteria for our various revenues streams:

Revenues from sales of products are generally recognized when products are shipped unless the Company has obligations remaining under sales or licensing agreements, in which case revenue is either deferred until all obligations are satisfied or recognized ratably over the term of the contract.

- 11 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES,**  
(continued)



*Earnings per Share*

We compute earnings per share in accordance with ASC Topic 260, "Earnings Per Share" (formerly SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share"). Under the provisions of ASC Topic 260, basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common shares consist of the common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants (using the treasury stock method) and upon the conversion of convertible preferred stock (using the if-converted method). Potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the calculation if their effect is antidilutive. At March 31, 2013 and 2012, there were options and warrants to purchase 85,290,206 shares and 120,903,801 shares of common stock, as adjusted, and 626,667 shares issuable upon conversion of Series B preferred stock outstanding, respectively, which could potentially dilute future earnings per share.

*Stock-Based Compensation*

As more fully described in Note 14, we have a stock option plan that provides for non-qualified and incentive stock options to be issued to directors, officers, employees and consultants (the 2012 Equity Compensation Plan (the "Plan")).

We account for stock-based compensation to employees under ASC Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation, "Share-Based Payments using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that method, compensation cost is recognized.

*Other Receivables*

We have a purchase order arrangement with a key vendor that provides us the flexibility to make purchases of inventory components on credit with our customer remitting payment to the vendor. This arrangement provides us with the cash needed to finance certain of our on-going costs and expenses, and provides that we collect on our receivables once the vendor has been paid. At March 31, 2013, this vendor had collected \$48,535 on our behalf.

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(continued)**

**RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2013, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)-Presentation of Comprehensive Income* and Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)-Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05*. The adoption of these amended standards impacted the presentation of other comprehensive income, as the Company elected to present two separate but consecutive statements, but did not impact our financial position or results of operations.

Various accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

**NOTE 3 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following:

|                   | <b>Estimated<br/>Life</b> | <b>March 31, 2013</b> | <b>September 30, 2012</b> |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Office equipment  | 5 years                   | \$ 644,020            | \$ 644,020                |
| Computer software | 3 years                   | 29,523                | 29,523                    |

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-Q

|                                |             |             |             |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Leasehold improvements         | 2 - 5 years | 1,033,495   | 1,033,495   |
|                                |             | 1,707,038   | 1,707,038   |
| Less: accumulated depreciation |             | (1,278,245) | (1,207,253) |
|                                |             | \$ 428,793  | \$ 499,785  |

Depreciation expense for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$70,993 and \$115,639 respectively.

**NOTE 4 - INVENTORY**

Inventory consisted of the following:

|                  | <b>March 31, 2013</b> | <b>September, 30, 2012</b> |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Raw materials    | \$ 165,951            | \$ 175,258                 |
| Work in progress | 40,150                | 42,335                     |
| Finished goods   | 61,562                | 64,638                     |
|                  | \$ 267,663            | \$ 282,231                 |

- 13 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 5 – CONVERTIBLE NOTES**

On November 23, 2011, IceWEB, Inc. (the “Company”) entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”) with three accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold \$2,012,500 in principal amount of

Senior Convertible Notes (the “Notes”) and issued the investors Series O, Series P and Series Q Warrants (collectively, the “Warrants”) to purchase up to an aggregate of 81,587,8743 shares, as adjusted, of the Company’s common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,750,000 in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”) in reliance on an exemption from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) and Regulation D of the Securities Act. The Company issued the Notes at an original issue discount of 13%.

|  | <b>March 31,</b> | <b>September 30,</b> |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
|  | <b>2013</b>      | <b>2012</b>          |
| Principal balance of convertible notes | \$ -             | \$ 164,469           |
| Original issue discount, net           | -                | (5,439 )             |
| Debt discount                          | -                | (53,895)             |
| Convertible notes, net of discount     | \$ -             | \$ 105,175           |

The convertible notes, which had a maturity date of August, 2013, were paid in full in February, 2013, and all related discounts were fully amortized as of that date.

#### **NOTE 6 - NOTES PAYABLE**

##### Sand Hill Finance, LLC

On December 19, 2005, the Company entered into a Financing Agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC pursuant to which, together with related amendments, the Company may borrow up to 80% on the Company’s accounts receivable balances up to a maximum of \$1,800,000. In conjunction with the acquisition of Inline Corporation in December, 2008, the lending limit on the credit facility was increased to \$2,750,000. In addition, the Company and Sand Hill Finance, LLC entered into a 36 month term note agreement in the amount of \$1,000,000. Amounts borrowed under the Financing Agreement are secured by a first security interest in substantially all of the Company’s assets. At March 31, 2013, the principal amount due under the Financing Agreement amounted to \$2,139,235.

Interest on the accounts receivable-based borrowings is payable at a rate of 1.75% per month on the average loan balance outstanding during the year, equal to an annual interest of approximately 21% per year. The Company also agreed to pay an upfront commitment fee of 1% of the credit line upon signing the Financing Agreement, half of which was due and paid upon signing (amounting to \$9,000) and half of which is due on the first anniversary of the Financing Agreement. In addition, the Company is obligated to pay a commitment fee of 1% of the credit limit annually, such amounts are payable on the anniversary of the agreement.

In connection with the term note, the Company issued Sand Hill Finance, LLC a seven-year common stock purchase warrant to purchase 120,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The exercise price was subsequently reduced to \$0.50 per share pursuant to Warrant Amendment Agreement which was executed in conjunction with the convertible debenture. The warrant contains a cashless exercise provision which means that at the option of the holder, the warrant is convertible into a number of shares of our common stock as determined by dividing the aggregate fair market value of the Company's common stock minus the aggregate exercise price of the warrant by the fair market value of one share of common stock. The number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits and

- 14 -

## ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2013

#### NOTE 6 - NOTES PAYABLE, (continued)

reclassifications. The fair value of the warrant of \$13,589 has been recorded as an addition to paid-in capital and deferred finance costs during the year ended September 30, 2009.

The Financing Agreement had a term of one year, subject to mutual extension by both parties. As a result, the balance due to Sand Hill Finance, LLC is classified as a current liability on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The terms of the Financing Agreement also restrict the Company from undertaking certain transactions without the written consent of the creditor including (i) permit or suffer a change in control involving 20% of its securities, (ii) acquire assets, except in the ordinary course of business, involving payment of \$100,000 or more, (iii) sell, lease, or transfer any of its property except for sales of inventory and equipment in the ordinary course of business, (iv) transfer, sell or license any intellectual property, (v) declare or pay a dividend on stock, except payable in the form of stock dividends (vi) incur any indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business and (vii) permit any lien or security interest to attach to any collateral.

In November, 2011, in connection with the Company's private placement of convertible notes and Securities Purchase Agreement (see Note 5), Sand Hill Finance, LLC executed an amendment to the Financing Agreement in which Sand Hill Finance LLC agreed that they would not pursue any remedies of default under the Financing Agreement until at least the ninety-first day after the obligations under the convertible notes have been fully satisfied.

**NOTE 7 - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK**

Bank Balances

The Company maintains cash in financial institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), including non-interest bearing transaction account deposits protected in full in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”). At March 31, 2013 all of the Company’s cash balances were fully insured. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

- 15 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 8 - INVESTMENTS**

*(a) Summary of Investments*

Marketable Equity Securities:

As of March 31, 2013, the Company’s investments in marketable equity securities are based on the March 31, 2013 stock price as reflected on the OTCBB stock exchange , reduced by a discount factor if those shares have selling restrictions. These marketable equity securities are summarized as follows:

|                       |             | <b>Gross</b>      | <b>Gross</b>      |              |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                       |             | <b>Unrealized</b> | <b>Unrealized</b> | <b>Fair</b>  |
| <b>March 31, 2013</b> | <b>Cost</b> | <b>Gain</b>       | <b>Losses</b>     | <b>Value</b> |

|                                   |    |        |    |   |    |          |    |        |
|-----------------------------------|----|--------|----|---|----|----------|----|--------|
| Publicly traded equity securities | \$ | 81,000 | \$ | - | \$ | (70,750) | \$ | 10,250 |
| Total                             | \$ | 81,000 | \$ | - | \$ | (70,750) | \$ | 10,250 |

| September 30, 2012                | Cost | Gross            |                   | Fair Value |    |   |    |         |
|-----------------------------------|------|------------------|-------------------|------------|----|---|----|---------|
|                                   |      | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses |            |    |   |    |         |
| Publicly traded equity securities | \$   | 81,000           | \$                | 228,600    | \$ | — | \$ | 309,600 |
| Total                             | \$   | 81,000           | \$                | 228,600    | \$ | — | \$ | 309,600 |

The unrealized gains are presented in comprehensive income in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income.

*(b) Unrealized Gains and Losses on Investments*

The following table summarizes the unrealized net gains (losses) associated with the Company's investments:

|   | Six Months Ended |            |
|---|------------------|------------|
|   | 2013             | 2012       |
| Net unrealized gains/(loss) on investments in publicly traded equity securities | \$ (299,350)     | \$ 212,800 |
| Net unrealized gains/(loss) on investments                                      | \$ (299,350)     | \$ 212,800 |

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 8 – INVESTMENTS, (continued)**

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted ASC 820, which, among other things, defines fair value, establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure for each major asset and liability category measured at fair value on either a recurring or nonrecurring basis. The Company did not adopt the ASC 820 fair value framework for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements at least annually. ASC 820 clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would either be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

*Level 1.* Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

*Level 2.* Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

*Level 3.* Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

*Investment Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:*

|                  | <b>Fair Value Measurements Using:</b> |                     |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Quoted</b>    | <b>Significant</b>                    | <b>Significant</b>  |
| <b>Prices</b>    | <b>Other</b>                          | <b>Unobservable</b> |
| <b>in Active</b> | <b>Observable</b>                     | <b>Inputs</b>       |
| <b>Markets</b>   | <b>Inputs</b>                         | <b>(Level 3)</b>    |



|   | (Level 1) | (Level 2) |           |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <u>March 31, 2013</u>   |           |           |           |
| Marketable Equity Securities, net of discount for effect of restriction | \$ —      | \$ —      | \$ 10,250 |

**Liabilities:**

|                        |      |            |
|------------------------|------|------------|
| Derivative liabilities | \$ — | \$ 170,375 |
|------------------------|------|------------|

September 30, 2012

|   |      |            |
|---|------|------------|
| Marketable Equity Securities, net of discount for effect of restriction | \$ — | \$ 309,600 |
|---|------|------------|

**Liabilities:**

|                        |      |              |
|------------------------|------|--------------|
| Derivative liabilities | \$ — | \$ 1,104,499 |
|------------------------|------|--------------|

- 17 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****March 31, 2013****NOTE 8 - INVESTMENTS (continued)**

We categorize the securities as investments in marketable securities available for sale. These securities are quoted either on an exchange or inter-dealer quotation (pink sheet) system. The securities are restricted and cannot be readily resold by us absent a registration of those securities under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") or the availabilities of an exemption from the registration requirements under the Securities Act. As these securities are often restricted, we are unable to liquidate them until the restriction is removed. Unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities available for sale are recognized as an element of comprehensive income based on changes in the fair value of the security. Once liquidated, realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities available for sale are reflected in our net income for the period in which the security was liquidated.

Under the guidance of ASC 320, "Investments", we periodically evaluate other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) of securities to determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. Management utilizes criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, in addition to the reasons underlying the decline, to determine whether the loss in value is other than temporary. The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent. It indicates that the prospects for a near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values equal to, or greater than, the carrying value of the investment. Once a decline in value is determined to be other than temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding impairment charge to earnings is recognized. In the assessment of OTTI for various securities at September 30, 2010 the guidance in ASC 320, "the Investment-Debt and Equity Securities," is carefully followed.

There were no impairment charges on investments in publicly traded equity securities for the six months ended March 31, 2013 or 2012.

The Company has evaluated its publicly traded equity securities as of March 31, 2013, and has determined that there were no unrealized losses that indicate an other-than-temporary impairment. This determination was based on several factors, which include the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than the cost basis and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the Company's intent and ability to hold the publicly traded equity securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

#### **NOTE 9 - COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income or loss. Other comprehensive income or loss refers to revenue, expenses, gains and losses that under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States are included in comprehensive income but excluded from net income as these amounts are recorded directly as an adjustment to stockholders' equity.

Our accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consists of unrealized losses on marketable securities available for sale of \$70,750 at March 31, 2013, and unrealized gains of \$228,600 at September 30, 2012.

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**

**NOTE 10 - DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES**

*Derivative warrant liability*

The Company has warrants issued in connection with our convertible notes payable outstanding with price protection provisions that allow for the reduction in the exercise price of the warrants in the event the Company subsequently issues stock or securities convertible into stock at a price lower than the exercise price of the warrants. Simultaneously with any reduction to the exercise price, the number of shares of common stock that may be purchased upon exercise of each of these warrants shall be increased or decreased proportionately, so that after such adjustment the aggregate exercise price payable for the adjusted number of warrants shall be the same as the aggregate exercise price in effect immediately prior to such adjustment. The Company accounted for its warrants with price protection in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815.

**Accounting for Derivative Warrant Liability**

The Company's derivative warrant instruments have been measured at fair value at March 31, 2013 using the Black-Scholes model. The Company recognizes all of its warrants with price protection in its consolidated balance sheet as liabilities. The liability is revalued at each reporting period and changes in fair value are recognized currently in the consolidated statements of operations. The initial recognition and subsequent changes in fair value of the derivative warrant liability have no effect on the Company's cash flows.

The derivative warrants outstanding at March 31, 2013 are all currently exercisable with a weighted-average remaining life of 3.46 years.

The mark to market adjustments for the six month period ended March 31, 2013 resulted in the recognition of income of \$934,124 and an expense of \$617,079 within the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, under the caption "Change in fair value of derivative warrant liability". The fair value of the warrants at March 31, 2013 is \$170,375 which is reported on the consolidated balance sheet under the caption "Derivative Liability". The following summarizes the changes in the value of the derivative warrant liability from the date of the Company's issuance of derivative warrant instruments on November 23, 2011 until March 31, 2013:

|   | Value        | No. of Warrants |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Warrants Issued on November 23, 2011, as adjusted– Derivative warrant liability | \$ 1,750,000 | 83,682,624      |
| Decrease in fair value of derivative warrant liability                          | (645,501 )   | (2,669,628)     |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 – Derivative warrant liability                    | \$ 1,104,499 | 112,776,472     |
| Decrease in fair value of derivative warrant liability                          | (934,124 )   | (60,704,238)    |
| Balance at March 31, 2013 – Derivative warrant liability                        | \$ 170,375   | 52,072,234      |

- 19 -

## ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**March 31, 2013**

#### NOTE 10 - DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES (continued)

##### Fair Value Assumptions Used in Accounting for Derivative Warrant Liability

The Company has determined its derivative warrant liability to be a Level 2 fair value measurement and has used the Black-Scholes pricing model to calculate the fair value as of March 31, 2013. The Black-Scholes model requires six basic data inputs: the exercise or strike price, time to expiration, the risk free interest rate, the current stock price, the estimated volatility of the stock price in the future, and the dividend rate. Because the warrants contain the price protection feature, the probability that the exercise price of the warrants would decrease as the stock price decreased was incorporated into the valuation calculations. The key inputs used in the March 31, 2013 fair value calculations were as follows:

|                               | <b>March 31,<br/>2013</b> |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Current exercise price        | \$0.074                   |
| Time to expiration            | 3.46 years                |
| Risk-free interest rate       | 1.04 %                    |
| Estimated volatility          | 274 %                     |
| Dividend                      | -0-                       |
| Stock price on March 31, 2013 | \$ 0.033                  |

## **NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS**

We are on a month to month tenancy in our office space in Sterling, Virginia, as our two-year operating lease expired on March 31, 2012. The office lease agreement had certain escalation clauses and renewal options. We currently have no future minimum rental payments under operating leases.

## **Note 12 – STOCKHOLDERS’ DEFICIT**

### **Common Stock Warrants**

The outstanding warrants at March 31, 2013 have a weighted average exercise price of \$0.044 per share and have a weighted average remaining life of 3.46 years.

Certain of these warrants contain provisions which cause them to be classified as derivative liabilities pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification subtopic 815-40, “*Derivatives and hedging—Contracts in Entity’s Own Equity*” (ASC 815-40). Accordingly, upon issuance the warrants were recorded as a derivative liability and valued at a fair market value of \$1,750,000. The fair value of these warrants was decreased to \$170,205 as of March 31, 2013. The non-cash income adjustment recorded in the Statement of Operations for the six months ended March 31, 2013 was approximately \$934,124. We are required to continue to adjust the warrants to fair value through current period operations for each reporting period.

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2013

**Note 12 – STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT (continued)**

The Company issued warrants for common shares with a current exercise price as of March 31, 2013, as adjusted, of \$0.074 which have price protection provisions that allow for the reduction in the current exercise price upon the occurrence of certain events, including the Company's issuance of common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock, such as options and warrants, at a price per share less than the exercise price then in effect. For instance, if the Company issues shares of its common stock or options exercisable for or securities convertible into common stock at an effective price per share of common stock less than the exercise price then in effect, the exercise price will be reduced to the effective price of the new issuance. Simultaneously with any reduction to the exercise price, the number of shares of common stock that may be purchased upon exercise of each of these warrants shall be increased proportionately, so that after such adjustment the aggregate exercise price payable for the adjusted number of warrants shall be the same as the aggregate exercise price in effect immediately prior to such adjustment.

The Company's issuance of the following securities will not trigger the price protection provisions of the warrants described above that were issued in connection with the November 2011 private placement: (a) shares of common stock or standard options to the Company's directors, officers, employees or consultants pursuant to a board-approved equity compensation program or other contract or arrangement; (b) shares of common stock issued upon the conversion or exercise of any security, right or other instrument convertible or exchangeable into common stock (or securities exchangeable into common stock) issued prior to November 23, 2011; and (c) shares of common stock and warrants in connection with strategic alliances, acquisitions, mergers, and strategic partnerships, the primary purpose of which is not to raise capital, and which are approved in good faith by the Company's board of directors.

In October, 2012 we issued 10,433,853 warrants with a one year life and a conversion price of \$0.08 per share. These warrants are unregistered, do not have price protection, and were issued to a consultant for services rendered to the Company. The Company recognized \$42,724 of expense associated with the issuance of this warrant, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding common stock warrants as of March 31, 2013 and changes during the period ending on that date is as follows:

|                              | <b>Number of<br/>Warrants</b> | <b>Weighted Average<br/>Exercise Price</b> |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <u>Common Stock Warrants</u> |                               |  |
| Balance at beginning of year | 118,434,173                   | \$ 0.0844                                  |

|  |              |    |        |
|--|--------------|----|--------|
| Granted  | 10,433,853   |    | 0.08   |
| Exercised  | -            |    | -      |
| Forfeited  | (49,345,790) |    | 0.0774 |
| Balance at end of period   | 79,522,236   | \$ | 0.044  |
| Warrants exercisable at end of period  | 79,522,236   | \$ | 0.044  |
| Weighted average fair value of warrants granted or re-priced during the period |              | \$ | 0.041  |

- 21 -

## ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2013

## Note 12 – STOCKHOLDERS’ DEFICIT (continued)

The following table summarizes information about common stock warrants outstanding at March 31, 2013:

| Range of<br>Exercise<br>Price | Number<br>Outstanding<br>at<br>March 31,<br>2013 | Warrants Outstanding<br>Weighted            |  | Warrants Exercisable                          |  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
|                               |  | Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Life | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Number<br>Exercisable at<br>March 31,<br>2013 | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price |
| \$ 0.074                      | 54,166,829                                       | 3.59 Years                                  | \$ 0.074                                 | 54,166,829                                    | \$ 0.074                                 |
| \$ 0.08                       | 10,433,853                                       | 0.50 Years                                  | \$ 0.08                                  | 10,433,853                                    | \$ 0.08                                  |
| \$ 0.15                       | 14,801,554                                       | 3.50 Years                                  | \$ 0.15                                  | 14,801,554                                    | \$ 0.15                                  |
| \$ 0.50                       | 120,000  | 1.92 Years                                  | \$ 0.50                                  | 120,000                                       | \$ 0.50                                  |
|                               | 79,522,236                                       |   | \$ 0.0896                                | 79,522,236                                    | \$<br>0.0896                             |

**NOTE 13 - STOCK OPTION PLAN**

In August 2012, the Board of Directors adopted the 2012 Equity Compensation Plan (the “Plan”) for directors, officers and employees that provides for non-qualified and incentive stock options to be issued enabling holders thereof to purchase common shares of the Company at exercise prices determined by the Company’s Board of Directors.

The purpose of the Plan is to advance the Company’s interests and those of its stockholders by providing a means of attracting and retaining key employees, directors and consultants. In order to serve this purpose, the Company believes the Plan encourages and enables key employees, directors and consultants to participate in its future prosperity and growth by providing them with incentives and compensation based on its performance, development and financial success. Participants in the Plan may include the Company’s officers, directors, other key employees and consultants who have responsibilities affecting our management, development or financial success.

Awards may be made under the Plan in the form of Plan options, shares of the Company’s common stock subject to a vesting schedule based upon certain performance objectives (“Performance Shares”) and shares subject to a vesting schedule based on the recipient’s continued employment (“restricted shares”). Plan options may either be options qualifying as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended or options that do not so qualify. Any incentive stock option granted under the Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant, but the exercise price of any incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of our common stock must be at least 110% of such fair market value as determined on the date of the grant. Only persons who are officers or other key employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options and performance share grants. Any non-qualified stock option granted under the Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 50% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant.

- 22 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**March 31, 2013**



**NOTE 13 - STOCK OPTION PLAN (continued)**

The term of each Plan option and the manner in which it may be exercised is determined by the Board of Directors, provided that no Plan option may be exercisable more than three years after the date of its grant and, in the case of an incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of the Company's common stock, no more than five years after the date of the grant. The exercise price of the stock options may be paid in either cash, or delivery of unrestricted shares of common stock having a fair market value on the date of delivery equal to the exercise price, or surrender of shares of common stock subject to the stock option which has a fair market value equal to the total exercise price at the time of exercise, or a combination of the foregoing methods.

The fair value of stock options granted was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes options pricing model. The Company used the following assumptions for determining the fair value of options granted under the Black-Scholes option pricing model:

|                         | <b>March 31,</b> |             |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                         | <b>2013</b>      | <b>2012</b> |
| Expected volatility     | 36%-278%         | 323% - 325% |
| Expected term           | 1-5 Years        | 1 - 5 Years |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.06% - 0.072%   | 0.03%       |
| Forfeiture Rate         | 0% - 45%         | 0% - 45%    |
| Expected dividend yield | 0%               | 0%          |

The expected volatility was determined with reference to the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury rate in effect at the time of grant.

For the six months ended March 31, 2013, the Company granted 52,093,785 options to employees and consultants, which resulted in stock-based compensation charged to operations for option-based arrangements of \$362,421, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. At March 31, 2013, there was approximately \$216,321 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested option-based compensation arrangements under the Plan.

A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding stock options as of March 31, 2013 and changes during the period ending on that date is as follows:

**Weighted**

|  | <b>Number of<br/>Options</b> | <b>Weighted<br/>Average<br/>Exercise<br/>Price</b> | <b>Average<br/>Remaining<br/>Contractual<br/>Term</b> | <b>Aggregate<br/>Intrinsic<br/>Value</b> |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Balance outstanding at<br>September 30, 2012 | 8,353,185                    | \$ 0.077   | 3.78  | \$ 45,409                                |
| Granted                                      | 52,093,785                   | 0.054  | -   | -  |
| Exercised                                    | (52,354,000)                 | 0.053  | -   | -  |
| Forfeited                                    | (2,325,000)                  | 0.081  | -   | -  |
| Balance outstanding at March<br>31, 2013     | 5,767,970                    | \$ 0.0824  | 4.16  | \$ -                                     |

- 23 -

**ICEWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****MARCH 31, 2013****NOTE 14 - SEGMENT REPORTING**

Although the Company has a number of operating divisions, separate segment data has not been presented as they meet the criteria for aggregation as permitted by ASC Topic 280, "Segment Reporting" (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information").

Our chief operating decision-maker is considered to be our Chief Executive Officer (CEO). The CEO reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. The financial information reviewed by the CEO is identical to the information presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Therefore, the Company has determined that it operates in a single operating segment, specifically, web communications services. For the periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 all material assets and revenues of the Company were in the United States.

**NOTE 15 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

## **Amendment to the Series O and Series Q warrants**

On April 10, 2013 we entered into an agreement with the holders of the Series O warrants and Series Q warrants whereby in exchange for their agreement to waive any right to an adjustment in the exercise price or any additional shares of these warrants in accordance with the foregoing “full ratchet” provisions, and their agreement to sell no more than 10% of the daily volume of our common stock in the market on any given trading day, we reduced the exercise price of each of the Series O warrants and Series Q warrants to \$0.028 per share. The Company, in accounting for this in accordance with ASC 718, determined that the financial impact of this amendment to the warrants was de minimus.

As previously disclosed, in November 2011, IceWEB, Inc. entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with accredited investors pursuant to which we sold \$2,012,500 in principal amount of senior convertible notes and issued the investors Series O, Series P and Series Q warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 35,514,789 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,750,000 in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933. We issued Rodman & Renshaw, LLC, a broker-dealer and member of FINRA who acted as the exclusive placement agent for us in this offering, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 911,765 shares of our common stock which are identical to the Series O warrants as partial compensation for their services to us. Rodman & Renshaw, LLC subsequently transferred those warrants to third parties.

The terms of these warrants provided that the exercise price of the warrants was initially \$0.17 per share, subject to adjustment as described below. The Series O warrants and Series P warrants were each immediately exercisable. The Series Q warrants became exercisable at any time that any portion of the Series P warrants held by that warrant holder were exercised. The term of the Series O warrants is five years from the issue date, the term of the Series P warrants is one year from the Applicable Date, as hereinafter defined, and the term of the Series Q warrants was for five years from the Applicable Date, subject to the aforescribed requirement. The warrants are not exercisable if, after giving effect to the exercise, the holder or any of its affiliates would be the beneficial owner as determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC of in excess of 4.9% of our outstanding shares of common stock. In addition to customary anti-dilution provisions, the warrant exercise price is also subject to a “full ratchet” anti-dilution adjustment which, in the event that we issue or are deemed to have issued, certain securities at a price lower than the then applicable warrant exercise price, immediately reduces warrant exercise price to equal the price at which we issued or was deemed to have issued, our common stock. As a result of subsequent transactions by us, the exercise price of each series of these warrants was subsequently reduced to \$0.074 per share and the number of shares underlying these warrants were increased.

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**MARCH 31, 2013**

**NOTE 15 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (continued)**

The registration statement covering the resale of the shares of common stock underlying each series of these warrants was declared effective by the SEC on February 8, 2012 (the "Applicable Date"). As of May 15, 2013 there are a total of 29,290,605 Series O warrants and 3,108,115 Series Q warrants outstanding, net of exercises and expirations.

**Amendment to the Sand Hill Finance, LLC Financing Agreement**

On April 12, 2013 the Company entered into an agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC to amend the existing Financing Agreement by issuing a convertible debenture to replace IceWEB's existing note payable, in the amount of \$2,139,235. The debenture is convertible into common stock at a fixed price of \$0.075 per share, bears interest at 12% annually, and has a two year term. In addition, the terms of the note call for monthly payments of \$15,000, which increases to \$25,000 in the event that IceWEB raises \$3,000,000 or more in an equity financing. The exchange of debt instruments qualifies under ASC 470-50 "Modifications and Extinguishments" as a debt extinguishment and the Company will account for it in its quarter ending June 30, 2013 financial statements in accordance with ASC 405-20-40 "Derecognition.

- 25 -

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION**

The following analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, including footnotes, appearing elsewhere in this quarterly report.

## **OVERVIEW**

Headquartered just outside of Washington, D.C., we manufacture high performance unified data storage appliances with enterprise storage management capabilities. Through thin provisioning, target deduplication and inline compression managed through IceWEB's proprietary IceSTORM™ Operating System, IceWEB's unified storage appliances enable standardization, consolidation and optimized storage utilization for virtual and cloud environments, saving up to 90% of storage costs, while reducing space, power and cooling requirements and simplifying storage management. Our customer base includes mid-sized businesses, large enterprises, and government organizations.

Major shifts in data center environments toward virtual and cloud based infrastructures have compounded the need for storage resources that can handle the complex and mixed systems that combine both file and block data. Unified Data Storage from IceWEB reduces this complexity by providing a simplified environment to enable virtualization and cloud computing deployments, protection, and cost savings. Unified Data Storage also provides cost savings through optimized storage utilization, made possible through IceWEB's thin provisioning, storage pooling, compression and deduplication.

We generate revenue from the manufacture and sale of high-performance unified data storage appliances with IceWEB's proprietary IceSTORM (STorage Optimization and Resource Management) Operating System.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

A summary of significant accounting policies is included in Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements included for the year ended September 30, 2012 and notes thereto contained on Form 10-K of the Company as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Management believes that the application of these policies on a consistent basis enables us to provide useful and reliable financial information about the company's operating results and financial condition.

Financial Reporting Release No. 60, which was released by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, encourages all companies to include a discussion of critical accounting policies or methods used in the preparation of financial statements. Our consolidated financial statements include a summary of the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect the significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

- 26 -

Use of Estimates - Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations is based upon our unaudited consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates these estimates, including those related to allowances for doubtful accounts receivable, the carrying value of property and equipment and long-lived assets, and the value of stock-option based compensation. Management bases these estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Accounting for Stock Based Compensation - Effective October 1, 2005, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of ASC Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation. ASC Topic 718 establishes the financial accounting and reporting standards for stock-based compensation plans. As required by ASC Topic 718, we recognize the cost resulting from all stock-based payment transactions including shares issued under our stock option plans in the financial statements. The adoption of ASC Topic 718 will have a negative impact on our future results of operations.

Revenue Recognition - We follow the guidance of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition" for revenue recognition. In general, we record revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or product delivery has occurred, the sales price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. The following policies reflect specific criteria for our various revenues streams:

- Revenues from sales of products are generally recognized when products are shipped unless the Company has obligations remaining under sales or licensing agreements, in which case revenue is either deferred until all

obligations are satisfied or recognized ratably over the term of the contract.

- Revenue from services is recorded as it is earned. Commissions earned on third party sales are recorded in the month in which contracts are awarded. Customers are generally billed every two weeks based on the units of production for the project. Each project has an estimated total which is based on the estimated units of production and agreed upon billing rates.
- Amounts billed in advance of services being provided are recorded as deferred revenues and recognized in the consolidated statement of operations as services are provided.

- 27 -

**THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013 COMPARED TO THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012**

The following table provides an overview of certain key factors of our results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the three and six months ended March 31, 2012:

|                               | <b>Three months ended March 31,</b> |                | <b>Six months ended March 31,</b> |               |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
|                               | <b>2013</b>                         | <b>2012</b>    | <b>2013</b>                       | <b>2012</b>   |
| Net Revenues                  | \$ 455,144                          | \$ 1,132,958   | \$ 768,555                        | \$1,891,856   |
| Cost of sales                 | 254,119                             | 753,077        | 428,214                           | 1,225,689     |
| Operating Expenses:           |                                     |                |                                   |               |
| Sales and marketing expense   | 480,175                             | 220,187        | 616,309                           | 332,557       |
| Depreciation and amortization | 52,977                              | 46,772         | 70,993                            | 115,639       |
| Research and development      | 198,418                             | 210,309        | 480,035                           | 419,082       |
| General and administrative    | 1,700,966                           | 541,900        | 2,703,873                         | 893,144       |
| Total operating expenses      | 2,432,536                           | 1,019,168      | 3,871,211                         | 1,760,422     |
| Loss from operation           | (2,231,511)                         | (639,287)      | (3,530,869)                       | (1,094,255)   |
| Total other income (expense)  | 107,234                             | (854,982)      | 615,466                           | (1,428,800)   |
| Net income (loss)             | \$ (2,124,277)                      | \$ (1,494,269) | \$(2,915,403)                     | \$(2,523,055) |

Other Key Indicators:

|   | <b>Three months ended</b> |             | <b>Six months ended</b> |             |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|   | <b>March 31,</b>          |             | <b>March 31,</b>        |             |
|   | <b>2013</b>               | <b>2012</b> | <b>2013</b>             | <b>2012</b> |
| Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues                       | 55.8%                     | 66.5%       | 55.7%                   | 64.8%       |
| Gross profit margin   | 44.2%                     | 33.5%       | 44.3%                   | 35.2%       |
| General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues | 373.7%                    | 47.8%       | 351.8%                  | 47.2%       |
| Total operating expenses as a percentage of revenues            | 534.5%                    | 90.0%       | 503.7%                  | 93.1%       |

**Six Month Period ended March 31, 2013*****Revenues***

For the six months ended March 31, 2013, we reported revenues of \$768,555 as compared to revenues of \$1,891,856 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$1,231,301 or approximately 59%. The decrease is primarily due to the slow-down from our government customers and the residual effect in our sales efforts from the untimely passing of our former CEO, John Signorello.

- 28 -

***Cost of Sales***

Our cost of sales consists primarily of component parts for the manufacture of our storage products. For the six months ended March 31, 2013, cost of sales was \$428,214, or approximately 55.7% of revenues, compared to \$1,225,689, or approximately 64.8% of revenues, for the six months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in costs of sales as a percentage of revenue and the corresponding increase in our gross profit margin for the six months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the six months ended March 31, 2012 was the result of lower component costs, primarily the price of hard drives, which were higher in the prior year period due to the disruption in the world supply from the flooding in Thailand. We anticipate that our gross profit margins will remain in the range of 40% to 45% through the balance of fiscal 2013.

***Total Operating Expenses***



Our total operating expenses increased approximately 120% to \$3,871,211 for the six months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to \$1,760,422 for the six months ended March 31, 2012. These changes include:

- Sales and marketing expense . Sales and marketing expense includes salaries, commission, occupancy, telephone, travel, and entertainment expenses for direct sales personnel. For the six months ended March 31, 2013, sales and marketing costs were \$616,309 as compared to \$332,557 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$283,752 or approximately 85%. The increase is primarily due higher costs for sales and marketing efforts.
- Depreciation and amortization expense . For the six months ended March 31, 2013, depreciation and amortization expense amounted to \$70,993 as compared to \$115,639 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$44,645 or 39%.
- Research and development . For the six months ended March 31, 2013, research and development costs were \$480,035 as compared to \$419,082 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$60,953 or approximately 15%. Research and development expense is higher due to higher headcount and consulting costs.
- General and administrative expense . For the six months ended March 31, 2013, general and administrative expenses were \$2,703,873 as compared to \$893,144 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$1,810,729 or approximately 203%. For the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 general and administrative expenses consisted of the following:

|                       | <b>Fiscal Q2<br/>2013</b> | <b>Fiscal Q2<br/>2012</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Occupancy             | \$ 18,515                 | \$ 19,455                 |
| Consulting            | 1,063,148                 | 4,465                     |
| Employee compensation | 618,737                   | 401,834                   |
| Professional fees     | 113,109                   | 105,514                   |
| Internet/Phone        | 4,194                     | 3,410                     |
| Travel/Entertainment  | 17,964                    | 17,158                    |
| Investor Relations    | 793,095                   | 261,232                   |
| Insurance             | 9,272                     | 5,717                     |
| Other                 | 65,839                    | 74,359                    |
|                       | <b>\$ 2,703,873</b>       | <b>\$ 893,144</b>         |

- For the six months ended March 31, 2013, Occupancy expense decreased to \$18,515 as compared to \$19,455, primarily due to a decreased allocation of rent expense to administration.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013, Consulting expense increased to \$1,063,148 as compared to \$4,465. Consulting expense increased primarily as a result of non-cash based costs incurred related to mergers and acquisition activity.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013, salaries and related expenses increased to \$618,737 as compared to \$401,834, an increase of \$216,903. The increase is due primarily to higher non-cash compensation expense of \$368,611 as compared to \$6,846, offset by lower cash-based compensation expense.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013, Professional fees expense increased to \$113,109 as compared to \$105,514. Professional fees expense increased due to increased costs related to intellectual property patent applications and other legal fees.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013, internet and telephone expense increased to \$4,194 as compared to \$3,410.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013, travel and entertainment expense increased to \$17,964 as compared to \$17,158. The increase was primarily due to increased travel related to investor relations and analyst meetings.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013 Other expense amounted to \$65,839 as compared to \$74,359 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$8,520.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013 Investor relations expense increased to \$793,095 as compared to \$261,232 for the six months ended March 31, 2012. The increase is due to increased investor relations activity.
- For the six months ended March 31, 2013, insurance expense decreased to \$9,272 as compared to \$5,717. The increase was primarily due to increase in premiums for insurance.

We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will decrease for the balance of fiscal 2013 due to lower consulting and investor relations expense.

### ***LOSS FROM OPERATIONS***

We reported a loss from operations of \$3,530,869 for the six months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to a loss from operations of \$1,094,255 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, an increased loss of \$2,436,614 or approximately 223%.

***OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)***

Interest Expense . For the six months ended March 31, 2013, interest expense amounted to \$318,658 as compared to \$811,743 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$493,085 or 61%. The decrease in interest expense is primarily attributable to the reduced amortization of the discount on our convertible debentures, which were retired in February, 2013.

Gain (loss) on change of fair value of derivative liability. For the six months ended March 31, 2013 we incurred a decrease in the value of the derivative liability of \$934,124 as compared to an increase in the value of the derivative liability of \$617,079 for the six months ended March 31, 2012.

- 30 -

***NET INCOME/ LOSS***

Our net loss was \$2,915,403 for the six months ended March 31, 2013 compared to a net loss of \$2,523,055 for the six months ended March 31, 2012.

**Three Month Period ended March 31, 2013**

***Revenues***

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we reported revenues of \$455,144 as compared to revenues of \$1,132,958 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$677,814 or approximately 60%.

### ***Cost of Sales***

Our cost of sales consists of component parts for the manufacture of our storage products. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, cost of sales was \$254,119, or approximately 55.8% of revenues, compared to \$753,077, or approximately 66.5% of revenues, for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in costs of sales as a percentage of revenue and the corresponding increase in our gross profit margin for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2012 was the result of lower component costs, primarily the price of hard drives, which were higher in the prior year period due to the disruption in the world supply from the flooding in Thailand. We anticipate that our gross profit margins will remain in the range of 40% to 45% through the balance of fiscal 2013.

### ***Total Operating Expenses***

Our total operating expenses increased approximately 139% to \$2,432,536 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to \$1,019,168 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. These changes include:

- Sales and marketing expense . For the three months ended March 31, 2013, sales and marketing expenses were \$480,175 as compared to \$220,187 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$259,988 or approximately 118%. The increase is primarily due higher costs for sales and marketing efforts.
- Depreciation and amortization expense . For the three months ended March 31, 2013, depreciation and amortization expense amounted to \$52,977 as compared to \$46,772 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$6,205 or 13%.
- Research and development . For the three months ended March 31, 2013, research and development costs were \$198,418 as compared to \$210,309 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$11,891 or approximately 6%.
- General and administrative expense . For the three months ended March 31, 2013, general and administrative expenses were \$1,700,966 as compared to \$541,900 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$1,159,066 approximately 214%. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2011 general and administrative expenses consisted of the following:

- 31 -

|                       | <b>Fiscal Q2<br/>2013</b> | <b>Fiscal Q2<br/>2012</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Occupancy             | \$ 10,202                 | \$ 8,691                  |
| Consulting            | 972,015                   | 2,855                     |
| Employee compensation | 368,647                   | 195,355                   |
| Professional fees     | 47,778                    | 60,170                    |
| Internet/Phone        | 1,946                     | 1,408                     |
| Travel/Entertainment  | 3,412                     | 4,133                     |
| Investor Relations    | 273,999                   | 232,746                   |
| Insurance             | 3,616                     | 3,121                     |
| Other                 | 19,351                    | 33,421                    |
|                       | <b>\$ 1,700,966</b>       | <b>\$ 541,900</b>         |

- For the three months ended March 31, 2013, Occupancy expense increased to \$10,202 as compared to \$8,691.
- For the three months ended March 31, 2013, Consulting expense increased to \$972,015 as compared to \$2,855, an increase of \$969,160. Consulting expense increased primarily as a result of increased consulting related to merger and acquisition activity.
- For the three months ended March 31, 2013, salaries and related expenses increased to \$368,647 as compared to \$195,355, an increase of \$173,292, or 88.7%. The increase is due primarily to increased non-cash stock and option based compensation expense of \$243,033.
- For the three months ended March 31, 2013, Professional fees expense decreased to \$47,778 as compared to \$60,170. Professional fees expense decreased primarily as a result of a decrease in legal fees incurred related to on-going litigation activities.
- For the three months ended March 31, 2013, Internet/Phone expense increased to \$1,946 as compared to \$1,408.
- For the three months ended March 31, 2013, travel and entertainment expense decreased to \$3,412 as compared to \$4,133. Travel and entertainment expense decreased due to decreased travel for investor relations and general corporate travel activity.
-

## Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, Insurance expense increased to \$3,616 as compared to \$3,121, as a result of higher premiums charged.

- For the three months ended March 31, 2013 Other expense amounted to \$19,351 as compared to \$33,421 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.
- For the three months ended March 31, 2013 Investor relations expense increased to \$273,999 as compared to \$232,746 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase is due to increased investor relations activity.

### ***LOSS FROM OPERATIONS***

We reported a loss from operations of \$2,231,511 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to a loss from operations of \$639,287 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$1,592,224.

- 32 -

### ***OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)***

Interest Expense . For the three months ended March 31, 2013, interest expense amounted to \$206,759 as compared to \$525,321 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$318,562 or 61%. The decrease in interest expense is primarily attributable to lower amortization of the discount on our outstanding convertible debentures.

Gain (loss) on change of fair value of derivative liability. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 we incurred a decrease in the value of the derivative liability of \$313,993, as compared to an increase in the value of the derivative liability of \$329,683 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

### ***NET INCOME/ LOSS***

Our net loss was \$2,124,277 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to a net loss of \$1,494,269 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

### ***LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES***

Liquidity is the ability of a company to generate funds to support its current and future operations, satisfy its obligations and otherwise operate on an ongoing basis. The following table provides an overview of certain selected balance sheet comparisons between March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012:

|  | <b>March 31,<br/>2013</b> | <b>September<br/>30,<br/>2012</b> | <b>\$<br/>Change</b> | <b>%<br/>Change</b> |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Working Capital                            | (2,358,343)               | (2,904,559)                       | 546,216              | (18.8%)             |
| Cash                                       | 107,857                   | 269,594                           | (161,737)            | (60.0%)             |
| Accounts receivable, net                   | 546,815                   | 563,320                           | (16,505)             | (2.9%)              |
| Marketable Securities, current             | 10,250                    | 72,000                            | (61,750)             | (85.8%)             |
| Inventory                                  | 267,663                   | 282,231                           | (14,568)             | (5.2%)              |
| Total current assets                       | 1,034,417                 | 1,213,722                         | (179,305)            | (14.8%)             |
| Property and equipment, net                | 428,793                   | 499,785                           | (70,992)             | (14.2%)             |
| Deferred financing costs, net              | -                         | 114,395                           | (114,395)            | (100.0%)            |
| Total assets                               | 1,478,075                 | 2,080,367                         | (602,292)            | (29.0%)             |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities   | 969,153                   | 824,128                           | 145,025              | 17.6%               |
| Notes payable                              | 2,139,235                 | 2,059,582                         | 79,653               | 3.9%                |
| Convertible notes payable, net of discount | -                         | 105,175                           | (105,175)            | (100.0%)            |
| Derivative liability                       | 170,375                   | 1,104,499                         | (934,124)            | (84.6%)             |
| Total current liabilities                  | 3,392,759                 | 4,118,281                         | (725,522)            | (17.6%)             |
| Accumulated deficit                        | (43,728,531)              | (40,813,128)                      | (2,915,403)          | 7.1%                |
| Stockholders' deficit                      | (1,914,685)               | (2,037,914)                       | 123,229              | (6.0%)              |

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1,435,498 for the six months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$3,131,723 for the six months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$1,744,760. For the six months ended March 31, 2013, we had a loss of \$2,915,403 offset by non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization expense of \$70,933, share-based compensation expense of \$446,265, amortization of deferred finance costs of \$114,396, and decreases from changes in assets and liabilities of \$65,190. During the six months ended March 31, 2013 we experienced an increase in accounts receivable of \$16,505, and an increase in accounts payable during the period of \$145,024. For the six months ended March 31, 2012, we had a loss of \$2,523,055 offset by non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization expense of \$115,639, share-based compensation expense of \$21,212, amortization of deferred finance costs of \$77,353, and decreases from changes in assets and liabilities of \$1,471,424. During the six months ended March 31, 2012 we experienced an increase in accounts receivable of \$347,900, and a decrease in accounts payable during the period of \$898,488.

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended March 31, 2013 was \$0 as compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$162,395 for the six months ended March 31, 2012. During the six months ended March 31, 2012, we used cash of \$129,395 for property and equipment purchases, and \$33,000 for the purchase of 3,300,000 of VOIS Inc. common stock.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended March 31, 2013 was \$1,225,226 as compared to net cash provided of \$3,402,357 for the six months ended March 31, 2012. For the six months ended March 31, 2013, net cash provided by financing activities related to proceeds received from notes payable of \$280,486 which were advances under our factoring line with Sand Hill Finance LLC, proceeds from the exercise of common stock options of \$885,573, proceeds from the sale of restricted stock of \$149,000, and proceeds from a note payable with related parties of \$111,000, offset by repayments on notes payable of \$200,833 which were to pay down the balance on the Sand Hill Finance LLC factoring line. For the six months ended March 31, 2012, net cash provided by financing activities related to proceeds received from subscriptions receivable of \$1,171,520, proceeds from notes payable of \$200,936 which were advances under our factoring line with Sand Hill Finance LLC, proceeds from the issuance of convertible notes of \$1,750,000, proceeds from the exercise of common stock options of \$180,717, proceeds from the conversion of common stock warrants of \$79,000, payments on the convertible debenture with common stock of \$471,394, proceeds from the sale of restricted stock of \$365,000, offset by repayments on notes payable of \$244,940 which were to pay down the balance on the Sand Hill Finance LLC factoring line, and payment of deferred financing costs of \$571,270.

At March 31, 2013 we had a working capital deficit of \$2,358,342 and an accumulated deficit of \$43,728,531. The report from our independent registered public accounting firm on our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 contained an explanatory paragraph regarding doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern as a result of our net losses in operations. Our sales were not sufficient to pay our operating expenses. We reported a net loss of \$2,915,403 for the six months ended March 31, 2013. There are no assurances that we will report income from operations in any future periods.

Historically, our revenues have not been sufficient to fund our operations and we have relied on capital provided through the sale of equity securities, and various financing arrangements and loans from related parties. At March 31, 2013 we had cash on hand of \$107,857. At March 31, 2013 we owed Sand Hill Finance, LLC \$2,139,235 under our financing agreement.



We do not have any commitments for capital expenditures. We do not presently have any external sources of working capital other than what may be available under the factoring agreement with Sand Hill Finance and loans from related parties. Our working capital needs in future periods are dependent primarily on the rate at which we can increase our revenues while controlling our expenses and decreasing the use of cash to fund operations. Additional capital may be needed to fund acquisitions of additional companies or assets, although we are not a party to any pending agreements at this time and, accordingly, cannot estimate the amount of capital which may be necessary, if any, for acquisitions.

- 34 -

As long as our cash flow from operations remains insufficient to completely fund operations, we will continue depleting our financial resources and seeking additional capital through equity and/or debt financing. Under the terms of the financing agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC we agreed not to incur any additional indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business. These covenants may limit our ability to raise capital in future periods.

There can be no assurance that acceptable financing can be obtained on suitable terms, if at all. Our ability to continue our existing operations and to continue growth strategy could suffer if we are unable to raise the additional funds on acceptable terms which will have the effect of adversely affecting our ongoing operations and limiting our ability to increase our revenues and maintain profitable operations in the future. If we are unable to secure the necessary additional working capital as needed, we may be forced to curtail some or all of our operations.

### ***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on its result of operations, financial position or cash flow.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

None.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

*Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures* . Our Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by the Quarterly Report (the “evaluation date”). They have concluded that, as of the evaluation date, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that material information relating to us and our consolidated subsidiaries would be made known to them by others within those entities and would be disclosed on a timely basis.

*Changes in internal control over financial reporting*. There were no changes to internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially impact, our internal controls over financial reporting.

- 35 -

**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings, which arise in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business.

At March 31, 2013 we are the subject of, or party to, three known, pending or threatened, legal actions. Following is a discussion of each:

1. *Lincoln Holdings, LLC d/b/a Monumental Sports & Entertainment v. IceWEB, Inc.; Case No. 2012CA006032C, in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia*. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb breached a settlement agreement relating to an underlying contract for advertising and received a judgment on December 31, 2012 in the amount of \$30,000.

2. *Wolfe Axelrod Weinberger LLC v. IceWEB, Inc.; Case No. 161480043612, American Arbitration Association* The plaintiff asserts that IceWEB failed to pay the full amount owed for investor relations services. This matter has now settled with the entry of a consent judgment in the Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia for \$70,000.00 on February 27, 2013.

3. *I-Cubed Information, Integration and Imagins, LLC. V. IceWEB Storage Corporation; Case No. GV12019582-00, in the Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia.* The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff. Iceweb Storage Corporation, an operating wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, consented to the entry of a judgment in the General District Court of Fairfax County, Virginia on January 17, 2013 for \$12,920.60.

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed under the heading “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on December 31, 2012, which could materially affect our business operations, financial condition or future results. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business operations and/or financial condition. There have been no material changes to our risk factors since the filing of our Form 10-K.

### **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

#### *Fiscal 2013 Transactions*

During November, 2012, in conjunction with certain employment agreements, we issued 918,919 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$648,524 to three executive employees. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During January, 2013, in conjunction with certain employment agreements, we issued 216,216 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$12,151, in lieu of pay to three executive employees. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

### **Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

- 36 -

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None.

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

**Exhibit**

**Number      Description**

31.1      Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 \*

31.2      Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 \*

32.1      Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 \*

32.2      Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 \*

\* Filed herein

**SIGNATURES**

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**ICEWEB, INC.**

May 15, 2013

By: /s/ Rob Howe III  
Rob Howe III,  
Chief Executive Officer, principal executive officer

May 15, 2013

By: /s/ Mark B. Lucky  
Mark B. Lucky  
Chief Financial Officer, principal financial and accounting officer

- 37 -

**EXHIBIT 31.1**

Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Rob Howe III, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Form 10-Q of IceWEB, Inc. for the period ended March 31, 2013;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the small business issuer and have:

(a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c) disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2013

By: /s/ Rob Howe III

Rob Howe III

Chief Executive Officer, principal executive officer

**EXHIBIT 31.2**

Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Mark B. Lucky, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Form 10-Q of IceWEB, Inc. for the period ended March 31, 2013;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the small business issuer and have:

- (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  
- (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  
- (c) disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  
- (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2013

By: /s/ Mark B. Lucky

Mark B. Lucky,

Chief Financial Officer, principal financial and accounting officer.



**EXHIBIT 32.1**

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of IceWEB, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I, John R. Signorello, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350, as adopted pursuant to ss. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

May 15, 2013

By: /s/ Rob Howe III

Rob Howe III,

Chief Executive Officer, principal executive officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement has been provided to the company and will be retained by the company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

**EXHIBIT 32.2**

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of IceWEB, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I, Mark B. Lucky, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350, as adopted pursuant to ss. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

May 15, 2013

By: /s/ Mark B. Lucky

Mark B. Lucky,

Chief Financial Officer, principal financial and accounting officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement has been provided to the company and will be retained by the company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.