EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSRS May 25, 2010

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 Form N-CSR

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21147
Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

(formerly, Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund)
Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)
Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110
(Name and Address of Agent for Services)
(617) 482-8260
(registrant s Telephone Number)
September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End March 31, 2010 Date of Reporting Period

# **Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

emiannual Report March 31, 2010 CLOSED-END FUNDS: EATON VANCE Municipal MUNICIPAL BONDCalifornia FUNDSNew York

#### IMPORTANT NOTICES REGARDING PRIVACY, DELIVERY OF SHAREHOLDER DOCUMENTS, PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS AND PROXY VOTING

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Boston Management and Research, and Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e. fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial adviser/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such adviser s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures.

For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

**Delivery of Shareholder Documents.** The Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders.

Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, otherwise.

If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial adviser.

Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial adviser.

**Portfolio Holdings.** Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

**Proxy Voting.** From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122. This description is also available on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

# Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2010

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Investment Update	2
Performance Information and Portfolio Composition	
Municipal Bond Fund	4
California Municipal Bond Fund	5
New York Municipal Bond Fund	6
Financial Statements	7
Notice to Shareholders	35
Special Meeting of Shareholders	36
Board of Trustees Annual Approval of the Investment Advisory Agreements	37
Officers and Trustees	40
1	

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2010

#### **INVESTMENT UPDATE**

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds (the Funds ) are closed-end funds traded on the NYSE Amex, which are designed to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, federal alternative minimum tax and, in state specific funds, state personal income taxes. On January 29, 2010, shareholders of the Funds approved a modification to each Fund s 80 percent policy to eliminate the requirement to invest primarily in insured municipal obligations and to eliminate Insured from each Fund s name. Under normal market conditions, the Funds are now required to invest at least 80 percent of net assets in municipal obligations rated A or better by Moody s Investors Service, Inc., Standard & Poor s Ratings Group or Fitch Ratings.

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

During the six months ending March 31, 2010, the U.S. economy and the capital markets remained relatively stable, despite continued high unemployment and concerns over the U.S. budget. The economy grew at an annualized rate of 5.7% in the fourth quarter of 2009 and an estimated 3.2% in the first quarter of 2010, according to the U.S. Department of Commerce.

During the six-month period, the municipal bond market s performance was relatively flat, with slightly negative returns in the fourth quarter of 2009 being offset by positive performance of just over 1% in the first quarter of 2010. For the period, the Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index (the Index) a broad-based, index of municipal bonds gained 0.28%. This modest performance followed one of the best calendar year periods for municipals in many years, however. Moreover, economic fundamentals continued to improve and demand for municipals remained strong. The significant performance disparities among the municipal market s segments, which became historically wide during 2008 and the first three quarters of 2009, began to dissipate during the six-month period. For the first time in almost two years, we witnessed a period in which there were not significant differences in muni performance by maturity, credit quality and sector. In the face of limited tax-exempt supply, due to the success of the Build America Bond program, demand from municipal investors remained positive during the period, though the gusto with which they purchased municipal funds waned from 2009 levels. We believe lighter inflows were likely driven by lower yields, a continuation of credit-related headline noise and investor preparation for tax bills in March and April.

#### **Management Discussion**

During the six months ending March 31, 2010, the Funds underperformed their respective benchmark indices at net asset value. Given the combination of the Funds objective of providing tax-exempt income and the municipal yield curve s historically upward slope, the Funds generally hold longer-maturity bonds relative to the broad market and many of our competitors. Our bias toward long maturities was the basis for much of our significant relative outperformance in the first three quarters of 2009, though it detracted slightly from relative performance during the six-month period.

Management employed leverage in the Funds, through which additional exposure to the municipal market was achieved. Leverage has the impact of magnifying a Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets.

As we move ahead, we recognize that many state and local governments face significant budget deficits that are driven primarily by a steep decline in tax revenues. We will continue to monitor any new developments as state and local officials formulate solutions to address these fiscal problems. As in all environments, we maintain our long-term perspective on the markets against the backdrop of relatively short periods of market volatility. We will continue to actively manage the Funds with the same income-focused, relative value approach we have always employed. We believe that this approach, which is based on credit research and decades of experience in the municipal market, will serve municipal investors well over the long term.

1 It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The

Indices total returns do not reflect expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Indices.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

The views expressed throughout this report are those of the portfolio managers and are current only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and the investment adviser disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. Portfolio information provided in the report may not be representative of the Funds current or future investments and may change due to active management.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2010

#### **INVESTMENT UPDATE**

As of February 19, 2010, William H. Ahern, Jr. became the portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund. Mr. Ahern is a Vice President of Eaton Vance and manages other Eaton Vance municipal portfolios. He has been employed by Eaton Vance since 1989.

#### A Note Regarding The Use Of Leverage

The Funds employ leverage through the use of residual interest bond (RIB) financing. Each Funds RIB percentage leverage as of March 31, 2010, is reflected on the Fund-specific pages following this letter. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of the common shares).

1 See Note 1H to the Financial Statements for more information on RIB investments.

3

# Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Performance <sup>1</sup>	
NYSE Amex Symbol	EIM
Average Annual Total Returns (by market price)	
Six Months	1.93%
One Year	28.65
Five Years Life of Fund (8/30/02)	5.65 5.50
Ene of Fund (oreotto)	5.50
Average Annual Total Returns (by net asset value)	
Six Months	-2.87%
One Year	27.90
Five Years	3.14
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	4.85
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	4.87%
Market Yields	
Market Yield <sup>2</sup> Tanakla Favinalant Market Yield <sup>3</sup>	7.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield <sup>3</sup> Index Performance <sup>4</sup> (Average Annual Total Returns)	10.89%
muon 1 varonnamo (11 vango 1 minum 1 out 11	
	Barclays Capital Long (22+)
	Municipal Bond Index
Six Months	-0.43%
One Year	17.35
Five Years Life of Fund (8/31/02)	3.96 4.82
Life of Pullu (8/31/02)	7.02
Lipper Averages <sup>5</sup> (Average Annual Total Returns)	
Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification (by net asset value)	
Six Months	0.39%
One Year	25.99
Five Years	3.83
Life of Fund (8/31/02)  Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are	4.99 calculated by determining the
1 as perjornance is no guarantee of junite results. Returns are mistorical and and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment

return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Bond values decline as interest rates rise. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Portfolio Manager: William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

Rating Distribution\*6

#### By total investments

*	The rating
	distribution
	presented above
	includes the
	ratings of
	securities held
	by special
	purpose vehicles
	in which the
	Fund holds a
	residual
	interest. See
	Note 1H to the
	Fund s
	financial
	statements.
	Absent such
	securities, the
	Fund s rating
	distribution as
	of 3/31/10 is as
	follows:

E 10	7		
A		Rated	
	29.6%	Not	0.8%
AA	31.5%	CCC	0.6%
AAA	23.9%	BBB	13.6%

Fund Statistics<sup>7</sup>

Number of Issues:	160
Average Maturity:	25.8 years
Average Effective Maturity:	20.3 years
Average Call Protection:	9.3 years
Average Dollar Price:	\$95.27
RIB Leverage:	42.4%

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Note 1H to the Fund s financial

statements. RIB leverage represents the amount of RIB Floating Rate Notes outstanding as of 3/31/10 as a percentage of the Fund s net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.

Six-month returns are cumulative. Other returns are presented on an average annual basis. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in market price or net asset value (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at market price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance

results reflect the effects of auction preferred shares (for certain periods) outstanding and/or RIB investments, which are forms of investment leverage. Use of leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

- The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last regular dividend per common share in the period (annualized) by the market price at the end of the period.
- 3 Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 35.00% federal income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor

individually
purchased or sold
the securities
represented in the
Index. Index
performance is
available as of
month end only.

The Lipper Averages are the average annual total returns, at net asset value, of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification (closed-end) contained 64, 62, 60 and 55 funds for the 6-month, 1-year, 5-year and Life-of-Fund periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month end only.

6 Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s

investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied.

7 Fund holdings information excludes securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements.

4

# Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Performance <sup>1</sup> NYSE Amex Symbol		EVM
Average Annual Total Returns (by market price)		
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/30/02)		-2.99% 27.12 4.25 4.16
Average Annual Total Returns (by net asset value)		
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/30/02) Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-4.85% 21.55 2.43 3.87 2.19%
Market Yields		
Market Yield <sup>2</sup> Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield <sup>3</sup> Index Performance <sup>4</sup> (Average Annual Total Returns)		6.99% 12.02%
	Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index	Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/31/02) Lipper Averages <sup>5</sup> (Average Annual Total Returns)	0.28% 9.69 4.58 4.60	-0.43% 17.35 3.96 4.82
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Classification (by net a	sset value)	
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/31/02) Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are		-1.07% 22.22 3.03 4.41 ed by determining the

percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment

return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund's current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Bond values decline as interest rates rise. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Portfolio Manager: Cynthia J. Clemson

Rating Distribution\*6

#### By total investments

The rating distribution presented above includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See *Note 1H to the* Fund s financial statements. Absent such securities, the Fund s rating distribution as of 3/31/10 is as follows:

AAA 30.1% AA 30.8%

A 34.8%

Fund Statistics<sup>7</sup>

Number of Issues:

Average Maturity:

Average Effective Maturity:

Average Call Protection:

Average Dollar Price:

RIB Leverage\*:

101

22.9 years

17.3 years

8.2 years

\$93.73

RIB Leverage\*:

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. RIB

leverage represents the amount of RIB Floating Rate Notes outstanding as of 3/31/10 as a percentage of the Fund s net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.

Six-month returns are cumulative. Other returns are presented on an average annual basis. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in market price or net asset value (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at market price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions.

Performance

results reflect the effects of auction preferred shares (for certain periods) outstanding and/or RIB investments, which are forms of investment leverage. Use of leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

- <sup>2</sup> The Fund s
  market yield is
  calculated by
  dividing the last
  regular dividend
  per common share
  in the period
  (annualized) by the
  market price at the
  end of the period.
- 3 Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 41.86% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- 4 It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Indices total returns do not

reflect the
expenses that
would have been
incurred if an
investor
individually
purchased or sold
the securities
represented in the
Indices. Index
performance is
available as of
month end only.

<sup>5</sup> The Lipper Averages a

Averages are the

 $average\ annual$ 

total returns, at

net asset value, of

 $the\ funds\ that\ are$ 

in the same Lipper

Classification as

the Fund. It is not

possible to invest

in a Lipper

Classification.

Lipper

Classifications

may include

insured and

uninsured funds,

as well as

leveraged and

unleveraged funds.

The Lipper

California

Municipal Debt

**Funds** 

Classification

(closed-end)

contained 25, 24,

24 and 22 funds

for the 6-month,

1-year, 5-year and

Life-of-Fund

periods,

respectively.

Lipper Averages

are available as of

month end only.

- Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied.
- 7 Fund holdings information excludes securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements.

# Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Performance <sup>1</sup> NYSE Amex Symbol		ENX
Average Annual Total Returns (by market price)		
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/30/02)		-0.14% 30.71 6.25 5.30
Average Annual Total Returns (by net asset value)		
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/30/02)		-1.01% 23.07 3.49 4.70
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		4.43%
Market Yields		
Market Yield <sup>2</sup> Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield <sup>3</sup> Index Performance <sup>4</sup> (Average Annual Total Returns)		6.06% 10.24%
	Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index	Barclays Capital Long (22+)  Municipal Bond Index
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/31/02) Lipper Averages <sup>5</sup> (Average Annual Total Returns)	0.28% 9.69 4.58 4.60	-0.43% 17.35 3.96 4.82
Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds Classification (by net asset v	value)	
Six Months One Year Five Years Life of Fund (8/31/02)		1.02% 22.22 3.51 4.93

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Bond values decline as interest rates rise. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Portfolio Manager: Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Rating Distribution\*6

#### By total investments

*	The rating
	distribution
	presented above
	includes the
	ratings of
	securities held
	by special
	purpose vehicles
	in which the
	Fund holds a
	residual
	interest. See
	Note 1H to the
	Fund s
	financial
	statements.
	Absent such
	securities, the
	Fund s rating
	distribution as
	of 3/31/10 is as
	follows:
	jouons.

AAA	16.6%	BBB	5.8%
AA	51.8%	BB	0.3%
	24.1%	Not	1.4%
$\boldsymbol{A}$		Rated	

Fund Statistics<sup>7</sup>

Number of Issues:	97
Average Maturity:	23.6 years
Average Effective Maturity:	12.6 years
Average Call Protection:	8.9 years
Average Dollar Price:	\$100.91
RIB Leverage:	40.3%

- \*\* See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements. RIB leverage represents the amount of RIB Floating Rate Notes outstanding as of 3/31/10 as a percentage of the Fund s net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- Six-month returns are cumulative. Other returns are presented on an average annual basis. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in market price or net asset value (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at market price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or

changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effects of auction preferred shares (for certain periods) outstanding and/or RIB investments, which are forms of investment leverage. Use of leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

- The Fund s
  market yield is
  calculated by
  dividing the last
  regular dividend
  per common share
  in the period
  (annualized) by the
  market price at the
  end of the period.
- Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 40.83% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- It is not possible to invest directly in

an Index. The
Indices total
returns do not
reflect the
expenses that
would have been
incurred if an
investor
individually
purchased or sold
the securities
represented in the
Indices. Index
performance is

available as of month end only.

#### <sup>5</sup> The Lipper

Averages are the average annual total returns, at net asset value, of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification.

Lipper

 ${\it Classifications}$ 

may include

insured and

 $uninsured\ funds,$ 

as well as

leveraged and

unleveraged funds.

The Lipper New

York Municipal

Debt Funds

Classification

(closed-end)

contained 19, 18,

18 and 17 funds

for the 6-month,

1-year, 5-year and

Life-of-Fund

periods,

respectively.

Lipper Averages

are available as of

month end only.

- Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied.
- 7 Fund holdings information excludes securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Fund holds a residual interest. See Note 1H to the Fund s financial statements.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

# PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

# **Tax-Exempt Investments** 172.2%

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Security	Va	lue
Electric Utilities	0.6%		
\$ 10,300	Sabine River Authority, TX, (TXU Energy Co. LLC), 5.20%, 5/1/28	\$	4,969,029
		\$	4,969,029
Hospital 10.9%			
\$ 5,000	California Statewide Communities		
19,550	Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser	\$	4,767,450
880	Permanente), 5.25%, 3/1/45 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System),		18,882,172
2,610	5.00%, 2/15/25 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35		786,174 2,149,622
2,500	Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System),		
5,900	5.25%, 2/15/27 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System),		2,258,325
3,900	5.75%, 2/15/34 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health),		5,440,213
7,190	5.60%, 7/1/33 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System),		3,900,780 7,209,485

8,310	5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational	
	and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38	1,504,609
10,000	Knox County, TN, Health, Educational	, ,
	and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41	1,497,200
8,165	Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose	1,197,200
	Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health	
	Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32	8,111,356
5,430	Michigan Hospital Finance Authority,	
	(Henry Ford Health System),	
	5.00%, 11/15/38	4,744,680
10,000	Michigan Hospital Finance Authority,	
	(Henry Ford Health System),	
	5.25%, 11/15/46	8,954,800
100	South Miami, FL, Health Facilities	
	Authority, (Baptist Health),	
	5.00%, 8/15/42	96,122
900	South Miami, FL, Health Facilities	
	Authority, (Baptist Health),	
	5.00%, 8/15/42 <sup>(1)</sup>	865,134
12,070	Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education	
	Facilities Finance Corp., (Texas Health	
	Resources), 5.00%, 11/15/42	11,878,449
8,165	Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education	
	Facilities Finance Corp., (Texas Health	
	Resources), 5.00%, 11/15/47	7,995,086

\$ 91,041,657

# Industrial Development Revenue 3.7%

\$	1,175	Liberty Development Corp., NY,	
		(Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.),	
		5.25%, 10/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,189,937
	31,505	St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon	
		Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	29,711,105

\$ 30,901,042

\$ 5,000	American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc.,	
	OH, (Prairie State Energy), (AGC),	
	5.75%, 2/15/39	\$ 5,306,200
1,350	Long Island, NY, Power Authority,	
	(BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33	1,476,009
15,500	Mississippi Development Bank,	
	(Municipal Energy), (XLCA),	
	5.00%, 3/1/41	14,034,630
1,035	Omaha, NE, Public Power District,	
	(BHAC), (FGIC), (NPFG), 4.25%, 2/1/35	1,018,554
2,735	Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board,	
	(AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35	2,842,321
60,755	South Carolina Public Service Authority,	
	(AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	61,461,575
7,840	South Carolina Public Service Authority,	
	(Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	8,498,639
9,620	Springfield, MO, Public Utility, (BHAC),	
	(FGIC), 4.50%, 8/1/36	9,643,088

\$ 104,281,016

#### Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.1%

\$ 145 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities
Authority, (Adventist Health System),
(BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/15/16,
5.25%, 11/15/36 \$ 170,208

378 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities
Authority, (Adventist Health System),
(BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/15/16,
5.25%, 11/15/36(1) 444,279

\$ 614,487

#### Insured-General Obligations 20.1%

\$ 9,705	Alamo, TX, Community College District,	
	(BHAC), (NPFG), 4.75%, 8/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 9,864,453
34,035	Chabot - Las Positas, CA, Community	
	College District, (AMBAC),	
	0.00%, 8/1/45	3,170,020
35,370	Chabot - Las Positas, CA, Community	3,063,042
	College District, (AMBAC).	

	0.00%, 8/1/46	
33,490	Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (FGIC),	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/21	19,043,084
13,810	Clark County, NV, (AMBAC),	
	2.50%, 11/1/36	8,499,503
10,055	Frisco, TX, Independent School District,	
	(AGM), 2.75%, 8/15/39	6,881,240
16,595	Frisco, TX, Independent School District,	
	(AGM), 4.00%, 8/15/40	15,396,177
19,855	Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, IL,	
	School District No. 46, (AMBAC),	
	0.00%, 1/1/21	11,824,248

See notes to financial statements

# Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

# PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

\$

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Security	Value	
Insured-General (	Obligations (continued)		
\$ 50,650	Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC),	\$ 28,481,508	
7,000	0.00%, 1/1/22 King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 28,481,508 7,169,260	
7,000	Los Angeles, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 1/1/34	7,109,200	
6,195	North Las Vegas, NV, Wastewater Reclamation System, (NPFG),	7,082,180	
8,955	4.25%, 10/1/33 Palm Springs, CA, Unified School	5,470,928	
11,045	District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32 Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,251,231 10,761,253	
2,005	San Juan, CA, Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/23	947,443	
12,750	Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,934,487	
1,540	Texas, (Transportation Commission-Mobility Fund), (FGIC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 4/1/35 Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ,	1,533,132	
8,325	Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31	7,198,128	
		\$ 168,571,317	
Insured-Hospital	19.3%		

8,250 Arizona Health Facilities Authority, \$8,580,412

(Banner Health), (BHAC),

	5.375%, 1/1/32	
11,000	California Statewide Communities	
11,000	Development Authority, (Sutter Health),	
	(AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,059,070
3,950	Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority,	,,
- ,	(Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC),	
	6.125%, 11/15/39	4,111,437
1,050	Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority,	, ,
	(Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC),	
	6.25%, 11/15/44	1,092,084
11,500	Colorado Health Facilities Authority,	
	(Catholic Health), (AGM),	
	5.10%, 10/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,537,260
6,085	Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities	
	Authority, (Adventist Health System),	
	(BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36	6,214,185
15,872	Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities	
	Authority, (Adventist Health System),	
	(BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	16,208,471
3,795	Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities	
	Authority, (Adventist Health System),	
	(NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35	3,693,218
15,000	Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s	
	Memorial Hospital), (AGC),	
	5.25%, 8/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	15,001,044
2,500	Indiana Health and Educational Facility	
	Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis	
	Health Services), (AGM),	2.551.025
2.625	5.25%, 5/15/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,551,025
2,625	Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities,	
	(Iowa Health System), (AGC),	2 702 960
1 675	5.625%, 8/15/37	2,792,869
1,675	Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial	
	Development Authority, (Catholic	
	Healthcare West), (BHAC),	1 705 726
10 150	5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational	1,725,736
19,150	Facilities Authority, (Lifebridge Health),	
	(AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	18,636,655
5,250	New Jersey Health Care Facilities	10,030,033
3,230	Financing Authority, (Hackensack	
	University Medical Center), (AGC),	
	5.25%, 1/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,383,927
6,750	New Jersey Health Care Facilities	2,303,727
0,750	Financing Authority, (Meridian Health	
	Center), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	6,794,820
4,000	New Jersey Health Care Facilities	2,771,020
,	Financing Authority, (Meridian Health	
	Center), Series V, (AGC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	4,026,560
13,115		13,813,243

5,795 8,700 12,605	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>		6,167,792 8,975,790 13,021,091	
	Series D, (11GH1), 3.23 %, 10/1/33		13,021,071	
		\$	161,386,689	
To some di To deservical	December 2017			
Insured-Industrial	Development Revenue 1.1%			
\$ 345	Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania			
9,000	Inc. Project), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania	\$	354,187	
	Inc. Project), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>		9,239,670	
		\$	9,593,857	
Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 11.6%				
\$ 15,000	Hudson Yards, NY, Infrastructure Corp., (NPFG), 4.50%, 2/15/47	\$	13,003,500	
2,910	New Jersey Economic Development	Ψ	13,003,300	
24.000	Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34		3,170,852	
24,000	San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		24,430,080	
42,750	San Jose, CA, Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC),			
12.000	5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>		43,078,748	
13,000	Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		13,566,930	

See notes to financial statements

8

## Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

## PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Security	Va	ılue
Insured-Other Rev	venue 10.1%		
\$ 78,275	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	72,208,693
16,795	Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34	Ψ	3,093,807
6,750	New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49		7,772,152
1,300	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1,208,688
		\$	84,283,340
Insured-Private E	ducation 3.2%		
\$ 8,000	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (AMBAC),	¢	0 101 200
7,865	(BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/35 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC),	\$	8,181,280
11,350	5.00%, 4/1/31 Washington, DC, Georgetown		8,079,872
7	University, (AMBAC), 4.50%, 4/1/42		10,760,594

27,021,746

Insured-Solid Waste 0.6%

\$ 2,760	Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste	
	Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24	\$ 2,968,463
1,575	Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste	
	Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	1,676,682

# \$ 4,645,145

#### Insured-Special Tax Revenue 14.9%

\$	18,005	Alabama Public School and College	
		Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27	\$ 13,321,359
	18,980	Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax,	
		(AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24	8,303,940
	1,175	Jacksonville, FL, Excise Tax, (FGIC),	
		(NPFG), 5.125%, 10/1/27	1,191,908
	13,000	Massachusetts Bay Transportation	
		Authority, Assessment Bonds, (NPFG),	
		4.00%, 7/1/33	12,256,140
	7,820	Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit	, ,
	Í	Authority, GA, (AGM), 4.50%, 7/1/32	7,781,369
3	34,500	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition	, ,
	,	Authority, IL, (McCormick Place	
		Expansion), (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/15/34	7,874,625
	15,000	Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional	.,,.
	,	Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC),	
		0.00%, 10/1/39	9,055,650
	600	Miami-Dade County, FL, Special	- , ,
		Obligation, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/35	107,976
	8,000	Miami-Dade County, FL, Special	- · <b>,</b> - · ·
	,	Obligation, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/39	1,060,640
	16,860	New York Convention Center	, , -
	-,	Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy	
		Tax, (AMBAC), 4.75%, 11/15/45	15,868,126
	7,210	New York Convention Center	,,
	.,	Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy	
		Tax, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/15/44	7,081,446
19	96,275	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	.,,
	-,	(AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54	11,699,953
	26,815	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	, ,
	,	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/44	3,267,408
•	78,410	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	-,,
	,	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	8,878,364
2	19,580	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	-,,-
	,	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/46	5,195,488
	1,075	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	633,003

Sunrise, FL, Public Facilities, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/20

10,800 Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax

Revenue, (AGM), 4.75%, 6/15/32<sup>(1)</sup> 11,061,036

\$ 124,638,431

#### Insured-Student Loan 1.5%

\$ 12,040 Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27

\$ 12,515,219

\$ 12,515,219

#### Insured-Transportation 30.8%

21,640	Chicago, IL, (O Hare International		
	Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	21,607,972
13,360	Chicago, IL, (O Hare International		
	Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		13,495,737
8,080	Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran		
	International Airport), (AGM),		
	5.25%, 7/1/39		8,183,909
10,070	Director of the State of Nevada		
	Department of Business and Industry,		
	(Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC),		
	0.00%, 1/1/23		1,493,985
3,100	Director of the State of Nevada		
	Department of Business and Industry,		
	(Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC),		
	0.00%, 1/1/28		341,930
15,000	Director of the State of Nevada		
	Department of Business and Industry,		
	(Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC),		
	5.375%, 1/1/40 <sup>(4)</sup>		5,120,100
10,200	E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO,		
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21		5,129,172
25,000	E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO,		
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39		3,105,000
7,800	Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior		
	Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG),		
	5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>		8,138,962
6,710			6,782,535
	13,360 8,080 10,070 3,100 15,000 10,200 25,000 7,800	Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> 13,360 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> 8,080 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 10,070 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23 3,100 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 15,000 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 <sup>(4)</sup> 10,200 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21 25,000 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39 7,800 Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> \$ 13,360 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> 8,080 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 10,070 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23 3,100 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 15,000 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 <sup>(4)</sup> 10,200 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21 25,000 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39 7,800 Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>

Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM),

5.125%, 1/1/30

10,150 Maryland Transportation Authority,

(AGM), 4.50%, 7/1/41<sup>(1)</sup> 10,124,016

20,995 Maryland Transportation Authority,

(AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35<sup>(1)</sup> 22,207,040

See notes to financial statements

## Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

## PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Principal
Amount

(000 s omitted) Security Value

#### Insured-Transportation (continued)

\$ 14,000	Maryland Transportation Authority,	
	(AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 14,788,200
1,785	Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports	
	Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29	1,871,662
17,835	Minneapolis and St. Paul, MN,	
	Metropolitan Airports Commission,	
	(FGIC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 1/1/32	17,518,072
13,000	New Jersey Transportation	
	Trust Fund Authority, (AGC),	
	5.50%, 12/15/38	14,131,780
1,015	North Carolina Turnpike Authority,	
	(Triangle Expressway System), (AGC),	
	5.50%, 1/1/29	1,083,127
1,160	North Carolina Turnpike Authority,	
	(Triangle Expressway System), (AGC),	
	5.75%, 1/1/39	1,235,330
10,000	North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC),	
	5.75%, 1/1/48	10,558,300
10,000	Port Authority of New York and New	
	Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,751,500
1,605	Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA),	
	0.00%, 9/1/24	653,299
1,950	Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA),	
	0.00%, 9/1/25	741,644
1,000	Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA),	
	0.00%, 9/1/26	353,820
86,670	San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation	
	Corridor Agency, (Toll Road Bonds),	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25 <sup>(2)</sup>	26,557,421
36,325	Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC),	
	0.00%, 8/15/20	19,972,212
33,570	Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas	
	Turnpike System), (AMBAC),	
	5.00%, 8/15/42	31,917,685

#### \$ 257,864,410

#### Insured-Water and Sewer 15.4%

\$	2,000	Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater,		
T	_,,,,,	(AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,064,720
	2,965	Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer	_	_,,,,
	_,,	Board, (AMBAC), (BHAC),		
		4.50%, 1/1/39		2,899,266
	3,185	Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue,		2,055,200
	3,103	(BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26		3,450,406
	1,985	Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue,		3, 130, 100
	1,703	(BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27		2,140,048
	3,170	Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue,		2,1 10,0 10
	3,170	(BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38		3,380,330
	3,570	Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission		3,300,330
	3,370	Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38		3,789,162
	13,670	Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission		3,707,102
	13,070	Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23		7,429,508
	8,500	District of Columbia Water and Sewer		7,427,500
	0,500	Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		8,635,320
	6,095	East Baton Rouge, LA, Sewer		0,055,520
	0,073	Commission, (AGM), (BHAC),		
		4.50%, 2/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>		6,070,193
	5,890	East Baton Rouge, LA, Sewer		0,070,193
	3,670	Commission, (AGM), (BHAC),		
		4.50%, 2/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		5,862,906
	665	Emerald Coast, FL, Utility Authority		3,802,900
	003	Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 4.75%, 1/1/31		657,173
	2,000	Fernley, NV, Water and Sewer, (AGC),		057,175
	2,000	5.00%, 2/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		1 095 000
	27,570			1,985,000
	27,370	Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>		28,485,597
	11,140	Knoxville, TN, Waste Water System,		20,403,397
	11,140	•		10,091,838
	160	(NPFG), 4.00%, 4/1/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance		10,091,030
	100	Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40		190 605
	9,500	New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance		180,605
	9,500	Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,723,410
	27,670	Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater		10,723,410
	27,070	Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		20 045 142
	1,995	Tampa Bay, FL, Regional Water Supply		28,845,143
	1,993	Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG),		
		4.50%, 10/1/36		1,940,796
		7.50 /0, 10/1/50		1,940,790

#### \$ 128,631,421

Insured-Water Revenue 13.3%					
\$ 6,525	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/1/39	\$	6,281,096		
1,170		·	1,099,519		
53,500	and Power, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/43 <sup>(1)</sup>		53,829,560		
38,235	Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40		35,898,841		
5,750 9,880	and Sewer Systems, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		5,861,723		
9,000	(Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40		8,828,669		
		\$	111,799,408		
Other Revenue	0.3%				
\$ 2,920	Main Street National Gas, Inc., GA, Gas Project Revenue, 5.50%, 9/15/27	\$	2,913,430		
		\$	2,913,430		
Private Education	on 0.6%				
\$ 2,000	Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University),				
3,070	5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority,	\$	2,111,900		
	(Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40		3,245,604		
		\$	5,357,504		

Transportation 0.8%

\$ 2,915 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/35 \$ 2,959,191

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

#### PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Princi Amou (000		Security	V	alue
Transı	portation (c	ontinued)		
\$	3,480	Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/40	\$	3,524,301
			\$	6,483,492
Water	and Sewer	0.9%		
\$	1,445 6,325	Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(3)</sup> Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$	1,445,000 6,305,329
			\$	7,750,329
	_	ot Investments 172.2% 1,489,510,468)	\$	1,442,513,079
Other	Assets, Les	ss Liabilities (72.2)%	\$	(604,906,050)
Net A	ssets 100	.0%	\$	837,607,029

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At March 31, 2010, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments is as follows:

California	20.4%
Texas	12.6%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	67.0%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2010, 89.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.1% to 26.4% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the underlying municipal bond of an inverse floater (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (3) When-issued security.
- (4) Defaulted bond.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

#### PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

#### **Tax-Exempt Investments** 175.4%

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)		Security	Value	
Electric U	Itilities	1.0%		
\$	2,375	Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	2,465,488
			\$	2,465,488
Hospital	10.6%			
\$	2,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$	2,032,540
	1,745	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 11/15/34		1,682,669
	5,400	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Huntington		
	2,330	Memorial Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/35 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir		5,075,406
	3,850	Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34 California Statewide Communities Development Authority (Kaiser		2,228,692
	3,950	Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), 5.25%, 3/1/45 Torrance Hospital, (Torrance Memorial		3,718,484
	2,100	Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 Turlock, (Emanuel Medical Center, Inc.),		3,980,928
	3,005	5.375%, 10/15/34 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care),		1,823,640
	3,165	5.25%, 7/1/29		2,912,746 2,891,069

Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32

1,000 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/37

904,930

\$ 27,251,104

#### Insured-Electric Utilities 13.4%

\$ 20,000	Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 19,584,200
6,750	Los Angeles Department of Water and	.,,
	Power, (AMBAC), (BHAC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,142,445
2,000	Northern California Power Agency,	
	(Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24	2,106,180
1,000	Sacramento Municipal Utility District,	
	(AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	1,057,380
4,000	Sacramento Municipal Utility District,	
	(AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	4,610,480

\$ 34,500,685

#### Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 7.3%

\$ 3,045	California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to	
	1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 3,532,778
55	California Water Resource, (Central	
	Valley), (FGIC), (NPFG), Prerefunded to	
	12/1/12, 5.00%, 12/1/29	60,710
13,940	Sacramento County Airport System,	
	(AGM), Prerefunded to 7/1/12,	
	5.00%, 7/1/27 <sup>(1)</sup>	15,218,158

\$ 18,811,646

Insured-General Obligations 34.9%

¢	4 260	Antalana Vallay Community Collage		
\$	4,260	Antelope Valley Community College		
		District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG),	Ф	4 262 016
	10.275	5.25%, 8/1/39	\$	4,363,816
	18,375	Arcadia Unified School District, (AGM),		2.507.260
	1 100	0.00%, 8/1/41		2,507,269
	1,190	Azusa Unified School District, (AGM),		102.021
	4.60.	0.00%, 7/1/25		493,921
	4,635	Burbank Unified School District, (FGIC),		
		(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21		2,598,474
	6,500	California, (AGC), 4.50%, 8/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		6,119,360
	10,000	Chabot - Las Positas, Community College		
		District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/32		2,405,800
	9,500	Chabot - Las Positas, Community College		
		District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/37		1,585,740
	14,755	Chabot - Las Positas, Community College		
		District, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/44		1,479,484
	3,000	Chino Valley Unified School District,		
		(AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/26		3,066,540
	10,600	Coast Community College District,		
		(Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/33		2,404,504
	23,150	Coast Community College District,		
		(Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34		4,909,421
	6,180	El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG),		
	,	4.45%, 8/1/36		5,719,096
	7,540	Escondido, (Election of 2004), (NPFG),		
	,	4.75%, 9/1/36		7,055,329
	2,060	Huntington Beach, City School District,		.,,-
	2,000	(FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/25		838,358
	2,140	Huntington Beach, City School District,		000,000
	_,	(FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/26		812,237
	2,000	Jurupa Unified School District, (FGIC),		012,207
	2,000	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/23		885,840
	2,000	Jurupa Unified School District, (FGIC),		005,040
	2,000	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/26		717,020
	7,300	Los Angeles Community College District,		717,020
	7,500	(Election of 2001), (AGM), (FGIC),		
		5.00%, 8/1/32		7,411,398
	2 000			7,411,396
	2,900	Modesto, High School District, Stanislaus		1 220 200
	4.500	County, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/24		1,238,300
	4,500	Palm Springs Unified School District,		4 (21 (25
	2.025	(Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33		4,631,625
	3,925	San Diego Unified School District,		2 10 1 0 1 2
	0.01.	(FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22		2,184,812
	8,015	San Diego Unified School District,		
		(FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23		4,149,927
	5,780	San Juan Unified School District, (AGM),		
		0.00%, 8/1/21		3,121,027
	5,000	San Mateo County, Community College		
		District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22		2,658,350

4,365 San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23 2,176,956

See notes to financial statements

## Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

## PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Principal

Amount (000 s omit	tted)	Security	Va	llue
Insured-Ger	neral C	Obligations (continued)		
\$ 3	,955	San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25	\$	1,739,369
5	5,240	San Mateo Union High School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21	·	3,034,379
5	5,735	Santa Clara Unified School District, (Election of 2004), (AGM),		
2	2,450	4.375%, 7/1/30 Union Elementary School District, (FGIC),		5,485,642
3	(NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/24 3,000 Ventura County, Community College		1,093,141	
District, (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/27			3,083,340	
			\$	89,970,475
Insured-Hos	spital	14.5%		
\$ 2	2,205	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center),	Φ	2.215.606
19	,495	(BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), (BHAC),	\$	2,215,606
10	,000	(NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser		19,509,231
3	,500	Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup> California Statewide Communities		10,171,200
2	2,000	Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup> California Statewide Communities		3,512,285
		Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		2,012,980

## \$ 37,421,302

Insured-Lease Re	evenue / Certificates of Participation 15.5%				
\$ 11,915	California Public Works Board, (California Community College), (FGIC), (NPFG),				
1,000	4.00%, 10/1/30 California Public Works Board,	\$	9,032,881		
,	(Department of General Services), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/27		932,100		
3,885	Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity,				
10,000	5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority,		4,665,341		
1 000	Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,179,200		
1,000	San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC),		1 007 600		
14,000	5.00%, 6/1/37 San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic		1,007,690		
	Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>		14,107,660		
		\$	39,924,872		
I 10d D	7.00				
Insured-Other Re	venue 7.2%				
\$ 20,275	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	18,703,689		
		\$	18,703,689		
		•	, ,		
Insured-Private Education 0.4%					
\$ 1,000	California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/33	\$	999,960		
	/, (/,/,/,/,	4	,		

#### \$ 999,960

Insured-	Public Ed	lucation 12.3%		
\$	8,250	California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	8,448,000
	1,000	California State University, (AMBAC), 5.125%, 11/1/26	_	1,020,320
	3,095	University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup>		3,093,081
	6,690	University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/28 <sup>(1)</sup>		6,499,804
	10,750	University of California, (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,644,220
	2,115 University of California, General Revenues, (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/15/37		2,094,188	
			ф.	24 800 <42
			\$	31,799,613
Insured-	Sewer Re	evenue 3.7%		
\$	9,450	Livermore-Amador Valley, Water Management Agency, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/31 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$	9,454,158
			\$	9,454,158
				, ,
Insured-	Special A	Assessment Revenue 6.7%		
\$	7,765	Ceres, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (AMBAC), 4.00%, 11/1/36	\$	5,515,247
	855	Murrieta Redevelopment Agency Tax, (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32	Ψ	769,893
	7,000	Pomona, Public Financing Authority,		
	4,110	(NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/33 Santa Cruz County, Redevelopment		6,344,870
	1,010	Agency Tax, (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/35 Tustin Unified School District, (AGM),		3,650,543
		5.00%, 9/1/38		980,144

## \$ 17,260,697

## Insured-Special Tax Revenue 15.0%

\$ 1,000	Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31	\$ 860,860
10,355	Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects),	
	(XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37	8,457,757
2,400	North City, School Facility Financing	
	Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/26	855,936
59,440	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54	3,543,218
8,900	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/44	1,084,465
25,860	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	2,928,128
16,350	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/46	1,713,317

See notes to financial statements

## Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

## PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Principal Amount (000 s omitt	ed) Security	Value
Insured-Spec	al Tax Revenue (continued)	
\$ 8	Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, (BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/28	\$ 922,600
	Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, (BHAC), 5.625%, 10/1/37	365,080
7,0	Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.25%, 7/1/36	6,234,900
3,5	95 San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/31	3,657,517
1,8		3,037,317
8,4	1 2 3	1,881,394
	(Merged Area Redevelopment Project), (XLCA), 4.25%, 8/1/36	6,279,068
		\$ 38,784,240
Insured-Tran	portation 0.3%	
\$ 3,4	San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/30	\$ 694,340

694,340

Insured-Water Revenue 29.1%

\$	8,000	California Department of Water Resources Center, (Valley Project),	¢.	0.174.640
	7,065	(BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup> Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District),	\$	8,174,640
	5,500	(BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 Contra Costa, Water District, (AGM),		7,087,255
		4.50%, 10/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>		5,308,820
	345	East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32		362,019
	5,615	East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC),		
	7,750	(NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 Los Angeles Department of Water and		5,891,988
	7,730	Power, (BHAC), (FGIC),		7 707 740
	14,750	5.00%, 7/1/43 <sup>(1)</sup> Los Angeles Department of Water and		7,797,740
		Power, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 7/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>		14,834,222
	10,000	Metropolitan Water District Water and Sewer Systems, (BHAC), (FGIC),		
	1.550	5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,194,300
	1,570	Riverside, Water Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38		1,602,687
	6,930	San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40		6,192,579
	8,415	Santa Clara Valley Water District,		0,192,379
		(AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28		7,705,615
			\$	75,151,865
Priva	ate Education	0.5%		
\$	1,375	California Educational Facilities		
		Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30	\$	1,375,000

Transportation 3.0%

1,375,000

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

\$ 7,500 Los Angeles Department of Airports,
(Los Angeles International Airport),
5.00%, 5/15/35<sup>(4)</sup>
\$ 7,654,950

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 175.4%
(identified cost \$470,895,931)

\$ 452,224,084

#### **Short-Term Investments** 1.8%

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Description	Va	alue
\$ 4,658	State Street Bank and Trust Euro Time Deposit, 0.01%, 4/1/10	\$	4,657,695
Total Short-Term (identified cost \$4		\$	4,657,695
Total Investments (identified cost \$4	. 1,,, <u>=</u> ,,	\$	456,881,779
Other Assets, Les	s Liabilities (77.2)%	\$	(198,997,135)
Net Assets 100.	0%	\$	257,884,644

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2010, 90.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 3.4% to 33.8% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the underlying municipal bond of an inverse floater (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (4) When-issued security.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

#### PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

## **Tax-Exempt Investments** 163.2%

Principal Amount (000 s on		Security	Va	lue
General C	)bligatio	ns 0.9%		
General	onguno	113 0.5 //		
\$	1,750	New York, 5.25%, 1/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,793,050
			\$	1,793,050
Hospital	0.3%			
\$	640	New York Dormitory Authority, (Lenox Hill Hospital), 5.50%, 7/1/30	\$	589,350
			\$	589,350
Industrial	Develop	oment Revenue 2.2%		
\$	3,040	Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	\$	3,078,547
	1,440	Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37	•	1,511,511
		1, ,, ,,,		, ,-
			\$	4,590,058

Insured-Electric Utilities 7.8%

\$ 5,000	Long Island Power Authority, Electric	
	System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33	\$ 5,615,700
3,685	Long Island Power Authority, Electric	
	System Revenue, (NPFG), 4.25%, 5/1/33	3,394,217
7,210	New York Power Authority, (BHAC),	
	(NPFG), 4.50%, 11/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,172,075

\$ 16,181,992

#### Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.8%

\$ 8,615 New York Dormitory Authority,
(Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer
Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity,
0.00%, 7/1/30 \$

\$ 3,654,483

3,654,483

#### Insured-General Obligations 13.3%

\$ 2,290	Brentwood Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23	\$ 2,499,169
2,390	Brentwood Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24	2,662,651
200	East Northport Fire District, (AGC),	
	4.50%, 11/1/20	222,518
200	East Northport Fire District, (AGC),	
	4.50%, 11/1/21	221,146
200	East Northport Fire District, (AGC),	
	4.50%, 11/1/22	219,454
200	East Northport Fire District, (AGC),	
	4.50%, 11/1/23	218,198
245	Eastchester Union Free School District,	
	(AGM), 3.50%, 6/15/20	247,803
255	Eastchester Union Free School District,	
	(AGM), 3.75%, 6/15/21	260,253
175	Eastchester Union Free School District,	
	(AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/23	179,065
185	Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20	209,722
195	Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21	220,295
830	Freeport Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.00%, 4/1/23	853,074
870		892,785

	Freeport Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.00%, 4/1/24	
1,395	Hauppauge Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.00%, 7/15/24	1,408,866
1,110	Hoosic Valley Central School District,	
	(AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23	1,124,985
820	Longwood Central School District, Suffolk	
	County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23	837,983
860	Longwood Central School District, Suffolk	
	County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24	879,144
480	New Rochelle City School District,	
	(AGC), 3.75%, 11/15/19	492,878
715	New Rochelle City School District,	
	(AGC), 4.00%, 11/15/20	741,956
2,250	New York, (AGM), 5.00%, 4/1/22	2,399,625
1,750	New York Dormitory Authority, (School	
	Districts Financing Program), (NPFG),	
	5.00%, 10/1/30	1,767,185
1,000	Oneida County, (AGC), 3.875%, 4/15/20	1,014,220
455	Oneida County, (AGC), 4.00%, 4/15/21	460,838
1,000	Oneida County, (AGC), 4.00%, 4/15/22	1,006,900
1,065	Syracuse, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/15/19	1,183,897
785	Wantagh Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19	859,520
825	Wantagh Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/20	891,965
905	Wantagh Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22	980,269
950	Wantagh Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23	1,021,107
1,590	William Floyd Union Free School District,	
	(AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	1,613,627

## \$ 27,591,098

Insure	ed-Hospital	13.1%	
\$	7,250	New York Dormitory Authority, (Health Quest Systems), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 7,405,657
	4,355	New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC),	, ,
		5.00%, 8/15/36	4,545,662
4,805		New York Dormitory Authority,	
		(Maimonides Medical Center), (NPFG),	
		5.00%, 8/1/33	4,856,846
10,000		New York Dormitory Authority,	10,306,100
		(Presbyterian Hospital), (AGM), (BHAC),	

# Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS $(FHA), 5.25\%, 2/15/31^{(1)}$

\$ 27,114,265

See notes to financial statements

## Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

## PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Princi Amou (000 s	-	Security	Va	alue
Insured	d-Housing	1.2%		
\$	2,350	New York Housing Development Corp., (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/25	\$	2,439,840
			\$	2,439,840
Insured	d-Lease Re	venue / Certificates of Participation 5.5%		
\$	8,120	Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., (NPFG), 4.50%, 2/15/47	\$	7,039,228
	4,050	New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38 <sup>(2)</sup>		4,432,887
			\$	11,472,115
Insure	d-Other Rev	venue 12.4%		
\$	3,985	New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (American Museum of Natural History),		
	4,250	(NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/44 <sup>(3)</sup> New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (Museum of Modern Art), (AMBAC),	\$	4,059,480
	7,560	(BHAC), 5.125%, 7/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup> New York City Industrial Development		4,332,365
	10,000	Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (NPFG), 4.75%, 3/1/46 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (AGM), (FGIC),		6,838,020 10,360,100

# Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS $5.00\%, 7/15/31^{(1)}$

#### \$ 25,589,965

#### Insured-Private Education 38.7%

\$ 4,000	Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate University), (NPFG),	
		4,079,400
16,500	New York City Industrial Development	
	Agency, (New York University),	
	(AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	16,621,935
8,785	New York Dormitory Authority,	
	(Brooklyn Law School), (XLCA),	
	5.125%, 7/1/30	8,795,981
40	New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham	
	University), (AGC), (BHAC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/38	41,755
10,750	New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham	
	University), (AGC), (BHAC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,221,710
3,500	New York Dormitory Authority, (New	
	York University), (AMBAC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/41	3,520,790
4,250	New York Dormitory Authority, (New	
	York University), (AMBAC), (BHAC),	
	5.00%, 7/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	4,286,593
1,555	New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt	
	Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34	1,601,323
3,665	New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt	
	Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39	3,799,982
8,515	New York Dormitory Authority,	
	(Rochester Institute of Technology),	
	(AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32	8,660,521
3,750	New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s	
	University), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/37	3,826,688
8,500	New York Dormitory Authority, (State	
	University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38	8,872,980
5,555	Oneida County Industrial Development	
	Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG),	
	0.00%, 7/1/34	1,591,008
8,455	Oneida County Industrial Development	
	Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG),	
	0.00%, 7/1/36	2,142,835
4,000	Oneida County Industrial Development	
	Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG),	0.40.446
	0.00%, 7/1/37	949,440

## \$ 80,012,941

Insured-Pu	ıblic Ed	ucation 1.3%		
\$ 925 1,750		New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35 New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY	\$	911,264
		Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23		1,774,080
			\$	2,685,344
Insured-So	olid Was	ste 1.7%		
\$	1,490	Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21	\$	961,512
·	1,090	Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23	Ψ	625,758
	3,635	Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25		1,866,173
			\$	3,453,443
Insured-Sp	ecial T	ax Revenue 19.6%		
	4,560	Metropolitan Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	14,755,394
	3,490	New York Convention Center Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy		2 204 602
	2,710	Tax, (AMBAC), 4.75%, 11/15/45 New York Convention Center Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy		3,284,683
	2,415	Tax, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/15/44		2,661,681 2,498,366

	New York State Housing Finance Agency,	
	(AGM), 5.00%, 3/15/37 <sup>(3)</sup>	
3,000	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing	
	Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36	478,350
4,000	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing	
	Authority, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/32	877,760
56,755	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/44	6,915,597
18,180	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	2,058,521
11,605	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.,	
	(NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/46	1,216,088
1,310	Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp.,	
	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29	1,373,509

See notes to financial statements

## Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

## PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Princ Amo (000	-	Security	Va	lue
Insur	ed-Special T	ax Revenue (continued)		
\$	4,185	Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32	\$	4,336,832
			\$	40,456,781
Insur	ed-Transport	tation 22.5%		
\$	22,500	Metropolitan Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	22,718,700
	2,175	New York Thruway Authority, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20		2,522,456
	5,600 11,000	Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/24 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Authority of New York and New		6,057,464
	3,625	Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Triborough Bridge and Tunnel		11,467,390
		Authority, (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/32 <sup>(3)</sup>		3,685,320
			\$	46,451,330
Insur	ed-Water and	d Sewer 10.4%		
\$	300	Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC),		
	3,835	5.125%, 11/1/23 Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC),	\$	331,950 4,244,118

· ·					
6,500 10,000	Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> 10,000 New York City Municipal Water				
	Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 6/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,430,200		
		\$	21,621,253		
Insured-Water Re	evenue 0.7%				
\$ 1,475	Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	\$	1,506,698		
		\$	1,506,698		
Lease Revenue /	Certificates of Participation 6.5%				
\$ 4,000 9,270	Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Lease Contract, 5.125%, 1/1/29 New York Dormitory Authority, (North General Hospital), 5.00%, 2/15/25	\$	4,066,360 9,425,550		
		\$	13,491,910		
Other Revenue	0.6%				
\$ 4,900	Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31	\$	1,297,520		
		\$	1,297,520		

Private Education 1.8%

\$	1,630	Agency, (Colgate University),		
	2,040	5.00%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40	Ф	1,657,726 2,156,688
			\$	3,814,414
Trans	portation	0.9%		
\$	1,565	Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$	1,585,423
	300	Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40 <sup>(4)</sup>		299,551
			\$	1,884,974
	•	ot Investments 163.2% 335,558,773)	\$	337,692,824

## **Short-Term Investments** 3.4%

Principa Amount (000 s o		Description	Valu	ıe
\$	7,100	State Street Bank and Trust Euro Time Deposit, 0.01%, 4/1/10	\$	7,099,915
10000		Investments 3.4% 7,099,915)	\$	7,099,915

Total Investments 166.6% (identified cost \$342,658,688)

\$ 344,792,739

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (66.6)%

\$ (137,882,747)

Net Assets 100.0%

\$ 206,909,992

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FHA - Federal Housing Administration

NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2010, 90.0% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2010

#### PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.6% to 28.7% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the underlying municipal bond of an inverse floater (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (4) When-issued security.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2010

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

As of March 31, 2010		Municipal Fund		California Fund	New York Fund	
Assets						
Investments Identified cost Unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	1,489,510,468 (46,997,389)	\$	475,553,626 (18,671,847)	\$	342,658,688 2,134,051
Investments, at value	\$	1,442,513,079	\$	456,881,779	\$	344,792,739
Interest receivable Receivable for investments sold Deferred debt issuance costs	\$	17,611,413 3,464,704 1,849,215	\$	5,474,955 522,149	\$	4,234,766 150,033 304,617
Total assets	\$	1,465,438,411	\$	462,878,883	\$	349,482,155
Liabilities						
Payable for floating rate notes issued Payable for when-issued securities Payable for variation margin on open	\$	616,300,000 7,704,461	\$	196,510,000 7,612,575	\$	139,875,000 1,868,337
financial futures contracts Payable for open swap contracts Due to custodian Payable to affiliates:		716,487 1,046,236		114,375 46,513		78,281 269,380
Investment adviser fee Interest expense and fees payable Accrued expenses		705,763 1,169,471 188,964		219,683 397,125 93,968		168,387 241,996 70,782
Total liabilities	\$	627,831,382	\$	204,994,239	\$	142,572,163

Net Assets	\$	837,607,029	\$	257,884,644	\$ 206,909,992
Sources of Net Assets					
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment income Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	679,099 955,349,683 (79,390,514) 8,682,637 (47,713,876)	\$	217,003 306,077,980 (32,459,411) 2,631,038 (18,581,966)	\$ 158,114 222,853,976 (19,297,480) 1,237,358 1,958,024
Net Assets	\$	837,607,029	\$	257,884,644	\$ 206,909,992
Common Shares Outstanding					
Common Shares Outstanding		67,909,917		21,700,267	15,811,399
Net Asset Value					
Net assets , common shares issued and outstanding	\$	12.33	\$	11.88	\$ 13.09
;	See note	s to financial stater	nents		

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

## Statements of Operations

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2010	Municipal Fund			California Fund	New York Fund		
Investment Income							
Interest	\$	37,166,286	\$	11,528,897	\$	8,280,090	
Total investment income	\$	37,166,286	\$	11,528,897	\$	8,280,090	
Expenses							
Investment adviser fee Trustees fees and expenses Custodian fee Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees Legal and accounting services Printing and postage Interest expense and fees Miscellaneous	\$	4,695,941 24,095 143,999 11,483 62,700 86,941 2,196,658 25,108	\$	1,473,279 7,750 90,505 5,792 43,389 33,922 694,204 21,099	\$	1,124,375 5,994 72,158 6,262 31,857 33,262 551,181 2,794	
Total expenses	\$	7,246,925	\$	2,369,940	\$	1,827,883	
Deduct Reduction of custodian fee Allocation of expenses to affiliate	\$	1,178 577,962	\$	255 181,383	\$	782 138,411	
<b>Total expense reductions</b>	\$	579,140	\$	181,638	\$	139,193	

Net expenses	\$ 6,667,785	\$ 2,188,302	\$ 1,688,690
Net investment income	\$ 30,498,501	\$ 9,340,595	\$ 6,591,400
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)  Net realized gain (loss)			
Investment transactions	\$ (1,769,553)	\$ (3,317,634)	\$ (1,387,865)
Extinguishment of debt Financial futures contracts Swap contracts	(35,176) 1,438,165	139,553 472,189	102,037 342,143
Net realized loss	\$ (366,564)	\$ (2,705,892)	\$ (943,685)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Investments Financial futures contracts	\$ (58,813,530)	\$ (21,159,619) 619,531	\$ (9,361,829) 420,109
Swap contracts	2,525,753	42,937	1,013,052
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ (56,287,777)	\$ (20,497,151)	\$ (7,928,668)
Net realized and unrealized loss	\$ (56,654,341)	\$ (23,203,043)	\$ (8,872,353)
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$ (26,155,840)	\$ (13,862,448)	\$ (2,280,953)

See notes to financial statements

20

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2010	Municipal	California	New York			
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Fund	Fund	1	Fund		
From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions,	\$ 30,498,501	\$ 9,340,595	\$	6,591,400		
extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts and	(366,564)	(2,705,892)		(943,685)		
swap contracts	(56,287,777)	(20,497,151)		(7,928,668)		
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$ (26,155,840)	\$ (13,862,448)	\$	(2,280,953)		
Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income	\$ (30,635,170)	\$ (9,137,515)	\$	(6,410,419)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (30,635,170)	\$ (9,137,515)	\$	(6,410,419)		
Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$ 1,006,905	\$ 142,016	\$	297,866		
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$ 1,006,905	\$ 142,016	\$	297,866		
Net decrease in net assets	\$ (55,784,105)	\$ (22,857,947)	\$	(8,393,506)		

Net Assets

At beginning of period \$ 893,391,134 \$ 280,742,591 \$ 215,303,498

At end of period \$ 837,607,029 \$ 257,884,644 \$ 206,909,992

Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets

At end of period \$ 8,682,637 \$ 2,631,038 \$ 1,237,358

See notes to financial statements

21

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended	<b>September 30, 2009</b>
--------------------	---------------------------

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions,	\$ 56,812,789	\$ 17,921,015	\$ 12,462,062
financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts and	(58,106,471)	(21,430,474)	(13,060,623)
swap contracts	207,373,156	55,652,776	43,455,539
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 206,079,474	\$ 52,143,317	\$ 42,856,978
Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income	\$ (54,304,179)	\$ (16,634,117)	\$ (11,572,247)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (54,304,179)	\$ (16,634,117)	\$ (11,572,247)
Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders Issued in connection with tax-free reorganization (see Note 10)	\$ 1,585,555 20,638,318	\$ 222,414	\$ 375,543
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$ 22,223,873	\$ 222,414	\$ 375,543
Net increase in net assets	\$ 173,999,168	\$ 35,731,614	\$ 31,660,274

Net Assets

At beginning of year \$ 719,391,966 \$ 245,010,977 \$ 183,643,224

At end of year \$ 893,391,134 \$ 280,742,591 \$ 215,303,498

Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets

At end of year \$ 8,819,306 \$ 2,427,958 \$ 1,056,377

See notes to financial statements

22

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

### Statements of Cash Flows

## For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2010

Cash Flows From Operating Activities	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund		
Net decrease in net assets from operations Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$ (26,155,840)	\$ (13,862,448)	\$	(2,280,953)	
Investments purchased	(61,470,862)	(15,852,910)		(8,287,080)	
Investments sold	59,371,710	13,977,372		13,405,922	
Decrease (increase) in short-term investments, net	1,549,694	(4,657,695)		(7,099,915)	
Net accretion/amortization of premium (discount)	(5,996,005)	(1,901,720)		(665,387)	
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	128,655	72,540		70,693	
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable	63,544	(58,325)		211,067	
Increase in receivable for investments sold	(3,318,982)			(150,033)	
Decrease in receivable for variation margin on open					
financial futures contracts		61,000		41,750	
Increase in payable for when-issued securities	7,704,461	7,612,575		1,868,337	
Increase in payable for variation margin on open					
financial futures contracts		114,375		78,281	
Decrease in payable for open swap contracts	(2,525,753)	(42,937)		(1,013,052)	
Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliate for					
investment adviser fee	(57,429)	3,675		5,728	
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable	(423,982)	(157,578)		(122,794)	
Decrease in accrued expenses	(90,441)	(38,751)		(55,558)	
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt	35,176				
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation					
from investments	58,813,530	21,159,619		9,361,829	
Net realized loss from investments	1,769,553	3,317,634		1,387,865	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 29,397,029	\$ 9,746,426	\$	6,756,700	

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments Proceeds from secured borrowings Repayment of secured borrowings Increase (decrease) in due to custodian	\$	(29,628,265) 8,910,000 (9,725,000) 1,046,236	\$	(8,995,499) (750,927)	\$	(6,112,553)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$	(29,397,029)	\$	(9,746,426)	\$	(6,756,700)
Cash at beginning of period	\$		\$		\$	
Cash at end of period	\$		\$		\$	
Symplemental disabours of each flow information.						
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:						
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of: Reinvestment of dividends and distributions Cash paid for interest and fees	\$ \$	1,006,905 2,491,985	\$ \$	142,016 779,242	\$ \$	297,866 603,282

See notes to financial statements

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT D

## Financial Highlights

	Municipal Fund											
	En Ma 20	onths ided arch 31,		2009	Year Ended September 30, 2009 2008 2007 2006							2005
Net asset value Beginning of period (Common shares)	\$	13.170	\$	11.080	\$	15.100	\$	15.910	\$	15.320	\$	14.750
Income (Loss) From	n Op	erations										
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain	\$	0.449	\$	0.846	\$	0.959	\$	1.050	\$	1.060	\$	1.059
(loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders		(0.838)		2.051		(3.797)		(0.419)		0.696		0.611
From net investment income						(0.171)		(0.225)		(0.270)		(0.174)
From net realized gain						(0.051)		(0.113)		(0.014)		
Total income (loss) from												
operations	\$	(0.389)	\$	2.897	\$	(3.060)	\$	0.293	\$	1.472	\$	1.496

#### Less Distributions to Common Shareholders

From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ (0.451)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.773) (0.187)	\$ (0.771) (0.332)	\$ (0.813) (0.069)	\$ (0.926)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.451)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.960)	\$ (1.103)	\$ (0.882)	\$ (0.926)
Net asset value End of period (Common shares)	\$ 12.330	\$ 13.170	\$ 11.080	\$ 15.100	\$ 15.910	\$ 15.320
Market value End of period (Common shares)	\$ 12.930	\$ 13.160	\$ 11.140	\$ 15.310	\$ 15.220	\$ 15.050
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	( <b>2.87</b> )% <sup>(3)</sup>	28.15%	(21.24)%	1.87%	10.21%	10.70%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>1.93%</b> <sup>(3)</sup>	27.36%	(21.90)%	7.97%	7.32%	14.98%

## Ratios/Supplemental Data

Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000 s

omitted) \$ 837,607 \$ 893,391 \$ 719,392 \$ 977,406 \$ 1,028,359 \$ 989,850 Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):<sup>(4)</sup>

 $1.08\%^{(5)}$ Expenses 1.04% 0.89% 0.79% 0.79% 0.78%

excluding interest

and fees						
Interest and fee						
expense <sup>(6)</sup>	$0.53\%^{(5)}$	1.33%	0.59%			
Total expenses						
before custodian						
fee reduction	$1.61\%^{(5)}$	2.37%	1.48%	0.79%	0.79%	0.78%
Expenses after						
custodian fee						
reduction						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.08\%^{(5)}$	1.04%	0.86%	0.78%	0.78%	0.77%
Net investment						
income	$7.35\%^{(5)}$	7.94%	6.94%	6.76%	6.91%	6.97%
Portfolio Turnover	4%(3)	19%	54%	39%	56%	51%

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Not annualized.
- (4) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (5) Annualized.
- (6) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with inverse floater securities transactions (see Note 1H).

See notes to financial statements

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT D

## Financial Highlights

	g.			Califor	nia I	Fund				
	Six Months Ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)		2009	Yes 2008	ded Septe	mber	2005			
Net asset value Beginning of period (Common shares)	\$	12.940	\$ 11.310	\$ 15.000	\$	15.280	\$	14.690	\$	14.250
Income (Loss) From	n Op	perations								
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and	\$	0.431	\$ 0.827	\$ 0.930	\$	1.024	\$	1.015	\$	1.011
unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders		(1.070)	1.570	(3.418)		(0.269)		0.598		0.444
From net investment income From net realized gain				(0.153) (0.094)		(0.296)		(0.259)		(0.162)
Total income (loss) from				(0.021)						
operations	\$	(0.639)	\$ 2.397	\$ (2.735)	\$	0.459	\$	1.354	\$	1.293

Less	Distributions	to Common	Shareholders

From net investment income From net realized gain	\$ (0.421)	\$ (0.767)	\$ (0.724) (0.231)	\$ (0.739)	\$ (0.764)	\$ (0.853)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.421)	\$ (0.767)	\$ (0.955)	\$ (0.739)	\$ (0.764)	\$ (0.853)
Net asset value End of period (Common shares)	\$ 11.880	\$ 12.940	\$ 11.310	\$ 15.000	\$ 15.280	\$ 14.690
Market value End of period (Common shares)	\$ 12.140	\$ 12.970	\$ 11.090	\$ 14.720	\$ 14.840	\$ 13.920
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(4.85)% <sup>(3)</sup>	22.99%	(19.08)%	3.10%	9.85%	9.58%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	( <b>2.99</b> )% <sup>(3)</sup>	25.72%	(19.15)%	4.18%	12.58%	7.77%

## Ratios/Supplemental Data

Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000 s

omitted) \$ 257,885 \$ 280,743 \$ 245,011 \$ 324,508 \$ 330,464 \$ 317,785

Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):<sup>(4)</sup>

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

Expenses						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.15\%^{(5)}$	1.06%	0.95%	0.81%	0.85%	0.84%
Interest and fee						
expense <sup>(6)</sup>	$0.54\%^{(5)}$	1.28%	0.51%			
Total expenses						
before custodian						
fee reduction	$1.69\%^{(5)}$	2.34%	1.46%	0.81%	0.85%	0.84%
Expenses after						
custodian fee						
reduction						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.15\%^{(5)}$	1.04%	0.92%	0.81%	0.84%	0.83%
Net investment						
income	$7.22\%^{(5)}$	7.64%	6.74%	6.73%	6.85%	6.93%
Portfolio Turnover	3%(3)	8%	39%	27%	24%	16%

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Not annualized.
- (4) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (5) Annualized.
- (6) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with inverse floater securities transactions (see Note 1H).

See notes to financial statements

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT D

## Financial Highlights

		New York Fund									
Mo En Ma 20	onths ided arch 31, 10		2009			ar En	ded Septer	mbei	· 30,		2005
\$	13.640	\$	11.650	\$	14.800	\$	15.140	\$	14.730	\$	14.390
n Op	perations										
\$	0.417	\$	0.790	\$	0.923	\$	1.012	\$	1.010	\$	1.002
	(0.561)		1.934		(3.152)		(0.335)		0.424		0.349
					(0.215)		(0.302)		(0.268)		(0.167)
\$	(0.144)	\$	2.724	\$	(2.444)	\$	0.375	\$	1.166	\$	1.184
	Me Er Mi 20 (U	n Operations \$ 0.417 (0.561)	Months Ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)  \$ 13.640 \$  m Operations  \$ 0.417 \$  (0.561)	Months Ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)  \$ 13.640  \$ 11.650  The Operations  \$ 0.417  \$ 0.790  (0.561)  \$ 1.934	Months Ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)  \$ 13.640 \$ 11.650 \$  In Operations  \$ 0.417 \$ 0.790 \$  (0.561) 1.934	Six Months Ended Ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)  \$ 13.640  \$ 11.650  \$ 14.800  The original of the properties of the p	Six Months Ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)  \$ 13.640  \$ 11.650  \$ 14.800  \$ 0.417  \$ 0.790  \$ 0.923  \$ (0.561)  1.934  (0.215)	Six Months Ended Year Ended Septer March 31, 2010 (Unaudited) 2009 2008 2007  \$ 13.640 \$ 11.650 \$ 14.800 \$ 15.140  In Operations  \$ 0.417 \$ 0.790 \$ 0.923 \$ 1.012  (0.561) 1.934 (3.152) (0.335)	Six Months Ended Year Ended September March 31, 2010 (Unaudited) 2009 2008 2007  \$ 13.640 \$ 11.650 \$ 14.800 \$ 15.140 \$   an Operations  \$ 0.417 \$ 0.790 \$ 0.923 \$ 1.012 \$   (0.561) 1.934 (3.152) (0.335)	Six Months Ended Year Ended September 30, March 31, 2010 (Unaudited) 2009 2008 2007 2006  \$ 13.640 \$ 11.650 \$ 14.800 \$ 15.140 \$ 14.730  In Operations  \$ 0.417 \$ 0.790 \$ 0.923 \$ 1.012 \$ 1.010  (0.561) 1.934 (3.152) (0.335) 0.424	Six Months Ended Year Ended September 30, March 31, 2010 (Unaudited) 2009 2008 2007 2006  \$ 13.640 \$ 11.650 \$ 14.800 \$ 15.140 \$ 14.730 \$ and Operations  \$ 0.417 \$ 0.790 \$ 0.923 \$ 1.012 \$ 1.010 \$ and Operations  \$ (0.561) 1.934 (3.152) (0.335) 0.424  \$ (0.215) (0.302) (0.268)

T	989	$\Gamma$	)ic1	ri	hiii	tio	10 1	0	$\boldsymbol{C}$	ommon	Shar	eholder	c
Т	ZC33	L	151	ш	nu	LIOI	15 1	.()	١,	OHILLOH	OHAL		•

From net investment income	\$	(0.406)	\$	(0.734)	\$	(0.706)	\$	(0.715)	\$ (0.756)	\$ (0.844)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(0.406)	\$	(0.734)	\$	(0.706)	\$	(0.715)	\$ (0.756)	\$ (0.844)
Net asset value End of period (Common shares)	\$	13.090	\$	13.640	\$	11.650	\$	14.800	\$ 15.140	\$ 14.730
Market value End of period (Common shares)	\$	13.670	\$	14.120	\$	10.980	\$	14.500	\$ 14.650	\$ 13.680
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>		$(1.01)\%^{(3)}$		24.78%		(17.07)%		2.59%	8.41%	8.77%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>		<b>(0.14)</b> % <sup>(3)</sup>		37.06%		(20.22)%		3.87%	12.95%	4.88%
Ratios/Supplemental Data										
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000 omitted) Ratios (as a percenta Expenses	\$	206,910 of average dail		215,303 t assets appl		183,643 le to commo		232,624 ares): <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 237,664	\$ 231,161
excluding interest and fees		$1.11\%^{(5)} \\ 0.53\%^{(5)}$		1.04% 1.34%		0.99% 0.55%		0.86%	0.88%	0.87%

Interest and fee						
expense <sup>(6)</sup>						
Total expenses						
before custodian						
fee reduction	$1.64\%^{(5)}$	2.38%	1.54%	0.86%	0.88%	0.87%
Expenses after						
custodian fee						
reduction						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.11\%^{(5)}$	1.03%	0.95%	0.85%	0.88%	0.86%
Net investment						
income	$6.39\%^{(5)}$	6.83%	6.63%	6.72%	6.86%	6.81%
Portfolio Turnover	$2\%^{(3)}$	21%	48%	28%	14%	23%

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
- (3) Not annualized.
- (4) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (5) Annualized.
- (6) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with inverse floater securities transactions (see Note 1H).

See notes to financial statements

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

#### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund (formerly, Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund) (Municipal Fund), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund (formerly, Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund) (California Fund) and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (formerly, Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund) (New York Fund), (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations furnished by third party pricing services, as derived from such services pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations, maturing in sixty days or less, are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap curves provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that most fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of all relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual

restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker-dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Fund s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

At September 30, 2009, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards which will reduce the respective Fund s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards are as follows:

Fund	Amoun	nt Expiration Date
Municipal	\$ 314,	,751 September 30, 2012
	31,	,250 September 30, 2015
	6,857,	,645 September 30, 2016
	18,034,	,628 September 30, 2017
California	\$ 533,	,889 September 30, 2016
	4,562,	,453 September 30, 2017
New York	\$ 125,	,998 September 30, 2013
	7,946,	,914 September 30, 2017

Included in the amounts above for Municipal Fund is a capital loss carryforward of \$5,338,110 as a result of the

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

reorganization (see Note 10). Utilization of this capital loss carryforward may be limited in accordance with certain income tax regulations.

Additionally, at September 30, 2009, the Municipal Fund, California Fund and New York Fund had net capital losses of \$58,149,538, \$23,586,702 and \$9,821,149, respectively, attributable to security transactions incurred after October 31, 2008. These net capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Funds taxable year ending September 30, 2010.

As of March 31, 2010, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each of the Funds federal tax returns filed in the 3-year period ended September 30, 2009 remains subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the respective custodian agreements, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G Indemnifications Under each Fund s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust, (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund

shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Funds may invest in inverse floating rate securities, also referred to as residual interest bonds, whereby a Fund may sell a fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the

SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker, often referred to as an inverse floating rate obligation (Inverse Floater). The broker deposits a fixed rate bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the fixed rate bond sold to the broker by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the fixed rate bond purchased from the Fund (the Fixed Rate Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The Inverse Floater held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Fixed Rate Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the Inverse Floater for the underlying Fixed Rate Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Fixed Rate Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At March 31, 2010, the amounts of the Funds Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

Floating Rate Notes Fund Outstanding		Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding		
Municipal	\$ 616,300,000	0.29 0.33	\$ 640,265,327		
California	196,510,000	0.27 0.33	201,255,235		
New York	139,875,000	0.30 0.31	145,543,718		

For the six months ended March 31, 2010, the Funds average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate (annualized) including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

Fund	Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	Average Interest Rate
Municipal	\$ 617,061,538	0.71%
California	196,510,000	0.71
New York	139,875,000	0.79

The Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Fixed Rate Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds exposure under shortfall and forbearance agreements that were entered into as of March 31, 2010 was approximately \$5,276,000 and \$1,493,000 for the Municipal Fund and California Fund, respectively, and none for the New York Fund.

The Funds may also purchase Inverse Floaters from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying fixed rate bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to Inverse Floaters purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments. The Funds investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in Inverse Floaters. Inverse floating rate securities typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when

long-term interest rates decline.

The value and income of inverse floating rate securities are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds restrictions apply. Inverse Floaters held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

I Financial Futures Contracts The Funds may enter into financial futures contracts. The Funds investment in financial futures contracts is designed for hedging against changes in interest rates or as a substitute for the purchase of securities. Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.

J Interest Rate Swaps The Funds may enter into interest rate swap agreements to enhance return, to hedge against fluctuations in securities prices or interest rates, or as substitution for the purchase or sale of securities. Pursuant to these agreements, a Fund makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Fund is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.

K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

M Interim Financial Statements The interim financial statements relating to March 31, 2010 and for the six months then ended have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, but in the opinion of the Funds management, reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements.

#### 2 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains, (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years, if any). Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

#### 3 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of each Fund s average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the amount payable by

the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation.

In addition, EVM has contractually agreed to reimburse the Funds for fees and other expenses at an annual rate of 0.32% of average weekly gross assets of each Fund during the first five full years of its operations, 0.24% of a Fund s average weekly gross assets in year six, 0.16% in year seven and 0.08% in year eight. The Funds concluded their first seven full years of operations on August 30, 2009. For the six months ended March 31, 2010, the investment adviser

fee and expenses contractually reduced by EVM were as follows:

Fund	Investment Adviser Fee	expenses educed by EVM
Municipal	\$ 4,695,941	\$ 577,962
California	1,473,279	181,383
New York	1,124,375	138,411

Except for Trustees of the Funds who are not members of EVM s organization, officers and Trustees receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the six months ended March 31, 2010, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

#### 4 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the six months ended March 31, 2010 were as follows:

Fund	Purchases	Sales
Municipal	\$ 61,470,862	\$ 59,371,710
California	15,852,910	13,977,372
New York	8,287,080	13,405,922

### 5 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Common share transactions for the six months ended March 31, 2010 and the year ended September 30, 2009 were as follows:

### Six Months Ended March 31, 2010 (Unaudited)

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Issued pursuant to the Funds dividend reinvestment plan	81,328 30	11,695	22,688

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

## Year Ended September 30, 2009

Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
146,821	20,054	31,333
2,748,089	20.054	31,333
	Fund 146,821	Fund Fund  146,821 20,054  2,748,089

### 6 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at March 31, 2010, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

### **Municipal Fund**

Aggregate cost	\$ 869,396,677
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 40,608,367 (83,791,965)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$ (43,183,598)

## California Fund

Aggregate cost	\$	280,618,730
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$	6,675,762
Gross unrealized depreciation		(26,922,713)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$	(20,246,951)
New York Fund		
Aggregate cost	\$	203,839,355
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$	9,941,516
Gross unrealized depreciation		(8,863,132)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$	1,078,384
net um canzeu appi ecianon	Φ	1,070,304

### 7 Overdraft Advances

Pursuant to the respective custodian agreements, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, a rate above the Federal Funds rate). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At March 31, 2010, the Municipal Fund had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$1,046,236.

#### 8 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and interest rate swaps and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at March 31, 2010 is as follows:

#### **Futures Contracts**

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

Fund	Expiration Date	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	Net Unrealized Appreciation
California	6/10	244 U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (28,470,894)	\$ (28,334,500)	\$ 136,394
New York	6/10	167 U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (19,486,228)	\$ (19,392,875)	\$ 93,353

## Interest Rate Swaps Municipal Fund

Counterparty	Notional Amount	Annual Fixed Rate Paid By Fund	Floating Rate Paid To Fund	Effective Date/ Termination Date	Net Unrealized Depreciation
JPMorgan Chase Co.	\$ 19,525,000	4.609%	3-month USD-LIBOR-BBA	June 15, 2010/ June 15, 2040	\$ (141,348)
Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc.	30,000,000	4.665	3-month USD-LIBOR-BBA	May 24, 2010/ May 24, 2040	(575,139)
					\$ (716,487)

## California Fund

	tional nount	Annual Fixed Rate Paid By Fund	Floating Rate Paid To Fund	Effective Date/ Termination Date		realized preciation
JPMorgan Chase Co.	\$ 6,425,000	4.609%	3-month USD-LIBOR-BBA	June 15, 2010/ June 15, 2040	•	(46,513)

\$ (46,513)

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

#### **New York Fund**

Counterparty	Notional Amount	Annual Fixed Rate Paid By Fund	Floating Rate Paid To Fund	Effective Date/ Termination Date	Net Unrealized Depreciation
JPMorgan Chase Co.	\$ 4,637,500	4.609%	3-month USD-LIBOR-BBA	June 15, 2010/ June 15, 2040	\$ (33,573)
Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc.	12,300,000	4.665	3-month USD-LIBOR-BBA	May 24, 2010/ May 24, 2040	(235,807)
					\$ (269,380)

The effective date represents the date on which a Fund and the counterparty to the interest rate swap contract begin interest payment accruals.

At March 31, 2010, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives. Because the Funds hold fixed rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. To hedge against this risk, each Fund may enter into interest rate swap contracts. The Funds may also purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The Funds enter into interest rate swap contracts that may contain provisions whereby the counterparty may terminate the contract under certain conditions, including but not limited to a decline in a Fund s net assets below a certain level over a certain period of time, which would trigger a payment by the Fund for those swaps in a liability position. At March 31, 2010, the fair value of interest rate swaps with credit-related contingent features in a net liability position was equal to the fair value of the liability derivative related to interest rate swaps included in the table below for each respective Fund. The value of securities pledged as collateral, if any, for open interest rate swap contracts at March 31, 2010 is disclosed in a note to each Fund s Portfolio of Investments.

The non-exchange traded derivatives in which a Fund invests, including swap contracts, are subject to the risk that the counterparty to the contract fails to perform its obligations under the contract. At March 31, 2010, the maximum amount of loss the Funds would incur due to counterparty risk was equal to the fair value of the asset derivative related to interest rate swaps included in the table below for each respective Fund. Counterparties may be required to pledge collateral in the form of cash, U.S. Government securities or highly-rated bonds for the benefit of a Fund if the

net amount due from the counterparty with respect to a derivative contract exceeds a certain threshold. The amount of collateral posted by the counterparties with respect to such contracts would also reduce the amount of any loss incurred.

The fair value of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at March 31, 2010 was as follows:

	I	Fair V	alue
	set crivative		oility vative
Municipal Fund Interest Rate Swaps	\$	\$	(716,487) <sup>(1)</sup>
Total	\$	\$	(716,487)
California Fund Futures Contracts Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 136,394 <sub>(2)</sub>	\$	(46,513)(1)
Total	\$ 136,394	\$	(46,513)
New York Fund Futures Contracts Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 93,353(2)	\$	(269,380)(1)
Total	\$ 93,353	\$	(269,380)

- (1) Statement of Assets and Liabilities location: Payable for open swap contracts; Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation).
- (2) Amount represents cumulative unrealized appreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the six months ended March 31, 2010 was as follows:

Change in Unrealized

	Realized Gain		oreciation preciation)
Fund	(Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income <sup>(1)</sup>	on Der Rec	rivatives cognized in come <sup>(2)</sup>
Municipal California New York	\$ 1,438,165 611,742 444,180		2,525,753 662,468 1,433,161

- (1) Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts and swap contracts.
- (2) Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts and swap contracts.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

The average notional amounts of futures contracts and interest rate swaps outstanding during the six months ended March 31, 2010, which are indicative of the volume of these derivative types, were approximately as follows:

#### **Average Notional Amount**

Fund	Futures Contracts	Interest Rate Swaps			
Municipal	\$	\$ 49,525,000			
California	24,400,000	6,425,000			
New York	16,700,000	16,937,000			

#### 9 Fair Value Measurements

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At March 31, 2010, the inputs used in valuing the Funds investments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

#### **Municipal Fund**

Quoted
Prices
in
Active Significant
Markets
for Other Significant
Identica/Observable
Assets Inputs Inputs

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

Asset Description	(Level 1)	(Le	evel 2)	(Level 3)	То	tal	
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$	1,442,513,079	\$	\$	1,442,513,079	
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$	\$	1,442,513,079	\$	\$	1,442,513,079	
Liability Description							
Interest Rate Swaps	\$	\$	(716,487)	\$	\$	(716,487)	
Total	\$	\$	(716,487)	\$	\$	(716,487)	
California Fund	Quoted Prices in Active Markets		Significant		Significant Unobservable Inputs		
	for Identica Assets	ıl	Other Observable Inputs	Unob	ser		
Asset Description	Identica		Observable	Unob	ser ts el		
Asset Description  Tax-Exempt Investments Short-Term Investments	Identica Assets		Observable Inputs	Unob Input (Leve 3)	ser ts el	vable	
Tax-Exempt Investments	Identica Assets (Level 1		Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 452,224,084	Unob Input (Leve 3)	oser ts el	<b>Total</b> \$ 452,224,084	
Tax-Exempt Investments Short-Term Investments	Identica Assets (Level 1 \$	)	Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 452,224,084 4,657,695	Unob Input (Leve 3)	oser ts	Total \$ 452,224,084 4,657,695	
Tax-Exempt Investments Short-Term Investments Total Investments	Identica Assets (Level 1) \$	94	Observable Inputs  (Level 2)  \$ 452,224,084	Unob Input (Leve 3) \$	oser ts	Total \$ 452,224,084	
Tax-Exempt Investments Short-Term Investments  Total Investments  Futures Contracts	Identica Assets (Level 1 \$ \$ \$ 136,3	94	Observable Inputs  (Level 2)  \$ 452,224,084	Unob Input (Leve 3) \$	oser ts	Total \$ 452,224,084	

\$ \$ (46,513) \$ \$

Interest Rate Swaps

(46,513)

Total	\$	\$	(46,513) \$	\$	(46,513)
-------	----	----	-------------	----	----------

New York Fund	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs	
Asset Description	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments Short-Term Investments	\$	\$ 337,692,824 7,099,915	\$	\$ 337,692,824 7,099,915
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$	\$ 344,792,739	\$	\$ 344,792,739
Futures Contracts	\$ 93,353	\$	\$	\$ 93,353
Total	\$ 93,353	\$ 344,792,739	\$	\$ 344,886,092
Liability Description				
Interest Rate Swaps	\$	\$ (269,380)	\$	\$ (269,380)
Total	\$	\$ (269,380)	\$	\$ (269,380)

The Funds held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2009 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs.

### 10 Reorganization

As of the close of business on December 15, 2008, the Municipal Fund acquired the net assets of Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (Insured Florida Plus Fund) pursuant to a plan of reorganization approved by the shareholders of the Insured Florida Plus Fund. The acquisition was accomplished by a tax-free exchange of 2,748,089 common shares of the Municipal Fund for the 2,575,502 common shares of Insured Florida

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2010

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT D

Plus Fund outstanding on December 15, 2008. The aggregate net assets of the Municipal Fund immediately before the acquisition were \$487,655,526. The net assets of Insured Florida Plus Fund at that date of \$20,638,318, including \$5,317,808 of accumulated net realized losses and \$10,469,624 of unrealized depreciation, were combined with those of the Municipal Fund, resulting in combined net assets of \$508,293,844.

### 11 Name Change

Effective February 1, 2010, the names of the Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund were changed from Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively. The name changes resulted from a modification to each Fund s 80 percent policy to eliminate the requirement to invest primarily in insured municipal obligations, which was approved by the Funds shareholders.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

#### NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS (Unaudited)

At a joint Special Meeting of Shareholders held on January 29, 2010, shareholders of the Funds approved a modification to each Fund s 80 percent policy to eliminate the requirement to invest primarily in insured municipal obligations. Effective February 1, 2010, the Funds are required, under normal market conditions, to invest at least 80 percent of net assets in municipal obligations rated A or better by Moody s, S&P or Fitch and each Fund eliminated Insured from its name. For purposes of the Funds 80 percent requirement, the rating of insured obligations will be deemed to be the higher of the claims-paying rating of the insurer and the rating of the underlying issue.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

# SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS (Unaudited)

The Funds held a joint Special Meeting of Shareholders on January 29, 2010. The following action was taken by the shareholders of each Fund:

**Item 1:** To approve a modification to each Fund s investment policy to eliminate the requirement that the Fund invest at least 80 percent of its net assets in municipal obligations that are insured as to the payment of principal and interest.

	Number of Shares		
	For	Against	Abstain
Municipal Bond Fund:	31,738,505	4,312,780	1,154,158
California Municipal Bond Fund:	9,973,776	1,356,957	429,242
New York Municipal Bond Fund:	6,452,042	1,151,475	334,001
Results are rounded to the nearest whole number.			
	36		

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

#### BOARD OF TRUSTEES ANNUAL APPROVAL OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENTS

#### **Overview of the Contract Review Process**

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuance is approved at least annually by the fund s board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund ( Independent Trustees ), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a Board ) of the Eaton Vance group of mutual funds (the Eaton Vance Funds ) held on April 27, 2009, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of the Contract Review Committee of the Board (formerly the Special Committee), which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held in February, March and April 2009. Such information included, among other things, the following:

## Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses

An independent report comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds:

An independent report comparing each fund s total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds; An independent report comparing the investment performance of each fund to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to relevant peer groups of funds and appropriate indices; Comparative information concerning fees charged by each adviser for managing other mutual funds and institutional accounts using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing the fund; Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund;

#### Information about Portfolio Management

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes employed, and any changes in portfolio management processes and personnel;

Information concerning the allocation of brokerage and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through soft dollar benefits received in connection with the funds brokerage, and the implementation of a soft dollar reimbursement program established with respect to the funds;

Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

#### Information about each Adviser

Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;

Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts; Copies of the Codes of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;

Copies of or descriptions of each adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures;

Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates on behalf of the funds (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance with investment policies and restrictions, including policies with respect to market-timing, late trading and selective portfolio disclosure, and with policies on personal securities transactions;

Descriptions of the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;

## Other Relevant Information

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

The terms of each advisory agreement.

37

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

BOARD OF TRUSTEES ANNUAL APPROVAL OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENTS CONT D

In addition to the information identified above, the Contract Review Committee considered information provided from time to time by each adviser throughout the year at meetings of the Board and its committees. Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2009, the Board met eighteen times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met seven, five, six, six and six times, respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees received, among other things, presentations by the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each adviser relating to the investment performance of each fund and the investment strategies used in pursuing the fund s investment objective.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund s investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement.

### **Results of the Process**

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuance of the investment advisory agreements of the following funds:

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund

(the Funds ), each with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser ), including their fee structures, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of each agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee as well as the factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to each agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund.

#### Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreements of the Funds, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Funds by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser s management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by each Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Funds, and recent changes in the identity of such personnel with respect to certain Funds. In particular, the Board evaluated, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment personnel in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser s large municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Board also took into account the resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, including the compensation paid to recruit and retain investment personnel, and the time and attention devoted to each Fund by senior management.

The Board also reviewed the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment personnel, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also evaluated the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

#### BOARD OF TRUSTEES ANNUAL APPROVAL OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENTS CONT D

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds.

The Board considered the Adviser's recommendations for Board action and other steps taken in response to the unprecedented dislocations experienced in the capital markets over recent periods, including sustained periods of high volatility, credit disruption and government intervention. In particular, the Board considered the Adviser's efforts and expertise with respect to each of the following matters as they relate to the Funds and/or other funds within the Eaton Vance family of funds: (i) negotiating and maintaining the availability of bank loan facilities and other sources of credit used for investment purposes or to satisfy liquidity needs; (ii) establishing the fair value of securities and other instruments held in investment portfolios during periods of market volatility and issuer-specific disruptions; and (iii) the ongoing monitoring of investment management processes and risk controls. In addition, the Board considered the Adviser's actions with respect to the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) issued by the Funds, including the Adviser's efforts to seek alternative forms of debt and other leverage that may over time reduce financing costs associated with APS and enable the Funds to restore liquidity for APS holders.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the respective investment advisory agreements.

#### **Fund Performance**

The Board compared each Fund s investment performance to a relevant universe of similarly managed funds identified by an independent data provider and appropriate benchmark indices. The Board reviewed comparative performance data for the one-, three-, and five-year periods ended September 30, 2008 for each Fund in operation over such periods. The Board considered the impact of extraordinary market conditions during 2008 on each Fund s performance relative to its peer universe in light of, among other things, the Adviser s strategy of generating current income through investments in higher quality (including insured) municipal bonds with longer maturities. On the basis of the foregoing and other relevant information, the Board concluded that, under the circumstances, the performance of each Fund was satisfactory.

#### **Management Fees and Expenses**

The Board reviewed contractual investment advisory fee rates, including any administrative fee rates, payable by each Fund (referred to collectively as management fees ). As part of its review, the Board considered each Fund s management fee and total expense ratio for the year ended September 30, 2008, as compared to a group of similarly managed funds selected by an independent data provider.

After reviewing the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded with respect to each Fund that the management fees charged to the Fund for advisory and related services and the total expense ratio of the Fund are reasonable.

#### **Profitability**

The Board reviewed the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to each Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized with and without regard to revenue sharing or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect benefits received by the Adviser in connection with its relationship with the Funds.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are reasonable.

#### **Economies of Scale**

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and each Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of the Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from the economies of scale with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board also considered the fact that none of the Funds is continuously offered and concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser's profits with respect to each Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in the advisory fee schedule is not appropriate at this time. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that the benefits from economies of scale are currently being shared equitably by the Adviser and its affiliates and each Fund.

#### Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

#### **OFFICERS AND TRUSTEES**

Officers Trustees
Cynthia J. Clemson Ralph F. Verni
President of EVM and ENX; Chairman

Vice President of EIM

Benjamin C. Esty

Thomas M. Metzold

President of EIM; Thomas E. Faust Jr.

Vice President of EVM

Allen R. Freedman

Craig R. Brandon

Vice President of EVM and ENX William H. Park

Barbara E. Campbell Ronald A. Pearlman

Treasurer

Helen Frame Peters

Maureen A. Gemma

Secretary and Chief Legal Officer Heidi L. Steiger

Paul M. O Neil Lynn A. Stout

Chief Compliance Officer

## **Number of Employees**

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

#### **Number of Shareholders**

As of March 31, 2010, our records indicate that there are 656, 131 and 129 registered shareholders for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and approximately 28,211, 6,607 and 6,290 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 1-800-262-1122

#### NYSE Amex symbols

Municipal Bond Fund EIM
California Municipal Bond Fund EVM
New York Municipal Bond Fund ENX

# Investment Adviser and Administrator of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds Eaton Vance Management

Two International Place Boston, MA 02110

# Custodian State Street Bank and Trust Company

200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116

# Transfer Agent American Stock Transfer & Trust Company

59 Maiden Lane Plaza Level New York, NY 10038

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 1453-5/10 CE-IMBSRC

#### Item 2. Code of Ethics

The registrant has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of such code of ethics to any person upon request, without charge, by calling 1-800-262-1122.

# **Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert**

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp (specialty finance company). Previously, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now Pricewaterhouse Coopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

# Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Not required in this filing.

# Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed registrants

Not required in this filing.

#### **Item 6. Schedule of Investments**

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

# Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer then back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of

the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personal of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at <a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>.

# **Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies** Not required in this filing.

# Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.

No such purchases this period.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No Material Changes.

#### **Item 11. Controls and Procedures**

- (a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 12. Exhibits

- (a)(1) Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
- (a)(2)(i) Treasurer s Section 302 certification.
- (a)(2)(ii) President s Section 302 certification.
- (b) Combined Section 906 certification.

#### **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond

Fund

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson

Cynthia J. Clemson

President
Date: May 7, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell

Barbara E. Campbell

Treasurer

Date: May 7, 2010

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson

Cynthia J. Clemson

President

Date: May 7, 2010