EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSRS May 25, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21147 Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

March 31, 2012

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

Semiannual Report March 31, 2012

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Semiannual Report March 31, 2012

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Table of Contents

Performance and Fund Profile

Municipal Bond Fund	2
California Municipal Bond Fund	3
New York Municipal Bond Fund	4
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures Financial Statements	5 6
Notice to Shareholders Officers and Trustees Important Notices	33 34 35

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

Performance^{1,2}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	Six Months	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	8.92%	32.42%	3.79%	6.20%
Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	8.77 5.88%	22.50% 19.97%	3.06 4.83%	6.00 5.62%
% Premium/Discount to NAV					
					-1.81%
Distributions ³					
Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV					\$0.419 6.01%

% Total Leverage⁴

Residual Interest Bond (RIB)

Distribution Rate at Market Price

40.56%

9.25%

6.12%

%

9.42

Fund Profile

Price

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁵

Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV

Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing .⁴ Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows⁵:

AAA	12.3%	BBB	8.8%
AA	64.3	BB	0.2
A	13.9	D	0.5

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

California Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

Performance^{1,2}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	Six Months	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002 8/30/2002	9.05% 0.72 5.88%	29.20% 24.23% 19.97%	2.62% 1.72 4.83%	5.06% 4.67 5.62%
% Premium/Discount to NAV					-3.47%
Distributions ³					-J . + 1 /0

Total Distributions per share for the period	\$0.397
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.96%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	10.22%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.18%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market	10.60
Price	%

% Total Leverage⁴

RIB 38.93%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁵

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing .⁴ Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows⁵:

AAA	19.5%	BBB	5.1%
AA	50.3	BB	2.2
A	22.9		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

New York Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Performance^{1,2}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	Six Months	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	8/30/2002	6.90%	22.71%	3.87%	5.55%
Fund at Market Price		3.07	22.74%	3.64	5.38
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	8/30/2002	5.88%	19.97%	4.83%	5.62%
% Premium/Discount to NAV					
					-1.54%

Distributions³

Total Distributions per share for the period	\$0.389
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.39%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.09%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.48%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market	9.25
Price	%

% Total Leverage⁴

RIB 38.49%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁵

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing .⁴ Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	17.2%	BBB	7.7%
AA	52.8	Not Rated	2.2
A	20.1		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance
Municipal Income Funds
March 31, 2012
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- ¹ Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ² Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- ³ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of ordinary income, tax-exempt income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. Subsequent distributions declared, but not reflected in the Fund Profile, reflect a reduction of the monthly distribution for California Municipal Bond Fund.
- ⁴ Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See "Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held" in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding as of period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- ⁵ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited)

Tax-Exempt Investments 165.1%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 15.4%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	\$ 9,750	\$ 10,874,467
(Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾	14,700	16,074,891
Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	15,000	17,003,850
(Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27	5,810	7,436,509
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	8,325	10,516,806
(Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	2,000	2,253,600
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University),	8,790	10,547,297
5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾	15,300	16,783,641
New York Dormitory Authority, (State University Educational Facilities), 4.00%, 5/15/28 North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke	8,025	8,367,828
University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	13,500	15,018,210
Tennessee School Bond Authority, 5.50%, 5/1/38	5,000	5,720,100
University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	4,450	4,923,836
University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	10,000	11,391,500
University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 5.00%, 4/1/32	2,090	2,366,800

\$ 139,279,335

Electric U	tilities	1.3%

South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	\$ 7,110 3,425	\$ 7,969,599 3,715,303
		\$ 11,684,902
General Obligations 15.1%		
Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities),		
5.25%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 8,320	\$ 9,052,326
City & County of San Francisco, CA, (Earthquake Safety &	0.000	0.612.012
Emergency Response), 4.00%, 6/15/27 Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority,	9,080	9,613,813
5.75%, 7/1/32	3,000	3,462,000
Florida Board of Education, 5.00%, 6/1/31	10,000	11,390,400
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed),		
5.00%, 8/15/37	6,465	7,225,866
Georgia, 5.00%, 7/1/29	10,000	11,759,700
Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/29	7,620	8,845,525
Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/30	6,500	7,533,565
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	2,000	2 226 720
Mississippi, (Capital Improvements Projects),	2,000	2,236,720
5.00%, 10/1/30 ⁽¹⁾	10,000	11,478,100
New York, 5.00%, 12/15/30	7,660	8,840,483
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/36	5,000	5,557,650
North East Independent School District, TX,	3,000	3,337,030
(PSF Guaranteed), 5.25%, 2/1/28	2,000	2,519,000
Northside Independent School District, TX,	,	, ,
(PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35	180	197,719
Northside Independent School District, TX,		
(PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 ⁽¹⁾	12,250	13,455,890
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 ⁽¹⁾	6,750	7,656,592
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36	2,000	2,265,180
Pasadena, TX, Independent School District,		
(PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/15/35	4,355	4,944,972
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX,		
5.00%, 10/1/35	7,500	8,560,950

\$ 136,596,451

Hospital 7.3%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,102,030
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	1,770	1,940,805
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		
(Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	11,760	12,408,094
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36	4,215	4,388,447
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority,		
(Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35	2,610	2,505,078
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority,		
(Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27	1,860	1,873,429
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority,		
(Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34	4,535	4,590,236
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific		
Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33	3,900	3,945,747
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority,		
(Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36	7,190	7,551,801
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing		
Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38	8,310	2,166,417
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing		
Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41	10,000	2,204,300
Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh		
Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32	8,165	8,362,185

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued)		
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46 South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority,	\$ 5,355	\$ 5,516,882
(Baptist Health), 5.00%, 8/15/42	100	103,444
South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health), 5.00%, 8/15/42 ⁽¹⁾	900	930,996
Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40	6,105	6,458,357
		\$ 66,048,248
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5%		
St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	\$ 4,370	\$ 4,519,891
		\$ 4,519,891
Insured Electric Utilities 3.6%		
American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39	\$ 5,000 1,350	\$ 5,589,600 1,535,801

Long Island, NY, Power Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33 Mississippi Development Book, (Municipal Energy)		
Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41	13,895	13,909,173
Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper),	2,735	2,951,530
(BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	7,840	8,802,830
		\$ 32,788,934
Insured Education 3.0%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32	\$ 14,400	\$ 18,066,528
Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31	7,865	8,509,773
(University of Midfill), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31	7,803	8,309,773
		\$ 26,576,301
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.1% Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority,		
(Adventist Health System), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/15/16, 5.25%, 11/15/36	\$ 525	\$ 628,829
		\$ 628,829
Insured General Obligations 12.9%		
Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	\$ 3,750	\$ 4,658,475
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36 Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM),	11,845	8,813,272
(PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39 Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM),	9,755	8,190,591
(PSF Guaranteed), 4.00%, 8/15/40 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District	1,010	1,013,788
No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/21	13,865	10,132,819
	49,750	34,578,737

Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District		
No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22		
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC),		
5.00%, 12/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	7,000	7,408,380
Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC),		
5.00%, 8/1/32	8,955	9,887,126
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC),		
4.75%, 2/15/38	95	100,813
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC),		
4.75%, 2/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	10,950	11,620,030
Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	12,750	13,256,175
Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College		
District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG),		
3.75%, 7/1/31	7,015	7,029,732

Insured Hospital 17.1%

Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health),		
(BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$ 8,250	\$ 8,877,742
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	11,000	11,458,370
Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany		
Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39	3,950	4,138,494
Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany		
Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/44	1,050	1,101,713
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health),		
(AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾	11,500	11,990,360
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority,		
(Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 ⁽¹⁾	15,500	16,704,660
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority,		
(Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35	3,795	3,931,430
Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital),		
(AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47 ⁽¹⁾	15,000	15,707,244
Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority,		
(Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM),		
5.25%, 5/15/41 ⁽¹⁾	2,500	2,622,475
Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health		
System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37	2,625	2,862,589
Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority,		
(Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32	1,675	1,838,112

See Notes to Financial Statements.

\$ 116,689,938

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Hospital (continued)		
Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 ⁽¹⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC),	\$ 19,150	\$ 19,395,503
5.25%, 1/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	5,250	5,557,650
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,	555	579,625
(Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,	235	245,427
(Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,	3,500	3,655,295
(Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare	13,115	14,200,397
Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence	5,795	6,520,360
Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 ⁽¹⁾	8,700	9,372,771
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 ⁽¹⁾	12,605	13,591,844
		\$ 154,352,061
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1%		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Project), (BHAC),	\$ 9,000	\$ 9,775,800

		\$	9,775,800
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 9.9% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, (NPFG), 4.50%, 2/15/47 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ San Jose, CA, Financing Authority, (Civic Center),	\$ 3,085 2,910 24,000	\$	3,018,395 3,213,949 25,692,240
(AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 ⁽¹⁾	42,750 13,000		43,007,355 13,921,310
		\$	88,853,249
Insured Other Revenue 4.2% Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45 ⁽¹⁾ Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 25,875 16,795 6,750	\$ \$	26,141,254 4,064,726 7,889,872 38,095,852
Insured Solid Waste 0.6% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$ 2,760 1,575	\$	3,225,253 1,807,439

5,032,692

Insured Special Tax Revenue 7.4%

Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Jacksonville, FL, Excise Tax, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 10/1/27 Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.75%, 6/15/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17,940 18,035 1,175 15,000 96,650 54,710 10,800	\$ 16,476,814 9,972,453 1,196,244 11,512,650 7,627,618 8,369,536 11,656,331 \$ 66,811,646
Insured Student Loan 1.1% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$ 8,755	\$ 9,620,519

Insured Transportation 20.8%		
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM),		
4.75%, 1/1/34 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 21,640	\$ 22,325,122
Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International		
Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39	8,080	8,710,725
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business		
and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC),		
0.00%, 1/1/23 ⁽²⁾	10,070	1,032,175

See Notes to Financial Statements.

9,620,519

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Transportation (continued)		
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 ⁽²⁾	\$ 3,100	\$ 235,600
Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 ⁽²⁾ E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG),	15,000	3,225,000
0.00%, 9/1/21 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39 Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC),	10,200 25,000	6,979,962 4,305,500
(NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 ⁽¹⁾ Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30	7,800 6,710	8,781,084 7,195,536
Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM),	20,995	22,927,378
5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29	14,000 1,785	15,239,140 1,951,094
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway	11,700	12,856,428
System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39	1,015 1,160	1,124,823 1,286,614
North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 ⁽¹⁾ Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM),	20,000	22,413,800
5.00%, 8/15/26 ⁽¹⁾ Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25	10,000 1,605 1,950	11,009,100 725,203 815,821

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26	1,000	386,260
San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency,		
(Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25	26,215	11,438,129
Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	23,845	17,387,297
Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike		
System), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42	5,475	5,475,493

\$ 187,827,284

insured water and sewer 21.276	Insured	Water and Sewer	21.2%
--------------------------------	---------	-----------------	-------

Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater, (AGM), (BHAC),		
5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,213,000
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC),		
5.25%, 10/1/26	3,185	3,574,908
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC),		
5.25%, 10/1/27	1,985	2,215,776
Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC),		
5.50%, 10/1/38	3,170	3,452,193
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC),		
5.50%, 1/1/38	3,060	3,335,431
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG),		
0.00%, 1/1/23	13,670	8,840,389
DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM),		
5.25%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	10,000	11,684,600
District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC),		
5.00%, 10/1/34 ⁽¹⁾	8,500	9,295,260
Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC),		
5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	27,570	30,306,300
Los Angeles, CA, Department of Water and Power,		
(BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/43 ⁽¹⁾	53,500	54,031,255
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM),		
5.25%, 8/1/32	5,540	7,002,560
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM),		
5.25%, 8/1/38	1,070	1,371,954
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC),		
(BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40	9,095	9,100,002
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority,		
(BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 ⁽¹⁾	9,500	11,064,080
San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project),		
(NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	3,535	3,578,127
Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM),		
5.00%, 6/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	27,670	29,739,161

\$ 190,804,996

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.6%			
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation,	\$ 2,565	\$	2,874,031
5.00%, 5/1/30	10,335		11,787,068
		\$	14,661,099
		4	11,001,003
Other Revenue 2.5%			
New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 10,750	\$	11,862,088
Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30	9,200		10,818,556
		\$	22,680,644
Special Tax Revenue 1.9%			
Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/30 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/33 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36 New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax	\$ 1,390 1,500 1,285 1,020	\$	1,566,947 1,683,105 1,435,178 1,136,566
Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/31	10,000		11,338,100
		\$	17,159,896

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Senior Living / Life Care 0.2%		
Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,322,192
		\$ 1,322,192
Transportation 9.4%		
Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New		
Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35	\$ 8,275	\$ 8,841,341
Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28	3,285	3,739,414
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY,	,	, ,
5.25%, 11/15/38	4,640	5,078,016
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40	6,735	7,341,150
Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport),	0,700	7,6 11,100
5.00%, 10/1/41	10,940	11,532,401
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24	10,000	11,795,800
Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority,	10,000	11,7,0,000
5.00%, 7/1/35	2,915	3,131,789
Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/40	2,590	2,769,435
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until	2,370	2,700,100
12/1/15), 12/1/34	5,000	4,544,850
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.00%, 7/15/32	10,505	10,705,120
110070, 11101011	10,505	10,700,120

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.75%, 7/15/31 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey,	4,300		4,627,402
5.00%, 7/15/39	5,000		5,514,650
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	5,000		5,501,200
		\$	85,122,568
Water and Sewer 6.9%			
California Department of Water Resources, (Central			
Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue,	\$ 10,000	\$	11,536,800
5.00%, 1/1/35	2,735		3,093,613
Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System,			
5.25%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	9,750		11,142,007
King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 ⁽¹⁾	10,000		11,149,900
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	1,445		1,556,381
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40	6,325		6,765,346
New York Municipal Water Finance Authority,	10,000		11 100 000
5.00%, 6/15/34 Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	5,385		11,100,900 6,046,763
Toriuma, etc. Water egistem, etco/o, e/1/20	2,202		0,010,703
		\$	62,391,710
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 165.1% (identified cost \$1,417,295,225)		\$ 1	,489,325,037
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (65.1)%		\$	(587,103,048)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$	902,221,989

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF - Permanent School Fund XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At March 31, 2012, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states and territories, determined as a percentage of total investments is as follows:

California	16.5%
Texas	12.4%
New York	10.1%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	61.0%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2012, 62.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.1% to 20.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited)

Tax-Exempt Investments 164.3%

	Principal Amount	
Security	(000 s omitted)	Value
•	(**************************************	
Education 15.5%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (California		
Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,093,400
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont		
McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27	2,680	2,976,864
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd	550	(12.204
College), 5.25%, 12/1/31	550	613,294
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36	940	1,033,013
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola	940	1,033,013
Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22	395	453,839
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola		.00,009
Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/23	365	415,644
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola		,
Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30	1,375	1,475,801
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara		
University), 5.00%, 2/1/29	3,630	4,088,941
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of		
San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36	650	769,424
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of	6.000	6010116
Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39	6,200	6,918,146
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of	1.700	1 020 002
the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San	1,790	1,929,083
Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31	1,175	1,256,110
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San	1,175	1,230,110
Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35	800	843,624
D1050), 5.00 10, 10/1/33	000	013,024

California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San	2,270	2,544,920
Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27	2,395	2,663,767
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	2,520	2,784,827
		\$ 41,860,697
		+
Electric Utilities 4.5%		
California Department of Water Resources System, Electric	Ф. 2.700	Ф. 2.220.244
Revenue, 5.00%, 5/1/22 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 2,700 3,905	\$ 3,239,244 4,169,954
Southern California Public Power Authority,	3,500	1,103,521
(Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35	1,890	2,047,172
Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	2,375	2,538,614
		4.1. 00.1.00.1
		\$ 11,994,984
General Obligations 18.3%		
	\$ 4600	\$ 5 180 750
General Obligations 18.3% California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District,	\$ 4,600	\$ 5,180,750
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾	\$ 4,600 3,045	\$ 5,180,750 3,534,179
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District,	3,045	3,534,179
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾	. ,	
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32	3,045	3,534,179
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011),	3,045 3,355 545	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32	3,045 3,355	3,534,179 3,871,603
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34	3,045 3,355 545	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34	3,045 3,355 545 600 655	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973 636,714 691,831
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35	3,045 3,355 545 600	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973 636,714
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36	3,045 3,355 545 600 655	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973 636,714 691,831
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011),	3,045 3,355 545 600 655 645	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973 636,714 691,831 691,453 838,286
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽¹⁾	3,045 3,355 545 600 655 645 785	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973 636,714 691,831 691,453
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002),	3,045 3,355 545 600 655 645 785 2,815 7,020	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973 636,714 691,831 691,453 838,286 3,054,950 7,864,225
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽²⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29 ⁽³⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽³⁾ Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽¹⁾	3,045 3,355 545 600 655 645 785 2,815	3,534,179 3,871,603 581,973 636,714 691,831 691,453 838,286 3,054,950

San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31 San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of		
2004), 5.00%, 8/1/35 ⁽²⁾	5,000	5,558,050
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election		
of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33	1,910	2,139,868
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election		
of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	2,230	2,467,919
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election		
of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37	15	16,506
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election		
of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	4,975	5,474,440
Tamalpais Union High School District, 5.00%, 8/1/26	1,000	1,191,290
Tamalpais Union High School District, 5.00%, 8/1/28	1,000	1,171,980

\$ 49,406,451

Hospital 10.2%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,146,780
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	1,750	1,928,553
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic		
Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	550	603,075
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		
(Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	4,580	4,832,404
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		
(Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31 ⁽¹⁾	5,000	5,636,450
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	2,170	2,272,619
Torrance Hospital, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center),		
5.50%, 6/1/31	3,950	3,957,228
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32	3,165	3,213,678
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	3,005	3,006,653

\$ 27,597,440

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Education 12.5%		
California Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California Educational Facilities Authority,	\$ 2,300	\$ 2,527,631
(Pepperdine University), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/33 California State University, (AGM), (BHAC),	1,135	1,151,571
5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾	8,250	8,753,250
University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/26 ⁽¹⁾	3,095	3,262,996
University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/28 ⁽¹⁾	6,690	6,971,448
University of California, (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/15/37 ⁽¹⁾	10,750	10,978,975
		\$ 33,645,871
Insured Electric Utilities 14.6%		
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System		
District), (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,952,599
Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31	2,240	2,433,738
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric	•	, ,
System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 ⁽¹⁾ Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC),	6,750	7,667,392
5.00%, 7/1/24	2,000	2,227,020
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM),	-,	_,,. _ 0
5.00%, 8/15/27	1,000	1,114,310
	4,000	4,885,640

Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24

		\$ 39,280,699
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.3%		
insured Escrowed / Frerended 5.5 %		
California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley		
Project), (BHAC), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 12/1/12,	4.2100	4.246 600
5.00%, 12/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank,	\$ 2,100	\$ 2,167,689
(Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28,		
5.00%, 7/1/36	3,090	4,031,739
Ventura County, Community College District, (NPFG),		
Prerefunded to 8/1/12, 5.00%, 8/1/27	2,650	2,719,589
		\$ 8,919,017
Insured General Obligations 20.8%		
Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of		
2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39	\$ 4,175	\$ 4,484,493
Burbank Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG),	,	. , ,
0.00%, 8/1/21	4,135	3,048,487
Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002),	22 150	(700 212
(AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34 El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG), 4.45%, 8/1/36	23,150 2,385	6,720,213 2,415,623
Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008),	2,303	2,413,023
(AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33	4,500	4,950,000
Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004),		
(AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32	5,705	6,241,726
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32	15	16,380
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006),	13	10,300
(AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	6,100	6,661,017
San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG),		
0.00%, 7/1/22 Son Diago Unified School District (ECIC) (NDEC)	2,300	1,541,690
San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23	5,000	3,158,150
San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21	5,630	4,008,391
San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC),		
(NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22 ⁽²⁾	4,840	3,338,971

2,848,774

4,365

San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23 San Mateo County, Community College District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25 San Mateo Union High School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21 Ventura County, Community College District, (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/27	3,955 5,240 350	2,307,742 3,915,276 357,844 \$ 56,014,777
Insured Hospital 7.0%		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34 California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	\$ 2,205	\$ 2,359,085
(Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 ⁽¹⁾	10,000	10,779,000
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 ⁽¹⁾	3,500	3,628,660
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	2,000	2,080,380
		\$ 18,847,125
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 12.5%		
California Public Works Board, (California Community College), (FGIC), (NPFG), 4.00%, 10/1/30	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,786,220
Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37	3,885	5,199,839
	10,000	10,705,100
	1,000	1,006,020
San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	14,000	14,084,280
		\$ 33,781,459

Insured Special Tax Revenue 13.3%

Ceres, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (AMBAC), 4.00%, 11/1/36 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31

\$ 7,765

\$ 6,191,501

595

480,451

See Notes to Financial Statements.

12

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue (continued)		
Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Pomona, Public Financing Authority, (NPFG),	\$ 7,240	\$ 5,496,101
5.00%, 2/1/33	5,940	5,630,288
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG),	29,265	2,309,594
0.00%, 8/1/45	18,040	2,759,759
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.25%, 7/1/36 ⁽²⁾ San Jose Redevelopment Agency, (Merged Area	1,600	1,618,224
Redevelopment Project), (XLCA), 4.25%, 8/1/36	3,680	3,071,070
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	7,500	8,229,975
		\$ 35,786,963
Insured Transportation 1.9%		
San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/30 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33	\$ 3,445 1,885	\$ 1,068,364 1,946,677
San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	2,040	2,089,470

		\$ 5,104,511
Insured Water and Sewer 17.3%		
California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority,	\$ 5,875	\$ 6,026,751
(Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	7,000	7,352,520
Contra Costa, Water District, (AGM), 4.50%, 10/1/31(1)	5,500	5,504,180
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32	345	388,784
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	6,500	7,324,915
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/43 ⁽¹⁾ Riverside Water System Poyenus (ACM)	7,750	7,826,957
Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project),	1,595	1,710,542
(BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project),	5,000	5,330,300
(NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	2,750	2,783,550
Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	2,225	2,264,939
		\$ 46,513,438
Other Revenue 0.8%		
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, (The J. Paul Getty Trust), 3.00%, 10/1/20	\$ 2,080	\$ 2,285,442
		\$ 2,285,442
T. 0.10		
Transportation 8.1%		
Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27	\$ 6,500 1,960	\$ 7,406,555 2,221,229
	7.500	0 115 600

7,500

8,115,600

Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	2,190 1,750	2,339,183 1,857,887
	,	\$ 21,940,454
Water and Sewer 3.7%		
Alameda County Water District Financing Authority, 4.00%, 6/1/24 Alameda County Water District Financing Authority,	\$ 1,320	\$ 1,485,423
4.00%, 6/1/25	1,680	1,862,465
Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 ⁽³⁾	5,735	6,552,524
		\$ 9,900,412
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 164.3% (identified cost \$421,686,865)		\$ 442,879,740
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (64.3)%		\$ (173,367,887)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$ 269,511,853

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2012, 62.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.0% to 26.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (3) When-issued security.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited)

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.9%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 4.9%		
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance),	\$ 3,360	\$ 3,689,549
5.00%, 10/15/35 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance),	50	56,000
5.00%, 10/15/35 ⁽¹⁾	6,100	6,832,061
		\$ 10,577,610
Education 26.6%		
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 4.50%, 6/1/19 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University	\$ 715	\$ 808,958
Project), 5.00%, 6/1/20	760	883,660
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/21	950	1,107,501
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/31	800	856,104
Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University Project), 5.00%, 6/1/32	300	320,091

Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate		
University), 5.00%, 7/1/33 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard	1,630	1,666,577
School), 5.00%, 1/1/39	240	261,698
New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾	10,000	10,904,100
	·	
	10,000	11,277,400
	5,700	6,314,403
	10,000	10,842,900
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/40	2,000	2,153,120
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾	2,700	2,961,819
New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College),	·	
5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College),	1,175	1,324,613
5.25%, 7/1/30	250	279,595
New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40	5,250	5,796,630
		\$ 57,759,169
General Obligations 9.3%		
General Obligations 9.3% East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23	\$ 1,720	\$ 1,702,645
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26	4,715	5,202,248
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾	4,715 3,500	5,202,248 4,254,600
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾	4,715 3,500 7,250	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾	4,715 3,500	5,202,248 4,254,600
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35	4,715 3,500 7,250 465	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35	4,715 3,500 7,250 465	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35	4,715 3,500 7,250 465	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664 531,719
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35	4,715 3,500 7,250 465	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664 531,719
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35	4,715 3,500 7,250 465	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664 531,719
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36 Hospital 5.7% New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of	4,715 3,500 7,250 465 490	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664 531,719 \$ 20,308,249
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36 Hospital 5.7% New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26	4,715 3,500 7,250 465	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664 531,719
East Irondequoit Central School District, 3.00%, 6/15/23 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 4/1/22 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36 Hospital 5.7% New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of	4,715 3,500 7,250 465 490	5,202,248 4,254,600 8,111,373 505,664 531,719 \$ 20,308,249

New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20 New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	2,055 6,900	2,178,547 7,487,190
5.00%, 111/20	0,700	7,407,170
		\$ 12,444,386
Housing 1.2%		
New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,589,300
		\$ 2,589,300
Industrial Development Revenue 1.0%		
New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	\$ 500	\$ 544,495
New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37	1,440	1,625,213
		\$ 2,169,708
		\$ 2,169,708
Insured Education 21.3%		
Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/39	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,112,480
New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), (XLCA), 5.125%, 7/1/30	3,280	3,418,383
New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35 New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23	925	1,035,242
	1,750	1,992,533
New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	10,750 725	11,588,500 727,545

New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/41 New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34

1,555 1,652,467

See Notes to Financial Statements.

14

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Education (continued)		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39 ⁽³⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/37	\$ 2,405 3,750	\$ 2,560,483 3,916,537
New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/34	8,500	9,163,000
	5,555	2,037,019
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton	8,455	2,819,573
College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/37	4,000	1,270,720
		\$ 46,294,482
Insured Electric Utilities 6.1%		
Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33 ⁽³⁾ New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 11/15/47 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,000 7,210	\$ 5,765,450 7,450,598

\$ 13,216,048

Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.5%		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 7/1/26	\$ 1,645	\$ 1,121,627
New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 7/1/27 New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering	2,485	1,624,842
Cancer Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 7/1/30	8,615	4,909,172
		\$ 7,655,641
Insured General Obligations 9.5%		
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23	\$ 2,290	\$ 2,660,110
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24	2,390	2,799,144
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20	200	238,044
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21	200	235,992
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22	200	233,800
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23	200	231,108
East Northport The District, (NGC), 4.30 %, 11/1/25 Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM),	200	231,100
3.50%, 6/15/20	245	268,194
Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM),		200,17
3.75%, 6/15/21	255	279,381
Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM),		,
4.00%, 6/15/23	175	190,666
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20	185	222,618
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21	195	232,231
Hauppauge Union Free School District, (AGC),		
4.00%, 7/15/24	940	1,021,855
Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC),		
4.00%, 6/15/23	1,110	1,222,476
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC),		
4.15%, 6/1/23	820	894,727
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC),	0.60	005.50=
4.25%, 6/1/24	860	937,305
New York, (AGM), 5.00%, 4/1/22	2,250	2,540,160
Oneida County, (AGC), 4.00%, 4/15/22	645	706,475

903,692

785

Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC),		
4.50%, 11/15/20	825	940,987
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22	905	1,022,921
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	950	1,065,938
	1,590	1,720,889
		\$ 20,568,713
Insured Hospital 8.1%		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$ 4,355	\$ 4,629,583
New York Dormitory Authority, (Maimonides Medical Center), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/33	2,525	2,706,497
New York Dormitory Authority, (New York and Presbyterian Hospital), (AGM), (BHAC), (FHA), 5.25%, 2/15/31 ⁽¹⁾	10,000	10,387,000
		\$ 17,723,080
Insured Housing 1.1%		
New York Housing Development Corp., (FGIC), (NPFG),		
5.00%, 7/1/25	\$ 2,350	\$ 2,484,796
		\$ 2,484,796
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 0.5%		
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., (NPFG), 4.50%, 2/15/47	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,149,632
		\$ 1,149,632

Insured Other Revenue 6.2%

New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (American Museum of Natural History), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/44 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (NPFG), 4.75%, 3/1/46

\$	2,055	\$	2,107,875
----	-------	----	-----------

6,950 6,863,125

See Notes to Financial Statements.

15

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Other Revenue (continued)		
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$ 4,050	\$ 4,509,675
		\$ 13,480,675
Insured Solid Waste 2.0%		
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste	Ф. 1.400	¢ 1.162.042
System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23	\$ 1,490 1,090	\$ 1,163,943 778,096
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25	3,635	2,363,441
		\$ 4,305,480
Insured Special Tax Revenue 12.3%		
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 14,550	\$ 14,907,493

New York State Housing Finance Agency, (AGM), 5.00%, 3/15/37 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/32 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32 ⁽³⁾	2,415 3,000 4,000 12,675 850 4,185	2,567,918 671,670 1,194,120 1,939,021 927,410 4,549,681
		\$ 26,757,313
Insured Transportation 9.6%		
New York Thruway Authority, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20	\$ 2,175	\$ 2,731,343
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/24 ⁽¹⁾	5,600	6,207,992
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	11,000	11,837,980
		\$ 20,777,315
Insured Water and Sewer 7.2%		
Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$ 300	\$ 347,088
Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.375%, 11/1/28	3,835	4,391,573
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 6/15/38 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water	6,500	6,779,110
and Sewer System), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 6/15/34 Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	2,500 1,475	2,521,700 1,546,110

\$ 15,585,581

Lease Revenue / 0	Certificates	of Participa	ation	0.7%
-------------------	--------------	--------------	-------	------

Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Lease Contract, 5.125%, 1/1/29	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,514,745 \$ 1,514,745
Other Revenue 6.7%		
Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center Project), 5.00%, 9/15/40 ⁽²⁾	\$ 4,925 4,900 6,500	\$ 5,677,885 1,764,539 7,110,545 \$ 14,552,969
Special Tax Revenue 8.0%		
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33 New York Local Government Assistance Corp., 5.00%, 4/1/23 New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/32	\$ 10,000 1,000 1,500 1,300 1,765	\$ 11,063,100 1,171,860 1,680,915 1,532,128 1,918,431 \$ 17,366,434
Transportation 9.0% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 4/1/26	\$ 3,430 1,565 300 2,370 10,000	\$ 3,753,792 1,732,111 327,201 2,712,797 10,950,900

Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, $11/15/38^{(1)}$

\$ 19,476,801

Water and Sewer 1.4%

Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/21 Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26

\$ 500 \$ 607,480 755 \$ 872,387

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

March 31, 2012

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Water and Sewer (continued)		
Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/34	\$ 500 1,000	\$ 567,465 1,120,020 \$ 3,167,352
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 161.9% (identified cost \$325,836,896)		\$ 351,925,479
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.9)%		\$ (134,574,011)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$ 217,351,468

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
 FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
 FHA - Federal Housing Administration

NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2012, 54.0% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.0% to 19.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) When-issued security.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (4) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$421,860.

March 31, 2012

Statements of Assets and Liabilities (Unaudited)

		March 31, 2012	12			
Assets	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund			
Investments Identified cost Unrealized appreciation	\$ 1,417,295,225 72,029,812	\$ 421,686,865 21,192,875	\$ 325,836,896 26,088,583			
Investments, at value	\$ 1,489,325,037	\$ 442,879,740	\$ 351,925,479			
Cash Restricted cash* Interest receivable Receivable for investments sold Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts Deferred debt issuance costs Total assets	\$ 2,687,217 1,500,000 18,413,213 6,403,510 530,578 1,089,316 \$ 1,519,948,871	\$ 875,000 5,707,726 10,707,393 302,625 274,213 \$ 460,746,697	\$ 240,000 4,325,437 9,884,040 84,375 85,468 \$ 366,544,799			
Liabilities Payable for floating rate notes issued Payable for when-issued securities Due to custodian Payable to affiliates: Investment adviser fee Interest expense and fees payable Accrued expenses	\$ 615,555,000 837,547 1,209,291 125,044	\$ 171,840,000 13,923,368 4,783,297 256,124 360,739 71,316	\$ 136,000,000 11,199,090 1,509,512 198,824 216,807 69,098			

Total liabilities	\$ 617,726,882	\$ 191,234,844		\$ 149,193,331
Net Assets	\$ 902,221,989	\$ 269,511,853	;	\$ 217,351,468
Sources of Net Assets Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment income	\$ 681,239 957,923,879 (129,696,099) 448,552	\$ 217,562 306,726,139 (59,741,830 350,300))	\$ 158,838 223,791,416 (33,235,423) 317,913
Net unrealized appreciation Net Assets	\$ 72,864,418 902,221,989	21,959,682 \$ 269,511,853		26,318,724 \$ 217,351,468
Common Shares Outstanding	68,123,883	21,756,186		15,883,830
Net Asset Value				
Net assets , common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 13.24	\$ 12.39) ;	\$ 13.68

^{*} Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

March 31, 2012

Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended March 31, 2012

Investment Income	Μι	unicipal Fund California Fund		New York Fund			
Interest	\$	34,479,806	\$ 9,878,776		\$	7,651,622	
Total investment income	\$ 34,479,806		\$ 9,878,776		\$	7,651,622	
Expenses							
Investment adviser fee	\$	4,839,381	\$	1,481,817	\$	1,157,066	
Trustees fees and expenses		30,352		9,438		7,468	
Custodian fee		155,465		90,392		76,920	
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		11,397		9,803		9,845	
Legal and accounting services		1,009,787		37,941		35,292	
Printing and postage Interest expense and fees		52,397 2,019,377		14,685 599,021		14,022 444,192	
Miscellaneous		56,287		21,110		21,120	
Total expenses	\$	8,174,443	\$	2,264,207	\$	1,765,925	
Deduct Reduction of custodian fee	\$	326	\$	347	\$	204	
Total expense reductions	\$ 326		\$ 347		\$	204	
Net expenses	\$	8,174,117	\$	2,263,860	\$	1,765,721	

Net investment income	\$ 26,305,689	\$ 7,614,916	\$ 5,885,901
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)			
Net realized gain (loss) Investment transactions	\$ 1,341,424	\$ (2,686,637)	\$ 459,479
Extinguishment of debt Financial futures contracts Swap contracts	(323) (1,617,415) (929,946)	(543) (1,783,616)	(198,306) (381,278)
Net realized loss	\$ (1,206,260)	\$ (4,470,796)	\$ (120,105)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Investments Financial futures contracts	\$ 45,153,536 1,092,950	\$ 17,258,958 2,339,356	\$ 6,808,544 333,449
Swap contracts	3,525,400		1,445,414
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 49,771,886	\$ 19,598,314	\$ 8,587,407
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$ 48,565,626	\$ 15,127,518	\$ 8,467,302
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 74,871,315	\$ 22,742,434	\$ 14,353,20 3
	. ,,	. , -,	·
	19	See Notes t	o Financial Statements.

March 31, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Μι	unicipal Fund	Ca	lifornia Fund	New York Fund			
From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions,	\$ 26,305,689 \$ 7,614,916				\$ 5,885,901			
extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation		(1,206,260)		(4,470,796)		(120,105)		
(depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts and swap contracts		49,771,886		19,598,314		8,587,407		
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	74,871,315	\$	22,742,434	\$	14,353,203		
Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income	\$	(28,530,360)	\$	(8,635,540)	\$	(6,176,492)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(28,530,360)	\$	(8,635,540)	\$	(6,176,492)		
Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	176,533	\$	110,639	\$	171,579		
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	176,533	\$	110,639	\$	171,579		
Net increase in net assets	\$	46,517,488	\$	14,217,533	\$	8,348,290		

Net Assets						
At beginning of period	\$ 855	5,704,501	\$ 255	,294,320	\$ 209	0,003,178
At end of period	\$ 902	2,221,989	\$ 269	,511,853	\$ 217	7,351,468
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets						
At end of period	\$	448,552	\$	350,300	\$	317,913
				See Notes to) Finan	acial Statements.

March 31, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

Year Ended September 30, 2011

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
From operations Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions,	\$ 59,775,936	\$ 17,409,457	\$ 12,638,739
extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts and swap contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures	(35,203,163)	(17,357,508)	(8,994,586)
contracts and swap contracts	2,630,225	(542,668)	2,521,605
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations	\$ 27,202,998	\$ (490,719)	\$ 6,165,758
Distributions to common shareholders From net investment income	\$ (62,345,602)	\$ (18,450,457)	\$ (13,072,034)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (62,345,602)	\$ (18,450,457)	\$ (13,072,034)
Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$ 1,307,692	\$ 321,761	\$ 456,451
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$ 1,307,692	\$ 321,761	\$ 456,451
Net decrease in net assets	\$ (33,834,912)	\$ (18,619,415)	\$ (6,449,825)

Net Assets						
At beginning of year	\$ 8	89,539,413	\$ 2	73,913,735	\$ 215	5,453,003
At end of year	\$ 8	55,704,501	\$ 2	55,294,320	\$ 209	9,003,178
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets At end of year	\$	2,673,223	\$	1,370,924	\$	608,504

21

March 31, 2012

Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended March 31, 2012

Cash Flows From Operating Activities	Mu	nicipal Fund	nicipal Fund California Fund		w York Fund
Net increase in net assets from operations Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$	74,871,315	\$ 22,742,434	\$	14,353,203
Investments purchased	(174,352,587)	(71,019,716)	((22,516,095)
Investments sold	,	182,867,480	90,266,775		23,742,180
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)		(3,447,160)	(458,391)		(149,796)
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs		66,935	18,011		17,535
Increase in restricted cash		(1,500,000)	(875,000)		(240,000)
Decrease in interest receivable		9,131	109,848		113,696
Increase in receivable for investments sold		(5,577,180)	(7,273,974)		(9,753,576)
Increase in receivable for variation margin on open					
financial futures contracts		(530,578)	(302,625)		(84,375)
Decrease in receivable from the transfer agent			29,491		39,589
Decrease in miscellaneous receivable		614,666			
Increase in payable for when-issued securities			4,134,202		11,199,090
Decrease in payable for variation margin on open					
financial futures contracts		(21,078)	(124,938)		(10,938)
Decrease in payable for open swap contracts		(3,525,400)			(1,445,414)
Increase in payable to affiliate for investment					
adviser fee		60,653	16,984		11,507
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable		(4,849)	(56,520)		(16,255)
Decrease in accrued expenses		(81,902)	(51,677)		(51,548)
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation					
from investments		(45,153,536)	(17,258,958)		(6,808,544)
Net realized (gain) loss from investments		(1,341,424)	2,686,637		(459,479)
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt		323	543		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	22,954,809	\$ 22,583,126	\$	7,940,780

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments Proceeds from secured borrowings Repayment of secured borrowings Increase in due to custodian	\$ (28,353,827) 27,455,000 (23,785,000)	(8,524,901) 19,240,000 (44,890,000) 4,783,297		(6,004,913) 11,640,000 (19,800,000) 1,509,512
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (24,683,827)	\$ (29,391,604)	\$	(12,655,401)
Net decrease in cash	\$ (1,729,018)	\$ (6,808,478)	\$	(4,714,621)
Cash at beginning of period	\$ 4,416,235	\$ 6,808,478	\$	4,714,621
Cash at end of period	\$ 2,687,217	\$	\$	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:				
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions Cash paid for interest and fees	\$ 176,533 1,957,291	\$ 110,639 637,530	\$	171,579 442,912
	22	See Notes t	o Fin	nancial Statements.

March 31, 2012

Financial Highlights

Municipal Fund

	En	Months ded arch 31, 2012	Year Ended September 30,									
		naudited)		2011		2010		2009		2008		2007
Net asset value Beginning of period (Common shares)	\$	12.560	\$	13.080	\$	13.170	\$	11.080	\$	15.100	\$	15.910
Income (Loss) Fron	n Op	erations										
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and unrealized gain	\$	0.386	\$	0.878	\$	0.878	\$	0.846	\$	0.959	\$	1.050
(loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders		0.713		(0.482)		(0.059)		2.051		(3.797)		(0.419)
From net investment income										(0.171)		(0.225)
From net realized gain										(0.051)		(0.113)
Total income (loss) from												
operations	\$	1.099	\$	0.396	\$	0.819	\$	2.897	\$	(3.060)	\$	0.293

Less Distributions to	Less Distributions to Common Shareholders											
From net investment income From net realized gain	\$	(0.419)	\$	(0.916)	\$	(0.909)	\$	(0.807)	\$	(0.773) (0.187)	\$	(0.771) (0.332)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(0.419)	\$	(0.916)	\$	(0.909)	\$	(0.807)	\$	(0.960)	\$	(1.103)
Net asset value End of period (Common shares)	\$	13.240	\$	12.560	\$	13.080	\$	13.170	\$	11.080	\$	15.100
Market value End of period (Common shares)	\$	13.000	\$	12.350	\$	13.900	\$	13.160	\$	11.140	\$	15.310
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾		8.92 % ⁽³⁾		3.89%		6.77%		28.15%		(21.24)%		1.87%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾		8.77 % ⁽³⁾		(3.87)%		13.55%		27.36%		(21.90)%		7.97%
Ratios/Supplemental Data												
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000 s		002 222	¢	955 7 0 5	¢	990 5 20	¢	902 201	¢	710 202	¢	077 406

\$ 855,705 \$ 889,539

\$ 893,391

\$ 902,222

omitted)

\$ 977,406

\$ 719,392

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

Ratios (as a						
percentage of						
average daily net						
assets applicable to						
common shares): ⁽⁴⁾						
Expenses						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.41\%^{(5)}$	1.25%	1.12%	1.04%	0.89%	0.79%
Interest and fee						
expense ⁽⁶⁾	$0.46\%^{(5)}$	0.56%	0.54%	1.33%	0.59%	
Total expenses						
before custodian						
fee reduction	$1.87\%^{(5)}$	1.81%	1.66%	2.37%	1.48%	0.79%
Expenses after						
custodian fee						
reduction						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.41\%^{(5)}$	1.25%	1.12%	1.04%	0.86%	0.78%
Net investment						
income	$6.01\%^{(5)}$	7.54%	7.04%	7.94%	6.94%	6.76%
Portfolio Turnover	$12\%^{(3)}$	18%	18%	19%	54%	39%

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

⁽³⁾ Not annualized.

⁽⁴⁾ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

⁽⁵⁾ Annualized.

⁽⁶⁾ Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

March 31, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

California Fund

	En	Months ded arch 31, 2012	Year Ended September 30,								
		naudited)		2011		2010		2009		2008	2007
Net asset value Beginning of period (Common shares)	\$	11.740	\$	12.610	\$	12.940	\$	11.310	\$	15.000	\$ 15.280
Income (Loss) From	Ор	erations									
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and	\$	0.350	\$	0.801	\$	0.847	\$	0.827	\$	0.930	\$ 1.024
unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred		0.697		(0.822)		(0.331)		1.570		(3.418)	(0.269)
shareholders From net investment income From net realized										(0.153)	(0.296)
gain										(0.094)	
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	1.047	\$	(0.021)	\$	0.516	\$	2.397	\$	(2.735)	\$ 0.459

Less Distributions to	Coı	mmon Shareho	olde	rs				Less Distributions to Common Shareholders											
From net investment income From net realized gain	\$	(0.397)	\$	(0.849)	\$	(0.846)	\$	(0.767)	\$	(0.724) (0.231)	\$	(0.739)							
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(0.397)	\$	(0.849)	\$	(0.846)	\$	(0.767)	\$	(0.955)	\$	(0.739)							
Net asset value End of period (Common shares)	\$	12.390	\$	11.740	\$	12.610	\$	12.940	\$	11.310	\$	15.000							
Market value End of period (Common shares)	\$	11.960	\$	12.270	\$	13.300	\$	12.970	\$	11.090	\$	14.720							
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾		9.05 % ⁽³⁾		0.48%		4.53%		22.99%		(19.08)%		3.10%							
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾		0.72 % ⁽³⁾		(0.43)%		10.00%		25.72%		(19.15)%		4.18%							
Ratios/Supplemental Data																			
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000 s omitted)		269,512	\$ 2	255,294	\$:	273,914	\$:	280,743	\$	245,011	\$.	324,508							

$1.27\%^{(5)}$	1.42%	1.16%	1.06%	0.95%	0.81%
$0.46\%^{(5)}$	0.57%	0.56%	1.28%	0.51%	
$1.73\%^{(5)}$	1.99%	1.72%	2.34%	1.46%	0.81%
$1.27\%^{(5)}$	1.42%	1.16%	1.04%	0.92%	0.81%
$5.83\%^{(5)}$	7.20%	7.01%	7.64%	6.74%	6.73%
$16\%^{(3)}$	21%	11%	8%	39%	27%
	0.46% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.73% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.27% ⁽⁵⁾ 5.83% ⁽⁵⁾	0.46% ⁽⁵⁾ 0.57% 1.73% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.99% 1.27% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.42% 5.83% ⁽⁵⁾ 7.20%	0.46% ⁽⁵⁾ 0.57% 0.56% 1.73% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.99% 1.72% 1.27% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.42% 1.16% 5.83% ⁽⁵⁾ 7.20% 7.01%	0.46% ⁽⁵⁾ 0.57% 0.56% 1.28% 1.73% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.99% 1.72% 2.34% 1.27% ⁽⁵⁾ 1.42% 1.16% 1.04% 5.83% ⁽⁵⁾ 7.20% 7.01% 7.64%	0.46%(5) 0.57% 0.56% 1.28% 0.51% 1.73%(5) 1.99% 1.72% 2.34% 1.46% 1.27%(5) 1.42% 1.16% 1.04% 0.92% 5.83%(5) 7.20% 7.01% 7.64% 6.74%

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

⁽³⁾ Not annualized.

⁽⁴⁾ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

⁽⁵⁾ Annualized.

⁽⁶⁾ Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

March 31, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

New York Fund

	En	Months ded		Year Ended September 30,						
		arch 31, 2012 naudited)	2011	2010		2009		2008		2007
Net asset value Beginning of period (Common shares)	\$	13.170	\$ 13.610	\$ 13.640	\$	11.650	\$	14.800	\$	15.140
Income (Loss) From	1 Ор	erations								
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and	\$	0.371	\$ 0.797	\$ 0.831	\$	0.790	\$	0.923	\$	1.012
unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders		0.528	(0.412)	(0.041)		1.934		(3.152)		(0.335)
From net investment income								(0.215)		(0.302)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	0.899	\$ 0.385	\$ 0.790	\$	2.724	\$	(2.444)	\$	0.375

T	000	Diet	ributio	ne to C	ommon	Shareho	Idere
L.	ess	DISL	TIDULIO	us to C	OHIHOH	Sharenc	naers

From net investment income	\$ (0.389)	\$ (0.825)	\$ (0.820)	\$ (0.734)	\$ (0.706)	\$ (0.715)				
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.389)	\$ (0.825)	\$ (0.820)	\$ (0.734)	\$ (0.706)	\$ (0.715)				
Net asset value End of period (Common shares)	\$ 13.680	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.610	\$ 13.640	\$ 11.650	\$ 14.800				
Market value End of period (Common shares)	\$ 13.470	\$ 13.450	\$ 14.010	\$ 14.120	\$ 10.980	\$ 14.500				
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	6.90 % ⁽³⁾	3.37%	6.16%	24.78%	(17.07)%	2.59%				
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	3.07 % ⁽³⁾	2.56%	5.56%	37.06%	(20.22)%	3.87%				
Ratios/Supplemental Data										
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000 somitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to	s \$ 217,351	\$ 209,003	\$ 215,453	\$ 215,303	\$ 183,643	\$ 232,624				

common shares):(4)						
Expenses						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.24\%^{(5)}$	1.39%	1.12%	1.04%	0.99%	0.86%
Interest and fee						
expense ⁽⁶⁾	$0.41\%^{(5)}$	0.52%	0.55%	1.34%	0.55%	
Total expenses						
before custodian						
fee reduction	$1.65\%^{(5)}$	1.91%	1.67%	2.38%	1.54%	0.86%
Expenses after						
custodian fee						
reduction						
excluding interest						
and fees	$1.24\%^{(5)}$	1.39%	1.12%	1.03%	0.95%	0.85%
Net investment						
income	$5.51\%^{(5)}$	6.37%	6.30%	6.83%	6.63%	6.72%
Portfolio Turnover	$6\%^{(3)}$	29%	11%	21%	48%	28%

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.

⁽³⁾ Not annualized.

⁽⁴⁾ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.

⁽⁵⁾ Annualized.

⁽⁶⁾ Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Funds seek to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Fund s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

At September 30, 2011, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards which will reduce the respective Fund s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards are as follows:

Expiration Date	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
September 30, 2012	\$ 314,751	\$	\$
September 30, 2013			125,998
September 30, 2015	31,250		
September 30, 2016	6,857,645	533,889	
September 30, 2017	18,034,628	4,562,453	7,946,914
September 30, 2018	56,183,712	23,169,615	8,909,352
September 30, 2019	16,458,561	7,665,268	6,463,209
	\$ 97,880,547	\$ 35,931,225	\$ 23,445,473

In addition, such capital loss carryforwards cannot be utilized prior to the utilization of new capital losses, if any, created after September 30, 2011.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

Additionally, at September 30, 2011, the Municipal Fund, California Fund and New York Fund had net capital losses of \$37,513,142, \$19,463,688 and \$8,695,243, respectively, attributable to security transactions incurred after October 31, 2010. These net capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Funds taxable year ending September 30, 2012.

As of March 31, 2012, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the respective custodian agreements, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G Indemnifications Under each Fund s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the

same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At March 31, 2012, the amounts of the Funds Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 615,555,000	\$ 171,840,000	\$ 136,000,000
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.19 - 0.49	0.19 - 0.29	0.18 - 0.24
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 703,465,907	\$ 196,548,754	\$ 158,751,589

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

For the six months ended March 31, 2012, the Funds average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate (annualized) including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 617,305,219	\$ 191,603,005	\$ 141,481,667
Average Interest Rate	0.65%	0.63%	0.63%

The Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds had no shortfalls as of March 31, 2012.

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Funds investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on

the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.

J Interest Rate Swaps Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Fund makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Fund is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.

K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

M Interim Financial Statements The interim financial statements relating to March 31, 2012 and for the six months then ended have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, but in the opinion of the Funds management, reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements.

2 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years, if any). Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

3 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of each Fund s average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the six months ended March 31, 2012, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 4,839,381	\$ 1,481,817	\$ 1,157,066

Except for Trustees of the Funds who are not members of EVM s organization, officers and Trustees receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the six months ended March 31, 2012, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

4 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the six months ended March 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Purchases	\$ 174,352,587	\$ 71,019,716	\$ 22,516,095
Sales	\$ 182,867,480	\$ 90,266,775	\$ 23,742,180

5 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Common shares issued pursuant to the Funds dividend reinvestment plan for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and the year ended September 30, 2011 were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	14,119	9,232	12,737
Year Ended September 30, 2011	114,120	29,025	36,395

6 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at March 31, 2012, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Aggregate cost	\$ 797,796,142	\$ 248,453,915	\$ 191,155,866
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 105,593,769 (29,619,874)	\$ 28,627,933 (6,042,108)	\$ 25,960,411 (1,190,798)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 75,973,895	\$ 22,585,825	\$ 24,769,613

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

7 Overdraft Advances

Pursuant to the respective custodian agreements, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, a rate above the Federal Funds rate). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At March 31, 2012, the California Fund and New York Fund had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$4,783,297 and \$1,509,512, respectively.

8 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and interest rate swaps and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at March 31, 2012 is as follows:

Futures Contracts

Fund	Expiration Month/Year	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	Net Unrealized Appreciation
Municipal		47 U.S. 10-Year Treasury				
	6/12	Note 454 U.S. 30-Year Treasury	Short	\$ (6,126,070)	\$ (6,085,765)	\$ 40,305
	6/12	Bond	Short	\$ (63,332,801)	\$ (62,538,500)	\$ 794,301

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSRS

California		200 U.S. 10-Year Treasury				
	6/12	Note 194 U.S. 30-Year	Short	\$ (26,068,384)	\$ (25,896,875)	\$ 171,509
		Treasury				
	6/12	Bond	Short	\$ (27,318,798)	\$ (26,723,500)	\$ 595,298
New York		75				
		U.S. 30-Year				
		Treasury				
	6/12	Bond	Short	\$ (10,561,391)	\$ (10,331,250)	\$ 230,141

At March 31, 2012, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. To hedge against this risk, the Municipal Fund and New York Fund entered into interest rate swap contracts. The Funds also purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at March 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Asset Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$ 834,606(1)	\$ 766,807(1)	\$ 230,141 ₍₁₎
Total	\$ 834,606	\$ 766,807	\$ 230,141

⁽¹⁾ Amount represents cumulative unrealized appreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the six months ended March 31, 2012 was as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in	\$ (2,547,361)(1)	\$ (1,783,616)(2)	\$ (579,584)(1)
Income	\$ 4,618,350(3)	\$ 2,339,356(4)	\$ 1,778,863(3)

- (1) Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.
- (2) Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.
- (3) Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts and Swap contracts.
- (4) Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

The average notional amounts of futures contracts and interest rate swaps outstanding during the six months ended March 31, 2012, which are indicative of the volume of these derivative types, were approximately as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Average Notional Amount:			
Futures Contracts	\$ 38,471,000	\$ 39,400,000	\$ 8,571,000
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 4,286,000	\$	\$ 1,757,000

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At March 31, 2012, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Municipal Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 1,489,325,037	\$	\$ 1,489,325,037
Total Investments	\$	\$ 1,489,325,037	\$	\$ 1,489,325,037
Futures Contracts	\$ 834,606	\$	\$	\$ 834,606
Total	\$ 834,606	\$ 1,489,325,037	\$	\$ 1,490,159,643

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

California Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 442,879,740	\$	\$ 442,879,740
Total Investments	\$	\$ 442,879,740	\$	\$ 442,879,740
Futures Contracts	\$ 766,807	\$	\$	\$ 766,807
Total	\$ 766,807	\$ 442,879,740	\$	\$ 443,646,547
New York Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 351,925,479	\$	\$ 351,925,479
Total Investments	\$	\$ 351,925,479	\$	\$ 351,925,479
Futures Contracts	\$ 230,141	\$	\$	\$ 230,141

Total \$ 230,141 \$ 351,925,479 \$ \$ 352,155,620

The Funds held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2011 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At March 31, 2012, the value of investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2, if any, during the six months then ended was not significant.

Eaton Vance
Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Notice to Shareholders

At the August 8, 2011 Board Meeting, the Trustees approved the following defensive investing policy: During unusual market conditions, the Funds may invest up to 100% of assets in cash or cash equivalents temporarily, which may be inconsistent with a Fund s investment objective(s) and other policies.

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

Officers and Trustees

Officers of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

Cynthia J. Clemson Barbara E. Campbell

President of EVM and ENX Treasurer

Thomas M. Metzold Maureen A. Gemma

President of EIM Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer

Payson F. Swaffield Paul M. O Neil

Vice President Chief Compliance Officer

Trustees of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

Ralph F. Verni William H. Park

Chairman

Ronald A. Pearlman

Scott E. Eston

Helen Frame Peters

Benjamin C. Esty

Lynn A. Stout

Thomas E. Faust Jr.*

Harriett Tee Taggart

Allen R. Freedman

Number of Employees

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

Number of Shareholders

^{*} Interested Trustee

As of March 31, 2012, Fund records indicate that there are 692, 134 and 135 registered shareholders for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and approximately 24,974, 5,536 and 5,092 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 1-800-262-1122

NYSE Amex symbols

Municipal Bond Fund	EIM
California Municipal Bond Fund	EVM
New York Municipal Bond Fund	ENX

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

March 31, 2012

IMPORTANT NOTICES

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. *Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise.* If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. A Fund may purchase shares of its common stock in the open market when they trade at a discount to net asset value or at other times if a Fund determines such purchases are advisable. There can be no assurance that a Fund will take such action or that such purchases would reduce the discount.

Closed-End Fund Information. The Eaton Vance closed-end funds make certain quarterly fund performance data and information about portfolio characteristics (such as top holdings and asset allocation) available on the Eaton Vance website after the end of each calendar quarter-end. Certain month end fund performance data for the funds, including total returns, are posted to the website shortly after the end of each calendar month. Portfolio holdings for the most recent calendar quarter-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the quarter. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Investment Adviser and Administrator **Eaton Vance Management**Two International Place
Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company 200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116

Transfer Agent **American Stock Transfer & Trust Company** 59 Maiden Lane Plaza Level New York, NY 10038

Fund Offices Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 1453-5/12 CE-IMBSRC

Item 2. Code of Ethics

Not required in this filing.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Not required in this filing.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

Not required in this filing.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or

the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at http://www.sec.gov.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies Not required in this filing.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

No such purchases this period.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No Material Changes.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures

- (a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits

(a)(1)	Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).	
(a)(2)(i)	Treasurer s Section 302 certification.	
(a)(2)(ii)	President s Section 302 certification.	
(b)	Combined Section 906 certification.	

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: May 8, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell Barbara E. Campbell Treasurer

Date: May 8, 2012

By: /s/ Cynthia J. Clemson Cynthia J. Clemson President

Date: May 8, 2012