

HOME BANCSHARES INC

Form 10-Q

August 08, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**Quarterly Report Under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2007**

or

**Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Transition period from _____ to _____**

Commission File Number: 000-51904

HOME BANCSHARES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Arkansas

71-0682831

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

719 Harkrider, Suite 100, Conway, Arkansas

72032

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(501) 328-4770

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

Common Stock Issued and Outstanding: 17,238,530 shares as of July 30, 2007.

HOME BANCSHARES, INC.
FORM 10-Q
June 30, 2007
INDEX

	Page No.
<u>Part I: Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2007 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2006</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three months and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Unaudited) Six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	6-7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	8
<u>Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	9-24
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	25
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	26-53
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	54-56
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	57
<u>Part II: Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	58
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	58
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	58
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	58
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	58-59
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	59
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	59

Signatures

60

Fourth Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Home BancShares, Inc.
Amendment to Home BancShares, Inc. 2006 Stock Option and Performance Incentive Plan
Awareness of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
CEO Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
CFO Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
CEO Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
CFO Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of our statements contained in this document, including matters discussed under the caption Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and include statements about the competitiveness of the banking industry, potential regulatory obligations, our entrance and expansion into other markets, our other business strategies and other statements that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance or results. When we use words like may, plan, contemplate, anticipate, believe, intend, continue, expect, project, predict, estimate, could, should, expressions, you should consider them as identifying forward-looking statements, although we may use other phrasing. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on our beliefs and assumptions, and on the information available to us at the time that these disclosures were prepared. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and may not be realized due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

the effects of future economic conditions, including inflation or a decrease in residential housing values;

governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislative and regulatory changes;

the risks of changes in interest rates or the level and composition of deposits, loan demand and the values of loan collateral, securities and interest sensitive assets and liabilities;

the effects of terrorism and efforts to combat it;

credit risks;

the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in our market area and elsewhere, including institutions operating regionally, nationally and internationally, together with competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone and the Internet;

the effect of any mergers, acquisitions or other transactions to which we or our subsidiaries may from time to time be a party, including our ability to successfully integrate any businesses that we acquire; and

the failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of our allowance for loan losses.

All written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this Cautionary Note. Our actual results may differ significantly from those we discuss in these forward-looking statements. For other factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from estimates and projections contained in these forward-looking statements, see the Risk Factors section of our Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2007.

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1: Financial Statements****Home BancShares, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(In thousands, except share data)	June 30, 2007 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2006
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 51,110	\$ 53,004
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks	2,431	6,696
Cash and cash equivalents	53,541	59,700
Federal funds sold	10,182	9,003
Investment securities available for sale	458,782	531,891
Loans receivable	1,525,013	1,416,295
Allowance for loan losses	(28,112)	(26,111)
Loans receivable, net	1,496,901	1,390,184
Bank premises and equipment, net	64,656	57,339
Foreclosed assets held for sale	182	435
Cash value of life insurance	46,862	42,149
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	14,836	12,449
Accrued interest receivable	14,847	13,736
Deferred tax asset, net	11,023	8,361
Goodwill	37,527	37,527
Core deposit and other intangibles	8,580	9,458
Other assets	22,002	18,416
Total assets	\$ 2,239,921	\$ 2,190,648
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Deposits:		
Demand and non-interest-bearing	\$ 224,675	\$ 215,142
Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts	612,853	582,425
Time deposits	805,485	809,627
Total deposits	1,643,013	1,607,194
Federal funds purchased		25,270
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	127,392	118,825
FHLB borrowed funds	175,455	151,768
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	11,061	11,509
Subordinated debentures	44,618	44,663
Total liabilities	2,001,539	1,959,229
Stockholders equity:		
Common stock, par value \$0.01 in 2007 and 2006; shares authorized 50,000,000 in 2007 and 25,000,000 in 2006; shares issued and outstanding	172	172

Edgar Filing: HOME BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

17,238,387 in 2007 and 17,205,649 in 2006

Capital surplus	195,257	194,595
Retained earnings	50,333	41,544
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,380)	(4,892)
Total stockholders equity	238,382	231,419
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 2,239,921	\$ 2,190,648

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

Table of Contents

Home BancShares, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Unaudited)			
Interest income:				
Loans	\$ 29,776	\$ 24,003	\$ 58,064	\$ 45,845
Investment securities				
Taxable	4,273	4,711	8,859	9,436
Tax-exempt	1,025	965	2,051	1,932
Deposits - other banks	30	24	79	65
Federal funds sold	40	183	275	342
Total interest income	35,144	29,886	69,328	57,620
Interest expense:				
Interest on deposits	14,091	11,144	28,224	20,673
Federal funds purchased	247	154	452	458
FHLB and other borrowed funds	2,033	1,486	3,844	2,962
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	1,281	994	2,505	1,864
Subordinated debentures	747	745	1,496	1,494
Total interest expense	18,399	14,523	36,521	27,451
Net interest income	16,745	15,363	32,807	30,169
Provision for loan losses	680	590	1,500	1,074
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	16,065	14,773	31,307	29,095
Non-interest income:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,669	2,263	5,257	4,315
Other services charges and fees	1,334	584	2,834	1,195
Trust fees	30	169	54	321
Data processing fees	209	215	427	408
Mortgage banking income	478	439	826	850
Insurance commissions	171	205	460	489
Income from title services	238	282	394	519
Increase in cash value of life insurance	617	55	1,215	106
Dividends from FHLB, FRB & bankers bank	207	154	434	260
Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates	(56)	(32)	(170)	(148)
Gain on sale of SBA loans	170		170	34
Gain on sale of premises and equipment, net	167	26	181	28
Gain on securities, net		1		1
Other income	349	238	706	622

Total non-interest income	6,583	4,599	12,788	9,000
Non-interest expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	7,757	7,399	15,197	14,747
Occupancy and equipment	2,342	2,123	4,552	4,128
Data processing expense	670	670	1,314	1,237
Other operating expenses	4,748	3,951	9,195	7,650
Total non-interest expense	15,517	14,143	30,258	27,762
Income before income taxes				
Income tax expense	7,131	5,229	13,837	10,333
	2,070	1,593	4,015	3,181
Net income available to all shareholders				
Less: Preferred stock dividends	5,061	3,636	9,822	7,152
		155		310
Income available to common shareholders				
	\$ 5,061	\$ 3,481	\$ 9,822	\$ 6,842
Basic earnings per share				
	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.56
Diluted earnings per share				
	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.49

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Home BancShares, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

(In thousands, except share data)	Preferred Stock A	Preferred Stock B	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balance at January 1, 2006	\$ 21	\$ 2	\$ 121	\$ 146,285	\$ 27,331	\$ (7,903)	\$ 165,857
Comprehensive income (loss):							
Net income					7,152		7,152
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale, net of tax effect of \$2,207						(3,418)	(3,418)
Unconsolidated affiliates unrecognized loss on investment securities available for sale, net of taxes recorded by the unconsolidated affiliate						(29)	(29)
Comprehensive income							3,705
Issuance of 2,500,000 shares of common stock from Initial Public Offering, net of offering costs of \$4,068			25	40,907			40,932
Issuance of 14,617 shares of preferred stock A from exercise of stock options					2		2
Net issuance of 681 shares of preferred stock B from exercise of stock options					8		8
Net issuance of 33,104 shares of common stock from exercise of stock options				309			309
Tax benefit from stock options exercised				131			131
Share-based compensation				205			205
Cash dividends Preferred Stock A, \$0.125 per share					(262)		(262)
Cash dividends Preferred Stock B, \$0.285 per share					(48)		(48)
Cash dividends Common Stock, \$0.04 per share					(486)		(486)
Balances at June 30, 2006 (unaudited)	21	2	146	187,847	33,687	(11,350)	210,353

Edgar Filing: HOME BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net income				8,766	8,766
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized gain on investment securities available for sale, net of tax effect of \$4,133				6,412	6,412
Unconsolidated affiliates unrecognized gain on investment securities available for sale, net of taxes recorded by the unconsolidated affiliate				46	46
Comprehensive income					15,224
Conversion of 2,090,812 shares of preferred stock A to 1,650,489 shares of common stock	(21)	17	2		(2)
Conversion of 169,760 shares of preferred stock B to 509,280 shares of common stock	(2)	5	(3)		
Issuance of 375,000 shares of common stock from Initial Public Offering, net of offering costs of \$477		4	6,269		6,273
Issuance of 23,912 shares of common stock from exercise of stock options			225		225
Tax benefit from stock options exercised			80		80
Share-based compensation			175		175
Cash dividends Preferred Stock A, \$0.0208 per share				(41)	(41)
Cash dividends Preferred Stock B, \$0.0475 per share				(8)	(8)
Cash dividends Common Stock, \$0.05 per share				(860)	(860)
Balances at December 31, 2006		172	194,595	41,544	(4,892)
					231,419

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Home BancShares, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Continued
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

(In thousands, except share data)	Preferred Stock A	Preferred Stock B	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Comprehensive income (loss):							
Net income					9,822		9,822
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale, net of tax effect of \$1,560						(2,420)	(2,420)
Unconsolidated affiliates unrecognized loss on investment securities available for sale, net of taxes recorded by the unconsolidated affiliate						(68)	(68)
Comprehensive income							7,334
Issuance of 32,738 shares of common stock from exercise of stock options				237			237
Tax benefit from stock options exercised				203			203
Share-based compensation				222			222
Cash dividends Common Stock, \$0.06 per share					(1,033)		(1,033)
Balances at June 30, 2007 (unaudited)	\$	\$	\$ 172	\$ 195,257	\$ 50,333	\$ (7,380)	\$ 238,382

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Home BancShares, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)	Period Ended June 30, 2007 2006 (Unaudited)	
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 9,822	\$ 7,152
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation	2,158	2,282
Amortization/Accretion	802	1,281
Share-based compensation	222	205
Tax benefits from stock options exercised	(203)	(131)
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	226	(282)
Provision for loan losses	1,500	1,074
Deferred income tax benefit	(1,110)	(852)
Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates	170	148
Increase in cash value of life insurance	(1,215)	(106)
Originations of mortgage loans held for sale	(48,679)	(45,305)
Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held for sale	45,543	43,721
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accrued interest receivable	(1,111)	(1,429)
Other assets	(3,586)	(6,032)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(245)	2,696
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,294	4,422
Investing Activities		
Net (increase) decrease in federal funds sold	(1,179)	(4,047)
Net (increase) decrease in loans	(108,201)	(123,604)
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(118,933)	(66,268)
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale	188,101	74,397
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale		1,000
Proceeds from sale of loans	2,957	540
Proceeds from foreclosed assets held for sale	371	1,283
Purchases of premises and equipment, net	(9,656)	(3,048)
Purchase of bank owned life insurance	(3,498)	
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(2,625)	(3,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(52,663)	(122,747)
Financing Activities		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	35,819	92,099
Net increase (decrease) in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	8,567	18,108
Net increase (decrease) in federal funds purchased	(25,270)	(34,490)
Net increase (decrease) in FHLB and other borrowed funds	23,687	23,265

Edgar Filing: HOME BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Repayment of line of credit		(14,000)
Proceeds from initial public offering, net		40,932
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	237	319
Tax benefits from stock options exercised	203	131
Dividends paid	(1,033)	(796)
Net cash provided by financing activities	42,210	125,568
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(6,159)	7,243
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	59,700	44,679
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 53,541	\$ 51,922

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

8

Table of Contents

Home BancShares, Inc.
Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Home BancShares, Inc. (the Company or HBI) is a financial holding company headquartered in Conway, Arkansas. The Company is primarily engaged in providing a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers through its five wholly owned community bank subsidiaries. Three of our bank subsidiaries are located in the central Arkansas market area, a fourth serves Stone County in north central Arkansas, and a fifth serves the Florida Keys and southwestern Florida. The Company is subject to competition from other financial institutions. The Company also is subject to the regulation of certain federal and state agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

A summary of the significant accounting policies of the Company follows:

Operating Segments

The Company is organized on a subsidiary bank-by-bank basis upon which management makes decisions regarding how to allocate resources and assess performance. Each of the subsidiary banks provides a group of similar community banking services, including such products and services as loans, time deposits, checking and savings accounts. The individual bank segments have similar operating and economic characteristics and have been reported as one aggregated operating segment.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed assets. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed assets, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of HBI and its subsidiaries. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents***Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates***

The Company has a 20.4% and 20.1% investment in White River Bancshares, Inc. (WRBI) at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The Company's investment in WRBI at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 totaled \$13.5 million and \$11.1 million, respectively. The investment in WRBI is accounted for on the equity method. The Company's share of WRBI operating loss included in non-interest income in the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 totaled \$56,000 and \$170,000, respectively. The Company's share of WRBI operating loss included in non-interest income in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 totaled \$32,000 and \$148,000, respectively. The Company's share of WRBI unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 amounted to \$70,000 and \$2,000, respectively. See the Acquisitions footnote related to the Company's acquisition of WRBI.

The Company has invested funds representing 100% ownership in four statutory trusts which issue trust preferred securities. The Company's investment in these trusts was \$1.3 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Under generally accepted accounting principles, these trusts are not consolidated.

The summarized financial information below represents an aggregation of the Company's unconsolidated affiliates as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, and for the three-month and six-month periods then ended:

	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(In thousands)			
Assets	509,366	311,262	509,366	311,262
Liabilities	443,152	253,579	443,152	253,579
Equity	66,214	57,683	66,214	57,683
Net income (loss)	(280)	(161)	(695)	(673)

Interim financial information

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2007 and 2006 have been prepared in condensed format, and therefore do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

The information furnished in these interim statements reflects all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for each respective period presented. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations in the interim statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other quarter or for the full year. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2006 Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents**Earnings per Share**

Basic earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share are computed using the weighted average common shares and all potential dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(In thousands)			
Net income available to all shareholders	\$ 5,061	\$ 3,636	\$ 9,822	\$ 7,152
Less: Preferred stock dividends		(155)		(310)
Income available to common shareholders	\$ 5,061	\$ 3,481	\$ 9,822	\$ 6,842
Average shares outstanding	17,235	12,224	17,227	12,174
Effect of common stock options	309	117	289	104
Effect of preferred stock options		29		29
Effect of preferred stock conversions		2,160		2,156
Diluted shares outstanding	17,544	14,530	17,516	14,463
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.56
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.49

2. Acquisitions

In January 2005, HBI purchased 20% of the common stock during the formation of White River Bancshares, Inc. of Fayetteville, Arkansas for \$9.1 million. White River Bancshares owns all of the stock of Signature Bank of Arkansas, with branch locations in the northwest Arkansas area. In January 2006, White River Bancshares issued an additional \$15.0 million of their common stock. To maintain a 20% ownership, the Company made an additional investment in White River Bancshares of \$3.0 million in January 2006. During April 2007, White River Bancshares acquired 100% of the stock of Brinkley Bancshares, Inc. in Brinkley, Arkansas. As a result, HBI made a \$2.6 million additional investment in White River Bancshares on June 29, 2007 to maintain its 20% ownership. At June 30, 2007, White River Bancshares had approximately \$465.0 million in total assets, \$356.8 million in total loans and \$336.2 million in total deposits.

Table of Contents**3. Investment Securities**

The amortized cost and estimated market value of investment securities were as follows:

	June 30, 2007			Estimated Fair Value
	Available for Sale			
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	
	Cost	Gains	(Losses)	
	(In thousands)			
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 156,926	\$ 9	\$ (4,543)	\$ 152,392
Mortgage-backed securities	203,512	29	(6,886)	196,655
State and political subdivisions	98,376	809	(1,348)	97,837
Other securities	12,036		(138)	11,898
Total	\$ 470,850	\$ 847	\$ (12,915)	\$ 458,782

	December 31, 2006			Estimated Fair Value
	Available for Sale			
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	
	Cost	Gains	(Losses)	
	(In thousands)			
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 199,085	\$ 79	\$ (2,927)	\$ 196,237
Mortgage-backed securities	225,747	41	(5,988)	219,800
State and political subdivisions	102,536	1,360	(496)	103,400
Other securities	12,631		(177)	12,454
Total	\$ 539,999	\$ 1,480	\$ (9,588)	\$ 531,891

Assets, principally investment securities, having a carrying value of approximately \$216.2 million and \$287.2 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes required or permitted by law. Also, investment securities pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements totaled approximately \$127.4 million and \$118.8 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

During 2007, the Company did not sell any available for sale securities. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, \$1.0 million in available for sale securities were sold. The gross realized gains on such sales totaled \$1,000. The income tax expense/benefit related to net security gains and losses was 39.23% of the gross amounts for the periods.

Table of Contents

The Company evaluates all securities quarterly to determine if any unrealized losses are deemed to be other than temporary. In completing these evaluations the Company follows the requirements of paragraph 16 of SFAS No. 115, EITF 03-1, Staff Accounting Bulletin 59 and FASB Staff Position No. 115-1. Certain investment securities are valued less than their historical cost. These declines primarily resulted from recent increases in market interest rates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. It is management's intent to hold these securities to recovery. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other than temporary impairment is identified.

4: Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses

The various categories of loans are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Real estate:		
Commercial real estate loans		
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 555,466	\$ 465,306
Construction/land development	368,632	393,410
Agricultural	32,235	11,659
Residential real estate loans		
Residential 1-4 family	240,003	229,588
Multifamily residential	37,559	37,440
Total real estate	1,233,895	1,137,403
Consumer	43,964	45,056
Commercial and industrial	205,760	206,559
Agricultural	24,969	13,520
Other	16,425	13,757
Total loans receivable before allowance for loan losses	1,525,013	1,416,295
Allowance for loan losses	28,112	26,111
Total loans receivable, net	\$ 1,496,901	\$ 1,390,184

The following is a summary of activity within the allowance for loan losses:

	2007	2006
	(In thousands)	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 26,111	\$ 24,175
Additions		
Provision charged to expense	1,500	1,074
Net (recoveries) loans charged off		
Losses charged to allowance, net of recoveries of \$669 and \$771 for the first six months of 2007 and 2006, respectively	(501)	4
Balance, June 30	\$ 28,112	25,245

Additions	
Provision charged to expense	1,233
Net loans charged off	
Losses charged to allowance, net of recoveries of \$372 for the last six months of 2006	367
Balance, end of year	\$ 26,111

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more totaled \$105,000 and \$641,000, respectively. Non-accruing loans at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were \$19.0 million and \$3.9 million, respectively.

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, the Company sold \$2.8 million of the guaranteed portion of certain SBA loans, which resulted in gains of \$170,000. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2006, the Company did not sell any of the guaranteed portion of SBA loans. During the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company sold \$2.8 million and \$506,000, respectively, of the guaranteed portion of certain SBA loans, which resulted in gains of \$170,000 and \$34,000, respectively.

Mortgage loans held for resale of approximately \$5.5 million and \$2.4 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, are included in residential 1-4 family loans. Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, determined using an aggregate basis.

At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, impaired loans totaled \$19.2 million and \$11.2 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2007 and 2006, average impaired loans were \$13.1 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. All impaired loans had designated reserves for possible loan losses. Reserves relative to impaired loans were \$1.8 million and \$2.1 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Interest recognized on impaired loans during 2007 and 2006 was immaterial.

5: Goodwill and Core Deposits and Other Intangibles

Changes in the carrying amount and accumulated amortization of the Company's core deposits and other intangibles at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, were as follows:

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Gross carrying amount	\$ 13,457	\$ 13,457
Accumulated amortization	4,877	3,999
Net carrying amount	\$ 8,580	\$ 9,458

Core deposit and other intangible amortization for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$439,000. Core deposit intangible amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$878,000 and \$864,000, respectively. Including all of the mergers completed, HBI's estimated amortization expense of core deposits and other intangibles for each of the years 2007 through 2011 is: 2007 \$1.7 million; 2008 \$1.7 million; 2009 \$1.7 million; 2010 \$1.6 million; and 2011 \$981,000.

The carrying amount of the Company's goodwill was \$37.5 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. Goodwill is tested annually for impairment. If the implied fair value of goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill impairment is indicated and goodwill is written down to its implied fair value. Subsequent increases in goodwill value are not recognized in the financial statements.

6: Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was \$425.4 million and \$486.3 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Interest expense applicable to certificates in excess of \$100,000 totaled \$5.5 million and \$4.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Interest expense applicable to certificates in excess of \$100,000 totaled \$11.3 million and \$8.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Table of Contents

Deposits totaling approximately \$208.2 million and \$203.0 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, were public funds obtained primarily from state and political subdivisions in the United States.

7: FHLB Borrowed Funds

The Company's FHLB borrowed funds were \$175.5 million and \$151.8 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The outstanding balance for June 30, 2007 includes \$40.0 million of short-term advances and \$135.5 million of long-term advances. The outstanding balance for December 31, 2006 includes \$5.0 million of short-term advances and \$146.8 million of long-term advances. The long-term FHLB advances mature from 2007 to 2020 with interest rates ranging from 2.019% to 5.42% and are secured by residential real estate loans.

8: Subordinated Debentures

Subordinated Debentures at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 consisted of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities with the following components:

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2003, due 2033, fixed at 6.40%, during the first five years and at a floating rate of 3.15% above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, thereafter, callable in 2008 without penalty	\$ 20,619	\$ 20,619
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2000, due 2030, fixed at 10.60%, callable in 2010 with a penalty ranging from 5.30% to 0.53% depending on the year of prepayment, callable in 2020 without penalty	3,379	3,424
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2003, due 2033, floating rate of 3.15% above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, callable in 2008 without penalty	5,155	5,155
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2005, due 2035, fixed rate of 6.81% during the first ten years and at a floating rate of 1.38% above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, thereafter, callable in 2010 without penalty	15,465	15,465
Total subordinated debt	\$ 44,618	\$ 44,663

As a result of the acquisition of Marine Bancorp, Inc., the Company has an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converts the floating rate on the \$5.2 million trust preferred security noted above into a fixed interest rate of 7.29%, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense until the call date.

The trust preferred securities are tax-advantaged issues that qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment subject to certain limitations. Distributions on these securities are included in interest expense. Each of the trusts is a statutory business trust organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds thereof in junior subordinated debentures of the Company, the sole asset of each trust. The preferred trust securities of each trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the respective trusts and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the junior subordinated debentures held by the trust. The Company wholly owns the common securities of each trust. Each trust's ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon the Company making payment on the related junior subordinated debentures. The Company's obligations under the junior subordinated securities and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of each respective trust's obligations under the trust securities issued by each respective trust.

Table of Contents**9: Income Taxes**

The following is a summary of the components of the provision for income taxes for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(In thousands)			
Current:				
Federal	\$ 2,266	\$ 1,690	\$ 4,518	\$ 3,366
State	317	336	607	668
Total current	2,583	2,026	5,125	4,034
Deferred:				
Federal	(431)	(361)	(932)	(712)
State	(82)	(72)	(178)	(141)
Total deferred	(513)	(433)	(1,110)	(853)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 2,070	\$ 1,593	\$ 4,015	\$ 3,181

The reconciliation between the statutory federal income tax rate and effective income tax rate is as follows for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%
Effect of nontaxable interest income	(4.74)	(5.95)	(4.83)	(6.06)
Cash value of life insurance	(3.03)	(0.36)	(3.07)	(0.36)
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	2.15	1.97	2.02	1.96
Other	(0.35)	(0.20)	(0.10)	0.24
Effective income tax rate	29.03%	30.46%	29.02%	30.78%

Table of Contents

The types of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts that give rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities, and their approximate tax effects, are as follows:

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 11,003	\$ 10,219
Deferred compensation	290	244
Defined benefit pension plan		107
Stock options	238	155
Non-accrual interest income	473	489
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary	552	485
Unrealized loss on securities	4,731	3,179
Other	155	170
Gross deferred tax assets	17,442	15,048
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Accelerated depreciation on premises and equipment	1,990	2,082
Core deposit intangibles	3,225	3,552
Market value of cash flow hedge	17	25
FHLB dividends	644	567
Other	543	461
Gross deferred tax liabilities	6,419	6,687
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 11,023	\$ 8,361

10: Common Stock and Stock Compensation Plans

On August 1, 2006, the Company redeemed and converted the issued and outstanding shares of Home BancShares Class A Preferred Stock and Class B Preferred Stock into Home BancShares Common Stock. The conversion of the preferred stock increased the Company's outstanding common stock by approximately 2.2 million shares.

The holder's of shares of Class A Preferred Stock, received 0.789474 of Home BancShares Common Stock for each share of Class A Preferred Stock owned, plus a check for the pro rata amount of the third quarter Class A Preferred Stock dividend accrued through July 31, 2006. The Class A Preferred shareholders did not receive fractional shares, instead they received cash at a rate of \$12.67 times the fraction of a share they otherwise would have been entitled to.

The holders of shares of Class B Preferred Stock received three shares of Home BancShares Common Stock for each share of Class B Preferred Stock owned, plus a check for the pro rata amount of the third quarter Class B Preferred Stock dividend accrued through July 31, 2006.

On June 22, 2006, the Company priced its initial public offering of 2.5 million shares of common stock at \$18.00 per share. The total price to the public for the shares offered and sold by the Company was \$45.0 million. The amount of expenses incurred for the Company's account in connection with the offering includes approximately \$3.1 million of underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses of approximately \$1.0 million. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$40.9 million from its sale of shares after deducting sales commissions and expenses.

On July 21, 2006, the underwriter's of the Company's initial public offering exercised and completed their option to purchase an additional 375,000 shares of common stock to cover over-allotments effective July 26, 2006. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$6.3 million from this sale of shares after deducting sales

commissions.

Table of Contents

On March 13, 2006, the Company's board of directors adopted the 2006 Stock Option and Performance Incentive Plan. The Plan was submitted to the shareholders for approval at the 2006 annual meeting of shareholders. The purpose of the Plan is to attract and retain highly qualified officers, directors, key employees, and other persons, and to motivate those persons to improve our business results. This plan which was amended at the 2007 shareholder meeting provides for the granting of incentive nonqualified options to purchase up to 1.5 million shares of common stock in the Company.

The Plan amends and restates various prior plans that were either adopted by the Company or companies that were acquired. Awards made under any of the prior plans will be subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan, which is designed not to impair the rights of award holders under the prior plans. The Plan goes beyond the prior plans by including new types of awards (such as unrestricted stock, performance shares, and performance and annual incentive awards) in addition to the stock options (incentive and non-qualified), stock appreciation rights, and restricted stock that could have been awarded under one or more of the prior plans. In addition, the Company's outstanding preferred stock options are also subject to the Plan.

As of March 13, 2006, options for a total of 613,604 shares of common stock outstanding under the prior plans became subject to the Plan. Also, on that date, the Company's board of directors replaced 341,000 outstanding stock appreciation rights with 354,640 options, each with an exercise price of \$13.18. During 2005, the Company had issued 341,000 stock appreciation rights at \$12.67 for certain executive employees throughout the Company. The appreciation rights were on a five-year cliff-vesting schedule with all appreciation rights vesting on December 31, 2009. The vesting was also subject to various financial performance goals of the Company and the subsidiary banks over the five-year period ending January 1, 2010. The options issued in replacement of the stock appreciation rights are subject to achievement of the same financial goals by the Company and the bank subsidiaries over the five-year period ending January 1, 2010.

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS123(R)), using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation cost is recognized beginning in 2006 includes: (a) the compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, and (b) the compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123 (R). Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method. Total unrecognized compensation cost, net of income tax benefit, related to non-vested awards, which are expected to be recognized over the vesting periods, was approximately \$715,000 as of June 30, 2007.

As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company's income before income taxes and net income for the three months ended June 30, 2007, are \$113,000 and \$69,000 lower, respectively, than if the Company had continued to account for share-based compensation under the intrinsic method. As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company's income before income taxes and net income for the six months ended June 30, 2007, are \$222,000 and \$135,000 lower, respectively, than if the Company had continued to account for share-based compensation under the intrinsic method. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2007, would have been \$0.30 and \$0.29, respectively, if the Company had not adopted Statement 123(R), compared to reported basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.29. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2007, would have been \$0.58 and \$0.57, respectively, if the Company had not adopted Statement 123(R), compared to reported basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.57 and \$0.56, respectively. For purposes of pro forma disclosures as required by SFAS No. 123(R), the estimated fair value of stock options is amortized over the options' vesting period. The intrinsic value of the stock options outstanding and vested at June 30, 2007 was \$10.9 million and \$7.1 million, respectively. The intrinsic value of the stock options exercised during the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 was \$254,000 and \$517,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company's income before income taxes and net income for the three months ended June 30, 2006, are \$89,000 and \$54,000 lower, respectively, than if the Company had continued to account for share-based compensation under the intrinsic method. As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company's income before income taxes and net income for the six months ended June 30, 2006, are \$205,000 and \$127,000 lower, respectively, than if the Company had continued to account for share-based compensation under the intrinsic method. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2006, would have been \$0.29 and \$0.25, respectively, if the Company had not adopted Statement 123(R), compared to reported basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.28 and \$0.25, respectively. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2006, would have been \$0.57 and \$0.50, respectively, if the Company had not adopted Statement 123(R), compared to reported basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.56 and \$0.49, respectively.

The table below summarized the transactions under the Company's stock option plans at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and changes during the six-month period and year then ended, respectively:

	For Six Months Ended June 30, 2007		For the Year Ended December 31, 2006	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercisable Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercisable Price
	(000)	Price	(000)	Price
Outstanding, beginning of year	1,032	\$ 11.39	630	\$ 10.07
Granted	35	23.24	410	14.22
Converted options of preferred stock A			9	8.66
Converted options of preferred stock B			71	6.36
Forfeited	(10)	12.15	(31)	12.90
Exercised	(33)	7.25	(57)	9.40
Outstanding, end of period	1,024	11.94	1,032	11.39
Exercisable, end of period	550	\$ 9.56	560	\$ 9.27

Table of Contents

For stock option awards, the fair value is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, changes to which can materially affect the fair value estimate. Additionally, there may be other factors that would otherwise have a significant effect on the value of employee stock options granted but are not considered by the model. Accordingly, while management believes that the Black-Scholes option-pricing model provides a reasonable estimate of fair value, the model does not necessarily provide the best single measure of fair value for the Company's employee stock options. The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2007 and year-ended December 31, 2006, was \$5.46 and \$3.39, respectively. The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	For Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006
Expected dividend yield	0.43%	0.59%
Expected stock price volatility	9.91%	9.23%
Risk-free interest rate	4.69%	4.80%
Expected life of options	6.0 years	6.3 years

The following is a summary of currently outstanding and exercisable options at June 30, 2007:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Options Outstanding Shares (000)	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Options Exercisable Shares (000)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Exercise Prices					
\$ 6.14 to \$6.68	48	4.9	\$ 6.38	48	\$ 6.38
\$ 7.33 to \$8.66	207	4.8	7.43	207	7.43
\$ 9.33 to \$10.31	107	6.2	10.17	101	10.18
\$11.34 to \$11.67	69	7.9	11.41	63	11.38
\$12.67 to \$12.67	184	9.5	12.67	128	12.67
\$13.18 to \$13.18	321	8.7	13.18	3	13.18
\$21.17 to \$22.04	67	9.3	21.36		
\$23.27 to \$24.15	21	9.6	24.11		
	1,024			550	

At its April 20, 2007 meeting, our Board of Directors approved a Chairman's Retirement Plan for John Allison our Chairman and CEO. Beginning on Mr. Allison's 65th birthday, he will receive a \$250,000 annual benefit to be paid for 10 consecutive years or until his death, whichever shall occur later. This will result in an estimated increase of \$400,000 and \$550,000 to non-interest expense for 2007 and 2008, respectively. During the second quarter of 2007, \$128,000 of this expense was accrued, using an 8 percent discount factor.

Table of Contents**11. Non-Interest Expense**

The table below shows the components of non-interest expense for three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(In thousands)			
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 7,757	\$ 7,399	\$ 15,197	\$ 14,747
Occupancy and equipment	2,342	2,123	4,552	4,128
Data processing expense	670	670	1,314	1,237
Other operating expenses:				
Advertising	580	612	1,209	1,170
Amortization of intangibles	439	439	878	864
Electronic banking expense	655	160	1,185	278
Directors' fees	218	202	392	406
Due from bank service charges	51	84	107	154
FDIC and state assessment	231	127	491	252
Insurance	228	233	472	456
Legal and accounting	303	274	622	556
Other professional fees	214	149	384	283
Operating supplies	227	253	453	482
Postage	171	166	335	329
Telephone	233	284	461	504
Other expense	1,198	968	2,206	1,916
Total other operating expenses	4,748	3,951	9,195	7,650
Total non-interest expense	\$ 15,517	\$ 14,143	\$ 30,258	\$ 27,762

12: Concentration of Credit Risks

The Company's primary market area is in central Arkansas, north central Arkansas, northwest Arkansas, southwest Florida and the Florida Keys (Monroe County). The Company primarily grants loans to customers located within these geographical areas unless the borrower has an established relationship with the Company.

The diversity of the Company's economic base tends to provide a stable lending environment. Although the Company has a loan portfolio that is diversified in both industry and geographic area, a substantial portion of its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is dependent upon real estate values, tourism demand and the economic conditions prevailing in its market areas.

Table of Contents

13: Significant Estimates and Concentrations

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require disclosure of certain significant estimates and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations. Estimates related to the allowance for loan losses and certain concentrations of credit risk are reflected in Note 4, while deposit concentrations are reflected in Note 6.

14: Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company makes various commitments and incurs certain contingent liabilities to fulfill the financing needs of their customers. These commitments and contingent liabilities include lines of credit and commitments to extend credit and issue standby letters of credit. The Company applies the same credit policies and standards as they do in the lending process when making these commitments. The collateral obtained is based on the assessed creditworthiness of the borrower.

At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, commitments to extend credit of \$304.5 million and \$227.5 million, respectively, were outstanding. A percentage of these balances are participated out to other banks; therefore, the Company can call on the participating banks to fund future draws. Since some of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Outstanding standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by the Company, generally to guarantee the performance of a customer in third-party borrowing arrangements. The term of the guarantee is dependent upon the credit worthiness of the borrower some of which are long-term. The maximum amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these guarantees at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, is \$17.0 million and \$16.1 million, respectively.

The Company and/or its subsidiary banks have various unrelated legal proceedings, most of which involve loan foreclosure activity pending, which, in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries.

15: Regulatory Matters

The Company's subsidiaries are subject to a legal limitation on dividends that can be paid to the parent company without prior approval of the applicable regulatory agencies. Arkansas bank regulators have specified that the maximum dividend limit state banks may pay to the parent company without prior approval is 75% of the current year earnings plus 75% of the retained net earnings of the preceding year. Since, the Company's Arkansas bank subsidiaries are also under supervision of the Federal Reserve, they are further limited if the total of all dividends declared in any calendar year by the Bank exceeds the Bank's net profits to date for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years. Under Florida state banking law, regulatory approval will be required if the total of all dividends declared in any calendar year by the Bank exceeds the Bank's net profits to date for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years. As the result of historical special dividends paid and leveraged capital positions, the Company's subsidiary banks do not have any significant undivided profits available for payment of dividends to the Company, without prior approval of the regulatory agencies at June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

The Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines include the definitions for (1) a well-capitalized institution, (2) an adequately-capitalized institution, and (3) and undercapitalized institution. The criteria for a well-capitalized institution are: a 5% Tier 1 leverage capital ratio, a 6% Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and a 10% total risk-based capital ratio. As of June 30, 2007, each of the five subsidiary banks met the capital standards for a well-capitalized institution. The Company's Tier 1 leverage capital ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and total risk-based capital ratio was 11.33%, 13.36%, and 14.61%, respectively, as of June 30, 2007.

16: Additional Cash Flow Information

The Company paid interest and taxes during the three and six months ended as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(In thousands)			
Interest paid	\$ 18,205	\$ 13,923	\$ 36,944	\$ 26,826
Income taxes paid	2,800	3,420	3,150	3,420

17: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 159 The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities to provide companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This statement shall be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard, but does not expect it to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, FASB having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard, but does not expect it to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

In September 2006, the FASB Emerging Issue Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. The EITF determined that for an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement within the scope of the Issue, the employer should recognize a liability for future benefits in accordance with SFAS No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, or APB Opinion 12, Omnibus Opinion-1967, based on the substantive agreement with the employee. In March 2007, the FASB Emerging Issue Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 06-10, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Collateral Assignment Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. The EITF determined that an employer should recognize a liability for the postretirement benefit related to a collateral assignment split-dollar life insurance arrangement in accordance with either Statement 106 (if, in substance, a postretirement benefit plan exists) or Opinion 12 (if the arrangement is, in substance, an individual deferred compensation contract) based on the substantive agreement with the employee. These Issues are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007, with earlier application permitted. Entities should recognize the effects of applying EITF 06-4 through either (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position as of the beginning of the year of adoption or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. As of March 31, 2007, the Company has split-dollar life insurance arrangements with two executives of the Company that have death benefits. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of EITF 06-4 and EITF 06-10, but does not expect it to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which provides clarification for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2006. The Company adopted the Interpretation during the first quarter of 2007 without material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Presently, the Company is not aware of any other changes from the Financial Accounting Standards Board that will have a material impact on the Company's present or future financial statements.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders

Home BancShares, Inc.

Conway, Arkansas

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Home BancShares, Inc. as of June 30, 2007 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 and statements of stockholders' equity and cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006 and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated March 15, 2007, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ BKD, LLP

Little Rock, Arkansas

August 7, 2007

Table of Contents**Item 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2007, which includes the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006. *Unless the context requires otherwise, the terms "Company", "us", "we", and "our" refer to Home BancShares, Inc. on a consolidated basis.*

General

We are a financial holding company headquartered in Conway, Arkansas, offering a broad array of financial services through our five wholly owned bank subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2007, we had, on a consolidated basis, total assets of \$2.24 billion, loans receivable of \$1.53 billion, total deposits of \$1.64 billion, and shareholders' equity of \$238.4 million.

We generate most of our revenue from interest on loans and investments, service charges, and mortgage banking income. Deposits are our primary source of funding. Our largest expenses are interest on these deposits and salaries and related employee benefits. We measure our performance by calculating our return on average equity, return on average assets, and net interest margin. We also measure our performance by our efficiency ratio, which is calculated by dividing non-interest expense less amortization of core deposit intangibles by the sum of net interest income on a tax equivalent basis and non-interest income.

Key Financial Measures

	As of and for the Three Months Ended June 30,		As of and for the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Total assets	\$2,239,921	\$2,043,487	\$2,239,921	\$2,043,487
Loans receivable	1,525,013	1,328,351	1,525,013	1,328,351
Total deposits	1,643,013	1,519,207	1,643,013	1,519,207
Net income	5,061	3,636	9,822	7,152
Basic earnings per share	0.29	0.28	0.57	0.56
Diluted earnings per share	0.29	0.25	0.56	0.49
Diluted cash earnings per share (1)	0.30	0.27	0.59	0.53
Annualized net interest margin - FTE	3.51%	3.52%	3.47%	3.53%
Efficiency ratio	62.95	66.74	62.74	66.70
Annualized return on average assets	0.92	0.73	0.90	0.74
Annualized return on average equity	8.52	8.56	8.41	8.53

(1) See Table 16
Diluted Cash
Earnings Per
Share for a
reconciliation to
GAAP for
diluted cash
earnings per
share.

Overview

Our net income increased 39.2% to \$5.1 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$3.6 million for the same period in 2006. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, net income increased 37.3% to \$9.8 million compared to \$7.2 million for the same period in 2006. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our net earnings increased 16.0% to \$0.29 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, as compared to \$0.25 for the same period in

2006. Diluted earnings per share increased 14.3% to \$0.56 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$0.49 for the same period in 2006. The increase in earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 is primarily associated with organic growth of our bank subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Our annualized return on average assets was 0.92% and 0.90% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 0.73% and 0.74% for the same periods in 2006, respectively. The increase was primarily due to the \$1.4 million and \$2.7 million increases in net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same periods in 2006.

Our annualized return on average equity was 8.52% and 8.41% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 8.56% and 8.53% for the same periods in 2006, respectively. While net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 increased considerably, return on average equity decreased slightly as a result of the increase in average stockholders' equity from the net proceeds of our initial public offering and retained earnings.

Our annualized net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was 3.51% and 3.47% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 3.52% and 3.53% for the same periods in 2006, respectively. Competitive pressures and a slightly inverted yield curve put pressure on our net interest margin causing the decline from June 30, 2006 to June 30, 2007. The current competitive pressures have eased somewhat during 2007 allowing for an improvement of our net interest margin on a linked quarter basis by achieving strong loan growth that was funded by both the run off in the investment portfolio and more reasonably priced interest-bearing liabilities.

Our efficiency ratio (calculated by dividing non-interest expense less amortization of core deposit intangibles by the sum of net interest income on a tax equivalent basis and non-interest income) was 62.95% and 62.74% for three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 66.74% and 66.70% for the same periods in 2006, respectively. The improvement in our efficiency ratio is primarily due to an increase in net interest income from the net proceeds of our initial public offering and continued improvement of our operation.

Our total assets increased \$49.3 million, an annualized growth of 4.5%, to \$2.24 billion as of June 30, 2007, from \$2.19 billion as of December 31, 2006. Our loan portfolio increased \$108.7 million, an annualized growth of 15.5%, to \$1.53 billion as of June 30, 2007, from \$1.42 billion as of December 31, 2006. Shareholders' equity increased \$7.0 million, an annualized growth of 6.1%, to \$238.4 million as of June 30, 2007, compared to \$231.4 million as of December 31, 2006. Asset and loan increases are primarily associated with organic growth of our bank subsidiaries. The increase in stockholders' equity was primarily the result of the retained earnings for the six months.

As of June 30, 2007, our non-performing loans increased to \$19.1 million, or 1.25%, of total loans from \$4.5 million, or 0.32%, of total loans as of December 31, 2006. The allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans decreased to 147.4% as of June 30, 2007, compared to 574.4% from December 31, 2006. The primary result of this increase was associated with an \$11.3 million loan that was downgraded to non-accrual status during the second quarter of 2007. However, during the third quarter of 2007 the borrower on this loan paid off the loan principal and interest due. If this loan had not been on non-accrual status as of June 30, 2007, the non-performing loans to total loans and the allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans would have been 0.51% and 359.6%, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies

Overview. We prepare our consolidated financial statements based on the selection of certain accounting policies, generally accepted accounting principles and customary practices in the banking industry. These policies, in certain areas, require us to make significant estimates and assumptions. Our accounting policies are described in detail in the notes to our consolidated financial statements in Note 1 of the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

We consider a policy critical if (i) the accounting estimate requires assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time of the accounting estimate; and (ii) different estimates that could reasonably have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, would have a material impact on our financial statements. Using these criteria, we believe that the accounting policies most critical to us are those associated with our lending practices, including the accounting for the allowance for loan losses, investments, intangible assets, income taxes and stock options.

Investments. Securities available for sale are reported at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity and other comprehensive income (loss). Securities that are held as available for sale are used as a part of our asset/liability management strategy. Securities that may be sold in response to interest rate changes, changes in prepayment risk, the need to increase regulatory capital, and other similar factors are classified as available for sale.

Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses. Substantially all of our loans receivable are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for any charge-offs, as it is management's intent to hold them for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff, except for mortgage loans held for resale. Interest income on loans is accrued over the term of the loans based on the principal balance outstanding.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged against income. The allowance represents an amount that, in management's judgment, will be adequate to absorb probable credit losses on identifiable loans that may become uncollectible and probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. The amounts of provisions for loan losses are based on management's analysis and evaluation of the loan portfolio for identification of problem credits, internal and external factors that may affect collectibility, relevant credit exposure, particular risks inherent in different kinds of lending, current collateral values and other relevant factors.

We consider a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms thereof. We apply this policy even if delays or shortfalls in payments are expected to be insignificant. All non-accrual loans and all loans that have been restructured from their original contractual terms are considered impaired loans. The aggregate amount of impaired loans is used in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and amount of provisions thereto. Losses on impaired loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when in the process of collection it appears likely that losses will be realized. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due. When accrual of interest is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed.

Loans are placed on non-accrual status when management believes that the borrower's financial condition, after giving consideration to economic and business conditions and collection efforts, is such that collection of interest is doubtful, or generally when loans are 90 days or more past due. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely. Accrued interest related to non-accrual loans is generally charged against the allowance for loan losses when accrued in prior years and reversed from interest income if accrued in the current year. Interest income on non-accrual loans may be recognized to the extent cash payments are received, although the majority of payments received are usually applied to principal. Non-accrual loans are generally returned to accrual status when principal and interest payments are less than 90 days past due, the customer has made required payments for at least six months, and we reasonably expect to collect all principal and interest.

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets consist of goodwill and core deposit intangibles. Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in business acquisitions. The core deposit intangible represents the excess intangible value of acquired deposit customer relationships as determined by valuation specialists. The core deposit intangibles are being amortized over 84 to 114 months on a straight-line basis. Goodwill is not amortized but rather is evaluated for impairment on at least an annual basis. We perform an annual impairment test of goodwill as required by SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, in the fourth quarter.

Income Taxes. We use the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the difference between the values of the assets and liabilities as reflected in the financial statements and their related tax basis using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to be recovered or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. Any estimated tax exposure items identified would be considered in a tax contingency reserve. Changes in any tax contingency reserve would be based on specific development, events, or transactions.

We and our subsidiaries file consolidated tax returns. Our subsidiaries provide for income taxes on a separate return basis, and remit to us amounts determined to be currently payable.

Stock Options. Prior to 2006, we elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), and related interpretations in accounting for employee stock options using the fair value method. Under APB 25, because the exercise price of the options equals the estimated market price of the stock on the issuance date, no compensation expense is recorded. On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123, *Share-Based Payment* (Revised 2004) which establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity (i) exchanges its equity instruments for goods and services, or (ii) incurs liabilities in exchange for goods and services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of the equity instruments. SFAS 123R eliminates the ability to account for stock-based compensation using APB 25 and requires that such transactions be recognized as compensation cost in the income statement based on their fair values on the measurement date, which is generally the date of the grant.

Acquisitions and Equity Investments

In January 2005, HBI purchased 20% of the common stock during the formation of White River Bancshares, Inc. of Fayetteville, Arkansas for \$9.1 million. White River Bancshares owns all of the stock of Signature Bank of Arkansas, with branch locations in the northwest Arkansas area. In January 2006, White River Bancshares issued an additional \$15.0 million of their common stock. To maintain a 20% ownership, the Company made an additional investment in White River Bancshares of \$3.0 million in January 2006. During April 2007, White River Bancshares acquired 100% of the stock of Brinkley Bancshares, Inc. in Brinkley, Arkansas. As a result, HBI made a \$2.6 million additional investment in White River Bancshares on June 29, 2007 to maintain its 20% ownership. At June 30, 2007, White River Bancshares had approximately \$465.0 million in total assets, \$356.8 million in total loans and \$336.2 million in total deposits.

In our continuing evaluation of our growth plans for the Company, we believe properly priced bank acquisitions can complement our organic growth and de novo branching growth strategies. The Company's acquisition focus will be to expand in its primary market areas of Arkansas and Florida. However, management was familiar with the Texas market with a prior institution and, if opportunities arise, would look to expand through a banking acquisition in the Texas market. We are continually evaluating potential bank acquisitions to determine what is in the best interest of our Company. Our goal in making these decisions is to maximize the return to our investors.

Table of Contents**De Novo Branching**

We intend to continue to open new (commonly referred to de novo) branches in our current markets and in other attractive market areas if opportunities arise. During 2007, we opened branch locations in the Florida communities of Key West and Key Largo plus Quitman, Arkansas. Also during 2007, we consolidated two of our Cabot branch locations into one new financial center. Presently, we have three pending de novo branch locations in the Arkansas communities of Searcy (2) and Bryant.

Results of Operations

Our net income increased 39.2% to \$5.1 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$3.6 million for the same period in 2006. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, net income increased 37.3% to \$9.8 million compared to \$7.2 million for the same period in 2006. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our net earnings increased 16.0% to \$0.29 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, as compared to \$0.25 for the same period in 2006. Diluted earnings per share increased 14.3% to \$0.56 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$0.49 for the same period in 2006. The increase in earnings per share is primarily associated with organic growth of our bank subsidiaries.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income, our principal source of earnings, is the difference between the interest income generated by earning assets and the total interest cost of the deposits and borrowings obtained to fund those assets. Factors affecting the level of net interest income include the volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, yields earned on loans and investments and rates paid on deposits and other borrowings, the level of non-performing loans and the amount of non-interest-bearing liabilities supporting earning assets. Net interest income is analyzed in the discussion and tables below on a fully taxable equivalent basis. The adjustment to convert certain income to a fully taxable equivalent basis consists of dividing tax-exempt income by one minus the combined federal and state income tax rate.

Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis increased \$1.4 million, or 9.0%, to \$17.4 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$16.0 million for the same period in 2006. This increase in net interest income was the result of a \$5.3 million increase in interest income offset by \$3.9 million increase in interest expense. The \$5.3 million increase in interest income was primarily the result of organic growth of our bank subsidiaries combined with the repricing of our earning assets in the higher interest rate environment. The higher level of earning assets resulted in an improvement in interest income of \$3.8 million, and our earning assets repricing in the higher interest rate environment resulted in a \$1.5 million increase in interest income for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007. The \$3.9 million increase in interest expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, is primarily the result of organic growth of our bank subsidiaries and of our interest bearing liabilities repricing in the higher interest rate environment. The higher level of interest-bearing liabilities resulted in additional interest expense of \$1.4 million. The repricing of our interest bearing liabilities in the higher interest rate environment resulted in a \$2.5 million increase in interest expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007.

Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis increased \$2.7 million, or 8.7%, to \$34.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$31.3 million for the same period in 2006. This increase in net interest income was the result of a \$11.8 million increase in interest income offset by \$9.1 million increase in interest expense. The \$11.8 million increase in interest income was primarily the result of organic growth of our bank subsidiaries combined with the repricing of our earning assets in the higher interest rate environment. The higher level of earning assets resulted in an improvement in interest income of \$8.0 million, and our earning assets repricing in the higher interest rate environment resulted in a \$3.8 million increase in interest income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007. The \$9.1 million increase in interest expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, is primarily the result of organic growth of our bank subsidiaries and of our interest bearing liabilities repricing in the higher interest rate environment. The higher level of interest-bearing liabilities resulted in additional interest expense of \$3.0 million. The repricing of our interest bearing liabilities in the higher interest rate environment resulted in a \$6.1 million increase in interest expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

Net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was 3.51% and 3.47% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 3.52% and 3.53% for the same periods in 2006, respectively. During 2006, competitive pressures and a slightly inverted yield curve put pressure on the Company's net interest margin. The current competitive pressures have eased somewhat during 2007, allowing the Company to improve net interest margin on a linked quarter basis by achieving strong long growth that was funded by both the run off in the investment portfolio and more reasonably priced interest-bearing liabilities.

Tables 1 and 2 reflect an analysis of net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, as well as changes in fully taxable equivalent net interest margin for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same periods in 2006.

Table 1: Analysis of Net Interest Income

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Interest income	\$ 35,144	\$ 29,886	\$ 69,328	\$ 57,620
Fully taxable equivalent adjustment	623	572	1,233	1,155
Interest income fully taxable equivalent	35,767	30,458	70,561	58,775
Interest expense	18,399	14,523	36,521	27,451
Net interest income fully taxable equivalent	\$ 17,368	\$ 15,935	\$ 34,040	\$ 31,324
Yield on earning assets fully taxable equivalent	7.23%	6.72%	7.18%	6.61%
Cost of interest-bearing liabilities	4.24	3.67	4.23	3.53
Net interest spread fully taxable equivalent	2.99	3.05	2.95	3.08
Net interest margin fully taxable equivalent	3.51	3.52	3.47	3.53

Table 2: Changes in Fully Taxable Equivalent Net Interest Margin

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	Six Months Ended June 30,
	vs. 2006	2007 vs. 2006
	(In thousands)	
Increase in interest income due to change in earning assets	\$ 3,781	\$ 7,953
Increase in interest income due to change in earning asset yields	1,528	3,833
Increase in interest expense due to change in interest-bearing liabilities	1,348	3,002
Increase in interest expense due to change in interest rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities	2,528	6,068
Increase in net interest income	\$ 1,433	\$ 2,716

Table of Contents

Table 3 shows, for each major category of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the average amount outstanding, the interest income or expense on that amount and the average rate earned or expensed for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. The table also shows the average rate earned on all earning assets, the average rate expensed on all interest-bearing liabilities, the net interest spread and the net interest margin for the same periods. The analysis is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Non-accrual loans were included in average loans for the purpose of calculating the rate earned on total loans.

Table 3: Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Income Analysis

	Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2007			2006		
	Average	Income	Yield	Average	Income	Yield
	Balance	/	/	Balance	/	/
	(Dollars in thousands)					
ASSETS						
Earning assets						
Interest-bearing balances due from banks	\$ 2,319	\$ 30	5.19%	\$ 2,125	\$ 24	4.53%
Federal funds sold	3,058	40	5.25	14,823	183	4.95
Investment securities taxable	375,609	4,273	4.56	430,923	4,711	4.38
Investment securities non-taxable	96,943	1,586	6.56	91,979	1,496	6.52
Loans receivable	1,506,237	29,838	7.95	1,277,789	24,044	7.55
Total interest-earning assets	1,984,166	35,767	7.23	1,817,639	30,458	6.72
Non-earning assets	228,174			174,109		
Total assets	\$ 2,212,340			\$ 1,991,748		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY						
Liabilities						
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits	\$ 615,459	\$ 4,648	3.03%	\$ 535,077	\$ 3,226	2.42%
Time deposits	780,836	9,443	4.85	758,249	7,918	4.19
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,396,295	14,091	4.05	1,293,326	11,144	3.46
Federal funds purchased	18,379	247	5.39	11,992	154	5.15
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	119,610	1,281	4.30	105,040	994	3.80
FHLB and other borrowed funds	162,880	2,033	5.01	134,088	1,486	4.45
Subordinated debentures	44,631	747	6.71	44,722	745	6.68
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,741,795	18,399	4.24	1,589,168	14,523	3.67
Non-interest bearing liabilities						
Non-interest-bearing deposits	220,411			221,723		
Other liabilities	11,977			10,443		
Total liabilities	1,974,183			1,821,334		

Edgar Filing: HOME BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Shareholders' equity	238,157		170,414	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,212,340		\$ 1,991,748	
Net interest spread		2.99%		3.05%
Net interest income and margin	\$ 17,368	3.51	\$ 15,935	3.52

32

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2007			2006		
	Average	Income	Yield	Average	Income	Yield
	Balance	/	/	Balance	/	/
		Expense	Rate		Expense	Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)					
ASSETS						
Earning assets						
Interest-bearing balances due from banks	\$ 3,052	\$ 79	5.22%	\$ 2,911	\$ 65	4.50%
Federal funds sold	10,502	275	5.28	14,651	342	4.71
Investment securities taxable	391,401	8,859	4.56	430,514	9,436	4.42
Investment securities non-taxable	97,364	3,167	6.56	92,303	3,006	6.57
Loans receivable	1,478,666	58,181	7.93	1,251,476	45,926	7.40
Total interest-earning assets	1,980,985	70,561	7.18	1,791,855	58,775	6.61
Non-earning assets	224,073			171,776		
Total assets	\$ 2,205,058			\$ 1,963,631		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY						
Liabilities						
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits	\$ 603,845	\$ 8,982	3.00%	\$ 527,723	\$ 5,965	2.28%
Time deposits	800,778	19,242	4.85	737,137	14,708	4.02
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,404,623	28,224	4.05	1,264,860	20,673	3.30
Federal funds purchased	16,896	452	5.39	19,191	458	4.81
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	117,693	2,505	4.29	102,208	1,864	3.68
FHLB and other borrowed funds	155,927	3,844	4.97	135,932	2,962	4.39
Subordinated debentures	44,642	1,496	6.76	44,734	1,494	6.73
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,739,781	36,521	4.23	1,566,925	27,451	3.53
Non-interest bearing liabilities						
Non-interest-bearing deposits	217,453			217,453		
Other liabilities	12,345			10,255		
Total liabilities	1,969,579			1,794,633		
Shareholders equity	235,479			168,998		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,205,058			\$ 1,963,631		
Net interest spread			2.95%			3.08%
Net interest income and margin		\$ 34,040	3.47		\$ 31,324	3.53

Table 4 shows changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in volume and changes in interest rates for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same periods in 2006, on a fully taxable basis. The changes in interest rate and volume have been allocated to changes in average volume and changes in average rates, in proportion to the relationship of absolute dollar amounts of the changes in rates and volume.

Table of Contents**Table 4: Volume/Rate Analysis**

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 over 2006			Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 over 2006		
	Volume	Yield/Rate	Total	Volume	Yield/Rate	Total
(In thousands)						
Increase (decrease) in:						
Interest income:						
Interest-bearing balances due from banks	3	3	6	3	11	14
Federal funds sold	(153)	10	(143)	(105)	38	(67)
Investment securities taxable	(623)	185	(438)	(878)	301	(577)
Investment securities non-taxable	81	9	90	165	(4)	161
Loans receivable	4,473	1,321	5,794	8,768	3,487	12,255
Total interest income	3,781	1,528	5,309	7,953	3,833	11,786
Interest expense:						
Interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits	531	891	1,422	945	2,072	3,017
Time deposits	242	1,283	1,525	1,347	3,187	4,534
Federal funds purchased	86	7	93	(57)	51	(6)
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	147	140	287	304	337	641
FHLB and other borrowed funds	344	203	547	466	416	882
Subordinated debentures	(2)	4	2	(3)	5	2
Total interest expense	1,348	2,528	3,876	3,002	6,068	9,070
Increase (decrease) in net interest income	\$ 2,433	\$ (1,000)	\$ 1,433	\$ 4,951	\$ (2,235)	\$ 2,716

Provision for Loan Losses. Our management assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses by applying the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 and No. 114. Specific allocations are determined for loans considered to be impaired and loss factors are assigned to the remainder of the loan portfolio to determine an appropriate level in the allowance for loan losses. The allowance is increased, as necessary, by making a provision for loan losses. The specific allocations for impaired loans are assigned based on an estimated net realizable value after a thorough review of the credit relationship. The potential loss factors associated with the remainder of the loan portfolio are based on an internal net loss experience, as well as management's review of trends within the portfolio and related industries.

Generally, commercial, commercial real estate, and residential real estate loans are assigned a level of risk at origination. Thereafter, these loans are reviewed on a regular basis. The periodic reviews generally include loan payment and collateral status, the borrowers' financial data, and key ratios such as cash flows, operating income, liquidity, and leverage. A material change in the borrower's credit analysis can result in an increase or decrease in the loan's assigned risk grade. Aggregate dollar volume by risk grade is monitored on an ongoing basis.

Our management reviews certain key loan quality indicators on a monthly basis, including current economic conditions, delinquency trends and ratios, portfolio mix changes, and other information management deems necessary. This review process provides a degree of objective measurement that is used in conjunction with periodic internal evaluations. To the extent that this review process yields differences between estimated and actual observed losses,

adjustments are made to the loss factors used to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

The provision for loan losses represents management's determination of the amount necessary to be charged against the current period's earnings, to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered adequate in relation to the estimated risk inherent in the loan portfolio.

Our provision for loan losses increased \$90,000, or 15.3%, to \$680,000 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$590,000 for the same period in 2006. Our provision for loan losses increased \$426,000, or 39.7%, to \$1.5 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$1.1 million for the same period in 2006. The increase in the provision is primarily associated with growth in the loan portfolio.

Non-Interest Income. Total non-interest income was \$6.6 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$4.6 million for the same period in 2006. Total non-interest income was \$12.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$9.0 million for the same period in 2006. Our non-interest income includes service charges on deposit accounts, other service charges and fees, trust fees, data processing fees, mortgage banking income, insurance commissions, income from title services, increases in cash value of life insurance, dividends, equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates and other income.

Table 5 measures the various components of our non-interest income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, as well as changes for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same periods in 2006.

Table 5: Non-Interest Income

	Three Months Ended June 30,		2007 Change from 2006		Six Months Ended June 30,		2007 Change from 2006	
	2007	2006			2007	2006		
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 2,669	\$ 2,263	\$ 406	17.9%	\$ 5,257	\$ 4,315	\$ 942	21.8%
Other service charges and fees	1,334	584	750	128.4	2,834	1,195	1,639	137.2
Trust fees	30	169	(139)	(82.2)	54	321	(267)	(83.2)
Data processing fees	209	215	(6)	(2.8)	427	408	19	4.7
Mortgage banking income	478	439	39	8.9	826	850	(24)	(2.8)
Insurance commissions	171	205	(34)	(16.6)	460	489	(29)	(5.9)
Income from title services	238	282	(44)	(15.6)	394	519	(125)	(24.1)
Increase in cash value of life insurance	617	55	562	1,021.8	1,215	106	1,109	1,046.2
Dividends from FHLB, FRB & bankers bank	207	154	53	34.4	434	260	174	66.9
Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates	(56)	(32)	(24)	75.0	(170)	(148)	(22)	14.9
Gain on sale of SBA loans	170		170	100.0	170	34	136	400.0
Gain on sale of premises and equipment, net	167	26	141	542.3	181	28	153	546.4

Edgar Filing: HOME BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Gain on sale of securities, net		1	(1)	(100.0)		1	(1)	(100.0)
Other income	349	238	111	46.6	706	622	84	13.5
Total non-interest income	\$ 6,583	\$ 4,599	\$ 1,984	43.1%	\$ 12,788	\$ 9,000	\$ 3,788	42.1%

Table of Contents

Non-interest income increased \$2.0 million, or 43.1%, to \$6.6 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$4.6 million for the same period in 2006. Non-interest income increased \$3.8 million, or 42.1%, to \$12.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$9.0 million for the same period in 2006. The primary factors that resulted in the increase include:

The aggregate increase in service charges on deposit accounts was primarily a result of organic growth of our bank subsidiaries.

The aggregate increase in other service charges and fees for the three months ended June 30, 2007 was primarily a result of increased retention of interchange fees and organic growth. More specifically, during the fourth quarter of 2006, we were able to negotiate with a new vendor the processing of interchange fees associated with our electronic banking transactions. This improved position is allowing us to retain more of the interchange fees by leveraging our in-house technology. The increase for the six-month period also includes an infrequent referral fee received in the first quarter of 2007. During January 2007, we received a \$125,000 referral fee from another institution for a large loan that we elected not to originate because it was outside our normal lending activities. We do not believe referral fees of this nature will be recurring.

In the fourth quarter of 2006, we made a strategic decision to enter into an agent agreement for the management of our trust services to a non-affiliated third party. This change was caused by our aspiration to improve the overall profitability of the trust efforts. The aggregate decrease in trust fees for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 was primarily the result of the vendor retaining a significant portion of our trust fees. The out-sourcing of the trust management resulted in \$192,000 and \$408,000 reductions of non-interest expense for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007, respectively, when compared to the same periods of the previous year. This non-interest expense reduction includes \$159,000 and \$327,000 related to salaries and employee benefits for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively.

Our community banks purchased \$35 million and \$3.5 million of additional bank owned life insurance on December 14, 2006 and April 23, 2007, respectively. The aggregate increase in cash surrender value is primarily related to these new policies.

The aggregate increase in dividends was primarily associated with the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) stock our bank subsidiaries bought in connection with their change to supervision of the Federal Reserve Board combined with additional stock they bought in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) to increase their borrowing capacity with FHLB.

The equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliate is related to the 20% interest in White River Bancshares that we purchased during 2005. Because the investment in White River Bancshares is accounted for on the equity method, we recorded our share of White River Bancshares' operating loss. White River Bancshares has been operating at a loss as a result of their status as a start up company. White River's acquisition of Brinkley Bancshares, Inc. is projected to improve their earnings and should allow them to be in a profitable position going forward.

The aggregate increase in gain on sale of premises and equipment is primarily associated with the final settlement of insurance proceeds associated with the damage incurred by the storm surge during hurricane Wilma, which struck the Florida Keys during the fourth quarter of 2005.

During the third quarter of 2007, White River Bancshares will move their data processing services in-house. This will result in an annual reduction of our data processing fees of approximately \$300,000.

Table of Contents

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense consists of salary and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, data processing, and other expenses such as advertising, amortization of intangibles, electronic banking expense, FDIC and state assessment, insurance, legal and accounting fees, operating supplies and telephone.

Table 6 below sets forth a summary of non-interest expense for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, as well as changes for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Table 6: Non-Interest Expense

	Three Months Ended June 30,		2007 Change from		Six Months Ended June 30,		2007 Change from	
	2007	2006	2006		2007	2006	2006	
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 7,757	\$ 7,399	\$ 358	4.8%	\$ 15,197	\$ 14,747	\$ 450	3.1%
Occupancy and equipment	2,342	2,123	219	10.3	4,552	4,128	424	10.3
Data processing expense	670	670			1,314	1,237	77	6.2
Other operating expenses:								
Advertising	580	612	(32)	(5.2)	1,209	1,170	39	3.3
Amortization of intangibles	439	439			878	864	14	1.6
Electronic banking expense	655	160	495	309.4	1,185	278	907	326.3
Directors fees	218	202	16	7.9	392	406	(14)	(3.4)
Due from bank service charges	51	84	(33)	(39.3)	107	154	(47)	(30.5)
FDIC and state assessment	231	127	104	81.9	491	252	239	94.8
Insurance	228	233	(5)	(2.1)	472	456	16	3.5
Legal and accounting	303	274	29	10.6	622	556	66	11.9
Other professional fees	214	149	65	43.6	384	283	101	35.7
Operating supplies	227	253	(26)	(10.3)	453	482	(29)	(6.0)
Postage	171	166	5	3.0	335	329	6	1.8
Telephone	233	284	(51)	(18.0)	461	504	(43)	(8.5)
Other expense	1,198	968	230	23.8	2,206	1,916	290	15.1
Total non-interest expense	\$ 15,517	\$ 14,143	\$ 1,374	9.7%	\$ 30,258	\$ 27,762	\$ 2,496	9.0%

Non-interest expense increased \$1.4 million, or 9.7%, to \$15.5 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$14.1 million for the same period in 2006. Non-interest expense increased \$2.5 million, or 9.0%, to \$30.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$27.8 million for the same period in 2006. The most significant component of the increase was the \$495,000 and \$907,000 increase in electronic banking expense for the

three and six months ended June 30, 2007. The electronic banking increase was primarily the result of additional costs associated with our ability to retain more of the interchange fee income. The remaining increases are primarily the result of the continued expansion of the Company combined with the normal increased cost of doing business. During 2007 and 2006, we have opened five de novo branch locations in Florida and three in Arkansas.

At its April 20, 2007 meeting, our Board of Directors approved a Chairman's Retirement Plan for John Allison our Chairman and CEO. Beginning on Mr. Allison's 65th birthday, he will receive a \$250,000 annual benefit to be paid for 10 consecutive years or until his death, whichever shall occur later. This will result in an estimated increase of \$400,000 and \$550,000 to non-interest expense for 2007 and 2008, respectively. During the second quarter of 2007, \$128,000 of this expense was accrued. During April 2007, we purchased \$3.5 million of additional bank-owned life insurance to help offset a portion of the costs related to this retirement benefit.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes increased \$477,000, or 29.9%, to \$2.1 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$1.6 million as of June 30, 2006. The provision for income taxes increased \$834,000, or 26.2%, to \$4.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, from \$3.2 million as of June 30, 2006. The effective income tax rate was 29.03% and 29.02% for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007, compared to 30.46% and 30.78% for the same periods in 2006, respectively. The declining effective income tax rate is primarily associated with our purchase of \$3.5 million and \$35 million in additional bank owned life insurance in the second quarter of 2007 and fourth quarter of 2006, respectively, which resulted in additional tax-free non-interest income.

Financial Conditions as of and for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

Our total assets increased \$49.3 million, an annualized growth of 4.5%, to \$2.24 billion as of June 30, 2007, from \$2.19 billion as of December 31, 2006. Our loan portfolio increased \$108.7 million, an annualized growth of 15.5%, to \$1.53 billion as of June 30, 2007, from \$1.42 billion as of December 31, 2006. Shareholders' equity increased \$7.0 million, an annualized growth of 6.1%, to \$238.4 million as of June 30, 2007, compared to \$231.4 million as of December 31, 2006. Asset and loan increases are primarily associated with organic growth of our bank subsidiaries. The increase in stockholders' equity was primarily the result of retained earnings for the six months.

Loan Portfolio

Our loan portfolio averaged \$1.51 billion and \$1.48 billion during the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007. Total loans were \$1.53 billion as of June 30, 2007, compared to \$1.42 billion as of December 31, 2006. The most significant components of the loan portfolio were commercial and residential real estate, real estate construction, consumer, and commercial and industrial loans. These loans are primarily originated within our market areas of central Arkansas, north central Arkansas, northwest Arkansas, southwest Florida and the Florida Keys and are generally secured by residential or commercial real estate or business or personal property within our market areas.

Table 7 presents our loan balances by category as of the dates indicated.

Table 7: Loan Portfolio

	As of June 30, 2007	As of December 31, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Real estate:		
Commercial real estate loans:		
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 555,466	\$ 465,306
Construction/land development	368,632	393,410
Agricultural	32,235	11,659
Residential real estate loans:		
Residential 1-4 family	240,003	229,588
Multifamily residential	37,559	37,440
Total real estate	1,233,895	1,137,403
Consumer	43,964	45,056
Commercial and industrial	205,760	206,559
Agricultural	24,969	13,520
Other	16,425	13,757
Total loans receivable before allowance for loan losses	1,525,013	1,416,295
Allowance for loan losses	28,112	26,111
Total loans receivable, net	\$ 1,496,901	\$ 1,390,184

Table of Contents

Commercial Real Estate Loans. We originate non-farm and non-residential loans (primarily secured by commercial real estate), construction/land development loans, and agricultural loans, which are generally secured by real estate located in our market areas. Our commercial mortgage loans are generally collateralized by first liens on real estate and amortized over a 10 to 20 year period with balloon payments due at the end of one to five years. These loans are generally underwritten by addressing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary source of repayment, the financial strength of any guarantor, the strength of the tenant (if any), the borrower's liquidity and leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry specific trends and collateral. Generally, we will loan up to 85% of the value of improved property, 65% of the value of raw land and 75% of the value of land to be acquired and developed. A first lien on the property and assignment of lease is required if the collateral is rental property, with second lien positions considered on a case-by-case basis.

As of June 30, 2007, commercial real estate loans totaled \$956.3 million, or 62.7% of our loan portfolio, compared to \$870.3 million, or 61.5% of our loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2006. This increase is primarily the result of strong demand for this type of loan product which resulted in organic growth of our loan portfolio.

Residential Real Estate Loans. We originate one to four family, owner occupied residential mortgage loans generally secured by property located in our primary market area. The majority of our residential mortgage loans consist of loans secured by owner occupied, single family residences. Residential real estate loans generally have a loan-to-value ratio of up to 90%. These loans are underwritten by giving consideration to the borrower's ability to pay, stability of employment or source of income, debt-to-income ratio, credit history and loan-to-value ratio.

As of June 30, 2007, we had \$277.6 million, or 18.2% of our loan portfolio, in residential real estate loans, which is comparable to the \$267.0 million, or 18.9% of our loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2006.

Consumer Loans. Our consumer loan portfolio is composed of secured and unsecured loans originated by our banks. The performance of consumer loans will be affected by the local and regional economy as well as the rates of personal bankruptcies, job loss, divorce and other individual-specific characteristics.

As of June 30, 2007, our installment consumer loan portfolio totaled \$44.0 million, or 2.9% of our total loan portfolio, which is comparable to the \$45.1 million, or 3.2% of our loan portfolio as of December 31, 2006.

Commercial and Industrial Loans. Commercial and industrial loans are made for a variety of business purposes, including working capital, inventory, equipment and capital expansion. The terms for commercial loans are generally one to five years. Commercial loan applications must be supported by current financial information on the borrower and, where appropriate, by adequate collateral. Commercial loans are generally underwritten by addressing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary sources of repayment, the financial strength of any guarantor, the borrower's liquidity and leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry specific trends and collateral. The loan to value ratio depends on the type of collateral. Generally speaking, accounts receivable are financed at between 50% to 80% of accounts receivable less than 90 days past due. Inventory financing will range between 50% and 60% depending on the borrower and nature of inventory. We require a first lien position for those loans.

As of June 30, 2007, commercial and industrial loans outstanding totaled \$205.8 million, or 13.5% of our loan portfolio, which is comparable to \$206.6 million, or 14.6% of our loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents**Non-Performing Assets**

We classify our problem loans into three categories: past due loans, special mention loans and classified loans (accruing and non-accruing).

When management determines that a loan is no longer performing, and that collection of interest appears doubtful, the loan is placed on non-accrual status. Loans that are 90 days past due are placed on non-accrual status unless they are adequately secured and there is reasonable assurance of full collection of both principal and interest. Our management closely monitors all loans that are contractually 90 days past due, treated as special mention or otherwise classified or on non-accrual status. Generally, non-accrual loans that are 120 days past due without assurance of repayment are charged off against the allowance for loan losses.

Table 8 sets forth information with respect to our non-performing assets as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. As of these dates, we did not have any restructured loans within the meaning of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15.

Table 8: Non-performing Assets

	As of June 30, 2007	As of December 31, 2006
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Non-accrual loans	\$ 18,964	\$ 3,905
Loans past due 90 days or more (principal or interest payments)	105	641
Total non-performing loans	19,069	4,546
Other non-performing assets		
Foreclosed assets held for sale	182	435
Other non-performing assets	1	13
Total other non-performing assets	183	448
Total non-performing assets	\$ 19,252	\$ 4,994
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	147.42%	574.37%
Non-performing loans to total loans	1.25	0.32
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.86	0.23

Our non-performing loans are comprised of non-accrual loans and loans that are contractually past due 90 days. Our bank subsidiaries recognize income principally on the accrual basis of accounting. When loans are classified as non-accrual, the accrued interest is charged off and no further interest is accrued, unless the credit characteristics of the loan improves. If a loan is determined by management to be uncollectible, the portion of the loan determined to be uncollectible is then charged to the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Total non-performing loans were \$19.1 million as of June 30, 2007, compared to \$4.5 million as of December 31, 2006 for an increase of \$14.6 million. This increase is primarily associated with an \$11.3 million acquisition and development loan participation with our unconsolidated affiliate White River Bancshares, Inc. in northwest Arkansas that was downgraded to non-accrual status during the second quarter of 2007. Even though this credit was not past due for the quarterly interest payment, we were aware the borrower was experiencing cash flow problems. Since, it was doubtful that the borrower could perform beyond maturity of the note; we felt it prudent to put the loan on non-accrual status during the second quarter resulting in a \$160,000 reduction in interest income during the quarter. Subsequently, during the third quarter this borrower sold the collateral to an independent third party and paid off the loan principal and interest due. We have an existing banking relationship with this third party and have financed the purchase price of the transaction. The new loan is still well collateralized and the new borrower on the property has a strong financial standing with a stable cash flow.

If the \$11.3 million loan not been on non-accrual status as of June 30, 2007, the non-performing loans to total loans and the allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans would have been 0.51% and 359.6%, respectively. While these adjusted ratios reflect a slight decrease in asset quality, we still consider our asset quality to be sound and are comfortable that our reserve levels are adequate to absorb any losses in the loan portfolio.

As a result of the building boom in northwest Arkansas, this market is experiencing over-development. More specifically, the number of residential real estate lots and commercial real estate projects available exceed the current demand. For example, The Streetsmart Report published in the first quarter of 2007 by Streetsmart Data Services, reported that the current absorption rate implies that the supply of remaining lots in northwest Arkansas active subdivisions is sufficient for approximately 50 months. Management will actively monitor the status of this market as it relates to our real estate loans and make changes to the allowance for loan losses if necessary. At June 30, 2007, we had approximately \$16.8 million in loan participations with our unconsolidated affiliate White River Bancshares, Inc. in northwest Arkansas. Although, \$11.3 million of these participations were paid off in the third quarter of 2007, we still have approximately the same exposure in the northwest Arkansas market as a result of the previously mentioned new loan with one of our existing customers.

If the non-accrual loans had been accruing interest in accordance with the original terms of their respective agreements, interest income of approximately \$287,000 and \$137,000 for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$390,000 and \$269,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, would have been recorded. Interest income recognized on the non-accrual loans for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was considered immaterial.

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that we will not receive all amounts due according to the contracted terms of the loans. Impaired loans may include non-performing loans (loans past due 90 days or more and non-accrual loans) and certain other loans identified by management that are still performing. At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, impaired loans totaled \$19.2 million and \$11.2 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2007, average impaired loans were \$13.1 million compared to \$5.4 million as of June 30, 2006. The increases are primarily associated with the \$11.3 million loan that was downgraded to non-accrual status during the second quarter of 2007 and subsequently paid off during the third quarter of 2007.

Table of Contents***Allowance for Loan Losses***

Overview. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level which our management believes is adequate to absorb all probable losses on loans in the loan portfolio. The amount of the allowance is affected by: (i) loan charge-offs, which decrease the allowance; (ii) recoveries on loans previously charged off, which increase the allowance; and (iii) the provision of possible loan losses charged to income, which increases the allowance. In determining the provision for possible loan losses, it is necessary for our management to monitor fluctuations in the allowance resulting from actual charge-offs and recoveries and to periodically review the size and composition of the loan portfolio in light of current and anticipated economic conditions. If actual losses exceed the amount of allowance for loan losses, our earnings could be adversely affected.

As we evaluate the allowance for loan losses, we categorize it as follows: (i) specific allocations; (ii) allocations for classified assets with no specific allocation; (iii) general allocations for each major loan category; and (iv) miscellaneous allocations.

Specific Allocations. As a general rule, if a specific allocation is warranted, it is the result of an analysis of a previously classified credit or relationship. Our evaluation process in specific allocations includes a review of appraisals or other collateral analysis. These values are compared to the remaining outstanding principal balance. If a loss is determined to be reasonably possible, the possible loss is identified as a specific allocation. If the loan is not collateral dependent, the measurement of loss is based on the expected future cash flows of the loan.

Allocations for Classified Assets with No Specific Allocation. We establish allocations for loans rated special mention through loss in accordance with the guidelines established by the regulatory agencies. A percentage rate is applied to each loan category to determine the level of dollar allocation.

General Allocations. We establish general allocations for each major loan category. This section also includes allocations to loans, which are collectively evaluated for loss such as residential real estate, commercial real estate, consumer loans and commercial and industrial loans. The allocations in this section are based on a historical review of loan loss experience and past due accounts. We give consideration to trends, changes in loan mix, delinquencies, prior losses, and other related information.

Miscellaneous Allocations. Allowance allocations other than specific, classified, and general are included in our miscellaneous section.

Charge-offs and Recoveries. Total charge-offs decreased \$221,000, or 76.5%, to \$68,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. Total charge-offs decreased \$607,000, or 78.3%, to \$168,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. Total recoveries increased \$57,000, or 11.2%, to \$566,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. Total recoveries decreased \$102,000, or 13.2%, to \$669,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. The changes in charge-offs and recoveries are a reflection of our conservative stance on asset quality.

Table of Contents

Table 9 shows the allowance for loan losses, charge-offs and recoveries as of and for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

Table 9: Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 26,934	\$ 24,435	\$ 26,111	\$ 24,175
Loans charged off				
Real estate:				
Commerical real estate loans:				
Non-farm/non-residential		152		258
Construction/land development	8		8	2
Agricultural				8
Residential real estate loans:				
Residential 1-4 family		53	10	107
Multifamily residential				
Total real estate	8	205	18	375
Consumer	50	45	109	115
Commercial and industrial	10	15	41	252
Agricultural				
Other		24		33
Total loans charged off	68	289	168	775
Recoveries of loans previously charged off				
Real estate:				
Commercial real estate loans:				
Non-farm/non-residential	402	30	418	38
Construction/land development		98	1	98
Agricultural				
Residential real estate loans:				
Residential 1-4 family	73	154	97	251
Multifamily residential	7	60	7	60
Total real estate	482	342	523	447
Consumer	40	21	76	31
Commercial and industrial	23	42	42	63
Agricultural				
Other	21	104	28	230
Total recoveries	566	509	669	771
Net (recoveries) loans charged off	(498)	(220)	(501)	4
Provision for loan losses	680	590	1,500	1,074

Edgar Filing: HOME BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Balance, June 30	\$ 28,112	\$ 25,245	\$ 28,112	\$ 25,245
Net (recoveries) charge-offs to average loans	(0.13)%	(0.07)%	(0.07)%	%
Allowance for loan losses to period-end loans	1.84	1.90	1.84	1.90
Allowance for loan losses to net (recoveries) charge-offs	(1,407)	(2,861)	(2,783)	312,969

43

Table of Contents

Allocated Allowance for Loan Losses. We use a risk rating and specific reserve methodology in the calculation and allocation of our allowance for loan losses. While the allowance is allocated to various loan categories in assessing and evaluating the level of the allowance, the allowance is available to cover charge-offs incurred in all loan categories. Because a portion of our portfolio has not matured to the degree necessary to obtain reliable loss data from which to calculate estimated future losses, the unallocated portion of the allowance is an integral component of the total allowance. Although unassigned to a particular credit relationship or product segment, this portion of the allowance is vital to safeguard against the imprecision inherent in estimating credit losses.

The changes for the period ended June 30, 2007 in the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for the individual types of loans for the most part are consistent with the changes in the outstanding loan portfolio for those products from December 31, 2006. In the opinion of management, any allocation changes not consistent with the changes in the loan portfolio product would be considered normal operating changes, not downgrading or upgrading of any one particular type of loans in the loan portfolio.

Table 10 presents the allocation of allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

Table 10: Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

	As of June 30, 2007		As of December 31, 2006	
	Allowance Amount	% of loans(1) (Dollars in thousands)	Allowance Amount	% of loans(1)
Real estate:				
Commercial real estate loans:				
Non-farm/non-residential	\$ 10,819	36.4%	\$ 9,130	32.8%
Construction/land development	7,410	24.2	7,494	27.8
Agricultural	883	2.1	505	0.8
Residential real estate loans:				
Residential 1-4 family	3,109	15.7	3,091	16.2
Multifamily residential	572	2.5	909	2.6
Total real estate	22,793	80.9	21,129	80.2
Consumer	834	2.9	861	3.2
Commercial and industrial	3,099	13.5	3,237	14.6
Agricultural	592	1.6	456	1.0
Other	11	1.1	11	1.0
Unallocated	783		417	
Total	\$ 28,112	100.0%	\$ 26,111	100.0%

(1) Percentage of loans in each category to loans receivable.

Investments and Securities

Our securities portfolio is the second largest component of earning assets and provides a significant source of revenue. Securities within the portfolio are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading based on the intent and objective of the investment and the ability to hold to maturity. Fair values of securities are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted

market prices of comparable securities. As of June 30, 2007, we had no held-to-maturity or trading securities.

Table of Contents

Securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity as other comprehensive income. Securities that are held as available-for-sale are used as a part of our asset/liability management strategy. Securities may be sold in response to interest rate changes, changes in prepayment risk, the need to increase regulatory capital, and other similar factors are classified as available for sale. Available-for-sale securities were \$458.8 million as of June 30, 2007, compared to \$531.9 million as of December 31, 2006. The estimated duration of our securities portfolio was 3.3 years as of June 30, 2007.

As of June 30, 2007, \$196.7 million, or 42.9%, of our available-for-sale securities were invested in mortgage-backed securities, compared to \$219.8 million, or 41.3%, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2006. To reduce our income tax burden, \$97.8 million, or 21.3%, of our available-for-sale securities portfolio as of June 30, 2007, was primarily invested in tax-exempt obligations of state and political subdivisions, compared to \$103.4 million, or 19.4%, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2006. Also, we had approximately \$152.4 million, or 33.2%, invested in obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises as of June 30, 2007, compared to \$196.2 million, or 36.9%, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2006.

Certain investment securities are valued at less than their historical cost. These declines primarily resulted from recent increases in market interest rates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, we believe the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. It is our intent to hold these securities to recovery. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

Table 11 presents the carrying value and fair value of investment securities as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

Table 11: Investment Securities

	As of June 30, 2007			Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	
Available-for-Sale				
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 156,926	\$ 9	\$ (4,543)	\$ 152,392
Mortgage-backed securities	203,512	29	(6,886)	196,655
State and political subdivisions	98,376	809	(1,348)	97,837
Other securities	12,036		(138)	11,898
Total	\$ 470,850	\$ 847	\$ (12,915)	\$ 458,782
As of December 31, 2006				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Estimated Fair Value
Available-for-Sale				
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises	\$ 199,085	\$ 79	\$ (2,927)	\$ 196,237
Mortgage-backed securities	225,747	41	(5,988)	219,800
State and political subdivisions	102,536	1,360	(496)	103,400
Other securities	12,631		(177)	12,454
Total	\$ 539,999	\$ 1,480	\$ (9,588)	\$ 531,891

Table of Contents**Deposits**

Our deposits averaged \$1.62 billion for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007. Total deposits increased \$35.8 million, or an annualized growth of 4.5%, to \$1.64 billion as of June 30, 2007, from \$1.61 billion as of December 31, 2006. Deposits are our primary source of funds. We offer a variety of products designed to attract and retain deposit customers. Those products consist of checking accounts, regular savings deposits, NOW accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposit. Deposits are gathered from individuals, partnerships and corporations in our market areas. In addition, we obtain deposits from state and local entities and, to a lesser extent, U.S. Government and other depository institutions. Our policy also permits the acceptance of brokered deposits. As of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 brokered deposits were \$64.0 million and \$50.2 million, respectively.

The interest rates paid are competitively priced for each particular deposit product and structured to meet our funding requirements. We will continue to manage interest expense through deposit pricing and do not anticipate a significant change in total deposits unless our liquidity position changes. We believe that additional funds can be attracted and deposit growth can be accelerated through deposit pricing if we experience increased loan demand or other liquidity needs. The increase in interest rates paid from 2006 to 2007 is reflective of the Federal Reserve increasing the Federal Funds rate beginning in 2004 and the associated repricing of deposits during the subsequent years.

Table 12 reflects the classification of the average deposits and the average rate paid on each deposit category, which is in excess of 10 percent of average total deposits, for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

Table 12: Average Deposit Balances and Rates

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2007			2006
	Average	Average	Average	Average
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Non-interest-bearing transaction accounts	\$ 220,411	%	\$ 221,723	%
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	556,821	3.20	457,962	2.56
Savings deposits	58,638	1.41	77,115	1.58
Time deposits:				
\$100,000 or more	444,367	4.93	434,037	4.34
Other time deposits	336,469	4.75	324,212	3.99
Total	\$ 1,616,706	3.50%	\$ 1,515,049	2.95%

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2007			2006
	Average	Average	Average	Average
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Non-interest-bearing transaction accounts	\$ 217,453	%	\$ 217,453	%
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	545,777	3.17	446,802	2.40
Savings deposits	58,068	1.41	80,921	1.63
Time deposits:				
\$100,000 or more	458,216	4.97	394,992	4.37
Other time deposits	342,562	4.68	342,145	3.63

Total	\$ 1,622,076	3.51%	\$ 1,482,313	2.81%
	46			

Table of Contents**FHLB Borrowings**

Our FHLB borrowings were \$175.5 million as of June 30, 2007. The outstanding balance for June 30, 2007 consists of \$40.0 million of short-term FHLB advances and \$135.5 million of FHLB long-term advances. Our FHLB borrowings were \$151.8 million as of December 31, 2006. The outstanding balance for December 31, 2006, includes \$5.0 million of short-term advances and \$146.8 million of long-term advances. Our remaining FHLB borrowing capacity was \$320.4 million and \$323.6 million as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Subordinated Debentures

Subordinated debentures, which consist of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities, were \$44.6 million and \$44.7 million as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Table 13 reflects subordinated debentures as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, which consisted of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities with the following components:

Table 13: Subordinated Debentures

	As of June 30, 2007	As of December 31, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2003, due 2033, fixed at 6.40%, during the first five years and at a floating rate of 3.15% above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, thereafter, callable in 2008 without penalty	\$ 20,619	\$ 20,619
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2000, due 2030, fixed at 10.60%, callable beginning in 2010 with a prepayment penalty declining from 5.30% to 0.53% depending on the year of prepayment, callable in 2020 without penalty	3,379	3,424
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2003, due 2033, floating rate of 3.15% above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, callable in 2008 without penalty	5,155	5,155
Subordinated debentures, issued in 2005, due 2035, fixed rate of 6.81% during the first ten years and at a floating rate of 1.38% above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, thereafter, callable in 2010 without penalty	15,465	15,465
Total	\$ 44,618	\$ 44,663

As a result of the acquisition of Marine Bancorp, Inc., the Company has an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converts the floating rate on the \$5.2 million trust preferred security noted above into a fixed interest rate of 7.29%, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense until the call date.

The trust preferred securities are tax-advantaged issues that qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment subject to certain limitations. Distributions on these securities are included in interest expense. Each of the trusts is a statutory business trust organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds in our subordinated debentures, the sole asset of each trust. The trust preferred securities of each trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the respective trusts and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the subordinated debentures held by the trust. We wholly own the common securities of each trust. Each trust's ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon our making payment on the related subordinated debentures. Our obligations under the subordinated securities and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by us of each respective trust's obligations under the trust securities issued by each respective trust.

Table of Contents**Shareholders Equity**

Stockholders equity was \$238.4 million at June 30, 2007 compared to \$231.4 million at December 31, 2006, an annualized increase of 6.1%. As of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 our equity to asset ratio was 10.6%. Book value per common share was \$13.83 at June 30, 2007 compared to \$13.45 at December 31, 2006, a 5.7% annualized increase. The increases in stockholders equity and book value per share were primarily the result of retained earnings during the prior six months.

Initial Public Offering. We priced our initial public offering of 2.5 million shares of common stock at \$18.00 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$40.9 million from its sale of shares after deducting sales commissions and expenses. The underwriters of the Company's initial public offering exercised and completed their option to purchase an additional 375,000 shares of common stock to cover over-allotments effective July 26, 2006. We received net proceeds of approximately \$6.3 million from this sale of shares after deducting sales commissions. We have used \$12.0 million of the initial public offering proceeds to provide capital contributions to our bank subsidiaries and \$2.6 million as an additional investment in White River Bancshares to maintain our 20% ownership.

Preferred Stock Conversion. During the third quarter of 2006, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the redemption and conversion of the issued and outstanding shares of Home BancShares's Class A Preferred Stock and Class B Preferred Stock into Home BancShares Common Stock, effective as of August 1, 2006.

The holder's of shares of Class A Preferred Stock, received 0.789474 of Home BancShares Common Stock for each share of Class A Preferred Stock owned, plus a check for the pro rata amount of the third quarter Class A Preferred Stock dividend accrued through July 31, 2006. The Class A Preferred shareholder's did not receive fractional shares, instead they received cash at a rate of \$12.67 times the fraction of a share they otherwise would be entitled to.

The holder's of shares of Class B Preferred Stock, received three shares of Home BancShares Common Stock for each share of Class B Preferred Stock owned, plus a check for the pro rata amount of the third quarter Class B Preferred Stock dividend accrued through July 31, 2006.

After the exercise of the over-allotment and the conversion of the preferred stock, Home BancShares outstanding common stock increased by approximately 2.5 million shares.

Cash Dividends. We declared cash dividends on our common stock of \$0.035 and \$0.02 per share for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$0.06 and \$0.04 per share for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We declared cash dividends on our Class A preferred stock and Class B preferred stock of \$0.0625 and \$0.1425 per share, respectively, for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 and \$0.125 and \$0.285 per share, respectively, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Adequacy Requirements

Risk-Based Capital. We as well as our bank subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Furthermore, we are deemed by federal regulators to be a source of financial strength for White River Bancshares, despite owning only 20% of its equity. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and other discretionary actions by regulators that, if enforced, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators as to components, risk weightings and other factors.

Table of Contents

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. Management believes that, as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we met all regulatory capital adequacy requirements to which we were subject.

Table 14 presents our risk-based capital ratios as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

Table 14: Risk-Based Capital

	As of June 30, 2007 (Dollars in thousands)	As of December 31, 2006
Tier 1 capital		
Shareholders' equity	\$ 238,382	\$ 231,419
Qualifying trust preferred securities	43,000	43,000
Goodwill and core deposit intangibles, net	(42,882)	(43,433)
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities	7,380	4,892
Total Tier 1 capital	245,880	235,878
Tier 2 capital		
Qualifying allowance for loan losses	23,077	20,308
Total Tier 2 capital	23,077	20,308
Total risk-based capital	\$ 268,957	\$ 256,186
Average total assets for leverage ratio	\$ 2,169,458	\$ 2,089,130
Risk weighted assets	\$ 1,841,092	\$ 1,618,849
Ratios at end of period		
Leverage ratio	11.33%	11.29%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	13.36	14.57
Total risk-based capital	14.61	15.83
Minimum guidelines		
Leverage ratio	4.00%	4.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	4.00	4.00
Total risk-based capital	8.00	8.00

As of the most recent notification from regulatory agencies, our bank subsidiaries were well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well-capitalized, our banking subsidiaries and we must maintain minimum leverage, Tier 1 risk-based capital, and total risk-based capital ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that we believe have changed the bank subsidiaries categories.

Table of Contents

Table 15 presents actual capital amounts and ratios as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, for our bank subsidiaries and us.

Table 15: Capital and Ratios

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of June 30, 2007						
Leverage ratios:						
Home BancShares	\$245,880	11.33%	\$ 86,807	4.00%	\$ N/A	N/A%
First State Bank	49,504	8.60	23,025	4.00	28,781	5.00
Community Bank	31,539	8.97	14,064	4.00	17,580	5.00
Twin City Bank	54,292	8.04	27,011	4.00	33,764	5.00
Marine Bank	33,356	9.24	14,440	4.00	18,050	5.00
Bank of Mountain View	15,728	7.81	8,055	4.00	10,069	5.00
Tier 1 capital ratios:						
Home BancShares	245,880	13.36%	\$ 73,617	4.00%	\$ N/A	N/A%
First State Bank	49,504	9.59	20,648	4.00	30,972	6.00
Community Bank	31,539	10.97	11,500	4.00	17,250	6.00
Twin City Bank	54,292	9.43	23,029	4.00	34,544	6.00
Marine Bank	33,356	10.43	12,792	4.00	19,188	6.00
Bank of Mountain View	15,728	13.01	4,836	4.00	7,253	6.00
Total risk-based capital ratios:						
Home BancShares	\$268,957	14.61%	\$147,273	8.00%	\$ N/A	N/A%
First State Bank	55,979	10.84	41,313	8.00	51,641	10.00
Community Bank	35,188	12.24	22,999	8.00	28,748	10.00
Twin City Bank	61,488	10.68	46,058	8.00	57,573	10.00
Marine Bank	36,799	11.50	25,599	8.00	31,999	10.00
Bank of Mountain View	16,995	14.06	9,670	8.00	12,087	10.00
As of December 31, 2006						
Leverage ratios:						
Home BancShares	\$235,878	11.29%	\$ 83,571	4.00%	\$ N/A	N/A%
First State Bank	46,811	8.69	21,547	4.00	26,934	5.00
Community Bank	26,235	7.94	13,217	4.00	16,521	5.00
Twin City Bank	50,375	7.51	26,831	4.00	33,539	5.00
Marine Bank	27,317	8.08	13,523	4.00	16,904	5.00
Bank of Mountain View	15,230	7.73	7,881	4.00	9,851	5.00
Tier 1 capital ratios:						
Home BancShares	\$235,878	14.57%	\$ 64,757	4.00%	\$ N/A	N/A %

Edgar Filing: HOME BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

First State Bank	46,811	10.29	18,197	4.00	27,295	6.00
Community Bank	26,235	10.31	10,178	4.00	15,268	6.00
Twin City Bank	50,375	10.15	19,852	4.00	29,778	6.00
Marine Bank	27,317	9.59	11,394	4.00	17,091	6.00
Bank of Mountain View	15,230	14.09	4,324	4.00	6,485	6.00
Total risk-based capital ratios:						
Home BancShares	\$256,186	15.83%	\$129,469	8.00%	\$ N/A	N/A%
First State Bank	52,519	11.54	36,408	8.00	45,510	10.00
Community Bank	29,471	11.58	20,360	8.00	25,450	10.00
Twin City Bank	56,586	11.40	39,709	8.00	49,637	10.00
Marine Bank	30,582	10.74	22,780	8.00	28,475	10.00
Bank of Mountain View	16,316	15.09	8,650	8.00	10,812	10.00

50

Table of Contents**Non-GAAP Financial Measurements**

We had \$46.1 million, \$47.0 million, and \$47.9 million total goodwill, core deposit intangibles and other intangible assets as of June 30, 2007, December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively. Because of our level of intangible assets and related amortization expenses, management believes diluted cash earnings per share, tangible book value per share, cash return on average assets, cash return on average tangible equity and tangible equity to tangible assets are useful in evaluating our company. These calculations, which are similar to the GAAP calculation of diluted earnings per share, book value, return on average assets, return on average shareholders equity, and equity to assets, are presented in Tables 16 through 20, respectively.

Table 16: Diluted Cash Earnings Per Share

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
GAAP net income	\$ 5,061	\$ 3,636	\$ 9,822	\$ 7,152
Intangible amortization after-tax	267	267	534	525
Cash earnings	\$ 5,328	\$ 3,903	\$ 10,356	\$ 7,677
GAAP diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.49
Intangible amortization after-tax	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
Diluted cash earnings per share	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.53

Table 17: Tangible Book Value Per Share

	As of June 30, 2007	As of December 31, 2006
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	
Book value per common share: A/B	\$ 13.83	\$ 13.45
Tangible book value per common share: (A-C-D)/B	11.15	10.72
(A) Total shareholders equity	\$238,382	\$231,419
(B) Common shares outstanding	17,238	17,206
(C) Goodwill	37,527	37,527
(D) Core deposit and other intangibles	8,580	9,458

Table of Contents**Table 18: Cash Return on Average Assets**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Return on average assets: A/C	0.92%	0.73%	0.90%	0.73%
Cash return on average assets: B/(C-D)	0.99	0.81	0.97	0.81
(A) Net income	\$ 5,061	\$ 3,636	\$ 9,822	\$ 7,152
(B) Cash earnings	5,328	3,903	10,356	7,677
(C) Average assets	2,212,340	1,991,748	2,205,058	1,963,631
(D) Average goodwill, core deposits and other intangible assets	46,326	48,088	46,544	48,322

Table 19: Cash Return on Average Tangible Equity

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Return on average shareholders equity: A/C	8.52%	8.56%	8.41%	8.53%
Return on average tangible equity: B/(C-D)	11.14	12.80	11.05	12.83
(A) Net income	\$ 5,061	\$ 3,636	\$ 9,822	\$ 7,152
(B) Cash earnings	5,328	3,903	10,356	7,677
(C) Average shareholders equity	238,157	170,414	235,479	168,998
(D) Average goodwill, core deposits and other intangible assets	46,326	48,088	46,544	48,322

Table 20: Tangible Equity to Tangible Assets

	As of June 30, 2007	As of December 31, 2006
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Equity to assets: B/A	10.64%	10.56%
Tangible equity to tangible assets: (B-C-D)/(A-C-D)	8.76	8.60
(A) Total assets	\$2,239,921	\$2,190,648
(B) Total shareholders equity	238,382	231,419
(C) Goodwill	37,527	37,527
(D) Core deposit and other intangibles	8,580	9,458

Table of Contents**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 159 The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities to provide companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This statement shall be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard, but does not expect it to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, FASB having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard, but does not expect it to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB Emerging Issue Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. The EITF determined that for an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement within the scope of the Issue, the employer should recognize a liability for future benefits in accordance with SFAS No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, or APB Opinion 12, Omnibus Opinion-1967, based on the substantive agreement with the employee. In March 2007, the FASB Emerging Issue Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 06-10, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Collateral Assignment Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. The EITF determined that an employer should recognize a liability for the postretirement benefit related to a collateral assignment split-dollar life insurance arrangement in accordance with either Statement 106 (if, in substance, a postretirement benefit plan exists) or Opinion 12 (if the arrangement is, in substance, an individual deferred compensation contract) based on the substantive agreement with the employee. These Issues are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007, with earlier application permitted. Entities should recognize the effects of applying EITF 06-4 through either (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position as of the beginning of the year of adoption or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. As of March 31, 2007, the Company has split-dollar life insurance arrangements with two executives of the Company that have death benefits. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of EITF 06-4 and EITF 06-10, but does not expect it to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which provides clarification for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2006. The Company adopted the Interpretation during the first quarter of 2007 without material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Presently, the Company is not aware of any other changes from the Financial Accounting Standards Board that will have a material impact on the Company's present or future financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK*****Liquidity and Market Risk Management***

Liquidity Management. Liquidity refers to the ability or the financial flexibility to manage future cash flows to meet the needs of depositors and borrowers and fund operations. Maintaining appropriate levels of liquidity allows us to have sufficient funds available for reserve requirements, customer demand for loans, withdrawal of deposit balances and maturities of deposits and other liabilities. Our primary source of liquidity at our holding company is dividends paid by our bank subsidiaries. Applicable statutes and regulations impose restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be declared by our bank subsidiaries. Further, any dividend payments are subject to the continuing ability of the bank subsidiary to maintain compliance with minimum federal regulatory capital requirements and to retain its characterization under federal regulations as a well-capitalized institution.

Each of our bank subsidiaries has potential obligations resulting from the issuance of standby letters of credit and commitments to fund future borrowings to our loan customers. Many of these obligations and commitments to fund future borrowings to our loan customers are expected to expire without being drawn upon, therefore the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements affecting our liquidity position.

Liquidity needs can be met from either assets or liabilities. On the asset side, our primary sources of liquidity include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, available-for-sale investment securities and scheduled repayments and maturities of loans. We maintain adequate levels of cash and cash equivalents to meet our day-to-day needs. As of June 30, 2007, our cash and cash equivalents were \$53.5 million, or 2.4% of total assets, compared to \$59.7 million, or 2.7% of total assets, as of December 31, 2006. Our investment securities and federal funds sold were \$469.0 million as of June 30, 2007 and \$540.9 million as of December 31, 2006.

We may occasionally use our federal funds lines of credit in order to temporarily satisfy short-term liquidity needs. We have federal funds lines with three other financial institutions pursuant to which we could have borrowed up to \$88.2 million and \$62.1 million on an unsecured basis as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. These lines may be terminated by the respective lending institutions at any time.

We also maintain lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank. Our FHLB borrowings were \$175.5 million as of June 30, 2007 and \$151.8 million as of December 31, 2006. The outstanding balance for June 30, 2007 included \$40.0 million of short-term advances and \$135.5 million of FHLB long-term advances. The outstanding balance for December 31, 2006, included \$5.0 million of short-term advances and \$146.8 million of FHLB long-term advances. Our FHLB borrowing capacity was \$320.4 million and \$323.6 million as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity to satisfy our current operations.

Market Risk Management. Our primary component of market risk is interest rate volatility. Fluctuations in interest rates will ultimately impact both the level of income and expense recorded on a large portion of our assets and liabilities, and the market value of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, other than those which possess a short term to maturity. We do not hold market risk sensitive instruments for trading purposes. The information provided should be read in connection with our audited consolidated financial statements.

Asset/Liability Management. Our management actively measures and manages interest rate risk. The asset/liability committees of the boards of directors of our holding company and bank subsidiaries are also responsible for approving our asset/liability management policies, overseeing the formulation and implementation of strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings, and reviewing our interest rate sensitivity position.

Table of Contents

One of the tools that our management uses to measure short-term interest rate risk is a net interest income simulation model. This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using base market rates and using a rising and a falling interest rate scenario. The income simulation model includes various assumptions regarding the re-pricing relationships for each of our products. Many of our assets are floating rate loans, which are assumed to re-price immediately, and proportional to the change in market rates, depending on their contracted index. Some loans and investments include the opportunity of prepayment (embedded options), and accordingly the simulation model uses indexes to estimate these prepayments and reinvest their proceeds at current yields. Our non-term deposit products re-price more slowly, usually changing less than the change in market rates and at our discretion.

This analysis indicates the impact of changes in net interest income for the given set of rate changes and assumptions. It assumes the balance sheet remains static and that its structure does not change over the course of the year. It does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or secondary impacts such as changes to our credit risk profile as interest rates change.

Furthermore, loan prepayment rate estimates and spread relationships change regularly. Interest rate changes create changes in actual loan prepayment rates that will differ from the market estimates incorporated in this analysis. Changes that vary significantly from the assumptions may have significant effects on our net interest income.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. Our primary business is banking and the resulting earnings, primarily net interest income, are susceptible to changes in market interest rates. It is management's goal to maximize net interest income within acceptable levels of interest rate and liquidity risks.

A key element in the financial performance of financial institutions is the level and type of interest rate risk assumed. The single most significant measure of interest rate risk is the relationship of the repricing periods of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The more closely the repricing periods are correlated, the less interest rate risk we assume. We use repricing gap and simulation modeling as the primary methods in analyzing and managing interest rate risk.

Gap analysis attempts to capture the amounts and timing of balances exposed to changes in interest rates at a given point in time. As of June 30, 2007, our gap position was relatively neutral with a one-year cumulative repricing gap of -4.3%, compared to 1.1% as of December 31, 2006. During these periods, the amount of change our asset base realizes in relation to the total change in market interest rates is approximately that of the liability base. As a result, our net interest income should not be materially impacted positively or negatively as a result of rate movements in the current rate environment.

We have a portion of our securities portfolio invested in mortgage-backed securities. Mortgage-backed securities are included based on their final maturity date. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Table of Contents

Table 21 presents a summary of the repricing schedule of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (gap) as of June 30, 2007.

	0-30 Days	31-90 Days	91-180 Days	181-365 Days	1-2 Years	2-5 Years	Over 5 Years	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Earning assets								
Interest-bearing								
deposits due from banks	\$ 2,431	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,431
Federal funds sold	10,182							10,182
Investment securities	23,403	22,120	20,401	48,470	93,084	78,725	172,579	458,782
Loans receivable	617,204	82,600	138,197	235,565	178,779	226,638	46,030	1,525,013
Total earning assets	653,220	104,720	158,598	284,035	271,863	305,363	218,609	1,996,408
Interest-bearing liabilities								
Interest-bearing								
transaction and savings deposits	25,208	50,419	75,627	151,254	42,720	113,050	154,575	612,853
Time deposits	123,768	162,294	208,505	232,449	42,652	35,813	4	805,485
Federal funds purchased								
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	101,270				3,628	10,884	11,610	127,392
FHLB and other borrowed funds	105,056	16,359	1,255	7,603	10,805	22,782	11,595	175,455
Subordinated debentures	1	5,158	4	20,628	19	71	18,737	44,618
Total interest-bearing liabilities	355,303	234,230	285,391	411,934	99,824	182,600	196,521	1,765,803
Interest rate sensitivity gap	\$ 297,917	\$ (129,510)	\$ (126,793)	\$ (127,899)	\$ 172,039	\$ 122,763	\$ 22,088	\$ 230,605
Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap	\$ 297,917	\$ 168,407	\$ 41,614	\$ (86,285)	\$ 85,754	\$ 208,517	\$ 230,605	
	183.8%	128.6%	104.8%	93.3%	106.2%	113.3%	113.1%	

Cumulative rate
sensitive assets
to rate sensitive
liabilities
Cumulative gap
as a % of total
earning assets

14.9

8.4

2.1

(4.3)

4.3

10.4

11.6

56

Table of Contents

Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Additionally, our disclosure controls and procedures were also effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act report is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II: OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

There are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, to which Home BancShares, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries is a party or of which any of their property is the subject.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes from the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. See the discussion of our risk factors in the Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC. The risks described are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company was held on May 9, 2007. The following items of business were presented to stockholders:

- (1) Twelve (12) directors were elected as proposed in the Proxy Statement dated March 30, 2007, under the caption Election of Directors with votes cast as follows:

	Total Vote for Each Director	Total Vote Withheld For Each Director
John W. Allison	13,333,361	52,762
Ron W. Strother	13,355,408	30,715
C. Randall Sims	13,355,817	30,306
Richard H. Ashley	13,307,090	79,033
Dale A. Bruns	13,353,151	32,972
Richard A. Buckheim	13,312,824	73,299
Jack E. Engelkes	13,353,715	32,408
Frank D. Hickingbotham	13,310,953	75,170
Herren C. Hickingbotham	13,311,193	74,930
James G. Hinkle	13,357,467	28,656
Alex R. Lieblong	13,360,729	25,394
William G. Thompson	13,089,599	296,524

- (2) An amendment to the Company's Restated Articles of Incorporation which increased the number of authorized shares of common stock from 25,000,000 to 50,000,000 was approved with votes cast as follows: 13,097,846 votes for, 205,185 votes against and 83,092 votes abstaining.

Table of Contents

- (3) An amendment to the Company's 2006 Stock Option and Performance Incentive Plan which increased the number of shares reserved for issuance under such plan to 1,500,000 was approved with votes cast as follows: 9,441,403 votes for, 165,572 votes against and 59,332 votes abstaining.
- (4) The Audit Committee's selection and appointment of the accounting firm of BKD, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2007 was ratified with votes cast as follows: 13,312,933 votes for, 32,331 votes against and 40,859 votes abstaining.

Item 5: Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6: Exhibits

- 3.1 Fourth Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Home BancShares, Inc.
- 10.1 Amendment to Home BancShares, Inc. 2006 Stock Option and Performance Incentive Plan
- 15 Awareness of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 31.1 CEO Certification Pursuant Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- 31.2 CFO Certification Pursuant Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- 32.1 CEO Certification Pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 CFO Certification Pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HOME BANCSHARES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 1, 2007

/s/ John W. Allison
John W. Allison, Chief Executive
Officer

Date: August 1, 2007

/s/ Randy E. Mayor
Randy E. Mayor, Chief Financial
Officer